

# On tight $(k, \ell)$ -stable graphs

Xiaonan Liu <sup>\*</sup>      Zi-Xia Song <sup>†</sup>      Zhiyu Wang <sup>‡</sup>

April 3, 2024

## Abstract

For integers  $k > \ell \geq 0$ , a graph  $G$  is  $(k, \ell)$ -stable if  $\alpha(G - S) \geq \alpha(G) - \ell$  for every  $S \subseteq V(G)$  with  $|S| = k$ . A recent result of Dong and Wu [SIAM J. Discrete Math., 36 (2022) 229–240] shows that every  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graph  $G$  satisfies  $\alpha(G) \leq \lfloor (|V(G)| - k + 1)/2 \rfloor + \ell$ . A  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graph  $G$  is tight if  $\alpha(G) = \lfloor (|V(G)| - k + 1)/2 \rfloor + \ell$ ; and  $q$ -tight for some integer  $q \geq 0$  if  $\alpha(G) = \lfloor (|V(G)| - k + 1)/2 \rfloor + \ell - q$ . In this paper, we first prove that for all  $k \geq 24$ , the only tight  $(k, 0)$ -stable graphs are  $K_{k+1}$  and  $K_{k+2}$ , answering a question of Dong and Luo [arXiv: 2401.16639]. We then prove that for all nonnegative integers  $k, \ell, q$  with  $k \geq 3\ell + 3$ , every  $q$ -tight  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graph has at most  $k - 3\ell - 3 + 2^{3(\ell+2q+4)^2}$  vertices, answering a question of Dong and Luo in the negative.

## 1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, simple, and undirected. Given a graph  $G$ , we use  $V(G)$  to denote the vertex set,  $E(G)$  the edge set,  $\delta(G)$  the minimum degree,  $\Delta(G)$  the maximum degree,  $\alpha(G)$  the independence number, and  $\omega(G)$  the clique number of  $G$ . The resilience of graph properties is a fundamental question in graph theory. Motivated by studies on the Erdős-Rogers function, Dong and Wu [4] investigated the resilience of graph independence number with respect to removing vertices. Given a graph  $G = (V, E)$  and a subset  $S$  of  $V(G)$ , let  $G - S$  be the induced subgraph of  $G$  with vertex set  $V(G) - S$ . For every  $k > \ell \geq 0$ , we say that a graph  $G$  is  $(k, \ell)$ -stable if for every  $S \subseteq V(G)$  with  $|S| = k$ ,  $\alpha(G - S) \geq \alpha(G) - \ell$ .

Theorem 1.1 is a result of Dong and Wu [4] on the independence number of  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graphs; it was used to obtain new values of the Erdős-Rogers function (see [4, Theorem 2]).

**Theorem 1.1** ([4]). *For all integers  $k > \ell \geq 0$ , every  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graph on  $n$  vertices satisfies*

$$\alpha(G) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n - k + 1}{2} \right\rfloor + \ell.$$

A  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graph  $G$  is *tight* if  $\alpha(G) = \lfloor \frac{n-k+1}{2} \rfloor + \ell$ . Dong and Wu [4] proved that there exists a tight  $n$ -vertex  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graph for every  $n$ , provided that  $k = \ell + 1$  or  $k = \ell + 2$ . Very recently, Dong and Luo [3] studied the structure of tight  $(k, 0)$ -stable graphs. In particular, they proved that while there exist tight  $(1, 0)$ -stable and  $(2, 0)$ -stable  $n$ -vertex graphs for every  $n$ , a tight  $(k, 0)$ -stable graph has at most  $k + 6$  vertices for all  $k \geq 3$ .

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, 37240 (xiaonan.liu@vanderbilt.edu).

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL, 32816 (Zixia.Song@ucf.edu). Supported by NSF grant DMS-2153945.

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, 70803 (zhiyuw@lsu.edu).

**Theorem 1.2** ([3]). *For all  $k \geq 3$ , every tight  $(k, 0)$ -stable graph has at most  $k + 6$  vertices.*

Observe that for every  $k \geq 1$ , a tight  $(k, 0)$ -stable graph always exists, as demonstrated by the complete graphs  $K_{k+1}$  and  $K_{k+2}$ . However, for  $k \geq 3$ , Dong and Luo [3] asked whether there exists any other natural infinite family  $G_k$  that is tight  $(k, 0)$ -stable. In particular, they asked the following: does there exist a positive integer  $k_0$  such that for all  $k \geq k_0$ , the only tight  $(k, 0)$ -stable graphs are  $K_{k+1}$  (and  $K_{k+2}$ )? We first answer this question in the positive. Let  $R(s, t)$  denote the Ramsey number of  $K_s$  and  $K_t$ , i.e., the minimum integer  $N$  such that any graph on  $n \geq N$  vertices contains either an independent set of  $s$  vertices or a clique of  $t$  vertices. Recall that  $R(4, 5) = 25$ . We prove Theorem 1.3 here as its proof is very short. Our proof is inspired by the argument of Dong and Wu [4] who proved that there is no tight  $(3, 0)$ -stable graph on six vertices.

