

# COLLAPSE IN NONCOMMUTATIVE GEOMETRY AND SPECTRAL CONTINUITY

CARLA FARSI AND FRÉDÉRIC LATRÉMOLIÈRE

**ABSTRACT.** If two compact quantum metric spaces are close in the metric sense, then how similar are they, as noncommutative spaces? In the classical realm of Riemannian geometry, informally, if two manifolds are close in the Gromov-Hausdorff distance, and belong to a class of manifolds with bounded curvature and diameter, then the spectra of their Laplacian or Dirac operators are also close under many scenarios. Of particular interest is the case where a sequence of manifolds converges for the Gromov-Hausdorff distance to a manifold of lower dimension, and the question of the continuity, in some sense, of the spectra of geometrically relevant operators. In this paper, we initiate the study of the continuity of spectra and other properties of metric spectral triples under collapse in the noncommutative realm. As a first step in this study, we work with collapse for the spectral propinquity, an analogue of the Gromov-Hausdorff distance for spectral triples introduced by the second author, i.e. a form of metric for differential structures. Inspired by results from collapse in Riemannian geometry, we begin with the study of spectral triples which decompose, in some sense, in a vertical and a horizontal direction, and we collapse these spectral triples along the vertical direction. We obtain convergence results, and by the work of the second author, we conclude continuity results for the spectra of the Dirac operators of these spectral triples. Examples include collapse of product of spectral triples with one Abelian factor,  $U(1)$  principal bundles over Riemannian spin manifolds, and noncommutative principal bundles, including  $C^*$ -crossed-products and other noncommutative bundles.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
Acknowledgements	9
2. A collapse result	9
3. Collapsing to a point	18
4. Collapsing $C(X, \mathfrak{A})$	19
5. Collapsing Noncommutative Principal Bundles	22
5.1. Decomposition of $\mathfrak{A}$	22
5.2. Free Actions	23
5.3. The Representation of $\mathfrak{A}$ and $G$ on $\mathcal{H}_p$	24
5.4. The Hilbert Space and Spectral Triple Operators	25
5.5. The Noncommutative $G$ -Principal Bundles Convergence Result	26
5.6. Examples	31
6. Collapsing Commutative Spin $U(1)$ -Principal Bundles: the Smooth Projectable Case [3, 4]	32
6.1. Collapsing Commutative Smooth Projectable Spin $U(1)$ -Bundles [3, 4]	33
6.2. Convergence with Respect to the Spectral Propinquity	37

*Date:* April 2, 2024.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46L89, 46L30, 58B34.

*Key words and phrases.* Spectral triples, Noncommutative metric geometry, quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance, Monge-Kantorovich distance, Quantum Metric Spaces, Quantum Tori, manifold collapse, spectrum of Dirac operator, noncommutative fiber bundles.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The spectral properties of certain operators of geometric origin, such as the Laplacian and the Dirac operators, are tightly related to the geometry of the underlying manifold. This observation, at the foundation of spectral geometry, is also the starting point of Connes' approach to noncommutative geometry, where spectral triples are abstractions of Dirac-type operators [9]. Of particular interest is the study of the continuity of the spectrum of such operators with respect to the topology induced on the space of Riemannian manifolds by the Gromov-Hausdorff distance [20]. In his pioneering work [17], Fukaya proved continuity of the eigenvalues of the Laplacian for a class of manifolds with uniformly bounded diameter and bounded below sectional curvature. Later on, a lot of efforts has been carried out to find similar results about the continuity of the spectra of Dirac operators [3, 4, 48, 49, 50, 63, 65], with a focus on “dimensional collapse”, i.e. the situation where Riemannian manifolds converge to a lower dimensional manifold for the Gromov-Hausdorff distance. Moreover factorization of Dirac operator  $KK$ -classes have been investigated in various noncommutative settings for example in [16], [23] [10], [11], [12], [72]. In this paper, we initiate the study of collapsing phenomena in noncommutative geometry, using the analogue of the Gromov-Hausdorff distance for spectral triples, introduced by the second author under the name of the spectral propinquity [44, 28].

Spectral triples, introduced by Connes in 1985, have emerged as the preferred encoding for geometric information over noncommutative algebras. They are unbounded  $K$ -cycles for  $K$ -homology; in other words, they are abstractions of first order pseudo-elliptic operators.

**Definition 1.1** ([9]). A *spectral triple*  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  is a triple consisting of a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  which is also a left  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module, and a self-adjoint operator defined on a dense subspace  $\text{dom}(\mathcal{D})$  of  $\mathcal{H}$ , such that:

$$(1.1) \quad \mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{D}} := \{a \in \mathfrak{A} : \text{adom}(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \text{dom}(\mathcal{D}), [\mathcal{D}, a] \text{ bounded}\}$$

is a dense  $*$ -algebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and  $(\mathcal{D} + i)^{-1}$  is a compact operator.

The operator  $\mathcal{D}$  is called the *Dirac operator* of the spectral triple  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ .

Motivated by the structure of limits for manifolds in the sense of the Gromov-Hausdorff distance, when the limit is also smooth, as described by Fukaya [17], we will work in this paper with spectral triples  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  with a particular structure, akin to a bundle over a base space. Informally, we will assume given a  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , with  $1 \in \mathfrak{B}$ , with  $\mathfrak{B}$  our “base space” and  $\mathfrak{A}$  the analogue of the algebra of continuous sections of some bundle over the noncommutative space  $\mathfrak{B}$ . The noncommutative analogue of the projection in a bundle is given here by a conditional expectation from  $\mathfrak{A}$  onto  $\mathfrak{B}$ . We assume given two self-adjoint operators  $\mathcal{D}_h$  and  $\mathcal{D}_v$  — respectively seen as the “horizontal” and the “vertical component” of  $\mathcal{D}$ , and defined on the domain of  $\mathcal{D}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ , such that  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_v + \mathcal{D}_h$ . We will require that  $\mathcal{D}_v$  commutes with  $\mathfrak{B}$ , though not with  $\mathcal{D}_h$  in general. If  $p$  is the orthonormal projection on the kernel  $\ker \mathcal{D}_v$  of  $\mathcal{D}_v$ , we also ask that  $(\mathfrak{B}, \ker \mathcal{D}_v, p\mathcal{D}_h p)$  is a spectral triple as well. Under some technical conditions listed in Theorem (2.5), we will study the “collapse” of the spectral triple  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  to the spectral

triple  $(\mathfrak{B}, \ker D_v, pD_h p)$ , when we “shrink” the fibers, i.e. rescale  $D_v$ . To this end, we will employ the *spectral propinquity*, a metric defined by the second author on the space of metric spectral triples.

The spectral propinquity is a recent development in noncommutative metric geometry. Noncommutative metric geometry is a framework developed over two decades, with its roots in the observation by Connes [8] that a spectral triple  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$  defines an extended pseudo-metric on the state space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , by setting, for any two  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ :

$$(1.2) \quad \text{mk}_D(\varphi, \psi) := \sup \{ |\varphi(a) - \psi(a)| : a \in \mathfrak{A}_D, L_D(a) \leq 1 \}$$

where

$$(1.3) \quad L_D : a \in \mathfrak{A}_D \longmapsto \| [D, a] \|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

where we use the following notation, here and throughout this entire paper.

**Notation 1.2.** If  $E$  is a normed vector space, then its norm is denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_E$  by default. Moreover, if  $E$  and  $F$  are both normed vector spaces, and  $T : E \rightarrow F$  is a bounded linear operator, then the operator norm of  $T$  is denoted by  $\| \| T \| \|_E^F$ ; if  $E = F$  then we simply write  $\| \| T \| \|_E$ .

The seminorm  $L_D$  is akin to a Lipschitz seminorm, and thus, Connes’ distance  $\text{mk}_D$  can be seen as a generalization of the Monge-Kantorovich metric, introduced by Kantorovich [25, 26] over any metric space. With this in mind, the natural question becomes: under what condition is  $\text{mk}_D$  a metric for the weak\* topology on the state space of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , just as the classical Monge-Kantorovich metric is? This leads us to Rieffel’s pioneering work in [55, 56]. The following definition, used by the second author [30] in his work on convergence of spectral triples, captures the core properties that a Lipschitz seminorm possess and which enables us to derive a noncommutative theory of Gromov-Hausdorff convergence. We only will need quantum compact metric spaces which satisfy the usual form of the Leibniz inequality, and refer to [34] for a more general definition.

**Definition 1.3** ([30, 33, 34]). A *quantum compact metric space*  $(\mathfrak{A}, L)$  is an ordered pair of a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and a seminorm  $L$  defined on a dense subspace  $\text{dom}(L)$  of the space  $\mathfrak{sa}(\mathfrak{A}) := \{a \in \mathfrak{A} : a = a^*\}$  of self-adjoint elements of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , such that:

- (1)  $\{a \in \text{dom}(L) : L(a) = 0\} = \mathbb{R}1$ ,
- (2) the Monge-Kantorovich metric  $\text{mk}_L$  defined on the state space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  by

$$(1.4) \quad \forall \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}) \quad \text{mk}_L(\varphi, \psi) := \sup \{ |\varphi(a) - \psi(a)| : a \in \text{dom}(L), L(a) \leq 1 \}$$

metrizes the weak\* topology,

- (3) for all  $a, b \in \text{dom}(L)$ , the Jordan product  $a \circ b := \frac{ab+ba}{2}$  and the Lie product  $\{a, b\} := \frac{ab-ba}{2i}$  both lie in  $\text{dom}(L)$ , and

$$\max \{ L(a \circ b), L(\{a, b\}) \} \leq L(a) \|b\|_{\mathfrak{A}} + \|a\|_{\mathfrak{A}} L(b),$$

- (4)  $\{a \in \text{dom}(L) : L(a) \leq 1\}$  is closed in  $\mathfrak{sa}(\mathfrak{A})$ .

**Convention 1.4.** Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, L)$  be a quantum compact metric space. We assign  $L(a) := \infty$  whenever  $a \notin \text{dom}(L)$ , with the algebraic conventions typically in use in measure theory. Thus  $\text{dom}(L) = \{a \in \mathfrak{sa}(\mathfrak{A}) : L(a) \leq 1\}$ . With this extension,  $L$  is a lower semicontinuous function over  $\mathfrak{sa}(\mathfrak{A})$ .

In particular, we will focus in this paper on quantum compact metric spaces constructed from spectral triples.

**Definition 1.5.** A spectral triple  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$  is *metric* when  $(\mathfrak{A}, L_D)$  is a quantum compact metric space, where  $L_D$  is defined in Equation (1.3).

We remark that a spectral triple is metric if, and only if, Connes' metric given in Equation (1.2), induces the weak\* topology, as all other properties of a quantum compact metric space are satisfied automatically.

Rieffel's motivation for the introduction of quantum compact metric spaces was the construction in [58] of an analogue of the Gromov-Hausdorff distance for noncommutative geometry, with an eye on applications to mathematical physics, where various approximations of physical models are constructed as informal limits of finite dimensional models. As this nascent research area progressed, and the continuity, with respect to Rieffel's metric, of various structures associated with quantum compact metric spaces, such as modules or group actions, became a point of interest, it became important to discover a noncommutative version of the Gromov-Hausdorff distance adapted to the category of  $C^*$ -algebra, and even further, to spectral triples. The second author thus developed the propinquity on the class of quantum compact metric spaces, and a stronger metric, the spectral propinquity, on the class of metric spectral triples.

The propinquity is indeed a complete metric, up to the appropriate notion of isomorphism for quantum compact metric spaces, given by full quantum isometries.

**Definition 1.6.** A *quantum isometry*  $\pi : (\mathfrak{A}, L_{\mathfrak{A}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{B}, L_{\mathfrak{B}})$  between two quantum compact metric spaces  $(\mathfrak{A}, L_{\mathfrak{A}})$  and  $(\mathfrak{B}, L_{\mathfrak{B}})$  is a \*-epimorphism such that, for all  $b \in \text{dom}(L_{\mathfrak{B}})$ :

$$L_{\mathfrak{B}}(b) = \inf L_{\mathfrak{A}}(\pi^{-1}(\{b\})).$$

A quantum isometry which is a \*-isomorphism, and whose inverse is also a quantum isometry, is called a *full quantum isometry*. Specifically,  $\pi : (\mathfrak{A}, L_{\mathfrak{A}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{B}, L_{\mathfrak{B}})$  is a full quantum isometry if, and only if, it is a \*-isomorphism from  $\mathfrak{A}$  onto  $\mathfrak{B}$  such that  $L_{\mathfrak{B}} \circ \pi = L_{\mathfrak{A}}$  over  $\text{sa}(\mathfrak{A})$ .

The notion of quantum isometry is motivated by McShane's extension theorem for real-valued Lipschitz functions [51]. If  $\pi : (\mathfrak{A}, L_{\mathfrak{A}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{B}, L_{\mathfrak{B}})$  is a quantum isometry, then its dual map

$$\pi^* : \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{B}) \mapsto \varphi \circ \pi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$$

is indeed, an isometry from  $(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{B}), \text{mk}_{L_{\mathfrak{B}}})$  into  $(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}), \text{mk}_{L_{\mathfrak{A}}})$ .

Following the ideas of Edwards [13], Gromov [19] and Rieffel [58], we are led to introducing the following notion of a "isometric embedding" of two quantum compact metric spaces into a third one in noncommutative geometry.

**Definition 1.7.** Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, L_{\mathfrak{A}})$  and  $(\mathfrak{B}, L_{\mathfrak{B}})$  be two quantum compact metric spaces. A *tunnel*  $\tau := (\mathfrak{D}, L_{\mathfrak{D}}, \pi_{\mathfrak{A}}, \pi_{\mathfrak{B}})$  from  $\text{dom}(\tau) := (\mathfrak{A}, L_{\mathfrak{A}})$  to  $\text{codom}(\tau) := (\mathfrak{B}, L_{\mathfrak{B}})$  is given by a quantum compact metric space  $(\mathfrak{D}, L_{\mathfrak{D}})$ , and two quantum isometries  $\pi_{\mathfrak{A}} : (\mathfrak{D}, L_{\mathfrak{D}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{A}, L_{\mathfrak{A}})$  and  $\pi_{\mathfrak{B}} : (\mathfrak{D}, L_{\mathfrak{D}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{B}, L_{\mathfrak{B}})$ .

A tunnel enables us to quantify how far two quantum compact metric spaces are from each others, as follows.

**Notation 1.8.** The Hausdorff distance induced on the space of closed subsets of a compact metric space  $(X, d)$  is denoted by  $\text{Haus}[d]$ .

**Definition 1.9.** The *extent*  $\chi(\tau)$  of a tunnel  $\tau := (\mathfrak{D}, L_{\mathfrak{D}}, \pi_{\mathfrak{A}}, \pi_{\mathfrak{B}})$  from  $(\mathfrak{A}, L_{\mathfrak{A}})$  to  $(\mathfrak{B}, L_{\mathfrak{B}})$  is the number:

$$\chi(\tau) := \max \left\{ \text{Haus}[\text{mk}_{L_{\mathfrak{D}}}] \left( \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{D}), \pi_{\mathfrak{A}}^*(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})) \right), \text{Haus}[\text{mk}_{L_{\mathfrak{D}}}] \left( \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{D}), \pi_{\mathfrak{B}}^*(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{B})) \right) \right\}$$

The *Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity* is a complete distance, up to full quantum isometry, on the class of quantum compact metric spaces, defined as follows, and our starting point in defining a distance between metric spectral triples.

**Definition 1.10.** The *propinquity* between two quantum compact metric spaces  $(\mathfrak{A}, L_{\mathfrak{A}})$  and  $(\mathfrak{B}, L_{\mathfrak{B}})$  is the real number:

$$\Lambda^*((\mathfrak{A}, L_{\mathfrak{A}}), (\mathfrak{B}, L_{\mathfrak{B}})) := \inf\{\chi(\tau) : \tau \text{ is a tunnel from } (\mathfrak{A}, L_{\mathfrak{A}}) \text{ to } (\mathfrak{B}, L_{\mathfrak{B}})\}.$$

We refer to [30, 36, 33, 34] for some basic references on this metric. We record that it induces the same topology as the Gromov-Hausdorff distance on the class of classical metric spaces.

Spectral triples include more information than their Connes' metric, and we now wish to strengthen the propinquity so that distance 0 means unitary equivalence between spectral triples, in the following sense:

**Definition 1.11.** Two spectral triples  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$  and  $(\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{S})$  are *unitarily equivalent* when there exists a unitary operator  $U: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$  such that

$$U \operatorname{dom}(D) = \operatorname{dom}(\mathcal{S}) \text{ and } U^* \mathcal{S} U = D$$

while  $\operatorname{Ad}U$  restricts to a \*-isomorphism from  $\mathfrak{A}$  onto  $\mathfrak{B}$  (seen as  $C^*$ -algebras of operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$ ).

To this end, we extend the propinquity to the class of *metric  $C^*$ -correspondences*, as follows.

**Definition 1.12.** A  *$C^*$ -correspondence*  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ , where  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  are two unital  $C^*$ -algebras, is a right Hilbert  $\mathfrak{B}$ -module, together with a unital \*-morphism from  $\mathfrak{A}$  to the  $C^*$ -algebra of adjointable,  $\mathfrak{B}$ -linear operators over  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Definition 1.13.** A *metrical  $C^*$ -correspondence*  $(\mathcal{M}, \operatorname{DN}, \mathfrak{A}, L_{\mathfrak{A}}, \mathfrak{B}, L_{\mathfrak{B}})$  is a  $C^*$ -correspondence  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ , two quantum compact metric spaces  $(\mathfrak{A}, L_{\mathfrak{A}})$  and  $(\mathfrak{B}, L_{\mathfrak{B}})$ , and a norm  $\operatorname{DN}$  on a dense  $\mathbb{C}$ -subspace  $\operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{DN})$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ , such that:

- (1)  $\{\omega \in \operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{DN}) : \operatorname{DN}(\omega) \leq 1\}$  is compact in  $\mathcal{M}$ ,
- (2)  $\operatorname{DN}(\omega) \geq \|\omega\|_{\mathcal{M}}$  for all  $\omega \in \operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{DN})$ ,
- (3) for all  $a \in \operatorname{dom}(L_{\mathfrak{A}})$ , and for all  $\omega \in \operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{DN})$ , we have  $a\omega \in \operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{DN})$ , and

$$\operatorname{DN}(a\omega) \leq (\|a\|_{\mathfrak{A}} + L_{\mathfrak{A}}(a)) \operatorname{DN}(\omega),$$

- (4) for all  $\omega, \eta \in \operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{DN})$ , we have  $\langle \omega, \eta \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}} \in \operatorname{dom}(L_{\mathfrak{B}})$ , and

$$L_{\mathfrak{A}}(\langle \omega, \eta \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}}) \leq 2 \operatorname{DN}(\omega) \operatorname{DN}(\eta).$$

For our purpose, given a metric spectral triple  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ , we obtain a metrical  $C^*$ -correspondence as follows [44, Theorem 2.7]: we define

$$\operatorname{DN} : \omega \in \operatorname{dom}(D) \mapsto \|\omega\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|D\omega\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

as the graph norm of the Dirac operator  $D$ . Then

$$(\mathcal{H}, \operatorname{DN}, \mathfrak{A}, L_D, \mathbb{C}, 0)$$

is a metrical  $C^*$ -correspondence, denoted by  $\operatorname{metCor}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ , where  $L_D$  is defined by Equation (1.3).

We now extend the propinquity to the class of metrical  $C^*$ -correspondences. We note in passing that, while metric spectral triples give rise to rather specific metrical  $C^*$ -correspondences defined using Hilbert spaces, rather than more general Hilbert modules. However, the construction of a metric over the metrical  $C^*$ -correspondences arising from metric spectral triples require our more general concept above, in order to obtain a metric (specifically, to establish the triangle inequality). Now, we introduce quantum isometries between metrical  $C^*$ -correspondences.

**Definition 1.14.** Let  $\mathbb{M} := (\mathcal{M}, \text{DN}, \mathfrak{A}, L_{\mathfrak{A}}, \mathfrak{B}, L_{\mathfrak{B}})$  and  $\mathbb{P} := (\mathcal{P}, \text{TN}, \mathfrak{D}, L_{\mathfrak{D}}, \mathfrak{E}, L_{\mathfrak{E}})$  be two metrical  $C^*$ -correspondences. A *quantum isometry*  $(\Pi, \pi, \theta)$  from  $\mathbb{M}$  to  $\mathbb{P}$  is given by two quantum isometries  $\pi : (\mathfrak{A}, L_{\mathfrak{A}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{D}, L_{\mathfrak{D}})$  and  $\theta : (\mathfrak{B}, L_{\mathfrak{B}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{E}, L_{\mathfrak{E}})$ , as well as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map  $\Pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ , such that:

- (1)  $\Pi(a\omega) = \pi(a)\Pi(\omega)$  for all  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}$ ,
- (2)  $\Pi(\omega b) = \Pi(\omega)\theta(b)$  for all  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $b \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,
- (3)  $\theta(\langle \omega, \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}) = \Pi(\langle \omega, \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{M}})$  for all  $\omega, \eta \in \mathcal{M}$ ,
- (4)  $\text{TN}(\omega) = \inf \text{DN}(\Pi^{-1}(\{\omega\}))$  for all  $\omega \in \text{dom}(\text{TN})$ .

