

**SPECIAL SUBSETS OF DISCRETE GROUPS AND ARENS  
REGULARITY OF IDEALS IN FOURIER AND GROUP  
ALGEBRAS**

REZA ESMAILVANDI<sup>1</sup>, MAHMOUD FILALI<sup>2</sup>, JORGE GALINDO<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Instituto Universitario de Matemáticas y Aplicaciones (IMAC),  
Universitat Jaume I, E-12071, Castellón, Spain;  
E-mail: esmailva@uji.es*

<sup>2</sup> *Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Oulu, Oulu Finland;  
E-mail: mfilali@cc.oulu.fi*

<sup>3</sup> *Instituto Universitario de Matemáticas y Aplicaciones (IMAC),  
Universitat Jaume I, E-12071, Castellón, Spain;  
E-mail: jgalindo@uji.es*

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a weakly sequentially complete Banach algebra containing a bounded approximate identity that is an ideal in its second dual  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ , we call such an algebra a *WeSeBai* algebra. In the present paper we examine the Arens regularity properties of closed ideals of algebras in the *WeSeBai* class. We observe that, although *WeSeBai* algebras are always strongly Arens irregular, a variety of Arens regularity properties can be observed within their closed ideals.

After characterizing Arens regular ideals and strongly Arens irregular ideals, we proceed to particularize to the main examples of *WeSeBai* algebras, the convolution group algebras  $L^1(G)$ ,  $G$  compact, and the Fourier algebras  $A(\Gamma)$ ,  $\Gamma$  discrete and amenable. We find examples of Arens regular ideals in  $L^1(G)$  and  $A(\Gamma)$ , both reflexive and nonreflexive and examples of strongly Arens irregular ideals that are not in the *WeSeBai* class. For this, we construct, in many noncommutative groups, a new class of Riesz sets which are not  $\Lambda(p)$ , for any  $p > 1$ . Our approach also shows that every infinite Abelian group contains a Rosenthal set that is not  $\Lambda(p)$ , for any  $p > 0$ . These latter results could be of independent interest.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

There are two natural ways to define a multiplication in the second dual  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  of a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  in such a way that the natural embedding of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  is made into a Banach algebra homomorphism. This was observed, over seventy years ago, by R. Arens, [1] and [2]. Arens products, as these multiplications came to be known, have since been extensively studied, see,

---

*Date:* July 16, 2024.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22D15, 43A20, 43A30, 43A46, 47C15.

*Key words and phrases.* Banach algebra, Arens products, Fourier algebra, group algebra, Riesz sets, Rosenthal sets,  $\Lambda(p)$ -sets.

e.g., [7],[11], [45], [58] or, more recently, [3], [9], [10], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18], [54] and the references therein.

It was soon recognized that the interplay between both products, or, rather, how their properties compare, reflects some of the properties of the algebra. In most prior research, with the notable exception of [54], a fundamental tool that consistently proves indispensable is the utilization of bounded (right or/and left) approximate identities. The reason for this can be glimpsed at the beginning of Section 3.

In this paper, we are primarily concerned with Arens products on closed ideals of the Banach algebras that constitute the  $\ell^1$ -side of Abstract Harmonic Analysis (as opposed to the  $C^*$ -side): group algebras and Fourier algebras. These ideals need not possess bounded approximate identities and, because of that, many conventional approaches to Arens (ir-)regularity do not apply to them. However, it is still possible to use the bounded approximate identities of their ambient algebras to bring some of their structure back.

Throughout the paper, we will say that a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is in the WeSeBai class (or is a WeSeBai algebra) if  $\mathcal{A}$  is weakly sequentially complete, has a bounded approximate identity and is an ideal in its second dual  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is in the WeSeBai class and  $p \diamond q$  and  $p \blacklozenge q$ , denote the two Arens products of elements  $p, q \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$  (we postpone definitions to the next section), it is well known that the translation maps  $q \mapsto pq$  and  $q \mapsto q \blacklozenge p$ , are both weak\*-continuous only if  $p \in \mathcal{A}$ . This is summarized by saying that WeSeBai algebras are strongly Arens irregular (or sAir, for sort). This behaviour is not shared by all the ideals of algebras in the WeSeBai class. In fact Ülger [55] proved that in the second dual of certain nonreflexive ideals of the group algebra of a compact Abelian group, translations can even be continuous for every  $p \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ . That is, ideals of a WeSeBai algebra can be Arens regular.

In this paper we characterize Arens regularity and strong Arens irregularity of ideals of WeSeBai algebras. We observe that, with few exceptions, among the ideals of such an algebra, the entire spectrum of behaviors associated with Arens irregularity can be found. This task was launched in our previous paper [13], where closed ideals of the group algebra of a compact Abelian group were studied.

Our last Section provides general constructions and examples that materialize these behaviours. We consider there the main examples of algebras in the WeSeBai class: the algebra  $L^1(G)$ , where  $G$  is a compact, not necessarily commutative, group and the Fourier algebra  $A(\Gamma)$  of a discrete amenable group  $\Gamma$ . Improving, and generalizing to the Fourier algebra context, constructions of suitable special sets known in classic Harmonic Analysis, we find ideals in  $L^1(G)$  and  $A(\Gamma)$  that are (1) infinite dimensional and reflexive (2) Arens regular and not reflexive and (3) strongly Arens irregular, not necessarily in the WeSeBai class.

## 2. NOTATION

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and let  $\mathcal{A}^*$  and  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  be its first and second Banach duals, respectively. The multiplication of  $\mathcal{A}$  can be extended naturally

to  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  in two different ways. These multiplications arise as particular cases of the abstract approach of R. Arens [1, 2] and can be formalized through the following three steps. For  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $m, n$  in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ ; we define  $\varphi \cdot a, a \cdot \varphi, m \cdot \varphi, \varphi \cdot m$  in  $\mathcal{A}^*$  and  $mn, m \diamond n$  in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi \cdot a, b \rangle &= \langle \varphi, ab \rangle, & \langle a \cdot \varphi, b \rangle &= \langle \varphi, ba \rangle \\ \langle m \cdot \varphi, a \rangle &= \langle m, \varphi \cdot a \rangle, & \langle \varphi \cdot m, a \rangle &= \langle m, a \cdot \varphi \rangle \\ \langle mn, \varphi \rangle &= \langle m, n \cdot \varphi \rangle, & \langle m \diamond n, \varphi \rangle &= \langle n, \varphi \cdot m \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

When  $mn = m \diamond n$  for every  $m, n \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  is said Arens regular.

We say that a bounded net  $(e_\alpha)$  is a bounded right approximate identity (brai for short) in  $\mathcal{A}$ , when for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\lim_\alpha ae_\alpha = a$  in norm. Any weak\*-limit  $e$  of  $(e_\alpha)$  is a right identity in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ . Bounded left approximate identities (blai) can be analogously defined, and any weak\*-limit  $e$  of  $(e_\alpha)$  is a left identity for  $(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \diamond)$ . The  $(e_\alpha)$  will be a bounded approximate identity (a bai) if it is both brai and blai, and  $e$  is called then a mixed identity in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra. Then the topological centres  $\mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}^{**})$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{A}^{**})$  of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}^{**}) &= \{m \in \mathcal{A}^{**} : \text{the map } n \mapsto mn \text{ is weak*--weak* continuous on } \mathcal{A}^{**}\} \\ &= \{m \in \mathcal{A}^{**} : mn = m \diamond n \text{ for all } n \in \mathcal{A}^{**}\} \\ \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{A}^{**}) &= \{m \in \mathcal{A}^{**} : \text{the map } n \mapsto n \diamond m \text{ is weak*--weak* continuous on } \mathcal{A}^{**}\} \\ &= \{m \in \mathcal{A}^{**} : nm = n \diamond m \text{ for all } n \in \mathcal{A}^{**}\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}^{**}) \cap \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{A}^{**})$ . The algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is therefore Arens regular if and only if

$$\mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}^{**}) = \mathcal{A}^{**} = \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{A}^{**}).$$

When  $\mathcal{A}$  is commutative both topological centers coincide with the algebraic center  $\{m \in \mathcal{A}^{**} : mn = nm \text{ for all } n \in \mathcal{A}^{**}\}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra. Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is called left strongly Arens irregular (lsAir) if  $\mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}^{**}) = \mathcal{A}$ , right strongly Arens irregular (rsAir) if  $\mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{A}^{**}) = \mathcal{A}$ , and strongly Arens irregular (sAir) if

$$\mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{A}^{**}) = \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}^{**}).$$

**Remark 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach \*-algebra. Then involution  $*$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  extends to a linear involution on  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ , and

$$(mn)^* = n^* \diamond m^*.$$

Thus, we have

$$m \in \mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}^{**}) \iff m^* \in \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{A}^{**}).$$

Hence, for a Banach \*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . lsAir, rsAir and sAir are equivalent.

In [45], J. Pym considered the space  $WAP(\mathcal{A})$  of *weakly almost periodic functionals* on  $\mathcal{A}$ , this is the set of all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^*$  such that the linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^* \\ a &\mapsto a \cdot \varphi \end{aligned}$$

is weakly compact. A necessary and sufficient condition for a functional  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^*$  to be in  $WAP(\mathcal{A})$  is to satisfy Grothendick's double limit criterion

$$\lim_n \lim_m \langle \varphi, a_n b_m \rangle = \lim_m \lim_n \langle \varphi, a_n b_m \rangle$$

for any pair of bounded sequences  $(a_n)_n, (b_m)_m$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  for which both the iterated limits exist. From this property, one may deduce that

$$\langle mn, \varphi \rangle = \langle m \diamond n, \varphi \rangle \text{ for every } m, n \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$$

if and only if  $\varphi \in WAP(\mathcal{A})$ . So,  $\mathcal{A}$  is Arens regular if and only if  $WAP(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}^*$ , i.e., when the quotient  $\mathcal{A}^*/WAP(\mathcal{A})$  is trivial. This is the motivation for the following definition.

**Definition 2.4.** A Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is extremely non-Arens regular (enAr for short) when  $\mathcal{A}^*/WAP(\mathcal{A})$  contains a closed subspace isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}^*$ .

### 3. A DECOMPOSITION OF $\mathcal{J}^{**}$

When  $\mathcal{A}$  has a brai,  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  contains right identities for the first Arens product. If  $e$  is such a right identity, multiplication by  $e$  defines a projection on  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  which produces the decomposition  $p = ep + (p - ep)$  for every  $p \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ . Since  $(p - ep) \in (\mathcal{A}^* \cdot \mathcal{A})^\perp$ , we see that  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  can be described as the internal direct sum

$$\mathcal{A}^{**} = e\mathcal{A}^{**} \oplus (\mathcal{A}^* \cdot \mathcal{A})^\perp, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $(\mathcal{A}^* \cdot \mathcal{A})^\perp$  is easily identified with the subspace of right annihilators of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{A}^* \cdot \mathcal{A} = \{\varphi \cdot a : \varphi \in \mathcal{A}^*, a \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is a closed linear subspace of  $\mathcal{A}^*$  by Cohen-Hewitt factorisation theorem (see for example [27, Theorem 32.22]). It follows from this decomposition, that  $e\mathcal{A}^{**}$  is isomorphic to the quotient  $\mathcal{A}^{**}/(\mathcal{A}^* \cdot \mathcal{A})^\perp$ . We have thus the following isomorphic decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$

$$\mathcal{A}^{**} \cong (\mathcal{A}^* \cdot \mathcal{A})^* \oplus (\mathcal{A}^* \cdot \mathcal{A})^\perp. \quad (3.2)$$

When the brai  $(e_\alpha)$  is contractive (i.e., of bound 1) so that  $\|e\| = 1$ , the Banach algebras  $(\mathcal{A}^* \cdot \mathcal{A})^*$  and  $e\mathcal{A}^{**}$  are not only isomorphic but also isomorphically isometric.

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a right ideal in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  and  $(e_\alpha)$  is a bai, the Banach spaces  $\mathcal{A}^* \cdot \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $WAP(\mathcal{A})$  are the same, see [3, Theorem 1.5]. So in this case, (3.2) can be written as

$$\mathcal{A}^{**} \cong WAP(\mathcal{A})^* \oplus WAP(\mathcal{A})^\perp. \quad (3.3)$$

These results go back to to Grosser [23]. For full details of this decomposition the reader is directed to [3].

Next we need the multiplier algebra  $M(\mathcal{A})$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , see for instance [8]. For this, let  $B(\mathcal{A})$  be the Banach algebra of all bounded operators on  $\mathcal{A}$  and recall that a  $L \in B(\mathcal{A})$  is a *left multiplier* of  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $L(ab) = L(a)b$  for  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $R \in B(\mathcal{A})$  is a *right multiplier* of  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $R(ab) = aR(b)$  for  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . A multiplier of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a pair  $(L, R)$ , where  $L$  is a left multiplier,  $R$  is a right multiplier, and  $aL(b) = R(a)b$  for  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  still have a bai  $(e_\alpha)$ . Then

$$M(\mathcal{A}) := \{(L, R) : (L, R) \text{ is a multiplier of } \mathcal{A}\}$$

is a closed, unital subalgebra of  $B(\mathcal{A}) \oplus_\infty B(\mathcal{A})^{op}$ .

Furthermore,  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  is a Banach  $M(\mathcal{A})$ -bimodule, where the right action of  $M(\mathcal{A})$  on  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  is given by  $m \cdot (L, R) = R^{**}(m)$ , here  $R^{**} : \mathcal{A}^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{**}$  is the second adjoint of  $R$ . So we may consider the map

$$\mathfrak{R}_e : M(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{**}, \quad \mathfrak{R}_e : (L, R) \mapsto e \cdot (L, R) = R^{**}(e). \quad (3.4)$$

If  $(e_\alpha)$  is contractive, [8, Theorem 2.9.49] proves that this map is an isometric embedding, Clearly,  $\mathfrak{R}_e(a) = R_a^{**}(e) = a$  for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since, for each right multiplier  $R$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,

$$eR^{**}(e) = \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} e_\alpha R(e_\beta) = \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} R(e_\alpha e_\beta) = \lim_{\alpha} R(e_\alpha) = R^{**}(e), \quad (3.5)$$

the  $\mathfrak{R}_e$  map is clearly into  $e\mathcal{A}^{**}$ .