**Theorem 1.3.** *For all  $k \geq 24$ , the only tight  $(k, 0)$ -stable graphs are  $K_{k+1}$  and  $K_{k+2}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a tight  $(k, 0)$ -stable graph on  $n \geq k + 1$  vertices, where  $k \geq 24$ . Then

$$\alpha(G) = \left\lfloor \frac{n - k + 1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

It suffices to show that  $\alpha(G) = 1$ . Suppose  $\alpha(G) \geq 2$ . By Theorem 1.2,  $n = k + c$  for some positive integer  $c \leq 6$ . Moreover, since  $G$  is  $(k, 0)$ -stable, for every induced subgraph  $T$  of  $G$  with  $|V(T)| = c$ ,

$$\alpha(T) = \alpha(G) = \left\lfloor \frac{k + c - k + 1}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{c + 1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

It follows that  $3 \leq c \leq 6$  because  $\alpha(G) \geq 2$ ; moreover,  $\alpha(G) = 2$  when  $3 \leq c \leq 4$  and  $\alpha(G) = 3$  when  $5 \leq c \leq 6$ . This, together with the fact that  $n \geq k + 1 \geq 25 = R(4, 5)$ , implies that  $\omega(G) \geq 5$ . Let  $K$  be a clique of  $G$  with  $|K| = 5$  and  $T$  be an induced subgraph of  $G$  with  $|V(T)| = c$  such that  $|V(T) \cap K|$  is maximum. Then  $\alpha(T) = 1$  if  $c \leq 5$  and  $\alpha(T) \leq 2$  if  $c = 6$ , contrary to the fact that  $\alpha(G) = \alpha(T)$ . This proves that for all  $k \geq 24$ , the only tight  $(k, 0)$ -stable graphs are  $K_{k+1}$  and  $K_{k+2}$ , as desired.  $\square$

In another direction, for every  $\ell \geq 0$ , Dong and Wu [4] constructed a sequence of  $n$ -vertex  $(\ell + 3, \ell)$ -stable graphs with independence number  $n/2 - O(\sqrt{n})$ . Alon [1] extended the above result to a more general setting by showing that for every  $k > \ell \geq 0$ , there exists a sequence of  $n$ -vertex  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graphs with independence number  $n/2 - o(n)$ . For  $k = 3$ , Wu and Luo [3] asked whether there exists some constant  $c > 0$  such that there is a sequence of  $(3, 0)$ -stable graphs  $G$ , with vertex number  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\alpha(G) \geq |V(G)|/2 - c$ ? Here we answer their question in the negative.

**Theorem 1.4.** *For every constant  $q > 0$  and  $k \geq 3$ , there exists some constant  $C_{q,k}$  such that every  $(k, 0)$ -stable graph  $G$  with  $\alpha(G) \geq |V(G)|/2 - q$  has at most  $C_{q,k}$  vertices.*

We prove the following stronger result. For integers  $k > \ell \geq 0$  and  $q \geq 0$ , we call a graph  $G$   $q$ -tight  $(k, \ell)$ -stable if  $G$  is  $(k, \ell)$ -stable and  $\alpha(G) = \lfloor \frac{n-k+1}{2} \rfloor + \ell - q$ . When  $q = 0$ , a  $q$ -tight  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graph is exactly a tight  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graph.

**Theorem 1.5.** *For nonnegative integers  $k, \ell, q$  with  $k \geq 3\ell + 3$ , every  $q$ -tight  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graph has at most  $(k - 3\ell - 3) + 2^{3(\ell+2q+4)^2}$  vertices.*

We obtain a slightly better upper bound for  $q$ -tight  $(k, 0)$ -stable graphs.

**Theorem 1.6.** *For integers  $k \geq 3$  and  $q \geq 0$ , every  $q$ -tight  $(k, 0)$ -stable graph has at most  $(k - 3) + 2^{3(2q+3)^2}$  vertices.*

It is worth noting that Theorem 1.4 follows from Theorem 1.6 by setting  $C_{q,k} = k - 3 + 2^{3(2q+3)^2}$ . Recall that 0-tight  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graphs are tight  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graphs. Combining this with Theorem 1.5 leads to Corollary 1.7.

**Corollary 1.7.** *For nonnegative integers  $k, \ell$  with  $k \geq 3\ell + 3$ , every tight  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graph has at most  $(k - 3\ell - 3) + 2^{3(\ell+4)^2}$  vertices. In particular, for all  $k \geq 6$ , every tight  $(k, 1)$ -stable graph has at most  $k - 6 + 2^{75}$  vertices.*

One can now ask the same question: does there exist a positive integer  $k_0$  such that for all  $k \geq k_0$ , the only tight  $(k, 1)$ -stable graphs are  $K_k$ ,  $K_{k+1}$  and  $K_{k+2}$ ? The answer is negative in this case, as such a graph may have independence number two. However, the number of vertices of such graphs is at most  $k + 2$ . The proof of Theorem 1.8 is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.3. We provide a proof here for completeness.