The definition of a distance between metrical  $C^*$ -correspondences, called the *metrical propinquity*, relies on a notion of isometric embedding called a tunnel, which is defined as follows.

**Definition 1.15** ([44, Definition 2.19]). Let  $\mathbb{M}_1$  and  $\mathbb{M}_2$  be two metrical  $C^*$ -correspondences. A *(metrical) tunnel*  $\tau = (\mathbb{J}, \Pi_1, \Pi_2)$  from  $\mathbb{M}_1$  to  $\mathbb{M}_2$  is a triple given by a metrical  $C^*$ -correspondence  $\mathbb{J}$ , and for each  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ , a metrical quantum isometry  $\Pi_j : \mathbb{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_j$ .

We now proceed by defining the extent of a metrical tunnel; this only involves our previous notion of extent of a tunnel between quantum compact metric spaces.

**Definition 1.16** ([44, Definition 2.21]). Let  $\mathbb{M}_j = (\mathcal{M}_j, \text{DN}_j, \mathfrak{A}_j, L_j, \mathfrak{B}_j, S_j)$  be a metrical  $C^*$ -correspondence, for each  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . Let  $\tau = (\mathbb{P}, (\Pi_1, \pi_1, \theta_1), (\Pi_2, \pi_2, \theta_2))$  be a metrical tunnel from  $\mathbb{M}_1$  to  $\mathbb{M}_2$ , with  $\mathbb{P} = (\mathcal{P}, \text{TN}, \mathfrak{D}, L_{\mathfrak{D}}, \mathfrak{E}, L_{\mathfrak{E}})$ .

The *extent*  $\chi(\tau)$  of the metrical tunnel  $\tau$  is

$$\chi(\tau) := \max\{\chi(\mathfrak{D}, L_{\mathfrak{D}}, \pi_1, \pi_2), \chi(\mathfrak{E}, L_{\mathfrak{E}}, \theta_1, \theta_2)\}.$$

Given two metric spectral triples, we can thus either take the (dual) propinquity  $\Lambda^*$  between their underlying quantum compact metric spaces, or take the metrical propinquity [39, 43] denoted by  $\Lambda^{\text{met}}$  between the metrical  $C^*$ -correspondence they define, which is defined as the infimum of the extent of every possible metrical tunnels between them. (See Figure (1))

However, the metrical propinquity does not lead to the desired property that distance zero between metric spectral triples implies unitary equivalence of the spectral triples. To obtain a metric with the desired property, which we call the spectral propinquity, we involve the second author's work on the geometry of quantum dynamics [40, 41, 44]. We now recall the construction of the spectral propinquity. We follow the construction in [28], rather than the (equivalent) original construction in [44], which provides a more conceptual approach.

The idea of the spectral propinquity is to add, to the metrical propinquity, a measure of how far apart are partial orbits for the natural action of  $[0, \infty)$  by unitaries given by exponentiating the Dirac operators of the spectral triples. We thus will involve taking, for any choice of tunnel, the Hausdorff distance between certain sets related to these orbits, for an appropriate metric. Our construction thus begins with an extension of the idea of the Monge-Kantorovich metric to metrical  $C^*$ -correspondences.




FIGURE 1. A metrical tunnel between  $C^*$ -correspondences associated to metric spectral triples. The extent of the above metrical tunnel is the max of the extents of the top and bottom tunnels. All the maps in the picture are quantum isometries.

**Definition 1.17** ([28, Definition 2.1]). Let  $(\mathcal{M}, DN, \mathfrak{A}, L_{\mathfrak{A}}, \mathfrak{B}, L_{\mathfrak{B}})$  be a metrical  $C^*$ -correspondence. For any two continuous  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functionals  $\varphi, \psi$  over  $\mathcal{M}$ , we define:

$$\text{mk}_{DN}(\varphi, \psi) := \sup \{ |\varphi(\omega) - \psi(\omega)| : \omega \in \text{dom}(DN), DN(\omega) \leq 1 \}.$$

With the notation of Definition (1.17),  $\text{mk}_{DN}$  is a metric on the topological dual  $\mathcal{M}^*$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  (seen as a Banach space over  $\mathbb{C}$ ). Since the closed unit ball of the D-norm  $DN$  is compact in the module norm, a standard argument shows that the metric  $\text{mk}_{DN}$  induces the weak\* topology on bounded subsets of  $\mathcal{M}^*$ .

We then naturally extend the metric in Definition (1.17) to arbitrary families of linear functionals.

**Definition 1.18** ([28, Definition 2.3]). Let  $(\mathcal{M}, TN, \mathfrak{A}, L_{\mathfrak{A}}, \mathfrak{B}, L_{\mathfrak{B}})$  be a metrical  $C^*$ -correspondence. Let  $J$  be a nonempty set. For any two families  $(\varphi_j)_{j \in J}, (\psi_j)_{j \in J} \in (\mathcal{M}^*)^J$  of continuous  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functionals of  $\mathcal{M}$ , we set:

$$\text{MK}_{TN}((\varphi_j)_{j \in J}, (\psi_j)_{j \in J}) := \sup \{ \text{mk}_{TN}(\varphi_j, \psi_j) : j \in J \}.$$

Our construction calls for a sort of analogue of the state space, but for metrical  $C^*$ -correspondences. The following choice is what we used to build the spectral propinquity.

**Definition 1.19** ([44, Notation 3.9], [28, Definition 2.4]). If  $\mathbb{M} := (\mathcal{M}, TN, \mathfrak{A}, L_{\mathfrak{A}}, \mathfrak{B}, L_{\mathfrak{B}})$  is a metrical  $C^*$ -correspondence, then a continuous linear functional  $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}^*$  is a *pseudo-state* of  $\mathbb{M}$  when there exist  $\mu \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{B})$  and  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $TN(\omega) \leq 1$  such that  $\varphi$  is given by:

$$\varphi : \xi \in \mathcal{M} \longmapsto \mu(\langle \omega, \xi \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}).$$

The set of all pseudo-states of  $\mathbb{M}$  is denoted by  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{M})$ .

We now have the tools to define how far apart two families of operators on two different metrical  $C^*$ -correspondences are, according to a given tunnel. We call this quantity the *separation between these two families*, according to the chosen tunnel; we also introduce the *dispersion*, which accounts for both the separation and the extent of the tunnel. If  $\mathbb{M} := (\mathcal{M}, TN, \mathfrak{A}, L_{\mathfrak{A}}, \mathfrak{B}, L_{\mathfrak{B}})$  is a metrical  $C^*$ -correspondence, we will denote by  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{M})$  the  $C^*$ -algebra of all  $\mathfrak{B}$ -linear, adjointable operators on the right Hilbert  $\mathfrak{B}$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Definition 1.20** ([28, Definition 2.7]). Let  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{B}$  be two metrical  $C^*$ -correspondences. Let  $\tau := (\mathbb{P}, (\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}, \pi_{\mathbb{A}}, \theta_{\mathbb{A}}), (\Pi_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi_{\mathbb{B}}, \theta_{\mathbb{B}}))$  be a metrical tunnel from  $\mathbb{A}$  to  $\mathbb{B}$ . Let  $\text{TN}$  be the  $D$ -norm of the metrical  $C^*$ -correspondence  $\mathbb{P}$ .

Let  $J$  be a nonempty set. If  $A := (a_j)_{j \in J}$  is a family of operators in  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A})$ , and  $B := (b_j)_{j \in J}$  is a family of operators in  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{B})$ , then we define the *separation* of  $A$  and  $B$  according to  $\tau$  by:

$$\text{sep}(A, B|\tau) := \text{Haus}[\text{MK}_{\text{TN}}] \left( \left\{ (\varphi \circ a_j \circ \Pi_{\mathbb{A}})_{j \in J} : \varphi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{A}) \right\}, \left\{ (\psi \circ b_j \circ \Pi_{\mathbb{B}})_{j \in J} : \psi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{B}) \right\} \right).$$

The *dispersion* of  $A$  and  $B$  according to  $\tau$  is

$$\text{dis}(A, B|\tau) := \max\{\chi(\tau), \text{sep}(A, B|\tau)\}.$$

In particular, if  $a$  and  $a$  are two bounded adjointable operators on two metrical  $C^*$ -correspondences  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{B}$ , then we can define a distance between them, called the *operational propinquity*  $\Lambda^{\text{op}}(a, a)$ , as

$$\Lambda^{\text{op}}(a, b) := \inf\{\text{dis}((a), (b)|\tau) : \tau \text{ metrical tunnel from } \mathbb{A} \text{ to } \mathbb{B}\}.$$

We proved in [28] that  $\Lambda^{\text{op}}(a, b) = 0$  if, and only if, there exists a full quantum isometry from  $\mathbb{A}$  onto  $\mathbb{B}$  which intertwines  $a$  and  $b$ . This metric is really defined between families of operators, but we will focus on the spectral propinquity here.

We now use [28, Theorem 3.5] to provide an equivalent formulation of the spectral propinquity, using the dispersion between certain families of exponential of the Dirac operators.

**Definition 1.21** ([44, Definition 4.2],[28, Theorem 3.5]). The *spectral propinquity* between two metric spectral triples  $(\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{D}_1)$  and  $(\mathfrak{A}_2, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{D}_2)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^{\text{spec}}((\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{D}_1), (\mathfrak{A}_2, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{D}_2)) := \\ \inf \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \varepsilon > 0 : \right. \\ \quad \exists \tau \text{ tunnel from } \text{metCor}(\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{D}_1) \text{ to } \text{metCor}(\mathfrak{A}_2, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{D}_2) \text{ such that} \\ \quad \left. \text{dis} \left( (\exp(it\mathcal{D}_1))_{0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}, (\exp(it\mathcal{D}_2))_{0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \middle| \tau \right) < \varepsilon \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The spectral propinquity enjoys some very important properties:

- (1)  $\Lambda^{\text{spec}}((\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}), (\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{S})) = 0$  if the two metric spectral triples  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  and  $(\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{S})$  are unitarily equivalent [44].
- (2) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda^{\text{spec}}((\mathfrak{A}_n, \mathcal{H}_n, \mathcal{D}_n), (\mathfrak{A}_\infty, \mathcal{H}_\infty, \mathcal{D}_\infty)) = 0$$

where  $(\mathfrak{A}_n, \mathcal{H}_n, \mathcal{D}_n)$  is a metric spectral triple for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , then for all bounded continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , we also have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda^{\text{op}}(f(\mathcal{D}_n), f(\mathcal{D})) = 0,$$

and

$$\text{Sp}(\mathcal{D}_\infty) = \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n : (\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ convergent with } \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \lambda_n \in \text{Sp}(\mathcal{D}_n) \right\},$$

where  $\text{Sp}(A)$  is the spectrum of the operator  $A$ .



Our paper begins with our main result, Theorem (2.5), where we describe what we mean by a decomposition of a spectral triple into a horizontal and a vertical component, for our purpose, then collapse the vertical component, and prove convergence. We then turn to a first class of examples: products of spectral triples, with one of them over an Abelian  $C^*$ -algebra. We see that such tensor products are always metric, and indeed, collapse occurred as expected; moreover we see that a special case of this is the collapse of any spectral triple to a point — interestingly, we obtain a nontrivial limit where the spectral triple acts on the kernel of the Dirac operator, so the dimension of the space of Harmonic spinors is sort of the “trace” of the original spectral triple after collapse. In a third section, we apply our work to the spectral triples constructed in [69] over noncommutative  $G$ -principal fiber bundles. This very interesting class of examples, which are certainly no longer products in general, include  $C^*$ -crossed-products, and also classical and nontrivial examples like homogeneous spaces of compact Lie groups and  $U(1)$ -principal bundles.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The second author is very thankful for the very valuable help provided by K. Schwieger and S. Wagner about their work in [66, 67, 68, 69]. This work was partially supported by the Simons Foundation (Simons Foundation collaboration grant #523991).

#### 2. A COLLAPSE RESULT

We establish in this section a general result about collapse in the context described in our introduction, which will be our main theorem. Toward this end, we will use two lemmas in the proof our main result, which we now present. First, we look at the restriction of the 1-parameter group induced by a self-adjoint operator which is itself the sum of two self-adjoint operators, to the kernel of one of the terms. This lemma is helpful since we want to relate the 1-parameter group generated by the spectral triples on the larger algebra to its collapsed limit, whose spectral triple acts on the kernel of the vertical component.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}$  be two self-adjoint operators such that  $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S}$  is also a well-defined self-adjoint operator. Let  $p$  be the orthogonal projection onto the kernel  $\ker \mathcal{S}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ . If  $p$  commutes with  $\mathcal{D}$ , then for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ :*

$$\exp(it(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S}))p = \exp(itp\mathcal{D}p).$$

*Proof.* First, note that  $(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S})p = \mathcal{D}p$ , so  $0 \in \text{Sp}(\mathcal{D}p)$  if and only if  $0 \in \text{Sp}((\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S})p)$ .

Fix  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S}$  is self-adjoint, the operator  $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S} + z$  is invertible, with bounded inverse, and therefore:

$$(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S} + z)(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S} + z)^{-1} = 1 \text{ so } (\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S} + z)(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S} + z)^{-1}p = p.$$

Since  $p$  commutes with both  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$ , it commutes with  $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S}$  and thus, with  $(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S} + z)^{-1}$ . We thus have

$$p = (\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S} + z)p(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S} + z)^{-1} = (\mathcal{D} + z)p(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S} + z)^{-1}p$$

and since  $\mathcal{D}$  is self-adjoint,  $\mathcal{D} + z$  is again invertible, so

$$(2.1) \quad (\mathcal{D} + z)^{-1}p = p(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S} + z)^{-1}p = (\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S} + z)^{-1}p.$$

Therefore, the resolvent of  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S}$  agree on  $\ker \mathcal{S}$  over  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ . By continuity, the restrictions of the resolvent of  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S}$  to the kernel of  $\mathcal{S}$  agree on the intersection of the resolvent sets of  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S}$ .

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be any bounded Borel subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since both  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S}$  are self-adjoint with compact resolvent, their spectra are discrete subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and thus we can find a closed simple curve  $C_A$  such that  $A$  lies inside  $C_A$  while  $C_A$  is entirely within the intersection of the resolvent set of  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$ . Denote the spectral measure of  $\mathcal{D}$  by  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D}}$  and the spectral measure of  $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S}$  by  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S}}$ . We then get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D}}(A)p &= \int_{C_A} (\mathcal{D} + z)^{-1} dz p \\ &= \int_{C_A} (\mathcal{D} + z)^{-1} p dz \\ &= \int_{C_A} \underbrace{(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S} + z)^{-1} p}_{\text{by Eqn (2.1)}} dz \\ &= \int_{C_A} (\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S} + z)^{-1} dz p \\ &= \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S}}(A)p. \end{aligned}$$

By  $\sigma$ -additivity, the spectral measures of  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S}$  thus satisfy  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D}}(\cdot)p = \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S}}(\cdot)p$ .

Therefore, by using the continuous functional calculus, as the following integrals are limits of Riemann sums since  $\exp(it\cdot)$  is continuous, we obtain, when  $R([a, b])$  is meant for the net of subdivisions of  $[a, b]$  with the usual ordering,

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(it(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S}))p &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(its) d\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S}}(s) \right) p \\ &= \left( \lim_{x, y \rightarrow -\infty} \int_{-y}^x \exp(its) d\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S}}(s) \right) p \\ &= \lim_{x, y \rightarrow -\infty} \lim_{s \in \mathcal{R}([-y, x])} \sum_{j=1}^{\#s} \exp(its_j) \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S}}([s_j, s_{j+1}]) p \\ &= \lim_{x, y \rightarrow -\infty} \lim_{s \in \mathcal{R}([-y, x])} \sum_{j=1}^{\#s} \exp(its_j) \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D}}([s_j, s_{j+1}]) p \\ &= \left( \lim_{x, y \rightarrow -\infty} \int_{-y}^x \exp(its) d\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D}}(s) \right) p \\ &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(its) d\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D}}(s) \right) p \\ &= \exp(it\mathcal{D})p, \end{aligned}$$

as needed.  $\square$

Our second lemma shows that, if 0 is an isolated value in the spectrum of a self-adjoint operator, we can use Fourier analysis to get an estimate on the distance between any vector in the domain of the operator and its projection on the kernel of the operator, in terms of the graph norm of the operator and some well-chosen function.

**Notation 2.2.** A function on  $\mathbb{R}$  is smooth when it is infinitely differentiable. We denote by  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  the space of Schwartz functions, i.e.  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  exactly when  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth function such that for all  $k, n$ :

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} |1 + x^n| \frac{d^k f}{dx^k} = 0.$$

Of course,  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \bigcap_{p \geq 1} L^p(\mathbb{R})$ .

If  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , then we denote the Fourier transform of  $f$  as

$$\widehat{f}: t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(s) \exp(-2i\pi ts) ds.$$

Note that  $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ . With this particular convention, we get

$$f: t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(s) \exp(2i\pi ts) ds.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a self-adjoint operator over  $\mathcal{H}$ , such that for some  $\delta > 0$ , we have  $\{0\} = \text{Sp}(\mathcal{D}) \cap (-\delta, \delta)$ . Let  $p$  be the orthonormal projection on the kernel  $\ker \mathcal{D}$  of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Set  $\text{DN}: \xi \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{D}) \mapsto \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|\mathcal{D}\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ . If  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth function with  $f(0) = 1$ , supported on  $(-\delta, \delta)$ , then for all  $\xi \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{D})$ :*

$$\|\xi - p\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 2\text{DN}(\xi) \|f'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{D}) \cap (-\delta, \delta) = \{0\}$ . Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function supported on  $(-\delta, \delta)$  with  $f(0) = 1$  — in particular,  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus, by the continuous functional calculus:

$$p = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(t) \exp(2i\pi t\mathcal{D}) dt.$$

Let now  $\xi \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{D})$ . Since  $f(0) = 1$ , we have  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(t) dt = f(0) = 1$ .

