

2-Morita Equivalent Condensable Algebras in Topological Orders

Rongge Xu ^{*a,b}, Holiverse Yang ^{†c}

^aFudan University, Shanghai, 200433, China

^bSchool of Science, Westlake University, Hangzhou, 310024, China

^cDepartment of Physics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong, China

Abstract

We classify E_2 condensable algebras in a modular tensor category \mathcal{C} up to 2-Morita equivalent. From physical perspective, it is equivalent to say we give the criterion when different E_2 condensable algebras result in a same condensed topological phase in a 2d anyon condensation process. By taking left and right centers of E_1 condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} , we can exhaust all 2-Morita equivalent E_2 condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} , and we give a method to recover E_1 condensable algebras from 2-Morita equivalent E_2 condensable algebras. We also prove that intersecting lagrangian algebras in $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}$ with its left and right components generates all 2-Morita equivalent E_2 condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} . This paper gives a complete interplay between E_1 condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} , 2-Morita equivalent E_2 condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} , and lagrangian algebras in $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}$.

The relations between different condensable algebras can be translated to their module categories, which corresponds to the domain walls in topological orders. We introduce a two-step condensation process and study the fusion of domain walls. We also find an automorphism of an E_2 condensable algebra may lead to a non-trivial braided autoequivalence in the condensed phase. As concrete examples, we interpret the categories of quantum doubles of finite groups. We develop a lattice model depiction of E_1 condensable algebras, in which the role played by the left and right centers can be realized on a lattice model. Examples beyond group symmetries are also been discussed.

The classification of condensable algebras and domain walls motive us to introduce some promising concepts such as categorical quantum entanglement. Moreover, our results can be generalized to Witt equivalent modular tensor categories.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries	6
2.1	Anyon condensations and 2-Morita equivalence	6
2.2	Centers and 1d condensable algebras	10
3	Proof of Main Results	13
3.1	Domain walls in two step condensations	13
3.2	Classification of 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras	16
3.2.1	Lagrangian algebras	16
3.2.2	Left, right and full centers	17
3.3	Symmetries induced by algebra automorphisms	18

*Email: xurongge@westlake.edu.cn

†Email: holiversey@gmail.com

4	Examples	22
4.1	Group gauge symmetries $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$	22
4.1.1	Toric code model $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2))$	23
4.1.2	$\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$ and abelian cases	30
4.1.3	$\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$ and non-abelian cases	34
4.2	Fusion category symmetries	41
5	Generalizations and Outlooks	43
5.1	Witt equivalent MTCs	44
5.1.1	Algorithm of 1d condensable algebras	45
5.2	Categorical quantum entanglement	46
5.3	Others	47
A	Condensable Algebras in MTCs	48
A.1	Basic definition and results	48
A.2	Condensable algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$	50
B	Morita Theory and Centers	52
B.1	Module categories	52
B.2	Centers for algebras	53
B.3	(Higher) Morita equivalence	53
	References	57

1 Introduction

Classical Morita theory provides a powerful tool in many aspects of mathematics especially in representation theory. Recent years, people find it also important in studying many body physics, many significant results including boundary-bulk relation, anyon condensation theory have been developed in the study of topological orders using categorical Morita theory [Kon13, KWZ15]. However, these are indeed 1-Morita theory, which is only applicable in simple systems associated with E_1 algebras. The study of higher Morita theory for E_n -algebras in higher dimensional physics and mathematics is still lacking. With the rapid development of topological orders and categorical tools in physics, a well studied higher Morita theory is becoming more and more in demand when we encounter higher dimensions [Hau17, KZZZ24]. As front-runners, we classify 2-Morita equivalent class of E_2 condensable (connected commutative separable) algebras in $2d^1$ topological orders.

From physical perspective, it has been well-known that a 2d topological order can be described by a (unitary)² modular tensor category (MTC), usually denoted by \mathcal{C} . And an anyon condensation process, which is a selecting of energy-favorable subspaces of the original Hilbert space, may happen in \mathcal{C} . The E_2 (or 2d) condensable algebra³ A in \mathcal{C} is viewed as the new vacuum in the energy-favorable subspace. This new subspace is also a topological order, which can be described by a MTC \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} , the category of local A -modules in \mathcal{C} [Kon14]. We will explain more details in preliminary.

However, characterization of when two 2d condensable algebras, say A_1 and A_2 in \mathcal{C} , produce the same condensed phase $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{loc}$, remains incomplete. And this is indeed the same question of classifying the 2-Morita equivalent E_2 condensable algebras in a MTC, namely, $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{loc} \Leftrightarrow A_1 \overset{2\text{-Morita}}{\sim} A_2$.

On the other hand, classifications of E_1 condensable (indecomposable separable) algebra have been developed using algebra centers. Two algebras A, B in a monoidal category \mathcal{C} are said to be 1-Morita

¹We use nd to represent n spatial dimension and $(n+1)D$ to represent $n+1$ spacetime dimension.

²We do not consider the unitary structure in this paper.

³Through this paper, we use the terminology " E_2 (E_1) condensable algebra" in mathematical context and use the terminology "2d (1d) condensable algebra" in physical context.

equivalent if their categories of (right) modules are equivalent as module categories over \mathcal{C} . It is known that by computing full centers of E_1 condensable algebras, we can classify E_1 condensable algebras up to 1-Morita equivalence [KR08].

Motivated by algebraic centers appearing in any condensation theory, we study E_2 condensable algebras in a MTC \mathcal{C} from the perspective of higher Morita theory. According to [FFRS06], given an E_1 condensable algebra B in \mathcal{C} , there is an equivalence $\mathcal{C}_{Z_l(B)}^{loc} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{Z_r(B)}^{loc}$ of MTCs, where $Z_l(B)$ is the left center of B and $Z_r(B)$ is the right center of B . Their theorem provides a method to generate some E_2 -Morita equivalent condensable algebras. In this paper, we further prove that any pair of E_2 -Morita equivalent condensable algebras (A_1, A_2) in $\text{Alg}_{E_2}^{cond}(\mathcal{C})$, there exists an E_1 condensable algebra B such that $A_1 \simeq Z_l(B) \xrightarrow{2\text{-Morita}} Z_r(B) \simeq A_2$. In this way we can classify all 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras $\{A_i\} \in \mathcal{C}$ that result in the same topological phases after any condensation.

To be more specific, if we restrict the 2d topological order described by \mathcal{C} to a 1d sub-region, which is actually a trivial 1d domain wall also described by \mathcal{C} (viewing as a fusion category⁴). The bimodule of 1d condensable algebras $\{B_i\}$ in fusion category \mathcal{C} describes all gapped domain walls ${}_{B_i}\mathcal{C}_{B_i}$ within MTC \mathcal{C} [Kon14], see the following figure.




Figure 1: Gapped domain walls within a topological order \mathcal{C} can be classified by the category of bimodules ${}_{B_i}\mathcal{C}_{B_i}$ of 1d condensable algebras $\{B_i\}$ in the trivial domain wall \mathcal{C} (drawn in the dashed line). In particular, ${}_{B_1}\mathcal{C}_{B_1} \simeq \mathcal{C}$ for B_1 being the tensor unit $\mathbf{1}$ of the fusion category \mathcal{C} .

On the other hand, consider condensing two 2-Morita equivalent E_2 condensable algebras $A_1 \xrightarrow{2\text{-Morita}} A_2 \in \mathcal{C}$. Then the condensed phases $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{loc}$ are equivalent as MTCs, and two 1d domain walls \mathcal{C}_{A_1} and \mathcal{C}_{A_2} are generated through the condensation process, see figure 2 (a). Now we fuse \mathcal{C}_{A_1} and \mathcal{C}_{A_2} through $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}$ without twist (i.e. without considering the automorphism in $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}$), we obtain $\mathcal{C}_{A_1} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}} \mathcal{C}_{A_2}$ (fig. 2 (b)). Since topological order is a theory at fixed point, after rescaling the system up to a proper correlation length, $\mathcal{C}_{A_1} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}} \mathcal{C}_{A_2}$ can actually be viewed as a 1d domain wall between \mathcal{C} and itself. Therefore, $\mathcal{C}_{A_1} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}} \mathcal{C}_{A_2}$ is monoidal equivalent to ${}_{B_i}\mathcal{C}_{B_i}$ for some 1d condensable algebra B_i in \mathcal{C} . This process is also called dimensional reduction [KWZ15, AKZ17].

Motivated by the fusion process from figure 2 (a) to figure 2 (b), we can find out a specific 1d condensable algebra B such that $Z_l(B) \cong A_1$ and $Z_r(B) \cong A_2$. And this procedure exhausts all 2-Morita equivalent classes in \mathcal{C} . A detailed proof is given in section 3.

Remark 1.1. Left and right centers are actually dual concepts. Left center $Z_l(B) \in \mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to the right center $Z_r(B) \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}$ by regarding the fusion category \mathcal{C} as a monoidal right \mathcal{C} -module. In the folded case (fig.2 (c)), the usual left/right center for B coincides with the full center $Z(B)$ that results in a lagrangian algebra in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$. See definition 2.11.

Moreover, we can fold \mathcal{C} through a gapped domain wall ${}_{B}\mathcal{C}_B$ (Arrow from fig. 2 (b) to fig. 2 (c)). After folding, we get a new topological order described by the Drinfeld center of $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}$ with ${}_{B}\mathcal{C}_B$ becomes the gapped boundary. It has been known that the gapped boundaries of $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ are classified

⁴The fusion categories appearing in this paper are assumed to have spherical structures.

by lagrangian algebras (a specific 2d condensable A^L in which $\mathcal{C}_{A^L}^{loc} \simeq \text{Vec}$) in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ [DMNO13, Kon14]. By this folding trick, gapped domain walls in \mathcal{C} are one-to-one corresponding to gapped boundaries of $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$. So there is also a bijection between the set of 1-Morita classes of 1d condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} and the set of isomorphic classes of lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ [KR08]. Indeed, taking the full center $Z(B)$ of a 1d condensable algebra B will produce a lagrangian algebra in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ [KR09]. As a consequence, the 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} can also be classified by lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$.




Figure 2: The fusion process from (a) to (b) shows that for a gapped domain wall ${}_B\mathcal{C}_B \simeq \mathcal{C}_{A_1} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}} \mathcal{C}_{A_2}$ in \mathcal{C} , we have equivalent local modules $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{loc}$ 'hidden inside' this wall ${}_B\mathcal{C}_B$. This process gives an intuitive way to understand why B can recover 2-Morita equivalent 2d condensable algebras A_1 and A_2 in \mathcal{C} . Moreover, using folding trick from (b) to (c), the correspondence between 1d condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} and lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ can be characterized by the correspondence between domain walls in \mathcal{C} and gapped boundaries of $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$.

The arrow from figure 2 (a) to figure 2 (c) is not obvious. By a method called the *2-step condensation*, we prove that the domain walls \mathcal{C}_{A_1} and \mathcal{C}_{A_2} together produce a boundary of $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ (see section 3 for details). Indeed, these three arrows are all invertible. Namely, we can unfold a gapped boundary to be a gapped domain wall (2 (c) to 2 (b)), and any gapped domain wall ${}_B\mathcal{C}_B$ can be opened to contain an interlayer MTC (2 (b) to 2 (a)). We illustrate all of them in our mainbody, and eventually get figure 15.

Above physical pictures depict relations between module categories of different algebras in topological orders. The correspondence between these modules can reflect the correspondence in algebraic levels, which lead us to prove classification theorems of 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras:

Theorem 1.1. *Given a modular tensor category \mathcal{C} with tensor unit $\mathbf{1}$ and consider all indecomposable separable algebras $\{B_i\}$ in \mathcal{C} , $L_i \simeq Z(B_i)$, $Z_l(B_i)$ and $Z_r(B_i)$ represent the full, left, right centers respectively,*

- *the pairs of 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} are classified by left and right centers of B_i , in which the resulted category of local modules $\mathcal{C}_{Z_r(B)}^{loc}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{Z_l(B)}^{loc}$ are equivalent as modular tensor categories.*
- *Or, the pairs of 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} are classified by lagrangian algebras L_i 's in $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}$, precisely speaking, $L_i \cap (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) =: A_{l_i} \overset{2\text{-Morita}}{\sim} A_{r_i} := L_i \cap (\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}})$.*

By proving the above theorem, we show the power of algebraic centers in classifying condensed phases in a MTC, in which the physical correspondence of left/right/full centers in MTC appears simultaneously. In addition to this proof, our paper indeed give more fruitful results — A complete cycle of above condensable algebras! In which we summarize their relations in the following Trinity:




Figure 3: Results of this paper can be summarized by this Trinity, all arrows appear here are reversible. $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 1}}$ was first discussed in [DNO12], an alternative proof using 2-step condensation is provided in section 3.2.1; $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 2}}$ was first stated by Davydov [Dav10b, Theorem 2.5.1] and we prove it using results in [DNO12]; $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 3}}$ is proved in [FFRS06]; $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 4}}$ is proved by Kong and Runkel in [KR09]; $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 5}}$ has long been a folklore without enough discussions, we reformulate this ‘forget’ process in section 2.2; $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 6}}$ is first discussed in this work.

Figure 2 corresponds to the inner commutative part of this Trinity. In order to get the whole cycle works, an important ingredient one should consider is symmetry (braided autoequivalence) appearing in the condensed phase \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} , we discuss this in section 2 and section 3.3. With this ubiquitous symmetry revealing, we can give a complete relationship between lagrangian algebras in \mathcal{C} ’s Drinfeld center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$, 1-Morita class of 1d condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} and 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} , the interplay of these three also motivate us to introduce a new concept called *extended tensor*, that helps us to recover 1d 1-Morita equivalent condensable algebras explicitly through the 2-Morita equivalent 2d condensable algebras. These results can be packed into six Arrows drawn above. We use all these six Arrows frequently through out our paper to show the universality of this Trinity.

Our analysis is universal and model-independent, which can be applied to many physical systems. We compute some examples in section 4, some of them are the case of group-theoretical categories $\mathcal{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$ including \mathbb{Z}_2 , \mathbb{Z}_4 and S_3 gauge symmetries. Our method meet with the known classification result of condensed phases in $\mathcal{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$ [Dav10b, DS17]. We also develop a method to realize 1d condensation on toric code model, and an interpretation of left/right center on lattice, which can be generalized easily.

Actually, Figure 2 can be transported to a more general environment, in which \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 are two different MTCs that are connected by another MTC \mathcal{D} in between (Or to say, we can treat the case for \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 are Witt equivalent). This motives us to develop the notion of *categorical quantum entanglement*. We discuss some other potential projects surrounding our results in the end of this paper.

Here we explain the layout of this paper. In the next section, we show how these categorical and algebraic structures emerge from natural physical requirements and explain each of the Arrows in Trinity 3 explicitly: $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 1}}$ corresponds to Lemma 2.6; $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 2}}$ corresponds to Corollary 2.2; $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 3}}$ corresponds to Theorem 2.4; $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 4}}$ and $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 5}}$ correspond to Lemma 2.6; $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 6}}$ corresponds to Conjecture 1. In section 3, we carry out our proof of Theorem 1.1 and discuss how the automorphisms of condensable algebras affect the condensation process, this section might be more suitable for reader with mathematical backgrounds. In section 4, we treat the case of group-theoretical categories, in which we classify 2d condensable algebra up to 2-Morita equivalence for any finite group G and realize 1d condensable algebras and left/right center on toric code model. We also discuss examples beyond common group symmetries. Discussions on Witt equivalences, categorical entanglement, and other generalizations are performed in section 5. Mathematical background including higher Morita theory, center of algebras, and condensable algebra classifications are given in the appendices.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Zhi-Hao Zhang, Tian Lan and Liang Kong for helpful discussions. RX acknowledges Shenzhen Institute of Quantum Science and Engineering for hospitality during the visits. HY is supported by Research Grants Council (RGC), University Grants Committee (UGC) of Hong Kong (ECS No. 24304722).

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we go through the main story of classification of 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras, in which we give our main results by string all six Arrows of the Trinity 3 clearly. For sake of conciseness, we leave detailed proof in section 3.

2.1 Anyon condensations and 2-Morita equivalence

A 2d anomaly-free stable⁵ topological order (a system of anyons) can be described by a (unitary) modular tensor category (MTC) \mathcal{C} with a central charge $c \in \mathbb{C}$ [Kit06, KZ22]. Two adjacent topological orders are separated by a domain wall, which is mathematically described by a (spherical) fusion category.

One source of topological domain walls comes from anyon condensation [Kon14]. The original phase, a topological order described by a MTC \mathcal{C} , is sitting on one side of the wall, while a 2d condensable algebra (or E_2 condensable algebra in mathematical term) $A \in \mathcal{C}$ is condensed on the other side [BS09, BSH09, Kon14]. Then a new topological order, described by the category \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} of local A -modules in \mathcal{C} , is obtained, in which the condensed algebra plays the role of the vacuum in the condensed phase \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} . For precise mathematical definitions of a 2d condensable algebra and local modules, see Appendix.A.

Anyons that are confined from going to the condensed phase \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} together with anyons that move transparently are domain wall excitations. The wall excitations together with their fusion structures form a fusion category \mathcal{C}_A , the category of right A -modules in \mathcal{C} [Kon14, DMNO13]. But there can be other types of domain walls between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} , described by the fusion category ${}_B(\mathcal{C}_A)_{B_i}$, the category of B - B -bimodules in \mathcal{C}_A . The vacuum on the wall ${}_B(\mathcal{C}_A)_{B_i}$ is given by a 1d condensable algebra B in \mathcal{C}_A , B 's properties are similar to that of A except that it is not necessarily commutative. It defines a new type of condensation but confined to the 1d domain wall between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} , which is called the 1d condensation. $\{B_i(\mathcal{C}_A)_{B_i}\}$ can exhaust all stable gapped domain walls between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} [Kon14]. Some of the 1d condensed phases ${}_B(\mathcal{C}_A)_{B_i}$ can be written as \mathcal{C}_{A_i} for some 2d condensable algebra A_i , in which the condensed phase $\mathcal{C}_{A_i}^{loc}$ via A_i is equivalent to \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} . See figure 4 for an illustration of 1d and 2d condensation.




Figure 4: This figure shows the directions of 1d and 2d anyon condensations. Different from 2d condensation, 1d condensation is invertible, namely one can also find a 1d condensable algebra B' in ${}_B(\mathcal{C}_A)_B$ such that $B'({}_B(\mathcal{C}_A)_B)_{B'} \simeq \mathcal{C}_A$. For any B_i , ${}_B(\mathcal{C}_A)_{B_i}$ is 1-Morita equivalent as fusion categories to \mathcal{C}_A since the Drinfeld center of ${}_B(\mathcal{C}_A)_{B_i}$ is equivalent to the Drinfeld center of \mathcal{C}_A , i.e. $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C}_A) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}({}_B(\mathcal{C}_A)_{B_i}) \simeq \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_A^{loc}}$ [Sch01].

Two fusion categories \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are **1-Morita equivalent** if and only if their Drinfeld-centers $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq$

⁵In topological orders, a phase is called *anomaly-free* if it does not admit a non-trivial higher dimensional bulk. And a phase is *stable* means the macroscopic observables in it are invariant under small perturbations, stable corresponds to indecomposable in the categorical language. In this paper, 2d topological orders that we discuss are assumed to be anomaly-free and stable.

$\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{N})$ as braided fusion categories [ENO11]. Using the folding trick, we can fold the 2d phase $\overline{\mathcal{C}_A^{loc}}$ to another side through the 1d gapped domain wall, and obtain a time reversal phase $\overline{\mathcal{C}_A^{loc}}$ whose braiding is defined by anti-braiding in \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} . The folded 2d phase $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_A^{loc}}$ can be regarded as a blank stacking of phases \mathcal{C} and $\overline{\mathcal{C}_A^{loc}}$ with boundaries $\{B_i(\mathcal{C}_A)_{B_i} \mid B_i \in \text{Alg}_{\mathfrak{S}_{E_1}}^{cond}(\mathcal{C}_A)\}$. By boundary-bulk relation [DMNO13, KWZ15], the Drinfeld center of a 1d boundary is its 2d bulk, hence we have $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C}_A) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C}_A) \simeq \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_A^{loc}}$ as MTCs. That is to say, for any 1d-condensable algebra B in \mathcal{C}_A , $B(\mathcal{C}_A)_B$ is 1-Morita equivalent to \mathcal{C}_A [Sch01].

A natural question in anyon condensation theory arises, given two 2d condensable algebras A_1 and A_2 in a 2d topological order \mathcal{C} , when will they condense to the same phase? i.e. Which pair of A_1 and A_2 leads to $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{loc}}$? To answer this question, we need to briefly review the definition of 1-Morita equivalent algebras, and introduce the notion of 2-Morita equivalence of E_2 -algebras.

Definition 2.1 ([Mor58]). Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category. Two E_1 -algebras $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ are **1-Morita equivalent**⁶, denoted by $B_1 \overset{1\text{-Morita}}{\sim} B_2$, if there is an equivalence of categories $\mathcal{C}_{B_1} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{B_2}$.

Based on above definition, we define 2-Morita equivalence of E_2 -algebras iteratively.

Definition 2.2. Let \mathcal{C} be a braided fusion category. Two E_2 -algebras $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ are **2-Morita equivalent**, denoted by $A_1 \overset{2\text{-Morita}}{\sim} A_2$, if $\mathcal{C}_{A_1} \overset{1\text{-Morita}}{\sim} \mathcal{C}_{A_2}$.

By [ENO11], $\mathcal{C}_{A_1} \overset{1\text{-Morita}}{\sim} \mathcal{C}_{A_2}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C}_{A_1}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C}_{A_2})$. Since $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C}_A) \simeq \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_A^{loc}}$ for a MTC \mathcal{C} [DMNO13], then $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C}_{A_1}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C}_{A_2})$ implies $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{loc}}$ and vice versa. Therefore, $A_1 \overset{2\text{-Morita}}{\sim} A_2$ is equivalent to $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{loc}}$ ⁷. Hence, we can translate the question of classifying equivalent condensed phases to the question of classifying 2-Morita equivalent algebras.

The equivalent definitions of 2-Morita equivalence can be summarized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 \overset{2\text{-Morita}}{\sim} A_2 &\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{A_1} \overset{1\text{-Morita}}{\sim} \mathcal{C}_{A_2} \Leftrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{loc}} \\ &(\text{E}_2 \text{ algebras}) \quad (\text{fusion categories}) \quad (\text{MTCs}) \end{aligned}$$

Example 2.3. For the special case when $\overline{\mathcal{C}_A^{loc}}$ is trivial, i.e. $\overline{\mathcal{C}_A^{loc}} = \text{Vec}$, in which Vec is the category of finite dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector spaces. \mathcal{C}_A is now a gapped boundary of \mathcal{C} . A 2d condensable algebra A in this case is called the **lagrangian algebra**. The gapped boundaries $\{B_i(\mathcal{C}_A)_{B_i}\}$ of the \mathcal{C} -phase are classified by the lagrangian algebras $\{A_i^L \in \text{Alg}_{\mathfrak{S}_{E_2}}^{cond}(\mathcal{C})\}$. All lagrangian algebras in \mathcal{C} are 2-Morita equivalent since $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{A_i^L}^{loc}} \simeq \text{Vec}, \forall A_i^L$.

Consider two 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras A_1 and A_2 in \mathcal{C} . Now we bend two of the 1-Morita equivalent gapped domain walls $B_1(\mathcal{C}_A)_{B_1} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{A_1}$ and $B_2(\mathcal{C}_A)_{B_2} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{A_2}$ ⁸ in figure. 4, such that the condensed MTC $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}}$ is sandwiching in between the gapped domain walls \mathcal{C}_{A_1} and \mathcal{C}_{A_2} , as figure. 5 (a) displays. The dashed frame part in figure.5 (a) is indeed figure 2 (a) we draw in the introduction. And if we completely close these two domain walls described by \mathcal{C}_{A_1} and \mathcal{C}_{A_2} through $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}}$, we get a gapped domain wall between \mathcal{C} and itself described by $\mathcal{C}_{A_1} \boxtimes_{\overline{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}}} \mathcal{C}_{A_2}$, as figure.5 (b) shows.

⁶Note the difference between algebraic Morita equivalent and categorical Morita equivalent, which is explained in appendix B.3.

⁷Since local modules are E_2 modules over E_2 algebras, it is natural to characterize 2-Morita equivalence of E_2 -algebras by E_2 -monoidal equivalence between their E_2 -module categories.

⁸Recall that not all domain walls $B_i(\mathcal{C}_A)_{B_i}$ can be written as \mathcal{C}_{A_i} for some 2d condensable algebra A_i in \mathcal{C} ; general cases for $B_i(\mathcal{C}_A)_{B_i} \boxtimes_{\overline{\mathcal{C}_A^{loc}}} B_j(\mathcal{C}_A)_{B_j}$ is discussed in section 5.




Figure 5: Bending two of The dashed frame part is indeed figure. 2 (a). And (b) here is actually figure. 4 with the special case $A = 1$ and $\mathcal{C}_A^{loc} \simeq \mathcal{C}$.

Recall figure 2 (c), by using folding trick to figure 5 (b), the $1d$ gapped domain wall $\mathcal{C}_{A_1} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}} \mathcal{C}_{A_2}$ becomes a boundary of the folded $2d$ bulk $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}$. By [DMNO13, Kon14], the stable gapped boundaries of $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ are classified by lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$. We will prove in next section that the fused domain wall $\mathcal{C}_{A_1} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}} \mathcal{C}_{A_2}$ becomes a stable gapped boundary after folding, thus can be written as $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})_L$ for some lagrangian algebra $L \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$. Or to say, a pair of 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} determines a lagrangian algebra in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$, which suggests that it is possible to use lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ to classify 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} .

Among these domain walls in \mathcal{C} described by $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})_L$ for some lagrangian algebras L , there also exists some ‘special’ gapped domain walls that can not be written by $\mathcal{C}_{A_1} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}} \mathcal{C}_{A_2}$ directly. This kind of domain walls is affected implicitly by an interchange of anyons within the interlayer topological order $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}$. More precisely, the topological order $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}$ can have internal symmetries, where each symmetry can characterize an extra braided auto-equivalence $\phi : \mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}$. Such a braided auto-equivalence ϕ corresponds to an *invertible* domain wall between $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{loc}$, so we denote this invertible domain wall directly by ϕ for simplicity⁹. The total domain wall fused by \mathcal{C}_{A_1} and \mathcal{C}_{A_2} through $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}$ when considering a twist ϕ should actually be described by $\mathcal{C}_{A_1} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}} \phi \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{loc}} \mathcal{C}_{A_2}$, which is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}_{A_1} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}} \mathcal{C}_{A_2}$ as fusion categories. We draw this phenomenon in figure. 6 below.




Figure 6: An illustration of the impacts of invertible domain walls. The fused domain wall $\mathcal{C}_{A_1} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}} \mathcal{C}_{A_2}$ in figure 5 (b) just happens in the case for $\phi = \text{Id}$. If there exists a non-trivial ϕ in $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}$, the total domain wall after fusion should be described by $\mathcal{C}_{A_1} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}} \phi \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{loc}} \mathcal{C}_{A_2}$. Such a fused wall is non-invertible in most cases, except when $2d$ condensable algebras are both trivial, i.e. $A_1 = 1 = A_2$.

