

Kernel entropy estimation for linear processes II

Yudan Xiong and Fangjun Xu*

March 29, 2024

Abstract

Let $X = \{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a linear process with bounded probability density function $f(x)$. Under certain conditions, we use the kernel estimator

$$\frac{2}{n(n-1)h_n} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} K\left(\frac{X_i - X_j}{h_n}\right)$$

to estimate the quadratic functional of $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(x) dx$ of the linear process $X = \{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and improve the corresponding results in [4].

Keywords: linear process, kernel entropy estimation, quadratic functional, projection operator

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60F05, 62M10; Secondary 60G10, 62G05.

1 Introduction

Let $X = \{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a linear process defined by

$$X_n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \varepsilon_{n-i}, \quad (1.1)$$

where the innovations ε_i are independent and identical distributed (i.i.d.) real-valued random variables in some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and a_i are real coefficients such that $\sum_{i=0}^n a_i \varepsilon_{n-i}$ converges in distributions. Assume that the linear process $X = \{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has a bounded density function f . Clearly, the quadratic functional $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(x) dx$ is well-defined and it plays an important role in the study of the entropies of the linear process $X = \{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, say, quadratic Rényi entropy $R(f) = -\ln(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(x) dx)$ and Shannon entropy $S(f) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \ln f(x) dx$, see [4] and references therein. To estimate the quadratic functional $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(x) dx$ of the linear process $X = \{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ defined in (1.1), the authors in [4] used the kernel estimator

$$T_n(h_n) = \frac{2}{n(n-1)h_n} \sum_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} K\left(\frac{X_i - X_j}{h_n}\right), \quad (1.2)$$

where the kernel K is a symmetric and bounded function with $\int_{\mathbb{R}} K(u) du = 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2 |K(u)| du < \infty$. The bandwidth sequence h_n satisfies $0 < h_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, under certain conditions,

*F. Xu is partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.12371156).

asymptotic properties of the estimator $T_n(h_n)$ were established, see Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 in [4]. In this paper, we are going to improve these two theorems. Some ideas are borrowed from [3].

Let $\phi_\varepsilon(\lambda)$ be the characteristic function innovations. That is, for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\phi_\varepsilon(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}[e^{\iota\lambda\varepsilon_1}]$ where ι is the imaginary unit $\sqrt{-1}$. Moreover, for any integrable function $g(x)$, its Fourier transform is defined as $\hat{g}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\iota xu} g(x) dx$. The following is our main result.

Theorem 1.1 *For some $\gamma \in (0, 1]$, assume that*

(A1) *there are at least three coefficients $\{a_i : i \geq 0\}$ of the linear process X are non-zero and $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |a_i|^\gamma < \infty$,*

(A2) *there exists a positive constant c_γ such that $\mathbb{E}|e^{\iota\lambda\varepsilon_1} - \phi_\varepsilon(\lambda)|^2 \leq c_\gamma (|\lambda|^{2\gamma} \wedge 1)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,*

(A3) *(i) $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\lambda|^{3\gamma} |\phi_\varepsilon(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda < \infty$ or (ii) $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\lambda|^{2\gamma} |\phi_\varepsilon(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda < \infty$ and $\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} |\hat{K}(\lambda)| |\lambda|^\gamma < \infty$.*

Then there exist positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that

$$\left| \mathbb{E} T_n(h_n) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(x) dx \right| \leq c_1 \left(\frac{1}{n} + h_n^{2\gamma} \right), \quad (1.3)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left| T_n(h_n) - \mathbb{E} T_n(h_n) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \right| \leq c_2 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n^3 h_n^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n^2 h_n}} + \frac{h_n^\gamma}{\sqrt{n}} \right), \quad (1.4)$$

where $Y_i = 2(f(X_i) - \mathbb{E} f(X_i))$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and, if additionally $nh_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sqrt{n} \left[T_n(h_n) - \mathbb{E} T_n(h_n) \right] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, 4\sigma^2) \quad (1.5)$$

for some $\sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$.

Remark: (i) If at most two coefficients of the linear process X are non-zero, then X is an i.i.d or 2-dependent sequence. The corresponding results are available in [1, 2, 4].

(ii) By Assumptions **(A1)** and **(A3)**, we could easily obtain that $\mathbb{E}[e^{\iota u X_n}] = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_\varepsilon(u a_i) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$.

So the probability density function $f(x)$ of the underlying linear process X is bounded.

Next we compare our result with those in [4]. The assumption (3)

$$\mathbb{E}|e^{\iota\lambda\varepsilon_1} - \phi_\varepsilon(\lambda)|^2 \leq c_{\gamma,4} (|\lambda|^{4\gamma} \wedge 1)$$

in Theorem 2.1 of [4] is weakened to our assumption **(A2)**. According to Example 3.2 in [4], our Theorem 1.1 works for more linear processes than Theorem 2.1 in [4]. The result (9)

$$\mathbb{E} \left| T_n(h_n) - \mathbb{E} T_n(h_n) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \right| \leq c_4 \left(\frac{1}{nh_n} + \frac{h_n^\gamma}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$$

in Theorem 2.2 of [4] is improved to (1.4) in our Theorem 1.1. Moreover, to obtain the central limit theorem in (1.5), we only require the bandwidth to satisfy $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nh_n = \infty$ instead of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{nh_n} = \infty$ in Theorem 2.2 of [4].