When  $\mathcal{A}$  in an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ , the map  $\mathfrak{R}_e$  is in fact onto  $e\mathcal{A}^{**}$ . To see this, consider for each  $m \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ , the left and right translate  $L_m$  and  $R_m$  of elements in  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $m$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  in an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ ,  $T_m = (L_m, R_m) \in M(\mathcal{A})$ , and so

$$\mathfrak{R}_e(L_m, R_m) = R_m^{**}(e) = em,$$

showing that  $\mathfrak{R}_e$  is onto. Therefore, when  $\mathcal{A}$  in an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  and  $(e_\alpha)$  is a contractive bai,  $M(\mathcal{A})$  is isometrically isomorphic with  $e\mathcal{A}^{**}$  (and accordingly with  $(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}^*)^*$ ,  $(\mathcal{A}^* \cdot \mathcal{A})^*$  and  $WAP(\mathcal{A})^*$ ) as a Banach algebra.

We may therefore consider the weak\*-topology  $\sigma(M(\mathcal{A}), WAP(\mathcal{A}))$ , we denote this topology by  $w$ . So the  $w$ -convergence of a net  $((L_\alpha, R_\alpha))_\alpha$  to an element  $(L, R)$  in  $M(\mathcal{A})$  means, by means of the identification given by (3.4),

$$\lim_{\alpha} \langle R_{\alpha}^{**}(e), \varphi \rangle = \langle R^{**}(e), \varphi \rangle \quad (\varphi \in WAP(\mathcal{A})), \quad (3.6)$$

or equivalently,

$$\lim_{\alpha} \langle L_{\alpha}^{**}(e), \varphi \rangle = \langle L^{**}(e), \varphi \rangle \quad (\varphi \in WAP(\mathcal{A})).$$

The equivalence is due to the fact that, on  $WAP(\mathcal{A})$ , we have

$$L^{**}(e) = e \diamond L^{**}(e) = R^{**}(e) \diamond e = R^{**}(e)e = R^{**}(e)$$

using the facts that the first and second Arens products coincide on  $WAP(\mathcal{A})$  for the third equality,  $(L, R)$  is a multiplier for the second equality and  $e$  is a mixed identity in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  for the rest.

Note now that if  $(L, R) \in M(\mathcal{A})$ , and  $(a_\alpha)$  is a net in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $R^{**}(e)$  as its weak\*-limit in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ , then

$$\lim_{\alpha} \langle R_{a_\alpha}^{**}(e), \varphi \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \langle a_\alpha, \varphi \rangle = \langle R^{**}(e), \varphi \rangle \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in WAP(\mathcal{A})$$

means that that  $M(\mathcal{A}) = \overline{\mathcal{A}}^w$ .

The relation between  $e\mathcal{A}^{**}$ ,  $M(\mathcal{A})$  and  $WAP(\mathcal{A})$  is pictured in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} e\mathcal{A}^{**} & \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{R}_e} & M(\mathcal{A}) \\ \updownarrow & & \\ WAP(\mathcal{A})^* & & \\ \updownarrow & & \\ \mathcal{A}^{**} \diamond e & \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{L}_e} & M(\mathcal{A}) \end{array}$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be still an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  with a bai  $(e_\alpha)$  and let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a closed ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ . For our study of how Arens products behave in  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$ , we shall need a decomposition similar to that of 3.1 for  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ . The arguments used to prove this decomposition cannot be applied directly since in most of the interesting cases studied in the sequel,  $\mathcal{J}$  has no brai, and so there is no right identity in  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$ . But, as we see next, the use of a bai in  $\mathcal{A}$  helps to recapture the decomposition for  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$  with the same properties as previously for  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ . Note that in the case  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{A}$ , this theorem just brings back the discussion of the precedent paragraphs.

Let  $i : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be the inclusion map and  $i^*$  be its adjoint, i.e.,  $i^* : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  is the restriction map.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Banach algebra, which is an ideal in its second dual  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ , and has a bai  $(e_\alpha)_\alpha$ . Let  $e$  be a mixed identity of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  associated with  $(e_\alpha)_\alpha$ . Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a closed ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^w$  be its  $w$ -closure in the multiplier algebra  $M(\mathcal{A})$ . Then the identities*

$$m = em + (m - em) \text{ and } m = m\diamond e + (m - m\diamond e) \quad , m \in \mathcal{J}^{**},$$

give the decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}^{**} &= e\mathcal{J}^{**} \oplus (\mathcal{J}^* \cdot \mathcal{A})^\perp = e\mathcal{J}^{**} \oplus i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp, \\ \mathcal{J}^{**} &= \mathcal{J}^{**}\diamond e \oplus (\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{J}^*)^\perp = \mathcal{J}^{**}\diamond e \oplus i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

These decompositions have the following properties.

- (i) If  $(e_\alpha)_\alpha$  is contractive,  $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^w$  is a closed ideal of  $M(\mathcal{A})$  and  $e\mathcal{J}^{**} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{J}}^w \simeq \mathcal{J}^{**}\diamond e$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{J}^{**} i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp = i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp \diamond \mathcal{J}^{**} = \{0\}$ .
- (iii)  $i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp$  is a closed ideal of  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$ .

*Proof.* One can proceed, as with the decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ , fixing a right identity  $e \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ , then split  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$  as

$$\mathcal{J}^{**} = e\mathcal{J}^{**} \oplus (1 - e)\mathcal{J}^{**}. \quad (3.8)$$

Using the fact that  $\mathcal{J}$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ , this is in fact the same as

$$\mathcal{J}^{**} = (e\mathcal{A}^{**} \oplus (1 - e)\mathcal{A}^{**}) \cap \mathcal{J}^{**}.$$

Let  $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^w$  be the  $w$ -closure of  $\mathcal{J}$  in  $M(\mathcal{A})$ .

Consider the restriction of the map defined in (3.4) to  $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^w$ , denote it also by  $\mathfrak{R}_e$ . So

$$\mathfrak{R}_e : \overline{\mathcal{J}}^w \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{**}, \quad \mathfrak{R}_e(L, R) = R^{**}(e) = e.(L, R).$$

As already mentioned, when  $(e_\alpha)_\alpha$  is contractive, [8, Theorem 2.9.49] shows that this map is an isometric embedding. The same can be said of the restriction of  $\mathfrak{L}_e$  to  $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^w$ ,

$$\mathfrak{L}_e : \overline{\mathcal{J}}^w \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{**}, \quad \mathfrak{L}_e(L, R) = L^{**}(e) = (L, R) \cdot e.$$

Assertion (i) will then be proved as soon as we check that  $\mathfrak{R}_e$  maps  $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^w$  onto  $e\mathcal{J}^{**}$  and  $\mathfrak{L}_e$  maps  $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^w$  onto  $\mathcal{J}^{**}\diamond e$ .

We first show that the range of  $\mathfrak{R}_e$  is contained in  $e\mathcal{J}^{**}$ . Let  $(L, R) \in \overline{\mathcal{J}}^w$  and  $(a_\alpha)$  be a net in  $\mathcal{J}$  which converges to  $(L, R)$  in  $M(\mathcal{A})$  in the  $w$ -topology,

i.e.,  $\langle R_{a_\alpha}^{**}(e), \varphi \rangle$  converges to  $\langle R^{**}(e), \varphi \rangle$  for every  $\varphi \in WAP(\mathcal{A})$ . Then, for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^*$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\alpha} \langle a_\alpha a, \varphi \rangle &= \lim_{\alpha} \langle a_\alpha, a \cdot \varphi \rangle \lim_{\alpha} \langle ea_\alpha, a \cdot \varphi \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \langle R_{a_\alpha}^{**}(e), a \cdot \varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle R^{**}(e), a \cdot \varphi \rangle = \langle R^{**}(e)a, \varphi \rangle = \langle R^{**}(a), \varphi \rangle = \langle R(a), \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(a_\alpha a)$  is a net in  $\mathcal{J}$ , this means that  $R(a)$  is in the weak closure (i.e.,  $(\sigma(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*)$ -closure) of  $\mathcal{J}$ , thus in  $\overline{\mathcal{J}}$ .

In particular,  $R(e_\alpha) \in \mathcal{J}$  for every  $\alpha$  whenever  $(L, R) \in \overline{\mathcal{J}}^w$ .

Accordingly,

$$\mathfrak{R}_e(L, R) = R^{**}(e) = \lim_{\alpha} R(e_\alpha) \in \mathcal{J}^{**} \quad \text{for every } (L, R) \in \overline{\mathcal{J}}^w.$$

Since by (3.5),  $R^{**}(e) = eR^{**}(e)$ , this shows that the map  $\mathfrak{R}_e$  maps  $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^w$  into  $e\mathcal{J}^{**}$ .

Finally, we check that  $\mathfrak{R}_e$  maps  $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^w$  onto  $e\mathcal{J}^{**}$ . So let  $m \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$  and  $(a_\beta)_\beta$  be a bounded net in  $\mathcal{J}$  such that  $\lim_{\beta} a_\beta = m$  in the weak\*-topology. After passing to a subnet if necessary, we may assume that the net  $(a_\beta)_\beta$  converges in the  $w$ -topology of  $M(\mathcal{A})$  to some element  $(L, R)$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^w$ . Then for every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^*$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle em, \varphi \rangle &= \lim_{\alpha} \langle e_\alpha m, \varphi \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \langle m, \varphi \cdot e_\alpha \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \langle a_\beta, \varphi \cdot e_\alpha \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \langle R_{a_\beta}^{**}(e), \varphi \cdot e_\alpha \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \langle R^{**}(e), \varphi \cdot e_\alpha \rangle = \langle eR^{**}(e), \varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle R^{**}(e), \varphi \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where, for the fifth equality, we use (3.6). Hence  $em = R^{**}(e)$ , as wanted.

Similarly, with the left action of  $M(\mathcal{A})$  on  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  given by  $(L, R) \cdot m = L^{**}(m)$  and the map

$$\mathfrak{L}_e : \overline{\mathcal{J}}^w \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{**}, \quad \mathfrak{L}_e(L, R) = L^{**}(e) = (L, R) \cdot e,$$

$\mathcal{J}^{**} \diamond e$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^w$ .

Statement (i) has now been proved.

As for the second summand  $(1 - e)\mathcal{J}^{**}$  in (3.8), note that since  $\mathcal{J}$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ , the actions of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{A}^*$  (as defined at the beginning of Section 2) may be restricted to  $\mathcal{J}^*$ , and so the following identities hold for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^*$ :

$$i^*(a \cdot \varphi) = a \cdot i^*(\varphi) \quad \text{and} \quad i^*(\varphi \cdot a) = i^*(\varphi) \cdot a \quad \text{and so} \quad (3.9)$$

$$i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{J}^* = \mathcal{J}^* \cdot \mathcal{A}. \quad (3.10)$$

Let now

$$\begin{aligned} i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp &= \{r \in \mathcal{J}^{**} : \langle r, i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A})) \rangle = \{0\}\} \\ &= \{r \in \mathcal{J}^{**} : \langle r, WAP(\mathcal{A}) \rangle = \{0\}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality we regard  $r$  as element in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ , i.e., identifying  $r$  with  $i^{**}(r)$ .

So regarding the element in  $i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp$  as elements in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ , we see that they are right annihilators of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ . In particular,  $er = 0$  and so  $r \in (1 - e)\mathcal{J}^{**}$ . Thus,  $i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp \subseteq (1 - e)\mathcal{J}^{**}$ .

Conversely, it is also clear that  $(1 - e)\mathcal{J}^{**} \subseteq i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp$  since  $em = m$  on  $WAP(\mathcal{A})$  for every  $m \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ .

Moreover, for  $r \in i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp$  and  $m \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$ ,  $rm \in i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp$  since, as known,  $WAP(\mathcal{A})$  is introverted (see for example [9, Corollary 5.8]). Therefore  $i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$ . In the same manner, one can check the analog statement with the second Arens product. This explains the form of the decompositions in (3.7) and proves the remaining statements in the theorem.  $\square$

In specific cases, such as the group algebra of a compact group or the Fourier algebra of an amenable discrete group, the decomposition outlined in Theorem 3.1 can be made more concrete, see Corollary 8.1.

#### 4. SOME SPECIAL SETS IN $\widehat{G}$ CORRESPONDING IDEALS IN $\mathcal{A}$ .

The group algebra  $L^1(G)$  of a compact group is the Banach algebra on which the WeSeBai class is modelled. Its weak sequential completeness and the existence of contractive basis are well-established facts. That  $L^1(G)$  is an ideal in  $L^1(G)^{**}$  when  $G$  is a compact group (and only then) seems to have been first proved in [57].

In order to introduce some of the concepts that will be used to identify Arens regularity properties in ideals of WeSeBai algebras, we start looking at  $L^1(G)$ . We first recall how ideals of  $L^1(G)$ ,  $G$  a compact group, are determined by subsets of its dual object  $\widehat{G}$ .

Consider a compact group  $G$ . Its dual object  $\widehat{G}$  is the set of equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations of  $G$ . If  $\mu \in M(G)$  is a bounded measure, we denote by  $\widehat{\mu}$  its Fourier-Stieltjes transform. This is a function that sends every  $\pi \in \widehat{G}$  to an operator  $\widehat{\mu}(\pi)$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathbb{H}_\pi$  on which  $\pi$  operates ( $\mathbb{C}$ , if  $G$  is Abelian). Recall that the correspondence  $\mu \mapsto \widehat{\mu}$  transforms convolutions into pointwise products. We refer to Section 28 of [27] for a complete description of the properties of the Fourier-Stieltjes transform.

