

# A CLASSIFICATION OF GENERALIZED ROOT SYSTEMS

M. CUNTZ AND B. MÜLHERR

ABSTRACT. Dimitrov and Fioresi introduced an object that they call a generalized root system. This is a finite set of vectors in a euclidean space satisfying certain compatibilities between angles and sums and differences of elements. They conjecture that every generalized root system is equivalent to one associated to a restriction of a Weyl arrangement. In this note we prove the conjecture and provide a complete classification of generalized root systems up to equivalence.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A *generalized root system* as introduced by Dimitrov and Fioresi [DF23] is a finite set of vectors in a euclidean vector space which is closed under sums or differences of elements depending on the angle between the vectors (see Definition 2.1). Although the definition is very short, it generalizes the concept of a classic root system (i.e. a root system in the sense of [Hum72, §9.2]) in a very nice way.

At first sight, the definition of a generalized root system looks much more general than the definition of a classic root system because it does not require any symmetries. However, in Sections 1 and 2 of [DF23] it is observed that generalized root system share many fundamental properties of classic root systems. A central notion in the context of generalized root system is that of a *quotient* (cf. Def. 3.2). The key observation is that a quotient of a generalized root system is again a generalized root system. In particular, the quotient of a classic root system is a generalized root system which is not classic in the generic case. In fact, one may interpret the quotients of classic root systems as combinatorial generalizations of the relative root systems known from the theory of algebraic groups. In the language of arrangements of hyperplanes, a quotient of a root system is a restriction of a Weyl arrangement to an element of its intersection lattice.

In Section 5 of [DF23] the irreducible generalized root systems of rank 2 are classified and it turns out that each such generalized root system is equivalent to a rank 2 quotient of a classic root system. In view of this, it is conjectured in [DF23] that each generalized root system is equivalent to a quotient of a classic root system (Conjecture 5.8 in [DF23]). In this note we prove this conjecture for all generalized root systems that do not have an irreducible direct factor of rank 1. Here is our main result (see Subsection 3.1 for a proof).

**Theorem 1.1.** *Each irreducible generalized root system of rank at least 2 is equivalent to a quotient of a classic root system of a finite Weyl group.*

As already mentioned above, for irreducible generalized root systems of rank 2, the assertion was proved in [DF23] (Theorem 5.5). Our approach for treating the higher rank case is based on the observation that one can associate a *reduced root set*  $\mathcal{W}(R)$  with any generalized root system  $(R, V)$ . As mentioned in the introduction of [DF23], the reduced root set of a generalized root system provides a crystallographic arrangement in the sense of [Cun11]. Using the classification of finite Weyl groupoids in [CH15], the first author obtained a complete classification of crystallographic arrangements in [Cun11]. The proof of our main result relies strongly on these classification results.

Let  $(R, V)$  be a generalized root system. Then its reduced root set  $\mathcal{W}(R)$  is the set of its *primitive* elements and it forms a root set of a Weyl groupoid. The only additional information encoded in  $(R, V)$  are possible *multipliers* of roots, i.e., for a primitive  $\alpha \in R$ , the maximal  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\alpha, 2\alpha, \dots, k\alpha \in R$  is called the multiplier of  $\alpha$ .

In rank two, there are infinitely many finite Weyl groupoids and only finitely many of them are reduced root sets of a generalized root system. In rank three, only 15 of the 55 finite Weyl groupoids are related to generalized root systems. Thus one task in this note is to determine those Weyl groupoids which are not induced by a generalized root system. The other task is to check that all the remaining ones are restrictions of Weyl arrangements, and to determine the possible multipliers of all roots.

As a consequence of our main result, the main result of [CL17] implies that every reduced root set of a generalized root system is the root system of a Nichols algebra. This is further evidence that generalized root systems are natural objects.

## 2. PROPERTIES OF GENERALIZED ROOT SYSTEMS

**Definition 2.1** ([DF23, Def. 1.1]). Let  $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$  be a finite dimensional euclidean vector space and  $\emptyset \neq R \subseteq V$  a finite subset. The pair  $(R, V)$  is called a *generalized root system* if

- (i)  $V = \langle R \rangle$ ,
- (ii) for all  $\alpha, \beta \in R$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha, \beta) < 0 &\implies \alpha + \beta \in R, \\ (\alpha, \beta) > 0 &\implies \alpha - \beta \in R, \\ (\alpha, \beta) = 0 &\implies (\alpha + \beta \in R \iff \alpha - \beta \in R). \end{aligned}$$

The elements of  $R$  are called *roots*, the *rank* of  $(R, V)$  is the dimension of  $V$ .

*Remark 2.2.* We will frequently use the following property of roots in a generalized root system: If  $\alpha, \beta$  are roots such that  $\alpha + \beta \notin R$  and  $\alpha - \beta \notin R$ , then  $(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $(R, V)$  be a generalized root system. Then the following hold.*

- (1)  $R = -R$ .
- (2) if  $0 \neq \alpha \in R$ ,  $\mu := \min\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \mid \lambda\alpha \in R\}$  and  $\beta := \mu\alpha$ , then there exists  $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid \lambda\beta \in R\} = \{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid -k \leq i \leq k\}$ .
- (3) If  $R$  is of rank 1, then there exists  $0 \neq \alpha \in R$  and  $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $R = \{j\alpha \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}, -k \leq j \leq k\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $0 \neq \alpha \in R$ . Then  $(\alpha, \alpha) > 0$  and therefore  $0 = \alpha - \alpha \in R$  by 2.1. As  $0, \alpha \in R$ ,  $(0, \alpha) = 0$  and  $\alpha = 0 + \alpha$ , it follows from 2.1 that  $-\alpha = 0 - \alpha \in R$ . This proves the first assertion.

As  $R$  is finite, the set  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \mid \lambda\alpha \in R\}$  is finite and therefore  $\mu$  exists.

Let  $\beta := \mu\alpha$  and  $\Lambda := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \mid \lambda\beta \in R\}$ . Then  $\lambda \geq 1$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Suppose  $1 < \lambda \in \Lambda$ . As  $(\lambda\beta, \beta) > 0$  we have  $(\lambda - 1)\beta \in R$  by 2.1 and hence  $\lambda - 1 \in \Lambda$ . It follows that  $\Lambda = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  for some  $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, the second assertion of the lemma follows from the first and the third is a consequence of the second.  $\square$

**Definition 2.4** ([DF23, Def. 1.1]). Let  $(R, V)$  be a generalized root system. A root  $0 \neq \alpha \in R$  is called *primitive* if  $\alpha = k\alpha' \in R$ ,  $\alpha' \in R$ , and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  implies  $k = 1$ . If  $\alpha \in R$  is primitive and  $\alpha, 2\alpha, \dots, k\alpha \in R$ ,  $(k + 1)\alpha \notin R$ , then  $k$  is called the *multiplier* of  $\alpha$ .

