

GLUING FORMULAE FOR HEAT KERNELS

PAVEL MNEV AND KONSTANTIN WERNLI

ABSTRACT. We state and prove two gluing formulae for the heat kernel of the Laplacian on a Riemannian manifold of the form $M_1 \cup_\gamma M_2$. We present several examples.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Main Result	2
1.2. Plan of the paper	3
1.3. Conventions	4
Acknowledgements	4
2. Preliminaries	4
2.1. Laplace transform	4
2.2. The Helmholtz operator and its square root	5
2.3. A duality between the heat kernel and the Green's function for the Helmholtz operator	5
2.4. Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator	6
2.4.1. Integral kernel	7
2.4.2. Total Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator	7
3. A conjecture on the bulk dependence of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator	7
4. Gluing formulae	9
4.1. Gluing formula I	9
4.2. An expression for $\mathbf{L}^{-1}[\mathbf{DN}^{-1}]$.	11
4.3. Gluing formula II	12
4.4. "Cutting-out" formulae for the heat kernel	12
5. Examples	14
5.1. Gluing two rays	14
5.2. Gluing two finite intervals	15
5.3. Half-spaces	16
5.4. Cylinders	17
Appendix A. Motivation: Cutting and gluing in renormalized quantum field theory	17
Appendix B. Proof of Proposition 2.2	19
Appendix C. Proof of Lemma 3.3	20
C.1. Proof of the estimate (33).	21

This work is partly a result of the ERCSyG project, Recursive and Exact New Quantum Theory (ReNewQuantum) which received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 81057, and supported K. W. during the completion of this work.

Appendix D. Examples of Dirichlet-to-Neumann operators (for Assumption A)	23
Appendix E. Path integral interpretation of the gluing formula	24
Appendix F. A gluing formula for the heat kernel on a graph	26
F.1. Generalities	26
F.2. Gluing formula for Green's functions	26
F.2.1. Extension operator as a discrete normal derivative	27
F.3. Gluing formulae for the heat kernel	28
F.3.1. Discrete gluing formula I	28
F.3.2. Discrete gluing formula II	31
F.4. Path sum formulae	32
References	35

1. INTRODUCTION

The goal of this paper is to investigate the behavior of the heat kernel of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a Riemannian manifold M decomposed along a codimension one submanifold $\gamma \subset M$ into two Riemannian manifolds with boundary M_1 and M_2 , i.e., $M = M_1 \cup_\gamma M_2$. Namely, we obtain a formula (formula (2) below) for the heat kernel on M , expressed purely in terms of the heat kernels on M_1, M_2 , and γ . Our proof requires a certain assumption (Assumption A on p. 8) on the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operators of the manifolds M_1, M_2 and relies on an intermediate gluing formula (1) that is a consequence of a gluing formula for Green's functions.

Our original motivation comes from perturbative quantum field theory, see Appendix A. Given the ubiquitousness of the heat kernel, this formula is expected to have other applications. We envision that it could be useful, e.g., in the connection of the heat kernel to random walks, or lead to another proof of the Burghelea-Friedlander-Kappeler gluing formula for determinants [2].

1.1. Main Result. Here is the main theorem of the paper (summarizing Proposition 4.2 and Corollary 4.5 in the main text).

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a Riemannian manifold, possibly with boundary ∂M , split by a codimension one submanifold γ disjoint from ∂M into M_1 and M_2 : $M = M_1 \cup_\gamma M_2$. Then:*

- (i) *The heat kernel on M can be expressed in terms of the data associated to M_1 and M_2 as follows. For $x \in M_a, y \in M_b$ with $a, b \in \{1, 2\}$, one has*

$$(1) \quad K(x, y|t) = \delta_{ab} K^{(a)}(x, y|t) + \int_{t_0+t_1+t_2=t, t_i>0} dt_0 dt_1 \int_{\gamma \times \gamma} dudv \partial_u^n K^{(a)}(x, u|t_0) \mathbf{L}^{-1}[\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{-1}(u, v)](t_1) \partial_v^n K^{(b)}(v, y|t_2).$$

Here the notations are:

- K and $K^{(1,2)}$ are the heat kernels on M and $M_{1,2}$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions.
- ∂^n is the normal derivative on the interface γ .

- $\mathbb{D}_{m^2}(u, v) = \text{DN}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M_1} + \text{DN}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M_2}$ is sum of two Dirichlet-to-Neumann operators, corresponding to extending a function $\eta \in C^\infty(\gamma)$ to a solution of Helmholtz equation $(\Delta + m^2)\phi = 0$, $\phi|_\gamma = \eta$ on $M_{1,2}$ and taking the normal derivative of ϕ on γ .
 - \mathbf{L}^{-1} is the inverse Laplace transform of a function of m^2 to a function of t .
- (ii) Moreover, under an extra assumption on $M_{1,2}$ (Assumption A; in particular, it holds for γ compact and M having product metric near γ), one can directly express the heat kernel on M in terms of heat kernels on $M_{1,2}$ and on the interface γ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \quad K(x, y|t) &= \delta_{ab} K^{(a)}(x, y|t) + \\
 &+ \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \int_{\sum_{i=0}^{2k+2} t_i = t, t_i > 0} \prod_{i=0}^{2k+1} dt_i \int_{\gamma^{2k+2}} \prod_{i=0}^{2k+1} du_i \partial_{u_0}^n K^{(a)}(x, u_0|t_0) \cdot \\
 &\cdot \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t_{2i+1}}} K^\gamma(u_{2i}, u_{2i+1}|t_{2i+1}) K'(u_{2i+1}, u_{2i+2}|t_{2i+2}) \cdot \\
 &\quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t_{2k+1}}} \cdot K^\gamma(u_{2k}, u_{2k+1}|t_{2k+1}) \partial_{u_{2k+1}}^n K^{(b)}(u_{2k+1}, y|t_{2k+2}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the notation

$$K'(u, v|t) = \partial_u^n \partial_v^n \left(K^{(1)}(u, v|t) + K^{(2)}(u, v|t) \right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t^{3/2}}} K^\gamma(u, v|t).$$

1.2. Plan of the paper. Overall we have made an attempt at keeping the main body of the paper concise. Technical proofs and digressions have been moved to appendices.

In Section 2 we collect preliminaries on the Laplace transform and its application to the relation between the heat kernel and the Green's function. We also recall some facts about the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator.

In Section 3 we formulate a technical assumption about the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator that is required for the proof of our main theorem. We expect this assumption to be satisfied on any Riemannian manifold with boundary. (Conjecture 3.5).

Section 4 is the main part of the paper and contains our main results, two gluing formulae for the heat kernel on a Riemannian manifold M with a decomposition $M = M_1 \cup_\gamma M_2$. The first one is Proposition 4.2, the second one is Theorem 4.4 and Corollary 4.5.

As an aside, in Subsection 4.4 we present a related pair of “cutting-out” formulae for heat kernels, allowing one to recover the heat kernel on a closed domain in M with Dirichlet boundary condition from the heat kernel on M .

Section 5 contains some examples of the gluing formulae.

In Appendix A we explain our original motivation for writing this paper, the study of renormalization in quantum field theory and how it interacts with cutting and gluing of the spacetime manifold.

In Appendices B and C contain the proofs of technical results.

Appendix D contains some examples of Conjecture 3.5.

In Appendix E we discuss the interpretation of our gluing formula in terms of the path integral representation of the heat kernel (Feynman-Kac formula) at a heuristic level.

Finally, Appendix F contains a gluing formula for the heat kernel on a graph, and its interpretation in terms of sums over paths on a graph. This is a rigorous discrete analog of the heuristic discussion in the previous appendix.

1.3. Conventions. Unless stated otherwise, all Riemannian manifolds are assumed to be smooth, possibly with (smooth) boundary.

For Green's functions and heat kernels, Dirichlet boundary condition is assumed by default.

For M a Riemannian manifold, $\int_M dx \cdots$ denotes the integral with respect to Riemannian volume form on M .

Operators are denoted with superscripts according to which space they act on, e.g. Δ^M denotes the Laplace operator on M , etc. When there is no danger of confusion, the superscript will sometimes be dropped. If the operator depends on extra parameters, those will be denoted with a subscript, and again this might be dropped when there is no danger of confusion.

Acknowledgements. We thank Olga Chekeres, Ivan Contreras, Santosh Kandel, Andrey Losev, Donald R. Youmans for helpful discussions related to the gluing formula for Green's functions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Laplace transform. The Laplace transform of a locally integrable function $f(t)$ on $[0, \infty)$ is the function $F(s)$ of the complex parameter s defined by¹

$$F(s) = \mathbf{L}[f](s) = \int_0^\infty f(t)e^{-st} dt.$$

The function $F(s)$ is defined on the set $R_f = \{s \in \mathbb{C}, \int_0^\infty |f(t)e^{-st}| dt < \infty\}$, which can be seen to be of the form $R_f = \operatorname{Re}(s) > a_f$ or $R_f = \operatorname{Re}(s) \geq a_f$, for some $a_f \in [-\infty, \infty]$. The set R_f is called the region of (absolute) convergence and a_f is known as the abscissa of absolute convergence.

Conversely, if $F(s)$ is a holomorphic function of a complex parameter s , defined on a set of the form $\operatorname{Re} s > a$, the inverse Laplace transform of F is the function $f(t)$ defined by

$$f(t) = \mathbf{L}^{-1}[F](t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} F(s)e^{st} ds$$

with $\gamma > a$. It is a classical fact that if $F(s) = \mathbf{L}[f](s)$ and $\gamma > a_f$, then $\mathbf{L}^{-1}[F](t) = f(t)$. An important property of the inverse Laplace transform is that it maps product to convolution,

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{L}^{-1}[\mathbf{L}[f](s) \cdot \mathbf{L}[g](s)](t) = \int_0^t f(\tau)g(t-\tau)d\tau.$$

¹Slightly more generally, one defines the Laplace transform of a measure μ on $[0, \infty)$ to be

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} e^{-st} d\mu.$$

Below we will sometimes use the point measure at 0 and denote it $\delta(t)dt$, its Laplace transform is the constant function $F(s) \equiv 1$.

2.2. The Helmholtz operator and its square root. Let M be a closed² Riemannian manifold and $\Delta^M: C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ the non-negative Laplace operator on $C^\infty(M)$,

$$\Delta^M \equiv \Delta = d^*d = - * d * d.$$

It is a non-negative unbounded operator on $L^2(M)$ with spectrum

$$(4) \quad 0 = \omega_1 \leq \omega_2 \leq \omega_3 \leq \dots$$

with $\omega_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

For any $m > 0$, the Helmholtz operator $\Delta + m^2: C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ is a (strictly) positive operator on $L^2(M)$, and hence has a unique positive square root $\sqrt{\Delta + m^2}: C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$.

For our purposes it is important to consider the Helmholtz operator where the mass is allowed to have a nonzero imaginary part. For $m \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} m > 0$, the Helmholtz operator is no longer positive, but there still is a unique square root $\sqrt{\Delta + m^2}$ whose eigenvalues $\mu_k = \sqrt{\omega_k + m^2}$ have positive real part and we have

$$(5) \quad 0 < \operatorname{Re} \mu_1 = \operatorname{Re} m \leq \operatorname{Re} \mu_2 \leq \dots$$

with $\operatorname{Re} \mu_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.³

The Helmholtz operator is invertible and we denote by $G_{m^2}(x, y)$ the integral kernel of its inverse, called the Green's function.

One can also allow M to have boundary and consider the spectrum of Δ and $\sqrt{\Delta + m^2}$ with Dirichlet boundary condition. In this case, inequalities (4) should be adjusted to $0 < \omega_1 \leq \omega_2 \leq \dots$ and (5) – to $0 < \operatorname{Re} m < \operatorname{Re} \mu_1 \leq \operatorname{Re} \mu_2 \leq \dots$.

2.3. A duality between the heat kernel and the Green's function for the Helmholtz operator. Denote by $K_0(x, y|t)$ the heat kernel of Δ , i.e. the integral kernel of $e^{-t\Delta}$. The proof of our gluing formula rests on the observation that the Laplace transform interchanges the heat kernel of the Laplacian, considered as function of $t \in [0, \infty)$, and the Green's function G_{m^2} of the Helmholtz operator, considered as a holomorphic function in m^2 . Namely, the heat kernel for the Helmholtz operator $\Delta + m^2$ is

$$K_{m^2}(x, y|t) = e^{-m^2 t} K_0(x, y|t).$$

For $x \neq y$ fixed, the Green's function of $\Delta + m^2$ is given by

$$(6) \quad G_{m^2}(x, y) = \int_0^\infty K_{m^2}(x, y|t) dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-m^2 t} K_0(x, y|t) dt = \mathbf{L}[K_0(x, y)](m^2).$$

The convergence of this integral is uniform on compact subsets of $(M \times M) \setminus \Delta$, as the heat kernel K_{m^2} vanishes exponentially for $t \rightarrow 0$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$ on such subsets. Therefore, the heat kernel is the inverse Laplace transform of the Green's function

$$K_0(x, y|t) = \mathbf{L}^{-1}[G_{m^2}(x, y)](t).$$

We will henceforth suppress the subscript 0 in K_0 and denote it simply K .

The assertions in this subsection, in contrast to those on the spectrum of Δ^M in Section 2.2, are valid also in the case where M is not compact. The simplest

²In this subsection manifolds are required to be compact. In the rest of the paper we are not making such an assumption, unless stated otherwise. We will not assume that manifolds are connected.

³In other words, we choose the unique square root of $\Delta + m^2$ whose real part is a positive operator.

example is $M = \mathbb{R}$, where the Laplacian (on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$) has continuous spectrum $\sigma(\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}) = [0, \infty)$.⁴

Example 2.1. The heat kernel on \mathbb{R} is

$$K_{m^2}(x, y|t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}} e^{-m^2 t - (x-y)^2/(4t)}.$$

Integrating over t we obtain⁵

$$\mathbf{L}[K_0(x, y)](m^2) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}} e^{-m^2 t - (x-y)^2/(4t)} dt = \frac{e^{-m|x-y|}}{2m} = G_{m^2}(x, y).$$

Conversely, denoting $s = m^2$ we get for any $\gamma > 0$

$$\mathbf{L}^{-1}[G_{m^2}(x, y)] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{s}|x-y|}}{2\sqrt{s}} e^{st} ds = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}} e^{-(x-y)^2/(4t)} = K_0(x, y|t).$$

Evaluating the integral over s is a nontrivial exercise in using a keyhole contour.