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1, we can easily obtain the following result.

Corollary 1.2 *Under the assumptions (A1), (A2) and (A3) in Theorem 1.1. Let h_n have the*

$$\text{order} \begin{cases} n^{-\frac{3}{4\gamma+2}} & \text{for } \gamma \in (0, 1/4], \\ n^{-\frac{2}{4\gamma+1}} & \text{for } \gamma \in (1/4, 1]. \end{cases} \quad \text{If } 0 < \gamma \leq 1/4, \text{ then}$$

$$T_n(h_n) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(x) dx = O_{\mathbb{P}}(n^{-\frac{3\gamma}{2\gamma+1}});$$

if $1/4 < \gamma \leq 1$, then

$$\sqrt{n} \left[T_n(h_n) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(x) dx \right] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, 4\sigma^2),$$

and if we further assume that the kernel function K is nonnegative, the estimator $-\ln(\frac{1}{n} + T_n(h_n))$ of the quadratic Rényi entropy $R(f) = -\ln(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(x) dx)$ satisfies

$$\sqrt{n} \left[-\ln\left(\frac{1}{n} + T_n(h_n)\right) - R(f) \right] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N\left(0, \frac{4\sigma^2}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(x) dx\right)^2}\right)$$

for some $\sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$.

Throughout this paper, if not mentioned otherwise, the letter c with or without a subscript denotes a generic positive finite constant whose exact value is independent of n and may change from line to line. For a complex number z , we use \bar{z} and $|z|$ to denote its conjugate and modulus, respectively. Moreover, we let $\phi(\lambda)$ be the characteristic function of linear process $X = \{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. That is, $\phi(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}[e^{i\lambda X_n}]$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

2 Proof of the main result

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1. Firstly, we give two important definitions. For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, let \mathcal{F}_i be the σ -field generated by $\{\varepsilon_k : k \leq i\}$. Given an integrable complex-valued random variable Z , we define the following projection operator \mathcal{P}_i as

$$\mathcal{P}_i Z = \mathbb{E}[Z | \mathcal{F}_i] - \mathbb{E}[Z | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}]$$

for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, for any two integrable complex-valued random variables Z and W , $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{P}_i Z \mathcal{P}_j W] = 0$ if $i \neq j$.

For any $\delta \in (0, 1)$, define

$$T_n^\delta(h_n) = \frac{2}{n(n-1)h_n} \sum_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} K_\delta\left(\frac{X_i - X_j}{h_n}\right), \quad (2.1)$$

where $K_\delta(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(y) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\delta} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{2\delta^2}} dy$.

It is easy to see that $K_\delta(x)$ is a symmetric and bounded function with $\int_{\mathbb{R}} K_\delta(u) du = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2 |K_\delta(u)| du \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2 |K(y)| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\delta} e^{-\frac{(u-y)^2}{2\delta^2}} dy du \\ & \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u-y)^2 |K(y)| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\delta} e^{-\frac{(u-y)^2}{2\delta^2}} du dy + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^2 |K(y)| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\delta} e^{-\frac{(u-y)^2}{2\delta^2}} du dy \\ & \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |y|^2) |K(y)| dy < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Moreover, for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, by the bounded convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left| K \left(\frac{X_i - X_j}{h_n} \right) - K_\delta \left(\frac{X_i - X_j}{h_n} \right) \right|^2 = 0.$$

Therefore, $\limsup_{\delta \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E} |T_n(h_n) - T_n^\delta(h_n)|^2 = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 The proof will be done in several steps.

Step 1. We give the estimation for $|\mathbb{E} T_n(h_n) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(x) dx|$. Using the Fourier inverse transform, Plancherel formula, symmetry of $K_\delta(x)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} K_\delta(u) du = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} T_n^\delta(h_n) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(x) dx &= \frac{1}{\pi n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda h_n) \mathbb{E} [e^{-\iota \lambda (X_i - X_j)}] d\lambda - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda h_n) \mathbb{E} [(e^{-\iota \lambda X_i} - \phi(-\lambda))(e^{\iota \lambda X_j} - \phi(\lambda))] d\lambda \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda h_n) - \widehat{K}_\delta(0)) |\phi(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda \\ &=: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7.1 in [4], $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\lambda|^{2\gamma} |\phi_\varepsilon(\lambda)|^2 < \infty$ and

$$|\widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda)| = |\widehat{K}(\lambda) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta^2 \lambda^2}| \leq |\widehat{K}(\lambda)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |K(x)| dx < \infty$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we can obtain that I_1 is less than a constant multiple of $\frac{1}{n}$.