*Remark 2.5.* Let  $(R, V)$  be a generalized root system and  $\alpha \in R$ . It follows from Lemma 2.3 that  $\alpha$  is primitive if and only if  $-\alpha$  is primitive in which case they have the same multiplier. Moreover, if  $\alpha$  is primitive with multiplier  $k$ , then  $\mathbb{R}\alpha \cap R = \{j\alpha \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}, -k \leq j \leq k\}$ .

**Definition 2.6** ([DF23, Def. 1.4]). Let  $(R, V)$  be a generalized root system. A basis  $S \subseteq R$  of  $V$  is called a *base* if every element of  $R$  is a non-negative or non-positive integral linear combination of  $S$ .

**Lemma 2.7** ([DF23, Cor. 1.7]). *Let  $(R, V)$  be a generalized root system and let  $\alpha \in R$  be primitive. Then there exists a base  $S$  such that  $\alpha \in S$ .*

**Definition 2.8** ([DF23, Def. 1.1]). Let  $(R, V)$  be a generalized root system of rank  $r$ ,  $S$  a base of  $(R, V)$ , and let  $\gamma_S : V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^r$  be the coordinate map that maps a root to its coordinate vector with respect to  $S$ . We call

$$\mathcal{W}(R) := \{\alpha \in R \mid 0 \neq \alpha \text{ is primitive}\}$$

the *reduced root set* of  $(R, V)$  and denote

$$\mathcal{W}_S(R) := \{\gamma_S(\alpha) \in R \mid 0 \neq \alpha \text{ is primitive}\}.$$

**Definition 2.9** ([DF23, Section 1]). Two generalized root systems  $(R_1, V_1), (R_2, V_2)$  are called *equivalent* if there is a vector space isomorphism  $V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  that maps  $R_1$  to  $R_2$ .

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $(R, V)$  be a generalized root system. A subset  $R'$  of  $R$  is called a *parabolic* subset of  $(R, V)$  if  $R \cap \langle R' \rangle = R'$ .

**Lemma 2.11** ([DF23, Def. 1.1]). *Let  $(R, V)$  be a generalized root system,  $R' \subseteq R$  a parabolic subset of  $(R, V)$  and  $V' := \langle R' \rangle$ . Then  $(R', V')$  is a generalized root system with respect to the scalar product induced from  $V$  onto  $V'$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{W}(R') = \mathcal{W}(R) \cap R'$*

*Proof.* Both assertions are straightforward from the definitions.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.12.** *Let  $(R, V)$  be a generalized root system and assume that  $0 \neq \alpha \in R$  is contained in a parabolic subsystem  $P$  of rank 2 such that  $|\mathcal{W}(P)| = 6$ . Then the multiplier of  $\alpha$  is 1.*

*Proof.* Let  $k$  be the multiplier of  $\alpha$ . Then  $k\alpha$  is contained in the parabolic subsystem. By [DF23, Theorem 5.2], the only reduced root set of rank 2 with 6 elements is the one labeled 1(i) in [DF23, Section 5.2]. In this generalized root system, no root has a multiplier greater than 1, thus  $k = 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.13.** *Let  $(R, V)$  be a generalized root system and assume that  $0 \neq \alpha \in R$  is a primitive root of  $(R, V)$  such that  $2\alpha \in R$ . Assume that  $\alpha$  is contained in a parabolic subsystem  $P$  of rank 2 such that  $|\mathcal{W}(P)| = 8$ . Then there exists a primitive root  $\gamma \in \mathcal{W}(P)$  such that  $\mathcal{W}(P) = \Pi \cup -\Pi$  where  $\Pi = \{\alpha, \gamma, \gamma - \alpha, \gamma + \alpha\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be a parabolic root system containing  $\alpha$  and hence also  $2\alpha$  such that  $|\mathcal{W}(P)| = 8$ . By [DF23, Theorem 5.2], a generalized root system of rank 2 such that the reduced root set contains 8 elements is equivalent to one of the generalized root system 1(ii), 2(i) or 2(ii) listed in [DF23, Section 5.2]. Thus, there is a linear isomorphism  $\varphi$  from  $\langle P \rangle$  to  $\langle x, y \rangle$  (where  $x$  and  $y$  are as in [DF23, 5.2. 1(ii), 2(i) or 2(ii)]) such that  $\varphi(P)$  is mapped onto the set of roots  $R'$  of one those generalized root system. As  $\alpha$  and  $2\alpha$  are in  $P$ , the case 1(ii) is impossible. In Case 2(i),  $\varphi(\alpha) \in \{x, -x\}$  and in Case 2(ii),  $\varphi(\alpha) \in \{x, -x, y, y\}$ . If  $\varphi(\alpha) \in \{x, -x\}$ , then the claim holds with  $\gamma := \varphi^{-1}(y)$  and if  $\varphi(\alpha) \in \{y, -y\}$  then the claim holds with  $\gamma := \varphi^{-1}(x)$ .  $\square$

### 3. CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC ARRANGEMENTS

We briefly recall the notions of simplicial and crystallographic arrangements (cf. [OT92, 1.2, 5.1], [Cun11], [Cun21]).

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $V := \mathbb{R}^r$ , and  $\mathcal{A}$  be a finite set of linear hyperplanes in  $V$ , i.e. an *arrangement of hyperplanes*. Let  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})$  be the set of connected components (*chambers*) of  $V \setminus \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$ . If every chamber  $K$  is an *open simplicial cone*, i.e. there exist  $\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_r^\vee \in V$  such that

$$K = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \alpha_i^\vee \mid a_i > 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, r \right\} =: \langle \alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_r^\vee \rangle_{>0},$$

then  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a *simplicial arrangement*.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $(\mathcal{A}, V)$  be an arrangement of hyperplanes and  $X \leq V$ . The *restriction*  $\mathcal{A}^X$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $X$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}^X := \{X \cap H \mid H \in \mathcal{A}, X \not\subseteq H\}$$

and is an arrangement in  $X$ .

