A contribution to the theory of σ -properties of a finite group^{*}

In memory of Professor Francesco de Giovanni

A-Ming Liu, Wenbin Guo

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hainan University, Haikou, Hainan, 570228, P.R. China E-mail: amliu@hainanu.edu.cn, wbguo@ustc.edu.cn

Vasily G. Safonov

Institute of Mathematics of the National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Minsk 220072, Belarus. E-mail: vgsafonov@im.bas-net.by Department of Mechanics and Mathematics, Belarusian State University, Minsk 220030, Belarus. E-mail: vgsafonov@bsu.by

Alexander N. Skiba

Department of Mathematics and Technologies of Programming, Francisk Skorina Gomel State University, Gomel 246019, Belarus E-mail: alexander.skiba49@gmail.com

Abstract

Let $\sigma = \{\sigma_i \mid i \in I\}$ be some partition of the set of all primes. A subgroup A of a finite group G is said to be: (i) σ -subnormal in G if there is a subgroup chain $A = A_0 \leq A_1 \leq \cdots \leq A_n = G$ such that either $A_{i-1} \leq A_i$ or $A_i/(A_{i-1})_{A_i}$ is a σ_j -group, j = j(i), for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$; (ii) modular in G if the following conditions are held: (1) $\langle X, A \cap Z \rangle = \langle X, A \rangle \cap Z$ for all $X \leq G, Z \leq G$ such that $X \leq Z$, and (2) $\langle A, Y \cap Z \rangle = \langle A, Y \rangle \cap Z$ for all $Y \leq G, Z \leq G$ such that $A \leq Z$; (iii) σ -quasinormal in G if A is σ -subnormal and modular in G.

We obtain a description of finite groups in which σ -quasinormality (respectively, modularity) is a transitive relation. Some known results are extended.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, all groups are finite and G always denotes a finite group; $\mathcal{L}(G)$ is the lattice of all subgroups of G; G is said to be an *M*-group [1] if the lattice $\mathcal{L}(G)$ is modular. Moreover, \mathbb{P}

⁰Keywords: finite group, modular subgroup, σ -subnormal subgroup, σ -quasinormal subgroup, $Q\sigma T$ -group.

^{*}Research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12171126, 12101165). Research of the third author and the fourth author was supported by the Ministry of Education of the Republic of Belarus (No. 20211328, 20211778).

⁰Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 20D10, 20D15, 20D30.

is the set of all primes, $\pi \subseteq \mathbb{P}$, $\pi' = \mathbb{P} \setminus \pi$, and $\sigma = \{\sigma_i \mid i \in I\}$ is some partition of \mathbb{P} . If n is an integer, the symbol $\pi(n)$ denotes the set of all primes dividing n; as usual, $\pi(G) = \pi(|G|)$, the set of all primes dividing the order of G; $\sigma(n) = \{\sigma_i \mid \sigma_i \cap \pi(n) \neq \emptyset\}$ and $\sigma(G) = \sigma(|G|)$ [2, 3]. A group G is said to be [2, 3]: σ -primary if G is a σ_i -group for some i; σ -nilpotent if G is a direct product of σ -primary groups.

A subgroup A of G is said to be quasinormal (Ore) or permutable (Stonehewer) in G if A permutes with every subgroup H of G, that is, AH = HA.

The quasinormal subgroups have many interesting and useful for applications properties. For instance, if A is quasinormal in G, then: A is subnormal in G (Ore [4]), A/A_G is nilpotent (Ito and Szep [5]), every chief factor H/K of G between A_G and A^G is central, that is, $C_G(H/K) = G$ (Maier and Schmid [6]), and, in general, the section A/A_G is not necessarily abelian (Thomson [7]).

Quasinormal subgroups have a close connection with the so-called modular subgroups.

Recall that a subgroup M of G is said to be: (i) modular in G [1] if M is a modular element (in the sense of Kurosh [1, p. 43]) of the lattice $\mathcal{L}(G)$, that is, (1) $\langle X, M \cap Z \rangle = \langle X, M \rangle \cap Z$ for all $X \leq G, Z \leq G$ such that $X \leq Z$, and (2) $\langle M, Y \cap Z \rangle = \langle M, Y \rangle \cap Z$ for all $Y \leq G, Z \leq G$ such that $M \leq Z$; (ii) submodular in G if there is a subgroup chain $A = A_0 \leq A_1 \leq \cdots \leq A_n = G$ such that A_{i-1} is modular in A_i for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Every quasinormal is clearly modular in the group. Moreover, the following remarkable result is well-known.

Theorem A (Schmidt [1, Theorem 5.1.1]) A subgroup A of G is quasinormal in G if and only if A is subnormal and modular in G.

This result made it possible to find an analogue of quasinormality in the theory of the σ -properties of a group [8].

A subgroup A of G is said to be σ -subnormal in G [2, 3] if there is a subgroup chain $A = A_0 \leq A_1 \leq \cdots \leq A_n = G$ such that either $A_{i-1} \leq A_i$ or $A_i/(A_{i-1})_{A_i}$ is σ -primary for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$; σ -seminormal in G (J.C. Beidleman) if $x \in N_G(A)$ for all $x \in G$ such that $\sigma(|x|) \cap \sigma(A) = \emptyset$.

Definition 1.1. We say that a subgroup A of G is σ -quasinormal in G if A is σ -subnormal and modular in G.

Before continuing, consider some examples.

Example 1.2. (i) In the first limiting case, when $\sigma = \{\mathbb{P}\}$, every group is σ -nilpotent and every subgroup of any group is σ -subnormal. Therefore in this case a subgroup A of G is σ -quasinormal if and only if it is modular in G.

(ii) In the second limiting case, when $\sigma = \sigma^1 = \{\{2\}, \{3\}, \{5\}, \ldots\}$, a subgroup A of G is σ -subnormal in G if and only if it is subnormal in G. Therefore in this case, in view of Theorem A, a subgroup A of G is σ -quasinormal if and only if it is quasinormal in G.

(iii) In the case $\sigma = \sigma^{1\pi} = \{\{p_1\}, \dots, \{p_n\}, \pi'\}$, where $\pi = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$, a subgroup A of G is $\sigma^{1\pi}$ -subnormal in G if and only if G has a subgroup chain $A = A_0 \leq A_1 \leq \cdots \leq A_n = G$ such that either $A_{i-1} \leq A_i$ or $A_i/(A_{i-1})_{A_i}$ is a π' -group for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

In this case we say, following [9, 10, 11], that A is 1π -subnormal in G, and we say that A is 1π quasinormal in G if A is 1π -subnormal and modular in G. Note, in passing, that A is 1π -subnormal in G if and only if A is \mathfrak{F} -subnormal in G in the sence of Kegel [12], where \mathfrak{F} is the class of all π' -groups.

(iv) In the other classical case $\sigma = \sigma^{\pi} = \{\pi, \pi'\}$ a subgroup A of G is σ^{π} -subnormal in G if and only if G has a subgroup chain $A = A_0 \leq A_1 \leq \cdots \leq A_n = G$ such that either $A_{i-1} \leq A_i$, or $A_i/(A_{i-1})_{A_i}$ is a π -group, or $A_i/(A_{i-1})_{A_i}$ is a π' -group for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

In this case we say that A is π, π' -subnormal in G [9, 10, 11], and we say that A is π, π' -quasinormal in G if A is π, π' -subnormal and modular in G.

The theory of σ -quasinormal subgroups was constructed in the paper [13]. In particular, it was proven the following result covering in the case $\sigma = \sigma^1 = \{\{2\}, \{3\}, \{5\}, \ldots\}$ the above mentioned results in [4, 5, 6].

Theorem B (See Theorem C in [13]). Let A be a σ -quasinormal subgroup of G. Then the following statements hold:

(i) A permutes with all Hall σ_i -subgroups of G for all i.

(ii) The quotients A^G/A_G and $G/C_G(A^G/A_G)$ are σ -nilpotent, and

(iii) Every chief factor H/K of G between A^G and A_G is σ -central in G, that is, $(H/K) \rtimes (G/C_G(H/K))$ is σ -primary.