**Theorem 1.8.** *For all  $k \geq R(2^{74}, 2^{75} - 6)$ , the only tight  $(k, 1)$ -stable graphs are  $K_k$ , and spanning subgraphs of  $K_{k+1}$  or  $K_{k+2}$  with independence number two; in particular, for all  $k \geq R(2^{74}, 2^{75} - 6)$ , every tight  $(k, 1)$ -stable graph has at most  $k + 2$  vertices.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a tight  $(k, 1)$ -stable graph on  $n \geq k$  vertices, where  $k \geq R(2^{74}, 2^{75} - 6)$ . Then

$$\alpha(G) = \left\lfloor \frac{n - k + 1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1. \quad (a)$$

It suffices to show that  $\alpha(G) \leq 2$ . Suppose  $\alpha(G) \geq 3$ . By Corollary 1.7,  $n = k + c$  for some positive integer  $c \leq 2^{75} - 6$ . It follows that

$$\alpha(G) = \left\lfloor \frac{k + c - k + 1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{c + 1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \leq 2^{74} - 1.$$

Since  $G$  is  $(k, 1)$ -stable, for every induced subgraph  $T$  of  $G$  with  $|V(T)| = c$ ,

$$\alpha(T) \geq \alpha(G) - 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{c + 1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Note that  $n \geq k \geq R(2^{74}, 2^{75} - 6)$  and  $\alpha(G) \leq 2^{74} - 1$ ; we see that  $\omega(G) \geq 2^{75} - 6$ . Let  $K$  be a clique of  $G$  with  $|K| = 2^{75} - 6$  and  $T$  be an induced subgraph of  $G$  with  $|V(T)| = c$  such that  $|V(T) \cap K|$  is maximum. Then  $\alpha(T) = 1$ , contrary to the fact that  $\alpha(T) \geq \alpha(G) - 1 \geq 2$ . This proves that  $\alpha(G) \leq 2$ , and so  $n \leq k + 2$  by (a). It follows that  $G$  is  $K_k$  if  $\alpha(G) = 1$  and  $G$  is a spanning subgraph of  $K_{k+1}$  or  $K_{k+2}$  when  $\alpha(G) = 2$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** Following Theorem 1.8, one can show that for a fixed integer  $\ell \geq 0$  and every  $k \geq R(2^{3(\ell+4)^2-1}, 2^{3(\ell+4)^2} - 3\ell - 3)$ , every tight  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graph has at most  $k + 2$  vertices.

It would be interesting to know whether Theorem 1.5 holds when  $k \leq 3\ell + 2$ . For  $2\ell + 3 \leq k \leq 3\ell + 2$ , we establish a similar result for  $q$ -tight  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graphs, albeit with a worse upper bound. However, the condition  $k \geq 2\ell + 3$  is best possible when  $q = 0$ , as every odd cycle on at least  $2\ell + 3$  vertices is tight  $(2\ell + 2, \ell)$ -stable (see Lemma 4.1).

**Theorem 1.9.** *For nonnegative integers  $k, \ell, q$  with  $k \geq 2\ell + 3$ , if  $G$  is a  $q$ -tight  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graph on  $n$  vertices, then*

$$n \leq (q + 1)(\ell + 1)(k + 2q - 2\ell - 1)^2 2^{6(k - 2\ell + 2q)^2}.$$

The paper is organized as follows. We prove Theorem 1.5 and Theorems 1.6 in Section 3, and Theorem 1.9 in Section 4. We end the paper with some concluding remarks.

## 2 Preliminaries

Following Wu and Luo [3], our proofs also utilize properties of  $\alpha$ -critical graphs, where a graph  $G$  is  $\alpha$ -critical if  $\alpha(G - e) > \alpha(G)$  for every edge  $e \in E(G)$ . We define  $d(G) := |V(G)| - 2\alpha(G)$  to be the *deficiency* of  $G$ . Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 are results of Lovász [7, 8] to bound the number of vertices of degree at least three in connected,  $\alpha$ -critical graphs.

**Theorem 2.1** ([7]). *Let  $G$  be a connected,  $\alpha$ -critical graph with deficiency  $d \geq 0$ . Then  $G$  has at most  $2^{2^{d+2}}$  vertices of degree at least three.*

**Theorem 2.2** ([8]). *Let  $G$  be a connected,  $\alpha$ -critical graph with minimum degree at least 3 and deficiency  $d \geq 2$ . Then  $G$  has at most  $2^{3d^2}$  vertices.*

We next make some observations on the deficiency of  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graphs and  $q$ -tight  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graphs. Observation 2.3 is due to Dong and Luo [3, Theorem 1.3].

**Observation 2.3** ([3]). *Every  $(3, 0)$ -stable graph has minimum degree at least three.*

**Observation 2.4.** *Every  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graph with  $k \geq 2\ell + 3$  has deficiency at least two. In particular, every  $(3, 0)$ -stable graph has deficiency at least two.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graph on  $n$  vertices with  $k \geq 2\ell + 3$ . By Theorem 1.1,

$$\alpha(G) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n - k + 1}{2} \right\rfloor + \ell \leq \frac{n - k + 1}{2} + \ell,$$

and so  $d(G) = n - 2\alpha(G) \geq k - 2\ell - 1 \geq (2\ell + 3) - 2\ell - 1 = 2$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Observation 2.5.** *For all integers  $k, \ell, q$  with  $k > \ell$  and  $q \geq 0$ , every  $q$ -tight  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graph  $G$  satisfies  $k - 2\ell + 2q - 1 \leq d(G) \leq k - 2\ell + 2q$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a  $q$ -tight  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graph on  $n$  vertices. Then

$$\frac{n - k}{2} + \ell - q \leq \alpha(G) = \left\lfloor \frac{n - k + 1}{2} \right\rfloor + \ell - q \leq \frac{n - k + 1}{2} + \ell - q.$$

It follows that  $k - 2\ell + 2q - 1 \leq d(G) = n - 2\alpha(G) \leq k - 2\ell + 2q$ , as desired.  $\square$