Let  $(R, V)$  be a generalized root system,  $\mathcal{A} := \{\alpha^\perp \mid \alpha \in R\}$ , and  $Y \leq V$  be a subspace generated by elements of  $R$ . We write  $X := Y^\perp$ . Then the projection  $V \rightarrow X$  defines a generalized root system  $(R', X)$  for the restriction  $\mathcal{A}^X$  which is called a *quotient* in [DF23].

Thus quotients are restrictions of arrangements of hyperplanes to elements of the intersection lattice of the arrangement.

**Definition 3.3** ([Cun11, Definition 2.3]). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a simplicial arrangement in  $V$  and  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq V^*$  a finite set such that  $\mathcal{A} = \{\ker \alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{R}\}$  and  $\mathbb{R}\alpha \cap \mathcal{R} = \{\pm\alpha\}$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}$ . We call  $(\mathcal{A}, V, \mathcal{R})$  a *crystallographic arrangement* if for all chambers  $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})$ :

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{R} \subseteq \sum_{\alpha \in B^K} \mathbb{Z}\alpha,$$

where

$$B^K = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{R} \mid \forall x \in K : \alpha(x) \geq 0, \langle \ker \alpha \cap \overline{K} \rangle = \ker \alpha\}$$

corresponds to the set of walls of  $K$ .

Two crystallographic arrangements  $(\mathcal{A}, V, \mathcal{R})$ ,  $(\mathcal{A}', V, \mathcal{R}')$  in  $V$  are called *equivalent* if there exists  $\psi \in \text{Aut}(V^*)$  with  $\psi(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}'$ ; we write  $(\mathcal{A}, V, \mathcal{R}) \cong (\mathcal{A}', V, \mathcal{R}')$ .

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an arrangement in  $V$  for which a set  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq V^*$  exists such that  $(\mathcal{A}, V, \mathcal{R})$  is crystallographic, then we say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is *crystallographic*.

We use the convenient notation introduced in [Cun21]:

**Definition 3.4.** [Cun21, Def. 3.3] Let  $(\mathcal{A}, V, \mathcal{R})$  be a crystallographic arrangement and  $K$  a chamber. Fixing an ordering for  $B^K$ , we obtain a coordinate map

$$\Upsilon^K : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r \quad \text{with respect to } B^K.$$

The elements of the standard basis  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\} = \Upsilon^K(B^K)$  are called *simple roots*. The set

$$R^K := \{\Upsilon^K(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{R}\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0^r \cup -\mathbb{N}_0^r$$

is called the set of *roots* of  $\mathcal{A}$  at  $K$ . The roots in  $R_+^K := R^K \cap \mathbb{N}_0^r$  are called *positive*.

We now identify  $V$  and  $V^*$  via the euclidean form. The proof of our main theorem relies on the following observation which is stated in [DF23, Proposition 1.6] and proven for example in [Hum72, §10.1] for classic root systems:

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $(R, V)$  be a generalized root system and  $\mathcal{A} := \{\alpha^\perp \mid \alpha \in R\}$ . Then  $(\mathcal{A}, V, \mathcal{W}(R))$  is a crystallographic arrangement. Moreover, if  $(R, V)$  is irreducible, then  $(\mathcal{A}, V, \mathcal{W}(R))$  is irreducible.*

*Proof.* For each chamber  $K$ , choose  $\zeta \in V$  in the interior of  $K$  and set  $\Pi := \{\alpha \in R \mid (\alpha, \zeta) > 0\}$ . Note that  $R = \Pi \cup -\Pi \cup \{0\}$  because for  $\alpha \in R$ ,  $(\alpha, \zeta) = 0$  implies  $\alpha = 0$  since  $\zeta$  is in the interior of  $K$ . Let  $B^K$  be the set consisting of those elements of  $\Pi$  which do not decompose as a sum of elements of  $\Pi$ . If  $\beta \in \Pi$ , then after decomposing  $\beta$  into sums of elements of  $\Pi$  we obtain  $\beta \in \sum_{\alpha \in B^K} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha$ ; the same argument works for  $\beta \in -\Pi$ , and of course 0 is also an integral combination of  $B^K$ . It remains to check that  $B^K$  corresponds to the set of walls of  $K$  and that  $K$  is an open simplicial cone. This is literally the proof of [Hum72, §10.1, Theorem' (3),(4),(5)].  $\square$

With the above notation,  $B^K = S$  is a base of  $(R, V)$  and we have  $\mathcal{W}_S(R) = R^K$ . Hence for any generalized root system  $(R, V)$  and each base  $S$  we obtain that  $\mathcal{W}_S(R)$  is a root set of a crystallographic arrangement (or equivalently of a Weyl groupoid).

We can now consider each crystallographic arrangement and determine the corresponding generalized root systems. The following is a complete list of cases:

**Theorem 3.6** (cf. [CH15], [Cun11]). *There are (up to equivalence) exactly three families of irreducible crystallographic arrangements of rank at least 2:*

- (1) *The family of rank two parametrized by triangulations of convex  $n$ -gons by non-intersecting diagonals.*
- (2) *For each rank  $r > 2$ , arrangements of type  $A_r$ ,  $B_r$ ,  $C_r$  and  $D_r$ , and a further series of  $r - 1$  arrangements.*
- (3) *Another 74 “sporadic” arrangements of rank  $r$ ,  $3 \leq r \leq 8$ .*

The 74 sporadic root sets are listed in [CH15, §B]. For a concrete description of the series of rank at least 3 see Remark 4.2.

**3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Let  $(V, R)$  be an irreducible generalized root system of rank at least 2. By Proposition 3.5, its reduced root set  $\mathcal{W}(R)$  is the set of roots of an irreducible crystallographic arrangement of rank at least 2. By Theorem 3.6 the irreducible crystallographic arrangements are classified and they are subdivided into three classes. If  $\mathcal{W}(R)$  is of rank 2, then the assertion follows from Theorem 4.1. If  $\mathcal{W}(R)$  is a member of series of rank at least 3, the assertion follows from Proposition 4.5. Finally, if  $\mathcal{W}(R)$  is one of the 74 sporadic crystallographic arrangements, then the assertion follows from Proposition 5.2.

