

The Rational Torsion Subgroup of $J_0(\mathfrak{p}^r)$

Sheng-Yang Kevin Ho

Abstract

Let $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{p}^r$ be a prime-power ideal of $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ with $r \geq 2$. We study the rational torsion subgroup $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r)$ of the Drinfeld modular Jacobian $J_0(\mathfrak{p}^r)$. We prove that the prime-to- $q(q-1)$ part of $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r)$ is equal to that of the rational cuspidal divisor class group $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r)$ of the Drinfeld modular curve $X_0(\mathfrak{p}^r)$. As we completely computed the structure of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r)$, it also determines the structure of the prime-to- $q(q-1)$ part of $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r)$.

1 Introduction

For a positive integer N , let $J_0(N)$ be the Jacobian variety of the classical modular curve $X_0(N)$ and $\mathcal{T}(N) := J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ its rational torsion subgroup. By the Mordell-Weil theorem, $\mathcal{T}(N)$ is a finite abelian group. Let $\mathcal{C}(N)$ be the rational cuspidal divisor class group of $X_0(N)$ defined in [16, p. 280]. By a theorem of Manin and Drinfeld, we have

$$\mathcal{C}(N) \subseteq \mathcal{T}(N).$$

In the early 1970s, for any prime p , Ogg [10, Conj. 2] conjectured that $\mathcal{C}(p) = \mathcal{T}(p)$ and computed that $\mathcal{C}(p)$ is a cyclic group of order $\frac{p-1}{(p-1,12)}$. Later in 1977, Mazur [9, Thm. (1)] proved this conjecture by studying the Eisenstein ideal of the Hecke algebra of level p . There is a generalized Ogg's conjecture:

Conjecture 1.1. *(still open) For any positive integer N ,*

$$\mathcal{C}(N) = \mathcal{T}(N).$$

In [16, Thm. 1.7], Yoo et al. completely determined the structure of $\mathcal{C}(N)$ for arbitrary positive N . Consequently, if the above conjecture holds true, then the structure of $\mathcal{T}(N)$ is determined as well. The most notable current achievement in this regard is as follows:

Theorem 1.2 (Yoo [17, Thm. 1.4], Mazur, Lorenzini, Ling, Ohta, Ren, et al.). *Let N be a positive integer, and let ℓ be any odd prime whose square does not divide N . Suppose that $\ell \geq 5$, it holds that*

$$\mathcal{C}(N)_\ell = \mathcal{T}(N)_\ell.$$

Furthermore, for $\ell = 3$, the equality above remains valid under the additional condition that either N is not divisible by 3, or N has a prime divisor congruent to -1 modulo 3.

Remark 1.3. In [17, (1.1)], in a particular case, when $N = p^r$ is a prime power with $r \geq 2$, it has been established that $\mathcal{C}(p^r)_\ell = \mathcal{T}(p^r)_\ell$ holds for any prime $\ell \nmid 2p$. The condition on ℓ here is less restrictive compared to the general case.

In the function field analogue, let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field of characteristic p with q elements. Let $A = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ denote the polynomial ring in the indeterminate T over \mathbb{F}_q , and $K = \mathbb{F}_q(T)$ represent the rational function field. Define $K_\infty = \mathbb{F}_q((\pi_\infty))$ as the completion of K at the infinite place, and $\mathcal{O}_\infty = \mathbb{F}_q[[\pi_\infty]]$ as its ring of integers, where $\pi_\infty := T^{-1}$. Let $|\cdot| = |\cdot|_\infty$ denote the normalized absolute value on K_∞ with $|T|_\infty := q$. Let \mathbb{C}_∞ denote the completion of an algebraic closure of K_∞ . Define $\Omega = \mathbb{C}_\infty - K_\infty$ as the Drinfeld upper half plane. Let G be the group scheme $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ over \mathbb{F}_q , with Z denoting the group scheme of scalar matrices in G . Consider a nonzero ideal $\mathfrak{n} \triangleleft A$. The level- \mathfrak{n} Hecke congruence subgroup of $G(A)$ is defined as

$$\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G(A) \mid c \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{n}} \right\}.$$

Let $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n})$ act on Ω via linear fractional transformations. Drinfeld proved in [1] that the quotient $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}) \backslash \Omega$ is the space of \mathbb{C}_∞ -points of an affine curve $Y_0(\mathfrak{n})$ defined over K , representing a moduli space of rank-2 Drinfeld modules. The unique smooth projective curve over K containing $Y_0(\mathfrak{n})$ as an open subvariety is denoted by $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$, termed as the Drinfeld modular curve of level \mathfrak{n} . Let $J_0(\mathfrak{n})$ denote the Jacobian variety of $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$ and $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{n}) := J_0(\mathfrak{n})(K)_{\mathrm{tors}}$ its rational torsion subgroup. According to the Lang-Néron theorem, $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{n})$ is a finite abelian group. To investigate the structure of $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{n})$, we study the rational cuspidal divisor class group $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{n})$ of $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$, as defined in [8]. By Gekeler [6, Thm. 1.2], $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{n})$ is a finite group, leading to the inclusion

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{n}) \subseteq \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{n}).$$

The structure of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{n})$ is known in some special cases. First, when $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{p} \triangleleft A$ is a prime ideal, the structure of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p})$ was fully determined by Gekeler [5, Cor. 3.23]. Second, Papikian and Wei [14, Thm. 1.3 and Prop. 1.5] determined the prime-to- $(q-1)$ part of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{n})$ for any square-free ideal $\mathfrak{n} \triangleleft A$. In particular, when $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{q}$ is square-free with two prime ideals \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{q} of A , the structure of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{q})$ was entirely determined in [12, Thm. 1.1]. Third, when $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{p}^r \triangleleft A$ is a prime-power ideal with $r \geq 2$, the structure of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r)$ was completely determined in the following:

Theorem 1.4 ([8, Thm. 3.5]). *Fix a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \triangleleft A$ and $r \geq 2$. Then*

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r) \cong \left(\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq m} \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{|\mathfrak{p}|^{r-i} M(\mathfrak{p})\mathbb{Z}} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{m+1 \leq i \leq r-2} \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{|\mathfrak{p}|^i M(\mathfrak{p})\mathbb{Z}} \right) \oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{M(\mathfrak{p})\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{N(\mathfrak{p})\mathbb{Z}},$$

where $m := \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor$, $M(\mathfrak{p}) := \frac{|\mathfrak{p}|^2-1}{q^2-1}$, and

$$N(\mathfrak{p}) := \begin{cases} \frac{|\mathfrak{p}|-1}{q^2-1}, & \text{if } \deg(\mathfrak{p}) \text{ is even.} \\ \frac{|\mathfrak{p}|-1}{q-1}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, an explicit basis of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r)$ is provided in [8, Thm. 3.5].

Remark 1.5. When $\mathfrak{n} \triangleleft A$ is square-free or $\deg(\mathfrak{n}) = 3$, all the cusps of $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$ are rational by [13, Lem. 3.1]. Thus, the cuspidal divisor groups “ $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{n})$ ” of $J_0(\mathfrak{n})$ defined in [14, p. 522] and [13, p. 399] in the above cases are the same as the rational cuspidal divisor class groups of $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$ defined in [8]. However, this phenomenon does not universally hold. For instance, when $\mathfrak{n} = (T^2 + T + 1)^2$ and $q = 2$, the cuspidal divisor group of $J_0(\mathfrak{n})$ is strictly larger than the rational cuspidal divisor class group of $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$ by [13, Ex. 8.8] and Thm. 1.4.

The following presents an analogue of generalized Ogg’s conjecture:

Conjecture 1.6. *For any non-zero ideal $\mathfrak{n} \triangleleft A$,*

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{n}) = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{n}).$$

This conjecture remains open, and the followings are some partial results:

1. Pál [11, Thm. 1.4] proved that $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p})$ for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \triangleleft A$.
2. Papikian and Wei [13, Thm. 1.7] showed that $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{n}) = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{n})$ for an ideal $\mathfrak{n} \triangleleft A$ generated by T^3 or $T^2(T-1)$.
3. Papikian and Wei [14, Thm. 1.3] demonstrated that if $\mathfrak{n} \triangleleft A$ is a square-free ideal, then $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{n})_\ell = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{n})_\ell$ for any prime ℓ not dividing $q(q-1)$.

In this paper, we prove the following:

Theorem 1.7 (Main Theorem). *Let $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{p}^r \triangleleft A$ be a prime-power ideal with $r \geq 2$. For any prime $\ell \nmid q(q-1)$, we have*

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r)_\ell = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r)_\ell \cong \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}{M(\mathfrak{p})\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \right)^{r-1} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}{N(\mathfrak{p})\mathbb{Z}_\ell}.$$

Remark 1.8. Both the square-free case discussed in [14, Thm. 1.3] and the prime-power case in Main Theorem utilize similar approaches in their proofs. However, a notable difference arises in the choice of tools: the former employs the Atkin-Lehner involutions $W_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for studying Eisenstein ideals, while the latter relies on the Hecke operator $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for the same purpose.

