

WEIGHTED LOW-LYING ZEROS OF L-FUNCTIONS ATTACHED TO SIEGEL MODULAR FORMS

SHIFAN ZHAO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study weighted low-lying zeros of spinor and standard L -functions attached to degree 2 Siegel modular forms. We show the symmetry type of weighted low-lying zeros of spinor L -functions is symplectic, for test functions whose Fourier transform have support in $(-1, 1)$, extending the previous range $(-\frac{4}{15}, \frac{4}{15})$ in [KST12]. We then show the symmetry type of weighted low-lying zeros of standard L -functions is also symplectic. We further extend the range of support by performing an average over weight. As an application, we discuss non-vanishing of central values of those L -functions.

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 2. Spinor and Standard L-functions | 5 |
| 2.1. The Spinor L-function | 6 |
| 2.2. The Standard L-function | 7 |
| 2.3. Combinatorial Relations | 7 |
| 3. The Petersson Formula | 9 |
| 4. Proof of Main Theorems | 14 |
| 4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1 | 14 |
| 4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2 | 17 |
| 4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3 | 18 |
| 5. Application to Non-vanishing of Central Values | 18 |
| 5.1. Proof of Corollary 1.1 | 18 |
| 5.2. Further Discussion | 19 |
| Acknowledgement | 19 |
| References | 19 |

1. INTRODUCTION

D. Hilbert and G. Pólya suggested that non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ correspond to eigenvalues of a self-adjoint operator on some Hilbert space. The first evidence of such a connection was found by H. L. Montgomery [Mon73], who investigated the pair correlation of non-trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ and conjectured that it is, as pointed out by F. J. Dyson, the same as the pair correlation of eigenvalues of random Hermitian or unitary matrices of large order, also known as the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE) model. This conjecture of Montgomery was later supported by numerical results by A. M. Odlyzko [Odl87], based on values for the first 10^5 zeros and for zeros number $10^{12} + 1$ to $10^{12} + 10^5$. The local spacing between these sample zeros matches prediction by the GUE model quite well.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11F46, 11F66, 11F72

Key words and phrases. Low-lying zeros, spinor L -function, standard L -function, Petersson formula, non-vanishing

Z. Rudnick and P. Sarnak [RS96] extended Montgomery's work by computing the general n -level correlation function of zeros of any principal L -function $L(s, \pi)$ attached to a cuspidal automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ (in a restricted range). Their answer is universal and is precisely the one predicted by the GUE model. Numerical evidence were found by R. Rumely [Rum93] for primitive Dirichlet L -functions, and by M. O. Rubinstein [Rub98] for Hasse-Weil L -functions of 3 distinct elliptic curves and for the Hecke L -function associated to Ramanujan's τ -function.

Although the n -level correlation statistic of zeros of any fixed principal automorphic L -function obeys the universal GUE law, there is another statistic, called the n -level density of low-lying zeros, that is sensitive to families. N. Katz and Sarnak [KS99a] studied low-lying zeros of zeta functions of varieties over finite fields (the "function field" analogue). For these they indicated that a spectral interpretation exists in terms of eigenvalues of Frobenius on cohomology groups. On the number field side, although many results concerning low-lying zeros have been proved, it is still not clear where their spectral nature comes from. See also [KS99b] for a nice survey on these topics.

Before stating our results, we first describe the problem in general terms. Let \mathcal{F}_Q be a family of automorphic forms, ordered by certain conductor $Q \geq 1$. To each $f \in \mathcal{F}_Q$ one associates an L -function

$$(1.1) \quad L(s, f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(n)}{n^s},$$

which converges absolutely for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ in certain right half-plane. We assume that $L(s, f)$ continues analytically to the whole complex plane \mathbb{C} with possibly finitely many poles and satisfies a functional equation

$$(1.2) \quad \Lambda(s, f) = L_{\infty}(s, f)L(s, f) = \varepsilon_f \Lambda(1-s, f),$$

where $\varepsilon_f = \pm 1$ is the root number.

We also assume Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH) for $L(s, f)$. That is, non-trivial zeros of $L(s, f)$ all lie on the critical line. Thus we may denote those zeros by

$$(1.3) \quad \rho_f = \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_f, \quad \gamma_f \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ be an even Schwartz function whose Fourier transform $\hat{\Phi}$ has compact support. We call such a function a "test function" throughout this paper. To this end we define the 1-level density of low-lying zeros of $L(s, f)$, with respect to the test function Φ , to be

$$(1.4) \quad D(f; \Phi) = \sum_{\rho_f} \Phi\left(\frac{\gamma_f}{2\pi} \log c_f\right),$$

where ρ_f runs through non-trivial zeros of $L(s, f)$, counted with multiplicity, and c_f is a parameter associated with $f \in \mathcal{F}_Q$, comparable to the analytic conductor of f (to be specified later). The Density Conjecture for low-lying zeros of $L(s, f)$ asserts the following:

Conjecture 1.1 (Density Conjecture). *For any even Schwartz function Φ whose Fourier transform $\hat{\Phi}$ has compact support, we have*

$$(1.5) \quad \lim_{Q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_Q|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_Q} D(f; \Phi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(x) W(\mathcal{F})(x) dx$$

for some distribution $W(\mathcal{F})$ depending only on \mathcal{F} .

Many observations and results in [KS99a] suggest that the distribution $W(\mathcal{F})$ depends on the family \mathcal{F} through a symmetry group $G(\mathcal{F})$. Those symmetry types are orthogonal O, special

orthogonal even $SO(\text{even})$, special orthogonal odd $SO(\text{odd})$, symplectic Sp and unitary U , with corresponding distributions and Fourier transforms

$$(1.6) \quad W(O)(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_0(x), \quad \hat{W}(O)(y) = \delta_0(y) + \frac{1}{2},$$

$$(1.7) \quad W(SO(\text{even}))(x) = 1 + \frac{\sin 2\pi x}{2\pi x}, \quad \hat{W}(SO(\text{even}))(y) = \delta_0(y) + \frac{1}{2}\eta(y),$$

$$(1.8) \quad W(SO(\text{odd}))(x) = 1 - \frac{\sin 2\pi x}{2\pi x} + \delta_0(x), \quad \hat{W}(SO(\text{odd}))(y) = \delta_0(y) - \frac{1}{2}\eta(y) + 1,$$

$$(1.9) \quad W(Sp)(x) = 1 - \frac{\sin 2\pi x}{2\pi x}, \quad \hat{W}(Sp)(y) = \delta_0(y) - \frac{1}{2}\eta(y),$$

$$(1.10) \quad W(U)(x) = 1, \quad \hat{W}(U)(y) = \delta_0(y),$$

where δ_0 is the Dirac distribution at 0, and $\eta(y) = 1, \frac{1}{2}, 0$ for $|y| < 1, |y| = 1$ and $|y| > 1$ respectively. The first three distributions of different orthogonal symmetry type have indistinguishable Fourier transforms within $(-1, 1)$, while the symplectic and unitary symmetry types are distinguishable from the orthogonal ones.

The Density Conjecture 1.1 has been verified for many families (in restrict ranges). See [ILS00; Rub01; FI03; Gul05; You06; DM06; DM06; GZ11; CK15; ST16; LM17; KWy20], to name a few. In almost all results towards this direction, the support of Fourier transform of the test function Φ is restricted within certain range. One important question in this topic is how to extend the range as large as possible, for the full Density Conjecture 1.1 does not require any condition on the compact support of $\hat{\Phi}$.

One can also consider "weighted" distribution of low-lying zeros by allowing certain weight ω_f . The weighted average density under consideration is

$$(1.11) \quad \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_Q} \omega_f \right)^{-1} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_Q} \omega_f D(f; \Phi).$$

Often these weights ω_f contain important arithmetic information such as central values of L -functions, and including them may possibly change the symmetry type. Recent results in this direction include [KST12; KR19; SS22; Faz24].

In this article we study weighted low-lying zeros of spinor and standard L -functions attached to degree 2 Siegel modular forms. For a general introduction on Siegel modular forms, we refer readers to [Kli90; Pit19]

We proceed to describe our results. Let $k \geq 6$ be an even integer. Let $S_k(\Gamma_2)$ be the space of degree 2 holomorphic Siegel cusp forms of weight k for the symplectic group $\Gamma_2 = Sp_4(\mathbb{Z})$. Each form $F \in S_k(\Gamma_2)$ is a holomorphic function on the Siegel upper half-plane

$$(1.12) \quad \mathbb{H}_2 = \{Z = X + iY \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) : Z = Z^T, Y > 0\},$$

which satisfies the automorphic condition

$$(1.13) \quad F((AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}) = \det(CZ + D)^k F(Z), \quad \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_2, \quad Z \in \mathbb{H}_2.$$

Here and after we use $M_n(R)$ to denote the ring of $n \times n$ matrices over a ring R .

The Fourier expansion of F is

$$(1.14) \quad F(Z) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} a_F(T) (\det T)^{\frac{k}{2} - \frac{3}{4}} e^{i \text{Tr}(TZ)}, \quad Z \in \mathbb{H}_2,$$

where the summation is taken over the set

$$(1.15) \quad \mathcal{T} = \{T = (t_{ij}) \in M_2(\mathbb{R}) : T > 0, t_{11} \in \mathbb{Z}, t_{22} \in \mathbb{Z}, 2t_{12} = 2t_{21} \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

We call $a_F(T)$ the (normalized) Fourier coefficient of F at T . It is known that $a_F(T) \in \mathbb{R}$.