## 4. INFINITE SERIES

**4.1. Rank two.** The generalized root systems of rank two are classified in [DF23, Theorem 5.2] and have already been identified as quotient root systems. For convenience we recall Theorem 5.5 of [DF23].

**Theorem 4.1** ([DF23, Theorem 5.5]). *Every irreducible generalized root system of rank 2 is equivalent to a quotient of a classic root system.*

**4.2. Series in rank greater than two.** Let  $n \geq 3$  be a natural number and put  $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional real vector space and let  $B = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$  be a basis of  $V$ . We put

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n-1} &:= \{b_i - b_j \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}, \\ D_n &:= \{\varepsilon b_i + \varepsilon' b_j \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n, \varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \{1, -1\}\}, \\ B_n &:= D_n \cup \{\varepsilon b_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, \varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}\} \end{aligned}$$

and for  $J \subseteq [n]$  we put

$$\begin{aligned} X_J &:= \{2\varepsilon b_j \mid j \in J, \varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}\}, \\ DC_n^J &:= D_n \cup X_J \quad \text{and} \quad BC_n^J := B_n \cup X_J. \end{aligned}$$

We put  $C_n := DC_n^{[n]}$ . Note that  $B_n = BC_n^\emptyset$  and  $D_n = DC_n^\emptyset$ .

*Remark 4.2.* The sets  $A_{n-1}, B_n, DC_n^J$  are the root sets of the crystallographic arrangements in the series mentioned in Theorem 3.6 (2).

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  be a scalar product on  $V$  such that  $B$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V$ . Then the following hold.*

- (1) *Let  $R \in \{A_{n-1}, B_n, C_n, D_n\}$ . Then  $(V, R \cup \{0_V\})$  is a generalized root system that is a (trivial) quotient of a classic root system and  $\mathcal{W}(R) = R$ .*
- (2) *Let  $J \subseteq [n]$  and  $R = DC_n^J$ . Then  $(V, R \cup \{0_V\})$  is a generalized root system that is equivalent to a quotient of a classic root system and  $\mathcal{W}(R) = R$ .*
- (3) *Let  $J \subseteq [n]$  and  $R = BC_n^J$ . Then  $(V, R \cup \{0_V\})$  is a generalized root system that is equivalent to a quotient of a classic root system and  $\mathcal{W}(R) = B_n$ .*

*Proof.* It is straightforward to check that  $R$  is a generalized root system and to determine  $\mathcal{W}(R)$  in all cases. The only assertions that are less straightforward are the claims that the generalized root system  $DC_n^J$  and  $BC_n^J$  are equivalent to quotients of classic root systems.

The quotients of the classic root systems of type  $A_l, B_l, C_l$  and  $D_l$  are described in Section 6.1 of [DF23]. Using this one can verify that the generalized root system  $DC_n^J$  is equivalent to a quotient of the classic root system  $D_n$  and that the generalized root system  $BC_n^J$  is equivalent to a quotient of the classic root system  $B_n$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $(R, V)$  be a generalized root system of rank greater than two and assume that its reduced root set  $\mathcal{W}(R)$  is  $A_{n-1}, B_n$  or  $DC_n^J$  for some  $J$ . Assume that  $\alpha \in \mathcal{W}(R)$  is such that  $2\alpha \in R$ . Then  $\mathcal{W}(R)$  is the reduced root set  $B_n$  and  $\alpha = \varepsilon b_i$  for some  $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$  and some  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .*

*In particular, if the reduced root set  $\mathcal{W}(R)$  is not  $B_n$ , then  $\mathcal{W}(R) = R \setminus \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{W}(R)$  be such that  $2\alpha \in R$ .

Suppose, by contradiction, that there are  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$  such that  $\alpha = b_i - b_j$  or  $\alpha = b_i + b_j$ . Choose  $1 \leq k \leq n$  such that  $i \neq k \neq j$ . Then  $\beta := b_i - b_k \in \mathcal{W}(R) \subseteq R$ . Let  $P$  be the rank 2 parabolic of  $R$  that contains  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then  $|\mathcal{W}(P)| = 6$ . By Lemma 2.12 it follows that the multiplier of  $\alpha$  in  $R$  is 1 yielding a contradiction.

Thus,  $\alpha = \lambda b_i$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and some  $\lambda \in \{1, -1, 2, -2\}$ .

Suppose, again by contradiction, that  $\alpha = 2b_i$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Choose  $1 \leq j \leq n$  with  $j \neq i$ . Since  $2b_j \in \mathcal{W}(R)$ , it follows  $\mathcal{W}(R) = DC_n^J$  for some  $J \subseteq [n]$  with  $i \in J$ . Therefore  $\beta := b_i + b_j \in \mathcal{W}(R)$ . Let  $P := R \cap \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  be the rank 2 parabolic of  $R$  containing  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . If  $2b_j$  is not in  $P$ , then  $\mathcal{W}(P)$  contains 6 roots and therefore the multiplier of  $\alpha$  is 1 by Lemma 2.12, yielding a contradiction. It follows that  $2b_j \in \mathcal{W}(P)$  and that  $\mathcal{W}(P) = \Pi \cup -\Pi$  where  $\Pi = \{2b_i, 2b_j, b_i + b_j, b_i - b_j\}$ . We conclude that there is no root  $\gamma \in \mathcal{W}(P)$  such that  $\mathcal{W}(P) = \Pi' \cup -\Pi'$  with  $\Pi' = \{\alpha, \gamma, \gamma - \alpha, \alpha + \gamma\}$ . Now, Lemma 2.13 implies that  $2\alpha$  is not contained in  $R$  and we obtain a contradiction.

As the multipliers of  $\beta$  and  $-\beta$  are equal for each root  $\beta \in R$ , it follows from the above that  $\alpha \in \{b_i, -b_i\}$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . As

$$A_{n-1} \cap \{b_i, -b_i\} = \emptyset = DC_n^J \cap \{b_i, -b_i\}$$

it follows that  $\mathcal{W}(R) = B_n$ .

Suppose that  $\mathcal{W}(R) \neq R \setminus \{0\}$ . Then there exists a root  $\beta \in \mathcal{W}(R)$  such that  $2\beta \in R$ . Thus, the last assertion is a consequence of the above.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $(R, V)$  be a generalized root system of rank greater than two. Then the following hold.*

- (1) If  $\mathcal{W}(R) = A_{n-1}$ , then  $R = R \cup \{0\}$ ,
- (2) if  $\mathcal{W}(R) = B_n$ , then  $R = BC_n^J \cup \{0\}$  for some  $J \subseteq [n]$ ,
- (3) if  $\mathcal{W}(R) = DC_n^J$ , then  $R = R \cup \{0\}$ .

