

INVOLUTIONS IN COXETER GROUPS

ANNA MICHAEL, YURI SANTOS REGO, PETRA SCHWER, AND OLGA VARGHESE

ABSTRACT. We combinatorially characterize the number cc_2 of conjugacy classes of involutions in any Coxeter group in terms of higher rank odd graphs. This notion naturally generalizes the concept of odd graphs, used previously to count the number of conjugacy classes of reflections. We provide uniform bounds and discuss some extremal cases, where the number cc_2 is smallest or largest possible. Moreover, we provide formulae for cc_2 in free and direct products as well as for some finite and affine types, besides computing cc_2 for all triangle groups, and all affine irreducible Coxeter groups of rank up to eleven.

We dedicate this work to Peter Littelmann on the occasion of his retirement.

1. INTRODUCTION

As abstract analogs of reflection groups, every Coxeter group W is generated by a standard generating set S of involutions, that is, elements of order two. Under Tits' geometric representation the generators in S are mapped to abstract reflections along faces of a cone in $\mathbb{R}^{|S|}$, and the set of all such reflections in W contains precisely the W -conjugates of the standard generators. So every reflection is involutory. However, not all involutions are reflections. And not all involutions (nor reflections) are in a same conjugacy class. A given group W may admit more than one generating set S with respect to which it is a Coxeter group. While the set of reflections does depend on the chosen Coxeter system (W, S) , the set of involutions does not.

The following questions are hence natural to ask: What can one say about the potential discrepancy between the set of reflections and that of involutions in a given Coxeter group? When are these two sets equal? Is there a combinatorial characterization of conjugacy classes of involutions in terms of the Coxeter diagram of a given system?

One source of inspiration is the following: The number of conjugacy classes of reflections relates to the number of connected components of the odd graph Γ_{odd} , which is obtained from a Coxeter diagram Γ by removing all edges with even or ∞ labels [Bra+02, Lemma 3.6]. We generalize this concept and determine the number of conjugacy classes of involutions in Theorem 1.1 by counting connected components of certain higher rank odd graphs Γ^k , $1 \leq k \leq |V(\Gamma)|$, derived from Γ . The first graph Γ^1 equals the aforementioned odd graph. For details and definitions see Section 3.

While the set of involutions is infinite in case of infinite Coxeter groups, there are always only finitely many conjugacy classes of involutions. This follows for one from the fact that Coxeter groups are CAT(0) and hence have only finitely many conjugacy classes of finite subgroups [Dav08], [BH99]. In providing an explicit formula for the number

Date: April 5, 2024.

$\text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma)$ of conjugacy classes of involutions in terms of combinatorial statistics based on the defining diagram, Theorem 1.1 gives a direct proof of this fact.

Theorem 1.1 (Counting conjugacy classes of involutions). *Let Γ be a Coxeter diagram and, for each $k \geq 1$, write Γ^k for its corresponding k -odd graph (cf. Definition 3.6). Then, the cardinality of the set of connected components of Γ^k is equal to the cardinality of the set of conjugacy classes of involutions of rank k in the corresponding Coxeter group W_Γ . The total number $\text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma)$ of conjugacy classes of involutions in W_Γ is equal to*

$$\text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma) = \sum_{k=1}^{|\mathcal{V}(\Gamma)|} |\pi_0(\Gamma^k)|.$$

As a by-product of the proof of Theorem 1.1 (see also Lemma 2.2) one has the following.

Corollary 1.2 (Representing conjugacy classes of involutions). *Let notation be as in Theorem 1.1. The connected components of Γ^k are in natural bijection with the conjugacy classes of involutions of rank k . To obtain a representative for such a conjugacy class one may choose any vertex in the corresponding connected component in Γ^k and take the longest element in the parabolic subgroup corresponding to it.*


The proof of Theorem 1.1 can be found in Section 4.2. Our results thus provide an effective method to describe conjugacy classes of involutions in Coxeter groups. A different algorithm has long been described by Richardson [Ric82], building upon the works of Howlett [How80] and Deodhar [Deo82]. Both our work and Richardson's use results from Deodhar's seminal paper [Deo82] as key ingredients. From that point onwards, however, our proofs heavily differ — Richardson's methods are representation-theoretic while ours are combinatorial in flavour.

We record some structural consequences. The next corollary, proved in Section 4.2, highlights two extremal cases, where all involutions are reflections or all involutions are conjugate to one another. The theorem thereafter provides bounds on the number of conjugacy classes and characterizes those Coxeter groups that attain the upper bound.

Corollary 1.3 (The extremal cases). *Let Γ be a Coxeter diagram of rank n .*

- (1) *There is only one conjugacy class of involutions in W_Γ , i.e. $\text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma) = 1$, if and only if the Coxeter diagram Γ is complete, the odd graph Γ^1 is connected, and every edge label in Γ is odd or ∞ .*
- (2) *Every involution in W_Γ is a reflection if and only if the diagram Γ is complete and every edge label in Γ is odd or ∞ , in which case $\text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma) = |\pi_0(\Gamma^1)| \leq n$.*

For a first example illustrating the results consider the Coxeter group of type $\tilde{\mathbb{G}}_2$ with Coxeter diagram as shown in Figure 1. The odd graph Γ^1 , depicted in the same figure, has two connected components and so does Γ^2 . The graph Γ^3 is empty and hence, by Theorem 1.1, one has $\text{cc}_2(W_{\tilde{\mathbb{G}}_2}) = 4$, the total number of connected components in the graphs Γ^1 and Γ^2 . Moreover, the set of involutions in $\tilde{\mathbb{G}}_2$ is strictly bigger than the set of reflections by item (2) of Corollary 1.3.


 FIGURE 1. $\text{cc}_2(W_{\tilde{G}_2}) = |\pi_0(\Gamma^1)| + |\pi_0(\Gamma^2)| = 4$.

From this example, and keeping in mind that the number of conjugacy classes of reflections is at most the rank n of a Coxeter system, we broadly ask: in what situations is the discrepancy between the involutions and reflections as large (or low) as possible? That is, are there functions $g(n), f(n)$ for which $g(n) \leq \text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma) \leq f(n)$ for $|V(\Gamma)| = n$?

For instance, one can observe — see Example 4.7 — that finite abelian Coxeter groups of rank n have $2^n - 1$ conjugacy classes of nontrivial involutions, which is much larger than n if $n \geq 2$. It turns out that this is the maximal possible number for a finite Coxeter group of rank n . It is hence natural to wonder whether nonabelian groups of rank n also attain this maximum and whether infinite Coxeter groups of rank n can have more conjugacy classes of involutions than $2^n - 1$. The answers — yes and no, respectively — are part of the content of Theorem 1.4, which we prove in Section 4.2. In the working example of the group of type \tilde{G}_2 , which has rank three, Theorem 1.4 implies that $\text{cc}_2(W_{\tilde{G}_2})$ does not attain the upper bound possible with respect to its rank.

Regarding lower bounds, we have seen in Corollary 1.3 that cc_2 might well equal 1, so that the bound $1 \leq \text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma)$ is sharp. As this is not very informative, we construct from each higher rank odd graph Γ^k a variant Ω^k with same vertex set that easily yields a more precise lower bound, which in many examples becomes an equality.

Theorem 1.4 (Bounding the number of conjugacy classes of involutions). *Let Γ be a Coxeter diagram of rank n and denote by W_Γ the associated Coxeter group. Write Ω^k , with $1 \leq k \leq n$, for its \mathcal{O} -graphs (cf. Definition 4.9) and suppose $W_{\Delta_1}, \dots, W_{\Delta_m}$ are its maximal (with respect to inclusion) spherical standard parabolic subgroups. Then the following inequalities hold.*

$$(1) \quad |\pi_0(\Omega^1)| + \dots + |\pi_0(\Omega^n)| \leq \text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma) \leq \text{cc}_2(W_{\Delta_1}) + \dots + \text{cc}_2(W_{\Delta_m}), \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2) \quad 1 \leq \text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma) \leq \begin{cases} 2^n - 1 & \text{if } W_\Gamma \text{ is finite,} \\ 2^n - 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The numerical bounds in Inequality (2) are sharp and are attained also by nonabelian Coxeter groups. Moreover, if an infinite Coxeter group W_Γ of rank $n \geq 3$ attains the numerical upper bound in (2), then $n = 3$ and W_Γ is isomorphic to a triangle group of the form $\Delta(2p, 2q, 2r)$ with $p, q, r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Our main technical result, which goes into the proof of Theorem 1.1, is given below. It provides an explicit criterion for spherical standard parabolic subgroups with nontrivial centers to be conjugate, using odd-adjacency relations (cf. Section 3) and their Coxeter diagrams. A crucial ingredient for the proof is a combinatorial version by Kramer, stated as Theorem 4.1, of a theorem essentially due to Deodhar, to be found in [Kra09,

Section 3]. Krammer based his formulation of the statement on Deodhar's result in the case of irreducible subgroups in [Deo82, Proposition 5.5]. In the finite case this has been proved earlier by Howlett [How80]. Our result reads as follows:

Theorem 1.5 (Conjugating parabolics with nontrivial centers). *Let $\Gamma = (S, E)$ be a Coxeter diagram with $S = \{s_i \mid i \in I\}$ and write $W = W_\Gamma$ for the associated Coxeter group. For $J, K \subseteq I$ let W_J and W_K be the corresponding standard parabolic subgroups, and assume they are spherical with longest elements being central elements. Then the subgroup W_J is conjugate to W_K if and only if both following conditions hold:*

- (1) *there exist $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L, M, X \subseteq I$ such that $W_J = W_L \times W_X$ and $W_K = W_M \times W_X$ with $W_L \cong W_M \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^\ell$, and*
- (2) *there exists a finite sequence of standard parabolic subgroups W_1, \dots, W_m such that $W_1 = W_L$, $W_m = W_M$, and W_i is odd-adjacent to W_{i+1} for $i = 1, \dots, m-1$.*

As opposed to Richardson's concept of W -equivalence (see below), the conditions stated in our Theorem 1.5 can be interpreted as a more hands-on, combinatorial description of conjugacy of involutions.

We also provide exact formulae on the number cc_m of conjugacy classes of nontrivial elements of order m in free and direct products of groups.

Theorem 1.6 (Free and direct products). *Let G, H be groups and $m \geq 2$.*

- (1) *If $\text{cc}_m(G)$ and $\text{cc}_m(H)$ are finite, then the number cc_m is additive on free products, that is,*

$$\text{cc}_m(G * H) = \text{cc}_m(G) + \text{cc}_m(H).$$

- (2) *If for every $(k, l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with $\text{lcm}(k, l) = m$, the values $\text{cc}_k(G)$ and $\text{cc}_l(H)$ are finite, then the number of conjugacy classes of elements of order m of a direct product $G \times H$ is also finite and given by*

$$\text{cc}_m(G \times H) = \sum_{\substack{(k, l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \\ \text{lcm}(k, l) = m}} \text{cc}_k(G) \cdot \text{cc}_l(H).$$

In particular, for a prime number p we have

$$\text{cc}_p(G \times H) = \text{cc}_p(G) + \text{cc}_p(H) + \text{cc}_p(G) \cdot \text{cc}_p(H).$$

We use these results to explicitly compute cc_2 in multiple nontrivial cases. Most prominently, we give formulae for $\text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma)$ in the spherical and affine families \mathbf{A} , $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$, $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$, besides explicitly computing $\text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma)$ for all triangle groups, and all affine irreducible groups of ranks up to eleven. We also give an alternative, geometric way to compute cc_2 for right-angled Coxeter groups that bypasses our tools. For all such results, see Sections 5 and 6.

Comments on the history of the problem. We are not the first to describe conjugacy classes in Coxeter groups and to discuss (refinements of) the conjugacy problem. Progress has sometimes been made for certain classes of elements inside a Coxeter group or for certain classes of Coxeter groups. We would like to highlight the following.