If  $\mathcal{X}$  is a linear subspace of  $M(G)$  and  $E \subset \widehat{G}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{X}_E$  the subspace of  $\mathcal{X}$ , given by

$$\mathcal{X}_E = \{\mu \in \mathcal{X} : \widehat{\mu}(\gamma) = 0 \text{ for } \gamma \in \widehat{G} \setminus E\}.$$

If  $\mathcal{X}$  is a subalgebra of  $M(G)$ , then  $\mathcal{X}_E$  is a closed ideal in  $\mathcal{X}$ . It is well known that every closed ideal of  $L^1(G)$  can be represented as  $L^1_E(G)$  for some  $E \subset \widehat{G}$ , see [27, Theorem 38.7].

It should be possible, therefore, to codify any given property of a closed ideal of  $L^1(G)$  in terms of some property of the corresponding subset of  $\widehat{G}$ . In the next paragraphs we will introduce the property of a subset of  $\widehat{G}$  which does this job for Arens regularity. This property, and another one closely related, will be then extended to ideals of general WeSeBai algebras. Ideas will be exploited in the upcoming section. In the final section of the paper, we will address other classes of subsets of  $\widehat{G}$  that give rise to ideals possessing interesting properties from the point of view of Arens-regularity. They will turn out to be classes of subsets with special combinatorial, analytic or



arithmetic properties that have made them an object of study in Harmonic Analysis for decades.

A subset  $E$  of  $\widehat{G}$  is said to be a *Riesz set*, when every measure  $\mu \in M_E(G)$  is absolutely continuous, i.e., when  $M_E(G) = L_E^1(G)$ . The origin of the term is in the F. and M. Riesz theorem (see, e.g. [52, Theorem 8.2.1]) which proves that  $\mathbb{N}$  is a Riesz set in  $L^1(\mathbb{T})$ .

We say that a subset  $E$  of  $\widehat{G}$  is a *small-1-1 set* if  $M_E(G) * M_E(G) \subseteq L_E^1(G)$ . The notion of small-1-1 set is apparently stronger than the better known notion of small-2 set which requires that  $\mu * \mu \in L_E^1(G)$  for every  $\mu \in M_E(G)$ . When  $G$  is Abelian, every small-2 set is small-1-1, simply because  $2\mu_1 * \mu_2 = (\mu_1 + \mu_2)^2 - \mu_1^2 - \mu_2^2$ . It is still unknown to the authors whether small-1-1 sets (and so small-2 sets) are Riesz. As already mentioned by Ülger in [55, page 273], this is a long standing open problem that goes back to Glicksberg [21].

All the previous definitions extend seamlessly to the Fourier algebra of a discrete group  $\Gamma$ . For the definition and basic properties of the Fourier algebra  $A(\Gamma)$ , and the closely related Fourier-Stieltjes algebra  $B(\Gamma)$ , we refer to the recent monograph [32]. It is enough for the present discussion to say that  $B(\Gamma)$  is a Banach algebra whose members are bounded complex-valued functions on  $\Gamma$ , matrix coefficients of unitary representations of  $\Gamma$  to be more precise, that  $A(\Gamma)$  is an ideal of  $B(\Gamma)$  and that the dual Banach space of  $A(\Gamma)$  is isometrically isomorphic to the von Neumann algebra  $VN(\Gamma)$  generated by the convolution operators on  $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ ,  $f \mapsto h * f$ ,  $h \in \ell^1(\Gamma)$ . The Fourier algebra  $A(\Gamma)$  is always weakly sequentially complete, as every predual of a von Neumann algebra, and it is an ideal in  $A(\Gamma)^{**}$  [36]. When  $\Gamma$  is amenable,  $A(\Gamma)$  has a contractive bai, see [32, Theorem 2.7.2].  $A(\Gamma)$  is therefore a WeSeBai algebra for every discrete amenable group. When  $\Gamma$  is a commutative group with character group  $G$ , the Fourier and Fourier-Stieltjes transforms establish linear isometries between  $A(\Gamma)$  and  $L^1(G)$ , and between  $B(\Gamma)$  and  $M(G)$ .

If  $\Gamma$  is a discrete group,  $\mathcal{X}$  is a linear subspace of  $B(\Gamma)$  and  $E \subset \Gamma$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{X}_E$  the subspace of  $\mathcal{X}$ , given by

$$\mathcal{X}_E = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{X} : \varphi(\gamma) = 0 \text{ for } \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus E\}.$$

If  $\mathcal{X}$  is a subalgebra of  $B(\Gamma)$ , then  $\mathcal{X}_E$  is a closed ideal in  $\mathcal{X}$ . A subset  $E \subseteq \Gamma$  is then said to be a *Riesz set* if  $A_E(\Gamma) = B_E(\Gamma)$ , i.e., if every function of  $B(\Gamma)$  supported on  $E$  is actually in  $A(\Gamma)$ .

We now extend the concept of Riesz set and small-1-1 set to general WeSeBai algebras.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra with a bai  $(e_\alpha)_\alpha$  and an associated mixed identity  $e$  in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ . We say that a closed ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a

- (i) *Riesz ideal* if  $e\mathcal{J}^{**} = \mathcal{J}^{**} \diamond e = \mathcal{J}$ . If  $(e_\alpha)_\alpha$  is contractive, this is the same as  $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^w = \mathcal{J}$ .
- (ii) *small-1-1 ideal* if  $e\mathcal{J}^{**}\mathcal{J}^{**} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ , i.e., for contractive  $(e_\alpha)_\alpha$ , if  $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^w \overline{\mathcal{J}}^w \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ .

**Remarks 4.2.**

- (i) If  $G$  is a compact group and  $E \subseteq \widehat{G}$ , then  $L_E^1(G)$  is a Riesz ideal (respectively, a small-1-1 set) precisely when  $E$  is a Riesz set (respectively, a small-1-1 set).
- (ii) By Theorem 3.1(iii), either condition  $e\mathcal{J}^{**} = \mathcal{J}$  or  $\mathcal{J}^{**} \diamond e = \mathcal{J}$  is enough to define a Riesz ideal. This can also be verified directly as follows. Putting  $m = em + r$  with  $r \in WAP(\mathcal{A})^\perp$ , we see that for  $a \in \mathcal{J}$ ,
 
$$em = a \implies m \diamond e = (em + r) \diamond e = (a + r) \diamond e = a \diamond e = a.$$
 Similarly,  $m \diamond e = a \implies em = a$ .
- (iii) As in the previous remark, either condition  $\mathcal{J}^{**} \diamond \mathcal{J}^{**} \diamond e \subseteq \mathcal{J}$  or  $e\mathcal{J}^{**}\mathcal{J}^{**} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$  is enough to define a small-1-1 ideal. For, using three times that  $pq - p \diamond q \in WAP(\mathcal{A})^\perp$  for every  $p, q \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ , one can deduce that  $(m \diamond n) \diamond e - emn$  is an annihilator, for every  $m, n \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$  and, hence, that  $emn \in \mathcal{J}$  if and only if  $(m \diamond n) \diamond e \in \mathcal{J}$ .
- (iv) A closed ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  of a Riesz ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is also a Riesz ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ . This is immediate from the fact that  $e\mathcal{J}^{**} \subseteq \mathcal{J}^{**} \cap \mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$  since  $\mathcal{J}$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  is a Riesz ideal, the second inclusion is due to the convexity of  $\mathcal{J}$  as the weak limit of any net in  $\mathcal{J}$  stays in  $\mathcal{J}$ .
- (v) The same argument shows that a closed ideal of a small-1-1 ideal is also a small-1-1 ideal.

To motivate our next definition, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.** *In a Banach algebra with a bai, a closed ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  is a Riesz ideal if and only if for every  $m \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$  there exists  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  (which is a fortiori in  $\mathcal{J}$  by the fact that  $\mathcal{J}^{**} \cap \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{J}$ ) such that*

$$m \cdot \varphi = (em) \cdot \varphi = a \cdot \varphi \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in \mathcal{J}^*, \quad (4.1)$$

equivalently,  $\varphi \cdot m = \varphi \cdot (m \diamond e) = \varphi \cdot a$  for every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{J}^*$ .

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{J}$  is a Riesz ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then it is clear using the decomposition (3.7) that for every  $m \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$  there exists  $a \in \mathcal{J}$  such that

$$m \cdot \varphi = (em) \cdot \varphi = a \cdot \varphi \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in \mathcal{J}^*.$$

For the converse, suppose that for every  $m \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$  there exists  $a \in \mathcal{J}$  such that  $m \cdot \varphi = a \cdot \varphi$ , and observe that

$$\langle em, \varphi \rangle = \langle e, m \cdot \varphi \rangle = \langle e, a \cdot \varphi \rangle = \langle a, \varphi \rangle \quad \text{for } m \in \mathcal{J}^{**}, a \in \mathcal{J} \text{ and } \varphi \in \mathcal{J}^*.$$

Thus  $em = a$ , and so the converse is also true.  $\square$

In view of the previous Lemma, a weakening of the condition in (4.1) to  $m \cdot \varphi \in \overline{\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{J}^*} = \overline{i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))}$  (recall (3.9)) results in a weakening of the definition of Riesz ideals in  $\mathcal{A}$ . This is what we do in the next definition.

**Definition 4.4.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra with a bai. A closed ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a *weak Riesz ideal* if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $m \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{J}^*$ , there exist  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\psi, \theta \in \mathcal{J}^*$  such that

$$\|m \cdot \varphi - a \cdot \psi\|_{\mathcal{J}^*} < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|\varphi \cdot m - \theta \cdot b\|_{\mathcal{J}^*} < \epsilon, \quad \text{i.e.,}$$

$$\mathcal{J}^{**} \cdot \mathcal{J}^* \cup \mathcal{J}^* \cdot \mathcal{J}^{**} \subseteq \overline{i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))}.$$

Although weak Riesz ideals seem to be formally closer to being Riesz ideals than small-1-1 ideals, we still do not know if Riesz and weak Riesz ideals are the same.

We observe next that weak Riesz ideals in a WeSeBai algebra (and so, by Theorem 5.2 below, Arens regular ideals) are necessarily small-1-1. We first need a consequence of [3, Lemma 3.1].

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra in the WeSeBai class. Let  $m \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$  satisfy  $\mathcal{A}^* \cdot m \subseteq WAP(\mathcal{A})$  or  $m \cdot \mathcal{A}^* \subseteq WAP(\mathcal{A})$ . Then  $m = a_0 + r$  for some  $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $r \in WAP(\mathcal{A})^\perp$ .*

*Proof.* For  $m \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ , let  $L_m: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  and  $R_m: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be the left and right translate, respectively, of elements in  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $m$ . Recall that, if  $m \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^*$ , and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $L_m^*(\varphi) = \varphi \cdot m$  and  $R_m^*(\varphi) = m \cdot \varphi$ . Our assumption  $m \cdot \mathcal{A}^* \subseteq WAP(\mathcal{A})$  means then that  $R_m^*$  maps  $\mathcal{A}^*$  into  $WAP(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}^*$ . Since it is clear that  $R_m^*(\varphi \cdot a) = R_m^*(\varphi) \cdot a$ , [3, Theorem 3.1] gives an element  $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$m \cdot \varphi = R_m^*(\varphi) = a_0 \cdot \varphi \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in \mathcal{A}^*.$$

In other words,

$$\langle m, \varphi \cdot a \rangle = \langle m \cdot \varphi, a \rangle = \langle a_0 \cdot \varphi, a \rangle = \langle a_0, \varphi \cdot a \rangle \quad \text{for every } \varphi \cdot a \in WAP(\mathcal{A}).$$

Now if  $m$  is decomposed as  $m = em + (1 - e)m$  for some right identity  $e$  in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ , then

$$\langle em, \varphi \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \langle m, \varphi \cdot e_{\alpha} \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \langle a_0, \varphi \cdot e_{\alpha} \rangle = \langle ea_0, \varphi \rangle = \langle a_0, \varphi \rangle.$$

This shows the claim.

The assumption that  $\mathcal{A}^* \cdot m \subseteq WAP(\mathcal{A})$  yields the same claim with an analogous argument.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra in the WeSeBai class. Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a closed ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{J}$  is a weakly Riesz ideal (equivalently, an Arens regular ideal by Theorem 5.2, infra), then it is a small-1-1 ideal.*

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $m, n \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^*$ . Let, as usual,  $i^* \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  denote the restriction mapping that is adjoint to the inclusion  $i: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $\mathcal{J}$  is a weak Riesz ideal, we may pick  $a_{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $\psi_{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{A}^*$  such that

$$\|n \cdot i^*(\varphi) - a_{\epsilon} i^*(\psi_{\epsilon})\|_{\mathcal{J}^*} < \frac{\epsilon}{\|m\|}.$$

If now  $b$  is an arbitrary element of  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\|b\| \leq 1$ , then, taking into account that  $bm \in \mathcal{J}$  and that  $i^*(m \cdot \varphi) = m \cdot i^*(\varphi)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle mn \cdot \varphi - ma_{\epsilon} \cdot \psi_{\epsilon}, b \rangle| &= |\langle m \cdot (n \cdot \varphi - a_{\epsilon} \cdot \psi_{\epsilon}), b \rangle| \\ &= |\langle n \cdot i^*(\varphi) - a_{\epsilon} \cdot i^*(\psi_{\epsilon}), bm \rangle| \\ &\leq \|n \cdot i^*(\varphi) - a_{\epsilon} \cdot i^*(\psi_{\epsilon})\|_{\mathcal{J}^*} \|m\|_{\mathcal{J}^{**}} < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|mn \cdot \varphi - ma_{\epsilon} \cdot \psi_{\epsilon}\|_{\mathcal{A}^*} = 0$ . Since  $ma_{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{J}$ , we find that  $ma_{\epsilon} \cdot \psi_{\epsilon} \in WAP(\mathcal{A})$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , and we conclude that  $mn \cdot \varphi \in WAP(\mathcal{A})$ . We apply then Lemma 4.5 to obtain that  $mn = a_0 + r$  for some  $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $r \in WAP(\mathcal{A})^\perp$ . This immediately implies that  $emn = a_0 \in \mathcal{J}$ , as required.  $\square$

## 5. ARENS REGULARITY

We deal in this section with Arens regularity of closed ideals  $\mathcal{J}$ . The first theorem determines precisely when  $\mathcal{J}$  is Arens regular. It shows in particular that weak Riesz ideals characterize Arens regular ideals in any Banach algebra, which is an ideal in its second dual  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  and has a bai. The equivalence of the first two statements of the theorem was proved in [13] for closed ideals in the group algebra of a compact Abelian group.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a two-sided ideal in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ . Then for every  $m, n, p \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^*$ , we have*

- (i)  $(p \cdot \varphi) \cdot m = p \cdot (\varphi \cdot m)$ .
- (ii)  $(m \diamond n)p = m \diamond (np)$ .