We use I to denote the 2×2 identity matrix. For $F \in S_k(\Gamma_2)$ we set

$$(1.16) \quad \omega_F = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4} (4\pi)^{3-2k} \Gamma(k-3/2) \Gamma(k-2) \frac{a_F(I)^2}{\|F\|^2}$$

to be the "harmonic" weight attached to F , where $\|F\|$ is the Petersson norm of F defined by

$$(1.17) \quad \|F\| = \left(\int_{\Gamma_2 \backslash \mathbb{H}_2} |F(Z)|^2 (\det Y)^k \frac{dX dY}{(\det Y)^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We now choose a basis $H_k(\Gamma_2)$ of $S_k(\Gamma_2)$ consisting of eigenforms for all Hecke operators (we call such a form a Hecke eigenform). It is known (see, for example, equation (1.8) in [Blo19]) that

$$(1.18) \quad \sum_{F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)} \omega_F = 1 + O(e^{-k}).$$

To each form $F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)$ one can attach a degree 4 spinor L -function $L(s, F; \text{spin})$ and a degree 5 standard L -function $L(s, F; \text{std})$, both normalized so that the central point is $s = 1/2$. The analytic conductors of those L -functions are of size k^2 and k^4 , respectively. Further properties of these L -functions are discussed in section 2.

We assume GRH for both spinor and standard L -functions, and denote their non-trivial zeros on the critical line by

$$(1.19) \quad \rho_{F, \text{spin}} = \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_{F, \text{spin}}, \quad \rho_{F, \text{std}} = \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_{F, \text{std}}.$$

The corresponding density functions with respect to a test function Φ are

$$(1.20) \quad D(F; \Phi; \text{spin}) = \sum_{\rho_{F, \text{spin}}} \Phi \left(\frac{\gamma_{F, \text{spin}}}{2\pi} \log c_{F; \text{spin}} \right),$$

$$(1.21) \quad D(F; \Phi; \text{std}) = \sum_{\rho_{F, \text{std}}} \Phi \left(\frac{\gamma_{F, \text{std}}}{2\pi} \log c_{F; \text{std}} \right).$$

Our first result concerning low-lying zeros of spinor L -functions is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let Φ be an even Schwartz function whose Fourier transform has support in $(-1, 1)$. For $F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)$, define $D(F; \Phi; \text{spin})$ as in 1.20 with $c_{F; \text{spin}} = k^2$ and ω_F as in 1.16. Assume GRH for $L(s, F; \text{spin})$. Then we have*

$$(1.22) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)} \omega_F D(F; \Phi; \text{spin}) = \hat{\Phi}(0) - \frac{\Phi(0)}{2} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(x) W(Sp)(x) dx.$$

Remark 1.1. The result above has been obtained in [KST12], but only for test functions Φ with $\text{supp}(\hat{\Phi}) \subset (-\frac{4}{15}, \frac{4}{15})$, as an application of their quantitative local equidistribution result. Here we extend the range of support to $(-1, 1)$. This improvement is crucial in application to non-vanishing problems, as we will explain in section 5.

Let $H_k^*(\Gamma_2) \subset H_k(\Gamma_2)$ denote a Hecke basis of the space of Saito-Kurokawa lifts (these concepts will be discussed in section 2). Then as a direct corollary of Theorem 1.1 we can establish the following non-vanishing result:

Corollary 1.1. *Assume GRH for $L(s, F; \text{spin})$. Then we have*

$$(1.23) \quad \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{F \in H_k(\Gamma_2) \setminus H_k^*(\Gamma_2) \\ L(1/2, F; \text{spin}) \neq 0}} \omega_F \geq \frac{3}{4}.$$

Remark 1.2. For comparison, it is shown in [Blo19] that

$$(1.24) \quad \sum_{\substack{F \in H_k(\Gamma_2) \setminus H_k^*(\Gamma_2) \\ L(1/2, F; \text{spin}) \neq 0}} \omega_F \gg \frac{1}{\log k},$$

unconditionally for large k . This follows from asymptotic formulas for the first and second moments of central values. Although it is not surprising that GRH would yield much stronger result, one still needs the range of support in Theorem 1.1 not to be too small to carry out the argument.

For low-lying zeros of standard L -functions, we have the following result:

Theorem 1.2. *Let Φ be an even Schwartz function whose Fourier transform has support in $(-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$. For $F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)$, define $D(F; \Phi; std)$ as in 1.21 with $c_{F; std} = k^4$ and ω_F as in 1.16. Assume GRH for $L(s, F; std)$. Then we have*

$$(1.25) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)} \omega_F D(F; \Phi; std) = \hat{\Phi}(0) - \frac{\Phi(0)}{2} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(x) W(Sp)(x) dx.$$

Remark 1.3. An unweighted version of Theorem 1.2 was established in [KWY20], for test functions Φ whose Fourier transform have sufficiently small support (for a precise range of support, see Proposition 9.3 in [KWY20]). The (unweighted) symmetry type is also symplectic. For comparison, the symmetry type of low-lying zeros of spinor L -functions changes from orthogonal to symplectic when weighted by ω_F .

We may further extend the range of support in Theorem 1.2 from $(-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$ to $(-\frac{5}{18}, \frac{5}{18})$ by performing an extra (smooth) average over weight k . Our result is the following:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\Omega \in C_c^\infty(0, \infty)$ be such that $\Omega \geq 0$, not identically 0. Let Φ be an even Schwartz function whose Fourier transform has support in $(-\frac{5}{18}, \frac{5}{18})$. For $F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)$ and large parameter $K > 0$, define $D(F; \Phi; std)$ as in 1.21 with $c_{F; std} = K^4$ and ω_F as in 1.16. Assume GRH for $L(s, F; std)$. Then we have*

$$(1.26) \quad \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_k \Omega\left(\frac{k}{K}\right) \right)^{-1} \sum_k \Omega\left(\frac{k}{K}\right) \sum_{F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)} \omega_F D(F; \Phi; std) = \hat{\Phi}(0) - \frac{\Phi(0)}{2} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(x) W(Sp)(x) dx.$$

where the summation in k is over even integers.

This article is organized as follows: In section 2, we first review some facts about spinor and standard L -functions. We then work out the combinatorial relations between certain functions in Satake parameters of a form $F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)$ and its Fourier coefficients at scalar matrices. These relations allow us to apply the Petersson formula, which we state in section 3. In section 3 we also take average over weight k in the Petersson formula and give an upper bound for the off-diagonal term. In section 4 we apply the results established in previous sections, as well as the explicit formula to prove Theorems 1.1-1.3. In section 5 we prove Corollary 1.1 and discuss some other issues concerning non-vanishing of central L -values.

2. SPINOR AND STANDARD L-FUNCTIONS

Let $F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)$ be a Hecke eigenform. It is known that for each prime p there are 3 complex numbers $\alpha_{F,0}(p), \alpha_{F,1}(p), \alpha_{F,2}(p)$, called the Satake parameters of F at p , with certain prescribed properties. See chapter 3 of [Pit19] for a detailed discussion. In particular, these Satake parameters satisfy the relation

$$(2.1) \quad \alpha_{F,0}(p)^2 \alpha_{F,1}(p) \alpha_{F,2}(p) = 1.$$

Let $S_{2k-2}(\Gamma_1)$ denote the space of holomorphic cusp forms of weight $2k-2$ for the full modular group $\Gamma_1 = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. There is an injective Hecke-equivariant linear map

$$(2.2) \quad SK : S_{2k-2}(\Gamma_1) \rightarrow S_k(\Gamma_2), \quad f \mapsto F_f,$$

called the Saito-Kurokawa lifting. We denote the image of SK by $S_k^*(\Gamma_2)$ and call forms in $S_k^*(\Gamma_2)$ Saito-Kurokawa lifts. We also use $H_k^*(\Gamma_2)$ for a basis of $S_k^*(\Gamma_2)$ consisting of Hecke eigenforms. There are various ways to construct such a lifting map. For a construction using half-integral weight modular forms, see section 2.1.3 of [Pit19] and references there.

The Generalized Ramanujan Conjecture (GRC) asserts that all Satake parameters $\alpha_{F,i}(p)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) have absolute value 1. For elliptic modular forms, the Ramanujan Conjecture is true due to Deligne's work [Del74]. For degree 2 Siegel modular forms $F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)$, GRC is proved to be true only for non-Saito-Kurokawa lifts, due to R. Weissauer's result [Wei09]. For Saito-Kurokawa lifts $F_f \in H_k^*(\Gamma_2)$, GRC is false, as we will see in Andrianov's explicit formula 2.8 below.

2.1. The Spinor L-function. The spinor L -function attached to a Hecke eigenform $F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)$ is defined by a degree 4 Euler product

$$(2.3) \quad L(s, F; \mathrm{spin}) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_{F,0}(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_{F,0}(p)\alpha_{F,1}(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_{F,0}(p)\alpha_{F,2}(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \\ \times \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_{F,0}(p)\alpha_{F,1}(p)\alpha_{F,2}(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1},$$

which converges absolutely in some right half-plane. By setting

$$(2.4) \quad \alpha_F(p) = \alpha_{F,0}(p), \quad \beta_F(p) = \alpha_{F,0}(p)\alpha_{F,1}(p),$$

we may rewrite the above Euler product as

$$(2.5) \quad L(s, F; \mathrm{spin}) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_F(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\beta_F(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_F(p)^{-1}}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\beta_F(p)^{-1}}{p^s}\right)^{-1},$$

in view of the relation 2.1.