As pointed out, conjugacy classes of involutions were already studied by Deodhar [Deo82], Springer [Spr82] and Richardson [Ric82] in the early 1980s. Building upon Deodhar’s work, Springer and Richardson showed independently that every involution in a Coxeter group is in fact conjugate to a unique involution c_J contained in a finite parabolic subgroup satisfying a certain representation-theoretic condition. An immediate consequence of this is seen in [Ric82, Theorem A(a)] and Lemma 2.2 below in Section 2. The proof of Lemma 2.2 bypasses Richardson’s tools, making use of Springer’s work [Spr82] instead. Moreover, Richardson shows in that same paper that two such involutions c_J and c_L are conjugate if and only if J and L are W -equivalent. Subsets $J, L \subseteq I$ are W -equivalent if there exists $w \in W_\Gamma$ such that $wS_Jw^{-1} = S_L$. While this result gives an explicit description of the conjugacy classes of involutions, it takes some time to work out when S_I and S_J fulfil the required representation-theoretic condition and are W -equivalent.

Carter gave a complete classification of conjugacy classes in (finite) Weyl groups in terms of graphs in his 1972 paper [Car72], building on work of Frobenius [Fro00], Schur [Sch08], Young [You30a; You30b], and Specht [Spe37].

Krammer [Kra09] was first to prove that the classical conjugacy problem is decidable for all Coxeter groups. This led to the question whether there exist minimal length representatives of every conjugacy class and a sequence of operations transforming any element into such a minimal representative in order to decide upon conjugacy – much like it is possible to decide the word problem by means of braid relations and elementary cancellations of subwords of the form $s_i s_i$. Marquis [Mar21] provides such a description of conjugate elements in arbitrary Coxeter groups in terms of cyclic shifts. This question can already be found in Cohen’s book [Coh94] and was discussed, for example, by He and Nie [HN12] in the affine case and by Geck and Pfeiffer [GP93] in the finite case.

More recently Milićević, Schwer and Thomas provide a closed, geometric formula for all conjugacy classes of elements in affine Coxeter groups [MST24b] and more generally for arbitrary split subgroups of the full isometry group of Euclidean space [MST24a]. Their formula is in terms of the move-set and fix-set of the linear (i.e. spherical) part of a given element (when written as a product of a translation and an element in the corresponding spherical Coxeter group).

Open problems and future work. We would like to highlight some natural questions and open problems that remain unsolved in this paper.

a) Our results, especially the formula in Theorem 1.1, provide an algorithm to compute the number of conjugacy classes of involutions in a Coxeter group from a given Coxeter diagram. Investigate the complexity of this algorithm and compare it with the algorithm of Deodhar–Howlett described by Richardson [Ric82] and with algorithms provided by the solution to the conjugacy problem in [Kra09].

b) Recall from Theorem 1.4 that the ‘universal’ upper bound for $\text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma)$ can only be attained by infinite groups of rank three. That is, the bound $\text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma) \leq 2^n - 2$ is not optimal if $|V(\Gamma)| \geq 4$ and W_Γ is infinite. In turn, lowering the bound down by one to $2^n - 3$, one can construct reducible examples in rank four with $\text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma) = 13 = 2^4 - 3$; take, for instance, $\Gamma = \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_2 \sqcup \mathbf{A}_1$. This leaves open the following question: Does there exist a function $f : \mathbb{N}_{\geq 4} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{cc}_2(W) \leq f(n)$ for all infinite irreducible Coxeter groups W of rank $n \geq 4$ and $f(n) = \text{cc}_2(W_0)$ for some infinite irreducible Coxeter group W_0 of rank at least four?

c) Coxeter groups may have torsion elements of any order m . Our paper, however, deals with involutions, i.e., the case $m = 2$, except for Theorem 1.6. Is it possible to define a similar family of graphs which allows to compute the number of conjugacy classes of elements of a given order m by examining the combinatorial structure of the defining Coxeter diagram?

d) It is well known that a Coxeter group may admit more than one Coxeter system. While the number of connected components of higher rank odd graphs Γ^k depends a priori on the chosen Coxeter diagram for a given group W , the number $\text{cc}_2(W)$ of conjugacy classes of involutions is, by definition, a group-theoretic invariant of W . Moreover, [MV24, Proposition 2.2] implies that also $|\pi_0(\Gamma_{\text{odd}})| = |\pi_0(\Gamma^1)|$ does not depend on Γ . This is interesting in light of what is known about the isomorphism problem for Coxeter groups [SS23]. For one, $\text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma)$ can be used to distinguish nonisomorphic Coxeter groups. On the other hand it would be interesting to see how the k -odd graphs behave with respect to moves between Coxeter diagrams. Coxeter groups with different Coxeter diagrams are usually obtained by means of combinatorial moves on their diagrams, such as twists [Müh00; Bra+02] or blow-ups [Müh06]. We broadly ask: how do the k -odd graphs of a Coxeter diagram Γ change under known ‘moves’ that do not alter the isomorphism type of the underlying Coxeter group?

Structure of the paper. Section 2 sets notation and collects some useful lemmata from the literature. In Section 3 we introduce higher rank odd graphs, naturally generalizing odd graphs. In the same section we provide some examples and means to (partially) compute such graphs under specific conditions. Section 4 is devoted to the main proofs, in which Section 4.1 concerns itself with technical results about conjugation between parabolic subgroups with nontrivial centers. In Section 4.2 we prove our main results, namely Theorems 1.1 and 1.4 to 1.6, along with corollaries and first examples computing cc_2 . Later in Section 5 we explicitly compute and discuss cc_2 in many subclasses of Coxeter groups, mostly applying Theorem 1.1 and the techniques from Section 3 to obtain our results. Specifically, triangle groups are dealt with in Section 5.1, RACGs are discussed (with an alternative, geometric argument) in Section 5.2, and the final Section 5.3 concerns some spherical and affine Coxeter groups, with additional explicit values for cc_2 summarized in the tables from Section 6.

Acknowledgements. AM is fully, and YSR and PS were partially, supported by the DFG, German Research Foundation, grant no. 314838170, GRK 2297 *MathCoRe*. OV is supported by DFG grant VA 1397/2-2. YSR and PS gratefully acknowledge the hospitality of the Fakultät für Mathematik of the OVGU Magdeburg for their stay there in March 2024. The authors thank Paula Macedo Lins de Araujo for helpful comments.

2. PRELIMINARIES

This section is used to collect some necessary background and to fix notation.

A group W is a *Coxeter group* (of finite rank) if it admits an explicit presentation of the following form: There exists a subset $S \subset W$ such that $S = \{s_i \mid i \in I\}$ for a finite index set $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and

$$W \cong \langle S \mid (s_i s_j)^{m_{i,j}} \forall i, j \in I \rangle,$$

where $m_{i,j} = 1$ if $i = j$ and $m_{i,j} = m_{j,i} \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2} \cup \{\infty\}$ for $i \neq j$. We call the pair (W, S) a *Coxeter system*. The cardinality $n = |I| = |S|$ is called the *rank* of the Coxeter group W (or of the Coxeter system (W, S)). Note that the rank is not a group-theoretic invariant of W as it depends on a choice of Coxeter system presenting W ; see, for instance, [SS23]. One can show that the order of the product $s_i s_j$ of two standard generators is exactly $m_{i,j}$ and hence all generators are involutions [Bou02]. The set of all *reflections* in W with respect to S is then given by the set of conjugates $\{w s w^{-1} \mid w \in W, s \in S\}$. Coxeter systems are usually encoded in certain graphs (or diagrams), detailed below.

Throughout this text, graphs $\Lambda = (V, E)$ are simplicial and given with a specified vertex set $V = V(\Lambda)$ and edge set $E = E(\Lambda)$. For a vertex subset $X \subseteq V(\Lambda)$, recall that the *full* (or *induced*) *subgraph* spanned by X is the subgraph of Λ whose vertex set is X and that contains all edges of Λ whose endpoints lie in X . For $v \in V$ the *link* of v is defined as $\text{Lk}(v) = \{w \in V \mid \{v, w\} \in E\}$. A vertex $v \in V$ is called *isolated* if $\text{Lk}(v) = \emptyset$. Our graphs are interchangeably called diagrams, and they are usually partially or fully edge-labeled. We tacitly assume ‘graph (or diagram) isomorphism’ to mean isomorphism of edge-labeled graphs. Following standard notation, we let $\pi_0(\Sigma)$ denote the *set of connected components* of a simplicial complex or graph Σ .

We call the undirected labeled graph $\Gamma := \Gamma_W = (V, E)$ with vertex set $V(\Gamma) = S$ and edge set $E(\Gamma) \ni \{s_i, s_j\} \iff m_{i,j} \geq 3$, where edges $\{s_i, s_j\}$ with $m_{i,j} \geq 4$ are labeled by $m_{i,j}$ and otherwise unlabeled, the *Coxeter–Dynkin diagram* or simply *Coxeter diagram*.

Subsets of the index set I are usually denoted by capital letters J, K, L, M, X . A *standard parabolic subgroup* of W is a group of the form $W_J := \langle S_J \rangle$ where $J \subseteq I$ and $S_J := \{s_j \in S \mid j \in J\}$. Note that (W_J, S_J) is a Coxeter system for W_J [Bou02, Chapter IV, Theorem 2]. A *parabolic subgroup* of W is any subgroup conjugate to a standard parabolic. The trivial group $\{1\}$ and W itself are considered (trivial) parabolic subgroups, corresponding to the (trivial) subsets \emptyset and I of I , respectively. For a subset $K \subset W$, the *parabolic closure* of K , denoted $\text{Pc}(K)$, is the smallest (with respect to set-theoretic containment) parabolic subgroup of W containing K ; cf. [Qi07].

Given a Coxeter diagram Γ we often denote the corresponding Coxeter group W by W_Γ , which is obtained via a presentation as in the beginning of this section. Though we remind the reader that, if $W = W_\Gamma$ is a Coxeter group associated to the Coxeter diagram Γ , there might exist another Coxeter diagram Γ' such that $W \cong W_{\Gamma'}$ as abstract groups; cf. [Müh00; Bra+02; SS23]. Observe that all full subgraphs of a Coxeter diagram induced by a vertex subset $S_J = \{s_j \in S \mid j \in J\} \subseteq V(\Gamma) = S$ are also Coxeter diagrams Γ_{W_J} of the standard parabolic subgroup W_J ; cf. [Bou02, Chapter IV, Theorem 2]. To ease notation we write Γ_J instead of Γ_{W_J} .

A Coxeter diagram Γ (or system) and its underlying Coxeter group W_Γ is called *reducible* if the graph Γ decomposes of a disjoint union of at least two nonempty full subgraphs Γ_1 and Γ_2 . (In this case, W_Γ decomposes as a direct product $W_{\Gamma_1} \times W_{\Gamma_2}$.) Otherwise it is called *irreducible*. Also, Γ is called: *spherical*, if W_Γ is a finite group; *odd*, if all of its edges are unlabeled or labeled with elements of $2\mathbb{N} + 1$; *even*, if all of its edge-labels are contained in $2\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$.

A nontrivial element $w \in W_\Gamma$ is an *involution* if the order of w is 2. The *rank of an involution* w is defined as the rank of the parabolic closure of w . Note that the rank of an involution thus depends on the underlying Coxeter diagram Γ .

We need a few remarks about longest elements. Recall that the *longest element* in a Coxeter group W , usually denoted by w_0 , is the element with maximal word length with respect to the given Coxeter generating set. It exists only in finite Coxeter groups (and does not otherwise), in which case it is always an involution; see, e.g., [Dav08, Lemma 4.6.1]. Sometimes the longest element is a reflection, i.e., it is conjugate to a Coxeter generator. As it turns out, the longest element can also be a central element. For example, in $A_1 \sqcup A_1$ with nodes s_1 and s_2 the longest element in $W_{A_1 \sqcup A_1}$ is $s_1 s_2$, which is central and has rank 2. The longest element in W_{A_3} , where $V(A_3) = \{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$, is the word $s_1 s_2 s_3 s_2 s_1$, which is a rank 1 noncentral reflection.