Remark 2.1. There is an isomorphism between the Picard group $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$ of all invertible \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} -bimodules and the group $\text{Aut}_{\otimes}^{\text{br}}(\mathcal{C})$ of braided auto-equivalences of \mathcal{C} [ENO10].

$$T : \text{Pic}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Aut}_{\otimes}^{\text{br}}(\mathcal{C}). \quad (1)$$

⁹This invertible domain wall is actually the fused domain wall of ϕ and the default braided equivalence $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{loc}$.

The group $\text{Aut}_{\otimes}^{\text{br}}(\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{\text{loc}})$ of braided auto-equivalence of $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{\text{loc}}$ has a natural action on the set $\{\phi : \mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{\text{loc}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{\text{loc}}\}$ of all braided equivalence between $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{\text{loc}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{\text{loc}}$ by composing. Indeed, this set is a $\text{Aut}_{\otimes}^{\text{br}}(\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{\text{loc}})$ -torsor, which means we can use the group $\text{Aut}_{\otimes}^{\text{br}}(\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{\text{loc}})$ to describe this set.

Example 2.4.

- If $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{\text{loc}} \simeq \mathcal{C}$, then \mathcal{C} , as a trivial domain wall is apparently a kind of invertible domain wall.
- If $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{\text{loc}} \simeq \text{Vec}$, there does not exist non-trivial invertible domain walls in between, and all stable gapped domain walls can be realized by $\mathcal{C}_{A_i^L} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}_{A_j^L}$ for lagrangian algebras $\{A_i^L\}$.

Remark 2.2. When $\mathcal{C}_{A_i^L}^{\text{loc}} \simeq \text{Vec}$, $\mathcal{C}_{A_i^L} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{A_j^L} \simeq (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}})_{A_i^L \boxtimes A_j^L}$ is a boundary of $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}}$. But $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ can have more lagrangian algebras than $\{A_i^L \boxtimes A_j^L\}$ due to the interlayer $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{\text{loc}}$ hidden in the boundary. This phenomenon can be understood from a powerful view point called categorical entanglement, which we introduce in section 5.2.

Although the invertible domain wall ϕ make the fused wall more complicated, all fused gapped domain walls in \mathcal{C} can still be classified by lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$, we will prove the following lemma in next section:

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a MTC. Given any pair of E_2 -Morita equivalent algebras (A_1, A_2) in \mathcal{C} , the monoidal \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} bimodule $\mathcal{C}_{A_1} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{\text{loc}}} \phi \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{\text{loc}}} \mathcal{C}_{A_2}$ is equivalent to $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})_L$ as monoidal \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} -bimodule for some lagrangian algebra $L \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$.*

The above lemma gives $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow}} 1$ of the Trinity 3 from "2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras" to "lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ ", where symmetry ϕ induces a ϕ -twisted lagrangian algebra $L_\phi := \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{\text{loc}})} x \boxtimes \phi(x^*)$ in $(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}})_{A_1 \boxtimes A_2}^{\text{loc}}$ where we sum over all simple objects $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{\text{loc}})$ and x^* is the dual object of x . The resulted lagrangian L is the extension of L_ϕ over $A_1 \boxtimes A_2$, see section 3.2.1 for details.

Now let L be a lagrangian algebra in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}}$, consider the algebra $A_l := L \cap (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathbf{1})$ and $A_r := (\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}}) \cap L$. By Corollary 3.3 in [DNO12], A_l and A_r are both 2d condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} . Moreover,

Corollary 2.2. *A_l and A_r are 2-Morita equivalent.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.7 and Theorem 3.6 in [DNO12], we have $\mathcal{C}_{A_l}^{\text{loc}} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{A_r}^{\text{loc}}$ as MTCs. □

This gives $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow}} 2$ from "lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ " to "2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras" in the Trinity. Moreover, we can show these two arrows are inverse to each other, i.e. given a lagrangian algebra $L \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$, its components A_l and A_r can reproduce L . And, given a pair of 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras (A_1, A_2) in \mathcal{C} , the components of the lagrangian algebra that is extended over $A_1 \boxtimes A_2$ are again themselves.

To summarize, we give a proof that

Theorem 2.3. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of equivalent triples (A_1, A_2, ϕ) where $A_1 \overset{2\text{-Morita}}{\simeq} A_2$ in \mathcal{C} , and the set of isomorphic classes of lagrangian algebras L in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$.*

Theorem 2.3 provides an alternative proof of classification of lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ in [DNO12]. Our result provides a geometric comprehension of DNO's theorem and can be easily generated to the case $\mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{C}_2$.

2.2 Centers and 1d condensable algebras

The classification Theorem 2.3 tells that we can obtain all 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} by classifying all lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$. However, for cases other than $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$, we do not have a systematical method to classify lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ without knowing the classification of 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras in priori. To resolve this issue, we develop another method to classify 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras via 1d condensable algebras.

Recall that lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ are one-to-one corresponding to gapped boundaries of $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$, and gapped boundaries of $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ are one-to-one corresponding to gapped domain walls between \mathcal{C} and itself. Hence, the 2-Morita equivalence of 2d condensable algebras is also encoded in gapped domain walls in \mathcal{C} , in which each gapped domain wall between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C} is equivalent to ${}_B\mathcal{C}_B$ for some 1d condensable algebra $B \in \mathcal{C}$. Therefore, 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} can also be classified by 1d condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} .

One important tool was developed in finding 2-Morita equivalent algebras in MTCs based on 1d condensable algebras—the left/right center [Ost03a, FFRS06, Dav10a]. We need to briefly review the definition of module categories in order to illustrating this tool.

Definition 2.5 ([KZ18]). Let $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2$ be braided monoidal categories.

- A monoidal left \mathcal{C}_1 -module is a monoidal category \mathcal{M} equipped with a braided monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{M})$;
- A monoidal right \mathcal{C}_2 -module is a monoidal category \mathcal{M} equipped with a braided monoidal functor $\overline{\mathcal{C}_2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{M})$;
- A monoidal \mathcal{C}_1 - \mathcal{C}_2 -bimodule is a monoidal category \mathcal{M} equipped with a braided monoidal functor $\mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{M})$.

A 1d gapped domain wall \mathcal{M} between 2d topological orders \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 is indeed a **closed** \mathcal{C}_1 - \mathcal{C}_2 -bimodule, i.e. $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_2}$.

Example 2.6. Let \mathcal{C} be a MTC,

- trivial domain wall \mathcal{C} itself is a \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} -bimodule, where $F : \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is the canonical equivalence. The \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} -bimodule action on \mathcal{C} is induced by the tensor product of \mathcal{C} ; In general, any invertible domain wall in \mathcal{C} is an indecomposable invertible \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} -bimodule.
- Let A be a 2d condensable algebra in \mathcal{C} , the condensed domain wall \mathcal{C}_A is a monoidal \mathcal{C} - $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}$ -bimodule, where $F : \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C}_A)$ is an equivalence.

Now we use a concept called unital action to define the left/right center [KYZ21].

Definition 2.7. Let \mathcal{M} be a monoidal left \mathcal{C} -module with \mathcal{C} -module action $\odot : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, and let $A \in \text{Alg}_{E_1}(\mathcal{C}), M \in \text{Alg}_{E_1}(\mathcal{M})$. A **unital** A -action is a morphism $f : A \odot M \rightarrow M$ such that the composition $M \simeq \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \odot M \rightarrow A \odot M \rightarrow M$ is identity id_M .

Definition 2.8. Let \mathcal{M} be a monoidal left \mathcal{C} -module and let $M \in \text{Alg}_{E_1}(\mathcal{M})$. The **left center** of M in \mathcal{C} is a pair $(Z_l(M), u_l)$, where $Z_l(M) \in \text{Alg}_{E_1}(\mathcal{C})$ and $u_l : Z_l(M) \odot M \rightarrow M$ is a unital $Z_l(M)$ -action on M , such that it is terminal among all such pairs.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & Z_l(M) \odot M & \\
 & \uparrow \exists! & \searrow u_l \\
 & X \odot M & \\
 \swarrow & & \searrow \\
 \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \odot M & \xrightarrow{\sim} & M
 \end{array} \tag{2}$$

For $N \in \mathcal{Alg}_{E_1}(\mathcal{N})$ where \mathcal{N} is a monoidal right \mathcal{C} -module, the **right center** of N in \mathcal{C} is defined to be the left center of N in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ by regarding \mathcal{N} as a monoidal left $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ -module.

Remark 2.3. There is also another definition of right/left center $C_r(B)/C_l(B) \in \mathcal{C}$ for an algebra B in a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} introduced by Davydov [Dav10a, Dav10b].(see Appendix B.2 for the detailed definition). When \mathcal{C} is viewed as a \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} -bimodule category, the left/right center in Definition 2.8 coincides with the Davydov's right/left center, i.e. $Z_l(B) \cong C_r(B)$ and $Z_r(B) \cong C_l(B)$ for any algebra $B \in \mathcal{C}$.

Taking left and right centers of a 1d condensable algebra B can produce a pair of 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras $(Z_l(B), Z_r(B))$.

Theorem 2.4 ([FFRS06]). *Let \mathcal{C} be a MTC, B be a 1d condensable algebra in \mathcal{C} . Then there is an equivalence of MTCs:*

$$\mathcal{C}_{Z_l(B)}^{loc} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{Z_r(B)}^{loc}$$

Corollary 2.5. *For any 1d condensable algebra B in \mathcal{C} , $Z_l(B) \overset{2\text{-Morita}}{\simeq} Z_r(B)$.*

Example 2.9. Let B be a 2d condensable algebra, which can be naturally regarded as a commutative 1d condensable algebra. Then we have $Z_l(B) \cong B \cong Z_r(B)$, since the left/right center of a commutative algebra is itself. This provides a trivial pair of 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras, i.e. $B \overset{2\text{-Morita}}{\simeq} B$.

This procedure gives $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow}} 3$ from "1-Morita class of 1d condensable algebras" to "2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras" in Trinity 3. However, the bijectivity of $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow}} 3$ is not provided according to above theorem, namely, it does not tell us whether all 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras can be obtained by taking left and right centers.

On the other hand, let (A_1, A_2) be a pair of 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} with $\phi : \mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{loc}$. Recall that L_ϕ is the lagrangian algebra in $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{loc}}$ corresponding to the boundary ϕ . This L_ϕ can also be regarded as a lagrangian algebra in $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}$ or in $\mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{loc} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{loc}}$. By applying tensor functor $\otimes_{A_1} : \mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}$ on L_ϕ , we obtain a direct sum of 1-Morita equivalent 1d condensable algebras in $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}$. Let us choose an indecomposable one as B_ϕ , then we obtain $\text{Ext}_{A_1}(B_\phi) \in \mathcal{C}$ by extending this B_ϕ over A_1 . A similar procedure in $\mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{loc}$ results in $\text{Ext}_{A_2}(B_\phi) \in \mathcal{C}$. We claim that $\text{Ext}_{A_1}(B_\phi) \otimes \text{Ext}_{A_2}(B_\phi)$ would give the 1d condensable algebra in \mathcal{C} corresponding to (A_1, A_2) and ϕ .

Conjecture 1. *An indecomposable subalgebra $B \hookrightarrow \text{Ext}_{A_1}(B_\phi) \otimes \text{Ext}_{A_2}(B_\phi)$ is the 1d condensable algebra corresponding to the 2-Morita equivalent pair (A_1, A_2) , i.e. $Z_l(B) \cong A_1$ and $Z_r(B) \cong A_2$.*

This procedure gives $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow}} 6$ from "2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras" to "1-Morita class of 1d condensable algebras" in Trinity 3.

Example 2.10. When A_1^L and A_2^L are lagrangian algebras in \mathcal{C} . Then the extended algebra is a subalgebra $B \hookrightarrow A_1^L \otimes A_2^L$ since $\text{Ext}_{A_1^L}(\mathbf{1}) = A_1^L$.

Another possible way to prove the bijectivity of $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow}} 3$ is to use the bijective $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow}} 4$ and $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow}} 5$ in Trinity ($5 \circ 4 \simeq \text{id}$), i.e. the bijection between the set of 1-Morita class of 1d condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} and the set of lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$. Since we have shown that there is a bijection between lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ and pairs of 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} ($\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow}} 1$ and $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow}} 2$ are invertible), it is clear that the composed $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow}} 2 \circ 4$ and $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow}} 5 \circ 1$ between "pairs of 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} " and "1-Morita class of 1d condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} " are bijective.

In order to show the bijection between 1-Morita class of 1d condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} and lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$, we need to use another important algebraic center called 'full center' [FFRS08, Dav10a, DKR11].

Definition 2.11. If left (right) \mathcal{C} -module \mathcal{M} satisfies $\mathcal{C} = \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{M})$, then the left (right) center of $M \in \text{Alg}_{E_1}(\mathcal{M})$ is called the **full center** of M , denoted by $Z(M)$.

Let B be a 1d condensable algebra in \mathcal{C} , its full center $Z(B)$ is a lagrangian algebra in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ [KR09]. Hence, the procedure of "taking full center" gives $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 4}}$ from "1-Morita classes of 1d condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} " to "isomorphic classes of lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ " in Trinity 3. $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 4}}$ is injective since two 1d condensable algebras B_1 and B_2 are 1-Morita equivalent if and only if $Z(B_1) \cong Z(B_2)$ [KR08].

$\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 4}}$ is also surjective, i.e. given any lagrangian algebras L in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$, there is a 1d condensable algebra B such that $Z(B) \cong L$. Under the forgetful functor $U : \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, L becomes a separable algebra $U(L)$ in \mathcal{C} . However, $U(L)$ may not be indecomposable since it is a direct sum of matrix algebras in \mathcal{C} . A 1d condensable algebra B can only be found as an indecomposable subalgebra in $U(L)$ in the sense of 1-Morita equivalence [KZ17]. This forgetting and picking process gives $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 5}}$ in Trinity.

To see $Z(B) \cong L$, consider the indecomposable left \mathcal{C} -module \mathcal{C}_B . By Proposition 4.8 in [DMNO13], indecomposable left \mathcal{C} -modules are one-to-one corresponding to isomorphic classes of lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$, i.e. $\mathcal{F}\text{un}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}_B, \mathcal{C}_B) \simeq {}_B\mathcal{C}_B \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})_L$. See Appendix B.2 for the definition of $\mathcal{F}\text{un}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}_B, \mathcal{C}_B)$. And since ${}_B\mathcal{C}_B \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})_{Z(B)}$, we have $Z(B) \cong L$.

Therefore, we have shown $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 4}}$ and $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 5}}$ are inverse to each other:

Lemma 2.6. *There is a bijection between set of 1-Morita classed of 1d condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} and set of isomorphic classes of lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$.*

Remark 2.4. Similar to the forgetful functor U , we can also act tensor functor \otimes on the lagrangian algebra L in $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ to obtain a separable algebra $\otimes(L)$ in \mathcal{C} . This is due to the equivalence $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}}$, in which the tensor product functor $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a central functor, i.e. the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \\ & \searrow \otimes & \downarrow \text{Forget} \\ & & \mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

Example 2.10 can also be explained directly by acting tensor functor \otimes on $A_1^L \boxtimes A_2^L$.

Now we want to show $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 3}}$ is the composition of $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 2}}$ and $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 4}}$ in Trinity 3, i.e. for a 1d condensable algebra B , taking left/right center $Z_l(B)/Z_r(B)$, is equivalent to first taking full center $Z(B)$ then intersect with the left/right components of $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}}$:

Lemma 2.7. $Z_l(B) \cong Z(B) \cap (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}})$ and $Z_r(B) \cong Z(B) \cap (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}})$ as algebras.

Above Lemma was first stated in the language of Davydov's center [Dav10b, Section 2.5]. We give a proof in section 3.2.2 using Definition 2.8. Since $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 2}}$ and $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 4}}$ are both bijections, thus $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 3}}$ is also a bijection. In other words, for two 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras A_1, A_2 in \mathcal{C} , there exists a 1d condensable algebra $B \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $Z_l(B) \cong A_1$ and $Z_r(B) \cong A_2$.

Remark 2.5. The above lemma can be generalized to a closed monoidal \mathcal{C}_1 - \mathcal{C}_2 -bimodule \mathcal{M} , let B be a 1d condensable algebra in \mathcal{M} , we have $Z_l(B) \cong Z(B) \cap (\mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}_2})$ and $Z_r(B) \cong Z(B) \cap (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}_1} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_2})$ as algebras.

Then we finish the proof of classification by 1d condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} .

Theorem 2.8. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of equivalent triples (A_1, A_2, ϕ) where $A_1 \overset{2\text{-Morita}}{\sim} A_2$ in \mathcal{C} , and the set of 1-Morita classes of 1d condensable algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$.*

All Arrows that connect "2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras", "1-Morita class of condensable algebras", and "Lagrangian algebras" in Trinity 3 are now been illustrated. Once we know a corner of the Trinity, we can have the other two. Different condensable algebras are unified through this Trinity. Next section we give the detailed proof left in preliminary, namely Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.7. We also apply a method to find 1-Morita equivalent condensable algebras B , see section 4.1.3.

3 Proof of Main Results

In this section, we first introduce a process called 2-step condensation and prove some useful results. Using our results, we further prove that given a pair of 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras (A_1, A_2) in \mathcal{C} , there is a lagrangian algebra $L \in \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $L \cap (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) \cong A_1$ and $L \cap (\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}}) \cong A_2$ (Lemma 2.1 in preliminary). Then we prove that taking full center of a 1d condensable algebra $B \in \mathcal{C}$ then intersect with components of $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is equivalent to taking left/right centers of B directly, i.e. Lemma 2.7.

3.1 Domain walls in two step condensations

Let A and A' be two condensable algebras in MTC \mathcal{C} with an inclusion $A \hookrightarrow A'$, i.e. A is a subalgebra of A' . If we condense A to obtain a condensed phase \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} and a domain wall \mathcal{C}_A between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} , then we have A' still a condensable algebra in the condensed phase \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} [DNO12]. Next we can condense A' in \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} to produce a new condensed phase $(\mathcal{C}_A^{loc})_{A'}^{loc}$ and a gapped domain wall $(\mathcal{C}_A^{loc})_{A'}$ between \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} and $(\mathcal{C}_A^{loc})_{A'}^{loc}$ (see figure 7 (a)). This step by step condensation process to obtain $(\mathcal{C}_A^{loc})_{A'}^{loc}$ from \mathcal{C} is called a *two step condensation*.

On the other hand, we can condense A' in \mathcal{C} directly, which results in a condensed phase $\mathcal{C}_{A'}^{loc}$ and a gapped domain wall $\mathcal{C}_{A'}$ between \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{C}_{A'}^{loc}$, see figure 7 (b). It is known that the phase generated by a 2-step condensation with $A \hookrightarrow A'$ is equivalent to the direct condensed phase generated by A' , namely $(\mathcal{C}_A^{loc})_{A'}^{loc} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{A'}^{loc}$ [FFRS06].

However, behind this equivalence, there is a hidden fusion process between the gapped domain walls \mathcal{C}_A and $(\mathcal{C}_A^{loc})_{A'}$ through the intermediate condensed phase \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} . Intuitively, The fused wall $\mathcal{C}_A \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_A^{loc}} (\mathcal{C}_A^{loc})_{A'}$ should be equivalent to $\mathcal{C}_{A'}$ as fusion categories. But this equivalence has not been discussed before. We fill this loophole here by proving the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. $\mathcal{C}_A \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_A^{loc}} (\mathcal{C}_A^{loc})_{A'} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{A'}$ as monoidal \mathcal{C} - $\mathcal{C}_{A'}^{loc}$ -bimodule.




Figure 7: For two 2d condensable algebras A and A' with $A \hookrightarrow A'$ in \mathcal{C} , first condensing A in \mathcal{C} then A' condensing A' in \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} gives the same phase as condensing A' in \mathcal{C} directly, i.e. $(\mathcal{C}_A^{loc})_{A'}^{loc} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{A'}^{loc}$. However, whether the gapped domain walls generated in the two step condensation after fusion (i.e. $\mathcal{C}_A \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_A^{loc}} (\mathcal{C}_A^{loc})_{A'}$) is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}_{A'}$ or not has not been discussed before.

To prove theorem 3.1, we need to prove a useful Lemma 3.3.

Let A be an algebra in a braided fusion category \mathcal{D} . Let \mathcal{E} be a monoidal right \mathcal{D} -module with module action $\odot : \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. Similar to the category \mathcal{D}_A of right A -modules in \mathcal{D} , we have the category of right A -modules in \mathcal{E} , denoted by \mathcal{E}_A (see appendix B.2 for the precise definition of right A -modules in \mathcal{E} , see also [KYZ21]).

Proposition 3.2. Let A be an E_2 -algebra (commutative algebra) in \mathcal{D} , then \mathcal{E}_A admits a monoidal structure.

Proof. Notice that $\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E} \odot A$ is an algebra in \mathcal{E} , where the multiplication is given by

$$(\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E} \odot A) \otimes_\mathcal{E} (\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E} \odot A) \simeq (\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E} \otimes_\mathcal{E} \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E}) \odot (A \otimes_\mathcal{D} A) \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \odot m_A} \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E} \odot A$$

Moreover, consider $M, N \in \mathcal{E}_A$, we have $M \odot A \simeq (M \otimes_\mathcal{E} \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E}) \odot (\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{D} \otimes_\mathcal{D} A) \simeq (M \odot \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{D}) \otimes_\mathcal{E} (\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E} \odot A) \simeq M \otimes_\mathcal{E} (\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E} \odot A)$. So A -action on M can be equivalently characterized by a right $(\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E} \odot A)$ -module structure on M . Indeed, an A -action on N can also be characterized by a left $\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E} \odot A$ -module structure on N , since $N \odot A \simeq (\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E} \otimes_\mathcal{E} N) \odot (A \otimes_\mathcal{D} \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{D}) \simeq (\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E} \odot A) \otimes_\mathcal{E} (N \odot \mathbf{1}) \simeq (\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E} \odot A) \otimes_\mathcal{E} N$. Hence, we can define left and right actions of $\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E} \odot A$ on M and N . Then the relative tensor product of the algebra $\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E} \odot A$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M \otimes_\mathcal{E} (\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E} \odot A) \otimes_\mathcal{E} N & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M \otimes_\mathcal{E} N & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_{\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E} \odot A} N \\ & & & \searrow & \downarrow \exists! \\ & & & & X \end{array}$$

in \mathcal{E} is well-defined and induces a monoidal structure in \mathcal{E}_A . For simplicity, we denote the monoidal structure $M \otimes_{\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E} \odot A} N$ as $M \odot_A N$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let \mathcal{D} be a braided fusion category. Let \mathcal{E} be a monoidal right \mathcal{D} -module and let A be an E_2 algebra in \mathcal{D} . Then we have*

$$\mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D}_A \simeq \mathcal{E}_A$$

as monoidal categories.

Proof. By [KZ18], there is an equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} F : \mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D}_A &\rightarrow \mathcal{E}_A \\ X \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} M &\mapsto X \odot M \end{aligned}$$


of categories, where $X \in \mathcal{E}$ and $M \in \mathcal{D}_A$. The right A -module action on $X \odot M$ is induced by the right A -module action on M . What remains is to show this equivalence is monoidal.

Let $X_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} M_1$ and $X_2 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} M_2$ be two objects in $\mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D}_A$, using the following diagram, we can obtain the natural isomorphism $\nabla_{-, -} : F(-) \odot_A F(-) \Rightarrow F(- \otimes -)$ in monoidal functor.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} M_1) \otimes (X_2 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} M_2) & \xrightarrow{=} & (X_1 \otimes_\mathcal{E} X_2) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} (M_1 \otimes_A M_2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (X_1 \odot M_1) \odot_A (X_2 \odot M_2) & \xrightarrow{\nabla_{X_1 \odot M_1, X_2 \odot M_2}} & (X_1 \otimes_\mathcal{E} X_2) \odot (M_1 \otimes_A M_2) \end{array}$$

$(X_1 \odot M_1) \odot_A (X_2 \odot M_2)$ is the coequalizer of $(X_1 \odot M_1) \otimes_\mathcal{E} (\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E} \odot A) \otimes_\mathcal{E} (X_2 \odot M_2)$. This can also be regarded as the coequalizer of $(X_1 \otimes_\mathcal{E} \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E} \otimes_\mathcal{E} X_2) \odot (M_1 \otimes_\mathcal{D} A \otimes_\mathcal{D} M_2)$, which obviously is $(X_1 \otimes_\mathcal{E} X_2) \odot (M_1 \otimes_A M_2)$. So there is a natural isomorphism between $(X_1 \odot M_1) \odot_A (X_2 \odot M_2)$ and $(X_1 \otimes_\mathcal{E} X_2) \odot (M_1 \otimes_A M_2)$, which is the natural isomorphism $\nabla_{-, -}$ of monoidal functor we need. \square

Lemma 3.3 has a graphical explanation in which \mathcal{D} can be regarded as a 2d phase and $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}_A$ can be regarded as 1d phases at left and right side of \mathcal{D} respectively. The equivalence in Lemma 3.3 tells us the fusion of \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{D}_A through \mathcal{D} is equivalent to \mathcal{E}_A . See the following figure.




Manifestly, figure 7 is a just special case of the above figure, in which we can substitute \mathcal{E} with \mathcal{C}_A , \mathcal{D}_A with $(\mathcal{C}_A^{loc})_{A'}$, and \mathcal{D} with \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} to recover the fusion of domain walls in the two-step condensation.

On the other hand, it is well known that $(\mathcal{C}_A)_{A'} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{A'}$. So based on this Lemma, we can easily prove Theorem 3.1

Proof of Theorem 3.1. $\mathcal{C}_A \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_A^{loc}} (\mathcal{C}_A^{loc})_{A'} \xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 3.3}} (\mathcal{C}_A)_{A'} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{A'}$. □

We also prove a useful lemma which shows that for two 1d phases attached to a same 2d phase, the operations of folding and fusing commutes. See the following figure.



Lemma 3.4. *Let \mathcal{C} be a braided fusion category. Let \mathcal{M} be a monoidal right \mathcal{C} -module and \mathcal{N} be a left \mathcal{C} -module. Then there is an equivalence $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_e \mathcal{N} \simeq (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}$ of monoidal categories.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1.1 in [KZ18], there is an equivalence of categories

$$\begin{aligned} F : (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_e \mathcal{N} \\ (x \boxtimes y) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}} c &\mapsto x \boxtimes_e (c \circ_{\mathcal{N}} y) \end{aligned}$$

where $\circ_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is the left \mathcal{C} -module action on \mathcal{N} .

