Quandle Action Quivers

Mason Cai^{*} Sam Nelson[†]

Abstract

Quandle Coloring Quivers are directed graph-valued invariants of classical and virtual knots and links associated to finite quandles. Quandle action quivers are subquivers of the full quandle coloring quiver associated to quandle actions by elements of the coloring quandle. These quivers provide a categorification of the quandle counting invariant associated to each element of the quandle. We obtain new polynomial invariants called quandle action polynomials from these quivers as decategorifications.

Keywords: 2020 MSC: 57K12

1 Introduction

Quandles are algebraic structures with axioms motivated by the Reidemeister moves in knot theory. Since their introduction in the early 1980s, quandles have been used to define invariants of knots, links and other topological structures.

Every classical or virtual knot or link has a *fundamental quandle*, sometimes called the *knot quandle*, analogous to the fundamental group of a topological space, given by a presentation that can be read from a diagram of the knot or link. In [8], Joyce showed that the knot quandle is complete invariant of classical knots in the sense that its isomorphism class determines the knot complement up to homeomorphism. For virtual knots and classical and virtual links, the fundamental quandle is a not complete but still very strong invariant, determining other invariants such as the Alexander polynomial, the knot group and more.

Since comparing isomorphism classes of objects defined by presentations is often difficult, in practice we consider the set of quandle homomorphisms from the fundamental quandle to a finite quandle X, known as the *quandle homset*. In [3] the homset was categorified to obtain a *quandle coloring quiver* associated to each subset of the set of quandle endomorphisms, yielding quiver-valued invariants of classical and virtual knots and links. Several new polynomial knot invariants were defined from these quivers via decategorification. Quiver invariants related to the quandle coloring quiver have been studied in many recent works; see [1, 3, 2, 4, 9, 6, 7] for more.

In this paper we consider the action of a finite quandle on itself. Since these actions are endomorphisms, they determine a distinguished set of quandle coloring quivers. Moreover, iterating quandle actions in a finite quandle eventually yields an identify map, which leads to distinguished cycles in the quiver. We exploit this to define *quandle action quivers* and for each element of a finite quandle obtain a new polynomial knot invariant we call a *quandle action polynomial*.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review quandles and quandle coloring quivers. In Section 3 we define the quandle action quivers and their associated polynomial knot invariants. We provide examples to illustrate the computation of the new invariants and collect some sample results for sets of classical and virtual knots and links. We conclude with some questions for future research in Section 4.

^{*}Email: mcab2020@mymail.pomona.edu

[†]Email: Sam.Nelson@cmc.edu. Partially supported by Simons Foundation collaboration grant 702597

2 Quandles and Quandle Actions

We begin with brief review of quandles; see [5] for more.

Definition 1 (quandles). A quandle is a set X equipped with two binary operations $\triangleright, \triangleright^{-1} : X \times X \to X$ satisfying the following axioms:

- (i) For all $x \in X$, $x \triangleright x = x$ (idempotent)
- (ii) For all $x, y \in X$, $(x \triangleright y) \triangleright^{-1} y = x = (x \triangleright^{-1} y) \triangleright y$ (right-invertible)
- (iii) For all $x, y, z \in X$, $(x \triangleright y) \triangleright z = (x \triangleright z) \triangleright (y \triangleright z)$ (self-distributive)

These axioms arise from the three Reidemeister moves when viewing the elements of X as labels or colors for each arc of a knot or link diagram. Then, the \triangleright and \triangleright^{-1} operations can be interpreted as the over-arc acting on the under-arc at a crossing from the right or from the left.

Example 1. Let G be a group. Then the underlying set G equipped with \triangleright defined as conjugation by y, $x \triangle y = y^{-1}xy$ and with \triangleright^{-1} defined as conjugation by y^{-1} , $x \triangleright^{-1} y = yxy^{-1}$ is a quandle known as the *conjugation quandle* of G.

Example 2. Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ and consider a Λ -module M. Then M equipped with the operation $x \triangleright y = tx + (1-t)y$ with $x, y \in M$ is called an *Alexander quandle*.