The following observation is well-known:

Lemma 2.1 (Center of a Coxeter group). *Let W be an irreducible Coxeter group. The center of W is nontrivial if and only if $W \cong W_\Gamma$ with Γ isomorphic to one of the following (spherical) Coxeter diagrams: A_1 , B_n (with $n \geq 2$), D_{2n} (with $n \geq 2$), E_7 , E_8 , G_2 , F_4 , H_3 , H_4 or $I_2(2m)$ (with $m \geq 2$); cf. Figure 2. Moreover, in such cases the center of W_Γ is precisely the subgroup $\langle w_0 \rangle$ of order two, where w_0 is the longest element of W_Γ .*

Proof. In the infinite case cf. [Dav08, Theorem D.2.10], [AB08, Proposition 2.73] or [Qi09]. The first claim in the spherical case follows from Coxeter's classification of finite irreducible Coxeter groups; see, for instance, [Ric82, Preliminaries, 1.9–1.12] or [Dav08, Remark 13.1.8]. The second claim has been long known for Weyl groups ([Car72]), and can be readily checked for the remaining types H and I; for two elementary proofs, we refer the reader to [AB08, Corollary 1.91]. \square

Besides determining the center of (spherical) Coxeter groups, longest elements can also be used to represent conjugacy classes of involutions.

Lemma 2.2 (Involutions and longest elements). *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system of finite rank $|I|$, with $S = \{s_i \mid i \in I\}$, and let $c \in W$ be an involution. Then there exists a subset $J \subseteq I$ of smallest possible cardinality $|J| \geq 1$ such that W_J is spherical, the longest element w_0 of W_J is central, and c is conjugate to w_0 .*

Proof. Given the involution c , consider its parabolic closure $\text{Pc}(c) \subseteq W$. Since c has finite order, a known theorem of Tits (cf. [Dav08, Corollary D.2.9]) together with minimality of $\text{Pc}(c)$ imply that the parabolic $\text{Pc}(c)$ is in fact spherical. Moreover, it is conjugate




FIGURE 2. The Coxeter diagrams yielding irreducible Coxeter groups with nontrivial centres.

to some standard parabolic W_K with $|K|$ minimal; see, e.g., [Qi07, Theorem 3.4]. Thus c is conjugate to some involution in the finite Coxeter group W_K . In case W_K is a Weyl group, i.e., with irreducible components of classical types A_n to G_2 , apply [Spr82, Proposition 3] to conclude that such an involution is conjugate to the longest element of a (unique, standard) parabolic subgroup $W_J \subseteq W_K$ (with $J \subseteq K$) whose longest element is central. In case types H_n or $I_2(n)$ are involved, one can directly check that the conclusion of Springer’s proposition still holds, whence the lemma. \square

We remark that the content of Lemma 2.2 is also part of Richardson’s main results in the paper [Ric82], namely [Ric82, Theorem A(a)]. While our proof is shorter and somewhat more elementary, we make use of Springer’s work [Spr82] done independently of Richardson’s.

Lemma 2.3 (Conjugated longest elements). *Suppose W_J and W_K are standard parabolic subgroups such that their irreducible components are of types in Figure 2. Let c_J and c_K be the longest elements of W_J and W_K , respectively. Then $\text{Pc}(c_J) = W_J$, $\text{Pc}(c_K) = W_K$, and c_J is conjugate to c_K if and only if W_J and W_K are conjugate.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, c_J is central. Since a reduced expression for c_J involves all letters of S_J and c_J commutes with all such generators, it follows that $\text{Pc}(c_J) = W_J$. (Similarly for c_K .) Now assuming $c_J = wc_Kw^{-1}$, we obtain $W_J = \text{Pc}(c_J) = \text{Pc}(wc_Kw^{-1}) = w\text{Pc}(c_K)w^{-1} = wW_Kw^{-1}$. The converse follows from Lemma 2.2. \square

In particular, Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 show that for a given Coxeter group W_Γ the number of conjugacy classes of involutions $\text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma)$ is equal to the number of conjugacy classes of standard parabolic subgroups of W_Γ such that their irreducible components are of types in Figure 2.

3. ODD-ADJACENCY AND HIGHER RANK ODD GRAPHS

In this section we generalize the concept of odd graphs and determine the number of conjugacy classes of involutions by counting connected components of these graphs.

Definition 3.1 (Adjacent subgraphs). Let Γ be a Coxeter diagram for the Coxeter system (W, S) . Two full subgraphs Γ_J and Γ_K are *adjacent* if the diagrams Γ_J and Γ_K are isomorphic and $V(\Gamma_J) = S_J$ differs from $V(\Gamma_K) = S_K$ only by one vertex.

For later use we remark the following observation on adjacent Coxeter diagrams:

Lemma 3.2. *Let Γ be a Coxeter diagram and let Γ_J and Γ_K be two adjacent full subgraphs, and let $s_j \in V(\Gamma_J) \setminus V(\Gamma_K)$ and $s_k \in V(\Gamma_K) \setminus V(\Gamma_J)$ be the unique vertices for which the two graphs differ from one another. If Γ_J (equivalently, Γ_K) is of type $B_n, D_{2n}, E_7, E_8, G_2, F_4, H_3, H_4$ or $I_2(2m)$ where $n, m \geq 2$, then the standard parabolic subgroup with generating set $V(\Gamma_J) \cup V(\Gamma_K) = S_{J \cup K}$ is infinite.*

Proof. Note that the vertex s_j (resp. s_k) is always connected to some vertex in $V(\Gamma_J) \cap V(\Gamma_K)$. Thus the claim follows from the characterization of finite irreducible Coxeter groups. (For the list of finite irreducible Coxeter groups, see [Bou02, Chapter VI, Theorem 1].) \square

We now aim to generalize odd-adjacency for higher rank parabolic subgroups in order to define higher rank analogs of the odd-graphs appearing in [Bra+02].

Definition 3.3 (Odd-adjacent standard parabolics). Let Γ be a Coxeter diagram. Two full subgraphs Γ_J and Γ_K are called *odd-adjacent* if there exist $\ell \geq 1$ and $S_L, S_M, S_X \subseteq S$ such that

- (1) $W_J = W_L \times W_X, W_K = W_M \times W_X$;
- (2) $W_L \cong W_M \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^\ell$;
- (3) S_L differs from S_M only by one generator; and
- (4) there is an edge $\{s_l, s_m\}$ that is odd or unlabeled, where $s_l \in S_L \setminus S_M$ and $s_m \in S_M \setminus S_L$.

In this case, we also say that W_J and W_K are *odd-adjacent*. If $J = \{s\}, K = \{t\}$ are singletons and Γ_J and Γ_K are odd-adjacent, we also call the vertices $s, t \in V(\Gamma)$ odd-adjacent in Γ , in which case we call the edge $\{s, t\} \in E(\Gamma)$ an *odd edge*.

Remark 3.4 (Odd-adjacency implies adjacency). Note that, in the set-up of Definition 3.3, the subgraphs Γ_J and Γ_K are adjacent in the sense of Definition 3.1. This follows from the decomposition in item (1) and the condition in item (3) since $V(\Gamma_J) = L \cup X$ and $V(\Gamma_K) = M \cup X$.

Example 3.5. *To illustrate odd-adjacency, let us consider the affine Coxeter group $W_{\tilde{E}_6}$, where \tilde{E}_6 is the Coxeter diagram in Figure 3. Then the parabolic subgroup $W_{\{1,3,7\}}$ is odd-adjacent to the parabolic subgroup $W_{\{1,4,7\}}$.*




FIGURE 3. Type \tilde{E}_6 .

We now introduce the class of k -odd graphs. Although adjacency is defined for all parabolics, in the next definition only parabolics with nontrivial center play a role.

Definition 3.6 (Higher rank odd graphs). Let Γ be a Coxeter diagram with vertex set S indexed by I . For $k \geq 1$, the k -odd graph $\Gamma^k = (V(\Gamma^k), E(\Gamma^k))$ is defined as follows:

- The set of vertices $V(\Gamma^k)$ is the set of rank- k standard parabolic subgroups W_J for $J \subseteq I$ with the property that the subgraph of Γ induced by S_J splits into connected components all of which are of types listed in Figure 2;
- A pair of vertices W_J, W_K spans an edge in Γ^k if and only if W_J and W_K are odd-adjacent.

Note that $\Gamma^1 = \Gamma_{\text{odd}}$ with labels removed, and $\Gamma^k = \emptyset$ if $k > |V(\Gamma)|$. Moreover, it is known that $|\pi_0(\Gamma^1)|$ is independent of the chosen Coxeter system (W, S) , see [MV24, Proposition 2.2]. Below we include some first examples of higher odd graphs.

Example 3.7 (Universal diagram). Let Γ be a complete graph on n vertices, all of whose edge labels are ∞ as shown for $n = 4$ in Figure 4. The corresponding Coxeter group W_Γ is called a universal Coxeter group as it maps onto any Coxeter group of rank n . In this case one readily checks that Γ^1 has n isolated vertices, and all other Γ^k with $k > 1$ are empty since no diagrams with ∞ -labels occur in Figure 2.




FIGURE 4. Universal diagram Γ with 4 vertices and its 1-odd graph Γ^1 .

Example 3.8 (Disconnected diagram). Suppose the Coxeter diagram consists of n isolated vertices as shown for $n = 4$ in Figure 5. That is, all generators of W_Γ commute and hence $W_\Gamma \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$, an elementary abelian Coxeter group. Then any k -element subset of $V(\Gamma)$ yields a subdiagram consisting of k copies of the A_1 diagram. In particular, any such vertex subset gives rise to a vertex of Γ^k . As no odd or unlabeled edges

occur in Γ , no pair of standard parabolics in Γ can be odd-adjacent. Hence Γ^k consists of exactly $\binom{n}{k}$ isolated vertices for every $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.




FIGURE 5. Disconnected diagram Γ with 4 vertices and its k -odd graphs.

Example 3.9 (Type A). Consider the finite Coxeter group of type A_4 with Coxeter diagram as shown in Figure 6. Since all edges in A_4 are unlabeled, Γ^1 is isomorphic to A_4 . The second odd graph, Γ^2 , is also displayed in Figure 6. The remaining odd graphs Γ^k are empty for $k \geq 3$.





FIGURE 6. Pictured are the two nonempty odd graphs in type A_4 .

Remark 3.10. Although we have introduced higher rank odd graphs Γ^k as graphs themselves, derived from a Coxeter diagram Γ , it turns out that $(V(\Gamma), V(\Gamma^k))$ can be interpreted a k -uniform hypergraph. In this set-up, connected components in each Γ^k correspond to certain subgraphs of $(k-1)$ -line graphs. We refer the reader to [Bre13] for more on hypergraph theory, and to [BHS77] for an introduction to s -line graphs.

In the sequel we offer some observations on how to quickly derive edges of Γ^k under certain conditions. The first criterion is general, while the following ones work for diagrams containing certain ‘line subdiagrams’. We first fix our notation on neighbourhoods in graphs.

Definition 3.11 (Graph neighbourhoods). Let $\Gamma = (S, E)$ be a graph. We define the *neighbourhood* $N_\Gamma(K)$ of a subset of vertices $K \subseteq S$ in Γ as the subgraph of Γ induced by the vertex set $\{s_i \in S \setminus K \mid \text{there exists } s_k \in K \text{ such that } \{s_i, s_k\} \in E\}$.

In particular, for $K = \{s_i, s_j\}$, the neighbourhood $N_\Gamma(K)$ is the full subgraph of Γ with the vertex set $(\text{Lk}(s_i) \cup \text{Lk}(s_j)) \setminus \{s_i, s_j\}$ and $\Gamma \setminus N_\Gamma(K)$ is the full subgraph with the vertex set $(S \setminus (\text{Lk}(s_i) \cup \text{Lk}(s_j))) \cup \{s_i, s_j\}$.


 FIGURE 7. Type \tilde{E}_8 and $\tilde{E}_8 \setminus N_{\tilde{E}_8}(s_3, s_4)$.

For a given odd-edge $\{s_i, s_j\} \in E(\Gamma)$ the next lemma describes precisely which standard parabolic subgroups whose irreducible components are of types in Figure 2 are odd-adjacent via this edge.