Moreover, by symmetry of $K_\delta(x)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} K_\delta(u) du = 1$,

$$\left| \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda h_n) - \widehat{K}_\delta(0) \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\iota \lambda h_n u} - 1) K_\delta(u) du \right| \leq |\lambda|^{2\gamma} h_n^{2\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2 |K_\delta(u)| du. \quad (2.3)$$

Then, by $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\lambda|^{2\gamma} |\phi_\varepsilon(\lambda)|^2 < \infty$ and (2.2), $|I_2|$ is less than a constant multiple of $h_n^{2\gamma}$.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbb{E} T_n(h_n) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(x) dx \right| &\leq \limsup_{\delta \downarrow 0} \left| \mathbb{E} T_n(h_n) - \mathbb{E} T_n^\delta(h_n) \right| + \limsup_{\delta \downarrow 0} \left| \mathbb{E} T_n^\delta(h_n) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq c_1 \left(\frac{1}{n} + h_n^{2\gamma} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. We give the decomposition for $T_n^\delta(h_n) - \mathbb{E} T_n^\delta(h_n)$.

Recall the definition of $T_n^\delta(h_n)$ in (2.1). Then, by the Fourier inverse transform,

$$T_n^\delta(h_n) - \mathbb{E} T_n^\delta(h_n) = A_{n,\delta} + D_{n,\delta} - \mathbb{E} D_{n,\delta} + N_{n,\delta}, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n,\delta} &= \frac{2}{n(n-1)h_n} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n, j-i \leq p_2} \left[K_\delta \left(\frac{X_i - X_j}{h_n} \right) - \mathbb{E} K_\delta \left(\frac{X_i - X_j}{h_n} \right) \right], \\ D_{n,\delta} &= \frac{1}{\pi n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n, j-i > p_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda h_n) (e^{-\iota \lambda X_i} - \phi(-\lambda)) (e^{\iota \lambda X_j} - \phi(\lambda)) d\lambda, \\ N_{n,\delta} &= \frac{1}{\pi n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n, j-i > p_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda h_n) \left[(e^{-\iota \lambda X_i} - \phi(-\lambda)) \phi(\lambda) + (e^{\iota \lambda X_j} - \phi(\lambda)) \phi(-\lambda) \right] d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

and $p_2 = \min\{i > p_1 : a_i \neq 0\}$ with $p_1 = \min\{i \geq 1 : a_i \neq 0\}$. The finiteness of p_1 and p_2 follow from the assumption **(A1)**.

Step 3. We estimate $\mathbb{E} |A_{n,\delta}|^2$. For any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, define

$$A_{n,\delta}^{i,j} = \frac{1}{h_n} \left[K_\delta \left(\frac{X_i - X_j}{h_n} \right) - \mathbb{E} K_\delta \left(\frac{X_i - X_j}{h_n} \right) \right].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} |A_{n,\delta}|^2 &= \frac{4}{n^2(n-1)^2} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n, j-i \leq p_2} A_{n,\delta}^{i,j} \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{4}{n^2(n-1)^2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_\theta < j_\theta \leq n, j_\theta - i_\theta \leq p_2 \\ \theta=1,2}} \mathbb{E} [A_{n,\delta}^{i_1,j_1} A_{n,\delta}^{i_2,j_2}] \\ &\leq \frac{c_2}{n^3 h_n^2} + \frac{c_2}{n^4} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_\theta < j_\theta \leq n, j_\theta - i_\theta \leq p_2 \\ \theta=1,2, |j_1-j_2| > 4p_2}} \left| \mathbb{E} [A_{n,\delta}^{i_1,j_1} A_{n,\delta}^{i_2,j_2}] \right| \\ &\leq \frac{c_2}{n^3 h_n^2} + \frac{c_2}{n^4} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_\theta < j_\theta \leq n, j_\theta - i_\theta \leq p_2 \\ \theta=1,2, |j_1-j_2| > 4p_2}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\min\{j_1, j_2\}} \left| \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{P}_k(A_{n,\delta}^{i_1,j_1}) \mathcal{P}_k(A_{n,\delta}^{i_2,j_2})] \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

For any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, it is easy to see that $X_i - X_j = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_\ell^{i,j} \varepsilon_{j-\ell}$ where

$$a_\ell^{i,j} = \begin{cases} -a_\ell & \text{if } 0 \leq \ell < j-i, \\ a_{\ell-j+i} - a_\ell & \text{if } \ell \geq j-i. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

By the Fourier inverse transform,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_k(A_{n,\delta}^{i,j}) &= \mathbb{E} \left[K_\delta \left(\frac{X_i - X_j}{h_n} \right) | \mathcal{F}_k \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[K_\delta \left(\frac{X_i - X_j}{h_n} \right) | \mathcal{F}_{k-1} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda h_n) \left(\prod_{\ell=0}^{j-k-1} \phi_\varepsilon(-\lambda a_\ell^{i,j}) \right) (e^{-\iota \lambda a_{j-k}^{i,j} \varepsilon_k} - \phi_\varepsilon(-\lambda a_{j-k}^{i,j})) e^{-\iota \lambda \sum_{\ell=j-k+1}^{\infty} a_\ell^{i,j} \varepsilon_{j-\ell}} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