We provide a brief overview of the proof for Main Theorem. Fix a prime-power ideal $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{p}^r \triangleleft A$ with $r \geq 2$. The Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{n})$ of level \mathfrak{n} is defined as the \mathbb{Z} -algebra generated by all Hecke operators $T_{\mathfrak{q}}$, where $\mathfrak{q} \triangleleft A$ are primes, acting on the group $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathfrak{n}, \mathbb{Z})$ of \mathbb{Z} -valued $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n})$ -invariant cuspidal harmonic cochains on the Bruhat-Tits tree \mathcal{T} of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, K_\infty)$. For further details, please

refer to [13, p. 385], Sec. 3, and Sec. 4. The Eisenstein ideal $\mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{n})$ of $\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{n})$ is the ideal generated by the elements

$$\{T_{\mathfrak{q}} - |\mathfrak{q}| - 1 \mid \mathfrak{q} \text{ is prime, } \mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{n}\}.$$

Additionally, $\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{n})$ naturally acts on the Jacobian $J_0(\mathfrak{n})$ of $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$; see Sec. 5 and [13, p. 386] for more information. For the prime divisor \mathfrak{p} of \mathfrak{n} , we usually write $U_{\mathfrak{p}} := T_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{n})$. Consider the following exact sequences of groups:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r)[U_{\mathfrak{p}}] & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r) & \xrightarrow{U_{\mathfrak{p}}} & U_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r)) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r)[U_{\mathfrak{p}}] & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r) & \xrightarrow{U_{\mathfrak{p}}} & U_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r)) \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r)[U_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ and $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r)[U_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ denote the kernels of $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Considering the ℓ -primary parts of the groups in the above diagram for a prime $\ell \nmid q(q-1)$, our objective is to demonstrate the following:

1.

$$U_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r))_{\ell} = U_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r))_{\ell} \cong \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}{M(\mathfrak{p})\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} \right)^{r-2} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}{N(\mathfrak{p})\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}.$$

2.

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r)_{\ell}[U_{\mathfrak{p}}] = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r)_{\ell}[U_{\mathfrak{p}}] \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}{M(\mathfrak{p})\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}.$$

Assuming the aboves and employing the short five lemma, we obtain Main Theorem. The first statement is achieved through explicit computation of the $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ action on the cusps of $X_0(\mathfrak{p}^r)$ combined with induction on r . To be specific, we decompose $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ into $U_{\mathfrak{p}} = \alpha^* \circ \beta_*$, where $\alpha^* : J_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow J_0(\mathfrak{n})$ and $\beta_* : J_0(\mathfrak{n}) \rightarrow J_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p})$ are defined in Sec. 5. In Lem. 5.3, we demonstrate that $\beta_*(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r))_{\ell} = \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^{r-1})_{\ell}$ for any prime $\ell \neq p$. Assume the induction hypothesis that $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^{r-1})_{\ell} = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^{r-1})_{\ell}$ for any prime $\ell \nmid q(q-1)$. Note that $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p})$ serves as the initial condition for the induction. Then for any prime $\ell \nmid q(q-1)$,

$$U_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r))_{\ell} = \alpha^*(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^{r-1}))_{\ell} = \alpha^*(\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^{r-1}))_{\ell} \supseteq U_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r))_{\ell}.$$

Now, the result follows from the natural inclusion $U_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r))_{\ell} \subseteq U_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r))_{\ell}$.

The second statement is established through an Atkin-Lehner type result; see Thm. 6.5. To be precise, for a coefficient ring R (see Def. 3.2), let $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{n}, R)[U_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ represent the group of R -valued $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n})$ -invariant harmonic cochains on \mathcal{S} that are annihilated by both the actions of the Eisenstein ideal $\mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{n})$ and the Hecke operator $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We construct an explicit element $E_{\mathfrak{n}}$ in $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{n}, R)[U_{\mathfrak{p}}]$; cf. Eq. (7) and Lem. 6.3. Utilizing Thm. 6.5, we demonstrate that $E_{\mathfrak{n}}$ generates $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{n}, R)[U_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ over R . Considering the values of $E_{\mathfrak{n}}$ on specific edges of \mathcal{S} , we observe that the subgroup $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathfrak{n}, R)[U_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ of $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{n}, R)[U_{\mathfrak{p}}]$, consisting of cuspidal harmonic cochains, is contained in $R[M(\mathfrak{p})] \cdot E_{\mathfrak{n}}$, where $R[M(\mathfrak{p})] := \{c \in R \mid M(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot c = 0\}$. By

[12, Lem. 7.2], let $R := \mathbb{Z}/\ell^k\mathbb{Z}$ for a prime $\ell \nmid q(q-1)$ and a sufficiently large k , then there exists an injective map:

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r)_\ell[U_{\mathfrak{p}}] \subseteq \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r)_\ell[U_{\mathfrak{p}}] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_0(\mathfrak{p}^r, R)[U_{\mathfrak{p}}] \subseteq R[M(\mathfrak{p})] \cdot E_{\mathfrak{n}} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}{M(\mathfrak{p})\mathbb{Z}_\ell}. \quad (1)$$

Moreover, according to Thm. 1.4 and Sec. 5, we construct explicitly a cyclic subgroup of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{n})_\ell[U_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ that is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_\ell/M(\mathfrak{p})\mathbb{Z}_\ell$; see Eq. (4), Sequence (6), and Prop. 6.9. Hence, we demonstrate that $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r)_\ell[U_{\mathfrak{p}}] = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r)_\ell[U_{\mathfrak{p}}]$.

Remark 1.9. The idea of considering Eq. (3) and Sequence (1) comes from the proof of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p})$ for the prime level case in [11, Thm. 1.4]. More precisely, Pál showed that the image of the ℓ -primary part of $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p})$, for a prime $\ell \neq p$, in the group Φ_∞ of connected components of the Néron model of $J_0(\mathfrak{p})$ at ∞ with respect to specialization, injects into $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^k\mathbb{Z})$ for large enough k ; cf. [11, p. 133], which leads to [11, Thm. 7.19].

Remark 1.10. The primes ℓ dividing $q(q-1)$ lie beyond the scope of our current approach. Considering a non-zero ideal $\mathfrak{n} \triangleleft A$, $J_0(\mathfrak{n})$ has bad reduction both at ∞ and at the primes dividing \mathfrak{n} . In particular, $J_0(\mathfrak{n})$ has split toric reduction at ∞ . In instances where ℓ divides $q(q-1)$, the specialization map $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{n})_\ell \rightarrow (\Phi_\infty)_\ell$ might not ensure injectivity; cf. [12, Lem. 7.2].

The paper is outlined in the following. We begin in Sec. 2 with an introduction to the rational cuspidal divisor class group of $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$. Sec. 3 covers harmonic cochains on the Bruhat-Tits tree \mathcal{T} of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, K_\infty)$ and their Fourier expansions. Following this, Sec. 4 discusses Hecke operators acting on the space of R -valued harmonic cochains on \mathcal{T} , where R is a commutative ring with unity, alongside Eisenstein ideals for prime-power levels. Sec. 5 explores the action of Hecke operators on the Jacobian $J_0(\mathfrak{n})$ of the Drinfeld modular curve $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$. Finally, all introduced tools are integrated into the proof of Main Theorem.

2 The rational cuspidal divisor class group of $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$

In this section, we recall from [8]. Let $\mathfrak{n} \triangleleft A$ be a non-zero ideal. We also denote by \mathfrak{n} the monic polynomial in A generating the ideal. The rational cuspidal divisor class group $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{n})$ of $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$ is a subgroup of $J_0(\mathfrak{n})$ generated by the linear equivalence classes of the degree 0 rational cuspidal divisors on $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$. By Gekeler [5, (3.6)], the cusps of $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$ are in bijection with $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}) \backslash \mathbb{P}^1(K)$. Moreover, every cusp of $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$ has a representative $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ d \end{bmatrix}$ in $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}) \backslash \mathbb{P}^1(K)$, where $a, d \in A$ are monic, $d \mid \mathfrak{n}$, and $\mathrm{gcd}(a, \mathfrak{n}) = 1$. Such a cusp is called of height d , independent to the choice of a . Denote by $[0] := \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $[\infty] := \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathfrak{n} \end{bmatrix}$ the unique cusps of $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$ of height 1 and \mathfrak{n} , respectively.