### 3 Proofs of Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6

We begin this section with a lemma on the number of vertices of  $q$ -tight  $(3, 0)$ -stable graphs. Note that for all  $k \geq 3$ , the graph obtained from a  $q$ -tight  $(k, 0)$ -stable graph by deleting any  $k - 3$  vertices is  $q$ -tight  $(3, 0)$ -stable. Combining this with Lemma 3.1 leads to Theorem 1.6.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For all  $q \geq 0$ , every  $q$ -tight  $(3, 0)$ -stable graph has at most  $2^{3(3+2q)^2}$  vertices.*

*Proof.* We proceed the proof by induction on  $q$ . By Theorem 1.2, every tight  $(3, 0)$ -stable graph has at most  $9 < 2^{27}$  vertices, and so the statement holds when  $q = 0$ . We may assume that  $q \geq 1$ , and the statement holds for any  $q'$ -tight  $(3, 0)$ -stable graph, where  $0 \leq q' < q$ . Let  $G$  be a  $q$ -tight  $(3, 0)$ -stable graph on  $n$  vertices. Then

$$\alpha(G) = \left\lfloor \frac{n-3+1}{2} \right\rfloor - q = \left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor - q.$$

Let  $G'$  be an  $\alpha$ -critical spanning subgraph of  $G$  such that  $\alpha(G') = \alpha(G)$ . Note that  $G'$  is also  $q$ -tight  $(3, 0)$ -stable. By Observation 2.5,  $2q + 2 \leq d(G') \leq 2q + 3$ . Suppose  $G'$  is connected. By Theorem 2.2,  $G'$  has at most  $2^{3(3+2q)^2}$  vertices. We may assume that  $G'$  is disconnected. Let  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_t$  be the components of  $G'$ , where  $t \geq 2$ , and let  $n_i := |V(C_i)|$  for each  $i \in [t]$ . Observe that  $C_i$  is  $(3, 0)$ -stable. Then  $C_i$  is  $q_i$ -tight  $(3, 0)$ -stable for some integer  $q_i \geq 0$ . This gives

$$\frac{n-3}{2} - q \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor - q = \alpha(G) = \alpha(G') = \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha(C_i) = \sum_{i=1}^t \left( \left\lfloor \frac{n_i-3+1}{2} \right\rfloor - q_i \right) \leq \frac{n}{2} - t - \sum_{i=1}^t q_i.$$

It follows that  $\sum_{i=1}^t q_i \leq q + 3/2 - t$ , and so  $\sum_{i=1}^t q_i \leq q + 1 - t$  as  $q_i, q, t$  are all integers. Hence  $t \leq q + 1 - \sum_{i=1}^t q_i \leq q + 1$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^t q_i \leq q + 1 - 2 = q - 1$  as  $t \geq 2$ , and so  $0 \leq q_i \leq q - 1$  for each  $i \in [t]$ . We may assume that  $G'$  has exactly  $t_0$  components that are tight  $(3, 0)$ -stable. Then  $0 \leq t_0 \leq t$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $n_i \leq 2^{3(3+2q_i)^2}$  for every  $i \in [t]$ , moreover  $n_i \leq 9$  if  $q_i = 0$ . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} n &= \sum_{i=1}^t n_i = \sum_{i \in [t]: q_i=0} n_i + \sum_{i \in [t]: q_i \geq 1} n_i \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in [t]: q_i=0} 9 + \sum_{i \in [t]: q_i \geq 1} 2^{3(3+2q_i)^2} \\ &= 9t_0 + \sum_{i \in [t]: q_i \geq 1} 2^{3(3+2q_i)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the function  $f(x) = 2^{3(3+2x)^2}$  is increasing and satisfies that  $f(x) + f(y) \leq f(x+y)$  for  $x, y \geq 1$ . Hence

$$\sum_{i \in [t]: q_i \geq 1} 2^{3(3+2q_i)^2} = \sum_{i \in [t]: q_i \geq 1} f(q_i) \leq f\left(\sum_{i \in [t]: q_i \geq 1} q_i\right) \leq f\left(\sum_{i=1}^t q_i\right) \leq f(q+1-t).$$

This gives

$$n \leq 9t_0 + \sum_{i \in [t]: q_i \geq 1} 2^{3(3+2q_i)^2} \leq 9t + f(q+1-t) = 9t + 2^{3(3+2q+2-2t)^2} \leq 2^{3(3+2q)^2},$$

because  $2 \leq t \leq q + 1$  and the function  $9t + 2^{3(3+2q+2-2t)^2}$  is decreasing in  $t$  over the interval  $[2, q + 1]$ . Therefore, every  $q$ -tight  $(3, 0)$ -stable graph has at most  $2^{3(3+2q)^2}$  vertices.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.5, which we restate it here for convenience.