2.4. Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator. Let M be a Riemannian manifold with boundary γ and $\eta \in C^\infty(\gamma)$. Denote by ϕ_η the (unique) solution to the Dirichlet problem for the Helmholtz operator,

$$\begin{cases} (\Delta + m^2)\phi_\eta = 0, \\ \phi_\eta|_{\partial M} = \eta. \end{cases}$$

For a function $f \in C^\infty(M)$, denote $\partial^n f \in C^\infty(\gamma)$ the outward normal derivative on the boundary of f . The Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator is defined by

$$\text{DN}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M}: \begin{array}{ccc} C^\infty(\gamma) & \rightarrow & C^\infty(\gamma) \\ \eta & \mapsto & \partial^n \phi_\eta \end{array}$$

One can show that it is an elliptic order 1 pseudo-differential operator, invertible if $m^2 \neq 0$.⁶ For real m , its extension to $L^2(\gamma)$ is positive definite and therefore symmetric, and in fact self-adjoint [13, Theorem 2]. For $m^2 \neq 0$ and γ compact, the spectrum of $\text{DN}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers

$$0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_N \leq \dots$$

with $\lambda_N \rightarrow \infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. This spectrum is also known as the *Steklov spectrum*, see for instance the historical survey [16] or the review article [11]. Its inverse

$$(\text{DN}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M})^{-1}: L^2(\gamma) \rightarrow L^2(\gamma)$$

is an elliptic pseudodifferential operator of order -1, which is also positive and self-adjoint. Again, it will be important for us to consider the case of complex m^2 with $\text{Re } m^2 > 0$. In this case, $\text{DN}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M}$ remains an invertible pseudodifferential operator of order 1, which depends holomorphically on m^2 [5].

⁴This follows from the fact that the operator $(\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n} - \lambda)^{-1}$ (which on L^2 exists for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$) is unbounded if and only if $\lambda > 0$.

⁵In one dimension, the singularity of the heat kernel trace at 0 is integrable.

⁶See [17, Appendix C.1]. For $m = 0$, $\text{DN}_0^{\gamma, M}$ is instead an index 0 Fredholm operator with kernel given by constant functions and image given by functions of vanishing integral.

2.4.1. *Integral kernel.* Let M be a Riemannian manifold with boundary γ and $G_{m^2}^{M,\gamma}$ the Green's function of $\Delta^M + m^2$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Then the harmonic extension ϕ_η of $\eta \in C^\infty(M)$ is given by

$$\phi_\eta(x) = \int_{y \in \gamma} \partial_y^n G_{m^2}(x, y) \eta(y) dy.$$

Therefore, the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator can be written as

$$(7) \quad (\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M} \eta)(x) = \int_{y \in \gamma} \partial_x^n \partial_y^n G_{m^2}^{M,\gamma}(x, y) \eta(y) dy.$$

Thus, the integral kernel of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator is

$$\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M}(x, y) = \partial_x^n \partial_y^n G_{m^2}^{M,\gamma}(x, y)$$

understood in a regularized sense as in [15, Remark 3.4].

2.4.2. *Total Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator.* Given a Riemannian manifold with a decomposition $M = M_1 \cup_\gamma M_2$, we define the *total* or *interface* Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator

$$\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M} = \mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M_1} + \mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M_2}.$$

Then we have the following elementary result on the integral kernel of its inverse:

Proposition 2.2. *The integral kernel of the inverse of the total Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator coincides with the restriction of the Green's function on M to γ , that is, for $\eta \in C^\infty(\gamma)$ we have*

$$((\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M})^{-1} \eta)(x) = \int_{y \in \gamma} G_{m^2}^M(x, y) \eta(y) dy.$$

This is a well-known fact (see for instance [5, Proof of Theorem 2.1]) but for completeness we give a proof in Appendix B.

3. A CONJECTURE ON THE BULK DEPENDENCE OF THE DIRICHLET-TO-NEUMANN OPERATOR

For a single Riemannian manifold M with boundary γ , the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator can be split as

$$\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M} = \sqrt{\Delta^\gamma + m^2} + (\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M})',$$

where $(\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M})'$ is a pseudo-differential operator of order at most 0, see [17]. In particular, if γ is compact, then $(\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M})'$ is bounded by the Calderon-Vaillancourt theorem [6]. In dimension 2, one actually has that $(\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M})'$ is a pseudo-differential operator of order at most -2 [15, Proposition A.3].

Remark 3.1. The term $\sqrt{\Delta^\gamma + m^2}$ is the DN operator for the semi-infinite cylinder $\gamma \times [0, +\infty)$. To see this, consider an eigenfunction η of $\Delta^\gamma + m^2$ with eigenvalue $\lambda^2, \lambda > 0$. Then $e^{-\lambda t} \eta$ is harmonic on $\gamma \times [0, \infty)$ and

$$\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{\gamma, \gamma \times [0, \infty)} \eta = -\partial_t(e^{-\lambda t} \eta)|_{t=0} = \lambda \eta = \sqrt{\Delta^\gamma + m^2} \eta.$$

It is easy to see all harmonic functions on the cylinder are of this kind and hence $\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{\gamma, \gamma \times [0, \infty)} = \sqrt{\Delta^\gamma + m^2}$.

This means that to leading order, the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator is only sensitive to the boundary geometry (it is given by the square root of the Helmholtz operator) but subleading orders depend on the bulk geometry.⁷ The precise nature of this dependence has been the subject of much recent research. To prove our gluing formula however we require a strong control over this dependence that we were unable to locate in the literature, namely the following statement:

Assumption A. Let M be a Riemannian manifold with boundary γ . We assume that:

- (i) The operator $(\text{DN}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M})'$ is bounded.⁸
- (ii) For any $\delta > 0$ there is $C \gg 1$ such that for $\text{Re } m^2 > C$ we have

$$(8) \quad \|(\text{DN}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M})'\| < \delta \cdot |m|.$$

I.e. for very large m^2 the operators $\sqrt{\Delta^\gamma + m^2}$ and $\text{DN}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M}$ can be made arbitrarily close relative to m . If $M = M_1 \cup_\gamma M_2$ the interface Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator

$$(9) \quad \mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M} = \text{DN}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M_1} + \text{DN}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M_2}$$

has the splitting

$$\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M} = \underbrace{2\sqrt{\Delta^\gamma + m^2}}_A + \underbrace{(\text{DN}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M_1})' + (\text{DN}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M_2})'}_{\mathbb{D}' } = A + \mathbb{D}'.$$

Assumption A then implies that \mathbb{D}' is bounded and $\|\mathbb{D}'\| < 2|m|$ for very large m^2 .

One class of manifolds M for which the Assumption holds is cylinders. For more explicit examples, see Appendix D.

Example 3.2 (Cylinder). Let γ be a closed Riemannian manifold and $M = [0, L] \times \gamma$ with the product metric, and let $0 = \omega_0 \leq \omega_1 \leq \omega_2 \leq \dots$ denote the eigenvalues of the Laplace operator Δ^γ , with $(\eta_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ the corresponding eigenbasis of $L^2(\gamma)$. Let us for simplicity consider the $0 - 0$ block of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator. The unique function $\phi_k(t, x)$ on $I \times \gamma$ satisfying

$$(\Delta^{I \times \gamma} + m^2)\phi_k(t, x) = \left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \Delta^\gamma + m^2\right)\phi_k(t, x) = 0$$

with $\phi_k(0, x) = \eta_k(x)$ and $\phi_k(L, x) \equiv 0$ is

$$\phi_k(t, x) = \frac{\sinh(L-t)\mu_k}{\sinh L\mu_k} \eta_k(x),$$

where $\mu_k = \sqrt{m^2 + \omega_k}$. Taking derivative with respect to t at 0 we get

$$\text{DN}_{m^2}^{\gamma, I \times \gamma} \eta_k = (\mu_k \coth L\mu_k) \eta_k.$$

The eigenvalues of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator are therefore given by $\lambda_k = \mu_k \coth(L\mu_k) = \sqrt{m^2 + \omega_k} \coth(L\sqrt{m^2 + \omega_k})$. In particular, both the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator and $\sqrt{\Delta^\gamma + m^2}$ are diagonal in the basis η_k and the difference of the eigenvalues is

$$\lambda_k - \mu_k = \mu_k(\coth L\mu_k - 1) \underset{\mu_k \rightarrow \infty}{\simeq} 2\mu_k e^{-2L\mu_k}.$$

⁷In fact changing the metric away from the boundary changes the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator by a smoothing operator.

⁸If γ is compact, this property is automatic, see above.

As $k \rightarrow \infty$ the eigenvalues of this operator decay exponentially, since $\mu_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore this operator is bounded. As $m \rightarrow \infty$ all eigenvalues are exponentially suppressed and Assumption A holds.

In fact, Assumption A holds whenever the manifold is cylindrical close to the boundary.

Lemma 3.3. *If M is a Riemannian manifold with compact boundary γ such that the metric is the product metric near γ (i.e. there is a neighborhood of the boundary which is isometric to $\gamma \times [0, \epsilon]$), then Assumption A holds.*

We give the proof in Appendix C. Compactness of γ is used in the estimate (37).

Remark 3.4. If $\text{DN}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M}$ and $\sqrt{\Delta^\gamma + m^2}$ commute (for all $m > 0$), the eigenvalue estimates derived in [10] (after Hörmander [13]) imply a stronger version of Assumption A, but only in the case where $m^2 > 0$ (i.e. $\text{Im } m^2 = 0$). Namely, let λ_{k, m^2} be the eigenvalues of $\text{DN}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M}$ and ω_k the eigenvalues of Δ^γ . Then we have [10, Theorem 4.2]

$$|\lambda_{k, m^2} - \sqrt{\omega_k + m^2}| < C,$$

where C is a constant independent of k and m . In particular, for $m > C/\delta$, the bound (8) holds.

On the other hand, the arguments in [10] do not immediately extend to complex m^2 , and it is also proven in [10] that, for $m = 0$ and M is a domain in \mathbb{R}^n with connected boundary, $n \geq 3$, the operators $\text{DN}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M}$ and $\sqrt{\Delta^\gamma}$ commute if and only if M is a ball. This result suggests that $\text{DN}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M}$ and $\sqrt{\Delta^\gamma + m^2}$ in general do not commute.

In the general case, a version of Assumption A was proven in [18]. However, this result requires the mass to have a large imaginary part.

Conjecture 3.5. Assumption A holds for any Riemannian manifold M with boundary.

4. GLUING FORMULAE

4.1. Gluing formula I. Consider a Riemannian manifold M , with (possibly empty) boundary ∂M , with $\gamma \subset M$ a codimension 1 submanifold disjoint from ∂M , such that one has a decomposition

$$(10) \quad M = M_1 \cup_\gamma M_2.$$

The Green's function and heat kernel on M_i are denoted by $G^{(i)}$ and $K^{(i)}$ respectively, with Dirichlet boundary condition imposed on all boundary components, operators on M carry no superscript. The gluing formula for the Green's function is:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $x \in M_a$, $y \in M_b$, $a, b \in \{1, 2\}$. Then:*

$$(11) \quad G_{m^2}(x, y) = \delta_{ab} G_{m^2}^{(a)}(x, y) + \int_{\gamma \times \gamma} \partial_u^n G_{m^2}^{(a)}(x, u) \mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{-1}(u, v) \partial_v^n G_{m^2}^{(b)}(v, y) dudv.$$

The first term in the r.h.s. is absent for $a \neq b$.

A proof was given [15, Section 4.2], where we refer to for further discussion. Note that this formula holds for all $m^2 \notin -\sigma(\Delta^X)$, in particular for $\text{Re } m^2 > 0$.

Our first gluing formula for the heat kernel is the following.

Proposition 4.2 (Gluing formula for heat kernels I). *One has the following gluing formula for heat kernels. Let $x \in M_a$, $y \in M_b$, $a, b \in \{1, 2\}$. Then:*

$$(12) \quad K(x, y|t) = \delta_{ab}K^{(a)}(x, y|t) + \int_{t_0+t_1+t_2=t, t_i>0} dt_0 dt_1 \int_{\gamma \times \gamma} dudv \partial_u^n K^{(a)}(x, u|t_0) \mathbf{L}^{-1}[\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{-1}(u, v)](t_1) \partial_v^n K^{(b)}(v, y|t_2).$$




FIGURE 1. Pictorial description of the gluing formula for the heat kernel. The fat straight line to the left denotes $K(x, y|t)$, the thin straight line in the middle $K^{(1)}(x, y|t)$, a red squiggly edge denotes $\partial_u^n K^{(1)}(x, u|t)$, a curly edge denotes $\mathbf{L}^{-1}[\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{-1}(u, v)](t)$. Grey vertices are integrated over the interface γ .

Proof. By the arguments above we have $\mathbf{L}[K(x, y|t)](m^2) = G_{m^2}(x, y)$, and similarly for $K^{(i)}, G^{(i)}$. Since the convergence is uniform in (x, y) on compact subsets of $x \neq y$ we can exchange the Laplace transform with the normal derivative to get

$$\mathbf{L}[\partial_u^n K(x, u|t)](m^2) = \partial^n G_{m^2}(x, u).$$

Finally, we note again that for $u, v \in \gamma$, by Proposition 2.2 one has $\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{-1}(u, v) = G_{m^2}(u, v)$. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{L}[K(u, v|t)](m^2) = \mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{-1}$$

in particular $\mathbf{L}^{-1}[\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{-1}]$ is defined and the gluing formula follows by applying \mathbf{L}^{-1} to (11) and using again the fact that multiplication is sent to convolution under the inverse Laplace transform. \square

Remark 4.3. The proof of Proposition 4.2 shows that an alternative (slightly circular) way of writing of the gluing formulae (11) and (12) is

$$(13) \quad G_{m^2}(x, y) = \delta_{ab}G_{m^2}^{(a)}(x, y) + \int_{\gamma \times \gamma} \partial_u^n G_{m^2}^{(a)}(x, u) G_{m^2}(u, v) \partial_v^n G_{m^2}^{(b)}(v, y) dudv$$

and

$$(14) \quad K(x, y|t) = \delta_{ab}K^{(a)}(x, y|t) + \int_{t_0+t_1+t_2=t, t_i>0} dt_0 dt_1 \int_{\gamma \times \gamma} dudv \partial_u^n K^{(a)}(x, u|t_0) K(u, v|t_1) \partial_v^n K^{(b)}(v, y|t_2).$$

These formulae admit natural interpretations in terms of path integrals and Wiener measure, see Appendix E.