In the following, we fix a prime-power ideal $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{p}^r \triangleleft A$ with $r \geq 1$. The cusps of $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$ of the same height are conjugate over K by [13, Lem. 3.1]. For a

monic $d \mid \mathfrak{n}$, let $(P(\mathfrak{n})_d)$ be the sum of all the cusps of $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$ of height d , which is a rational cuspidal divisor on $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$; cf. [8, Sec. 1.3]. Let

$$\mathrm{Div}_{\mathrm{cusp}}^0(X_0(\mathfrak{n}))(K) := \left\{ C = \sum_{\substack{d \mid \mathfrak{n} \\ \text{monic}}} a_d \cdot (P(\mathfrak{n})_d) \mid \deg(C) = 0, a_d \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

be the group of the degree 0 rational cuspidal divisors on $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$. Recall that a modular function on $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$ is a meromorphic function on $\Omega \cup \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ which is invariant under $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n})$, and a modular unit on $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$ is a modular function on $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$ that does not have zeros or poles on Ω . Let $\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{Div}_{\mathrm{cusp}}^0(X_0(\mathfrak{n}))(K)$ consisting of the divisors of modular units. Let $C_i := (P(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathfrak{p}^i}) - \deg(P(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathfrak{p}^i}) \cdot [\infty] \in \mathrm{Div}_{\mathrm{cusp}}^0(X_0(\mathfrak{n}))(K)$, where $0 \leq i \leq r-1$. Then

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{n}) := \mathrm{Div}_{\mathrm{cusp}}^0(X_0(\mathfrak{n}))(K) / \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{n}} = \langle \overline{C}_0, \overline{C}_1, \dots, \overline{C}_{r-1} \rangle.$$

Let $\Delta(z)$ be the Drinfeld discriminant function defined in [5, p. 183]. For $d \mid \mathfrak{n}$, consider $\Delta_d(z) := \Delta(dz)$, which is a modular form on Ω of weight $q^2 - 1$ and type 0 for $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n})$; cf. [5, (1.2)]. The zero orders of $\Delta_d(z)$ at the cusps of $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$ are defined in [2, p. 47]. Using [5, Eq. (3.10) and (3.11)], we found the divisor of $\Delta_d(z)$ on $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$ in [8, Sec. 2.1]. Let $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ be the group of modular units on $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$. Recall the following group homomorphism in [8, Sec. 2.1]:

$$g : \quad \mathrm{Div}_{\mathrm{cusp}}^0(X_0(\mathfrak{n}))(K) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{n}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

$$C = \sum_{\substack{d \mid \mathfrak{n} \\ \text{monic}}} a_d \cdot (P(\mathfrak{n})_d) \longmapsto \left(\prod_{\substack{d \mid \mathfrak{n} \\ \text{monic}}} \Delta_d^{r_d} \right) \otimes \frac{1}{(q-1)(|\mathfrak{p}|^2-1)|\mathfrak{p}|^{r-1}},$$

where $r_d \in \mathbb{Z}$ are defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ \vdots \\ r_{\mathfrak{p}^r} \end{bmatrix} := (q-1)(|\mathfrak{p}|^2-1)|\mathfrak{p}|^{r-1} \cdot \Lambda(\mathfrak{n})^{-1} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ \vdots \\ a_{\mathfrak{p}^r} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here, $\Lambda(\mathfrak{n})^{-1} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r+1}(\mathbb{Q})$ is a certain matrix defined in [8, Sec. 2.1]. The map g provides a connection between the rational cuspidal divisor class group $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{n})$ and the modular units on $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$. More precisely, if $g(C) = f \otimes a$ for some $C \in \mathrm{Div}_{\mathrm{cusp}}^0(X_0(\mathfrak{n}))(K)$, $f \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{n}}$, and $a \in \mathbb{Q}$, then we have $C = a \cdot \mathrm{div}(f)$.

3 Harmonic cochains and Fourier expansions

Let \mathcal{T} be the Bruhat-Tits tree of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, K_{\infty})$; cf. [7, (1.3)]. Recall that G is the group scheme $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ over \mathbb{F}_q , with Z the group scheme of scalar matrices in

G . Let $\mathcal{K} = G(\mathcal{O}_\infty)$ with its Iwahori subgroup \mathcal{I} defined by

$$\mathcal{I} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{K} \mid c \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi_\infty} \right\}.$$

Let $V(\mathcal{T}) = G(K_\infty)/\mathcal{K} \cdot Z(K_\infty)$ and $E(\mathcal{T}) = G(K_\infty)/\mathcal{I} \cdot Z(K_\infty)$ be the sets of the vertices and the oriented edges of \mathcal{T} , respectively; cf. [7, (1.3)]. The group $G(K_\infty)$ acts on $E(\mathcal{T})$ by left multiplication. For a function f on $E(\mathcal{T})$ and $\gamma \in G(K_\infty)$, we define a function $f|\gamma$ on $E(\mathcal{T})$ by $(f|\gamma)(e) := f(\gamma e)$.

Definition 3.1. (van der Put) Let R be a commutative ring with unity. An R -valued harmonic cochain on \mathcal{T} is a function $f : E(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow R$ that satisfies

1. (alternating)

$$f(e) + f(\bar{e}) = 0 \text{ for all } e \in E(\mathcal{T}).$$

2. (harmonic)

$$\sum_{\substack{e \in E(\mathcal{T}) \\ t(e)=v}} f(e) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V(\mathcal{T}).$$

Here, for $e \in E(\mathcal{T})$, $t(e)$ is its terminus and \bar{e} is its inversely oriented edge.

We introduce some notation. Fix a non-zero ideal $\mathfrak{n} \triangleleft A$. Denote by $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{T}, R)$ the group of R -valued harmonic cochains on \mathcal{T} and by $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{n}, R) := \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{T}, R)^{\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n})}$ its subgroup of $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n})$ -invariant harmonic cochains, i.e. $f|\gamma = f$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n})$. For $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{n}, R)$, it naturally defines a function f' on the quotient graph $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}) \backslash \mathcal{T}$. If f' has compact support, then we call f a cuspidal harmonic cochain for $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n})$. Let $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathfrak{n}, R)$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{n}, R)$ consisting of cuspidal harmonic cochains for $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n})$, and denote by $\mathcal{H}_{00}(\mathfrak{n}, R)$ the image of $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathfrak{n}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes R$ in $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathfrak{n}, R)$. Note that if R is flat over \mathbb{Z} , then $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathfrak{n}, R) = \mathcal{H}_{00}(\mathfrak{n}, R)$.

Definition 3.2 (Pál [11, Sec. 2]). A ring R is called a coefficient ring if $1/p \in R$ and R is a quotient of a discrete valuation ring \tilde{R} which contains p -th roots of unity.

In the following, we fix $R = \mathbb{C}$ or a coefficient ring. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{T}, R)$ which is invariant under the action of $\Gamma_\infty := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in G(A) \right\}$. The constant Fourier coefficient of f is the R -valued function f^0 on $\pi_\infty^{\mathbb{Z}}$ defined by

$$f^0(\pi_\infty^k) = \begin{cases} q^{1-k} \sum_{u \in (\pi_\infty)/(\pi_\infty^k)} f \left(\begin{pmatrix} \pi_\infty^k & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) & \text{if } k \geq 1; \\ f \left(\begin{pmatrix} \pi_\infty^k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) & \text{if } k \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $\eta : K_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the character

$$\eta : \sum a_i \pi_\infty^i \mapsto \eta_0(\text{Tr}(a_1)),$$

where $\text{Tr} : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ is the trace and η_0 is any non-trivial character of \mathbb{F}_p . For a divisor \mathfrak{m} on K , the \mathfrak{m} -th Fourier coefficient $f^*(\mathfrak{m})$ of f is

$$f^*(\mathfrak{m}) = q^{-1-\deg(\mathfrak{m})} \sum_{u \in (\pi_\infty)/(\pi_\infty^{2+\deg(\mathfrak{m})})} f \left(\begin{pmatrix} \pi_\infty^{2+\deg(\mathfrak{m})} & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \eta(-mu),$$

if \mathfrak{m} is non-negative; $f^*(\mathfrak{m}) = 0$, otherwise. Here, $m \in A$ is the monic polynomial such that $\mathfrak{m} = \text{div}(m) \cdot \infty^{\deg(\mathfrak{m})}$.

Theorem 3.3 (Gekeler [4, (2.8)]). *Let $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{T}, R)$ which is invariant under Γ_∞ . Then*

$$f \left(\begin{pmatrix} \pi_\infty^k & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = f^0(\pi_\infty^k) + \sum_{\substack{0 \neq m \in A \\ \deg(m) \leq k-2}} f^*(\text{div}(m) \cdot \infty^{k-2}) \cdot \eta(my).$$

In particular, f is uniquely determined by the Fourier coefficients f^0 and f^* .