Lemma 3.12 (Edges in Γ^m). *Let $\Gamma = (S, E)$ be a Coxeter diagram and $\{s_i, s_j\} \in E$ be an odd-edge, and let $\tilde{\Gamma} = \Gamma \setminus N_{\Gamma}(s_i, s_j)$. If $m \geq 2$, then $\{\langle S_K, s_i \rangle, \langle S_K, s_j \rangle\} \in E(\Gamma^m)$ if and only if $S_K \subseteq V(\tilde{\Gamma}) \setminus \{s_i, s_j\}$ with cardinality $|S_K| = m - 1$ and such that the connected components of the subgraph induced by S_K are of types listed in Figure 2. In particular, $\langle S_K, s_i \rangle$ and $\langle S_K, s_j \rangle$ are standard parabolic subgroups of W_{Γ} of rank m with irreducible components of types in Figure 2.*

Proof. Let first $\{\langle S_K, s_i \rangle, \langle S_K, s_j \rangle\} \in E(\Gamma^m)$. Then the vertices $\langle S_K, s_i \rangle$ and $\langle S_K, s_j \rangle$ of Γ^m are standard parabolic subgroups of rank m with irreducible components of types in Figure 2. In particular, $|S_K \cup \{s_i\}| = m$ implies $|S_K| = m - 1$. Since the two vertices form an edge in Γ^m , the parabolic subgroups $\langle S_K, s_i \rangle$ and $\langle S_K, s_j \rangle$ are odd-adjacent. And it follows from Definition 3.3 that $\langle S_K, s_i \rangle = W_L \times W_X$, and that $\langle S_K, s_j \rangle = W_M \times W_X$, for some index sets $L, X \subseteq K \cup \{i\}$, and $M, X \subseteq K \cup \{j\}$. In addition, $W_L \cong W_M \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^\ell$ for some $\ell \geq 1$. Moreover, $|L \setminus M| = |M \setminus L| = 1$ with $\{i\} = L \setminus M$, $\{j\} = M \setminus L$ and the edge $\{s_i, s_j\} \in E(\Gamma)$ is odd. Note that the standard parabolic subgroups $\langle s_i \rangle$, $\langle s_j \rangle$ commute with W_L, W_M and W_X . This implies that the intersection of $V(N_{\Gamma}(s_i, s_j))$ with $S_L \cup S_M \cup S_X$ is empty. Hence $S_K \subseteq V(\tilde{\Gamma}) \setminus \{s_i, s_j\}$.

Conversely, let $S_K \subseteq V(\tilde{\Gamma}) \setminus \{s_i, s_j\}$, of cardinality $|S_K| = m - 1$ such that the connected components of the subgraph induced by S_K are of types in Figure 2. Then the standard parabolic subgroups $\langle S_K, s_i \rangle$ and $\langle S_K, s_j \rangle$ are of rank m . Further, the irreducible components of $\langle S_K, s_i \rangle$ and of $\langle S_K, s_j \rangle$ are of types listed in Figure 2 since s_i and s_j are not adjacent to any vertex in S_K and therefore $\langle S_K, s_i \rangle \cong W_K \times \langle s_i \rangle$ resp. $\langle S_K, s_j \rangle \cong W_K \times \langle s_j \rangle$. By assumption the edge $\{s_i, s_j\} \in E(\Gamma)$ is odd, which implies $\{\langle S_K, s_i \rangle, \langle S_K, s_j \rangle\} \in E(\Gamma^m)$. \square

The next tools concern line graphs that avoid subdiagrams of type H. These graphs are particularly useful as they show up as subdiagrams in most classical families of spherical and affine Coxeter diagrams. We start with the *Klapperschlangen*-Lemma.

Lemma 3.13 (Edge paths in Γ^k from odd sublimes). *Let Γ be a Coxeter diagram and let $W_J, W_L \in V(\Gamma^k)$ be distinct vertices in the k -odd graph Γ^k for some $k \geq 1$. Suppose there exists a full subgraph $\Lambda \subseteq \Gamma$ satisfying all of the following conditions:*

- (1) *The full subgraphs Γ_J and Γ_L corresponding to the given standard parabolics W_J and W_L are both contained in Λ ;*

- (2) Λ is a path graph (i.e., a tree with two leaves) such that all of its edges are odd or unlabelled;
- (3) Λ contains no subdiagram of type H_3 .

Then there exists an edge path connecting W_J to W_L in the k -odd graph Γ^k .

Proof. The intuitive idea of the proof is to ‘play’ with the path graph Λ as a ‘Klapperschlange’ toy¹, folding it step-by-step to connect the given parabolics.

As Λ is a path graph by Condition (2), we may linearly order its vertices as follows:

$$V(\Lambda) = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\},$$

where a_1 and a_m are the endpoints (leaves) of Λ and a_{i+1} is the obvious successor of a_i in this linear ordering. Note that any edges in Γ connecting any two $a_i, a_j \in V(\Lambda)$ must already be contained in Λ since Λ is assumed to be a full subgraph of Γ . By definition, Γ_J and Γ_L are also full subgraphs of Γ , which in turn are contained, by Condition (1), in the full subgraph Λ . By assumption $\Gamma_J \neq \Gamma_L$ and hence $|V(\Lambda)| = m > k = |V(\Gamma_J)| = |V(\Gamma_L)|$. By Conditions (2) and (3) and after inspecting Figure 2, we conclude that the irreducible components of Γ_J and Γ_L are all of type A_1 . That is, Γ_J and Γ_L consist, respectively, of k vertices which are pairwise not connected by any edges from Γ . We may thus write

$$\begin{aligned} V(\Gamma_J) &= \{a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_k} \mid j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k \text{ and } |j_f - j_h| \geq 2 \text{ for } f, h \in \{1, \dots, k\}\}, \\ V(\Gamma_L) &= \{a_{\ell_1}, \dots, a_{\ell_k} \mid \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_k \text{ and } |\ell_f - \ell_h| \geq 2 \text{ for } f, h \in \{1, \dots, k\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose Γ_J and Γ_L only differ by one vertex, say $a_{j_\varphi} \in V(\Gamma_J) \setminus V(\Gamma_L)$ and $a_{\ell_\lambda} \in V(\Gamma_L) \setminus V(\Gamma_J)$ for some $\varphi, \lambda \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ with $\varphi \neq \lambda$. Without loss of generality, assume $j_\varphi < \ell_\lambda = j_\varphi + \eta$ with $\eta \geq 1$. In case $\eta = 1$, it is clear that W_J and W_L are odd-adjacent and thus connected in Γ^k by an edge. So assume $\eta > 1$, in which case $|V(\Lambda)| = m > k + 1$. Looking at the intersection $V(\Gamma_J) \cap V(\Gamma_L)$ we see that, for every other vertex $a_{j_h} \in V(\Gamma_J) \setminus \{a_{j_\varphi}\}$ and $a_{\ell_f} \in V(\Gamma_L) \setminus \{a_{\ell_\lambda}\}$, it holds

$$|j_h - \ell_\lambda| \geq 2 \leq |\ell_f - j_\varphi|.$$

This means that none of the vertices $a_{j_\varphi+1}, a_{j_\varphi+2}, \dots, a_{j_\varphi+\eta-1} = a_{\ell_\lambda-1}$ of the path graph Λ lying between a_{j_φ} and a_{ℓ_λ} is contained in $V(\Gamma_J) \cup V(\Gamma_L)$. Moreover, since every other a_{j_h} (resp. a_{ℓ_f}) of $V(\Gamma_J) \cap V(\Gamma_L)$ lies before a_{j_φ} or after a_{ℓ_λ} , it still holds true that

$$|j_h - (\ell_\lambda + i)| \geq 2 \leq |\ell_f - (j_\varphi + i)| \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, \eta - 1\}$$

and all $h, f \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ with $h \neq \varphi$ and $f \neq \lambda$. Hence, defining for each $i \in \{1, \dots, \eta - 1\}$ the vertex set $V_i := \{a_{j_\varphi+i}\} \cup V(\Gamma_J) \setminus \{a_{j_\varphi}\} \subseteq \Lambda$, the parabolic subgroup $W_i := \langle V_i \rangle$ corresponds to a vertex of Γ^k and is odd-adjacent to W_{i+1} , additionally with W_1 being odd-adjacent to W_J and $W_{\eta-1}$ being odd-adjacent to W_L . Hence $W_J, W_1, \dots, W_{\eta-1}, W_L$ yields an edge path in Γ^k connecting W_J to W_L .

¹From the German ‘folding snake’, a literal translation of the common name for a rattle snake; ‘Klapperschlangen’ toys (or ‘Zauberklapperschlangen’) have wooden bits of same size that can be folded.

In the general case, an inductive argument finishes the proof. Indeed, if Γ_J and Γ_L differ by more than one vertex (hence $|V(\Lambda)| = m \geq k + 2$), we can iterate the procedure above to first construct an edge path in Γ^k from W_J to another vertex $W_{J'} \in V(\Gamma^k)$ with $V(\Gamma_{J'}) \subseteq V(\Lambda)$ such that $\Gamma_{J'}$ and Γ_L differ by one vertex less than Γ_J and Γ_L . The lemma follows. \square

The (sub)diagrams Λ appearing in Lemma 3.13 are depicted in Figure 8. A typical example of such a graph is the spherical Coxeter diagram of type A_n . The arguments used in the proof of Lemma 3.13 also point out to the following observation.




FIGURE 8. Odd-labeled line graph without H -subdiagrams; if $m_{i,i+1} = 3$, the label is understood as omitted.

Proposition 3.14 (Vertices and connectivity in odd lines). *Let Λ be a Coxeter line diagram as in Figure 8, with edges unlabeled or odd, with $n = |V(\Lambda)| \geq 2$, and containing no subdiagram isomorphic to H_3 . Then $\Lambda^1 \cong \Lambda$ (as unlabeled, simplicial graphs) and the vertex set of Λ^k for $k \geq 2$ is given as follows:*

$$V(\Lambda^k) = \{ \langle s_{j_1}, \dots, s_{j_k} \rangle \mid j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k, |j_f - j_h| \geq 2, f, h \in \{1, \dots, k\} \},$$

in case $2 \leq k \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$; otherwise $V(\Lambda^k) = \emptyset$. Moreover, for $2 \leq k < n$, the odd graph Λ^k consists of a single vertex if and only if $n = 2\ell + 1$ and $k = \ell + 1$; otherwise, if Λ^k has at least two vertices, then it is always connected.

Proof. By definition the only (relevant) subdiagrams of types listed in Figure 2 that are contained in such a Λ are of type A_1 . This yields the description of the vertices of Λ^k . In particular, pigeonholing vertices of Λ to obtain potential vertices of Λ^k , one readily checks that Λ^k is empty for $k > \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. By the same principle, the only vertex of $\Lambda^{\ell+1}$ in case $n = 2\ell + 1$ corresponds precisely to the parabolic subgroup spanned by all vertices of Λ indexed by an odd number. The remaining claim about connectivity of Λ^k is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.13. \square

After analyzing odd lines, one quickly deduces similar properties for higher odd graphs of ‘odd circles’, depicted in Figure 9. A typical example of such a circle is the affine Coxeter diagram of type \tilde{A}_n . In such a diagram we call s_{i+1} with $i + 1 \pmod{n + 1}$ the *successor* of s_i , and similarly s_{i-1} with $i - 1 \pmod{n + 1}$ is the *predecessor* of s_i .




FIGURE 9. Odd-labeled circle without H -subdiagrams; if an edge label equals three, the label is understood as omitted.

Lemma 3.15 (Vertices in odd circles). *Fix $n \geq 2$ and let Γ be a Coxeter diagram as shown in Figure 9. That is, Γ is a circle on $n + 1$ vertices $S = \{s_i \mid i \in \{0, \dots, n\}\}$ whose edges are unlabeled or odd. Suppose further that Γ contains no subdiagram of type H_3 . Then Γ^1 is isomorphic to Γ (as unlabeled, simplicial graphs) and the vertices $V(\Gamma^k)$ of Γ^k , with $2 \leq k \leq n$, are of the form*

$$V(\Gamma^k) = \{\langle s_{j_1}, \dots, s_{j_k} \rangle \mid j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k, |j_f - j_h| \geq 2 \pmod{n+1}, f, h \in \{0, \dots, n\}\}.$$

In particular, Γ^k is empty if and only if $k > \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. In case $k \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$, a vertex $\langle s_{j_1}, \dots, s_{j_k} \rangle \in V(\Gamma^k)$ is isolated if and only if

- (1) $j_{m+1} = j_m + 2 \forall m \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, and
- (2) $j_k + 2 = j_1 \pmod{n+1}$.

