

AN ADDITIVE VARIANT OF THE DIFFERENTIAL SYMBOL MAPS

TOSHIRO HIRANOUCI

ABSTRACT. Our investigation focuses on an additive analogue of the Bloch-Gabber-Kato theorem which establishes a relation between the Milnor K -group of a field of positive characteristic and a Galois cohomology group of the field. Extending the Artin-Schreier-Witt theory, we present an isomorphism from the Mackey product associated with the Witt group and the multiplicative groups to a Galois cohomology group. As a result, we give a new expression for the torsion subgroup of the Brauer group of a field, and more generally, the Kato homology groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

For an arbitrary field k and any positive integer m prime to the characteristic of k , the **norm residue isomorphism theorem** ([Voe11], see also [Wei09]) is relating the Milnor K -group $K_n^M(k)$ of the field k and the Galois cohomology group $H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}(n)) = H_{\text{et}}^n(\text{Spec}(k), \mu_m^{\otimes n})$. Precisely, for any $n \geq 0$, the **Galois symbol map**

$$(1.1) \quad K_n^M(k)/mK_n^M(k) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}(n))$$

is bijective. The Milnor K -group $K_n^M(k)$ can be replaced by the **Somekawa K -group** associated to the multiplicative groups \mathbb{G}_m by using the canonical isomorphism

$$(1.2) \quad K(k; \overbrace{\mathbb{G}_m, \dots, \mathbb{G}_m}^n) \simeq K_n^M(k)$$

([Som90, Thm. 1.4]). The aim of this note is to study the “additive analogue” of the norm residue isomorphism theorem by replacing the first \mathbb{G}_m in the Somekawa K -group $K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, \dots, \mathbb{G}_m)$ with the additive group \mathbb{G}_a or the Witt group W_r more generally. For the case $n = 1$, the Galois symbol map is the Kummer theory

$$k^\times / (k^\times)^m \xrightarrow{\cong} H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}(1)).$$

The additive analogue should be the Artin-Schreier-Witt theory

$$(1.3) \quad W_r(k)/\wp(W_r(k)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})$$

for a field k of characteristic $p > 0$, where $\wp((x_0, \dots, x_{r-1})) = (x_0^p, \dots, x_{r-1}^p) - (x_0, \dots, x_{r-1})$. Unfortunately, it has not been given that the Somekawa type K -group associated to W_r and \mathbb{G}_m 's over an arbitrary field k (cf. [Hir14], [IR17], [RSY22]). However, after tensoring with $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, for the expression of the Milnor K -group in (1.2) there is no need to use the Somekawa K -group. To explain this fact, we recall that the Somekawa K -group is defined to be the quotient of $\bigoplus_{K/k: \text{finite}} (K^\times)^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} n}$ by two kind of relations, resembling the projection formula and the Weil reciprocity law of the Milnor K -groups:

$$K(k; \overbrace{\mathbb{G}_m, \dots, \mathbb{G}_m}^n) := \left(\bigoplus_{K/k: \text{finite}} (K^\times)^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} n} \right) / \begin{array}{l} \text{(projection formula)} \\ \& \text{(Weil reciprocity)} \end{array}.$$

The **Mackey product** $\mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k) = \overbrace{(\mathbb{G}_m^M \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{G}_m^M)}^n(k)$ of \mathbb{G}_m 's defined upon the same generators as the Somekawa K -group with the relation concerning the projection formula only:

$$(1.4) \quad \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k) := \left(\bigoplus_{K/k: \text{finite}} (K^\times)^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} n} \right) / \text{(projection formula)}.$$

(for the precise definition, see [Definition 2.3](#)). By the very definition, the Somekawa K -group is a quotient of the Mackey product $\mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k) \rightarrow K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, \dots, \mathbb{G}_m)$. This quotient map becomes bijective

$$\mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)/m \xrightarrow{\cong} K(k; \overbrace{\mathbb{G}_m, \dots, \mathbb{G}_m}^n)/m$$

after considering modulo m (Kahn's theorem, cf. [Theorem 4.5](#)). It is important to note here that the Mackey product $(W_r^M \otimes \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes(n-1)})(k)$ is defined for an arbitrary field k in the same way as in (1.4). Using this, we present an isomorphism below which extends the Artin-Schreier-Witt theory (1.3).

Theorem 1.1 ([Theorem 5.8](#)). *Let k be an arbitrary field of characteristic $p > 0$. For $r \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$, there is an isomorphism*

$$(W_r^M \otimes \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes(n-1)})(k)/\varphi \xrightarrow{\cong} H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}(n-1)) = H_{\text{et}}^1(\text{Spec}(k), W_r\Omega_{\log}^{n-1}),$$

where $(W_r^M \otimes \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes(n-1)})(k)/\varphi$ is the cokernel of a map $\varphi : (W_r^M \otimes \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes(n-1)})(k) \rightarrow (W_r^M \otimes \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes(n-1)})(k)$ defined by $\varphi : W_r(K) \rightarrow W_r(K)$ on the Witt groups used in (1.3).

The above theorem should be compared to the Bloch-Gabber-Kato theorem which is a p -analogue of the norm residue isomorphism theorem.

Theorem 1.2 (Bloch-Gabber-Kato, [\[BK86, Cor. 2.8\]](#)). *Let k be an arbitrary field of characteristic $p > 0$. For any $r \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$, the **differential symbol map** (cf. (3.6))*

$$K_n^M(k)/p^r K_n^M(k) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}(n)) = W_r\Omega_{k, \log}^n$$

is an isomorphism.

Put $H_{p^r}^n(k) := H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}(n-1))$. In the case $n = 2$, we have an isomorphism $H_{p^r}^2(k) \simeq \text{Br}(k)[p^r]$, where $\text{Br}(k)[p^r]$ is the p^r -torsion part of the Brauer group $\text{Br}(k)$. Our theorem ([Theorem 1.1](#)) above gives an expression for the Brauer group $\text{Br}(k)[p^r]$. More generally, for an excellent scheme X over a field k of characteristic $p > 0$ with $[k : k^p] \leq p^n$ for some n , there is a homological complex $KC_\bullet^n(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})$ of Bloch-Ogus type:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_j} H_{p^r}^{n+j+1}(k(x)) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_1} H_{p^r}^{n+2}(k(x)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_0} H_{p^r}^{n+1}(k(x)),$$

where X_j is the set of points x in X with $\dim(\overline{\{x\}}) = j$ and $k(x)$ is the residue field at x ([\[Kat86\]](#)). Here, the term $\bigoplus_{x \in X_j} H_{p^r}^{n+j+1}(k(x))$ is placed in degree j . The **Kato homology group** of X (with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$) is defined to be the homology group $KH_j(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}) := H_j(KC_\bullet^n(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}))$. [Theorem 1.1](#) gives an alternative expression of $KC_\bullet^n(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})$ (for recent progress on the Kato homology groups, see [\[Sai10\]](#)).

Notation. Throughout this note, for an abelian group G and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we write $G[m]$ and G/m for the kernel and cokernel of the multiplication by m on G respectively.

Acknowledgements. The author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 24K06672. The authors would like to thank the referee for pointing out some errors in the earlier versions of this paper and suggesting remedy.

2. MACKEY FUNCTORS

In this section, we recall the notion of Mackey functors, and their product following [Kah92, Sect. 5] (see also [IR17, Rem. 1.3.3], [RS00, Sect. 3]). Throughout this section, let k be a field, and Ext_k the category of field extensions of k .

Definition 2.1. A **Mackey functor** \mathcal{M} over a field k (a cohomological finite Mackey functor over k in the sense of [Kah92]) is a covariant functor $\mathcal{M} : \text{Ext}_k \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ from Ext_k to the category of abelian groups Ab equipped with a contravariant structure for finite extensions L/K in Ext_k satisfying the following conditions: For any finite field extension L/K in Ext_k and a field extension K'/K in Ext_k , the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(L) & \xrightarrow{\bigoplus e_i \text{res}_{L'_i/L}} & \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}(L'_i) \\ \text{tr}_{L/K} \downarrow & & \downarrow \sum \text{tr}_{L'_i/K'} \\ \mathcal{M}(K) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{K'/K}} & \mathcal{M}(K'), \end{array}$$

where $L \otimes_K K' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i$ for some local Artinian algebras A_i of dimension e_i over the residue field $L'_i := A_i/\mathfrak{m}_{A_i}$. Here, for an extension L/K in Ext_k , the map $\text{res}_{L/K} : \mathcal{M}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(L)$ is defined by the covariant structure of \mathcal{M} and the contravariant structure gives $\text{tr}_{L/K} : \mathcal{M}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(L)$ if $[L : K] < \infty$.

