

Giant High-order Nonlinear and Nonreciprocal Electrical Transports Induced by Valley Flipping in Bernal Bilayer Graphene

Yuelin Shao^{1,2,*} and Xi Dai^{3,†}

¹*Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics and Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*

²*School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China*

³*Department of Physics, The Hongkong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon 999077, Hong Kong, China*

(Dated: March 29, 2024)

We investigate the electrical transport properties of the mini-valley polarized state proposed recently in slightly doped Bernal Bilayer Graphene (BLG) in large electric displacement fields. By minimizing the Hartree-Fock energy functional, we first confirm the appearance of mini-valley polarized phase. At the low carrier doping regime, the 1-pocket state will be stabilized where only one of the trigonal-wrapping-induced Fermi pockets near the atomic-valley center is filled. Then we study the electrical transport of the 1-pocket state by solving the Boltzmann equation. We find that the valley polarization could be easily flopped by an in-plane electrical field, which will lead to hysteresis loop in the direct current (DC) $I - V$ curves. Such irreversible current responses in the DC limit will directly induce strong nonlinear and nonreciprocal alternating current (AC) responses, which has been already observed in the recent experiments on BLG.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the realm of two-dimensional materials, the valley degree of freedom is a critical factor that influences their unique magnetic, transport, and optical characteristics. This is in addition to the well-known degrees of freedom in condensed matter, such as spin, orbital, and momentum. In certain systems, like twisted bilayer graphene and transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs), a variety of valley polarization and hybridization states have been proposed[1–6] and observed[7–17]. These states contribute to the emergence of exotic phenomena, including the quantum anomalous Hall effect, correlated insulators, and orbital magnetism. Recently, valley-polarized states have also been detected in non-twisted systems such as Rhombohedral trilayer and Bernal bilayer graphene when a displacement field is applied along the z -axis[18–22]. In this paper, we focus on the Bernal bilayer graphene (BLG) whose atomic structure and Brillouin zone is shown in Fig. 1(a). The displacement field first separates the two Dirac nodes in energy and subsequently leads to the formation of a hybridization gap due to inter-layer coupling[23–25], resulting in a “Mexican hat” type band dispersion for each atomic valley $\pm K$. The trigonal warping effect in BLG further creates three local maxima in the valence band (or minima in the conduction band) near each atomic valley center[24], as indicated by $Q_{1,2,3}$ in Figure 1(b). As a result, the highest valence band (HVB) of gated BLG hosts six band maxima $\pm Q_{1,2,3}$ (the prefactor $\xi = \pm$ label the atomic valley, the subscript $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ label the mini-valley around the atomic valleys), which from now on are called all to-

gether as the valleys thought out this paper for simplicity. When the filling factor of the system is just slightly away from the charge neutrality point, quantum oscillation experiments indicate an emergence of the half- and quarter-metal phases[19–21]. Starting from the quarter-metal phase where only one spin and atomic valley is occupied, if the doping concentration is further reduced so that the mini-valley $Q_{1,2,3}$ could also be viewed as a degree of freedom, a polarized state to the mini-valley is further predicted[26]. Such kind of valley-polarized states break the threefold rotation symmetry C_3 and has been identified by the C_3 -breaking pattern of angle-resolved second-harmonic nonlinear transport measurements[27]. More recently, anomalous high-order nonlinear and non-reciprocal transport signals have also been detected in the slightly doped BLG[28], challenging traditional transport theories and spurring the need for theoretical investigation from new vantage points.

In this paper, we first establish the presence of mini-valley-polarized phases in gated BLG. This is deduced from the minimization of the system’s total energy functional under Hartree-Fock approximation. The proximity of the three valleys around the K points makes the polarized states quite susceptible to the transitions induced by current flow, potentially leading to significant nonlinear and non-reciprocal transport behaviors. We then analyze the current response of systems exhibiting “Mexican hat” type band dispersion by employing the Boltzmann equation, incorporating the effects of long-range Coulomb interactions among carriers. Differentiating between relaxation processes within the same mini-valley and across different valleys allowed us to derive equations that effectively describe the dynamics within these systems. The resulting phenomena from our analysis include: 1) The ease of flipping mini-valley polarization via an electric current leading to hysteresis loops in the direct current (DC) $I - V$ profile. 2) The presence of an irreversible

* ylshao@iphy.ac.cn

† daix@ust.hk

current response in the DC regime leads to significant nonlinear and nonreciprocal alternating current (AC) responses at low frequencies, where the current response remains adiabatic to the external drive. 3) The existence of a critical external field intensity where the long-term behavior of the current response becomes largely insensitive to the initial polarization direction, leading to a near-symmetrical angular response in the system.

The rest of the paper will be organized as follows. In Section II, we first confirm the existence of the mini-valley polarized ground states in the very low hole doping regime by minimizing the Hartree Fock mean-field energy functional. In Section III, we solve the Boltzmann equation for the mini-valley polarized ground state with a DC electrical field, and a valley flipping phenomenon is identified when the electrical field strength reaches some critical value. By replacing the DC electrical field by a low frequency sinusoidal AC field, the AC electrical transports are investigated in Section IV. We find that the system enters into a steady valley oscillating state if the AC electrical field amplitude is larger than the DC critical field for valley flipping. At this time, the current responses in time domain show discontinuous features which lead to very high order nonlinearity in frequency domain responses. Besides, we also find that the valley oscillating state is insensitive to the initial polarization direction when the electrical field amplitude is large enough, and the current responses show a C_3 -persevering angular pattern although the initial mini-valley polarized ground state breaks the threefold rotation symmetry. In Section V, symmetry restrictions on the angular pattern of the current responses are discussed in more details.

II. THE VALLEY-POLARIZED GROUND STATES

The non-interacting band structures near the atomic valley center ξK ($\xi = \pm$) could be described by the following $k \cdot p$ Hamiltonian[29, 30] under atomic basis $\{A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2\}$

$$H_{\xi, \mathbf{k}} \approx \begin{bmatrix} -U/2 & v_0\pi^\dagger & -v_4\pi^\dagger & v_3\pi \\ v_0\pi & -U/2 + \Delta' & \gamma_1 & -v_4\pi^\dagger \\ -v_4\pi & \gamma_1 & U/2 + \Delta' & v_0\pi^\dagger \\ v_3\pi^\dagger & -v_4\pi & v_0\pi & U/2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where $\pi = \hbar(\xi k_x + ik_y)$ and U is the inter-layer potential arose from gating. The velocities v_i are defined by the nearest neighbor hopping parameters γ_i as $v_i = \sqrt{3}a\gamma_i/2\hbar$ and a is the lattice constant (the hopping parameters in this paper is adopted from Kuzmenko *et al.* [31]). In this paper, we focus on the hole doping regime of the gated BLG and the HVB dominates the low energy excitations. Taking $U = 300\text{meV}$, the constant energy contour of the HVB near K point is plotted in Fig. 1(b) by the pseudo-color map. And the band structures near the $-K$ valley are related with K valley by time reversal




FIG. 1. (a) Real space structure and Brillouin zone of BLG. (b) Constant energy surface of the HVB in the K valley for inter-layer bias potential $U = 300\text{meV}$. (c)(d) Detail view of the band structure along the two dotted red lines in (b). (e) DOS per spin and per atomic valley. The dashed black lines in (b-e) mark the same energy level where the hole concentration contributed by each pocket in (b) is about $0.1 \times 10^{12}\text{cm}^{-2}$.

symmetry. The detail band structures along the two dotted red lines in Fig. 1(b) are shown in Fig. 1(c)(d). In Fig. 1(e), we also plot the density of states (DOS) per valley and per-spin and the Van Hove singularities are clearly shown. Due to the trigonal-wrapping effect from the inter-layer coupling γ_3 between A_1 and B_2 atoms, the non-interacting Fermi surfaces for very low hole doping separate into three hole pockets as illustrated by the dashed black lines in Fig. 1(b).