To show this equivalence is monoidal, we need to find a natural isomorphism $\nabla_{-, -}$ between $F(-) \otimes F(-)$ and $F(- \otimes -)$. Now let $(x_1 \boxtimes y_1) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}} c_1$ and $(x_2 \boxtimes y_2) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}} c_2$ be two objects in $(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}$. Their images under F are $x_1 \boxtimes_e (c_1 \circ_{\mathcal{N}} y_1)$ and $x_2 \boxtimes_e (c_2 \circ_{\mathcal{N}} y_2)$, which should be tensored to

$$(x_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{M}} x_2) \boxtimes_e ((c_1 \circ_{\mathcal{N}} y_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{N}} (c_2 \circ_{\mathcal{N}} y_2)). \quad (3)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$((x_1 \boxtimes y_1) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}} c_1) \otimes ((x_2 \boxtimes y_2) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}} c_2) = ((x_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{M}} x_2) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{N}} (y_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{N}} y_2)) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}} (c_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} c_2).$$

Its image under F is $(x_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{M}} x_2) \boxtimes_e ((c_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} c_2) \circ_{\mathcal{N}} (y_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{N}} y_2))$. So the natural isomorphism ∇ should be induced by the interchanging isomorphism in the definition of monoidal modules. □

Lemma 3.3 and lemma 3.4 can be used to prove many results about fusion of 1d phases. For example, there is a often mentioned but not strictly proven conclusion [ENO10, DNO12, HBJP23], which provides a method to compute fusions of any 1d domain walls. We formulate this conclusion as follows:

Theorem 3.5. Let \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D} be braided fusion categories, and \mathcal{C} be a MTC. Let \mathcal{M} be a monoidal \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C} -bimodule and \mathcal{N} be a monoidal \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{D} -bimodule. Then there is a monoidal equivalence

$$\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N} \simeq (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}^R(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}})}$$

as monoidal \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{D} -bimodules, where $\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}^R$ is the right adjoint of the tensor functor $\otimes_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. Folding the entire phase through the trivial domain wall in \mathcal{C} . We have a new phase with boundary \mathcal{C} and a domain wall $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N}$. Since \mathcal{C} , when viewed as a boundary of $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}$, can be written as $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})_{\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}^R(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}})}$, in which $\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}^R(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}})$ is the canonical lagrangian algebra. Then the folded phase, after fusing $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N}$ with $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})_{\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}^R(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}})}$, becomes $(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{\boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})} \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})_{\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}^R(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}})}}$. On the other hand, we have $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N}$ after fusing \mathcal{M} with \mathcal{N} in the unfolded phase. Thus by Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 3.4}} (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{\boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})} \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})_{\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}^R(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}})}} \xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 3.3}} (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}^R(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}})} \quad \square$$

3.2 Classification of 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras

In this subsection, we show that 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras in a modular tensor category \mathcal{C} can be classified through two different ways: one way is to use lagrangian algebras, another way is to use 1d condensable algebras. In other words, we finish the proof of our main theorem 1.1.

3.2.1 Lagrangian algebras

We first prove Lemma 2.1 in the preliminary using Theorem 3.1, which we restate below,

Lemma 2.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a MTC. Given any pair of E_2 -Morita equivalent algebras (A_1, A_2) in \mathcal{C} , the monoidal \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} bimodule $\mathcal{C}_{A_1} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{\text{loc}}} \phi \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{\text{loc}}} \mathcal{C}_{A_2}$ is equivalent to $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})_L$ as monoidal \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} -bimodules for some lagrangian algebra $L \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$.




Figure 8: This figure depicts the logic flow of the proof of Lemma 2.1. By Lemma 3.4, the whole diagram commutes. Based on two-step condensation, we prove $\mathcal{C}_{A_1} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{\text{loc}}} \phi \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{\text{loc}}} \mathcal{C}_{A_2} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})_L$ as monoidal \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} -bimodules.

Proof. The sub-figure in the upper left corner of fig. 8 is just figure 6 in preliminary. Starting from this sub-figure, we can perform two operations that lead to the bottom right figure. One way is to first fuse $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}, \phi, \mathcal{C}_{A_2}$ to have a domain wall $\mathcal{C}_{A_1} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{\text{loc}}} \phi \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{\text{loc}}} \mathcal{C}_{A_2}$, which is also a boundary of $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}$ after folding. Another way is to first fold the whole phase through the invertible domain wall ϕ . Now ϕ becomes a boundary of $(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}})_{A_1 \boxtimes A_2}^{\text{loc}}$, and we have a new domain wall $(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}})_{A_1 \boxtimes A_2}$. After fusing $(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}})_{A_1 \boxtimes A_2}$ with ϕ , we again have a boundary $(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}})_{A_1 \boxtimes A_2} \boxtimes_{(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}})_{A_1 \boxtimes A_2}^{\text{loc}}} \phi$ of $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}$. According to Lemma 3.4, we have $(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}})_{A_1 \boxtimes A_2} \boxtimes_{(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}})_{A_1 \boxtimes A_2}^{\text{loc}}} \phi \simeq \mathcal{C}_{A_1} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{\text{loc}}} \phi \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{\text{loc}}} \mathcal{C}_{A_2}$.

Since ϕ is an indecomposable monoidal $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{\text{loc}}\text{-}\mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{\text{loc}}$ -bimodule, ϕ when viewed as a boundary, should also be an indecomposable monoidal left $(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}})_{A_1 \boxtimes A_2}^{\text{loc}}$ -module. According to [DMNO13], there exists a lagrangian algebra L_ϕ in $(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}})_{A_1 \boxtimes A_2}^{\text{loc}}$, such that $\phi \simeq ((\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}})_{A_1 \boxtimes A_2}^{\text{loc}})_{L_\phi}$. On the other hand, L_ϕ can also be extend to a lagrangian algebra L in $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}$, where $A_1 \boxtimes A_2$ is a subalgebra of L in $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}$ [DNO12]. Thus, fusing $(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}})_{A_1 \boxtimes A_2}$ and ϕ through $(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}})_{A_1 \boxtimes A_2}^{\text{loc}}$ can be regarded as fusing the domain walls in a 2-step condensation $A_1 \boxtimes A_2 \hookrightarrow L$. By Theorem 3.1, we have $(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}})_{A_1 \boxtimes A_2} \boxtimes_{(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}})_{A_1 \boxtimes A_2}^{\text{loc}}} ((\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}})_{A_1 \boxtimes A_2}^{\text{loc}})_{L_\phi} \simeq (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}})_L$ as monoidal left $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ -module categories. Thus, $\mathcal{C}_{A_1} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{\text{loc}}} \phi \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{\text{loc}}} \mathcal{C}_{A_2} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})_L$ as monoidal \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} -bimodules. \square


Lemma 2.1 together with Corollary 2.2 show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of pairs of 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} and the set of isomorphic classes of lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$, which finishes the proof of Theorem 2.3.

3.2.2 Left, right and full centers

Instead of using lagrangian algebra $L \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$, we can also use the left/right centers of 1d condensable algebras $B \in \mathcal{C}$ to classify 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras. Indeed, by Lemma 2.6, we have $Z(B) \cong L$ for some 1d condensable algebra B in \mathcal{C} . Recall corollary 2.2 that $Z(B) \cap (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathbf{1})$ and $Z(B) \cap (\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}})$ are 2-Morita equivalent. At the same time, we have a pair of 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras $(Z_l(B), Z_r(B))$ by taking the left and right centers of B according to Theorem 2.4. We now show these two ways are the same, i.e. for a 1d condensable algebra B , taking left/right center $Z_l(B)/Z_r(B)$, is equivalent to first taking full center $Z(B)$ then intersect with the left/right components of $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}$:

Lemma 2.7. $Z_l(B) \cong Z(B) \cap (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathbf{1})$ and $Z_r(B) \cong Z(B) \cap (\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}})$.

Proof. Consider $Z(B) \cap (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathbf{1})$, we show it satisfies the universal property of left center $Z_l(B)$, i.e. the commutative diagram (a). Unital action $u_l : (Z(B) \cap (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathbf{1})) \otimes B \rightarrow B$ in diagram of left center is induced by the unital action $u : Z(B) \otimes B \rightarrow B$ of $Z(B)$ in diagram (b).



For any X together with a unital action $t : X \otimes B \rightarrow B$ such that the lower triangle in the diagram of left center commutes, we consider $X \boxtimes \mathbf{1}$ in the diagram (b) which satisfies the universal property of full center $Z(B)$. Since $(X \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) \otimes B := \otimes(X \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) \otimes B \simeq X \otimes B$, the morphism $t' : (X \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) \otimes B \rightarrow B$ is actually given by t , so the lower triangle in right diagram must commutes. Hence by universal property of the full

center $Z(B)$, there exists a unique morphism $f : (X \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) \rightarrow Z(B)$ such that the right triangle in diagram (b) commutes. Since $Z(B) \cap (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathbf{1})$ consists of objects only in \mathcal{C} , we restrict f to the left component of $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}}$, in which we obtain $f_l : X \rightarrow Z(B) \cap (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathbf{1})$. And f_l makes the right triangle in diagram (a) commutes since f does.

$Z(B) \cap (\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}})$ can be proven to satisfy the universal property of right center $Z_r(B)$ by a similar process. \square


Remark 3.1. Lemma 2.7 was first stated by Davydov in the language of Davydov's center [Dav10a, Dav10b]. Since Davydov's center is equivalent to the Definition 2.8, the above proof also proves Davydov's statement.

To summarize, for two 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras A_1 and A_2 , we have a lagrangian algebra L such that $L \cap (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) \cong A_1$ and $L \cap (\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}}) \cong A_2$. Since $L \cong Z(B)$ for some 1d condensable algebra B , we have $A_1 = Z(B) \cap (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathbf{1})$ and $A_2 = Z(B) \cap (\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}})$. Now by Lemma 2.7, we have $A_1 = Z_l(B)$ and $A_2 = Z_r(B)$. Equivalently, for any 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras A_1 and A_2 , there exists a 1d condensable algebra B such that $A_1 = Z_l(B)$ and $A_2 = Z_r(B)$. In other words, we can take left/right centers on 1d condensable algebras in \mathcal{C} to obtain all pairs of 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras, which finishes the proof of Theorem 2.8.

Combine Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.8, we prove our main Theorem 1.1.

We can translate the above algebraic results to their module categories. The indecomposable monoidal \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} -bimodule $\mathcal{C}_{A_1} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}} \phi \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{loc}} \mathcal{C}_{A_2}$ can be written as ${}_B\mathcal{C}_B$ for some 1d condensable algebra B . Since $A_1 = Z_l(B)$ and $A_2 = Z_r(B)$, we can directly derive following theorem:

Theorem 3.6. *Let \mathcal{C} be a MTC. An indecomposable \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} -bimodule in \mathcal{C} can be written as ${}_B\mathcal{C}_B$ for some 1d condensable algebra $B \in \mathcal{C}$. We have ${}_B\mathcal{C}_B \simeq \mathcal{C}_{Z_l(B)} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{Z_l(B)}^{loc}} \phi \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{Z_r(B)}^{loc}} \mathcal{C}_{Z_r(B)}$ where $\phi : \mathcal{C}_{Z_l(B)}^{loc} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_{Z_r(B)}^{loc}$ is an equivalence of MTCs.*



In the language of topological orders, Theorem 3.6 tells us any stable gapped domain wall in \mathcal{C} can be 'pulled open'. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1, any fused domain wall whose inner part is obtained by condensation, is stable. See section 5 for more discussions on the criterion of gapped domain wall stability.

Theorem 3.6 can be further generalized to any stable gapped domain wall between 2d topological orders \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} . See Generalization 5.1.

3.3 Symmetries induced by algebra automorphisms

Note that an E_2 condensable algebra A in braided fusion category \mathcal{C} may have a non-trivial algebra automorphism. In this subsection, we show a non-trivial algebra automorphism φ leads to a braided autoequivalence ϕ in \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} .

Now suppose A admits a non-trivial algebra automorphism, say $\varphi : A \rightarrow A$, which satisfies

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\varphi \otimes \varphi} & A \otimes A \\ m_A \downarrow & & \downarrow m_A \\ A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A \end{array} \quad (4)$$

For a right A -module (M, r_M) , by pre-composing the right A -module action r_M with this algebra automorphism φ , we obtain a new morphism from $M \otimes A$ to M : $M \otimes A \xrightarrow{\text{id}_M \otimes \varphi} M \otimes A \xrightarrow{r_M} M$.

Proposition 3.7. $(M, r_M \circ (\text{id}_M \otimes \varphi))$ is also a right A -module.

Proof. Consider the following diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M \otimes A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_M \otimes \varphi \otimes \text{id}_A} & M \otimes A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{r_M \otimes \text{id}_A} & M \otimes A \\ \downarrow \text{id}_M \otimes m_A & & \downarrow \text{id}_M \otimes \text{id}_A \otimes \varphi & & \downarrow \text{id}_M \otimes \varphi \\ M \otimes A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{r_M \otimes \text{id}_A} & M \otimes A & & M \otimes A \\ \downarrow \text{id}_M \otimes m_A & & \downarrow \text{id}_M \otimes m_A & & \downarrow r_M \\ M \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_M \otimes \varphi} & M \otimes A & \xrightarrow{r_M} & M \end{array}$$

The left sub-diagram commutes since it is diagram 4 tensoring with M , the rest sub-diagrams commute apparently. So the outer diagram commutes. \square

Corollary 3.8. This φ induces an autoequivalence

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \mathcal{C}_A &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}_A \\ (M, r_M) &\mapsto (M, r_M \circ (\text{id}_M \otimes \varphi)) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.9. Let A be a commutative algebra in \mathcal{C} and let φ be an automorphism of A . Then autoequivalence ϕ induced by φ is monoidal.

Proof. First, since A can be regarded as the free A -module $\mathbf{1} \otimes A$, by Proposition 3.12, we see ϕ preserves tensor unit A of \mathcal{C}_A .

Then we prove ϕ preserves monoidal structure. Given two right A -modules (M, r_M) and (N, r_N) . We consider the natural isomorphism ∇ between $\phi((M, r_M) \otimes_A (N, r_N))$ and $\phi(M, r_M) \otimes_A \phi(N, r_N)$. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi((M, r_M) \otimes_A (N, r_N)) &= \phi(M \otimes_A N, r_{M \otimes_A N}) = (M \otimes_A N, r_{M \otimes_A N} \circ (\text{id} \otimes \varphi)) \\ \phi(M, r_M) \otimes_A \phi(N, r_N) &= (M, r_M \circ (\text{id} \otimes \varphi)) \otimes_A (N, r_N \circ (\text{id} \otimes \varphi)). \end{aligned}$$

Since the following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_M \otimes \varphi \otimes \text{id}_A \otimes \text{id}_N} & M \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A \\ \downarrow \text{id}_{MAN} \otimes \varphi & & \downarrow \text{id}_{MAN} \otimes \varphi \\ M \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_M \otimes \varphi \otimes \text{id}_N} & M \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A \\ \downarrow \text{id}_{MA} \otimes r_N & & \downarrow \text{id}_{MA} \otimes r_N \\ M \otimes A \otimes N & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_M \otimes \varphi \otimes \text{id}_N} & M \otimes A \otimes N \end{array}$$

then their coequalizer diagram must commute, which is the diagram of right A -module isomorphisms between $\phi((M, r_M) \otimes_A (N, r_N))$ and $\phi(M, r_M) \otimes_A \phi(N, r_N)$. Note that the natural isomorphism $\nabla = \text{id}$. \square

Proposition 3.10. *Let (M, r_M) be a right A -module. If M is local, then $(M, r_M \circ (\text{id}_M \otimes \varphi))$ is still local.*

Proof. The following diagram commutes naturally.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 M \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\beta_{M,A}} & A \otimes M & & \\
 \text{id} \otimes \varphi \downarrow & & \swarrow \varphi \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow \beta_{A,M} \\
 M \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\beta_{M,A}} & A \otimes M & & \\
 r_M \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_{A,M} & & \\
 M & \xleftarrow{r_M} & M \otimes A & \xleftarrow{\text{id} \otimes \varphi} & M \otimes A
 \end{array}$$

\square

Lemma 3.11. *Let A be a commutative algebra in \mathcal{C} and let φ be an automorphism of A . The monoidal autoequivalence ϕ induced by φ is a braided autoequivalence when restrict to $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}$.*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi : \mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}} \\
 (M, r_M) &\mapsto (M, r_M \circ (\text{id}_M \otimes \varphi))
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.10, restrict $\phi : \mathcal{C}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_A$ to $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}$, we obtain an autoequivalence. The monoidal structure of $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}$ is inherited from that of \mathcal{C}_A , by Lemma 3.9, we obtain a monoidal autoequivalence $\phi : \mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}$. Since the natural isomorphism $\nabla_{-, -}$ of monoidal functor ϕ is id , so it is automatically a braided autoequivalence. \square

In other words, Lemma 3.9 and Lemma 3.11 tells us $\text{Aut}(A)$ has an action on $\text{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}_A)$ and on $\text{Aut}_{\otimes}^{\text{br}}(\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}})$. However, the $\text{Aut}(A)$ -action on $\text{Aut}_{\otimes}^{\text{br}}(\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}})$ is not free in general.

Proposition 3.12. *For any free right A -module $(X \otimes A, \text{id}_X \otimes m_A)$, all $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(A)$ fix it.*

Proof. The following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X \otimes A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \varphi \otimes \text{id}} & X \otimes A \otimes A \\
 \text{id} \otimes m \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \varphi \\
 X \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \varphi} & X \otimes A
 \end{array}$$

which is the diagram 4 tensoring with X . \square

Corollary 3.13. *If $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}$ all consists of free local A -modules, then for any $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(A)$, $\phi = \text{Id}$.*

Example 3.1. Here we provide some trivial examples.

- The lagrangian algebra $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$ in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2))$ has a non-trivial automorphism φ . But the condensed phase $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2))_{\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}}^{\text{loc}} \simeq \text{Vec}$ consists of all free local modules, by Corollary 3.13, φ induces the trivial braided autoequivalence Id in Vec .

- By [LY23], the \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1} double parafermion $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{PF}_{2k+1})$ can condense to \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1} topological order, i.e. $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{PF}_{2k+1})_{A_0}^{\text{loc}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}))$ for some 2d condensable algebra A_0 , in which all simple objects in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{PF}_{2k+1})_{A_0}^{\text{loc}}$ are free modules. Thus, by Corollary 3.13, any automorphism of A_0 will trivially act in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}))$. Or to say, the braided autoequivalence in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}))$ is not induced by automorphism of A_0 .

Conjecture 2. *Any local A -module that is not free cannot be fixed by all algebra automorphisms of A .*

Example 3.2. Consider the case when Double Ising $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{J}s)$ condense to \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order [CJKYZ20] (see also section 4.2), in which the E_2 condensable algebra is given by $A_2 := (\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\psi \boxtimes \psi)$, i.e. $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{J}s)_{A_2}^{\text{loc}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2))$. For the four simple objects in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{J}s)_{A_2}^{\text{loc}}$: two local A_2 -modules $(\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) \otimes A_2 \mapsto \mathbf{1}$ and $(\psi \boxtimes \psi) \otimes A_2 \mapsto \mathbf{f}$ are free; the other two local A_2 -modules $(\sigma \boxtimes \sigma) \mapsto \mathbf{e}$ and $(\sigma \boxtimes \sigma)^{fw} \mapsto \mathbf{m}$ are not.

However, there is a non-trivial algebra automorphism φ of A_2 given by

$$(\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\psi \boxtimes \psi) \xrightarrow{1 \oplus -1} (\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\psi \boxtimes \psi)$$

It is clear that $\varphi^2 = \text{id}_{A_2}$. By Lemma 3.11, this non-trivial automorphism induce a braided autoequivalence ϕ in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2))$. Based on Proposition 3.12, two free local A_2 modules $\mathbf{1}$ and \mathbf{f} are invariant under the action of ϕ . However, the two non-free local A_2 -modules \mathbf{e} and \mathbf{m} exchange.

The automorphism of algebras also affects two-step condensations. Let $i : A \hookrightarrow A'$ be an inclusion of 2d condensable algebras in a MTC \mathcal{C} . This inclusion determines a two-step condensation process. However, when A admits non-trivial automorphisms, by composing with one automorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow A$, we will obtain another inclusion $i \circ \varphi = i' : A \hookrightarrow A'$. This i' may leads to a different two-step condensation process, since the A -module action on A' may change due to φ such that A' becomes a different object in $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}$.

Example 3.3. Again considering Double Ising condense to \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order. The obvious inclusion $i : A_2 \hookrightarrow A_L$ determines a 2-step condensation process. The lagrangian algebra $A_L := (\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\sigma \boxtimes \sigma) \oplus (\psi \boxtimes \psi)$ should become a lagrangian algebra in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{J}s)_{A_2}^{\text{loc}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2))$. It is clear its components $(\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\psi \boxtimes \psi)$ corresponds to $\mathbf{1} \in \mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2))$ and the component $(\sigma \boxtimes \sigma)$ corresponds to \mathbf{e} (or \mathbf{m} , depend on the convention). So A_L becomes the lagrangian algebra $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$ (or $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}$) in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2))$. After composing $i : A \hookrightarrow A_L$ with $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(A_2)$, we obtain a new two step condensation $i' : A_2 \hookrightarrow A_L$. The component $(\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\psi \boxtimes \psi)$ is invariant and still corresponds to $\mathbf{1}$, but the component $(\sigma \boxtimes \sigma)$ becomes $(\sigma \boxtimes \sigma)^{fw}$, which corresponds to \mathbf{m} (or \mathbf{e}) now. Hence, A_L becomes another lagrangian algebra $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}$ (or $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$) in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2))$ under this condensation process.

Conversely, two-step condensation tells us that the extension of two lagrangian algebras $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}$ over A_2 must be the same, i.e. they should both be the unique lagrangian algebra A_L in Double Ising. This can also be explained by the automorphisms of A_2 . It is natural because the incarnation of A_L which results $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$ or $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}$ is due to the non-trivial automorphism φ . We rigorously explain this phenomena by proving the following theorem:

Theorem 3.14. *Let \mathcal{C} be a MTC, and A be a 2d condensable algebra in \mathcal{C} . Let $\phi \in \text{Aut}_{\boxtimes}^{\text{br}}(\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}})$ be a braided autoequivalence in $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}$ induced by an automorphism $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(A)$ of A . If two 2d condensable algebras $(A_1, m_1 : A_1 \otimes_A A_1 \rightarrow A_1)$ and $(A_2, m_2 : A_2 \otimes_A A_2 \rightarrow A_2)$ in $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}$ are connected by ϕ , i.e. $\phi(A_1) \cong A_2$ or $\phi(A_2) \cong A_1$. Then the extensions of A_1 and A_2 over A are isomorphic in \mathcal{C} .*

Proof. Let A_0 denote the image of A_1 and A_2 under forgetful functor $U : \mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Suppose $A_1 = (A_0, r_1)$, then we have $\phi(A_1) = (A_0, r_1 \circ (\text{id} \otimes \varphi))$. Let $f : \phi(A_1) \rightarrow A_2$ be the algebra isomorphism in $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}$, i.e. the

following diagram commutes in \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \phi(A_1) \otimes_A \phi(A_1) & \xrightarrow{\phi(m_1)} & \phi(A_1) \\ f \otimes_A f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ A_2 \otimes_A A_2 & \xrightarrow{m_2} & A_2 \end{array}$$

Note that $\phi(m_1) = m_1$, so by applying forgetful functor U on this diagram, we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_0 \otimes_A A_0 & \xrightarrow{m_1} & A_0 \\ f \otimes_A f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ A_0 \otimes_A A_0 & \xrightarrow{m_2} & A_0 \end{array}$$

The extension $\text{Ext}_A(A_i)$ of A_i over A is given by $(A_0, m_i^{ext} : A_0 \otimes A_0 \xrightarrow{p_{A_0, A_0}} A_0 \otimes_A A_0 \xrightarrow{m_i} A_0)$ [Dav10b]. To show that $\text{Ext}_A(A_1)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Ext}_A(A_2)$, we prove the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_0 \otimes A_0 & \xrightarrow{p_{A_0, A_0}} & A_0 \otimes_A A_0 & \xrightarrow{m_1} & A_0 \\ f \otimes f \downarrow & & f \otimes_A f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ A_0 \otimes A_0 & \xrightarrow{p_{A_0, A_0}} & A_0 \otimes_A A_0 & \xrightarrow{m_2} & A_0 \end{array}$$

where the left sub-diagram commutes by the universal property of coequalizer. The case for $\phi(A_2) \cong A_1$ is the same. \square

In section 4.1.3, we find an issue of DNO's classification theorem [DNO12, Theorem 3.6] of 2d condensable algebras in $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}$. We will use Theorem 3.14 to the S_3 topological order to explain why DNO's statement is not rigorous enough.

4 Examples

In this section we give some physical examples to exhibit the power of Arrows in Trinity 3. In section 4.1.1, we explicitly compute left and right centers of 1d condensable algebras in \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order $\mathfrak{Z}(\overline{\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2)})$ and lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\overline{\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2)}) \boxtimes \overline{\mathfrak{Z}(\overline{\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2)})}$, in which we demonstrate that by using Arrow 2 and Arrow 3, we can obtain 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras. We also illustrate all other Arrows precisely in this example. Realizations of 1d condensable algebras and their left/right centers are also constructed on toric code model. In section 4.1.2, besides computing left/right centers of 1d condensable algebras to obtain all 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras, we also illustrate non-trivial examples of Arrow 6. A general computation method of left/right centers for abelian group cases called Kreuzer-Schellekens bicharacters is also mentioned in this subsection. In section 4.1.3, we review the characters in $\mathfrak{Z}(\overline{\text{Rep}(G)})$ and use them to compute lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\overline{\text{Rep}(G)}) \boxtimes \overline{\mathfrak{Z}(\overline{\text{Rep}(G)})}$ to obtain 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras, this method can be applied to any finite group G . In the end of this section, we discuss some examples beyond group-like gauge symmetries.

4.1 Group gauge symmetries $\mathfrak{Z}(\overline{\text{Rep}(G)})$

We first discuss the MTCs with traditional gauge symmetries, namely, these topological orders that can be described by $\mathfrak{Z}(\overline{\text{Vec}_G}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\overline{\text{Rep}(G)})$ with some finite group G . This kind of 2d bulk phase can also be realized by the Kitaev quantum double model [Kit03]. In [Dav10b], Davydov classifies condensable algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\overline{\text{Vec}_G})$:

Theorem 4.1. A 2d-condensable algebra $A(H, F, \omega, \epsilon)$ in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G)$ is determined by a subgroup $H \subset G$, a normal subgroup $F \triangleleft H$, a 2-cocycle $\omega \in Z^2(F, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ and $\epsilon : H \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ satisfying some axioms. (More precisely, $A(H, F, \omega, \epsilon) = \text{Fun}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[H]} \mathbb{C}[F, \omega, \epsilon]$, see appendix A for the detail conditions). The algebra $A(H, F, \omega, \epsilon)$ is lagrangian if and only if $F = H$. In this case, ϵ is uniquely determined by ω . Or to say, a lagrangian algebra in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G)$ is determined by a pair $(H \subset G, \omega)$, where $\omega \in H^2(H, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ is a 2-cohomology class.

Using this classification theorem of 2d condensable algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G)$, we can obtain all 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G)$ through Arrow 2 in Trinity 3: By Theorem 2.3, first we need to know the classification of lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G))$. Since $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G)$ is a MTC, we have $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G)) \simeq \overline{\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G)} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_{G \times G})$ [Dav10b]. Then we can use Theorem 4.1 to find all lagrangian algebra in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_{G \times G})$. After that, we can obtain all 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G)$ by intersecting all lagrangian in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_{G \times G})$ with left/right components of $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G) \boxtimes \mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G)$ (Corollary 2.2).