The category of Mackey functors forms a Grothendieck abelian category (cf. [KY13, Appendix A]) and hence any morphism of Mackey functor $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, that is, a natural transformation, gives the image $\text{Im}(f)$, the cokernel $\text{Coker}(f)$ and so on.

Example 2.2. (i) For any endomorphism $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ of a Mackey functor \mathcal{M} , we denote the cokernel by $\mathcal{M}/f := \text{Coker}(f)$. This Mackey functor is given by

$$(\mathcal{M}/f)(K) = \text{Coker}(f(K) : \mathcal{M}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(K)).$$

- (ii) A commutative algebraic group G over k induces a Mackey functor by defining $K/k \mapsto G(K)$ for any field extension K/k (cf. [Som90, (1.3)], [IR17, Prop. 2.2.2]). In particular, the multiplicative group \mathbb{G}_m is a Mackey functor given by $\mathbb{G}_m(K) = K^\times$ for any field extension K/k . The translation maps are the norm $N_{L/K} : L^\times \rightarrow K^\times$ and the inclusion $K^\times \rightarrow L^\times$. For the additive group \mathbb{G}_a , the translation maps are the trace map $\text{Tr}_{L/K} : L \rightarrow K$ and the inclusion $K \hookrightarrow L$.
- (iii) Recall that, for $n \geq 1$, the Milnor K -group $K_n^M(k)$ of a field k is the quotient group of $(k^\times)^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} n}$ by the subgroup generated by all elements of the form $a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n$ with $a_i + a_j = 1$ for some $i \neq j$. We also put $K_0^M(k) = \mathbb{Z}$. The class of $a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n$ in $K_n^M(k)$ is denoted by $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}_k$. For an extension L/K in Ext_k , the restriction map $\text{res}_{L/K} : K_n^M(K) \rightarrow K_n^M(L)$ and the norm map $N_{L/K} : K_n^M(L) \rightarrow K_n^M(K)$ when $[L : K] < \infty$ gives the structure of the Mackey functor K_n^M .

Definition 2.3. For Mackey functors $\mathcal{M}_1, \dots, \mathcal{M}_n$ over k , the **Mackey product** $\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes^M \dots \otimes^M \mathcal{M}_n$ is defined as follows: For any field extension k'/k ,

$$(\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes^M \dots \otimes^M \mathcal{M}_n)(k') := \left(\bigoplus_{K/k': \text{finite}} \mathcal{M}_1(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \dots \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{M}_n(K) \right) / (\mathbf{PF}),$$

where **(PF)** stands for the subgroup generated by elements of the following form:

(PF) For a finite field extension L/K in $\text{Ext}_{k'}$,

$$x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \text{tr}_{L/K}(\xi_{i_0}) \otimes \dots \otimes x_n - \text{res}_{L/K}(x_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_{i_0} \otimes \dots \otimes \text{res}_{L/K}(x_n)$$

for $\xi_{i_0} \in \mathcal{M}_{i_0}(L)$ and $x_i \in \mathcal{M}_i(K)$ for $i \neq i_0$.

For the Mackey product $\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes^M \dots \otimes^M \mathcal{M}_n$, we write $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}_{K/k'}$ for the image of $x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \in \mathcal{M}_1(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \dots \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{M}_n(K)$ in the product $(\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes^M \dots \otimes^M \mathcal{M}_n)(k')$. The translation maps $\text{tr}_{K'/K}: (\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes^M \dots \otimes^M \mathcal{M}_n)(K) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes^M \dots \otimes^M \mathcal{M}_n)(K')$ and $\text{res}_{K'/K}: (\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes^M \dots \otimes^M \mathcal{M}_n)(K) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes^M \dots \otimes^M \mathcal{M}_n)(K')$ are defined as follows (cf. [IR17, Lem. 4.1.2], [Akh00, Sect. 3.4]):

$$\text{tr}_{K'/K}(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}_{L'/K'}) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}_{L'/K}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{res}_{K'/K}(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}_{L/K}) = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \{ \text{res}_{L'_i/L}(x_1), \dots, \text{res}_{L'_i/L}(x_n) \}_{L'_i/K'},$$

where $L \otimes_K K' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i$ for some local Artinian algebras A_i of dimension e_i over the residue field $L'_i := A_i/\mathfrak{m}_{A_i}$.

Remark 2.4. The Mackey product $\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes^M \dots \otimes^M \mathcal{M}_n$ is a Mackey functor with translation maps defined above ([IR17, Lem. 4.1.2]). For Mackey functors $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, \mathcal{M}_3$ over k , $\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes^M (\mathcal{M}_2 \oplus \mathcal{M}_3) \simeq (\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes^M \mathcal{M}_2) \oplus (\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes^M \mathcal{M}_3)$ by the same proof of [Akh00, Prop. 3.5.6] (Note that the Somekawa K -group used in [Akh00] is a quotient of the Mackey product). Moreover, we have

$$\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes^M \mathcal{M}_2 \otimes^M \mathcal{M}_3 \simeq (\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes^M \mathcal{M}_2) \otimes^M \mathcal{M}_3 \simeq \mathcal{M}_1 \otimes^M (\mathcal{M}_2 \otimes^M \mathcal{M}_3).$$

3. DE RHAM-WITT COMPLEX

In this section, we recall the notion of the de Rham-Witt complex and its properties following [Rül07]. Let k be a field of characteristic $p \geq 0$. A **truncation set** $S \subset \mathbb{N} := \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is a nonempty subset such that if $s \in S$ and $t \mid s$ then $t \in S$. For each truncation set S , let $\mathbb{W}_S(k)$ be the ring of Witt vectors (cf. [Rül07, Appendix]). The trace map $\text{Tr}_{L/K}: \mathbb{W}_S(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_S(K)$ and the restriction $\text{res}_{L/K}: \mathbb{W}_S(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_S(L)$ make the structure of the Mackey functor on \mathbb{W}_S ([Rül07, Prop. A.9]). For a *finite* truncation set S , the de Rham-Witt complex $\mathbb{W}_S \Omega_k^\bullet$ is a quotient of the differential graded algebra $\Omega_{\mathbb{W}_S(k)/\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet$ satisfying

$$\mathbb{W}_{\{1\}} \Omega_k^\bullet \simeq \Omega_{k/\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{W}_S \Omega_k^0 \simeq \mathbb{W}_S(k),$$

and has some natural maps

$$V_n: \mathbb{W}_{S/n} \Omega_k^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_S \Omega_k^\bullet, \quad \text{and} \quad F_n: \mathbb{W}_S \Omega_k^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_{S/n} \Omega_k^\bullet,$$

where $S/n := \{s \in \mathbb{N} \mid ns \in S\}$ (cf. [Rü107, Prop. 1.2]). For a truncation set $T \subset S$, the restriction map $\mathbb{W}_S(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_T(k)$; $\mathbf{a} = (a_s)_{s \in S} \mapsto \mathbf{a}|_T := (a_s)_{s \in T}$ induces a map of differential graded algebras

$$(3.1) \quad R_T^S: \mathbb{W}_S \Omega_k^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_T \Omega_k^\bullet.$$

For an arbitrary truncation set S , the restriction (3.1) gives the inverse system $(\mathbb{W}_{S_0} \Omega_k^\bullet)_{S_0 \subset S}$, where S_0 runs through the set of all finite truncation set contained in S . We define

$$\mathbb{W}_S \Omega_k^\bullet := \varprojlim_{S_0 \subset S} \mathbb{W}_{S_0} \Omega_k^\bullet.$$

For any extension L/K in the category Ext_k , the natural homomorphism $\mathbb{W}_S(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_S(L)$ of rings (cf. [Rü107, A.1]) induces $\Omega_{\mathbb{W}_S(K)}^\bullet \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{W}_S(L)}^\bullet$ and hence the restriction map

$$\text{res}_{L/K}: \mathbb{W}_S \Omega_K^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_S \Omega_L^\bullet.$$

Define $pS := S \cup \{ps \mid s \in S\}$. There is a map of differential graded algebras

$$\underline{p}: \mathbb{W}_S \Omega_K^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_{pS} \Omega_L^\bullet; \omega \mapsto p\tilde{\omega},$$

where $\tilde{\omega}$ is a lift of ω to $\mathbb{W}_{pS} \Omega_L^\bullet$ with respect to the map R_S^{pS} (3.1) ([Rü107, Def. 2.5]). For a finite truncation set S , the correspondence $K/k \mapsto \mathbb{W}_S \Omega_K^n$ forms a Mackey functor using the trace map below ([Rü107, Prop. 2.7]).