4.2. An expression for $\mathbf{L}^{-1}[\text{DN}^{-1}]$. As we will see presently, it is possible to rewrite the formula (41) to contain only the heat kernels of M_i and γ . Suppose that A and B are two operators on a Hilbert space such that $A + B$ and A are invertible and such $A^{-1}B$ is bounded of norm $\|A^{-1}B\| < 1$ (note that A, B are not required to be bounded). Then we have the following geometric progression formula for $(A + B)^{-1}$:

$$(15) \quad (A + B)^{-1} = (A(I + A^{-1}B))^{-1} = (I + A^{-1}B)^{-1}A^{-1} = \\ = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-A^{-1}B)^k A^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}BA^{-1} + \dots$$

where the series converges in operator norm.

We want to apply this result to compute the inverse of the total Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator of the glued manifold $M = M_1 \cup_\gamma M_2$ via the splitting $\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M} = A + \mathbb{D}'$ (in the notations of Section 3 above). By Assumption A, we have that for large m , $\|\mathbb{D}'\| < 2\delta|m|$. On the other hand, A^{-1} is a bounded operator with norm $\|A^{-1}\| = \frac{1}{2|m|}$ and therefore we have $\|A^{-1}\mathbb{D}'\| < \delta < 1$. By (15), we then have

$$(16) \quad \mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{-1} = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-A^{-1}\mathbb{D}')^k A^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}\mathbb{D}'A^{-1} + \dots$$

We can compute the inverse Laplace transform of $\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{-1}$ by applying it to A^{-1} and \mathbb{D}' separately, and then make use the fact that multiplication gets mapped to convolution. Namely, we have by Proposition 2.2

$$A^{-1} = (\mathbb{D}^{\gamma, \gamma \times \mathbb{R}})^{-1} = G^{\gamma \times \mathbb{R}}|_{(\gamma \times \{0\}) \times (\gamma \times \{0\})}$$

and therefore

$$\mathbf{L}^{-1}[A^{-1}(u, v)](t) = K^{\gamma \times \mathbb{R}}((u, 0), (v, 0)|t) = \\ = K^\gamma(u, v|t)K^\mathbb{R}(0, 0|t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}}K^\gamma(u, v|t).$$

For

$$(17) \quad \mathbb{D}' = \mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M} - A$$

we then have

$$\mathbf{L}^{-1}[\mathbb{D}'(u, v)](t) = \partial_u^n \partial_v^n \left(K(u, v|t) - K^{\gamma \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}(u, v|t) \right)$$

and therefore

$$\mathbf{L}^{-1}[\mathbb{D}'(u, v)](t) = \\ = \partial_u^n \partial_v^n \left(K^{(1)}(u, v|t) + K^{(2)}(u, v|t) - 2K^{\gamma \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}((u, 0), (v, 0)|t) \right) =: K'(u, v|t).$$

Using again the fact that the heat kernel on a product manifold is the product of heat kernels, we can slightly simplify

$$\partial_u^n \partial_v^n \left(K^{(a)}(u, v|t) - K^{\gamma \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}(u, v|t) \right) = \\ = \partial_u^n \partial_v^n K^{(a)}(u, v|t) - K^\gamma(u, v|t) \partial_x^n \partial_y^n K^{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}(x, y|t) = \partial_u^n \partial_v^n K^{(a)}(u, v|t) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t^3}} K^\gamma(u, v|t)$$

with $a \in \{1, 2\}$, and thus we get the following formula for K' :

$$K'(u, v|t) = \partial_u^n \partial_v^n \left(K^{(1)}(u, v|t) + K^{(2)}(u, v|t) \right) - \frac{2}{\sqrt{4\pi t^3}} K^\gamma(u, v|t).$$

4.3. Gluing formula II. The argument above proves our main result.

Theorem 4.4 (Gluing formula for heat kernels II). *Let M be a Riemannian manifold with decomposition (10). If Assumption A holds for $M_{1,2}$ (for example, if they have product metric near γ and γ is compact), one has the following gluing formula for heat kernels. Let $x \in M_a$, $y \in M_b$, $a, b \in \{1, 2\}$. Then:*

$$(18) \quad K(x, y|t) = \delta_{ab} K^{(a)}(x, y|t) + \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \int_{\sum_{i=0}^{2k+2} t_i = t, t_i > 0} \prod_{i=0}^{2k+1} dt_i \int_{\gamma^{2k+2}} \prod_{i=0}^{2k+1} du_i \partial_{u_0}^n K^{(a)}(x, u_0|t_0) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} K^{\gamma \times \mathbb{R}}((u_{2i}, 0), (u_{2i+1}, 0)|t_{2i+1}) K'(u_{2i+1}, u_{2i+2}|t_{2i+2}) \cdot K^{\gamma \times \mathbb{R}}((u_{2k}, 0), (u_{2k+1}, 0)|t_{2k+1}) \partial_{u_{2k+1}}^n K^{(b)}(u_{2k+1}, y|t_{2k+2}).$$

Using again the factorization of heat kernels on direct products, the following is an immediate corollary:

Corollary 4.5. *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 4.4, we have:*

$$(19) \quad K(x, y|t) = \delta_{ab} K^{(a)}(x, y|t) + \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \int_{\sum_{i=0}^{2k+2} t_i = t, t_i > 0} \prod_{i=0}^{2k+1} dt_i \int_{\gamma^{2k+2}} \prod_{i=0}^{2k+1} du_i \partial_{u_0}^n K^{(a)}(x, u_0|t_0) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t_{2i+1}}} K^\gamma(u_{2i}, u_{2i+1}|t_{2i+1}) K'(u_{2i+1}, u_{2i+2}|t_{2i+2}) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t_{2k+1}}} \cdot K^\gamma(u_{2k}, u_{2k+1}|t_{2k+1}) \partial_{u_{2k+1}}^n K^{(b)}(u_{2k+1}, y|t_{2k+2}).$$

We remark that in (19), the heat kernel K of the glued manifold is expressed solely in terms of the heat kernels on γ and $M^{(a)}$.

4.4. “Cutting-out” formulae for the heat kernel. Results of a similar nature to equation (11) are known in the literature on scattering theory as Birman-Krein formulae, see for the recent paper [14] and references therein. These results are “cutting formulae,” i.e., they allow one to express the Green’s function with Dirichlet boundary conditions on a closed region $M_1 \subset M$ in terms of the full Green’s function on M .⁹ For instance, formula (19) in [14] reads (in our notation)

$$(20) \quad G_{m^2}(x, y) - \delta_{ab} G_{m^2}^{(a)}(x, y) = \int_{\gamma \times \gamma} G_{m^2}(x, u) \mathbb{D}_{m^2}(u, v) G_{m^2}(v, y).$$

By Proposition 2.2, \mathbb{D}_{m^2} can be expressed as the inverse of the operator given by restricting G_{m^2} to $\gamma \times \gamma$, so the right hand side of equation (20) can be expressed

⁹A typical example is: $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $M_1 = \overline{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus M_2}$, with $M_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a compact subset (“defect”) with smooth $(n-1)$ -dimensional boundary γ . This setup is pertinent in scattering theory – scattering on the defect M_2 .




FIGURE 2. Pictorial description of the gluing formula for the heat kernel. The fat straight line to the left denotes $K(x, y|t)$, the thin straight line in the middle $K^{(1)}(x, y|t)$, a red squiggly edge denotes $\partial_u^n K^{(1)}(x, u|t)$. Blue wavy edges denote $\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} K^\gamma(u, v|t)$, green zigzag edges denote $K'(u, v|t)$. Grey vertices are integrated over the interface γ .

in terms of the full Green's function only. Applying the inverse Laplace transform, we obtain a “cutting formula” for the heat kernel:

$$\begin{aligned} K(x, y|t) - \delta_{ab} K^{(a)}(x, y|t) &= \\ &= \int_{t_0+t_1+t_2=t, t_i>0} dt_0 dt_1 \int_{\gamma \times \gamma} du dv K(x, u|t_0) \mathbf{L}^{-1}[\mathbb{D}_{m^2}(u, v)](t_1) K(v, y|t_2). \end{aligned}$$

Here the term $\mathbf{L}^{-1}[\mathbb{D}_{m^2}]$ could be expanded similarly to the proof of Theorem 4.4:¹⁰

$$\mathbb{D} = (A^{-1} + (\mathbb{D}^{-1} - A^{-1}))^{-1} = A - A(\mathbb{D}^{-1} - A^{-1})A + A(\mathbb{D}^{-1} - A^{-1})A(\mathbb{D}^{-1} - A^{-1})A - \dots$$

Hence, one has

$$\mathbf{L}^{-1}[\mathbb{D}^{-1}] = \sum_{k \geq 0} (\mathbf{L}^{-1}[A] * \mathbf{L}^{-1}[\mathbb{D}^{-1} - A^{-1}])^{*k} * \mathbf{L}^{-1}[A],$$

where $*$ stands for the convolution in the t variable. Passing to the integral kernels of the operators, this implies the second cutting formula

$$(22) \quad K(x, y|t) - \delta_{ab} K^{(a)}(x, y|t) =$$

¹⁰This sequence is convergent for $\text{Re} m^2 > C$ under Assumption A for $M_{1,2}$: one has $\|A(\mathbb{D}^{-1} - A^{-1})\| = \|-\mathbb{D}'(A + \mathbb{D}')^{-1}\| \leq \|\mathbb{D}'\| \cdot \|(A + \mathbb{D}')^{-1}\| < 2\delta|m| \frac{1}{2|m| - 2\delta|m|} = \frac{\delta}{1-\delta}$. Here \mathbb{D}' is as in (17). So, choosing e.g. $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$ we obtain $\|A(\mathbb{D}^{-1} - A^{-1})\| < 1$, hence the geometric series (21) is convergent.

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \int_{\sum_{i=0}^{2k+2} t_i = t, t_i > 0} \prod_{i=0}^{2k+1} dt_i \int_{\gamma^{2k+2}} \prod_{i=0}^{2k+1} du_i \cdot \\
&\cdot K(x, u_0 | t_0) \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t_{2i+1}^{3/2}}} K^\gamma(u_{2i}, u_{2i+1} | t_{2i+1}) \tilde{K}(u_{2i+1}, u_{2i+2} | t_{2i+2}) \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t_{2k+1}^{3/2}}} K^\gamma(u_{2k}, u_{2k+1} | t_{2k+1}) K(u_{2k+1}, y | t_{2k+2}),
\end{aligned}$$

where we denoted

$$\tilde{K}(u, v | t) := K(u, v | t) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} K^\gamma(u, v | t).$$

Formula (22) is similar in structure to the gluing formula (19).

Note that equation (22) expresses the heat kernel with Dirichlet boundary conditions in terms of the full heat kernel (and heat kernel on γ) only.

5. EXAMPLES

5.1. Gluing two rays. The heat kernel on \mathbb{R} is

$$K(x, y | t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}}$$

and the heat kernel on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions is

$$K^{(1)}(x, y | t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \left(e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}} - e^{-\frac{(x+y)^2}{4t}} \right).$$

The normal derivative of the heat kernel is

$$-\partial_y K^{(1)}(x, y | t)|_{y=0} = \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{\pi t^{3/2}}} x e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t}}.$$

The Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator is $2m = 2\sqrt{\Delta^\gamma + m^2} = A$, with $A^{-1} = (2m)^{-1}$ and its inverse Laplace transform is

$$\mathbf{L}^{-1}[(2m)^{-1}] = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{L}^{-1}[s^{-1/2}](t) = \frac{1}{2\Gamma(1/2)t^{1/2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} = K(0, 0 | t).$$

Equation (12) then becomes for $x, y \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
K(x, y | t) - K^{(1)}(x, y | t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x+y)^2}{4t}} \\
&= \int_{t_0+t_1+t_2=t, t_i > 0} dt_0 dt_1 \underbrace{\frac{-1}{\sqrt{4\pi t_0^3}} x e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t_0}}}_{\partial_u^n K_1(x, u | t_0)} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t_1}}}_{\mathbf{L}^{-1}[\mathbb{D}^{-1}]} \underbrace{\frac{-1}{\sqrt{4\pi t_2^3}} y e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t_2}}}_{\partial_v^n K_1(v, y | t_0)} \\
&= \frac{1}{8\pi^{3/2}} \int_{t_0+t_1+t_2=t, t_i > 0} dt_0 dt_1 \frac{xy e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t_0} - \frac{y^2}{4t_2}}}{\sqrt{t_0^3 t_1 t_2^3}}.
\end{aligned}$$

5.2. **Gluing two finite intervals.** The heat kernel on a finite interval of length L is

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} K^L(x, y|t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(e^{-\frac{1}{4t}(x-y+2kL)^2} - e^{-\frac{1}{4t}(x+y+2kL)^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{L} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi^2 k^2}{L^2} t} \sin\left(\frac{\pi k x}{L}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi k y}{L}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here the first equation is proved by the image charge method, and the second one by considering eigenfunctions of the Laplacian (with Dirichlet boundary conditions) on the interval $[0, L]$. Its normal derivative at 0 is

