arXiv:2404.03089v3 [physics.bio-ph] 21 Jun 2024

Computing macroscopic reaction rates in reaction-diffusion systems using Monte Carlo simulations

Mohamed Swailem^{1,*} and Uwe C. Täuber^{1,2,†}

¹Department of Physics & Center for Soft Matter and Biological Physics, MC 0435,

Robeson Hall, 850 West Campus Drive, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA

²Faculty of Health Sciences, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA

(Dated: June 25, 2024)

Stochastic reaction-diffusion models are employed to represent many complex physical, biological, societal, and ecological systems. The macroscopic reaction rates describing the large-scale, longtime kinetics in such systems are effective, scale-dependent renormalized parameters that need to be either measured experimentally or computed by means of a microscopic model. In a Monte Carlo simulation of stochastic reaction-diffusion systems, microscopic probabilities for specific events to happen serve as the input control parameters. To match the results of any computer simulation to observations or experiments carried out on the macroscale, a mapping is required between the microscopic probabilities that define the Monte Carlo algorithm and the macroscopic reaction rates that are experimentally measured. Finding the functional dependence of emergent macroscopic rates on the microscopic probabilities (subject to specific rules of interaction) is a very difficult problem, and there is currently no systematic, accurate analytical way to achieve this goal. Therefore, we introduce a straightforward numerical method of using lattice Monte Carlo simulations to evaluate the macroscopic reaction rates by directly obtaining the count statistics of how many events occur per simulation time step. Our technique is first tested on well-understood fundamental examples, namely restricted birth processes, diffusion-limited two-particle coagulation, and two-species pair annihilation kinetics. Next we utilize the thus gained experience to investigate how the microscopic algorithmic probabilities become coarse-grained into effective macroscopic rates in more complex model systems such as the Lotka–Volterra model for predator-prey competition and coexistence, as well as the rock-paper-scissors or cyclic Lotka-Volterra model as well as its May-Leonard variant that capture population dynamics with cyclic dominance motifs. Thereby we achieve a more thorough and deeper understanding of coarse-graining in spatially extended stochastic reaction-diffusion systems and the nontrivial relationships between the associated microscopic and macroscopic model parameters, with a focus on ecological systems. The proposed technique should generally provide a useful means to better fit Monte Carlo simulation results to experimental or observational data.

I. INTRODUCTION

Reeaction-diffusion systems, which describe the dynamical evolution of different species through local reactions that alter their identity, and that propagate diffusively (in the continuum) or through hopping (among discrete lattice sites), arise in many descriptive models of (bio-)chemical, nuclear, and particle reactions, population evolution in ecological systems, spreading of contagious diseases in epidemiology, the physics and materials science of pattern formation, and evolutionary game theory in mathematics, computer science, economics, and sociology [1–17]. However, complete exact analytical solutions are seldom obtainable for theoretical formulations of such systems due to the presence of many degrees of freedom, nonlinear interactions, and the underlying stochasticity. Thus a statistical approach is often more suitable for investigating these systems quantitatively. Reactive particle models are frequently simplified rather drastically by employing a "mass-action" factorization approximation which ignores the effects of stochastic fluctuations such as demographic noise and the presence of spatio-temporal correlations [13, 14, 16, 18–26]. Consequently, these mean-field type approximations fail for systems that exhibit strong correlations between its constituents as can in fact be induced by the reaction processes themselves, and may entail the emergence of spatial patterns, coherent oscillatory dynamics, etc. In addition, it is well-established that even in situations where a reaction-diffusion system can be modeled qualitatively by means of coupled mean-field rate equations, often the associated macroscopic reaction rates that enter as basic parameters in these deterministic ordinary or partial differential equations differ from the corresponding microscopic reaction probabilities per unit time. Indeed, the macroscopic rates represent effective scale-dependent, "renormalized" quantities that may become functions of the elapsed time or reactant particle densities [27].

"Individual-" or "agent-based" Monte Carlo lattice simulations are commonly used as an efficient numerical tool to study the properties of reaction-diffusion systems quantitavily [11, 13–16, 28, 29]. They fundamentally sample the gain-loss balance master equation that defines the stochastic time evolution for the configurational probability of the system under consideration. Their crucial input parameters are microscopic probabilities that ultimately determine the emergent macroscopic long-time behavior of the system, namely its stationary

^{*} mswailem@vt.edu

[†] tauber@vt.edu

properties, if applicable; possible large-scale correlated spatial structures; as well as the average relaxation time it takes to reach the steady state; or other important time scales such as characteristic oscillation frequencies. These simulations are straightforward to implement and as output generate expectation values of relevant observables and correlation functions. Other pertinent quantities, e.g., correlation lengths, characteristic time scales, scaling exponents, etc. may subsequently be extracted from the correlation functions by means of various data analysis techniques [11, 30–34]. For certain, typically comparatively simple systems, analytical techniques including field-theoretic methods may also accomplish the computation of these observables [12, 13, 27, 35–58].

A large set of various models have been studied extensively using Monte Carlo simulations. We just mention a small selection here, relevant to our investigations. In binary coagulation and annihilation models, the strong fluctuation corrections that drastically alter the scaling behavior of the particle density relative to the mean-field approximation in the asymptotic long-time region were confirmed numerically [27, 59– 65]. In these pair interaction models, ultimately the system can still be effectively described by a modified rate equation but with an effective density-dependent reaction rate, i.e., a scale-dependent "running" coupling that encodes the emergence of strong particle anticorrelations and depletion zones in low dimensions $d \leq 2$ [38, 46, 47, 54, 64, 66-71]. In the literature, when Monte Carlo simulations are utilized to investigate these systems, the effective macrosopic reaction rate was inferred indirectly from measurements of the density decays. To our knowledge, no attempt has been made to directly obtain the macroscopic reaction rate by computational means. In their detailed experiments on the binary quenching kinetics of laser-induced excitons in quasi one-dimensional carbon nanotubes, Allam et al. measured the effective time- and density-dependent annhiliation rate [72]. Monson and Kopelman [60] eperimentally confirmed the considerably slowed-down density decay in diffusion-limited two-species annihilation processes in dimensions $d \leq 4$ owing to species segregation and confinement of reactions to narrowing reaction zones localized at the interfaces between the inert single-species clusters [53, 55, 56, 62, 64, 73, 74].

The Lotka–Volterra predator-prey model represents perhaps one of the simplest reaction-diffusion systems relevant for ecology that displays unexpectedly rich macro-scale features [12, 14, 22, 23, 30, 48, 75–77]. This model exhibits both an active-to-absorbing phase transition, namely an extinction threshold for the predator species, and the emergence of dynamical spatial patterns in the two-species coexistence phase. Both these phenomena are probed by calculations of the static and dynamic correlation functions using Monte Carlo simulations [11–13, 30–34, 48]. Stochastic fluctuations connected with the activity or evasion-pursuit fronts continually traversing the system modify its characteristic parameters such as the population oscillation frequency and damping, the diffusivity, and the reaction rates and nonlinear couplings. Regardless, investigations of the connection between microscopic and macroscopic rates are scarce in the literature. Lastly, three-species population dynamics models that implement cyclic dominance motifs such as the cyclic Lotka–Volterra or "rock-paperscissors" model, and its May–Leonard variant where predation and reproduction processes are decoupled, were also studied extensively by means of Monte Carlo simulations [26, 78–100]. Crucially, for the cyclic Lotka– Volterra model the total particle number is conserved, which causes its large-scale features to drastically differ from the similar May–Leonard realization, where this conservation law does not apply: In contrast to the rockpaper-scissors variant, the cyclic May-Leonard model displays the spontaneous formation of spiral spatial patterns.

In this paper we focus on utilizing Monte Carlo simulations to investigate the functional dependences of the macroscopic reaction rates on microscopic system parameters as well as elapsed time and / or reactant densities. The effective coarse-grained reaction rates can be directly computed by obtaining the count statistics of the number of reactions occurring of a specific type at each simulation time step. Numerically calculating the macroscopic rates thus generates the desired mapping between the microscopic parameters in the Monte Carlo algorithm and the effective large-scale reaction rates. These macroscopic rates may then be plugged into the corresponding rate equations, which constitute a concise and fairly simple representation of a complex stochastic system. We shall demonstrate that at least for some models, this technique is consistent with known results in the literature, and hence offers a viable means to effectively include subtle fluctuation and correlation effects. However, we will also point out that in other situations, the mere replacement of "bare" microscopic with effective coarse-grained rates leaves distinct renormalization effects unaccounted for and therefore cannot fully capture the system's complex cooperative dynamics.

The article is organized as follows: In section II the typical Monte Carlo algorithms and our notations are introduced. Next our method for calculating the macroscopic reaction rates is outlined. Section III applies this technique to restricted birth processes, wherein the overall population growth is controlled by on-site restrictions or finite local carrying capacities. The ensuing macroscopic reaction rates obtained from the stochastic simulations are used to characterize the behavior of the system as its density restrictions become pertinent, and are compared specifically with the logistic model for restricted growth. Our method is then implemented for the well-studied models of diffusion-limited single-species pair coagulation and two-species binary annihilation in section IV. Applying our technique to these irreversible binary reactions confirms its consistency with the established scaling behavior for the renormalized reaction

rates. The utility of our method is highlighted by showcasing that the macroscopic rate calculation may be employed to test whether the system has in fact reached the asymptotic universal scaling regime. Subsequently, we proceed with a study of the seminal Lotka–Volterra model for predator-prey competition and coexistence in section V. Here, the numerically extracted macroscopic rates are employed to probe the accuracy of the meanfield rate equations, utilizing the renormalized rate parameters, for modelling this system. Finally, we apply our technique to the rock-paper-scissors (cyclic Lotka-Volterra) and Mav–Leonard models for cyclic dominance of three competiing species in section VI, and investigate the modification stemming from coarse-graining the microscopic Monte Carlo parameters to effective macroscopic reaction rates. Concluding remarks and a brief summary are provided in section VII.