In the low doping regime, the quarter-metal phase of gated BLG is verified both experimentally[19, 20] and theoretical[26, 32, 33]. We focus on the density region where the quarter-metal state is stable and assume the (\uparrow, K) flavor is occupied, then the low energy physics could be described by the HVB electron in (\uparrow, K) flavor with creation operator $c_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$. By projecting to the occupied flavor, the many-body Hamiltonian could be approximately written as

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^0 c_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{1}{2S} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'\mathbf{q}} U_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}(\mathbf{q}) c_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{q}} c_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}, \quad (2)$$

where S is the 2D area of the system. The first term is the kinetic part and the non-interacting dispersion $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^0$ is shown in Fig. 1(b). The second term is the interacting part, where $U_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}(\mathbf{q}) \equiv V(\mathbf{q})\langle \mathbf{k}|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}\rangle\langle \mathbf{k}'|\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{q}\rangle$ is the interaction matrix projected to HVB and $|\mathbf{k}\rangle$ is the wavefunction of HVB electron in (\uparrow, K_+) flavor. To obtain the previous form of interaction, only the electron-electron scattering with momentum transfer much smaller than

Brillouin zone, i.e. $q \ll G$, is considered, which is a reasonable approximation for long range interaction. In this paper, the interaction $V(\mathbf{q})$ is taken as the Coulomb one $V_{col}(q) = 2\pi/\epsilon q$ and the dielectric constant is set as $\epsilon = 5$.

Under Hartree-Fock approximation, the total energy per area is expressed as a functional of the hole occupation function $n_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv 1 - \langle c_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle$ as $E_{tot}[n_{\mathbf{k}}] = E_0 + E_H + E_K[n_{\mathbf{k}}] + E_{ex}[n_{\mathbf{k}}]$. The vacuum energy of the fully filled HVB $E_0 = \mathcal{S}^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^0$ is a constant. Besides, we assume the system is charge uniform and the Hartree energy E_H is also a constant. Thus these two terms will be ignored in the following text. The other two terms are kinetic energy E_K and exchange energy E_{ex} , which are separately written as

$$E_K[n_{\mathbf{k}}] = \frac{1}{\mathcal{S}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (-\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^0) n_{\mathbf{k}} \quad (3a)$$

$$E_{ex}[n_{\mathbf{k}}] = -\frac{1}{2\mathcal{S}^2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} U_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') n_{\mathbf{k}} n_{\mathbf{k}'} \quad (3b)$$

For very low doping concentration n_h where the three hole Fermi surfaces as shown in Fig. 1(b) do not touch, we can divide the Brillouin zone into three regions \mathcal{Q}_α ($\alpha = 1, 2, 3$) as illustrated in Fig. 2(b-d) and treat the mini-valley as a new degree of freedom. Under this assumption, we further approximate the full occupation function $n_{\mathbf{k}}$ as three separate fermi-Dirac distributions for different mini-valleys which only depend on the corresponding valley occupation number n_α . To be specific, the occupation function is approximated by

$$n_{\mathbf{k}} \approx n_{\mathbf{k}}^0(n_{\alpha[\mathbf{k}]}) = \Theta(\mu_{\alpha[\mathbf{k}]} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^0), \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha[\mathbf{k}]$ is the mini-valley index of the wavevector \mathbf{k} and $\Theta(\epsilon)$ is the Heaviside function (Fermi-Dirac distribution at zero temperature). In Eq. (4), the parameter μ_α is the valley-dependent hole chemical potential determined from the hole concentration n_α by

$$n_\alpha = \int_{\mathcal{Q}_\alpha} \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} n_{\mathbf{k}} = \int_{\mathcal{Q}_\alpha} \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \Theta(\mu_\alpha + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^0). \quad (5)$$

Then we can treat the three n_α s as the only variational parameters to greatly simplify the calculations. In Fig. 2(b-d), the approximated hole occupation function gotten by Eq. (4) (shaded areas) are compared with those from the full variational scheme (red lines), which reveal a significant degree of agreement.

Under this approximation, the total energy is expressed as a function of n_α as

$$E_{tot}[n_{\mathbf{k}}] \approx E_{tot}(n_1, n_2, n_3). \quad (6)$$

More details of the energy functional is given in Sec. I of the supplemental materials (SM). By minimizing Eq. (6) with respect to n_α under constrain $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = n_h$, we find that the only possible stable sates (local minimums) are the N -pocket states ($N = 1, 2, 3$), where there are N hole pockets equally occupied. And this is consistent with the previous study by Dong *et al.* [26]. In Fig.




FIG. 2. (a) Total energies per hole E_{tot}/n_h of the N -pocket states in the hole density range where they are stable. At fixed hole density, the ground state is the one with the lowest energy. (b-d) Typical hole distributions of the N -pocket ground states. The shaded areas are given by the approximation Eq. (4) and the red lines are obtained from a full variational scheme, which reveal a significant degree of agreement. The hole density as taken as $n_h = 0.06, 0.16, 0.27 \times 10^{12} \text{cm}^{-2}$ respectively.

2(a), the total energies per hole E_{tot}/n_h of the N -pocket states are plotted in the hole density range where they are stable, and the ground state is the one with the lowest energy. The typical ground state hole distributions of the N -pocket states are also plotted in Fig. 2(b-d).

III. DC TRANSPORT: VALLEY FLIPPING AND CURRENT HYSTERESIS

In this section, we investigate the DC electrical transport properties of the valley polarized states. For simplicity, we focus on the low hole density regime in this paper where only the 1-pocket state is stable. The Boltzmann equation for hole distribution function is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial n_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial t} = & -\frac{e}{\hbar} \mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} n_{\mathbf{k}} \\ & + \frac{2\pi n_{imp}}{\hbar} \int \frac{d^2k'}{(2\pi)^2} |T_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}|^2 \delta(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{k}} - \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{k}'}) (n_{\mathbf{k}'} - n_{\mathbf{k}}). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The first term on the right side of the equation describes the driving effect by a DC electrical field and the second term is the impurity scattering, where n_{imp} is the impurity concentration, $T_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}$ is the impurity scattering matrix and $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \partial_{n_{\mathbf{k}}} E_{tot}[n_{\mathbf{k}}]$ is the quasi-particle energy of the holes. Considering Eq. (3)(4), the quasi-particle energy in the \mathcal{Q}_α valley could be approximated as $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{k}} \approx -\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^0 + \Sigma_{\mathbf{k}}$ where $\Sigma_{\mathbf{k}} \approx -\mathcal{S}^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} U_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') n_{\mathbf{k}'}^0$ is




FIG. 3. (a) Starting from the Q_1 -polarized state P_0 at zero electrical field strength, the steady hole distributions are smoothly connected if the electrical fields vary in the blue region and its boundary indicates the critical electrical field for valley flipping. (b)(c) The same quantities as (a) except that the initial states at zero field strength are polarized in the Q_2 and Q_3 valleys respectively. (d-f) Steady hole distributions for points P_{0-4} in (a). (g-i) Steady hole distributions for points P'_{0-4} in (b). The blue and red color boxed panels in (d-i) form a hysteresis loop when the electrical field varies along the blue and red lines in (a) and (b). When generating these plots, the parameters are taken as $n_h = 0.06 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, $\tau_i = 10 \text{ ps}$ and $\tau_x/\tau_i = 100$. The field unit is defined as $E^* = \hbar\sqrt{3}Q/(2e\tau_i) \approx 19.4 \text{ mV}/\mu\text{m}$. The direction of the electrical field indicated by the blue and red lines is $\theta = 7\pi/18$.