Sometimes, it is easier to find all 1d condensable algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G)$ rather finding all lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_{G \times G})$. For abelian group G , 1d condensable algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G)$ can be written explicitly as a twisted group algebra $\mathbb{C}[H, \omega]$, where H is a subgroup of $G \times G$ and $\omega \in H^2(H, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ [Ost03a]. Hence for abelian group G , we can also write all the 1d condensable algebras directly and compute their left/right centers to obtain 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras, i.e. we can classify the 2d condensable algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G)$ using Arrow 3 in Trinity 3.

We illustrate some explicit examples using both methods in this subsection.

4.1.1 Toric code model $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2))$

We start from the most well known topological order, the 2d toric code model described categorically by MTC $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2))$ (or we simply denoted by $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}$). Here we can translate the abstract process in the Trinity 3 into concrete lattice models.

Recall the lattice model of a toric code model [Kit03]. Here we consider a square lattice where each edge has a 1/2 spin. The local Hilbert space for each edge e is $\mathcal{H}_e = \mathbb{C}^2$ and the total Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\text{tot}} = \bigotimes_e \mathcal{H}_e$. For each vertex v we define a vertex operator $A_v := \prod_e \sigma_x^e$ acting on adjacent edges; For each plaquette p , we define a dual operator $B_p := \prod_{e'} \sigma_z^{e'}$. Here σ_x^e and σ_z^e are Pauli matrices acting on edge e .



And the Hamiltonian is defined to be

$$H := \sum_v (1 - A_v) + \sum_p (1 - B_p).$$

In this lattice model, we have 4 simple objects (topological excitations): $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{f}$, where \mathbf{e} is the \mathbb{Z}_2 -charge and \mathbf{m} is the \mathbb{Z}_2 -flux. Their fusion rules are $\mathbf{e} \otimes \mathbf{e} \cong \mathbf{m} \otimes \mathbf{m} \cong \mathbf{f} \otimes \mathbf{f} \cong \mathbf{1}$, $\mathbf{e} \otimes \mathbf{m} \cong \mathbf{f} \cong \mathbf{m} \otimes \mathbf{e}$. The non-trivial braiding is generated by $\beta_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{m}} = -1$. It is well-known that there are three 2d condensable algebras in $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}$: the trivial condensable algebra $\mathbf{1}$, and two lagrangian algebras $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}$, which correspond to the rough boundary $\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ and the smooth boundary $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ respectively. So we have $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \xrightarrow{2\text{-Morita}} \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}$. Although $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}$ is a simple example, it is clear enough to show the power of our methods.

We first use Arrow 3 in Trinity 3 to classify the 2-Morita equivalent E_2 condensable algebras in $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}$. There are six 1d condensable algebras $\mathbb{C}[H, \omega]$ in $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}$: five correspond to five subgroups H of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$,

the other one corresponds to the non-trivial 2-cohomology class of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. For example, $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_2^f] = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f}$ for the subgroup \mathbb{Z}_2^f generated by $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{f}$. We list all the six 1d condensable algebras in the first column of Table 1 below.

$B_i \in \mathcal{TC}$	$Z_i(B_i)/Z_r(B_i)$	Domain wall	Lagrangian algebras $L_i \in \mathcal{TC} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{TC}}$
$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}/\mathbf{1}$	trivial wall	$\overline{\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{e}\mathbf{e}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{m}\mathbf{m}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{f}}$
$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f}$	$\mathbf{1}/\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m}$ exchange	$\overline{\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{m}\mathbf{e}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{e}\mathbf{m}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{f}}$
$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}/\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$	$\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \boxtimes \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$	$\overline{\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{e}\mathbf{1}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{1}\mathbf{e}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{e}\mathbf{e}}$
$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}/\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}$	$\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2) \boxtimes \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$	$\overline{\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{m}\mathbf{1}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{1}\mathbf{m}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{m}\mathbf{m}}$
$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{f}$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}/\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}$	$\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \boxtimes \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$	$\overline{\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{e}\mathbf{1}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{1}\mathbf{m}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{e}\mathbf{m}}$
$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{f}, \omega$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}/\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$	$\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2) \boxtimes \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$	$\overline{\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{m}\mathbf{1}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{1}\mathbf{e}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{m}\mathbf{e}}$

Table 1: table of \mathcal{TC} , $i \in 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$

Inspired by the method that adding a trap B_{p_0} results in a double degenerate state $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}$ in [KZ22], we develop the lattice model depiction of 1d condensable algebras B_i . Suppose our the vertex on lattice has a coordinate (j, k) where columns labeled by j and rows labeled by k , and our domain walls are at column 0. For example, given a plaquette $p_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}$ and a vertex $v_{0,0}$ in the neighborhood of column 0 (see figure 9 (e)), we can add a local trap $A_{v_{0,0}} + B_{p_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}}$ to the original Hamiltonian H , and obtain a new Hamiltonian:

$$H' := H + A_{v_{0,0}} + B_{p_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}} = \sum_{v \neq v_{0,0}} (1 - A_v) + \sum_{p \neq p_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}} (1 - B_p) + 2$$

The new ground state subspace of H' is 4-fold degenerate, which can be distinguished by the eigenvalues of $A_{v_{0,0}} = \pm 1$ and $B_{p_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}} = \pm 1$. The state with eigenvalues $A_{v_{0,0}} = 1$ and $B_{p_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}} = 1$ is the ground state of the original Hamiltonian H and generates the topological excitation $\mathbf{1}$; the state with $A_{v_{0,0}} = 1$ and $B_{p_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}} = -1$ generates \mathbf{m} ; the state with $A_{v_{0,0}} = -1$ and $B_{p_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}} = 1$ generates \mathbf{e} ; and the state with $A_{v_{0,0}} = -1$ and $B_{p_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}} = -1$ generates \mathbf{f} . As a consequence, the topological excitation generated by the local trap $A_{v_{0,0}} + B_{p_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}}$ is $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{f}$.

However, the relative position between A_v and B_p is not interchangeable. More precisely, changing trap $A_{v_{0,0}} + B_{p_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}}$ to $B_{p_{-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}} + A_{v_{0,0}}$ would lead to a non-trivial half braiding $\beta_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{m}} = -1$ between \mathbf{e} and \mathbf{m} , despite the excitations generated by these two traps remain the same (we illustrate the braiding distinctions on lattice model in fig.11). Mathematically, this non-trivial braiding is encoded in the non-trivial 2-cohomology class $\omega = -1$, which is due to a multiplication choice between $(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}) \otimes (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m})$ and $(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}) \otimes (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e})$. The non-interchangeability also shows that B_5 and B_6 are not commutative.

We depict all six 1d condensable algebras B_i in \mathcal{TC} graphically in the lattice model in figure 9. $B_3 = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$ and $B_4 = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}$ can be obtained by adding traps similar to H' directly. $C_{-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}$ and $D_{\frac{1}{2}, 0}$ are 'interlocked' operators across each other according to [KK12], in which it translate an \mathbf{m} to an \mathbf{e} and vice versa.




Figure 9: Lattice realizations of 1d condensable algebra B_i in the first column of Table 1 locally. Condensing B_i on trivial wall \mathcal{TC} is equivalent to removing these thick edges for all k along the neighborhood of column 0. See the left subfigures of fig. 10-12 below.

Now we compute their left/right centers to obtain 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras in \mathcal{TC} . Since \mathcal{TC} is a \mathcal{TC} - \mathcal{TC} bimodule category, we can use Davydov's right/left center to perform the calculation (see Remark 2.3). Davydov's right/left center is more efficient because it only considers the maximal commutative subalgebra of B such that the diagram 12 commutes. It is clear that B_1, B_3 and B_4 are commutative algebras, their left and right centers are themselves. Since the maximal commutative subalgebra of $B_2 = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f}$ is $\mathbf{1}$, the left/right center of B_2 can only be $\mathbf{1}$. We choose $B_5 = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{f}$ as a non-trivial example to display the calculation of left/right center.

Example 4.1. $B_5 = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{f}$ can be regarded as the tensor of two commutative algebras $A_e := \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$ and $A_m := \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}$, i.e. $B_5 \cong (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}) \otimes (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m})$. So there are two candidates A_e and A_m to consider. Here we prove that the subalgebra $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m} \cong \mathbf{1} \otimes A_m$ of $B_5 \cong A_e \otimes A_m$ is the Davydov's left center $C_l(B_5)$, and $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \cong A_e \otimes \mathbf{1}$ is not. Indeed, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(\mathbf{1} \otimes A_m) \otimes (A_e \otimes A_m) & \xrightarrow{\beta_{A_m A_e}} & (\mathbf{1} \otimes A_e) \otimes (A_m \otimes A_m) \\
\downarrow \beta_{A_m A_e} & \curvearrowright & \searrow \\
(A_e \otimes A_m) \otimes (\mathbf{1} \otimes A_m) & \xrightarrow{\beta_{A_m \mathbf{1} = \text{id}}} & (A_e \otimes \mathbf{1}) \otimes (A_m \otimes A_m) \\
& & \nearrow \\
& & A_e \otimes A_m
\end{array}$$

Hence we have $Z_r(B_5) \cong C_l(B_5) \cong A_m = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}$. In addition, the following diagram does not commute,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(A_e \otimes \mathbf{1}) \otimes (A_e \otimes A_m) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & (A_e \otimes A_e) \otimes (\mathbf{1} \otimes A_m) \\
\downarrow \beta_{A_e A_m} & \curvearrowright & \searrow \\
(A_e \otimes A_m) \otimes (A_e \otimes \mathbf{1}) & \xrightarrow{\beta_{A_m A_e}} & (A_e \otimes A_e) \otimes (A_m \otimes \mathbf{1}) \\
& & \nearrow \\
& & A_e \otimes A_m
\end{array}$$

since $\beta_{A_e A_m} = \text{id} \oplus \text{id} \oplus \text{id} \oplus \beta_{e, m} = \text{id} \oplus \text{id} \oplus \text{id} \oplus -\text{id}$ and $\beta_{A_m A_e} = \text{id}$. So $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \cong A_e \otimes \mathbf{1}$ is not Davydov's left center of B_5 . However, we can prove that $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$ is Davydov's right center $C_r(B_5)$ of B_5 by a similar process. Hence, we have $Z_l(B_5) \cong C_r(B_5) = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$. Therefore, $Z_l(B_5) \cong \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \overset{2\text{-Morita}}{\cong} \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m} \cong Z_r(B_5)$.




Figure 11: In the upper cases, B_5 and B_6 located on the domain wall can not expand itself freely into the 2d bulk due to the non-trivial braiding $\beta_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{m}} = -1$ in \mathcal{TC} . In case of B_5 , $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$ can be expanded to the left bulk of the domain wall. The half braiding $\beta_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{e}} = 1$ from the left side of the wall is trivial. $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}$ in this case is blocked by the structure of wall from going to the right bulk. This shows $Z_l(B_5)$ should be $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$. For a similar reason $Z_r(B_5)$ should be $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}$. In case of B_6 , we have a mirrored situation. Although the half braiding $\beta_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{m}}$ depicted in this case is -1 , we need to multiply the non-trivial 2-cohomology class $\omega = -1$, which again results in commutativity of $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$ with B_6 from right side.

The above figures with 1d condensations of B_3, B_4, B_5 and B_6 depict four non-invertible domain walls in \mathcal{TC} . We can understand them by piecing together gapped boundaries $\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ two by two, see the right parts of the upper figures.





Figure 12: Since $\mathbf{e} \otimes \mathbf{f} \cong \mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{m} \otimes \mathbf{f} \cong \mathbf{e}$, $B_2 = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f}$ encodes an interchange between \mathbf{m} and \mathbf{e} (also called electro-magnetic duality). Only $\mathbf{1}$ commutes with B_2 from left and right bulks. 1d condensing B_2 on the trivial wall leads to the $\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m}$ exchange domain wall, we can realize this duality on lattice model by taking dual lattice at the right side.

B_1 and B_2 correspond to two invertible domain walls (we omit the figure of the trivial domain wall $\mathcal{TC} = {}_{B_1}\mathcal{TC}_{B_1}$), which are characterized by the group of braided auto-equivalence $\text{Aut}_{\otimes}^{br}(\mathcal{TC}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ of \mathcal{TC} .

Through above figures we show how six 1d condensable algebras $B_i \in \mathcal{TC}$ correspond to six stable gapped domain walls ${}_{B_i}\mathcal{TC}_{B_i}$ in the lattice model of \mathcal{TC} (see the third column of Table. 1). For more developments on this lattice model technique, see discussions of 1d condensable algebras in our future work. We summarize above results in the following Table, where H and F are subgroups of \mathbb{Z}_2 appearing in Davydov's classification of condensable algebras.

H	F	E_2 condensable algebras in \mathcal{TC}	Condensed phase \mathcal{TC}_A^{loc}	Domain walls	Total: 6
\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}$ $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$	Vec		non-invertible: $2 \times 2 = 4$
\mathbb{Z}_2	$\{e\}$	$\mathbf{1}$	\mathcal{TC}		invertible: 2

1d condensable algebras in \mathcal{TC} can also be recovered by 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras, i.e. $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 6}}$ in Trinity 3. When the condensed phase of the pair of 2-Morita equivalent 2d condensable algebras (A_1, A_2) is Vec (second row of above table), the extended tensor product of A_1 and A_2 is the tensor product of extension of ϕ -algebra $\mathbf{1} \in \text{Vec}$ over A_1 and the extension of $\mathbf{1}$ over A_2 , which is $A_1 \otimes A_2$. Hence, 1d condensable algebra B is an indecomposable subalgebra of $A_1 \otimes A_2$. Precisely speaking, for $A_1 = A_2 = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$, $A_1 \otimes A_2 \cong \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{1}$, so we pick the subalgebra $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$ as B_3 ; for $A_1 = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$ and $A_2 = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}$, $A_1 \otimes A_2 \cong \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{f}$ is indecomposable, so B_5 is $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{f}$. Similarly, we can recover B_4 and B_6 . In these cases, we can say there is no "categorical entanglement" between the left and right bulks \mathcal{TC} .

In the situation of the two invertible ones (third row of above table), we have two ϕ -algebras in the condensed phase \mathcal{TC} corresponding to the trivial domain wall and the $\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m}$ exchange domain wall: $B_{\text{id}} = \mathbf{1}$ and $B_{\phi_{\mathbf{e}-\mathbf{m}}} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f}$, which is an indecomposable subalgebra of tensor product of ϕ -twisted lagrangian algebras $L_\phi = \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Irr}} x \boxtimes \phi(x^*)$ in $\mathcal{TC} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{TC}}$. The extended tensor product of 2d condensable algebra $A_1 = \mathbf{1} = A_2$ is the extension of ϕ -algebra $B_\phi = \otimes(L_\phi)$ over $\mathbf{1}$, which is B_ϕ itself. In the case of $B_{\text{id}} = \mathbf{1}$, the tensor product of canonical lagrangian algebras is a direct sum of $\mathbf{1}$'s, so B_1 is just $\mathbf{1}$; for $B_{\phi_{\mathbf{e}-\mathbf{m}}}$, the tensor product of the $\phi_{\mathbf{e}-\mathbf{m}}$ -twisted lagrangian algebra is $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f} \oplus \mathbf{f} \oplus \mathbf{1}$, in which B_2 can only be $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f}$. The left and right bulks can be understood as fully entangled in these two cases.

Now we show the other method of classifying 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras, namely $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 2}}$ in Trinity 3. We first classify lagrangian algebras in $\mathcal{TC} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{TC}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2))$. By Theorem 4.1, the lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2))$ are of the form $(\text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[H]} \mathbb{C}[H, \omega], \epsilon)$ for subgroups H of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Example 4.2. For example, when $H = \{e\}$, ω and ϵ must be trivial, the corresponding lagrangian is $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C} \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Note that the function algebra $\text{Fun}(G)$, when forget to Vec_G , must have trivial grading and contains all irreducible representations V of G by $\dim(V)$ times (see Appendix A). Since \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 are simple objects with trivial grading in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2))$, $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ can only be $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}_1 \oplus \mathbf{e}_2 \oplus \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_2 \in \mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2))$. Under the equivalence $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)) \rightarrow \mathcal{TC} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{TC}}$, we obtain $\mathbf{1}\overline{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \mathbf{e}\overline{\mathbf{e}} \oplus \mathbf{m}\overline{\mathbf{m}} \oplus \mathbf{f}\overline{\mathbf{f}} \in \mathcal{TC} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{TC}}^{10}$ which we denote by L_1 .

Based on this method, we compute all lagrangian algebras in $\mathcal{TC} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{TC}}$, results are listed in the column 4 of Table. 1. By intersecting L_i with left and right components of $\mathcal{TC} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{TC}}$, we can obtain pairs of


¹⁰Here we use \overline{x} to denote the object in $\overline{\mathcal{TC}}$ and we omit \boxtimes for the sake of simplicity.

2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras immediately, which corresponds to column 2 of Table 1. For example, from $\mathbf{1}\bar{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \mathbf{m}\bar{\mathbf{e}} \oplus \mathbf{e}\bar{\mathbf{m}} \oplus \mathbf{f}\bar{\mathbf{f}}$, we can obtain 2-Morita equivalent pair $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$; from $\mathbf{1}\bar{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \mathbf{e}\bar{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \mathbf{1}\bar{\mathbf{m}} \oplus \mathbf{e}\bar{\mathbf{m}}$, we can obtain 2-Morita equivalent pair $(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m})$.

Remark 4.1. Finding lagrangian algebras of a pointed MTC $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$ for an Abelian group G can also be translated to the classification of isotropic subgroups of the corresponding metric group $(G \times \hat{G}, q)$ [DGNO10].

Also lagrangian algebras in $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}}$ are not hard to be reconstructed from these 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras by using $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 1}}$ in Trinity 3. Due to Remark 2.2, we can obtain L_3, L_4, L_5 and L_6 in column 4 of Table 1 by substituting $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}$ into $A_i^L \boxtimes A_i^L$; The canonical lagrangian algebra $L_1 = \otimes^R(\mathbf{1})$ is given by $\bigoplus_{x \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C})} x \boxtimes x^* = \mathbf{1}\bar{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \mathbf{e}\bar{\mathbf{e}} \oplus \mathbf{m}\bar{\mathbf{m}} \oplus \mathbf{f}\bar{\mathbf{f}}$ [DKR11], where $\boxtimes : \mathcal{T}\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}$ is the tensor functor; Twist L_1 by the $\mathbf{e}\text{-}\mathbf{m}$ exchange braided autoequivalence ϕ , i.e. $\bigoplus_{x \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C})} x \boxtimes \phi(x^*)$, we obtain L_2 .

We can also give the bijection between 1d condensable algebras in $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}$ and lagrangian algebras in $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}}$ through $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 4}}$ and $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 5}}$ in Trinity 3. This algebraic level bijection also induces an bijection between their module categories, which corresponds to the gapped domain walls in $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}$ and the gapped boundaries of $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C})$, see the figure below.



From lagrangian algebras L_i to 1d condensable algebras B_i , we apply tensor functor $\boxtimes : \mathcal{T}\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}$, the image is a direct sum of 1-Morita equivalent B_i in $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}$, e.g. $L_1 = \mathbf{1}\bar{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \mathbf{e}\bar{\mathbf{e}} \oplus \mathbf{m}\bar{\mathbf{m}} \oplus \mathbf{f}\bar{\mathbf{f}}$ becomes $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1}$ under this functor, which is a direct sum of four B_1 , and $L_5 = \mathbf{1}\bar{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \mathbf{e}\bar{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \mathbf{1}\bar{\mathbf{m}} \oplus \mathbf{e}\bar{\mathbf{m}}$ becomes $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{f} = B_5$.

On the other hand, L_i can be obtained by taking full centers of 1d condensable algebras B_i . We can use internal homs $[B_i, B_i]_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}}}$ to compute these full centers $Z(B_i)$ [KYZZ21]. We compute $Z(\mathbf{1}) = [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}]_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}}}$ as an example. Note that the $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}}$ action on $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \odot : \mathcal{T}\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}} \times \mathcal{T}\mathcal{C} &\rightarrow \mathcal{T}\mathcal{C} \\ (x \boxtimes y, c) &\mapsto (x \otimes y) \otimes c \end{aligned}$$

So by the following adjunction and Schur's Lemma [EGNO15]:

$$\text{hom}_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}}((x \boxtimes y) \odot \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) \cong \text{hom}_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}}}(x \boxtimes y, [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}])$$

we can see $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}]$ contains $x \boxtimes y$ if and only if $x \otimes y$ contains $\mathbf{1}$. Going through all the simple objects in $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}}$, it is not hard to see $\mathbf{1}\bar{\mathbf{1}}$, $\mathbf{e}\bar{\mathbf{e}}$, $\mathbf{m}\bar{\mathbf{m}}$ and $\mathbf{f}\bar{\mathbf{f}}$ are tensored to $\mathbf{1}$. Therefore, we have $Z(\mathbf{1}) \cong [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}] \cong \mathbf{1}\bar{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \mathbf{e}\bar{\mathbf{e}} \oplus \mathbf{m}\bar{\mathbf{m}} \oplus \mathbf{f}\bar{\mathbf{f}}$. For a detailed calculation of other cases, see [YWL24, Section 6.3].

4.1.2 $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$ and abelian cases

Now we step into a more complex case $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$ for an abelian group G . Recall in the beginning of this section that it is more convenient to find 1d condensable algebras written as $\mathbb{C}[H, \omega]$ in the case $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G)$ for G abelian. By [FRS04], we can use Kreuzer–Schellekens bicharacters to compute left/right center directly through the group data (H, ω) , in which we perform in the second half of this subsection.

Before illustrating this method, we pick $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4)) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_4})$ as an explicit example to perform $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 3}}$ and $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 6}}$ in Trinity 3. Data of MTC $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$ are listed as follows.

- The simple objects in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$ can be written as $\{\mathbf{e}^\alpha \mathbf{m}^\beta \mid \alpha, \beta = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$, where \mathbf{e} denotes the elementary \mathbb{Z}_4 -charge and \mathbf{m} denotes the elementary \mathbb{Z}_4 -flux.
- The fusion rule of two simple objects $\mathbf{e}^{\alpha_1} \mathbf{m}^{\beta_1}$ and $\mathbf{e}^{\alpha_2} \mathbf{m}^{\beta_2}$ is given by $\mathbf{e}^{\alpha_1} \mathbf{m}^{\beta_1} \otimes \mathbf{e}^{\alpha_2} \mathbf{m}^{\beta_2} \simeq \mathbf{e}^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \mathbf{m}^{\beta_1 + \beta_2}$.
- The braiding of $\mathbf{e}^{\alpha_1} \mathbf{m}^{\beta_1}$ and $\mathbf{e}^{\alpha_2} \mathbf{m}^{\beta_2}$ is given by:

$$\beta_{\alpha_1, \beta_1; \alpha_2, \beta_2} : \mathbf{e}^{\alpha_1} \mathbf{m}^{\beta_1} \otimes \mathbf{e}^{\alpha_2} \mathbf{m}^{\beta_2} \xrightarrow{i^{\alpha_1 \beta_2}} \mathbf{e}^{\alpha_2} \mathbf{m}^{\beta_2} \otimes \mathbf{e}^{\alpha_1} \mathbf{m}^{\beta_1}.$$

Remark 4.2. Data of MTC $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_N))$ for all $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ can be generated by \mathbf{e} and \mathbf{m} through a similar process.

Similar to \mathbb{Z}_2 case, we can first list all pairs (H, ω) where $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ and $\omega \in \text{H}^2(H, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ (column 1 of Table 2¹¹), then directly list all 1d condensable algebras $\mathbb{C}[H, \omega]$ (see column 2 of Table 2). Again by taking the left and right centers of B_i in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$ similar to the algorithm in \mathcal{TC} , we can obtain all 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$. Results are listed in column 3 of Table 2, we see there are four 2-Morita equivalence classes:

1. lagrangian algebras $\{\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^3, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{f}^2\}$ that condense to Vec ;
2. $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f}^2$, which condense to double semion $\mathcal{DS} := \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^\alpha \boxtimes \overline{\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^\alpha}$ [HW14];
3. $\{\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2\}$, which condense to the \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order, i.e. $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))_{\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2}^{\text{loc}} \simeq \mathcal{TC} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))_{\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2}^{\text{loc}}$;
4. trivial condensable algebra $\mathbf{1}$ that condenses to $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$ itself.

Column 3 of table 2 contains all seven 2d condensable algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$, which coincides with Davydov’s classification by Theorem 4.1.

Remark 4.3. By dimension formula [KO02]

$$\dim(\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}) = \frac{\dim(\mathcal{C})}{\dim(A)^2}$$

It is not hard to see that 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras must have same dimension. However, the converse is not true, \mathbb{Z}_4 quantum double provides a counter-example: $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f}^2$ and $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2$ both have dimension 2, but the corresponding condensed phase \mathcal{DS} and \mathcal{TC} are not equivalent.

Remark 4.4. By condensing $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2$ and $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2$, $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$ can condense to \mathcal{TC} . And from \mathcal{TC} we can also condense $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}$ to Vec . Extend $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}$ over $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2$ and $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2$, we will obtain lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$. For example, $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2}(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}) = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3$, and $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2}(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}) = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{f}^2$.

Now we illustrate gapped domain walls associated to these 2-Morita equivalence classes of 2d condensable algebras (see fourth column of table 2).

¹¹Here we assume element $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ corresponds to $\mathbf{e}^\alpha \mathbf{m}^\beta$, and by $\langle\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle\rangle$, we mean the subgroups generated by the elements (α, β) .