II. MONTE CARLO SIMULATION METHODS

In this section we present the algorithm used to perform the Monte Carlo simulations, and the method of computing the effective, coarse-grained macroscopic reaction rates. The models considered in this paper comprise individual particles of multiple species $A_i, i \in \{1, 2, ..., S\}$, where S is the total number of distinct species. The particles are placed on a regular cubic lattice in d spatial dimensions (with d = 2 if not explicitly stated otherwise), subject to periodic boundary conditions. They may propagate diffusively via nearest-neighbor hopping, or by producing offspring on neighboring sites. Interactions are described by chemical reactions in the following manner:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{S} c_i^{\rm in} A_i \xrightarrow{R_{\rm e}} \sum_{i=1}^{S} c_i^{\rm out} A_i ,$$

where c_i^{in} and c_i^{out} denote the integer stoichiometric coefficients for species A_i as reactants and products, respectively, in the reaction scheme. In the lattice model, the stoichiometric coefficients c_i^{in} in fact determine the specified conditions for a reaction to happen. For example, if $c_i^{\text{in}} = 2$, then the reaction can only occur if two particles meet on the same lattice site ("on-site" reactions), or if they encounter each other on neighboring sites ("off-site"). R_e represents the reaction probability per unit time for the reaction labeled with e. Throughout this manuscript we shall use Greek letter symbols for reaction rates, $R_e = \sigma, \mu, \lambda, \ldots$ The microscopic reaction rates as implemented in the numerical algorithm shall be interpreted as propensities.

The (fictitious) time in a Monte Carlo simulation is measured in units of Monte Carlo time steps (MCS), which in an ecological context may be understood as approximately amounting to a generation, if all pertinent rates are of order unity. For each MCS, the following algorithmic steps are performed:

- Each site is assigned a propensity value which is the sum of the microscopic rates of the particles residing in that site.
- A random site is picked based on its propensity value.
- A random individual of any species on that site is picked based on its propensity value.
- The chosen particle then performs one of its allowed reactions based on the propensity value of each process, *provided* the prescribed conditions for that process are satisfied.
- These steps are repeated as many times as there are number of particles present in the system at that instant.

Macroscopic reaction rates are then calculated at each MCS by counting how many stochastic processes (of a specified type) occur at this time step. The number of reactions is then divided by the number of particles of the species performing that given reaction at the start of the MCS. Of course, one then needs to accumulate sufficient statistics over an appropriate number of independent simulation runs. We generally found that in order to obtain accurate measurements of the macroscopic rates in the predator-prey models of Secs. V and VI, anywhere between twenty and one hundred independent simulation runs were sufficient. However, to properly determine the scaling exponents in the pair annihilation models in Secs. IV A and IV, we required the statistics over 1000 simulation runs. The ensuing statistical error bars for our simulation data are smaller than the symbols and hence not visible in the figures presented below.

To clarify this procedure, consider the simple (unrestricted) birth reaction $A \xrightarrow{\sigma} 2A$, with the particles also performing a hopping reaction $A(x_i) \xrightarrow{D} A(x_{i\pm 1})$; here $x_{i\pm 1}$ is to be understood to indicate one of the nearest neighbors of x_i (with the lattice constant set to unity). One of the allowed reactions is always attempted once a particle has been picked; i.e., a reaction only fails if the prescribed conditions for that reaction are not met. Therefore, if σ_0 and D_0 denote the microscopic propensities of the birth and hopping reactions, respectively, then the probabilities for these reactions to occur are given by $\sigma_0/(\sigma_0+D_0)$ and $D_0/(\sigma_0+D_0)$. Had we chosen the probabilities for each reaction such that they do not sum up to unity, then we would need to account for a probability p_{ϕ} for nothing to occur. However, one can easily show that for large systems this just results in an overall time rescaling by a factor $(1 - p_{\phi})^{-1}$ (we have checked and confirmed this assertion in our simulations). The average number of birth reactions occurring per time step is then $\langle N_{\sigma} \rangle = \sigma_0 \langle N_A \rangle / (\sigma_0 + D_0)$. Furthermore, since each reaction increases the number of particles by one, and there is no other process that alters the particle number, we can immediately write down the exact rate equation



FIG. 1. Ratio of effective macroscopic to implemented microscopic birth rates σ/σ_0 for restricted birth processes on a square lattice with side length L = 100, initial density a(0) = 1, and (local) carrying capacity K = 500, plotted (a) as function of time t (in MCS) and (b) of the particle density a/K, for various values of $\sigma_0 = 0.05$, 0.1, and 1, as indicated. The curves were generated by averaging the data from 20 independent simulation runs.

describing the time evolution of the system:

$$\frac{d\langle N_A(t)\rangle}{dt} = \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_0 + D_0} \left\langle N_A(t) \right\rangle$$

This confirms that mean-field rate equations become exact for *linear* stochastic reactions, namely processes that only require the presence of a single particle. We also note that the average number of reactions occurring per time step and per particle is just $\sigma = \sigma_0/(\sigma_0 + D_0)$, which could be set equal to σ_0 via rescaling time by the factor $(\sigma_0 + D_0)^{-1}$.

For nonlinear reactions such as $2A \xrightarrow{\lambda} A$ (with additional hopping transport as before), this calculation becomes analytically intractable (beyond one dimension) due to the implied condition that two particles need to meet for the coagulation process to happen. Therefore the average number of reactions occurring per time step is $\langle N_{\lambda} \rangle = [\lambda_0/(\lambda_0 + D_0)] \langle N_A \rangle \times \text{prob}(\text{two particles meet})$, where λ_0 and D_0 are the microscopic propensities for pair coagulation and hopping. The conditional probability of two particles to meet (on site or in their immediate vicinity) cannot be analytically evaluated; the mean-field approximation resides in the assumption of a uniform density of A particles, such that prob(two particles meet) \propto a, where a is the mean A density; this directly leads to the standard coagulation rate equation

$$\frac{d a(t)}{dt} \approx -\lambda_0 a(t)^2 . \tag{1}$$

In this study, all models are simulated on regular cubic lattices with equal side lengths L. We apply periodic boundary conditions, i.e., set a toroidal topology to eliminate boundary effects. As mentioned above, the input parameters for the Monte Carlo simulation are the prescribed microscopic rates, measured in units of inverse MCS, and hence the probability for a reaction to occur is given by the ratio of that rate to the sum of the rates for all possible processes. If nearest-neighbor hopping is implemented, it is always chosen to take place, with a microscopic rate $D_0 = 1$.

III. SITE-RESTRICTED BIRTH PROCESSES

In this section we first consider the simple example of a single species of particles that can diffuse and reproduce on a two-dimensional square lattice. The nonlinearity of the system is introduced via an on-site restriction parameterized through the local carrying capacity K, which represents the maximum number of particles allowed at each site. Therefore the indidivuals undergo branching reactions $A \xrightarrow{\sigma} 2A$, where the offspring are generated on a randomly picked nearest-neighbor selected from the von-Neumann neighborhood. Birth reactions succeed with a certain microscopic probability p_{σ} , but only if the chosen adjacent site holds a particle occupation number below the carrying capacity $n \leq K$. We are interested in measuring the macroscopic reaction rate σ , which we define as the number of reactions occurring per MCS and per particle: Thus, we take σ to be the coarse-grained percapita reaction rate that effectively incorporates emerging correlations induced by successively filling the lattice sites as time progresses and the overall particle density increases. Consequently, the macroscopic reaction rate will become reduced as function of time and density. This rather elementary example illustrates the usefulness of Monte Carlo simulations to determine effective macroscopic rates, capturing nontrivial correlation effects.

Fig. 1(a) shows the effective macroscopic rate σ relative to the microscopic birth rate σ_0 as a function of time t. Of course, initially, when the particle density is low, the macroscopic rate is equal to the microscopic one, $\sigma(t=0) = \sigma_0$. Yet once the lattice starts filling up, we



FIG. 2. Ratio of macroscopic and microscopic birth rates σ/σ_0 for restricted birth processes on a square lattice with side length L = 100 and $\sigma_0 = 0.05$ as function of the density a/K, for (a) fixed initial density a(0) = 1 and various values of carrying capacity (site occupation restriction) K = 100, 10, and 2; (b) fixed carrying capacity K = 500 and various initial densities a(0) = 100, 10, 1, and 0.1. The data were averaged over 20 independent simulation runs.

observe a rapid decrease in the macroscopic rate σ . The time it takes to reach this point diminishes for larger microscopic σ_0 . These results indicate that the system can be described through unconstrained linear birth kinetics right until the lattice becomes almost saturated. Since the crossover between these two regimes is quite abrupt, we can extract a well-defined characteristic time scale t_c for the lattice to fill up and the local carrying capacity restrictions to impede further growth. Later in this section, we shall describe a more convenient method to compute this relevant time scale for the macroscopic dynamics. The coarse-grained effective rate as a function of the increasing particle density a is displayed in Fig. 1(b). This plot demonstrates that while $\sigma(a=0) = \sigma_0$ for low densities, the macroscopic birth rate σ decreases drastically with the mean density a, as one would expect. We note that the graphs for low microscopic rates $\sigma_0 = 0.05$ and 0.1 are very close, and the correlation-induced reduction of the macroscopic rate begins at almost the same filling fraction $a/K \ge 0.6$. We found the decrease in $\sigma(a/K)$ to not be described by a simple exponential: A log-linear plot of this function does not yield a straight line.