the self-energy of holes due to interaction. Near the mini-valley center, the self-energy could be viewed as constants $\Sigma_{\mathbf{k}} \approx \Sigma_{\alpha}[\mathbf{k}]$ for different valleys, where Σ_{α} is obtained by $\Sigma_{\alpha} \approx \partial_{n_{\alpha}} E_{ex}(n_1, n_2, n_3)$. As for the scattering matrix, we also assume that $|T_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}|$ to be constants T_i and T_x for the intra- and inter-valley scattering process, which satisfies $T_i \gg T_x$. For charge impurity with long range impurity potential in real space, the scattering matrix drops quickly with respect to the transferred momentum $|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'|$, and $T_i \gg T_x$ will be a good approximation. Under the above assumption, the intra-valley relaxation process is considered to be much faster than the inter-valley process. Therefore, we can approximately separate the fast and slow processes by ignoring the inter-valley relaxation process when dealing with the intra-valley dynamics. Assume the hole concentration in Q_{α} valley is n_{α} at time t , by adopting the relaxation time approximation, the Boltzmann equation in Q_{α} valley becomes

$$\frac{\partial n_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial t} \approx -\frac{e}{\hbar} \mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} n_{\mathbf{k}} - \frac{n_{\mathbf{k}} - n_{\mathbf{k}}^0(n_{\alpha})}{\tau_i}, \quad (8)$$

where $n_{\mathbf{k}}^0(n_{\alpha})$ is the Fermi-Dirac distributions given by Eq. (4), τ_i is the intra-valley relaxation time[34] defined

as $\tau_i^{-1} \equiv 2\pi n_{imp} |T_i|^2 g/\hbar$ and g is the DOS at the hole Fermi energy. For 2D system, the DOS per mini-valley is nearly a constant and will be approximated by its value at the band edge which is about $g \approx 0.078 \text{ nm}^{-2} \text{ eV}^{-1}$ as shown in Fig. 1(e). Using the Chamber's formula[35], Eq. (8) could be analytically solved as

$$n_{\mathbf{k}}(n_1, n_2, n_3) = \frac{1}{\tau_i} \int_{-\infty}^0 dt' e^{t'/\tau_i} n_{\mathbf{k}+e\mathbf{E}t'/\hbar}^0(n_{\alpha}[\mathbf{k}+e\mathbf{E}t'/\hbar]) \quad (9)$$

To further include the inter-valley process, we integrate Eq. (7) over Q_{α} and the Boltzmann equation could be formally written as

$$\frac{\partial n_{\alpha}}{\partial t} = - \left(\frac{\partial n_{\alpha}}{\partial t} \right)_d + \left(\frac{\partial n_{\alpha}}{\partial t} \right)_s. \quad (10)$$

On the right side of Eq. (10), the first term is the field driven term which is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial n_{\alpha}}{\partial t} \right)_d &= \int_{Q_{\alpha}} \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{e}{\hbar} \mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} n_{\mathbf{k}}(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ &= \int_{Q_{\alpha}} \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} \cdot [\dot{\mathbf{k}} n_{\mathbf{k}}(n_1, n_2, n_3)]. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Notice that $\dot{\mathbf{k}} = e\mathbf{E}/\hbar$ is the velocity of in k -space, we can define the current flows in k -space as $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \dot{\mathbf{k}}n_{\mathbf{k}}$. Using Gauss' law, Eq. (11) could be further written as

$$\left(\frac{\partial n_{\alpha}}{\partial t}\right)_d = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \oint_{\partial Q_{\alpha}} \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{k}} \cdot (d\mathbf{k} \times \hat{z}). \quad (12)$$

In other words, the field driving term could be interpreted as changing rate of the particle numbers in area Q_{α} caused by the k -space current flow $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{k}}$. The second term on the right side of Eq. (10) is the changing rate in area Q_{α} due to impurity scattering. According to the derivation in Sec. II of the SM, this term could be approximated by

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial n_{\alpha}}{\partial t}\right)_s &\approx \frac{2\pi n_{imp}|T_x|^2}{\hbar} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} [\Theta(\Sigma_{\beta} + \mu_{\beta} - \Sigma_{\alpha} - \mu_{\alpha}) \\ &\times g^2 \min(\mu_{\beta}, \Sigma_{\beta} + \mu_{\beta} - \Sigma_{\alpha} - \mu_{\alpha}) - \alpha \leftrightarrow \beta], \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

As an example, we will use Fig. 3(g) to explain the physical meaning of Eq. (13). The inter-valley scattering from the Q_1 valley to Q_2 valley can only occur when $\mu_1 + \Sigma_1 > \mu_2 + \Sigma_2$ is satisfied. For a scattering channel with energy ε , the energy should satisfy $\Sigma_1 < \varepsilon < \Sigma_1 + \mu_1$ to make sure there are occupied initial states in Q_1 valley. Besides, the energy should also satisfy $\varepsilon > \Sigma_2 + \mu_2$ to make sure there are empty final states in Q_2 valley. Thus the energy of the scattering channel should satisfy $\max(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2 + \mu_2) < \varepsilon < \Sigma_1 + \mu_1$ and the total number of scattering channels are given by $g^2 \min(\mu_1, \Sigma_1 + \mu_1 - \Sigma_2 - \mu_2)$. It's convenient to define the inter-valley relaxation time as $\tau_x^{-1} \equiv 2\pi n_{imp}|T_x|^2 g/\hbar$. Then the ratio between these two relaxation times is $\tau_x/\tau_i = |T_i|^2/|T_x|^2 \gg 1$, which means the intra-valley relaxation process is much faster than the inter-valley one. By separating the fast relaxation process in the same mini-valley and the slow process across different valleys, the original Boltzmann equation Eq. (7) is decoupled into the two equations Eq. (8)(10) describing the intra and inter mini-valley dynamics respectively and will be the starting point of the further study. From the above two equations, the steady state solution can be obtained under particular electric field, where the two terms on the right side of Eq. (10) cancel each other.

The 1-pocket state is threefold degenerate which could be polarized to $Q_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ valleys with equal possibility. As a consequence, the steady solution of the Boltzmann equation ($\partial_t n_{\mathbf{k}}^S = 0$) is not only a function of the static electrical field, but also depends on the initial valley polarization. For example, starting from the Q_1 -polarized state as illustrated by Fig. 3(e) (P_0 point in Fig. 3(a)), the final steady states are evolved smoothly if the electrical fields only vary in the blue region in Fig. 3(a) (the radial coordinate represents electrical field strength and the angular coordinate represents the direction). When the electrical field is applied along the $\overrightarrow{P_0 P_1 P_2}$ direction in Fig. 3(a), the holes will accelerate as $\hbar\dot{\mathbf{k}} = e\mathbf{E}$. Such




FIG. 4. (a)(b)(c)(d) Hysteresis curves for p^S , $j_{N,||}$ and $j_{N,⊥}$ and j_H as functions of the electric field strength E along the blue and red lines in Fig. 3(a)(b). The critical field strengths for the valley flipping are about $E_c^1/E^* \approx 0.1693$ and $E_c^2/E^* \approx 0.1691$. The red and blue solid lines are reversible while the black dashed arrow lines are one-way through. The direction of the electrical field and the different current components are also indicated in the insets respectively.

movements will be balanced by the intra-valley relaxation process, which results into a shifted steady hole distributions as shown in Fig. 3(d) just like what happens in normal metals. When the electrical field reverses to the $\overrightarrow{P_0 P_3}$ direction before reaching the boundary of the blue region in Fig. 3(a), holes will be driven into the Q_2 valley which is balanced by the inter-valley relaxation process and the typical steady hole distributions are shown in Fig. 3(f). With the increase of the electrical field strength, the steady hole concentration in Q_2 increases and there must be a critical point (P_4 in Fig. 3(a)) where the inter-valley relaxation can not balance the field driving effect and a valley flipping occurs. After that, the steady state will become the Q_2 -polarized state illustrated by Fig. 3(h) (P'_0 point in Fig. 3(b)). The cases for electrical fields varying along the red line in Fig. 3(b) are similar and the corresponding steady hole distributions for points P'_{0-4} are shown in Fig. 3(g-i). Due to the valley flipping phenomenon, the steady hole distribution will form a hysteresis loop when electrical field strength takes values along the blue and red lines in Fig. 3(a)(b). Between red and blue boxed panels in Fig. 3(d-i), the blue and red arrows indicate smooth reversible transitions while the black dashed arrow lines means irreversible.