**Theorem 1.5.** *For nonnegative integers  $k, \ell, q$  with  $k \geq 3\ell + 3$ , every  $q$ -tight  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graph has at most  $(k - 3\ell - 3) + 2^{3(\ell+2q+4)^2}$  vertices.*

*Proof.* We apply induction on  $\ell$ . By Theorem 1.6, the statement holds for  $\ell = 0$ . We may assume that  $\ell \geq 1$  and the statement holds for every  $q$ -tight  $(k', \ell')$ -stable graph with  $k' \geq 3\ell' + 3$ , where  $0 \leq \ell' < \ell$ . Let  $G$  be a  $q$ -tight  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graph on  $n$  vertices with  $k \geq 3\ell + 3$ . Then

$$\alpha(G) = \left\lfloor \frac{n - k + 1}{2} \right\rfloor + \ell - q.$$

We choose  $S \subseteq V(G)$  such that  $\alpha(G - S) = \alpha(G) - 1$  and  $|S|$  is minimum. Let  $s := |S|$ . Observe that  $s \geq 1$ ; by the choice of  $S$ ,  $G$  is  $(s - 1, 0)$ -stable when  $s \geq 2$ . Suppose  $s \geq k - 3\ell + 1$ . Then  $G$  is  $(k - 3\ell, 0)$ -stable because  $k \geq 3\ell + 3$  and  $G$  is  $(k, \ell)$ -stable. Let

$$p := \left\lfloor \frac{n - (k - 3\ell) + 1}{2} \right\rfloor - \alpha(G) = \left\lfloor \frac{n - (k - 3\ell) + 1}{2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n - k + 1}{2} \right\rfloor - \ell + q.$$

Then  $p \leq (\ell + 1)/2 + q$  and  $G$  is  $p$ -tight  $(k - 3\ell, 0)$ -stable. By Theorem 1.6,

$$n \leq (k - 3\ell - 3) + 2^{3(3+2p)^2} \leq k - 3\ell - 3 + 2^{3(3+\ell+1+2q)^2} = k - 3\ell - 3 + 2^{3(4+\ell+2q)^2},$$

as desired. We may assume that  $s \leq k - 3\ell$ . Then  $k - s \geq 3\ell = 3(\ell - 1) + 3 > \ell - 1$ . Note that  $G - S$  has  $n - s$  vertices and  $\alpha(G - S) = \alpha(G) - 1$ . Since  $G$  is  $(k, \ell)$ -stable, we see that  $G - S$  is  $(k - s, \ell - 1)$ -stable. Observe that

$$\alpha(G - S) = \alpha(G) - 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{n - k + 1}{2} \right\rfloor + \ell - q - 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{n - s - (k - s) + 1}{2} \right\rfloor + (\ell - 1) - q,$$

and it follows that  $G - S$  is  $q$ -tight  $(k - s, \ell - 1)$ -stable. Recall that  $k - s \geq 3(\ell - 1) + 3$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $G - S$  has at most  $(k - s - 3(\ell - 1) - 3) + 2^{3(4+(\ell-1)+2q)^2}$  vertices. Therefore,

$$n = |S| + |V(G - S)| \leq s + (k - s - 3\ell) + 2^{3(3+\ell+2q)^2} \leq k - 3\ell - 3 + 2^{3(4+\ell+2q)^2}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5.  $\square$

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.9

As mentioned earlier, it would be interesting to know whether Theorem 1.5 holds when  $k \leq 3\ell + 2$ . We begin this section with a lemma which demonstrates that  $k$  needs to be larger than  $2\ell + 2$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *For all  $\ell \geq 0$ , every odd cycle on at least  $2\ell + 3$  vertices is tight  $(2\ell + 2, \ell)$ -stable.*

*Proof.* Let  $C$  be an odd cycle on  $n \geq 2\ell + 3$  vertices. Let  $S \subseteq V(C)$  such that  $|S| = 2\ell + 2$ . Then  $C - S$  is a disjoint union of paths. Denote  $C - S$  by  $\cup_{i=1}^t P_i$ , where  $P_i$  is a path on  $n_i$  vertices in  $C$  for each  $i \in [t]$ . Since  $\alpha(P_i) = \lceil n_i/2 \rceil \geq n_i/2$ , we see that

$$\alpha(C - S) = \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha(P_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{n_i}{2} = \frac{n - |S|}{2} = \frac{n - 1}{2} - \ell - \frac{1}{2} = \alpha(C) - \ell - \frac{1}{2}.$$

This gives  $\alpha(C - S) \geq \alpha(C) - \ell$  as  $\alpha(C - S), \alpha(C), \ell$  are all integers. Hence,  $C$  is  $(2\ell + 2, \ell)$ -stable. Note that

$$\alpha(C) = \frac{n - 1}{2} = \left\lfloor \frac{n - (2\ell + 2) + 1}{2} \right\rfloor + \ell.$$

Thus,  $C$  is tight  $(2\ell + 2, \ell)$ -stable, as desired.  $\square$

Before we prove Theorem 1.9, we need to introduce more properties of  $\alpha$ -critical graphs. Erdős and Gallai [5] proved that the deficiency of an  $\alpha$ -critical graph is always non-negative, and the only connected,  $\alpha$ -critical graph with deficiency 0 is  $K_2$ . Theorem 4.2 is a result of Hajnal [6] from 1965 on the maximum degree of an  $\alpha$ -critical graph.

**Theorem 4.2** ([6]). *Let  $G$  be an  $\alpha$ -critical graph with deficiency  $d \geq 0$ . Then  $\Delta(G) \leq d + 1$ .*

Theorem 4.2 implies that the only connected,  $\alpha$ -critical graphs with deficiency 1 are the odd cycles. The structures of connected,  $\alpha$ -critical graphs for deficiency 2 and 3 are classified by Andrásfai [2] and Surányi [9], respectively. We next introduce an operation on  $\alpha$ -critical graphs due to Lovász [7, 8].