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} -\partial_y|_{y=0} K^L(x, y|t) &= \frac{-1}{\sqrt{4\pi t^{3/2}}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (x + 2kL) e^{-\frac{1}{4t}(x+2kL)^2} \\ &= -\frac{2}{L} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi^2 k^2}{L^2} t} \frac{\pi k}{L} \sin\left(\frac{\pi k x}{L}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator is $\mathbb{D} = m(\coth mL_1 + m \coth mL_2)$ we can compute the inverse Laplace transform of its inverse via residues,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}^{-1}[\mathbb{D}^{-1}] &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{e^{st} ds}{\sqrt{s}(\coth \sqrt{s}L_1 + \coth \sqrt{s}L_2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{e^{st} ds \sinh \sqrt{s}L_1 \sinh \sqrt{s}L_2}{\sqrt{s} \sinh \sqrt{s}(L_1 + L_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the contour C can be taken to be the imaginary axis, and we can close it at infinity, adding an infinitely remote arc with $\arg(s) \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}]$. Notice that the integrand is an even function of \sqrt{s} and therefore can be extended over the branch cut of the square root. This function has poles at $s = -\frac{(\pi k)^2}{(L_1 + L_2)^2}$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. So, computing the residues, the inverse Laplace transform is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}^{-1}[\mathbb{D}^{-1}](t) &= 2 \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{L_1 + L_2} e^{-\frac{\pi^2 k^2 t}{(L_1 + L_2)^2}} \sin \frac{\pi k L_1}{L_1 + L_2} \sin \frac{\pi k L_2}{L_1 + L_2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(e^{-\frac{(L_1 + L_2)^2 n^2}{t}} - e^{-\frac{(n+1)L_1 + nL_2}{t}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The second line here is obtain by Poisson resummation of the first. The gluing formula (12) then becomes (for $0 \leq x, y \leq L_2$)

$$\begin{aligned} K^L(L_1 + x, L_1 + y|t) - K^{L_2}(x, y|t) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{t_0+t_1+t_2=t, t_i>0} dt_0 dt_1 \frac{1}{(t_0 t_2)^{3/2}} \\ \sum_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} (x + 2k_0 L_2) e^{-\frac{1}{4t_0}(x+2k_0 L_2)^2} &\cdot 2 \sum_{k_1 \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k_1+1}}{L_1 + L_2} e^{-\frac{\pi^2 k_1^2 t_1}{(L_1 + L_2)^2}} \sin \frac{\pi k_1 L_1}{L_1 + L_2} \sin \frac{\pi k_1 L_2}{L_1 + L_2} \\ &\cdot \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} (y + 2k_2 L_2) e^{-\frac{1}{4t_2}(y+2k_2 L_2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, we can apply the decomposition

$$(25) \quad \mathbb{D} = \underbrace{2m}_A + \underbrace{m(\coth(mL_1) - 1 + \coth(mL_2) - 1)}_{\mathbb{D}'}$$

One has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}^{-1}[m(\coth(mL) - 1)] &= \partial_x^n|_{x=0} \partial_y^n|_{y=0} (K^L(x, y|t) - K^\infty(x, y|t)) \\ &= 2 \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t^{3/2}}} \left(1 - \frac{2k^2 L^2}{t}\right) e^{-\frac{k^2 L^2}{t}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(26) \quad \mathbf{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{2m} \right] * \mathbf{L}^{-1}[m(\coth(mL) - 1)] = -2 \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{kL}{\sqrt{4\pi t^{3/2}}} e^{-\frac{k^2 L^2}{t}},$$

where the star stands for convolution in t . Therefore, the gluing formula (18) becomes

$$(27) \quad K^L(L_1 + x, L_1 + y|t) - K^{L_2}(x, y|t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{t_0 + \dots + t_{n+2} = t, t_i > 0} dt_0 \cdots dt_{n+1} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t_0^{3/2}}} \sum_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} (x + 2k_0 L_2) e^{-\frac{1}{4t_0} (x + 2k_0 L_2)^2} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 1} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{k_j}{\sqrt{\pi t_j^{3/2}}} (L_1 e^{-\frac{k_j^2 L_1^2}{t_j}} + L_2 e^{-\frac{k_j^2 L_2^2}{t_j}}) \\ \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t_{n+1}}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t_{n+2}^{3/2}}} \sum_{k_{n+2} \in \mathbb{Z}} (y + 2k_{n+2} L_2) e^{-\frac{1}{4t_{n+2}} (y + 2k_{n+2} L_2)^2}.$$

Remark 5.1. The sum over n in (27) is absolutely convergent by the following argument. Denote minus the r.h.s. of (26) by $\Phi^{L_1}(t)$. We are summing up n -fold convolutions of $\Phi = \Phi^{L_1} + \Phi^{L_2}$ which is a positive bounded function of t (it is smooth, behaves as¹¹ $O\left(t^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{\min(L_1, L_2)^2}{t}}\right)$ at $t \rightarrow 0$ and as $O(t^{-1/2})$ at $t \rightarrow +\infty$).

Hence, its n -fold convolution is positive and bounded by $C^n t^{n-1}/(n-1)!$ where $C = \sup_{t>0} \Phi(t)$ and $t^{n-1}/(n-1)!$ is the volume of $(n-1)$ -simplex of size t . Hence, the sum over n is absolutely convergent.

On the other hand, the geometric progression (16) in this case is only convergent for m large enough, such that $|\coth(mL_1) + \coth(mL_2) - 2| < 2$.

5.3. Half-spaces. The gluing formula for half-spaces $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ follows from the gluing of rays and the product and convolution properties of the heat kernel. Namely, let

$$K^n(x, y|t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}}$$

be the heat kernel on \mathbb{R}^n and

$$K^{(1)}((x_1, x), (y_1, y)|t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} \left(e^{-\frac{(x_1 - y_1)^2}{4t}} - e^{-\frac{(x+y)^2}{4t}} \right) e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}}$$

¹¹Notice that as $t \rightarrow \infty$ one can bound the sum by

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} kL e^{-\frac{k^2 L^2}{t}} \leq \int_0^\infty kL e^{-\frac{k^2 L^2}{t}} dk = \frac{t}{2L}.$$

For $t \rightarrow 0$, we use $k^2 \geq k$ to bound

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} kL e^{-\frac{k^2 L^2}{t}} \leq \sum_{k \geq 1} kL (e^{-\frac{L^2}{t}})^k = L \frac{e^{-\frac{L^2}{t}}}{\left(1 - e^{-\frac{L^2}{t}}\right)^2} \sim_{t \rightarrow 0} O\left(e^{-\frac{L^2}{t}}\right).$$

be the heat kernel on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Its normal derivative at $y = 0$ is

$$-\partial_{y_1} K^{(1)}((x_1, x), (y_1, y)|t)|_{y=0} = \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{\pi}t^{3/2}} x_1 e^{-\frac{x_1^2}{4t}} K^{n-1}(x, y|t).$$

The gluing formula (12) then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} K^n((x_1, x), (y_1, y)|t) - K^{(1)}((x_1, x), (y_1, y)|t) &= \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi^{3/2}} \int_{t_0+t_1+t_2=t, t_i>0} dt_0 dt_1 \frac{xy e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t_0} - \frac{y^2}{4t_2}}}{\sqrt{t_0^3 t_1^3 t_2^3}} \\ &\cdot \underbrace{\int_{u, v \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} dudv K^{n-1}(x, u|t_0) K^{n-1}(u, v|t_1) K^{n-1}(v, y|t_2)}_{=K^{n-1}(x, y|t_0+t_1+t_2) \text{ by convolution}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x_1+y_1)^2}{4t}} K^{n-1}(x, y|t). \end{aligned}$$

5.4. Cylinders. Let $M_1 = I_1 \times \gamma$ and $M_2 = I_2 \times \gamma$ be two cylinders, with $I_1 = [0, L_1]$, $I_2 = [L_1, L_1 + L_2]$ two intervals and γ a Riemannian manifold. The glued cylinder is $M = M_1 \cup_{\{L_1\} \times \gamma} M_2 = I \times \gamma$, where $I = I_1 \cup I_2 = [0, L_1 + L_2]$ is the glued interval. Formula (19), using the factorization of heat operators $K^{I \times M}(t) = K^I(t) \otimes K^\gamma(t)$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} K^M(t)|_{M_a \times M_b} &= K^I(t)|_{I_a \times I_b} \otimes K^\gamma(t) = \delta_{ab} K^{I_a}(t) \otimes K^\gamma(t) + \\ &+ \sum_{n \geq 0} \left((-1)^n \int_{t_0 + \dots + t_{2n+2} = t, t_i > 0} \partial^n K^{I_a}(t_0) \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t_{2i+1}}} K'^I(t_{2i+2}) \right) \cdot \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t_{2n+1}}} (\partial^n K^{I_b}(t_{2n+2}))^* \right) \otimes \underbrace{(K^\gamma(t_1) \cdots K^\gamma(t_{2n+2}))}_{K^\gamma(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here:

- $a, b \in \{1, 2\}$;
- $(\cdots)|_{M_a \times M_b}$ stands for the operator $C^\infty(M_b) \rightarrow C^\infty(M_a)$ defined by the restriction of the kernel of the operator (\cdots) to $M_a \times M_b$;
- $\partial^n K^{I_a}(t): C^\infty(\{L_1\}) \rightarrow C^\infty(I_a)$ is the operator defined by the kernel (24) on the interval I_a and $(\partial^n K^{I_a}(t))^*: C^\infty(I_a) \rightarrow C^\infty(\{L_1\})$ is its dual (transpose);
- $K'^I(t) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{a=1}^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} t^{3/2}} \left(1 - \frac{2k^2 L_a^2}{t} \right) e^{-\frac{k^2 L_a^2}{t}}$.

Thus, the gluing formula in the case of cylinders amounts to the gluing formula for intervals, tensored with the heat operator on the slice γ (making use of the convolution property of the latter).

APPENDIX A. MOTIVATION: CUTTING AND GLUING IN RENORMALIZED QUANTUM FIELD THEORY

Our main motivation comes from heat kernel renormalization of perturbative quantum field theory. Namely, consider the massive scalar field theory on a Riemannian manifold M : the space of fields is $F_M = C^\infty(M)$ and the action functional

is

$$S_M(\phi) = \int_M \frac{1}{2} d\phi \wedge *d\phi + \frac{m^2}{2} \phi * \phi + *p(\phi)$$

with $*$ the Hodge star operator on M and $p(\phi) = \sum_{k=3}^N \frac{1}{k!} p_k \phi^k$ a polynomial, $m > 0$ is a parameter (interpreted as mass). We are interested in the cutting and gluing behaviour of its perturbative partition function, given by

$$Z_M^{pert}(\hbar) \stackrel{\text{“=”}}{=} \lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \int_{F_M} e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} S_M(\phi)} D\phi := \frac{1}{\det_{\zeta}^{1/2}(\Delta + m^2)} \sum_{\Gamma} \frac{\hbar^{-\chi(\Gamma)}}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} F(\Gamma).$$

Here in the right hand side

- Δ denotes the positive Laplace operator on M and \det_{ζ} the zeta-regularized determinant,
- Γ runs over all graphs which are at least trivalent and at most N -valent,
- For a graph Γ :
 - $\chi(\Gamma) = |V_{\Gamma}| - |E_{\Gamma}| \leq 0$ is its Euler characteristic,
 - $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ its automorphism group,
 - $F(\Gamma)$ denotes its Feynman weight, given by

$$(28) \quad F(\Gamma) = \int_{(x_1, \dots, x_{|V_{\Gamma}|}) \in M^{|V_{\Gamma}|}} \prod_{v \in V(\Gamma)} (-p_{val(v)}) \prod_{e=(v_i, v_j) \in E(\Gamma)} G(x_i, x_j) dx_1 \cdots dx_j.$$

Here $G \in C^{\infty}(M \times M \setminus \text{diag})$ denotes the Green's function of the Helmholtz operator $\Delta + m^2$. Close to the diagonal, the Green's function behaves like

$$G(x, y) \simeq_{d(x, y) \rightarrow 0} \begin{cases} O(1), & \dim M = 1, \\ C_2 \log d(x, y), & \dim M = 2, \\ C_{\dim M} \frac{1}{d(x, y)^{\dim M - 2}}, & \dim M > 2, \end{cases}$$

which means that some of the integrals given by (28) diverge if $\dim M > 1$. In dimension 2, the only divergent integrals are the ones from graphs containing an edge starting and ending at the same vertex (a short loop) as it naively leads to the evaluation of G on the diagonal (where it is singular). In [15], we showed how to regularize these in such a way that the result is compatible with cutting and gluing of M . However, for $\dim M \geq 3$ there are nontrivial divergent graphs and a variety of ways exist to make sense of them. For instance, if $K(x, y|t)$ denotes the heat kernel of the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ , then a naive solution to the problem of divergencies is to introduce a regulator ϵ and define

$$G^{\epsilon}(x, y) = \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} e^{-m^2 t} K(x, y|t) dt.$$

Then $G^{\epsilon} \in C^{\infty}(M \times M)$, and thus at least on compact manifolds the regularized integrals $F^{\epsilon}(\Gamma)$, where we replace G with G^{ϵ} , are convergent. The next problem is to analyze the behaviour of the $F^{\epsilon}(\Gamma)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, trying to consistently remove the divergencies using a finite amount of choices – this leads to some restrictions on $\dim M$ and the interaction polynomial p as to when this is possible.¹²

In [15] we proved a gluing formula for the perturbative partition function of the form $\langle Z_{M_1}^{pert}, Z_{M_2}^{pert} \rangle = Z_{M_1 \cup_{\gamma} M_2}^{pert}$ in the case where $\dim M_i = 2$. The eventual goal is to prove a similar formula in higher dimensions that is compatible with

¹²A relatively recent write-up aimed a mathematical audience is the textbook by Costello [8].

renormalization. The first step in this direction is to prove a gluing formula for the regularized partition functions

$$Z_M^{\text{pert},\epsilon} = \frac{1}{\det_\zeta(\Delta + m^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{\Gamma} \frac{\hbar^{-\chi(\Gamma)}}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} F^\epsilon(\Gamma).$$

A critical first step, covered in this paper, is to understand the behaviour of the heat kernel under gluing.