To be exceedingly formal, let us set

$$h: t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{\|\xi - \exp(2i\pi t\mathcal{D})\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}}}{2\pi|t|} & \text{if } t \neq 0, \\ \|\mathcal{D}\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}} & \text{if } t = 0. \end{cases}$$

We then compute:

$$\begin{aligned} (2.2) \quad \|\xi - p\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(t) (\xi - \exp(2i\pi t\mathcal{D})\xi) dt \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(t)| 2\pi |t| h(t) dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}'(t)| h(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now,  $\|\xi - \exp(2i\pi t\mathcal{D})\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 2\|\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 2\text{DN}(\xi)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . So:

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{|t|>1} \frac{\|\xi - \exp(2i\pi t\mathcal{D})\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{4\pi^2 t^2} dt \leq \frac{1}{\pi^2} \text{DN}(\xi)^2 \cdot 2 \leq \text{DN}(\xi)^2.$$

On the other hand, for all  $t > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.4) \quad \|\exp(2i\pi t\mathcal{D})\xi - \xi\|_{\mathcal{H}} &= \|\exp(2i\pi t\mathcal{D})\xi - i\exp(2i\pi \cdot 0\mathcal{D})\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \left\| \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \exp(2i\pi s\mathcal{D})\xi ds \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= 2\pi \left\| \int_0^t i \exp(2i\pi s\mathcal{D})\mathcal{D}\xi ds \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq 2\pi \int_0^t \underbrace{\|\mathcal{D}\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}}}_{\text{since } \exp(i2\pi s\mathcal{D}) \text{ is unitary}} ds \\ &\leq 2\pi t \|\mathcal{D}\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

The reasoning above applies for  $t < 0$  by replacing  $\int_0^t$  with  $\int_t^0$  in the previous to last equation. Therefore, for all  $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , we have  $\frac{\|\xi - \exp(itD)\|_{\mathcal{H}}}{|2\pi t|} \leq \|D\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \text{DN}(\xi)$ . So

$$0 \leq h(t) \leq \text{DN}(\xi),$$

and therefore:

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{|t| \leq 1} h^2(t) dt \leq 2 \|D\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq 2 \text{DN}(\xi)^2.$$

It follows from Equations (2.3) and (2.5) that:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} h^2(t) dt \leq 3 \text{DN}(\xi)^2 \leq 4 \text{DN}(\xi)^2.$$

Thus, we can use Cauchy-Schwartz inequality to conclude from Equation (2.2) that:

$$\|\xi - p\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|f'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}} h^2(t) dt},$$

and therefore,

$$\|\xi - p\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 2 \|f'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \text{DN}(\xi),$$

as claimed.  $\square$

The following lemma is not needed for the proof of our main Theorem (2.5), though we will use it for examples later on. We place it here to explain one of the assumption of Theorem (2.5), namely that we can compare the norms of the “derivatives” in the horizontal and vertical directions to the “total” derivative. Our next lemma shows that this indeed happens in a common construction of Dirac operators.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space, and let  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d$  be  $d$  anticommuting self-adjoint unitaries on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra of operators acting on  $\mathfrak{A}$ , such that  $\gamma_j$  commutes with  $\mathfrak{A}$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ .*

*If  $D := \sum_{j=1}^d D_j \gamma_j$ , where  $D_1, \dots, D_d$  are possibly unbounded operators defined on a dense subspace  $\text{dom}(D)$  of a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , if  $\gamma_k \text{dom}(D_j) \subseteq \text{dom}(D_j)$  and  $[D_j, \gamma_k] = 0$  for all  $k, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , and if  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$  satisfies  $a \text{dom}(D) \subseteq \text{dom}(D)$  and  $[D, a]$  bounded, then, for any nonempty finite subset  $F \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\}$ , we conclude:*

$$\left\| \left[ \sum_{j \in F} D_j \gamma_j, a \right] \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \sqrt{|F|} \| [D, a] \|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $F \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\}$  be a nonempty finite set. We simply note that for all  $l \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ :

$$\sum_{j \in F} (\gamma_j \gamma_l + \gamma_l \gamma_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } l \notin F, \\ 2 & \text{if } l \in F. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, since  $\gamma_k$  commutes with  $D_j$  and  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$  for all  $j, k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ :

$$\left[ \sum_{j \in F} D_j \gamma_j, a \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{j \in F} \gamma_j [D, a] + [D, a] \sum_{j \in F} \gamma_j \right)$$

so

$$\left\| \left[ \sum_{j \in F} D_j, a \right] \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \left\| \sum_{j \in F} \gamma_j \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \| [D, a] \|_{\mathcal{H}} + \left\| \sum_{j \in F} \gamma_j \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \| [D, a] \|_{\mathcal{H}} \right) \leq \| [D, a] \|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Now,

$$\left( \sum_{j \in F} \gamma_j \right)^* \left( \sum_{j \in F} \gamma_j \right) = \sum_{j < k \in F} (\gamma_j \gamma_k + \gamma_k \gamma_j) + \sum_{j \in F} \gamma_j^2 = |F|,$$

so  $\| \sum_{j \in F} \gamma_j \|_{\mathcal{H}} = \sqrt{|F|}$ . We thus obtain our result.  $\square$

We now prove our main theorem. We start from a spectral triple whose Dirac operator can be decomposed as a sum of two operators, seen as a “horizontal” and a “vertical” component. What makes them horizontal and vertical in this setting is the list of assumptions given in our theorem, and is related to the existence of some map from  $\mathfrak{A}$  onto a  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  with  $1 \in \mathfrak{B}$ , and its interplay with the decomposition of our Dirac operator. The “horizontal” part can be used to define a Dirac operator on  $\mathfrak{B}$ , while the vertical part will be made to collapse.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  be a metric spectral triple, and let  $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$  is be a unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and such that  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_h + \mathcal{D}_v$  where  $\mathcal{D}_v$  is self-adjoint and such that 0 is isolated in  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{D})$ , together with the following assumptions. Setting  $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon := \mathcal{D}_h + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_v$  for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , the triple  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)$  is a spectral triple, such that:*

(1) for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\| [\mathcal{D}_h, a] \|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \| [\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon, a] \|_{\mathcal{H}},$$

(2) there exists  $M > 0$  such that for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\| [\mathcal{D}_v, a] \|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq M \varepsilon \| [\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon, a] \|_{\mathcal{H}},$$

(3)  $[\mathcal{D}_v, b] = 0$  for all  $b \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,

(4) writing  $p$  for the projection onto  $\ker \mathcal{D}_v$ , we assume that  $[p, b] = 0$  for all  $b \in \mathfrak{B}$  and  $[\mathcal{D}_h, p] = 0$ ,

(5)  $(\mathfrak{B}, \ker \mathcal{D}_v, p \mathcal{D}_h p)$  is metric spectral triple,

(6) there exists a positive linear map  $\mathbb{E} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ , whose restriction of  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathfrak{B}$  is the identity, and a constant  $k > 0$  such that for all  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ :

$$\| a - \mathbb{E}(a) \|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq k \| [\mathcal{D}_v, a] \|_{\mathcal{H}},$$

and

$$\| p [\mathcal{D}_h, \mathbb{E}(a)] p \|_{\mathcal{H}} = \| [\mathcal{D}_h, \mathbb{E}(a)] \|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \| [\mathcal{D}_h, a] \|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Then  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)$  is a metric spectral triple, and:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \Lambda^{\text{spec}}((\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon), (\mathfrak{B}, \ker \mathcal{D}_v, p \mathcal{D}_h p)) = 0.$$

*Remark 2.6.* In our notation  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_h + \mathcal{D}_v$ , we mean in particular that  $\text{dom}(\mathcal{D}) = \text{dom}(\mathcal{D}_h) \cap \text{dom}(\mathcal{D}_v)$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{D}_h + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_v$  is defined on the same domain. Moreover, by the first assumption, we note that  $[\mathcal{D}, a]$  is bounded if, and only if,  $[\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon, a]$  is bounded. Thus, we do have a common domain for all our derivations  $[\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon, \cdot]$  over  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Our assumption that  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)$  is a spectral triple for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  is then only asking that  $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$  is self-adjoint with a compact resolvent.

*Proof.* For convenience, we write  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}} := p \mathcal{D}_h p$ . We also denote the dense  $*$ -subalgebra  $\{a \in \mathfrak{A} : \text{adom}(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \text{dom}(\mathcal{D})\}$  by  $\text{dom}(\mathcal{D})$ , and we write  $\text{dom}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}) := \text{dom}(\mathcal{D}) \cap \text{sa}(\mathfrak{A})$ . We set  $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(a) := \| [\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon, a] \|_{\mathcal{H}}$  for all  $a \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}})$ , with  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}} := \mathcal{L}_1$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{B}}(b) := \| [\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}}, b] \|_{\ker \mathcal{D}_v}$ .

We begin by checking that  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, D_\varepsilon)$  is a metric spectral triple for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $a \in \text{dom}(\delta)$ . We observe that  $D_1 = D$  and that, by assumption,  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$  is a metric spectral triple; moreover, by applying Assumption (1) twice, we get:

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|[D, a]\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \|[D_h, a]\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|[D_v, a]\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq \|[D_\varepsilon, a]\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \varepsilon M \|[D_\varepsilon, a]\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon M) \|[D_\varepsilon, a]\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq (1 + M) \|[D_\varepsilon, a]\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

By [55, Lemma 1.10], we therefore conclude that since  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$  is a metric spectral triple, so is  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, D_\varepsilon)$ .

We now fix  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . We will construct a tunnel between  $(\mathfrak{A}, L_\varepsilon)$  and  $(\mathfrak{B}, L_{\mathfrak{B}})$ . For all  $(a, b) \in \text{dom}(L_{\mathfrak{A}}) \times \text{dom}(L_{\mathfrak{B}})$ , we set

$$T_\varepsilon(a, b) := \max \left\{ \|[D_\varepsilon, a]\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \|[D_{\mathfrak{B}}, b]\|_{\ker D_v}, \frac{1}{kM\varepsilon} \|a - b\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \right\}.$$

It is immediate that the domain of  $T_\varepsilon$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{sa}(\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathfrak{B})$ , that  $T_\varepsilon$  satisfies the Leibniz inequality (see Definition (1.3)), and that  $T_\varepsilon(a, b) = 0$  implies  $a = b = t1$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Now, fix  $\mu \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  a state of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . By [56, Proposition 2.2], for all  $a \in \text{dom}(L_{\mathfrak{A}})$ , we have  $\|a - \mu(a)\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq \text{qdiam}(\mathfrak{A}, D) \|[D, a]\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ . Thus, if  $\mu(a) = 0$  and  $L_{\mathfrak{A}}(a) \leq 1$ , then

$$\|a\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq \text{qdiam}(\mathfrak{A}, D) L_1(a) \leq \text{qdiam}(\mathfrak{A}, D) \underbrace{(1 + M\varepsilon) L_\varepsilon(a)}_{\text{by Eqn. (2.6)}}.$$

Therefore, if  $T_\varepsilon(a, b) \leq 1$ , then  $\|b\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq \|a - b\|_{\mathfrak{A}} + \|a\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq kM\varepsilon + (1 + M)\text{qdiam}(\mathfrak{A}, D_1)$ . In summary, we have proven the inclusion:

$$\begin{aligned} \{(a, b) \in \mathfrak{D} : T_\varepsilon(a, b) \leq 1, \mu(a) = 0\} &\subseteq \{a \in \text{dom}(L_{\mathfrak{A}}) : \|[D_\varepsilon, a]\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 1, \mu(a) = 0\} \\ &\quad \times \{b \in \text{dom}(L_{\mathfrak{B}}) : L_{\mathfrak{B}}(b) \leq 1, \|b\|_{\mathfrak{B}} \leq (1 + M)\text{qdiam}(\mathfrak{A}, D) + kM\varepsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the set on the right hand side is compact as the product of two compact sets by [55, 59], and since the set on the left hand side is closed since  $T_\varepsilon$  is lower-semicontinuous on  $\mathfrak{sa}(\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathfrak{B})$  (as the maximum of three lower semi-continuous functions over  $\mathfrak{sa}(\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathfrak{B})$ ), we conclude that the set on the left hand side is compact, hence  $T_\varepsilon$  is an L-seminorm, by [55].

We now prove that the \*-epimorphism  $j_{\mathfrak{A}} : (a, b) \in \mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathfrak{B} \mapsto a$  is a quantum isometry. Let  $a \in \text{dom}(L_{\mathfrak{A}})$  with  $L_\varepsilon(a) \leq 1$ . Then by assumption,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a - \mathbb{E}(a)\|_{\mathfrak{A}} &\leq k \|[D_v, a]\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq k\varepsilon M \|[D_\varepsilon, a]\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq kM\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, again by assumption,  $\|[D_h, \mathbb{E}(a)]\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|[D_h, a]\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|[D_\varepsilon, a]\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 1$ . Since  $p$  commutes with  $\mathbb{E}(a)$  and with  $D_h$ , we estimate that

$$\|[pD_h p, \mathbb{E}(a)]\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|[p[D_h, \mathbb{E}(a)]p]\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|[D_h, \mathbb{E}(a)]\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 1.$$

Therefore,  $T_\varepsilon(a, \mathbb{E}(a)) = 1$ .

Since  $T_\varepsilon(a, b) \geq L_\varepsilon(a) = 1$  by construction for all  $b \in \text{dom}(L_{\mathfrak{B}})$ , we conclude that  $j_{\mathfrak{A}}$  is indeed a quantum isometry.

We now prove that  $j_{\mathfrak{B}} : (a, b) \in \mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathfrak{B} \mapsto b$  is also a quantum isometry. Again, for all  $(a, b) \in \text{dom}(T_\varepsilon)$ , by definition,  $T_\varepsilon(a, b) \geq L_{\mathfrak{B}}(b)$ . On the other hand,  $\|b - b\|_{\mathfrak{A}} = 0$  and  $\|[D_\varepsilon, b]\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|[p[D_h, b]p]\|_{\mathcal{H}}$  by assumption (since  $\mathbb{E}(b) = b$ , so Assumption (5) applies), so  $T_\varepsilon(b, b) = L_{\mathfrak{B}}(b)$ . Therefore, indeed,  $j_{\mathfrak{B}}$  is a quantum isometry.

Hence  $\tau_\varepsilon := (\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathfrak{B}, \mathbb{T}_\varepsilon, j_{\mathfrak{A}}, j_{\mathfrak{B}})$  is a tunnel from  $(\mathfrak{A}, L_\varepsilon)$  to  $(\mathfrak{B}, L_{\mathfrak{B}})$ . We now bound above its extent. Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathfrak{B})$ . Define  $\psi : a \in \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \varphi(a, \mathbb{E}(a))$ . By construction,  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ . If  $(a, b) \in \mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon(a, b) \leq 1$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(a, b) - \psi(a)| &= |\varphi(a, b) - \varphi(a, \mathbb{E}(a))| \\ &= |\varphi(0, b - \mathbb{E}(a))| \\ &= |\varphi(0, \mathbb{E}(a - b))| \\ &\leq \|\mathbb{E}(a - b)\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ &\leq \underbrace{\|a - b\|_{\mathfrak{A}}}_{\|\mathbb{E}\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq 1} \leq kM\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\text{mk}_{\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon}(\varphi, \psi) \leq kM\varepsilon$ . So  $\text{Haus}[\text{mk}_{\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon}] (\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathfrak{B}), j_{\mathfrak{A}}^*(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}))) \leq kM\varepsilon$ .

On the other hand, let  $\theta : b \in \mathfrak{B} \mapsto \varphi(b, b)$ . Again,  $\theta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{B})$ . If  $(a, b) \in \mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon(a, b) \leq 1$ , then

$$|\varphi(a, b) - \theta(b)| = |\varphi(a, b) - \varphi(b, b)| = |\varphi(a - b, 0)| \leq \|a - b\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq kM\varepsilon.$$

Thus  $\text{mk}_{\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon}(\varphi, \theta) \leq k\varepsilon$ . So  $\text{Haus}[\text{mk}_{\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon}] (\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathfrak{B}), j_{\mathfrak{B}}^*(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{B}))) \leq kM\varepsilon$ .

We have thus established that  $\chi(\tau) \leq kM\varepsilon$ .

We now build a modular tunnel (see Equation (2.8)), which will be turned into a metrical tunnel between the  $C^*$ -correspondences induced by the spectral triples  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon)$  and  $(\mathfrak{B}, \ker \mathbb{D}_\nu, p\mathbb{D}_h p)$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  be chosen so that  $\text{Sp}(\mathbb{D}_\nu) \cap (-\delta, \delta) = \{0\}$ . Of course, we obtain that  $\left(-\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}\right) \cap \text{Sp}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\mathbb{D}_\nu\right) = \{0\}$ . We choose a smooth function  $f_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , supported on  $\left(-\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}\right)$ , such that  $f_\varepsilon(0) = 1$  and  $|f'_\varepsilon(t)| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{\delta}$ . As a consequence of our choice, we estimate:

$$\|f'_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f'_\varepsilon(t)|^2 dt} \leq \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{4\varepsilon^2}{\delta^2} dt} = \sqrt{\frac{2\delta}{\varepsilon} \frac{4\varepsilon^2}{\delta^2}} = 2\sqrt{\frac{2\varepsilon}{\delta}}.$$

By Lemma (2.3), it follows that for all  $\xi \in \text{dom}(\mathbb{D})$ ,

$$(2.7) \quad \|\xi - p\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 4\sqrt{\frac{2\varepsilon}{\delta}}.$$

We now set

$$K_\varepsilon := \max \left\{ kM\varepsilon, 4\sqrt{\frac{2\varepsilon}{\delta}} \right\},$$

and for all  $(\xi, \eta) \in \text{dom}(\mathbb{D}_h) \oplus \ker \mathbb{D}_\nu$ :

$$\text{TN}_\varepsilon(\xi, \eta) := \max \left\{ \text{DN}_\varepsilon(\xi), \text{DN}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\eta), \frac{1}{K_\varepsilon} \|p\xi - \eta\|_{\mathcal{H}} \right\}.$$

We now check the modular Leibniz inequality for TN. If  $(a, b) \in \text{dom}(\delta) \times \text{dom}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}}) \subseteq \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $\xi \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{D})$ , and  $\zeta \in \ker \mathcal{D}_v$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|pa\xi - b\zeta\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \|pa\xi - bp\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|bp\xi - b\zeta\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&\leq \|pa\xi - pb\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|b\|_{\mathfrak{B}} \|p\xi - \zeta\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&\leq \|a - b\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|b\|_{\mathfrak{B}} 4\sqrt{\frac{2\varepsilon}{\delta}} \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \zeta) \\
&\leq k\varepsilon \text{T}_{\varepsilon}(a, b) \text{DN}_{\varepsilon}(\xi) + \|b\|_{\mathfrak{B}} 4\sqrt{\frac{2\varepsilon}{\delta}} \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \zeta) \\
&\leq \max\left\{k\varepsilon, 4\sqrt{\frac{2\varepsilon}{\delta}}\right\} (\text{T}_{\varepsilon}(a, b) + \|(a, b)\|_{\mathfrak{D}}) \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \zeta).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the modular Leibniz inequalities for  $\text{DN}_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\text{DN}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ , we conclude:

$$\text{TN}_{\varepsilon}((a, b) \cdot (\xi, \zeta)) \leq (\text{T}_{\varepsilon}(a, b) + \|(a, b)\|_{\mathfrak{D}}) \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \zeta).$$

By Lemma (2.3) applied to our  $f$  and to  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_v$ , if  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ , then  $\|\xi - p\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 4\sqrt{\frac{2\varepsilon}{\delta}} \text{DN}_{\varepsilon}(\xi)$  by Equation (2.7), so

$$\text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\xi, p\xi) = \text{DN}_{\varepsilon}(\xi).$$

Of course,  $\text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\eta, \eta) = \text{DN}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\eta)$  for all  $\eta \in \ker \mathcal{D}_v$ . Hence, the maps  $J_{\mathfrak{A}} : (\xi, \eta) \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \ker \mathcal{D}_v \mapsto \xi$  and  $J_{\mathfrak{B}} : (\xi, \eta) \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \ker \mathcal{D}_v \mapsto \eta$  are both quantum isometries. Therefore,

$$(2.8) \quad (\mathcal{H} \oplus \ker \mathcal{D}_v, \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}, \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}, \text{T}_{\varepsilon}), (j_{\mathfrak{A}}, J_{\mathfrak{A}}), (j_{\mathfrak{B}}, J_{\mathfrak{B}})$$

is a modular tunnel. By definition, its extent is the extent of  $(\mathfrak{D}, \text{T}_{\varepsilon}, j_{\mathfrak{A}}, j_{\mathfrak{B}})$ , which is no more than  $kM\varepsilon$ .

We now turn this modular tunnel into a metrical one. The space  $\mathcal{H} \oplus \ker \mathcal{D}_v$  is naturally a  $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$  Hilbert module, setting:

$$\forall (\xi, \eta), (\xi', \eta') \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \ker \mathcal{D}_v \quad \langle (\xi, \eta), (\xi', \eta') \rangle_{\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}} = (\langle \xi, \xi' \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \langle \eta, \eta' \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}),$$

and

$$\forall (\xi, \eta) \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \ker \mathcal{D}_v \quad \forall (z, w) \in \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \quad (\xi, \eta)(z, w) = (z\xi, w\eta).$$

Now, we set  $\alpha_{\varepsilon} := 4\sqrt{\frac{2\varepsilon}{\delta}}$  and:

$$\text{Q}_{\varepsilon} : (z, w) \in \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \mapsto (\alpha_{\varepsilon} + K_{\varepsilon})^{-1} |z - w|.$$

We then get, for all  $\xi, \eta \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon})$ ,  $\zeta, \omega \in \ker \mathcal{D}_v \cap \text{dom}(\mathcal{D}_h)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \langle \zeta, \omega \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right| \\
&\leq |\langle \xi - p\xi, \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| + |\langle p\xi, \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \langle \zeta, \omega \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \\
&\leq \|\xi - p\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{H}} + |\langle p\xi - \zeta, \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| + |\langle \zeta, \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \langle \zeta, \omega \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \\
&\leq \alpha_{\varepsilon} \text{DN}_{\varepsilon}(\xi) \text{DN}_{\varepsilon}(\eta) + K_{\varepsilon} \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \zeta) \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{H}} + |\langle \zeta, \eta - p\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| + |\langle \zeta, p\eta - \omega \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \\
&\leq \alpha_{\varepsilon} \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \zeta) \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\eta, \omega) + K_{\varepsilon} \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \zeta) \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\eta, \omega) + |\langle \zeta, \eta - p\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| + |\langle \zeta, p\eta - \omega \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \\
&\leq (\alpha_{\varepsilon} + K_{\varepsilon}) \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\eta, \omega) \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \zeta) + \|\zeta\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|\eta - p\eta\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|\zeta\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|p\eta - \omega\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&\leq (\alpha_{\varepsilon} + K_{\varepsilon}) \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\eta, \omega) \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \zeta) + \alpha_{\varepsilon} \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \zeta) \text{DN}_{\varepsilon}(\eta) + K_{\varepsilon} \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \zeta) \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\eta, \omega) \\
&\leq (\alpha_{\varepsilon} + K_{\varepsilon}) \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\eta, \omega) \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \zeta) + (\alpha_{\varepsilon} + K_{\varepsilon}) \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \zeta) \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\eta, \omega) \\
&= (\alpha_{\varepsilon} + K_{\varepsilon}) \cdot 2 \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \zeta) \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\eta, \omega).
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\text{Q}_{\varepsilon}(\langle (\xi, \zeta), (\eta, \omega) \rangle_{\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}}) \leq 2 \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \zeta) \text{TN}_{\varepsilon}(\eta, \omega).$$



Let us set  $t_{\mathfrak{A}} : (z, w) \in \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \mapsto z$  and  $t_{\mathfrak{B}} : (z, w) \in \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \mapsto w$ . It is straightforward to check that  $t_{\mathfrak{A}}$  and  $t_{\mathfrak{B}}$  are quantum isometries onto  $(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ . Therefore

$$((\mathcal{H} \oplus \ker \mathcal{D}_v, \text{TN}_\varepsilon, \mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{T}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q}_\varepsilon), (J_{\mathfrak{A}}, j_{\mathfrak{A}}, t_{\mathfrak{A}}), (J_{\mathfrak{B}}, j_{\mathfrak{B}}, t_{\mathfrak{B}}))$$

is a metrical tunnel. The extent of  $(\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q}_\varepsilon, t_{\mathfrak{A}}, t_{\mathfrak{B}})$  is at most  $(\alpha_\varepsilon + K_\varepsilon)$ . Hence, the extent of our metric tunnel is  $\max\{kM\varepsilon, (\alpha_\varepsilon + K_\varepsilon)\}$ .