Recall the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4 (van der Put [15, Prop. (1.1)]). *Let $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)^*$ be the group of non-vanishing holomorphic rigid-analytic functions on Ω . There is a canonical exact sequence of $G(K_\infty)$ -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\Omega)^* \xrightarrow{\tilde{r}} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{T}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0.$$

The van der Put map \tilde{r} extends naturally to the map

$$\tilde{r} : \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\Omega)^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\tilde{r}^{\otimes 1}} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{T}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{T}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Example. Recall that $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)^*$ is the Drinfeld discriminant function. Consider the function $\tilde{r}(\Delta) \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{T}, \mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{T}, \mathbb{C})$, which is invariant under Γ_∞ . By Gekeler [5, (2.5) and Cor. 2.8], we have

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{r}(\Delta)^0(\pi_\infty^k) = -(q-1)q^{1-k}; \\ \tilde{r}(\Delta)^*(1) = (q^2-1)(q-1)/q. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Recall the following lemma:

Lemma 3.5 ([14, Lem. 2.14]). *Given a non-zero ideal $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft A$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{T}, R)$ which is invariant under Γ_∞ , define*

$$f|_{B_{\mathfrak{m}}} := f \left| \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{m} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right. \text{ with } f|_{B_{\mathfrak{m}}^{-1}} := f \left| \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathfrak{m} \end{pmatrix} \right.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{cases} (f|_{B_{\mathfrak{m}}})^0(\pi_\infty^k) = f^0(\pi_\infty^{k-\deg(\mathfrak{m})}); \\ (f|_{B_{\mathfrak{m}}})^*(\mathfrak{n}) = f^*(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{m}). \end{cases}$$

Then we derive that:

Lemma 3.6. *Fix a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \triangleleft A$ and $i \geq 1$. Consider $\tilde{r}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^i}) \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{T}, \mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{T}, \mathbb{C})$, which is invariant under Γ_∞ . Then we have*

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{r}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^i})^0(\pi_\infty^k) = -(q-1)q^{1-k}|\mathfrak{p}|^i; \\ \tilde{r}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^i})^*(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By the previous lemma, we have $\tilde{r}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^i})^0(\pi_\infty^k) = \tilde{r}(\Delta)^0(\pi_\infty^{k-\deg(\mathfrak{p}^i)})$ and $\tilde{r}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^i})^*(1) = \tilde{r}(\Delta)^*((\mathfrak{p}^i)^{-1})$, which imply the result by Eq. (2). \square

4 Hecke operators and Eisenstein ideals

Fix a prime-power ideal $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{p}^r$ of A with $r \geq 2$, and let R be a commutative ring with unity. For a non-zero ideal $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft A$, we define an R -linear transformation of the space of R -valued functions on $E(\mathcal{T})$ by

$$f|T_{\mathfrak{m}} := \sum f| \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix},$$

where the sum is over $a, b, d \in A$ such that a, d are monic, $(ad) = \mathfrak{m}$, $(a) + \mathfrak{n} = A$, and $\deg(b) < \deg(d)$. This transformation is the \mathfrak{m} -th Hecke operator; cf. [13, Sec. 2.3]. In particular, for the prime divisor \mathfrak{p} of \mathfrak{n} , write

$$f|U_{\mathfrak{p}} := \sum_{\substack{b \in A \\ \deg(b) < \deg(\mathfrak{p})}} f| \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & \mathfrak{p} \end{pmatrix},$$

then we see that $U_{\mathfrak{p}} = T_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Remark 4.1. The definition of $T_{\mathfrak{p}} := U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ here is different from that of $T_{\mathfrak{p}} := U_{\mathfrak{p}} + B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in [5, (1.17)].

Proposition 4.2 ([12, Prop. 2.10]). *The Hecke operators preserve the spaces $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{n}, R)$ and $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathfrak{n}, R)$, and satisfy the recursive formulas:*

1. $T_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m}'} = T_{\mathfrak{m}}T_{\mathfrak{m}'}$ if $\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{m}' = A$.
2. $T_{\mathfrak{q}^i} = T_{\mathfrak{q}^{i-1}}T_{\mathfrak{q}} - |\mathfrak{q}|T_{\mathfrak{q}^{i-2}}$ for any prime $\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}$ and $i \geq 2$.
3. $T_{\mathfrak{p}^i} = U_{\mathfrak{p}}^i$ for $i \geq 1$.

Example. Consider the action of $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ on $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{n}, R)$. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{n}, R)$. Since $\mathfrak{p}^2 | \mathfrak{n}$, we have $h := f|U_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}, R)$ by [13, Lem. 2.6]. Moreover, since h is invariant

under Γ_∞ , we have $(h|_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}})|_{U_{\mathfrak{p}}} = |\mathfrak{p}| \cdot h$ by [12, Lem. 2.23]. We obtain:

$$U_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{n}, R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}, R) \subset \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{n}, R)$$

$$f \longmapsto h := f|_{U_{\mathfrak{p}}}$$

$$h|_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}} \longmapsto |\mathfrak{p}| \cdot h.$$

Lemma 4.3 ([5, Cor. 2.11]). *Let $\mathfrak{q} \triangleleft A$ be a prime not equal to \mathfrak{p} . Then*

1. $\tilde{r}(\Delta)|_{T_{\mathfrak{q}}} = (|\mathfrak{q}| + 1) \cdot \tilde{r}(\Delta)$.
2. $\tilde{r}(\Delta)|(U_{\mathfrak{p}} + B_{\mathfrak{p}}) = (|\mathfrak{p}| + 1) \cdot \tilde{r}(\Delta)$. *Alternatively,*

$$\tilde{r}(\Delta)|_{U_{\mathfrak{p}}} = (|\mathfrak{p}| + 1) \cdot \tilde{r}(\Delta) - \tilde{r}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

From the above, we prove the following:

Lemma 4.4. *Let $k \geq 1$ and $\mathfrak{q} \triangleleft A$ be a prime not equal to \mathfrak{p} . Then*

1. $\tilde{r}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^k})|_{T_{\mathfrak{q}}} = (|\mathfrak{q}| + 1) \cdot \tilde{r}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^k})$.
2. $\tilde{r}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^k})|_{U_{\mathfrak{p}}} = |\mathfrak{p}| \cdot \tilde{r}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^{k-1}})$.

Proof. First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{r}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^k})|_{T_{\mathfrak{q}}} &= \tilde{r}(\Delta) \left| \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{p}^k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{q} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{\substack{b \in A \\ \deg(b) < \deg(\mathfrak{q})}} \tilde{r}(\Delta) \left| \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{p}^k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & \mathfrak{q} \end{pmatrix} \right. \right. \\ &= \tilde{r}(\Delta) \left| \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{q} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{p}^k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{\substack{b \in A \\ \deg(b) < \deg(\mathfrak{q})}} \tilde{r}(\Delta) \left| \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b\mathfrak{p}^k \\ 0 & \mathfrak{q} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{p}^k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right. \right. \\ &= \tilde{r}(\Delta) \left| \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{q} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{p}^k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{\substack{b \in A \\ \deg(b) < \deg(\mathfrak{q})}} \tilde{r}(\Delta) \left| \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & \mathfrak{q} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{p}^k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right. \right. \\ &= (\tilde{r}(\Delta)|_{T_{\mathfrak{q}}}) \left| \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{p}^k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (|\mathfrak{q}| + 1) \cdot \tilde{r}(\Delta) \left| \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{p}^k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (|\mathfrak{q}| + 1) \cdot \tilde{r}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^k}). \end{aligned}$$

For the second statement, since $\tilde{r}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^{k-1}})$ is Γ_∞ -invariant, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{r}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^k})|_{U_{\mathfrak{p}}} &= \sum_{\substack{b \in A \\ \deg(b) < \deg(\mathfrak{p})}} \tilde{r}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^{k-1}}) \left| \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{p} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & \mathfrak{p} \end{pmatrix} \right. \\ &= \sum_{\substack{b \in A \\ \deg(b) < \deg(\mathfrak{p})}} \tilde{r}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^{k-1}}) \left| \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{p} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathfrak{p} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = |\mathfrak{p}| \cdot \tilde{r}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^{k-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Recall that $\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{n})$ is the \mathbb{Z} -algebra generated by all Hecke operators $T_{\mathfrak{q}}$, where $\mathfrak{q} \triangleleft A$ are primes, acting on the group $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathfrak{n}, \mathbb{Z})$, and the Eisenstein ideal $\mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{n})$ of $\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{n})$ is the ideal generated by the elements

$$\{T_{\mathfrak{q}} - |\mathfrak{q}| - 1 \mid \mathfrak{q} \text{ is prime, } \mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{n}\}.$$

To simplify the notation, we put

- $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{n}, R) := \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{n}, R)[\mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{n})] \subset \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{n}, R)$,
- $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathfrak{n}, R) := \mathcal{H}_0(\mathfrak{n}, R)[\mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{n})] \subset \mathcal{H}_0(\mathfrak{n}, R)$,
- $\mathcal{E}_{00}(\mathfrak{n}, R) := \mathcal{H}_{00}(\mathfrak{n}, R)[\mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{n})] \subset \mathcal{H}_{00}(\mathfrak{n}, R)$,

the subgroups consisting of the elements annihilated by $\mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{n})$.