Table 2: Results of $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 21, 22$

(H, ω)	B_i	$A_i = Z_l(B_i)/A_r = Z_r(B_i)$	Domain Wall
$\{e\}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}$	$\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$
$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \{e\}$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2$	$\mathcal{S}^e \boxtimes_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{S}^e$
$\{e\} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2$	$\mathcal{S}^m \boxtimes_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{S}^m$
$\mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle (2, 2) \rangle$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \mathbf{m}^2 (\simeq \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f}^2)$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f}^2, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f}^2$	$\mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{N}$
$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\omega = 1$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{f}^2$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{f}^2, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{f}^2$	$\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}$
$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\omega = -1$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{f}^2, \omega = -1$	$\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}$	$\phi_{1 \leftrightarrow 3}$
$\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \{e\}$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3$	$\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_4} \boxtimes \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}$
$\{e\} \times \mathbb{Z}_4$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^3$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^3, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^3$	$\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4) \boxtimes \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4)$
$\mathbb{Z}_4 = \langle (1, 1) \rangle$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f} \oplus \mathbf{f}^2 \oplus \mathbf{f}^3$	$\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}$	$\phi_{1 \leftrightarrow 3} \circ \phi_{e-m}$
$\mathbb{Z}_4 = \langle (1, 3) \rangle$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \mathbf{m}^3 \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3 \mathbf{m}$	$\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}$	ϕ_{e-m}
$\mathbb{Z}_4 = \langle (1, 2) \rangle$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3 \mathbf{m}^2$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2$	$\mathcal{S}^e \boxtimes_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{S}^e, \phi$
$\mathbb{Z}_4 = \langle (2, 1) \rangle$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \mathbf{m}^3$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2$	$\mathcal{S}^m \boxtimes_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{S}^m, \phi$
$\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\omega = 1$	$(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3) \otimes (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2)$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{f}^2$	$\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_4} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}$
$\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\omega = -1$	$(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2) \otimes (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3)$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{f}^2, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3$	$\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}$
$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$, $\omega = 1$	$(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2) \otimes (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^3)$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{f}^2, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^3$	$\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4)$
$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$, $\omega = -1$	$(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^3) \otimes (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2)$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^3, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{f}^2$	$\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4) \boxtimes \mathcal{M}$
$\mathbb{Z}_4^{(1,3)} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\omega = 1$	$(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \mathbf{m}^3 \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3 \mathbf{m}) \otimes (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2)$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2$	$\mathcal{S}^e \boxtimes_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{S}^m$
$\mathbb{Z}_4^{(1,3)} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\omega = -1$	$(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \mathbf{m}^3 \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3 \mathbf{m}) \otimes (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2)$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2$	$\mathcal{S}^m \boxtimes_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{S}^e$
$\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$, $\omega = 1$	$(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3) \otimes (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^3)$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^3$	$\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_4} \boxtimes \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4)$
$\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$, $\omega = -1$	$(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^3) \otimes (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3)$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^3, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3$	$\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4) \boxtimes \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}$
$\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$, $\omega = i$	$(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3 \mathbf{m}^2) \otimes (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \mathbf{m}^3)$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2$	$\mathcal{S}^e \boxtimes_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{S}^m, \phi$
$\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$, $\omega = -i$	$(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \mathbf{m}^3) \otimes (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3 \mathbf{m}^2)$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2$	$\mathcal{S}^m \boxtimes_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{S}^e, \phi$

1. Denote \mathcal{M} as the gapped boundary of $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$ by condensing $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{f}^2$; $\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4)$ as the boundary by condensing $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^3$; and $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}$ as the boundary by condensing $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3$. There are nine gapped domain walls associated to lagrangian algebras.
2. Denote \mathcal{N} as the domain wall between \mathbb{Z}_4 quantum double $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$ and the double semion \mathcal{DS} . Since $\text{Aut}_{\otimes}^{\text{br}}(\mathcal{DS}) = \{e\}$, there is only one domain wall $\mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{DS}} \mathcal{N}$ in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$ associated to $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f}^2$.
3. Denote \mathcal{S}^e as the gapped domain wall between $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$ and \mathcal{TC} by condensing $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2$; and \mathcal{S}^m as the gapped domain wall between $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$ and \mathcal{TC} by condensing $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2$. Note that $\text{Aut}_{\otimes}^{\text{br}}(\mathcal{TC}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, so there are totally $2 \times 2 \times 2 = 8$ domain walls associated to the 2-Morita class $\{\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2\}$.
4. Invertible domain walls are characterized by braided autoequivalence $\text{Aut}_{\otimes}^{\text{br}}(\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4)))$. We know that $\text{Aut}_{\otimes}^{\text{br}}(\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))) = \mathbb{Z}_4^\times \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, where the first \mathbb{Z}_2 is generated by 1 – 3 order exchange, i.e. $\mathbf{e} \mapsto \mathbf{e}^3, \mathbf{m} \mapsto \mathbf{m}^3$ and the second \mathbb{Z}_2 is generated by $\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m}$ exchange. So there are totally four invertible domain walls.

We have combined the above situations pictorially in table 3, in which there are 22 gapped domain walls in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$ in total.





H	F	Condensable algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$	Condensed phase $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))_A^{\text{loc}}$	Domain walls	Total: 22
$\{e\}$ \mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_4	$\{e\}$ \mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_4	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3$ $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{f}^2$ $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^3$	Vec		non-invertible: $3 \times 3 = 9$
\mathbb{Z}_4	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f}^2$	\mathcal{DS}		non-invertible: 1
\mathbb{Z}_4 \mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2 $\{e\}$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2$ $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2$	\mathcal{TC}		non-invertible: $2 \times 2 \times 2 = 8$
\mathbb{Z}_4	$\{e\}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$		invertible: 4

Table 3: Seven 2d condensable algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$ are listed in the third column of the table. There are four 2-Morita equivalence classes of condensable algebra that condense to $\text{Vec}, \mathcal{DS}, \mathcal{TC}, \mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$ respectively. We have 22 domain walls in total (drawn in the fifth column), which can be written as the bimodule of twenty-two 1d condensable algebras B_i in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$, i.e. $B_i \mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))_{B_i}$. Four invertible domain walls in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$ can be counted by $\text{Aut}_{\otimes}^{\text{br}}(\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))) = \text{Pic}(\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4)))$

Remark 4.5. To see each domain wall more clearly, we can also use the module category $\mathcal{D}(H, K)$ of modified quantum double to describe these phases [BM07, HBJP23]. The quantum double $\text{Rep}(D(G)) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G)$ can be written as $\mathcal{D}(G, G)$, and the gapped domain wall produced by condensing 2d condensable algebra $A(H, F)$ in $\mathcal{D}(G, G)$ is $\mathcal{D}(G/F, H)$.

We can also use $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow 6}}$ in Trinity 3 to recover 1d condensable algebras from pairs of 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras (A_1, A_2) , $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$ provides a non-trivial example of extended tensor product.

- When the condensed phase of A_1 and A_2 is Vec , the 1d condensable algebras are indecomposable subalgebras of $A_1 \otimes A_2$, this produce B_i for $i = 5, 7, 8, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19, 20$.
- When the condensed phase is double semion, B_4 is obtained by the extension of $B_{\text{Id}} = \mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{DS}$ over $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f}^2$, which is $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f}^2$ itself, this recovers B_4 .
- When the condensed phase is \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order \mathcal{TC} , here A_1/A_2 is either $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2$ or $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2$, we need to discuss based on different situations:
 - When $A_1 = A_2$ and the symmetry $\phi \in \text{Aut}_{\otimes}^{\text{br}}(\mathcal{TC})$ is trivial, extension of $B_{\text{Id}} = \mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{TC}$ over A_1 recovers B_2 and B_3 , which are just $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2$ and $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2$.
 - When $A_1 = A_2$ but the symmetry $\phi \in \text{Aut}_{\otimes}^{\text{br}}(\mathcal{TC})$ is the non-trivial $\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m}$ exchange in \mathcal{TC} , we have $B_{\phi_{\mathbf{e}-\mathbf{m}}} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{TC}$. To compute the extension of B_{ϕ} over A_1 , we need to explicitly know how the condensation process from $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$ to \mathcal{TC} is controlled by A_1 . For example, by condensing $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2$, we have $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \mapsto \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{e}\mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3\mathbf{m}^2 \mapsto \mathbf{f}$, so $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2}(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f}) = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}\mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3\mathbf{m}^2$. This calculation process can give B_{11} and B_{12} .
 - When $A_1 \neq A_2$ but the symmetry $\phi \in \text{Aut}_{\otimes}^{\text{br}}(\mathcal{TC})$ is trivial, we can use $\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m}$ exchange in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_4))$ to reduce this case to the case that $A_1 = A_2$ with trivial inner symmetry. For example, when $A_1 = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2$ and $A_2 = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2$, the domain wall ${}_{B_{18}}\mathcal{C}_{B_{18}}$ can be regarded as a fusion of $\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m}$ exchange domain wall with the domain wall ${}_{B_2}\mathcal{C}_{B_2}$, see the following figure



The corresponding 1d condensable algebra B_{18} also should be the indecomposable subalgebra of the tensor product of B_{10} and B_2 , i.e. $(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}\mathbf{m}^3 \oplus \mathbf{e}^2\mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3\mathbf{m}) \otimes (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2)$. By this method, we can also obtain B_{17} .

- When $A_1 \neq A_2$ and the symmetry $\phi \in \text{Aut}_{\otimes}^{\text{br}}(\mathcal{TC})$ is the non-trivial $\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m}$ exchange in \mathcal{TC} , the corresponding 1d condensable algebra is the indecomposable subalgebra of $\text{Ext}_{A_1}(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f}) \otimes \text{Ext}_{A_2}(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f})$. Since $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2}(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f})$ and $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}^2}(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f})$ are B_{11} and B_{12} , we obtain B_{21} and B_{22} immediately.
- For $A_1 = \mathbf{1} = A_2$, $B_{\phi} = \otimes(L_{\phi})$ is indeed the 1d condensable algebra we need. By applying tensor functor on four ϕ -twisted lagrangian algebras L_{ϕ} , we obtain 1d condensable algebras $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}^2 \oplus \mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{f}^2, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f} \oplus \mathbf{f}^2 \oplus \mathbf{f}^3, \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}\mathbf{m}^3 \oplus \mathbf{e}^2\mathbf{m}^2 \oplus \mathbf{e}^3\mathbf{m}$, we obtain the last four 1d condensable algebras B_1, B_6, B_9, B_{10} .

For a general abelian gauge symmetry G , we can use the metric group $(G \times \hat{G}, q)$ to describe the MTC $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$ [EGNO15]. Then we can use the KS bicharacter to compute the left/right center of an 1d condensable algebra $\mathbb{C}[H, \omega]$.

Definition 4.3 ([KS94, FRS04]). Let (G, q) be a pre-metric group. For a subgroup $H \subset G$, we define a **Kreuzer–Schellekens bicharacter** (abbreviated as KS bicharacter) on H as a bicharacter

$$\Xi : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$$

such that $\Xi(g, g) = q(g)$ for each $g \in H$.

A natural choice of a KS bicharacter is the braiding β of the pointed braided fusion category $\mathcal{C}(G, q)$, in which $\mathcal{C}(G, q)$ one-to-one corresponds to pre-metric group (G, q) up to equivalence. However, since $\mathcal{C}(G, q)$ represents a braided equivalence class, there does not exist a canonical choice of braiding. So we fix a braiding β , then for a 2-cohomology class $\omega \in H^2(H, \mathbb{C}^\times)$, we can obtain a KS bicharacter associated to ω :

$$\Xi^\omega(g, h) := \beta_{g,h} \frac{\omega(g, h)}{\omega(h, g)} \quad (5)$$

Theorem 4.2 ([KS94, FRS04]). *Let $B = \mathbb{C}[H, \omega]$ be an 1d condensable algebra in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G)) \simeq \mathcal{C}(G \times \hat{G}, q)$. Then its left/right center has the support*

$$\begin{aligned} K_l(H, \omega) &:= \{g \in H \mid \Xi^\omega(h, g) = 1, \forall h \in H\} \\ K_r(H, \omega) &:= \{g \in H \mid \Xi^\omega(g, h) = 1, \forall h \in H\} \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $Z_l(B) \cong \bigoplus_{g \in K_l(H, \omega)} \mathbb{C}g$ and $Z_r(B) \cong \bigoplus_{g \in K_r(H, \omega)} \mathbb{C}g$.

The above Theorem provides a general method to compute left and right centers directly from group theoretical data that can be applied to any finite abelian group G .

4.1.3 $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$ and non-abelian cases

In this subsection, we discuss general finite gauge symmetry G , in which it might be non-abelian. We can use Arrow 2 on lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G \times G))$ to find all 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras.

We first review characters of $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$ which are similar to characters in representation theory of finite groups.

Definition 4.4 ([Ban94, Dav10b]). Let x be an object of $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$, we define the **character** χ_x associated to x to be the map from $\mathcal{C}^2(G) := \{(g, h) \in G \times G \mid gh = hg\}$ to \mathbb{C}^\times :

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_x : \mathcal{C}^2(G) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \\ (g, h) &\mapsto \text{tr}(x_g(h)) \end{aligned}$$

where x_g is the g -grading component of x , which is a G -representation.

We can compute characters for all simple objects in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$ to obtain a basis of space of characters. We call them the **irreducible** characters.

Next, we find all lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G \times G))$. By Theorem 4.1, lagrangian algebras $L(H, \omega)$ in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G \times G))$ are uniquely determined by a subgroup $H \subset G \times G$ and a 2-cohomology class $\omega \in H^2(H, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ up to conjugation. And by the following theorem, we can directly write down the character associated to $L(H, \omega)$ from group-theoretical data.

Theorem 4.3 ([Dav10b]). *Let $L(H, \omega)$ be the lagrangian algebra in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$ associated to the pair (H, ω) . We have*

$$\chi_{L(H, \omega)}(g, h) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{x \in G, xgx^{-1}, xhx^{-1} \in H} \frac{\omega(xgx^{-1}, xhx^{-1})}{\omega(xhx^{-1}, xgx^{-1})}$$

Since $\{\chi_x \mid x \in \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G \times G)))\}$ form a basis of space of characters, we can write the character $\chi_{L(H, \omega)}$ as a liner combination of these irreducible ones. This decomposition tells us the support of any lagrangian algebra $L(H, \omega)$ in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G \times G))$.

Example 4.5. Consider $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$. There are four irreducible characters $\chi_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0)$, $\chi_e = (1, -1, 0, 0)$, $\chi_m = (0, 0, 1, 1)$ and $\chi_f = (0, 0, 1, -1)$. The two subgroup \mathbb{Z}_2 and $\{e\}$ determines two lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2))$. By Theorem 4.3, we have $\chi_{L(\mathbb{Z}_2)} = (1, 1, 1, 1) = \chi_1 + \chi_m$ and $\chi_{L(\{e\})} = (2, 0, 0, 0) = \chi_1 + \chi_e$. Thus we recover $L(\mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}$ and $L(\{e\}) = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$.

After finding characters of all lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G \times G)) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G)) \boxtimes \overline{\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))}$ explicitly, we can write them as the sum over irreducible characters.

$$\chi_L = \sum_{ij} Z_{ij} \chi_i \chi_j^*$$

Here the coefficient matrix Z_{ij} take values in integers \mathbb{Z} . χ_L is also called the *partition function* associated to L [BE00]. The lagrangian algebra L can be written as $L = \bigoplus_{i,j} Z_{ij} i \boxtimes \bar{j}$. By intersecting all lagrangian algebras with left/right components of $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G)) \boxtimes \overline{\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))}$, which is Arrow 2 in Trinity 3, we obtain all 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras $\{(A_l, A_r)\}$ in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$. Indeed, $A_l = L \cap (\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G)) \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) = \bigoplus_i Z_{i0} i \boxtimes \mathbf{1}$ is determined by the first column Z_{i0} of the coupling matrix Z_{ij} and $A_r = L \cap (\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \overline{\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))}) = \bigoplus_j Z_{0j} \mathbf{1} \boxtimes \bar{j}$ is determined by the first row Z_{0j} of the coupling matrix Z_{ij} .

Now we apply above method to the simplest example of non-Abelian symmetric group, i.e. the symmetric group S_3 . For a MTC with S_3 gauge symmetry, it can be described categorically by $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3)) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_{S_3})$. The simple objects of $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$ are characterized by the conjugacy class $C(g)$ and irreducible representations of its centralizer $Z(C(g))$ [BK01], in which we obtain 8 simple objects, we denote them by **A** to **H** [CCW16]. See the table below.

Table 4: $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$

$C(g)$	$Z(C(g))$	IrrRep	Simple Obj	Dim	Character
$\{e\}$	S_3	1	A	1	χ_A
		π	B	1	χ_B
		S	C	2	χ_C
$\{t, t^2\}$	\mathbb{Z}_3	1	F	2	χ_F
		ω	G	2	χ_G
		ω^2	H	2	χ_H
$\{s, st, st^2\}$	\mathbb{Z}_2	1	D	3	χ_D
		E	E	3	χ_E

- The category $\text{Rep}(S_3)$ of \mathbb{C} -linear representations of S_3 has three simple objects: the trivial representation **1**, the sign representation π and the standard representation S . The fusion rule of $\text{Rep}(S_3)$ is given by $\pi \otimes \pi \cong \mathbf{1}$, $\pi \otimes S \cong S \cong S \otimes \pi$, $S \otimes S \cong \mathbf{1} \oplus \pi \oplus S$.
- Simple objects in $\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ are denoted by **1**, ω , ω^2 .
- Simple object in $\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ are denoted by **1** and E .

We also list the corresponding quantum dimensions and the irreducible characters in last two columns, which coincide with Ostrik's and Davydov's notation [Ost03c, Dav10b]. The value of each irreducible character can be found in [DS17, Section 5.3]. Fusion rules of $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$ are listed in the following table.

Table 5: Fusion rules of $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$

\otimes	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
A	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
B	B	A	C	E	D	F	G	H
C	C	C	$\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} \oplus \mathbf{C}$	$\mathbf{D} \oplus \mathbf{E}$	$\mathbf{D} \oplus \mathbf{E}$	$\mathbf{G} \oplus \mathbf{H}$	$\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{H}$	$\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{G}$
D	D	E	$\mathbf{D} \oplus \mathbf{E}$	$\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{G} \oplus \mathbf{H}$	$\mathbf{B} \oplus \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{G} \oplus \mathbf{H}$	$\mathbf{D} \oplus \mathbf{E}$	$\mathbf{D} \oplus \mathbf{E}$	$\mathbf{D} \oplus \mathbf{E}$
E	E	D	$\mathbf{D} \oplus \mathbf{E}$	$\mathbf{B} \oplus \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{G} \oplus \mathbf{H}$	$\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{G} \oplus \mathbf{H}$	$\mathbf{D} \oplus \mathbf{E}$	$\mathbf{D} \oplus \mathbf{E}$	$\mathbf{D} \oplus \mathbf{E}$
F	F	F	$\mathbf{G} \oplus \mathbf{H}$	$\mathbf{D} \oplus \mathbf{E}$	$\mathbf{D} \oplus \mathbf{E}$	$\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} \oplus \mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{H} \oplus \mathbf{C}$	$\mathbf{G} \oplus \mathbf{C}$
G	G	G	$\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{H}$	$\mathbf{D} \oplus \mathbf{E}$	$\mathbf{D} \oplus \mathbf{E}$	$\mathbf{H} \oplus \mathbf{C}$	$\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} \oplus \mathbf{G}$	$\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{C}$
H	H	H	$\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{G}$	$\mathbf{D} \oplus \mathbf{E}$	$\mathbf{D} \oplus \mathbf{E}$	$\mathbf{G} \oplus \mathbf{C}$	$\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{C}$	$\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} \oplus \mathbf{H}$

Then we can use Theorem 4.3 to compute characters for each pairs (H, ω) where $H \subset S_3 \times S_3$ to determine all lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3 \times S_3))$. Since these characters have been calculated in different literatures [CGR00, Ost03c, Dav10b]¹², we directly list the results in the first column of Table 6. Now by computing Z_{i0} and Z_{0j} of the coupling matrix Z_{ij} of these partition functions, we obtain all 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$ listed in the second column of Table 6. There are four classes of 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras:

1. lagrangian algebras $\{\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} \oplus 2\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} \oplus 2\mathbf{C}\}$;
2. $\{\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{F}\}$ which condense to the \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2)) =: \mathcal{TC}$;
3. $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}$ which condense to the \mathbb{Z}_3 topological order $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_3))$;
4. trivial condensable algebra \mathbf{A} .

Now we illustrate gapped domain walls associated to these 2-Morita equivalence classes of 2d condensable algebras (see third column of table 6).

1.
 - Note that the boundaries condensed by $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{D}$ are both equivalent to $\text{Rep}(S_3)$ as fusion categories, this equivalence is provided by the **C-F** (charge-flux) exchange symmetry. The difference between these two boundaries can only be seen by the different actions from the 2d bulk $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$. To distinct them, we denote the boundary condensed by $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{D}$ by $\text{Rep}(S_3)$, and denote the boundary condensed by $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{D}$ by $\text{Rep}(S_3)^{\mathbf{C}}$.
 - Unsurprisingly, the boundaries condensed by $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} \oplus 2\mathbf{F}$ and $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} \oplus 2\mathbf{C}$ are both equivalent to Vec_{S_3} . So we denote the boundary condensed by $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} \oplus 2\mathbf{F}$ by $\text{Vec}_{S_3}^{\mathbf{F}}$, and denote the boundary condensed by $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} \oplus 2\mathbf{C}$ by Vec_{S_3} .

By combining above four gapped boundaries two by two, we obtain sixteen gapped domain walls in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$, which are pictured in the second row of table 7.

2. Similarly, we denote the gapped domain walls between $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$ and \mathcal{TC} condensed through $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{F}$ and $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{C}$ by $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{F}}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{C}}$ respectively. Since $\text{Aut}_{\otimes}^{\text{br}}(\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2))) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, we have two invertible gapped domain walls in \mathcal{TC} (see section 4.1.1). Combining them together, we obtain total eight gapped domain walls in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$ (pictured in the third row of table 7).
3. We denote the domain wall between $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$ and $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_3))$ condensed by $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}$ as \mathcal{N} . Note that $\text{Aut}_{\otimes}^{\text{br}}(\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_3))) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^{\times} \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ where the first \mathbb{Z}_2 is the $1-2$ order exchange, and the second \mathbb{Z}_2 is the $\mathbf{e}-\mathbf{m}$ exchange in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_3))$. So in principle, we should have four invertible domain walls in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_3))$. However, there are only two (not four) gapped domain wall in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$ associated to $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}$. This is due to the braided autoequivalence ϕ_{1-2} is induced by a

¹²Note that Davydov's Table miss two coefficients of $|\chi_4|^2$ and $|\chi_5|^2$ terms in $A(A_3 \times A_3, \gamma)$ row [Dav10b].

Table 6: Results of $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$

$\chi_{L(H,\omega)}$	A_l/A_r	Domain Wall
$ \chi_A + \chi_F + \chi_D ^2$	$A \oplus F \oplus D, A \oplus F \oplus D$	$\text{Rep}(S_3) \boxtimes \text{Rep}(S_3)$
$(\chi_A + \chi_F + \chi_D)(\chi_A + \chi_B + 2\chi_F)^*$	$A \oplus F \oplus D, A \oplus B \oplus 2F$	$\text{Rep}(S_3) \boxtimes \text{Vec}_{S_3}^F$
$(\chi_A + \chi_F + \chi_D)(\chi_A + \chi_C + \chi_D)^*$	$A \oplus F \oplus D, A \oplus C \oplus D$	$\text{Rep}(S_3) \boxtimes \text{Rep}(S_3)^C$
$(\chi_A + \chi_F + \chi_D)(\chi_A + \chi_B + 2\chi_C)^*$	$A \oplus F \oplus D, A \oplus B \oplus 2C$	$\text{Rep}(S_3) \boxtimes \text{Vec}_{S_3}$
$ \chi_A + \chi_B + 2\chi_F ^2$	$A \oplus B \oplus 2F, A \oplus B \oplus 2F$	$\text{Vec}_{S_3}^F \boxtimes \text{Vec}_{S_3}^F$
$(\chi_A + \chi_B + 2\chi_F)(\chi_A + \chi_F + \chi_D)^*$	$A \oplus B \oplus 2F, A \oplus F \oplus D$	$\text{Vec}_{S_3}^F \boxtimes \text{Rep}(S_3)$
$(\chi_A + \chi_B + 2\chi_F)(\chi_A + \chi_C + \chi_D)^*$	$A \oplus B \oplus 2F, A \oplus C \oplus D$	$\text{Vec}_{S_3}^F \boxtimes \text{Rep}(S_3)^C$
$(\chi_A + \chi_B + 2\chi_F)(\chi_A + \chi_B + 2\chi_C)^*$	$A \oplus B \oplus 2F, A \oplus B \oplus 2C$	$\text{Vec}_{S_3}^F \boxtimes \text{Vec}_{S_3}$
$ \chi_A + \chi_C + \chi_D ^2$	$A \oplus C \oplus D, A \oplus C \oplus D$	$\text{Rep}(S_3)^C \boxtimes \text{Rep}(S_3)^C$
$(\chi_A + \chi_C + \chi_D)(\chi_A + \chi_F + \chi_D)^*$	$A \oplus C \oplus D, A \oplus F \oplus D$	$\text{Rep}(S_3)^C \boxtimes \text{Rep}(S_3)$
$(\chi_A + \chi_C + \chi_D)(\chi_A + \chi_B + 2\chi_F)^*$	$A \oplus C \oplus D, A \oplus B \oplus 2F$	$\text{Rep}(S_3)^C \boxtimes \text{Vec}_{S_3}^F$
$(\chi_A + \chi_C + \chi_D)(\chi_A + \chi_B + 2\chi_C)^*$	$A \oplus C \oplus D, A \oplus B \oplus 2C$	$\text{Rep}(S_3)^C \boxtimes \text{Vec}_{S_3}$
$ \chi_A + \chi_B + 2\chi_C ^2$	$A \oplus B \oplus 2C, A \oplus B \oplus 2C$	$\text{Vec}_{S_3} \boxtimes \text{Vec}_{S_3}$
$(\chi_A + \chi_B + 2\chi_C)(\chi_A + \chi_F + \chi_D)^*$	$A \oplus B \oplus 2C, A \oplus F \oplus D$	$\text{Vec}_{S_3} \boxtimes \text{Rep}(S_3)$
$(\chi_A + \chi_B + 2\chi_C)(\chi_A + \chi_B + 2\chi_F)^*$	$A \oplus B \oplus 2C, A \oplus B \oplus 2F$	$\text{Vec}_{S_3} \boxtimes \text{Vec}_{S_3}^F$
$(\chi_A + \chi_B + 2\chi_C)(\chi_A + \chi_C + \chi_D)^*$	$A \oplus B \oplus 2C, A \oplus C \oplus D$	$\text{Vec}_{S_3} \boxtimes \text{Rep}(S_3)^C$
$ \chi_A + \chi_F ^2 + \chi_B + \chi_F ^2 + \chi_D ^2 + \chi_E ^2$	$A \oplus F, A \oplus F$	$\mathcal{M}^F \boxtimes_{\mathcal{T}_E} \mathcal{M}^F$
$ \chi_A + \chi_F ^2 + (\chi_B + \chi_F)\chi_D^* + \chi_D(\chi_B + \chi_F)^* + \chi_E ^2$	$A \oplus F, A \oplus F$	$\mathcal{M}^F \boxtimes_{\mathcal{T}_E} \mathcal{M}^F, \phi$
$(\chi_A + \chi_F)(\chi_A + \chi_C)^* + (\chi_B + \chi_F)(\chi_B + \chi_C) + \chi_D ^2 + \chi_E ^2$	$A \oplus F, A \oplus C$	$\mathcal{M}^F \boxtimes_{\mathcal{T}_E} \mathcal{M}^C$
$(\chi_A + \chi_F)(\chi_A + \chi_C)^* + (\chi_B + \chi_F)\chi_D^* + \chi_D(\chi_B + \chi_C)^* + \chi_E ^2$	$A \oplus F, A \oplus C$	$\mathcal{M}^F \boxtimes_{\mathcal{T}_E} \mathcal{M}^C, \phi$
$ \chi_A + \chi_C ^2 + \chi_B + \chi_C ^2 + \chi_D ^2 + \chi_E ^2$	$A \oplus C, A \oplus C$	$\mathcal{M}^C \boxtimes_{\mathcal{T}_E} \mathcal{M}^C$
$ \chi_A + \chi_C ^2 + (\chi_B + \chi_C)\chi_D^* + \chi_D(\chi_B + \chi_C)^* + \chi_E ^2$	$A \oplus C, A \oplus C$	$\mathcal{M}^C \boxtimes_{\mathcal{T}_E} \mathcal{M}^C, \phi$
$(\chi_A + \chi_C)(\chi_A + \chi_F)^* + (\chi_B + \chi_C)(\chi_B + \chi_F) + \chi_D ^2 + \chi_E ^2$	$A \oplus C, A \oplus F$	$\mathcal{M}^C \boxtimes_{\mathcal{T}_E} \mathcal{M}^F$
$(\chi_A + \chi_C)(\chi_A + \chi_F)^* + (\chi_B + \chi_C)\chi_D^* + \chi_D(\chi_B + \chi_F)^* + \chi_E ^2$	$A \oplus C, A \oplus F$	$\mathcal{M}^C \boxtimes_{\mathcal{T}_E} \mathcal{M}^F, \phi$
$ \chi_A + \chi_B ^2 + 2 \chi_C ^2 + 2 \chi_F ^2 + 2 \chi_G ^2 + 2 \chi_H ^2$	$A \oplus B, A \oplus B$	$\mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_3))} \mathcal{N}$
$ \chi_A + \chi_B ^2 + 2\chi_C\chi_F^* + 2\chi_F\chi_C^* + 2 \chi_G ^2 + 2 \chi_H ^2$	$A \oplus B, A \oplus B$	$\mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_3))} \mathcal{N}, \phi_{e-m}$
$ \chi_A ^2 + \chi_B ^2 + \chi_C ^2 + \chi_F ^2 + \chi_G ^2 + \chi_H ^2 + \chi_D ^2 + \chi_E ^2$	A, A	$\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$
$ \chi_A ^2 + \chi_B ^2 + \chi_C\chi_F^* + \chi_F\chi_C^* + \chi_G ^2 + \chi_H ^2 + \chi_D ^2 + \chi_E ^2$	A, A	ϕ_{C-F}

non-trivial algebra automorphism of $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}$ discussed in section 3.3. We will explain immediately after 4.