Theorem 3.1 ([Rü107, Thm. 2.6], [KP21, Thm. A.2]). *Let L/K be a finite field extension in Ext_k and S a finite truncation set. Then, there is a map of differential graded $\mathbb{W}_S \Omega_K^\bullet$ -modules*

$$\text{Tr}_{L/K}: \mathbb{W}_S \Omega_L^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_S \Omega_K^\bullet$$

satisfying the following properties:

- (a) *If the extension L/K is separable, then the isomorphism $\mathbb{W}_S(L) \otimes_{\mathbb{W}_S(K)} \mathbb{W}_S \Omega_K^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{W}_S \Omega_L^n$; $\alpha \otimes \omega \mapsto \alpha\omega$ gives the map $\text{Tr}_{L/K}$ by*

$$\mathbb{W}_S \Omega_L^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{W}_S(L) \otimes \mathbb{W}_S \Omega_K^n \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_{L/K} \otimes \text{id}} \mathbb{W}_S(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{W}_S(K)} \mathbb{W}_S \Omega_K^n \simeq \mathbb{W}_S \Omega_K^n.$$

- (b) *If L/K is purely inseparable of degree p and $\omega \in \mathbb{W}_S \Omega_L^n$, then $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\omega) = R_S^{pS}(\eta)$, where $\text{res}_{L/K}(\eta) = \underline{p}(\omega)$ for some $\eta \in \mathbb{W}_{pS} \Omega_K^n$.*
(c) *If $K \subset M \subset L$ are finite field extensions, then we have $\text{Tr}_{L/K} = \text{Tr}_{M/K} \circ \text{Tr}_{L/M}$.*
(d) *The map $\text{Tr}_{L/K}$ commutes with V_n, F_n and the restriction R_T^S .*

In the case where k has positive characteristic $p > 0$, taking the truncation set $P_r := \{1, p, p^2, \dots, p^{r-1}\}$ for $r \geq 1$, we define $W_r \Omega_k^\bullet := \mathbb{W}_{P_r} \Omega_k^\bullet$. This is the classical p -typical de Rham-Witt complex of k ([Ill79]). In particular, $W_r \Omega_k^0 = W_r(k)$ is the ring of p -typical Witt vectors of length r in k . It is customary to write

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} V &:= V_p: W_r \Omega_k^\bullet \rightarrow W_{r+1} \Omega_k^\bullet, \\ F &:= F_p: W_{r+1} \Omega_k^\bullet \rightarrow W_r \Omega_k^\bullet, \quad \text{and} \\ R &:= R_{P_r}^{P_{r+1}}: W_{r+1} \Omega_k^\bullet \rightarrow W_r \Omega_k^\bullet. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the map $F: W_{r+1} \Omega_k^\bullet \rightarrow W_r \Omega_k^\bullet$ induces

$$(3.3) \quad F: W_r \Omega_k^n \rightarrow W_r \Omega_k^n / dV^{r-1} \Omega_k^{n-1}$$

([Ill79, Prop. 3.3], [Shi07, Lem. 2.7]). By [Rü107, Thm. 1.11], for a finite truncation set S , there is a canonical decomposition (with respect to S)

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbb{W}_S \Omega_k^n \simeq \prod_{(m,p)=1} W_{r_m} \Omega_k^n,$$

where $r_m := \#(S/m \cap \{1, p, p^2, \dots\}) + 1$. There is a natural homomorphism

$$\mathrm{dlog}: k^\times \rightarrow W_r \Omega_k^1; b \mapsto \mathrm{dlog}[b] := d[b]/[b],$$

where $[b] := (b, 0, \dots, 0) \in W_r \Omega_k^1$.

Lemma 3.2. *For any $r \geq 1$, and for any finite field extension L/K in Ext_k , the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L^\times & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{dlog}} & W_r \Omega_L^1 \\ N_{L/K} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{Tr}_{L/K} \\ K^\times & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{dlog}} & W_r \Omega_K^1. \end{array}$$

Proof. For any $\beta \in L^\times$, we show the equality

$$(3.5) \quad \mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\mathrm{dlog}[\beta]) = \mathrm{dlog}[N_{L/K}\beta].$$

By taking the separable closure of L/K , the extension L/K is written as a finite separable extension followed by a purely inseparable extension. Since every finite purely inseparable extension is decomposed as a sequence of extensions of prime degree p , it suffices to show the assertion in the cases where the extension L/K is either separable or purely inseparable of degree p . In the former case, the equality (3.5) follows from the construction of the trace map ([Rül07, Proof of Thm. 2.6]). Next, we assume that the extension L/K is purely inseparable of degree p . Denoting the Teichmüller map as $[-]_{r,K} : K^\times \rightarrow W_r \Omega_K^1$ in this proof, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{p}(\mathrm{dlog}([\beta]_{r,L})) &= p \mathrm{dlog}([\beta]_{r+1,L}) \\ &= \mathrm{dlog}([\beta^p]_{r+1,L}) \\ &= \mathrm{res}_{L/K}(\mathrm{dlog}([N_{L/K}\beta]_{r+1,K})) \quad (\text{by } N_{L/K}(\beta) = \beta^p). \end{aligned}$$

By [Theorem 3.1](#) (b), we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\mathrm{dlog}([\beta]_{r,L})) = R(\mathrm{dlog}([N_{L/K}\beta]_{r+1,K})) = \mathrm{dlog}([N_{L/K}\beta]_{r,K}),$$

where $R = R_{P_r}^{P_{r+1}}$ (cf. (3.2)). □

The subgroup of $W_r \Omega_k^n$ generated by $\mathrm{dlog}[b_1] \cdots \mathrm{dlog}[b_n]$ for $b_1, \dots, b_n \in k^\times$ is denoted by $W_r \Omega_{k,\log}^n$. We put

$$H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(m)) = H^{n-m}(k, W_r \Omega_{k^{\mathrm{sep}},\log}^m).$$

For any $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the differential symbol map

$$(3.6) \quad s_{k,p^r}^n : K_n^M(k)/p^r \xrightarrow{\cong} H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) = W_r \Omega_{k,\log}^n$$

introduced in [Theorem 1.2](#) is given by $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}_k \mapsto \mathrm{dlog}[a_1] \cdots \mathrm{dlog}[a_n]$.

4. MACKEY PRODUCTS AND THE MILNOR K -GROUPS

Let k be a field of characteristic $p \geq 0$. As referred in Introduction, B. Kahn gives an isomorphism $\mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)/m \simeq K_n^M(k)/m$ between the Mackey product of \mathbb{G}_m 's ([Definition 2.3](#)) and the Milnor K -group modulo m ([Example 2.2](#) (iii)) which is announced in [[RS00](#), Rem. 4.2.5 (b)] without proof. In this section, we give a proof of this theorem ([Theorem 4.5](#)).

Proposition 4.1. *For any $n \geq 0$, and any $m \geq 1$, there is a surjective homomorphism*

$$\pi_{k,m}^n : \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)/m \twoheadrightarrow K_n^M(k)/m.$$

Proof. By [Som90, Thm. 1.4], we have a canonical isomorphism $K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, \dots, \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq K_n^M(k)$. As the Somekawa K -group is a quotient of the Mackey product $\mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)$, there is a surjective homomorphism $\pi_{k,m}^n: \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)/m \rightarrow K_n^M(k)/m$ which is given by $\pi_{k,m}(\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}_{K/k}) = N_{K/k}(\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}_K)$, where $N_{K/k}: K_n^M(K) \rightarrow K_n^M(k)$ is the norm map on the Milnor K -groups. \square

For simplicity, we often abbreviate $\text{res}_{L/K}(x)$ in $\mathbb{G}_m(L)/m = L^\times/m$ as x for $x \in \mathbb{G}_m(K)/m = K^\times/m$. For a fixed prime number l , we denote by $\text{Symb}_l(k)$ the subgroup of $\mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)/l$ generated by the symbols of the form $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}_{k/k}$ for $a_i \in k^\times$.