Let v be a place of K . Denote by K_v the completion of K at v , \mathcal{O}_v the ring of integers of K_v , and \mathbb{F}_v the residue field of \mathcal{O}_v . Moreover, we denote by \mathbb{F}_v^{nr} and $\mathcal{O}_v^{\text{nr}}$ the maximal unramified extension of \mathbb{F}_v and \mathcal{O}_v , respectively. Let \mathcal{J} denote the Néron model of $J_0(\mathfrak{n})$ over \mathcal{O}_v . Let \mathcal{J}^0 denote the relative connected component of the identity of \mathcal{J} , that is, the largest open subscheme of \mathcal{J} containing the identity section which has connected fibres. The group of connected components of $J_0(\mathfrak{n})$ at v is $\Phi_v := \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{F}_v} / \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{F}_v}^0$. There is a natural map in [12, p. 586], called the canonical specialization map:

$$\rho_v : J_0(\mathfrak{n})(K_v^{\text{nr}}) = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{O}_v^{\text{nr}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{F}_v}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_v}) \rightarrow \Phi_v. \quad (3)$$

The Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{n})$ naturally acts on the Jacobian variety $J_0(\mathfrak{n})$ of $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$, which functorially extends to \mathcal{J} ; hence, $\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{n})$ also acts on Φ_v ; cf. [13, p. 386].

5 Two degeneracy maps

Fix a prime-power ideal $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{p}^r$ of A with $r \geq 2$. Recall that the curve $Y_0(\mathfrak{n})$ is the generic fibre of the coarse moduli scheme for the functor which associates to an A -scheme S the set of isomorphism classes of pairs $(\phi, C_{\mathfrak{n}})$, where ϕ is a rank-2 Drinfeld module over S with an \mathfrak{n} -cyclic subgroup $C_{\mathfrak{n}}$; for details, see [1], [3], and [7]. Let $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{n}), \beta_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{n}) : Y_0(\mathfrak{n}) \rightarrow Y_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p})$ be the degeneracy maps with moduli-theoretic interpretations:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &:= \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{n}) : (\phi, C_{\mathfrak{n}}) \mapsto (\phi, C_{\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}}), \\ \beta &:= \beta_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{n}) : (\phi, C_{\mathfrak{n}}) \mapsto (\phi/C_{\mathfrak{p}}, C_{\mathfrak{n}}/C_{\mathfrak{p}}), \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}}$ and $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are the subgroups of $C_{\mathfrak{n}}$ of order $\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}$ and \mathfrak{p} , respectively. These morphisms are proper, and hence uniquely extend to morphisms $\alpha, \beta : X_0(\mathfrak{n}) \rightarrow X_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p})$; cf. [12, p. 584]. Moreover, by Picard functoriality, the maps α and β induce two homomorphisms:

$$\alpha^* := \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{n})^* : J_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow J_0(\mathfrak{n}), \quad \beta^* := \beta_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{n})^* : J_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow J_0(\mathfrak{n}),$$

with their duals denoted by

$$\alpha_* := \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{n})_* : J_0(\mathfrak{n}) \rightarrow J_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}), \quad \beta_* := \beta_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{n})_* : J_0(\mathfrak{n}) \rightarrow J_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}).$$

We take the Hecke operator “ $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ” acting on $J_0(\mathfrak{n})$ by:

$$U_{\mathfrak{p}} : J_0(\mathfrak{n}) \xrightarrow{\beta_*} J_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} J_0(\mathfrak{n}).$$

Note that $U_{\mathfrak{p}} := \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{n})^* \circ \beta_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{n})_* = \beta_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{np})_* \circ \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{np})^*$; cf. [17, Rem. 3.5]. The definition of $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ here is the dual version of that in [12, p. 584], which are conjugate to each other by the Atkin-Lehner involution $W_{\mathfrak{n}}$; cf. [13, p. 398].

Remark 5.1. For a prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} \triangleleft A$ not dividing \mathfrak{n} , the Hecke operator $T_{\mathfrak{q}}$ commutes with the Atkin-Lehner involution $W_{\mathfrak{n}}$, so $T_{\mathfrak{q}}$ coincides with its dual; cf. [12, p. 584] and [13, p. 398].

Denote $Y_0(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathbb{C}_{\infty}} := \Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}) \backslash \Omega$ with its completion $X_0(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathbb{C}_{\infty}} := \Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}) \backslash (\Omega \cup \mathbb{P}^1(K))$. For $z \in \Omega \cup \mathbb{P}^1(K)$, we have $\alpha, \beta : X_0(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathbb{C}_{\infty}} \rightarrow X_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathbb{C}_{\infty}}$ with

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}) \cdot z) = \Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}) \cdot z; \\ \beta(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}) \cdot z) = \Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{p} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot z. \end{cases}$$

Then for a cusp $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a \\ \mathfrak{p}^i \end{bmatrix}$ of $X_0(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathbb{C}_{\infty}}$ in the form defined in Sec. 2, we have

$$\alpha(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a \\ \mathfrak{p}^i \end{bmatrix}) = \begin{cases} \Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a \\ \mathfrak{p}^i \end{bmatrix}, & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq r-1. \\ \Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathfrak{p}^{r-1} \end{bmatrix}, & \text{if } i = r. \end{cases}$$

$$\beta(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a \\ \mathfrak{p}^i \end{bmatrix}) = \begin{cases} \Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, & \text{if } i = 0. \\ \Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a \\ \mathfrak{p}^{i-1} \end{bmatrix}, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq r. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the degeneracy coverings α and β have degree $|\mathfrak{p}|$. From the above and [8, Lem. 2.2], α and β also induce maps between the divisor groups:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^*, \beta^* &: \text{Div}(X_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p})) \rightarrow \text{Div}(X_0(\mathfrak{n})); \\ \alpha_*, \beta_* &: \text{Div}(X_0(\mathfrak{n})) \rightarrow \text{Div}(X_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p})). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $(P(\mathfrak{n})_d)$ is the sum of all the cusps of $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$ of height d . We have:

Lemma 5.2. 1. For $(P(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}^j}) \in \text{Div}(X_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}))$,

$$\alpha^*(P(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}^j}) = \begin{cases} |\mathfrak{p}| \cdot (P(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathfrak{p}^j}), & \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor. \\ (P(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathfrak{p}^j}), & \text{if } \lfloor \frac{r+1}{2} \rfloor \leq j \leq r-2. \\ (q-1) \cdot (P(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathfrak{p}^{r-1}}) + (P(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathfrak{p}^r}), & \text{if } j = r-1. \end{cases}$$

2. For $(P(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathfrak{p}^j}) \in \text{Div}(X_0(\mathfrak{n}))$,

$$\beta_*(P(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathfrak{p}^j}) = \begin{cases} (P(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p})_1), & \text{if } j = 0. \\ \frac{|\mathfrak{p}|-1}{q-1} \cdot (P(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p})_1), & \text{if } j = 1. \\ |\mathfrak{p}| \cdot (P(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}^{j-1}}), & \text{if } 2 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor. \\ (P(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}^{j-1}}), & \text{if } \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor + 1 \leq j \leq r. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Inspired from Ex. 4, we decompose

$$U_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{n}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\beta_*} \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{n}, \mathbb{Z})$$

$$f \longmapsto f|U_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

$$h \longmapsto h.$$

The first statement of lemma follows directly from [8, Lem. 2.1]. By Lem. 4.4, we have $\beta_*(\tilde{r}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}})) := \tilde{r}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}})|U_{\mathfrak{p}} = |\mathfrak{p}| \cdot \tilde{r}(\Delta)$. Hence, we derive that β_* maps $\text{div}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in \text{Div}(X_0(\mathfrak{n}))$ to $|\mathfrak{p}| \cdot \text{div}(\Delta) \in \text{Div}(X_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}))$. From [8, Sec. 2.1], the divisor of $\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ on $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$ is

$$\sum_{j=0}^r a_j \cdot (P(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathfrak{p}^j}) := |\mathfrak{p}|^{r-1} \cdot (P(\mathfrak{n})_1) + \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{q-1}{\rho(j)} |\mathfrak{p}|^{\max\{r-2j+1, 1\}} \cdot (P(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathfrak{p}^j}),$$

where $a_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\rho(j) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 < j < r. \\ q-1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Additionally, the divisor of $\Delta^{|\mathfrak{p}|}$ on $X_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p})$ is