To gain a better understanding of the kinetics of this system, in Fig. 2 we plot the macroscopic rate σ/σ_0 against the lattice filling ratio a/K, as in Fig. 1(b), but now for different values of (a) the carrying capacity Kand (b) the initial densities a(0). These results show that the filling fraction at which the macroscopic rate σ begins to markedly diminish depends nontrivially on K. Futhermore, the function $\sigma(a)$ does not simply follow the standard linear logistic model behavior, according to which $\sigma(a) = \sigma_0 (1 - a/K)$. Only asymptotically, as the density approaches the carrying capacity, one may apply a linear fit to the macroscopic rate as function of a. For low carrying capacity K = 2, our chosen initial density a(0) = 1 implies that the system is half-filled at the outset of the simulation. Indeed, in this case the asymptotic linear regime has already been reached, and a straightforward data fit yields $\sigma(a) = 0.067 - 0.033 a$. Consequently, the effective birth rate becomes renormalized from $\sigma_0 = 0.05$ to $\sigma_r = 0.067$. In contrast, the carrying capacity does not acquire any renormalization, and the slope of this linear fit in fact equals half of the y-intercept, as predicted by the logistic equation with K = 2. We found this to be a general feature for the long-time asymptotic behavior for other K values as well, as will be discussed below.

The growth function in the logistic model does not depend on the initial density. This is not true for our lattice birth model with on-site restriction, as demonstrated in Fig. 2(b). Simulations with larger values of a(0) show that the macroscopic rate σ only begins to decrease at higher lattice fillings. While this observation might appear counter-intuitive at first glance, it is due to the initialization of the simulation runs with a Poissonian particle number distribution. Since the different sites are initially uncorrelated, it takes some time for mutual correlations to develop, and for some lattice sites to reach maximum capacity. Hence, by the time that a simulation with an initially lower a(0) value reaches a high overall density a, some sites are already full, and the growth is restricted to the boundaries of filled zones. Indeed, if we had instead graphed the time-dependent macroscopic birth rate $\sigma(t)$, the systems initialized with higher a(0)start filling up sooner, as one would expect.

Measuring the macroscopic birth rate σ can be utilized to accurately compute the characteristic time t_c it takes the system to be affected by the on-site restrictions. This method relies on the fact that the logistic model features a "conserved" quantity, $H(t) = a(t)/K + \sigma(t)/\sigma_0 = 1$. However, H(t) is no longer constant in time in the lattice model; as shown in Fig. 3, this quantity reaches a maximum when the macroscopic rate starts to decrease as a consequence of emerging local occupation restrictions



FIG. 3. The functions $H(t) = a(t)/K + \sigma(t)/\sigma_0$, $\sigma(t)/\sigma_0$, and a(t)/K vs. time t (in MCS) for restricted birth processes on a square lattice with side length L = 100, initial density a(0) = 1, birth rate $\sigma_0 = 0.05$, and carrying capacity K = 500. The data were averaged over 20 independent simulation runs.

and correlations. Hence, locating the maximum of H(t)in time provides a refined scheme to calculate t_c . Applying this prescription, the characteristic crossover time t_c for the lattice to fill up is plotted against the carrying capacity K in Fig. 4. Our measurements yield a logarithmic dependence of t_c with respect to K, which indicates that the mean density a grows exponentially up to t_c .

Furthermore, the density at $t = t_c$ sets a characteristic value $a_c = a(t_c)$ at which the birth restrictions in the appreciably filled lattice begin to affect the growth kinetics. One might hypothesize that a_c represents a universal filling fraction, regardless of the system parameters. However, investigating the dependence of a_c on K demonstrates that a_c also depends logarithmically on



FIG. 4. Characteristic crossover time t_c for the lattice to become saturated vs. carrying capacity $\log_{10} K$ in the restricted birth model on a two-dimensional square lattice with side length L = 100, initial density a(0) = 1, and $\sigma_0 = 0.05$. The data were averaged over 20 independent simulation runs.



FIG. 5. Asymptotic long-time behavior of the ratio of macroscopic and microscopic birth rates σ/σ_0 as function of the density a/K for restricted birth processes on a square lattice with side length L = 100, initial density a(0) = 1, small birth rate $\sigma_0 = 0.0001$, and carrying capacity K = 10. The data were averaged over 100 independent simulation runs.

K. This can be explained by noting that for higher K values, the local occupation numbers may grow on more sites before the on-site restrictions take effect. Therefore, by the time that a single site has reached maximum occupancy, the density correlations have already expanded to a larger distance than would have been possible for smaller carrying capacity. Hence, at the instant t_c when H(t) reaches its maximum, the typical lattice filling will be higher for larger values of K. In summary, the initial kinetics of the restricted birth model can be characterized as follows: The density a grows exponentially with time, until it reaches the critical lattice filling a_c/K , beyond which the growth rate rapidly drops to zero. This critical lattice filling ratio is logarithmically dependent on the prescribed carrying capacity K.

In order to probe the long-time behavior of this system, we ran simulations with small birth rates σ_0 , such that enough data points at the end of the simulation were available. Figure 5 confirms that the asymptotic regime can be modeled using a decreasing linear fit for the function $\sigma(a)$, which matches the logistic rate equation. The fact that the appropriate linear fit function has equal y-intercept and slope suggests that the emerging effective carrying capacity value is identical with the implemeted on-site restriction K. In contrast, the reaction rate $\sigma_r = Z \sigma_0$ attains a multiplicative renormalization factor Z. Therefore, the asymptotic long-time kinetics for the restricted birth processes can be represented well by $da/dt = Z \sigma_0 a (1 - a/K)$, where K is the microscopic on-site restriction. We have indeed tested and confirmed this hypothesis for different K values.

For $t < t_c$, we found the growing density to be nicely fitted by an exponential $a(t) \sim e^{rt}$, with a value for the parameter r that is close to σ_0 . This allows us to fully characterize the restricted birth model as follows: The



FIG. 6. Single-species pair coagulation kinetics $2A \xrightarrow{\lambda} A$ on a one-dimensional lattice of size L = 1,500,000 with initial density a(0) = 1, for different microscopic reaction rates $\lambda_0 = 0.1$, 1, and 10, as indicated: Double-logarithmic plots of (a) the particle density decay with time a(t); the macroscopic effective reaction rate (b) as function of time $\lambda(t)$, and (c) as function of density $\lambda(a)$. The resulting effective scaling exponents α , β , and θ are listed. The data were averaged over 1000 independent runs.

density a grows exponentially with the microscopic rate value σ_0 for $t < t_c$. In the asymptotic region $t \gg t_c$, the dynamics can be described by a logistic rate equation with renormalized effective rate $Z\sigma_0$. Near $t \gtrsim t_c$ the kinetics interpolates between these two distinct regimes.

IV. DIFFUSION-LIMITED BINARY ANNIHILATION REACTIONS

We next address measuring the drastic rate renormalizations induced by the emerging intrinsic dynamical correlations in the diffusion-limited binary reaction processes $A + A \rightarrow A$ and $A + B \rightarrow \emptyset$.

A. Single-species pair coagulation

In dimensions below the upper critical dimension, $d \leq$ $d_c = 2$, the density decay in the single-species binary coagulation reaction $2A \xrightarrow{\lambda} A$ with diffusively spreading particles is known to deviate from the mean-field scaling $a(t) \sim t^{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha = 1$, which directly follows from the rate equation (1) [27, 38, 46, 47, 54, 64, 66-71]. In the long-time asymptotic region, the system is then no longer controlled by the reactivity $\propto \lambda$, but by the gradually diminishing chance for pairs of particles to meet. In this asymptotic diffusion-limited regime, the characteristic distance scale is set by the diffusion length $\ell_D(t) \sim (D t)^{1/2}$ with diffusivity D, whence the long-time decay of the density $a \sim \ell_D^{-d}$ is governed instead by the exponent $\alpha = d/2$ [59–65]. In this paper, we also specifically focus on the scaling of the effective macroscopic coagulation rate with time $\lambda(t) \sim t^{-\beta}$ and the mean particle density $\lambda(a) \sim a^{\theta}$. The scaling exponents α and θ are intimately related, while β is fixed by the definition of the macroscopic reaction rate λ . Therefore a single independent scaling exponent fully characterizes the diffusion-limited pair coagulation model, which we shall employ to showcase the applicability of our algorithm in determining the strongly renormalized scaling

of the macroscopic reaction rate and its consistency with the correct asymptotic density decay.

Before discussing the detailed simulation results, we address the relationship between the exponents α and θ , and determine the value of the exponent β . As mentioned in Sec. II, we define the macroscopic per-capita reaction rate as the number of reactions occurring per time step, relative to number of particles then present in the system. Thus, we can write the temporal evolution of the mean particle density as an ordinary differential equation in the following manner [101],

$$\frac{da}{dt} = -\lambda(a) a , \qquad (2)$$

where the nontrivial function $\lambda(a)$ incorporates fluctuation and correlation effects on a macroscopic scale.

Consequently, the coarse-grained per-capita reaction rate is $\lambda = -d \ln a/dt$; with $a(t) \sim t^{-\alpha}$, we obtain $\lambda \sim \alpha/t \sim a^{1/\alpha}$, and hence

$$\beta = 1$$
, $\theta = 1/\alpha$. (3)

The exponents α and θ describe the asymptotic correlated dynamics of the system, whereas measuring the exponent β is indicative of deviations from the scaling regime, namely when $\beta \neq 1$. The mean-field rate equation approximation predicts $\alpha = \theta = 1$, whereas an exact analysis of the long-time asymptotic scaling gives $\alpha = d/2$ and therefore $\theta = 2/d$ for $d < d_c = 2$. Above the critical dimension, the mean-field power laws are recovered; while at d_c , the mean-field scaling is modified by logarithmic corrections. In the following, we shall address the kinetics in dimensions d = 1, 2, and 3 separately.