Lemma 4.2. *For a prime number l , the map $\pi_{k,l}^n: \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)/l \rightarrow K_n^M(k)/l$ induces an isomorphism $\text{Symb}_l(k) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_n^M(k)/l$.*

Proof. To show that $\varphi_k: K_n^M(k)/l \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)/l; \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}_k \mapsto \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}_{k/k}$ is well-defined, we prove $\{a, 1-a, a_2, \dots, a_n\}_{k/k} = 0$ in $\mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)/l$ for some $a \notin (k^\times)^l$. First, we consider the case $l \neq p$ (this is a direct consequence of [RS00, Lem. 4.2.6]). Let $T^l - a = \prod_i f_i(T)$ be the decomposition with monic and irreducible polynomials $f_i(T)$ in $k[T]$. For each i , let $\alpha_i \in k^{\text{sep}}$ be a root of $f_i(T)$ and put $K_i = k(\alpha_i)$. Then,

$$1 - a = \prod_i f_i(1) = \prod_i N_{K_i/k}(1 - \alpha_i).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{a, 1-a, a_2, \dots, a_n\}_{k/k} \\ &= \sum_i \{a, N_{K_i/k}(\alpha_i), a_2, \dots, a_n\}_{k/k} \\ &= \sum_i \{a, \alpha_i, a_2, \dots, a_n\}_{K_i/k} \quad (\text{by (PF)}) \\ &= \sum_i \{(\alpha_i)^l, \alpha_i, a_2, \dots, a_n\}_{K_i/k} \quad (\text{by } (\alpha_i)^l - a = \prod_j f_j(\alpha_i) = 0) \\ &= 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)/l. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we treat the case $p > 0$ and $l = p$. Let us consider the purely inseparable extension $K := k(\sqrt[p]{a})/k$ of degree p . The norm map gives $N_{K/k}(\sqrt[p]{a}) = (\sqrt[p]{a})^p = a$. Therefore, by the projection formula (PF), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{a, 1-a, a_2, \dots, a_n\}_{k/k} &= \{N_{K/k}(\sqrt[p]{a}), 1-a, a_2, \dots, a_n\}_{k/k} \\ &= \{\sqrt[p]{a}, 1-a, a_2, \dots, a_n\}_{K/k}. \end{aligned}$$

The equality $1-a = (1-\sqrt[p]{a})^p$ in K , gives $\{a, 1-a, a_2, \dots, a_n\}_{k/k} = 0$. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Let l be a prime number. We assume that k contains a primitive l -th root of unity when $l \neq p$. Then, for any $n \geq 1$, the map $\pi_{k,l}^n: \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)/l \rightarrow K_n^M(k)/l$ is bijective.*

Proof. Put $\pi_k := \pi_{k,l}^n$. From Lemma 4.2, we have a map $\varphi_k: K_n^M(k)/l \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)/l$ defined by $\varphi_k(\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}_k) = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}_{k/k}$ and this gives $\pi_k \circ \varphi_k = \text{id}$. To show Theorem 4.3, it is sufficient to prove that φ_k is surjective or π_k is injective.

Let $k^{(l)}/k$ be the field extension corresponding to the l -Sylow subgroup of $\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k)$. The following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k^{(l)})/l & \xrightarrow{\pi_{k^{(l)}}} & K_n^M(k^{(l)})/l \\ \text{res}_{k^{(l)}/k} \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{res}_{k^{(l)}/k} \\ \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)/l & \xrightarrow{\pi_k} & K_n^M(k)/l. \end{array}$$

To show that π_k is injective, take any $\xi \in \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)/l$ with $\pi_k(\xi) = 0$. If we assume that $\pi_{k^{(l)}}$ is bijective, we have $\text{res}_{k^{(l)}/k}(\xi) = 0$ in $\mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k^{(l)})/l$. One can write $\mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k^{(l)})/l \simeq \varinjlim_K (\mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}/l)(K)$, where K runs through all finite extension K/k within $k^{(l)}$ (for the proof, see [Akh00, Lem. 3.6.4]). There exists a subextension $k \subset K \subset k^{(l)}$ such that $[K : k]$ is finite and $\text{res}_{K/k}(\xi) = 0$. This implies $[K : k]\xi = \text{tr}_{K/k} \circ \text{res}_{K/k}(\xi) = 0$. Since $[K : k]$ is prime to l , the restriction $\text{res}_{K/k}$ is injective so that we obtain $\xi = 0$. Consequently, we may assume $k = k^{(l)}$ and it is left to show that φ_k is surjective. Take any symbol $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}_{K/k}$ in $\mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)/l$ and we show that this is in $\text{Symb}_l(k)$. There exists a tower of fields $k = k_0 \subset k_1 \subset k_2 \subset \dots \subset k_r = K$ such that $[k_i : k_{i-1}] = l$. By induction on r , we may assume $[K : k] = l$. It is known that $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}_K \in K_n^M(K)$ is written as a sum of elements of the form $\{\xi, x_2, \dots, x_n\}_K$ for some $\xi \in K^\times$ and $x_2, \dots, x_n \in k^\times$ (Proposition 4.4 below). From Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}_{K/K} = \sum_i \{\xi^{(i)}, x_2^{(i)}, \dots, x_n^{(i)}\}_{K/K}$$

for some $\xi^{(i)} \in K^\times$ and $x_2^{(i)}, \dots, x_n^{(i)} \in k^\times$. By the projection formula (PF), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}_{K/k} &= \text{tr}_{K/k}(\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}_{K/K}) \\ &= \sum_i \text{tr}_{K/k}(\{\xi^{(i)}, x_2^{(i)}, \dots, x_n^{(i)}\}_{K/K}) \\ &= \sum_i \{\xi^{(i)}, x_2^{(i)}, \dots, x_n^{(i)}\}_{K/k} \\ &= \sum_i \{N_{K/k}(\xi^{(i)}), x_2^{(i)}, \dots, x_n^{(i)}\}_{k/k}. \end{aligned}$$

Namely, $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}_{K/k}$ is in $\text{Symb}_l(k)$ and the map φ_k is surjective. \square

Proposition 4.4 ([BT73, Chap. I, Cor. 5.3], [Akh00, Prop. 2.2.3]). *Let k be a field and l a prime number. Suppose that every finite extension of k is of degree l^r for some $r \geq 0$. Then, for an extension K/k of degree l , the group $K_n^M(K)$ is generated by symbols of the form $\{\xi, x_2, \dots, x_n\}_K$ where $\xi \in K^\times$ and $x_2, \dots, x_n \in k^\times$.*

Theorem 4.5. *Let k be an arbitrary field of characteristic $p \geq 0$. For any $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$, the map $\pi_{k,m}^n: \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)/m \xrightarrow{\sim} K_n^M(k)/m$ is bijective. Moreover, this gives an isomorphism of Mackey functors $\mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}/m \simeq K_n^M/m$.*

Proof. Putting $\mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes 0}(k) = \mathbb{Z}$, for the cases $n = 0$ and $n = 1$, there is nothing to show so that we assume $n \geq 2$. Put $m = m'p^r$ with an integer $m' > 0$ prime to p . The Galois

symbol map (1.1) and the differential symbol map (Theorem 1.2) give an isomorphism

$$s_{k,m}^n : K_n^M(k)/m \xrightarrow{\cong} H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/m'(n)) \oplus H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)).$$

In the following, we often identify $K_n^M(k)/m$ and $H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/m(n))$ through this isomorphism. By Proposition 4.1, $\pi_{k,m}^n$ is surjective which is given by $\pi_{k,m}(\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}_{K/k}) = N_{K/k}(\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}_K)$. It is enough to show that $\pi_{k,l}^n : \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)/l^r \rightarrow K_n^M(k)/l^r$ is injective for any prime l and $r \geq 1$. Now, we divide the proof into the two cases (a) $l \neq p$, and (b) $l = p > 0$.