It is proved by A. N. Andrianov [And74] that $L(s, F; \mathrm{spin})$ extends to a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} , which has a simple pole at $s = \frac{3}{2}$ if F is a Saito-Kurokawa lift, and is entire otherwise. Its functional equation takes the following form:

$$(2.6) \quad \Lambda(s, F; \mathrm{spin}) = \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s + 1/2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s + k - 3/2)L(s, F; \mathrm{spin}) = \Lambda(1 - s, F; \mathrm{spin}),$$

where $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s) = 2(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)$. For $F_f \in H_k^*(\Gamma_2)$ a Saito-Kurokawa lift, its spinor L -function decomposes as follows:

$$(2.7) \quad L(s, F_f; \mathrm{spin}) = \zeta(s + 1/2)\zeta(s - 1/2)L(s, f),$$

where $L(s, f)$ is the Hecke L -function of the elliptic cusp form f .

For $F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)$ we have the following Andrianov's explicit formula [And74]:

$$(2.8) \quad a_F(I)L(s, F; \mathrm{spin}) = \zeta(s + 1/2)L(s + 1/2, \chi_{-4}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_F(nI)}{n^s},$$

where χ_{-4} is the non-trivial Dirichlet character modulo 4. From this formula it follows

$$(2.9) \quad a_F(I) = 0 \implies a_F(nI) = 0, \quad n \geq 1.$$

2.2. The Standard L-function. The standard L -function attached to a Hecke eigenform $F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)$ is defined by a degree 5 Euler product

$$(2.10) \quad L(s, F; \text{std}) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_{F,1}(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_{F,1}(p)^{-1}}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_{F,2}(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_{F,2}(p)^{-1}}{p^s}\right)^{-1},$$

which converges absolutely in some right half-plane. Using 2.1, we rewrite this Euler product as

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} L(s, F; \text{std}) &= \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_F(p)\beta_F(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_F(p)^{-1}\beta_F(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \\ &\times \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_F(p)\beta_F(p)^{-1}}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_F(p)^{-1}\beta_F(p)^{-1}}{p^s}\right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The analytic continuation and functional equation of standard L -functions were worked out by S. Böcherer [Böc85]. He proved that $L(s, F; \text{std})$ extends to an entire function and satisfies a functional equation

$$(2.12) \quad \Lambda(s, F; \text{std}) = \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s)\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s+k-1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s+k-2)L(s, F; \text{std}) = \Lambda(1-s, F; \text{std}),$$

where $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} = \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\Gamma(s/2)$ and $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s) = 2(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)$.

2.3. Combinatorial Relations. For $F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)$, $m \geq 1$ and prime p , we set

$$(2.13) \quad c_m(p; F) = \alpha_F(p)^m + \alpha_F(p)^{-m} + \beta_F(p)^m + \beta_F(p)^{-m},$$

$$(2.14) \quad \tau_{2m}(p; F) = 1 + \alpha_F(p)^m\beta_F(p)^m + \alpha_F(p)^m\beta_F(p)^{-m} + \alpha_F(p)^{-m}\beta_F(p)^m + \alpha_F(p)^{-m}\beta_F(p)^{-m}$$

to be the m^{th} -power sum of local parameters of $L(s, F; \text{spin})$ and $L(s, F; \text{std})$ at p respectively.

The main goal of this section is to find expressions of these power sums in terms of Fourier coefficients of F at scalar matrices, for $m = 1, 2$, under the assumption that $a_F(I) \neq 0$. Note that the condition $a_F(I) \neq 0$ is not a direct consequence of $F \neq 0$, unlike in the elliptic case, where a primitive form f vanishes if and only if its first Fourier coefficient vanishes. In fact, determining whether $a_F(I) = 0$ or not is a difficult problem because ω_F is intimately connected to central values of spinor L -functions (Böcherer's conjecture). However, as we shall see later in section 4, making this assumption here does no harm to our argument. Our result is as follows:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)$ be a Hecke eigenform. For any prime p and $m \geq 1$, define $c_m(p; F)$ and $\tau_{2m}(p; F)$ as in 2.13 and 2.14. Assume that $a_F(I) \neq 0$, and set $U_m(p; F) = \frac{a_F(p^m I)}{a_F(I)}$. Also set $\lambda_p = 1 + \chi_{-4}(p)$ and $\mu_p = \chi_{-4}(p)$, where χ_{-4} is the non-trivial Dirichlet character modulo 4. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(p; F) &= U_1(p; F) + \frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}}, \\ c_2(p; F) &= -U_1(p; F)^2 + 2U_2(p; F) + \frac{\lambda_p^2 - 2\mu_p}{p}, \\ \tau_2(p; F) &= U_1(p; F)^2 - U_2(p; F) + \frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}}U_1(p; F) + \frac{\mu_p}{p} - 1, \\ \tau_4(p; F) &= -U_3(p; F)U_1(p; F) + U_2(p; F)^2 + \frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}}U_2(p; F)U_1(p; F) + \left(\frac{\mu_p}{p} - 1\right)U_1(p; F)^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}}U_3(p; F) + \left(\frac{\lambda_p^2 - 2\mu_p}{p}\right)U_2(p; F) + \left(\frac{\lambda_p\mu_p}{p^{\frac{3}{2}}} - 2\frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}}\right)U_1(p; F) + \frac{\mu_p^2}{p^2} - \frac{\lambda_p^2}{p} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.1. The key feature of Lemma 2.1 is that we are able to express $\tau_4(p; F)$ using polynomials of $U_m(p; F)$ of degree 2 (and not of higher degree). This is essential when we deal with weighted low-lying zeros of standard L -functions using the Petersson formula.

Proof. Throughout the proof, F and p are fixed. To save notations we use $c_m, \tau_{2m}, U_m, \alpha_p, \beta_p$ to denote $c_m(p; F), \tau_{2m}(p; F), U_m(p; F), \alpha_F(p), \beta_F(p)$ respectively, with the understanding that they depend on F and p .

We start with Andrianov's explicit formula 2.8:

$$a_F(I)L(s, F; \text{spin}) = \zeta(s + 1/2)L(s + 1/2, \chi_{-4}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_F(nI)}{n^s}.$$

Using Euler product expansions for the L -functions involved, we see that the two Dirichlet series

$$(2.15) \quad \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_p}{p^s}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\beta_p}{p^s}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_p^{-1}}{p^s}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\beta_p^{-1}}{p^s}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_F(nI)a_F(I)^{-1}}{n^s}$$

and

$$(2.16) \quad \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{s+1/2}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\chi_{-4}(p)}{p^{s+1/2}}\right)$$

both converge absolutely in some right half-plane and are equal. Comparing coefficients of p^{-as} , $a = 1, 2, 3, 4$, we obtain

$$(2.17) \quad -\frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}} = U_1 - c_1,$$

$$(2.18) \quad \frac{\mu_p}{p} = U_2 - U_1 c_1 + \tau_2 + 1,$$

$$(2.19) \quad 0 = U_3 - U_2 c_1 + U_1(\tau_2 + 1) - c_1,$$

$$(2.20) \quad 0 = U_4 - U_3 c_1 + U_2(\tau_2 + 1) - U_1 c_1 + 1.$$

We also have elementary relations

$$(2.21) \quad c_1^2 = c_2 + 2(\tau_2 + 1),$$

$$(2.22) \quad (\tau_2 + 1)^2 = 3 + \tau_4 + 4\tau_2 + 2c_2.$$

From equations 2.17 and 2.18 we obtain directly

$$(2.23) \quad c_1 = U_1 + \frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}},$$

$$(2.24) \quad \tau_2 = U_1^2 - U_2 + \frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}}U_1 + \frac{\mu_p}{p} - 1.$$

Combining equations 2.21, 2.23 and 2.24 we have

$$(2.25) \quad c_2 = -U_1^2 + 2U_2 + \frac{\lambda_p^2 - 2\mu_p}{p}.$$

Using equations 2.22, 2.24 and 2.25 we express τ_4 as

$$(2.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau_4 = & U_1^4 - 2U_2U_1^2 + 2\frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}}U_1^3 + U_2^2 - 2\frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}}U_2U_1 + \left(\frac{\lambda_p^2 + 2\mu_p}{p} - 2\right)U_1^2 \\ & - 2\frac{\mu_p}{p}U_2 + \left(2\frac{\lambda_p\mu_p}{p^{\frac{3}{2}}} - 4\frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}}\right)U_1 + \frac{\mu_p^2}{p^2} - 2\frac{\lambda_p^2}{p} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

However, to get the final form of τ_4 , we must express U_1^4 , $U_2U_1^2$ and U_1^3 using degree 2 polynomials in U_a ($a = 1, 2, 3, 4$). Combining equations 2.19, 2.23 and 2.24, we have

$$(2.27) \quad U_1^3 = 2U_2U_1 - \frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}}U_1^2 - U_3 + \frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}}U_2 + \left(1 - \frac{\mu_p}{p}\right)U_1 + \frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}}.$$

Likely, equations 2.20, 2.23 and 2.24 give us

$$(2.28) \quad U_2U_1^2 = U_3U_1 + U_2^2 - \frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}}U_2U_1 + U_1^2 - U_4 + \frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}}U_3 - \frac{\mu_p}{p}U_2 + \frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}}U_1 - 1.$$

Further, we multiply 2.27 by U_1 and apply 2.27, 2.28 to get

$$(2.29) \quad \begin{aligned} U_1^4 &= U_3U_1 + 2U_2^2 - 3\frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}}U_2U_1 + \left(3 + \frac{\lambda_p^2 - \mu_p}{p}\right)U_1^2 - 2U_4 + 3\frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}}U_3 \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\lambda_p^2 + 2\mu_p}{p}\right)U_2 + \left(2\frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}} + \frac{\lambda_p\mu_p}{p^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)U_1 - \left(2 + \frac{\lambda_p^2}{p}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we insert 2.27, 2.28 and 2.29 into 2.26 to get

$$(2.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau_4 &= -U_3U_1 + U_2^2 + \frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}}U_2U_1 + \left(\frac{\mu_p}{p} - 1\right)U_1^2 - \frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}}U_3 + \left(\frac{\lambda_p^2 - 2\mu_p}{p}\right)U_2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\lambda_p\mu_p}{p^{\frac{3}{2}}} - 2\frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}}\right)U_1 + \frac{\mu_p^2}{p^2} - \frac{\lambda_p^2}{p} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

3. THE PETERSSON FORMULA

The main tool used in this paper is a spectral summation formula of Petersson type. This formula was first worked by Y. Kitaoka [Kit84] by computing Fourier coefficients of Siegel Poincaré series. In this section we introduce this formula and consider an averaged (over weight) version of it.