For the case $k < j_1 < j_2$, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and assumption **(A2)**,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{P}_k(A_{n,\delta}^{i_1,j_1}) \mathcal{P}_k(A_{n,\delta}^{i_2,j_2})] \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{K}(\lambda_1 h_n)| |\widehat{K}(\lambda_2 h_n)| |\phi_\varepsilon(a_0 \lambda_1)| |\phi_\varepsilon(a_0 \lambda_2)| \\ &\quad \times \left| \mathbb{E} [(e^{-\iota \lambda_1 a_{j_1-k}^{i_1,j_1} \varepsilon_k} - \phi_\varepsilon(-\lambda_1 a_{j_1-k}^{i_1,j_1})) (e^{-\iota \lambda_2 a_{j_2-k}^{i_2,j_2} \varepsilon_k} - \phi_\varepsilon(-\lambda_2 a_{j_2-k}^{i_2,j_2}))] \right| d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \\ &\leq c_3 |a_{j_1-k}^{i_1,j_1}|^\gamma |a_{j_2-k}^{i_2,j_2}|^\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{K}(\lambda_1 h_n)| |\widehat{K}(\lambda_2 h_n)| |\phi_\varepsilon(a_0 \lambda_1)| |\phi_\varepsilon(a_0 \lambda_2)| |\lambda_1|^\gamma |\lambda_2|^\gamma d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \\ &= c_3 |a_{j_1-k}^{i_1,j_1}|^\gamma |a_{j_2-k}^{i_2,j_2}|^\gamma \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{K}(\lambda h_n)| |\phi_\varepsilon(a_0 \lambda)| |\lambda|^\gamma d\lambda \right)^2 \\ &\leq c_3 |a_{j_1-k}^{i_1,j_1}|^\gamma |a_{j_2-k}^{i_2,j_2}|^\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{K}(\lambda h_n)|^2 d\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_\varepsilon(a_0 \lambda)|^2 |\lambda|^{2\gamma} d\lambda \\ &\leq c_4 h_n^{-1} |a_{j_1-k}^{i_1,j_1}|^\gamma |a_{j_2-k}^{i_2,j_2}|^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the definition of $a_\ell^{i,j}$ in (2.6) and $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |a_i|^\gamma < \infty$. If $j - i = p_1$ and $a_0 = a_{p_1}$, then there exists $\ell_0 \geq p_1$ such that $a_{\ell_0}^{i,j} \neq 0$. Now, for the case $k = j_1 < j_2$, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and assumption **(A2)**,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{P}_{j_1}(A_{n,\delta}^{i_1,j_1}) \mathcal{P}_{j_1}(A_{n,\delta}^{i_2,j_2})] \right| \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{K}(\lambda_1 h_n)| |\widehat{K}(\lambda_2 h_n)| |\phi_\varepsilon(a_0 \lambda_2)| |\phi_\varepsilon(a_p^{i_1,j_1} \lambda_1 - a_{j_2-j_1+p}^{i_2,j_2} \lambda_2)| \\
& \quad \times \left| \mathbb{E} \left[\left(e^{-\lambda_1 a_0^{i_1,j_1} \varepsilon_{j_1}} - \phi_\varepsilon(-\lambda_1 a_0^{i_1,j_1}) \right) \left(e^{-\lambda_2 a_{j_2-j_1}^{i_2,j_2} \varepsilon_{j_1}} - \phi_\varepsilon(-\lambda_2 a_{j_2-j_1}^{i_2,j_2}) \right) \right] \right| d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \\
& \leq c_5 |a_{j_2-j_1}^{i_2,j_2}|^\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{K}(\lambda_2 h_n)| |\phi_\varepsilon(a_0 \lambda_2)| |\lambda_2|^\gamma \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{K}(\lambda_1 h_n)| |\phi_\varepsilon(a_p^{i_1,j_1} \lambda_1 - a_{j_2-j_1+p}^{i_2,j_2} \lambda_2)| d\lambda_1 \right) d\lambda_2 \\
& \leq c_6 h_n^{-1} |a_{j_2-j_1}^{i_2,j_2}|^\gamma,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_p^{i_1,j_1} = \begin{cases} -a_{p_1} & \text{if } p_1 < j_1 - i_1 \\ a_0 & \text{if } p_1 > j_1 - i_1 \\ a_0 - a_{p_1} & \text{if } j_1 - i_1 = p_1 \text{ and } a_0 \neq a_{p_1} \\ a_{\ell_0}^{i_1,j_1} & \text{if } j_1 - i_1 = p_1 \text{ and } a_0 = a_{p_1} \end{cases}$$

with

$$p = \begin{cases} j_1 - p_1 & \text{if } p_1 < j_1 - i_1 \\ j_1 - i_1 & \text{if } p_1 > j_1 - i_1 \\ p_1 & \text{if } j_1 - i_1 = p_1 \text{ and } a_0 \neq a_{p_1} \\ \ell_0 & \text{if } j_1 - i_1 = p_1 \text{ and } a_0 = a_{p_1} \end{cases}.$$