To see the hysteretic effect more clearly, we define the polarization strength of the steady hole concentrations between Q_1 and Q_2 valleys as $p^S \equiv (n_2^S - n_1^S)/n_h$. Then the hysteresis curve of p^S along the blue and red lines in Fig. 3(a)(b) is plotted in Fig. 4(a). The points P_{0-4}

and P'_{1-4} are also marked in Fig. 4(a) accordingly. The hysteresis of the distribution functions and hole concentrations will directly lead to the current hysteresis. With the steady hole distributions $n_{\mathbf{k}}^S$ solved from the equations Eq. (8)(10), the steady electrical current density is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{j}(n_{\mathbf{k}}^S) &= \frac{e}{\hbar} \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} (\nabla_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{k}} + e\mathbf{E} \times \hat{z}\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}) n_{\mathbf{k}}^S \\ &\approx \frac{e}{\hbar} \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} (-\nabla_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^0 + e\mathbf{E} \times \hat{z}\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}) n_{\mathbf{k}}^S. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

In the above expression, the first term is the normal current \mathbf{j}_N and the second term is the anomalous Hall current \mathbf{j}_H due to the non-zero Berry curvature $\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}$ of HVB[36, 37]. Decomposed to the longitudinal direction of the electrical field \hat{r} and transverse direction $\hat{\theta} \equiv \hat{z} \times \hat{r}$, the normal current has both longitudinal and transverse components $\mathbf{j}_N = j_{N,\parallel} \hat{r} + j_{N,\perp} \hat{\theta}$, while the Hall current is always along the transverse direction $\mathbf{j}_H = j_H \hat{\theta}$. In Fig. 4(b-d), the hysteresis curves for the different current components $j_{N,\parallel}$, $j_{N,\perp}$ and j_H are also plotted.

In Fig. 3 and 4, the hole density is taken as $n_h = 0.06 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and the relaxation times are taken as $\tau_i = 10 \text{ ps}$ and $\tau_x/\tau_i = 100$. The electrical field unit is defined as $E^* = \hbar\sqrt{3}Q/(2e\tau_i) \approx 19.4 \text{ mV}/\mu\text{m}$, where $Q = |\mathbf{Q}_\alpha - \mathbf{K}_+|$. The current unit is defined by $j^* = e^2\tau_i n_h E^* / \sqrt{m_r m_\varphi} \approx 3.33 \text{ nA}/\text{nm}$ where m_r and m_φ are effective masses at the mini-valley center in radial and angular direction respectively.

IV. AC TRANSPORT: VALLEY OSCILLATION AND HIGH-ORDER NONLINEARITY

In this section, we replace the DC field discussed in last section by a sinusoidal AC field $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(t) = \tilde{E}(\hat{x} \cos \theta + \hat{y} \sin \theta) \sin(2\pi t/T)$, which is more close to the realistic experimental setups. We assume that the period T of the external field is much longer than the inter-valley relaxation time τ_x , which is always true for the experimental measurements below MHz. Then an adiabatic approximation could be safely implemented.

From the hysteresis curve in Fig. 4(a), it's easy to see that the final state is an oscillating state between \mathcal{Q}_1 and \mathcal{Q}_2 valleys if the AC electrical field amplitudes satisfies $\tilde{E} > E_c^{1,2}$. Taking $\tilde{E}/E^* = 0.2$ and $\theta = 7\pi/18$, the current responses during one period are directly got by tracing the hysteresis curves in Fig. 4(b-d) and plotted in Fig. 5(a). Due to the valley flipping phenomenon, the current responses in the time domain show discontinuous features when the electrical field passes the critical values. By defining the current response at the n -th harmonic frequency as

$$j^{(n)} \equiv \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt j(t) e^{in\omega t}, \quad (15)$$




FIG. 5. (a) Current responses in the time domain. The inset shows the direction of the AC electrical field and different current components. The longitudinal and transverse normal currents $j_{N,\parallel}$, $j_{N,\perp}$ (left axis) are encoded by blue and red colors respectively, while the Hall current j_H (right axis) is represented by gray color. The field amplitude is taken as $\tilde{E}/E^* = 0.2$, and the steady state is an oscillating state between \mathcal{Q}_1 and \mathcal{Q}_2 valleys. (b) The corresponding current responses in the frequency domain. The results for even ($n = 2m$) and odd ($n = 2m + 1$) frequencies are represented by dots and circles respectively. Both the horizontal and vertical axes are drawn on logarithmic scales.

the current responses in the frequency domain are also calculated and plotted in Fig. 5(b). As a result of the discontinuities in the time domain, the current responses in the frequency domain decay in a power-law manner, i.e. $\log j^{(n)} \sim -a \log n + b$ and $j^{(n)} \sim n^{-a}$. This power-law decay leads to a very high-order nonlinearity, which is beyond the perturbation description. For the perfect step-like discontinuities shown in Fig. 5(a), the power exponent is fitted as $a = 1$. While in realistic experiments, a larger exponent $a > 1$ is expected, since the discontinuities are always smoothed out by inhomogeneity of the sample.

If Fig. 6, we also plot the critical amplitudes \tilde{E}_c^α for reaching the valley-oscillating states (the superscripts $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ indicate the initial valley polarization \mathcal{Q}_α). An interestingly condition is when the the electrical amplitude is larger than all of the three critical amplitudes $\tilde{E} > \tilde{E}_c^{\alpha=1,2,3}$. If this is satisfied, the final valley-oscillating state will be independent of the initial polarization. Using the oscillating state between \mathcal{Q}_1 and \mathcal{Q}_2 for example (the purple region labeled by $\mathcal{Q}_1 \leftrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_2$ in Fig. 6), it's not surprising to reach such an oscillating state if the initial state is polarized in \mathcal{Q}_1 or \mathcal{Q}_2 valleys as indicated by the hysteresis loop Fig. 3(d-i) and Fig. 4(a). If the initial state is polarized in the \mathcal{Q}_3 valley, the system will be first flipped into the \mathcal{Q}_1 -polarized state if the electrical field is applied along the line $\overrightarrow{P_0'' P_1'' P_2}$ in




FIG. 6. The line plots represent the critical AC electrical field amplitudes \tilde{E}_c^α for reaching a valley-oscillating state, where the superscripts $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ indicate the initial valley polarization Q_α . The filled regions represent the valley-oscillating states which are independent of the initial polarization. For example, the purple colored region labeled by $Q_1 \leftrightarrow Q_2$ means that the final state is an oscillating state between Q_1 and Q_2 valleys when the electrical field amplitude is taken in this region. The maximum value of all the critical field amplitude is $\tilde{E}_M \equiv \max(\tilde{E}_c^\alpha) \approx 0.3177E^*$ and the minimum value is $\tilde{E}_m \equiv \min(\tilde{E}_c^\alpha) \approx 0.1589E^*$

Fig. 3(c). After that, the system also enters into a steady oscillating state between the Q_1 and Q_2 valleys.