We conclude by considering the action of  $[0, \infty)$  by the unitaries  $t \in [0, \infty) \mapsto \exp(it\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)$  and  $t \in [0, \infty) \mapsto \exp(itp\mathcal{D}_hp)$ .

Let first  $\xi \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{D})$ . By Lemma (2.1), we observe that  $\exp(it\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)p = \exp(itp\mathcal{D}_hp) = p \exp(it\mathcal{D}_h)p$ , and:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\exp(it\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)\xi - \exp(itp\mathcal{D}_hp)\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}} &= \|\exp(it\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)\xi - \exp(it\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)p\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \|\exp(it\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)(\xi - p\xi)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq \underbrace{\|\xi - p\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}}}_{\exp(it\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon) \text{ unitary}} \\ &\leq 4\sqrt{\frac{2\varepsilon}{\delta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get that, for all  $\omega \in \text{dom}(\text{TN}_\varepsilon)$  with  $\text{TN}_\varepsilon(\omega) \leq 1$ , for all  $\xi \in \text{dom}(\text{DN})$  with  $\text{DN}(\xi) \leq 1$ , and for all  $t \geq 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle \exp(it\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)\xi, J_{\mathfrak{A}}(\omega) \rangle - \langle \exp(itp\mathcal{D}_hp)p\xi, J_{\mathfrak{B}}(\omega) \rangle| \\ &= |\langle \exp(it\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)\xi - \exp(itp\mathcal{D}_hp)p\xi, J_{\mathfrak{A}}(\omega) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \langle \exp(itp\mathcal{D}_hp)p\xi, J_{\mathfrak{A}}(\omega) - J_{\mathfrak{B}}(\omega) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \\ &= |\langle \exp(it\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)(\xi - p\xi), J_{\mathfrak{A}}(\omega) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \langle p \exp(it\mathcal{D}_h)p\xi, J_{\mathfrak{A}}(\omega) - J_{\mathfrak{B}}(\omega) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \\ &= \left| \langle \exp(it\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)(\xi - p\xi), J_{\mathfrak{A}}(\omega) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \underbrace{\langle \exp(it\mathcal{D}_h)p\xi, pJ_{\mathfrak{A}}(\omega) - pJ_{\mathfrak{B}}(\omega) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}}_{p=p^2=p^*} \right| \\ &\leq |\langle \exp(it\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)(\xi - p\xi), J_{\mathfrak{A}}(\omega) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| + \left| \langle \exp(it\mathcal{D}_h)p\xi, pJ_{\mathfrak{A}}(\omega) - \underbrace{pJ_{\mathfrak{B}}(\omega)}_{pJ_{\mathfrak{B}}=J_{\mathfrak{B}}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right| \\ &\leq \|\exp(it\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)(\xi - p\xi)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|J_{\mathfrak{A}}(\omega)\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|pJ_{\mathfrak{A}}(\omega) - J_{\mathfrak{B}}(\omega)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq \alpha_\varepsilon \text{DN}_\varepsilon(\xi) \text{TN}_\varepsilon(\omega) + \text{DN}_\varepsilon(\xi) \cdot K_\varepsilon \text{TN}_\varepsilon(\omega) \\ &\leq \alpha_\varepsilon + K_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for each  $\xi \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)$  with  $\text{DN}_\varepsilon(\xi) \leq 1$ , there exists  $\eta := p\xi$  such that for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\sup_{\substack{\omega \in \text{dom}(\text{TN}_\varepsilon) \\ \text{TN}_\varepsilon(\omega) \leq 1}} |\langle \exp(it\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)\xi, J_{\mathfrak{A}}(\omega) \rangle - \langle \exp(itp\mathcal{D}_hp)\eta, J_{\mathfrak{B}}(\omega) \rangle| \leq \alpha_\varepsilon + K_\varepsilon.$$

Similarly, if  $\eta \in \text{dom}(p\mathcal{D}_hp)$  with  $\text{DN}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\eta) \leq 1$ , then by setting  $\xi = \eta$ , we also obtain for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\sup_{\substack{\omega \in \text{dom}(\text{TN}_\varepsilon) \\ \text{TN}_\varepsilon(\omega) \leq 1}} |\langle \exp(it\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)\xi, J_{\mathfrak{A}}(\omega) \rangle - \langle \exp(itp\mathcal{D}_hp)\eta, J_{\mathfrak{B}}(\omega) \rangle| \leq K_\varepsilon + \alpha_\varepsilon.$$

So, for any  $t \geq 0$ , the  $\frac{1}{t}$ -covariant reach of  $\tau$  is at most  $K_\varepsilon + \alpha_\varepsilon$ . We note that  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \alpha_\varepsilon + K_\varepsilon = 0$ . Set  $M_\varepsilon := \alpha_\varepsilon + M_\varepsilon$ .

We have thus shown that

$$\text{sep}((\exp(itD_\varepsilon))_{0 \leq t \leq M_\varepsilon}, (\exp(itD_{\mathfrak{B}}))_{0 \leq t \leq M_\varepsilon} | \tau) \leq M_\varepsilon.$$

Hence  $\text{dis}((\exp(itD_\varepsilon))_{0 \leq t \leq M_\varepsilon}, (\exp(itD_{\mathfrak{B}}))_{0 \leq t \leq M_\varepsilon} | \tau) \leq M_\varepsilon$  since  $\chi(\tau) \leq K_\varepsilon \leq M_\varepsilon$ . Therefore:

$$0 \leq \Lambda^{\text{spec}}((\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, D_\varepsilon), (\mathfrak{B}, \ker D_\nu, pD_h p)) \leq M_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Our proof is complete.  $\square$

A consequence of Theorem (2.5) is the following result on the convergence of the bounded continuous functional calculus, and as a corollary, of the spectra of the Dirac operators.

**Corollary 2.7.** *Under the same hypothesis as in Theorem (2.5), for any  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ , and for any sequence  $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $(0, \infty)$  converging to 0, we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda^{\text{op}}(f(D_{\times, \varepsilon_n}), f(pD_h p)) = 0,$$

and in particular,

$$\text{Sp}(pD_h p) = \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n : (\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ convergent with } \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \lambda_n \in \text{Sp}(D_{\times, \varepsilon_n}) \right\}$$

### 3. COLLAPSING TO A POINT

Our first application is to study what happens if we collapse a metric spectral triple to a point. There are, in fact, infinitely many (metric) spectral triples over  $\mathbb{C}$ , of the form  $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ , for any Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , and a self-adjoint operator  $D$  with compact resolvent, where the action on  $\mathbb{C}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is of course  $z \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto z \cdot \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}}$ . They all give us, of course, the Lipschitz seminorm 0, but they are obviously not unitarily equivalent to each other in general. Of central interest to us are the spectral triples  $(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ , because they naturally appear as limits of arbitrary metric spectral triples collapsed to a point. Moreover, in that case,  $n$  is the dimension of the space of Harmonic spinors. Specifically:

**Corollary 3.1.** *If  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$  is a metric spectral triple with  $0 \in \text{Sp}(D)$ , then:*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \Lambda^{\text{spec}}((\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, \frac{1}{\varepsilon} D), (\mathbb{C}, \ker D, 0)) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ . The map  $a \in \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mu(a) \in \mathbb{C}$  is a conditional expectation. Moreover, it is immediate that  $[D, \mu(a)] = 0$ .

Since  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$  is a metric spectral triple, we have by [55], for all  $a \in \mathfrak{A}_D$  (see Equation (1.1)):

$$\|a - \mathbb{E}(a)\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq \text{qdiam}(\mathfrak{A}, D) \| [D, a] \|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Now,  $D = 0 + D$  (note: 0 is the horizontal component here, and  $D$  the vertical direction), and the other hypothesis of Theorem (2.5) are now trivially met. We obtain the desired conclusion.  $\square$

If  $0 \notin \text{Sp}(D)$ , then there is no bounded sequence  $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $\lambda_n \in n\text{Sp}(D) = \text{Sp}(\frac{1}{n}D)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; let alone any such convergent sequence. So by Corollary (2.7), it is not possible for  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, nD)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , let alone  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}D)$ , to converge to anything for the spectral propinquity.

We now extend this first collapse result to products of metric spectral triples, with one of the spectral triples Abelian.

4. COLLAPSING  $C(X, \mathfrak{A})$ 

Our next application of Theorem (2.5) is to collapse a product of two metric spectral triples, with one of the two constructed over an Abelian  $C^*$ -algebra. There are several possible constructions of products of spectral triples, depending on whether they are even or not. For our purpose, it turns out to be natural to begin with the case when we take a product between an even and an odd spectral triple.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$  and  $(\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{J}, \mathfrak{S})$  are two spectral triples, such that there exists a self-adjoint unitary  $\gamma$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  which commutes with  $\mathfrak{A}$  and anticommutes with  $D$  (i.e.  $\gamma$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  grading for the even spectral triple  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ ). We set:

$$D \times_{\gamma} \mathfrak{S} := D \otimes 1_{\mathcal{J}} + \gamma \otimes \mathfrak{S},$$

defined on  $\text{dom}(D) \otimes \text{dom}(\mathfrak{S})$  inside  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J}$ .

A simple computation shows that  $(\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J}, D \times_{\gamma} \mathfrak{S})$  is a spectral triple. We also note that, like with Lemma (2.4):

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$  and  $(\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{J}, \mathfrak{S})$  be two spectral triples, with  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$  even with grading  $\gamma$ . Let  $D_{\times} := D \otimes 1_{\mathcal{J}} + \gamma \otimes \mathfrak{S}$ . For all  $c \in \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$  such that  $c \text{dom}(D_{\times}) \subseteq \text{dom}(D_{\times})$ , we have:

$$\max \left\{ \left\| [D \otimes 1_{\mathcal{J}}, c] \right\|_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J}}, \left\| [1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \mathfrak{S}, c] \right\|_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J}} \right\} \leq \left\| [D_{\times}, c] \right\|_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J}}.$$

*Proof.* We observe that, since  $\gamma^2 = 1$  and  $\gamma D = -D\gamma$ ,

$$[D \otimes 1_{\mathcal{J}}, c] = \frac{1}{2} \left( [D_{\times}, c](\gamma \otimes 1_{\mathcal{J}}) - (\gamma \otimes 1_{\mathcal{J}})[D_{\times}, c] \right)$$

and

$$[1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \mathfrak{S}, c] = \frac{1}{2} \left( [D_{\times}, c](\gamma \otimes 1_{\mathcal{J}}) + (\gamma \otimes 1_{\mathcal{J}})[D_{\times}, c] \right).$$

Our result now follows immediately.  $\square$

Another, related, comparison between vertical, horizontal, and global components can be gleaned from the above results, which will prove very helpful for our purpose.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$  be an even spectral triple with grading  $\gamma$ , and  $(\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{J}, \mathfrak{S})$  another spectral triple. Let  $D_{\times} := D \otimes 1_{\mathcal{J}} + \gamma \otimes \mathfrak{S}$ . For all  $c \in \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$  such that  $c \text{dom}(D_{\times}) \subseteq \text{dom}(D_{\times})$ , and for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{B})$  (resp.  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ ), we conclude:

$$\left\| [D, (\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{A}} \otimes \psi)(c)] \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \left\| [D_{\times}, c] \right\|_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J}} \text{ (resp. } \left\| [D, (\varphi \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{B}})(c)] \right\|_{\mathcal{J}} \leq \left\| [D_{\times}, c] \right\|_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J}}).$$

Consequently, for any  $\varphi, \mu \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ ,  $\psi, \nu \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{B})$ , we have:

$$(4.1) \quad \text{mk}_{D_{\times}}(\varphi \otimes \psi, \mu \otimes \nu) \leq \text{mk}_D(\varphi, \mu) + \text{mk}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\psi, \nu).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{B})$ , which we extend by Hahn-Banach theorem to a state of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{J})$ , still denoted by  $\psi$ . Since  $\psi$  is a state, it is self-adjoint, so if  $b \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{J})$  and  $b^* = -b$ , then  $\psi(b) = \underbrace{\psi(b^*)}_{\psi \text{ self-adjoint}} = -\psi(b)$ , so  $\psi(b) = 0$ .

Let  $c = \sum_j a_j \otimes b_j$  with  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \text{dom}(L_D)$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_k \in \text{dom}(L_S)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{A}} \otimes \psi)([D_\times, c]) &= \sum_j [D, a_j] \psi(b_j) + a_j \gamma \psi([\mathfrak{S}, b_j]) \\ &= \sum_j [D, a_j] \psi(b_j) + 0 \\ &= [D, \sum_j a_j \psi(b_j)] \\ &= [D, (\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{A}} \otimes \psi)(c)]. \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion extends since our derivations are closed.

Now, let  $c \in \text{dom}(L_\times)$  with  $L_\times(c) \leq 1$  and  $\varphi, \mu \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ ,  $\psi, \nu \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{B})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi \otimes \psi(c) - \mu \otimes \nu(c)| &\leq |\varphi \otimes \psi(c) - \mu \otimes \psi(c)| + |\mu \otimes \psi(c) - \mu \otimes \nu(c)| \\ &\leq \underbrace{|\varphi((\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{A}} \otimes \psi)(c)) - \mu((\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{A}} \otimes \psi)(c))|}_{L_D \leq 1} + \underbrace{|\psi((\mu \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{B}})(c)) - \nu((\mu \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{B}})(c))|}_{L_S \leq 1} \\ &\leq \text{mk}_D(\varphi, \mu) + \text{mk}_S(\psi, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

Hence Equation (4.1) holds as claimed.  $\square$

It is not generally known under what condition a product of metric spectral triples is itself metric, even though some progress has recently made in [24]. However, such a product is always metric when one of the two spectral triples is built over an Abelian  $C^*$ -algebra. For now, we continue with our focus on assuming the spectral triple over the Abelian  $C^*$ -algebra is even.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space. If  $(C(X), \mathcal{H}, D)$  is an even metric spectral triple with grading  $\gamma$ , and  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{J}, \mathfrak{S})$  is a metric spectral triple, then  $(C(X, \mathfrak{A}), \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J}, D \times_\gamma \mathfrak{S})$  is also a metric spectral triple.*

*Proof.* We identify  $C(X, \mathfrak{A})$  with  $C(X) \otimes \mathfrak{A}$  in the canonical way:  $f \in C(X)$  acts as  $f \otimes 1$  on  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J}$ , and  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$  acts as  $1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes a$ .

Set

$$\text{dom}(L_\times) := \{f \in C(X, \mathfrak{A}) : f \text{ dom}(D_\times) \subseteq D_\times, [D_\times, f] \text{ bounded}\},$$

$$\text{and } L_\times(f) = \|[D_\times, f]\|_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J}}.$$

Suppose  $L_\times(f) \leq 1$  for  $f \in C(X, \mathfrak{A})$ . Then by Lemma (2.4), the following holds:

$$\|[1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \mathfrak{S}, f]\|_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J}} \leq L_\times(f) \leq 1.$$

Thus, for each  $x \in X$ , we have  $\|[S, f(x)]\|_{\mathcal{J}} \leq 1$ .

By Lemma (4.3), we then observe that for any state  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , we have  $(1 \otimes \varphi)f \in C(X)$  satisfies  $\|[D, (1 \otimes \varphi)f]\|_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J}} \leq \|[D_\times, f]\|_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J}} \leq 1$ . So, for all  $x, x_0 \in X$ , identifying  $x, x_0$  with their associated characters given by evaluation maps, we obtain, since  $(C(X), \mathcal{H}, D)$  is metric by [56, Proposition 2.2]:

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(f(x)) - \varphi(f(x_0))| &\leq \text{mk}_{D_\times}(\varphi_x, \varphi_{x_0}) \|[D_\times, f \otimes 1]\|_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J}} \\ &\leq \text{mk}_D(x, x_0) \text{qdiam}(C(X), D). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|f(x) - f(x_0)\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq \text{qdiam}(C(X), D).$$

Moreover, the restriction of  $\text{mk}_D$  to the space of characters of  $C(X)$ , identified as a topological space with  $X$  when we endow the space of characters with the weak\* topology, gives a metric for the topology of  $X$ . The Lipschitz seminorm for this metric is less or

equal to  $\| [D, \cdot] \|_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J}}$ . Thus  $\{f \in C(X, \mathfrak{A}) : L_\times(f) \leq 1\}$  is an equicontinuous family of  $C(X)$ .

Consequently, fixing  $x_0 \in X$ , the set  $\{f(x) : f \in C(X, \mathfrak{A}), f(x_0) = 0, L_\times(f) \leq 1\}$  is a subset of the compact set  $\{a \in \mathfrak{A} : L_{\mathfrak{S}}(a) \leq 1, \|a\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq \text{qdiam}(C(X), \mathfrak{D})\}$ . Therefore,  $\{f \in C(X, \mathfrak{A}) : f(x_0) = 0, L_\times(f) \leq 1\}$  is an equicontinuous family of functions over the compact space  $X$ , and all valued in the common compact  $\{a \in \mathfrak{A} : L(a) \leq 1, \|a\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq \text{diam}(C(X), \mathfrak{D})\}$ : by Arzelà-Ascoli's theorem, we conclude that  $\{f \in C(X, \mathfrak{A}) : f(x_0) = 0, L_\times(f) \leq 1\}$  is totally bounded. By lower semicontinuity of  $L_\times$ , this set is in fact compact. By [59], our proof is now complete.  $\square$

We now apply Theorem (2.5) to our product case, with an Abelian factor.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{D})$  be an even metric spectral triple with grading  $\gamma$ , and let  $(\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{J}, \mathfrak{S})$  be a metric spectral triple, with  $\mathfrak{A}$  or  $\mathfrak{B}$  Abelian. If, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we set*

$$D_{\times, \varepsilon} := D \otimes 1_{\mathcal{J}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\gamma \times \mathfrak{S}),$$

then  $(\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J}, D_{\times, \varepsilon})$  is a metric spectral triple for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \Lambda^{\text{spec}}((\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J}, D_{\times, \varepsilon}), (\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H} \otimes \ker \mathfrak{S}, D \otimes 1_{\ker \mathfrak{S}})) = 0.$$

*Proof.* By construction, letting  $p$  be the orthogonal projection from  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J}$  onto  $\ker(1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \mathfrak{S}) = \mathcal{H} \otimes \ker \mathfrak{S}$ , we note that  $p = 1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes q$  where  $q$  is the orthogonal projection from  $\mathcal{J}$  onto  $\ker \mathfrak{S}$ . Therefore,  $p$  commutes with  $a \otimes 1_{\mathcal{J}}$  for all  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ , and with  $D \otimes 1_{\mathcal{J}}$ .

Fix  $\mu \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{B})$ . We define  $\mathbb{E} : c \in \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B} \mapsto (1 \otimes \mu)(c)$ . By construction,  $\mathbb{E}(a \otimes 1) = a \otimes 1$  for all  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Moreover, for all  $a \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{D}}$  (Lipschitz algebra) and  $b \in \mathfrak{B}$ :

$$[1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \mathfrak{S}, \mathbb{E}(a \otimes b)] = a \otimes [\mathfrak{S}, \mu(b)] = 0.$$

By linearity and since  $[1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \mathfrak{S}, \cdot]$  is a closed derivation, we conclude that  $[1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \mathfrak{S}, \mathbb{E}(\cdot)] = 0$ , as required.

Now,

$$[D \otimes 1_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathbb{E}(a \otimes b)] = [D, a] \mu(b) = (1_{\mathfrak{A}} \otimes \mu) \left\| [D \otimes 1_{\mathcal{J}}, a \otimes b] \right\|_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J}}$$

so, again by linearity and since our derivations are closed,

$$\left\| [D \otimes 1_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathbb{E}(a \otimes b)] \right\|_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J}} \leq \left\| [D \otimes 1_{\mathcal{J}}, a \otimes b] \right\|_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J}}.$$

Moreover, by definition of the projections  $p$  and  $q$ :

$$p[D \otimes 1_{\mathcal{J}}, a \otimes 1]p = (1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes q)([D, a] \otimes 1_{\mathcal{J}})(1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes q) = [D, a] \otimes q$$

and thus  $\left\| p[D \otimes 1_{\mathcal{J}}, a \otimes 1]p \right\|_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J}} = \left\| [D, a] \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ .

Last, let  $c \in \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ . Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ , and  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{B})$ . Then, by Lemma (4.3):

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi \otimes \psi(c - \mathbb{E}(c))| &= |\varphi \otimes \psi(c - (1_{\mathfrak{A}} \otimes \mu)(c))| \\ &= |\varphi \otimes \psi(c) - \varphi \otimes \mu(c)| \\ &\leq \text{mk}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\varphi, \varphi) + \varepsilon \text{mk}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\psi, \mu) \leq \varepsilon \text{qdiam}(\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{S}). \end{aligned}$$

We thus meet all the hypothesis of Theorem (2.5), and our conclusion follows.  $\square$

We now can extend our result to the case when we work with a spectral triple when the non-collapsing piece is odd, rather than even. The idea is simply to choose two anticommuting self-adjoint unitaries  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  on some finite dimensional space, and apply Theorem (4.5) to the even spectral triple  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H} \otimes E, \mathcal{D} \otimes \gamma_1)$ , with grading  $1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \gamma_2$ . We thus get (flipping the second and third tensor factor):

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  be two anticommuting self-adjoint unitaries on a finite dimensional vector space  $E$ . If  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  and  $(\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{S})$  are two metric spectral triples, one of which is Abelian, if we set:*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\times, \varepsilon} := \mathcal{D} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{J}} \otimes \gamma_1 + 1_{\mathcal{J}} \otimes \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{S} \otimes \gamma_2$$

on  $\text{dom}(\mathcal{D}) \otimes \text{dom}(\mathcal{S}) \otimes E \subseteq \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J} \otimes E$ , and if  $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$  acts on  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J} \otimes E$  by extending the following action on elementary tensors:  $(a \otimes b)(\xi \otimes \eta \otimes e) = a\xi \otimes b\eta \otimes e$ , then  $(\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J} \otimes E, \mathcal{D}_{\times, \varepsilon})$  is a metric spectral triple for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \Lambda^{\text{spec}}((\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J} \otimes E, \mathcal{D}_{\times, \varepsilon}), (\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H} \otimes \ker \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes E, \mathcal{D} \otimes 1_{\ker \mathcal{S}} \otimes \gamma_1)) = 0.$$

*Proof.* The triple  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H} \otimes E, \mathcal{D} \otimes \gamma_1)$ , where  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$  acts as  $a \otimes 1_E$ , is an even spectral triple with grading  $\gamma_2$ . Our result follows by applying Theorem (4.5) (and flipping the factors  $\mathcal{J}$  and  $E$ ).  $\square$

## 5. COLLAPSING NONCOMMUTATIVE PRINCIPAL BUNDLES

Let  $\alpha$  be a strongly continuous and free action (precise definitions will be given later) of a compact Lie group  $G$  on a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{B} := \{a \in \mathfrak{A} : \forall g \in G \quad \alpha^g(a) = a\}$  the fixed point  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\alpha$ . We assume that we are given a metric spectral triple  $(\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}})$ , where we identify  $\mathfrak{B}$  with its faithful image as an algebra of operators over  $\mathcal{H}$ . We also endow  $G$  with a left-invariant Riemannian metric, by choosing some inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G$  on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$ . We first review the construction of Schwieger and Wagner in [69] of a metric spectral triple on  $\mathfrak{A}$  restricting on  $\mathfrak{B}$  to the spectral triple  $(\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}})$ , and then we apply our Theorem (2.5) to show that the spectral triple on  $\mathfrak{A}$  collapses to the spectral triple on  $\mathfrak{B}$  under shrinking of the metric on the group.

We start with reviewing the decomposition of  $\mathfrak{A}$  induced by the action of  $G$ .

**5.1. Decomposition of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .** The  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  can be decomposed into isotopic subspaces for  $\alpha$ , associated with the irreducible representations of  $G$ . We denote by  $\widehat{G}$  the set of unitary-equivalence classes of irreducible representations of  $G$ , by abuse of notation, we identify  $\sigma \in \widehat{G}$  with one of its representative, so  $\sigma$  is seen, in practice, as a particular choice of an irreducible representation of  $G$ , in such a way that any irreducible representation of  $G$  is unitary equivalent to one in  $\widehat{G}$ . Since  $G$  is compact, all its irreducible representations are finite dimensional, and, by abuse of notation again, we write  $\dim \sigma$  for the dimension of the space  $V_{\sigma}$  on which  $\sigma$  acts (which is obviously an invariant for the class of all representations unitary equivalent to  $\sigma$ ).

Now, for any  $f \in L^1(G, \lambda)$ , where  $\lambda$  is the unique Haar probability measure of  $G$ , we set:

$$(5.1) \quad \alpha^f : a \in \mathfrak{A} \longmapsto \int_G f(g) \alpha^g(a) d\lambda(g);$$

we note that  $\alpha^f$  is a bounded linear operator over  $\mathfrak{A}$ , with  $\|\alpha^f\|_{\mathfrak{A}} = \|f\|_{L^1(G,\lambda)}$ . Of particular interest is the usual conditional expectation form  $\mathfrak{A}$  onto  $\mathfrak{B}$ ,

$$(5.2) \quad \mathbb{E} = \alpha^1 : a \in \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \int_G \alpha^g(a) d\lambda(g).$$

If  $\sigma \in \widehat{G}$  is an irreducible representation, then the character of  $\sigma$  is, by definition, the continuous function  $\chi_\sigma : g \in G \mapsto \text{tr}(\sigma(g))$ , where  $\text{tr}$  is the normalized trace on the algebra of  $\dim(\sigma) \times \dim(\sigma)$  matrices. The *spectral subspace*, or *isotopic subspace*, of  $\mathfrak{A}$  associated with  $\sigma$  is then defined as:

$$(5.3) \quad \mathfrak{A}(\sigma) := \{a \in \mathfrak{A} : a = \alpha^{\chi_\sigma}(a)\}.$$

The space  $\mathfrak{A}(\sigma)$  is a Hilbert right  $\mathfrak{B}$ -module, with  $\mathfrak{B}$ -valued inner product

$$\forall a, b \in \mathfrak{A}(\sigma) \quad \langle a, b \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}} := \mathbb{E}(a^* b).$$

Moreover,  $\mathfrak{A}$  is the closure of the sum  $\oplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{G}} \mathfrak{A}(\sigma)$ .

A key observation of [69] is that, under the additional assumption that  $\alpha$  is a *free action* (see below), the space  $\mathfrak{A}(\sigma)$  is actually isomorphic, as a  $\mathfrak{B}$ -Hilbert module, to a finitely generated projective  $\mathfrak{B}$ -module. In order to explain this, we introduce another version of spectral subspaces for  $\alpha$ , called *multiplicity spaces*, which are defined as fixed point spaces as follows:

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}}(\sigma) := \{x \in \mathfrak{A} \otimes V_\sigma : \forall g \in G \quad \alpha^g \otimes \overline{\sigma^g}(x) = x\}.$$

The relationship between the isotopic space and the multiplicity space is given by the existence of a Hilbert right  $\mathfrak{B}$ -module isomorphism:

$$\Phi_\sigma : \Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}}(\sigma) \otimes V_\sigma \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}(\sigma),$$

which extends the map  $a \otimes v \otimes w \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}}(\sigma) \otimes V_\sigma \mapsto \langle v, w \rangle a$ .

**5.2. Free Actions.** We now review some properties of free actions, see [66, 67, 68, 69]. We henceforth assume that  $\alpha$  is *free*, which can be characterized in various manners; for our present purpose, it seems best to use [68, Definition 3.1]: we therefore assume that, for all  $\sigma \in \widehat{G}$ , we have  $1_{\mathfrak{B}} \in \langle \Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}}(\sigma), \Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}}(\sigma) \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}}$ , where  $1_{\mathfrak{B}}$  is the unit of  $\mathfrak{B}$ . As explained in [68, Lemma 3.3], this implies in turn that there exists  $s_1, \dots, s_k \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}}(\sigma)$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^k \langle s_j, s_j \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}} = 1_{\mathfrak{B}}$ . In [68, Lemma 3.3], a coisometry (which they call  $s$  in that paper) from  $\mathcal{H}_\sigma := \mathbb{C}^k$  onto  $\mathfrak{A} \otimes V_\sigma$  was defined by sending  $(z_1, \dots, z_k)$  to  $\sum_{j=1}^k z_j s_j$ ; we denote the adjoint of this coisometry by  $s(\sigma)$ . To ease notation and construction ever so slightly, we also define  $S(\sigma)$  as the adjoint of the coisometry

$$(b_1, \dots, b_k) \in \mathfrak{B} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\sigma \longmapsto \sum_{j=1}^k s_j b_j \in \mathfrak{A} \otimes V_\sigma.$$

Note that  $S(\sigma)$  is a  $\mathfrak{B}$ -linear map, and that it is in fact, valued in the multiplicity space  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}}(\sigma)$ , since the latter is a  $\mathfrak{B}$ -module. Also,  $S(\sigma)$  restricted to  $\mathbb{C}1_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\sigma = \mathbb{C}^k$  is  $s(\sigma)$ .

The key here is that  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}}(\sigma)$  is therefore a finitely generated projective module over  $\mathfrak{B}$ , i.e. it is isomorphic to  $P(\sigma)(\mathfrak{B} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\sigma)$ , where the projection  $P(\sigma)$  is defined by  $P(\sigma) := S(\sigma)S(\sigma)^*$ . Using the isomorphism  $\Phi_\sigma$ , we then get that

$$(5.4) \quad \mathfrak{A}(\sigma) = \Phi_\sigma(P(\sigma)(\mathfrak{B} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\sigma) \otimes V_\sigma).$$

In other words,  $\mathfrak{A}(\sigma)$  is also isomorphic, as a  $\mathfrak{B}$ -module, to a finitely generated projective  $\mathfrak{B}$ -module. Moreover, we can give a useful description of  $\mathfrak{A}(\sigma)$ , as the closure in  $\mathfrak{A}$

of the linear span of elements

$$(5.5) \quad a_\sigma(b \otimes v \otimes w) := \Phi_\sigma(P(\sigma)(b \otimes v) \otimes w) \text{ for all } b \in \mathfrak{B}, v \in \mathcal{H}_\sigma, w \in V_\sigma.$$

Furthermore, it is proven, with careful investigation of the above construction, that  $\alpha^g(a_\sigma(b \otimes v \otimes w)) = a_\sigma(b \otimes v \otimes \sigma^g w)$  for all  $g \in G$ ,  $b \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $v \in \mathcal{H}_\sigma$  and  $w \in V_\sigma$ . Since  $\mathfrak{A}$  is the closure of  $\oplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{G}} \mathfrak{A}(\sigma)$ , we thus obtain a description of  $\mathfrak{A}$  entirely in terms of  $\mathfrak{B}$  and various finite dimensional Hilbert spaces. This, in turns, enables the induction of a spectral triple on  $\mathfrak{B}$  to a spectral triple of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

Now,  $(1_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes s(\sigma)^*) : \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \mathfrak{A} \otimes V_\sigma$ , and thus  $p(\sigma) := (1_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes s(\sigma))(1_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes s(\sigma))^*$  is a projection of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\sigma$ , since  $1_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes s(\sigma)$  is an isometry. With this in mind, we define  $p := \oplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{G}} p(\sigma) \otimes \text{id}_{V_\sigma}$  as acting on the Hilbert sum  $\oplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{G}} \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\sigma \otimes V_\sigma$ . We then define the following Hilbert space, which up to tensoring with a Hermitian space carrying a representation of spinors, will be part of our spectral triple:

$$(5.6) \quad \mathcal{H}_G := \left( \overline{\oplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{G}} \mathcal{H}_\sigma \otimes V_\sigma} \right) \text{ and } \mathcal{H}_p := p(\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_G).$$

**5.3. The Representation of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $G$  on  $\mathcal{H}_p$ .** We will now describe Schwieger and Wagner's covariant representation of  $(\mathfrak{A}, G, \alpha)$  on  $\mathcal{H}_p$  [69].

First, as explained in [69], we can fix that  $V_1 = \mathbb{C} = \mathcal{H}_1$  and  $p(1)$  is the identity, where  $1 \in \widehat{G}$  is the trivial representation (note that  $\mathfrak{A}(1) = \mathfrak{B}$ ). Then, we can extend the map  $\sigma \in \widehat{G} \rightarrow S(\sigma)$  to a map from the class of all (unitary classes of) representations of  $G$  in a functorial way, by setting, for any unitary representation  $\sigma$  of  $G$ , with decomposition  $\sigma = \oplus_{j=1}^d \sigma_j$  in irreducible representations  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d \in \widehat{G}$ :

$$S(\sigma) := \oplus_{j=1}^d S(\sigma_j).$$

With this in mind, we introduce, for all  $\sigma \in \widehat{G}$  (a word of caution about notation:  $\delta_\sigma$  is called  $\gamma_\sigma$  in [69]):

$$\delta_\sigma : b \in \mathfrak{B} \mapsto S(\sigma)(b \otimes 1_{V_\sigma})S(\sigma)^* \in \mathfrak{B} \otimes \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H}_\sigma),$$

and, for all  $\sigma, \tau \in \widehat{G}$ :

$$\omega(\sigma, \tau) := S(\sigma \otimes \tau)S(\sigma)^*S(\tau)^* \in \mathfrak{B} \otimes \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H}_\sigma \otimes \mathcal{H}_\tau, \mathcal{H}_{\sigma \otimes \tau}).$$

(5.5)

With the above notation, we will actually build a  $*$ -representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_p$  as below.

Firstly, recall that the linear span of the elements

$$\{\psi_\sigma(\xi \otimes v \otimes w) := s(\sigma)s(\sigma)^*(\xi \otimes v) \otimes w : \sigma \in \widehat{G}, \xi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}, v \in \mathcal{H}_\sigma, w \in V_\sigma\}$$

is dense in  $\mathcal{H}_p = p(\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_G)$ . Moreover the linear span of the elements

$$(5.7) \quad \{a_\sigma(b \otimes \eta \otimes v) := \Phi_\sigma(s(\sigma)^*(b \otimes \eta) \otimes v) : \sigma \in \widehat{G}, b \in \mathfrak{B}, v \in \mathcal{H}_\sigma, \eta \in V_\sigma\}$$

is dense in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . (See Equation (5.4) and [69, Equation (1)] for the definition of  $\Phi_\sigma$ .) Now, chosen an element  $a_\sigma(b \otimes v \otimes w)$ , and chosen  $\psi_\tau(\xi \otimes \omega \otimes \eta)$ , then the representation  $\pi_p$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_p = p(\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_G)$  is defined by [69, Equation (21)]:

$$(5.8) \quad \pi_p(a_\sigma(b \otimes v \otimes w))\psi_\tau(\xi \otimes \omega \otimes \eta) := \psi_{\sigma \otimes \tau}(\omega(\sigma, \tau)\delta_\tau(b)_{13}(\xi \otimes v \otimes \omega \otimes w \otimes \eta)),$$

where  $x \in A \otimes C \mapsto x_{13} \in A \otimes B \otimes C$  is the linear extension of the map  $a \otimes c \in A \otimes C \mapsto a \otimes 1 \otimes c$ .

Since  $\{a_\sigma(b \otimes v \otimes w) : \sigma \in \widehat{G}, b \in \mathfrak{B}, v \in \mathcal{H}_\sigma, w \in V_\sigma\}$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and the linear span of  $\{\psi_\sigma(\xi \otimes v \otimes w) : \sigma \in \widehat{G}, \xi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}, v \in \mathcal{H}_\sigma, w \in V_\sigma\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}_p$ , it is a technical matter to check that these formulas indeed define a  $*$ -representation  $\pi_p$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_p = p(\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_G)$ .



We also define a representation  $u$  of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{H}_p = p(\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_G)$  by: if  $g \in G$ , let

$$(5.9) \quad u^g \psi_\sigma(\xi \otimes v \otimes w) := \psi_\sigma(\xi \otimes v \otimes \sigma^g w).$$

Thus defined,  $u$  extends to a unitary representation of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{H}_p$ . Owing to properties of the isometries  $S(\sigma)$  [68, Lemma 3.3][69, Lemma 3.1], It is shown in [69, Theorem ] that  $(\pi_p, u)$  is a indeed the sought-after covariant representation of  $(\mathfrak{A}, G, \alpha)$  on  $\mathcal{H}_p$ . Moreover, by construction, the fixed point subspace of  $u$  is exactly  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes V_1 = \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ .

In the rest of this section, we will identify  $\mathfrak{A}$  with  $\pi_p(\mathfrak{A})$ , writing  $\mathcal{H}_p$  as a left  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module and dropping the symbol  $\pi_p$ .

We will also drop the subscript  $p$  when it is clear from the context that we are considering  $\pi_p$  or  $u$ .

**5.4. The Hilbert Space and Spectral Triple Operators.** We recall that we are given a metric spectral triple  $(\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}})$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{B}_0$  its Lipschitz algebra, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{B}_0 := \{b \in \mathfrak{B} : b \text{dom}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}}) \subseteq \text{dom}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}}), [\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}}, b] \text{ is bounded} \}.$$

We will detail below the construction of the spectral triple  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}})$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$  that restricts to the fixed-point spectral triple  $(\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}})$  on  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

For our construction, we fix a Hermitian space  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{spin}}$  and  $(\dim G) + 1$  anticommuting self-adjoint unitaries  $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{\dim G}$  acting on  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{spin}}$  — i.e. we choose some finite dimensional representation of the Clifford algebra of  $\mathbb{C}^{\dim G + 1}$ . We then set, as the prospective Hilbert space for our spectral triple:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}} := \mathcal{H}_p \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{spin}}.$$

The actions  $\pi_p$  and  $u$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $G$  on  $\mathcal{H}_p$  we defined in Equations (5.8) and (5.9) are extended to actions on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}$  in the following (trivial) way:

$$(5.10) \quad \pi_{\mathfrak{A}} := (\pi_p \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{spin}}}), \quad u_{\mathfrak{A}} := (u \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{spin}}})$$

In the rest of this section, we will identify  $\mathfrak{A}$  with  $\pi_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{A})$ , writing  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}$  as a left  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module and dropping the symbol  $\pi_{\mathfrak{A}}$ .

We now define, on the subspace

$$p(\oplus_{\sigma \in \hat{G}} \text{dom}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\sigma} \otimes V_{\bar{\sigma}}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{spin}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}},$$

the operator:

$$\mathcal{D}_h := (\oplus_{\sigma \in \hat{G}} (p(\sigma)(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_{\sigma}})p(\sigma)) \otimes 1_{V_{\bar{\sigma}}}) \otimes \gamma_0,$$

and without further mention, we also write  $\mathcal{D}_h$  for the closure of the above operator, which is indeed essentially self-adjoint. Moreover, when restricted to  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{spin}}$ , the operator  $\mathcal{D}_h$  equals  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes 1_{p\mathcal{H}_G} \otimes \gamma_0$ .

As seen naively from its definition, and established carefully in [69], the operator  $\mathcal{D}_h$  commutes with the action  $u$ , namely for all  $g \in G$ , we have  $u^g \text{dom}(\mathcal{D}_h) \subseteq \text{dom}(\mathcal{D}_h)$  and

$$u^g \mathcal{D}_h = \mathcal{D}_h u^g.$$

So far we followed a natural pathway for extending the spectral triple over  $\mathfrak{B}$  to  $\mathfrak{A}$ , but till here our construction has no information on the “vertical” direction along the orbits of the action  $\alpha$ , and this presents itself, among other things, by the fact  $\mathcal{D}_h$  has no compact resolvent. We now address this matter by defining the vertical component of our prospective spectral triple over  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

To this end, we follow Rieffel's construction [55]; see also [18]. For all  $\xi$  in the *algebraic sum*  $\oplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{G}} \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\sigma} \otimes V_{\sigma}$ , the following limit is well-defined for any left invariant vector field  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ :

$$\partial_X \xi := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (u^{\exp(tX)} \xi - \xi).$$

Fix an orthonormal basis  $e_1, \dots, e_d$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$ , for  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G$ , and write  $\partial_j := \partial_{e_j}$  for each  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , with  $d := \dim G$ .

We then set  $D_v$  to be the closure of the essentially self-adjoint operator

$$D_v := \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_j \otimes \gamma_j \quad \text{on} \quad \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}} = \mathcal{H}_p \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{spin}}.$$

The kernel of  $D_v$  is by construction  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{spin}} \cong \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{spin}}$ .

*Remark 5.1.* We also remark that as  $D_h$  commutes by construction with the action  $u$  of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}$  which, in turn, is used to define  $D_v$ ; so the operators  $D_v$  and  $D_h$  anti-commute.