Therefore, by the definition of $a_\ell^{i,j}$ in (2.6) and assumption **(A1)**,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_\theta < j_\theta \leq n, j_\theta - i_\theta \leq p_2 \\ \theta=1,2, |j_1-j_2| > 4p_2, j_1 < j_2}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\min\{j_1, j_2\}} \left| \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{P}_k(A_{n,\delta}^{i_1,j_1}) \mathcal{P}_k(A_{n,\delta}^{i_2,j_2})] \right| \\
& \leq c_7 h_n^{-1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_\theta < j_\theta \leq n, j_\theta - i_\theta \leq p_2 \\ \theta=1,2, |j_1-j_2| > 4p_2, j_1 < j_2}} \left(|a_{j_2-j_1}^{i_2,j_2}|^\gamma + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{j_1-1} |a_{j_1-k}^{i_1,j_1}|^\gamma |a_{j_2-k}^{i_2,j_2}|^\gamma \right) \\
& \leq c_8 n h_n^{-1}. \tag{2.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_\theta < j_\theta \leq n, j_\theta - i_\theta \leq p_2 \\ \theta=1,2, |j_1-j_2| > 4p_2, j_1 > j_2}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\min\{j_1, j_2\}} \left| \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{P}_k(A_{n,\delta}^{i_1,j_1}) \mathcal{P}_k(A_{n,\delta}^{i_2,j_2})] \right| \leq c_9 n h_n^{-1}. \tag{2.8}$$

Combining (2.5), (2.7) and (2.8) gives

$$\mathbb{E} |A_{n,\delta}|^2 \leq \frac{c_{10}}{n^3 h_n^2}. \tag{2.9}$$

Step 4. We estimate $\mathbb{E} |D_{n,\delta}|$. Note that

$$D_{n,\delta} = D_{n,\delta}^1 + D_{n,\delta}^2, \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$D_{n,\delta}^1 = \frac{1}{\pi n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n, j-i > p_2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^i \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda h_n) \mathcal{P}_k(H(X_i)(-\lambda)) \mathcal{P}_k(H(X_j)(\lambda)) d\lambda$$

and

$$D_{n,\delta}^2 = \frac{1}{\pi n(n-1)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n, j-i > p_2 \\ k \leq i, \ell \leq j, k \neq \ell}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda h_n) \mathcal{P}_k(H(X_i)(-\lambda)) \mathcal{P}_\ell(H(X_j)(\lambda)) d\lambda$$

with $H(X_i)(\lambda) = e^{\iota\lambda X_i} - \mathbb{E} e^{\iota\lambda X_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Using similar arguments as in **Step 3.**,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} |D_{n,\delta}^1| &= \frac{2}{\pi n(n-1)} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n, j-i > p_2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^i \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda h_n) \mathcal{P}_k(H(X_i)(-\lambda)) \mathcal{P}_k(H(X_j)(\lambda)) d\lambda \right| \\ &\leq \frac{c_{11}}{n^2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n, j-i > p_2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^i |a_{i-k}|^\gamma |a_{j-k}|^\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_\varepsilon(a_0\lambda)| |\phi_\varepsilon(a_{p_1}\lambda)| |\lambda|^{2\gamma} d\lambda \\ &\leq \frac{c_{12}}{n}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Moreover,