The critical amplitudes in Fig. 6 have both maximum value $\tilde{E}_M = \max(\tilde{E}_c^\alpha) \approx 0.3177E^*$ and minimum value $\tilde{E}_m = \min(\tilde{E}_c^\alpha) \approx 0.1589E^*$ and the angular patterns of the current responses will behave differently for $\tilde{E} < \tilde{E}_m$ and $\tilde{E} > \tilde{E}_M$. By assuming a Q_1 -polarized initial state, the second harmonic currents $j^{(2)}$ of the final periodic steady state are calculated and their norms $|j^{(2)}|$ are plotted in Fig. 7 as functions of θ in polar coordinates. Different rows mean different AC electrical field amplitudes while different columns represent different current components as indicated in the top axis. These plots are colored red and blue according to the sign of their real part $\text{Re}(j^{(2)})$. All the plots in Fig. 7 are antisymmetric about the inversion operation, this arises from the additional tempo-spatial symmetry of the sinusoidal AC electrical field $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(t; \theta + \pi) = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}(t + T/2; \theta)$ as discussed in Sec. IV of the SM. In the first row, the field amplitude is taken as $\tilde{E} = 0.05E^* < \tilde{E}_m$ and no valley flipping occurs. Then the current responses show C_3 -breaking patterns as has already been observed by Lin *et al.* [27]. In the third row, a much larger electrical field amplitudes $\tilde{E} = 0.35E^* > \tilde{E}_M$ is taken and the broken C_3 symmetry recovers. This is not surprising since the final states are valley-oscillating states in any electrical field direction as indicated by Fig. 6 and the system will lose its memory about the initial polarization. As discussed in Sec. III of the SM, the critical field strength for valley flipping decreases with hole concentration n_h , suggesting the C_3 -persevering patterns are more likely to appear near the phase boundary of the 1-pocket state, which was also observed by Lin *et al.* [27] but might be wrongly interpreted as an unpolarized state in their pa-




FIG. 7. Current responses at the second harmonic frequency $j^{(2)}$ as functions of electrical field direction θ in polar coordinates. According to the sign of $\text{Re}(j^{(2)})$, the plots are colored red and blue respectively. The initial valley polarization is also marked by the Q_1 labels. Different rows mean different AC electrical field amplitudes while different columns represent different current components.

per. At the intermediate field amplitudes, for example $\tilde{E} = 0.2E^*$ in the second row, the angular patterns are similar to the first row in the directions with no valley flipping and similar to the third row in the directions where valley oscillation occurs.

V. DISCUSSION

When the spin and atomic valley degeneracy is lifted in the quarter-metal phase, the inversion and time reversal symmetries are both broken, which ensures the existence of the anomalous Hall currents as illustrated by the gray line in Fig. 5(a). At this time, the approximated point group is D_{3d} which contains the threefold rotations C_3 and mirror symmetries M_θ with respect to the mirror lines $\theta = 0, \pm\pi/3$. If the system is further condensed to the 1-pocket state, for example the Q_1 -polarized state, the rotation symmetries are broken and only one mirror symmetry $M_{\pi/3}$ survives. As a consequence of the low symmetry, all the three electrical current components $j_{N,\parallel}$, $j_{N,\perp}$ and j_H usually exist at the same time and they have both even and odd frequency responses in the frequency domain as shown in Fig. 5(b). To distinguish the two kind of currents in the transverse direction, the surviving mirror symmetry could be utilized. As discussed in Sec. IV of the SM, the mirror symmetry of the Q_1 -polarized state requires the angular patterns of the

current responses to satisfy

$$j_{N,\parallel}(2\pi/3 - \theta) = j_{N,\parallel}(\theta) \quad (16a)$$

$$j_{N,\perp}(2\pi/3 - \theta) = -j_{N,\perp}(\theta) \quad (16b)$$

$$j_H(2\pi/3 - \theta) = j_H(\theta). \quad (16c)$$

And the odd and even parities of the normal current $j_{N,\perp}$ and Hall current j_H under mirror operation are clearly shown in Fig. 7 by the last two columns.

Another concerning problem is spatial domains where the holes populate different mini-valleys, which make the system nonuniform. However, as shown in our paper, the

valley polarizations in different domains could be aligned by a large enough electrical field or equivalently current flow. And the discussion of the uniform case in our paper is sufficient to describe realistic experiments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Prof. Guangyu Zhang for their inspiring experimental observations and helpful discussions. This work was fully supported by a fellowship award from the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China (Project No. HKUST SRFS2324-6S01)

-
- [1] J. Liu, Z. Ma, J. Gao, and X. Dai, Quantum Valley Hall Effect, Orbital Magnetism, and Anomalous Hall Effect in Twisted Multilayer Graphene Systems, *Physical Review X* **9**, 031021 (2019).
- [2] J. Kang and O. Vafek, Strong Coupling Phases of Partially Filled Twisted Bilayer Graphene Narrow Bands, *Physical Review Letters* **122**, 246401 (2019).
- [3] Y. Zhang, K. Jiang, Z. Wang, and F. Zhang, Correlated insulating phases of twisted bilayer graphene at commensurate filling fractions: A Hartree-Fock study, *Physical Review B* **102**, 035136 (2020).
- [4] M. Xie and A. H. MacDonald, Nature of the Correlated Insulator States in Twisted Bilayer Graphene, *Physical Review Letters* **124**, 097601 (2020).
- [5] J. Liu and X. Dai, Theories for the correlated insulating states and quantum anomalous Hall effect phenomena in twisted bilayer graphene, *Physical Review B* **103**, 035427 (2021).
- [6] T. Devakul, V. Crépel, Y. Zhang, and L. Fu, Magic in twisted transition metal dichalcogenide bilayers, *Nature Communications* **12**, 6730 (2021).
- [7] Y. Cao, V. Fatemi, A. Demir, S. Fang, S. L. Tomarken, J. Y. Luo, J. D. Sanchez-Yamagishi, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, E. Kaxiras, R. C. Ashoori, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Correlated insulator behaviour at half-filling in magic-angle graphene superlattices, *Nature* **556**, 80 (2018).
- [8] A. L. Sharpe, E. J. Fox, A. W. Barnard, J. Finney, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, M. A. Kastner, and D. Goldhaber-Gordon, Emergent ferromagnetism near three-quarters filling in twisted bilayer graphene, *Science* **365**, 605 (2019).
- [9] Y. Jiang, X. Lai, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, K. Haule, J. Mao, and E. Y. Andrei, Charge order and broken rotational symmetry in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene, *Nature* **573**, 91 (2019).
- [10] Y. Choi, J. Kemmer, Y. Peng, A. Thomson, H. Arora, R. Polski, Y. Zhang, H. Ren, J. Alicea, G. Refael, F. von Oppen, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and S. Nadj-Perge, Electronic correlations in twisted bilayer graphene near the magic angle, *Nature Physics* **15**, 1174 (2019).
- [11] Y. Cao, D. Rodan-Legrain, O. Rubies-Bigorda, J. M. Park, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Tunable correlated states and spin-polarized phases in twisted bilayer-bilayer graphene, *Nature* **583**, 215 (2020).
- [12] M. Serlin, C. L. Tschirhart, H. Polshyn, Y. Zhang, J. Zhu, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, L. Balents, and A. F. Young, Intrinsic quantized anomalous Hall effect in a moiré heterostructure, *Science* **367**, 900 (2020).
- [13] G. Chen, A. L. Sharpe, E. J. Fox, Y.-H. Zhang, S. Wang, L. Jiang, B. Lyu, H. Li, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, Z. Shi, T. Senthil, D. Goldhaber-Gordon, Y. Zhang, and F. Wang, Tunable correlated Chern insulator and ferromagnetism in a moiré superlattice, *Nature* **579**, 56 (2020).
- [14] H. Polshyn, J. Zhu, M. A. Kumar, Y. Zhang, F. Yang, C. L. Tschirhart, M. Serlin, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, A. H. MacDonald, and A. F. Young, Electrical switching of magnetic order in an orbital Chern insulator, *Nature* **588**, 66 (2020).
- [15] C. L. Tschirhart, M. Serlin, H. Polshyn, A. Shragai, Z. Xia, J. Zhu, Y. Zhang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, M. E. Huber, and A. F. Young, Imaging orbital ferromagnetism in a moiré Chern insulator, *Science* **372**, 1323 (2021).
- [16] Y. Choi, H. Kim, Y. Peng, A. Thomson, C. Lewandowski, R. Polski, Y. Zhang, H. S. Arora, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, J. Alicea, and S. Nadj-Perge, Correlation-driven topological phases in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene, *Nature* **589**, 536 (2021).
- [17] A. T. Pierce, Y. Xie, J. M. Park, E. Khalaf, S. H. Lee, Y. Cao, D. E. Parker, P. R. Forrester, S. Chen, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, A. Vishwanath, P. Jarillo-Herrero, and A. Yacoby, Unconventional sequence of correlated Chern insulators in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene, *Nature Physics* **17**, 1210 (2021).
- [18] H. Zhou, T. Xie, A. Ghazaryan, T. Holder, J. R. Ehrets, E. M. Spanton, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, E. Berg, M. Serbyn, and A. F. Young, Half- and quarter-metals in rhombohedral trilayer graphene, *Nature* **598**, 429 (2021).
- [19] S. C. de la Barrera, S. Aronson, Z. Zheng, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, Q. Ma, P. Jarillo-Herrero, and R. Ashoori, Cascade of isospin phase transitions in Bernal-stacked bilayer graphene at zero magnetic field, *Nature Physics* **18**, 771 (2022).
- [20] A. M. Seiler, F. R. Geisenhof, F. Winterer, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, T. Xu, F. Zhang, and R. T. Weitz, Quan-