(iv) For every *i* such that $\sigma_i \in \sigma(G/C_G(A^G/A_G))$ we have $\sigma_i \in \sigma(A^G/A_G)$.

(v) A is σ -seminormal in G.

A group G is said to be a PT-group [14, 2.0.2] if quasinormality is a transitive relation on G, that is, if H is a quasinormal subgroup of K and K is a quasinormal subgroup of G, then H is a quasinormal subgroup of G.

The description of PT-groups was first obtained by Zacher [15], for the soluble case, and by Robinson in [16], for the general case.

Bearing in mind the results in [15, 16] and many other known results on PT-groups (see, in particular, Chapter 2 in [14]), it seems to be natural to ask:

Question 1.3. What is the structure of G provided σ -quasinormality is a transitive relation in G?

Question 1.4. What is the structure of G provided modularity is a transitive relation in G? Note that in view of Example 1.2(i), Question 1.4 is a special case of Question 1.3, where $\sigma = \{\mathbb{P}\}$. Note also that for the case when G is a soluble group, the answers to both of these questions are known.

Frigerio proved [18] (see also [19]) that modularity is a transitive relation in a soluble group G if and only if G is an M-group.

An important step in solving the general Problem 1.3 was made in the paper [17], where it was proven the following theorem turn into Frigerion result in the case where $\sigma = \{\mathbb{P}\}$.

Theorem C (X.-F. Zhang, W. Guo, I.N. Safonova, A.N. Skiba [17]). Let G be a soluble group and $D = G^{\mathfrak{N}_{\sigma}}$. Then σ -quasinormality is a transitive relation in G if and only if the following conditions hold:

(i) $G = D \rtimes M$, where D is an abelian Hall subgroup of G of odd order, M is a σ -nilpotent M-group.

(ii) every element of G induces a power automorphism on D,

(iii) $O_{\sigma_i}(D)$ has a normal complement in a Hall σ_i -subgroup of G for all i.

Conversely, if Conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) hold for some subgroups D and M of G, then σ -quasinormality is a transitive relation in G.

In this theorem, $G^{\mathfrak{N}_{\sigma}}$ denotes the σ -nilpotent residual of G, that is, the intersection of all normal subgroups N of G with σ -nilpotent quotient G/N.

Definition 1.5. We say that G is: (i) a $Q\sigma T$ -group if the σ -quasinormality is a transitive relation on G, that is, if H is a σ -quasinormal subgroup of K and K is a σ -quasinormal subgroup of G, then H is a σ -quasinormal subgroup of G; (ii) an MT-group if the modularity is a transitive relation in G.

It is clear that an *MT*-group is exactly a $Q\sigma T$ -group where $\sigma = \{\mathbb{P}\}$.

In this article, expanding the corresponding results of the papers [16, 17, 21], we answer Questions 1.3 and 1.4 in the general case.

Definition 1.6. We say that $(D, Z(D); U_1, \ldots, U_k)$ is a *Robinson complex* if the following fold:

(i) $D \neq 1$ is a perfect normal subgroup of G,

(ii) $D/Z(D) = U_1/Z(D) \times \cdots \times U_k/Z(D)$, where $U_i/Z(D)$ is a simple non-abelian chief factor of $G, Z(D) = \Phi(D)$, and

(iii) every chief factor of G below Z(D) is cyclic.

Example 1.7. Let $G = SL(2,7) \times A_7 \times A_5 \times B$, where $B = C_{43} \times C_7$ is a non-abelian group of order 301. Then

 $(SL(2,7) \times A_5 \times A_7, Z(SL(2,7)); SL(2,7), A_5Z(SL(2,7)), A_7Z(SL(2,7))))$

is a Robinson complex of G.

Now let $G = A_n \wr C_p = K \rtimes C_p$, where K is the base group of the regular wreath product of the alternating group A_n of degree n > 4 with a group C_p of prime order p. Then K is a minimal normal subgroup of G by [20, Chapter A, 18.5(a)]. Hence G has no a Robinson complex.

We say, following Robinson [16], that G satisfies:

(1) \mathbf{N}_p if whenever N is a soluble normal subgroup of G, p'-elements of G induce power automorphism in $O_p(G/N)$;

(2) \mathbf{P}_p if whenever N is a soluble normal subgroup of G, every subgroup of $O_p(G/N)$ is quasinormal in every Sylow p-subgroup of G/N.

Every subnormal subgroup is both submodular and σ -subnormal in the group. Thus the following well-known result partially describes the structure of insoluble $Q\sigma T$ -groups.

Theorem D (Robinson [16]). *G* is a *PT*-group if and only if *G* has a normal perfect subgroup D such that:

(i) G/D is a soluble PT-group, and

(i) if $D \neq 1$, G has a Robinson complex $(D, Z(D); U_1, \ldots, U_k)$ and

(iii) for any set $\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\}$, where $1 \leq r < k$, G and $G/U'_{i_1} \cdots U'_{i_r}$ satisfy \mathbf{N}_p for all $p \in \pi(Z(D))$ and \mathbf{P}_p for all $p \in \pi(D)$.

Now, recall that G is a non-abelian P-group (see [1, p. 49]) if $G = A \rtimes \langle t \rangle$, where A is an elementary abelian p-group and an element t of prime order $q \neq p$ induces a non-trivial power automorphism on A. In this case we say that G is a P-group of type (p, q).

Definition 1.8. We say that:

(i) G satisfies $\mathbf{Q}_{\sigma(p,q)}$ if whenever N is a soluble normal subgroup of G and P/N is a normal σ -primary P-subgroup of type (p,q) of G/N, every subgroup of P/N is modular in G/N.

If G satisfies $\mathbf{Q}_{\sigma(p,q)}$ and $\sigma = \{\mathbb{P}\}$, then say, following [21], that G satisfies $\mathbf{M}_{p,q}$.

(ii) G satisfies $\mathbf{Q}_{\sigma P}$ if G satisfies $\mathbf{Q}_{\sigma(p,q)}$ for each pair p, q such that there is a P-group of type (p,q).

In this paper, based on Theorems C and D, we prove the following result.

Theorem E. A group G is a $Q\sigma T$ -group if and only if G has a perfect normal subgroup D such that:

(i) G/D is a soluble $Q\sigma T$ -group,

(ii) if $D \neq 1$, G has a Robinson complex $(D, Z(D); U_1, \ldots, U_k)$ and

(iii) for any set $\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\}$, where $1 \leq r < k$, the groups G and $G/U'_{i_1} \cdots U'_{i_r}$ satisfy \mathbf{N}_p for all $p \in \{2,3\} \cap \pi(Z(D))$, \mathbf{P}_p for all $p \in \pi(D)$, and $\mathbf{Q}_{\sigma(p,q)}$ for all $\{p,q\} \cap \pi(D) \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem E gives a solution to Question 1.3. The following special case of Theorem E gives a solution to Question 1.4.

Theorem F. A group G is an MT-group if and only if G has a perfect normal subgroup D such that:

- (i) G/D is an M-group,
- (ii) if $D \neq 1$, G has a Robinson complex $(D, Z(D); U_1, \ldots, U_k)$ and

(iii) for any set $\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\}$, where $1 \leq r < k$, G and $G/U'_{i_1} \cdots U'_{i_r}$ satisfy \mathbf{N}_p for all $p \in \{2, 3\} \cap \pi(Z(D))$, \mathbf{P}_p for all $p \in \pi(D)$, and $\mathbf{M}_{p,q}$ for all pairs $\{p, q\} \cap \pi(D) \neq \emptyset$.

We prove Theorem E (and so Theorem F, as well) in Section 3. In Section 4 we discuss some other applications of these results.

2 Preliminaries

The first lemma is a corollary of general properties of modular subgroups [1, p. 201] and σ -subnormal subgroups [3, Lemma 2.6].

Lemma 2.1. Let A, B and N be subgroups of G, where A is σ -quasinormal and N is normal in G.

- (1) The subgroup $A \cap B$ is σ -quasinormal in B.
- (2) The subgroup AN/N is σ -quasinormal in G/N.
- (3) If $N \leq B$ and B/N is σ -quasinormal in G/N, then B is σ -quasinormal in G.
- (4) B is σ -quasinormal in G, then $\langle A, B \rangle$ is σ -quasinormal in G.