$$D_{n,\delta}^2 = \Pi_1 + \Pi_2 + \Pi_3 + \Pi_4 + \Pi_5, \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_1 &= \frac{1}{\pi n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n, j-i > p_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda h_n) \mathcal{P}_i(H(X_i)(-\lambda)) \mathcal{P}_j(H(X_j)(\lambda)) d\lambda, \\ \Pi_2 &= \frac{1}{\pi n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n, j-i > p_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda h_n) \mathcal{P}_i(H(X_i)(-\lambda)) \mathcal{P}_{j-p_1} \mathcal{P}_j(H(X_j)(\lambda)) d\lambda, \\ \Pi_3 &= \frac{1}{\pi n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n, j-i > p_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda h_n) \mathcal{P}_{i-p_1}(H(X_i)(-\lambda)) \mathcal{P}_j(H(X_j)(\lambda)) d\lambda, \\ \Pi_4 &= \frac{1}{\pi n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n, j-i > p_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda h_n) \mathcal{P}_{i-p_1}(H(X_i)(-\lambda)) \mathcal{P}_{j-p_1}(H(X_j)(\lambda)) d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Pi_5 = \frac{1}{\pi n(n-1)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n, j-i > p_2, k \neq \ell \\ i-k > p_1 \text{ or } j-\ell > p_1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda h_n) \mathcal{P}_k(H(X_i)(-\lambda)) \mathcal{P}_\ell(H(X_j)(\lambda)) d\lambda.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and assumptions **(A1)**, **(A2)** and **(A3)**,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}|\mathbb{II}_1|^2 &= \frac{1}{\pi^2 n^2 (n-1)^2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_\theta < j \leq n, \\ \theta=1,2}}^{j-i_\theta > p_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda_1 h_n) \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda_2 h_n) \\
&\quad \times \mathbb{E} \left[\mathcal{P}_{i_1}(H(X_{i_1})(-\lambda_1)) \mathcal{P}_j(H(X_j)(\lambda_1)) \mathcal{P}_{i_2}(H(X_{i_2})(-\lambda_2)) \mathcal{P}_j(H(X_j)(\lambda_2)) \right] d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \\
&\leq \frac{c_{13}}{n^4} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_\theta < j \leq n, \\ \theta=1,2}}^{j-i_\theta > p_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \widehat{K}(\lambda_1 h_n) \widehat{K}(\lambda_2 h_n) \phi_\varepsilon(a_{p_1}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)) \phi_\varepsilon(a_{p_2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)) \right| \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \left| \mathbb{E} \left[(e^{i a_{j-i_1}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} - \phi_\varepsilon(a_{j-i_1}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2))) (e^{-i a_0 \lambda_1} - \phi_\varepsilon(-a_0 \lambda_1)) \right] \right| \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1 > i_2\}} \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. + \left| \mathbb{E} \left[(e^{i a_{j-i_2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} - \phi_\varepsilon(a_{j-i_2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2))) (e^{-i a_0 \lambda_1} - \phi_\varepsilon(-a_0 \lambda_1)) \right] \right| \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2 > i_1\}} \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. + \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2 = i_1\}} \right\} d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \\
&\leq \frac{c_{14}}{n^4} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_\theta < j \leq n, \\ \theta=1,2}}^{j-i_\theta > p_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \widehat{K}(\lambda_1 h_n) \widehat{K}(\lambda_2 h_n) \phi_\varepsilon(a_{p_1}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)) \phi_\varepsilon(a_{p_2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)) \right| \\
&\quad \times \left\{ |\lambda_1 + \lambda_2|^\gamma \left(|a_{j-i_1}|^\gamma \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1 > i_2\}} + |a_{j-i_2}|^\gamma \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2 > i_1\}} \right) + \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2 = i_1\}} \right\} d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \\
&\leq \frac{c_{15}}{n^2 h_n} \tag{2.13}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}|\mathbb{II}_2|^2 &= \frac{1}{\pi^2 n^2 (n-1)^2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_\theta < j \leq n, \\ \theta=1,2}}^{j-i_\theta > p_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda_1 h_n) \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda_2 h_n) \\
&\quad \times \mathbb{E} \left[\mathcal{P}_{i_1}(H(X_{i_1})(-\lambda_1)) \mathcal{P}_{j-p_1}(H(X_j)(\lambda_1)) \mathcal{P}_{i_2}(H(X_{i_2})(-\lambda_2)) \mathcal{P}_{j-p_1}(H(X_j)(\lambda_2)) \right] d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \\
&\leq \frac{c_{16}}{n^4} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_\theta < j \leq n, \\ \theta=1,2}}^{j-i_\theta > p_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \widehat{K}(\lambda_1 h_n) \widehat{K}(\lambda_2 h_n) \phi_\varepsilon(a_0 \lambda_1) \phi_\varepsilon(a_0 \lambda_2) \phi_\varepsilon(a_{p_2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)) \right| \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \left| \mathbb{E} \left[(e^{i a_{j-i_1}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} - \phi_\varepsilon(a_{j-i_1}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2))) (e^{-i a_0 \lambda_1} - \phi_\varepsilon(-a_0 \lambda_1)) \right] \right| \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1 > i_2\}} \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. + \left| \mathbb{E} \left[(e^{i a_{j-i_2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} - \phi_\varepsilon(a_{j-i_2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2))) (e^{-i a_0 \lambda_1} - \phi_\varepsilon(-a_0 \lambda_1)) \right] \right| \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2 > i_1\}} \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. + \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2 = i_1\}} \right\} d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \\
&\leq \frac{c_{17}}{n^4} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_\theta < j \leq n, \\ \theta=1,2}}^{j-i_\theta > p_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \widehat{K}(\lambda_1 h_n) \widehat{K}(\lambda_2 h_n) \phi_\varepsilon(a_0 \lambda_1) \phi_\varepsilon(a_0 \lambda_2) \phi_\varepsilon(a_{p_2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)) \right| \\
&\quad \times \left\{ |\lambda_1 + \lambda_2|^\gamma \left(|a_{j-i_1}|^\gamma \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1 > i_2\}} + |a_{j-i_2}|^\gamma \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2 > i_1\}} \right) + \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2 = i_1\}} \right\} d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \\
&\leq \frac{c_{18}}{n^2 h_n}. \tag{2.14}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\mathbb{E}|\mathbb{II}_3|^2 \leq \frac{c_{19}}{n^2 h_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}|\mathbb{II}_4|^2 \leq \frac{c_{19}}{n^2 h_n}. \tag{2.15}$$

Note that

$$\Pi_4 = \Pi_{41} + \Pi_{42},$$

where

$$\Pi_{41} = \frac{1}{\pi n(n-1)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n, j-i > p_2, k > \ell \\ i-k > p_1 \text{ or } j-\ell > p_1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda h_n) \mathcal{P}_i(H(X_i)(-\lambda)) \mathcal{P}_\ell(H(X_j)(\lambda)) d\lambda,$$

and

$$\Pi_{42} = \frac{1}{\pi n(n-1)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n, j-i > p_2, k < \ell \\ i-k > p_1 \text{ or } j-\ell > p_1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda h_n) \mathcal{P}_i(H(X_i)(-\lambda)) \mathcal{P}_\ell(H(X_j)(\lambda)) d\lambda.$$