4. Since $\text{Aut}_{\otimes}^{\text{br}}(\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, there are two invertible domain walls, one is the trivial wall, another is the **C-F** exchange wall, which can also be regarded as a S_3 -version electro-magnetic duality (pictured in the fifth row of table 7).

Recall that in section 3.3, we discuss an algebra automorphism of a 2d condensable algebra $A \in \mathcal{C}$ may induce a non-trivial braided autoequivalence in $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}$. When we fuse domain walls in the 2-step condensation to obtain a direct condensation process, different condensable algebras in the intermediate phase may be extended to the same condensable algebra in the original phase. This phenomena happens in the case that the inner part is $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_3))$. Different invertible domain walls in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_3))$ correspond to different lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3))$, but they can be extended to the same lagrangian algebra in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3 \times S_3))$, which results the same gapped domain wall in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$.

The condensable algebra $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}$ admit a non-trivial \mathbb{Z}_2 automorphism

$$\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} \xrightarrow{1 \oplus -1} \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}$$

By Theorem 3.11, this non-trivial automorphism may induce a non-trivial braided autoequivalence in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))_{\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}}^{\text{loc}}$. To see whether the induced braided autoequivalence is trivial or not, we compute the condensation process via $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}$.

By the following adjunction and Schur's Lemma (see appendix A)

$$\text{hom}_{\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))_{\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}}}(x \otimes (\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}), y \otimes (\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B})) \cong \text{hom}_{\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))}(x, y \otimes (\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B})).$$

We find \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are mapped to the same object, which should be the tensor unit $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}$ in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))_{\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}}$. When $x = y = \mathbf{C}$, we have $\mathbf{C} \otimes (\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}) \cong \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}$ in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$, and hence $\dim(\text{hom}_{\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))_{\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}}}(\mathbf{C} \otimes (\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}), \mathbf{C} \otimes (\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}))) = \dim(\text{hom}_{\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C})) = 2$. Thus the free module $\mathbf{C} \otimes (\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B})$ consists of two inequivalent simple modules, and can only be two \mathbf{C} with different $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}$ -actions. We choose one of them as the standard \mathbf{C} , and denote another simple module by \mathbf{C}^{tw} . The $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}$ -module action on \mathbf{C}^{tw} can be induced by that on \mathbf{C} through composing with the non-trivial automorphism of $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}$. The condensation process of other simple objects are similar to \mathbf{C} , we summarize them as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} - \otimes (\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}) : \mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3)) &\rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))_{\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}} \\ \mathbf{A} &\mapsto \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} &\mapsto \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} &\mapsto \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}^{tw} \\ \mathbf{D} &\mapsto \mathbf{D} \oplus \mathbf{D}^{tw} & \mathbf{E} &\mapsto \mathbf{E} \oplus \mathbf{E}^{tw} \\ \mathbf{F} &\mapsto \mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{F}^{tw} & \mathbf{G} &\mapsto \mathbf{G} \oplus \mathbf{G}^{tw} & \mathbf{H} &\mapsto \mathbf{H} \oplus \mathbf{H}^{tw} \end{aligned}$$

Local modules can be determined by computing S -matrix [CGR00], which encode information of double braidings. Results are listed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))_{\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}}^{\text{loc}} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_3)) \\ \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} &\mapsto \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{C} &\mapsto \mathbf{e} & \mathbf{C}^{tw} &\mapsto \mathbf{e}^2 \\ \mathbf{F} &\mapsto \mathbf{m} & \mathbf{F}^{tw} &\mapsto \mathbf{m}^2 \\ \mathbf{G} &\mapsto \mathbf{em} & \mathbf{G}^{tw} &\mapsto \mathbf{e}^2 \mathbf{m}^2 \\ \mathbf{H} &\mapsto \mathbf{e}^2 \mathbf{m} & \mathbf{H}^{tw} &\mapsto \mathbf{em}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Some of the assignments between simple local $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}$ -modules and simple objects in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_3))$ are based on the fact that \mathbf{C} corresponds to charge \mathbf{e} and \mathbf{F} corresponds to flux \mathbf{m} , and $\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}$ form the representations of \mathbb{Z}_3 . Other assignments are based on fusion rules of $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$.

It is clear that the non-trivial automorphism of $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}$ induce the 1 – 2 exchange ϕ_{1-2} in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_3))$. And two extended lagrangian algebras that are connected by ϕ_{1-2} must be isomorphic in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$ according to Theorem 3.14. As a consequence, the total number of gapped domain walls in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$ should be the number of invertible domain walls in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_3))$ quotient by the ϕ_{1-2} action, i.e. $4/2 = 2$. (See the fourth row of table 7).

Remark 4.6. The fact that four invertible domain walls in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_3))$ produce only 2 different domain walls in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$ gives a counter example of Theorem 3.6 in [DNO12], which they use the term “pairwise non-isomorphic” to state that given different triples (A_1, A_2, ϕ) , the lagrangian algebras $L(A_1, A_2, \phi)$ are non-isomorphic. In order to fix this issue, we need to introduce an equivalence relation in these triples, in which we define $(A_1, A_2, \phi) \sim (A'_1, A'_2, \phi')$ if there are algebra isomorphisms $\varphi_1 : A_1 \rightarrow A'_1, \varphi_2 : A_2 \rightarrow A'_2$ such that $\phi_2 \circ \phi \circ \phi_1 = \phi'$.

Above results are summarized in the following table. We also give the condensable algebras classified by Davydov [Dav10a] in the third column. Here A_3 denotes the subgroup of order 3 that is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_3 , and C_2 denoted the one of order 2 that is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_2 .

Table 7: Results in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$

H	F	Condensable algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$	Condensed phase $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))_A^{\text{loc}}$	Domain walls	Total: 28
S_3 A_3 C_2 $\{e\}$	S_3 A_3 C_2 $\{e\}$	$\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{D}$ $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} \oplus 2\mathbf{F}$ $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{D}$ $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} \oplus 2\mathbf{C}$	Vec		non-invertible: $4 \times 4 = 16$
S_3 C_2	A_3 $\{e\}$	$\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{F}$ $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{C}$	\mathcal{TC}		non-invertible: $2 \times 2 \times 2 = 8$
A_3	$\{e\}$	$\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}$	$\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_3))$		non-invertible: $4/2 = 2$
S_3	$\{e\}$	\mathbf{A}	$\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$		invertible: 2

In principle, we can also find 1d condensable algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$ and use Arrow 3 to compute 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras. But it is not easy to find 1d condensable algebras in general non-abelian cases. Here we give a method to find 1d condensable algebras in fusion category \mathcal{C} based on the pre-knowledge of finite semisimple indecomposable left \mathcal{C} -modules \mathcal{P} using internal homs, see appendix B.1:

Theorem 4.4 ([KZ17]). *Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category. Let \mathcal{P} be a finite semisimple indecomposable left \mathcal{C} -module. Then $\mathcal{P} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{[x,x]}$ for any simple object $x \in \mathcal{P}$. And $[x, x]$ is a 1d condensable algebra in \mathcal{C} .*

It is easy to see that $[x, x] \overset{1\text{-Morita}}{\sim} [y, y]$ for any $x, y \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$.

By Proposition 4.8 in [DMNO13], indecomposable left \mathcal{C} -modules \mathcal{P} are also one-to-one corresponding to isomorphic classes of lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$. Then we can use the following figure to find indecomposable semisimple module \mathcal{P} and then to compute internal hom $[x, x]$ using the following adjunction and Schur’s Lemma:

$$\text{hom}_{\mathcal{P}}(a \odot x, x) \cong \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(a, [x, x]). \quad (6)$$




Figure 13: Correspondence between finite semisimple indecomposable left \mathcal{C} -modules and lagrangian algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$

In case of S_3 , an obvious choice of indecomposable $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$ -module is itself whose module action is the tensor product of $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$. Then the dual module can only be $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$, which is a boundary $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3)))_L$ of $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3)))$. The corresponding lagrangian algebra L is the canonical lagrangian algebra $\bigoplus_{x \in \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3)))} x \boxtimes x^*$. Consider $[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}]$ as an example, then equation 6 becomes

$$\text{hom}_{\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))}(x \otimes \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}) \cong \text{hom}_{\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))}(x, [\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}])$$


Since only for $x = \mathbf{A}$ we have $\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{A}$, so $[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}] \cong \mathbf{A}$. Similarly, we can obtain $[\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B}] \cong \mathbf{A}$, $[\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}] \cong \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} \oplus \mathbf{C}$, $[\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}] \cong \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{G} \oplus \mathbf{H}$, $[\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E}] \cong \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{G} \oplus \mathbf{H}$, $[\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}] \cong \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} \oplus \mathbf{F}$, $[\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{G}] \cong \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} \oplus \mathbf{G}$ and $[\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}] \cong \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} \oplus \mathbf{H}$. They are all 1-Morita equivalent.

We can use Arrow 5 to check the above calculations give the correct 1d condensable algebras. By tensoring the canonical lagrangian algebras, i.e.:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{A} \boxtimes \mathbf{A}) \oplus (\mathbf{B} \boxtimes \mathbf{B}) \oplus (\mathbf{C} \boxtimes \mathbf{C}) \oplus (\mathbf{D} \boxtimes \mathbf{D}) \oplus (\mathbf{E} \boxtimes \mathbf{E}) \oplus (\mathbf{F} \boxtimes \mathbf{F}) \oplus (\mathbf{G} \boxtimes \mathbf{G}) \oplus (\mathbf{H} \boxtimes \mathbf{H}) \\ & \quad \downarrow \otimes \\ & \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{A} \oplus (\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} \oplus \mathbf{C}) \oplus (\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{G} \oplus \mathbf{H}) \oplus (\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{G} \oplus \mathbf{H}) \\ & \quad \oplus (\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} \oplus \mathbf{F}) \oplus (\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} \oplus \mathbf{G}) \oplus (\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} \oplus \mathbf{H}) \end{aligned}$$

We see above 1-Morita equivalent condensable algebras appear as direct summands. Or to say, using this method, we can recognize 1d condensable algebras in the huge image of lagrangian algebras under the tensor functor.

If we choose $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3)))_L \simeq \text{Rep}(S_3) \boxtimes \text{Rep}(S_3)$, recall that $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3)) \boxtimes \overline{\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))}$, then we can use a folding trick to determine \mathcal{P} . See the following figure.



In this case $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{N} \simeq \text{Rep}(S_3)$ and $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Vec}$. So a natural choice of \mathcal{P} is $\text{Rep}(S_3)$ itself. The module action of $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$ on $\text{Rep}(S_3)$ is given by first forgetting $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))$ to $\text{Rep}(S_3)$ then tensoring with $\text{Rep}(S_3)$. By [CCW16], we have ¹³

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} &\mapsto \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{B} &\mapsto \pi & \mathbf{C} &\mapsto S \\ \mathbf{D} &\mapsto \mathbf{1} \oplus S & \mathbf{E} &\mapsto \pi \oplus S \\ \mathbf{F} &\mapsto \mathbf{1} \oplus \pi & \mathbf{G} &\mapsto S & \mathbf{H} &\mapsto S \end{aligned}$$

Now by the adjunction 6, we can compute $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}]$ as follows

$$\text{hom}_{\text{Rep}(S_3)}(x \odot \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) \cong \text{hom}_{\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3))}(x, [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}])$$

Since \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{D} and \mathbf{F} forget to $\mathbf{1}$, $\mathbf{1} \oplus S$ and $\mathbf{1} \oplus \pi$ respectively, we have $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}] \cong \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{D} \oplus \mathbf{F}$. Similarly, we have $[\pi, \pi] \cong \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{D} \oplus \mathbf{F}$ and $[S, S] \cong \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} \oplus \mathbf{C} \oplus 2\mathbf{D} \oplus 2\mathbf{E} \oplus 2\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{G} \oplus \mathbf{H}$.

Again consider the corresponding lagrangian algebra $(\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{D}) \boxtimes (\overline{\mathbf{A}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{F}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{D}})$. By acting tensor functor, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{D}) \otimes (\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{D}) \\ &= \mathbf{A} \oplus (\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} \oplus \mathbf{F}) \oplus (\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{G} \oplus \mathbf{H}) \oplus \mathbf{D} \oplus \mathbf{D} \oplus \mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{F} \oplus (\mathbf{D} \oplus \mathbf{E}) \oplus (\mathbf{D} \oplus \mathbf{E}) \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the image of the lagrangian algebra under the tensor functor is a direct sum of 1-Morita equivalent condensable algebras.


A more non-trivial case is $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(S_3)))_L \simeq \text{Rep}(S_3) \boxtimes \text{Vec}_{S_3}$. Now $\mathcal{M} \simeq \text{Rep}(S_3)$, $\mathcal{N} \simeq \text{Vec}_{S_3}$ and $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Vec}$. A natural choice of the 0d defect \mathcal{P} is the invertible bimodule Vec . For this case, $[\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}] \cong \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} \oplus 2\mathbf{C} \oplus 3\mathbf{D} \oplus 3\mathbf{E} \oplus 2\mathbf{F} \oplus 2\mathbf{G} \oplus 2\mathbf{H}$ is computed to be the only 1d condensable algebra.

4.2 Fusion category symmetries

Results of Trinity 3 is actually not limited to the traditional onsite group symmetries. In this section we perform some examples which are related to the fusion category symmetries [TW19, JW20, KLVZZ20].

One example of fusion category symmetry is the non-chiral topological phases defined by Levin-Wen models (or string-net models) [LW05a], which is the Hamiltonian realizations of the Turaev-Viro 3D topological quantum field theory [TV92]. Indeed, Kitaev quantum double models $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$ illustrated in section 4.1 cover a subset of the Levin-Wen models.

A (2+1)D Levin-Wen model is associated to a unitary fusion category \mathcal{S} . Consider a trivalent lattice, each edge admits some simple objects $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \dots \in \mathcal{S}$. And for each vertex v , we assign a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_v := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{S})} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c})$ on it.



The Hamiltonian can be written again as a combination of charge operators Q_v and flux operators B_p :

$$H := \sum_v (1 - Q_v) + \sum_p (1 - B_p).$$

¹³Note that the assignment of \mathbf{F} in [CCW16] miss a π .

where the sums run over vertices v and plaquettes p of the honeycomb lattice. The quasiparticle excitations in above model exhibits $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{S})$ -topological order. [KK12] outlines a construction of all possible boundaries and defects in Levin-Wen models.

Like the Toric code example 4.1.1, a local 1d condensable algebra should be a combination of charge and flux operators restrict on the neighborhood of an 1d region. And we propose that taking the left/right center to obtain the 2d condensable algebra are again to directly expand the 1d condensable algebra into the left/right bulk. In our future works, we would explicitly give a construction of 1d condensable algebras similar to the intertwiners constructed in [LFHSV21]. And show their left and right centers meet with the construction of 2d condensable algebras that give a 2d condensation of Levin-Wen systems [CGHP23] based on the extended Levin-Wen models of [HGW18].

Now we give some simple examples of fusion symmetry which can be realized by Levin-Wen model.

Example 4.6 (Double Fibonacci). The unitary fusion category \mathcal{Fib} has simple objects $\mathbf{1}$ and τ , and the fusion rule is given by $\tau \otimes \tau = \mathbf{1} \oplus \tau$ [Ost03b, BD12]. This makes \mathcal{Fib} the smallest fusion category where the simple objects do not form a group. The double Fibonacci $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{Fib})$ contains a single nontrivial 2d condensable algebra $L = \mathbf{1}\bar{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \tau\bar{\tau}$, which is the canonical Lagrangian algebra. Arrows are all trivial except $\overrightarrow{\text{Arrow}} 5$, i.e. $\otimes(L) \cong \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1} \oplus \tau$ must be a direct sum of 1-Morita equivalent condensable algebras. Thus we obtain $\mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{1\text{-Morita}} \mathbf{1} \oplus \tau$.

Example 4.7 (Double Ising). Consider the Ising topological order $\mathcal{I}s$ with anyon $\mathbf{1}, \psi, \sigma$ and the fusion rules are given by: $\sigma \otimes \sigma = \mathbf{1} \oplus \psi, \sigma \otimes \psi = \sigma, \psi \otimes \psi = \mathbf{1}$. Double Ising $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{I}s)$ admit three 2d condensable algebras $A_1 = \mathbf{1} \boxtimes \bar{\mathbf{1}}, A_2 = (\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \bar{\mathbf{1}}) \oplus (\psi \boxtimes \bar{\psi})$ and $A_L = (\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \bar{\mathbf{1}}) \oplus (\sigma \boxtimes \bar{\sigma}) \oplus (\psi \boxtimes \bar{\psi})$, which trivially condense to $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{I}s)$ itself, \mathcal{TC} and Vec respectively [CJKYZ20].

Double Ising has three inequivalent gapped domain walls: $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{I}s) \simeq \mathcal{I}s \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{I}s}$ is the trivial domain wall, and $\mathcal{I}s \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{I}s}$ is the wall induced by condensing lagrangian algebra A_L . Although \mathcal{TC} has an $\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m}$ exchange domain wall, $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{I}s)_{A_2} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{TC}} \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{I}s)_{A_2}$ is the unique domain wall associated to the 2-Morita class $(\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \bar{\mathbf{1}}) \oplus (\psi \boxtimes \bar{\psi})$. This is due to the $\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m}$ exchange symmetry in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{I}s)_{A_2}^{loc} \simeq \mathcal{TC}$ is induced by the non-trivial algebra automorphism of A_2 .

To see how the $\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m}$ exchange is induced, we compute condensation process via A_2 more precisely. By the following adjunction

$$\text{hom}_{\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{I}s)_{A_2}}(x \otimes A_2, y \otimes A_2) \cong \text{hom}_{\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{I}s)}(x, y \otimes A_2)$$

when $x = y = \sigma \boxtimes \bar{\sigma}$, since $(\sigma \boxtimes \bar{\sigma}) \otimes A_2 \cong (\sigma \boxtimes \bar{\sigma}) \oplus (\sigma \boxtimes \bar{\sigma})$, so the free module $(\sigma \boxtimes \bar{\sigma}) \otimes A_2$ must consists of two inequivalent simple modules and can only be $\sigma \boxtimes \bar{\sigma}$ equipped with different A_2 -actions. We denote one of them by $\sigma \boxtimes \bar{\sigma}$ and another one by $(\sigma \boxtimes \bar{\sigma})^{tw}$. Let $r : (\sigma \boxtimes \bar{\sigma}) \otimes A_2 \rightarrow \sigma \boxtimes \bar{\sigma}$ be the A_2 -module action of $\sigma \boxtimes \bar{\sigma}$. By composing r with the non-trivial algebra automorphism $\varphi : A_2 \rightarrow A_2$, we obtain another module action $r \circ (\text{id} \otimes \varphi)$. We can see the following diagram does not commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\sigma \boxtimes \bar{\sigma}) \otimes A_2 & \xrightarrow{\lambda \otimes \text{id}_{A_2}} & (\sigma \boxtimes \bar{\sigma}) \otimes A_2 \\ r \downarrow & \curvearrowright & \downarrow r \circ (\text{id} \otimes \varphi) \\ \sigma \boxtimes \bar{\sigma} & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \sigma \boxtimes \bar{\sigma} \end{array}$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. So these two modules are not isomorphic to each other. Thus the module action on $(\sigma \boxtimes \bar{\sigma})^{tw}$ must be $r \circ (\text{id} \otimes \varphi)$. Since $\sigma \boxtimes \bar{\sigma}$ is mapped to \mathbf{e} and $(\sigma \boxtimes \bar{\sigma})^{tw}$ is mapped to \mathbf{m} , we find two non-free local A_2 -modules \mathbf{e} and \mathbf{m} will exchange under the φ -action. This braided autoequivalence is indeed the electro-magnetic duality in \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order, the corresponding domain wall is the $\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m}$ -exchange domain wall. See the left sub-figure below.




Figure 14: When double Ising $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{J}s)$ condense to \mathcal{TC} . Inclusion $i : A_2 \hookrightarrow A_L$ determines a 2-step condensation (see section 3.3 for more mathematical details). The lagrangian algebra A_L in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{J}s)$ can become either the lagrangian algebra $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}$ or $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}$ in \mathcal{TC} depends on whether we compose the non-trivial automorphism φ of A_2 to i or not. After fusion, we have $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{J}s)_{A_2} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{TC}} \mathcal{TC}_{\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{J}s)_{\text{Ext}_{A_2}(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e})}$ and $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{J}s)_{A_2} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{TC}} \mathcal{TC}_{\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{J}s)_{\text{Ext}_{A_2}(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m})}$. Since $\text{Ext}_{A_2}(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{e}) \cong A_L \cong \text{Ext}_{A_2}(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m})$, there is only one boundary of $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{J}s)$.

We can also understand this phenomena through calculating 1d condensable algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{J}s)$ using [Arrow 6](#). When the condensed phase is \mathcal{TC} via A_2 , we have two 1d condensable algebras $B_{\text{id}} = \mathbf{1}$ and $B_{\phi_{\mathbf{e}-\mathbf{m}}} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f}$ associated to the symmetry. The extension of $\mathbf{1}$ over A_2 is A_2 itself. And the extension of $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{f}$ over A_2 is $\text{Ext}_{A_2}(\mathbf{1}) \oplus \text{Ext}_{A_2}(\mathbf{f})$ which is a direct sum of two A_2 . So the extended algebras are 1-Morita equivalent.

We also give a simple example of chiral MTC which is beyond the Levin-Wen model:

Example 4.8 ($\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^\alpha$). Consider the chiral MTC Vec_G^α for some $\alpha \in H^3(G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$. The simplest non-trivial anyon condensation appears in $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^\alpha$. There is only one condensable algebra given by $\mathbf{1} \oplus a^4$ such that the condensed phase is semion $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^\alpha$, and there is only two braided autoequivalence in $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^\alpha$. So there are only three domain walls in $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^\alpha$: two are invertible; the other one is induced by condensing to semion.

5 Generalizations and Outlooks

The study of condensable algebras in condensation process was initiated in (some [BS09] and [Kon14]). But there were still a couple of ingredients missing, for example:

- The classification of the condensed phases from the perspective of 2-Morita equivalent E_2 algebras.
- A coherent theory between condensable algebras in a topological order and those condensable algebras restricted on the domain walls.
- Lattice model realizations of 1d condensable algebras and the process of taking their left/right centers.
- Relation between gapped domain walls in two step condensations and direct condensations.
- How symmetries induced by algebra automorphisms affect condensation process.

In this paper, we fill up these missing parts by studying 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras in a MTC, which explicitly provides examples of higher Morita equivalence in 1-category level.

We have accomplished the relation between the E_2 -Morita equivalent 2d condensable algebras A_i in a modular tensor category \mathcal{C} and the 1d condensable algebras B_i in the spherical fusion category \mathcal{C} , together with the lagrangian algebras L_i in the Drinfeld center $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} , which is summarized in the Trinity 3 exhibited in preliminary. Our study is also the study of these algebras's module categories. Physically, we can translate our result into a topological ordered version (a domain wall version), see the figure below. In which we have accomplished all the arrows proposed in the fusing or folding process of figure. 2.




Figure 15: We have proven the bijections between these three kind of domain wall topological orders.

We have given some examples to illustrate the interplay between these three, including abelian and non-abelian cases, we also explicitly write down the proper role of the left/right centers of the E_1 algebras in the toric code lattice model, which can be generalized easily.

There are many future works related to our results in this work that can be done. We would like to explicit discuss some of the questions them based on **Witt equivalent** in next subsection. In section 5.2, we introduce a concept called categorical entanglement, Some other intereting questions are formulated in section 5.3.

5.1 Witt equivalent MTCs

Roughly Speaking, two MTCs \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 are Witt equivalent if they can be connected by a gapped domain wall \mathcal{M} . More precisely, there exists condensable algebras $A_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2$, and a braided equivalence ϕ , such that $(\mathcal{C}_1)_{A_1}^{loc} \simeq (\mathcal{C}_2)_{A_2}^{loc}$ [DMNO13]. Based on this concept, we propose the following definitions:

Definition 5.1. Let \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 be two Witt equivalent MTCs. We say an E_2 -algebra $A_1 \in \mathcal{C}_2$ and an E_2 -algebra $A_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2$ are **generalized 2-Morita equivalent** if $(\mathcal{C}_1)_{A_1}^{loc} \simeq (\mathcal{C}_2)_{A_2}^{loc}$.

We believe without proof that the following "generalized Trinity" is also true for two Witt-equivalent MTCs \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 .




Figure 16: The results of Trinity 3 should be also true when generalized to gapped domain walls between any two Witt equivalent MTCs \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 .

More things can be discussed based on this generalization. For example, Let \mathcal{M} be a gapped boundary wall of $\mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_2}$, or to say $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_2}$, then all stable gapped domain walls between \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 can be classified by the ${}_B \mathcal{M}_{B_i}$ with B_i a 1d condensable algebra in \mathcal{M} . Then, according to theorem 3.6, we have the following conjecture

Conjecture 3. Given a pair of Witt equivalent MTCs $(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2)$, any stable gapped domain wall ${}_B \mathcal{M}_B$ for $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_2}$, and B a 1d condensable algebra in \mathcal{M} , can written as $(\mathcal{C}_1)_{Z_1(B)} \boxtimes_{(\mathcal{C}_1)_{Z_1(B)}^{loc}} \phi \boxtimes_{(\mathcal{C}_2)_{Z_r(B)}^{loc}} \boxtimes (\mathcal{C}_2)_{Z_r(B)}$.





Figure 17: Theorem 3.6 tells us any stable gapped domain wall in a MTC \mathcal{C} can be ‘pulled open’. Now we conjecture that any stable gapped domain wall ${}_B\mathcal{M}_B$ between two MTCs \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 can be pulled open to be equivalent to $(\mathcal{C}_1)_{Z_l(B)} \boxtimes_{(\mathcal{C}_1)_{Z_l(B)}^{loc}} \phi \boxtimes_{(\mathcal{C}_2)_{Z_r(B)}^{loc}} \boxtimes (\mathcal{C}_2)_{Z_r(B)}$.