Lemma 2.2 A subgroup A of G is a maximal σ -quasinormal subgroup of G if and only if either A is normal in G and G/A is a simple gropup or $A_G < A$ and G/A_G is a σ -primary non-abelian group of order pq for primes p and q.

Proof. First assume that A is a maximal σ -quasinormal subgroup of G. If A is normal in G, then $G/A = G/A_G$ is simple. Now assume that A is not normal in G, so $A^G = G$ and, in view of Theorem B(ii), G/A_G is a σ_i -group for some i. Hence every subgroup of G containing A_G is σ -subnormal in G by [3, Lemma 2.6(5)]. On the other hand, U/A_G is modular in G if and only if U is modular in G by [1, Page 201, Properties (3)(4)]. Therefore, in fact, A is a maximal modular subgroup of G. Hence G/A_G is a non-abelian group of order pq for primes $p, q \in \sigma_i$ by [1, Lemma 5.1.2].

Now assume that $A_G < A < G$ and G/A_G is a σ -primary non-abelian group of order pq for primes p and q. Then A is a maximal subgroup of G and A is a σ -subnormal subgroup of G. Moreover, A/A_G is modular in G/A_G by [1, Lemma 5.1.2], so A is a maximal modular subgroup of G by [1, Page 201, Property (4)]. Hence A is a maximal σ -quasinormal subgroup of G.

Finally, assume that A is normal in G and G/A is a simple non-abelian group, then A is a maximal modular subgroup of G by [1, Lemma 5.1.2] and A σ -subnormal in G. Hence A is a

maximal σ -quasinormal subgroup of G. The lemma is proved.

We say that a subgroup A of G is said to be σ -subquasinormal in G if there is a subgroup chain $A = A_0 \leq A_1 \leq \cdots \leq A_n = G$ such that A_{i-1} is σ -quasinormal in A_i for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

It is clear that G is a $Q\sigma T$ -group if and only if every of its σ -subquasinormal subgroups is σ -quasinormal in G.

The class of groups \mathfrak{F} is a hereditary formation if \mathfrak{F} is closed under taking derect products, homomorphic images and subgroups. If $\mathfrak{F} \neq \emptyset$ is a hereditary formation, then the symbol $G^{\mathfrak{F}}$ denotes the \mathfrak{F} -residual of G, that is, the intersection of all normal subgroups N of G with $G/N \in \mathfrak{F}$.

We use \mathfrak{A}^* to denote the class of all abelian groups of squarefree exponent. It is clear that \mathfrak{A}^* is a hereditary formation.

Lemma 2.3. Let A, B and N be subgroups of G, where A is σ -subquasinormal G and N is normal G in G.

- (1) $A \cap B$ is σ -subquasinormal G in B.
- (2) AN/N is σ -subquasinormal G in G/N.
- (3) If $N \leq K$ and K/N is σ -subquasinormal G in G/N, then K is σ -subquasinormal G in G.
- (4) $A^{\mathfrak{A}^*}$ is subnormal in G.
- (5) If $G = U_1 \times \cdots \times U_k$, where U_i is a simple non-abelian group, then A is normal in G.

Proof. (1)-(4). These assertions follow from Lemma 2.6 in [3] and corresponding lemmas in [19].

(5) Let $E = U_i A$, where $U_i \nleq A$. We show that $A \trianglelefteq E$.

The subgroup A is σ -subquasinormal G in E by Part (1) and A < E, so there is a subgroup chain $A = E_0 < E_1 < \cdots < E_{t-1} < E_t = E$ such that E_{i-1} is a maximal σ -quasinormal subgroup of E_i for all $i = 1, \ldots, t$ and for $M = E_{t-1}$ we have $M = A(M \cap U_i)$, where $M \cap U_i$ is σ -subquasinormal in U_i . Then $M \cap U_i < U_i$ since M < E. Therefore $M \cap U_i = 1 = A \cap U_i$ by Lemma 2.2 since U_i is a simple non-abelian group, so A is a maximal σ -quasinormal subgroup of E. Assume that A is not normal in E. Then $E/A_E = U_i A/A_E$ is a group of order qr for primes q and r by Lemma 2.2, where $U_i \simeq U_i A_E/A_E \leq E/A_E$. This contradiction show that $U_i \leq N_E(A)$, so $G \leq N_G(A)$. Hence we have (5). The lemma is proved.

Lemma 2.4. If G is a $Q\sigma T$ -group, then every quotient G/N of G is also a $Q\sigma T$ -group.

Proof. Let L/N be a σ -subquasinormal subgroup of G/N. Then L is a σ -subquasinormal subgroup in G by Lemma 2.3(3), so L is σ -quasinormal in G by hypothesis and then L/N is σ -quasinormal in G/N by Lemma 2.1(2). Hence G/N is a $Q\sigma T$ -group. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 2.5. If G is a $Q\sigma T$ -group, then G/R satisfies $\mathbf{Q}_{\sigma P}$ for every normal subgroup R of G.

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.4, we can assume without loss of generality that R = 1.

Let P/N be any normal σ -primary non-abelian P-subgroup of type (p,q) of G/N and let $L/N \leq P/N$. Then L/N is modular in P/N by [1, Lemma 2.4.1], so L/N is submodular in G/N. On the other hand, L/N is σ -subnormal in G/N since $P/N \leq O_{\sigma_i}(G/N)$ for some *i*. Therefore L/N is σ -subquasinormal in G/N and so L is σ -subquasinormal in G by Lemma 2.3(3). Hence L is σ -quasinormal in G by hypothesis, so L/N is modular in G/N by [1, Page 201, Property (3)]. Therefore G satisfies $\mathbf{Q}_{\sigma P}$. The lemma is proved.

We use $G^{\mathfrak{S}}$ (respectively, $G^{\mathfrak{U}}$) to denote the soluble (respectively, the supersoluble) residual of G.

Lemma 2.6. Let G be a non-soluble group and suppose that G has a Robinson complex $(D, Z(D); U_1, \ldots, U_k)$, where $D = G^{\mathfrak{S}} = G^{\mathfrak{U}}$. Let U be a σ -subquasinormal non- σ -quasinormal subgroup of G of minimal order. Then:

(1) If UU'_i/U'_i is σ -quasinormal in G/U'_i for all i = 1, ..., k, then U is supersoluble.

(2) If U is supersoluble and UL/L is σ -quasinormal in G/L for all non-trivial nilpotent normal subgroups L of G, then U is a cyclic p-group for some prime p.

Proof. Suppose that this lemma is false and let G be a counterexample of minimal order.

(1) Assume this is false. Suppose that $U \cap D \leq Z(D)$. Then every chief factor of U below $U \cap Z(D) = U \cap D$ is cyclic and, also, $UD/D \simeq U/(U \cap D)$ is supersoluble. Hence U is supersoluble, a contradiction. Therefore $U \cap D \nleq Z(D)$. Moreover, Lemma 2.3(1)(2) implies that $(U \cap D)Z(D)/Z(D)$ is σ -subquasinormal in D/Z(D) and so $(U \cap D)Z(D)/Z(D)$ is a non-trivial normal subgroup of D/Z(D) by Lemma 2.3(5).

Hence for some *i* we have $U_i/Z(D) \leq (U \cap D)Z(D)/Z(D)$, so $U_i \leq (U \cap D)Z(D)$. But then $U'_i \leq ((U \cap D)Z(D))' \leq U \cap D$. By hypothesis, $UU'_i/U'_i = U/U'_i$ is σ -quasinormal in G/U'_i and so U is σ -quasinormal in G by Lemma 2.1(3), a contradiction. Therefore Statement (1) holds.

(2) Assume that this is false. Let $N = U^{\mathfrak{N}}$ be the nilpotent residual of U. Then N < U since U supersoluble, so N is σ -quasinormal in G by the minimality of U. It is also clear that every proper subgroup S of U with $N \leq S$ is σ -subquasinormal in G, so S is σ -quasinormal in G. Therefore, if U has at least two distinct maximal subgroups S and W such that $N \leq S \cap W$, then $U = \langle S, W \rangle$ is σ -quasinormal in G by Lemma 2.1(4), contrary to the choice of U. Hence U/N is a cyclic p-group for some prime p and $N \neq 1$ since U is not cyclic.