For our numerical investigations of this model, we considered two distinct algorithmic variants, namely (i) an on-site implementation where multiple site occupancy is permitted, and the coagulation reaction occurs if two particles meet on the same lattice site; and (ii) an offsite implementation where a maximum occupancy of one particle per site is enforced, and the reaction occurs if two particles encounter each other on nearest-neighbor sites. For densities much bigger than unity, the on-site



FIG. 7. Single-species pair coagulation kinetics $2A \xrightarrow{\lambda} A$ on a two-dimensional square lattice of linear size L = 256 with initial density a(0) = 1, for different microscopic reaction rates $\lambda_0 = 0.1$, 1, and 10, as indicated: Double-logarithmic plots of the time dependence of (a) the particle density $a(t)/\ln t$ and (b) the macroscopic effective reaction rate $\lambda(t)/s(t)$, with $s(t) = (1 - \alpha/\ln t)$, where the value of α obtained from the simulations was used. The resulting effective scaling exponents α and β are listed. The data were averaged over 1000 independent simulation runs.

implementation behaves differently than the off-site variant, which follows the above description: When many particles are present on the same location, the condition for a coagulation reaction to happen is actually always satisfied, which means that the system is effectively subject to the single-particle death reactions $A \to \emptyset$ rather than binary processes, leading to exponentially fast temporal decay. Hence, in this work we restrict ourselves to the off-site implementation which does not display such algorithmic artifacts even at high densities.

For a large one-dimensional closed chain, Fig. 6 depicts double-logarithmic graphs for the temporal decay of the mean particle density a(t) and the time- and density dependences of the effective macroscopic coagulation rate λ , which allows us to measure the three associated scaling exponents α , β , and θ . These power laws were numerically determined by applying linear fits to the double-logarithmic graphs in the long-time asymptotic region; the latter was determined via appropriately discarding early-time data that produced an effective exponent $\beta \neq 1$ and retaining the late-time regime where β was closest to unity. We have performed this analysis here for λ_0 values in the reaction-limited regime $(\lambda_0 = 0.1)$, deep in the diffusion-limited regime $(\lambda_0 = 10)$, and at the intermediate scale, where nearestneighbor hops and binary reactions are implemented with the same probability ($\lambda_0 = 1$). Simple mean-field scaling can obviously not adequately describe the coagualation kinetics. For $\lambda_0 = 1$, we measure $\beta = 1$ already after 100 MCS, indicating that the system has perfectly reached the scaling regime. Correspondingly, we find clean values $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\theta = 2$ adequate for diffusion-limited scaling with a strongly renormalized reaction rate, indicative of strong particle anti-correlations and the presence of depletion zones. For small λ_0 , we mostly observe the reaction-limited regime where the particles remain rather well-mixed, generating effective exponents α and θ in our data that approach the diffusion-limited regime, but are still situated between the mean-field predictions $\alpha = \theta = 1$ and the above asymptotic values. If an adequately large lattice were afforded sufficient longer simulation time, the kinetics would ultimately cross over fully to the diffusion-limited regime. However, for $\lambda_0 = 10$, the effective scaling exponents determined during our simulation time window also differ slightly from the expected numbers, but are of course well distinct from the rate equation predictions. For such extreme discrepancies between hopping and reaction rates, one would have to run the simulation significantly longer to arrive at more reliable estimates for the asymptotic scaling exponents.

Two dimensions constitutes a special situation for diffusion-controlled pair coagulation as the boundary dimension between mean-field scaling with $\alpha = \theta = 1$ for $d > d_c = 2$ and strongly renormalized scaling exponents $\alpha = 1/\theta = d/2$ for $d < d_c$. At $d_c = 2$, the mean-field scaling behavior becomes modified by logarithmic corrections: According to the renormalization group analysis, the particle density in the long-time asymptotic regime decays according to $a(t) \sim \ln t/t^{\alpha}$ (with $\alpha = 1$ here) that in turn implies $\lambda(t) \sim (1 - \alpha / \ln t) / t$. One could also compute $\lambda(a)$ in terms of the Lambert W function, yet this results in a complicated functional dependence, which would be difficult to accurately confirm in Monte Carlo simulation data. The density scaling for pair coagulation at $d_c = 2$ is explored in Fig. 7 for a square lattice. The density decay exponent $a(t)/\ln t \sim t^{-\alpha}$ is found to be close to the predicted value $\alpha = 1$; as in d = 1, it shifts towards smaller values for $\lambda_0 = 10$. As for the effective renormalized reaction rate decay $\lambda(t)$, we discern small deviations from the expected behavior, which indicates that prevalent internal reaction noise in our finite system precludes perfect agreement of the simulation data with the anticipated asymptotic scaling.

The mean-field density decay $a(t) \sim 1/t$ that follows from the rate equation (1) is recovered for a threedimensional cubic lattice, since for $d > d_c = 2$ fluctuations do not alter the scaling exponents. Rather, stochastic fluctuations and still present particle anti-correlations merely renormalize the microscopic parameters implemented in the Monte Carlo simulation. Figure 8 demonstrates that the scaling behavior is indeed governed by the mean-field eponents $\alpha = \beta = \theta = 1$, since their



FIG. 8. Single-species pair coagulation kinetics on a three-dimensional cubic lattice of linear size L = 100 with initial density a(0) = 1, for different microscopic reaction rates $\lambda_0 = 0.1$, 1, and 10, as indicated: Double-logarithmic plots of (a) the particle density decay with time a(t); the macroscopic effective reaction rate (b) as function of time $\lambda(t)$, and (c) as function of density $\lambda(a)$. The resulting effective scaling exponents α , β , and θ are listed. The data were averaged over 1000 independent runs.

measured values nicely agree with these predictions. As suggested by the data shown in Fig. 8(b), the closer the system is to the asymptotic scaling regime, the nearer the associated exponent values are to unity.

In summary, our Monte Carlo simulations for diffusive pair coagulation processes in d = 1, 2, and 3 dimensions demonstrate that our algorithm successfully generates the correct long-time scaling properties for the macroscopic reaction rate λ , but in addition allows the investigation of nonuniversal crossover features before the asymptotic regimes are reached. This indicates that counting the number of events, normalizing properly, and collecting adequate statistics provides an accurate means to estimate reaction rate renormalization effects for physical, (bio-)chemical, ecological, and epidemiological systems subject to nonlinear stochastic reactive processes.

B. Two-species pair annihilation

Two-species (e.g., particle-antiparticle) pair annihilation is commonly described as a reaction-diffusion system with the single binary reaction $A + B \xrightarrow{\lambda} \emptyset$. Since A and B particles are simultaneously removed from the system during any annihilation reaction, their particle number difference c = a(t) - b(t) is a locally conserved quantity, implying that one only needs to study the behavior of, say, b(t), and the conservation law can be used to directly infer a(t). The system exhibits different behavior depending on whether c = 0 or $c \neq 0$. For unequal initial species numbers c > 0, the minority population density decays according to $\ln b(t) \sim -t^{\alpha}$, where $\alpha = d/2$ yielding a stretched exponential for $d < d_c = 2$, while $\alpha = 1$ above the critical dimension, and with logarithmic corrections appearing at $d_c = 2$. The majority species approaches its asymptotic density in a similar manner, $\ln a(t) - c \sim -t^{\alpha}$. Yet in this work, we focus on the special situation c = a(t) - b(t) = 0; then the (stretched) exponential behavior is replaced by power laws $a(t) = b(t) \sim t^{-\alpha}$ [53, 55, 56, 62, 73, 74]. In this symmetric "critical" situation, the system is governed by

a single macroscopic rate equation (2) for both A and B particle densities.

The macroscopic rate is calculated by counting the number of reactions occurring at each time step divided by the total particle number $N_A + N_B$ then present, since the annihilation process may be initiated by either an A or B individual in the simulation. This prescription of determining the macroscopic rate λ is consistent with the rate equation (2). Again we implement an off-site algorithm here, to avoid artifacts at initially high densities.

As the rate equation for $A + B \rightarrow \emptyset$ is identical with the one for $2A \rightarrow A$, the ensuing long-time scaling may be described by the same exponents α , $\beta = 1$, and $\theta = 1/\alpha$. However, these exponets assume different values for single-species pair coagulation and two-species annihilation. While the renormalizations describing depletion zones still apply in dimensions $d \leq d_c = 2$, the two distinct species may now segregate into two separate Aand B domains, wherein no reactions take place. Annihilation processes are thus confined to the boundaries of the A- and B-rich zones, which leads to a further drastic slowing-down of the decay dynamics in dimensions $d < d_s = 4$ [17, 60, 64]. The resulting density decay exponents induced by species segregation are $\alpha = d/4$ and $\theta = 4/d$. For $d > d_s = 4$, the mean-field exponents $\alpha = \beta = \theta = 1$ apply. It should be noted that species segregation is an asymptotic effect that can only be observed at sufficiently long times; at intermediate time regimes, the system may show the diffusion-limited depletion scaling.

For two-species pair annihilation, here we only focus on the one-dimensional case, since the scaling regime is more difficult to access in higher dimensions, and our principal aim in this section is to demonstrate the viability of our technique for reactive dynamics involving multiple species. Figure 9 demonstrates that our simulation data reproduce the expected scaling behavior for $\lambda_0 = 1$ or bigger. For small $\lambda_0 = 0.1$, we find effective exponents that are still in the crossover interval between the diffusion-limited depletion and segregation values.



FIG. 9. Two-species pair annihilation kinetics $A + B \xrightarrow{\lambda} \emptyset$ on a one-dimensional lattice of size L = 100,000 with equal initial densities a(0) = b(0) = 1, for different microscopic reaction rates $\lambda_0 = 0.1$, 1, and 10, as indicated: Double-logarithmic plots of (a) the particle density decay a(t); the macroscopic effective reaction rate as function of (b) time $\lambda(t)$, and (c) density $\lambda(a)$. The resulting effective scaling exponents α , β , and θ are listed. The data were averaged over 1000 independent simulations.