In the case (a): $l \neq p$, by the standard arguments, we will reduce to showing the case that k contains a primitive l -th root of unity (e.g., [Kah97, Sect. 1.2], [Sus99, Sect. 1]). Take a primitive l -th root of unity $\zeta_l \in k^{\text{sep}}$ and put $k' := k(\zeta_l)$ which is a field extension of k of degree prime to l . There is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k')/l^r & \xrightarrow{\pi_{k',l}^n} & K_n^M(k')/l^r \\ \uparrow \text{res}_{k'/k} & & \uparrow \text{res}_{k'/k} \\ \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)/l^r & \xrightarrow{\pi_{k,l}^n} & K_n^M(k)/l^r. \end{array}$$

Since $[k' : k]$ is prime to l , the restriction maps are injective. If $\pi_{k',l}^n$ is injective, so is $\pi_{k,l}^n$. Accordingly, we may assume $\zeta_l \in k$. Next, we consider the commutative diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes(n-1)}(k)/l & \xrightarrow{\{\zeta_l, -, \dots, -\}_{k/k}} & \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)/l^r & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)/l^{r+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)/l \longrightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow \pi_{k,l}^{n-1} & & \downarrow \pi_{k,l}^n & & \downarrow \pi_{k,l}^{n,r+1} & & \downarrow \pi_{k,l}^n \\ H^{n-1}(k, \mathbb{Z}/l(n-1)) & \xrightarrow{\zeta_l \cup -} & H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/l^r(n)) & \longrightarrow & H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/l^{r+1}(n)) & \longrightarrow & H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/l(n)). \end{array}$$

In the above diagram, the lower sequence is a part of the long exact sequence induced from the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mu_{l^r}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mu_{l^{r+1}}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mu_l^{\otimes n} \rightarrow 0$. The upper one is a complex and exact except the term $\mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)/l^r$. By the induction on r and Theorem 4.3, $\pi_{k,l}^n$ is bijective.

Consider the case (b): $l = p > 0$. As in the proof of [BK86, Cor. 2.8], we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)/p & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)/p^{r+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(k)/p^r & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \pi_{k,p}^n & & \downarrow \pi_{k,p}^{n,r+1} & & \downarrow \pi_{k,p}^{n,r} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K_n^M(k)/p & \longrightarrow & K_n^M(k)/p^{r+1} & \longrightarrow & K_n^M(k)/p^r \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

In fact, the exactness of the lower horizontal sequence follows from

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K_n^M(k)/p & \longrightarrow & K_n^M(k)/p^{r+1} & \longrightarrow & K_n^M(k)/p^r & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow s_{k,p}^n & & \downarrow s_{k,p}^{n,r+1} & & \downarrow s_{k,p}^{n,r} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \longrightarrow & H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/p^{r+1}(n)) & \longrightarrow & H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) \end{array}$$

and the Bloch-Gabber-Kato theorem ([Theorem 1.2](#)). By the induction on r and the digram ([4.1](#)), [Theorem 4.5](#) follows from [Theorem 4.3](#). \square

5. MACKEY PRODUCTS AND THE DE RHAM-WITT COMPLEX

Let k be a field of positive characteristic $p > 0$ and k^{sep} the separable closure of k in an algebraic closure of k . For an extension K/k , we consider the p -th power Frobenius $\phi: K \rightarrow K; a \mapsto a^p$. The induced homomorphism $W_r(\phi): W_{r+1}(k) \rightarrow W_r(k)$ coincides with $F: W_r(k) \rightarrow W_r(k)$ (cf. [\(3.3\)](#)). For any $r \geq 1$ and any field extension K/k , we consider the homomorphism

$$\wp = F - \text{id} : W_r(K) \rightarrow W_r(K).$$

The map \wp also induces the map $\wp: W_r \rightarrow W_r$ of Mackey functors ([\[Rül07, Prop. A.9 \(v\)\]](#)). For any Mackey functor \mathcal{M} , we define a map

$$(5.1) \quad \wp := \wp \otimes^M \text{id} : W_r \otimes^M \mathcal{M} \rightarrow W_r \otimes^M \mathcal{M}.$$

For simplicity, we denote also the induced map $\wp(k): (W_r \otimes^M \mathcal{M})(k) \rightarrow (W_r \otimes^M \mathcal{M})(k)$ by \wp .

Lemma 5.1. *For any Mackey functor \mathcal{M} over k , We have isomorphisms*

$$(W_r \otimes^M \mathcal{M})/\wp \simeq W_r/\wp \otimes^M \mathcal{M} \simeq W_r/\wp \otimes^M \mathcal{M}/p^r.$$

Proof. Recalling from [Example 2.2 \(i\)](#), $(W_r \otimes^M \mathcal{M})/\wp$ is the cokernel of $\wp: W_r \otimes^M \mathcal{M} \rightarrow W_r \otimes^M \mathcal{M}$ defined in [\(5.1\)](#). The isomorphism $(W_r \otimes^M \mathcal{M})/\wp \simeq W_r/\wp \otimes^M \mathcal{M}$ follows from the right exactness of the product $- \otimes^M \mathcal{M}$. Since the Witt ring $W_r(K)$ is annihilated by p^r , we obtain $W_r/\wp \otimes^M \mathcal{M} \simeq W_r/\wp \otimes^M \mathcal{M}/p^r$. \square

For any $n \geq 1$, the cokernel of the extended \wp with respect to the product $\mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes(n-1)}$ (cf. [\(5.1\)](#)) is denoted by

$$M_{p^r}^n := (W_r \otimes^M \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes(n-1)})/\wp.$$

Corollary 5.2. *If k is perfect and $n \geq 2$, then $M_{p^r}^n(k) = 0$.*

Proof. This follows from [Lemma 5.1](#) and $(\mathbb{G}_m/p^r)(k) = 0$. \square

We put

$$H_{p^r}^n(k) := H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n-1)) = H^1(k, W_r \Omega_{\log}^{n-1}).$$

The map $F: W_r \Omega_k^{n-1} \rightarrow W_r \Omega_k^{n-1}/dV^{r-1} \Omega_k^{n-2}$ (cf. [\(3.3\)](#)) induces the following short exact sequence:

$$(5.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^{n-1}(k, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n-1)) \rightarrow W_r \Omega_k^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\wp} W_r \Omega_k^{n-1}/dV^{r-1} \Omega_k^{n-2} \rightarrow H_{p^r}^n(k) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\wp := F - \text{id}$ (cf. [\[Shi07, Prop. 2.8\]](#), [\[Izh00, Sect. A.1.3\]](#)). Since F is a ring homomorphism of $W_r \Omega_k^\bullet$ and satisfies $F(d[b]) = [b]^{p-1} d[b]$, the map \wp is given by $\wp(\mathbf{a} \, d\log[b_1] \cdots d\log[b_{n-1}]) = \wp(\mathbf{a}) \, d\log[b_1] \cdots d\log[b_{n-1}]$. Moreover, we have the following explicit description of $H_{p^r}^n(k)$.

Theorem 5.3 ([\[Kat80, Sect. 2, Cor. 4 to Prop. 2\]](#), see also [\[Izh00, Sect. A.1.3\]](#)). *The natural homomorphism $\mathbf{a} \otimes b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{n-1} \mapsto [\mathbf{a}, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}]_k := \mathbf{a} \, d\log[b_1] \cdots d\log[b_{n-1}]$ induces an isomorphism*

$$(W_r(k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (k^\times)^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}(n-1)})/J \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{p^r}^n(k),$$

where J is the subgroup generated by all elements of the form:

- (a) $\mathbf{a} \otimes b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{n-1}$ with $b_i = b_j$ for some $i \neq j$.
- (b) $(0, \dots, 0, a, 0, \dots, 0) \otimes a \otimes b_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{n-1}$ for some $a \in k$.
- (c) $\wp(\mathbf{a}) \otimes b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{n-1}$.

From the sequence (5.2), we regard $H_{p^r}^n(k)$ as a quotient of $W_r\Omega_k^{n-1}$. For any finite field extension K/k , the trace map $\mathrm{Tr}_{K/k} : W_r\Omega_K^\bullet \rightarrow W_r\Omega_k^\bullet$ is a map of differential graded modules commutes with V and F (Theorem 3.1). The trace map $\mathrm{Tr}_{K/k} : W_r\Omega_K^{n-1} \rightarrow W_r\Omega_k^{n-1}$ induces a map $H_{p^r}^n(K) \rightarrow H_{p^r}^n(k)$ which we also write $\mathrm{Tr}_{K/k}$.

Proposition 5.4. *There is a surjective homomorphism $t_{k,p^r}^n : M_{p^r}^n(k) \rightarrow H_{p^r}^n(k)$ which is given by*

$$(5.3) \quad \{ \mathbf{a}, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \}_{K/k} \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}_{K/k}([\mathbf{a}, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}]_K).$$

Proof. We define $t_{k,p^r}^n : (W_r \otimes \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes(n-1)})(k) \rightarrow H_{p^r}^n(k)$ by the corresponding given in (5.3). To show that t_{k,p^r}^n is well-defined, take finite field extensions $k \subset K \subset L$.

