QUALITATIVE LIPSCHITZ TO BI-LIPSCHITZ DECOMPOSITION

DAVID BATE

ABSTRACT. We prove that any Lipschitz map that satisfies a condition inspired by the work of David may be decomposed into countably many bi-Lipschitz pieces.

Fix complete metric spaces (X, d) and (Y, ρ) and s > 0. A map $f: X \to Y$ is *Lipschitz* if there exists $L \ge 0$ such that $\rho(f(x), f(y)) \le Ld(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. An injective Lipschitz map is *bi-Lipschitz* if f^{-1} is Lipschitz. Let \mathcal{H}^s denote the *s*-dimensional Hausdorff (outer) measure on a metric space and, for S a subset of a metric space, let

$$\Theta^{*,s}(S,x) := \limsup_{r \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^s((B(x,r) \cap S))}{(2r)^s} \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta^s_*(S,x) := \liminf_{r \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^s((B(x,r) \cap S))}{(2r)^s}.$$

Theorem. Let $f: X \to Y$ be Lipschitz, $\mathcal{H}^{s}(X) < \infty$ and, for \mathcal{H}^{s} -a.e. $x \in X$, suppose that

(1)
$$\limsup_{r \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^s(B(f(x), \lambda_x r) \setminus f(B(x, r)))}{(2\lambda_x r)^s} < \frac{1}{2} \Theta^s_*(Y, f(x))$$

for some $0 < \lambda_x \leq 1$. Then there exists a countable Borel decomposition $X = N \cup \bigcup_i X_i$ with $\mathcal{H}^s(N) = 0$ such that each $f|_{X_i}$ is bi-Lipschitz.

This theorem appeared in Bate and Li [3, Theorem 1.2, iii) \Rightarrow (R)] as an intermediate step in order to prove characterisations of rectifiable subsets of a metric space. These characterisations initiated a line of research of geometric measure theory in metric spaces and form a cornerstone of the results in [1, 2]. The Theorem is a qualitative analogue of results of David [4] and Semmes [7] and the proof in [3] adapts the 85 page argument in [7] to the qualitative setting. We give a new self contained proof.

A form of the following condition is present in [3, 4, 7].

Definition. For $0 < \kappa < \lambda \leq 1$ and $0 < \xi < 1$, a function $f: V \subset X \to Y$ satisfies the condition $D(\lambda, \kappa, \xi)$ on a set $S \subset V$ if, for all $r < \operatorname{diam} S$,

$$\mathcal{H}^{s}(B(f(x),\lambda r)\setminus f(V\cap B(x,r))) < \frac{1}{2}\xi\mathcal{H}^{s}(B(f(x),\kappa r)).$$

A key idea of David [4] uses $D(\lambda, \kappa, \xi)$ to deduce bi-Lipschitz bounds on f.

Lemma. Let $0 < \kappa < \lambda \leq 1$, $0 < \xi < 1$ and suppose $f: V \subset X \to Y$ satisfies $D(\lambda, \kappa, \xi)$ on $S \subset V$. Let $x, y \in S$, set r = d(x, y)/4 and suppose $\mathcal{H}^s(B(f(x), \kappa r)) \geq \mathcal{H}^s(B(f(y), \kappa r))$. If (2) $\mathcal{H}^s(f(V \cap B(x, r)) \cap f(V \cap B(y, r))) < (1 - \xi)\mathcal{H}^s(B(f(x), \kappa r))$

then

(3)
$$\rho(f(x), f(y)) \ge (\lambda - \kappa) \frac{d(x, y)}{4}.$$

Proof. Suppose that (3) does not hold. Then by the triangle inequality,

 $B(f(x), \lambda r) \cap B(f(y), \lambda r) \supset B(f(x), \kappa r).$

Combining this with $D(\lambda, \kappa, \xi)$ negates (2). Indeed, it gives

$$\mathcal{H}^{s}(f(V \cap B(x, r)) \cap f(V \cap B(y, r))) > \mathcal{H}^{s}(B(f(x), \lambda r) \cap B(f(y), \lambda r))$$

$$-\xi \mathcal{H}^{s} \left(B\left(f(x), \kappa r\right) \right)$$

$$\geq (1-\xi) \mathcal{H}^{s} \left(B\left(f(x), \kappa r\right) \right).$$

This work was supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant agreement No. 948021).