V. LOTKA–VOLTERRA PREDATOR-PREY COMPETITION AND COEXISTENCE

In the previous sections, we have demonstrated that extracting the statistics for the number of reactions in a Monte Carlo simulation produces the expected behavior for the coarse-grained macroscopic reaction rates. Next we consider a system where in contrast little is known about the relationship between the microscopic and macroscopic parameters. The paradigmatic Lotka-Volterra model for predator-prey competition and coexistence comprises two species: the predators A and prey B. Left alone, the predator population is subject to spontaneous death processes $A \xrightarrow{\mu} \emptyset$, and hence would decay exponentially over time with the rate μ . By themselves, the prey reproduce according to the linear branching or birth reaction $B \xrightarrow{\sigma} 2B$ that would cause a Malthusian population explosion with rate σ . The nonlinear binary predation reaction $A + B \xrightarrow{\lambda} 2A$, whereupon predators immediately reproduce after a successful "hunt", replacing a prey individual with a predator offspring, controls the prey density and opens the possibility of coexistence for both species [6, 8, 11, 13-17, 22, 23, 29-34, 48, 75-77]. In order to prevent an exponential divergence of the prey density in the absence of predators, in our lattice simulations we prescribe an on-site restriction on the total particle number $N_A(x,t) + N_B(x,t) \leq K$, which globally translates to a finite carrying capacity for the total population $a(t) + b(t) \leq K$ that holds also for the local mean species densities [102]. Correspondingly, in mean-field approaches the prey population constraint is typically represented through a logistic term in their rate equation. Yet as we have shown in Sec. III, this is adequate only in the long-time limit. The stochastic processes in our lattice Monte Carlo simulations consist of the above death, birth, and predation reactions, in addition to nearest-neighbor hopping for both species. We employ the off-site implementation for reproduction and predation processes. Hence the prey offspring production happens on one of the neighboring sites, and the predation reaction occurs if a predator finds a prey on

an adjacent site [103], which effectively induces hopping transport via proliferation [13, 29, 48, 76].

Furthermore, we let a predator attempt a predation reaction for each prey individual located on the chosen neighboring site. Consequently, we compute the macroscopic prey birth rate by dividing the number of branching events in the MCS by the total prey number N_B at that instant, and the macroscopic predator decay rate by dividing the number of death events by the total predator number N_A . For the binary predation reaction, we instead calculate the more appropriate effective coarse-grained "coupling" λ as the ratio of the number of predation events in each MCS and the product $N_A N_B$. The above algorithm motivates us to write the associated macroscopic reaction-diffusion equations for the local predator and prey densities a(x,t), b(x,t) in the Lotka–Volterra model in the form

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial t} = D_a(a,b) \nabla^2 a + \lambda(a,b) a b - \mu(a,b) a , \qquad (4a)$$
$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial t} = D_b(a,b) \nabla^2 b + \sigma(a,b) b - \lambda(a,b) a b . \qquad (4b)$$

In the mean-field rate equation approximation λ and μ are taken to be constants, whereas $\sigma(a, b)$ $\sigma_0 \left(1 - \left(a + b\right)/K\right)$. The resulting coupled system of ordinary differential equations has three stationary solutions (fixed points): (i) Total extinction, where both predator and prey populations decay to zero. This configuration is always unstable in the mean-field approximation. (ii) Predator extinction and thus prev fixation. where the predator population disappears, and the prey fill out the entire lattice (up to the carrying capacity K). Note that in any finite stochastic system, either of these absorbing configurations is ultimately attained, namely (i) or (ii) if either the prey or predators happen to go extinct first. (iii) Species coexistence, where both species ultimately survive at non-zero density values. If a finite (prey or total) carrying capacity K > 0 is implemented, there appears an active-to-absorbing phase transition that occurs at some critical predation rate λ_c : If the predators are not able to predate quickly enough, they will die out and the system goes into prey fixa-



FIG. 10. Macroscopic reaction rates μ , σ , coupling λ , as well as diffusivities D_a , D_b for the stochastic Lotka–Volterra predatorprey model on a two-dimensional square lattice (with periodic boundary conditions) with length L = 150, initial population densities a(0) = b(0) = 0.5, microscopic propensities $\mu_0 = \sigma_0 = 0.5$, $\lambda_0 = 0.8$, $D_0 = 1$, and carrying capacity (on-site occupation restriction) K = 1, displayed as functions of (a) time t (in MCS); (b) predator density a; (c) prey density b. The data were averaged over 20 independent Monte Carlo simulation runs.

tion (ii). Above this critical λ_c value, the more efficient predators are able to reproduce sufficiently fast to coexist alongside the prey. The resulting mean-field dynamical system also exhibits nonlinear population oscillations as there emerges a periodic cycle of growing number of prev. followed by an increase in the predator population, leading to a decrease in the number of prey, and in consequence of the predators as well as their food resources become sparse, and then the cycle repeats as for low predator prevalence, the prey reproduce fast again. In this coexistence phase, the spatially extended mean-field reaction-diffusion equations allow for propagating wave solutions of the form a(x,t) = a(x-vt), b(x,t) = b(x-vt)with wavefront speed v. These waves are induced by the prey attempting to evade the predators by effectively reproducing at a safe distance from them, and the predators pursuing their prev as they can only reproduce effectively in regions of high prev concentration. However, mean-field theory predicts that those wave solutions are unstable and decay, leaving the homgeneous population distribution as the only stable solution.

This qualitative picture remains intact in the stochastic lattice system, yet with drastic quantitative deviations [6, 8, 9, 11-16, 29-34, 48, 75-77]. In a non-spatial setting, intrinsic multiplicative reaction and demographic noise in the two-species coexistence state effectively maps to additive white noise driving the nonlinear population oscillations through a resonant amplification mechanism [20]. In spatially extended systems, the inevitable dynamical correlations generated by the stochastic reaction processes induce a dependence of the macroscopic rates on the population densities. Indeed, the Lotka-Volterra predator-prey model exhibits pronounced spatial correlations that are manifest in persistent pursuit and evasion waves that originate from randomly distributed prey survivors following sweeps of predation events: The stochastic "kicks" in the system are now sufficiently strong to render the wave solutions stable in the long-time limit. In addition, and in accord with general expectations, the continuous active-to-absorbing phase transition is found to be governed by the directed percolation universality

class [13, 14, 30–34, 48, 77], with universal critical exponents that deviate from the mean-field values in dimensions $d < d_c = 4$. For a more thorough review of stochastic spatially extended Lotka–Volterra systems, we refer to the overview in Ref. [13].

In this model, the sole reaction that can occur without any restrictive conditions is predator death. Hence its behavior can be predicted *a priori* as follows: The expected number of death reactions occurring in a single MCS is $\langle \text{number of death events} \rangle = (N_A + N_B) p(\text{pick predator})$ p(death reaction | predator is picked). But the (average) probabilities for selecting a predator and then picking a death reaction are given by their relative propensities,



FIG. 11. Long-time stationary population densities a_s , b_s and corresponding macroscopic rate ratios σ_s/λ_s , μ_s/λ_s for the stochastic Lotka–Volterra predator-prey model on a square lattice with length L = 150, initial densities a(0) = b(0) = 0.5, and microscopic rates set to $\mu_0 = \sigma_0 = 0.5$, $D_0 = 1$, with carrying capacity K = 1, plotted as a function of the microscopic predation rate λ_0 . The black vertical line indicates the location of the active-to-absorbing state transition that constitutes a predator extinction / prey fixation threshold. The data were averaged over 20 independent simulation runs.



FIG. 12. Macroscopic reaction rates μ , σ , coupling λ , as well as diffusivities D_a , D_b for the stochastic Lotka–Volterra predatorprey model on a square lattice with length L = 150, initial densities a(0) = b(0) = 0.5, as functions of the microscopic input parameters: Dependence (a) on λ_0 , with fixed $\mu_0 = \sigma_0 = 0.5$, K = 1; (b) on K, with $\lambda_0 = 0.8$, $\mu_0 = \sigma_0 = 0.5$; (c) on σ_0 , with $\lambda_0 = 0.8$, $\mu_0 = 0.5$, K = 3; (d) on μ_0 , with $\lambda_0 = 0.8$, $\sigma_0 = 0.5$, K = 3. The vertical black line in figure (a) marks the predator extinction / prey fixation threshold. The data were averaged over 20 independent simulation runs.

which are easily obtained:

$$p(\text{pick predator}) = \frac{N_A (D_0 + \mu_0 + \lambda_0)}{N_A (D_0 + \mu_0 + \lambda_0) + N_B (D_0 + \sigma_0)}$$
$$p(\text{death reaction} \mid \text{predator is picked}) = \frac{\mu_0}{D_0 + \mu_0 + \lambda_0} .$$

As mentioned in Sec. II, we set $D_0 = 1$; thus we obtain

$$\mu = \frac{(N_A + N_B)\,\mu_0}{N_A\,(1 + \mu_0 + \lambda_0) + N_B\,(1 + \sigma_0)} \,. \tag{5}$$

Here we may of course replace the particle numbers N_A and N_B by the predator and prey densities a and b. The macroscopic reaction rate μ is then fully determined by Eq. (5). Intuitively, the death reaction constitutes a linear stochastic process, and therefore its macroscopic rate should not depend on the other microscopic propensities λ_0 and σ_0 . However, due to our chosen normalization with all propensities adding up to unity, the linear death processes pick up dependences on the other rates; this could be avoided by choosing a different algorithm or parameterization. Regardless, employing normalized rates that sum to unity is computationally convenient. One may interpret the denominator in Eq. (5) as a multiplicative time step rescaling factor that could be absorbed into all extracted rates. Consequently, calculating the mean macroscopic death rate μ after this rescaling, we expect that it should match precisely with its microscopic value μ_0 . Henceforth, all reported macroscopic rate values are divided by this factor, unless otherwise specified.