Case (a): For $\beta_{i_0} \in \mathbb{G}_m(L)$, $b_i \in \mathbb{G}_m(K)$ with $i \neq i_0$, and $\mathbf{a} \in W_r(K)$, the relation (PF) implies the equality:

$$\{ \mathbf{a}, b_1, \dots, N_{L/K}(\beta_{i_0}), \dots, b_{n-1} \}_{K/k} = \{ \mathbf{a}, b_1, \dots, \beta_{i_0}, \dots, b_{n-1} \}_{L/k}.$$

Here, we omit the restriction maps $\mathrm{res}_{L/K}$ in the right. By the transitivity of the trace map $\mathrm{Tr}_{L/k} = \mathrm{Tr}_{K/k} \circ \mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}$ (Theorem 3.1 (c)), it is sufficient to show the equality

$$(5.4) \quad [\mathbf{a}, b_1, \dots, N_{L/K}(\beta_{i_0}), \dots, b_{n-1}]_K = \mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}([\mathbf{a}, b_1, \dots, \beta_{i_0}, \dots, b_{n-1}]_L).$$

The $W_r\Omega_K^{n-1}$ -linearity of the trace map $\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}$, and Lemma 3.2 imply the equality (5.4).

Case (b): For $\alpha \in W_r(L)$ and $b_i \in \mathbb{G}_m(K)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, the relation (PF) implies the equality:

$$\{ \mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha), b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \}_{K/k} = \{ \alpha, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \}_{L/k}.$$

By the $W_r\Omega_K^{n-1}$ -linearity of the trace map $\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}$, we have

$$[\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha), b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}]_K = \mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}([\alpha, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}]_L).$$

Hence, t_{k,p^r}^n is well-defined.

By Theorem 5.3, the map t_{k,p^r}^n is surjective and factors through the quotient $M_{p^r}^n(k)$. The induced homomorphism $M_{p^r}^n(k) \rightarrow H_{p^r}^n(k)$ is the required one. \square

Let $\mathrm{Symb}_p(k)$ be the subgroup of $M_p^n(k) = (\mathbb{G}_a \otimes \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes(n-1)})(k)/\wp$ generated by the symbols of the form $\{ a, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \}_{k/k}$. First we show that the map $t_{k,p}^n$ is bijective on the subgroup $\mathrm{Symb}_p(k)$.

Lemma 5.5. *The map $t_{k,p}^n : M_p^n(k) \rightarrow H_p^n(k)$ induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{Symb}_p(k) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_p^n(k)$.*

Proof. By using Theorem 5.3, we define a map $\varphi_k : H_p^n(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Symb}_p(k)$ by $\varphi_k([a, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}]_k) := \{ a, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \}_{k/k}$. To show that φ is well-defined, it is left to prove $\{ a, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \}_{k/k} = 0$ if $b_i = b_j$ for some $i \neq j$, and $\{ a, a, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1} \}_{k/k} = 0$ in $M_p^n(k)$ for some $a \neq 0$. For the first equality, put $b := b_i = b_j$. We may assume $b \notin (k^\times)^p$. Consider the purely

inseparable extension $K := k(\sqrt[p]{b})$ of k . Since we have $N_{K/k}(\sqrt[p]{b}) = (\sqrt[p]{b})^p = b$, the projection formula **(PF)** gives

$$\begin{aligned} \{a, b_1, \dots, b_i, \dots, b_j, \dots, b_{n-1}\}_{k/k} &= \{a, b_1, \dots, N_{K/k}(\sqrt[p]{b}), \dots, b_j, \dots, b_{n-1}\}_{k/k} \\ &= \{a, b_1, \dots, \sqrt[p]{b}, \dots, b_j, \dots, b_{n-1}\}_{K/k}. \end{aligned}$$

By $b_j = (\sqrt[p]{b})^p$ in K , we obtain $\{a, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}\}_{k/k} = 0$ in $M_p^n(k)$.

To show the equality $\{a, a, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1}\}_{k/k} = 0$. Consider the polynomial $f(T) = T^p - T - a$ in $k[T]$ and take a root $\alpha \in k^{\text{sep}}$ of $f(T)$. In the case $\alpha \in k$, we derive $\wp(\alpha) = \alpha^p - \alpha = a$, and hence $\{a, a, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1}\}_{k/k} = 0$ in $M_p^n(k)$. When $\alpha \notin k$, the polynomial $f(T)$ is irreducible. In fact, the remaining roots of $f(T)$ can be expressed as $\alpha + i$ for $i \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$. If $g(T)$ is an irreducible factor of degree $r \leq p$, then the coefficient of T^{r-1} in $g(T)$ is $-\sum_{j=1}^r (\alpha + i_j)$ for some $i_1, \dots, i_r \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Since this coefficient belongs to k , we conclude $r = p$ implying $f(T) = g(T)$. Now, let us put $K = k(\alpha)$. Then,

$$-a = f(0) = (-1)^p N_{K/k}(\alpha).$$

This yields $a = N_{K/k}(\alpha)$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{a, a, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1}\}_{k/k} &= \{a, N_{K/k}(\alpha), b_2, \dots, b_{n-1}\}_{k/k} \\ &= \{a, \alpha, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1}\}_{K/k} \quad (\text{by (PF)}) \\ &= \{\wp(\alpha), \alpha, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1}\}_{K/k} \quad (\text{by } \alpha^p - \alpha - a = f(\alpha) = 0) \\ &= 0 \quad \text{in } M_p^n(k). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, we have $\varphi_k \circ t_{k,p}^n = \text{id}$ on $\text{Symb}_p(k)$. The map $t_{k,p}^n$ induces a bijection $\text{Symb}_p(k) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_p^n(k)$. \square

Proposition 5.6. *Let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose that every finite extension of k is of degree p^r for some $r \geq 0$. Then, for $n \geq 2$ and for an extension K/k of degree p , the quotient group $\Omega_K^{n-1}/d\Omega_K^{n-2}$ is generated by differentials of the forms*

$$\begin{aligned} &\xi \, d \log y_1 \cdots d \log y_{n-1}, \quad \text{where } \xi \in K \text{ and } y_1, \dots, y_{n-1} \in k^\times, \text{ and} \\ &x \, d \log \eta \, d \log y_2 \cdots d \log y_{n-1}, \quad \text{where } x \in k, \eta \in K^\times \text{ and } y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in k^\times. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Take any $\omega = \alpha \, d \log \beta_1 \cdots d \log \beta_{n-1}$ in Ω_K^{n-1} for some $\alpha \in K, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1} \in K^\times$ with $\alpha \neq 0$. The differential form $\alpha^{-1}\omega = d \log \beta_1 \cdots d \log \beta_{n-1}$ is in the image of the differential symbol map **(3.6)**

$$d \log: K_{n-1}^M(K) \rightarrow \Omega_K^{n-1}; \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}\}_K \mapsto d \log \xi_1 \cdots d \log \xi_{n-1}.$$

By **Proposition 4.4**, the given ω is a sum of differentials of the form $\alpha \, d \log \beta \, d \log y_2 \cdots d \log y_{n-1}$ for some $\beta \in K^\times$ and $y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in k^\times$. Therefore, the assertion is reduced to the case $n = 2$. Namely, it is enough to show that any non-trivial differential form $\omega = \alpha \, d \log \beta \in \Omega_K^1/dK$ with $\alpha \in K, \beta \in K^\times$ is a finite sum of the differentials of the forms

$$(5.5) \quad \xi \, d \log y \quad (\xi \in K, y \in k^\times) \quad \text{and} \quad x \, d \log \eta \quad (x \in k^\times, \eta \in K^\times).$$