DAVID BATE

Proof of the Theorem. First let $V \subset X$ be Borel and note that (1) holds for the function $f|_V$ and for \mathcal{H}^s -a.e. $x \in V$. Indeed, for \mathcal{H}^s -a.e. $x \in V$, $\Theta^{*,s}(X \setminus V, x) = 0$ (see [5, §2.10.18]) and for such an x,

$$\limsup_{r \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{s}(B(f(x),\lambda_{x}r) \setminus f(V \cap B(x,r)))}{(2\lambda_{x}r)^{s}} \leq \limsup_{r \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{s}(B(f(x),\lambda_{x}r) \setminus f(B(x,r)))}{(2\lambda_{x}r)^{s}} + \limsup_{r \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{L}^{s}\mathcal{H}^{s}(B(x,r) \setminus V)}{(2\lambda_{x}r)^{s}} < \frac{1}{2}\Theta_{*}^{s}(Y,f(x)) + \frac{\mathcal{L}^{s}}{\lambda_{x}^{s}}\Theta^{*,s}(X \setminus V,x).$$
(4)

The first inequality uses $\mathcal{H}^s(f(A)) \leq L^s \mathcal{H}^s(A)$ for any $A \subset X$, see [5, Corollary 2.10.11]. A consequence of (4) is

(5)
$$\mathcal{H}^{s}(V) > 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{s}(f(V)) > 0.$$

Now let $V_1 \subset X$ be Borel with $\mathcal{H}^s(V_1) > 0$. By the coarea formula (see [5, §2.10.25]), for \mathcal{H}^s -a.e. $y \in Y$, card $f^{-1}(y) < \infty$. Hence, by (5), for \mathcal{H}^s -a.e. $x \in V_1$,

(6)
$$\operatorname{card}\{x' \in V_1 : f(x') = f(x)\} < \infty.$$

Let $V_2 \subset V_1$ be a positive measure Borel set for which (6) holds for all $x \in V_2$. By the Lusin-Novikov theorem (see [6, Exercise 18.14]¹), there exists a Borel function $g: f(V_2) \to V_2$ such that $V_3 := g(f(V_2))$ is Borel and f(g(y)) = y for all $y \in f(V_2)$. By (5), $\mathcal{H}^s(f(V_3)) = \mathcal{H}^s(f(V_2)) > 0$ and hence, since f is Lipschitz, $\mathcal{H}^s(V_3) > 0$.

Note that if $x \in X$ satisfies (1), then it also satisfies (1) for all $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_x$. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$ let $1 \geq \lambda_i \searrow 0$ and define S_i to be the set of $x \in V_3$ for which

$$\sup_{0 < r < \lambda_i} \frac{\mathcal{H}^s(B(f(x),\lambda_i r) \setminus f(V_3 \cap B(x,r)))}{(2\lambda_i r)^s} < \inf_{0 < r' < \lambda_i} \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \lambda_i\right)^s \frac{\mathcal{H}^s(B(f(x),r'))}{(2r')^s}.$$

Then, by (4), the S_i monotonically increase to a full measure subset of V_3 . Therefore, there exist $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $S' \subset S_i$ with $\mathcal{H}^s(S') > 0$ and diam $S' \leq \lambda_i$. For any $0 < r < \lambda_i$, setting $r' = (1 - \lambda_i^2)\lambda_i r$ shows that $f|_{V_3}$ satisfies $D(\lambda_i, (1 - \lambda_i^2)\lambda_i, (1 + \lambda_i)^{-s})$ on S'. Since $f|_{V_3}$ is injective, (2) holds for all $x, y \in S'$ and hence the Lemma implies that $f|_{S'}$ is bi-Lipschitz.

The bi-Lipschitz condition extends to the closure of S'. Hence $\overline{S'} \cap V_1$ is a Borel subset of V_1 of positive measure on which f is bi-Lipschitz. Since V_1 is an arbitrary Borel subset of positive measure, the conclusion follows by exhaustion (e.g. as in [5, §3.2.14]).

References

- D. Bate. "Characterising rectifiable metric spaces using tangent spaces". English. In: Invent. Math. 230.3 (2022), pp. 995–1070. DOI: 10.1007/s00222-022-01136-7.
- D. Bate. "Purely unrectifiable metric spaces and perturbations of Lipschitz functions". In: Acta Math. 224.1 (2020), pp. 1–65. DOI: 10.4310/acta.2020.v224.n1.a1.
- [3] D. Bate and S. Li. "Characterizations of rectifiable metric measure spaces". In: Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) 50.1 (2017), pp. 1–37. DOI: 10.24033/asens.2314.
- G. David. "Morceaux de graphes lipschitziens et intégrales singulières sur une surface". In: Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 4.1 (1988), pp. 73–114. DOI: 10.4171/RMI/64.
- H. Federer. Geometric measure theory. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1996, pp. xiv + 676.
 DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-62010-2.
- [6] A. S. Kechris. Classical descriptive set theory. Vol. 156. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995, pp. xviii+402. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4612-4190-4.
- S. Semmes. "Measure-preserving quality within mappings". In: Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 16.2 (2000), pp. 363–458. DOI: 10.4171/RMI/279.

 $Email \ address: \texttt{david.bate} \texttt{Cwarwick.ac.uk}$

ZEEMAN BUILDING, UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK, COVENTRY CV4 7AL. ORCID: 0000-0003-0808-2453

¹In fact, since f is continuous, (6) holds and V_2 may be chosen compact, the result is elementary.