For the construction to move forward, we assume that:

$$\forall b \in \mathfrak{B}_0 : \sup_{\tau \in \widehat{G}} \left\| [D_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}_{\tau}}, \delta_{\tau}(b)] \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\tau}} < \infty$$

and

$$\forall \sigma \in \widehat{G} : \sup_{\tau \in \widehat{G}} \left\| [D_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes 1, \omega(\sigma, \tau)] \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\tau}} < +\infty.$$

Under these assumptions, the spectral triple constructed in [69] is then given as

$$(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}, D_{\mathfrak{A}}) \quad \text{where} \quad D_{\mathfrak{A}} := D_h + D_v.$$

The fact this triple is indeed a spectral triple is seen by noting the dense subspace

$$\mathfrak{A}_0 := \text{Span} \{ a_{\sigma}(b \otimes v \otimes w) : \sigma \in \widehat{G}, b \in \mathfrak{B}_0, v \in \mathcal{H}_{\sigma}, w \in V_{\sigma} \}$$

indeed has bounded commutator with  $D_{\mathfrak{A}}$  (see Theorem [69, Theorem 5.9]), and  $D_{\mathfrak{A}}$  thus defined has a compact resolvent, as needed.

**5.5. The Noncommutative  $G$ -Principal Bundles Convergence Result.** In this section, we apply Theorem (2.5) to those noncommutative  $G$ -principal bundles which are indeed equipped with a metric spectral triple.

Let  $\ell : G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be the distance from the unit  $e$  of  $G$ , as computed using the Riemannian metric given by the translates of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G$ . We denote the diameter of  $G$  for this metric by  $\text{diam}(G, \ell)$ , which is a finite number since  $G$  is compact. Let  $\lambda$  be the Haar measure on  $G$ .

We begin with a useful lemma, due to Rieffel [55, Proof of Theorem 3.1], which we include for convenience and to adapt it to our current notation.

**Lemma 5.2.** *For any  $f \in L^1(G, \lambda)$ , with  $f \geq 0$  and  $\int_G f d\lambda = 1$ , and for all  $a \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ , we have:*

$$\left\| a - \alpha^f(a) \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq \dim G \int_G f(g) \ell(g) d\lambda(g) \cdot \left\| [D_v, a] \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}},$$

where  $\alpha^f$  is defined in Equation (5.1). Moreover for  $D \in \{D_h, D_v, D_{\mathfrak{A}}\}$  we have:

$$\left\| [D, \alpha^f(a)] \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \leq \left\| [D, a] \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}}.$$

*Proof.* We define, for  $a \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ , the map

$$da : X \in \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \partial_X a.$$

The map  $da$  is linear, and thus bounded (since  $\mathfrak{g}$  is finite dimensional).

Let  $g \in G$ . first note that if  $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow G$  is a smooth path from the unit  $e$  of  $G$  to  $g$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|a - \alpha^g(a)\|_{\mathfrak{A}} &= \left\| \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} (\alpha^{c(t)}(a)) dt \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ &\leq \int_G \|\alpha^{c(t)}(\partial_{c'(t)} a)\|_{\mathfrak{A}} dt \\ &\leq \|da\|_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{A}} \int_0^1 \|c'(t)\|_{\mathfrak{g}} dt \\ &\leq \|da\|_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{A}} \ell(g). \end{aligned}$$

Now, by the triangle inequality, since  $(e_1, \dots, e_d)$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  for  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G$ , we conclude that

$$\|da\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \leq \dim(G) \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, \dim(G)\}} \|\partial_j(a)\|_{\mathfrak{A}}.$$

Since

$$\partial_j a \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{spin}}} = \frac{1}{2} ([D_v, a](1 \otimes \gamma_j) + (1 \otimes \gamma_j)[D_v, a]),$$

we conclude that

$$\|da\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \leq \dim(G) \| [D_v, a] \|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}}.$$

Therefore, for all  $g \in G$ ,

$$\|a - \alpha^g(a)\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq \dim(G) \ell(g) \| [D_v, a] \|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a - \alpha^f(a)\|_{\mathfrak{A}} &= \left\| \int_G f(g) a d\lambda(g) - \int_G f(g) \alpha^g(a) d\lambda(g) \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ &\leq \int_G f(g) \|a - \alpha^g(a)\|_{\mathfrak{A}} d\lambda(g) \\ &\leq \int_G \dim(G) f(g) \ell(g) \cdot \| [D_v, a] \|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} d\lambda(g) \\ &= \dim(G) \int_G f(g) \ell(g) d\lambda(g) \cdot \| [D_v, a] \|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}}, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed.

Let  $D \in \{D_h, D_v, D_{\mathfrak{A}}\}$ . Since  $D$  is self-adjoint, the seminorm  $\| [D, \pi(\cdot)] \|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}}$  is lower semicontinuous, and therefore, since  $\int_G f d\lambda = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \| [D, \alpha^f(a)] \|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} &\leq \int_G f(g) \| [D, \alpha^g(a)] \|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} d\lambda(g) \\ &\leq \int_G f(g) \| u^g [D, a] u^{(g^{-1})} \|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} d\lambda(g) \\ &= \int_G \| [D, a] \|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} d\lambda(g) = \| [D, a] \|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}}, \end{aligned}$$

and our proof is concluded.  $\square$

We now provide a sufficient condition to ensure that the spectral triple  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}, D_{\mathfrak{A}})$  is indeed metric.

**Lemma 5.3.** *If, for all  $\sigma \in \widehat{G}$ , the set*

$$\left\{ a \in \mathfrak{A}(\sigma) : \|\| [D_h, a] \|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \leq 1, \|a\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq 1 \right\}$$

*is compact, then the spectral triple  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}, D_{\mathfrak{A}})$  is metric.*

*Proof.* Fix a state  $\mu \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{B})$ , and set  $\varphi := \mu \circ \mathbb{E}$ , where  $\mathbb{E}$  is defined in Equation (5.2). By construction  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ , and  $\varphi(\mathfrak{A}(\sigma)) = 0$  for all  $\sigma \in \widehat{G} \setminus \{1\}$ . Let  $a \in \mathfrak{A}_0$  with  $\|\| [D_{\mathfrak{A}}, a] \|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \leq 1$  and  $\varphi(a) = 0$ . First, if we set  $\mathcal{H}G := \oplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{G}} \mathcal{H}_{\sigma} \otimes V_{\sigma}$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\| [D_{\mathfrak{B}}, \mathbb{E}(a)] \|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}} &= \|\| [D_{\mathfrak{B}}, \mathbb{E}(a)] \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}G} \otimes \gamma_0 \|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \\ &= \|\| [D_{\mathfrak{A}}, \mathbb{E}(a)] \|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \\ &\leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

while  $\mu(\mathbb{E}(a)) = \varphi(a) = 0$  (note:  $\mathbb{E}(a)$  acts on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}$  via  $\pi_{\mathfrak{B}}$ , and via  $\pi_{\mathfrak{A}}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ , as explained above). By [55, Proposition 1.6] we conclude that  $\|\mathbb{E}(a)\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq \text{qdiam}(\mathfrak{B}, D_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \gamma_0)$ . Now,  $\|a - \mathbb{E}(a)\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq k \|\| [D_v, a] \|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \leq k$  with  $k := \dim(G) \text{diam}(G, \ell)$  by Lemma (5.2), so

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \|a\|_{\mathfrak{A}} &\leq \|a - \mathbb{E}(a)\|_{\mathfrak{A}} + \|\mathbb{E}(a)\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ &\leq k + \text{qdiam}(\mathfrak{B}, D_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \gamma_0). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By [29], there exists  $f \in L^1(G, \lambda)$  with  $f \geq 0$ ,  $\int_G f(g) d\lambda(g) = 1$ ,  $\int_G f(g) \ell(g) d\lambda(g) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \dim G}$ , and a finite subset  $F \subseteq \widehat{G}$ , such that the range of  $\alpha^f$  lies in  $\oplus_{\sigma \in F} \mathfrak{A}(\sigma)$ . By Lemma (5.2), we conclude that

$$\|a - \alpha^f(a)\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

and, moreover,

$$\|\| [D_h, \alpha^f(a)] \|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \leq \|\| [D_h, a] \|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \leq 1.$$

Write  $c := \alpha^f(a)$ . Now, for each  $\sigma \in F$ , let  $c_{\sigma} := \alpha^{\chi_{\sigma}}(c)$  (notation as in Equation (5.3)); of course,  $c = \sum_{\sigma \in F} c_{\sigma}$ . We have, again by Equation (5.11) and the definition of  $c_{\sigma}$ :

$$\|c_{\sigma}\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq \|c\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq k + \text{qdiam}(\mathfrak{B}, D_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \gamma_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\| [D_h, c_{\sigma}] \|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \leq \|\| [D_h, c] \|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \leq 1.$$

In summary, we have shown that:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ c \in \mathfrak{A} : \|c\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq k + \text{qdiam}(\mathfrak{B}, D_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \gamma_0), \|\| [D_{\mathfrak{A}}, c] \|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\subseteq \text{sum} \left( \prod_{\sigma \in F} \left\{ c \in \mathfrak{A}(\sigma) : \|c\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq k + \text{qdiam}(\mathfrak{B}, D_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \gamma_0), \|\| [D_h, c] \|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \leq 1 \right\} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{sum} : (c_{\sigma})_{\sigma \in \widehat{G}} \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in F} c_{\sigma}$ . Of course,  $\text{sum}$  is continuous; therefore, by assumption,  $\left\{ c \in \mathfrak{A} : \|c\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq k + \text{qdiam}(\mathfrak{B}, D_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \gamma_0), \|\| [D_h, c] \|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \leq 1 \right\}$  is compact as the image of a compact set by a continuous map. So, in particular, this set is totally bounded, so there exists a  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ -dense subset  $S$  of that set.

So, there exists  $d \in S$  such that  $\|\alpha^f(a) - d\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Therefore,

$$\|a - d\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq \|a - \alpha^f(a)\|_{\mathfrak{A}} + \|\alpha^f(a) - d\|_{\mathfrak{A}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

We now have established that the set

$$\left\{ a \in \mathfrak{A} : \varphi(a) = 0, \|\| [D_{\mathfrak{A}}, a] \|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \leq 1 \right\}$$

is totally bounded. Since it is closed, and since  $\mathfrak{A}$  is complete, it is compact. Therefore, by [59, Theorem 2.1], our proof is complete.  $\square$

An action is *cleft* when the isometry  $s(\sigma)$  can be chosen unitary for all  $\sigma$ , and thus  $p(\sigma) = 1_{\mathfrak{B} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\sigma}$  for each  $\sigma \in \widehat{G}$ . Cleft actions are always free, and include some interesting examples. In fact, several of the examples are really of the following type, which, for this paper, will already open up various interesting situations.

**Corollary 5.4.** *If, for each  $\sigma \in \widehat{G}$ , there exists a linearly independent finite set  $U(\sigma)$  of unitaries of  $\mathfrak{A}(\sigma)$  such that  $\mathfrak{A}(\sigma) := \{\sum_{v \in U(\sigma)} b_v v : b_v \in \mathfrak{B}\}$ , and  $[D_h, v] = 0$  for each  $v \in U(\sigma)$ , then  $\alpha$  is cleft, and  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}, D_{\mathfrak{A}})$  is metric.*

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma \in \widehat{G}$  and let  $a \in \mathfrak{A}(\sigma)$  with

$$\|a\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq 1 \text{ and } \|[D_h, a]\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \leq 1.$$

Let  $U(\sigma) = \{v_1, \dots, v_d\}$ . There exists  $b_1, \dots, b_d \in \mathfrak{B}$  such that  $a = \sum_{j=1}^d b_j v_j$ . By assumption, since  $[D_h, v_j] = 0$ , we have:

$$[D_h, a] = \sum_{j=1}^d [D_h, b_j] v_j.$$

Also, if we define the conditional expectation  $\mathbb{E}$  as in Equation (5.2), for each  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , we have:

$$b_j = \mathbb{E}(a v_j^*), \quad \text{which implies} \quad \|b_j\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq \|a\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq 1.$$

Thus, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \|[D_{\mathfrak{B}}, b_j]\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}} &= \|[D_h, b_j]\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{spin}}} \\ &\leq \|[D_h, b_j]\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \\ &= \|[D_h, \mathbb{E}(a v_j^*)]\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \\ &\leq \int_G \left\| u^g [D_h, \sum_{k=1}^d b_k v_k v_j^*] u^{(g^{-1})} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} d\lambda(g) \\ &= \int_G \left\| [D_h, \sum_{k=1}^d b_k v_k v_j^*] \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} d\lambda(g) \\ &= \left\| [D_h, \sum_{k=1}^d b_k v_k] v_j^* \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^d [D_h, b_k] v_k v_j^* \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^d [D_h, b_k] v_k \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \\ &= \|[D_h, a]\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

We thus have proven:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ a \in \mathfrak{A}(\sigma) : \|[D_h, a]\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \leq 1, \|a\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq 1 \right\} \subseteq \\ \left( \prod_{j=1}^d \left\{ b \in \mathfrak{B} : \|[D_{\mathfrak{B}}, b]\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}} \leq 1, \|b\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq 1 \right\} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{sum} : (b_j)_{j=1}^d \in \mathfrak{B}^d \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^d b_j v_j$ . Since  $(\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}})$  is metric, the set  $\{b \in \mathfrak{B} : \|\|[\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}}, b]\|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}} \leq 1, \|b\|_{\mathfrak{B}} \leq 1\}$  is compact, and since  $\text{sum}$  is a continuous map, we conclude that the right hand side set is compact. So  $\left\{a \in \mathfrak{A}(\sigma) : \|\|[\mathcal{D}_h, a]\|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \leq 1, \|a\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq 1\right\}$  is totally bounded. As it is a closed set, since  $\mathcal{D}_h$  is self-adjoint and thus  $[\mathcal{D}_h, \cdot]$  is a closed derivation, this set is compact. Our result now follows from Lemma (5.3).  $\square$

Now, the construction of  $\mathcal{D}_v$ , hence  $\mathcal{D}$ , depends on our choice of a metric over  $G$ . If we replace  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G$  in our construction by  $\varepsilon \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G$ , then let us denote by  $\mathcal{D}_v^\varepsilon$  the vertical operator constructed above, and  $\mathcal{D}^\varepsilon := \mathcal{D}_h + \mathcal{D}_v^\varepsilon$ . A direct computation shows that  $\mathcal{D}_v^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_v$ . Of course, ‘‘collapsing’’ the fibers means taking the metric along the fiber to 0, i.e.  $\varepsilon$  to 0.

We are now ready to state our main result for this section, which will follow from Theorem (2.5):

**Theorem 5.5.** *Under the assumption of this section, if we set  $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon := \mathcal{D}_h + \mathcal{D}_v^\varepsilon = \mathcal{D}_h + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_v$ , then:*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \Lambda^{\text{spec}}((\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}, \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon), (\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{spin}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \gamma_0)) = 0.$$

*Proof.* We will verify that the hypotheses of Theorem (2.5) are satisfied. First of all, Hypotheses (1) and (2) of Theorem (2.5) are met, thanks to our choice of  $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_d$ ; in fact we note that for all  $a$  in the Lipschitz algebra of  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}})$ , by Lemma (2.4) we have:

$$\max \left\{ \|\|[\mathcal{D}_h, a]\|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\dim G}} \|\|[\mathcal{D}_v, a]\|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \right\} \leq \|\|[\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}}, a]\|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}}.$$

Next, by construction, the kernel of  $\mathcal{D}_v$  is  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{spin}} \cong \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{spin}}$  (cf. Equations (5.8) and (5.10) for the restriction of the action to  $\mathfrak{B}$ ). The projection  $q : \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}} \rightarrow \ker \mathcal{D}_v$  is thus just the projection onto  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{spin}}$ . By construction,  $pq = qp = q$ , and  $q$  commutes with  $\mathcal{D}_h$ ; so Hypotheses (3) and (4) are satisfied. Moreover:

$$q \mathcal{D}_h q = (\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes 1_{p\mathcal{H}_G} \otimes \gamma_0),$$

Now, since  $qb = bq$ :

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\|q[\mathcal{D}_h, b]q\|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} &= \|\|[q\mathcal{D}_h q, b]\|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} = \|\|[(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes 1_{p\mathcal{H}_G} \otimes \gamma_0), b]\|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \\ &= \|\|[(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \gamma_0), b]\|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{spin}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hypothesis (5) is satisfied since  $(\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{spin}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \gamma_0)$  is obviously metric: for all  $b \in \mathfrak{sa}(\mathfrak{B})$  which boundedly commute with  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ ,

$$\|\|[\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \gamma, b]\|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{spin}}} = \|\|[\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}}, b]\|\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}};$$

moreover,  $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}} \pm i)^{-1} \otimes \gamma_0 = (\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \gamma_0 \pm i)^{-1}$ , and since  $\gamma_0$  acts on the finite dimensional space  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{spin}}$ , we conclude that  $(\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{spin}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \gamma_0)$  is indeed a metric spectral triple.

To check Hypothesis (6), let  $\lambda$  be the Haar probability measure over  $G$ . We define the conditional expectation  $\mathbb{E}$  as in Equation (5.2), by, for all  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ :

$$\mathbb{E}(a) := \int_G \alpha^g(a) d\lambda(g).$$

As is well-known,  $\mathbb{E}(a) \in \mathfrak{B}$ , and in fact,  $\mathbb{E}$  is a conditional expectation onto  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Moreover, since  $D_h$  commutes with  $u$ , and since the derivation  $[D_h, \cdot]$  is closed, we conclude:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \| [D_h, \mathbb{E}(a)] \|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \right\| &= \left\| \left\| \int_G [D_h, u^g a u^{(g^{-1})}] d\lambda(g) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \right\| \\ &\leq \int_G \left\| \| u^g [D_h, a] u^{(g^{-1})} \|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \right\| d\lambda(g) \\ &= \left\| \| [D_h, a] \|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Together with Equation (5.12) this proves the hypotheses concerning the horizontal operator in Hypotheses (6). We now turn to the vertical component. First,  $[D_v, b] = 0$  by construction for all  $b \in \mathfrak{B}$ . Moreover, following [55, proof of Theorem 3.1], see Lemma (5.2) for details, we also note that there exists  $k > 0$  such that:

$$\| a - \mathbb{E}(a) \|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq k \left\| \| [D_v, a] \|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}} \right\|.$$

We therefore have all the needed assumptions to apply Theorem (2.5), and get our conclusion.  $\square$

**5.6. Examples.** We now provide a few examples of collapse based upon Theorem (5.5).

We begin with certain C\*-crossed-products. Let  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B} \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}^d$ , for some unital C\*-algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  and some action  $\alpha$  of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  on  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Let  $\hat{\alpha}$  be the dual action of  $\mathbb{T}^d$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Of course  $\widehat{\mathbb{T}^d} = \mathbb{Z}^d$ . Note that the fixed point C\*-subalgebra  $\mathfrak{A}(0)$  of  $\hat{\alpha}$  is  $\mathfrak{B}$ , and more generally, for each  $(k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , the isotopic component  $A(k)$  is  $\mathfrak{B} v_1^{k_1} \cdots v_d^{k_d}$ , where  $v_1, \dots, v_d$  are the canonical unitary in  $\mathfrak{B} \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}^d$  (i.e. the canonical copy of  $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d) = C(\mathbb{T}^d)$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  is the C\*-subalgebra generated by  $v_1, \dots, v_d$ ).

Let now  $(\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}, D_{\mathfrak{B}})$  be a metric spectral triple such that:

$$\mathfrak{B}_0 := \left\{ b \in \mathfrak{B} : \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left\| \| [D, \alpha^k(a)] \|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}} \right\| < \infty \right\}$$

is dense in  $\mathfrak{B}$  — such an action  $\alpha$  is called equicontinuous. In this case, as seen in [69, Section 6], the spectral triple constructed above can be described as follows. Let  $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_d$  be a choice of  $d+1$  anticommuting self-adjoint unitaries acting on  $\mathbb{C}^{d+1}$ . On its natural domain inside  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d+1}$ , the Dirac operator  $D_{\mathfrak{A}}$  above become:

$$D_{\mathfrak{A}} := \underbrace{D_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes 1_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \otimes \gamma_0}_{=D_h} + \sum_{j=1}^d \underbrace{Z_j \otimes \gamma_j}_{=D_v},$$

where  $Z_j$  is the closure of the unique linear operator such that  $Z_j(\xi \otimes \eta) : (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mapsto z_j \eta(z_1, \dots, z_d) \xi$  for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ ,  $\eta \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ , and we identify  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  with  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}})$ .