- tum cascade of correlated phases in trigonally warped bilayer graphene, *Nature* **608**, 298 (2022).
- [21] H. Zhou, L. Holleis, Y. Saito, L. Cohen, W. Huynh, C. L. Patterson, F. Yang, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, and A. F. Young, Isospin magnetism and spin-polarized superconductivity in Bernal bilayer graphene, *Science* **375**, 774 (2022).
- [22] X. Han, Q. Liu, R. Niu, Z. Qu, Z. Wang, Z. Li, C. Han, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, Z. Gan, and J. Lu, Spontaneous isospin polarization and quantum Hall ferromagnetism in a rhombohedral trilayer graphene superlattice, *Chinese Physics B* **32**, 117201 (2023).
- [23] E. McCann, Asymmetry gap in the electronic band structure of bilayer graphene, *Physical Review B* **74**, 161403 (2006).
- [24] E. McCann and V. I. Fal'ko, Landau-Level Degeneracy and Quantum Hall Effect in a Graphite Bilayer, *Physical Review Letters* **96**, 086805 (2006).
- [25] J. B. Oostinga, H. B. Heersche, X. Liu, A. F. Morpurgo, and L. M. K. Vandersypen, Gate-induced insulating state in bilayer graphene devices, *Nature Materials* **7**, 151 (2008).
- [26] Z. Dong, M. Davydova, O. Ogunnaike, and L. Levitov, Isospin- and momentum-polarized orders in bilayer graphene, *Physical Review B* **107**, 075108 (2023).
- [27] J.-X. Lin, Y. Wang, N. J. Zhang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, L. Fu, and J. I. A. Li, Spontaneous momentum polarization and diodicity in Bernal bilayer graphene (2023), arxiv:2302.04261 [cond-mat].
- [28] X. Zan, W. Yang, L. Du, and G. Zhang, Private Communication (2024).
- [29] E. McCann and M. Koshino, The electronic properties of bilayer graphene, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **76**, 056503 (2013).
- [30] J. Jung and A. H. MacDonald, Accurate tight-binding models for the π bands of bilayer graphene, *Physical Review B* **89**, 035405 (2014).
- [31] A. B. Kuzmenko, I. Crassee, D. van der Marel, P. Blake, and K. S. Novoselov, Determination of the gate-tunable band gap and tight-binding parameters in bilayer graphene using infrared spectroscopy, *Physical Review B* **80**, 165406 (2009).
- [32] M. Xie and S. Das Sarma, Flavor symmetry breaking in spin-orbit coupled bilayer graphene, *Physical Review B* **107**, L201119 (2023).
- [33] Y. Jang, Y. Park, J. Jung, and H. Min, Chirality and correlations in the spontaneous spin-valley polarization of rhombohedral multilayer graphene, *Physical Review B* **108**, L041101 (2023).
- [34] G. D. Mahan, *Many-Particle Physics* (Springer Science & Business Media, 2000).
- [35] H. Budd, Chamber's Solution of the Boltzmann Equation, *Physical Review* **127**, 4 (1962).
- [36] G. Sundaram and Q. Niu, Wave-packet dynamics in slowly perturbed crystals: Gradient corrections and Berry-phase effects, *Physical Review B* **59**, 14915 (1999).
- [37] D. Xiao, M.-C. Chang, and Q. Niu, Berry phase effects on electronic properties, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **82**, 1959 (2010).

Supplemental Materials: Giant High-order Nonlinear and Nonreciprocal Electrical Transports Induced by Valley Flipping in Bernal Bilayer Graphene

I. THE EXCHANGE ENERGY FUNCTIONAL

To minimize $E_{tot}(n_1, n_2, n_3)$ in the main text, the exchange energy functional is further approximated by its series expansions with respect to $n_{\alpha=1,2,3}$. For convenience, we define the intra-valley exchange energy E_{ex}^i and inter-valley exchange E_{ex}^x as

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ex}^i &= -\frac{1}{2S^2} \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}' \in \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha}} U_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') n_{\mathbf{k}} n_{\mathbf{k}'} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} \int \frac{d^2\delta\mathbf{k} d^2\delta\mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^4} |\langle \mathbf{Q}_{\alpha} + \delta\mathbf{k} | \mathbf{Q}_{\alpha} + \delta\mathbf{k}' \rangle|^2 V_{col}(\delta\mathbf{k} - \delta\mathbf{k}') n_{\alpha, \mathbf{Q}_{\alpha} + \delta\mathbf{k}}^0 n_{\alpha, \mathbf{Q}_{\alpha} + \delta\mathbf{k}'}^0 \end{aligned} \quad (S1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ex}^x &= -\frac{1}{2S^2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{k}' \in \mathcal{Q}_{\beta}} U_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') n_{\mathbf{k}} n_{\mathbf{k}'} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int \frac{d^2\delta\mathbf{k} d^2\delta\mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^4} |\langle \mathbf{Q}_{\alpha} + \delta\mathbf{k} | \mathbf{Q}_{\beta} + \delta\mathbf{k}' \rangle|^2 V_{col}(\delta\mathbf{k} - \delta\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{Q}_{\alpha} - \mathbf{Q}_{\beta}) n_{\alpha, \mathbf{Q}_{\alpha} + \delta\mathbf{k}}^0 n_{\beta, \mathbf{Q}_{\beta} + \delta\mathbf{k}'}^0 \end{aligned} \quad (S2)$$

where $\delta\mathbf{k} \ll Q_{\alpha}$ is measured from the mini-valley center \mathbf{Q}_{α} . Due to the $1/q$ divergence of the Coulomb interaction $V_{col}(q)$ in Eq. (S1), the lowest order term when expanding with respect to n_{α} is $n_{\alpha}^{3/2}$, which is similar to the conventional 2D electron gas. Then up to n_h^3 , the intra-valley exchange energy is expanded as

$$E_{ex}^i \approx -\sum_{\alpha} (A_1 n_{\alpha}^{3/2} + A_2 n_{\alpha}^{5/2}) + \mathcal{O}(n_h^{7/2}) \quad (S3)$$