Clearly,

$$\mathbb{E}|\Pi_{41}|^2 = \frac{1}{\pi^2 n^2 (n-1)^2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_\theta < j_\theta \leq n, j_\theta - i_\theta > p_2 \\ i_\theta - k_\theta > p_1 \text{ or } j_\theta - \ell_\theta > p_1 \\ k_\theta > \ell_\theta, \theta=1,2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda_1 h_n) \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda_2 h_n) \Gamma_{k_1, k_2, \ell_1, \ell_2}^{i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_{k_1, k_2, \ell_1, \ell_2}^{i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\mathcal{P}_{k_1}(H(X_{i_1})(-\lambda_1)) \mathcal{P}_{\ell_1}(H(X_{j_1})(\lambda_1)) \mathcal{P}_{k_2}(H(X_{i_2})(-\lambda_2)) \mathcal{P}_{\ell_2}(H(X_{j_2})(\lambda_2)) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

There are four possibilities for the orderings of k_1, ℓ_1, k_2, ℓ_2 :

- (1) $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \ell_1 \geq \ell_2$, (2) $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \ell_2 \geq \ell_1$, (3) $k_2 \geq k_1 \geq \ell_1 \geq \ell_2$, (4) $k_2 \geq k_1 \geq \ell_2 \geq \ell_1$.

Note that the expectation in (2.16) is equal to zero if $k_1 \neq k_2$. Hence, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and assumption **(A2)**,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \Gamma_{k_1, k_2, \ell_1, \ell_2}^{i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \right| \\ & \leq 1_{\{k_1 = k_2\}} \left| \phi_\varepsilon(a_0 \lambda_1) \phi_\varepsilon(a_{p_1} \lambda_1) \phi_\varepsilon(a_0 \lambda_2) \phi_\varepsilon(a_{p_1} \lambda_2) \right| \\ & \quad \times \left| \mathbb{E} \left[(e^{\iota a_{i_1 - k_1} \lambda_1 \varepsilon_{k_1}} - \phi_\varepsilon(a_{i_1 - k_1} \lambda_1)) (e^{\iota a_{i_2 - k_2} \lambda_2 \varepsilon_{k_2}} - \phi_\varepsilon(a_{i_2 - k_2} \lambda_2)) \right] \right| \\ & \times \left\{ \left| \mathbb{E} \left[(e^{-\iota(a_{i_1 - \ell_1} \lambda_1 + a_{i_2 - \ell_1} \lambda_2) \varepsilon_{\ell_1}} - \phi_\varepsilon(-a_{i_1 - \ell_1} \lambda_1 + a_{i_2 - \ell_1} \lambda_2)) (e^{\iota a_{j_1 - \ell_1} \lambda_1 \varepsilon_{\ell_1}} - \phi_\varepsilon(a_{j_1 - \ell_1} \lambda_1)) \right] \right| 1_{\{\ell_1 > \ell_2\}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left| \mathbb{E} \left[(e^{-\iota(a_{i_1 - \ell_2} \lambda_1 + a_{i_2 - \ell_2} \lambda_2) \varepsilon_{\ell_2}} - \phi_\varepsilon(-a_{i_1 - \ell_2} \lambda_1 + a_{i_2 - \ell_2} \lambda_2)) (e^{\iota a_{j_2 - \ell_2} \lambda_2 \varepsilon_{\ell_2}} - \phi_\varepsilon(a_{j_2 - \ell_2} \lambda_2)) \right] \right| 1_{\{\ell_2 > \ell_1\}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left| \mathbb{E} \left[(e^{\iota a_{j_1 - \ell_1} \lambda_1 \varepsilon_{\ell_1}} - \phi_\varepsilon(a_{j_1 - \ell_1} \lambda_1)) (e^{\iota a_{j_2 - \ell_2} \lambda_2 \varepsilon_{\ell_2}} - \phi_\varepsilon(a_{j_2 - \ell_2} \lambda_2)) \right] \right| 1_{\{\ell_2 = \ell_1\}} \right\} \\ & \leq c_{20} 1_{\{k_1 = k_2\}} \left| \phi_\varepsilon(a_0 \lambda_1) \phi_\varepsilon(a_{p_1} \lambda_1) \phi_\varepsilon(a_0 \lambda_2) \phi_\varepsilon(a_{p_1} \lambda_2) \right| |a_{i_1 - k_1} \lambda_1|^\gamma |a_{i_2 - k_2} \lambda_2|^\gamma \\ & \quad \times \left\{ |a_{i_1 - \ell_1} \lambda_1 + a_{i_2 - \ell_1} \lambda_2|^\gamma |a_{j_1 - \ell_1} \lambda_1|^\gamma |a_{j_2 - \ell_2} \lambda_2|^\gamma 1_{\{\ell_1 > \ell_2\}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + |a_{i_1 - \ell_2} \lambda_1 + a_{i_2 - \ell_2} \lambda_2|^\gamma |a_{j_2 - \ell_2} \lambda_2|^\gamma |a_{j_1 - \ell_1} \lambda_1|^\gamma 1_{\{\ell_2 > \ell_1\}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + |a_{j_1 - \ell_1} \lambda_1|^\gamma |a_{j_2 - \ell_2} \lambda_2|^\gamma 1_{\{\ell_2 = \ell_1\}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by assumption (A3),