On the other hand, since any pair of MTCs $(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2)$ in the same Witt class can be obtain from a single $2d$ phase \mathcal{B} via two different $2d$ condensations, we propose the following conjecture:

Conjecture 4. Any gapped domain wall that can be written as $\mathcal{B}_{A_1} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \phi \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}_{A_2}$, in which $\mathcal{B}_{A_1}^{loc} \simeq \mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_{A_2}^{loc} \simeq \mathcal{C}_2$ and $\phi \in \text{Aut}_{\otimes}^{\text{br}}(\mathcal{B})$, must be a direct sum of indecomposable gapped domain walls.



We see that the phase that can condense to \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 (here \mathcal{B} drawn in the left sub-figure), is related to the phase that condensed from \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 ($(\mathcal{C}_1)_{A'_1}^{loc}$ in the right sub-figure) via some “direct sum” relation. This may give us some inspiration to re-obtain a bigger original phase from the smaller condensed phase in a (multi-step) condensation process.

5.1.1 Algorithm of 1d condensable algebras


Similarly, we can also discuss above relation in algebraic level:

Conjecture 5. Fix a stable gapped domain wall \mathcal{M} between \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 . Then the gapped domain wall $\mathcal{B}_{A_1} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \phi \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}_{A_2} \simeq {}_{B'}\mathcal{M}_{B'}$ for some separable algebra $B' \in \mathcal{M}$. And B' is a direct sum of indecomposable ones, i.e. $B' \cong \bigoplus_i B_i$.

We can also consider the fusion rules of 1d condensable algebras B_i . Consider two 1d domain walls ${}_{B_1}(\mathcal{C}_A)_{B_1}$ and ${}_{B_2}(\mathcal{C}_A)_{B_2}$, their fusion through \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} should be a domain wall in \mathcal{C} . Namely ${}_{B_1}(\mathcal{C}_A)_{B_1} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_A^{loc}} {}_{B_2}(\mathcal{C}_A)_{B_2} \simeq {}_{B_?}\mathcal{C}_{B_?}$, $B_?$ may not be indecomposable. Since $B_?$ only depends on B_1, B_2 and A , we propose the following conjecture:

Conjecture 6. ${}_{B_1}(\mathcal{C}_A)_{B_1} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_A^{loc}} {}_{B_2}(\mathcal{C}_A)_{B_2} \simeq {}_{B_1 \otimes_A B_2} \mathcal{C}_{B_1 \otimes_A B_2}$, in which the tensor over A comes from \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} .

A direct corollary of this conjecture is that ${}_{B_1} \mathcal{C}_{B_1} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} {}_{B_2} \mathcal{C}_{B_2} \simeq {}_{B_1 \otimes B_2} \mathcal{C}_{B_1 \otimes B_2}$ for special case $A = \mathbf{1}$. We can depict the fusion of domain walls in the following figure:



Since different ways of fusing should eventually derive the same gapped domain wall, we conjecture that

Conjecture 7. $B_1(\mathcal{C}_A)_{B_1} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} B_2(\mathcal{C}_A)_{B_2} \simeq_{B_1 \otimes B_2} B_1 \otimes B_2(\mathcal{C}_A)_{B_1 \otimes B_2}$.

Example 5.2. For $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{TC}$ and $A := \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}$, we have $\mathcal{TC}_{\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}} = \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. The above conjecture holds for $B_1 = B_2 = A = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}$, since ${}_A(\mathcal{C}_A)_A \simeq \mathcal{C}_A$ and thus

$$\mathcal{TC}_{\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{TC}} \mathcal{TC}_{\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}} \simeq \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{TC}} \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq M_2(\text{Vec}) \simeq {}_{A \oplus A}(\mathcal{TC}_A)_{A \oplus A}$$

where the second equivalence is given in [KZ22], and $A \otimes A = (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}) \otimes (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}) \simeq (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}) \oplus (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{m}) = A \oplus A$.

5.2 Categorical quantum entanglement

In this subsection, we invite an inspiring concept called *categorical entanglement*. This notion can give us a new perspective to understand Witt equivalence and 2-Morita equivalence.

Recall remark 2.2 in the preliminary, we mentioned that $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ can have more lagrangian algebras than $\{A_i^L \boxtimes A_j^L, \forall A_i^L \in \text{Alg}_{E_2}^{\text{lag}}(\mathcal{C})\}$ due to the interlayer MTC $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{\text{loc}}$ hidden in the boundary. This phenomena also exist in Witt equivalent MTCs \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 , i.e. $\mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_2}$ have more Lagrangian algebras than $\{A_i^L \otimes A_j^L\}$, where $A_i^L \in \text{Alg}_{E_2}^{\text{lag}}(\mathcal{C}_1)$ and $A_j^L \in \text{Alg}_{E_2}^{\text{lag}}(\mathcal{C}_2)$. See the topological order depiction in fig. 18

In quantum mechanics, if a physical system is the composite of two subsystems with state spaces \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 , respectively, then the state space of the total system is the tensor product $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$. The universal property of the tensor product gives a bilinear map

$$p : \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$$

which sends a pair of states $(|\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle)$ to their tensor product $|\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle$. States in the image of p are called *product states*. An *entangled state* is a state which is not a product state [nLa]. Now we extend this definition to fusion categories by Deligne tensor product.

$$\begin{aligned} \boxtimes : \mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2 &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{C}_2 \\ (X_1, X_2) &\mapsto X_1 \boxtimes X_2 \end{aligned}$$

Recall that an object in a fusion category is also called a sector. An *entangled sector* is a sector which can not be the image of the Deligne tensor functor \boxtimes .



Figure 18: (a): For Witt-equivalent MTCs \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 connected by a MTC \mathcal{D} , we can fuse the two domain walls together, and then flip \mathcal{C}_2 on top of \mathcal{C}_1 . Now we can have some non-trivial boundaries of $\mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_2}$. (b) Categorical entanglement tells us the degree of bounding between two Witt equivalent MTCs \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 can be measured by \mathcal{D} .

Let $A_1 \in \text{Alg}_{E_2}^{\text{cond}}(\mathcal{C}_1)$ and $A_2 \in \text{Alg}_{E_2}^{\text{cond}}(\mathcal{C}_2)$ such that $(\mathcal{C}_1)_{A_1}^{\text{loc}} \simeq \mathcal{D} \simeq (\mathcal{C}_2)_{A_2}^{\text{loc}}$ (See left sub-figure of figure 18). When A_1 and A_2 are the lagrangian algebras (i.e. $\mathcal{D} \simeq \text{Vec}$), the boundary of $\mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_2}$ is the same as to stack the boundary of \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 together, i.e. it is described by $(\mathcal{C}_1)_{A_1^L} \boxtimes (\overline{\mathcal{C}_2})_{A_2^L}$. Or to say, Lagrangian algebras $\{A_i^L \boxtimes A_j^L\}$ just covers the case when $\mathcal{D} \simeq \text{Vec}$. Since the interlayer condensed phase \mathcal{D} can result in boundary phases more than just a stack of two boundaries of \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 after folding (see the right subfigure of fig. 18), there can exist a lagrangian algebra $L \neq A_i^L \boxtimes A_j^L \in \text{Alg}_{E_2}^{\text{lag}}(\mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_2})$ such that

$$(\mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_2})_L \neq (\mathcal{C}_1)_{A_i^L} \boxtimes (\mathcal{C}_2)_{A_j^L}, \forall i, j$$

due to a non-trivial \mathcal{D} . So L is an entangled sector in $\mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_2}$.

So when \mathcal{D} is not Vec , we say there exists *categorical entanglement* between the two MTCs \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 in the categorical level. More precisely, we can use L to characterize the categorical entanglement between \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 . Recall that by two step condensation (section 3.1), L can be written as $\text{Ext}_{A_1 \boxtimes A_2}(\otimes^R(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}))$, where $\otimes^R(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}})$ is the canonical lagrangian algebra in $\mathcal{D} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{D}}$. Therefore, the entangled sector L has some hidden information of \mathcal{D} that can reflect the categorical entanglement between \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 .

Example 5.3. When there is no entanglement between the left and right bulk of \mathcal{C} , we can write the gapped domain as

$${}_B \mathcal{C}_B \simeq \mathcal{C}_{Z_l(B)} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}_{Z_r(B)}$$

. And B is a subalgebra of $Z_l(B) \otimes Z_r(B)$.


Example 5.4. Recall in section 4.1.1, the toric code model \mathcal{TC} has six gapped domain walls. Four of the non-invertible walls are just a separation of Vec between the two bulks, in which there is no entanglement between two \mathcal{TC} . And two of the invertible ones has maximal entanglement captured by \mathcal{TC} .

We will discuss categorical entanglement in more details with explicit measurement [KP06, LW06] and other applications. A detailed exposition of these aspects will be presented in our ongoing work [XY24].

5.3 Others

- *Witt Equivalence for Algebras.* It was proved that for two braided fusion categories, regarded as two E_2 -algebras in $\text{Cat}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{fin}}$, they are 2-Morita equivalent if and only if they are Witt equivalent [JMPP21, Déc22]. This result is a criterion of 2-Morita equivalence in 2-category level. A natural question is: does there exist a Witt equivalence in 1-category level? i.e. How to define Witt equivalence between two E_2 algebras in a braided fusion category and use this equivalence to study 2-Morita equivalence between these two algebras?

- *2-Morita Equivalence.* There are three different definitions of 2-Morita equivalence: one is iterated used in this paper; one is topological (see appendix B); another one is using E_2 local module of E_2 algebras. It is worthy to formulate the relations between these three definitions.
- *Higher Condensation Theory.* Generalizing Trinity 3 to higher dimensions are also important. Interested readers may see [KZZZ24] for a related theory on higher condensation.
- *0d defect.* A natural generalization fusion of 1d gapped domain walls is to consider the fusion of the 0d defects on these walls. This also completes the fusion structures of defects of all different dimensions in a 2d topological order. And one should obtain a “polygon” contain our Trinity.
- *Lattice Model Realizations.* In general, 1d condensable algebras should be constructed from tensor network [LW05b, BAV09, LFHSV21]. 2-Morita equivalent condensable algebras can be obtained by directly expanding these tensor networks into into the left/right bulk. It is intereting to figure out the explicit process and translate them into categorical entanglement.
- *Topological Wick Rotation.* An 1d quantum liquid can be described by a *topological skeleton* and a *local quantum symmetry*, see [KWZ22, XZ22] for some physical examples. For two phases \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 with categorical entanglement \mathcal{D} , topological Wick rotation can help us to study categorical entanglement between two corresponding 1d phases, as depicted in the following figure.



- *Algebraic Symmetry and Gauging.* Formulating the relation between automorphism of condensable algebras, gauging, orbitfold and G -crossed extensions [Kir02].
- *Modified Quantum Double.* Studying modified quantum double model and using it to describe 1d domain walls and 0d defects in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G)$ more precisely [BA09, HBJP23].

Appendices

A Condensable Algebras in MTCs

A.1 Basic definition and results

Definition A.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a MTC, an **algebra** A in \mathcal{C} is an object equipped with two morphisms $m : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ and $h : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow A$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} m \circ (m \otimes \text{id}_A) &= m \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes m), \\ m \circ (h \otimes \text{id}_A) &= \text{id}_A = m \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes h). \end{aligned}$$

An algebra A is called

- E_2 or **commutative** if $m = m \circ c_{A,A}$.
- **separable** if $m : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ splits as a A - A -bimodule homomorphism.

- **connected** if $\dim \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, A) = 1$;
- **E_2 -condensable** if A is commutative connected separable;
- **lagrangian** if A is E_2 -condensable and $\dim(A)^2 = \dim(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition A.2. Let A be an algebra in \mathcal{C} . A **right A -module** in \mathcal{C} is a pair (M, r_M) , where M is a object in \mathcal{C} and $r_M : M \otimes A \rightarrow M$ is a morphism in \mathcal{C} such that

$$\begin{aligned} r_M \circ (r_M \otimes \text{id}_A) &= r_M \circ (\text{id}_M \otimes m), \\ \text{id}_A &= r_M \circ (\text{id}_M \otimes h). \end{aligned}$$

Definition A.3. A right A -module M in \mathcal{C} is called a **local A -module** if $r_M \circ \beta_{A,M} \circ \beta_{M,A} = r_M$.

We denote the category of local A -modules in \mathcal{C} as $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}$. Mathematically, we can prove that

Theorem A.1 ([BEK00, KO02]). Let \mathcal{C} be a MTC, A be a condensable algebra in \mathcal{C} . Then \mathcal{C}_A is a SFC and $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}$ is a MTC.

Theorem A.2. Let A be an algebra in a monoidal category \mathcal{C} . There is an adjunction $-\otimes A \dashv U$, where $-\otimes : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_A$ sends any objects $x \in \mathcal{C}$ to the free module $x \otimes A$ and $U : \mathcal{C}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is the forgetful functor. The adjunction can be written more explicitly

$$\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}_A}(x \otimes A, M) \cong \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, U(M))$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{C}$ and $M \in \mathcal{C}_A$.

Extension of algebras.

Lemma A.3. A separable algebra $(B, m : B \otimes_A B \rightarrow B, h : A \rightarrow B)$ in \mathcal{C}_A can be extended to a separable algebra $\text{Ext}_A(B) := (U(B), m^{\text{ext}}, h^{\text{ext}})$ in \mathcal{C} , where

- $U(B)$ is the image of B under the forgetful functor $U : \mathcal{C}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$,
- $m^{\text{ext}} : U(B) \otimes U(B) \xrightarrow{p_{U(B), U(B)}} U(B) \otimes_A U(B) \rightarrow U(B \otimes_A B) \xrightarrow{U(m)} U(B)$,
- and $h^{\text{ext}} : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow A \rightarrow U(A) \xrightarrow{U(h)} U(B)$.

By composing with the inclusion $i : \mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_A$, we have

Theorem A.4. A condensable algebra $(B, m : B \otimes_A B \rightarrow B, h : A \rightarrow B)$ in $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}$ can be extended to a condensable algebra $\text{Ext}_A(B) := (U(B), m^{\text{ext}}, h^{\text{ext}})$ in \mathcal{C} . In particular, if B is commutative in $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}$, then the extended algebra $U(B)$ is commutative in \mathcal{C} .

Condensable algebras in $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}$ can be classified by following theorem.

Theorem A.5. [DNO12] Condensable algebras in $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}$ is classified by the following data:

- A_1 is a condensable algebra in \mathcal{C}
- A_2 is a condensable algebra in \mathcal{D}
- \mathcal{C}_1 is a fusion full subcat of $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{\text{loc}}$
- \mathcal{D}_1 is a fusion full subcat of $\mathcal{D}_{A_2}^{\text{loc}}$

- $\phi : \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{D}}_1$ is a braided equivalence

Proof. Consider the tensor functor $\otimes : \mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1, c_1 \boxtimes c_2 \mapsto c_1 \otimes c_2$. It admits a right adjoint $\otimes^R : \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}}_1$. Since \otimes is a central functor, by DMNO's Lemma, $\otimes^R(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}_1})$ is a condensable algebra in $\mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}}_1$. Indeed, $\otimes^R(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}_1}) \cong \bigoplus_{x_i \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}_1)} x_i \boxtimes x_i^*$ where x_i^* is the dual object of x_i . To see this, we can use the following adjunctions

$$\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(x, x) \simeq \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(x \otimes x^*, \mathbf{1}) \simeq \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}}_1}(x \boxtimes x^*, \otimes^R(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}_1}))$$

Under the braided equivalence $\phi : \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{D}}_1$, the condensable algebra $\otimes^R(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}_1}) \cong \bigoplus_{x_i \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}_1)} x_i \boxtimes x_i^*$ in $\mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}}_1$ becomes a condensable algebra $\bigoplus_{x_i \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}_1)} x_i \boxtimes \phi(x_i^*)$ in $\mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{D}}_1$. Since $\mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{D}}_1$ is a fusion subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{\text{loc}} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}_{A_2}^{\text{loc}}$, this condensable algebra is also a condensable algebra in $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{\text{loc}} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}_{A_2}^{\text{loc}}$ which is canonically equivalent to $(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D})_{A_1 \boxtimes A_2}^{\text{loc}}$. Finally, by extension of condensable algebras, we obtain a condensable algebra in $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{D}}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}}_1 &\xrightarrow{\text{id} \boxtimes \phi} \mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{D}}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{\text{loc}} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}_{A_2}^{\text{loc}} \simeq (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D})_{A_1 \boxtimes A_2}^{\text{loc}} \xrightarrow{\text{Extend}} \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{D}} \\ \otimes^R(\mathbf{1}) &\mapsto \bigoplus_i x_i \boxtimes \phi(x_i^*) \mapsto \bigoplus_i x_i \boxtimes \phi(x_i^*) \mapsto \bigoplus_i x_i \boxtimes \phi(x_i^*) \mapsto \text{Ext}_{A_1 \boxtimes A_2}(\bigoplus_i x_i \boxtimes \phi(x_i^*)) \end{aligned}$$

Lagrangian algebra in $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{D}}$ are classified by triples (A_1, A_2, ϕ) s.t.

$$\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{\text{loc}} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{D}_{A_2}^{\text{loc}}$$

Definition A.4. Let \mathcal{C} be a braided monoidal category and \mathcal{M} be a monoidal category. Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ monoidal functor, a **central functor structure** of F is a braided monoidal functor $F' : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{M})$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{F'} & \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{M}) \\ & \searrow F & \downarrow \text{Forg} \\ & & \mathcal{M} \end{array}$$

Lemma A.6 ([DMNO13]). Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a central functor, then $F^R(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}})$ is a condensable algebra in \mathcal{C} , and $\mathcal{C}_{F^R(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}})}$ is monoidal equivalent to the image of F .

A.2 Condensable algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$

In this subsection we briefly review the classification of E_1 and E_2 condensable algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$ [Dav10b]. An explicit description of the category $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$ is given in [BK01, Dav10b].

- Its objects are pairs (X, ρ_X) , where X is a G -graded vector spaces, i.e. $X = \bigoplus_{g \in G} X_g$, and $\rho_X : G \times X \rightarrow X$ is a compatible G -action, which means for $f, g \in G$, $(fg)(v) = f(g(v))$, $e(v) = v$ for all $v \in X$ and $f(X_g) = X_{fgf^{-1}}$.
- The tensor product of (X, ρ_X) and (Y, ρ_Y) is just usual tensor product of G -graded vector spaces with the G -action $\rho_{X \otimes Y}$ defined by $g(x \otimes y) = g(x) \otimes g(y)$ for $x \in X, y \in Y$.
- The tensor unit is \mathbb{C} which is viewed as a G -grade vector space supported only on the unit e and equipped with a trivial G -action.
- The braiding is given by

$$\beta_{X,Y}(x \otimes y) = f(y) \otimes x, \quad x \in X_f, y \in Y, f \in G.$$

- The dual object $X^\vee = \bigoplus_{g \in G} (X^\vee)_g$ is given by

$$(X^\vee)_g = (X_{g^{-1}})^\vee = \text{hom}(X_{f^{-1}}, \mathbb{C})$$

with action $g(l)(x) = l(g^{-1}(x))$ for $l \in \text{hom}(X_{f^{-1}}, \mathbb{C}), x \in X_{gf^{-1}g^{-1}}$.

- The twist is given by $\theta_X(x) = f^{-1}(x)$ for $x \in X_f$.
- The quantum dimension $\dim X$ is just the usual vector space dimension.

Since forgetful functor $\text{Vec}_G \rightarrow \text{Vec}$ is monoidal, an algebra in Vec_G must be an algebra in Vec . Suppose (A, m, h) is an algebra in Vec , it is an algebra in Vec_G if and only if $A, m, h \in \text{Vec}_G$, i.e. $A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A_g$ and $m : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ is homogeneous

$$A_{h_1} \otimes A_{h_2} \rightarrow A_{h_1 h_2}$$

Or equivalently, $A_{h_1} A_{h_2} := m(A_{h_1} \otimes A_{h_2}) \subset A_{h_1 h_2}$. And $h : \mathbb{C}_e \rightarrow A$. Also note that forgetful functor $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G) \rightarrow \text{Vec}_G$ is monoidal, so an algebra in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G)$ must be an algebra in Vec_G . Suppose (A, m, h) is an algebra in Vec_G , $((A, \gamma_{-,A}), m, h)$ is an algebra in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G)$ if and only if $m, h \in \mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G)$. i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \otimes A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{X, A \otimes A}} & A \otimes A \otimes X \\ \downarrow \text{id}_X \otimes m & & \downarrow m \otimes \text{id}_X \\ X \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{X, A}} & A \otimes X \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X \otimes \mathbb{C}_e & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{X, \mathbb{C}_e}} & \mathbb{C}_e \otimes X \\ \downarrow \text{id}_X \otimes h & & \downarrow h \otimes \text{id}_X \\ X \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{X, A}} & A \otimes X \end{array}$$

for $\forall X \in \text{Vec}_G$. As a consequence, we conclude that

Corollary A.7. *An algebra in the category $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G)$ is a G -graded associative algebra A together with a G -action such that*

$$g(ab) = g(a)g(b)$$

for any $g \in G$.

Proposition A.8. *Let A be an algebra in Vec_G (a graded G -algebra). The full centre of A as an object of $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G)$ is the subspace of the space of functions $G \rightarrow A$ with homogeneous values: Let A be a G -graded algebra. The full centre of A as an object of $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G)$ is the subspace of the space of functions $G \rightarrow A$ with homogeneous values:*

$$Z(A) = \{z : G \rightarrow A \mid az(g) = z(hg)a, \forall a \in A_h\}.$$

The G -grading on $Z(A)$ is given by

$$Z(A)_f = \{z \in Z(A) \mid |z(g)| = g|z(e)|g^{-1} = gf g^{-1}\}.$$

The G -action is $g(z)(f) = z(g^{-1}f)$. The map $Z(A) \rightarrow A$ is the evaluation $z \mapsto z(e)$.

An algebra A in $\text{Rep}(G)$ (a G -algebra) is just an (associative, unital) algebra with an action of G by algebra automorphisms.

Proposition A.9. *The full centre $Z(A) \in \mathfrak{Z}(\text{Vec}_G)$ of an algebra $A \in \text{Rep}(G)$ has the form $Z(A) = \bigoplus_{g \in G} Z_g(A)$, where*

$$Z_g(A) = \{x \in A \mid xa = g(a)x \forall a \in A\}$$

with the G action, induced from A .

A 2d-condensable algebra $A = A(H, F, \omega, \epsilon) := \text{Fun}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[H]} \mathbb{C}[F, \omega, \epsilon]$ in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$ is determined by a subgroup $H \subset G$, a normal subgroup F in H , a cocycle $\omega \in Z^2(F, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ and $\epsilon : H \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. (Action axiom)

$$\epsilon_{gh}(f) = \epsilon_g(hfh^{-1})\epsilon_h(f), \quad \forall g, h \in H, f \in F \quad (7)$$

2. (Multiplicativity)

$$\omega(f, g)\epsilon_h(fg) = \epsilon_h(f)\epsilon_h(g)\omega(hff^{-1}, hgh^{-1})\epsilon(f), \quad \forall h \in H, f, g \in F \quad (8)$$

3. (Commutativity)

$$\omega(f, g) = \epsilon_f(g)\omega(fgf^{-1}, f), \quad f, g \in F. \quad (9)$$

This algebra $A = A(H, F, \omega, \epsilon)$ as a vector space is spanned by $\{\delta_g \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[H]} e_f \mid g \in G, f \in F\}$, where $\{\delta_g \mid g \in G\}$ is the standard basis of the regular algebra $\text{Fun}(G)$ and $\{e_f \mid f \in F\}$ is the standard basis of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[F, \omega]$. Equivalently, this basis can also be written as $\{\delta_g \otimes e_f \mid g \in G, f \in F\}$ modulo the relations

$$\delta_{gh} \otimes e_f = \epsilon_h(f) \cdot \delta_g \otimes e_{hfh^{-1}}, \quad \forall h \in H, \quad (10)$$

The G -grading on basis is $\delta_g \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[H]} e_f \in A_{gfg^{-1}}$ and G -action is $h(a_{hg, f})$. The multiplication is given by

$$(\delta_g \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[H]} e_f) \cdot (\delta_{g'} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[H]} e_{f'}) = \delta_{gg'} \omega(f, f') \cdot \delta_g \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[H]} e_{ff'}.$$

The algebra $A(H, F, \omega, \epsilon)$ is lagrangian if and only if $F = H$. In this case, ϵ is uniquely determined by ω by Eq.9. Therefore, such algebra is determined by a pair (H, ω) .

Morita classes of indecomposable algebras (1d condensable algebras) in $\text{Rep}(G)$ are in 1-to-1 correspondence with Morita classes of indecomposable separable algebras in Vec_G , i.e. they correspond to pairs (H, ω) , where $H \subset G$ is a subgroup and $\omega \in H^2(H, \mathbb{C}^\times)$

$$Z(A[H, \omega]) = A(H, H, \omega)$$

the only indecomposable commutative algebras in $\mathfrak{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$, that appear as full centres, are those of the form $A(H, H, \omega)$. This is related to the fact that they have trivial categories of so-called local modules.

B Morita Theory and Centers

B.1 Module categories

Definition B.1. Let \mathcal{M} be a left \mathcal{C} -module. An **internal hom** in \mathcal{M} is a functor

$$[-, -] : \mathcal{M}^{op} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \quad (11)$$

such that for every object $x \in \mathcal{M}$, we have a pair of adjoint functors

$$- \odot x \dashv [x, -]$$

Definition B.2. Let \mathcal{D} be a braided fusion category and let \mathcal{E} be a monoidal right \mathcal{D} -module with module action $\odot : \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. Consider an algebra (A, m_A, h_A) in \mathcal{D} . A **right** A -module in \mathcal{E} is a pair (M, r_M) where

- M is an object in \mathcal{E} ;
- $r_M : M \odot A \rightarrow M$ is a morphism in \mathcal{E} .

such that the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & (M \odot A) \odot A \xrightarrow{M \odot \text{id}_A} M \odot A & \\
 & \swarrow & \downarrow r_M \\
 M \odot (A \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} A) & & M \odot \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_M \otimes l_A} M \odot A \\
 \downarrow \text{id}_M \otimes m_A & & \downarrow r_M \\
 M \odot A & \xrightarrow{r_M} & M
 \end{array}$$

B.2 Centers for algebras

Definition B.3 (Definition by Davydov). Let \mathcal{C} be an E_2 -monoidal 1-category and let B be an E_1 -algebra in \mathcal{C} . The **Davydov's right center** $C_r(B)$ is an object in \mathcal{C} equipped with a morphism $\iota_l : C_r(B) \rightarrow B$, such that for any object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ with a morphism $f : X \rightarrow B$ satisfying the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 B \otimes X & \longrightarrow & B \otimes B \\
 \downarrow \beta_{X,B} & & \searrow \\
 & & B \\
 X \otimes B & \longrightarrow & B \otimes B \\
 & \nearrow & \\
 & & B
 \end{array} \tag{12}$$

there is a morphism $g : X \rightarrow C_r(B)$ such that $f = \iota_l \circ g$.