*Proof.* For the first statement, let  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then, using the facts that  $ma \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $ap \in \mathcal{A}$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (p \cdot \varphi) \cdot m, a \rangle &= \langle p \cdot \varphi, m \diamond a \rangle = \langle p \cdot \varphi, ma \rangle \\ &= \langle (ma)p, \varphi \rangle = \langle m(ap), \varphi \rangle = \langle m \diamond (ap), \varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle ap, \varphi \cdot m \rangle = \langle a, p \cdot (\varphi \cdot m) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $(p \cdot \varphi) \cdot m = p \cdot (\varphi \cdot m)$ , as required.

The second statement follows from the first as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (m \diamond n)p, \varphi \rangle &= \langle m \diamond n, p \cdot \varphi \rangle = \langle n, (p \cdot \varphi) \cdot m \rangle \\ &= \langle n, p \cdot (\varphi \cdot m) \rangle = \langle np, \varphi \cdot m \rangle = \langle m \diamond (np), \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra, which is an ideal in its second dual  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  and has a bai. Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a closed ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\mathcal{J}$  is Arens regular.
- (ii)  $i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{J}^{**}) \cap \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{J}^{**})$ .
- (iii)  $\mathcal{J}$  is a weak Riesz ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- (iv)  $i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp \mathcal{J}^{**} = \{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{J}^{**} \diamond i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* There is no need to prove that (i) implies (ii).

We now prove that (ii) implies (iii). So, let's assume that  $i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{J}^{**})$ . Then, for arbitrary  $r \in i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp$ ,  $m \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{J}^*$ , we have

$$\langle r, m \cdot \varphi \rangle = \langle rm, \varphi \rangle = \langle r \diamond m, \varphi \rangle = 0,$$

and so

$$m \cdot \varphi \in i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^{\perp\perp} = \overline{i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))}.$$

Similarly,  $\varphi \cdot m \in \overline{i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))}$  when  $i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{J}^{**})$ .

To see that (iii) implies (i), we let  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  be arbitrarily chosen in  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$ .

Then, for every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{J}^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle m_1 m_2, \varphi \rangle &= \langle m_1, m_2 \cdot \varphi \rangle = \langle m_1 \diamond e, m_2 \cdot \varphi \rangle = \langle (m_1 \diamond e) m_2, \varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle (m_1 \diamond (e m_2)), \varphi \rangle = \langle (e m_2), \varphi \cdot m_1 \rangle \\ &= \langle m_2, \varphi \cdot m_1 \rangle = \langle m_1 \diamond m_2, \varphi \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the second and sixth equalities are due to the assumption that

$$\mathcal{J}^{**} \cdot \mathcal{J}^* \cup \mathcal{J}^* \cdot \mathcal{J}^{**} \subseteq \overline{i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))},$$

and the fourth equality is due to Lemma 5.1. Therefore,  $m_1 m_2 = m_1 \diamond m_2$  and Arens regularity of  $\mathcal{J}$  follows.

We have now checked that Statements (i) through (iii) are equivalent.

Since elements of  $i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp$  are right annihilators for the first Arens product and left annihilators for the second, we see that statements (ii) and (iv) are clearly equivalent and the theorem is proved.  $\square$

A Riesz ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$  is clearly Arens regular. This can be either deduced directly from the decomposition (3.8), or from the theorem above, for Riesz ideals are weakly Riesz. When  $\mathcal{A}$  is the group algebra of a compact Abelian group, this result was obtained originally by Ülger in [55] and proved again by the authors in [13].

In addition to the previous theorem and Proposition 4.6, our next results show how close small-1-1 ideals are to constitute a boundary between Arens regular and Arens irregular algebras.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra with a bai, and suppose that it is an ideal in its second dual  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ . Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a small-1-1 ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{J}^{**} \mathcal{J}^{**} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{J}^{**}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{J}^{**} \diamond \mathcal{J}^{**} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{J}^{**}).$$

*In particular,  $\mathcal{J}$  is sAir if and only if it is reflexive.*

*Proof.* We show first that  $i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp \mathcal{J}^{**} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{J}^{**})$ . Fix a mixed identity  $e$  of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ . Let  $p = rm$  with  $m \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$  and  $r \in i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp$ . Then for every  $n \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$  and  $s \in i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp$ , we find

$$(em)(en) = e(mn) \in \mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{J}^{**}),$$

and so

$$p(en + s) = (rm)n = r(emn) = 0.$$

On the other hand, since  $\varphi \cdot (rm) = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^*$ , we have

$$p \diamond (en + s) = p \diamond (en + s) = 0.$$

Hence  $p \in \mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{J}^{**})$  and the containment  $i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp \mathcal{J}^{**} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{J}^{**})$  is proved.

Since, by the decomposition of Theorem 3.1,

$$\mathcal{J}^{**} \mathcal{J}^{**} \subseteq \mathcal{J} + i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp \mathcal{J}^{**}, \tag{5.1}$$

the first inclusion in the theorem follows.

The inclusion  $\mathcal{J}^{**} \diamond \mathcal{J}^{**} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{J}^{**})$  is proved in the same manner.

Finally, since  $i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp \mathcal{J}^{**} \subseteq \mathcal{J}^{**} \mathcal{J}^{**} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{J}^{**})$  and  $WAP(\mathcal{A})^\perp \mathcal{A}^{**} \cap \mathcal{A} = \{0\}$ , we see that  $\mathcal{J}$  can be lsAir only when

$$i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp \mathcal{J}^{**} = \{0\}.$$

In the same way,  $\mathcal{J}$  can only be rsAir when

$$\mathcal{J}^{**} \diamond i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp = \{0\}.$$

Proposition 5.2 then shows that  $\mathcal{J}$  must be Arens regular and, hence, reflexive.  $\square$

As a summary of these regularity-like properties, we see that Theorem 5.2 tells us that Arens regular ideals of WeSeBai algebras are exactly the same as weak Riesz ideals and Proposition 4.6 that they are always small-1-1. After Theorem 5.2, we have therefore that for a nonreflexive ideal

$$\text{Riesz} \implies \text{weakly Riesz} \iff \text{Arens regular} \implies \text{small-1-1} \implies \text{Not sAir}.$$

It could be worth to observe that weak sequential completeness is only needed for the penultimate implication.

## 6. STRONG ARENS IRREGULARITY

In this section we deal with the strong Arens irregularity of closed ideals of Banach algebras in the WeSeBai class.

Algebras in the WeSeBai class are always strongly Arens irregular, [3, Theorem 2.2]. In the particular case of the group algebra  $L^1(G)$  of a compact group  $G$ , this was proved by Isik-Pym-Ülger in [29]. Lau-Losert [37] obtained the same result for the Fourier algebra  $A(\Gamma)$  of a discrete amenable group  $\Gamma$ . Bounded approximate identities were essential in proving these results. We now see that on ideals of algebras in this class, which may not have bounded approximate identities, a weaker assumption yields the same result. A different approach, based on [29], was used in [13] to get this result for closed ideals in the group algebra of a compact Abelian group.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a closed ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

- (i) *If the linear span of  $\mathcal{J}^{**} \cdot \mathcal{J}^*$  is dense in  $\mathcal{J}^*$ , then  $\mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{J}^{**}) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}^{**})$ .*
- (ii) *If the linear span of  $\mathcal{J}^* \cdot \mathcal{J}^{**}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{J}^*$ , then  $\mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{J}^{**}) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{A}^{**})$ .*
- (iii) *If the linear span of  $\mathcal{J}^{**} \cdot \mathcal{J}^* \cdot \mathcal{J}^{**}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{J}^*$ , then  $\mathcal{Z}_i(\mathcal{J}^{**}) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_i(\mathcal{A}^{**})$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .*

*Proof.* We shall prove the first statement, the second statement is proved in the same manner. Let  $m \in \mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{J}^{**})$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^*$ . Assume first that  $i^*(\varphi) = n \cdot i^*(\psi)$  for some  $\psi \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $n \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$ . Then, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle mp, \varphi \rangle &= \langle mp, i^*(\varphi) \rangle = \langle mp, n \cdot i^*(\psi) \rangle = \langle (mp)n, i^*(\psi) \rangle = \langle m(pn), i^*(\psi) \rangle \\ &= \langle m \diamond (pn), i^*(\psi) \rangle = \langle (m \diamond p)n, i^*(\psi) \rangle = \langle m \diamond p, n \cdot i^*(\psi) \rangle \\ &= \langle m \diamond p, i^*(\varphi) \rangle = \langle m \diamond p, \varphi \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

using the fact that  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  for the first and last equalities, and  $m \in \mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{J}^{**})$  for the fifth and Lemma 5.1 for the sixth.

The condition on the linear span of  $\mathcal{J}^{**} \cdot \mathcal{J}^*$  being dense in  $\mathcal{J}^*$  yields then

$$mp = m \diamond p \quad \text{for every } p \in \mathcal{A}^{**},$$

that is,  $m \in \mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}^{**})$ . Thus  $\mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{J}^{**}) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}^{**})$ .

To prove Statement (iii), recall that  $(\mathcal{J}^{**} \cdot \mathcal{J}^*) \cdot \mathcal{J}^{**} = \mathcal{J}^{**} \cdot (\mathcal{J}^* \cdot \mathcal{J}^{**})$  by Lemma 5.1(i). So, if the linear span of  $\mathcal{J}^{**} \cdot \mathcal{J}^* \cdot \mathcal{J}^{**}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{J}^*$ , then each of the linear spans of  $\mathcal{J}^{**} \cdot \mathcal{J}^*$  and  $\mathcal{J}^* \cdot \mathcal{J}^{**}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{J}^*$ . Statements (i) and (ii) imply therefore that  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{J}^{**}) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}^{**})$ .  $\square$

Baker, Lau and Pym proved in [3, Theorem 2.2(ii)] that  $\mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}^{**}) = \mathcal{A}$  for WeSeBai algebras. Their proofs can also be applied to show that  $\mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{A}^{**}) = \mathcal{A}$ . So WeSeBai algebras are sAir by [3, Theorem 2.2(ii)]. Our next theorem

characterizes the closed ideals in WeSeBai algebras which are lsAir, rsAir or sAir.

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a WeSeBai algebra and let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a closed ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

- (i) *If  $\mathcal{J}$  is left faithful, then  $\mathcal{J}$  is lsAir if and only if the linear span of  $\mathcal{J}^{**} \cdot \mathcal{J}^*$  is dense in  $\mathcal{J}^*$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\mathcal{J}$  is right faithful, then  $\mathcal{J}$  is rsAir if and only if the linear span of  $\mathcal{J}^* \cdot \mathcal{J}^{**}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{J}^*$ .*
- (iii) *If  $\mathcal{J}$  is either left faithful or right faithful, then  $\mathcal{J}$  is sAir if and only if the linear span of  $\mathcal{J}^{**} \cdot \mathcal{J}^* \cdot \mathcal{J}^{**}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{J}^*$ .*

*Proof.* We prove Statement (i), the second statement follows in the same way. Suppose that the linear span of  $\mathcal{J}^{**} \cdot \mathcal{J}^*$  is dense in  $\mathcal{J}^*$ . Then by lemma 6.1,  $\mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{J}^{**}) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}^{**})$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is lsAir by [3], we conclude that  $\mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{J}^{**}) = \mathcal{J}$ .

For the converse, suppose that the linear span of  $\mathcal{J}^{**} \cdot \mathcal{J}^*$  is not dense in  $\mathcal{J}^*$  and pick a nonzero  $m \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$  which is zero on  $\mathcal{J}^{**} \cdot \mathcal{J}^*$ . Then clearly  $mn = 0$  for every  $n \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$ . On the other hand,

$$\langle \varphi \cdot m, a \rangle = \langle m, a \cdot \varphi \rangle = 0 \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{J}^* \quad \text{and} \quad a \in \mathcal{J}.$$

It follows that  $\varphi \cdot m = 0$ , and so  $\langle m \diamond n, \varphi \rangle = \langle n, \varphi \cdot m \rangle = 0$ . Therefore

$$mn = m \diamond n = 0 \quad \text{for every} \quad n \in \mathcal{J}^{**},$$

which means that  $m \in \mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{J}^{**})$ . Note that  $m$  cannot be in  $\mathcal{J}$  since  $m\mathcal{J} = \{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  is left faithful. Thus  $\mathcal{J}$  is not lsAir, as required.