Now we show that U is a PT-group. Let S be a proper subnormal subgroup of U. Then S is σ -subquasinormal in G, so S is σ -quasinormal in G and hence S is σ -quasinormal in U by Lemma 2.1(1). Therefore S is quasinormal in U by Theorem A. Therefore U is a soluble PT-group, so $N = U^{\mathfrak{N}}$ is a Hall abelian subgroup of U by[14, Theorem 2.1.11].

It follows that $N \leq U^{\mathfrak{A}^*}$ and so $U^{\mathfrak{A}^*} = NV$, where V is a maximal subgroup of a cyclic Sylow *p*-subgroup $P \simeq U/N$ of U. Hence NV is σ -quasinormal in G and NV is subnormal in G by Lemma 2.3(4). Therefore NV is quasinormal in G by Theorem A. Assume that for some minimal normal subgroup R of G we have $R \leq (NV)_G$. Then U/R is σ -quasinormal in G/R by hypothesis, so U is σ - quasinormal in G, a contradiction. Therefore $(NV)_G = 1$, so NV is nilpotent and $NV \leq Z_{\infty}(G)$ by [14, Corollary 1.5.6] and then U = NP is nilpotent, so N = 1, a contradiction. Therefore Statement (2) holds. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 2.7. Suppose that a soluble group $G = D \rtimes M$ satisfies Conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) in Theorem C. If A is a σ -primary σ -subnormal subgroup of G such that $A \leq M$, then $D \leq C_G(A)$.

Proof. Let A be a σ_i -group and x an element of prime power order p^n of D. Let H_k be a Hall σ_k -subgroup of G. Then, by hypothesis, $H_k = O_{\sigma_k}(D) \times S_k$, where $O_{\sigma_k}(D)$ and S_k are Hall subgroups of G.

Since A is σ -subnormal in $G, A \leq H_i$ by Lemma 2.6(7) in [3]. On the other hand, since $A \leq M$, $A \cap D = 1$. Therefore $A = (A \cap O_{\sigma_i}(D)) \times (A \cap S_i) = A \cap S_i$, so $A \leq S_i$ and hence $O_{\sigma_i}(D) \leq C_G(A)$.

Now, let $k \neq i$. Then A is a Hall σ_i -subgroup of $V := O_{\sigma_k}(D)A$ and A is σ -subnormal in V by Lemma 2.6(1) in [3], so $V = O_{\sigma_k}(D) \times A$ by Lemma 2.6(10) in [3] and hence $D \leq C_G(A)$. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 2.8 (See Lemma 5.1.9 in [1]). Let A be a subgroup of prime power order of G.

(1) If A is modular but not subnormal in G, then

$$G/A_G = A^G/A_G \times K/A_G,$$

where A^G/A_G is a non-abelian P-group of order prime to $|K/A_G|$.

(2) A is modular in G if and only if A is modular in $\langle x, A \rangle$ for all $x \in G$ of prime power order.

Lemma 2.9. If G/Z is p-closed for some prime p and $Z \leq Z_{\infty}(G)$, then G is p-closed.

Proof. Since $Z \leq Z_{\infty}(G)$, for a Sylow *p*-subgroup Z_p of Z we have $Z = Z_p \times W$, where Z_p and W are characteristic in Z and so normal in G.

Let P/Z be a normal Sylow *p*-subgroup of G/Z and *V* a Sylow *p*-subgroup of *P*. Then $Z_p \leq V$ and $P = VZ = V \times W$ since $W \leq Z_{\infty}(G) \cap P \leq Z_{\infty}(P)$. Therefore *V* is characteristic in *P* and so normal in *G*. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 2.10. Let $G = Q \rtimes P$ be a non-abelian *P*-group of type (q, p).

(1) $P^G = G$.

(2) G/N is a non-abelian P-group of type (q, p) for every proper normal subgroup N of G.

Proof. See Lemma 2.2.2 in [1].

Lemma 2.11. If A and B are normal subgroups of G, then every chief factor H/K of G below AB is G-isomorphic to either a chiew factor of G below A or a chief factor of G between $B \cap A$ and B.

Proof. This assertion follows from the *G*-isomorphism $AB/A \simeq B/(B \cap A)$ and the Jordan-Hölder theorem for the Ω -composition seties of a group (see [20, Chapter A, 3.2]).

From Proposition 2.2.8 in [14] we get the following useful lemma.

Lemma 2.12. Let \mathfrak{F} be a non-empty hereditary formation.

(1) If N is a normal subgroup of G, then $(G/N)^{\mathfrak{F}} = G^{\mathfrak{F}}N/N$.

(2) If E is a subgroup of G, then $E^{\mathfrak{F}} \leq G^{\mathfrak{F}}$ and $N(NE)^{\mathfrak{F}} = NE^{\mathfrak{F}}$.

Lemma 2.13. Let $(D, Z(D); U_1, \ldots, U_k)$ be a Robinson complex of G and N a normal subgroup of G.

(1) $U'_i/(U'_i \cap Z(D))$ is a simple non-abelian group and $U'_i \cap Z(D) = \Phi(U'_i) = Z(U'_i)$. In particular, U'_i is a quasi-simple group.

(2) If $N = U'_i$ and $k \neq 1$, then $(D/N, Z(D/N); U_1N/N, \dots, U_{i-1}N/N, U_{i+1}N/N, \dots, NU_k/N)$ is a Robinson complex of G/N and $U_i/N = Z(D)N/N = Z(D/N)$.

(3) If N is nilpotent, then $(DN/N, Z(D)N/N; U_1N/N, \dots, NU_k/N)$ is a Robinson complex of G/N and Z(D)N/N = Z(D/N).

(4) If $p \in \pi(Z(D))$, then $p \in \pi(Z(U'_i))$ for some *i*. In particular, $p \in \{2, 3\}$.

Proof. Let $Z := Z(D) = \Phi(D)$. (1) First observe that $U_i = U'_i Z = U^{\mathfrak{S}}_i Z$, where \mathfrak{S} is the class of all soluble groups, since U_i/Z is a simple non-abelian group and so $U^{\mathfrak{S}}_i \leq U'_i \leq U^{\mathfrak{S}}_i$. Hence $U^{\mathfrak{S}}_i = U'_i$ is perfect. On the other hand, $U_i/Z = U'_i Z/Z \simeq U'_i/(U'_i \cap Z)$ is a simple non-abelian group. Therefore $U'_i \cap Z = \Phi(U'_i) = Z(U'_i)$ since $\Phi(U'_i) \leq \Phi(D)$.

(2), (3) See Remark 1.6.8 in [14] or Lemma 3.1 in [11].

(4) Assume that $p \notin \pi(Z(U'_i))$ for all *i* and let $Z = Z_p \times V$, where Z_p is the Sylow *p*-subgroup of *Z*. Then $Z_p \cap U'_i = 1$, so $U'_i \cap Z = U'_i \cap V = \Phi(U'_i) = Z(U'_i)$ for all *i*. On the other hand, $D = U_1 \cdots U_k = ZU'_1 \cdots U'_k = Z_p(V(U'_1 \cdots U'_k))$, so $D = V(U'_1 \cdots U'_k)$ since $Z_p \leq \Phi(D)$. Hence $Z \leq V(U'_1 \cdots U'_k)$. But *V* and every subgroup U'_i has no a composition factor of order *p* by Lemma 2.11, a contradiction. Therefore $p \in \pi(Z(U'_i))$ for some *i*, where U'_i is a quasi-simple group by Part (1). But then $|Z(U'_i)|$ divides the order of the Schur multiplier $M(U'_i/Z(U'_i))$ of $U'_i/Z(U'_i)$. Hence $\pi(Z(U'_i)) \subseteq \{2,3\}$ (see Section 4.15(A) in [22, Ch. 4]. Therefore $p \in \{2,3\}$. Hence we have (4). The lemma is proved.