A *split* operation on a vertex  $v$  in a graph  $G$  with  $d_G(v) \geq 2$  is to first replace  $v$  with two new non-adjacent vertices  $v'$  and  $v''$  such that  $N_G(v') \cap N_G(v'') = \emptyset$ ,  $\min\{|N_G(v')|, |N_G(v'')|\} \geq 1$  and  $N_G(v') \cup N_G(v'') = N_G(v)$ , and then connect both  $v'$  and  $v''$  to a new vertex  $w$ . Observe that given an edge  $uv$  (where  $d_G(v) \geq 2$ ), splitting the vertex  $v$  into  $v', v''$  such that  $N_G(v') = \{u\}$  is equivalent to subdividing the edge  $uv$  by two vertices (which are  $v'$  and the new vertex  $w$ ). Lovász [7, 8] made the following two observations.

**Observation 4.3** ([7, 8]). *For any graph  $G$ ,*

- (i) *if  $G'$  is obtained from  $G$  by splitting a vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , then  $G'$  is  $\alpha$ -critical if and only if  $G$  is, and  $d(G) = d(G')$ .*
- (ii) *if  $G \neq K_3$  is an  $\alpha$ -critical graph and  $u \in V(G)$  is a vertex of degree two with neighbors  $v, w$ . Then  $vw \notin E(G)$ , and  $u$  is the only common neighbor of  $v$  and  $w$ .*

We also need the following observation on  $\alpha$ -critical graphs, which we prove it here for completeness.

**Observation 4.4.** *Let  $G$  be a connected  $\alpha$ -critical graph with  $|V(G)| \geq 3$ . Then  $\delta(G) \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that  $G$  has no cut vertex. Suppose otherwise that  $G$  has a cut vertex  $v$  and the components  $G - v$  are  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_t$  with  $t \geq 2$ . Observe that since  $G$  is  $\alpha$ -critical, every vertex in  $G$  belongs to a maximum independent set of  $G$ . Let  $S$  be a maximum independent set in  $G$  containing  $v$ . For each  $i \in [t]$ , let  $x_i$  be a neighbor of  $v$  in  $C_i$ . Since  $G$  is  $\alpha$ -critical,



$\alpha(G - vx_i) = \alpha(G) + 1$ , which implies that there exists an independent set of size  $\alpha(G) + 1$  in  $G - vx_i$  containing both  $v$  and  $x_i$ . It follows that  $\alpha(C_i) \geq |S \cap V(C_i)| + 1$  for every  $i \in [t]$ . Thus

$$\alpha(G) \geq \sum_{i \in [t]} \alpha(C_i) \geq \sum_{i \in [t]} (|S \cap V(C_i)| + 1) > |S|,$$

since  $t \geq 2$ , contradicting that  $S$  is a maximum independent set in  $G$ .  $\square$

Using Theorem 2.2 and Observation 4.3, we are able to extend Theorem 2.1 further.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $G$  be a connected,  $\alpha$ -critical graph with deficiency  $d \geq 2$ . Then  $G$  has at most  $(d - 1) \cdot 2^{3d^2}$  vertices of degree at least three.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a connected,  $\alpha$ -critical graph with deficiency  $d \geq 2$ . Then  $|V(G)| \geq 4$ . By Observation 4.4,  $\delta(G) \geq 2$ . By Observation 4.3 and Theorem 2.2, we see that every connected,  $\alpha$ -critical graph with deficiency  $d \geq 2$  can be obtained from some connected,  $\alpha$ -critical graph  $H$  with  $|V(H)| \leq 2^{3d^2}$  and  $\delta(H) \geq 3$  by iteratively splitting a vertex. Observe that splitting a vertex  $v$  in  $H$  increases the number of vertices of degree at least three in  $H$  by one if and only if  $d_H(v) \geq 4$  and the two vertices  $v', v''$  replacing  $v$  each is adjacent to at least two of the neighbors of  $v$  in  $H$ . It follows that for every vertex  $v \in V(H)$  with  $d_H(v) \geq 4$ , the number of vertices of degree at least three obtained from iteratively splitting  $v$  and its replacement vertices (if  $v$  is split) is at most  $d_H(v) - 2$ . By Theorem 4.2,  $\Delta(H) \leq d + 1$ . Therefore the number of vertices of degree at least three in  $G$  is at most

$$|V(H)| \cdot (\Delta(H) - 2) \leq |V(H)| \cdot (d + 1 - 2) \leq (d - 1) \cdot 2^{3d^2}. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $G$  be an  $\alpha$ -critical graph with  $k \geq 2\ell + 3$  and deficiency  $d \geq 2$ . If  $G$  is  $(k, \ell)$ -stable, then  $G$  has at most  $2\ell \binom{(d-1) \cdot 2^{3d^2}}{2}$  vertices of degree two.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a connected,  $\alpha$ -critical graph with  $k \geq 2\ell + 3 \geq 3$  and deficiency  $d \geq 2$ . Again by Observation 4.4, we have  $\delta(G) \geq 2$ . By Lemma 4.5,  $G$  has at most  $(d - 1) \cdot 2^{3d^2}$  vertices of degree at least 3. Recall that  $G$  can be obtained from some connected,  $\alpha$ -critical graph  $H_0$  with  $|V(H_0)| \leq 2^{3d^2}$  and  $\delta(H_0) \geq 3$  by iteratively splitting a vertex, and each graph in the process is  $\alpha$ -critical.