As for Statement (iii), we apply again Lemma 6.1 and [3]. We find  $\mathcal{J}$  is sAir when the linear span of  $\mathcal{J}^{**} \cdot \mathcal{J}^* \cdot \mathcal{J}^{**}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{J}^*$ . Conversely, let  $\mathcal{J}$  be left faithful, suppose that it is sAir, and let  $r \in (\mathcal{J}^{**} \cdot \mathcal{J}^* \cdot \mathcal{J}^{**})^\perp$  and  $m \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$ . Then for each  $\varphi \in \mathcal{J}^*$  and  $n \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$ , we have

$$\langle m \diamond r, n \cdot \varphi \rangle = \langle r, n \cdot \varphi \cdot m \rangle = 0.$$

Since  $\mathcal{J}$  is lsAir, the linear span of  $\mathcal{J}^{**} \cdot \mathcal{J}^*$  is dense in  $\mathcal{J}^*$  by Statement (i). So  $m \diamond r = 0$ . On the other hand,  $\langle mr, n \cdot \varphi \rangle = \langle m, r \cdot (n \cdot \varphi) \rangle$ , where

$$\langle r \cdot (n \cdot \varphi), a \rangle = \langle r, n \cdot \varphi \cdot a \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad a \in \mathcal{J}.$$

Thus  $mr = 0$  on  $\mathcal{J}^{**} \cdot \mathcal{J}^*$ , and so  $mr = 0$  by Statement (i) since  $\mathcal{J}$  is lsAir. Therefore,  $mr = m \diamond r = 0$ , showing first that  $r \in \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{J}^{**})$ . Since  $\mathcal{J}$  is rsAir,  $r$  must be therefore in  $\mathcal{J}$ . Since in particular  $\mathcal{J}r = \{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  is left faithful, we deduce that  $r = 0$ .

In the same manner, using the fact that  $\mathcal{J}$  is rsAir we may verify that  $r \diamond m = rm = 0$ , and so  $r \in \mathcal{J}$  since  $\mathcal{J}$  is lsAir. In particular,  $r\mathcal{J} = \{0\}$  yields  $r = 0$  when  $\mathcal{J}$  is right faithful.

In either cases, we conclude that  $\mathcal{J}^{**} \cdot \mathcal{J}^* \cdot \mathcal{J}^{**}$  must be dense in  $\mathcal{J}^*$ .  $\square$

When  $G$  is a locally compact Abelian group, a closed ideal of  $L^1(G)$  has a bai if and only if it is the kernel of a closed set in the Boolean ring  $\Omega_{\widehat{G}_a}$  generated by the left cosets of subgroups of the group  $\widehat{G}$  with the discrete topology, known as the coset ring of  $\widehat{G}$ . This characterization was proved by Reiter in [46] and by Liu, van Rooij and Wang in [40]. See also [31, Section 5.6], and [33] for more developments and references.

For general compact groups, Rider [47] defined the analogue of  $\Omega_{\widehat{G}}$  and proved, [Theorem 1, loc. cit.], that one can associate to every  $E \in \Omega_{\widehat{G}}$  a central idempotent measure  $\mu \in M_E(G)$ . It follows from [40, Theorem 2] that  $L_E^1(G)$  has a bounded approximate identity whenever  $E \in \Omega_{\widehat{G}}$ .

For a class of non-Abelian compact groups which includes all connected groups, the converse is also true, [48].

The dual of Reiter-Liu-van Rooij-Wang's theorem, regarding,  $A(G)$ , was proved in [19]: a closed ideal of the Fourier algebra  $A(G)$  of a locally compact amenable group  $G$  has a bai if and only if it consists of the functions that vanish on a closed set in the Boolean ring  $\Omega_{G_d}$  generated by the left cosets of subgroups of  $G_d$ .

We summarize these results in our context.

**Corollary 6.3.**

- (i) *If  $G$  is a compact group and  $E \in \Omega_{\widehat{G}}$ , then  $L_E^1(G)$  is sAir.*
- (ii) *If  $\Gamma$  is a discrete amenable group and  $E \in \Omega_{\Gamma}$ , then  $A_E(\Gamma)$  is sAir.*

**Remark 6.4.** Strongly Arens irregular ideals without bai are easy to construct. For example, let  $\mathcal{J}$  be as in Corollary 6.3, i.e. a closed ideal with a bai in a WeSeBai algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a reflexive Banach algebra without identity and consider the closed ideal  $\mathcal{J} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}$  in  $\mathcal{A} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}$  with the natural product

$$(a_1, b_1)(a_2, b_2) = (a_1 a_2, b_1 b_2).$$

Then  $\mathcal{J} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}$  has no bai and the topological center of  $(\mathcal{J} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B})^{**} = \mathcal{J}^{**} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}$  equals  $\mathcal{J} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}$ , i.e., the ideal is sAir. In Corollary 8.19 we will develop this idea to find such ideals *within* the original algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ .

## 7. EXTREME NON-ARENS REGULARITY

As seen in Proposition 4.6, ideals of algebras in the WeSeBai class which are not small-1-1 are not Arens regular. We prove in this section that, at least when the bai is sequential, they are in fact enAr. For this, we check that these ideals satisfy the conditions required in [16, Corollary 3.10] for a Banach algebra to be enAr.

The reader is directed for [16] or [17] for definitions required in the proofs.

**Definition 7.1.**  $\ell^1$ -BASE: Let  $E$  be a normed space. A bounded sequence  $B = \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an  $\ell^1$ -basis in  $E$ , with constant  $K > 0$ , when the inequality

$$\sum_{n=1}^p |z_k| \leq K \left\| \sum_{k=1}^p z_k a_{n_k} \right\|$$

holds for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and for every possible choice of scalars  $z_1, \dots, z_p$  and elements  $a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_p}$  in  $B$ .

For the next proof, we need to recall the well-known theorem of Rosenthal [50, Theorem 1], which asserts that a bounded sequence in a Banach space, always has a subsequence that is either weakly Cauchy or is an  $\ell^1$ -basis.

**Theorem 7.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a non-unital Banach algebra in the WeSeBai class whose bai  $(e_n)_n$  is sequential, and let  $\mathcal{J}$  be an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$  that is not small-1-1. Then there is a linear bounded map from the quotient space  $\mathcal{J}^*/WAP(\mathcal{J})$*



onto  $\ell^\infty$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{J}$  is non-Arens regular. If  $\mathcal{J}$  is in addition separable, then  $\mathcal{J}$  is enAr.

*Proof.* Let  $e$  be a mixed identity associated with  $(e_n)_n$ , and pick  $p, q \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$  such that  $epq \notin \mathcal{J}$ . Since  $\mathcal{J}$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ , we see that the sequence  $(e_n pq)_n$  is in  $\mathcal{J}$ . This sequence cannot have any weakly Cauchy subsequence. For, otherwise, there would exist a subsequence  $(e_{n_k} pq)_k$  with weak limit  $a$  and, due to weak sequential completeness,  $a \in \mathcal{J}$ , i.e.,  $\lim_n e_{n_k} pq = a$  in the weak topology  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*)$  (the limit  $a$  is in  $\mathcal{J}$  since  $\mathcal{J}$  is convex and so weakly closed). So if  $f$  is any mixed identity associated with  $(e_{n_k})_k$ , this implies that  $f pq = a \in \mathcal{J}$ . Therefore,

$$epq = (ef)pq = e(fpq) = ea = a \in \mathcal{J},$$

whence a contradiction. Hence, by Rosenthal theorem we can assume, upon taking a suitable subsequence, that  $(e_n pq)_n$  is an  $\ell^1$ -base. Let then

$$A = \{a_n = e_n p : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

$$B = \{b_n = e_n q : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

The sets  $A$  and  $B$  are in  $\mathcal{J}$  since  $\mathcal{J}$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Consider as well the upper and lower triangles defined by  $A$  and  $B$ , given by

$$T_{AB}^u = \{a_n b_m : n < m\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$T_{AB}^l = \{a_n b_m : m < n\}.$$

Define

$$X_1 = \{x_{nm} = e_n pq : n < m\}$$

$$X_2 = \{x_{nm} = e_m pq : m < n\}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{J}$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ , we see that  $e_n p \in \mathcal{J}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_m \|x_{nm} - a_n b_m\| &= \lim_m \|e_n pq - (e_n p)(e_m q)\| \\ &\leq \lim_m \|e_n p - (e_n p)e_m\| \|q\| = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,

$$\lim_m \|x_{nm} - a_n b_m\| = 0 \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The same argument gives

$$\lim_n \|x_{nm} - a_n b_m\| = 0 \quad \text{for each } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence the sets  $X_1, X_2$ , approximate, respectively, segments in  $T_{AB}^u$  and  $T_{AB}^l$  in the sense of [16, Definition 3.3]. Since  $(e_n pq)_n$  is an  $\ell^1$ -base as well,  $X_1$  is horizontally injective according to [16, Definition 3.2]). Similarly,  $X_2$  is vertically injective. Now, [16, Corollary 3.10] completes the proof.  $\square$

## 8. CONCRETE EXAMPLES

In this section we deal with the most prominent WeSeBai algebras: group algebras of compact groups and Fourier algebras of discrete amenable groups. We will explore the existence, within these algebras, of infinite dimensional ideals that are reflexive, of Arens regular ideals that are not reflexive and

of strongly Arens irregular ideals with and without bounded approximate identities.

All through this section  $G$  will denote a compact group and  $\Gamma$  a discrete group. When  $G$  (or  $\Gamma$ ) are assumed to be commutative, this assumption will convey that  $\Gamma = \widehat{G}$ .

As already mentioned in Section 3, ideals in  $L^1(G)$  can be described in terms of subsets  $E$  of their dual object  $\widehat{G}$ , the set of all equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations of  $G$ . Every closed ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  of  $L^1(G)$  is of the form

$$L_E^1(G) = \{f \in L^1(G) : \widehat{\mu}(\pi) = 0, \text{ if } \pi \notin E\},$$

for some  $E \subseteq \widehat{G}$ , see [27, Theorem 38.7].

In the case of a discrete amenable group  $\Gamma$ , an analogous description of ideals can be made. Every subset of  $\Gamma$  is then a set of synthesis by Theorem 6.1.1 of [32]. It follows then from [31, Corollary 5.1.4] that every ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  of  $A(\Gamma)$  is of the form

$$A_E(\Gamma) = \{u \in A(\Gamma) : u(\chi) = 0, \text{ if } \chi \notin E\},$$

where  $E = \Gamma \setminus \{s \in \Gamma : u(s) = 0 \text{ for every } u \in \mathcal{J}\}$ , the complement of the zero-set of  $\mathcal{J}$ .

We now lay down the decomposition described in Theorem 3.1 in the particular case of ideals in  $L^1(G)$  and  $A(\Gamma)$ . This decomposition follows directly from Theorem 3.1 as soon as one takes into account that both algebras contain contractive approximate identities (see, e.g., [27, Theorem 28.53] and [32, Theorem 2.7.2]) and that  $\overline{L_E^1(G)}^w = M_E(G)$  and  $\overline{A_E(\Gamma)}^w = B_E(\Gamma)$ .

**Corollary 8.1.** *Let  $G$  be a compact group and  $\Gamma$  a discrete amenable group.*

- For any  $E \subseteq \widehat{G}$ ,

$$L_E^1(G)^{**} = \mathfrak{K}_e(M_E(G)) \oplus i^*(C(G))^\perp \cong M_E(G) \oplus i^*(C(G))^\perp$$

- For any  $E \subseteq \Gamma$ ,

$$A_E(\Gamma)^{**} = \mathfrak{K}_e(B_E(\Gamma)) \oplus i^*(WAP(A(\Gamma)))^\perp \cong B_E(\Gamma) \oplus i^*(WAP(A(\Gamma)))^\perp.$$

**8.1. Infinite dimensional reflexive ideals.** To describe reflexive ideals in  $A(\Gamma)$ , we will make use of the non-commutative  $L^p$ -spaces,  $L^p(\Gamma)$ ,  $p \geq 1$ . If  $\tau$  is the canonical trace in  $VN(\Gamma)$ , given by  $\tau(T) = \langle T\delta_e, \delta_e \rangle$  ( $\delta_e \in \ell^2(\Gamma)$ ), the norm of  $T \in L^p(\Gamma)$  is defined by

$$\|T\|_p^p = \tau(|T|^p)$$

and  $L^p(\Gamma)$  is made of operators in  $VN(\Gamma)$  for which this norm is finite. If  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , the translation operator by  $\gamma$ , i.e., the convolution operator defined by  $\delta_\gamma$ , is always in  $L^p(\Gamma)$ .

We refer to [44] for a summary of properties of noncommutative  $L^p$ -spaces. In particular that  $L^1(\Gamma)$  is isometrically isomorphic to the predual of  $VN(\Gamma)$ , i.e., to  $A(\Gamma)$ .

We start our work with a definition.

**Definition 8.2.** Let  $G$  and  $\Gamma$  be, as fixed above, a compact group and a discrete group, respectively.

- We say that  $E \subseteq \widehat{G}$  is a  $\Lambda(p)$ -set,  $p > 0$ , if there are  $0 < q < p$  and  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|P\|_p \leq C \|P\|_q,$$

for every linear combination  $P$  of matrix coefficients of representations belonging to  $E$  i.e., for every trigonometric polynomial  $P: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  supported on  $E$ .

- We say that  $E \subseteq \Gamma$  is a  $\Lambda(p)$ -set,  $p > 2$ , if there are  $1 < q < p$  and  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\Gamma)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^q(\Gamma)},$$

for every linear combination  $f$  of translation operators by elements of  $E$ .

The notion of  $\Lambda(p)$ -set was introduced by Rudin [51] for Abelian groups and by Picardello [43] for discrete noncommutative groups. Sections 0 and 1 in [24] provide a good introduction to  $\Lambda(p)$ -sets. Note that a set that is  $\Lambda(p)$  for some  $p$  is automatically  $\Lambda(q)$  for every  $0 < q < p$ . It is a consequence of Hölder's inequality that if  $E$  is a  $\Lambda(p)$ -set,  $p > 1$ , then  $L_E^p(G) = L_E^1(G)$  and  $L_E^p(\Gamma) = L_E^1(\Gamma)$ . The proof of this can be found in [27, Theorem 37.7]. The same proof works in  $L^p(\Gamma)$  replacing Hölder's inequality in  $L^p(G)$  for Hölder's inequality in  $L^p(\Gamma)$  (see the introduction of [44]). In particular both  $L_E^1(G)$  and  $L_E^1(\Gamma)$  are reflexive if  $E$  is a  $\Lambda(p)$ -set.