Moreover, in each case, the generalized root system  $R$  is equivalent to a quotient of a classic root system.

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{W}(R) = A_{n-1}$  or  $\mathcal{W}(R) = DC_n^J$  for some  $J \subseteq [n]$  it follows from the last assertion of Lemma 4.4 that  $R = \mathcal{W}(R) \cup \{0\}$ .

Suppose that  $\mathcal{W}(R) = B_n$ . Let  $\alpha \in B_n$  be of the form  $\alpha = b_i + b_j$  or  $\alpha = b_i - b_j$  for some  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ . Similar as in the proof of Lemma 4.4 it follows that the multiplier of  $\alpha$  in  $R$  is 1. Furthermore, using the classification of irreducible generalized root system of rank 2 (Section 5.2 in [DF23]), it follows that the multiplier of  $b_i$  in  $R$  is 1 or 2. It follows that  $R = BC_n^J$  where  $J$  is the set of all  $i \in [n]$  for which the multiplier of  $b_i$  is 2.

This proves the three first assertions and the last one follows from Lemma 4.3 □

## 5. SPORADIC FINITE WEYL GROUPOIDS

[CH15]	$ \mathcal{W}(R) /2$	$( R  - 1)/2$	GRS	reference	[DF23]
(3,1)	10	11	+	quotient GRS 5.4	$\mathcal{E}_{6,3}^{III}$
(3,2)	10	10	+	quotient GRS 5.2	$\mathcal{E}_{6,3}^{II} \approx \mathcal{E}_{7,3}^I$
(3,3)	11	12	+	quotient GRS 5.4	$\mathcal{E}_{7,3}^{II}$
(3,4)	12	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (b)	-
(3,5)	12	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (b)	-
(3,6)	13	13	+	special case, 5.5	$\mathcal{E}_{7,3}^{III}$
(3,6)	13	14	+	special case, 5.5	$\mathcal{E}_{8,3}^I$
(3,7)	13	14	+	quotient GRS 5.4	$\mathcal{E}_{7,3}^V$
(3,8)	13	16	+	special case, 5.5	$\mathcal{F}_{4,3}^{II} \approx \mathcal{E}_{7,3}^{VI} \approx \mathcal{E}_{8,3}^{VI}$
(3,9)	13	13	+	special case, 5.5	$\mathcal{F}_{4,3}^I \approx \mathcal{E}_{7,3}^{IV} \approx \mathcal{E}_{8,3}^{VII}$
(3,10)	14	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,11)	15	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,12)	16	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,13)	16	17	+	special case, 5.5	$\mathcal{E}_{8,3}^{II}$
(3,14)	17	20	+	quotient GRS 5.4	$\mathcal{E}_{8,3}^{IV}$
(3,15)	17	18	+	quotient GRS 5.4	$\mathcal{E}_{8,3}^{III}$
(3,16)	17	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,17)	18	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,18)	18	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,19)	19	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,20)	19	21	+	special case, 5.5	$\mathcal{E}_{8,3}^{VIII}$
(3,21)	19	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,22)	19	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,23)	19	23	+	quotient GRS 5.4	$\mathcal{E}_{8,3}^V$
(3,24)	20	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,25)	20	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,26)	20	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,27)	21	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,28)	21	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,29)	21	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,30)	22	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,31)	25	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,32)	25	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,33)	25	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,34)	25	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,35)	26	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,36)	26	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,37)	27	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,38)	27	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-

(3,39)	27	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,40)	28	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,41)	28	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,42)	28	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,43)	29	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,44)	29	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,45)	29	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,46)	30	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,47)	31	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,48)	31	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,49)	34	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(3,50)	37	-	-	isotropic elements 5.3 (a)	-
(4,1)	15	15	+	quotient GRS 5.2	$\mathcal{E}_{6,4}^I$
(4,2)	17	17	+	quotient GRS 5.2	$\mathcal{E}_{6,4}^{II}$
(4,3)	18	18	+	quotient GRS 5.2	$\mathcal{E}_{7,4}^I$
(4,4)	21	21	+	quotient GRS 5.2	$\mathcal{E}_{7,4}^{II}$
(4,5)	22	23	+	quotient GRS 5.4	$\mathcal{E}_{7,4}^{III}$
(4,6)	24	24	+	quotient GRS 5.2	$\mathcal{F}_{4,4} \cong \mathcal{E}_{7,4}^{IV} \cong \mathcal{E}_{8,4}^{VI}$
(4,7)	25	25	+	quotient GRS 5.2	$\mathcal{E}_{8,4}^I$
(4,8)	28	29	+	quotient GRS 5.4	$\mathcal{E}_{8,4}^{II}$
(4,9)	30	30	+	quotient GRS 5.2	$\mathcal{E}_{8,4}^{III}$
(4,10)	32	33	+	quotient GRS 5.4	$\mathcal{E}_{8,4}^{IV}$
(4,11)	32	36	+	quotient GRS 5.4	$\mathcal{E}_{8,4}^V$
(5,1)	25	25	+	quotient GRS 5.2	$\mathcal{E}_{6,5}$
(5,2)	30	30	+	quotient GRS 5.2	$\mathcal{E}_{7,5}^I$
(5,3)	33	33	+	quotient GRS 5.2	$\mathcal{E}_{7,5}^{II}$
(5,4)	41	41	+	quotient GRS 5.2	$\mathcal{E}_{8,5}^I$
(5,5)	46	46	+	quotient GRS 5.2	$\mathcal{E}_{8,5}^{II}$
(5,6)	49	50	+	quotient GRS 5.4	$\mathcal{E}_{8,5}^{III}$
(6,1)	36	36	+	quotient GRS 5.2	$\mathcal{E}_{6,6}$
(6,2)	46	46	+	quotient GRS 5.2	$\mathcal{E}_{7,6}$
(6,3)	63	63	+	quotient GRS 5.2	$\mathcal{E}_{8,6}^I$
(6,4)	68	68	+	quotient GRS 5.2	$\mathcal{E}_{8,6}^{II}$
(7,1)	63	63	+	quotient GRS 5.2	$\mathcal{E}_{7,7}$
(7,2)	91	91	+	quotient GRS 5.2	$\mathcal{E}_{8,7}$
(8,1)	120	120	+	quotient GRS 5.2	$\mathcal{E}_{8,8}$

Table 1: Overview for the sporadic finite Weyl groupoids

**5.1. Overview.** We use the notation, labels, and the root sets as listed in [CH15]. There are 74 sporadic finite Weyl groupoids; we write  $(r, i)$  for the Weyl groupoid of rank  $r$  with label  $i$ .