We begin by introducing some notations. For $k \geq 6$ even, we set

$$(3.1) \quad c_k = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4}(4\pi)^{3-2k}\Gamma(k-3/2)\Gamma(k-2).$$

For $T, Q \in \mathcal{T}$ we define

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta_k(T, Q) = \sum_{F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)} c_k \frac{a_F(T)a_F(Q)}{\|F\|^2}.$$

For a matrix $C \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $\det C \neq 0$ (we denote the set of such matrices by \mathcal{C}) and $Q, T \in \mathcal{T}$, define the symplectic Kloosterman sum to be

$$(3.3) \quad K(Q, T; C) = \sum_D e(\text{Tr}(AC^{-1}Q + C^{-1}DT)),$$

where D runs through the set

$$(3.4) \quad \{D \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \bmod C\Lambda : \begin{pmatrix} A & * \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_2\},$$

and Λ is the set of 2×2 symmetric integral matrices. By elementary divisor theory one has the following estimate [Kit84]:

$$(3.5) \quad |K(Q, T; C)| \leq |\det C|^{3/2}.$$

For $P = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2/2 \\ p_2/2 & p_4 \end{pmatrix}, S = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2/2 \\ s_2/2 & s_4 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{T}$ and $c \geq 1$, we define another exponential sum

$$(3.6) \quad H^\pm(P, S; c) = \delta_{s_4=p_4} \sum_{d_1 \bmod c}^* \sum_{d_2 \bmod c} e \left(\frac{\overline{d_1} s_4 d_2^2 \mp \overline{d_1} p_2 d_2 + s_2 d_2 + \overline{d_1} p_1 + d_1 s_1}{c} \mp \frac{p_2 s_2}{2 c s_4} \right).$$

For these we have the trivial bound

$$(3.7) \quad |H^\pm(P, S; c)| \leq c^2.$$

For $P \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$ with positive eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ we set

$$(3.8) \quad \mathcal{J}_{k-3/2}(P) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} J_{k-3/2}(4\pi\sqrt{\lambda_1}\sin\theta) J_{k-3/2}(4\pi\sqrt{\lambda_2}\sin\theta) \sin\theta d\theta,$$

where $J_{k-3/2}$ is the usual J -Bessel function of half-integral order $k - \frac{3}{2}$. With these notations, we can now state the Petersson formula.

Lemma 3.1. *For $T, Q \in \mathcal{T}$ and $k \geq 6$ even, define $\Delta_k(T, Q)$ as in 3.2. Then we have*

$$(3.9) \quad \Delta_k(T, Q) = \frac{1}{8} |Aut(T)| \left(\frac{\det Q}{\det T} \right)^{\frac{k}{2} - \frac{3}{4}} \delta_{Q \sim T} + \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{8} G_{1,k}(T, Q) + \pi^2 G_{2,k}(T, Q),$$

where $Aut(T), G_{1,k}(T, Q)$ and $G_{2,k}(T, Q)$ are defined by

$$(3.10) \quad Aut(T) = \{U \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : U^T T U = T\},$$

$$(3.11) \quad G_{1,k}(T, Q) = \sum_{\pm} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} \sum_{U, V} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{c^{\frac{3}{2}} s^{\frac{1}{2}}} H^\pm(U Q U^T, V^{-1} T V^{-T}; c) J_{k-3/2} \left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{\det(TQ)}}{cs} \right),$$

$$(3.12) \quad G_{2,k}(T, Q) = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{K(Q, T; C)}{|\det C|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \mathcal{J}_{k-3/2}(T C^{-1} Q C^{-T}).$$

Here the summation $\sum_{U, V}$ in 3.11 is over $U = (u_{ij})/\{\pm I\}, V = (v_{ij}) \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$(3.13) \quad (u_{21}, u_{22}) Q (u_{21}, u_{22})^T = (-v_{21}, v_{11}) T (-v_{21}, v_{11})^T = s.$$

The delta symbol $\delta_{Q \sim T}$ is equal to 1 if Q and T are equivalent in the sense of quadratic forms, and is equal to 0 otherwise.

Remark 3.1. Following Kitaoka [Kit84], we call the three terms in 3.9 containing $\delta_{Q \sim T}, G_{1,k}(T, Q)$ and $G_{2,k}(T, Q)$ the diagonal term, the rank 1 term and the rank 2 term respectively. Note that the classical Petersson formula for elliptic modular forms contains only a diagonal term and an off-diagonal term.

Remark 3.2. As pointed out by V. Blomer (see Remark 1 in [Blo19]), there are some numerical errors in Kitaoka's original derivation of the Petersson formula. The version that we present here is based on Lemma 1 in [Blo19]. However, our results do not depend on exact values of those constants.

The main purpose of this section is to establish the following averaged Petersson formula:

Lemma 3.2. *Let $m, n \geq 1$ be positive integers such that $m|n$. For $k \geq 6$ even, define $\Delta_k(mI, nI)$ as in 3.2. Let $\Omega \in C_c^\infty(0, \infty)$ be such that $\Omega \geq 0$, not identically 0. Then for large $K > 0$ we have*

$$(3.14) \quad \left(\sum_k \Omega \left(\frac{k}{K} \right) \right)^{-1} \sum_k \Omega \left(\frac{k}{K} \right) \Delta_k(mI, nI) = \delta_{m=n} + O_{j, \epsilon, \Omega} \left(\left(\frac{m^{\frac{3}{2} - \epsilon} n^{-\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}}{K^4} \right) + \left(\frac{(mn)^{2+\epsilon}}{K^{5+2\epsilon}} \right) + \left(\frac{(mn)^{\frac{j}{2}+1}}{K^{2j+3}} \right) \right)$$

for any $j \geq 3$ and $\epsilon > 0$ small. Here the summation \sum_k is over positive even integers $k \geq 6$.

Remark 3.3. Our Lemma 3.2 can be viewed as a GSp_4 analogue of the classical averaged Petersson formula on GL_2 . See equation (5.81) in [Iwa97]. The main difficulty is the presence of a product of two Bessel functions (instead of a single Bessel function), each of half-integral order (instead of integral order). As we shall see in the proof below, this can be overcome by applying an integral representation 3.33 of a product of two Bessel functions.

Proof. After applying the Petersson formula 3.9, we divide the left side of 3.14 into three terms. We also set $g(x) = \Omega(\frac{x}{K})$ and $\ell = k - 3/2$ to save notations.

We denote the contribution of the diagonal term by R_0 . Thus

$$(3.15) \quad R_0 = \frac{1}{8} \left(\sum_k g(k) \right)^{-1} \sum_k g(k) |\mathrm{Aut}(mI)| \left(\frac{n}{m} \right)^\ell \delta_{mI \sim nI}.$$

Note that mI and nI define the same quadratic form if and only if $m = n$, and that $|\mathrm{Aut}(mI)| = 8$. Thus the above expression reduces to $R_0 = \delta_{m=n}$.

Denote by R_1 the sum of the rank 1 term over k . We have

$$(3.16) \quad R_1 = \sum_k g(k) \sum_{\pm} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} \sum_{U,V} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{c^{\frac{3}{2}} s^{\frac{1}{2}}} H^{\pm}(nUU^T, mV^{-1}V^{-T}; c) J_{\ell} \left(\frac{4\pi mn}{cs} \right),$$

where the sum $\sum_{U,V}$ is over

$$(3.17) \quad n(u_{21}^2 + u_{22}^2) = m(v_{11}^2 + v_{21}^2) = s.$$

So in particular $n|s$. Making change of variable $s \mapsto ns$, we may rewrite R_1 as

$$(3.18) \quad R_1 = \sum_k g(k) \sum_{\pm} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} \sum_{U,V} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{c^{\frac{3}{2}} (ns)^{\frac{1}{2}}} H^{\pm}(nUU^T, mV^{-1}V^{-T}; c) J_{\ell} \left(\frac{4\pi m}{cs} \right),$$

where $\sum_{U,V}$ is over

$$(3.19) \quad u_{21}^2 + u_{22}^2 = s, \quad v_{11}^2 + v_{22}^2 = \frac{n}{m}s.$$

These equations have $O(s^\epsilon)$ and $O((\frac{n}{m}s)^\epsilon)$ integral solutions, respectively, for any $\epsilon > 0$, by the fact

$$(3.20) \quad |\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : x^2 + y^2 = s\}| = O(s^\epsilon).$$