We claim that for any two vertices  $x, y$  of degree at least three in  $G$ , there exists at most one path between  $x$  and  $y$  in  $G$  such that each internal vertex (if it exists) in the path has degree exactly two. Note that  $H_0$  satisfies the claim since  $\delta(H_0) \geq 3$ . By Observation 4.3 (ii), if an  $\alpha$ -critical graph satisfies the claim, then the graph obtained from splitting a vertex in it also satisfies the claim (as they are both  $\alpha$ -critical). Thus the claim holds for  $G$ . It then follows that  $G$  can be obtained from some connected,  $\alpha$ -critical graph  $H$  with  $|V(H)| \leq (d - 1) \cdot 2^{3d^2}$  and  $\delta(H) \geq 3$  by iteratively subdividing the edges of  $H$ . Then  $|E(H)| \leq \binom{(d-1) \cdot 2^{3d^2}}{2}$ .

Suppose for contradiction that  $G$  is  $(k, \ell)$ -stable and has more than  $2\ell \binom{(d-1) \cdot 2^{3d^2}}{2}$  vertices of degree two. By the Pigeonhole Principle, some edge of  $H$  must be subdivided at least  $(2\ell + 1)$  times. That is, there exists an induced path  $P$  in  $G$  with vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2\ell+3}$  in order such that  $d_G(v_i) = 2$  for each  $i$  satisfying  $2 \leq i \leq 2\ell + 2$ . Since  $G$  is  $(k, \ell)$ -stable with  $k \geq 2\ell + 3$ , we see that

$$\alpha(G - V(P)) \geq \alpha(G) - \ell.$$

But then there exists an independent set  $S$  of size  $\alpha(G) - \ell$  in  $G - V(P)$ ; and  $S \cup \{v_2, v_4, \dots, v_{2\ell+2}\}$  is an independent set of size  $\alpha(G) + 1$  in  $G$ , a contradiction.  $\square$



We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.9, which we restate it here for convenience.

**Theorem 1.9.** *For nonnegative integers  $k, \ell, q$  with  $k \geq 2\ell + 3$ , if  $G$  is a  $q$ -tight  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graph on  $n$  vertices, then*

$$n \leq (q+1)(\ell+1)(k+2q-2\ell-1)^2 2^{6(k-2\ell+2q)^2}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $G, k, \ell, q$  and  $n$  be as given in the statement. Let  $G'$  be an  $\alpha$ -critical spanning subgraph of  $G$  such that  $\alpha(G') = \alpha(G)$ . By Observation 4.4,  $\delta(G) \geq 2$ . Observe that  $G'$  is also  $q$ -tight  $(k, \ell)$ -stable. By Observation 2.5 and the fact that  $k \geq 2\ell + 3$  and  $q \geq 0$ ,

$$2 \leq 2q + 2 \leq k - 2\ell + 2q - 1 \leq d(G') \leq k - 2\ell + 2q.$$

Let  $C_1, \dots, C_t$  be the components of  $G'$ , where  $t \geq 1$  is an integer. For each  $i \in [t]$ , let  $n_i := |V(C_i)|$ . Observe that for each  $i \in [t]$ ,  $C_i$  is  $(k, \ell)$ -stable as  $G'$  is  $(k, \ell)$ -stable. For each  $i \in [t]$ , let  $A_i$  be the set of vertices of degree two in  $C_i$ . If  $t = 1$ , then  $|V(G') - A_1| \leq (d(G') - 1) \cdot 2^{3d(G')^2}$  by Lemma 4.5 and  $|A_1| \leq 2\ell \binom{d(G')-1}{2} 2^{3d(G')^2}$  by Lemma 4.6. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} n = |A_1| + |V(G') - A_1| &\leq 2\ell \binom{(d(G') - 1) \cdot 2^{3d(G')^2}}{2} + (d(G') - 1) \cdot 2^{3d(G')^2} \\ &\leq (\ell + 1)(d(G') - 1)^2 2^{6d(G')^2} \\ &\leq (\ell + 1)(k + 2q - 2\ell - 1)^2 2^{6(k-2\ell+2q)^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

as desired. We may assume that  $t \geq 2$ . Observe that

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n - k + 1}{2} \right\rfloor + \ell - q = \alpha(G') = \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha(C_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^t \left( \left\lfloor \frac{n_i - k + 1}{2} \right\rfloor + \ell \right)$$

We claim that  $C_i$  is  $q_i$ -tight  $(k, \ell)$ -stable for each  $i \in [t]$ , where  $q_i \leq q$ . Otherwise, without loss of generality, suppose  $C_1$  is not  $q_1$ -tight  $(k, \ell)$ -stable for each  $q_1 \leq q$ . Then  $\alpha(C_1) \leq \frac{n_1 - k + 1}{2} + \ell - (q + 1)$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(G') = \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha(C_i) &\leq \frac{n_1 - k + 1}{2} + \ell - (q + 1) + \sum_{i=2}^t \left( \frac{n_i - k + 1}{2} + \ell \right) \\ &\leq \frac{n - k + 1}{2} + \ell - (q + 1) - \frac{(t-1)(k-1-2\ell)}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{n - k + 1}{2} + \ell - q - 1 - \frac{(t-1)(2\ell + 3 - 1 - 2\ell)}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{n - k + 1}{2} + \ell - q - 1 - (t-1) \\ &< \left\lfloor \frac{n - k + 1}{2} \right\rfloor + \ell - q \\ &= \alpha(G'), \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. Therefore,  $C_i$  is  $q_i$ -tight  $(k, \ell)$ -stable for each  $i \in [t]$  where  $q_i \leq q$ . By Observation 2.5,