APPENDIX B. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.2

We want to prove that for a Riemannian manifold decomposed as $M = M_1 \cup_\gamma M_2$ we have for $x, y \in \gamma$ that

$$(\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M})^{-1}(x, y) = G_{m^2}(x, y)$$

with G_{m^2} denoting the Green's function on M .
Let $\eta \in C^\infty(\gamma)$, and define

$$u(x) = \int_{y \in \gamma} G_{m^2}(x, y) \eta(y) dy.$$

Then $u \in L^2(M)$ is a smooth function on $M \setminus \gamma$, and satisfies $(\Delta + m^2)u(x) = 0$ for $x \in M \setminus \gamma$. For any function $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$ we have

$$\int_M u(\Delta + m^2)\phi dx = \int_{y \in \gamma} \eta(y) \int_{x \in M} G_{m^2}(y, x)(\Delta + m^2)\phi(x) dx dy = \int_\gamma \phi \eta dy,$$

by the definition of the Green's function. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M u(\Delta + m^2)\phi dx &= \int_{M_1} u(\Delta + m^2)\phi dx + \int_{M_2} u(\Delta^M + m^2)\phi dx \\ &= \int_{M_1} (u(\Delta + m^2)\phi - \phi(\Delta + m^2)u) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{M_2} (u(\Delta + m^2)\phi - \phi(\Delta + m^2)u) dx \\ &= \int_{M_1} (u\Delta\phi - \phi\Delta u) dx + \int_{M_2} (u\Delta\phi - \phi\Delta u) dx \end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality we have used that $u(x)$ is $(\Delta^M + m^2)$ -harmonic on $M_1^\circ \sqcup M_2^\circ$. Now, using Green's formula we can rewrite this as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M_1} (u\Delta\phi - \phi\Delta^M u) dx + \int_{M_2} (u\Delta^M \phi - \phi\Delta^M u) dx &= \\ &= \int_\gamma (-u\partial_{M_1}^r \phi + \phi\partial_{M_1}^r u - u\partial_{M_2}^r \phi + \phi\partial_{M_2}^r u) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$, it is in particular smooth across γ and $\partial_{M_1}^r \phi + \partial_{M_2}^r \phi = 0$. Therefore, we finally arrive at

$$\int_\gamma \phi \eta dy = \int_M u(\Delta + m^2)\phi dy = \int_\gamma \phi \mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{\gamma, M} u dy,$$

from which the statement follows.

APPENDIX C. PROOF OF LEMMA 3.3

Let us represent M as a gluing of a cylindrical collar of the boundary (with product metric), $\text{cyl} = \gamma \times [0, \epsilon]$ (with the boundary components $\gamma = \gamma \times \{0\}$ and $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma \times \{\epsilon\}$), and the complement $\tilde{M} \subset M$:

$$M = \text{cyl} \cup_{\tilde{\gamma}} \tilde{M},$$

see Figure 3. By the gluing formula for DN operators (which follows from the gluing




FIGURE 3

formula (11) for Green's functions by taking the second normal derivative at the boundary), one has

$$(29) \quad \text{DN}^{\gamma, M} = \text{DN}_{\gamma, \gamma}^{\text{cyl}} + \text{DN}_{\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}}^{\text{cyl}} (\mathbb{D}^{\tilde{\gamma}, M})^{-1} \text{DN}_{\tilde{\gamma}, \gamma}^{\text{cyl}}.$$

Here the subscripts $\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}$ refer to a block of the DN operator; $\mathbb{D}^{\tilde{\gamma}, M} = \text{DN}_{\tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\gamma}}^{\text{cyl}} + \text{DN}^{\tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{M}}$ is the total DN operator on the interface $\tilde{\gamma}$, as in (9). We understand that all DN operators depend on m^2 and we temporarily suppress the m^2 subscripts. Subtracting $\sqrt{\Delta\gamma + m^2}$ from both sides of (29), we have

$$(30) \quad (\text{DN}^{\gamma, M})' = (\text{DN}_{\gamma, \gamma}^{\text{cyl}})' + \text{DN}_{\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}}^{\text{cyl}} (\mathbb{D}^{\tilde{\gamma}, M})^{-1} \text{DN}_{\tilde{\gamma}, \gamma}^{\text{cyl}}.$$

All the operators in the r.h.s. are bounded uniformly in m , for $\text{Re } m^2 > c$ for any $c > 0$:

- $(\text{DN}_{\gamma, \gamma}^{\text{cyl}})'$ has eigenvalues $\mu_k(\coth(\epsilon\mu_k) - 1)$ in the notations of Example 3.2, which implies boundedness uniformly in m .
- Similarly, $(\text{DN}_{\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}}^{\text{cyl}})'$ and $(\text{DN}_{\tilde{\gamma}, \gamma}^{\text{cyl}})'$ have eigenvalues $-\frac{\mu_k}{\sinh(\epsilon\mu_k)}$ which are also bounded uniformly in m .¹³
- The integral kernel of the interface DN operator $(\mathbb{D}^{\tilde{\gamma}, M})^{-1}$ is the restriction of the Green's function on the total manifold M to pairs of points on the interface $\tilde{\gamma}$.¹⁴ Using this and the expression for the Green's function on M via heat kernel, we have the equality of operators

$$(31) \quad (\mathbb{D}^{\tilde{\gamma}, M})^{-1} = \int_0^\infty dt e^{-m^2 t} \kappa_t,$$

where $\kappa_t: C^\infty(\tilde{\gamma}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\tilde{\gamma})$ is the operator defined by the integral kernel – the heat kernel on M , $K^M(x, y|t)$ restricted to $(x, y) \in \tilde{\gamma} \times \tilde{\gamma}$. Taking the

¹³More explicitly, one has $|\mu_k(\coth(\epsilon\mu_k) - 1)|, |\frac{\mu_k}{\sinh(\epsilon\mu_k)}| < \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ for any m with $\text{Re } m^2 > 0$ and any $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, which implies $\|(\text{DN}_{\gamma, \gamma}^{\text{cyl}})'\|, \|(\text{DN}_{\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}}^{\text{cyl}})'\|, \|(\text{DN}_{\tilde{\gamma}, \gamma}^{\text{cyl}})'\| < \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ for $\text{Re } m^2 > 0$.

¹⁴See e.g. the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [5].

operator norm of both sides of (31), we have

$$(32) \quad \|(\mathbb{D}^{\tilde{\gamma}, M})^{-1}\| \leq \int_0^\infty dt e^{-(\operatorname{Re} m^2)t} \|\kappa_t\|.$$

For $t > 0$, the operator κ_t is smoothing (in particular, bounded) and its norm behaves as $O(t^{-\frac{1}{2} \dim M})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.¹⁵ For $t \rightarrow 0$, one has the asymptotics¹⁶

$$\kappa_t \sim \underbrace{(4\pi t)^{-1/2}}_{K^{\mathbb{R}(0,0|t)}} K^{\tilde{\gamma}}(t) \sim (4\pi t)^{-1/2} \operatorname{Id}.$$

Therefore, for the norm of κ_t one has

$$(33) \quad \|\kappa_t\| = O(t^{-1/2})$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. This discussion implies that the r.h.s. of (32) is a monotonously decreasing positive function of $\operatorname{Re} m^2$, which implies that the operator $(\mathbb{D}^{\tilde{\gamma}, M})^{-1}$ is bounded uniformly in m , for $\operatorname{Re} m^2 > c$ for any $c > 0$.

Thus, the l.h.s. of (30) is bounded uniformly in m for $\operatorname{Re} m^2 > c$, which immediately implies that Assumption A holds.

C.1. Proof of the estimate (33). For completeness, we give another, more detailed, proof of the estimate (33). Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\tilde{\gamma}$ has a neighborhood with product metric in M (one always can arrange this by shifting $\tilde{\gamma}$ toward γ). So, we have $M = \operatorname{cyl} \cup_{\tilde{\gamma}} \widetilde{M}$. Let us iterate the construction and represent \widetilde{M} as $\widetilde{M} = \widetilde{\operatorname{cyl}} \cup_{\widetilde{\gamma}} \widetilde{\widetilde{M}}$, i.e., we chop off a cylinder $\widetilde{\operatorname{cyl}} = \gamma \times [\epsilon, \tilde{\epsilon}]$, with $\tilde{\epsilon} - \epsilon > 0$ (and with boundaries $\widetilde{\gamma} = \gamma \times \{\epsilon\}$ and $\widetilde{\widetilde{\gamma}} = \gamma \times \{\tilde{\epsilon}\}$ - copies of γ), off \widetilde{M} and call the remainder $\widetilde{\widetilde{M}}$, see Figure 4.




FIGURE 4

Denote $\overline{\operatorname{cyl}} := \operatorname{cyl} \cup_{\tilde{\gamma}} \widetilde{\operatorname{cyl}}$. By the formula (41) applied to the decomposition $M = \overline{\operatorname{cyl}} \cup_{\widetilde{\gamma}} \widetilde{\widetilde{M}}$, for the integral kernel of the operator κ_t we have

¹⁵This follows from bounding the norm by the l^1 norm, $\|\kappa_t\| \leq \operatorname{tr} \kappa_t = \int_{\tilde{\gamma}} K^M(x, x|t) dx = O(t^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}})$, see e.g. [12, f-la (1.1)].

¹⁶This follows from the short-time asymptotics of the heat kernel. In the asymptotic regime $t \rightarrow 0$, one can replace M by a cylinder $\tilde{\gamma} \times \mathbb{R}$; then one uses the product property of heat kernels for Cartesian products. In Appendix C.1 below we give a detailed argument for the norm estimate (33) that we need.

$$\begin{aligned}
(34) \quad \kappa_t(x, y) &= K^M(x, y|t) = K^{\overline{\text{cyl}}}(x, y|t) + \\
&+ \int_{t_0+t_1+t_2=t, t_i \geq 0} dt_0 dt_1 \int_{\tilde{\gamma} \times \tilde{\gamma}} dudv \partial_u^n K^{\overline{\text{cyl}}}(x, u|t_0) K^M(u, v|t_1) \partial_v^n K^{\overline{\text{cyl}}}(v, y|t_2) \\
&= K^\gamma(x, y|t) K^{[0, \bar{\epsilon}]}(\epsilon, \epsilon|t) + \int_{t_0+t_1+t_2=t, t_i > 0} dt_0 dt_1 \\
&\int_{\tilde{\gamma} \times \tilde{\gamma}} dudv \left. \frac{d}{d\alpha} \right|_{\alpha=\bar{\epsilon}} K^{[0, \bar{\epsilon}]}(\epsilon, \alpha|t_0) K^\gamma(x, u|t_0) K^M(u, v|t_1) \left. \frac{d}{d\beta} \right|_{\beta=\bar{\epsilon}} K^{[0, \bar{\epsilon}]}(\beta, \epsilon) K^\gamma(v, y|t_2)
\end{aligned}$$

for $x, y \in \tilde{\gamma}$. In the second step above we used the factorization property of heat kernel on a Cartesian product. Going back from integral kernels to operators, (34) reads

$$\begin{aligned}
(35) \quad \kappa_t &= K^{[0, \bar{\epsilon}]}(\epsilon, \epsilon|t) K^\gamma(t) + \\
&+ \int_{t_0+t_1+t_2=t, t_i > 0} dt_0 dt_1 \left. \frac{d}{d\alpha} \right|_{\alpha=\bar{\epsilon}} K^{[0, \bar{\epsilon}]}(\epsilon, \alpha|t_0) K^\gamma(t_0) \kappa_{t_1}^{\tilde{\gamma}} K^\gamma(t_2) \left. \frac{d}{d\beta} \right|_{\beta=\bar{\epsilon}} K^{[0, \bar{\epsilon}]}(\beta, \epsilon),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa_t^{\tilde{\gamma}}: C^\infty(\tilde{\gamma}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\tilde{\gamma})$ is the operator defined by the integral – the restriction of the heat kernel on M to pairs of points on $\tilde{\gamma}$; $K^\gamma(t): C^\infty(\gamma) \rightarrow C^\infty(\gamma)$ is the heat operator on γ . Taking the operator norm of both sides of (35), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(36) \quad \|\kappa_t\| &\leq K^{[0, \bar{\epsilon}]}(\epsilon, \epsilon|t) \|K^\gamma(t)\| + \int_{t_0+t_1+t_2=t, t_i > 0} dt_0 dt_1 \\
&\left. \frac{d}{d\alpha} \right|_{\alpha=\bar{\epsilon}} K^{[0, \bar{\epsilon}]}(\epsilon, \alpha|t_0) \cdot \|K^\gamma(t_0)\| \cdot \|\kappa_{t_1}^{\tilde{\gamma}}\| \cdot \|K^\gamma(t_2)\| \cdot \left. \frac{d}{d\beta} \right|_{\beta=\bar{\epsilon}} K^{[0, \bar{\epsilon}]}(\beta, \epsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we have the following:

(a) $\|K^\gamma(t)\| = \|e^{-t\Delta^\gamma}\| = 1$ for any $t > 0$ (since zero is the lowest eigenvalue of Δ^γ).

(b) Bounding the operator norm of $\kappa_t^{\tilde{\gamma}}$ by the l^p norm, with any $p \geq 1$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(37) \quad \|\kappa_t^{\tilde{\gamma}}\| &\leq \left(\text{tr}(\kappa_t^{\tilde{\gamma}})^p \right)^{1/p} = \\
&= \left(\int_{\tilde{\gamma} \times \dots \times \tilde{\gamma}} dx_1 \cdots dx_p K^M(x_1, x_p|t) K^M(x_p, x_{p-1}|t) \cdots K^M(x_2, x_1|t) \right)^{1/p} \\
&= \left(\int_{\tilde{\gamma}} dx_1 \int_{\tilde{\gamma}^{p-1}} dx_2 \cdots dx_p K^M(x_1, x_p|t) K^M(x_p, x_{p-1}|t) \cdots K^M(x_2, x_1|t) \right)^{1/p} \\
&= O\left((t^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} p t^{\frac{\dim M-1}{2}(p-1)})^{1/p} \right) = O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\dim M-1}{2p}} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we in the last step use the short-time asymptotics of the heat kernel, see e.g. [3, Theorem 2.30].¹⁷ Hence, for any $\delta > 0$ one has

$$(38) \quad \|\kappa_t^{\tilde{\gamma}}\| = O(t^{-1/2-\delta}),$$

¹⁷In the asymptotic regime $t \rightarrow 0$, the relevant configurations of points for the integral in (37) are when x_1, \dots, x_p are close together (with pairwise distances $O(\sqrt{t})$). So, the asymptotics is given by integrating, say, x_1 over $\tilde{\gamma}$, and for the integration over the remaining points, one can asymptotically replace the heat kernel on M by the one on flat space $\mathbb{R}^{\dim M}$. In the r.h.s. of (37), the $O(t^{\frac{\dim M-1}{2}(p-1)})$ is the volume of the contributing region in the integral over x_2, \dots, x_p for fixed x_1 ; $O(t^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}p})$ is the maximum of the integrand.

as follows from selecting large enough p in the estimate above.