In view of the estimate [GR15]

$$(3.21) \quad J_{\ell}(x) \ll \left(\frac{x}{\ell} \right)^\ell, \quad x > 0, \ell > \frac{1}{2},$$

we may cut-off the sum in 3.18 by $sc \ll \frac{m}{K}$ up to a negligible error. In this range we change summation order and deal with the inner sum

$$(3.22) \quad \sum_k g(k) (-1)^{\frac{k}{2}} J_{\ell} \left(\frac{4\pi m}{cs} \right)$$

by applying a lemma due to Blomer and A. Corbett (Lemma 20 in [BC22]) to obtain

$$(3.23) \quad \sum_k g(k) (-1)^{\frac{k}{2}} J_{\ell} \left(\frac{4\pi m}{cs} \right) = \omega_0 \left(\frac{4\pi m}{cs} \right) + e^{\frac{4\pi im}{cs}} \omega_+ \left(\frac{4\pi m}{cs} \right) + e^{-\frac{4\pi im}{cs}} \omega_- \left(\frac{4\pi m}{cs} \right),$$

where $\omega_0(x), \omega_{\pm}(x)$ are some smooth functions on $(0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$(3.24) \quad \omega_0(x) \ll_A K^{-A},$$

$$(3.25) \quad \omega_{\pm}(x) \ll_A \left(1 + \frac{K^2}{x} \right)^{-A},$$

for any $A > 0$. The contribution of the ω_0 term is negligible, while the contribution of ω_{\pm} term depends on the size of $x = \frac{4\pi m}{cs}$. For example, for $x \leq K^2$ (i.e. $cs \gg \frac{m}{K^2}$), we have

$$(3.26) \quad \omega_+(x) \ll_A \left(1 + \frac{K^2}{x}\right)^{-A} \leq \left(\frac{K^2}{x}\right)^{-A} \ll_A K^{-2A} m^A (cs)^{-A},$$

for any $A > 0$. This estimate, together with 3.7 and 3.20, give rise to

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{aligned} R_1^{+, cs \gg \frac{m}{K^2}} &= \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\frac{m}{K^2} \ll sc \ll \frac{m}{K}} \sum_{U, V} \frac{1}{c^{\frac{3}{2}} (ns)^{\frac{1}{2}}} H^{\pm}(nUU^T, mV^{-1}V^{-T}; c)\omega_+ \left(\frac{4\pi m}{cs}\right) \\ &\ll_{\epsilon, A} \sum_{\frac{m}{K^2} \ll sc \ll \frac{m}{K}} c^{-\frac{3}{2}} (ns)^{-\frac{1}{2}} s^{\epsilon} \left(\frac{n}{m}s\right)^{\epsilon} c^2 K^{-2A} m^A c^{-A} s^{-A} \\ &\ll_{\epsilon} m^{\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon} n^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} K^{-3}, \end{aligned}$$

for any small $\epsilon > 0$ if one fixes some $A > 3/2$. The case where $cs \ll \frac{m}{K^2}$ is analyzed similarly, and its contribution $R_1^{+, cs \ll \frac{m}{K^2}}$ to is again at most $m^{\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon} n^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} K^{-3}$. Therefore, we have obtained that

$$(3.28) \quad R_1 \ll_{\epsilon} m^{\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon} n^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} K^{-3},$$

for any small $\epsilon > 0$.

Denote by R_2 the sum of the rank 2 term over k . Explicitly,

$$(3.29) \quad R_2 = \sum_k g(k) \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{K(nI, mI; C)}{|\det C|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} J_{\ell}(4\pi\sqrt{\lambda_1} \sin \theta) J_{\ell}(4\pi\sqrt{\lambda_2} \sin \theta) \sin \theta d\theta,$$

where λ_1, λ_2 are eigenvalues of the matrix $mnC^{-1}C^{-T}$. We set λ_{\min} and λ_{\max} to be the smaller and the larger eigenvalue of $mnC^{-1}C^{-T}$ respectively. Denote by $\|\cdot\|_F$ the Frobenius matrix norm. Then by Lemma 2 in [Blo19] we have

$$(3.30) \quad \lambda_{\min} \ll \frac{mn}{\|C\|_F^2}.$$

Applying this estimate and 3.21 to $J_{\ell}(4\pi\sqrt{\lambda_{\min}} \sin \theta)$, and applying the simple estimate [GR15]

$$(3.31) \quad J_{\ell}(x) \ll 1, \quad x > 0, \ell > \frac{1}{2}$$

to $J_{\ell}(4\pi\sqrt{\lambda_{\max}} \sin \theta)$, we may cut off the sum in R_2 by $\|C\|_F \ll \frac{\sqrt{mn}}{K}$ up to an negligible error. In this range we change the summation order and deal with the inner sum

$$(3.32) \quad \sum_k g(k) J_{\ell}(4\pi\sqrt{\lambda_1} \sin \theta) J_{\ell}(4\pi\sqrt{\lambda_2} \sin \theta)$$

by making use of the following integral representation of product of two Bessel functions (See equation (8) on page 47 of [Erd+81]):

$$(3.33) \quad J_v(z) J_v(\zeta) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos((z - \zeta) \cos \alpha) J_{2v}(2\sqrt{z\zeta} \sin \alpha) d\alpha, \quad \Re(v) > -\frac{1}{2}, z > 0, \zeta > 0.$$

By choosing $v = \ell$, $z = 4\pi\sqrt{\lambda_1} \sin \theta$, $\zeta = 4\pi\sqrt{\lambda_2} \sin \theta$, and setting

$$(3.34) \quad \xi = 2\sqrt{z\zeta} \sin \alpha = \frac{8\pi\sqrt{mn}}{\sqrt{|\det C|}} \sin \theta \sin \alpha,$$

we obtain

$$(3.35) \quad \sum_k g(k) J_{\ell}(z) J_{\ell}(\zeta) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos((z - \zeta) \cos \alpha) \left(\sum_k g(k) J_{2k-3}(\xi) \right) d\alpha.$$

Let $r = 2k - 3$. We have $r \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, since k is even. Setting $g_1(x) = g\left(\frac{x+3}{2}\right)$, we have

$$(3.36) \quad \sum_k g(k) J_{2k-3}(\xi) = \sum_{r \equiv 1 \pmod{4}} g_1(r) J_r(\xi).$$

From here the method of Neumann series can be applied, in view of the following integral representation of Bessel functions of integral order [GR15]:

$$(3.37) \quad J_r(x) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} e(rt) e^{-ix \sin 2\pi t} dt.$$

We quote the following result (Lemma 5.8 in [Iwa97]):

$$(3.38) \quad 4 \sum_{r \equiv 1 \pmod{4}} g_1(r) J_r(\xi) = g_1(\xi) + h(\xi) + O(\xi c_3(g_1)),$$

where $h(\xi)$ and $c_3(g_1)$ are defined by

$$(3.39) \quad h(\xi) = \int_0^\infty g_1(\sqrt{2\xi y}) \sin\left(\xi + y - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) (\pi y)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dy,$$

$$(3.40) \quad c_3(g_1) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\hat{g}_1(t) t^3| dt.$$

We refer readers to section 5.5 of [Iwa97] for a proof of 3.38. Recall that for g_1 we have

$$(3.41) \quad g_1^{(j)}(x) \ll_j K^{-j}$$

for any $j \geq 0$. Thus by repeated partial integration we have

$$(3.42) \quad h(\xi) \ll_j (\xi K^{-2})^j,$$

$$(3.43) \quad c_3(g_1) \ll K^{-3}.$$

See also (5.73) and (5.74) in [Iwa97].

The contribution of $g_1(\xi)$ to R_2 is

$$(3.44) \quad R_2^{g_1(\xi)} = \sum_{\|C\|_F \ll \frac{\sqrt{mn}}{K}} \frac{K(nI, mI; C)}{|\det C|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos((z - \zeta) \cos \alpha) g_1(\xi) d\alpha \sin \theta d\theta.$$

In view of the support of g_1 , the sum in 3.44 is confined in the range

$$(3.45) \quad \xi = \frac{8\pi\sqrt{mn}}{\sqrt{|\det C|}} \sin \theta \sin \alpha \gg K.$$

Thus we have $\det(C) \ll \frac{mn}{K^2}$. By the estimate 3.5, we obtain

$$(3.46) \quad R_2^{g_1(\xi)} \ll \sum_{\substack{0 \neq |\det C| \ll \frac{mn}{K^2} \\ \|C\|_F \ll \frac{\sqrt{mn}}{K}}} 1 = \sum_{0 \neq |d| \ll \frac{mn}{K^2}} \sum_{\substack{\det C = d \\ \|C\| \ll \frac{\sqrt{mn}}{K}}} 1 = \sum_{0 \neq |d| \ll \frac{mn}{K^2}} P_d\left(C \cdot \frac{mn}{K^2}\right),$$

where $P_d(X)$ is the hyperbolic lattice counting function

$$(3.47) \quad P_d(X) = |\{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 : \alpha\delta - \beta\gamma = d, \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 + \delta^2 \leq X\}|,$$

and $C > 0$ is some constant. For $1 \leq d \leq X$ we have the following asymptotic formula (see Theorem 12.4 in [Iwa02]):

$$(3.48) \quad P_d(X) = 6 \left(\sum_{\tau|d} \tau^{-1} \right) (X + O(d^{\frac{1}{3}} X^{\frac{2}{3}})) \ll X \log |d|.$$