We summarize here our last remark.

**Theorem 8.3.** *Let  $E \subset \Gamma$  ( $E \subset \widehat{G}$ ). If  $E$  is a  $\Lambda(p)$ -set,  $p > 1$ , then  $A_E(\Gamma)$  (respectively  $L_E^1(G)$ ) is reflexive.*

In the case of compact groups, this can be pushed to a characterization. Although the paper [26] is on Abelian groups, the proof actually works for all compact groups.

**Theorem 8.4** (Hare, [26]). *If  $E \subset \widehat{G}$ ,  $G$  a compact group, then  $L_E^1(G)$  is reflexive if and only if  $E$  is a  $\Lambda(1)$ -set.*

While not every algebra in WeSeBai contains a reflexive infinite dimensional ideal, see Theorem 8.6 below, many of them indeed do. A theorem of Picardello to the effect that every discrete group contains an infinite  $\Lambda(4)$ -set can be used, along with Theorem 8.3, to see that Fourier algebras of discrete groups are among them.

**Theorem 8.5** (Theorem 1 of [43], see also [6]). *Every discrete group  $\Gamma$  contains an infinite subset  $E$  such that  $A_E(\Gamma)$  is reflexive.*

In the case of  $L^1(G)$  the situation is more complicated. Denote by  $\widehat{G}_n$  the set of (equivalence classes of) irreducible unitary representations of dimension  $n$ . We say that  $G$  is *tall* if  $\widehat{G}_n$  is finite for every  $n$ .

**Theorem 8.6.** *Let  $G$  be a compact group. If  $G$  is not tall then  $\widehat{G}$  contains an infinite subset  $E$  such that  $L_E^1(G)$  is reflexive. If  $G$  is a compact semisimple Lie group, then a closed ideal of  $L^1(G)$  is reflexive if and only if it has finite dimension.*

*Proof.* The first statement is proved in [28, Corollary 2.5].

Giulini and Travaglini prove in [20, Corollary] that compact semisimple Lie groups do not contain infinite  $\Lambda(q)$ -sets for any  $q > 0$ . We then apply Theorem 8.4.  $\square$

**8.2. Arens-regular ideals that are not reflexive.** Proposition 5.2 shows that Riesz ideals of WeSeBai algebras are Arens regular. An ideal  $L_E^1(G)$  in  $L^1(G)$  with  $E \subseteq \widehat{G}$ , is a Riesz ideal precisely when  $M_E(G) = L_E^1(G)$ , i.e., when  $E$  is a *Riesz set*. The same can be said about ideals  $A_E(\Gamma)$  in  $A(\Gamma)$ , cf. Section 4

The eponymous theorem of the Riesz brothers at the origin of the term Riesz set, shows that  $L_{\mathbb{N}}^1(\mathbb{T})$  is an Arens regular ideal of  $L^1(\mathbb{T})$  and it is certainly not reflexive. The literature contains several constructions of Riesz sets that are inspired by this classical result but which do not part much from its original spirit, see e.g., [4, 41] and the survey paper [35]. Blei, see, e.g., [5] constructs Riesz sets of a different sort, they are, actually, sets with even stronger interpolation properties that are not  $\Lambda(p)$  for any  $p$ . His examples, however, cannot be constructed in every Abelian group. We present here a rather general construction, partly based on Blei's, that yields examples of Riesz sets  $E \subseteq \Gamma$ , and hence of Arens regular ideals in  $A_E(\Gamma)$ ,  $\Gamma$  not necessarily commutative, so that  $A_E(\Gamma)$  is not reflexive. When put together with known results, this will be used to show that every Abelian discrete group contains a Riesz set (even a Rosenthal set) that is not  $\Lambda(1)$ .

We begin with a few preliminaries, starting with the following Lemma that basically follows from [22, Lemma 1.1]. Recall (see [30] or [42]) that  $B(\Gamma) = A(\Gamma) \oplus B_s(\Gamma)$  where  $B_s(\Gamma)$  is a closed translation invariant subspace of  $B(\Gamma)$ . If  $\phi = \phi_a + \phi_s \in B(\Gamma)$ , then

$$\|\phi\| = \|\phi_a\| + \|\phi_s\|.$$

This is the Lebesgue decomposition in  $B(\Gamma)$ .

**Lemma 8.7.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group and  $E \subseteq \Gamma$ . Then either  $E$  is a Riesz set or there exist  $x \in E$  and  $\phi \in B_E(\Gamma)$  such that  $\phi(x) = 0$  and  $\phi_s(x) \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $E$  is not Riesz, there is  $\psi \in B_E(\Gamma)$  with  $\psi_s \neq 0$ . We pick  $x \in E$  such that  $\psi_s(x) \neq 0$  and define  $\phi := \psi - \psi(x)\delta_x \in B_E(\Gamma)$ . Clearly,  $\phi(x) = 0$ . If  $\phi_s(x) = 0$ , the equality  $\phi_s = \psi_s$  yields the contradictory equality  $0 \neq \psi_s(x) = \phi_s(x) = 0$ .  $\square$

The following definition marks the target of our construction.

**Definition 8.8.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group and  $K$  be a locally compact group. We will say that a countable set  $E \subset \Gamma$  is *converгентly embedded* in  $K$  if there is a group isomorphism  $\alpha: \langle E \rangle \rightarrow K$  with dense range so that  $\alpha(E)$  is relatively compact and has exactly only one limit point  $p \in K$ ,  $p \notin \alpha(E)$ .

When  $\Gamma$  is Abelian, Blei [5] proves that every set  $E$  that is converгентly embedded in some compact Abelian group  $K$  is a *Rosenthal set*, i.e., every essentially bounded function on  $G$  with Fourier transform supported on  $E$  is equal almost everywhere to a continuous function (that is,  $L_E^\infty(G) = C_E(G)$ ), see [5, Theorem B]. Rosenthal sets are known to be Riesz sets,

[12, 39]. We now set to extend this to the Fourier algebra of non-Abelian groups and see that such sets can be found in a wide class of groups.

**Theorem 8.9.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group. If  $E \subset \Gamma$  is convergently embedded in  $K$  for some locally compact group  $K$ , then  $E$  is a Riesz set.*

*Proof.* We will let  $p$  denote the only limit point of  $\alpha(E)$  in  $K$ .

Assume  $E$  is not Riesz. By Lemma 8.7, there is  $x_0 \in E$  and  $\phi \in B_E(\Gamma)$  such that  $\phi(x_0) = 0$  and  $\phi_s(x_0) \neq 0$ . Let  $U \subseteq K$  be a neighbourhood of  $p$  with  $\alpha(x_0) \notin U$ .

By Lemma 3.1 of [38], there are, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a neighbourhood  $V_n$  of  $p$  and  $u_n \in A(K)$  such that

$$u_n|_{V_n} = 1, \quad u_n|_{G \setminus U} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_n\| \leq 1 + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Since  $\overline{\alpha(E)}$  is compact and  $p$  is the only limit point of  $\alpha(E)$ ,  $\alpha(E) \setminus V_n$  has to be finite. Let  $F_n$  be the inverse image of this set under  $\alpha$ .  $F_n$  is therefore a finite subset of  $E$  with  $\alpha(F_n) = \alpha(E) \setminus V_n$ . Define next

$$\phi_n := \phi - \sum_{x \in F_n} \phi(x) \delta_x \in B(\Gamma).$$

Then  $\text{supp } \phi_n \subseteq E \cap \alpha^{-1}(V_n)$ . Define now  $\underline{u}_n$  to be  $u_n \circ \alpha$  on  $\langle E \rangle$  and 0 elsewhere. Then  $\underline{u}_n \in B(\Gamma)$ , and we have

$$\phi_n(1 - \underline{u}_n) = 0. \tag{8.1}$$

Observe as well that, by [32, Theorem 2.2.1 (ii)]

$$\|\underline{u}_n\| = \|u_n\| \leq 1 + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Let for each  $n$ ,  $\phi_n = (\phi_n)_a + (\phi_n)_s$  be its Lebesgue decomposition. Since  $\sum_{x \in F_n} \phi(x) \delta_x \in A(\Gamma)$ , we know that  $(\phi_n)_s = \phi_s$ .

From (8.1), we deduce then that

$$\phi_s(1 - \underline{u}_n) = -(\phi_n)_a(1 - \underline{u}_n) \in A(\Gamma). \tag{8.2}$$

We then deduce that, since  $\phi_s \underline{u}_n = \phi_s - \phi_s(1 - \underline{u}_n)$ , the first summand being singular and the second being in  $A(\Gamma)$ ,

$$\|\phi_s \underline{u}_n\| = \|\phi_s\| + \|\phi_s(1 - \underline{u}_n)\|.$$

Thence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_s(1 - \underline{u}_n)\| &= \|\phi_s \underline{u}_n\| - \|\phi_s\| \\ &\leq \|\phi_s\| \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) - \|\phi_s\| \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \|\phi_s\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\|\phi_s(1 - \underline{u}_n)\| \geq \|\phi_s(1 - \underline{u}_n)\|_\infty \geq |\phi_s(x_0)|.$$

By taking  $n$  large enough so that  $|\phi_s(x_0)| > \frac{1}{n} \|\phi_s\|$ , the desired contradiction is reached.  $\square$

We will need the following result that follows from well-known estimates.

**Lemma 8.10.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a cyclic group of order  $N$  ( $1 \leq N \leq \infty$ ) with character group  $G$  and let  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  be its generator. Consider for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $u_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \delta_{\gamma^j} \in A(\Gamma)$  and its Fourier transform  $\widehat{u}_n: G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ . For  $0 < p < 1$ , the following estimates hold.*

- (1) *If  $N = \infty$ , there are  $C, D_p > 0$  such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\|\widehat{u}_n\|_{L^1(G)} \geq C \log n$  and  $\|\widehat{u}_n\|_{L^p(G)} \leq D_p$ ,*
- (2) *If  $N < \infty$ ,  $\|\widehat{u}_N\|_{L^1(G)} = 1$  and  $\|\widehat{u}_N\|_{L^p(G)} = N^{1-\frac{1}{p}}$ .*

*Proof.* We represent  $G$  as the circle group  $\mathbb{T}$  if the order of  $\gamma$  is infinite and as  $\mathbb{T}_N = \{e^{2\pi i j/N} : 1 \leq j \leq N\}$  if the order of  $\gamma$  is  $N < \infty$ . Then, in both cases,

$$|\widehat{u}_n(e^{2\pi i t})| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^n e^{2\pi i j t} \right| = \left| \frac{\sin n\pi t}{\sin \pi t} \right|.$$

In the case of infinite order, the easy part of a well-known estimate (see Exercise II.1.1 in [34]) can be used to show that, for some  $C > 0$ ,

$$\|\widehat{u}_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} \geq C \log n. \quad (8.3)$$

On the other hand, noting that

$$\left| \frac{1}{\sin(\pi t)} \right| \leq \frac{2}{\pi t}, \text{ for all } 0 < t < 1,$$

one has that, for some constant  $D > 0$ ,

$$\|\widehat{u}_n\|_{L^p(G)} \leq D \left( \frac{1}{1-p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (8.4)$$

When  $\Gamma$  is finite,  $u_N = \mathbf{1}$ , the constant 1-function and  $\widehat{u}_N = N\delta_1$  (recall that although  $\Gamma$  and  $G$  are isomorphic, their Haar measures are different, (normalized for  $G$  and counting measure for  $\Gamma$ )). Hence  $\|\widehat{u}_N\|_{L^1(G)} = 1$  and  $\|\widehat{u}_N\|_{L^p(G)} = N^{1-\frac{1}{p}}$ .  $\square$

Reflexivity of subspaces of  $L^1(G)$  can be characterized through uniform integrability of its unit ball, see e.g. [56, Theorem III.C.12]. This was used in [26] to show that  $L^1_E(G)$  is not reflexive if  $E$  is not a  $\Lambda(1)$ -set. In the case of preduals of von Neumann algebras, such as  $A(\Gamma)$ , one can use Lemma 8.11 below as a substitute. This will require some notation. Recall that, in a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ , every self adjoint linear functional  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^*$ , can be decomposed as  $\phi = (\phi^+ - \phi^-)$ , with  $\phi^+, \phi^-$  positive functionals. If  $\phi = V|\phi|$  denotes the polar decomposition of  $\phi$ , then

$$\phi^+ = \frac{1}{2}(|\phi| + \phi), \quad \phi^- = \frac{1}{2}(|\phi| - \phi).$$

A general  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^*$  can then be written as  $\phi = \phi_1 + i\phi_2$  with  $\phi_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\phi + \phi^*)$  and  $\phi_2 = \frac{i}{2}(\phi^* - \phi)$ , and both  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are self adjoint. We denote then

$$[\phi] = \phi_1^+ + \phi_1^- + \phi_2^+ + \phi_2^-.$$

**Lemma 8.11** (Lemma 5.6 of [53]). *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a von Neumann algebra with predual  $\mathcal{M}_*$ . If  $K \subset \mathcal{M}_*$  is relatively weakly compact, then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist a finite set  $F_\varepsilon \subseteq K$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $p$  is a projection in  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\langle [\phi], p \rangle < \delta$  for every  $\phi \in F_\varepsilon$ , then  $|\langle \phi, p \rangle| < \varepsilon$  for every  $\phi \in K$ .*

**Lemma 8.12.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group. Let  $\{\chi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a subset of  $\Gamma$  such that, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the order  $o(\chi_n)$  of  $\chi_n$  is larger than  $n$ . Put  $k(n) = o(\chi_n)$  if the order of  $\chi_n$  is finite and  $k(n) = n$ , otherwise, and define*

$$F_n = \{\chi_n^j : 1 \leq j \leq k(n)\} \quad \text{and} \quad E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n.$$

Then  $A_E(\Gamma)$  is not reflexive.