Finite quandles can be represented by their operation tables. Consider the following example:

Example 3. The *dihedral quandle* of order n is a finite quandle defined as the set $X = \mathbb{Z}_n$ with the operation $x \triangleright y = 2y - x \pmod{n}$.

The operation table for the dihedral quandle of order 3 is shown below.

\triangleright	0	1	2
0	0	2	1
1	2	1	0
2	1	0	2

Definition 2. Let L be an oriented classical or virtual knot or link. At each crossing of L, assign the following relation between arc labels:



In other words, we can think of the relation as x goes under y to yield $x \triangleright y$. The fundamental quandle of L, denoted $\mathcal{Q}(L)$, is the quandle generated by the set of arc labels under the equivalence relation defined by the quandle axioms together with the crossing relations for each crossing of L.

Definition 3. Let L be an oriented classical or virtual knot or link. Let X be a finite quandle. The *counting invariant*, denoted

$$\Phi_X^{\mathbb{Z}}(L) = |\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{Q}(L), X)|,$$

is the number of quandle homomorphisms from the fundamental quandle $\mathcal{Q}(L)$ to the coloring quandle X.

We then have the following standard result (see [5, 8]):

Theorem 1. The quandle counting invariant is an invariant of oriented classical and virtual knots and links.

Remark 1. A choice of diagram D for an oriented knot or link L allows us to represent the homset $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{Q}(L), X)$ in a concrete way as the set of X-colorings of D, analogously to how a choice of basis for a vector space enables us to represent linear transformations concretely as matrices. A different choice of diagram yields a different set of representatives for each coloring just as a different choice of basis yield different matrices represent the same linear transformation. The role of change of basis matrices is played by X-colored Reidemeister moves in this analogy.

Definition 4. Let *L* be an oriented knot or link, *D* a choice of diagram of *L* with arcs numbered $1, \ldots, n$ and *X* a finite quandle and $x \in X$. We can represent a homset element as a vector $f = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in X^n$ with reference to *D*. Then there is a natural quandle action of *x* on the homset Hom($\mathcal{Q}(L), X$) defined on elements $f = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{Q}(L), X)$ by

$$x \cdot f = (x_1 \triangleright x, x_2 \triangleright x, \dots, x_n \triangleright x).$$

Lemma 2. Let L, X be the same as in Definition 4. Then the natural quandle action on f yields a valid coloring for every $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{Q}(L), X)$.

Proof. The action of x on the f preserves crossing relations of L. This follows from the self-distributivity axiom

$$(x \triangleright z) \triangleright (y \triangleright z) = (x \triangleright y) \triangleright z.$$

Quandle actions on the homset induce endomorphisms of X. Thus, the natural quandle action of any element $x \in X$ yields a quandle coloring quiver, $Q_X^x(L)$ as introduced in [3]. We review this definition in the next section.

3 Quiver Action Enhancement

Quandle coloring quivers, $Q_X^S(L)$ were defined in [3] in the following way: given a finite quandle X and set $S \subset \text{Hom}(X, X)$ of quandle endomorphisms, we can make a directed graph with a vertex for each X-coloring of L and a directed edge from v_j to v_k whenever $v_k = f(v_j)$ in the sense that each arc color in v_k is obtained from the corresponding arc color in v_j by applying f for some $f \in S$. For this paper, we specialize the quandle coloring quiver to the notion of quandle actions to define the quandle action quiver.

Definition 5. Let X be a finite quandle and L an oriented classical or virtual knot or link represented by a diagram D. The quandle action quiver $Q_X^A(L)$ has a vertex for each element $v \in \text{Hom}(Q(L), X)$ represented by an X-coloring of D and for each $x \in X$ an edge weighted by x from v to $x \cdot v$, the vertex associated to the X-coloring of D obtained from v by replacing each color y with $y \triangleright x$.



Example 4. Let X be the trivial quandle structure on $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$, i.e. $x \triangleright y = x$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then the homset consists of n monochromatic colorings and each element of X acts trivially on these colorings, so the quiver is a is a disjoint union of n loops.

Let us abbreviate the *n*-times iterated action of x on v as $x \cdot^n v$.