Figure 10 shows how the effective macroscopic rates μ , σ , coupling λ , and diffusivities D_a , D_b vary with time t and the predator and prey densities a, b in our Monte Carlo simulations on a two-dimensional lattice (with periodic boundary conditions). The temporal evolution of the coarse-grained parameters tracks the dynamics one would observe for the population densities, consistent with the macroscopic rates being density-dependent functions. In the species coexistence regime, the macroscopic parameters all settle down to stationary values, as seen in Figure 10(a). The macroscopic predator death rate μ displays no noticeable dependence on either population density, remaining constant in time and equal to its microscopic value μ_0 . Note that even though the rates appear to be multi-valued as a functions of the predator and prey densities separately in Figs. 10(b,c), this is an artifact of them actually being functions of both a and b: Plotting the macroscopic parameters in a three-dimensional plot as functions of a and b reveals their single-valuedness. Although the coarse-grained rates do not represent the

system's degrees of freedom, these graphs of the macroscopic parameters as functions of the population densities are reminiscent of phase space plots.

The stationary values of the macroscopic rates μ_s , σ_s and coupling λ_s can be used to predict the densities' "fixed-point" values a_s and b_s . Examining eqs. (4) and setting the time derivatives to zero we obtain, akin to simple mean-field theory, $a_s = \sigma_s / \lambda_s$ and $b_s = \mu_s / \lambda_s$. Recall that the macroscopic prey birth rate incorporates the carrying capacity restriction through its nontrivial density dependence, similar to the restricted birth model of Sec. III; consequently, σ implicitly becomes a function of K. This is confirmed in Fig. 11, where the stationary population densities are determined directly from the simulations and then compared against the numerically computed rate ratios σ_s/λ_s and μ_s/λ_s . Intriguingly, this comparison yields almost perfect agreement between the rate equation formulas and the actual stationary density values. We discern marked deviations of the actual value for b_s from the ratio μ_s/λ_s only in the vicinity of the predator extinction threshold: Stochastic fluctuations and critical correlations become prominent near the active-to-absorbing phase transition, and their effects are not fully accounted for by mere renormalizations of the effective parameters.

Extracting the macroscopic rates and coupling over the course of the Monte Carlo simulations allows us to investigate in a straightforward manner their dependence on the microscopic input parameters λ_0 , K, σ_0 , and μ_0 , as depicted in Fig. 12. Figure 12(a) shows that σ and D_b are continuous at the predator extinction / prev fixation critical point, while λ , μ , and D_a exhibit a jump discontinuity there. In the absorbing state where the lattice is completely filled with prev. all reactions cease. As anticipated, the macroscopic death rate μ is not altered from its microscopic value μ_0 . The macroscopic prey birth and hopping rates σ and D_b become diminished as the absorbing state transition is approached, and the lattice increasingly saturated with the B species, much like in the restricted birth model of Sec. III; indeed, the ratio σ/D_b remains constant. Generally, our simulation results yield mostly monotonic dependences of the macroscopic rates on the microscopic parameters. The exception is the functional dependence of λ on σ_0 , shown in Fig. 12(c), which displays a maximum. This feature can be explained by noting that as σ_0 is raised, the prey number increases, in turn causing an enhancement in λ ; yet past a certain value, the predators quickly consume most of the prey, such that once the system reaches its stationary state, fewer prey remain available, leading to a decrease of the effective predation coupling λ . As seen in Figs. 12(a,b) an increase in either λ_0 or K induces faster reactions. In contrast, raising σ_0 causes a decrease in the renormalized diffusivities D_a and D_b , shown in Fig. 12(c), as there are fewer lattice vacancies available for hopping. Similarly, as depicted in Fig. 12(d), increasing μ_0 slows down diffusion, and also reduces the branching rate σ , since the prey population is more likely to reach satura-



FIG. 13. Predator extinction / prey fixation threshold for the stochastic Lotka–Volterra predator-prey model on a square lattice with length L = 150 and initial densities a(0) = b(0) = 0.5 as function of the local occupation restriction (carrying capacity) K, for fixed microscopic rates $\mu_0 = \sigma_0 = 0.5$. Here, λ_{c0} denotes the microscopic threshold; $\lambda_{cmf} = \mu/K = \mu_0/K$ is the threshold value predicted by the mean-field rate equations; and λ_c represents the corresponding macroscopic value obtained from the Monte Carlo simulations. The data were averaged over 20 independent simulation runs.

tion as the predators die away fast.

We can also utilize our technique to test the mean-field formula for the location of the critical active-to-absorbing transition point. For fixed values of μ and σ , the rate equations predict a predator extinction and prey fixation threshold at $\lambda_{\rm cmf} = \mu/K$. In Fig. 13, we compare the "microscopic" transition point λ_{c0} , given by the microscopic predation probability used to perform the Monte Carlo simulations, with the numerically determined actual threshold location λ_c obtained from our event statistics in the Monte Carlo simulation data, and additionally the value $\lambda_{\rm cmf}$ predicted by the mean-field rate equations with the renormalized macroscopic rates, for a series of different carrying capacities $K = 1, \ldots, 10$. We find that $\lambda_{\rm cmf}$ is closer to the actual transition point λ_c than λ_{c0} . Interestingly, these curves can be approximately fit with power laws: The microscopic transition scales according to $\lambda_{c0} \sim K^{-0.43}$, whereas the numerically determined "macroscopic" predator extinction threshold behaves as $\lambda_c \sim K^{-0.94}$, closer to the mean-field rate equation dependence $\sim K^{-1}$. This indicates that the actual control parameter determining the critical point is more adequately captured by the macroscopic predation rate λ than the microscopic probability λ_0 implemented in the Monte Carlo simulation algorithm.

It is well-established that the population oscillation frequency in the two-species coexistence phase of the Lotka– Volterra predator-prey system exhibits strong fluctuation corrections relative to its mean-field value [12, 13, 77]. Using our Monte Carlo simulations, we have determined the actual oscillation frequency ω from the peak location in the Fourier transform of the population density time



0.12

a square lattice with length L = 150 and initial densities a(0) = b(0) = 0.5 as function of the predator death rate μ_0 , with fixed parameters $\sigma_0 = 0.5$, $\lambda_0 = 0.8$, and K = 10. For comparison, the oscillation frequencies $\omega_{\rm mf0}$ and $\omega_{\rm mf}$ as determined by numerically solving the nonlinear coupled rate equations (4) using respectively the microscopic and macroscopic rate parameters are depicted as well. The data were averaged over 10 independent simulation runs.

tracks. In Fig. 14 this numerically extracted frequency is compared against the "microscopic" and "macroscopic" rate equation oscillation frequencies ω_{mf0} and ω_{mf} , respectively, graphed as functions of the predator death rate μ_0 . Here, ω_{mf0} was computed through integrating the rate equations (4) by means of a fourth-order Runge-Kutta scheme and employing the microscopic system parameters, whereas for $\omega_{\rm mf}$ we instead inserted the effective macroscopic rates using our Monte Carlo algorithm, without implementing the time rescaling of Eq. (5). The data shown in this plot indicate that solving the meanfield equations with the macroscopic rates yields population oscillation frequencies that are considerably closer to the actual frequencies measured in the stochastic lattice simulations. However, some residual discrepancies remain, highlighting that fluctuation corrections to the characteristic oscillation frequency extend beyond mere parameter renormalizations [12].

VI. THREE-SPECIES CYCLIC DOMINANCE

In this section we discuss cyclic predation models, applied prominently in evolutionary game theory, where we restrict ourselves to three competing species A_1 , A_2 , and A_3 [10, 26, 49, 78–100]. Individuals from species A_1 predate on A_2 , A_2 in turn predate on A_3 , and A_3 on A_1 , completing the dominance cycle. Specifically, we study and compare two popular variants: In the "rock-paperscissors" (RPS) model, the nonlinear predation reaction is represented using the usual Lotka–Volterra prey-to-predator replacement, and hence the total particle num-



FIG. 15. Macroscopic predation coupling λ vs. its microscopic counterpart λ_0 in the stochastic rock-paper-scissors / cyclic Lotka–Volterra model on a two-dimensional square lattice (with periodic boundary conditions) of length L = 150, with equal initial densities $a_i(0) = 1/3$. The inset displays the same data in double-logarithmic form, demonstrating an algebraic functional dependence with power law exponent $\kappa \approx 0.85$. The data were averaged over 100 independent runs.

ber remains strictly conserved. As a consequence, spatially extended RPS systems are prohibited to spontaneously generate spatio-temporal patterns, and merely display species clustering [49, 82, 88, 90, 92]. The second model version separates the predation and reproduction processes, thus lifting the total population conservation law. In such May–Leonard models, provided their spatial extension is sufficiently big, one observes the emergence of spiral patterns in a parameter regime where solutions with uniform particle distributions become unstable against small inhomogeneous perturbations. In the following, we apply our technique for measuring the macroscopic reaction rates to both cyclic dominance model variations. In this paper, we address only symmetric cyclic model realizations, in which the various rates for all three species are chosen the same; therefore, the system features a discrete \mathbb{Z}_3 permutation symmetry.

A. Rock-paper-scissors (RPS) / cyclic Lotka–Volterra model

The RPS or cyclic Lotka–Volterra model consists solely of the predation processes $A_i + A_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\lambda} 2A_i$, where $i \in 1, 2, 3$ and the index *i* is cyclic, i.e., i = 4 is to be identified with i = 1. This model is implemented as a set of stochastic processes on a lattice, as before; however, no on-site restrictions are needed due to the conservation law. We implement particle transport via nearest-neighbor exchange reactions, and initialize the lattice with each site holding precisely one particle. Since no on-site restrictions apply, the exchange processes are linear, and therefore remain unaltered by fluctuations



FIG. 16. Macroscopic predation coupling λ , birth rate σ , and diffusivity D for the stochastic May-Leonard model on a twodimensional square lattice (with periodic boundary conditions) of length L = 150 and equal initial densities $a_i(0) = 0.3$ as functions of the microscopic parameters (a) λ_0 , with fixed $\sigma_0 = 1$; (b) σ_0 , with fixed $\lambda_0 = 1$. The data were averaged over 20 independent simulation runs.

and correlations; we set the microscopic exchange rate to $D_0 = 1$. Thus we need not investigate the dependence of the effective particle exchange rates on the microscopic parameters, but only explore how the macroscopic reactive coupling λ depends on its microscopic counterpart λ_0 . The prescribed \mathbb{Z}_3 symmetry is of course preserved under coarse-graining; consequently all three species will be governed by identical macroscopic parameters (this assertion was explicitly checked in our simulations). The measured macroscopic coupling constant is multiplied by the time rescaling factor $(1 + \lambda_0)$, which ensures that D = 1 regardless of the value of λ_0 .