This estimate also applies to $-X \leq d \leq -1$ by symmetry. Thus we have

$$(3.49) \quad R_2^{g_1(\xi)} \leq \frac{mn}{K^2} \sum_{0 \neq |d| \ll \frac{mn}{K^2}} \log |d| \ll \frac{m^2 n^2}{K^4} \log \frac{mn}{K^2} \ll_\epsilon \left(\frac{(mn)^{2+\epsilon}}{K^{4+2\epsilon}} \right).$$

The contributions of $h(\xi)$ and $O(\xi c_3(g_1))$ are analyzed similarly, making use the bounds 3.42 and 3.43. We have

$$(3.50) \quad R_2^{h(\xi)} \ll_j \frac{(mn)^{\frac{j}{2}+1}}{K^{2j+2}},$$

$$(3.51) \quad R_2^{O(\xi c_3(g_1))} \ll_\epsilon \frac{(mn)^{2+\epsilon}}{K^{6+2\epsilon}},$$

for any $j \geq 3$ and small $\epsilon > 0$. Thus we obtain

$$(3.52) \quad R_2 \ll_{j,\epsilon} \frac{(mn)^{2+\epsilon}}{K^{4+2\epsilon}} + \frac{(mn)^{\frac{j}{2}+1}}{K^{2j+2}}.$$

Combining the estimates of R_1 and R_2 above, and that

$$(3.53) \quad \sum_k g(k) = \sum_k \Omega\left(\frac{k}{K}\right) \gg K,$$

by our choice of Ω , the proof is now complete. \square

4. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREMS

In this section we prove Theorems 1.1-1.3. We assume $\hat{\Phi}$ is supported in $(-\alpha, \alpha)$. We also set $\ell = k - 3/2$ to save notations.

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. By a standard explicit formula argument (see, for example, [ILS00]), we can write the density function $D(F; \Phi; \text{spin})$ as

$$(4.1) \quad D(F; \Phi; \text{spin}) = \frac{2}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \Phi\left(\frac{s - \frac{1}{2}}{2\pi i} \log k^2\right) \frac{\Lambda'}{\Lambda}(s, F; \text{spin}) ds + 2\Phi\left(\frac{\log k^2}{2\pi i}\right) \delta_{F \in H_k^*(\Gamma_2)},$$

where $\delta_{F \in H_k^*(\Gamma_2)} = 1$ if $F \in H_k^*(\Gamma_2)$ is a Saito-Kurokawa lift (in which case $L(s, F; \text{spin})$ has a pole at $s = 3/2$) and is 0 otherwise. By 2.6 and 2.5 we may further write

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} D(F; \Phi; \text{spin}) &= \frac{2}{\log k^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi(x) \left(-\log(2\pi)^2 + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi i x}{\log k^2} \right) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left(k - 1 + \frac{2\pi i x}{\log k^2} \right) \right) dx \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\log k^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_p c_m(p; F) \frac{\log p}{p^{m/2}} \hat{\Phi}\left(\frac{m \log p}{\log k^2}\right) \\ &\quad + 2\Phi\left(\frac{\log k^2}{2\pi i}\right) \delta_{F \in H_k^*(\Gamma_2)} \end{aligned}$$

by shifting contour from $\sigma = 2$ to $\sigma = 1/2$.

For the integral involving gamma factors, we use the following estimates [GR15]:

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(a + bi) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(a - bi) = 2\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(a) + O\left(\frac{b^2}{a^2}\right), \quad a > 0, b \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(k - 1) = \log k + O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)$$

to get

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{2}{\log k^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi(x) \left(-\log(2\pi)^2 + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi i x}{\log k^2} \right) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left(k - 1 + \frac{2\pi i x}{\log k^2} \right) \right) dx = \hat{\Phi}(0) + o(1).$$

For $c_1(p; F)$ and $c_2(p; F)$ we sum over F against the weight ω_F . Using 1.16, Lemma 2.1 and the observation 2.9 we obtain

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_{F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)} \omega_F c_1(p; F) = \Delta_k(pI, I) + \frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}} \Delta_k(I, I),$$

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)} \omega_F c_2(p; F) = -\Delta_k(pI, pI) + 2\Delta_k(p^2I, I) + O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \Delta_k(I, I).$$

Collecting these we have the "explicit formula":

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)} \omega_F D(F; \Phi; \text{spin}) &= \hat{\Phi}(0) + o(1) \\ &- \frac{2}{\log k^2} \sum_p \left(\Delta_k(pI, I) + \frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}} \Delta_k(I, I) \right) \frac{\log p}{\sqrt{p}} \hat{\Phi}\left(\frac{\log p}{\log k^2}\right) \\ &- \frac{2}{\log k^2} \sum_p \left(-\Delta_k(pI, pI) + 2\Delta_k(p^2I, I) + O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \Delta_k(I, I) \right) \frac{\log p}{p} \hat{\Phi}\left(\frac{2 \log p}{\log k^2}\right) \\ &- \frac{2}{\log k^2} \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \sum_p \left(\sum_{F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)} \omega_F c_m(p; F) \right) \frac{\log p}{p^{m/2}} \hat{\Phi}\left(\frac{m \log p}{\log k^2}\right) \\ &+ 2\hat{\Phi}\left(\frac{\log k^2}{2\pi i}\right) \sum_{F_f \in H_k^*(\Gamma_2)} \omega_{F_f} \end{aligned}$$

We treat the terms $\Delta_k(pI, I)$, $\Delta_k(pI, pI)$ and $\Delta_k(p^2I, I)$ using the Petersson formula 3.9. Take the term $\Delta_k(pI, I)$ for example:

$$(4.9) \quad \Delta_k(pI, I) = \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{8} G_{1,k}(pI, I) + \pi^2 G_{2,k}(pI, I).$$

The rank 1 term $G_{1,k}(pI, I)$ is

$$(4.10) \quad G_{1,k}(pI, I) = \sum_{\pm} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} \sum_{U, V} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{c^{\frac{3}{2}} (ps)^{\frac{1}{2}}} H^{\pm}(UU^T, pV^{-1}V^{-T}; c) J_{\ell} \left(\frac{4\pi}{cs} \right),$$

after a change of variable $s \mapsto ps$, where the summation $\sum_{U, V}$ is over

$$(4.11) \quad u_{21}^2 + u_{22}^2 = ps, \quad v_{11}^2 + v_{21}^2 = s.$$

By the estimates 3.7, 3.21 and 3.20, we bound $G_{1,k}(pI, I)$ as

$$(4.12) \quad G_{1,k}(pI, I) \ll \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} (ps)^{\epsilon} s^{\epsilon} c^{-\frac{3}{2}} p^{-\frac{1}{2}} s^{-\frac{1}{2}} c^2 \left(\frac{4\pi}{cs\ell} \right)^{\ell} \ll p^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \left(\frac{4\pi}{\ell} \right)^{\ell},$$

for k sufficiently large. Thus its contribution to 4.8 is at most

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\log k} \sum_p p^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \left(\frac{4\pi}{\ell} \right)^{\ell} \frac{\log p}{\sqrt{p}} \hat{\Phi}\left(\frac{\log p}{\log k^2}\right) &\ll \frac{1}{\log k} \left(\frac{4\pi}{\ell} \right)^{\ell} \sum_{p \leq k^{2\alpha}} p^{-1+\epsilon} \log p \\ &\ll \frac{1}{\log k} \left(\frac{4\pi}{\ell} \right)^{\ell} k^{2\alpha\epsilon} = o(1) \end{aligned}$$

for any $\alpha > 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

The rank 2 term $G_{2,k}(pI, I)$ is

$$(4.14) \quad G_{2,k}(pI, I) = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{K(I, pI; C)}{|\det C|^{3/2}} \int_0^{\pi/2} J_\ell(4\pi\sqrt{\lambda_{\min}} \sin \theta) J_\ell(4\pi\sqrt{\lambda_{\max}} \sin \theta) \sin \theta d\theta,$$

where λ_{\min} and λ_{\max} are the smaller and larger eigenvalues of $pC^{-1}C^{-T}$ respectively. By estimates 3.5, 3.21, 3.31 and 3.30, we have

$$(4.15) \quad G_{2,k}(pI, I) \ll \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{p} \sin \theta}{\ell \|C\|_F} \right)^\ell \ll p^{\frac{\ell}{2}} \left(\frac{4\pi}{\ell} \right)^\ell,$$

for k sufficiently large. Thus its contribution to 4.8 is at most

$$(4.16) \quad \frac{1}{\log k} \sum_p p^{\frac{\ell}{2}} \left(\frac{4\pi}{\ell} \right)^\ell \frac{\log p}{\sqrt{p}} \hat{\Phi} \left(\frac{\log p}{\log k^2} \right) \ll \frac{1}{\log k} \left(\frac{4\pi}{\ell} \right)^\ell \sum_{p \leq k^{2\alpha}} p^{\frac{\ell-1}{2}} \log p \ll k^\alpha \left(\frac{4\pi k^\alpha}{\ell} \right)^\ell,$$

which goes to 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$ when $\alpha < 1$. Thus we have proved the contribution of $\Delta_k(I, pI)$ to 4.8 is small when $\alpha < 1$. Other off-diagonal contributions are estimated similarly, and are all small when $\alpha < 1$. We skip the details here.