Definition 6. For each $x \in X$, the polynomial $\Phi_X^{A,x}(L)$

$$\Phi_X^{A,x}(L) = \sum_{v \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{Q}(L),X)} u^{l(v,x)}$$

where l(v, x) is the smallest integer n > 0 such that $x \cdot v = v$, i.e., the length of the loop based at v consisting of edges labeled with x, is the quandle action polynomial of L associated to the element $x \in X$.

Theorem 3. Let X be the trivial quandle on n elements as defined in Example 4. Then for every $x \in X$ we have $\Phi_X^{A,x}(L) = nu$.

Proof. The follows from Example 4.

More generally, we have:

Theorem 4. Let X be a quandle with an element $x \in X$ which acts trivially on X, i.e. such that $y \triangleright x = y$ for all $y \in X$. Then $\Phi_X^{A,x}(L) = \Phi_X^{\mathbb{Z}(L)} u$.

The following example demonstrates how to compute the quandle action polynomial for 3_1 where the coloring quandle X is the dihedral quandle of order 3.

Example 5. Let X be the dihedral quandle of order 3 as in Example 3 and let L be the trefoil knot 3_1 . The homset is



and the quandle action quiver is



Then we compute $\Phi_X^{A,0}(3_1) = 8u^2 + u$.

Since the quandle action polynomial arises from the quandle coloring quiver, it is necessarily an invariant of knots and links.

Theorem 5. Let X be a finite quandle, L an oriented classical or virtual knot or link. Let X act naturally on $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{Q}(L), X)$. Then for each $x \in X$, $\Phi_X^{A,x}(L)$ is an invariant of L.

Proof. Hom $(\mathcal{Q}(L), X)$ is an invariant of L and $\Phi_X^{A,x}(L)$ is determined up to isomorphism of X.

Examples 6 and 7 verify that the quandle action polynomial is a proper enhancement of the counting invariant.

Example 6. Let X be the quandle with multiplication table:

\triangleright	1	2	3	4
1	1	4	4	1
2	3	2	2	3
3	2	3	3	2
4	4	1	1	4

Then we compute the quandle action polynomials for some small links:

L	$\Phi_X^{A,4}(L)$
L4a1	$12u^2 + 4u$
L5a1	$12u^2 + 4u$
L6a1	$12u^2 + 4u$
L6a5	$8u^2 + 8u$
L6n1	$8u^2 + 8u$
L7a1	$12u^2 + 4u$
L7a2	$12u^2 + 4u$
L7a3	$12u^2 + 4u$
L7a4	$12^2u + 4u$
L7a7	$8u^2 + 8u$
L7n1	$12^2u + 4u$
L7n2	$12u^2 + 4u$

The counting invariant yields the same value of 16 for all the links above, but the quandle action polynomial distinguishes many pairs of links. This verifies that the quandle action polynomial is indeed a proper enhancement of the counting invariant.

Example 7. Let X be the quandle with multiplication table

\triangleright	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	1	1	2	2	2	2
2	2	2	1	1	1	1
3	3	3	3	6	4	5
4	4	4	5	4	6	3
5	5	5	6	3	5	4
6	6	6	4	5	3	6

We compute the quandle action polynomials for some small links:

L	$\Phi^{A,4}_X(L)$
L4n1	$12u^6 + 15u^3 + 8u^2 + u$
L6n5	$18u^6 + 3u^3 + 14u^2 + u$
L7n1	$12u^6 + 15u^3 + 8u^2 + u$
L7n4	$12u^6 + 15u^3 + 8u^2 + u$

and find that while the quandle action polynomial distinguishes L4a1 from L6a5, L7a1, and L7a4 while the counting invariant for each is 36.

Remark 2. The quandle action polynomial is an infinite family of invariants of knots and links. For each choice of finite coloring quandle, a fixed acting element yields a set of quandle action polynomials valued at each knot. A different acting element may yield a different set of quandle action polynomials.

More precisely, we have:

Proposition 6. Let X be a quandle. If the action of $x \in X$ agrees with the action of $y \in X$, i.e. if $z \triangleright x = z \triangleright y$ for all $z \in X$, then

$$\Phi_X^{X,x}(L) = \Phi_X^{X,y}(L).$$

Proof. Immediate from the definition.