Proof. The description of $V(\Gamma^k)$ is obtained similarly to that in Proposition 3.14. Now, since every vertex s_i of Γ is odd-adjacent to s_{i-1} and to $s_{i+1} \pmod{n+1}$, we can always find in Γ^k a vertex odd-adjacent to $\langle s_{j_1}, \dots, s_{j_k} \rangle$ by exchanging one of the s_{j_m} by $s_{j_{m+1}}$ or $s_{j_{m-1}} \pmod{n+1}$, except possibly when

- (1) $j_{m+1} = j_m + 2$ for all $m \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ — in this case, every predecessor and successor of a generator s_{j_m} of the corresponding parabolic in $V(\Gamma^k)$ is odd-adjacent in Γ to another generator of the same parabolic. This contradicts the fact that the given parabolic has irreducible components from Figure 2; and
- (2) $j_k + 2 = j_1 \pmod{n+1}$ — here, also the successor of s_{j_k} is adjacent in Γ^k to the predecessor of s_{j_1} .

Thus no rank- k parabolic that is odd-adjacent to the given vertex of Γ^k can be found. \square

The previous results lay down some foundations to implement a practical algorithm to compute the higher rank odd graphs of Coxeter diagrams. In Section 5 we shall make free use of such results to compute cc_2 for Coxeter groups in many nontrivial examples, after establishing Theorem 1.1 in the next section.

4. CONJUGATE PARABOLIC SUBGROUPS

The main goal of this section is to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.5, to be done in Section 4.2. To this end we need a series of auxiliary results describing conjugation between parabolic subgroups, which we prove below in Section 4.1.

4.1. Technical results concerning conjugation of parabolics. We start the discussion with a combinatorial reformulation of a result by Krammer [Kra09, Section 3] and Deodhar [Deo82, Proposition 5.5].

Theorem 4.1 (Combinatorial Deodhar–Krammer Theorem). *Let W_Γ be a Coxeter group with generating set $S = \{s_i \mid i \in I\}$ and $W_J, W_K, J, K \subseteq I, J \neq K$ be spherical standard parabolic subgroups. The subgroups W_J and W_K are conjugate if and only if*

- (1) *there exist a finite sequence of subdiagrams $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m \subseteq \Gamma$ such that $V(\Gamma_1) = J$, $V(\Gamma_m) = K$ and Γ_i is adjacent to Γ_{i+1} for $i = 1 \dots, m - 1$,*

- (2) for $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ the subgroup $W_{V(\Gamma_i) \cup V(\Gamma_{i+1})}$ is spherical, and
- (3) for $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ there exists $a_i \in W_{V(\Gamma_i) \cup V(\Gamma_{i+1})}$ such that $a_i W_{\Gamma_i} a_i^{-1} = W_{\Gamma_{i+1}}$.

Together with our observation on adjacent Coxeter diagrams in Lemma 3.2 above, we obtain the following characterization of conjugacy for irreducible spherical standard parabolic subgroups with nontrivial center from this:

Proposition 4.2. *Let W_Γ be a Coxeter group with generating set $S = \{s_i \mid i \in I\}$. Let $J, K \subseteq I$, $J \neq K$ be such that W_J and W_K are irreducible spherical standard parabolic subgroups with nontrivial centers. Then the subgroup W_J is conjugate to W_K if and only if $J = \{s_j\}$, $K = \{s_k\}$, $s_j, s_k \in V(\Gamma)$ and there exists an odd-path in Γ from s_j to s_k .*

Proof. Theorem 4.1 shows that if W_J and W_K are conjugate, then there exists a finite sequence of subgraphs $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m$ such that $V(\Gamma_1) = J$, $V(\Gamma_m) = K$, Γ_i is adjacent to Γ_{i+1} , and the standard parabolic subgroups generated by $V(\Gamma_i) \cup V(\Gamma_{i+1})$ are finite. By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 3.2 it follows that W_J and W_K are cyclic of order 2. Further, it was proven in [Bou02, p. 5, Proposition 3] that s_j is conjugate to s_k if and only if there exist elements $s_1, \dots, s_\ell \in V(\Gamma)$ such that $s_1 = s_j$, $s_\ell = s_k$ and $\text{ord}(s_i s_{i+1})$ is odd for $i = 1, \dots, \ell - 1$, which is equivalent to saying that there exists an odd path in Γ from s_j to s_k . For the sake of completeness we include a proof of this fact here. We define $A_{s_i} := \{s \in V(\Gamma) \mid \text{there exists an odd path from } s_i \text{ to } s\}$. It is clear that each $s \in A_{s_i}$ is conjugate to s_i . Now we define a map $\varphi: V(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ as follows: $\varphi(s) = 0$ if $v \in A_{s_i}$ and $\varphi(s) = 1$ if $v \notin A_{s_i}$. An easy calculation shows that this map extends to $\Phi: W_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Now, if $s_j \in V(\Gamma)$ is conjugate to s_i , then $\Phi(s_j) = 0$ and since Φ is the extension of φ , we have $\Phi(s_j) = \varphi(s_j) = 0$ which shows that $s_j \in A_{s_i}$. \square

For conjugated pairs of (not necessarily reduced) standard parabolics the following holds:

Lemma 4.3. *(Conjugacy of irreducible components) Let W_Γ be a Coxeter group. Let W_J and W_K be standard parabolic subgroups of W_Γ . If W_J is conjugate to W_K , then the irreducible components of W_J are conjugate to the irreducible components of W_K .*

Proof. By [Dav08, Proposition 4.5.10] the subgroup W_J is conjugate to W_K if and only if there exists $w \in W_\Gamma$ such that $w S_J w^{-1} = S_K$. Further, the conjugation by w induces an isomorphism of graphs Γ_J and Γ_K . Precisely, for $s_i, s_j \in S_J$ and writing $s_a = w s_i w^{-1}$ and $s_b = w s_j w^{-1}$, we have $m_{i,j} = m_{a,b}$. That is, labels are preserved and the irreducible components of W_J are conjugate to the irreducible components of W_K . \square

Combining Proposition 4.2 with Lemma 4.3 we obtain a splitting for the conjugated subgroups as follows:

Corollary 4.4. *Let W_Γ be a Coxeter group and let W_J and W_K be distinct spherical standard parabolic subgroups whose longest elements are central. If W_J is conjugate to W_K , then there exists $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and a spherical standard parabolic subgroup W_X such that $W_J = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^\ell \times W_X$, $W_K = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^\ell \times W_X$, and $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is not a direct factor of W_X .*

Proof. Let $W_J = W_{X_1} \times \dots \times W_{X_n}$ and $W_K = W_{Y_1} \times \dots \times W_{Y_m}$ be the direct decompositions into irreducible components, i.e., the subgraphs induced by the X_i (resp. the Y_j) are the connected components of the subgraph induced by J (resp. by K). Since the longest element in W_J resp. in W_K is central, the subgraphs of Γ induced by X_1, \dots, X_n resp. Y_1, \dots, Y_m are of types listed in Figure 2.

If W_J is conjugated to W_K , then Lemma 4.3 shows that $n = m$ and there exists a permutation $\varphi: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that for $i = 1, \dots, n$ the standard parabolic subgroup W_{X_i} is conjugated to $W_{Y_{\varphi(i)}}$. Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. If the subgraph induced by X_i is not of type A_1 , then by Proposition 4.2 we obtain the equality $X_i = Y_{\varphi(i)}$.

By assumption $J \neq K$. Hence, after isolating the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ factors of the direct product decompositions for W_J and W_K above, we obtain some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and a (spherical) standard parabolic subgroup W_X such that $W_J = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^\ell \times W_X$ and $W_K = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^\ell \times W_X$ with $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ not a direct factor of W_X . \square

A final ingredient for the proof of Theorem 1.5 is the following proposition describing conjugacy of parabolics by means of connecting odd-paths.

Proposition 4.5. (*Conjugate, odd-connected parabolics*) *Let W_Γ be a Coxeter group. Let $W_J \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^\ell$ and $W_K \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^\ell$ be elementary abelian spherical standard parabolic subgroups of same rank. Then W_J is conjugate to W_K if and only if there exists a finite sequence of standard parabolic subgroups W_1, \dots, W_m such that $W_1 = W_J$, $W_m = W_K$ and W_i is odd-adjacent to W_{i+1} for $i = 1, \dots, m-1$.*

Proof. Let $W_J \cong W_K \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^\ell$ be conjugated. By Theorem 4.1 there exists a finite sequence of standard parabolic subgroups $W_{\Gamma_1}, \dots, W_{\Gamma_m}$ with $V(\Gamma_1) = J$, $V(\Gamma_m) = K$ and Γ_i adjacent to Γ_{i+1} for all $i = 1, \dots, m-1$. In particular, Γ_i and Γ_{i+1} differ by a single vertex. Moreover, Lemma 3.2 together with parts (2) and (3) of Theorem 4.1 implies that the vertices that differ between Γ_i and Γ_{i+1} must be connected via an odd-labeled edge in Γ since W_{Γ_i} and $W_{\Gamma_{i+1}}$ are conjugated via an element $a_i \in W_{V(\Gamma_i) \cup V(\Gamma_{i+1})}$. Hence W_{Γ_i} is odd-adjacent to $W_{\Gamma_{i+1}}$.

Conversely, let $W_J = W_1, W_2, \dots, W_m = W_K$ be a finite sequence of odd-adjacent standard parabolic subgroups. Let $\{s_1, \dots, s_e\}$ be a generating set for W_i and $\{s_1, \dots, s_{e-1}, t_e\}$ for W_{i+1} with $i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$. Since W_i and W_{i+1} are odd-adjacent, $\text{ord}(s_e t_e) = q$ is odd. Define $w_i := s_e t_e s_e \cdots t_e$ where the length of w_i is $2q-1$. Then an easy calculation shows that $w_i W_i w_i^{-1} = W_{i+1}$. Iterating this process, it follows that the subgroups W_J and W_K are conjugated. \square

4.2. Proofs of the main results. We are now ready to prove our main technical result, Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. If the subgroups W_J and W_K fulfill the conditions stated and are conjugate, Corollary 4.4 shows that there exist index subsets $L, M, X \subseteq I$ and a splitting $W_J = W_L \times W_X$, $W_K = W_M \times W_X$ as described in item (1). Furthermore, item (2) follows by applying Proposition 4.5 to the abelian factors W_L and W_M . Conversely, if W_J

and W_K satisfy items (1) and (2), the claim follows immediately from Proposition 4.5, again applied to the abelian factors. \square

Our main result, Theorem 1.1, is now an easy consequence of Theorem 1.5 and previous lemmata.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Given an arbitrary involution $c \in W_\Gamma$, apply Lemma 2.2 to find a central longest element in a spherical parabolic that represents its conjugacy class. (Note that this representative might well be a standard reflection.) This association is unique up to conjugation by Lemma 2.3. The set of conjugacy classes of involutions is thus completely described once we know which spherical parabolics of W_Γ whose longest elements are central are conjugate to one another. But Theorem 1.5 shows that these conjugacy classes correspond bijectively to connected components of the k -odd graphs Γ^k . The theorem follows, as does Corollary 1.2. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Let Γ be a Coxeter diagram of rank n . Since Γ^1 is always nonempty, by Theorem 1.1 there is only one conjugacy class of involutions in W_Γ if and only if $|\pi_0(\Gamma^1)| = 1$ and the vertex set of Γ^k is empty for $2 \leq k \leq n$. By definition of higher rank odd graphs, $V(\Gamma^k)$ is empty for $2 \leq k \leq n$ if and only if Γ is complete and every edge in Γ is odd or ∞ . This proves (1).

Further, by Theorem 1.1 every involution in W_Γ is a reflection if and only if $V(\Gamma^k)$ is empty for $2 \leq k \leq n$. The proof of part (1) shows that this is the case if and only if Γ is complete and every edge label in Γ is odd or ∞ , whence item (2). \square

Recall from the introduction that for a given Coxeter group W the total number of conjugacy classes of involutions is denoted by $\text{cc}_2(W)$. Having proved our main theorems, we quickly compute $\text{cc}_2(W)$ for the easy examples from Section 3. Further (more intricate) examples are discussed in Section 5.