In Table 1 we first give an overview of the different cases that can occur. The entry “isotropic elements” means that the axioms of a generalized root system would imply the existence of  $\alpha \neq 0$  with  $(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$  on the elements of this reduced root set; in this case there is no corresponding generalized root system. Otherwise, there exist generalized root systems. Note that the only sporadic Weyl groupoid which does not uniquely determine a generalized root system is  $(3, 6)$ . This appears as a restriction of the root systems of types  $E_7$  and  $E_8$ .

Detailed information about quotients of exceptional classic root systems is provided in Subsection 6.3 and Table I of [DF23]. In the last column we reproduce some of this information and identify the generalized root systems with the labels given in [DF23]. Almost all of them are uniquely determined by the numbers of roots. To distinguish  $(3, 6)$  and  $(3, 9)$  we use the fact that  $(3, 9)$  is a restriction of the Weyl arrangement of type  $F_4$  (which is not the case for  $(3, 6)$ ). Similarly, in contrast to  $(3, 6)$ ,  $(3, 7)$  is not a restriction of the arrangement of type  $E_8$ .

**5.2. Uniquely determined generalized root system.** For the Weyl groupoids with labels

$$(3, 2), (4, 1), (4, 2), (4, 3), (4, 4), (4, 6), (4, 7), (4, 9), (5, 1), (5, 2), \\ (5, 3), (5, 4), (5, 5), (6, 1), (6, 2), (6, 3), (6, 4), (7, 1), (7, 2), (8, 1),$$

rank	label	$\alpha$	in $\alpha^\perp$ by Rem. 2.2	rank	label	$\alpha$	in $\alpha^\perp$ by Rem. 2.2
3	10	(0,1,3)	(1,0,2),(1,1,2),(1,2,3)	3	33	(0,1,0)	(1,0,0),(1,3,5),(2,2,3)
3	11	(1,0,0)	(0,1,0),(1,1,4),(1,2,3)	3	34	(0,1,3)	(1,0,2),(1,3,4),(2,2,5)
3	12	(1,0,0)	(0,1,0),(1,1,4),(1,2,5)	3	35	(0,1,0)	(0,2,5),(1,0,0),(1,3,8)
3	16	(0,1,0)	(1,0,0),(1,2,4),(2,3,5)	3	36	(0,1,0)	(1,0,0),(1,3,8),(2,3,7)
3	17	(1,0,0)	(0,1,0),(1,1,4),(1,2,5)	3	37	(0,1,0)	(0,2,5),(1,0,0),(1,3,8)
3	18	(0,1,3)	(1,0,1),(1,1,2),(1,2,2)	3	38	(0,1,0)	(1,0,0),(1,3,8),(2,2,5)
3	19	(0,1,0)	(1,0,0),(1,1,2),(1,2,5)	3	39	(0,1,0)	(1,0,0),(1,3,8),(2,3,9)
3	21	(0,1,3)	(0,2,1),(1,0,1),(1,1,2)	3	40	(0,1,0)	(0,2,5),(1,0,0),(1,3,8)
3	22	(0,1,3)	(1,0,1),(1,1,2),(1,2,2)	3	41	(0,1,0)	(1,0,0),(1,3,8),(2,2,5)
3	24	(0,1,0)	(0,2,5),(1,0,0),(1,1,2)	3	42	(0,1,0)	(1,0,0),(1,3,8),(2,2,5)
3	25	(0,1,0)	(1,0,0),(1,1,2),(1,2,5)	3	43	(0,1,0)	(0,2,5),(1,0,0),(1,3,8)
3	26	(0,1,3)	(1,0,2),(1,2,3),(1,3,4)	3	44	(0,1,0)	(0,2,5),(1,0,0),(1,3,8)
3	27	(0,1,0)	(0,2,5),(1,0,0),(1,1,2)	3	45	(0,1,0)	(0,2,5),(1,0,0),(1,3,8)
3	28	(1,0,0)	(0,1,0),(1,1,4),(1,2,5)	3	46	(0,1,0)	(0,2,5),(1,0,0),(1,3,8)
3	29	(0,1,0)	(1,0,0),(1,3,6),(2,2,5)	3	47	(0,1,0)	(1,0,0),(1,1,3),(1,2,8)
3	30	(0,1,3)	(1,0,2),(1,2,3),(1,3,4)	3	48	(0,1,0)	(0,2,5),(1,0,0),(1,3,8)
3	31	(0,1,0)	(0,2,5),(1,0,0),(1,3,8)	3	49	(0,1,0)	(0,2,5),(1,0,0),(1,4,9)
3	32	(0,1,0)	(1,0,0),(1,3,8),(2,3,7)	3	50	(0,1,0)	(0,2,5),(1,0,0),(2,3,7)

TABLE 2. 5.3 (a), all cases.

every root is contained in a parabolic subgroupoid of rank two with 6 roots. Thus in a generalized root system  $(R, V)$  whose reduced root set is one of those, every root has multiplier 1 by Lemma 2.12. Since the crystallographic arrangements of these root systems are restrictions of Weyl arrangements, the corresponding unique generalized root systems are quotient root systems. Note that these include the Weyl groups of types  $F_4 = (4, 6)$ ,  $E_6 = (6, 1)$ ,  $E_7 = (7, 1)$ ,  $E_8 = (8, 1)$ .

In the remaining cases, there are several situations that can occur. For each situation, we first explain an example and then list which cases can be treated in an analogous way.