*Proof.* Consider the functions

$$u_n = B_n \sum_{j=0}^{k(n)-1} \delta_{\chi_n^j} \in A(\Gamma),$$

where  $B_n$  is chosen so that  $\|u_n\|_{A(\Gamma)} = 1$ . If  $G_n$  is the character group of the cyclic subgroup  $\Gamma_n \subseteq \Gamma$  generated by  $\chi_n$ , then by Proposition 2.4.1 of [32], one has that  $\|\widehat{u_n}\|_{L^1(G_n)} = \|u_n\|_{A(\Gamma_n)} = \|u_n\|_{A(\Gamma)} = 1$ .

Put  $\alpha_n = \|\widehat{u_n}\|_{L^{1/2}(G_n)}$ . By Lemma 8.10,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\widehat{u_n}\|_{L^p(G)}}{\|\widehat{u_n}\|_{L^1(G)}} = 0,$$

hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ .

We now define

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \left\{ x \in G_n : |\widehat{u_n}(x)| > \frac{1}{2\alpha_n} \right\}.$$

Then, letting  $m_{G_n}$  denote the (normalized) Haar measure of  $G_n$ ,

$$m_{G_n}(\mathcal{A}_n) \leq 2\alpha_n. \quad (8.5)$$

On the other hand, since  $|\widehat{u_n}(x)| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha_n}\right)^{1/2} |\widehat{u_n}(x)|^{1/2}$ , for every  $x \in \mathcal{A}_n^c$ , we have that

$$\alpha_n^{1/2} = \|\widehat{u_n}\|_{L^{1/2}(G_n)}^{1/2} \geq \int_{\mathcal{A}_n^c} |\widehat{u_n}(x)|^{1/2} dm_{G_n}(x) \geq (2\alpha_n)^{1/2} \int_{\mathcal{A}_n^c} |\widehat{u_n}(x)| dm_{G_n}(x).$$

It follows that

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_n^c} |\widehat{u_n}(x)| dm_{G_n}(x) \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and, hence, that

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_n} |\widehat{u_n}(x)| dm_{G_n}(x) \geq 1 - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (8.6)$$

Let now  $r_n : A(\Gamma) \rightarrow A(\Gamma_n)$  be the restriction mapping (see [32, Proposition 2.6.6]) and define  $p_n \in VN(\Gamma)$  as  $p_n = r_n^* \left( \widehat{\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_n}} \right)$ , with  $r_n^* : VN(\Gamma_n) \rightarrow VN(\Gamma)$  being the adjoint of  $r_n$  and  $\widehat{\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_n}}$  the Fourier transform of  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_n} \in L^\infty(G_n)$ .

Observe that

$$\langle \delta_x, p_n \rangle = 0, \quad \text{if } x \notin \Gamma_n. \quad (8.7)$$

Suppose now that  $A_E(\Gamma)$  is reflexive, then the set  $\{u_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is relatively weakly compact. Pick  $0 < \varepsilon < 1 - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$ . By Lemma 8.11, there are then  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that, for any projection  $p$  in  $VN(\Gamma)$ ,

$$\langle [u_{n_i}], p \rangle < \delta, \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \quad \implies |\langle u_n, p \rangle| < \varepsilon \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (8.8)$$

Pick, for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , a function  $v_i \in A(\Gamma)$  with finite support  $\mathcal{F}_i \subseteq \Gamma$  such that

$$\|v_i - [u_{n_i}]\| < \frac{\delta}{2}$$

Next, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i = 1, \dots, k$  we define  $w_{i,n} \in A(\Gamma)$  as  $v_i$  on  $\Gamma_n$  and 0 elsewhere. Then, by (8.7),

$$\langle v_i, p_n \rangle = \langle w_{i,n}, p_n \rangle.$$

Since the support of each  $v_i$  is finite, we will be able to find  $w_1, \dots, w_k$  and  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$w_{i,n} = w_i, \text{ for every } i = 1, \dots, k \text{ and every } n \geq n_0.$$

We finally observe that, putting  $D = \max_i \|w_i\|_{\ell^1(\Gamma)}$ ,

$$|\langle w_i, p_n \rangle| = \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_n} \widehat{w_i}(x) dm_{G_n}(x) \right| \leq D m_{G_n}(\mathcal{A}_n),$$

for each  $n \geq n_0$  and each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Since, (8.5),  $m_{G_n}(\mathcal{A}_n) \leq 2\alpha_n$ , and  $\lim_n \alpha_n = 0$ , we deduce that for  $n \geq n_0$  large enough,  $|\langle w_i, p_n \rangle| < \delta/2$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ) and, hence, for such  $n$  and any  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [u_{n_i}], p_n \rangle &\leq |\langle [u_{n_i}] - v_i, p_n \rangle| + |\langle w_i, p_n \rangle| \\ &\leq \|[u_{n_i}] - v_i\| \cdot \|p_n\| + |\langle w_i, p_n \rangle| = \delta. \end{aligned}$$

The choice of  $u_{n_1}, \dots, u_{n_k}$  allows us to conclude (by condition (8.8)), that if  $A_E(\Gamma)$  were reflexive, then one would have that, given  $n \geq n_0$  large enough,

$$\langle u_m, p_n \rangle < \varepsilon, \text{ for every } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

But we know, (8.6), that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$|\langle u_n, p_n \rangle| > 1 - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} > \varepsilon,$$

showing that  $A_E(\Gamma)$  cannot be reflexive.  $\square$

**Theorem 8.13.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group containing a countable subset  $A$  that is convergently embedded in a locally compact, metrizable group  $K$  and such that  $\langle A \rangle$  has only finitely many elements of each order. Then  $\Gamma$  contains a Riesz set  $E$  such that  $A_E(\Gamma)$  is not reflexive.*

*Proof.* Whenever necessary, we will consider in this proof a left-invariant distance  $d$  on  $K$  that induces its topology.

Let  $\alpha : \langle A \rangle \rightarrow K$  be the isomorphism provided by Definition 8.8 and let  $k_0$  denote the only limit point of  $\alpha(A)$ . We can find a sequence by  $(\psi_n)_n \subseteq A$  with  $\psi_{n+1} \notin \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n\}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\lim_n \alpha(\psi_n) = k_0$ .



We then define a new sequence

$$\chi_n = \psi_{n+1} \cdot \psi_n^{-1}.$$

The image under  $\alpha$  of this new sequence will converge to the identity 1 of  $K$ . Since  $\langle A \rangle$  has only finitely many elements of each order, we can assume, upon removing some terms, that  $o(\chi_n) > n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Find, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $N(n) > n$  large enough so that

$$d\left(\alpha(\chi_{N(n)}), 1\right) < \frac{1}{n^2}. \quad (8.9)$$

As in Lemma 8.12, we put  $k(n) = o(\chi_{N(n)})$  if the order of  $\chi_{N(n)}$  is finite and  $k(n) = N(n)$  otherwise. Next, we define

$$F_n = \{\chi_{N(n)}^j; 1 \leq j \leq k(n)\} \quad (8.10)$$

and

$$E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n. \quad (8.11)$$

We next see that  $E$  is a Riesz set. This will finish the proof, since  $A_E(\Gamma)$  cannot be reflexive by Lemma 8.12.

By Theorem 8.9, it is enough to check that, after ordering the set  $N = \{(n, j): 1 \leq j \leq n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  lexicographically, the sequence  $\{\alpha(\chi_{N(n)}^j) : (n, j) \in N\}$  converges to 1. Let to that end  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Pick  $n_0$  such that  $\frac{1}{n_0} < \varepsilon$  and take any  $n \geq n_0$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(\alpha(\chi_{N(n)}^j), 1\right) &\leq \\ &\leq d\left(\alpha(\chi_{N(n)}^j), \alpha(\chi_{N(n)}^{j-1})\right) + d\left(\alpha(\chi_{N(n)}^{j-1}), \alpha(\chi_{N(n)}^{j-2})\right) \dots + d\left(\alpha(\chi_{N(n)}), 1\right) \\ &= j \cdot d\left(\alpha(\chi_{N(n)}), 1\right) \leq \frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

It follows quite easily from Theorem 8.13 that every Abelian group  $\Gamma$  contains  $E \subseteq \Gamma$  that is Riesz but produces a nonreflexive Arens regular ideal  $A_E(\Gamma) = L_E^1(G)$ , where  $G = \widehat{\Gamma}$ , see Remark 8.16. We choose to prove a stronger theorem that might be of independent interest. This will require a little effort. We start with the following Lemma.

**Lemma 8.14** (Corollary 2.3 of [25]). *Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group and  $E \subseteq \Gamma$  be a  $\Lambda(p)$ -set for some  $p > 0$ . There are then  $c$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  such that for any  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_N \in E$*

$$\left| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^N \chi_j^{k_j} : k_j \in \{0, 1\} \right\} \right| \leq c2^{\varepsilon N}.$$

**Corollary 8.15.** *Every discrete Abelian group  $\Gamma$ , contains a subset  $E$  that is Rosenthal but is not  $\Lambda(p)$  for any  $p > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $\Gamma$  contains an infinite independent subset  $A = \{\chi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Partition  $A = \bigcup A_n$  with  $|A_n| = n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Enumerate  $A_n = \{\chi_{n,j} : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ .

Define, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$F_n = \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^n \chi_{n,j}^{k_j} : k_j \in \{0, 1\} \right\}.$$

Then, for  $n \neq m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\langle F_n \rangle \cap \langle F_m \rangle = \{1\}$ . It follows directly from Lemma 2.3 of [5] that  $E := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$  is a Rosenthal set.

On the other hand, the independence of  $A_n$  implies that  $|F_n| = 2^n - 1$  and Lemma 8.14 shows that  $E$  cannot be a  $\Lambda(p)$  set.

If  $\Gamma$  does not contain any infinite independent set, then either  $\Gamma$  contains a copy of the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  or there are primes  $p_1, \dots, p_N$  and  $q_1, \dots, q_M$  (not necessarily different) such that

$$\Gamma \cong \left( \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \mathbb{Z}(p_j) \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{j=1}^M \mathbb{Z}(q_j^\infty) \right),$$

see [49, 4.1.1, 4.1.3]. In both cases,  $\Gamma$  contains a countably infinite subgroup  $\Lambda$  with at most finitely many elements of the same finite order. If one enumerates  $\Lambda = \{\chi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and picks for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , an element  $x_n \in G$  with  $\chi_n(x_n) \neq 1$ , then, naming  $S$  the subgroup generated by  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , we obtain that the evaluation map  $\alpha : \Lambda \rightarrow \widehat{S}_d$  is a group isomorphism with dense range. We then take a sequence  $A$  in  $\Lambda$  such that  $\alpha(A)$  converges to some  $p \in \widehat{S}_d \setminus \alpha(\Lambda)$ . This set  $A$  is then convergently embedded in  $\widehat{S}_d$ . Theorem 8.13 proves that  $\Gamma$  contains a Riesz set  $E$  such that  $A_E(\Gamma)$  is not reflexive. Theorem B of [5] proves that  $E$ , as every subset of an Abelian group that is convergently embedded in a compact group, is even a Rosenthal set.  $\square$

**Remarks 8.16.** We gather here some remarks that seem worthwhile to be brought forward.

- (i) Every countable maximally almost periodic discrete group is convergently embedded in some compact metrizable group, the proof is very similar to that of Corollary 8.15.
- (ii) To the best of our knowledge, Corollary 8.15 is the first proof of the existence, in an arbitrary Abelian group, of Rosenthal sets that are not  $\Lambda(p)$  for any  $p > 0$ . It certainly does not follow from the results of Blei in [5], see Remark (b) in page 197 of Blei [loc. cit.].
- (iii) The proof of Theorem 8.13 can be greatly simplified if  $\Gamma$  is Abelian. Once the set  $E$  is defined, one can apply Lemma 4.1 of [51] to see that  $E$  is not a  $\Lambda(1)$ -set, so that Lemma 8.12 is not necessary. By the Corollary of [26],  $A_E(\Gamma)$  is then nonreflexive.

For the next Corollary we recall that a (metrizable) topological group is locally precompact if it can be embedded in a locally compact (metrizable) group.

**Corollary 8.17.** *If an amenable group  $\Gamma$  contains a subgroup  $\Lambda$  with finitely many elements of each order and  $\Gamma$  admits a nondiscrete metrizable locally pre-compact topology, then  $A(\Gamma)$  contains Arens-regular ideals that are not reflexive.*

**8.3. Strongly Arens irregular ideals, with and without a bai.** In a WeSeBai algebra, a closed ideal with a bai stays in the WeSeBai class, and so it is sAir. In particular, as already deduced in Corollary 6.3, for a compact group  $G$  and a discrete amenable group  $\Gamma$ , the ideals  $L_E^1(G)$  and  $A_E(\Gamma)$  are sAir whenever, respectively,  $E \in \Omega(\widehat{G})$  and  $E \in \Omega(\Gamma)$ . The natural question of whether ideals  $L_E^1(G)$  and  $A_E(\Gamma)$  not issued from the (hyper-)coset ring may be sAir seems to be in order. The following general construction yields such ideals.