The diagonal contribution of $\frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}} \Delta_k(I, I)$ to 4.8 from the $m = 1$ term is

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} -\frac{2}{\log k^2} \sum_p \frac{\lambda_p \log p}{p} \hat{\Phi} \left(\frac{\log p}{\log k^2} \right) &= -\frac{2}{\log k^2} \int_1^\infty \frac{\log x}{x} \hat{\Phi} \left(\frac{\log x}{\log k^2} \right) d\pi(x) \\ &= -\frac{2}{\log k^2} \int_1^\infty \frac{\log x}{x} \hat{\Phi} \left(\frac{\log x}{\log k^2} \right) \frac{1}{\log x} dx + o(1) \\ &= -2 \int_0^\infty \hat{\Phi}(y) dy + o(1) \\ &= -\Phi(0) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the Prime Number Theorem (PNT) for the prime counting function $\pi(x)$ and the fact the $\lambda_p = 1 + \chi_{-4}(p)$ takes values 0 and 2 for primes p with density $\frac{1}{2}$ each.

The diagonal contribution of $-\Delta_k(pI, pI)$ from the $m = 2$ term is

$$(4.18) \quad \frac{2}{\log k^2} \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p} \hat{\Phi} \left(\frac{2 \log p}{\log k^2} \right) = \frac{\hat{\Phi}(0)}{2} + o(1).$$

It is shown in [KST12] that the $m \geq 3$ terms in 4.8 contribute at most $O\left(\frac{1}{\log k}\right)$. For non-Saito-Kurokawa lifts F this follows from the Ramanujan bound $|c_m(p; F)| \leq 4$ and the fact

$$(4.19) \quad \sum_{m=3}^\infty \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^{m/2}} < \infty.$$

For a treatment of Saito-Kurokawa lifts, we refer readers to section 5 of [KST12].

For the last term in 4.8 we use the fact that (see for example page 1754 of [Blo19])

$$(4.20) \quad \omega_{F_f} \ll \frac{1}{k^3} \frac{L(1/2, f \times \chi_{-4})}{L(1, \text{sym}^2 f)}.$$

This, combined with the convexity bound for $L(1/2, f \times \chi_{-4})$ and the lower bound [HL94]

$$(4.21) \quad L(1, \text{sym}^2 f) \gg k^{-\epsilon}$$

give us

$$(4.22) \quad \sum_{F_f \in H_k^*(\Gamma_2)} \omega_{F_f} = o(1).$$

Combining all results above, we finally have

$$(4.23) \quad \sum_{F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)} \omega_F D(F; \Phi; \text{spin}) = \hat{\Phi}(0) - \Phi(0) + \frac{\Phi(0)}{2} + o(1) = \hat{\Phi}(0) - \frac{\Phi(0)}{2} + o(1).$$

for $\alpha < 1$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.1. We have seen in the proof there that the symmetry type is determined by diagonal contributions. So we shall concentrate on those terms and be brief about the rest.

By Lemma 2.1, the $m = 1$ term is

$$(4.24) \quad \sum_{F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)} \omega_F \tau_2(p; F) = \Delta_k(pI, pI) - \Delta_k(p^2I, I) + \frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}} \Delta_k(pI, I) + \left(\frac{\mu_p}{p} - 1 \right) \Delta_k(I, I),$$

in which the diagonal contribution of $\Delta_k(pI, pI)$ and $-\Delta_k(I, I)$ cancel each other.

The $m = 2$ term is

$$(4.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)} \omega_F \tau_4(p; F) &= -\Delta_k(p^3I, pI) + \Delta_k(p^2I, p^2I) + \frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}} \Delta_k(p^2I, pI) + \left(\frac{\mu_p}{p} - 1 \right) \Delta_k(pI, pI) \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda_p}{\sqrt{p}} \Delta_k(p^3I, I) + O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \Delta_k(p^2I, I) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}\right) \Delta_k(pI, I) + \left(O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) + 1 \right) \Delta_k(I, I). \end{aligned}$$

The diagonal contribution from $\Delta_k(p^2I, p^2I)$, $-\Delta_k(pI, pI)$ and $\Delta_k(I, I)$ is

$$(4.26) \quad -\frac{2}{\log k^4} \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p} \hat{\Phi}\left(\frac{2 \log p}{\log k^4}\right) = -\frac{\hat{\Phi}(0)}{2} + o(1).$$

To illustrate why the range of support is restricted to $(-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$, we analyze the contribution of the rank 2 term $G_{2,k}(pI, pI)$:

$$(4.27) \quad G_{2,k}(pI, pI) = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{K(pI, pI; C)}{|\det C|^{3/2}} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} J_\ell(4\pi \sqrt{\lambda_{\min}} \sin \theta) J_\ell(4\pi \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}} \sin \theta) \sin \theta d\theta,$$

where λ_{\min} and λ_{\max} are eigenvalues of $p^2 C^{-1} C^{-T}$. We estimate as before to get

$$(4.28) \quad G_{2,k}(pI, pI) \ll \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \left(\frac{4\pi p \sin \theta}{\ell \|C\|_F} \right)^\ell \ll p^\ell \left(\frac{4\pi}{\ell} \right)^\ell.$$

Thus it contributes at most

$$(4.29) \quad \frac{1}{\log k} \sum_p p^\ell \left(\frac{4\pi}{\ell} \right)^\ell \frac{\log p}{\sqrt{p}} \hat{\Phi}\left(\frac{\log p}{\log k^4}\right) \ll \frac{1}{\log k} \left(\frac{4\pi}{\ell} \right)^\ell \sum_{p \leq k^{4\alpha}} p^{\ell - \frac{1}{2}} \log p \ll k^{2\alpha} \left(\frac{4\pi k^{4\alpha}}{\ell} \right)^\ell,$$

which is $o(1)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ if $\alpha < \frac{1}{4}$. Other off-diagonal terms are estimated similarly.

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3. The contribution of gamma factors and the diagonal contribution do not change upon averaging over k with respect to Ω . To illustrate how we may extend the range of support from $(-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$ to $(-\frac{5}{18}, \frac{5}{18})$, we take the term $\Delta_k(pI, pI)$ for example.

By Lemma 3.2, the off-diagonal part of

$$(4.30) \quad \left(\sum_k \Omega \left(\frac{k}{K} \right) \right)^{-1} \sum_k \Omega \left(\frac{k}{K} \right) \Delta_k(pI, pI)$$

is at most

$$(4.31) \quad \frac{p}{K^4} + \frac{p^{4+2\epsilon}}{K^{5+2\epsilon}} + \frac{p^{j+2}}{K^{2j+3}}.$$

for any $j \geq 3$ and small $\epsilon > 0$. It contributes at most

$$(4.32) \quad \frac{1}{\log K} \sum_{p \leq K^{4\alpha}} \left(\frac{p}{K^4} + \frac{p^{4+2\epsilon}}{K^{5+2\epsilon}} + \frac{p^{j+2}}{K^{2j+3}} \right) \frac{\log p}{\sqrt{p}} \ll K^{6\alpha-4} + K^{18\alpha-5+6\epsilon} + K^{(4j+10)\alpha-(2j+3)},$$

which is $o(1)$ if $\alpha < \frac{5}{18}$, by taking j sufficiently large.

Finally, to see

$$(4.33) \quad \hat{\Phi}(0) - \frac{\Phi(0)}{2} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(x) W(Sp)(x) dx,$$

we use the Plancherel formula

$$(4.34) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(x) W(Sp)(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\Phi}(y) \hat{W}(Sp)(y) dy$$

and the Fourier pair 1.9. The proof is now complete.

5. APPLICATION TO NON-VANISHING OF CENTRAL VALUES

5.1. Proof of Corollary 1.1. By Theorem 1.1 we have

$$(5.1) \quad \sum_{F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)} \omega_F \sum_{\rho_{F, \text{spin}}} \Phi \left(\frac{\gamma_{F, \text{spin}}}{2\pi} \log k^2 \right) < \hat{\Phi}(0) - \frac{\Phi(0)}{2} + \epsilon,$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$ and k large enough. We further assume

$$(5.2) \quad \Phi(x) \geq 0, \quad \Phi(0) = 1.$$

By these conditions we may pick up only the zeros $\rho_{F, \text{spin}} = 1/2$ to get

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)} \omega_F \sum_{\rho_{F, \text{spin}}} \Phi \left(\frac{\gamma_{F, \text{spin}}}{2\pi} \log k^2 \right) &\geq \sum_{F \in H_k(\Gamma_2)} \omega_F \cdot \text{ord}_{s=1/2} L(s, F; \text{spin}) \\ &= \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m \sum_{\text{ord}_{s=1/2} L(s, F; \text{spin})=m} \omega_F \\ &\geq 2 \sum_{\text{ord}_{s=1/2} L(s, F; \text{spin}) \geq 2} \omega_F. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that the root number of $L(s, F; \text{spin})$ is always $+1$. Thus the vanishing order of $L(s, F; \text{spin})$ at $s = 1/2$ is even. These inequalities, together with 1.18, give us

$$(5.4) \quad \sum_{L(1/2, F; \text{spin}) \neq 0} \omega_F > 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\hat{\Phi}(0) - \frac{\Phi(0)}{2} \right) - \epsilon.$$

It is discussed in [ILS00] that the Fourier pair

$$(5.5) \quad \Phi(x) = \left(\frac{\sin \pi vx}{\pi vx} \right)^2; \quad \hat{\Phi}(y) = \frac{1}{v} \left(1 - \frac{|y|}{v} \right), \quad |y| < v \quad (v > 0).$$

gives essentially the optimal bound. With this choice we have

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{L(1/2, F; \text{spin}) \neq 0} \omega_F > \frac{5}{4} - \frac{1}{2v} - \epsilon,$$

for any $0 < v < 1$. Taking \liminf in k and $v \rightarrow 1$, we have

$$(5.7) \quad \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{L(1/2, F; \text{spin}) \neq 0} \omega_F \geq \frac{3}{4}.$$

We can further ignore the contribution of Saito-Kurokawa lifts, in view of 4.22. This completes the proof of Corollary 1.1.