- (c) From the explicit formula for the heat kernel on an interval (23), we have the bounds

$$K^{[0,\bar{\epsilon}]}(\epsilon, \epsilon|t) < (4\pi t)^{-1/2} + e^{-c_1/t}, \quad \left| \frac{d}{d\alpha} \Big|_{\alpha=\bar{\epsilon}} K^{[0,\bar{\epsilon}]}(\epsilon, \alpha|t) \right| < e^{-c_2/t}$$

for t sufficiently small, with some constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$.

Therefore, (36) implies, for t sufficiently small,

$$(39) \quad \|\kappa_t\| \leq \underbrace{(4\pi t)^{-1/2} + e^{-c_1/t} + c_3 \int_{t_0+t_1+t_2=t, t_i>0} e^{-c_2/t_0-c_2/t_2} t_1^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta}}_{O(e^{-c_4/t})},$$

with $c_{1,\dots,4}$ some positive constants. Here we assumed that we fixed some $\delta < \frac{1}{2}$ in (38) (which is important for convergence of the integral in (39) in the region $t_1 \rightarrow 0$). Hence we have the desired estimate $\|\kappa_t\| = O(t^{-1/2})$ for $t \rightarrow 0$.

APPENDIX D. EXAMPLES OF DIRICHLET-TO-NEUMANN OPERATORS (FOR ASSUMPTION A)

Example D.1 (Disk). Let us consider a disk of radius R . Then $\gamma = S^1$ and an eigenbasis of Δ^γ is given by $\eta_k(\theta) = e^{ik\theta}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with eigenvalues $\omega_k = k^2/R^2$. The harmonic extension to the inside of the disk is given by

$$\phi_k(r, \theta) = \frac{I_k(mr)}{I_k(mR)} e^{ik\theta}$$

with $I_n(x)$ the modified Bessel function solving

$$x^2 I_n''(x) + x I_n'(x) - (x^2 + n^2) I_n(x) = 0.$$

Therefore, the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator are

$$\lambda_k = m \frac{I_k'(mR)}{I_k(mR)}.$$

One can show¹⁸ that for fixed m , as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\lambda_k - \mu_k = m \frac{I_k'(mR)}{I_k(mR)} - \sqrt{m^2 + \frac{k^2}{R^2}} = O(k^{-2})$$

¹⁸E.g. from the series expansion of $I_n(z)$ one has for $k \rightarrow \infty$ that

$$\lambda_k = \frac{k}{R} \left(1 + \frac{m^2 R^2}{2k^2} + O(k^{-3}) \right).$$

On the other hand, we have $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$\mu_k = \sqrt{m^2 + \frac{k^2}{R^2}} = \frac{k}{R} \sqrt{1 + \frac{(mR)^2}{k^2}} = \frac{k}{R} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{m^2 R^2}{k^2} - \frac{1}{8} \frac{(mR)^4}{k^4} + O(k^{-6}) \right).$$

and therefore the operator $\text{DN}_{m^2}^{S_R^1, D_R} - \sqrt{\Delta_{S^1} + m^2}$ is bounded. To prove the bound on the norm we notice that for large m and fixed k the eigenvalues behave as¹⁹

$$\lambda_k - \mu_k = \frac{-1}{2R} + O(m^{-1})$$

and therefore we have

$$\frac{1}{m}(\lambda_k - \mu_k) = \frac{-1}{2mR} + O(m^{-2}),$$

which implies Assumption A.

Example D.2 (Spherical sector). We let $\Sigma_{\varphi_0, R}$ be a spherical sector of angle $0 < \varphi_0 < \pi$ (for $\pi/2$ we have a hemisphere) on a sphere of radius R . The boundary is a circle of radius $R \sin \varphi_0$. By separation of variables one can show that the unique harmonic extension of $\eta_k = e^{ik\theta} \in L^2(S_{R \sin \varphi_0}^1)$ is the function

$$\phi_k(\varphi, \theta) = \frac{P_\alpha^k(\cos \varphi)}{P_\alpha^k(\cos \varphi_0)} \eta_k(\theta),$$

where $P_\alpha^\mu(x)$ is the Legendre function and α either one of the roots of $\alpha^2 + \alpha + (mR)^2 = 0$.²⁰ In particular, the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator is diagonal in that basis and the eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_k = R^{-1} \left. \frac{d}{d\varphi} \right|_{\varphi=\varphi_0} \log P_\alpha^k(\cos \varphi).$$

Then one can show $\lambda_k - \mu_k = O(k^{-2})$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for fixed m (see [15, Eq. (A.20)]), which shows that $(\text{DN}_{m^2}^{S_R^1, \Sigma_{\varphi_0, R}})'$ is a bounded operator.

For m^2 real, assumption in this example holds by Remark 3.4 (since the spherical sector is a surface of revolution).

APPENDIX E. PATH INTEGRAL INTERPRETATION OF THE GLUING FORMULA

Recall from Remark 4.3 that an alternative way to write the gluing formulae for the Green's function and the heat kernel is

$$(40) \quad G_{m^2}(x, y) = \delta_{ab} G_{m^2}^{(a)}(x, y) + \int_{\gamma \times \gamma} \partial_u^n G_{m^2}^{(a)}(x, u) G_{m^2}(u, v) \partial_v^n G_{m^2}^{(b)}(v, y) dudv$$

and

$$(41) \quad K(x, y|t) = \delta_{ab} K^{(a)}(x, y|t) + \int_{t_0+t_1+t_2=t, t_i>0} dt_0 dt_1 \int_{\gamma \times \gamma} dudv \partial_u^n K^{(a)}(x, u|t_0) K(u, v|t_1) \partial_v^n K^{(b)}(v, y|t_2).$$

¹⁹This follows from the large z asymptotics $I_k(z) \sim \frac{e^z}{\sqrt{2\pi z}} (1 + O(z^{-1}))$ which imply $+I'_n(z)/I_n(z) \sim 1 - \frac{1}{2z} + O(z^{-2})$, and $\sqrt{m^2 + \frac{k^2}{R^2}} = m + O(m^{-1})$.

²⁰In spherical coordinates, the Helmholtz equation $(\Delta_{S^2} + m^2)f(\varphi, \theta) = 0$ in the product ansatz $f = F(\varphi)e^{ik\theta}$ becomes $\varphi F''(\varphi) + \cot \varphi F'(\varphi) - \left(\frac{k^2}{\sin^2 \varphi} + m^2 R^2\right) F(\varphi) = 0$. The solution which is regular at $\varphi = 0$ is the associated Legendre function of the first kind $F(\varphi) = P_\alpha^k(\cos \varphi)$ with $\alpha^2 + \alpha = -(mR)^2$.

Heuristically, these formulae are evident from the path integral (Feynman-Kac) formulae for the heat kernel and the Green's function respectively.²¹ For instance, the path integral formula for the heat kernel is

$$K_{m^2}(x, y|t) = \int_{P_M^t(x, y)} e^{-S_{m^2}(p)} \mathcal{D}p$$

with

$$P_M^t(x, y) = \{p: [0, t] \rightarrow M, p(0) = x, p(t) = y\}$$

and

$$S_{m^2}(p) = \int_0^t \left(\frac{\dot{p}^2}{4} + m^2 - \frac{R(p(\tau))}{6} \right) d\tau = m^2 t + \int_0^t \left(\frac{\dot{p}^2}{4} - \frac{R(p(\tau))}{6} \right) d\tau,$$

where R is the scalar curvature and $\dot{p}^2(\tau) = g_{p(\tau)}(\dot{p}, \dot{p})$. More precisely, we interpret $e^{-S_{m^2}(p)} \mathcal{D}p$ as $e^{-m^2 t}$ times the Wiener measure induced by the heat kernel on $P_M^t(x, y)$, see for instance [1], [4]. Consider now formula (41) with $a = b = 1$, i.e., we have $x, y \in M_1$. We can decompose the set

$$P_M^t(x, y) = \{p: [0, t] \rightarrow M, p(0) = x, p(t) = y\} \equiv P_{M, \gamma}^t(x, y) \sqcup P_{M_1 \setminus \gamma}^t(x, y)$$

into paths that touch the interface γ and those that do not. Integrating over the latter gives $K^{(1)}(x, y|t)$,²² while a path p that does touch γ can be written uniquely as a concatenation of three paths $p = p_0 * p_1 * p_2$: Denoting u (resp. v) the first (resp. last) intersection point of p with γ , we can cut p into a path p_0 of length t_0 from x to u , a path p_1 of length t_1 from u to v and a third path p_2 from v to y of length $t_2 = t - t_0 - t_1$. Note that p_0, p_2 have the property that they only touch γ at the end (resp. start) - denote the set of such paths by $(P_{M, \gamma}^{t_0})'(x, u)$, resp. $(P_{M, \gamma}^{t_2})'(v, y)$. The map $p \rightarrow (p_0, p_1, p_2)$ defines a bijection

$$P_{M, \gamma}^t(x, y) = \bigsqcup_{\Delta_t^2} \bigsqcup_{u, v \in \gamma} (P_{M, \gamma}^{t_0})'(x, u) \times P_M^{t_1}(u, v) \times (P_{M, \gamma}^{t_2})'(v, y),$$

where Δ_t^2 denotes the 2-simplex $\{(t_0, t_1, t_2) | t_i > 0, \sum_i t_i = t\}$. Let us now assume that the fictional measure $\mathcal{D}p$ factorizes as

$$(42) \quad \mathcal{D}p = dt_1 dt_2 \cdot du dv \cdot (\mathcal{D}p_0)' \mathcal{D}p_1 (\mathcal{D}p_2)',$$

for appropriately defined measures $(\mathcal{D}p_i)'$. Since $S_{m^2}(p_0 * p_1 * p_2) = S_{m^2}(p_0) + S_{m^2}(p_1) + S_{m^2}(p_2)$, we then obtain

$$(43) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{m^2}(x, y|t) &= \int_{P_M^t(x, y)} e^{-S_{m^2}(p)} \mathcal{D}p = \int_{P_{M \setminus \gamma}^t(x, y)} e^{-S_{m^2}(p)} \mathcal{D}p + \int_{P_{M, \gamma}^t(x, y)} e^{-S_{m^2}(p)} \mathcal{D}p \\ &= \int_{P_{M \setminus \gamma}^t(x, y)} e^{-S_{m^2}(p)} \mathcal{D}p + \int_{t_0+t_1+t_2=t, t_i>0} dt_0 dt_1 \int_{\gamma \times \gamma} du dv \\ &\int_{(P_{M, \gamma}^{t_0})'(x, u)} e^{-S_{m^2}(p_0)} (\mathcal{D}p_0)' \int_{P_M^{t_1}(u, v)} e^{-S_{m^2}(p_1)} \mathcal{D}p_1 \int_{(P_{M, \gamma}^{t_2})'(v, y)} e^{-S_{m^2}(p_2)} (\mathcal{D}p_2)'. \end{aligned}$$

²¹These formulae also arise in the context of first quantization, see e.g. the introduction in [7].

²²See for instance [4, Corollary 3.11].

Integrating over all possible times t_i and u, v , we obtain the second term of (41)

$$(44) \quad \int_{(P_{M,\gamma}^{t_0})'(x,u)} e^{-S_{m^2}(p_0)} (\mathcal{D}p_0)' = \partial_u^n K^{(1)}(x, u|t_0),$$

which is suggested by the discrete case, see Appendix F below, in particular Section F.2.1, and the discussion in the introduction of [7]. It would be interesting to understand equations (42) and (44) from the measure-theoretic viewpoint, as properties of the Wiener measure on a Riemannian manifold.

APPENDIX F. A GLUING FORMULA FOR THE HEAT KERNEL ON A GRAPH

In this appendix, we prove a gluing formula for the heat kernel on a graph, and show that in this case the path integral formulae of the previous appendix obtain a precise meaning as path sum formulae. We will provide two proofs of the gluing formula: one by computing inverses of block matrices and one by counting paths.

F.1. Generalities. Let $X = (V_X, E_X)$ be a graph, which for simplicity we assume to be simple (i.e. no short loops or multiple edges) and finite. We write $Y \subset X$ for a full subgraph $Y = (V_Y, E_Y)$. In the following we will consider operators on the space $C^0(X) \cong \mathbb{R}^{V_X}$ of 0-cochains on X , those are matrices A with row and columns labeled by V_X , the entries of such a matrix A are denoted $A(u, v)$.

For example, D^X is the diagonal matrix with $D^X(u, v) = \delta_{uv} \text{val}(v)$, called the degree or valency matrix. Let A^X be the adjacency matrix, with entries $A^X(u, v) = 1$ if $(u, v) \in E_X$ and $A^X(u, v) = 0$ otherwise. The graph Laplacian is

$$\Delta^X := D^X - A^X : C^0(X) \rightarrow C^0(X)$$

The heat kernel on X is the operator $K^X(t) := e^{-t\Delta^X}$, in analogy with the continuum case we denote its entries by $K^X(u, v|t)$.

F.2. Gluing formula for Green's functions. Now, let $Y \subset X$ be a full subgraph.²³ Let $G_{m^2}^X$ be the inverse matrix of $\Delta^X + m^2$, then we have block decompositions²⁴

$$(45) \quad \Delta^X + m^2 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \widehat{A} = \Delta^{X,Y} + m^2 & \widehat{B} \\ \hline \widehat{C} & \widehat{D} \end{array} \right), \quad G_{m^2}^X = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array} \right),$$

with the blocks corresponding to vertices of $X \setminus Y$ and Y respectively. We have a Dirichlet problem for a function $\varphi \in C^0(X)$ with boundary condition $\eta \in C^0(Y)$:

$$(46) \quad \begin{cases} (\Delta^X + m^2)\varphi(x) & = 0, \text{ for all } x \in X \setminus Y, \\ \varphi(y) & \equiv \eta(y), \text{ for all } y \in Y, \end{cases}$$

²³The situation in the main body of the text corresponds to the case where $X \setminus Y = X_1 \sqcup X_2$ is disconnected.