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} b_j \cdot (P(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}^j}) := |\mathfrak{p}| \cdot (P(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}^{r-1}}) + \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} \frac{q-1}{\rho(j)} |\mathfrak{p}|^{\max\{r-2j, 1\}} \cdot (P(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}^j}),$$

where $b_j \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, the result for $2 \leq j \leq r$ follows from $\beta_*(P(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathfrak{p}^j}) = \frac{b_{j-1}}{a_j} \cdot (P(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}^{j-1}})$. For $j = 0$ or 1 , we have $\beta_*(P(\mathfrak{n})_1) = x \cdot (P(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p})_1)$ and $\beta_*(P(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathfrak{p}}) = y \cdot (P(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p})_1)$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider

$$f := \left(\frac{\Delta}{\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}} \right)^{|\mathfrak{p}|} \left(\frac{\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^2}}{\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}} \right) \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{n}}.$$

Then $\tilde{r}(f) \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{n}, \mathbb{Z})$. According to Lem. 4.3 and 4.4, we have $\tilde{r}(f)|U_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$. Moreover, referencing [8, Sec. 2.1], the divisor of f on $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$ is given by:

$$(q-1)(|\mathfrak{p}|^2-1)|\mathfrak{p}|^{r-2} \cdot \left[\frac{|\mathfrak{p}|-1}{q-1} \cdot (P(\mathfrak{n})_1) - (P(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathfrak{p}}) \right].$$

This implies that $y = \frac{|\mathfrak{p}|-1}{q-1} \cdot x$. Now, considering a_0 , a_1 , and b_0 , we find

$$|\mathfrak{p}|^{r-1} \cdot \beta_*(P(\mathfrak{n})_1) + (q-1)|\mathfrak{p}|^{r-1} \cdot \beta_*(P(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathfrak{p}}) = |\mathfrak{p}|^r \cdot (P(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p})_1),$$

which implies that $x = 1$ and $y = \frac{|\mathfrak{p}|-1}{q-1}$. \square

In the following, we prove that $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^{r-1})/\beta_*(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r))$ is p -primary.

Lemma 5.3 (cf. [17, Lem. 3.4]). *Fix a prime-power ideal $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{p}^r \triangleleft A$ with $r \geq 2$. Let $\beta_* : \text{Div}_{\text{cusp}}^0(X_0(\mathfrak{p}^r))(K) \rightarrow \text{Div}_{\text{cusp}}^0(X_0(\mathfrak{p}^{r-1}))(K)$ be the map induced from Lem. 5.2. Then*

$$\text{Div}_{\text{cusp}}^0(X_0(\mathfrak{p}^{r-1}))(K)/\beta_*(\text{Div}_{\text{cusp}}^0(X_0(\mathfrak{p}^r))(K)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/|\mathfrak{p}|\mathbb{Z})^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - 1}.$$

In particular, we have

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^{r-1})/\beta_*(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r)) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/|\mathfrak{p}|\mathbb{Z})^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - 1}.$$

Proof. Let $C_i := (P(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathfrak{p}^i}) - \deg(P(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathfrak{p}^i}) \cdot [\infty] \in \text{Div}_{\text{cusp}}^0(X_0(\mathfrak{n}))(K)$ for $0 \leq i \leq r-1$, and $C'_i := (P(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}^i}) - \deg(P(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}^i}) \cdot [\infty] \in \text{Div}_{\text{cusp}}^0(X_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}))(K)$. Then $\text{Div}_{\text{cusp}}^0(X_0(\mathfrak{n}))(K)$ is generated by $\{C_0, C_1, \dots, C_{r-1}\}$. By Lem. 5.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta_*(\text{Div}_{\text{cusp}}^0(X_0(\mathfrak{n}))(K)) \\ &= \langle \beta_*(C_0), \beta_*(C_1), \beta_*(C_2), \dots, \beta_*(C_{r-1}) \rangle \\ &= \langle C'_0, \frac{|\mathfrak{p}|-1}{q-1} C'_0, |\mathfrak{p}| C'_1, \dots, |\mathfrak{p}| C'_{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - 1}, C'_{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}, \dots, C'_{r-2} \rangle \\ &\subset \text{Div}_{\text{cusp}}^0(X_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}))(K) \\ &= \langle C'_0, C'_1, \dots, C'_{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - 1}, C'_{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}, \dots, C'_{r-2} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\text{Div}_{\text{cusp}}^0(X_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}))(K)/\beta_*(\text{Div}_{\text{cusp}}^0(X_0(\mathfrak{n}))(K)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/|\mathfrak{p}|\mathbb{Z})^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - 1}.$$

\square

6 Proof of Main Theorem

Fix a prime-power ideal $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{p}^r \triangleleft A$ with $r \geq 2$. We prove Thm. 1.7 by induction on r . It is known that $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p})$. Assume that $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^{r-1})_{\ell} = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^{r-1})_{\ell}$ for a

prime $\ell \nmid q(q-1)$. We want to show that $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r)_\ell = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r)_\ell$. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r)_\ell[U_{\mathfrak{p}}] & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r)_\ell & \xrightarrow{U_{\mathfrak{p}}} & U_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r))_\ell \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r)_\ell[U_{\mathfrak{p}}] & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r)_\ell & \xrightarrow{U_{\mathfrak{p}}} & U_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r))_\ell \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \searrow^{(\beta_{\mathfrak{p}})_*} & & \uparrow^{\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^*} \\
& & & & & & (\beta_{\mathfrak{p}})_*(\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r))_\ell \subseteq \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^{r-1})_\ell.
\end{array}$$

We call $U_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r))_\ell \subset \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r)_\ell$ the old part of $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r)_\ell$. By Lem. 5.3 and induction hypothesis, we have

$$(\beta_{\mathfrak{p}})_*(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r))_\ell = \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^{r-1})_\ell = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^{r-1})_\ell \supseteq (\beta_{\mathfrak{p}})_*(\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r))_\ell.$$

Thus, we have $U_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r))_\ell \supseteq U_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r))_\ell$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r) \subseteq \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r)$, we have $U_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r))_\ell = U_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r))_\ell$. Note that for any prime $\ell \neq p$,

$$U_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r))_\ell \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^{r-1})_\ell \cong \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}{M(\mathfrak{p})\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \right)^{r-2} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}{N(\mathfrak{p})\mathbb{Z}_\ell},$$

where $M(\mathfrak{p}) := \frac{|\mathfrak{p}|^2-1}{q^2-1}$ and

$$N(\mathfrak{p}) := \begin{cases} \frac{|\mathfrak{p}|-1}{q^2-1}, & \text{if } \deg(\mathfrak{p}) \text{ is even.} \\ \frac{|\mathfrak{p}|-1}{q-1}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, we look at the new part $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r)_\ell[U_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ of $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r)_\ell$. Recall that $(P(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is the sum of all the cusps of $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$ of height \mathfrak{p} . Define $\overline{C'} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r)$ by

$$C' := \frac{|\mathfrak{p}|-1}{q-1} \cdot [0] - (P(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in \text{Div}_{\text{cusp}}^0(X_0(\mathfrak{n}))(K). \quad (4)$$

By Lem. 5.2, $\overline{C'}$ is annihilated by $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Recall the map $g : \text{Div}_{\text{cusp}}^0(X_0(\mathfrak{n}))(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{n}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ in Sec. 2. We have

$$g(C') = \left(\left(\frac{\Delta}{\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}} \right)^{|\mathfrak{p}|} \left(\frac{\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^2}}{\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}} \right) \right) \otimes \frac{1}{(q-1)(|\mathfrak{p}|^2-1)|\mathfrak{p}|^{r-2}}. \quad (5)$$

From the above and [8, Lem. 3.6], the order of $\overline{C'}$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r)$ divides $M(\mathfrak{p})|\mathfrak{p}|^{r-2}$. Since $\frac{\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^2}}{\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ does not have a p -th root in $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)^*$ by [5, Cor. 3.18], we see that $|\mathfrak{p}|^{r-2}$ divides $\text{ord}(\overline{C'})$; cf. [8, Lem. 3.3]. Let $e := \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\infty}^2 & \pi_{\infty} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in E(\mathcal{T})$; cf. [8, Sec. 2.2]. By [8, Lem. 2.4], we compute that

$$|\mathfrak{p}|^{r-2} \cdot (\tilde{r} \circ g(C'))(e) = -\frac{|\mathfrak{p}|}{q} \cdot \frac{1}{M(\mathfrak{p})},$$

which implies that $M(\mathfrak{p})$ also divides $\text{ord}(\overline{C'})$; cf. [8, Lem. 3.3]. Then we conclude that $\text{ord}(\overline{C'}) = M(\mathfrak{p})|\mathfrak{p}|^{r-2}$.

Remark 6.1. Combining the above with Thm. 1.4 and Lem. 5.3, the prime-to- p part of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r)[U_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ is cyclic and generated by $|\mathfrak{p}|^{r-2} \cdot \overline{C'}$ of order $M(\mathfrak{p})$. In contrast, the p -part of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r)[U_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ may not be cyclic.