Example 4.6 (Universal Coxeter groups). *Standard facts from Bass–Serre theory imply that $\text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma) = n$ for for the universal Coxeter group $W_\Gamma \cong \ast_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ of rank n . Alternatively from Example 4.6 and Theorem 1.1 we readily obtain $\text{cc}_2(\ast_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = n$.*

Example 4.7 (Elementary abelian groups). *It is clear that a finite abelian Coxeter group $W = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ of rank n satisfies $\text{cc}_2(W) = 2^n - 1 = |W \setminus \{1\}|$. The reason is that, in this case, conjugacy classes bijectively correspond to group elements and every nontrivial element is an involution. The same count can be obtained from Example 3.8 and the formula from Theorem 1.1:*

$$\text{cc}_2 \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n |\pi_0(\Gamma^k)| = \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} = 2^n - 1.$$

Example 4.8 (Type A). *From Example 3.9 and Theorem 1.1 we know that $\text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma) = 2$ in case Γ is the spherical diagram of type A_4 . A general formula for $\text{cc}_2(W_{A_n})$ will be given in Theorem 5.8.*

In what follows we prove Theorem 1.4. Before diving into the proof we need the following concept.

Definition 4.9 (\mathcal{O} -graphs). Let Γ be a Coxeter diagram. The first \mathcal{O} -graph Ω^1 is defined as Γ^1 itself and, for $k \geq 2$, we define the graph Ω^k as follows: the vertex set of Ω^k is equal to the vertex set of Γ^k , and two vertices W_I, W_J in Ω^k are connected if and only if W_I is isomorphic to W_J .

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We start with the numerical bounds from Inequality (2) in the statement. Note that the lower bound $1 \leq \text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma)$ is immediate, and sharp by Corollary 1.3. We now deduce the upper bounds $2^n - 1$ and $2^n - 2$.

There are at most $\binom{n}{i}$ standard rank- i parabolics in any Coxeter group W_Γ of rank n . Hence the number of connected components in the k -odd graphs satisfies

$$|\pi_0(\Gamma^i)| \leq |V(\Gamma^i)| \leq \binom{n}{i},$$

and thus

$$\text{cc}_2(W) = \sum_{k=1}^n |\pi_0(\Gamma^k)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} = 2^n - 1.$$

In case W is finite, the inequalities above become equalities for elementary abelian Coxeter groups and the given upper bound for $\text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma)$ is sharp, as seen in Example 4.7. For a nonabelian (spherical) example, see Example 4.10 below.

The group W_Γ is trivially a (nonproper) parabolic subgroup of itself, of rank $n = |V(\Gamma)|$. Hence the n -odd graph Γ^n is nonempty and contains a single vertex if and only if W_Γ is a direct product of finite Coxeter groups whose types are listed in Figure 2, in which case W_Γ itself is also finite. Therefore the last summand for $k = n$ must be 0 in the infinite case. This implies that

$$\text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma) = \sum_{k=1}^n |\pi_0(\Gamma^k)| = 0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |\pi_0(\Gamma^k)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} - 1 = 2^n - 2$$

is an upper bound in the infinite case. Note that the the affine group of type $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2$ has the property that Γ^1 and Γ^2 are totally disconnected with maximal number of vertices. Hence $\text{cc}_2(W_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2}) = 3 + 3 = \binom{3}{1} + \binom{3}{2} + 0 = 2^3 - 2$ and the upper bound is sharp in the infinite case as well.

Suppose that $|V(\Gamma)| = n \geq 3$ and the upper bounds are attained. Then, for all k , every rank- k proper standard parabolic subgroup of W_Γ gives rise to a vertex of Γ^k . Moreover, all vertices in Γ^k are isolated and there is no odd-adjacent pair of parabolics in $V(\Gamma^k)$. So W_Γ has no edge with an odd label (as otherwise there would be odd-adjacent rank-1 subgroups) and in particular the rank-2 subgroups are finite and no edge is labeled ∞ . This is saying W_Γ is even and 2-spherical.

We argue that no infinite Coxeter group W_Γ of rank $|V(\Gamma)| = n > 3$ attains the maximum. Assume, on the contrary, that this is the case. Then all k -odd graphs contribute positively to the formula for $\text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma)$ and we can estimate the number of their connected components as follows: We have already observed that the rank-2 subgroups of W_Γ are

finite; So let us consider the 3-odd graph. We have that $|\pi_0(\Gamma^3)| = \binom{n}{3} \geq \binom{4}{3} = 4$. Exhausting the list in Figure 2, if all rank-3 parabolics are of type $A_1 \sqcup A_1 \sqcup A_1$, then all generators of W_Γ commute with one another, so W_Γ is elementary abelian (and finite). Thus Γ^3 must have at least one vertex of type different than $A_1 \sqcup A_1 \sqcup A_1$. By the previous paragraph, though, we know that Γ is an even diagram. Thus consulting back the list in Figure 2, we see that the only possible rank-3 even finite parabolic that can occur (besides $A_1 \sqcup A_1 \sqcup A_1$) is $A_1 \sqcup I_2(2r)$. Hence the group W_Γ must contain at least one parabolic of type $A_1 \sqcup I_2(2r)$.

Further, we claim that there exists no path in the diagram Γ connecting the above A_1 - and $I_2(2r)$ -subgroups. Such a path must be even as shown above. On the other hand, as we are assuming that $cc_2(W_\Gamma)$ attains the upper bound, the vertex set of this path—being a subset of $V(\Gamma)$ —would itself have to yield a parabolic listed in Figure 2, which is impossible for the following reason:

Suppose there exists such an edge path γ in Γ connecting the A_1 -vertex to a vertex in the $I_2(2r)$. There is at least one extra vertex v lying on the path γ connected to (at least) one vertex in the subdiagram $I_2(2r)$. This connecting edge is even and has a finite label. Thus $\{v\} \cup I_2(2r)$ yields an irreducible triangle subgroup of W_Γ of the form $\Delta(2p, 2q, 2r)$ with $r \geq 2$, $p \geq 2$, $q \geq 1$. (See Section 5.1 for more on triangle groups.) To reach the upper bound, every k -element subset of $V(\Gamma)$ must yield a parabolic defining a vertex in the k -odd graph. Hence this triangle subgroup $\Delta(2p, 2q, 2r)$ we just constructed must yield a vertex in Γ^3 . So in particular it has to be finite and listed in Figure 2. But such a group does not exist. Hence the path γ connecting A_1 to $I_2(2r)$ cannot exist.

The non-existence of the connecting path implies that the diagram Γ is disconnected and decomposes as $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \sqcup \Gamma_2$ with both Γ_1, Γ_2 nonempty. Again because $cc_2(W)$ is maximal, the proper parabolic subgroups W_{Γ_1} and W_{Γ_2} now need to be spherical. But then $W = W_{\Gamma_1} \times W_{\Gamma_2}$ itself would be finite which contradicts our standing assumption. Thus the rank of W_Γ is at most three, as claimed.

We now deduce the bounds from Inequality (1). The lower bound is a triviality. Indeed, recall that $V(\Omega^k) = V(\Gamma^k)$. By Definition 3.6, two vertices $W_J, W_L \in V(\Gamma^k)$ are connected by an edge when W_J and W_L are odd-adjacent. Again by Definition 3.6, this implies in particular that W_J and W_L are isomorphic. Thus there is an edge in Ω^k connecting W_J to W_L ; cf. Definition 4.9. That is, any two vertices adjacent in Γ^k are automatically adjacent in Ω^k . This implies that

$$cc_2(W_\Gamma) = |\pi_0(\Gamma^1)| + \dots + |\pi_0(\Gamma^n)| \geq |\pi_0(\Omega^1)| + \dots + |\pi_0(\Omega^n)|.$$

Now let $W_{\Delta_1}, \dots, W_{\Delta_m}$ denote the maximal spherical standard parabolic subgroups of W_Γ with respect to set-theoretic containment. If $w \in W_\Gamma$ is an involution, apply Lemma 2.2 to find a standard spherical parabolic W_J that contains a conjugate of w . Being spherical, this parabolic is, in turn, contained in a W_{Δ_i} for some $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. This shows that

$$cc_2(W_\Gamma) \leq cc_2(W_{\Delta_1}) + \dots + cc_2(W_{\Delta_m}),$$

as desired. □

We remark that the upper bound from Inequality (1) in Theorem 1.4 is sharp for finite Coxeter groups for trivial, uninteresting reasons: since they are spherical parabolic subgroups of themselves, the given inequality becomes $\text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma) \leq \text{cc}_2(W_{\Delta_1})$ where $\Delta_1 = \Gamma$ itself. For a curious question about the lower bound with the \mathcal{O} -graphs Ω^k , see Remark 5.1. The following example gives a spherical nonabelian group that attains the numerical upper bound for cc_2 from Inequality (2) and shows that the lower bound from Inequality (1) in Theorem 1.4 with \mathcal{O} -graphs is strict in general.

Example 4.10 (A nonabelian finite group with maximal cc_2). *Define Γ as follows: if $n = 2k$ is even, Γ is the disjoint union of $k = n/2$ dihedral diagrams $\text{I}_2(2m_1), \dots, \text{I}_2(2m_k)$ with $m_i \geq 2$; in case $n = 2k + 1$ is odd, Γ is the disjoint union of $\text{I}_2(2m_1), \dots, \text{I}_2(2m_k)$ with one A_1 , again with $m_i \geq 2$. Thus W_Γ is nonabelian by construction, and since every i -element subset of $V(\Gamma)$ gives a parabolic subgroup whose irreducible components are listed in Figure 2, its i -odd graph Γ^i has $\binom{n}{i}$ vertices. As Γ is even, no pair of its rank- i parabolics is odd-adjacent. Whence every Γ^i is totally disconnected and $\text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} = 2^n - 1$.*

Note that, taking the indices m_i to be all distinct, none of the factors $\text{I}_2(2m_i)$ are pairwise isomorphic. Hence $\text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma) > \sum_{i=1}^n |\pi_0(\Omega^i)|$ is a strict inequality in this case.

We finish this section by deducing the additive properties for the number of conjugacy classes of elements of finite order in free and direct products.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let $m \geq 2$ and G, H be groups such that $\text{cc}_m(G) < \infty$ and $\text{cc}_m(H) < \infty$.

Let $w \in G * H$ be an element of order m . Using the action of $G * H$ on the associated Bass–Serre tree T_{G*T} one shows that w is contained in a conjugate of G or of H , see [Ser80, I§6, Proposition 21]. Moreover, w cannot be simultaneously conjugated to an element of G and an element of H , since otherwise w would fix an edge in T_{G*H} which is not possible. Hence

$$\text{cc}_m(G * H) = \text{cc}_m(G) + \text{cc}_m(H).$$

Now, let $w \in G \times H$ be an element of order m . Then there exist $g \in G, h \in H$ such that $w = (g, h)$. Assume first that $g = 1$ (resp. $h = 1$). An easy calculation shows in these cases that w is conjugated to an element in H (resp. in G) of order m . Note that, since G commutes with H , an element of G cannot be conjugated to an element of H .

If $g \neq 1$ and $h \neq 1$, then $\text{ord}(g) = a, \text{ord}(h) = b$ and the least common multiple of a and b , denoted by $\text{lcm}(a, b)$, is equal to m . Let $(x, y) \in G \times H$. Then

$$(x, y)(g, h)(x, y)^{-1} = (xgx^{-1}, yhy^{-1}).$$

Hence, (g, h) can only be conjugated to an element in $G \setminus \{1\} \times H \setminus \{1\}$. Further, two elements $(g_1, h_1), (g_2, h_2) \in G \setminus \{1\} \times H \setminus \{1\}$ with $\text{ord}(g_1, h_1) = \text{ord}(g_2, h_2) = m$ are conjugated if and only if $\text{ord}(g_1) = \text{ord}(g_2) = a, \text{ord}(h_1) = \text{ord}(h_2) = b$ and g_1 is conjugated to g_2 and h_1 is conjugated to h_2 and $\text{lcm}(a, b) = m$. This shows

$$\text{cc}_m(G \times H) = \sum_{\substack{(k,l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \\ \text{lcm}(k,l)=m}} \text{cc}_k(G) \cdot \text{cc}_l(H),$$

and the expression for $m = p$ a prime is immediate. \square

5. APPLICATIONS TO SOME SUBCLASSES OF COXETER GROUPS

To provide extended examples we compute in Section 5.1 the number of conjugacy classes of involutions in any given triangle group and discuss in Section 5.2 the subclass of right-angled Coxeter groups. In Section 5.3 we determine cc_2 in some of the spherical and affine cases.