Lemma 2.14. Let U and $N \leq G$ be subgroups of G, where U is of prime power order. Suppose that UN/N is a modular non-subnormal subgroup of G/N. Then

$$G/(UN)_G \simeq U^G N/(UN)_G \times K/(UN)_G,$$

where $U^G N/(UN)_G$ is a non-abelian P-group of order prime to $|K/UN_G|$.

Proof. The subgroup $UN/N \simeq U/(U \cap N)$ of G/N is of prime power order, so we can apply Lemma 2.8(1).

First observe that $(UN/N)_{G/N} = (UN)_G/N$ and $(UN/N)^{G/N} = (UN)^G/N = U^G N/N$. There-

fore, by Lemma 2.8(1),

$$G/(UN)_G \simeq (G/N)/((UN)_G/N) = (G/N)/(UN/N)_{G/N}$$
$$= (UN/N)^{G/N}/(UN/N)_{G/N} \times (K/N)/(UN/N)_{G/N}$$
$$= (U^G N/N)/((UN)_G/N) \times (K/N)/((UN)_G/N) \simeq U^G N/(UN)_G \times K/(UN)_G,$$

where

$$(UN/N)^{G/N}/(UN/N)_{G/N} \simeq U^G N/(UN)_G$$

is a non-abelian P-group of order prime to $|(K/N)/(UN/N)_{G/N}|$ and so to $|K/(UN)_G|$. The lemma is proved.

A group G is called π -closed if G has a normal Hall π -subgroup.

The following lemma is well-known [20, Chapter A, 13.2].

Lemma 2.15. If H is a normal subgroup of G and $H/(H \cap \Phi(G))$ is π -closed, then H is π -closed.

Recall that a group G is said to be a P^* -group if $G = A \rtimes \langle t \rangle$, where A is an elementary abelian subgroup of G, $|t| = r^n$ for some prime r and t induces a power automorphism of prime order on A [1, p. 69].

Lemma 2.16. Let $G = A \rtimes \langle t \rangle$ be a P^* -group and let $|\langle t \rangle| = p^n$. Then $Z(G) = \langle t^p \rangle = \Phi(G)$, G/Z(G) is a non-abelian P-group and the lattice $\mathcal{L}(G)$ is modular.

The following lemma is a corollary of Theorem C.

Lemma 2.17. If G is a soluible $Q\sigma T$ -group, then every subgroup of G is a $Q\sigma T$ -group.

3 Proofs of Theorems E and F

Proof of Theorem E. First assume that G is a $Q\sigma T$ -group. Then G is a PT-group and every quotient G/N is a $Q\sigma T$ -group by Lemma 2.4. Let D be the soluble residual of G. Then D is perfect and G/D is a soluble group $Q\sigma T$ -group, so Condition (i) holds for G.

Now assume that $D \neq 1$. Then, in view of Theorem D, G has a Robinson complex $(D, Z(D); U_1, \ldots, U_k)$ such that for any set $\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\}$, where $1 \leq r < k$, G and $G/U'_{i_1} \cdots U'_{i_r}$ satisfy \mathbf{N}_p for all $p \in \{2,3\} \cap \pi(Z(D))$ and \mathbf{P}_p for all $p \in \pi(D)$. Moreover, in view of Lemma 2.5, G and $G/U'_{i_1} \cdots U'_{i_r}$ satisfy $\mathbf{Q}_{\sigma P}$ and, in particular, satisfy $\mathbf{Q}_{\sigma(p,q)}$ for all pairs $\{p,q\} \cap \pi(D) \neq \emptyset$. Hence Conditions (ii) and (iii) hold for G. Therefore the necessity of the condition of the theorem holds.

Now, assume, arguing by contradiction, that G is a non- $Q\sigma T$ -group of minimal order satisfying Conditions (i), (ii), and (iii).

Then, in view of Lemma 2.13(4) and Theorem D, G is a PT-group, so $D \neq 1$ and G has a σ -subquasinormal U such that U is not σ -quasinormal in G but every σ -subquasinormal subgroup U_0 of G with $U_0 < U$ is σ -quasinormal in G. Let Z = Z(D).

(1) If N is either a non-identity normal nilpotent subgroup of G or $N = U'_i$ for some i, then G/N is a $Q\sigma T$ -group.

First assume that k = 1 and $N = U'_1$. Then $D' = D = U_1 = U'_1 = N$. Therefore G/N = G/D is a $Q\sigma T$ -group by Condition (i).

Now assume that k > 1 and $N = N'_i$. We can assume without loss of generality that i = 1. Then $(G/N)/(D/N) \simeq G/D$ is a aoluble $Q\sigma T$ -group and (D/N)' = D'/N = D/N. From Lemma 2.13(2) it follows that $(D/N, Z(D/N); U_2N/N, \ldots, U_kN/N)$ is a Robinson complex of G/N and $U_1/N = ZN/N = Z(D/N)$, where $ZN/N \simeq Z/(Z \cap N)$. Moreover, by Condition (iii), if $\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\} \subseteq \{2, \ldots, k\}$, where $2 \le r < k$, then the quotients $G/N = G/U'_1$ and, in view o lemma 2.12(2),

$$(G/N)/(U_{i_1}N/N)'\cdots(U_{i_r}N/N)' = (G/N)/(U'_{i_1}\cdots U'_{i_r}U'_1/N) \simeq G/U'_{i_1}\cdots U'_{i_r}U'_1$$

satisfy \mathbf{N}_p for all $p \in \{2,3\} \cap \pi(ZN/N)$, \mathbf{P}_p for all $p \in \pi(D/N) \subseteq \pi(D)$, and $\mathbf{Q}_{\sigma(p,q)}$ for all pairs $\{p,q\} \cap \pi(D/N) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore the hypothesis holds for $G/N = G/U'_1$, so G/U'_i is a $Q\sigma T$ -group for all i by the choice of G.

Similary, it can be proved that if N is a non-identity nilpotent normal subgroup of G, then the hypothesis holds for G/N and so G/N is a $Q\sigma T$ -group.

(2) U is supersoluble.

It is clear that $D = G^{\mathfrak{S}} = G^{\mathfrak{U}}$ and UU'_i/U'_i is σ -subquasinormal in G/U'_i by Lemma 2.3(2). Therefore UU'_i/U'_i is σ -quasinormal in G/U'_i by Claim (1) for all *i*. Hence U is supersoluble by Lemma 2.6(1).

(3) Suppose that N is either a non-identity normal nilpotent subgroup of G or $N = U'_i$ for some *i*. If X is a subgroup of G such that XN/N is σ -subquasinormal in G/N, then XN/N is σ -quasinormal in G/N and XN is σ -quasinormal in G. In particular, $U_G = 1$.

In view of Claim (1), G/N is a $Q\sigma T$ -group, so XN/N is σ -quasinormal in G/N and hence XN is σ -quasinormal in G by Lemma 2.1(3). Therefore, since U is supersoluble by Claim (2), the choice of U implies that $U_G = 1$.

(4) U is a cyclic p-group for some prime p and $V := U \cap Z_{\infty}(G)$ is the maximal subgroup of U.

Let N be a nilpotent non-identity normal subgroup of G. Then UN/N is σ -subquasinormal in G/N by Lemma 2.3(2), so UN/N is σ -quasinormal in G/N by Claim (1). Hence U is a cyclic p-group for some prime p by Claim (2) and Lemma 2.6(2).

Now, let V be the maximal subgroup of U. Then $V = U^{\mathfrak{A}^*}$ is subnormal in G by Lemma 2.3(4) since U is a cyclic p-group, hence V is quasinormal in G since G is a PT-group. Therefore

 $V \leq Z_{\infty}(G)$ by [14, Corollary 1.5.6] since $V_G = 1 = U_G$ by Claim (3).

(5) G has a normal subgroup C_q of order q for some prime q.

If $Z \neq 1$, it is clear. Now assume that Z = 1. Then $D = U_1 \times \cdots \times U_k$, where U_i is a simple non-abelian minimal normal subgroup of G for all *i*.