In Fig. 15, the resulting macroscopic predation coupling λ is plotted against its microscopic counterpart, the propensity λ_0 . We observe that always $\lambda < \lambda_0$, indicating that spatial correlations reduce the effective predation rate. Each of the three competing species organize in inert clusters, as in two-species pair annihilation (Sec. IV), and predation reactions can only occur at their interfaces. It turns out that the function $\lambda(\lambda_0) \sim \lambda_0^{\kappa}$ can be fitted to a power law (ignoring the first forty data points), as shown in the figure inset, with exponent $\kappa \approx 0.85$.

B. May-Leonard model

The May-Leonard model variant for three-species cyclic competition breaks the RPS local conservation law for the total population number by splitting the predation and reproduction reactions into independent stochastic processes: $A_i + A_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\lambda} A_i$ and $A_i \xrightarrow{\sigma} 2A_i$. We again implement a single-particle per-site constraint to control the birth reactions with rate σ_0 . Since empty sites can be created by the predation reaction, explicit diffusion is incorporated through nearest-neighbor hopping processes rather than particle exchange reactions. Yet hopping transport is of course limited by the on-site single-particle occupation restriction. Our symmetric spatially extended stochastic May–Leonard model thus comprises three coarse-grained parameters, σ , λ , and D. As in the RPS model, we set the microscopic hopping propensity $D_0 = 1$, and all macroscopic parameters are rescaled by the temporal adjustment factor $(1 + \lambda_0 + \sigma_0)$.

Figure 16 shows the dependence of the macroscopic nonlinear coupling λ , birth rate σ , and diffusivity D on the microscopic parameters λ_0 (a) and σ_0 (b). Since both hopping and birth processes are conditioned on the chosen neighboring site to be empty, their macroscopic rate ratio σ/D remains constant, precisely as for the prey population in the Lotka–Volterra system of Sec. V. As we set $\sigma_0 = D_0 = 1$ in Fig. 16(a), the graphs for $\sigma(\lambda_0)$ and $D(\lambda_0)$ coincide. One observes nontrivial functional dependences of the macroscopic rates on the microscopic parameters: Raising the predation propensity λ_0 increases the density of empty sites, which in turn enhances σ and D, as seen in Fig. 16(a). Similarly, larger values of σ_0 tend to generate more predator-prey neighbor pairs, which is why the coarse-grained predation coupling λ increases as a function of σ_0 . In contrast, increasing σ_0 causes the lattice to saturate and therefore diminishes the density of vacancies and consequently the effective diffusivity D. As for the RPS model, $\lambda(\lambda_0) < \lambda_0$ and likewise $\sigma(\sigma_0) < \sigma_0$; in fact both renormalized macroscopic rates are much smaller than their microscopic counterparts in the May–Leonard system.

Akin to the RPS model, the macroscopic rates σ , λ , and D for the May–Leonard system as functions of the microscopic input parameters σ_0 and λ_0 can be approximately fitted to power laws. The values of the six resulting effective scaling exponents κ are listed in Table I. We note that the exponent for the function $\lambda(\lambda_0)$ comes out much smaller for the May–Leonard as compared to the RPS model, suggesting that the emerging spiral patterns protect each species against predation more effec-

κ	σ_0	λ_0
σ	0.17	0.33
λ	0.17	0.41
D	-0.83	0.33

TABLE I. Approximate scaling exponents obtained by power law fits (ignoring the first forty data points) of the macroscopic parameters σ , λ , and D (matrix rows) for the stochastic May–Leonard model on a square lattice as functions of the microscopic predation rate λ_0 and birth rate σ_0 (columns).

tively than clustering. Since their ratio is preserved under coarse-graining, both σ and D exhibit identical power laws as functions of λ_0 . Surprisingly, our results indicate that also λ and σ show the same dependence on σ_0 . To explain this feature, we recall that the macroscopic rate equations for the symmetric May–Leonard model yield the stationary densities $a_s = \sigma/\lambda$. However, as σ_0 increases past a certain point, the stationary densities a_s must saturate at the value 1/3, owing to the prescribed on-site restrictions. As a consequence, once $a_s \approx 1/3$, we find that $\lambda = 3\sigma$ and both macroscopic parameters obey the same scaling, and indeed, their ratio 3 is confirmed in Fig. 16(b). Finally, since the (anti-)correlations induced by the on-site restrictions preserve the ratio $D(\sigma_0)/\sigma(\sigma_0) = D_0/\sigma_0 = 1/\sigma_0$, the associated power law exponents should differ by 1, as is indeed borne out nicely by the data in Table I. It should be noted that these scaling exponents are not universal, as we found that simulating stochastic May–Leonard models with different microscopic rates (and spatial dimensions) produces different power laws. However, we checked that the exponents κ do not depend on the system size (provided it is sufficiently large).

VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Reaction-diffusion systems are often approximately represented through mean-field ordinary or partial differential equations that largely ignore the effects of stochastic fluctuations and spatial and temporal correlations. While certain systems may qualitatively still be modeled by means of such coupled rate equations, e.g., in sufficiently high dimensions or on strongly connected networks, the effective coarse-grained continuum rates appearing in these nonlinear dynamical equations are hardly ever given by the density- or scale-independent microscopic system parameters. In this article, we have proposed a computational technique that employs agentbased stochastic Monte Carlo lattice simulations to extract the effective renormalized macroscopic reaction rates. The results numerically obtained by this method were first tested for simple restricted birth processes, subject to on-site restrictions on the lattice. We saw that the system is initially described by the growth equation with the microscopic rate value, whereas (only) the longtime asymptotic is described by a logistic equation with a modified effective birth rate. We then compared our simulation data against the well-known results for diffusionlimited single-species binary coagulation and two-species pair annihilation models, thus validating the effects of particle (anti-)correlations on the long-time scaling properties of the macroscopic annihilation rates, as a function either of time or the diminishing particle densities. Furthermore, the usefulness of computing the macroscopic rates was highlighted by providing a straightforward metric tool for whether the system has reached its asymptotic scaling regime. This was accomplished by probing the temporal scaling of the macroscopic annihilation rate.

Next, we applied our technique to the paradigmatic stochastic Lotka-Volterra model for predator-prey competition and coexistence on a square lattice, for which we measured various effective rates for the involved stochastic processes. We found that representing the system through the coupled mean-field rate equations with the measured macroscopic parameters instead of the original microscopic ones, leads to a much improved agreement with the lattice simulation data. Yet we also detected clear renormalization effects caused by fluctuations in the system that are not captured by mere rate or coupling constant renormalizations. In cyclic dominance models of three competing species, our numerical method was used to compare the effects of correlations on the macroscopic rate values in the cyclic Lotka–Volterra (RPS) and May– Leonard models. In both systems, one may fit the emergent coarse-grained effective rates to algebraic power-law dependences on the microscopic rate parameters. In the May-Leonard model, the effective macroscopic rate exhibits a sharper decrease as a function of its microscopic counterpart as compared to the RPS variant, which we attribute to the presence of spiral spatio-temporal patterns that stabilize each species against predation events. Finally, we were able to derive relationships between several rate scaling exponents.

The present work demonstrates the possibility of utilizing agent-based Monte Carlo simulations to compute effective macroscopic rates in stochastic reactive manyparticle models, which in some situations can markedly improve fits to rate equation solutions. Hence the technique proposed in this article may serve as a refined alternative to fitting data to rate equations with constant parameters, as our method allows the implementation of coarse-grained scale-dependent rates that incorporate the time or density dependence due to emerging correlations in the involved stochastic reaction processes. In addition, one may directly probe the large-scale features emerging in complex interacting many-particle systems owing to the interplay of stochastic reaction processes with time-dependent intrinsic constraints.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Matthew Asker, Kenneth Distefano, Lluís Hernandez-Navarro, Mauro Mobilia, Michel Pleimling, Alastair Rucklidge, Louie Hong Yao, and Canon Zeidan for fruitful discussions regarding this work. This research was supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation, Division of Mathematical Sciences under Award No. NSF DMS-2128587.