5.2. Further Discussion. From the proof of Corollary 1.1 we see that in order to obtain any result on non-vanishing of central values of $L(s, F; \text{spin})$ or $L(s, F; \text{std})$, the range of support in the corresponding Density Theorem must go beyond $(-\frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5})$. This range is by setting

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{5}{4} - \frac{1}{2v} = 0.$$

The previous range of support $(-\frac{4}{15}, \frac{4}{15})$ obtained in [KST12] for spinor L -functions is not large enough, for $\frac{4}{15} < \frac{2}{5}$. Thus our extension to $(-1, 1)$ is significant for the purpose of non-vanishing.

Unfortunately, for standard L -functions, our range of support is still not large enough to obtain a non-vanishing result, even after performing an average over weight $(\frac{5}{18} < \frac{2}{5})$. The author would like to address this problem by establishing a more refined version of Lemma 3.2 in the future.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank Prof. Wenzhi Luo for his suggestion on the topic and his valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- [And74] A. N. Andrianov. “Euler products that correspond to Siegel’s modular forms of genus 2”. In: *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* 29.3(177) (1974), pp. 43–110. ISSN: 0042-1316.
- [BC22] Valentin Blomer and Andrew Corbett. “A symplectic restriction problem”. In: *Math. Ann.* 382.3-4 (2022), pp. 1323–1424. ISSN: 0025-5831,1432-1807.
- [Blo19] Valentin Blomer. “Spectral summation formulae for $\text{GSp}(4)$ and moments of spinor L -functions”. In: *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 21.6 (2019), pp. 1751–1774. ISSN: 1435-9855,1435-9863.
- [Böc85] Siegfried Böcherer. “Über die Funktionalgleichung automorpher L -Funktionen zur Siegelschen Modulgruppe”. In: *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 362 (1985), pp. 146–168. ISSN: 0075-4102,1435-5345.
- [CK15] Peter J. Cho and Henry H. Kim. “Low lying zeros of Artin L -functions”. In: *Math. Z.* 279.3-4 (2015), pp. 669–688. ISSN: 0025-5874,1432-1823.
- [Del74] Pierre Deligne. “La conjecture de Weil. I”. In: *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 43 (1974), pp. 273–307. ISSN: 0073-8301,1618-1913.
- [DM06] Eduardo Dueñez and Steven J. Miller. “The low lying zeros of a $\text{GL}(4)$ and a $\text{GL}(6)$ family of L -functions”. In: *Compos. Math.* 142.6 (2006), pp. 1403–1425. ISSN: 0010-437X,1570-5846.

- [Erd+81] Arthur Erdélyi et al. *Higher transcendental functions. Vol. II*. Based on notes left by Harry Bateman, Reprint of the 1953 original. Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co., Inc., Melbourne, FL, 1981, pp. xviii+396. ISBN: 0-89874-069-X.
- [Faz24] Alessandro Fazzari. “A weighted one-level density of families of L -functions”. In: *Algebra Number Theory* 18.1 (2024), pp. 87–132. ISSN: 1937-0652,1944-7833.
- [FI03] E. Fouvry and H. Iwaniec. “Low-lying zeros of dihedral L -functions”. In: *Duke Math. J.* 116.2 (2003), pp. 189–217. ISSN: 0012-7094,1547-7398.
- [GR15] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik. *Table of integrals, series, and products*. seventh. Translated from the Russian, Translation edited and with a preface by Daniel Zwillinger and Victor Moll. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2015, pp. xlvi+1133. ISBN: 978-0-12-384933-5.
- [Gul05] Ahmet Muhtar Guloglu. *On low lying zeros of automorphic L -functions*. Thesis (Ph.D.)—The Ohio State University. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2005, p. 70. ISBN: 978-0542-23587-0.
- [GZ11] Peng Gao and Liangyi Zhao. “One level density of low-lying zeros of families of L -functions”. In: *Compos. Math.* 147.1 (2011), pp. 1–18. ISSN: 0010-437X,1570-5846.
- [HL94] Jeffrey Hoffstein and Paul Lockhart. “Coefficients of Maass forms and the Siegel zero”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 140.1 (1994). With an appendix by Dorian Goldfeld, Hoffstein and Daniel Lieman, pp. 161–181. ISSN: 0003-486X,1939-8980.
- [ILS00] Henryk Iwaniec, Wenzhi Luo, and Peter Sarnak. “Low lying zeros of families of L -functions”. In: *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 91 (2000), pp. 55–131. ISSN: 0073-8301,1618-1913.
- [Iwa02] Henryk Iwaniec. *Spectral methods of automorphic forms*. Second. Vol. 53. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, Madrid, 2002, pp. xii+220. ISBN: 0-8218-3160-7.
- [Iwa97] Henryk Iwaniec. *Topics in classical automorphic forms*. Vol. 17. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997, pp. xii+259. ISBN: 0-8218-0777-3.
- [Kit84] Yoshiyuki Kitaoka. “Fourier coefficients of Siegel cusp forms of degree two”. In: *Nagoya Math. J.* 93 (1984), pp. 149–171. ISSN: 0027-7630,2152-6842.
- [Kli90] Helmut Klingen. *Introductory lectures on Siegel modular forms*. Vol. 20. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990, pp. x+162. ISBN: 0-521-35052-2.
- [KR19] Andrew Knightly and Caroline Reno. “Weighted distribution of low-lying zeros of $GL(2)$ L -functions”. In: *Canad. J. Math.* 71.1 (2019), pp. 153–182. ISSN: 0008-414X,1496-4279.
- [KS99a] Nicholas M. Katz and Peter Sarnak. *Random matrices, Frobenius eigenvalues, and monodromy*. Vol. 45. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999, pp. xii+419. ISBN: 0-8218-1017-0.
- [KS99b] Nicholas M. Katz and Peter Sarnak. “Zeroes of zeta functions and symmetry”. In: *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 36.1 (1999), pp. 1–26. ISSN: 0273-0979,1088-9485.
- [KST12] Emmanuel Kowalski, Abhishek Saha, and Jacob Tsimerman. “Local spectral equidistribution for Siegel modular forms and applications”. In: *Compos. Math.* 148.2 (2012), pp. 335–384. ISSN: 0010-437X,1570-5846.
- [KWY20] Henry H. Kim, Satoshi Wakatsuki, and Takuya Yamauchi. “An equidistribution theorem for holomorphic Siegel modular forms for GSp_4 and its applications”. In: *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* 19.2 (2020), pp. 351–419. ISSN: 1474-7480,1475-3030.
- [LM17] Sheng-Chi Liu and Steven J. Miller. “Low-lying zeros for L -functions associated to Hilbert modular forms of large level”. In: *Acta Arith.* 180.3 (2017), pp. 251–266. ISSN: 0065-1036,1730-6264.

- [Mon73] H. L. Montgomery. “The pair correlation of zeros of the zeta function”. In: *Analytic number theory (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXIV, St. Louis Univ., St. Louis, Mo., 1972)*. Vol. Vol. XXIV. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1973, pp. 181–193.
- [Odl87] A. M. Odlyzko. “On the distribution of spacings between zeros of the zeta function”. In: *Math. Comp.* 48.177 (1987), pp. 273–308. ISSN: 0025-5718,1088-6842.
- [Pit19] Ameya Pitale. *Siegel modular forms*. Vol. 2240. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. A classical and representation-theoretic approach. Springer, Cham, 2019, pp. ix+138.
- [RS96] Zeév Rudnick and Peter Sarnak. “Zeros of principal L -functions and random matrix theory”. In: vol. 81. 2. A celebration of John F. Nash, Jr. 1996, pp. 269–322.
- [Rub01] Michael Rubinstein. “Low-lying zeros of L -functions and random matrix theory”. In: *Duke Math. J.* 109.1 (2001), pp. 147–181. ISSN: 0012-7094,1547-7398.
- [Rub98] Michael Oded Rubinstein. *Evidence for a spectral interpretation of the zeros of L -functions*. Thesis (Ph.D.)—Princeton University. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1998, p. 115. ISBN: 978-0591-86249-2.
- [Rum93] Robert Rumely. “Numerical computations concerning the ERH”. In: *Math. Comp.* 61.203 (1993), 415–440, S17–S23. ISSN: 0025-5718,1088-6842.
- [SS22] Shingo Sugiyama and Ade Irma Suriajaya. “Weighted one-level density of low-lying zeros of Dirichlet L -functions”. In: *Res. Number Theory* 8.3 (2022), Paper No. 55, 11. ISSN: 2522-0160,2363-9555.
- [ST16] Sug Woo Shin and Nicolas Templier. “Sato-Tate theorem for families and low-lying zeros of automorphic L -functions”. In: *Invent. Math.* 203.1 (2016). Appendix A by Robert Kottwitz, and Appendix B by Raf Cluckers, Julia Gordon and Immanuel Halupczok, pp. 1–177. ISSN: 0020-9910,1432-1297.
- [Wei09] Rainer Weissauer. *Endoscopy for $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$ and the cohomology of Siegel modular threefolds*. Vol. 1968. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009, pp. xviii+368. ISBN: 978-3-540-89305-9.
- [You06] Matthew P. Young. “Low-lying zeros of families of elliptic curves”. In: *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 19.1 (2006), pp. 205–250. ISSN: 0894-0347,1088-6834.

Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA.
 E-mail address: zhao.3326@buckeyemail.osu.edu