5.1. Triangle Coxeter groups. By Theorem 1.4, the family of triangle groups witnesses sharpness of upper bounds for cc_2 . Here we explicitly record the number of conjugacy classes of involutions for all infinite triangle groups. Let Δ be the complete graph with vertex set $\{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$ and an edge-labeling $p, q, r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2} \cup \{\infty\}$, see Figure 10. By definition, the associated *triangle group* $\Delta(p, q, r)$ is defined via the presentation

$$\Delta(p, q, r) := \langle s_1, s_2, s_3 \mid s_1^2, s_2^2, s_3^2, (s_1 s_2)^p, (s_1 s_3)^q, (s_2 s_3)^r \rangle.$$

We remark that $|\Delta(p, q, r)| = \infty$ if and only if at least one edge-label is ∞ or $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} \leq 1$; see [Dav08, Chapter 6].



FIGURE 10. Defining diagram Δ for a triangle group. (Note that this might differ from a Coxeter diagram.)

Using Theorem 1.1, we quickly compute $cc_2(\Delta(p, q, r))$, the number of conjugacy classes of involutions, for all infinite triangle groups $\Delta(p, q, r)$. Since the number of conjugacy classes of reflections is given by $|\pi_0(\Gamma^1)|$ (cf. [Bra+02, Lemma 3.6]), our findings give quick means to check the discrepancy between the (conjugacy classes of) reflections and involutions of $\Delta(p, q, r)$. For $p, q, r \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$cc_2(\Delta(p, q, r)) = \begin{cases} 6, & \text{if } p, q, r \text{ are even,} \\ 1, & \text{if } p, q, r \text{ are odd,} \\ 4, & \text{if precisely one edge label is odd,} \\ 2, & \text{if precisely one edge label is even;} \end{cases}$$

$$cc_2(\Delta(\infty, q, r)) = \begin{cases} 5, & \text{if } q \text{ and } r \text{ are even,} \\ 1, & \text{if } q \text{ and } r \text{ are odd,} \\ 3, & \text{if } (q + r) \text{ is odd;} \end{cases}$$

$$cc_2(\Delta(\infty, \infty, r)) = \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ 2, & \text{if } r \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Finally, $cc_2(\Delta(\infty, \infty, \infty)) = 3$ by Example 4.6, which completes the list. It is curious how all possible values from 1 to 6 are realised as the cc_2 of rank-3 groups. We have no structural explanation for this phenomenon at the moment.

Remark 5.1 (When do \mathcal{O} -graphs suffice to compute cc_2 ?). Using \mathcal{O} -graphs (cf. Definition 4.9), if $\Delta(p, q, r)$ is infinite, then $\text{cc}_2(\Delta(p, q, r)) = |\pi_0(\Omega^1)| + |\pi_0(\Omega^2)|$ if and only if the even edge labels in the defining triangle are pairwise distinct.

Hence we raise the following question: Is it possible to characterize those Coxeter groups W_Γ where the inequality $\text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{|\Gamma|} |\pi_0(\Omega^k)|$ is indeed an equality?

5.2. Right-angled Coxeter groups. RACGs are typically constructed using a different *presentation diagram* (or *defining graph*), which we now introduce. Let Λ be a finite simplicial graph with vertex set $V(\Lambda)$ and edge set $E(\Lambda)$. The right-angled Coxeter group $W(\Lambda)$ is defined as follows:

$$W(\Lambda) = \langle V(\Lambda) \mid v^2 \text{ for all } v \in V(\Lambda), (vw)^2 \text{ for all } \{v, w\} \in E(\Lambda) \rangle.$$

Note that, for many RACGs, the number cc_2 of conjugacy classes of involutions can be computed by first looking at decompositions, applying Theorem 1.6 to reduce complexity, then invoking our main Theorem 1.1. Here we offer alternative means to determine cc_2 for such groups. The proof has a geometric flavour and for it we do not need the results from Sections 3 and 4.2.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $W(\Lambda)$ be a right-angled Coxeter group. The number $\text{cc}_2(W(\Lambda))$ of conjugacy classes of involutions in $W(\Lambda)$ is equal to the number of nontrivial cliques (i.e., complete subgraphs of rank at least one) in the defining graph Λ .*

Proof. Let $W(\Lambda)$ be a right-angled Coxeter group and let X be the associated Davis–Moussong complex (cf. [Dav08, Chapters 7 and 12]), on which $W(\Lambda)$ acts geometrically. We denote this action by $\Phi: W(\Lambda) \rightarrow \text{Isom}(X)$.

Let $w \in W(\Lambda)$ be an involution. By the Bruhat–Tits fixed point theorem [AB08, Theorem 11.23] we know that $\text{Fix}(\Phi(w))$ is nonempty. Since the action Φ is type-preserving, there exists a vertex in $F := \text{Fix}(\Phi(w))$. Let then $y \in F$ be a vertex. By definition of X we have $y = gW(\Delta)$, where Δ is a nontrivial clique in Λ . Further, $w \in gW(\Delta)g^{-1}$.

We define $A_w := \bigcap_{g_i W(\Delta_i) \in F} g_i W(\Delta_i) g_i^{-1}$. Since $w \in g_i W(\Delta_i) g_i^{-1}$ for every $g_i W(\Delta_i) \in F$, the intersection A_w is nontrivial. Moreover, A_w is a parabolic subgroup since it is an intersection of parabolics; cf. [Qi07]. Thus $A_w = xW(\Delta')x^{-1}$ for some $x \in W$ and some nonempty clique Δ' in Λ . Hence $w \in A_w$ is conjugate to $v_1 v_2 \dots v_r$ where $V(\Delta') = \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$.

Let now Δ_1 and Δ_2 be two nontrivial cliques. Let $w_1 = x_1 \dots x_n$ and $w_2 = y_1 \dots y_m$ be involutions where $V(\Delta_1) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $V(\Delta_2) = \{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$. If w_1 is conjugate to w_2 , then the parabolic closure of w_1 is conjugate to the parabolic closure of w_2 by Lemma 2.3. As the parabolic closure of w_i is $W(\Delta_i)$, it follows from [AM15, Corollary 3.8] that $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2$. \square

5.3. Spherical and affine Coxeter groups. The irreducible finite and affine Coxeter groups have been classified in a short list of infinite families $A_n, B_n, D_n, I_2(m), \tilde{A}_n, \tilde{B}_n, \tilde{C}_n, \tilde{D}_n$, shown in Figures 11 and 12, and some exceptional types. In this section

we provide formulae for cc_2 for some of these families. We list the numbers in ranks ≤ 11 in all affine types in Section 6. Note that types $\tilde{\Gamma}_1$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_2$ have already been dealt with in Example 4.6 and Section 5.1, respectively, since $W_{\tilde{\Gamma}_1} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $W_{\tilde{\Gamma}_2} \cong \Delta(2, 3, 6)$.

The next theorem summarizes our findings for the irreducible infinite cases.

Theorem 5.3 (Some affine Coxeter groups). *The number of conjugacy classes of involutions of Coxeter groups of type \tilde{A}_n is given by the formula*

$$\text{cc}_2(W_{\tilde{A}_n}) = \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } n = 2k, \\ k + 2, & \text{if } n = 2k + 1; \end{cases}$$

and in type \tilde{C}_n by the formula

$$\text{cc}_2(W_{\tilde{C}_n}) = \begin{cases} 4k^2 + 2k, & \text{if } n = 2k, \\ 4k^2 + 6k + 2, & \text{if } n = 2k + 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Our formula for type \tilde{A}_n follows immediately from the more general Proposition 5.5, to be proved below. The formula for type \tilde{C}_n is obtained using entirely analogous arguments, drawing from techniques developed in Section 3, whence we omit the proof. \square




FIGURE 11. Infinite families of affine irreducible Coxeter diagrams.

Remark 5.4. The combinatorial complexity of counting connected components in Γ^k for a diagram Γ of type \tilde{C}_n is increased by the existence of subdiagrams of types

$$B_{k-i} \sqcup \left(\underbrace{A_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A_1}_{i \text{ copies}} \right)$$

in Γ , with $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$. Nevertheless, a combination of the tools presented in Section 3 still suffices to obtain the formula for $\text{cc}_2(W_{\tilde{C}_n})$ presented above. The reader interested in detailed proofs is referred to the first author's forthcoming thesis, [Mic25].

Proposition 5.5 provides a formula for the number of conjugacy classes of involutions for all Coxeter groups whose Coxeter diagram is an odd-labeled circle, provided it contains

no subdiagram of type H. Note that this class includes groups of type \tilde{A}_n . The relevant diagrams are shown in Figure 9 in Section 3.

Proposition 5.5 (Computing cc_2 in odd circles). *Let $n \geq 2$ and Γ be a Coxeter diagram as shown in Figure 9, with $m_{i,i+1}$ unlabeled or odd for all $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, and assuming Γ contains no subgraph isomorphic to H_3 . Then*

$$\text{cc}_2(W_\Gamma) = \begin{cases} \ell, & \text{if } n = 2\ell, \\ \ell + 2, & \text{if } n = 2\ell + 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Lemma 3.15 implies that, for $k \leq \ell$ the graph Γ^k has no isolated vertices. To determine the connected components of Γ^k in this case, the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.14 works, showing that Γ^k is always connected for $k \leq \ell$. (Note that here the distance between indices of generators of a given parabolic in Γ^k must also be at least 2, but now indices are taken $\pmod{n+1}$.)

Since Γ is a circle, taking $l > \ell$ gives $\Gamma^l = \emptyset$ for $n = 2\ell$; in turn, for $n = 2\ell + 1$, the graph $\Gamma^{\ell+1}$ contains precisely two isolated vertices, namely $\langle s_0, s_2, s_4, \dots, s_{2\ell} \rangle$ and $\langle s_1, s_3, s_5, \dots, s_{2\ell+1} \rangle$. Finally, Γ^l for $l > \ell+1$ is also empty. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 5.6 (Affine types \tilde{B} and \tilde{D}). In Coxeter diagrams of types \tilde{B} and \tilde{D} , various combinations of diagrams from Figure 2 can occur as subgraphs, leading to a more complicated structure of the connected components of each Γ^k . Particularly in type \tilde{D} , the difficulty in counting conjugacy classes of involutions is also observed in recent work of Milićević, Schwer, and Thomas [MST24a].

While we were unable to identify a closed formula for the total number of conjugacy classes of involutions cc_2 in these cases, there seem to be some interesting patterns for the numbers of connected components of their odd graphs Γ^k . That is, as $n = |V(\Gamma)|$ grows, the sequence $(|\pi_0(\Gamma^k)|)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ might have interesting combinatorial properties in both types \tilde{B}_n and \tilde{D}_n .

For small ranks the number of conjugacy classes of involutions in these groups is shown in Tables 1 and 3 in Section 6. Again, these were obtained by direct inspection or making use of some tools from Section 3.

Remark 5.7 (Remaining affine types \tilde{E}_n , \tilde{F}_4 , \tilde{G}_2 , and \tilde{I}_1). One checks directly with Theorem 1.1 that $\text{cc}_2(W_{\tilde{E}_6}) = 5$, $\text{cc}_2(W_{\tilde{E}_7}) = 19$, $\text{cc}_2(W_{\tilde{E}_8}) = 14$ and $\text{cc}_2(W_{\tilde{F}_4}) = 12$. Types \tilde{G}_2 and \tilde{I}_1 were covered in Section 5.1 and by Theorem 1.6, respectively. In addition we refer the reader to the tables in Section 6 for numbers in affine types of ranks ≤ 11 . We note in passing that $\text{cc}_2(W_{\tilde{E}_7})$ has been previously computed explicitly by Richardson [Ric82, Example 3.4]—we remark that Richardson found 20 conjugacy classes as he also considers the trivial element to be an involution.

The formulae for spherical types A_n and $B_n = C_n$ are computed using similar methods, whence proofs are omitted. We record them in the next theorem.