Remark B.1. For a fusion category ${}_B\mathcal{C}_B$, the full centre $Z(B) \in \mathfrak{Z}({}_B\mathcal{C}_B)$ coincides with $L(B)$, where $L : {}_B\mathcal{C}_B \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}({}_B\mathcal{C}_B)$ is the adjoint to the forgetful functor $F : \mathfrak{Z}({}_B\mathcal{C}_B) \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{C}_B$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathfrak{Z}({}_B\mathcal{C}_B) & & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow F & \\
 \mathfrak{Z}({}_B\mathcal{C}_B)_{Z_f(B)} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & {}_B\mathcal{C}_B
 \end{array}$$

Compatible with bulk-to-wall map.

B.3 (Higher) Morita equivalence

The original definition of Morita equivalence is to say that two E_1 -algebras have equivalent module categories.

Definition B.4. Let \mathcal{C} be an E_m -monoidal n -category with $m \geq 1$. Two E_1 -algebras A and B in \mathcal{C} are **Morita equivalent** if $\mathcal{C}_A \simeq \mathcal{C}_B$ as n -categories.

Different 1-Morita equivalence can be unified by above definition, they differ by the choice of the category \mathcal{C} . E.g. when \mathcal{C} is a monoidal category, then Definition B.4 is the usual definition of 1-Morita equivalent algebras; when \mathcal{C} is Cat , the 2-category of 1-categories, algebras in Cat are monoidal categories, then Definition B.4 is the definition of 1-Morita equivalent monoidal categories. In particular, let \mathcal{C} be the category $\mathcal{A}b$ of Abelian groups. It is clear that $\mathcal{A}b$ is an E_∞ -monoidal 1-category (i.e. a symmetric monoidal 1-category). And an E_1 algebra in $\mathcal{A}b$ is a ring. For two rings R, S , we can prove that

Theorem B.1 (Eilenberg-Watts). For $R, S \in \text{Alg}_{E_1}(\mathcal{A}b)$, the functor

$$\begin{aligned} {}_R\mathcal{A}b_S &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}\text{un}_{\mathcal{A}b}^{\text{coc}}(\mathcal{A}b_R, \mathcal{A}b_S) \\ {}_R\mathcal{M}_S &\mapsto - \otimes_R M \end{aligned}$$

to the category of cocontinuous and additive functors is an equivalence of categories.

Corollary B.2. Two rings R, S are Morita equivalent iff there are bimodules ${}_R\mathcal{M}_S$ and ${}_S\mathcal{N}_R$ such that ${}_R\mathcal{M}_S \otimes_S {}_S\mathcal{N}_R \cong R$ and ${}_S\mathcal{N}_R \otimes_R {}_R\mathcal{M}_S \cong S$.

This is the famous result in the ordinary Morita theory, which concerns the category of modules over rings. There is also another viewpoint of this result, which will make the Morita equivalence more natural.

Theorem B.3 (Generalized Eilenberg-Watts Theorem). Let \mathcal{C} be a cocomplete E_m -monoidal category. For two E_1 -algebras $A, B \in \text{Alg}_{E_1}(\mathcal{C})$, there is an equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} {}_A\mathcal{C}_B &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}\text{un}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{coc}}(\mathcal{C}_A, \mathcal{C}_B) \\ M &\mapsto - \otimes_A M \end{aligned}$$

of categories. In particular, the equivalence

$${}_A\mathcal{C}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\text{un}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}_A, \mathcal{C}_A)$$

is a monoidal equivalence.

Definition B.5. Let \mathcal{C} be a cocomplete E_m -monoidal category. The bicategory $\text{Mrt}_{E_1}(\mathcal{C})$ consists of

- objects are E_1 -algebras in \mathcal{C} ;
- for two E_1 -algebras A and B , 1-morphisms between them are A - B -bimodules;
- for two bimodules ${}_A\mathcal{M}_B, {}_A\mathcal{N}_B \in \text{Mor}(A, B)$, 2-morphisms between them are A - B -bimodule homomorphisms.
- the composition of 2-morphisms are composition of bimodule homomorphisms.
- the composition of 1-morphisms are the relative tensor product: for A - B -bimodule M and B - C -bimodule N , their composition is the A - C -bimodule $M \otimes_B N$.

Corollary B.4. Two rings are Morita equivalent iff they are equivalent objects in the bicategory $\text{Mrt}_{E_1}(\mathcal{A}b)$.

Thus, in the ordinary Morita theory, we can also use the equivalence in the bicategory $\text{Mrt}_{E_1}(\mathcal{A}b)$ as the definition.

Proposition B.5. Let A_1 and A_2 be two E_1 algebras in \mathcal{C} . Then A_1 E_1 -Morita equivalent to A_2 implies ${}_A\mathcal{C}_{A_1}$ is E_1 -monoidal equivalent to ${}_A\mathcal{C}_{A_2}$.

Proof. By definition, we have $\mathcal{C}_{A_1} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{A_2}$. By generalized Eilenberg Watts Theorem, we have ${}_A\mathcal{C}_{A_1} \simeq \mathcal{F}\text{un}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}_{A_1}, \mathcal{C}_{A_1}) \simeq \mathcal{F}\text{un}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}_{A_2}, \mathcal{C}_{A_2}) \simeq {}_A\mathcal{C}_{A_2}$. \square

Since an E_1 -module over an E_1 -algebra is an A - A -bimodule, we have $\text{Mod}_A^{E_1}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq {}_A\mathcal{C}_A$, so we have

Corollary B.6. Let A_1 and A_2 be two E_1 algebras in \mathcal{C} . Then $A_1 \xrightarrow{E_1\text{-Morita}} A_2$ implies $\text{Mod}_{A_1}^{E_1}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{E_1} \text{Mod}_{A_2}^{E_1}(\mathcal{C})$.

Conjecture 8. The converse might be true, i.e. for two E_1 -algebras A_1 and A_2 , if we have $\text{Mod}_{A_1}^{E_1}(\mathcal{C})$ is E_1 -monoidal equivalent to $\text{Mod}_{A_2}^{E_1}(\mathcal{C})$, then A_1 is E_1 -Morita equivalent to A_2 .

Definition B.6. Two E_1 -algebras A_1 and A_2 are E_1 -**Morita equivalent** if their E_1 -module categories $\text{Mod}_{A_1}^{E_1}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\text{Mod}_{A_2}^{E_1}(\mathcal{C})$ are E_1 -monoidal equivalent.

Two simple algebras with non-degenerate trace pairing are 1- Morita equivalent if and only if their full centres are isomorphic as algebras [KR08].

In particular,

Theorem B.7. *Let \mathcal{C} be a modular fusion category and let A, B be simple normalized special Frobenius algebras in \mathcal{C} . Then the following two statements are equivalent.*

- *A and B are 1-Morita equivalent.*
- *The full centers of $Z(A)$ and $Z(B)$ are isomorphic as algebras.*

Remark B.2. For a separable indecomposable algebra A in a modular category \mathcal{C} the full centre $Z_f(A)$ is a Lagrangian algebra in $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}$. Moreover, the full centre construction establishes an isomorphism between the set of Morita equivalence classes of separable indecomposable algebras in \mathcal{C} and isomorphism classes of Lagrangian algebras in $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}$.

Here two algebras in \mathcal{C} are Morita equivalent if their categories of modules are equivalent as module categories over \mathcal{C} . Hence the theorem says that the full centre is an invariant of categories of internal modules in \mathcal{C} (i.e. module categories over \mathcal{C}).

Definition B.7. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be two E_1 -algebras in $\text{Cat}_k^{\text{Fin}}$, and \mathcal{M} a \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{D} -bimodule in $\text{Cat}_k^{\text{Fin}}$. We say \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} is **Morita equivalent** if \mathcal{M} is invertible, i.e. there exists \mathcal{D} - \mathcal{C} -bimodule \mathcal{N} , such that $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N} \simeq \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{D}$.

There are equivalent characterizations of Morita equivalence between multifusion 1-categories. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be two multifusion 1-categories over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Categorifying the classical notion of Morita equivalence for algebras, we say that \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are Morita equivalent if the (linear) 2-categories $\text{RMod}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\text{RMod}(\mathcal{D})$ are equivalent ($\text{RMod}(\mathcal{C})$ for the 2-category of finite semisimple right \mathcal{C} -module 1-categories). Alternatively, given \mathcal{M} a finite semisimple left \mathcal{C} -module 1-category, we can consider $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$, the multifusion 1-category of left \mathcal{C} -module endofunctor of \mathcal{M} . Following [EO04], we use $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}}^*$ to denote $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$, and call it the dual tensor 1-category to \mathcal{C} with respect to \mathcal{M} . Then, we say that \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are Morita equivalent if there exists a faithful finite semisimple left \mathcal{C} -module 1-category \mathcal{M} together with a monoidal equivalence between $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}}^*$ and \mathcal{D}^{mop} , that is \mathcal{D} equipped with the opposite monoidal structure. It follows from [ENO10] that this coincides with the notion of Morita equivalence recalled above. Moreover, it follows from [Ost03c] that there exists an algebra A in \mathcal{C} such that \mathcal{M} is equivalent to \mathcal{C}_A , the 1-category of right A -modules in \mathcal{C} . This implies that there is a monoidal equivalence between $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\text{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)^{\text{mop}}$, the monoidal 1-category of $A - A$ -bimodules in \mathcal{C} . Let us also note that, by [ENO05], the algebra A is necessarily separable, i.e. A is a special Frobenius algebra. It then follows that \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are Morita equivalent if and only if there exists a faithful separable algebra A in \mathcal{C} together with an equivalence $\mathcal{D} \simeq \text{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$ of monoidal 1-categories. This recovers the notion of Morita equivalence introduced in [FRS02] and [Mü03].

In [KZ18] the authors also proved that

Proposition B.8. *Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be finite monoidal categories and \mathcal{M} an invertible \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{D} -bimodule. Then $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{D})$ as braided monoidal categories.*

Since fusion categories are finite monoidal categories, we can restrict the above results to fusion categories. Hence, two fusion categories are Morita equivalence is equivalent to say that their Drinfeld centers are braided equivalent, or they share the same bulk.

Theorem B.9. *Two E_2 -algebras are E_1 -Morita equivalent iff they are isomorphic.*

Definition B.8. Let \mathcal{C} be an E_m -monoidal n -category with $m \geq 2$. Two E_2 -algebras A, B are E_2 -**Morita equivalent** if \mathcal{C}_A and \mathcal{C}_B are E_1 -Morita equivalent, i.e. $\text{RMod}_{\mathcal{C}_A}(n\text{Cat}) \simeq \text{RMod}_{\mathcal{C}_B}(n\text{Cat})$ as $(n + 1)$ -categories.

Example B.9. Consider the E_∞ -monoidal 1-category $\mathcal{A}b$. An E_2 -algebra in $\mathcal{A}b$ is a commutative ring. For two commutative rings R and S , they are E_2 -Morita equivalent if $\mathcal{A}b_R$ and $\mathcal{A}b_S$ are E_1 -Morita equivalent. Since E_1 -Morita equivalence implies isomorphic center, we have $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}b_R) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}b_S)$ as braided monoidal categories. However, since $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}b_R) \simeq \mathcal{A}b_R$ for any commutative ring, we have $\mathcal{A}b_R \simeq \mathcal{A}b_S$, which implies R and S are E_1 -Morita equivalent. Hence, again, we must have $Z(R) \cong Z(S)$. But R and S are both commutative, we have $R \cong S$. As a consequence, the higher Morita equivalence in classical algebras is trivial, which is equivalent to algebra isomorphisms.

Proposition B.10. For a MTC \mathcal{C} , two E_2 -algebra A_1 and A_2 are E_2 -Morita equivalent if and only if their local modules categories are E_2 -monoidal equivalent.

Proof. By definition, we have \mathcal{C}_{A_1} and \mathcal{C}_{A_2} are E_1 -Morita equivalent, which means their centers are equivalent, i.e. $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C}_{A_1}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C}_{A_2})$. Since $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{C}_A) \simeq \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_A^{loc}}$, we have $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc}} \simeq \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{loc}}$. By Muger's Prime Decomposition Theorem, we have $\mathcal{C}_{A_1}^{loc} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{A_2}^{loc}$. \square

Since E_2 -modules are local modules, we have $\text{Mod}_A^{E_2}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C}_A^{loc}$. Thus we have

Corollary B.11. For a MTC \mathcal{C} , two E_2 -algebra A_1 and A_2 are E_2 -Morita equivalent if their E_2 module categories $\text{Mod}_{A_1}^{E_2}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\text{Mod}_{A_2}^{E_2}(\mathcal{C})$ are E_2 -monoidal equivalent.

For MTCs, we can use E_2 -monoidal equivalence between E_2 -module categories to define E_2 -Morita equivalence.

Conjecture 9. This definition can be promoted to braided fusion categories, NOT need non-degeneracy.

Definition B.10. Two E_2 -algebras A_1 and A_2 are E_2 -**Morita equivalent** if their E_2 -module categories $\text{Mod}_{A_1}^{E_2}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\text{Mod}_{A_2}^{E_2}(\mathcal{C})$ are E_2 -monoidal equivalent.

2-Morita equivalence is just the Witt equivalence if we restrict to a special case.

Remark B.3. Note that the Morita equivalent algebras is 1 dimensional higher than the Morita equivalent categoricals. (from delooping perspective)

References

- [AKZ17] Yinghua Ai, Liang Kong, and Hao Zheng. *Topological orders and factorization homology*. Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, 21(8):1845–1894, 2017. arXiv:1607.08422.
- [BA09] Oliver Buerschaper and Miguel Aguado. *Mapping Kitaev’s quantum double lattice models to Levin and Wen’s string-net models*. Physical Review B, 80(15):155136, 2009. arXiv:0907.2670.
- [Ban94] Peter Bantay. *Algebraic aspects of orbifold models*. International Journal of Modern Physics A, 9(09):1443–1456, 1994.
- [BAV09] Oliver Buerschaper, Miguel Aguado, and Guifr  Vidal. *Explicit tensor network representation for the ground states of string-net models*. Physical Review B, 79(8):085119, 2009.
- [BD12] Thomas Booker and Alexei Davydov. *Commutative algebras in Fibonacci categories*. Journal of Algebra, 355(1):176–204, 2012. arXiv:1103.3537.
- [BE00] Jens B ockenhauer and David E Evans. *Modular invariants from subfactors: \mathbb{Z}_2 type i coupling matrices and intermediate subfactors*. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 213:267–289, 2000.
- [BEK00] Jens B ockenhauer, David E. Evans, and Yasuyuki Kawahigashi. *Chiral structure of modular invariants for subfactors*. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 210(3):733–784, 2000. arXiv:math/9907149.
- [BK01] Bojko Bakalov and Alexander Kirillov, Jr. *Lectures on tensor categories and modular functors*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [BM07] FA Bais and CJM Mathy. *The breaking of quantum double symmetries by defect condensation*. Annals of Physics, 322(3):552–598, 2007.
- [BS09] F. A. Bais and J. K. Slingerland. *Condensate-induced transitions between topologically ordered phases*. Physical Review B, 79(4), 2009. arXiv:0808.0627.
- [BSH09] F. A. Bais, J. K. Slingerland, and S. M. Haaker. *Theory of topological edges and domain walls*. Physical Review Letters, 102(22), 2009. arXiv:0812.4596.
- [CCW16] Iris Cong, Meng Cheng, and Zhenghan Wang. *Topological quantum computation with gapped boundaries*. arXiv preprint, 2016. arXiv:1609.02037.
- [CGHP23] Jessica Christian, David Green, Peter Huston, and David Penneys. *A lattice model for condensation in levin-wen systems*. Journal of High Energy Physics, 2023(55), 2023. arXiv:2303.04711.
- [CGR00] Antoine Coste, Terry Gannon, and Philippe Ruelle. *Finite group modular data*. Nuclear Physics B, 581(3):679–717, 2000.
- [CJKYZ20] Wei-Qiang Chen, Chao-Ming Jian, Liang Kong, Yi-Zhuang You, and Hao Zheng. *Topological phase transition on the edge of two-dimensional \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order*. Physical Review B, 102(4), 2020. arXiv:1903.12334.
- [Dav10a] Alexei Davydov. *Centre of an algebra*. Advances in Mathematics, 225(1):319–348, 2010. arXiv:0908.1250.
- [Dav10b] Alexei Davydov. *Modular invariants for group-theoretical modular data. I*. Journal of Algebra, 323(5):1321–1348, 2010. arXiv:0908.1044.
- [D c22] Thibault D D coppet. *Drinfeld centers and morita equivalence classes of fusion 2-categories*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.04917, 2022.

- [DGNO10] Vladimir Drinfeld, Shlomo Gelaki, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik. *On braided fusion categories I*. *Selecta Mathematica*, 16(1):1–119, 2010. arXiv:0906.0620.
- [DKR11] Alexei Davydov, Liang Kong, and Ingo Runkel. *Field theories with defects and the centre functor*. In *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Field Theory and Perturbative String Theory*, pages 71–128. American Mathematical Society, 2011. arXiv:1107.0495.
- [DMNO13] Alexei Davydov, Michael Müger, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik. *The Witt group of non-degenerate braided fusion categories*. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2013(677), 2013. arXiv:1009.2117.
- [DNO12] Alexei Davydov, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik. *On the structure of the Witt group of braided fusion categories*. *Selecta Mathematica*, 19(1):237–269, 2012. arXiv:1109.5558.
- [DS17] Alexei Davydov and Darren Simmons. *On Lagrangian algebras in group-theoretical braided fusion categories*. *Journal of Algebra*, 471:149–175, 2017. arXiv:1603.04650.
- [EGNO15] Pavel Etingof, Shlomo Gelaki, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik. *Tensor categories*. American Math. Soc, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [ENO05] Pavel Etingof, Dmitri Nikshych, and Viktor Ostrik. *On fusion categories*. *Annals of Mathematics*, 162(2):581–642, 2005. arXiv:math/0203060.
- [ENO10] Pavel Etingof, Dmitri Nikshych, and Viktor Ostrik. *Fusion categories and homotopy theory*. *Quantum Topology*, pages 209–273, 2010. arXiv:0909.3140.
- [ENO11] Pavel Etingof, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik. *Weakly group-theoretical and solvable fusion categories*. *Advances in Mathematics*, 226(1):176–205, 2011. arXiv:0809.3031.
- [EO04] P. Etingof and V. Ostrik. *Finite tensor categories*. *Moscow Mathematical Journal*, 4(3):627–654, 2004. arXiv:math/0301027.
- [FFRS06] Jürg Fröhlich, Jürgen Fuchs, Ingo Runkel, and Christoph Schweigert. *Correspondences of ribbon categories*. *Advances in Mathematics*, 199(1):192–329, 2006. arXiv:math/0309465.
- [FFRS08] Jens Fjelstad, Jürgen Fuchs, Ingo Runkel, and Christoph Schweigert. *Uniqueness of open/closed rational CFT with given algebra of open states*. *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 12(6):1283–1375, 2008. arXiv:hep-th/0612306.
- [FRS02] Jürgen Fuchs, Ingo Runkel, and Christoph Schweigert. *Conformal correlation functions, Frobenius algebras and triangulations*. *Nuclear Physics B*, 624(3):452–468, 2002. arXiv:hep-th/0110133.
- [FRS04] Jürgen Fuchs, Ingo Runkel, and Christoph Schweigert. *TFT construction of RCFT correlators III: Simple currents*. *Nuclear Physics B*, 694(3):277–353, 2004. arXiv:hep-th/0403157.
- [Hau17] Rune Haugseng. *The higher Morita category of E_n -algebras*. *Geometry & Topology*, 21(3):1631–1730, 2017. arXiv:1412.8459.
- [HBJP23] Peter Huston, Fiona Burnell, Corey Jones, and David Penneys. *Composing topological domain walls and anyon mobility*. *SciPost Physics*, 15(3):076, 2023.
- [HGW18] Yuting Hu, Nathan Geer, and Yong-Shi Wu. *Full dyon excitation spectrum in extended Levin-Wen models*. *Physical Review B*, 97(19):195154, 2018. arXiv:1502.03433.
- [HW14] Ling-Yan Hung and Yidun Wan. *Symmetry-enriched phases obtained via pseudo anyon condensation*. *International Journal of Modern Physics B*, 28(24):1450172, 2014. arXiv:1308.4673.

- [JMPP21] Corey Jones, Scott Morrison, David Penneys, and Julia Plavnik. *Extension theory for braided-enriched fusion categories*. International Mathematics Research Notices, 2021. arXiv:1910.03178.
- [JW20] Wenjie Ji and Xiao-Gang Wen. *Categorical symmetry and noninvertible anomaly in symmetry-breaking and topological phase transitions*. Physical Review Research, 2(3):033417, 2020. arXiv:1912.13492.
- [Kir02] Alexander Kirillov, Jr. *Modular categories and orbifold models*. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 229(2):309–335, 2002. arXiv:math/0104242.
- [Kit03] Alexei Kitaev. *Fault-tolerant quantum computation by anyons*. Annals of Physics, 303(1):2–30, 2003. arXiv:quant-ph/9707021.
- [Kit06] Alexei Kitaev. *Anyons in an exactly solved model and beyond*. Annals of Physics, 321(1):2–111, 2006. arXiv:cond-mat/0506438.
- [KK12] Alexei Kitaev and Liang Kong. *Models for gapped boundaries and domain walls*. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 313(2):351–373, 2012. arXiv:1104.5047.
- [KLWZZ20] Liang Kong, Tian Lan, Xiao-Gang Wen, Zhi-Hao Zhang, and Hao Zheng. *Algebraic higher symmetry and categorical symmetry: A holographic and entanglement view of symmetry*. Physical Review Research, 2(4), 2020. arXiv:2005.14178.
- [KO02] Alexander Kirillov, Jr. and Viktor Ostrik. *On a q -analogue of the McKay correspondence and the ADE classification of \mathfrak{sl}_2 conformal field theories*. Advances in Mathematics, 171(2):183–227, 2002. arXiv:math/0101219.
- [Kon13] Liang Kong. *Some universal properties of Levin-Wen models*. In *XVIIth International Congress on Mathematical Physics*, pages 444–455. World Scientific, 2013. arXiv:1211.4644.
- [Kon14] Liang Kong. *Anyon condensation and tensor categories*. Nuclear Physics B, 886:436–482, 2014. *Erratum and addendum: “Anyon condensation and tensor categories” [Nucl. Phys. B 886 (2014) 436–482]*. Nuclear Physics B, 973:115607, 2021. See a corrected version in, arXiv:1307.8244.
- [KP06] Alexei Kitaev and John Preskill. *Topological entanglement entropy*. Physical review letters, 96(11):110404, 2006.
- [KR08] Liang Kong and Ingo Runkel. *Morita classes of algebras in modular tensor categories*. Advances in Mathematics, 219(5):1548–1576, 2008. arXiv:0708.1897.
- [KR09] Liang Kong and Ingo Runkel. *Cardy algebras and sewing constraints, I*. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 292(3):871–912, 2009. arXiv:0807.3356.
- [KS94] Maximilian Kreuzer and Adrian Norbert Schellekens. *Simple currents versus orbifolds with discrete torsion—a complete classification*. Nuclear Physics B, 411(1):97–121, 1994.
- [KWZ15] Liang Kong, Xiao-Gang Wen, and Hao Zheng. *Boundary-bulk relation for topological orders as the functor mapping higher categories to their centers*. arXiv preprint, 2015. arXiv:1502.01690.
- [KWZ22] Liang Kong, Xiao-Gang Wen, and Hao Zheng. *One dimensional gapped quantum phases and enriched fusion categories*. Journal of High Energy Physics, 2022(3), 2022. arXiv:2108.08835.
- [KYZ21] Liang Kong, Wei Yuan, and Hao Zheng. *Pointed Drinfeld center functor*. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 381(3):1409–1443, 2021. arXiv:1912.13168.
- [KZ17] Liang Kong and Hao Zheng. *Semisimple and separable algebras in multi-fusion categories*. arXiv preprint, 2017. arXiv:1706.06904.

- [KZ18] Liang Kong and Hao Zheng. *The center functor is fully faithful*. *Advances in Mathematics*, 339:749–779, 2018. arXiv:1507.00503.
- [KZ22] Liang Kong and Zhi-Hao Zhang. *An invitation to topological orders and category theory*. arXiv preprint, 2022. arXiv:2205.05565.
- [KZZZ24] Liang Kong, Zhi-Hao Zhang, Jiaheng Zhao, and Hao Zheng. *Higher condensation theory*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.07813, 2024.
- [LFHSV21] Laurens Lootens, Jürgen Fuchs, Jutho Haegeman, Christoph Schweigert, and Frank Verstraete. *Matrix product operator symmetries and intertwiners in string-nets with domain walls*. *SciPost Physics*, 10(3):053, 2021.
- [LW05a] Michael A. Levin and Xiao-Gang Wen. *String-net condensation: A physical mechanism for topological phases*. *Physical Review B*, 71(4), 2005. arXiv:cond-mat/0404617.
- [LW05b] Michael A. Levin and Xiao-Gang Wen. *String-net condensation: A physical mechanism for topological phases*. *Physical Review B*, 71(4):045110, 2005.
- [LW06] Michael Levin and Xiao-Gang Wen. *Detecting topological order in a ground state wave function*. *Physical review letters*, 96(11):110405, 2006.
- [LY23] Yalei Lu and Holiverse Yang. *The boundary phase transitions of the $2+1d$ zn topological order via topological wick rotation*. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2023(6):1–49, 2023.
- [Mor58] Kiiti Morita. *Duality for modules and its applications to the theory of rings with minimum condition*. *Science Reports of the Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, Section A*, 6(150):83–142, 1958.
- [Mü03] Michael Müger. *From subfactors to categories and topology I: Frobenius algebras in and Morita equivalence of tensor categories*. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 180(1-2):81–157, 2003. arXiv:math/0111204.
- [nLa] nLab. entanglement.
- [Ost03a] Victor Ostrik. *Module categories, weak Hopf algebras and modular invariants*. *Transformation Groups*, 8(2):177–206, 2003. arXiv:math/0111139.
- [Ost03b] Viktor Ostrik. *Fusion categories of rank 2*. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 10:177–183, 2003.
- [Ost03c] Viktor Ostrik. *Module categories over the Drinfeld double of a finite group*. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2003(27):1507, 2003. arXiv:math/0202130.
- [Sch01] Peter Schauenburg. *The monoidal center construction and bimodules*. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 158(2-3):325–346, 2001.
- [TV92] V.G. Turaev and O.Y. Viro. *State sum invariants of 3-manifolds and quantum 6j-symbols*. *Topology*, 31(4):865–902, 1992.
- [TW19] Ryan Thorngren and Yifan Wang. *Fusion category symmetry i: Anomaly in-flow and gapped phases*. arXiv preprint, 2019. arXiv:1912.02817.
- [XY24] Rongge Xu and Holiverse Yang. *in preparation*. 2024.
- [XZ22] Rongge Xu and Zhi-Hao Zhang. *Categorical descriptions of 1-dimensional gapped phases with abelian onsite symmetries*. arXiv preprint, 2022. arXiv:2205.09656.
- [YWL24] Gen Yue, Longye Wang, and Tian Lan. *Condensation completion and defects in $2+1d$ topological orders*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.19253, 2024.