Let $E = U_i U$, where $U_i \nleq U$. We show that $U_i \leq N_G(U)$. Since U is a σ -subquasinormal subgroup of E by Lemma 2.3(1), there is a subgroup chain $U = E_0 < E_1 < \cdots < E_{t-1} < E_t = E$ such that E_{i-1} is a maximal σ -quasinormal subgroup of E_i for all $i = 1, \ldots, t$ and for $M = E_{t-1}$ we have $M = U(M \cap U_i)$. Moreover, $M \cap U_i$ is σ -subquasinormal in E and $M \cap U_i < U_i$ since M < E, so $M \cap U_i = 1$. Therefore U = M is a maximal σ -quasinormal subgroup of E. Assume that U is not normal in E. Then, by Lemma 2.2, E/U_E is a group of order qr for primes q and r. But this is imposible since $U_i \simeq U_i U_E / U_E \leq E / U_E$. Therefore $U_i \leq N_E(U)$ for all i, so $D \leq N_G(U)$ and hence $U \cap D \leq O_p(D) = 1$ by Claim (4).

It follows than $DU = D \times U$, so $1 < U \leq C_G(D)$. But $C_G(D) \cap D = 1$ since Z = Z(D) = 1. Therefore $C_G(D) \simeq C_G(D)D/D$ is soluble. Hence for some prime q dividing $|C_G(D)|$ we have $O_q(C_G(D)) \neq 1$. But $O_q(C_G(D))$ is characteristic in $C_G(D)$, so $O_q(C_G(D))$ is normal in G and hence we have (5).

(6) U^G is soluble.

The subgroup $C_q U/C_q$ is σ -subquasinormal in G/C_q by Lemma 2.3(2), so this subgroup is σ quasinormal and hence modular in G/C_q by Claim (3).

First assume that $C_q U/C_q$ is not subnormal in G/C_q . Then, by Lemma 2.14, $C_q U^G/(C_q U)_G$ is a non-abelian *P*-group, so $C_q U^G/(C_q U)_G$ is soluble and hence

$$U^G(C_q U)_G / (C_q U)_G \simeq U^G / (U^G \cap (C_q U)_G)$$

is soluble since $C_q U$ is soluble. Hence U^G is soluble.

Now assume that $C_q U/C_q$ is subnormal in G/C_q , so

$$U^G/(U^G \cap C_q) \simeq C_q U^G/C_q = (C_q U/C_q)^{G/C_q} \le O_p(G/C_q)$$

by Claim (4). Hence U^G is soluble.

(7) U is not subnormal in G.

Assume that U is subnormal in G. Then U is quasinormal and so σ -quasinormal in G since G is a PT-group, a contradiction. Hence we have (7).

(8) |U| = p.

Assume that |U| > p. Then $1 < V \leq R := O_p(Z_{\infty}(G))$ by Claim (4) and $U \nleq R$ by Claim (7). Denote E = RU. Then $E^G = U^G R$ and, in view of Claims (4) and (7), E is not subnormal in G. Moreover, E is σ -quasinormal and so modular in G by Claim (3). The group $UR/R \simeq U/(U \cap R) = U/V$ has order p, so $(RU)_G = R$. In view of Lemma 2.14,

$$G/R = G/E_G \simeq E^G/E_G \times K/E_G = U^G R/R \times K/R,$$

where $RU^G/R \simeq U^G/(U^G \cap R)$ is a non-abelian P-group of order prime to K/R.

The group $U^G/(U^G \cap R)$ is q-closed for some prime q dividing its order and so U^G is q-closed by Lemma 2.9 since $U^G \cap R \leq Z_{\infty}(U^G)$. If Q is the normal Sylow q-subgroup of U^G , then $U \nleq Q$ by Claim (7), so $q \neq p$. Therefore $U^G/(U^G \cap R)$ is a non-abelian P-group of type (q, p), so $U^G = Q \rtimes P$, where P is a non-normal Sylow p-subgroup of U^G , containing U, and Q is subnormal in G. In particular, U^G and RU^G/R are π -groups, where $\pi = \{p, q\}$, so G is π -soluble and hence D and D/Zare π -soluble groups.

Assume that $U^G \cap D \neq 1$. Since $U^G \cap D \leq Z \leq \Phi(D)$ by Claim (6), for some *i* and for some $r \in \{p,q\}$ the number *r* divides $|U_i/Z|$. It follows that U_i/Z is an abelian group, a contradiction.

Therefore $U^G \cap D = 1$ and so

$$U^G \simeq U^G / (U^G \cap D) \simeq U^G D / D = (QD/D) \rtimes (PD/D),$$

where G/D is a soluble $Q\sigma T$ -group by Condition (i). Therefore, in view of Theorem C, $G/D = T \rtimes L$, where $T = (G/D)^{\mathfrak{N}_{\sigma}}$ and the following hold: T is an abelian Hall subgroup of G/D and all subgroups of T are normal G/D and the lattice $\mathcal{L}(L)$, of all subgroups of L, is modular. Then $PD/D \nleq T$, so $UD/D \le PD/D \le L^x$ for some $x \in G/D$.

First assume that $QD/D \leq T$. Since UD/D is a σ -subquasinormal *p*-subgroup of G/D by Lemmma 2.3(2), $T \leq C_{G/D}(UD/D)$ by Lemma 2.7, so

$$(QD/D) \rtimes (PD/D) = U^G D/D = (UD)^G/D = (UD/D)^{G/D} = (UD/D)^{TL^x} = (UD/D)^{L^x} \le L^x,$$

a contradiction. Hence $QD/D \leq T$ and so $(QD/D) \rtimes (PD/D) \leq L^x$ since T and L^x are Hall subgroups of G/D and QD/D is a subnormal q-subgroup of G/D.

In view of Theorem 2.4.4 in [1], L^x is a direct product of P^* -groups P_i and primary groups Q_j (that is, Q_j is of prime power order) with relatively prime orders. Then for any factor Q_j of L^x we have $Q_j \leq Z_{\infty}(L^x)$, so $QD/D \nleq Q_j$ and $PD/D \nleq Q_j$ for all j since $U^G \simeq U^G D/D \simeq QD/D \rtimes PD/D$ is not nilpotent.

Therefore for some *i* and *k* we have $QD/D \leq P_i$ and $PD/D \leq P_k$, where $[P_i, P_k] = 1$ for $i \neq k$, so i = k. Hence $QD/D \rtimes PD/D \leq P_i = A \rtimes \langle t \rangle$, where *A* is elementary abelian subgroup of P_i , $|t| = r^n$ for some prime *r* and *t* induces a power automorphism of prime order on *A*. Therefore *A* is a *q*-group and *t* is a *p*-element of P_k by Lemma 2.16. Hence $P \simeq PD/D$ is a cyclic *p*-group.

Since $U^G/(U^G \cap R)$ is a non-abelian *P*-group and $U \nleq U^G \cap R$, $U(U^G \cap R)/(U^G \cap R)$ is a Sylow *p*-subgroup of $U^G/(U^G \cap R)$ and so $U(U^G \cap R)$ is a cyclic Sylow *p*-subgroup of U^G . It follows that either $U(U^G \cap R) = U$ or $U(U^G \cap R) = U^G \cap R$. In the former case we have $U^G \cap R = V \leq U_G$,

which is impossible by Claim (3), so $U(U^G \cap R) = U^G \cap R$ and hence U is subormal in G, contrary to Claim (7). Therefore we have (8).

(9) $U \not\leq D$.

Assume $U \leq D$. From Claim (7) it follows that $U \nleq Z$ and then, by Claim (8) and Lemma 2.3(1)(2)(5), for some *i* we have $U \simeq UZ/Z = U_i/Z$, a contradiction. Hence we have (9).

(10) $O_p(D) = 1.$

Assume that G has a normal subgroup $Z_p \leq Z = \Phi(D)$ of order p. Then Z_pU is not subnormal in G by Claim (7) and, also, $(Z_pU)_G = Z_p$ by Claim (8) and $(Z_pU)^G = Z_pU^G$, so $G/Z_p \simeq Z_pU^G/Z_p \times K/Z_p$, where Z_pU^G/Z_p is a non-abelian P-group of order p^aq^b prime to $|K/Z_p|$ by Lemma 2.14. Hence G/Z_p , D/Z_p , and D are $\{p,q\}$ -soluble and p divides $|D/Z_p|$. Hence $O_p(D/Z) \neq 1$. This contradiction completes the proof of the claim.