Theorem 5.8 (Some spherical Coxeter groups). *The number of conjugacy classes of involutions is given in type A_n by the formula*

$$cc_2(W_{A_n}) = \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } n = 2k, \\ k + 1, & \text{if } n = 2k + 1; \end{cases}$$

and in type C_n by the formula

$$cc_2(W_{C_n}) = \begin{cases} k^2 + 2k, & \text{if } n = 2k, \\ k^2 + 3k + 1, & \text{if } n = 2k + 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that the formula for type A is a straightforward corollary to Proposition 3.14 (after Theorem 1.1). Type C is dealt with analogously, taking a bit more care with the combinatorics similarly to the discussion in Remark 5.4. A detailed proof of Theorem 5.8 will be available in the first author's thesis [Mic25].




FIGURE 12. Infinite families of finite irreducible Coxeter diagrams.

6. TABLES LISTING CLASSES IN AFFINE COXETER GROUPS

The tables in this section list the number of conjugacy classes of involutions for all affine irreducible Coxeter groups of rank $n + 1 \leq 11$, with the exception of type \tilde{A} which displays linear values for cc_2 , as seen in Theorem 5.3. Recall that Γ^{n+1} is empty for such systems as W_Γ is infinite. In each table, the summands in the j -th line correspond, in order, to the number of connected components of the graphs Γ^k for $k \leq j$.

Type	$cc_2(W_{\tilde{B}_n}) = \pi_0(\Gamma^1) + \dots + \pi_0(\Gamma^n) $
\tilde{B}_3	$2 + 4 + 3 = 9$
\tilde{B}_4	$2 + 5 + 5 + 2 = 14$
\tilde{B}_5	$2 + 4 + 6 + 5 + 2 = 19$
\tilde{B}_6	$2 + 4 + 6 + 6 + 5 + 2 = 25$
\tilde{B}_7	$2 + 4 + 6 + 7 + 6 + 5 + 2 = 32$
\tilde{B}_8	$2 + 4 + 6 + 9 + 8 + 6 + 5 + 2 = 42$
\tilde{B}_9	$2 + 4 + 6 + 8 + 10 + 9 + 6 + 5 + 2 = 52$
\tilde{B}_{10}	$2 + 4 + 6 + 8 + 11 + 11 + 9 + 6 + 5 + 2 = 64$

TABLE 1. Conjugacy classes of involutions in type \tilde{B} .

Type	$\text{cc}_2(W_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n}) = \pi_0(\Gamma^1) + \dots + \pi_0(\Gamma^n) $
$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2$	$3 + 3 = 6$
$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_3$	$3 + 5 + 3 = 11$
$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_4$	$3 + 6 + 7 + 4 = 20$
$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_5$	$3 + 6 + 9 + 8 + 4 = 30$
$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_6$	$3 + 6 + 10 + 11 + 8 + 4 = 42$
$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_7$	$3 + 6 + 10 + 13 + 12 + 8 + 4 = 56$
$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_8$	$3 + 6 + 10 + 14 + 15 + 12 + 8 + 4 = 72$
$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_9$	$3 + 6 + 10 + 14 + 17 + 16 + 12 + 8 + 4 = 90$
$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{10}$	$3 + 6 + 10 + 14 + 18 + 19 + 16 + 12 + 8 + 4 = 110$

TABLE 2. Conjugacy classes of involutions in type $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$.

Type	$\text{cc}_2(W_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_n}) = \pi_0(\Gamma^1) + \dots + \pi_0(\Gamma^n) $
$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_4$	$1 + 6 + 4 + 5 = 16$
$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_5$	$1 + 3 + 2 + 3 + 0 = 9$
$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_6$	$1 + 3 + 6 + 7 + 5 + 4 = 26$
$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_7$	$1 + 3 + 3 + 5 + 3 + 4 + 0 = 19$
$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_8$	$1 + 3 + 3 + 9 + 7 + 9 + 6 + 7 = 45$
$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_9$	$1 + 3 + 3 + 6 + 5 + 7 + 4 + 5 + 0 = 34$
$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{10}$	$1 + 3 + 3 + 6 + 9 + 11 + 9 + 9 + 7 + 4 = 62$

TABLE 3. Conjugacy classes of involutions in type $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$.

Type	$\text{cc}_2(W_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_n}) = \pi_0(\Gamma^1) + \dots + \pi_0(\Gamma^n) $
$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_6$	$1 + 1 + 1 + 2 + 0 + 0 = 5$
$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_7$	$1 + 1 + 3 + 4 + 3 + 3 + 4 = 19$
$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_8$	$1 + 1 + 1 + 3 + 2 + 2 + 1 + 3 = 14$
$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_4$	$2 + 3 + 4 + 3 = 12$
$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_2$	$2 + 2 = 4$
$\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_1$	2

TABLE 4. Number of classes in the exceptional affine types.

REFERENCES

- [AB08] Peter Abramenko and Kenneth S. Brown. *Buildings. Theory and applications*. Vol. 248. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. New York: Springer, 2008, pp. xxii+747. DOI: 10.1007/978-0-387-78835-7.
- [AM15] Yago Antolín and Ashot Minasyan. “ Tits alternatives for graph products”. *J. reine angew. Math.* 704 (2015), pp. 55–83. DOI: 10.1515/crelle-2013-0062.
- [BHS77] Jean-Claude Bermond, Marie-Claude Heydemann, and Dominique Sotteau. “Line graphs of hypergraphs I”. *Discrete Math.* 18.3 (1977), pp. 235–241. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X\(77\)90127-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X(77)90127-3).
- [Bou02] Nicolas Bourbaki. *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras. Chapters 4–6*. Berlin–Heidelberg: Springer Science & Business Media, 2002. ISBN: 978-3-540-42650-9.
- [Bra+02] Noel Brady, Jon P. McCammond, Bernhard Mühlherr, and Walter D. Neumann. “Rigidity of Coxeter groups and Artin groups”. *Geom. Dedicata* 94 (2002), pp. 91–109. DOI: 10.1023/A:1020948811381.
- [Bre13] Alain Bretto. *Hypergraph theory. An introduction*. Mathematical Engineering. Cham: Springer, 2013, pp. xiii+119. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-00080-0.
- [BH99] Martin R. Bridson and André Haefliger. *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*. Vol. 319. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Berlin–Heidelberg: Springer, 1999. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-12494-9.
- [Car72] Roger W. Carter. “Conjugacy classes in the Weyl group”. *Compos. Math.* 25 (1972), pp. 1–59. URL: <http://eudml.org/doc/89111>.
- [Coh94] Arjeh M. Cohen. “Recent results on Coxeter groups”. *Polytopes: abstract, convex and computational*. Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute, Scarborough, Ontario, Canada, Aug 20–Sep 3, 1993. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994, pp. 1–19. ISBN: 0-7923-3016-1.
- [Dav08] Michael W. Davis. *The geometry and topology of Coxeter groups*. Vol. 32. London Mathematical Society Monographs. Princeton University Press, 2008. ISBN: 978-1-4008-4594-1.
- [Deo82] Vinay V. Deodhar. “On the root system of a coxeter group”. *Comm. Algebra* 10.6 (1982), pp. 611–630. DOI: 10.1080/00927878208822738.
- [Fro00] Ferdinand G. Frobenius. “Über die Charaktere der symmetrischen Gruppe”. *Sitz. Ber. Preuss. Akad.* (1900), pp. 516–534.
- [GP93] Meinolf Geck and Götz Pfeiffer. “On the irreducible characters of Hecke algebras”. *Adv. Math.* 102.1 (1993), pp. 79–94. DOI: 10.1006/aima.1993.1056.
- [HN12] Xuhua He and Sian Nie. “Minimal length elements of finite Coxeter groups”. *Duke Math. J.* 161.15 (2012), pp. 2945–2967. DOI: 10.1215/00127094-1902382.
- [How80] Robert B. Howlett. “Normalizers of parabolic subgroups of reflection groups”. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* 21.1 (1980), pp. 62–80. DOI: 10.1112/jlms/s2-21.1.62.
- [Kra09] Daan Krammer. “The conjugacy problem for Coxeter groups”. *Groups Geom. Dyn.* 3.1 (2009), pp. 71–171. DOI: 10.4171/ggd/52.

- [Mar21] Timothée Marquis. “Cyclically reduced elements in Coxeter groups”. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* 54.2 (2021), pp. 483–502. DOI: 10.24033/asens.2463.
- [Mic25] Anna F. Michael. Forthcoming PhD Thesis, to appear. Otto-von-Guericke-Universität Magdeburg, Germany, 2025.
- [MST24a] Elizabeth Milićević, Petra Schwer, and Anne Thomas. *The geometry of conjugation in affine Coxeter groups*. Preprint. 2024. arXiv: xxxx.xxxxx.
- [MST24b] Elizabeth Milićević, Petra Schwer, and Anne Thomas. *The geometry of conjugation in Euclidean isometry groups*. Preprint. 2024. arXiv: xxxx.xxxxx.
- [MV24] Philip Möller and Olga Varghese. “On quotients of Coxeter groups”. *J. Algebra* 639 (2024), pp. 516–531. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2023.09.048.
- [Müh00] Bernhard Mühlherr. “On isomorphisms between Coxeter groups”. Vol. 21. 1-3. Special issue dedicated to Dr. Jaap Seidel on the occasion of his 80th birthday (Oisterwijk, 1999). 2000, p. 189. DOI: 10.1023/A:1008347930052.
- [Müh06] Bernhard Mühlherr. “The isomorphism problem for Coxeter groups”. *The Coxeter legacy*. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006, pp. 1–15. ISBN: 0-8218-3722-2.
- [Qi07] Dongwen Qi. “A note on parabolic subgroups of a Coxeter group”. *Expo. Math.* 25.1 (2007), pp. 77–81. DOI: 10.1016/j.exmath.2006.05.001.
- [Qi09] Dongwen Qi. “A note on irreducible, infinite Coxeter groups”. *Expo. Math.* 27.1 (2009), pp. 87–91. DOI: 10.1016/j.exmath.2008.07.001.
- [Ric82] Roger W. Richardson. “Conjugacy classes of involutions in Coxeter groups”. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 26.1 (1982), pp. 1–15. DOI: 10.1017/S0004972700005554.
- [SS23] Yuri Santos Rego and Petra Schwer. “The galaxy of Coxeter groups”. *J. Algebra* (2023). In press. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2023.12.006.
- [Sch08] Issai Schur. “Über die Darstellung der symmetrischen Gruppe durch lineare homogene Substitutionen”. *Sitz. Ber. Preuss. Akad.* (1908), pp. 664–678.
- [Ser80] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Trees*. Translated from the French by John Stillwell. Berlin–New York: Springer-Verlag, 1980, pp. ix+142. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-61856-7.
- [Spe37] Wilhelm Specht. “Darstellungstheorie der Hyperoktaedergruppe”. *Math. Z.* 42 (1937), pp. 629–640. DOI: 10.1007/BF01160099.
- [Spr82] Tonny A. Springer. “Some remarks on involutions in Coxeter groups”. *Comm. Algebra* 10.6 (1982), pp. 631–636. DOI: 10.1080/00927878208822739.
- [You30a] Alfred Young. “On quantitative substitutional analysis. IV”. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* 31.1 (1930), pp. 253–272. DOI: 10.1112/plms/s2-31.1.253.
- [You30b] Alfred Young. “On quantitative substitutional analysis. V”. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* 31.1 (1930), pp. 273–288. DOI: 10.1112/plms/s2-31.1.273.

OTTO VON GUERICKE UNIVERSITY MAGDEBURG, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITÄTSPLATZ 1,
39106 MAGDEBURG, GERMANY

Email address: anna.michael@ovgu.de

UNIVERSITY OF LINCOLN, CHARLOTTE SCOTT RESEARCH CENTRE FOR ALGEBRA, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, BRAYFORD POOL, LN6 7TS LINCOLN, UK

Email address: ysantosrego@lincoln.ac.uk

RUPRECHT KARLS UNIVERSITY HEIDELBERG, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, MATHEMATIKON, NEUENHEIMER FELD 205, 69120 HEIDELBERG, GERMANY

Email address: schwer@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de

HEINRICH HEINE UNIVERSITY DÜSSELDORF, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITÄTSSTRAÙE 1,
40225, DÜSSELDORF, GERMANY

Email address: olga.varghese@hhu.de