By Corollary (5.4), we thus conclude that the spectral triple  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}, D_{\mathfrak{A}})$  is metric if  $(\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{B}}, D_{\mathfrak{B}})$  is, and moreover, by Theorem (5.5):

**Corollary 5.6.** *Under the assumption above,*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \Lambda^{\text{spec}}((\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^d, D_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \gamma_0), (\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}, D_{\varepsilon})) = 0.$$

*In particular,*

$$\text{Sp}(D_{\mathfrak{B}} \otimes \gamma_0) = \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n : (\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ convergent sequence such that } \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \lambda_n \in \text{Sp} \left( D_h + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} D_v \right) \right\},$$

*for any choice of sequence  $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $(0, \infty)$  converging to 0.*

We now turn to the example of the quantum 4-torus of [69, Section 7]. We start with a quantum 4-torus  $\mathfrak{A}_\theta^4$  generated by the four canonical unitaries  $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4$ . We restrict the gauge action of  $\mathbb{T}^4$  on  $\mathfrak{A}_\theta^4$  to the torus  $\mathbb{T}^2 \cong \{(1, 1)\} \times \mathbb{T}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{T}^4$ , so if  $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ , then

$$\alpha^{(z_1, z_2)}(u_1) = u_1, \alpha^{(z_1, z_2)} u_2 = u_2, \alpha^{(z_1, z_2)} u_3 = z_1 u_3 \text{ and } \alpha^{(z_1, z_2)} u_4 = z_2 u_4.$$

The fixed point  $C^*$ -algebra of  $\alpha$  is of course the quantum 2-torus  $\mathfrak{A}_{\theta'}^2$  generated by  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  — the matrix  $\theta'$  is well-defined by this simple description modulo an integer-valued matrix. Moreover, the isotopy subspaces for  $\alpha$  are classified by pairs of integers, and for all  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $\mathfrak{A}_\theta^4(k, l) = (\mathfrak{A}_{\theta'}^2) u_3^k u_4^l$ . As above, we can follow Rieffel's construction to obtain a spectral triple  $(\mathfrak{A}_\theta^4, L^2(\mathfrak{A}_\theta^4) \otimes \mathbb{C}^4, \mathcal{D})$  where  $L^2(\mathfrak{A}_\theta^4)$  is the GNS space for the canonical tracial state of  $\mathfrak{A}_\theta^4$  (i.e. the conditional expectation for the dual action of  $\mathbb{T}^4$ ), and

$$\mathcal{D} := \text{the closure of } \sum_{j=1}^4 \partial_j \otimes \gamma_j$$

where  $\partial_j$  is defined as the generator of the action  $t \in \mathbb{R} \alpha^{z_j(t)}$  where

$$z_j : t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto (1, \dots, \underbrace{\exp(2i\pi t)}_{j\text{-th position}}, \dots, 1),$$

and the  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_4$  matrices are again anticommuting self-adjoint unitaries on  $\mathbb{C}^4$ .

For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we define  $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$  as the closure of

$$\partial_1 \otimes \gamma_1 + \partial_2 \otimes \gamma_2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \partial_3 \otimes \gamma_3 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \partial_4 \otimes \gamma_4.$$

Similarly, we have a canonical spectral  $(\mathfrak{A}_{\theta'}^2, L^2(\mathfrak{A}_{\theta'}^2), \mathcal{D}')$ .

Thus, we may again apply Corollary (5.4), and then, Theorem (5.5), to obtain the following collapse.

**Corollary 5.7.** *With the assumption as above,*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \Lambda^{\text{spec}}((\mathfrak{A}_\theta^4, L^2(\mathfrak{A}_\theta^4), \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon), (\mathfrak{A}_{\theta'}^2, L^2(\mathfrak{A}_{\theta'}^2), \mathcal{D}')) = 0.$$

## 6. COLLAPSING COMMUTATIVE SPIN $U(1)$ -PRINCIPAL BUNDLES: THE SMOOTH PROJECTABLE CASE [3, 4]

In this section we present an example of an application of our Theorem (2.5) to classical spaces, that is the case of smooth projectable principal Riemannian closed spin manifold  $U(1)$ -bundles with smooth quotient space, see [3, 4, 64, 63]. We will write  $U(1)$  for the circle group  $\mathbb{T}$  here, to keep the notations in our references. Our hypotheses here are as in the work of Ammann and Ammann and Bär in [3, 4]. (See below for the precise definitions.) More general set-ups are considered in the literature, also in the context of Gromov-Hausdorff limits of manifolds for closed manifolds with bounded curvature and volume, see e.g. [17, 48, 49, 50]; see also in the case of orbifold quotients the papers [64, 63]. Moreover some of the cited results (in the form of factorization) have been extended to suitable noncommutative settings for example in [16], [23] [10], [11], [12], [72]. To simplify matters, throughout this section we will assume that the group  $U(1)$  acts smoothly, freely and isometrically on the spin closed manifold  $M$ , so that the associated  $U(1)$ -principal bundle is a Riemannian submersion which has a manifold quotient space  $N$ . We assume the all of the manifolds we consider are spin and that (when relevant) their spin structures are projectable, see below for the precise definitions. This principal  $U(1)$ -bundle framework includes in particular the classic example of Hitchin



of the Hopf fibration [22], as well as actions of  $U(1)$  on tori. We will refer to the case of  $U(1)$ -principal bundles of the above type, as the *smooth projectable case*.

Our goal is to use the structure detailed in [3, 4] and Theorem (2.5) to prove convergence, under rescaling of the metric by  $\varepsilon > 0$  in the vertical direction, with respect to the spectral propinquity of a bounded variation of the Dirac spectral triple on  $M$  to the Dirac spectral triple on  $N$ . Even in the classical cases of [3, 4] this gives, besides convergence of the eigenvalues, a stronger convergence of the continuous functional calculus.

For simplicity's sake we will assume in the sequel that the dimension  $n$  of  $N$  is even. Mutatis mutandi, our constructions will also apply when the dimension  $n$  of  $N$  is odd.

**6.1. Collapsing Commutative Smooth Projectable Spin  $U(1)$ -Bundles [3, 4].** We now recall the context of [3, 4, 64, 63]. We refer to these references for more details.

We suppose that  $U(1)$  acts smoothly, freely and isometrically on the closed connected Riemannian spin manifold  $(M, \tilde{g})$  of dimension  $(n + 1)$ . Assume that  $n$  even. The base space  $N$  will carry the unique Riemannian metric  $g$  such that the projection

$$\pi : (M, \tilde{g}) \longrightarrow (N, g)$$

is a Riemannian submersion. In particular we view  $M$  as the total space of an  $U(1)$ -principal bundle over the base space  $N := M/U(1)$ .

The  $U(1)$ -action induces a Killing vector field  $K$  on  $M$ . To keep the discussion simple we will assume that the length  $\ell := \|K\| > 0$  is constant on  $M$ , that is, the fibers of  $\pi$  are assumed to be totally geodesic of equal length  $2\pi\ell$ . We also note that one can relax this equal length assumption, see [4, Remark 4.2]. Here too, the case of fibers of non-constant length could be handled by a slight generalized version of Theorem (2.5); we leave to the interested reader to formulate it. However, in the interest of simplicity, we assume here that all of the fibers have equal length.

The metric  $\tilde{g}$  can be characterized in the following way. Let  $K/\ell$  denote the normalized Killing vector field associated to the  $U(1)$  action and let

$$(6.1) \quad f_1 = \partial_1, \dots, f_n = \partial_n$$

be the canonical (local) orthonormal frame on  $N$ . Then

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} := \{e_0 = K/\ell, e_1 = \tilde{\partial}_1, \dots, e_n = \tilde{\partial}_n\}$$

where  $\tilde{X}$  denotes the horizontal lift of a vector field  $X$  with respect to the connection  $\omega$ , is a local orthonormal frame for  $\tilde{g}$ . (This convention of using  $\tilde{\cdot}$  for lifts will also be used for spinor fields, Christoffel symbols, etc. throughout this section.)

Denote by

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} := \{e^j\}_{j=0, \dots, n}$$

the dual frame to  $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$  for 1-forms.

This  $U(1)$ -principal bundle has a unique connection 1-form  $i\omega : TM \rightarrow i\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\ker \omega|_m$  is orthogonal to the fibers for all  $m \in M$ ; here we choose  $\omega = e^0$ . The connection has a curvature 2-form  $d\omega$ . For example in the case of the Hopf fibration the curvature is  $-2ie^1 \wedge e^2$  [22], [53].

As the metric  $\tilde{g}$  on  $M$  is completely characterized by the connection 1-form  $i\omega$ , the fiber length  $2\pi\ell$  and the metric  $g$  on  $N$ , we can express the Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D}_M$  on  $M$  in terms of  $\omega$ ,  $\ell$ , and  $g$ . This allowed Ammann and Bär [3, 4] to analyze the behavior of the spectrum for collapsing  $U(1)$ -fibers. In the projectable case there is convergence of eigenvalues, and we will use the structure detailed in [3, 4] to also prove convergence

of the associated perturbed Dirac spectral triple under rescaling of the metric in the vertical direction.

The  $U(1)$ -action on  $M$  induces a  $U(1)$ -action on the  $SO$ -frame bundle  $P_{SO}(M)$ . A spin structure  $\tilde{\varphi} : P_{\text{Spin}}(M) \rightarrow P_{SO}(M)$  will be called *projectable* if this  $U(1)$ -action on  $P_{SO}(M)$  lifts to  $P_{\text{Spin}}(M)$ . Otherwise it will be called *nonprojectable*.

Any projectable spin structure on  $M$  induces a spin structure on  $N$ . On the other hand, any spin structure on  $N$  canonically induces a projectable spin structure on  $M$  via pull-back.

$$\tilde{\varphi} := \pi^* \varphi \times_{\Theta_n} \Theta_{n+1} : \pi^* \text{Spin}(N) \times_{\text{Spin}(n)} \text{Spin}(n+1) \rightarrow P_{SO(n)}(M) \times_{SO(n)} SO(n+1)$$

yields a spin structure on  $M$ .

By rescaling the metric  $\tilde{g}$  on  $M$  by the factor  $\varepsilon > 0$  along the fibers while keeping it the same on  $\ker \omega$  we obtain a 1-parameter family of metrics  $\tilde{g}_\varepsilon$  on  $M$  for which  $\pi_\varepsilon : M \rightarrow N$  (where  $\pi_\varepsilon$  is given pointwise by the same formula as  $\pi$ ) is still a Riemannian submersion, with fibers of length  $2\pi\ell_\varepsilon$ , where  $\ell_\varepsilon := \ell\varepsilon$ , is the length of the Killing field.

To main idea used in the proof of the main result of Ammann and Ammann-Bär (reported as Theorem 6.2 below) is to decompose the Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D}_M$  on  $M$  as a sum of a vertical Dirac operator, a horizontal Dirac operator, and a zero order term, very much as we have seen in prior sections. This decomposition is respected when we shrink the metric on the fibers by  $\varepsilon$ . In order to define the *horizontal and vertical Dirac operators* we first need to introduce some additional definitions.

If we denote by  $\Sigma_{n+1}$  (resp.  $\Sigma_n$ ) a unitary representation of  $\text{Spin}(n+1)$  (resp.  $\text{Spin}(n)$ ) of dimension  $2^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}$  (resp.  $2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ ), we define the *spinor bundle* of  $M$  (resp.  $N$ ) by  $\Sigma M := P_{\text{Spin}}(M) \times_{\text{Spin}(n+1)} \Sigma_{n+1}$  (resp.  $\Sigma N := P_{\text{Spin}}(N) \times_{\text{Spin}(n)} \Sigma_n$ ). The action of  $U(1)$  on  $P_{\text{Spin}}(N)$  induces an action of  $U(1)$  on the spinor bundle  $\Sigma M$  which we denote by  $\kappa$ . A spinor with base point  $m$  will be mapped by  $\kappa(e^{it})$  to a spinor with base point  $m \cdot e^{it}$ . We define the *Lie derivative* of a smooth spinor  $\Psi$  in the direction of the Killing field  $K$  by

$$(6.2) \quad \mathcal{L}_K(\Psi)(m) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \kappa(e^{-it})(\Psi(m \cdot e^{it})).$$

Since  $\mathcal{L}_K$  is the differential of a representation of the Lie group  $U(1)$  on  $L^2(\Sigma M)$ , we get the decomposition

$$(6.3) \quad L^2(\Sigma M) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} V_k$$

into the eigenspaces  $V_k$  of  $\mathcal{L}_K$  for the eigenvalue  $ik$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The  $U(1)$ -action commutes with the Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D}_M$  on  $M$ , hence this decomposition is preserved by  $\mathcal{D}_M$ .

We will also use the convention that any  $r$ -form  $\alpha$  acts on a spinor  $\Psi$  by

$$\gamma(\alpha)\Psi := \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_r} \alpha(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_r}) \gamma(e_{i_1}) \cdots \gamma(e_{i_r}) \Psi$$

where the  $e_i$  form an orthonormal basis of the tangent space.

The spinor covariant derivative differs from the Lie derivative in the direction  $e_0$  of the Killing field by:

$$(6.4) \quad \nabla_{e_0} = \mathcal{L}_{e_0} + \frac{\ell}{4} \gamma(d\omega) = \mathcal{L}_{e_0} + \frac{\ell}{4} \sum_{j < k; i, j=1, \dots, n} \gamma(d\omega(e_j, e_k)).$$

In light of the above difference between  $\nabla_{e_0}$  and  $\partial_{e_0}$ , we define the *vertical Dirac operator* by

$$(6.5) \quad \mathcal{D}_v := \gamma(K/\ell) \mathcal{L}_K.$$

For later reference, we also define the zero order terms

$$Z := -\frac{1}{4} \gamma(K/\ell) \gamma(d\omega), \quad V := -\frac{1}{4} \ell \gamma(K/\ell) \gamma(d\omega).$$

Next we associate to the  $U(1)$ -bundle  $M \rightarrow N$  the complex line bundle  $L := M \times_{U(1)} \mathbb{C}$  with the natural connection given by  $i\omega$ . Recall that if  $L$  is a line bundle, then by convention  $L^k := L^{\otimes k}$  and  $L^{-k} := (L^*)^{\otimes k}$ .

In [4] it is shown that when  $n$  is even there is a natural homothety of Hilbert spaces (which is an isometry since our fibers have constant length)

$$Q_k : L^2(\Sigma N \otimes L^{-k}) \rightarrow V_k,$$

which commutes with Clifford multiplication and such that the horizontal covariant derivative is given by (recall that tilde's are use to denote lifts.)

$$\nabla_{\tilde{X}} Q_k(\Psi) = Q_k(\nabla_X \Psi) + \frac{\ell}{4} \gamma(K/\ell) \gamma(\tilde{V}_X) Q_k(\Psi)$$

where  $V_X$  is the vector field on  $N$  satisfying  $d\omega(\tilde{X}, \cdot) = \langle \tilde{V}_X, \cdot \rangle$ .

Then the *horizontal operator*  $\mathcal{D}_h : L^2(\Sigma M) \rightarrow L^2(\Sigma M)$  is defined as the unique closed linear operator, such that on each  $V_k$  it is given by the formula below, where  $\nabla^N$  denotes the covariant spinor derivative on  $N$  associated to the Levi-Civita connection on  $N$ , and  $k\nabla^\omega$  is the covariant derivative on the bundle  $L^{-k}$  associated to the connection  $i\omega$ . (Note that now we switched order of the tensor product factors so that the vertical direction corresponds to the 0 value of the index.)

$$(6.6) \quad \mathcal{D}_h : L^2(\Sigma M) \rightarrow L^2(\Sigma M) : \quad \mathcal{D}_h|_{V_k} := Q_k \circ D'_k \circ Q_k^{-1},$$

$$(6.7) \quad \text{where} \quad D'_{h,k} := \sum_{i=1}^n (1_{L^{-k}} \otimes \gamma_i) (1 \otimes \nabla_{f_i}^N + k \nabla_{f_i}^\omega \otimes 1).$$

with  $D'_{h,k}$  the twisted (of charge  $k$ ) Dirac operator on  $L^{-k} \otimes L^2(\Sigma N)$ . Moreover, we have  $\gamma(K/\ell) Q_k(\Psi) = Q_k(c\gamma(\text{dvol}_n)\Psi)$  with  $c \in \{1, i, -1, -i\}$  depending on  $n$  and the representation of the Clifford algebra  $Cl_{n+1}$ . Since  $\gamma(\text{dvol}_n)$  anticommutes with any twisted Dirac operator on  $N$ , we know that  $\gamma(K/\ell)$  anticommutes with  $\mathcal{D}_h$ .

By putting everything together, it follows that

$$(6.8) \quad \mathcal{D}_M = \sum_{i=0}^n \nabla_{e_i} \gamma(e_i) = \mathcal{D}_v + \mathcal{D}_h + V, \quad \text{with} \quad V = -\frac{1}{4} \ell \gamma(K/\ell) \gamma(d\omega).$$

We will now list below the commutation relations between the operators in our construction:

Since  $\gamma(\text{dvol}_n)$  anticommutes with any twisted Dirac operator on  $N$ , we know that  $\gamma(K/\ell)$  anticommutes with  $\mathcal{D}_h$  and hence with  $\gamma(K/\ell)$  [4, Page 241]; therefore it also anticommutes with the vertical operator  $\mathcal{D}_v = \gamma(K/\ell) \mathcal{L}_K$ ; therefore the squares of the vertical and horizontal Dirac operators can be simultaneously diagonalized.

We now rescale the metric in the vertical direction by  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Everything can be defined very much as in the case  $\varepsilon = 1$  detailed above, with the exception of the symbols

and formulas being decorated by  $\varepsilon$  or  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ . More in detail, by [6], after the rescaling, the classical Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D}_{M_\varepsilon}$  associated to  $(M_\varepsilon, \tilde{g}_\varepsilon)$  and defined on  $L^2(\Sigma M_\varepsilon)$  can now be reinterpreted as the operator

$$(6.9) \quad \mathcal{D}_{M_\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\ell_\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_v + \mathcal{D}_h + V_\varepsilon \quad \text{defined on } L^2(\Sigma M).$$

Indeed, the rescaling of the metric corresponds to the rescaling of the spinors in the vertical component by  $\varepsilon$ , while the Dirac operator does not change for this type of rescaling. The term  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$  takes care of all of the changes, [6].

This alternative interpretation of the classic operator  $\mathcal{D}_{M_\varepsilon}$  on  $(M_\varepsilon, \tilde{g}_\varepsilon)$  as a rescaled Dirac operator defined on  $\Sigma M$  will be used in the rest of this section as needed.

In cases such as the ones described in [64], in which the change of the metric is more general than just vertical rescaling by  $\varepsilon$ , one has to take into account more explicitly the isomorphism between  $\Sigma M_\varepsilon$  and  $\Sigma M$ , as well as the way the Dirac operator transforms under this isomorphism, which lead to formulas that are more complicated than what described here, see e.g. [6] and [63, 64, 65].

Associated with the rescaling, we have:

- (1) The rescaled Killing vector has norm  $\ell_\varepsilon = \ell \varepsilon$  and the length of the fibers is  $2\pi \ell_\varepsilon$ .
- (2) We have [3, Page 38]

$$\mathcal{D}_{M_\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\ell_\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_v + \mathcal{D}_h + V_\varepsilon \quad \text{on } L^2(\Sigma M).$$

with

$$V_\varepsilon := -(1/4) \ell_\varepsilon \gamma(K/\ell_\varepsilon) \gamma(d\omega_\varepsilon) \quad \text{on } L^2(\Sigma M).$$

- (3) The Ammann and Bär collapsing condition is, for  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  [3, Equation (1)]:

$$(6.10) \quad \ell_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad \|\ell_\varepsilon d\omega_\varepsilon\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

*Remark 6.1.* In [64] the Ammann and Bär collapsing condition of Equation (6.10) is weakened to  $(\ell_\varepsilon d\omega_\varepsilon)$  converging to a bounded operator.

The main result of [3, 4, 64, 63] is the following theorem:

**Theorem 6.2.** ([3, 4, 64, 63]) *Let  $(M, \tilde{g})$  be a closed Riemannian spin manifold, and let  $U(1)$  act isometrically on  $M$ . We assume that the orbits have constant length  $2\pi \ell$ , which is equivalent to them being totally geodesic. Let  $N = M/U(1)$  carry the induced Riemannian metric, which we will call  $g$ . Let  $E \rightarrow N$  be a Hermitian vector bundle with a metric connection  $\nabla^E$ . Let  $\tilde{g}_\varepsilon$  be the metric on  $M$  described obtained by shrinking  $\tilde{g}$  in the vertical direction, with constant length of the fibers equal to  $\ell_\varepsilon := 2\pi \ell \varepsilon$ .*

*We suppose that the spin structure on  $M$  is projectable and that  $N$  carries the induced spin structure. Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots$  be the eigenvalues of the twisted Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D}_N^E$  on  $L^2(\Sigma N) \otimes E$ .*

*Then we can number the eigenvalues  $(\lambda_{j,k}(\ell_\varepsilon))_{j \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of the twisted Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D}_{M_\varepsilon}$  on  $M$  for  $\tilde{g}_\varepsilon$  on  $L^2(\Sigma M_\varepsilon) \otimes \pi^* E$  such that they depend continuously on  $\ell_\varepsilon$  and such that for  $\ell_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ :*

- (1) For any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\ell_\varepsilon \cdot \lambda_{j,k}(\ell_\varepsilon) \rightarrow k.$$

*In particular,  $\lambda_{j,k}(\ell_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \pm\infty$  if  $k \neq 0$ .*

(2) If  $n = \dim N$  is even, then

$$\lambda_{j,0}(\ell_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mu_j.$$

(3) If  $n = \dim N$  is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{2j-1,0}(\ell_\varepsilon) &\rightarrow \mu_j \\ \lambda_{2j,0}(\ell_\varepsilon) &\rightarrow -\mu_j \end{aligned}$$

In both cases, the convergence of the eigenvalues  $\lambda_{j,0}(\ell_\varepsilon)$  is uniform in  $j$ .