### 5.3. Weyl groupoids implying isotropic elements.

(a) Consider as an example the Weyl groupoid of rank 3 with label 10. It has an object with positive roots:

$$(0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1), (0, 1, 2), (0, 1, 3), (1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1), \\ (1, 0, 2), (1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 3), (1, 1, 4), (1, 2, 3), (1, 2, 4).$$

Now consider the root  $\alpha = (0, 1, 3)$ . For  $\beta = (1, 0, 2)$  we have  $\alpha + \beta \notin R$  and  $\alpha - \beta \notin R$ . Thus  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$  by the third axiom of a generalized root system (see Remark 2.2). Similarly,  $\alpha$  has to be orthogonal to  $(1, 1, 2)$  and  $(1, 2, 3)$ . But then  $\alpha$  is orthogonal to  $\langle (1, 0, 2), (1, 1, 2), (1, 2, 3) \rangle = V$ , and in particular  $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus, there is no generalized root system such that its reduced root set is equivalent to this Weyl groupoid.

Table 2 contains a list of all other Weyl groupoids which may be discarded with the same argument. The coordinates of the roots are those of the root sets displayed as representatives in [CH15].

(b) There are two more Weyl groupoids for which this argument applies, those of rank three with labels 4 and 5. However here we have to include information on the multipliers.

**(3,4)** The Weyl groupoid of rank 3 with label 4 has an object with positive roots:

$$(0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1), (0, 1, 2), (0, 1, 3), (1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1), (1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 3), (1, 2, 3), (1, 2, 4).$$

Assume that this is the Weyl groupoid of a generalized root system  $R$ . In  $R$ , all roots except  $(1, 1, 2)$  have multiplier 1 because they are contained in a parabolic subsystem of rank 2 with 6 roots. The root  $(1, 1, 2)$  has multiplier at most 2 because it is contained in a parabolic subsystem of rank 2 with 8 roots.

Now consider the root  $\alpha = (0, 1, 0)$ . For  $\beta = (0, 1, 2)$  we have  $\alpha + \beta \notin R$  and  $\alpha - \beta \notin R$ . Thus  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$  by the third axiom of a generalized root system. Similarly,  $\alpha$  has to be orthogonal to  $(0, 1, 3)$  and  $(1, 0, 0)$ . But then  $\alpha$  is orthogonal to  $\langle (0, 1, 2), (0, 1, 3), (1, 0, 0) \rangle = V$ , and in particular  $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus this Weyl groupoid has no corresponding generalized root system.



**(3,5)** The Weyl groupoid of rank 3 with label 5 has an object with positive roots:

$$(0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1), (0, 1, 2), (1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1), (1, 0, 2), (1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 3), (1, 2, 2), (1, 2, 3).$$

Assume that this is the Weyl groupoid of a generalized root system  $R$ . The argument in 5.3 (a) does not work here, because the primitive roots do not produce a contradiction. However, we see that no root has a multiplier greater than 1 because all roots are contained in a parabolic subsystem of rank 2 with 6 roots. Hence  $R$  cannot be a generalized root system because the root  $(1, 0, 0)$  would be orthogonal to  $\langle(0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 2), (1, 1, 3)\rangle = V$ .

**5.4. Weyl groupoids with unique generalized root system.** The Weyl groupoid of rank 3 with label 1 has an object with positive roots:

$$(0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1), (0, 1, 2), (1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1), (1, 0, 2), (1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 3).$$

Assume that this is the Weyl groupoid of a generalized root system  $R$ . In  $R$ , all roots except  $(0, 0, 1)$  have multiplier 1 because they are contained in a parabolic subsystem of rank 2 with 6 roots. The root  $(0, 0, 1)$  has multiplier at most 2 because it is contained in a parabolic subsystem of rank 2 with 8 roots. However,  $(0, 0, 1)$  requires multiplier 2 because otherwise  $(0, 1, 0)$  would be orthogonal to  $\langle(0, 1, 2), (1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 3)\rangle$  which is the whole space  $V$ . Hence  $R$  is uniquely determined and is a quotient generalized root system. A similar argument applies for the Weyl groupoids with labels

$$(3, 1), (3, 3), (3, 7), (3, 14), (3, 15), (3, 23), (4, 5), (4, 8), (4, 10), (4, 11), (5, 6);$$

for these we obtain 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 4, 1, 1, 1, 4, 1 positive roots with multiplier 2 respectively.

**5.5. Particular cases. (3,6)** The Weyl groupoid of rank 3 with label 6 has an object with positive roots:

$$(0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1), (0, 1, 2), (0, 1, 3), (0, 2, 3), (1, 0, 0), \\ (1, 0, 1), (1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 3), (1, 2, 3), (1, 2, 4).$$

Assume that this is the Weyl groupoid of a generalized root system  $R$ . In  $R$ , all roots except  $(1, 1, 2)$  have multiplier 1 because they are contained in a parabolic subsystem of rank 2 with 6 roots. The root  $(1, 1, 2)$  has multiplier at most 2 because it is contained in a parabolic subsystem of rank 2 with 8 roots. There are 2 possible choices of sets of multipliers for the roots. If all multipliers are 1, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1/2 \\ 0 & 3 & -3/2 \\ -1/2 & -3/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

defines a bilinear form with respect to which  $R$  is a generalized root system. If all multipliers are 1 except for the root  $(1, 1, 2)$  which has multiplier 2, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 9/8 & 0 & -1/3 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ -1/3 & -1 & 2/3 \end{pmatrix}$$

defines a bilinear form with respect to which  $R$  is a generalized root system. Thus we obtain two different equivalence classes of generalized root systems for this Weyl groupoid.

**(3,8)** The Weyl groupoid of rank 3 with label 8 has an object with positive roots:

$$(0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1), (0, 1, 2), (1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1), (1, 0, 2), \\ (1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 3), (1, 2, 2), (1, 2, 3), (1, 2, 4).$$

Assume that this is the Weyl groupoid of a generalized root system  $R$ . In  $R$ , the roots

$$(0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 2), (1, 0, 1), (1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 3), (1, 2, 3)$$

have multiplier 1 because they are contained in a parabolic subsystem of rank 2 with 6 roots. The roots  $(0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1), (1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 2), (1, 1, 2), (1, 2, 2), (1, 2, 4)$  have multiplier at most 2 because they are contained in a parabolic subsystem of rank 2 with 8 roots. The root  $(0, 0, 1)$  requires multiplier 2 because otherwise  $(1, 0, 0)$  would be orthogonal to  $\langle(0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 2), (1, 1, 3)\rangle$  which is the whole space  $V$ . The root  $(0, 1, 1)$  requires multiplier 2 because otherwise  $(1, 0, 0)$  would be orthogonal to  $\langle(0, 1, 0), (1, 1, 3), (1, 2, 2)\rangle = V$ . The root  $(1, 1, 2)$  requires multiplier 2 because otherwise  $(1, 0, 0)$  would

be orthogonal to  $\langle(0, 1, 0), (1, 1, 3), (1, 2, 4)\rangle = V$ . There are 16 possible choices of sets of multipliers for the roots. If the multipliers are  $(2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$  (for the above ordering of the roots), then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

defines a bilinear form with respect to which  $R$  is a generalized root system. In all other cases, the axioms of a generalized root system would produce non-trivial isotropic elements. Thus we obtain one equivalence class of generalized root systems for this Weyl groupoid.