Write $K = k(\theta) = k[T]/(\pi(T))$ using an irreducible monic polynomial $\pi(T) \in k[T]$ of degree p . If β is in k^\times , there is nothing to show. We assume $\beta \notin k^\times$. The element $\beta \in K^\times$ is the image of a polynomial $B(T) \in k[T]$ of degree $< p$ by the evaluation map $k[T] \twoheadrightarrow k(\theta) = k[T]/(\pi(T))$. Consider the irreducible decomposition $B(T) = y \prod_i B_i(T)$ for some $y \in k^\times$ and irreducible monic polynomials $B_i(T) \in k[T]$. From the assumption on k , the polynomial $B_i(T)$ which has degree $< p$ should be of the form $B_i(T) = T - b_i$

for some $b_i \in k$. Therefore, we have $\beta = y \prod_i (\theta - b_i)$. Write $\alpha = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j \theta^j$ with $a_j \in k$. The differential form ω is further decomposed as a sum of differentials of the forms

$$\alpha \operatorname{dlog} y, \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_j := a \theta^j \operatorname{dlog}(\theta - b)$$

with $a, b \in k$. The former differential is of the required form (5.5). For the later ω_j , if $j = 0$ or $a = 0$, the assertion holds. For $0 < j < p$ with $a \neq 0$, put $\tau := \theta - b$. Expanding $\theta^j = (\tau + b)^j$, the differential form ω_j is a sum of $\omega' := y \tau^i \operatorname{dlog} \tau$ for some $y \in k^\times$ and $0 \leq i < p$. The assertion follows in the case $i = 0$. For $i > 0$, we have $i \omega' = y \tau^i \operatorname{dlog}(\tau^i) = -y \tau^i \operatorname{dlog} y$ modulo dK , because $0 = d(y \tau^i) = y \tau^i \operatorname{dlog}(y \tau^i) = y \tau^i \operatorname{dlog} y + y \tau^i \operatorname{dlog}(\tau^i)$ in Ω_K^1/dK . For this reason, any differential $\omega = \alpha \operatorname{dlog} \beta$ modulo dK is written by the differentials of the forms given in (5.5). \square

Theorem 5.7. *For any $n \geq 1$, the map $t_{k,p}^n : M_p^n(k) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_p^n(k)$ is bijective.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.5, it is enough to show that the map $t_k = t_{k,p}^n : M_p^n(k) \rightarrow H_p^n(k)$ is injective or $\varphi_k : H_p^n(k) \rightarrow M_p^n(k)$ which is given by $\varphi_k([a, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}]_k) = \{a, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}\}_{k/k}$ is surjective. First, let $k^{(p)}/k$ be the field extension corresponding to the p -Sylow subgroup of $\operatorname{Gal}(k^{\operatorname{sep}}/k)$. The following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_p^n(k^{(p)}) & \xrightarrow{t_{k^{(p)}}} & H_p^n(k^{(p)}) \\ \operatorname{res}_{k^{(p)}/k} \uparrow & & \uparrow \operatorname{res}_{k^{(p)}/k} \\ M_p^n(k) & \xrightarrow{t_k} & H_p^n(k). \end{array}$$

Recall that $H_p^n(k)$ is a quotient of Ω_k^{n-1} . Since the correspondence $\Omega_-^{n-1} : K \mapsto \Omega_K^{n-1}$ forms a Mackey functor, the commutativity of the above diagram comes from the definition of the restriction map of the Mackey product $\mathbb{G}_a^M \otimes \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes(n-1)}$. Furthermore, for any subextension $k \subset K \subset k^{(p)}$ with $[K : k] < \infty$, the extension degree $[K : k]$ is prime to p . Therefore, $\operatorname{tr}_{K/k} \circ \operatorname{res}_{K/k} = [K : k]$ implies that $\operatorname{res}_{K/k} : M_p^n(k) \rightarrow M_p^n(K)$ is injective. By $M_p^n(k^{(p)}) \simeq \varinjlim_K M_p^n(K)$ (for the proof, see [Akh00, Lem. 3.6.4]), the left vertical map $\operatorname{res}_{k^{(p)}/k}$ in the above diagram is injective. To show that t_k is injective, take any $\xi \in M_p^n(k)$ with $t_k(\xi) = 0$. If we assume that $t_{k^{(p)}}$ is bijective, we have $\operatorname{res}_{k^{(p)}/k}(\xi) = 0$ in $M_p^n(k)$ and hence $\xi = 0$. Thus, we may assume $k = k^{(p)}$. In particular, every finite extension of k is of degree p^r for some $r \geq 0$.

We show that the map φ_k is surjective by proving the equality $M_p^n(k) = \operatorname{Symb}_p(k)$. Take any non-zero symbol $\{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}\}_{K/k}$ in $M_p^n(k)$ with $[K : k] = p^r$. There exists a tower of fields $k = k_0 \subset k_1 \subset k_2 \subset \dots \subset k_r = K$ such that $[k_i : k_{i-1}] = p$. By induction on r , we may assume $[K : k] = p$. By Proposition 5.6, the symbol $[\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}]_K = \alpha \operatorname{dlog} \beta_1 \cdots \operatorname{dlog} \beta_{n-1}$ is written as a sum of elements of the forms

$$\begin{aligned} & [\xi, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}]_K \quad \text{for some } \xi \in K, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1} \in k^\times, \text{ and} \\ & [x, \eta, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}]_K \quad \text{for some } x \in k, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in k^\times, \eta \in K^\times. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 5.5, the symbol $\{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}\}_{K/K}$ is a sum of symbols of the forms $\{\xi, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}\}_{K/K}$ and $\{x, \eta, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}\}_{K/K}$. Hence,

$$\{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}\}_{K/k} = \operatorname{tr}_{K/k}(\{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}\}_{K/K})$$

is a sum of symbols of the form $\{\xi, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}\}_{K/k}$ and $\{x, \eta, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}\}_{K/k}$. By the projection formula **(PF)**, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\xi, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}\}_{K/k} &= \{\mathrm{Tr}_{K/k}(\xi), y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}\}_{k/k}, \\ \{x, \eta, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}\}_{K/k} &= \{x, N_{K/k}(\eta), y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}\}_{k/k}. \end{aligned}$$

Because of this, $\{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}\}_{K/k}$ is in $\mathrm{Symb}_p(k)$ and the map φ_k is surjective. \square

Theorem 5.8. *Let k be an arbitrary field of characteristic $p > 0$. For $r \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$, the map $t_{k,p^r}^n: M_{p^r}^n(k) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{p^r}^n(k)$ is bijective.*

Proof. We show the assertion by induction on r . The case $r = 1$ follows from **Theorem 5.7**. For $r \geq 1$, we assume that t_{k,p^r}^n is bijective. The short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow W_r \rightarrow W_{r+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow 0$ induces a commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (W_r \otimes^M \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes(n-1)})(k) & \longrightarrow & (W_{r+1} \otimes^M \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes(n-1)})(k) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{G}_a \otimes^M \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes(n-1)})(k) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi & & \\ (W_r \otimes^M \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes(n-1)})(k) & \longrightarrow & (W_{r+1} \otimes^M \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes(n-1)})(k) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{G}_a \otimes^M \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes(n-1)})(k) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

The diagram above induces the following commutative diagram:

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & M_{p^r}^n(k) & \longrightarrow & M_{p^{r+1}}^n(k) & \longrightarrow & M_p^n(k) \\ & & \simeq \downarrow t_{p^r}^n & & \downarrow t_{p^{r+1}}^n & & \simeq \downarrow t_p^n \\ H^{n-1}(k, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H_{p^r}^n(k) & \longrightarrow & H_{p^{r+1}}^n(k) & \longrightarrow & H_p^n(k). \end{array}$$

Here, the bottom sequence is a part of the long exact sequence induced from the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W_r \Omega_{k^{\mathrm{sep}}, \log}^{n-1} \rightarrow W_{r+1} \Omega_{k^{\mathrm{sep}}, \log}^{n-1} \rightarrow \Omega_{k^{\mathrm{sep}}, \log}^{n-1} \rightarrow 0$$

([Ill79, Chap. I, Thm. 5.7.2], [Shi07, Prop. 2.12]). By the inductive hypothesis, the vertical maps t_{k,p^r}^n and $t_{k,p}^n$ are bijective. The lower horizontal sequence in the above diagram (5.6) continues further to the left as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{n-1}^M(k)/p^{r+1} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{mod } p} & K_{n-1}^M(k)/p \\ \simeq \downarrow s_{k,p^{r+1}}^{n-1} & & \simeq \downarrow s_{k,p}^{n-1} \\ H^{n-1}(k, \mathbb{Z}/p^{r+1}(n-1)) & \longrightarrow & H^{n-1}(k, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \xrightarrow{\delta} H_{p^r}^n(k). \end{array}$$

By **Theorem 1.2**, the vertical maps in the above diagram are bijective and hence δ is the 0 map. Thus, $t_{k,p^{r+1}}^n$ is injective by the diagram chase in (5.6). \square