Example 8. Let X be the quandle structure on the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ given by the operation table

\triangleright	1	2	3	4	5
1	1	3	1	3	3
2	5	2	5	2	2
3	3	1	3	1	1 .
4	2	4	2	4	4
5	4	5	4	5	5

The actions of 1 and 3 are the same since their columns in the operation matrix agree; similarly, the actions of 2, 4 and 5 are the same. We computed the quandle action polynomials for elements $1, 2 \in X$ for the prime

classical links with up to seven crossings;	the results	are in the	table.
---	-------------	------------	--------

L	$\Phi_X^{X,1}(L)$	$\Phi_X^{X,2}(L)$
L2a1	$4u + 9u^3$	$9u + 4u^2$
L4a1	$4u + 9u^3$	$9u + 4u^2$
L5a1	$4u + 21u^3$	$9u + 16u^2$
L6a1	$4u + 9u^3$	$9u + 4u^2$
L6a2	$4u + 9u^3$	$9u + 4u^2$
L6a3	$4u + 9u^3$	$9u + 4u^2$
L6a4	$8u + 117u^3$	$27u + 98u^2$
L6a5	$8u + 27u^3$	$27u + 8u^2$
L6n1	$8u + 27u^3$	$27u + 8u^2$
L7a1	$4u + 21u^3$	$9u + 16u^2$
L7a2	$4u + 9u^3$	$9u + 4u^2$
L7a3	$4u + 21u^3$	$9u + 16u^2$
L7a4	$4u + 21u^3$	$9u + 16u^2$
L7a5	$4u + 9u^3$	$9u + 4u^2$
L7a6	$4u + 9u^3$	$9u + 4u^2$
L7a7	$8u + 27u^3$	$27u + 8u^2$
L7n1	$4u + 9u^3$	$9u + 4u^2$
L7n2	$4u + 21u^3$	$9u + 16u^2$

We conclude with an observation.

Remark 3. Most decategorifications from quandle coloring quivers lose some information so that the isomorphism class of the quiver is a stronger invariant than the polynomial. In the case of quandle action quivers and polynomials, however, no information in lost in going from the quiver to the polynomial; the polynomial determines the quiver up to graph isomorphism.

4 Questions

We end with some questions for future research.

- What is the most effective way to combine the quandle action polynomials for different elements in a quandle into a single invariant?
- What other enhancements can be defined from the quandle action quiver?
- Characterize what kinds of polynomials can be the quandle action polynomial of a knot or link with respect to a given quandle. For example, the coefficient of u^j must be a multiple of j since each of the j vertices in a cycle of length j contributes u^j to the polynomial; what other properties must the polynomials have?
- Fully characterize the conditions under which two quandle elements determine the same quandle action quiver/polynomial.

References

- J. Ceniceros, A. Christiana, and S. Nelson. Psyquandle coloring quivers. J. Knot Theory Ramifications, 32(11):Paper No. 2350073, 18, 2023.
- [2] K. Cho and S. Nelson. Quandle cocycle quivers. Topology Appl., 268:106908, 10, 2019.

- [3] K. Cho and S. Nelson. Quandle coloring quivers. Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications, 28(01):1950001, 2019.
- [4] S. Choi and S. Nelson. Mc-biquandles and mc-biquandle coloring quivers. arXiv:2311.00510, 2023.
- [5] M. Elhamdadi and S. Nelson. Quandles—an introduction to the algebra of knots, volume 74 of Student Mathematical Library. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [6] P. C. Falkenburg and S. Nelson. Biquandle bracket quivers. arXiv:2109.05365, 2021.
- [7] K. Istanbouli and S. Nelson. Quandle module quivers. J. Knot Theory Ramifications, 29(12):2050084, 14, 2020.
- [8] D. Joyce. A classifying invariant of knots, the knot quandle. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 23(1):37–65, 1982.
- J. Kim, S. Nelson, and M. Seo. Quandle coloring quivers of surface-links. J. Knot Theory Ramifications, 30(1):Paper No. 2150002, 13, 2021.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics Pomona College 333 N. College Way Claremont, CA 91711

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CLAREMONT MCKENNA COLLEGE 850 Columbia Ave. Claremont, CA 91711