Recall the following:

Lemma 6.2 ([12, Lem. 7.2]). *Suppose that ℓ is a prime not dividing $q(q-1)$. There is a natural injective homomorphism $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{n})_{\ell} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{00}(\mathfrak{n}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^k\mathbb{Z})$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $\ell^k \geq \#(\Phi_{\infty, \ell})$.*

Proof. The idea in the proof of [12, Lem. 7.2] is

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{n})_{\ell} \hookrightarrow (\Phi_{\infty})_{\ell}[\mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{n})] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{00}(\mathfrak{n}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^k\mathbb{Z})$$

when $\ell^k \cdot (\Phi_{\infty})_{\ell} = 0$ and $\ell \nmid q(q-1)$. □

From the above lemma, we obtain an injective $\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{n})$ -equivariant map:

$$\frac{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}{M(\mathfrak{p})\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} \cong \langle \overline{C'} \rangle_{\ell} \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{n})_{\ell}[U_{\mathfrak{p}}] \subseteq \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{n})_{\ell}[U_{\mathfrak{p}}] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{00}(\mathfrak{n}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^k\mathbb{Z})[U_{\mathfrak{p}}] \quad (6)$$

for a prime $\ell \nmid q(q-1)$ and large enough k . It remains to show that

$$\mathcal{E}_{00}(\mathfrak{p}^r, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^k\mathbb{Z})[U_{\mathfrak{p}}] \hookrightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}{M(\mathfrak{p})\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}.$$

Assume the above, then we have

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r)_{\ell}[U_{\mathfrak{p}}] = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r)_{\ell}[U_{\mathfrak{p}}],$$

which is the new part of $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r)_{\ell}$. Combining the new and the old parts of $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r)_{\ell}$, we obtain that $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r)_{\ell} = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}^r)_{\ell}$ for any prime $\ell \nmid q(q-1)$.

Define

$$E_{\mathfrak{n}} := \frac{1}{(q-1)(q^2-1)} \cdot \tilde{r} \left(\left(\frac{\Delta}{\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}} \right)^{|\mathfrak{p}|} \left(\frac{\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^2}}{\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}} \right) \right) \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{n}, \mathbb{Q}). \quad (7)$$

Recall that the order of $\overline{C'}$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{p}^r)$ is $M(\mathfrak{p})|\mathfrak{p}|^{r-2}$. Then by Thm. 3.4 and Eq. (5), we have $E_{\mathfrak{n}} = M(\mathfrak{p})|\mathfrak{p}|^{r-2} \cdot \tilde{r} \circ g(C') \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{n}, \mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 6.3. $E_{\mathfrak{n}} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{n}, \mathbb{Z})[U_{\mathfrak{p}}]$.

Proof. By Lem. 4.3 and 4.4, for any prime $\mathfrak{q} \triangleleft A$ not equal to \mathfrak{p} , we have $E_{\mathfrak{n}}|T_{\mathfrak{q}} = (|\mathfrak{q}|+1)E_{\mathfrak{n}}$ and $E_{\mathfrak{n}}|U_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$. Hence, $E_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is annihilated by both the actions of the Eisenstein ideal $\mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{n})$ and the Hecke operator $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$. □

From now on, we always assume that R is a coefficient ring. By composing with the map $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R$ sending z to $z \cdot 1$, we also consider $E_{\mathfrak{n}}$ as an element of $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{n}, R)[U_{\mathfrak{p}}]$. We claim that $E_{\mathfrak{n}}$ generates $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{n}, R)[U_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ over R . Before we prove the claim, we recall the following:

Lemma 6.4 ([14, Lem. 2.15]). *Assume that $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{n}, R)$ is an eigenfunction of all $T_{\mathfrak{q}}$, $\mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{n}$; that is, $f|T_{\mathfrak{q}} = \lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}f$ for some $\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} \in R$. Then the Fourier coefficients $f^*(\mathfrak{m})$, with \mathfrak{m} coprime to \mathfrak{n} , are uniquely determined by $f^*(1)$ and the eigenvalues $\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}$, $\mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{n}$.*

Proof. This follows from Prop. 4.2 and the fact that $(f|T_{\mathfrak{m}})^*(1) = |\mathfrak{m}|f^*(\mathfrak{m})$; cf. [11, Lem. 3.2]. \square

We provide an Atkin-Lehner type result similar to [14, Thm. 2.18]:

Theorem 6.5. *Let $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{p}^r$ with a prime $\mathfrak{p} \triangleleft A$ and $r \geq 2$. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{n}, R)$ is such that $f^*(\mathfrak{m}) = 0$ unless \mathfrak{p} divides \mathfrak{m} . Then there exists $h \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}, R)$ such that $f = h|B_{\mathfrak{p}}$.*

Proof. Let $h := f|B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}$ and

$$\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G(A) \mid c \in \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}, b \in \mathfrak{p} \right\}.$$

By [14, Lem. 2.13], h is $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p})$ -invariant and harmonic. Moreover, by Thm. 3.3, for any $e = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\infty}^k & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in E(\mathcal{T})$ and $a \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e \right) &= f \left(\begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\infty}^{k+\deg \mathfrak{p}} & y + \frac{a}{\mathfrak{p}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= f^0(\pi_{\infty}^{k+\deg \mathfrak{p}}) + \sum_{\substack{0 \neq m \in A \\ \deg m \leq k+\deg \mathfrak{p}-2}} f^*(\operatorname{div}(m)_{\infty}^{k+\deg \mathfrak{p}-2}) \eta \left(my + \frac{ma}{\mathfrak{p}} \right) \\ &= f^0(\pi_{\infty}^{k+\deg \mathfrak{p}}) + \sum_{\substack{0 \neq m \in A \\ \deg m \leq k+\deg \mathfrak{p}-2}} f^*(\operatorname{div}(m)_{\infty}^{k+\deg \mathfrak{p}-2}) \eta(my) = h(e), \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality comes from the assumption that $f^*(\mathfrak{m}) = 0$ unless \mathfrak{p} divides \mathfrak{m} . Thus, h is also Γ_{∞} -invariant, which completes the proof since $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p})$ is generated by $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p})$ and Γ_{∞} . \square

Now, we are able to prove the following:

Proposition 6.6. $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{n}, R)[U_{\mathfrak{p}}] = R \cdot E_{\mathfrak{n}}$.

Proof. Note that $R \cdot E_{\mathfrak{n}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{n}, R)[U_{\mathfrak{p}}]$. It suffices to prove the reverse inclusion. By Eq. (2) and Lem. 3.6, we have

$$E_{\mathfrak{n}}^0(\pi_{\infty}^k) = 0 \text{ and } E_{\mathfrak{n}}^*(1) = \frac{|\mathfrak{p}|}{q} \in R^*.$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{n}, R)[U_{\mathfrak{p}}]$, then consider

$$\tilde{f} := f - f^*(1) \cdot \frac{q}{|\mathfrak{p}|} \cdot E_{\mathfrak{n}} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{n}, R)[U_{\mathfrak{p}}].$$

First, by Lem. 6.4 and Thm. 6.5, since $\tilde{f}^*(1) = 0$, there exists $h \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{p}, R)$ which is Γ_∞ -invariant such that $h|B_{\mathfrak{p}} = \tilde{f}$. Note that

$$(h|B_{\mathfrak{p}})|U_{\mathfrak{p}} = \tilde{f}|U_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0.$$

Second, by [12, Lem. 2.23], since h is Γ_∞ -invariant, we have

$$|\mathfrak{p}| \cdot h = (h|B_{\mathfrak{p}})|U_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0.$$

Hence, $h = 0$ and $\tilde{f} = h|B_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$, which implies that

$$f = f^*(1) \cdot \frac{q}{|\mathfrak{p}|} E_{\mathfrak{n}} \in R \cdot E_{\mathfrak{n}}.$$

□

Note that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot [\infty] := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathfrak{n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathfrak{p} \end{bmatrix}$$

on the cusps of $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$. Let $e_j := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_\infty^{-j} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in E(\mathcal{S})$ with $j \geq 0$. Then $\{\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}) \cdot e_j \mid j \geq 0\}$ forms an end on the quotient graph of the Bruhat-Tits tree $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}) \backslash \mathcal{S}$ corresponding to the cusp $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathfrak{p} \end{bmatrix}$ on $X_0(\mathfrak{n})$; cf. [14, Def. 2.5] and [5, p. 184]. Before computing $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathfrak{n}, R)[U_{\mathfrak{p}}]$, we consider the following lemma:

Lemma 6.7 (cf. [8, Lem. 2.4]). *Let $j \geq 0$. Then we have*

1.

$$\tilde{r}(\Delta) \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_\infty^{-j} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = -(q-1)(q^{j+1} - q - 1).$$

2. *Assume that $k \geq 1$ and $j \geq \max\{(k-2)\deg(\mathfrak{p}), 0\}$. Then*

$$\tilde{r}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^k}) \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_\infty^{-j} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = -(q-1)(q^{j+1}|\mathfrak{p}|^{2-k} - q - 1).$$

Proof. For $k \geq 0$ and $j \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{p}^k \pi_\infty^{-j} & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} \pi_\infty^{-j} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathfrak{p}^{1-k} \pi_\infty^{j+(2-k)\deg(\mathfrak{p})} \\ 0 & -(\mathfrak{p} \pi_\infty^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})})^{2-k} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{p}^{-1} \pi_\infty^{j+1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathfrak{p}^{-1} \pi_\infty^{j+1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{p}^{k-1} \pi_\infty & \pi_\infty^{j+1+(2-k)\deg(\mathfrak{p})} \\ \pi_\infty & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_\infty^{j+1+(2-k)\deg(\mathfrak{p})} & \mathfrak{p}^{k-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \pi_\infty & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{p}^k \pi_\infty^{-j} & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} \pi_\infty^{-j} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_\infty^{j+1+(2-k)\deg(\mathfrak{p})} & \mathfrak{p}^{k-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \pi_\infty & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in $E(\mathcal{S})$.