As for the intra-valley term Eq. (S2), since $\delta\mathbf{k} \ll Q_{\alpha}$ is satisfied in the low doping regime, and $\delta\mathbf{k} - \delta\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{Q}_{\alpha} - \mathbf{Q}_{\beta}$ can never be zero, there will be no singularities in the integration and the expansion of E_{ex}^x with respect to n_{α} is the

conventional Taylor expansion. Then up to n_h^3 , the inter-valley exchange energy should have the expansion form

$$E_{ex}^x \approx - \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} (B_1 n_\alpha n_\beta + B_2 n_\alpha^2 n_\beta) + \mathcal{O}(n_h^4) \quad (\text{S4})$$

By fitting the numerical results, the expansion coefficients are gotten and listed in Tab. I

TABLE I. Expansion coefficients of the exchange energy functional fitted from numerical results.

A_1	A_2	B_1	B_2
0.429 eVnm	-16.0 eVnm ³	0.366 eVnm ²	41.1 eVnm ⁴

II. THE DYNAMICS EQUATION OF VALLEY CONCENTRATIONS

When integrate over the \mathcal{Q}_α valley, the Boltzmann equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial n_\alpha}{\partial t} &= - \int_{\mathcal{Q}_\alpha} \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{e}{\hbar} \mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} n_{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{2\pi n_{imp}}{\hbar} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_\alpha} \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d^2 k'}{(2\pi)^2} |T_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}|^2 \delta(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{k}} - \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{k}'}) (n_{\mathbf{k}'} - n_{\mathbf{k}}) \\ &= - \int_{\mathcal{Q}_\alpha} \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{e}{\hbar} \mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} n_{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{2\pi n_{imp} |T_i|^2}{\hbar} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_\alpha} \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_\alpha} \frac{d^2 k'}{(2\pi)^2} \delta(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{k}} - \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{k}'}) (n_{\mathbf{k}'} - n_{\mathbf{k}}) \\ &\quad + \frac{2\pi n_{imp} |T_x|^2}{\hbar} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_\alpha} \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha} \frac{d^2 k'}{(2\pi)^2} \delta(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{k}} - \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{k}'}) (n_{\mathbf{k}'} - n_{\mathbf{k}}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S5})$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha$ means regions exclude \mathcal{Q}_α . The three terms describe the field driving, intra- and inter-valley scattering processes respectively. The intra-valley term change sign when switching the indices \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{k}' which means the integral is zero. This reflects the fact that the intra-valley scattering process will not effect the hole concentrations in each valley. To further simplify the inter-valley term, we approximate the distribution $n_{\mathbf{k}}$ in the integrand by $n_{\mathbf{k}}^0$ and the last term becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{2\pi n_{imp} |T_x|^2}{\hbar} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_\alpha} \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha} \frac{d^2 k'}{(2\pi)^2} \delta(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{k}} - \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{k}'}) (n_{\mathbf{k}'}^0 - n_{\mathbf{k}}^0) \\ &\approx \frac{2\pi n_{imp} |T_x|^2 g^2}{\hbar} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \int d\varepsilon \int d\varepsilon' \delta(\varepsilon + \Sigma_\alpha - \varepsilon' - \Sigma_\beta) [\Theta(\mu_\beta - \varepsilon') - \Theta(\mu_\alpha - \varepsilon)] \\ &= \frac{2\pi n_{imp} |T_x|^2 g^2}{\hbar} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} [\Theta(\Sigma_\beta + \mu_\beta - \Sigma_\alpha - \mu_\alpha) \min(\mu_\beta, \Sigma_\beta + \mu_\beta - \Sigma_\alpha - \mu_\alpha) - \alpha \leftrightarrow \beta]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S6})$$

III. DEPENDENCE OF THE CRITICAL FIELD STRENGTH ON HOLE CONCENTRATION AND RELAXATION TIMES

In this part, we investigate the dependence of the critical field strength for valley flipping on hole concentration n_h and relaxation times $\tau_{i,x}$. Without losing generality, we will assume that the electrical field is applied in the direction $\pi/3 < \theta < \pi$ and the initial state is \mathcal{Q}_1 -polarized. Other cases could be easily got by symmetry operations.

we first consider the zero-doping limit $n_h \rightarrow 0$. At this time, the dynamics equation of valley concentrations could be much simplified and analytically solved. In the zero doping limit, an electrical field in the direction $\pi/3 < \theta < \pi$

can only drive holes from the Q_1 to the Q_2 valley and we could define the driving rate as

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta &\equiv \lim_{n_h \rightarrow 0} -\frac{1}{n_1} \int_{Q_2} \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{e}{\hbar} \mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} n_{\mathbf{k}} \\
&= \lim_{n_h \rightarrow 0} -\frac{1}{n_1} \int_{Q_2} \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-\infty}^0 dt' \frac{e^{t'/\tau_i}}{\tau_i} \frac{e}{\hbar} \mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} n_{1,\mathbf{k}+e\mathbf{E}t'/\hbar}^0(n_1) \\
&= -\int_{-\infty}^0 dt' \frac{e^{t'/\tau_i}}{\tau_i} \partial_{t'} \int_{Q_2} d^2k \delta(\mathbf{k} + e\mathbf{E}t'/\hbar - \mathbf{Q}_1) \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^0 dt' e^{t'/\tau_i} \int_0^\infty dk_y \delta(k_y + eEt' \sin \theta/\hbar + \sqrt{3}Q/2) \int_{-\infty}^{k_y/\sqrt{3}} dk_x \delta(k_x + eEt' \cos \theta/\hbar + Q/2) \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^0 dt' e^{t'/\tau_i} \int_0^\infty dk_y \delta(k_y + eEt' \sin \theta/\hbar + \sqrt{3}Q/2) \Theta(k_y/\sqrt{3} + eEt' \cos \theta/\hbar + Q/2) \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^0 dt' e^{t'/\tau_i} \int_{\max(-\sqrt{3}eEt' \cos \theta/\hbar - \sqrt{3}Q/2, 0)}^\infty dk_y \delta(k_y + eEt' \sin \theta/\hbar + \sqrt{3}Q/2) \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^0 dt' e^{t'/\tau_i} \Theta[-\max(eEt' \sin \theta/\hbar + \sqrt{3}Q/2, eEt'(\sin \theta - \sqrt{3} \cos \theta)/\hbar)] \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{-\sqrt{3}\hbar Q/(2eE \sin \theta)} dt' e^{t'/\tau_i} \\
&= \frac{e^{-\sqrt{3}\hbar Q/(2eE\tau_i \sin \theta)}}{\tau_i}
\end{aligned} \tag{S7}$$