(11) $U'_i U = U'_i \times U$ and so $U'_i U$ is not subnormal in G for all i.

In view of Claims (8) and (9), it is enough to show that $U'_i \leq N_G(U)$.

By Lemma 2.13(1), $U'_i \cap Z = \Phi(U'_i) = Z(U'_i)$ and $U'_i / \Phi(U'_i)$ is a simple non-abelian group. In particular, U'_i is quasi-simple.

Let $E = U'_i U = U'_i \rtimes U$. Then $E' = U'_i$. Let $U = E_0 < E_1 < \cdots < E_{t-1} < E_t = E$ be a subgroup chain such that E_{i-1} is a maximal σ -quasinormal subgroup of E_i for all $i = 1, \ldots, t$ and for $M = E_{t-1}$ we have $M = U(M \cap U'_i)$. Then, by Lemma 2.2, we have either $M = E_{t-1}$ is a maximal normal subgroup of E or M is a maximal subgroup of E such that E/M_E is a σ -primary non-abelian group of order qr for some primes q and r.

First assume that M is normal in E. From $E = U'_i U = U'_i M$ it follows that $E/M \simeq U'_i/(M \cap U'_i)$ is a simple group and so $U'_i/(M \cap U'_i)$ is a simple non-abelian group since U'_i is perfect. Therefore $M \cap U'_i = U'_i \cap Z = \Phi(U'_i)$ is a p'-group by Claim (10), so U is a Sylow p-subgroup of $M = U(M \cap U'_i)$. Then, by the Frattini argument, $E = MN_E(U) = (M \cap U'_i)N_E(U) = \Phi(U'_i)N_E(U)$. But $\Phi(U'_i) \leq \Phi(E)$, therefore $N_E(U) = E$ and so $U'_i \leq N_G(U)$.

Finally, assume that E/M_E is a non-abelian group of order qr with $V/M_E = (E/M_E)'$. Then $|(E/M_E)/(E/M_E)'| = (E/M_E)/(V/M_E) = |E/V|$ is a prime, so $V = U'_i$. Hence $M_E \leq U'_i$ and U'_i/M_E is a non-identity soluble group, so U'_i is not perfect. This contradiction shows that we have (11).

(12) U^G is not a non-abelian P-group.

Assume that U^G is a non-abelian *P*-group. Then, in view of Claim (7), $U^G = Q \rtimes U$ is of type (q, p) for some prime q. Let $\pi = \{q, p\}$.

First suppose that $\pi \cap \pi(D) = \emptyset$. Then $U^G \cap D = 1$, so $[U^G, D] = 1$ and G is π -soluble.

We show that G/D is π -decomposable. Let $N = U'_1$ and $F = NU = N \times U$. Then

 $F^G = NU^G$ and $F_G = N$ by Claim (8). In view of Claims (3) and (7), F/N is not subnormal

but modular in G/N and so

$$G/N \simeq NU^G/N \times K/N,$$

where $NU^G/N = O_{\pi}(G/N)$ and $K/N = O_{\pi'}(G/N)$, by Lemma 2.14. Therefore G/N is π -decomposable. Hence G/D is π -decomposable.

Let *E* be a minimal supplement to *D* in *G*. Then $E \cap D \leq \Phi(E)$, so *E* is soluble and π -decomposable, that is, $E = O_{\pi}(E) \times O_{\pi'}(E)$ by Lemma 2.15 since $G/D \simeq E/(E \cap D)$.

Let $x \in G_r$, where G_r be a Sylow *r*-subgroup of *G*. Assume that $r \notin \pi$. Then for some Sylow *r*-subgroup D_r of *D* and a Sylow *r*-subgroup E_r of *E* and some $y \in G$ we have $G_r = D_r E_r^y$.

Hence x = de, where $d \in D_r$ and $e \in E_r^y$. Then $d \leq C_G(U)$ since $[U^G, D] = 1$. Since $|G : E_r^y| = |DE_r^y : E_r^y| = |D : D \cap E_r^y|$ is a π' -mumber, the Hall π -subgroup $O_{\pi}(E^y)$ of E^y is a Hall π -subgroup of G. Hence $U^G \leq O_{\pi}(E^y)$ and so $e \leq C_G(U)$ since $e \in O_{\pi'}(E^y)$. Therefore $x \leq C_G(U)$ and hence U is normal and so modular in $\langle x, U \rangle$.

Now let $r \in \pi$. Then $V = U^G G_r$ is a π -subgroup G, so $V \cap D = 1$ and therefore $V \simeq VD/D$ is a soluble $Q\sigma T$ -group by Lemma 2.17, so U is σ -quasinormal and so modular in V. Hence U is modular in $\langle x, U \rangle$ by [1, Page 201, Property (2)]. Therefore U is modular in G by Lemma 2.8(2) and so U is σ -quasinormal in G, a contradiction.

Finally, if $\pi \cap \pi(D) \neq \emptyset$, then G satisfies $\mathbf{Q}_{\sigma(p,q)}$ by Condition (iii), so U is modular and so σ -quasinormal in G. This contradiction completes the proof of the claim.

(13) G has a normal subgroup C_q of prime order q such that $C_q \leq Z(U'_1) = \Phi(U'_1)$.

Let $E = U'_1 U = U'_1 \times U$. Then E is modular and not subnormal in G by Claims (3) and (7). Moreover, $E_G = U'_1$ by Claim (8) and $E/U'_1 \simeq U$ is a modular non-subnormal subgroup of G/U'_1 . Hence

$$E^{G}/E_{G} = U^{G}U_{1}'/U_{1}' \simeq U^{G}/(U^{G} \cap U_{1}')$$

is a non-abelian *P*-group by Lemma 2.8(1). Hence $1 < U^G \cap U'_1 \leq Z(U'_1)$ by Claims (6) and (12). Hence *G* has a normal subgroup C_q of prime order *q* such that $C_q \leq U'_1$. But U'_1 is a quasi-simple group by Lemma 2.13(1) and so $C_q \leq Z(U'_1) = \Phi(U'_1)$.

Final contradiction. From Claims (7), (9) and (11) it follows that $E = C_q U = C_q \times U$ is not subnormal in G and, in view of Claim (8), $E_G = C_q$. Hence $G/E_G \simeq E^G/E_G \times K/E_G$, where

$$E^G/E_G = C_q U^G/C_q \simeq U^G/(C_q \cap U^G)$$

is a non-abelian *P*-group of order prime to $|K/C_q|$ by Lemma 2.8(1). Hence *G* is a π -soluble group, where $\pi = \pi(U^G/(C_q \cap U^G))$. Then D/Z is π -soluble. But $C_q \leq \Phi(U'_1) \leq \Phi(D) = Z$ by Claim (1), so *q* divides |D/Z|. Hence *q* does not divides $|C_q U^G/C_q|$.

If $C_q \cap U^G = 1$, then $U^G \simeq C_q U^G / C_q$ is a non-abelian *P*-group, contrary to Claim (12), so $C_q \leq U^G$. Then C_q is a Sylow *q*-subgroup of U^G . Hence $U^G = C_q \rtimes (R \rtimes U)$, where $R \rtimes U \simeq U^G / C_q$ is a non-abelian *P*-group. Let $C = C_{U^G}(C_q)$. Then $U \leq C$ by Claim (11) and so, by Lemma 2.10(1),

 $R \rtimes U = U^{R \rtimes U} \leq C$. Hence $C_q \leq Z(U^G)$. Therefore $U^G = C_q \times (R \rtimes U)$, where $R \rtimes U$ is characterisric in U^G and so it is normal in G. But then $U^G = R \rtimes U \neq C_q \rtimes (R \rtimes U)$, a contradiction. The theorem is proved.

Proof of Theorem F. In view of Example 1.2(i), Teorem F is a special case of Theorem E, where $\sigma = \{\mathbb{P}\}.$

4 Final remarks, further applications

1. First Consider the special case of Theorem E where $\sigma = \sigma^{1\pi} = \{\{p_1\}, \ldots, \{p_n\}, \pi'\}$ and $\pi = \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ (see Example 1.2(iii)).