- H. Haken, Synergetics (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977).
- [2] D. Neal, Introduction to Population Biology (Cambridge University Press, 2018).
- [3] J. Maynard-Smith, Models in Ecology (Cambridge University Press, 1978).
- [4] J. D. Murray, *Mathematical Biology* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2013).
- [5] J. Hofbauer and K. Sigmund, Evolutionary Games and Population Dynamics (Cambridge University Press, 1998).
- [6] R. Durrett, SIAM Rev. Soc. Ind. Appl. Math. 41, 677 (1999).
- [7] J. Bascompte and R. Sole, Modeling Spatiotemporal Dynamics in Ecology (Springer, 1998).
- [8] J. A. Sherratt, J. A. Sherratt, and M. A. Lewis, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B **352**, 21 (1997).
- [9] H. Matsuda, N. Ogita, A. Sasaki, and K. Satō, Prog. Theor. Phys. 88, 1035 (1992).
- [10] L. Frachebourg and P. Krapivsky, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **31**, L287 (1998).
- [11] A. Lipowski, Phys. Rev. E 60, 5179 (1999).
- [12] U. C. Täuber, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 45, 405002 (2012).
- [13] U. Dobramysl, M. Mobilia, M. Pleimling, and U. C. Täuber, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **51**, 063001 (2018).
- [14] T. Antal and M. Droz, Phys. Rev. E 63, 056119 (2001).
 [15] M. Droz and A. Pękalski, Phys. Rev. E 63, 051909
- (2001). (2001).
- [16] R. Durrett and S. Levin, Theor. Popul. Biol. 46, 363 (1994).
- [17] U. C. Täuber, *Critical Dynamics* (Cambridge University Press, 2014).
- [18] N. S. Goel, S. C. Maitra, and E. W. Montroll, Rev. Mod. Phys. 43, 231 (1971).
- [19] G. Picard and T. W. Johnston, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 1610 (1982).
- [20] A. J. McKane and T. J. Newman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 218102 (2005).
- [21] S. R. Dunbar, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc 286, 557 (1984).
- [22] A. J. Lotka, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 6, 410 (1920).
- [23] V. Volterra, Nature 118, 558 (1926).
- [24] M. L. Rosenzweig and R. H. MacArthur, Am. Nat. 97, 209 (1963).
- [25] Z. Li, M. Gao, C. Hui, H. Xiao-zhuo, and H. Shi, Ecol. Modell. 185, 245 (2005).
- [26] R. M. May and W. J. Leonard, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 29, 243 (1975).
- [27] U. C. Täuber, M. Howard, and B. P. Vollmayr-Lee, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 38, R79 (2005).
- [28] M. Crawley and R. May, J. Theor. Biol. **125**, 475 (1987).
- [29] M. Swailem and U. C. Täuber, Phys. Rev. E 107, 064144 (2023).
- [30] N. Boccara, O. Roblin, and M. Roger, Phys. Rev. E 50, 4531 (1994).
- [31] A. Lipowski and D. Lipowska, Phys. A: Stat. Mech.

Appl. 276, 456 (2000).

- [32] R. Monetti, A. Rozenfeld, and E. Albano, Phys. A: Stat. Mech. Appl. 283, 52 (2000).
- [33] A. Rozenfeld and E. Albano, Phys. A: Stat. Mech. Appl. 266, 322 (1999).
- [34] M. Kowalik, A. Lipowski, and A. L. Ferreira, Phys. Rev. E 66, 066107 (2002).
- [35] M. Doi, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 9, 1465 (1976).
- [36] L. Peliti, J. Phys. France 46, 14691483 (1985).
- [37] F. van Wijland, Phys. Rev. E 63, 022101 (2001).
- [38] U. C. Täuber, M. Howard, and B. P. Vollmayr-Lee, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 38, R79 (2005).
- [39] D. C. Mattis and M. L. Glasser, Rev. Mod. Phys. 70, 979 (1998).
- [40] A. Andreanov, G. Biroli, J.-P. Bouchaud, and A. Lefèvre, Phys. Rev. E 74, 030101(R) (2006).
- [41] P. Grassberger and M. Scheunert, Fortschr. Phys. 28, 547578 (1980).
- [42] H.-K. Janssen and U. C. Täuber, Ann. Phys. 315, 147192 (2005).
- [43] H.-K. Janssen, Z. Phys. 42, 151154 (1981).
- [44] J. L. Cardy and R. L. Sugar, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 13, L423 (1980).
- [45] T. Butler and D. Reynolds, Phys. Rev. E 79, 032901 (2009).
- [46] J. Cardy, J.-B. Zuber, Adv. Ser. in Math. Phys. 24, 113128 (1997).
- [47] M. Droz and L. Sasvári, Phys. Rev. E 48, R2343 (1993).
- [48] M. Mobilia, I. T. Georgiev, and U. C. Täuber, J. Stat. Phys. **128**, 447 (2007).
- [49] L. H. Yao, M. Swailem, U. Dobramysl, and U. C. Täuber, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 56, 225001 (2023).
- [50] T. Butler and N. Goldenfeld, Phys. Rev. E 84, 011112 (2011).
- [51] H.-Y. Shih and N. Goldenfeld, Phys. Rev. E 90, 050702(R) (2014).
- [52] T. Butler and N. Goldenfeld, Phys. Rev. E 80, 030902(R) (2009).
- [53] B. P. Lee and J. Cardy, J. Stat. Phys. 80, 9711007 (1995).
- [54] B. P. Lee, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 27, 2633 (1994).
- [55] B. P. Lee and J. Cardy, Phys. Rev. E 50, R3287 (1994).
- [56] Z. Konkoli, H. Johannesson, and B. P. Lee, Phys. Rev. E 59, R3787 (1999).
- [57] B. P. Vollmayr-Lee and M. M. Gildner, Phys. Rev. E 73, 041103 (2006).
- [58] B. P. Vollmayr-Lee, J. Hanson, R. S. McIsaac, and J. D. Hellerick, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **51**, 034002 (2018).
- [59] L. Gálfi and Z. Rácz, Phys. Rev. A **38**, 3151 (1988).
- [60] E. Monson and R. Kopelman, Phys. Rev. E 69, 021103 (2004).
- [61] R. Kroon, H. Fleurent, and R. Sprik, Phys. Rev. E 47, 2462 (1993).
- [62] M. Bramson and J. L. Lebowitz, J. Stat. Phys. 65, 941 (1991).
- [63] O. Deloubrière, H. J. Hilhorst, and U. C. Täuber, Phys.

Rev. Lett. 89, 250601 (2002).

- [64] H. J. Hilhorst, O. Deloubrière, M. J. Washenberger, and U. C. Täuber, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 37, 7063 (2004).
- [65] H. J. Hilhorst, M. J. Washenberger, and U. C. Täuber, J. Stat. Mech. 2004, P10002 (2004).
- [66] M. Doi, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 9, 1479 (1976).
- [67] L. Peliti, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 19, L365 (1986).
- [68] F. C. Alcaraz, M. Droz, M. Henkel, and V. Rittenberg, Ann. Phys. 230, 250302 (1994).
- [69] P.-A. Rey and J. Cardy, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 32, 1585 (1999).
- [70] D. C. Vernon, Phys. Rev. E 68, 041103 (2003).
- [71] T. Ohtsuki, Phys. Rev. A 43, 6917 (1991).
- [72] J. Allam, M. T. Sajjad, R. Sutton, K. Litvinenko, Z. Wang, S. Siddique, Q.-H. Yang, W. H. Loh, and T. Brown, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 197401 (2013).
- [73] D. Toussaint and F. Wilczek, J. Chem. Phys. 78, 26422647 (1983).
- [74] A. Ovchinnikov and Y. Zeldovich, Chem. Phys. 28, 215218 (1978).
- [75] J. E. Satulovsky and T. Tomé, Phys. Rev. E 49, 5073 (1994).
- [76] S. Chen and U. C. Täuber, Phys. Biol. 13, 025005 (2016).
- [77] M. J. Washenberger, M. Mobilia, and U. C. Täuber, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **19**, 065139 (2007).
- [78] G. A. Tsekouras and A. Provata, Phys. Rev. E 65, 016204 (2001).
- [79] G. Szabó and A. Szolnoki, Phys. Rev. E 65, 036115 (2002).
- [80] K. I. Tainaka, Phys. Rev. E 50, 3401 (1994).
- [81] K. Tainaka, Phys. Lett. A **179**, 303 (1993).
- [82] K. Tainaka, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn 57, 2588 (1988).
- [83] M. Frean and E. R. Abraham, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 268, 1323 (2001).
- [84] Q. He, M. Mobilia, and U. C. Täuber, Phys. Rev. E 82, 051909 (2010).
- [85] B. Kerr, M. A. Riley, M. W. Feldman, and B. J. M.

Bohannan, Nature **418**, 171174 (2002).

- [86] T. Reichenbach, M. Mobilia, and E. Frey, J. Theor. Biol. 254, 368 (2008).
- [87] T. Reichenbach, M. Mobilia, and E. Frey, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 238105 (2007).
- [88] S. R. Serrao and U. C. Täuber, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 50, 404005 (2017).
- [89] S. Rulands, T. Reichenbach, and E. Frey, J. Stat. Mech. 2011, L01003 (2011).
- [90] S. Rulands, A. Zielinski, and E. Frey, Phys. Rev. E 87, 052710 (2013).
- [91] Q. He, M. Mobilia, and U. C. Täuber, Eur. Phys. J. B 82, 97105 (2011).
- [92] E. Frey, Physica A **389**, 42654298 (2011).
- [93] T. Reichenbach, M. Mobilia, and E. Frey, J. Theor. Biol. 254, 368383 (2008).
- [94] H. Mir, J. Stidham, and M. Pleimling, Phys. Rev. E 105, 054401 (2022).
- [95] S. Venkat and M. Pleimling, Phys. Rev. E 81, 021917 (2010).
- [96] R. Baker and M. Pleimling, J. Theor. Biol. 486, 110084 (2020).
- [97] J. Menezes, B. Moura, and T. A. Pereira, EPL **126**, 18003 (2019).
- [98] P. P. Avelino, D. Bazeia, L. Losano, J. Menezes, and B. F. de Oliveira, EPL **121**, 48003 (2018).
- [99] J. Menezes, Phys. Rev. E 103, 052216 (2021).
- [100] J. Menezes, E. Rangel, and B. Moura, Ecol. Inform. 69, 101606 (2022).
- [101] It is common in the literature to define $\frac{da}{dt} = -\tilde{\lambda}(a) a^2$. However, in this case $\tilde{\lambda}(a)$ should be more adequately viewed as a running coupling rather than a macroscopic reaction rate.
- [102] We could instead only restrict the local prey density $b(x,t) \leq K$; yet this modification does not significantly change the system's behavior.
- [103] If a prey is selected and finds a predator on a neighboring site, a predation reaction is not attempted.