First, assume that $k = 0$ and $j \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} \pi_\infty^{j+1+2\deg(\mathfrak{p})} & \mathfrak{p}^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \pi_\infty & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{p}\pi_\infty^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})} & \pi_\infty^{j+\deg(\mathfrak{p})} \\ 0 & -(\mathfrak{p}\pi_\infty^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})})^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_\infty^{-\deg(\mathfrak{p})} & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_\infty^{-\deg(\mathfrak{p})} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \pi_\infty & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p}\pi_\infty & \pi_\infty^{j+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & \mathfrak{p} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_\infty^{j+1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \pi_\infty & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{r}(\Delta) \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_\infty^{-j} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \tilde{r}(\Delta) \left(\begin{pmatrix} \pi_\infty^{-j} & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p}\pi_\infty^{-j} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \tilde{r}(\Delta) \left(\begin{pmatrix} \pi_\infty^{j+1+2\deg(\mathfrak{p})} & \mathfrak{p}^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \pi_\infty & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \tilde{r}(\Delta) \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & \mathfrak{p} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_\infty^{j+1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \pi_\infty & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= -\tilde{r}(\Delta) \left(\begin{pmatrix} \pi_\infty^{j+1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = -(q-1)(q^{j+1} - q - 1), \end{aligned}$$

where the penultimate equality comes from [5, Eq. (2.3)].

Second, assume that $k \geq 1$ and $j \geq \max\{(k-2)\deg(\mathfrak{p}), 0\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{r}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}^k}) \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_\infty^{-j} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \tilde{r}(\Delta) \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{p}^k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_\infty^{-j} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \tilde{r}(\Delta) \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{p}^k \pi_\infty^{-j} & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p}\pi_\infty^{-j} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \tilde{r}(\Delta) \left(\begin{pmatrix} \pi_\infty^{j+1+(2-k)\deg(\mathfrak{p})} & \mathfrak{p}^{k-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \pi_\infty & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \tilde{r}(\Delta) \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathfrak{p}^{k-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_\infty^{j+1+(2-k)\deg(\mathfrak{p})} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \pi_\infty & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= -\tilde{r}(\Delta) \left(\begin{pmatrix} \pi_\infty^{j+1+(2-k)\deg(\mathfrak{p})} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = -(q-1)(q^{j+1}|\mathfrak{p}|^{2-k} - q - 1), \end{aligned}$$

where the penultimate equality again comes from [5, Eq. (2.3)]. \square

Lemma 6.8. *Let $R[M(\mathfrak{p})] := \{c \in R \mid M(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot c = 0\}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{E}_0(\mathfrak{n}, R)[U_{\mathfrak{p}}] \subseteq R[M(\mathfrak{p})] \cdot E_{\mathfrak{n}}.$$

Proof. By Prop. 6.6, $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathfrak{n}, R)[U_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ is contained in $R \cdot E_{\mathfrak{n}}$. Suppose that $c \cdot E_{\mathfrak{n}} \in \mathcal{E}_0(\mathfrak{n}, R)[U_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ for some $c \in R$. Let $e_j := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_\infty^{-j} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in E(\mathcal{T})$ with $j \geq 0$. By Lem. 6.7, we have $c \cdot E_{\mathfrak{n}}(e_j) = c \cdot q^{j+1}M(\mathfrak{p})$ for $j \geq 0$. Since $q \in R^*$, we have $c \cdot E_{\mathfrak{n}}(e_j) = 0$ for any $j \gg 0$ if and only if $c \in R[M(\mathfrak{p})]$, which completes the proof. \square

Now, we prove the following:

Proposition 6.9. *Let $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{p}^r \triangleleft A$ with a prime $\mathfrak{p} \triangleleft A$ and $r \geq 2$. Assume that ℓ is a prime not dividing $q(q-1)$. Then*

$$C(\mathfrak{n})_\ell[U_{\mathfrak{p}}] = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{n})_\ell[U_{\mathfrak{p}}].$$

Proof. Let $R := \mathbb{Z}/\ell^k\mathbb{Z}$ with $k \gg 0$ such that $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{n})_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{00}(\mathfrak{n}, R)$ in Lem. 6.2. By Lem. 6.8,

$$\mathcal{E}_{00}(\mathfrak{n}, R)[U_{\mathfrak{p}}] \subseteq \mathcal{E}_0(\mathfrak{n}, R)[U_{\mathfrak{p}}] \subseteq R[M(\mathfrak{p})] \cdot E_{\mathfrak{n}} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}{M(\mathfrak{p})\mathbb{Z}_\ell}.$$

Thus, the equality holds in Sequence (6). In particular, we have

$$C(\mathfrak{n})_\ell[U_{\mathfrak{p}}] = \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{n})_\ell[U_{\mathfrak{p}}].$$

□

From the above, we obtain Main Theorem.

References

- [1] DRINFELD, V. G. Elliptic modules. *Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik* 23, 4 (1974), 561–592.
- [2] GEKELER, E.-U. *Drinfeld Modular Curves*, vol. 1231 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, 1986.
- [3] GEKELER, E.-U. Über Drinfeld’sche modulkurven vom Hecke-typ. *Compositio Mathematica* 57, 2 (1986), 219–236.
- [4] GEKELER, E.-U. Improper Eisenstein series on Bruhat-Tits trees. *Manuscripta Mathematica* 86, 1 (Dec. 1995), 367–391.
- [5] GEKELER, E.-U. On the Drinfeld discriminant function. *Compositio Mathematica* 106, 2 (1997), 181–202.
- [6] GEKELER, E.-U. A note on the finiteness of certain cuspidal divisor class groups. *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 118, 1 (2000), 357–368.
- [7] GEKELER, E.-U., AND REVERSAT, M. Jacobians of Drinfeld modular curves. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* 476 (1996), 27–94.
- [8] HO, S.-Y. K. On the Rational Cuspidal Divisor Class Groups of Drinfeld Modular Curves $X_0(\mathfrak{p}^r)$. *Publisher: arXiv Version Number: 8, to appear on International Journal of Number Theory* (2024).

- [9] MAZUR, B. Modular curves and the Eisenstein ideal. *Publications Mathématiques de l'Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques* 47, 1 (1977), 33–186.
- [10] OGG, A. P. Diophantine equations and modular forms. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* 81, 1 (1975), 14 – 27.
- [11] PÁL, A. On the torsion of the Mordell-Weil group of the Jacobian of Drinfeld modular curves. *Documenta Mathematica* 10 (2005), 131–198.
- [12] PAPIKIAN, M., AND WEI, F.-T. The Eisenstein ideal and Jacquet-Langlands isogeny over function fields. *Documenta Mathematica* 20 (2015), 551–629.
- [13] PAPIKIAN, M., AND WEI, F.-T. On the Eisenstein ideal over function fields. *Journal of Number Theory* 161 (2016), 384–434.
- [14] PAPIKIAN, M., AND WEI, F.-T. The rational torsion subgroups of Drinfeld modular Jacobians and Eisenstein pseudo-harmonic cochains. *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 287, 1 (2017), 521–546.
- [15] VAN DER PUT, M. Les fonctions thêta d'une courbe de Mumford. *Groupe de travail d'analyse ultramétrique* 9, 1 (1981-1982), 1–12.
- [16] YOO, H. The rational cuspidal divisor class group of $X_0(N)$. *Journal of Number Theory* 242 (2023), 278–401.
- [17] YOO, H. The rational torsion subgroup of $J_0(N)$. *Advances in Mathematics* 426 (2023), 109111.