²⁴Here, $\Delta^{X,Y} : C^0(X \setminus Y) \rightarrow C^0(X \setminus Y)$ denotes the Laplacian operator on X ‘‘relative to Y ’’, it is defined as $(D^X - A^X)|_{X \setminus Y}$. In particular, it is different from $\Delta^{X \setminus Y} = D^{X \setminus Y} - A^{X \setminus Y} : C^0(X \setminus Y) \rightarrow C^0(X \setminus Y)$. In the latter $D^{X \setminus Y}$ contains valencies of vertices in $X \setminus Y$ whereas $D^X|_{X \setminus Y}$ contains valencies of vertices in X (including edges which start at vertices in $X \setminus Y$ and end at vertices of Y).

which has a unique solution ϕ_η for any $\eta \in C^0(Y)$. We denote $E_{m^2}^{Y,X} : C^0(Y) \rightarrow C^0(X)$ the map that associates to $\eta \in C^0(Y)$ the unique solution φ_η of the Dirichlet problem (51). It is easy to show, see [7], that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{m^2}^{Y,X} : C^0(Y) &\rightarrow C^0(X) \cong C^0(X \setminus Y) \oplus C^0(Y) \\ \eta &\mapsto (BD^{-1}\eta, \eta) \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $E_{m^2}^{Y,X} = BD^{-1} \oplus 1_Y$. We define the relative Green's function

$$G_{m^2}^{X,Y} := \widehat{A}^{-1} = (\Delta^{X,Y} + m^2)^{-1} : C^0(X \setminus Y) \rightarrow C^0(X \setminus Y),$$

and extend it to $C^0(X)$ by zero on Y . Finally, we define

$$\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{Y,X} := D^{-1}$$

to be the total combinatorial Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator.²⁵ Then we have a gluing formula for the Green's function

$$(47) \quad G_{m^2}^X = G_{m^2}^{X,Y} + E_{m^2}^{Y,X} (\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{Y,X})^{-1} (E_{m^2}^{Y,X})^T,$$

Remark F.1 (Discrete cutting formula). The gluing formula (47) follows from the Schur complement formula

$$(48) \quad G_{m^2}^{X,Y} = \widehat{A}^{-1} = A - BD^{-1}C = \underbrace{A}_{G_{X|X \setminus Y}} - \underbrace{(BD^{-1})}_{(E_{m^2}^{Y,X})} \underbrace{D}_{(\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{Y,X})^{-1}} \underbrace{(BD^{-1})^T}_{(E_{m^2}^{Y,X})^T}.$$

Another way to read (48) is that, on $X \setminus Y$,

$$G_{m^2}^{X,Y} - G_{m^2}^X|_{X \setminus Y} = -BD^{-1}C = -G_{m^2}^X|_{(X \setminus Y) \times Y} \mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{Y,X} G_{m^2}^X|_{Y \times (X \setminus Y)}$$

– the discrete analog of the cutting formula (20), which shows that these two formulae are equivalent in the discrete case.

F.2.1. Extension operator as a discrete normal derivative. In the continuous case, the (integral kernel of the) extension operator is given by the normal derivative of the Green's function with Dirichlet boundary conditions, i.e. if M is a Riemannian manifold with boundary γ , then for a function $\eta \in C^\infty(\gamma)$, the function $\varphi_\eta \in C^\infty(M)$ given by

$$\varphi_\eta(x) = \int_\gamma \partial_u^n G_{m^2}(x, u) \eta(u) du$$

satisfies $(\Delta^M + m^2)\varphi_\eta = 0$ and $\varphi_\eta|_\gamma = \eta$. We now want to see how this is reflected in the discrete setting. First, notice that another way to write the extension operator $(E_{m^2}^{Y,X})$ is as²⁶

$$(49) \quad E_{m^2}^{Y,X} \Big|_{(X \setminus Y) \times Y} = BD^{-1} = -\widehat{A}^{-1} \widehat{B},$$

which can be interpreted as a discrete analog of the normal derivative of the Green's function as follows. The entries of the matrix \widehat{B} are labeled by a pair of vertices

²⁵In the situation where $X = X_1 \cup_Y X_2$, one can show that $\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{Y,X} = \mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{Y,X_1} + \mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{Y,X_2} - (\Delta^Y + m^2)$.

²⁶This follows from $\widehat{A}\widehat{B} + \widehat{B}\widehat{D} = 0$, which is immediate from $G_{m^2}^X = (\Delta^X + m^2)^{-1}$ and the block decomposition (45).

(x, u) with $x \in X \setminus Y$ and $u \in Y$, and $\widehat{B}(x, u) = -1$ precisely if $(x, u) \in E_X$ and $\widehat{B}(x, u) = 0$ otherwise (that is $\widehat{B} = -A^X|_{X \setminus Y \times Y}$). Therefore,

$$E_{m^2}^{Y,X}(x, u) \Big|_{(X \setminus Y) \times Y} = \sum_{x' \in X \setminus Y : (x', u) \in E_X} G_{m^2}^{X,Y}(x, x').$$

By definition, $G_{m^2}^{X,Y}(x_1, x_2) = 0$ if either $x_1 \in Y$ or $x_2 \in Y$, therefore, we can write $E_{m^2}^{Y,X}$ as

$$(50) \quad E_{m^2}^{Y,X}(x, u) = \left(\sum_{x' \in X \setminus Y : (x', u) \in E_X} G_{m^2}^{X,Y}(x, x') - G_{m^2}^{X,Y}(x, u) \right).$$

Equation (50) is a combinatorial analog of a normal derivative in the sense of finite differences. For instance, if we suppose that X is a line graph and Y is one of the endpoints, then (50) is just a single difference and with correct normalization will approximate the derivative of G in the continuum limit. More generally, this interpretation holds for sequences of pairs (X, Y) “converging” to a domain with boundary, with each vertex of Y having exactly one adjacent vertex in $X \setminus Y$.

F.3. Gluing formulae for the heat kernel.

F.3.1. *Discrete gluing formula I.* Let us consider the boundary value problem for the heat equation on X . For a function $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, C^0(X))$ and $\eta \in C^0(Y)$, it reads:

$$(51) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t + \Delta^X)\varphi(t, x) = 0, & t > 0, x \in X \setminus Y; \\ \varphi(0, x) = 0, & x \in X \setminus Y; \\ \varphi(t, y) \equiv \eta(y), & t \geq 0, y \in Y. \end{cases}$$

For any given $\eta \in C^0(Y)$, there is a unique φ_η solving the Dirichlet problem (51), which defines a map $\mathcal{E}^{Y,X} : C^0(Y) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, C^0(X))$. One can check that

$$(\mathcal{E}^{Y,X}\eta)(t, x) = \int_0^t dt' (\varepsilon^{Y,X}\eta)(t', x),$$

where $\varepsilon^{Y,X}(t) : C^0(Y) \rightarrow C^0(X)$ is given by

$$(52) \quad (\varepsilon^{Y,X}\eta)(t, x) = \sum_{y \in Y} \mathbf{L}^{-1}[E_{m^2}^{Y,X}(x, y)](t)\eta(y).$$

Now, we can state and prove the discrete analog of the first gluing formula for the heat kernel on a graph:

Proposition F.2. *We have*

$$(53) \quad K^X(t) = K^{X,Y}(t) + \int_{\substack{t_1+t_2+t_3=t \\ t_i > 0}} dt_1 dt_2 \varepsilon^{Y,X}(t_1) \mathbf{L}^{-1}[(\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{Y,X})^{-1}](t_2) (\varepsilon^{Y,X}(t_3))^T.$$

Proof. As in the continuous case, one can get the gluing formula for the heat kernel (53) from the gluing formula for the Green’s function (47) by applying the inverse Laplace transform and using the fact that multiplication gets mapped to convolution. The inverse Laplace transform of the Green’s functions are the corresponding heat kernels and the inverse Laplace transform of the extension operator $E_{m^2}^{Y,X}$ is $\varepsilon^{Y,X}(t)$ (52). \square

Remark F.3. Equation (53) is valid on all of Y , if we define $K^{X,Y}(u,v|t) = 0$ if $u \in Y$ or $v \in Y$ and $\varepsilon^{Y,X}(x,y|t) = \delta(t)$ for $x \in Y$.

Example F.4. Consider X a line graph with 3 vertices.²⁷ Then

$$\Delta^X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$K^X(t) = e^{-t\Delta^X} = \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-3t} + 3e^{-t} + 2 & 2 - 2e^{-3t} & e^{-3t} - 3e^{-t} + 2 \\ 2 - 2e^{-3t} & 4e^{-3t} + 2 & 2 - 2e^{-3t} \\ e^{-3t} - 3e^{-t} + 2 & 2 - 2e^{-3t} & e^{-3t} + 3e^{-t} + 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us glue $X = X_1 \cup_Y X_2$, with X_i line graphs with 2 vertices and Y a single vertex in X_i , see Figure 5. Then




FIGURE 5. Line graph as a gluing of two subgraphs.

$$\Delta_{X_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_{X_1, m^2} = \frac{1}{m^2(2+m^2)} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 1+m^2 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1+m^2 \end{array} \right).$$

The extension operator, and its inverse Laplace transform, are

$$E^{Y, X_1} = (BD^{-1}, 1) = \left(\frac{1}{1+m^2}, 1 \right),$$

$$\varepsilon^{Y, X_1}(t) = \left(\mathbf{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{1+m^2} \right], 1 \right) = (e^{-t}, \delta(t)).$$

The total Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{Y, X} &= \mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{Y, X_1} + \mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{Y, X_2} - (\Delta^Y + m^2) = \\ &= \frac{m^2(2+m^2)}{1+m^2} + \frac{m^2(2+m^2)}{1+m^2} - m^2 = \frac{m^2(3+m^2)}{1+m^2}. \end{aligned}$$

And the inverse Laplace transform of its inverse is

$$(\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{Y, X})^{-1} = \frac{1+m^2}{m^2(3+m^2)}, \quad \mathbf{L}^{-1}[(\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{Y, X})^{-1}] = \frac{1}{3}(1 + 2e^{-3t}).$$

Then we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} K^X(1, 3|t) &= \int_{t_1+t_2+t_3=t, t_i>0} dt_1 dt_2 e^{-t_1} \frac{1}{3} (1 + 2e^{-3t_2}) e^{-t_3} \\ &= \frac{1}{3} - \frac{e^{-t}}{2} + \frac{e^{-3t}}{6}, \end{aligned}$$

²⁷A more common name for it is “path graph.” We use the term “line graph” instead, to avoid confusion with paths on a graph X .

$$\begin{aligned}
K^X(3, 3|t) &= K_{X_2, Y}(3, 3|t) + \int_{t_1+t_2+t_3=t, t_i>0} dt_1 dt_2 e^{-t_1} \frac{1}{3} (1 + 2e^{-3t_2}) e^{-t_3} \\
&= e^{-t} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{e^{-t}}{2} + \frac{e^{-3t}}{6} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{e^{-t}}{2} + \frac{e^{-3t}}{6}, \\
K^X(1, 2|t) &= \int_{t_1+t_2=t} dt_1 e^{-t_1} \frac{1}{3} (1 + 2e^{-3t_2}) = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{e^{-3t}}{3}. \\
K^X(2, 2|t) &= \frac{1}{3} (1 + 2e^{-3t}) = \mathbf{L}^{-1}[(\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{Y, X})^{-1}]
\end{aligned}$$

which agrees with the direct computation of the matrix exponential as above and Remark F.3.

Example F.5. For $p < q \in \mathbb{Z}$, Let us denote $[p; q]$ the line graph with vertices labeled $p, p+1, \dots, q$. The heat kernel of the line graph $X = [0; N]$ with Dirichlet boundary condition on the two endpoints $\partial X = \{0, N\}$ is:²⁸

$$K^{[0; N], \{0, N\}}(x, y|t) = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \sin \frac{\pi j x}{N} \sin \frac{\pi j y}{N} e^{-(4 \sin^2 \frac{\pi j}{2N})t}.$$

If we represent the graph $[0; N]$ as a gluing of two line graphs $X_1 = [0; N_1]$ and $X_2 = [N_1; N = N_1 + N_2]$ over the 1-vertex subgraph $Y = \{N_1\}$, the gluing formula




FIGURE 6. Line graph with Dirichlet condition on the end-vertices (drawn as hollow vertices), represented as a gluing of two sub-graphs.

(53) takes the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
K^{[0; N], \{0, N\}}(x, y; t) &= K^{X_a, \partial X_a}(x, y; t) \delta_{ab} + \\
&+ \int_{t_1+t_2+t_3=t, t_i>0} dt_1 dt_2 \underbrace{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_a-1} \frac{2}{N_a} \sin \frac{\pi j}{N_a} \sin \frac{\pi j \text{dist}(x, Y)}{N_a} e^{-4 \sin^2 \frac{\pi j}{2N_a} \cdot t_1} \right)}_{\varepsilon^{Y, X_a}(t_1)} \\
&\underbrace{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{2}{N} \sin^2 \frac{\pi k N_1}{N} e^{-4 \sin^2 \frac{\pi k}{2N} \cdot t_2} \right)}_{\mathbf{L}^{-1}[(\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{Y, X})^{-1}](t_2)} \underbrace{\left(\sum_{l=1}^{N_b-1} \frac{2}{N_b} \sin \frac{\pi l}{N_b} \sin \frac{\pi l \text{dist}(y, Y)}{N_b} e^{-4 \sin^2 \frac{\pi l}{2N_b} \cdot t_3} \right)}_{\varepsilon^{Y, X_b}(t_3)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we assume $x \in X_a, y \in X_b$ with $a, b \in \{1, 2\}$.

²⁸One can obtain this immediately from the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the graph Laplacian on the line graph. Alternatively, one can compute the inverse Laplace transform of the Green's function on the line graph, [7, Example 3.7].