In the zero-doping limit, according to Eq. (S3) and (S4), we also have

$$\lim_{n_h \rightarrow 0} \Sigma_\alpha = \lim_{n_h \rightarrow 0} \partial_{n_\alpha} E_{ex} = -3A_1 n_1^{1/2}/2 + \mathcal{O}(n_h^{3/2}) \tag{S8a}$$

$$\lim_{n_h \rightarrow 0} \mu_\alpha = n_\alpha/g + \mathcal{O}(n_h^2) \tag{S8b}$$

Thus the inter-valley scattering term becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n_h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g}{\tau_x} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} [\Theta(\tilde{\mu}_\beta - \tilde{\mu}_\alpha) \min(\mu_\beta, \tilde{\mu}_\beta - \tilde{\mu}_\alpha) - \alpha \leftrightarrow \beta] \\
&= \lim_{n_h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g}{\tau_i} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} [\Theta(\sqrt{n_\alpha} - \sqrt{n_\beta}) \min(n_\beta/g, 3A_1(\sqrt{n_\alpha} - \sqrt{n_\beta})/2) - \alpha \leftrightarrow \beta] \\
&= \frac{1}{\tau_i} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} [\Theta(n_\alpha - n_\beta) n_\beta - \alpha \leftrightarrow \beta]
\end{aligned} \tag{S9}$$

In summary, the dynamics equation for n_α in the zero-doping limit is finally simplified to

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{n}_1 &= -\eta n_1 + \frac{1}{\tau_x} [\Theta(n_1 - n_2) n_2 - 1 \leftrightarrow 2] \\
\dot{n}_2 &= \eta n_1 + \frac{1}{\tau_x} [\Theta(n_2 - n_1) n_1 - 1 \leftrightarrow 2]
\end{aligned}$$

Define the valley polarization strength as $p \equiv (n_2 - n_1)/n_h$, then the dynamics equation of p is

$$\dot{p} = \eta(1-p) + \tau_x^{-1} [\Theta(p)(1-p) - \Theta(-p)(1+p)] = (\eta + \tau_x^{-1} \text{sgn } p) - (\eta + \tau_x^{-1})p \tag{S10}$$

The steady polarization is gotten by $\dot{p}^S = 0$, which gives

$$p^S = \frac{\eta - \tau_x^{-1}}{\eta + \tau_x^{-1}}, \quad p^S \leq 0 \tag{S11a}$$

$$p^S = 1, \quad p^S > 0. \tag{S11b}$$




FIG. S1. (a) Critical field for valley flipping as a function of τ_x/τ_i at fixed electrical field direction $\theta = \pi/2$ and the initial state is \mathcal{Q}_1 -polarized. The blue line for the zero doping limit is directly got by Eq. (S12) and the orange and red lines at finite doping concentrations are numerically solved from the Boltzmann equation. (b) The critical field strength as a function of hole concentrations at $\tau_x/\tau_i = 100$ (the dashed gray line in (a)).

The solution is discontinuous at $p^S = 0$, which gives the critical electrical field for valley flipping as,

$$\frac{E_c}{E^*} = \frac{1}{\sin \theta \ln(\tau_x/\tau_i)} \quad (\text{S12})$$

where $E^* = \sqrt{3}\hbar Q/(2e\tau_i)$ is the electrical field unit.

At $\theta = \pi/2$, the critical field strength in the zero doping limit Eq. (S12) is plotted as a function of τ_x/τ_i in Fig. S1(a) by the blue line. Besides, numerically results by solving the Boltzmann equation at finite doping concentrations are also plotted for comparisons. By taking the relaxation times as $\tau_x/\tau_i = 100$ (the gray dashed in Fig. S1(a)), the critical field strength is also plotted as a function of hole doping strength n_h in Fig. S1(b).

IV. SYMMETRY RESTRICTIONS ON THE ANGULAR PATTERN OF CURRENT RESPONSES

Assume the system is \mathcal{Q}_1 -polarized and the surviving mirror symmetry is $M = M_{\pi/3}$ with respect to the mirror line $\theta = \pi/3$. After the mirror operation, the electrical field and steady hole distribution transform to $M\mathbf{E}$ and $n_{M\mathbf{k}}^S$ respectively, and the current becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{j}' &= \frac{e}{\hbar} \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} [-\nabla_{\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^0 + e(M\mathbf{E}) \times \hat{z}\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}] n_{M\mathbf{k}}^S \\ &= \frac{e}{\hbar} \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} M[-\nabla_{\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^0 + e(M\mathbf{E}) \times \hat{z}\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}] n_{\mathbf{k}}^S \\ &= M\mathbf{j}_N - \mathbf{j}_H. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S13})$$

We can see that the normal current $\mathbf{j}'_N = M\mathbf{j}_N$ transforms along with the mirror operation while the Hall current $\mathbf{j}'_H = -\mathbf{j}_H$ only changes sign. This reflects the fact that the Hall current is a pseudo-vector. Besides, after the mirror operation, the unit vectors in longitudinal and transverse directions also change to $\hat{r}' = M\hat{r}$ and $\hat{\theta}' = \hat{z} \times (M\hat{r}) = -M(\hat{z} \times \hat{r}) = -M\hat{\theta}$. Thus the different current components transform as $j'_{N,\parallel} \equiv \hat{r}' \cdot \mathbf{j}'_N = j_{N,\parallel}$, $j'_{N,\perp} \equiv \hat{\theta}' \cdot \mathbf{j}'_N = -j_{N,\perp}$ and $j'_H \equiv \hat{\theta}' \cdot \mathbf{j}'_H = j_H$ respectively. In other words, the electrical current as a function of θ should satisfy

$$j_{N,\parallel}(2\pi/3 - \theta) = j_{N,\parallel}(\theta) \quad (\text{S14a})$$

$$j_{N,\perp}(2\pi/3 - \theta) = -j_{N,\perp}(\theta) \quad (\text{S14b})$$

$$j_H(2\pi/3 - \theta) = j_H(\theta). \quad (\text{S14c})$$

In the AC case, since the applied AC electrical field satisfies $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(t; \theta + \pi) = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}(t + T/2; \theta)$, there is an additional

tempo-spatial symmetry $\mathbf{j}(t; \theta + \pi) = \mathbf{j}(t + T/2; \theta)$ which reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{j}^{(n)}(\theta + \pi) &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \mathbf{j}(t; \theta + \pi) e^{in\omega t} \\
&= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \mathbf{j}(t + T/2; \theta) e^{in\omega t} \\
&= \frac{1}{T} \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} dt \mathbf{j}(t; \theta) e^{in\omega t} (-1)^n \\
&= (-1)^n \mathbf{j}^{(n)}(\theta)
\end{aligned} \tag{S15}$$

in the frequency domain. When the electrical field reverses in the second half period ($t \rightarrow t + T/2$, $\theta \rightarrow \theta - \pi$), the unit vectors in the longitudinal and transverse direction also reverse, i.e., $\hat{r} \rightarrow \hat{r}'' = -\hat{r}$, $\hat{\theta} \rightarrow \hat{\theta}'' = -\hat{\theta}$. So the different current components should satisfy

$$j_{N,\parallel}^{(n)}(\theta + \pi) = \hat{r}'' \cdot \mathbf{j}^{(n)}(\theta + \pi) = (-1)^{n+1} j_{N,\parallel}^{(n)}(\theta) \tag{S16a}$$

$$j_{N,\perp}^{(n)}(\theta + \pi) = \hat{\theta}'' \cdot \mathbf{j}^{(n)}(\theta + \pi) = (-1)^{n+1} j_{N,\perp}^{(n)}(\theta) \tag{S16b}$$

$$j_H^{(n)}(\theta + \pi) = \hat{\theta}'' \cdot \mathbf{j}^{(n)}(\theta + \pi) = (-1)^{n+1} j_H^{(n)}(\theta) \tag{S16c}$$