**Theorem 8.18.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra. Let  $J_B$  and  $J_1$  be closed ideals of  $\mathcal{A}$ , such that  $J_B$  has a bai and is sAir. If  $J_B$  and  $J_1$  are orthogonal, i.e.,  $J_B J_1 = J_1 J_B = \{0\}$ , then the internal direct sum  $J_0 = J_B \oplus J_1$  is a closed ideal and*

$$\mathcal{Z}_i(J_0^{**}) = \mathcal{Z}_i(J_B^{**}) + \mathcal{Z}_i(J_1^{**}), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

*Proof.* We first see that  $J_0$  is a closed ideal. Let  $e_B$  be the right identity in  $J_B^{**}$  associated with the bai in  $J_B$ . If  $(u_n)_n$  is a sequence contained in  $J_B$  and  $(v_n)_n$  is a sequence contained in  $J_1$  such that  $\lim_n u_n + v_n = a \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $e_B u_n = u_n$  and  $e_B v_n = 0$  for every  $n$ , and so

$$\lim_n u_n = \lim_n e_B u_n = \lim_n e_B(u_n + v_n) = e_B a \in J_B.$$

This automatically implies that  $\lim_n v_n = a - e_B a$  and hence that  $a \in J_0$ .

We next see that  $J_0^{**} = J_B^{**} \oplus J_1^{**}$ . This will follow at once if we see that

$$\|a + b\| \geq \|a\|, \quad \text{whenever } a \in J_B \text{ and } b \in J_1. \quad (8.12)$$

To see this, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $\phi \in \mathcal{A}^*$ ,  $\|\phi\| \leq 1$  be such that

$$\|a\| \leq |\langle a, \phi \rangle| + \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\langle a, e_B \cdot \phi \rangle = \langle a, \phi \rangle$  and  $\langle b, e_B \cdot \phi \rangle = 0$ , one has that

$$\|a + b\| \geq |\langle a + b, e_B \cdot \phi \rangle| = |\langle a, \phi \rangle| \geq \|a\| - \varepsilon,$$

and inequality (8.12) is satisfied.

Let now  $i = 1$ . The proof is the same when  $i = 2$ . Let  $m, q \in J_0^{**}$ . By the above, we write  $m = m_B + m_1$  and  $q = q_B + q_1$  with  $m_B, q_B \in J_B^{**}$  and  $m_1, q_1 \in J_1^{**}$ . Then,

$$m_1 q_B = m_1 \diamond q_B = m_B q_1 = m_B \diamond q_1 = 0.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} m q &= (m_B + m_1)(q_B + q_1) = m_B q_B + m_1 q_1 \text{ and} \\ m \diamond q &= (m_B + m_1) \diamond (q_B + q_1) = m_B \diamond q_B + m_1 \diamond q_1. \end{aligned} \quad (8.13)$$

Accordingly, if  $m \in \mathcal{Z}_1(J_0^{**})$ , then taking  $q_1 = 0$  in (8.13), we find  $m_B \in \mathcal{Z}_1(J_B^{**})$ . Taking  $q_B = 0$  in (8.13), we find  $m_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_1(J_1^{**})$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{Z}_1(J_0^{**}) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_1(J_B^{**}) + \mathcal{Z}_1(J_1^{**})$ . The reverse inclusion is also quick as it is clear that  $m q = m \diamond q$  if  $m_B q_B = m_B \diamond q_B$  and  $m_1 q_1 = m_1 \diamond q_1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 8.19.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra in  $WeSeBai$ . Let  $J_B$  and  $J_1$  be closed ideals of  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $J_B J_1 = J_1 J_B = \{0\}$ , such that  $J_B$  has a bai. Let  $J_0 = J_B \oplus J_1$ .*

- (i) *If  $J_1$  is reflexive, then  $J_0$  is sAir.*
- (ii) *If  $J_1$  is Arens regular but not reflexive, then  $J_0$  is neither Arens regular nor sAir*

*Proof.* Assume first that  $J_1$  is reflexive and let  $m \in \mathcal{Z}_1(J_0^{**})$ . By Theorem 8.18,  $m = u + m_1$  with  $u \in J_B$  and  $m_1 \in J_1^{**}$ . Since  $J_1$  is reflexive, it follows right away that  $m \in J_0$ .

Assume now that  $J_1$  is Arens regular but not reflexive. Let  $m_1 \in J_1^{**} \setminus J_1$ . Then, given  $q = q_B + q_1 \in J_0^{**}$ ,  $q_B \in J_B^{**}$ ,  $q_1 \in J_1^{**}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 q &= m_1 q_1 \text{ and} \\ m_1 \diamond q &= m_1 \diamond q_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $J_1$  is Arens regular, we see that  $m_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_1(J_0^{**})$  and so  $J_0$  is not sAir.

On the other hand, Theorem 8.18 shows that no  $m \in J_B^{**} \setminus J_B$  can be in  $\mathcal{Z}_1(J_0^{**})$ , whence the Arens irregularity of  $J_0$ .  $\square$

We can now find examples of sAir closed ideals that have no bai in many Fourier algebras.

**Example 1.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete amenable group. Assume that  $\Gamma$  contains a proper infinite subgroup  $\Lambda$ . Then  $A(\Gamma)$  contains an ideal that is sAir but contains no bai.

*Proof.* Since  $\Lambda$  is infinite,  $\Gamma \setminus \Lambda$  is infinite. By [43, Theorem 1], we can find  $F \subseteq \Gamma \setminus \Lambda$  which is an infinite  $\Lambda(4)$ -set,  $A_F(\Gamma)$  is then reflexive by Lemma 8.3. If  $E = \Lambda \cup F$ , Corollary 8.19 shows that  $A_E(\Gamma) = A_\Lambda(\Gamma) \oplus A_F(\Gamma)$  is sAir. If  $A_E(\Gamma)$  had a bai, then  $E \in \Omega(\Gamma)$  and so  $F \in \Omega(\Gamma)$  so that  $A_F(\Gamma)$  has a bai. But this latter ideal is reflexive, so  $A_F(\Gamma)$  should have an identity, i.e.,  $\mathbf{1}_F \in A_F(\Gamma)$  which is impossible, for  $A_F(\Gamma) \subseteq c_0(\Gamma)$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.** Let  $\Gamma$  be an amenable group that contains a proper infinite subgroup  $\Lambda$ . If  $\Lambda$  is either Abelian or satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 8.13, then  $A(\Gamma)$  contains ideals that are neither Arens regular nor sAir.

*Proof.* We use Theorem 8.13 to find a Riesz set  $E \subseteq \Lambda$  such that  $A_E(G)$  is not reflexive. Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Lambda$ . Then  $F_B = A_{\gamma\Lambda}(\Gamma)$  is sAir and  $F_1 = A_E(G)$  is Arens regular but not reflexive. Since  $F_B F_1 = \{0\}$ , we can apply Corollary 8.19.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. R. Arens, *The adjoint of a bilinear operation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1951), 839–848.
2. ———, *Operations induced in function classes*, Monatsh. Math. **55** (1951), 1–19.
3. J.W. Baker, A. T. -M. Lau and J. S. Pym, *Module homomorphisms and topological centres associated with weakly sequentially complete Banach algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **158** (1998), 186–208.
4. S. Bochner, *Boundary values of analytic functions in several variables and of almost periodic functions*. Ann. of Math. (2) **45** (1944), 708–722.
5. R. C. Blei, *A simple Diophantine condition in harmonic analysis*, Studia Math. **52** (1974/75), 195–202.

6. M. Bożejko, *The existence of  $\Lambda(p)$  sets in discrete noncommutative groups*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (4) **8** (1973), 579–582.
7. P. Civin and B. Yood, *The second conjugate space of a Banach algebra as an algebra*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961), 847–870.
8. H. G. Dales, *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
9. H. G. Dales and A. T.-M. Lau, *The second duals of Beurling algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **177** (2005), no. 836, vi+191.
10. H. G. Dales, A. T.-M. Lau, D. Strauss, *Banach algebras on semigroups and on their compactifications*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **205** (2010), vi+165 pp.
11. M. M. Day, *Amenable semigroups*, Illinois J. Math. **1** (1957), 509–544.
12. R. E. Dressler and L. Pigno, *Rosenthal sets and Riesz sets*, Duke Math. J. **41** (1974), 675–677.
13. R. Esmailvandi, M. Filali and J. Galindo, *Arens regularity of ideals of the group algebra*, (2022), preprint. To appear in Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A.
14. M. Filali and J. Galindo, *Extreme non-Arens regularity of the group algebra*, Forum Math. **30** (2018), no. 5, 1193–1208.
15. ———,  $\ell^1$ -bases in banach algebras and arens irregularities in harmonic analysis. In Mahmoud Filali, editor, *Banach Algebras and Applications*, pages 95–132. De Gruyter, 2020.
16. ———, *On the extreme non-Arens regularity of banach algebras*, J. London Math. Soc, **104** (2021), no 2, 1840–1860.
17. ———, *Orthogonal  $\ell_1$ -sets and extreme non-Arens regularity of preduals of von Neumann algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **512** (2022), 126137.
18. M. Filali and A. Singh, *Recent developments on Arens regularity and ideal structure of the second dual of a group algebra and some related topological algebras*, Est. Math. Soc., Tartu (2001) 95–124.
19. B. Forrest, E. Kaniuth, A. T. Lau, and N. Spronk, *Ideals with bounded approximate identities in Fourier algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **203** (2003), no. 1, 286–304.
20. S. Giulini and G. Travaglini,  *$L^p$ -estimates for matrix coefficients of irreducible representations of compact groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1980), no. 3, 448–450.
21. I. Glicksberg, *Fourier-Stieltjes transforms with small supports*. Illinois J. Math. **9** (1965), 418–427.
22. Gilles Godefroy, *On Riesz subsets of Abelian discrete groups*, Israel J. Math. **61** (1988), no. 3, 301–331.
23. M. Grosser, "Bidualräume und Vervollständigungen von Banachmoduln," Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 717, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
24. A. Harcharras, *Fourier analysis, Schur multipliers on  $S^p$  and non-commutative  $\Lambda(p)$ -sets*, Studia Math. **137** (1999), no. 3, 203–260.
25. K. E. Hare, *Arithmetic properties of thin sets*, Pacific J. Math. **131** (1988), no. 1, 143–155.
26. ———, *An elementary proof of a result on  $\Lambda(p)$  sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), no. 3, 829–834.
27. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis. Vol. II: Structure and analysis for compact groups. Analysis on locally compact Abelian groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
28. M. F. Hutchinson, *Non-tall compact groups admit infinite Sidon sets*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **23** (1977), no. 4, 467–475.
29. N. Işı k, J. Pym, and A. Ülger, *The second dual of the group algebra of a compact group*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **35** (1987), no. 1, 135–148.
30. E. Kaniuth, A. T. Lau, and G. Schlichting, *Lebesgue type decomposition of subspaces of Fourier-Stieltjes algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), no. 4, 1467–1490 (electronic).
31. E. Kaniuth, *A course in commutative Banach algebras*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 246, Springer, New York, 2009.

32. E. Kaniuth and A. T. Lau, *Fourier and Fourier-Stieltjes algebras on locally compact groups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 231, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2018.
33. E. Kaniuth, *Ideals with bounded approximate units in  $L^1$ -algebras of locally compact groups*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 128 (2000), no. 1, 65–77.
34. Y. Katznelson, *An introduction to harmonic analysis*, corrected ed., Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1976.
35. S. Koshi, *The  $F$  and  $M$ . Riesz theorem on groups*, Taiwanese J. Math. **5** (2001), no. 3, 471–476.
36. A. T. Lau, *The second conjugate algebra of the Fourier algebra of a locally compact group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **267** (1983), 53–63.
37. A. T. Lau and V. Losert, *The  $C^*$ -algebra generated by operators with compact support on a locally compact group*, J. Funct. Anal. 112 (1993), 1–30.
38. A. T. Lau and N. Wong, *Orthogonality and disjointness preserving linear maps between Fourier and Fourier-Stieltjes algebras of locally compact groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **265** (2013), no. 4, 562–593.
39. D. Li, *A class of Riesz sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **119** (1993), no. 3, 889–892.
40. T. Liu, A. van Rooij, and J. Wang, *Projections and approximate identities for ideals in group algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **175** (1973), 469–482.
41. H. A. MacLean, *Riesz sets and a theorem of Bochner*. Pacific J. Math. 113 (1984), no. 1, 115–135.
42. T. Miao, *Decomposition of  $B(G)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), no. 11, 4675–4692.
43. M. A. Picardello, *Lacunary sets in discrete noncommutative groups*, Univ. Genova Pubbl. Ist. Mat. (2) **60** (1973), ii+24.
44. G. Pisier and Q. Xu, *Non-commutative  $L^p$ -spaces*, Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces, Vol. 2, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2003, pp. 1459–1517.
45. J. S. Pym, *The convolution of functionals on spaces of bounded functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **15** (1965), 84–104.
46. H. Reiter,  *$L^1$ -algebras and Segal algebras*, Conference on Harmonic Analysis (Univ. Maryland, College Park, Md., 1971), Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 266, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1972, pp. 281–285.
47. D. Rider, *Central idempotent measures on unitary groups*, Canadian J. Math. **22** (1970), 719–725.
48. ———, *Central idempotent measures on compact groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **186** (1973), 459–479 (1974).
49. D. J. S. Robinson, *A course in the theory of groups*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 80, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
50. H. P. Rosenthal, *Some recent discoveries in the isomorphic theory of Banach spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **84** (1978), 803–831.
51. W. Rudin, *Trigonometric series with gaps*, J. Math. Mech. **9** (1960), 203–227.
52. W. Rudin, *Fourier analysis on groups* of John Wiley and Sons, 1962.
53. M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras. I*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 124, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002, Reprint of the first (1979) edition, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 5.
54. A. Ülger, *Central elements of  $A^{**}$  for certain Banach algebras  $A$  without bounded approximate identities*, Glasg. Math. J. **4** (1999), 369–377.
55. A. Ülger, *Characterizations of Riesz sets*, Math. Scand. **108** (2011), no. 2, 264–278.
56. P. Wojtaszczyk, *Banach spaces for analysts* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 1991.
57. P. Wong, *On the Arens product and annihilator algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **30** (1971), 79–83.
58. N. J. Young, *Separate continuity and multilinear operations*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **26** (1973), 289–319.