In this case we say that G is a $Q1\pi T$ -group if 1π -quasinormality is a transitive relation on G, and we also say in this case that "G satisfies $\mathbf{Q}_{1\pi(p,q)}$ " instead of "G satisfies $\mathbf{Q}_{\sigma(p,q)}$ ".

Observe that G satisfies $\mathbf{Q}_{1\pi(p,q)}$ if whenever N is a soluble normal subgroup of G and P/N is a normal non-abelian P-subgroup of type (p,q) of G/N, where $p,q \in \pi'$, every subgroup of P/N is modular in G/N. Therefore we get from Theorem E the following result.

Corollary 4.1. A group G is a $Q1\pi T$ -group if and only if G has a perfect normal subgroup D such that:

- (i) G/D is a soluble $Q1\pi T$ -group,
- (ii) if $D \neq 1$, G has a Robinson complex $(D, Z(D); U_1, \ldots, U_k)$ and

(iii) for any set $\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\}$, where $1 \leq r < k$, the groups G and $G/U'_{i_1} \cdots U'_{i_r}$ satisfy \mathbf{N}_p for all $p \in \{2,3\} \cap \pi(Z(D))$, \mathbf{P}_p for all $p \in \pi(D)$, and $\mathbf{Q}_{1\pi(p,q)}$ for all pairs $\{p,q\} \subseteq \pi'$ with $\{p,q\} \cap \pi(D) \neq \emptyset$.

2. Now Consider the special case of Theorem E where $\sigma = \sigma^{\pi} = \{\pi, \pi'\}$ (see Example 1.2(iv)).

In this case we say that G is a $Q\pi$, $\pi'T$ -group if π , π' -quasinormality is a transitive relation on G, and we also say in this case that G "satisfies $\mathbf{Q}_{\pi,\pi'(p,q)}$ " instead of "G satisfies $\mathbf{Q}_{\sigma(p,q)}$ ".

Observe that G satisfies $\mathbf{Q}_{\pi,\pi'(p,q)}$ if whenever N is a soluble normal subgroup of G and P/N is a normal non-abelian P-subgroup of type (p,q) of G/N, where $p,q \in \pi_0 \in \{\pi,\pi'\}$, every subgroup of P/N is modular in G/N.

Therefore we get from Theorem E the following result.

Corollary 4.2. A group G is a $Q\pi, \pi'T$ -group if and only if G has a perfect normal subgroup D such that:

- (i) G/D is a soluble $Q\pi, \pi'T$ -group,
- (ii) if $D \neq 1$, G has a Robinson complex $(D, Z(D); U_1, \ldots, U_k)$ and
- (iii) for any set $\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\}$, where $1 \leq r < k$, the groups G and $G/U'_{i_1} \cdots U'_{i_r}$ satisfy

 \mathbf{N}_p for all $p \in \{2,3\} \cap \pi(Z(D))$, \mathbf{P}_p for all $p \in \pi(D)$, and $\mathbf{Q}_{\pi,\pi'(p,q)}$ for all pairs $\{p,q\} \cap \pi(D) \neq \emptyset$.

3. In the case when $\sigma = \sigma^1 = \{\{2\}, \{3\}, \{5\}...\}$ (see Example 1.2(ii)) we get from Theorem E the following clarification of Theorem D.

Corollary 4.3. G is a PT-group if and only if G has a normal perfect subgroup D such that:

(i) G/D is a soluble PT-group, and

(i) if $D \neq 1$, G has a Robinson complex $(D, Z(D); U_1, \ldots, U_k)$ and

(iii) for any set $\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\}$, where $1 \leq r < k$, G and $G/U'_{i_1} \cdots U'_{i_r}$ satisfy \mathbf{N}_p for all $p \in \{2,3\} \cap \pi(Z(D))$ and \mathbf{P}_p for all $p \in \pi(D)$.

4. In the paper [21], the following special case of Theorem F was proved.

Corollary 4.4. A group G is an MT-group if and only if G has a perfect normal subgroup D such that:

- (i) G/D is an M-group,
- (ii) if $D \neq 1$, G has a Robinson complex $(D, Z(D); U_1, \ldots, U_k)$ and

(iii) for any set $\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\}$, where $1 \leq r < k$, G and $G/U'_{i_1} \cdots U'_{i_r}$ satisfy \mathbf{N}_p for all $p \in \pi(Z(D))$, \mathbf{P}_p for all $p \in \pi(D)$, and $\mathbf{M}_{p,q}$ for all pairs $\{p,q\} \cap \pi(D) \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 4.5. Theorem F not only strengthens Corollary 4.4 but also gives a new proof of it.

References

- [1] R. Schmidt, Subgroup Lattices of Groups, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1994.
- [2] A.N. Skiba, On some results in the theory of finite partially soluble groups, Commun. Math. Stat., 4(3) (2016), 281–309.
- [3] A.N. Skiba, On σ -subnormal and σ -permutable subgroups of finite groups, J. Algebra, 436 (2015), 1–16.
- [4] O. Ore, Contributions in the theory of groups of finite order, Duke Math., 5 (1939), 431–460.
- [5] N. Ito, J. Szép, Uber die Quasinormalteiler von endlichen Gruppen, Act. Sci. Math., 23 (1962), 168–170.
- [6] R. Maier, P. Schmid, The embedding of permutable subgroups in finite groups, *Math. Z.*, 131 (1973), 269–272.
- [7] J.G. Thompson, An example of core-free quasinormal subgroup of p-group, Math. Z., 96 (1973), 226–227.

- [8] A.N. Skiba, On σ-properties of finite groups I, Problems of Physics, Mathematics and Technics, 4(21) (2014), 89–96.
- [9] H. Li, A.-M. Liu, I.N. Safonova, A.N. Skiba Characterizations of some classes of finite σ-soluble PσT-groups, Communications in Algebra, 52 (1) (2024), 128–139. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 00927872.2023.2235006.
- [10] A-M. Liu, M. Chen, I.N. Safonova, A.N. Skiba, Finite groups with modular σ-subnormal subgroups, J. Group Theory. https://doi.org/10.1515/jgth-2023-0064.
- [11] X.-F. Zhang, W. Guo, I.N. Safonova, A.N.Skiba, A Robinson description of finite PσT-groups, J. Algebra, 631 (2023), 218–235.
- [12] O.H. Kegel, Untergruppenverbande endlicher Gruppen, die den subnormalteilerverband each enthalten, Arch. Math., 30(3) (1978), 225–228.
- [13] B. Hu, J. Huang, A.N. Skiba, On σ -quasinormal subgroups of finite groups, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **99**(3) (2019), 413–420.
- [14] A. Ballester-Bolinches, R. Esteban-Romero, M. Asaad, Products of Finite Groups, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 2010.
- [15] G. Zacher, I gruppi risolubili finiti in cui i sottogruppi di composizione coincidono con i sottogruppi quasi-normali. Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei Rend. cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur., (8) 37 (1964), 150–154
- [16] D.J.S. Robinson, The structure of finite groups in which permutability is a transitive relation, J. Austral. Math. Soc., 70 (2001), 143–159.
- [17] X.-F. Zhang, W. Guo, I.N. Safonova, A.N. Skiba, Finite soluble groups with transitive σ -quasinormality relation, *Math. Notes*, **114**(5) (2023), 1029–1036. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0001434623110342.
- [18] A. Frigerio, Gruppi finiti nei quali e transitivo l'essere sottogruppi modulare, Ist. Veneto Sci. Lett. Arti, Atti Cl. Sci. mat. natur., 132, (1973–1974), 185–190.
- [19] I. Zimmermann, Submodular subgroups of finite groups, Math. Z., 202 (1989), 545–557.
- [20] K. Doerk, T. Hawkes, Finite Soluble Groups, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1992.
- [21] A.-M. Liu, W. Guo, I.N. Safonova, A.N. Skiba, Finite groups in which modularity is a transitive relation, *Archiv der Mathematik*, **121**(2) (2023), 111–121.
- [22] D. Gorenstein, *Finite simple groups. An introduction to their Classification*, Plenum Press New York and London, 1982.