We will now give a brief sketch of the proof of Theorem (6.2) in the case when  $n = \dim(N)$  is even. Let  $\Psi$  be a common eigenspinor for  $\mathcal{L}_K$  and  $\mathcal{D}_h$  for the eigenvalues  $ik$  and  $\mu$  resp.

On  $U := \text{span}\{\Psi, \gamma(K/\ell_\varepsilon)\Psi\}$  the operator  $(1/\ell_\varepsilon)\mathcal{D}_v + \mathcal{D}_h$  is represented by the matrix

$$\frac{1}{\ell_\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -ik \\ ik & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mu & 0 \\ 0 & -\mu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu & -ik/\ell_\varepsilon \\ ik/\ell_\varepsilon & -\mu \end{pmatrix}.$$

where  $\mu$  are the eigenvalues of the Dirac operator on  $N$ . Thus for  $k = 0$  the restriction of  $(1/\ell_\varepsilon)\mathcal{D}_v + \mathcal{D}_h$  has eigenvalues  $\pm\mu$ . For  $k \neq 0$  the eigenvalues of  $\text{res}_U((1/\ell_\varepsilon)\mathcal{D}_v + \mathcal{D}_h)$  are the square roots of  $(k/\ell_\varepsilon)^2 + \mu^2$ . Therefore the eigenvalues  $(\lambda_{j,k}^0(\ell_\varepsilon))_{j \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of  $\text{res}_U((1/\ell_\varepsilon)\mathcal{D}_v + \mathcal{D}_h)$  can be numbered such that they are continuous in  $\ell_\varepsilon$  and satisfy properties (1) and (2) of Theorem 6.2. The additional term  $\ell_\varepsilon Z_\varepsilon$  does not change this behavior because tends to zero in norm for  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

**6.2. Convergence with Respect to the Spectral Propinquity.** We now prove that we have convergence with respect to the spectral propinquity convergence as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . In particular the goal of this section is to show Theorem (6.3), which will be proved applying Theorem (2.5). For simplicity's sake we will consider the case  $E = \mathbb{C}$ . To reconcile the notation we are using here with the notation used in Theorem (2.5), define

$$(6.11) \quad \mathfrak{A} := C(M), \quad \mathfrak{H} := L^2(\Sigma M), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D}_h + \mathcal{D}_v, \quad \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon := \mathcal{D}_h + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\mathcal{D}_v,$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_v$  and  $\mathcal{D}_h$  are defined respectively in Equations (6.5) and (6.6).

Of course we also have:

$$(6.12) \quad \mathcal{D}_{M_\varepsilon} = \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon + V_\varepsilon \quad \text{on } L^2(\Sigma M).$$

**Theorem 6.3.** *Let  $(M, \tilde{g})$  be a closed Riemannian spin manifold endowed with the structure of an  $U(1)$ -principal bundle over the quotient manifold  $N$ , which can be assumed to be a Riemannian submersion over  $(N, g)$  with fibers of constant length  $2\pi\ell$ :*

$$(6.13) \quad \pi : (M, \tilde{g}) \rightarrow (N, g):$$

*Assume all of the hypotheses of Section (6.2); in particular we assume that we are in the smooth projectable case. Let  $(C(M), \Sigma M, \mathcal{D}_M)$  be the standard metric spectral triple associated to the Dirac on  $M$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and define  $\ell_\varepsilon := \ell \varepsilon$  and, with notation as above, the operator*

$$(6.14) \quad \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon := \frac{1}{\ell_\varepsilon}\mathcal{D}_v + \mathcal{D}_h \quad \text{on } L^2(\Sigma M).$$

*Then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the operator  $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$  is self-adjoint on  $\Sigma M$  and the spectral triple*

$$(6.15) \quad (C(M), L^2(\Sigma M), \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)$$

is metric. Moreover

$$(6.16) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \Lambda^{\text{spec}}((C(M), L^2(\Sigma M), \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon), (C(N), L^2(\Sigma N), \mathcal{D}_N)) = 0$$

*Proof.* As we already said, we will prove Theorem (6.3) by applying Theorem (2.5); see Equation (6.11) for the correspondence between our case and the situation in Theorem (2.5).

We will now check that the hypotheses of Theorem (2.5) are satisfied by checking them item-by-item in the lemma below.

**Lemma 6.4.** *With the hypotheses and notation of Theorem (6.3), we have:*

- (0) For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$  is self-adjoint and 0 is isolated in  $\text{spec}(\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)$ . (This is stronger than what required.)
- (1) The following norm inequalities hold, for all  $a \in C(M)$  in the Lipschitz subalgebra of  $\mathcal{D}$ :

$$\max \left\{ \left\| \left[ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_v, a \right] \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma M)}, \left\| [\mathcal{D}_h, a] \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma M)} \right\} \leq \left\| [\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon, a] \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma M)}$$

- (2) For all  $b$  in the Lipschitz subalgebra of  $C(N)$ , we have:

$$[\mathcal{D}_v, b] = 0.$$

- (3) If we let  $p$  be the projection onto  $\ker(\mathcal{D}_v)$ , then  $[p, b] = 0$  and  $[\mathcal{D}_h, p] = 0$  for all  $b \in C(N)$ .
- (4)  $(\mathfrak{B}, \ker \mathcal{D}_v, p \mathcal{D}_h p)$  is a metric spectral triple.
- (5) There exists a positive linear map  $\mathbb{E} : C(M) \rightarrow C(N)$  and a constant  $k > 0$  such that for all  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$  belonging to the Lipschitz algebra such that:

$$\|a - \mathbb{E}(a)\|_{C(M)} \leq k \left\| [\mathcal{D}_h, a] \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma M_\varepsilon)}$$

and

$$\left\| p [\mathcal{D}_h, \mathbb{E}(a)] p \right\|_{C(M)} = \left\| [\mathcal{D}_h, \mathbb{E}(a)] \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma M)} \leq \left\| [\mathcal{D}_v, a] \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma M)}.$$

*Proof.* (Proof of Lemma (6.4)) We will detail below point by point the various steps of the proof.

- (01) The operator  $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$  is self-adjoint for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  since it is the sum of two self-adjoint operators, with one of them being bounded (see e.g. [52] or [46]). Moreover, 0 is isolated in  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)$  since all of its nonzero eigenvalues are given by the square roots of  $(k/\ell_\varepsilon)^2 + \mu^2$  (where  $\mu$  are the eigenvalues of the Dirac operator on  $N$ ), as seen in the proof of Theorem (6.2). Alternatively, the Dirac operator (which has compact resolvent) plus a bounded operator still has compact resolvent.
- (1) We now need to show the two inequalities in Theorem (2.5). These will follow from Lemma (2.3). Indeed recall that on each of the eigenspaces  $V_k$  (of Equation (6.3)), the Dirac operator on  $M$  is given (up to the isometry  $Q_k$ ) by the twisted Dirac  $\mathcal{D}_k$  operator of charge  $k$  on  $V_k = L^{-k} \otimes \Sigma N$ , given by:

$$D'_{h,k} := \sum_{i=1}^n (1_{L^{-k}} \otimes \gamma_i) (1 \otimes \nabla_{f_i}^N + k \nabla_{f_i}^\omega \otimes 1).$$

An application of Lemma (2.4) ends the proof.

- (2) We need to show that we have, for all  $b \in C(N) : [D_\nu, b] = 0$ . This follows by explicitly computing the following expression (note that  $b$  commutes with  $Q_k$  for all  $k$ ):

$$[D'_{h,k}, b] = [\sum_{i=1}^n (1_{L-k} \otimes \gamma_i) (1 \otimes \nabla_{f_i}^N + k \nabla_{f_i}^\omega \otimes 1), b] = 0.$$

- (3) If we let  $p$  be the projection onto  $\ker(D_\nu)$ , then we need to show that:  $[p, b] = 0$  and  $[D_h, p] = 0$  for all  $b \in C(N)$ . But, as in [53, Equation (4.9)]:

$$(6.17) \quad \ker D_\nu = \{\psi | \mathcal{L}_{K/\ell}(\psi) = 0\} = \Gamma(M, \Sigma M) \cong \pi^*(\Gamma(N, L^2(\Sigma N))),$$

which implies the wanted results.

- (4) This is the standard Dirac triple on  $N$ .  
 (5) Verified in the same way as in the proof of Theorem (5.5). □

So the hypotheses of Theorem (2.5) are satisfied and Theorem (6.3) is proven. □

*Remark 6.5.* The method used to prove Theorem (6.3) can also be used to prove more general results covering collapsing conditions such as the ones in [64] in which the curvature terms  $\|\ell_\varepsilon d\omega_\varepsilon\|$  tends uniformly to a bounded operator.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. Aguilar and J. Kaad, *The Podleś sphere as a spectral metric space.*, J. Geom. Phys. **133** (2018), 260–278.
- [2] K. Aguilar and F. Latrémolière, *Quantum ultrametrics on AF algebras and the Gromov–Hausdorff propinquity*, Studia Math. **231** (2015), no. 2, 149–194, ArXiv: 1511.07114.
- [3] B. Ammann, *The Dirac operators on collapsing  $S^1$ -bundles*, Sémin. Théor. Spectr. Géom. **16** (1999), 33–42.
- [4] B. Ammann and C. Bär, *The Dirac operator on nilmanifolds and collapsing circle bundles*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **16**, (1998), 221–253.
- [5] J. Bassi, R. Conti, C. Farsi, and F. Latrémolière, *Spectral Gromov–Hausdorff limits and isometries*, J. London Math. Soc **108**, (2023), 1488–1530, arXiv:2302.09117.
- [6] J.-P. Bourguignon and P. Gauduchon. *Spineurs, Operateurs de Dirac et Variations de Métriques* In: Communications in Mathematical Physics (1992), pp. 581–599.
- [7] M. Christ and M. A. Rieffel, *Nilpotent group  $C^*$ -algebras-algebras as compact quantum metric spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. **60** (2017), no. 1, 77–94, arXiv: 1508.00980.
- [8] A. Connes, *Compact metric spaces, Fredholm modules and hyperfiniteness*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **9** (1989), no. 2, 207–220.
- [9] ———, *Noncommutative geometry*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1994.
- [10] L. Dąbrowski and A. Sitarz, *Noncommutative circle bundles and new Dirac operators*, Comm. Math. Phys. **318** (2013), no. 1, 111–130.
- [11] L. Dąbrowski A. Sitarz, and A. Zucca, *Dirac operators on noncommutative principal circle bundles*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **11** (2014), no.1, 1450012, 29 pp.
- [12] L. Dąbrowski and A. Zucca, *Dirac operators on noncommutative principal torus bundles*, arXiv:1308.4738.
- [13] D. Edwards, *The structure of superspace*. In: Studies in Topology, Proc. Conf., Univ. North Carolina, Charlotte, N.C., 1974; dedicated to Math. Sect. Polish Acad. Sci., 121–133, New-York, London, 1975.
- [14] C. Farsi, T. Landry, N. Larsen, and J. Packer, *Spectral triples for noncommutative solenoids via length functions and inductive limits*, J. Noncommutative Geometry, to appear, ArXiv: 2212.07470.
- [15] C. Farsi, J. Packer, and F. Latrémolière *Convergence of inductive sequences of spectral triples for the spectral propinquity*, Adv. Math, Paper No. 109442, 59 pp., arXiv:2301.00274.
- [16] I. Forsyth and A. Rennie, *Factorisation of equivariant spectral triples in unbounded KK-theory*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **107** (2019), 145–180.
- [17] K. Fukaya, *Collapsing of Riemannian manifolds and eigenvalues of Laplace operator*, Invent. Math. **87** (1987), no. 3, 517–547.
- [18] O. Gabriel and M. Grensing, *Ergodic actions and spectral triples*, J. Oper. Theory **76** (2) (2016) 307–334.

- [19] M. Gromov, *Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **53** (1981), 53–78.
- [20] ———, *Metric structures for Riemannian and non-Riemannian spaces*, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, 1999.
- [21] A. Hawkins, A. Skalski, S White, and J. Zacharias, *On spectral triples on crossed products arising from equicontinuous actions*, Math. Scand. **113** (2013), 262–291, arXiv:1103.6199.
- [22] N. Hitchin, *Harmonic Spinors*, Advances in Mathematics, **14** (1974), 1–55.
- [23] J. Kaad and W. van Suijlekom, *Factorization of Dirac operators on toric noncommutative manifolds*, J. Geom. Phys. **132** (2018), 282–300.
- [24] J. Kaad *External products of spectral metric spaces*, arXiv:2304.03979v2.
- [25] L. V. Kantorovich, *On one effective method of solving certain classes of extremal problems*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. USSR **28** (1940), 212–215.
- [26] L. V. Kantorovich and G. Sh. Rubinstein, *On the space of completely additive functions*, Vestnik Leningrad Univ., Ser. Mat. Mekh. i Astron. **13** (1958), no. 7, 52–59, In Russian.
- [27] D. Kerr, *Matricial quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance*, J. Funct. Anal. **205** (2003), no. 1, 132–167, math.OA/0207282.
- [28] F. Latrémolière, *Continuity of the spectrum of Dirac operators of spectral triples for the spectral propinquity*, Math. Ann., Pub Date: 2023-07-06, DOI:10.1007/s00208-023-02659-x. 49 pages, ArXiv: 2112.11000.
- [29] ———, *Approximation of the quantum tori by finite quantum tori for the quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance*, J. Funct. Anal. **223** (2005), 365–395, math.OA/0310214.
- [30] ———, *The quantum Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **368** (2016), no. 1, 365–411, electronically published on May 22, 2015, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/tran/6334>, ArXiv: 1302.4058.
- [31] ———, *The dual Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées **103** (2015), no. 2, 303–351, ArXiv: 1311.0104.
- [32] ———, *Convergence of fuzzy tori and quantum tori for the quantum Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity: an explicit approach.*, Münster J. Math. **8** (2015), no. 1, 57–98, arXiv: math/1312.0069.
- [33] ———, *The triangle inequality and the dual Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity*, Indiana University Journal of Mathematics **66** (2017), no. 1, 297–313, ArXiv: 1404.6633.
- [34] ———, *A compactness theorem for the dual Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity*, Indiana University Journal of Mathematics **66** (2017), no. 5, 1707–1753, ArXiv: 1501.06121.
- [35] ———, *Curved noncommutative tori as Leibniz compact quantum metric spaces*, J. Math. Phys. **56** (2015), no. 12, 123503, 16 pages, arXiv: 1507.08771.
- [36] ———, *The dual Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **103** (2015), no. 2, 303–351, arXiv: 1311.0104.
- [37] ———, *Equivalence of quantum metrics with a common domain*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **443** (2016), 1179–1195, arXiv: 1604.00755.
- [38] ———, *Convergence of Cauchy sequences for the covariant Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **469** (2019), no. 1, 378–404, arXiv: 1806.04721.
- [39] ———, *The modular Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity*, Dissertationes Math. **544** (2019), 1–70, arXiv: 1608.04881.
- [40] ———, *The covariant Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity*, Studia Math. **251** (2020), no. 2, 135–169, arXiv: 1805.11229.
- [41] ———, *Convergence of Cauchy sequences for the covariant Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **469** (2019), no. 1, 378–404, arXiv: 1806.04721.
- [42] ———, *Convergence of spectral triples on fuzzy tori to spectral triples on quantum tori*, Comm. Math. Phys. **388** (2021), no. 2, 1049–1128, arXiv: 2102.03729.
- [43] ———, *The dual-modular Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity and completeness*, J. Noncomm. Geometry **115** (2021), no. 1, 347–398.
- [44] ———, *The Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity for metric spectral triples*, Adv. Math. **404** (2022), Paper No. 108393, 56.
- [45] F. Latrémolière and J. Packer, *Noncommutative solenoids and the Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (2017), no. 5, 1179–1195, arXiv: 1601.02707.
- [46] M. Lesch and B. Mesland, *Sums of regular self-adjoint operators in Hilbert- $C^*$ -modules*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **472** (2019), 947–980.
- [47] B. Long and W. Wu, *Twisted bounded-dilation group  $C^*$ -algebras as  $C^*$ -metric algebras*, Sci. China Math. **64** (2021), no. 3, 547–572.



- [48] J. Lott, *Collapsing and the differential form Laplacian: the case of a singular limit space*, Feb 2002. <https://math.berkeley.edu/~lott/sing.pdf>
- [49] J. Lott, *Collapsing and the differential form Laplacian: the case of a smooth limit space*, Duke Math. J. **114** (2002), 267–306.
- [50] J. Lott, *Collapsing and Dirac-type operators* In: Proceedings of the Euroconference on Partial Differential Equations and their Applications to Geometry and Physics (Castelvecchio Pascoli, 2000), vol. **91** (2002), 175–196.
- [51] E. J. McShane, *Extension of range of functions*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society **40** (1934), no. 12, 825–920.
- [52] M. H. Mortad, *On the adjoint and the closure of the sum of two unbounded operators*, Canad. Math. Bull. **54** (2011), 498–505.
- [53] J. C. Orduz,  *$S^1$ -Equivariant Dirac operators on the Hopf fibration*, [https://juanitorduz.github.io/documents/hopf\\_fibration.pdf](https://juanitorduz.github.io/documents/hopf_fibration.pdf).
- [54] N. Ozawa and M. A. Rieffel, *Hyperbolic group  $C^*$ -algebras and free product  $C^*$ -algebras as compact quantum metric spaces*, Canad. J. of Math. **57** (2005), 1056–1079, arXiv: math/0302310.
- [55] M. A. Rieffel, *Metrics on states from actions of compact groups*, Doc. Math. **3** (1998), 215–229, math.OA/9807084.
- [56] ———, *Metrics on state spaces*, Doc. Math. **4** (1999), 559–600, math.OA/9906151.
- [57] ———, *Group  $C^*$ -algebras as compact quantum metric spaces*, Doc. Math. **7** (2002), 605–651, arXiv: math/0205195.
- [58] ———, *Gromov–Hausdorff distance for quantum metric spaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **168** (2004), no. 796, 1–65, math.OA/0011063.
- [59] ———, *Compact quantum metric spaces*, Operator algebras, quantization, and noncommutative geometry, Contemporary Math, vol. 365, American Mathematical Society, 2005, arXiv: 0308207, p. 315–330.
- [60] ———, *Leibniz seminorms for “matrix algebras converge to the sphere”*, Clay Mathematics Proceedings **11** (2010), 543–578, arXiv: 0707.3229.
- [61] ———, *Leibniz seminorms and best approximation from  $C^*$ -subalgebras*, Sci China Math **54** (2011), no. 11, 2259–2274, arXiv: 1008.3773.
- [62] ———, *Matricial bridges for “matrix algebras converge to the sphere”*, Operator algebras and their applications, Contemp. Math., vol. 671, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016, arXiv: 1502.00329, p. 209–233. MR 3546687
- [63] S. Roos, *The Dirac operator under collapse with bounded curvature and diameter*, Ph.D. thesis (2018). Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn. <http://hss.ulb.uni-bonn.de/2018/5196/5196.htm>
- [64] ———, *Dirac operators with  $W^{1,\infty}$ -potential under codimension one collapse*, Manuscripta Math. **157** (2018), 387–410.
- [65] ———, *The Dirac operator under collapse to a smooth limit space*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **57** (2020), 121–151.
- [66] K. Schwieger and S. Wagner. Part I, Free actions of compact Abelian groups on  $C^*$ -algebras. *Adv. Math.*, **317** (2017), 224–266.
- [67] K. Schwieger and S. Wagner. Part II, Free actions of compact groups on  $C^*$ -algebras. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 11(2):641–688, 2017.
- [68] K. Schwieger and S. Wagner, *Part III, free actions of compact quantum groups on  $C^*$ -algebras*, SIGMA **13** (2017), no. 62, 19 pp., ArXiv: 1701.05895.
- [69] ———, *Lifting spectral triples to noncommutative principal bundles*, Adv. Math. **396** (2022), paper. 108160, ArXiv: 2012.15364.
- [70] W. Wu, *Non-commutative metric topology on matrix state spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), no. 2, 443–453, arXiv: math.OA/0410587.
- [71] ———, *Quantized Gromov–Hausdorff distance*, J. Funct. Anal. **238** (2006), no. 1, 58–98, arXiv: math.OA/0503344.
- [72] [63] A. Zucca, *Dirac operators on quantum principal  $G$ -bundles*, PhD-thesis Scuola Internazionale Superiore di Studi Avanzati, 2013.

Email address: [carla.farsi@colorado.edu](mailto:carla.farsi@colorado.edu)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO, BOULDER CO 80309-0395

Email address: [frederic@math.du.edu](mailto:frederic@math.du.edu)

URL: <http://www.math.du.edu/~frederic>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DENVER, DENVER CO 80208