F.3.2. *Discrete gluing formula II.* We can also prove a version of our “second gluing formula,” Theorem 4.4. In the discrete case, we use another splitting of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator. Namely, using again the Schur complement formula we have

$$\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{Y,X} = D^{-1} = \widehat{D} - \widehat{C}\widehat{A}^{-1}\widehat{B}$$

and we have

$$\widehat{D} = (D^X)_{Y-Y} + m^2 - A^Y.$$

Here $(D^X)_{Y-Y}$ denotes the $Y - Y$ block of the degree matrix, i.e. it is a diagonal matrix whose entries are the valencies in X of the vertices in Y . We therefore have a decomposition

$$\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{Y,X} = \underbrace{(D^X)_{Y-Y} + m^2}_{=: \Lambda} - \underbrace{(A^Y + \widehat{C}\widehat{A}^{-1}\widehat{B})}_{=: \mathbb{D}'}$$

We then get a geometric progression for $\mathbb{D}_{Y,X}^{-1}$:

$$(\mathbb{D}_{m^2}^{Y,X})^{-1} = \Lambda^{-1} \sum_{k \geq 0} (\mathbb{D}' \Lambda^{-1})^k = \Lambda^{-1} + \Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{D}' \Lambda^{-1} + \dots$$

The inverse Laplace transform of Λ^{-1} is the diagonal matrix with entries $e^{-t \text{val}_X(v)}$. We will give an explicit formula for the operator \mathbb{D}' and its inverse Laplace transform in terms of paths in Proposition F.11 (Equation (56)) below. For now we remark that in the case where $X \setminus Y = (X_1 \setminus Y) \sqcup (X_2 \setminus Y)$ is a disconnected graph (i.e. there are no edges between $X_1 \setminus Y$ and $X_2 \setminus Y$), then the block decomposition (45) becomes

$$\Delta^X + m^2 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} \widehat{A}_1 = \Delta_{X_1,Y} + m^2 & 0 & \widehat{B}_1 \\ \hline 0 & \widehat{A}_2 = \Delta_{X_2,Y} + m^2 & \widehat{B}_2 \\ \hline \widehat{C}_1 & \widehat{C}_2 & \widehat{D} \end{array} \right)$$

and therefore, we have

$$\mathbb{D}' = A^Y + \widehat{C}_1 \widehat{A}_1^{-1} \widehat{B}_1 + \widehat{C}_2 \widehat{A}_2^{-1} \widehat{B}_2.$$

This means that \mathbb{D}' can be expressed purely in terms of the two graphs X_1, X_2 and the interface Y . Similarly to Section F.2.1, we can think of the second and the third term as combinatorial normal derivatives applied to both arguments of the Green’s function with Dirichlet boundary conditions, compare this with the equation from the continuous case (7). The inverse Laplace transform of \mathbb{D}' is

$$\mathbf{L}^{-1}[\mathbb{D}'](t) = \delta(t)A^Y + \widehat{C}_1 K_{X_1,Y}(t) \widehat{B}_1 + \widehat{C}_2 K_{X_2,Y}(t) \widehat{B}_2.$$

(Notice that none of $A^Y, \widehat{B}_i, \widehat{C}_i$ depend on m^2 .) We then have the following discrete version of Theorem 4.4:

Proposition F.6. *Suppose that $X = X_1 \cup_Y X_2$. Let $x_1 \in X_{(a)}, x_2 \in X_{(b)}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} K^X(x_1, x_2|t) &= \delta_{ab} K^{X(a)}(x_1, x_2|t) + \\ &+ \sum_{k \geq 0} \int_{\sum_{i=0}^{2k+2} t_i = t, t_i > 0} \prod_{i=0}^{2k+1} dt_i \sum_{u_0, \dots, u_k \in Y} \varepsilon^{Y, X(a)}(x_1, u_0|t_0) \cdot \\ &\cdot \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(\mathbf{L}^{-1}[\mathbb{D}'](u_i, u_{i+1}) \right) (t_{2i+1}) e^{-t_{2i+2} \text{val}_X(u_{i+1})} \cdot e^{-t_{2k+1} \text{val}_X(u_k)}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\cdot (\varepsilon^{Y, X(b)})^T(u_k, x_2 | t_{2k+2}).$$

F.4. Path sum formulae. In this section, we will give alternative proofs of Proposition F.2 and Proposition F.6 (the two gluing formulas for the discrete heat kernel) that rely on path-counting arguments.

Let $P_X(u, v)$ be the set of paths from u to v .²⁹ In [7], we prove the following path sum formula for the heat kernel.

Proposition F.7 ([7]). *We have*

$$K(u, v|t) = \sum_{\gamma \in P_X(u, v)} W(\gamma, t),$$

where for a path $\gamma = (u = v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k = v)$ we denote

$$W(\gamma, t) = \int_{\substack{t_0, \dots, t_k > 0 \\ t_0 + \dots + t_k = t}} dt_1 \cdots dt_k e^{-\sum_{j=0}^k t_j \text{val}(v_j)}.$$

For a path $\gamma = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k)$ introduce the notations

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\gamma} &= (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}), \\ \underline{\gamma} &= (v_1, v_1, \dots, v_k), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\bar{\gamma}$ is the γ with the last vertex dropped and $\underline{\gamma}$ is γ with the first vertex dropped. The following lemma is elementary and we skip the proof:

Lemma F.8. *For any two composable paths γ_1 and γ_2 we have*

$$W(\gamma_1 * \gamma_2) = \int_{\substack{t_1, t_2 > 0 \\ t_1 + t_2 = t}} dt_1 W(\bar{\gamma}_1, t_1) W(\gamma_2, t_2) = \int_{\substack{t_1, t_2 > 0 \\ t_1 + t_2 = t}} dt_1 W(\gamma_1, t_1) W(\underline{\gamma}_2, t_2).$$

In particular, if $\gamma = \gamma_1 * \gamma_2 * \gamma_3$, then

$$W(\gamma, t) = \int_{\substack{t_1, t_2, t_3 > 0 \\ t_1 + t_2 + t_3 = t}} dt_1 dt_2 W(\bar{\gamma}_1, t_1) W(\gamma_2, t_2) W(\underline{\gamma}_3, t_3).$$

Now, suppose that $Y \subset X$ is a full subgraph. Then we have an obvious decomposition $P_X(u, v) = P_{X \setminus Y}(u, v) \sqcup P_{X, Y}(u, v)$ of paths from u to v into those that contain no vertices in Y and those that do.³⁰ Any $\gamma \in P_{X, Y}(u, v)$ can be decomposed as $\gamma = \gamma_1 * \gamma_2 * \gamma_3$, where γ_1 and γ_3 intersect Y only in the ending (resp. starting) vertex, and γ_2 is an arbitrary path starting and ending on Y . This gives

$$\begin{aligned} K(u, v|t) &= \sum_{\gamma \in P_{X \setminus Y}(u, v)} W(\gamma, t) + \\ &\quad + \sum_{y_1, y_2 \in Y} \sum_{\gamma_1 \in P'_{X, Y}(u, y_1)} \sum_{\gamma_2 \in P_X(y_1, y_2)} \sum_{\gamma_3 \in P'_{X, Y}(y_2, v)} W(\gamma_1 * \gamma_2 * \gamma_3), \end{aligned}$$

where we denote by $P'_{X, Y}(u, y)$ (resp. $P'_{X, Y}(y, v)$) the paths that end (resp. start) at a vertex $y \in Y$ and do not contain any other vertices in Y . Using Lemma F.8, we obtain:

²⁹A path from u to v is a sequence of vertices $(u = v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k = v)$, with $v_i \neq v_{i+1}$. The length of this path is k .

³⁰In the case where $X \setminus Y = X_1 \sqcup X_2$ is disconnected, the set $P_{X \setminus Y}(u, v)$ is empty if $u \in X_1$ and $v \in X_2$ or vice versa.

Proposition F.9. *The heat kernel $K^X(t)$ on a graph admits the “path sum gluing formula”*

$$(54) \quad K^X(u, v|t) = \sum_{\gamma \in P_{X \setminus Y}(u, v)} W(\gamma, t) + \int_{\substack{t_1, t_2, t_3 > 0 \\ t_1 + t_2 + t_3 = t}} dt_1 dt_2 \sum_{y_1, y_2 \in Y} \sum_{\gamma_1 \in P'_{X, Y}(u, y_1)} W(\bar{\gamma}_1, t_1) \cdot \sum_{\gamma_2 \in P_X(y_1, y_2)} W(\gamma_2, t_2) \sum_{\gamma_3 \in P'_{X, Y}(y_2, v)} W(\underline{\gamma}_3, t_3).$$


This is the discrete analog of the path integral formula (43). The following proposition identifies the terms of the formula (54) with the integral kernels of the operators $K^{X, Y}(t)$, $\varepsilon^{Y, X}(t)$ and $\mathbf{L}^{-1}[(\mathbb{D}^{Y, X})^{-1}](t)$:

Proposition F.10. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} K^{X, Y}(u, v|t) &= \sum_{\gamma \in P_{X \setminus Y}(u, v)} W(\gamma, t), \\ \varepsilon^{Y, X}(u, y|t) &= \sum_{\gamma \in P'_{X, Y}(v, y)} W(\bar{\gamma}, t), \\ \mathbf{L}^{-1}[(\mathbb{D}^{Y, X})^{-1}](y_1, y_2|t) &= \sum_{\gamma \in P_X(y_1, y_2)} W(\gamma, t). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. These formulae can be proved, exactly like Proposition F.10, by applying the inverse Laplace transform to the path sum expressions for the Green’s function for $\Delta^{X, Y} + m^2$ and the corresponding extension operator $E_{m^2}^{Y, X}$ which were derived in [7]. \square

Propositions F.9 and F.10 together imply the Proposition F.2. Finally, we remark that Proposition F.6 can be proved by splitting paths that intersect Y in a different way, namely, splitting at every vertex where they intersect Y . Suppose a path γ from u to v intersects Y at $k + 1$ vertices y_0, \dots, y_k . For convenience, set $u = y_{-1}$ and $v = y_{k+1}$ and denote γ_i the segment of γ between y_{i-1} and y_i .

FIGURE 7. Decomposing a path γ into components γ_i .

Then, iterating Lemma F.8, we get

$$(55) \quad W(\gamma, t) = \int_{\sum_{i=0}^{2k+2} t_i = t, t_i > 0} \prod_{i=0}^{2k+1} dt_i \\ W(\overline{\gamma}_0, t_0)W(y_0, t_1)W(\overline{\gamma}_1, t_2) \cdots W(\overline{\gamma}_k, t_{2k})W(y_k, t_{2k+1})W(\overline{\gamma}_{k+1}, t_{2k+2}).$$

Here we abuse notation by denoting a length 0 path by its only vertex, i.e., $W(y_i, t) = e^{-t \text{val}_X(y_i)}$. Also, notice that the paths $\overline{\gamma}_i$ can be empty, in the case where $\gamma_i = (y_{i-1}, y_i)$ (i.e. the segment γ_i is a single edge contained in Y , see Figure 7). In this case we set $W(\overline{\gamma}_i, t) = \delta(t)$.

Now, Proposition F.6 follows from Propositions F.9 and F.10, expansion (55), and the following path sum formula for $\mathbf{L}^{-1}[\mathbb{D}'](t)$:

Proposition F.11. *For two vertices $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ denote by $P''_{X,Y}(y_1, y_2)$ all paths from y_1 to y_2 in X that do not intersect Y except in y_1 and y_2 . Then*

$$(56) \quad \mathbf{L}^{-1}[\mathbb{D}'](y_1, y_2|t) = \sum_{\gamma \in P''_{X,Y}(y_1, y_2)} W(\overline{\gamma}, t).$$

Proof. This follows from the path sum formula for \mathbb{D}' that was proved in [7] after applying the inverse Laplace transform. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Anderson, B. Driver, “Finite dimensional approximations to Wiener measure and path integral formulas on manifolds,” *J. Funct. Anal.* 165, 430–498 (1999).
- [2] D. Burghelea, L. Friedlander, T. Kappeler, “Meyer-Vietoris type formula for determinants of elliptic differential operators,” *J. Funct. Anal.*, 107.1 (1992) 34–65.
- [3] N. Berline, E. Getzler, M. Vergne, “Heat kernels and Dirac operators,” 1992.
- [4] Christian Baer, Frank Pfäeffle, “Wiener Measures on Riemannian Manifolds and the Feynman-Kac Formula”, *Matematica Contemporanea* 40 (2011), 37-90
- [5] G. Carron, “Déterminant relatif et la fonction ξ ,” *Amer. J. Math.*, 124.2 (2002).
- [6] A. P. Calderon, R. Vaillancourt. “On the boundedness of pseudo-differential operators.” *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 23 (2) 374 - 378, April, 1971. <https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/02320374>
- [7] I. Contreras, S. Kandel, P. Mnev, K. Wernli, “Combinatorial QFT on graphs: first quantization formalism” . arXiv:2308.07801
- [8] K. Costello, “Renormalization and Effective Field Theory”. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, Volume 170, American Mathematical Society (2011)
- [9] R. P. Feynman, A. R. Hibbs, “Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals,” McGraw-Hill, New York (1965).
- [10] Girouard, Alexandre, Mikhail Karpukhin, Michael Levitin, and Iosif Polterovich. 2022. “The Dirichlet-to-Neumann Map, the Boundary Laplacian, and Hörmander’s Rediscovered Manuscript,” *Journal of Spectral Theory* 12 (1): 195–225.
- [11] Alexandre Girouard, Iosif Polterovich, “Spectral geometry of the Steklov problem (Survey article)”, *J. Spectr. Theory* 7 (2017), no. 2, pp. 321–359
New York, second edition, 1987.
- [12] A. Grigor’yan, “Heat kernel on a non-compact Riemannian manifold.” (1993): 239–263.
- [13] L. Hörmander, “Inequalities between normal and tangential derivatives of harmonic functions,” in: L. Hörmander, *Unpublished manuscripts*, Springer, 2018, 37–41.
Riemannian setting,” *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* 20.6 (2016): 1443–1471.
- [14] F. Hanisch, A. Strohmaier, A. Waters, “A relative trace formula for obstacle scattering,” *Duke Math. J.* 171 (11), 2233-2274
- [15] S. Kandel, P. Mnev, K. Wernli, “Two-dimensional perturbative scalar QFT and Atiyah–Segal gluing,” *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* 25.7 (2021): 1847–1952
- [16] N. Kuznetsov, T. Kulczycki, M. Kwaśnicki, A. Nazarov, S. Poborchi, I. Polterovich, and B. Siudeja, The legacy of Vladimir Andreevich Steklov, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* 61, no. 1 (2014), 9–22.
- [17] M. E. Taylor. “Partial differential equations II. Qualitative studies of linear equations.” *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, Vol 116. Springer, New York, Second edition, 2011.
- [18] G. Vodev, “High-frequency approximation of the interior Dirichlet-to-Neumann map and applications to the transmission eigenvalues, ” *Anal. PDE* 11(1): 213-236 (2018). DOI: 10.2140/apde.2018.11.213
The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1992.

UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME, IN 46556, USA

Email address: pmnev@nd.edu

CENTRE FOR QUANTUM MATHEMATICS, IMADA, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN DENMARK, CAMPUSVEJ 55, 5230 ODENSE M, DENMARK

Email address: kwernli@imada.sdu.dk