$$2 \leq d(C_i) \leq k - 2\ell + 2q_i \leq k - 2\ell + 2q.$$

Hence for each  $i \in [t]$ , similar to  $(*)$ , by Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.6,

$$|V(C_i)| \leq |A_i| + |V(C_i) - A_i| \leq (\ell + 1)(k + 2q - 2\ell - 1)^2 2^{6(k-2\ell+2q)^2}.$$

Since  $G'$  is  $q$ -tight  $(k, \ell)$ -stable, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n-k}{2} + \ell - q &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{n-k+1}{2} \right\rfloor + \ell - q = \alpha(G') = \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha(C_i) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^t \left( \left\lfloor \frac{n_i - k + 1}{2} \right\rfloor + \ell \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^t \left( \frac{n_i - k + 1}{2} + \ell \right) \\ &\leq \frac{n-k}{2} - \frac{(t-1)(k-1)}{2} + t\ell + \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with the fact that  $k \geq 2\ell + 3$ , implies that

$$t \leq 1 + \frac{2q+1}{k-2\ell-1} \leq 1 + \frac{2q+1}{2} \leq q + \frac{3}{2},$$

as  $k \geq 2\ell + 3$ . Since  $t$  is an integer, we then have  $t \leq q + 1$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} n &= \sum_{i=1}^t |V(C_i)| \\ &\leq (q+1)(\ell+1)(k+2q-2\ell-1)^2 2^{6(k-2\ell+2q)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.9.  $\square$

## 5 Concluding Remarks

In our proofs of Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.9, we heavily use Theorem 2.2, which bound the number of vertices in a connected,  $\alpha$ -critical graph with deficiency  $d$  and minimum degree at least three. Lovász remarked in [8] that the upper bounds in Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 are probably very rough. An example of Surányi [9] showed that there exist  $\alpha$ -critical graphs with deficiency  $d$  and  $\Omega(d^2)$  vertices with degree at least three. It would be interesting to find a better upper bound for Theorem 2.2.

In another direction, Dong and Wu [4] constructed a sequence of  $n$ -vertex  $(3, 0)$ -stable graphs with independence number  $n/2 - O(\sqrt{n})$ . Alon [1] extended the above result by showing that for every  $k > \ell \geq 0$ , there exists a sequence of  $n$ -vertex  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graphs with independence number  $n/2 - o(n)$ . Theorem 1.5 states that for  $k \geq 3\ell + 3 \geq 3$ , there exists no constant  $c$  such that there are infinite  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graphs  $G$  with  $\alpha(G) \geq |V(G)|/2 - c$ . For nonnegative integers  $k, \ell$  with  $k \geq 3\ell + 3$ , let  $f(n)$  be a function of  $n$  such that there exists a sequence of  $n$ -vertex  $(k, \ell)$ -stable graphs  $G$  with  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\alpha(G) \geq n/2 - f(n)$ . It would be interesting to know whether  $f(n) = \Omega(\sqrt{n})$ .

## References

- [1] N. Alon, Hitting all maximum independent sets, *arXiv:2103.05998*.
- [2] B. Andrásfai, On critical graphs, In *Theory of Graphs (Internat. Sympos., Rome, 1966)*, pages 9–19, Gordon & Breach, New York, 1967.
- [3] D. Dong and S. Luo, Structure of tight  $(k, 0)$ -stable graphs, *arXiv: 2401.16639*.
- [4] Z. Dong and Z. Wu, On the stability of the graph independence number, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, **36(1)** (2022), 229–240. [doi:10.1137/21M1405071](https://doi.org/10.1137/21M1405071).
- [5] P. Erdős and T. Gallai, On the minimal number of vertices representing the edges of a graph, *Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl.*, **6** (1961), 181–203.
- [6] A. Hajnal, A theorem on  $k$ -saturated graphs, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, **17** (1965), 720–724.
- [7] L. Lovász, *Some finite basis theorems on graph theory*, *Combinatorics (Proc. Fifth Hungarian Colloq., Keszthely, 1976), Vol. II*, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, 18, 717–729, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1978.
- [8] L. Lovász, *Graphs and geometry*, volume 65 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2019. [doi:10.1090/coll/065](https://doi.org/10.1090/coll/065).
- [9] L. Surányi, On line critical graphs, In *Infinite and finite sets (Colloq., Keszthely, 1973; dedicated to P. Erdős on his 60th birthday), Vols. I, II, III*, volume Vol. 10 of *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai*, pages 1411–1444, North-Holland, Amsterdam-London, 1975.
- [10] Q. Zhu, The structure of  $\alpha$ -critical graphs with  $|V(G)| - 2\alpha(G) = 3$ , In *Graph theory and its applications: East and West (Jinan, 1986)*, Vol. 576 of *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.*, pages 716–722, New York Acad. Sci., New York, 1989. [doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.1989.tb16453.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1989.tb16453.x).