*Remark 5.1.* By Proposition 6.3(iv) in [DF23] one has

$$\mathcal{E}_{7,3}^{VI} \cong \mathcal{E}_{8,3}^{VI} \cong \mathcal{F}_{4,3}^{II}$$

and the generalized root system obtained above is equivalent to these quotients.

**(3,9)** The Weyl groupoid of rank 3 with label 9 has an object with positive roots:

$$(0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1), (0, 1, 2), (1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1), (1, 1, 1), \\ (1, 1, 2), (2, 0, 1), (2, 1, 1), (2, 1, 2), (2, 1, 3), (2, 2, 3).$$

Assume that this is the Weyl groupoid of a generalized root system  $R$ . In  $R$ , the roots

$$(0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 2), (2, 0, 1), (2, 1, 1), (2, 1, 3), (2, 2, 3)$$

have multiplier 1 because they are contained in a parabolic subsystem of rank 2 with 6 roots. In  $R$ , the roots  $(0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1), (1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1), (1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 2), (2, 1, 2)$  have multiplier at most 2 because they are contained in a parabolic subsystem of rank 2 with 8 roots. Thus every root has multiplier at most 2. There are 128 possible choices of sets of multipliers for the roots. If the multipliers are all equal to 1, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3/4 & 0 & -1/2 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ -1/2 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

defines a bilinear form with respect to which  $R$  is a generalized root system. In all other cases, the axioms of a generalized root system would produce non-trivial isotropic elements.

**(3,13)** The Weyl groupoid of rank 3 with label 13 has an object with positive roots:

$$(0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1), (0, 1, 2), (0, 1, 3), (1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0), (1, 1, 1), \\ (1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 3), (1, 2, 1), (1, 2, 2), (1, 2, 3), (1, 2, 4), (1, 3, 4), (2, 3, 4).$$

Assume that this is the Weyl groupoid of a generalized root system  $R$ . In  $R$ , the roots

$$(0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1), (0, 1, 3), (1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0), (1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 3), (1, 2, 1), (1, 2, 3), (1, 2, 4), (1, 3, 4), (2, 3, 4)$$

have multiplier 1 because they are contained in a parabolic subsystem of rank 2 with 6 roots. In  $R$ , the roots  $(0, 1, 2), (1, 1, 2), (1, 2, 2)$  have multiplier at most 2 because they are contained in a parabolic subsystem of rank 2 with 8 roots. For the root  $(0, 0, 1)$ , we have to consider all the possible multipliers  $1, \dots, 4$ . There are thus 32 possible choices of sets of multipliers for the roots. If the multipliers are  $2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1$ , then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 20/3 & -10/3 & 0 \\ -10/3 & 4 & -4/3 \\ 0 & -4/3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

defines a bilinear form with respect to which  $R$  is a generalized root system. In all other cases, the axioms of a generalized root system would produce non-trivial isotropic elements.

**(3,20)** The Weyl groupoid of rank 3 with label 20 has an object with positive roots:

$$(0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1), (0, 1, 2), (0, 1, 3), (0, 1, 4), (1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0), (1, 1, 1), \\ (1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 3), (1, 1, 4), (1, 2, 2), (1, 2, 3), (1, 2, 4), (1, 2, 5), (1, 2, 6), (1, 3, 6), (2, 3, 6).$$

Assume that this is the Weyl groupoid of a generalized root system  $R$ . Except  $(0, 0, 1)$ , all roots in  $R$  have multiplier 1 because they are contained in a parabolic subsystem of rank 2 with 6 roots. There are 4 possible choices of multipliers for the root  $(0, 0, 1)$ . If the multiplier is 3, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 12 & -6 & 0 \\ -6 & 8 & -2 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

defines a bilinear form with respect to which  $R$  is a generalized root system. In all other cases, the axioms of a generalized root system would produce non-trivial isotropic elements.

**5.6. Conclusion.** The following proposition is a consequence of the results in this section.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $(R, V)$  be a generalized root system of rank at least 3 such that  $\mathcal{W}(R)$  is the set of roots of one the 74 sporadic Weyl groupoids. Then  $R$  is equivalent to a quotient of a classic root system of type  $E_6, E_7, E_8$  or  $F_4$ .*

#### REFERENCES

- [CH15] M. Cuntz and I. Heckenberger, *Finite Weyl groupoids*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **702** (2015), 77–108.
- [CL17] M. Cuntz and S. Lentner, *A simplicial complex of Nichols algebras*, Math. Z. **285** (2017), no. 3-4, 647–683.
- [Cun11] M. Cuntz, *Crystallographic arrangements: Weyl groupoids and simplicial arrangements*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **43** (2011), no. 4, 734–744.
- [Cun21] ———, *A bound for crystallographic arrangements*, J. Algebra **574** (2021), 50–69.
- [DF23] I. Dimitrov and R. Fiorese, *Generalized root systems*, Preprint (2023), 40 pp., available at [arXiv:2308.06852v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.06852v2).
- [Hum72] J.E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 9, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1972.
- [OT92] P. Orlik and H. Terao, *Arrangements of hyperplanes*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 300, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

MICHAEL CUNTZ, INSTITUT FÜR ALGEBRA, ZAHLENTHEORIE UND DISKRETE MATHEMATIK, FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK UND PHYSIK, LEIBNIZ UNIVERSITÄT HANNOVER, WELFENGARTEN 1, D-30167 HANNOVER, GERMANY  
*Email address:* [cuntz@math.uni-hannover.de](mailto:cuntz@math.uni-hannover.de)

BERNHARD MÜHLHERR, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, ARNDTSTRASSE 2, 35392 GIESSEN, GERMANY  
*Email address:* [bernhard.muehlherr@math.uni-giessen.de](mailto:bernhard.muehlherr@math.uni-giessen.de)