For a truncation set S , we have a homomorphism $\varphi = \mathbb{W}_S(\phi) - \mathrm{id}: \mathbb{W}_S(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_S(K)$ and this induces a map $\varphi: \mathbb{W}_S \otimes^M \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes(n-1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_S \otimes^M \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes(n-1)}$ of Mackey functors as in (5.1). We denote by $M_S^n := (\mathbb{W}_S \otimes^M \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes(n-1)})/\varphi$ the cokernel of φ . When S is finite, we have $\mathbb{W}_S(K) \simeq \prod_{(m,p)=1} W_{r_m}(K)$ for any field extension K/k as in (3.4). This gives

the decomposition $\mathbb{W}_S = \bigoplus_{(m,p)=1} W_{r_m}$ as Mackey functors. Since the Mackey product commutes with the direct sum, we have

$$M_S^n(k) \simeq \prod_{(m,p)=1} M_{p^{r_m}}^n(k).$$

According to (5.2), we define

$$H_S^n(k) := \text{Coker} \left(\mathbb{W}_S \Omega_k^{n-1} \xrightarrow{(3.4)} \prod_{(m,p)=1} W_{r_m} \Omega_k^n \xrightarrow{\Pi \varphi} \prod_{(m,p)=1} W_{r_m} \Omega_k^{n-1} / dV^{r_m-1} \Omega_k^{n-2} \right).$$

Theorem 5.8 extends to any finite truncation set as follows:

Corollary 5.9. *Let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$. For any finite truncation set S and $n \geq 1$, we have an isomorphism $M_S^n(k) \simeq H_S^n(k)$.*

Corollary 5.10. *Let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$. For a truncation set S and $n \geq 1$, we have an isomorphism*

$$M_S^n(k) \simeq \varprojlim_{S_0 \subset S} H_{S_0}^n(k),$$

where S_0 runs the set of all finite truncation set contained in S .

Proof. By the definition, we have $\mathbb{W}_S(K) = \varprojlim_{S_0} \mathbb{W}_{S_0}(K)$. Since the restriction map $R_{S_0}^{S_0}: \mathbb{W}_{S_0}(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_{S_0'}(K)$ is surjective, for any finite truncation sets $S_0' \subset S_0$, the Mittag-Leffler condition holds. We obtain $(\mathbb{W}_S \otimes^M \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes(n-1)})(k)/\varphi \simeq \varprojlim_{S_0} (\mathbb{W}_{S_0} \otimes^M \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes(n-1)})(k)/\varphi$. The assertion follows from **Corollary 5.9**. \square

Corollary 5.11. *Let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$. For any finite truncation set S and $n \geq 1$, we have an isomorphism*

$$(\mathbb{W}_S \otimes^M K_{n-1}^M)(k)/\varphi \simeq H_S^n(k),$$

where $\varphi: (\mathbb{W}_S \otimes^M K_{n-1}^M)(k) \rightarrow (\mathbb{W}_S \otimes^M K_{n-1}^M)(k)$ is given by $\varphi(\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}_{K/k}) = \{\varphi(\mathbf{a}), \mathbf{b}\}_{K/k}$.

Proof. By the decomposition $\mathbb{W}_S = \bigoplus_{(m,p)=1} W_{r_m}$ as Mackey functors, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{W}_S \otimes^M K_{n-1}^M)(k)/\varphi &\simeq \bigoplus_{(p,m)=1} (W_{r_m} \otimes^M K_{n-1}^M)(k)/\varphi \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{(m,p)=1} (W_{r_m}/\varphi \otimes^M K_{n-1}^M/p^{r_m})(k) \quad (\text{by Lemma 5.1}) \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{(m,p)=1} (W_{r_m}/\varphi \otimes^M \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes(n-1)}/p^{r_m})(k) \quad (\text{by Theorem 5.8}) \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{(m,p)=1} (W_{r_m} \otimes^M \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes(n-1)})(k)/\varphi \quad (\text{by Lemma 5.1}) \\ &= M_S^n(k). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 5.9 gives the assertion. \square

REFERENCES

- [Akh00] R. Akhtar, *Milnor K -theory and zero-cycles on algebraic varieties*, thesis (2000).
- [BK86] S. Bloch and K. Kato, *p -adic étale cohomology*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1986), no. 63, 107–152.
- [BT73] H. Bass and J. Tate, *The Milnor ring of a global field*, Algebraic K -theory, II: “Classical” algebraic K -theory and connections with arithmetic (Proc. Conf., Seattle, Wash., Battelle Memorial Inst., 1972), Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 349–446. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 342.
- [Hir14] T. Hiranouchi, *An additive variant of Somekawa’s K -groups and Kähler differentials*, J. K -Theory **13** (2014), no. 3, 481–516.
- [Ill79] L. Illusie, *Complexe de de Rham-Witt et cohomologie cristalline*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **12** (1979), no. 4, 501–661.
- [IR17] F. Ivorra and K. Rülling, *K -groups of reciprocity functors*, J. Algebraic Geom. **26** (2017), no. 2, 199–278.
- [Izh00] O. Izhboldin, *p -primary part of the Milnor K -groups and Galois cohomologies of fields of characteristic p* , Invitation to higher local fields (Münster, 1999), Geom. Topol. Monogr., vol. 3, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2000, With an appendix by Masato Kurihara and Ivan Fesenko, 19–41.
- [Kah92] B. Kahn, *The decomposable part of motivic cohomology and bijectivity of the norm residue homomorphism*, Algebraic K -theory, commutative algebra, and algebraic geometry (Santa Margherita Ligure, 1989), Contemp. Math., vol. 126, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992, 79–87.
- [Kah97] ———, *La conjecture de Milnor (d’après V. Voevodsky)*, Astérisque (1997), no. 245, Exp. No. 834, 5, 379–418, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1996/97.
- [Kat80] K. Kato, *A generalization of local class field theory by using K -groups. II*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **27** (1980), no. 3, 603–683.
- [Kat82] ———, *Galois cohomology of complete discrete valuation fields*, Algebraic K -theory, Part II (Oberwolfach, 1980), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 967, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1982, pp. 215–238.
- [Kat86] ———, *A Hasse principle for two-dimensional global fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **366** (1986), 142–183, With an appendix by Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène.
- [KP21] A. Krishna and J. Park, *De Rham–Witt sheaves via algebraic cycles*, Compos. Math. **157** (2021), no. 10, 2089–2132, With an appendix by Kay Rülling.
- [KY13] B. Kahn and T. Yamazaki, *Voevodsky’s motives and Weil reciprocity*, Duke Math. J. **162** (2013), no. 14, 2751–2796.
- [RS00] W. Raskind and M. Spiess, *Milnor K -groups and zero-cycles on products of curves over p -adic fields*, Compositio Math. **121** (2000), 1–33.
- [RSY22] K. Rülling, R. Sugiyama, and T. Yamazaki, *Tensor structures in the theory of modulus presheaves with transfers*, Math. Z. **300** (2022), no. 1, 929–977.
- [Rül07] K. Rülling, *The generalized de Rham–Witt complex over a field is a complex of zero-cycles*, J. Algebraic Geom. **16** (2007), no. 1, 109–169.
- [Sai10] S. Saito, *Recent progress on the Kato conjecture*, Quadratic forms, linear algebraic groups, and cohomology, Dev. Math., vol. 18, Springer, New York, 2010, 109–124.
- [Shi07] A. Shiho, *On logarithmic Hodge–Witt cohomology of regular schemes*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **14** (2007), no. 4, 567–635.
- [Som90] M. Somekawa, *On Milnor K -groups attached to semi-abelian varieties*, K -Theory **4** (1990), no. 2, 105–119.
- [Sus99] A. Suslin, *Voevodsky’s proof of the Milnor conjecture*, Current developments in mathematics, 1997 (Cambridge, MA), Int. Press, Boston, MA, 1999, 173–188.
- [Voe11] V. Voevodsky, *On motivic cohomology with \mathbf{Z}/l -coefficients*, Ann. of Math. (2) **174** (2011), no. 1, 401–438.
- [Wei09] C. Weibel, *The norm residue isomorphism theorem*, J. Topol. **2** (2009), 346–372.

(T. Hiranouchi) DEPARTMENT OF BASIC SCIENCES, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING, KYUSHU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 1-1 SENSUI-CHO, TOBATA-KU, KITAKYUSHU-SHI, FUKUOKA 804-8550 JAPAN

Email address: hira@mns.kyutech.ac.jp