$$\mathbb{E}|\Pi_{41}|^2 \leq \frac{c_{21}}{n^4} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_\theta < j_\theta \leq n, j_\theta - i_\theta > p_2 \\ i_\theta - k_\theta > p_1 \text{ or } j_\theta - \ell_\theta > p_1 \\ k_\theta > \ell_\theta, \theta=1,2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \widehat{K}(\lambda_1 h_n) \widehat{K}(\lambda_2 h_n) \right| \left| \Gamma_{k_1, k_2, \ell_1, \ell_2}^{i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \right| d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \leq \frac{c_{22}}{n^2}.$$

Similarly,

$$\mathbb{E}|\Pi_{42}|^2 \leq \frac{c_{23}}{n^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}|\Pi_4|^2 = \mathbb{E}|\Pi_{41} + \Pi_{42}|^2 \leq \frac{c_{24}}{n^2}. \quad (2.17)$$

Combining (2.10), (2.11), (2.12), (2.13), (2.14), (2.15) and (2.17) gives

$$\mathbb{E}|D_{n,\delta}| \leq \frac{c_{25}}{\sqrt{n^2 h_n}}. \quad (2.18)$$

Step 5. We estimate $\mathbb{E}|N_{n,\delta} - \bar{N}_n|^2$ where

$$\bar{N}_n = \frac{1}{\pi n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{K}(0) (e^{-i\lambda X_i} - \phi(-\lambda)) \phi(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} N_{n,\delta} &= \frac{1}{\pi n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n, j-i > p_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda h_n) \left[(e^{-i\lambda X_i} - \phi(-\lambda)) \phi(\lambda) + (e^{i\lambda X_j} - \phi(\lambda)) \phi(-\lambda) \right] d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n, |j-i| > p_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda h_n) \left[(e^{-i\lambda X_i} - \phi(-\lambda)) \phi(\lambda) + (e^{i\lambda X_j} - \phi(\lambda)) \phi(-\lambda) \right] d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the symmetry of $K_\delta(x)$ in the last equality.

Let

$$\tilde{N}_{n,\delta} = \frac{1}{\pi n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda h_n) (e^{-i\lambda X_i} - \phi(-\lambda)) \phi(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

It is easy to see that $|N_{n,\delta} - \tilde{N}_{n,\delta}|$ is less than a constant multiple of $\frac{1}{n}$.

Moreover, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, Fubini theorem, (2.3), assumption (A3) and Lemma 7.2 in [4],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|\tilde{N}_{n,\delta} - \bar{N}_n|^2 &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda h_n) - \widehat{K}_\delta(0)) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n e^{-i\lambda X_i} - \phi(-\lambda) \right) \phi(\lambda) d\lambda \right|^2 \right] \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{K}_\delta(\lambda h_n) - \widehat{K}_\delta(0)|^2 |\phi(\lambda)| d\lambda \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n e^{-i\lambda X_i} - \phi(-\lambda) \right|^2 |\phi(\lambda)| d\lambda \right) \\ &\leq c_{26} \frac{h_n^{2\gamma}}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{E}|N_n - \bar{N}_n|^2 \leq c_{27} \left(\frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{h_n^{2\gamma}}{n} \right). \quad (2.19)$$

Note that $\widehat{K}(0) = 1$. By the Fourier inverse transform and Plancherel formula,

$$\overline{N}_n = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(f(X_i) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(x) dx \right).$$

Combining (2.4), (2.9), (2.18) and (2.19) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left| T_n(h_n) - \mathbb{E} T_n(h_n) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \right| \\ & \leq \limsup_{\delta \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left| T_n(h_n) - \mathbb{E} T_n(h_n) - (T_n^\delta(h_n) - \mathbb{E} T_n^\delta(h_n)) \right| + \limsup_{\delta \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left| T_n^\delta(h_n) - \mathbb{E} T_n^\delta(h_n) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \right| \\ & \leq c_{28} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n^3 h_n^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n^2 h_n}} + \frac{h_n^\gamma}{\sqrt{n}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Step 6. We show the central limit theorem for

$$\frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(f(X_i) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(x) dx \right).$$

Using Lemma 1 in [5] and similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [4],

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(f(X_i) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(x) dx \right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

for some $\sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$.

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

References

- [1] Giné, E., Nickl, R. (2008). A simple adaptive estimator of the integrated square of a density. *Bernoulli*, **14**: 47–61.
- [2] Källberg, D., Leonenko, N. and Seleznev, O. (2014). Statistical estimation of quadratic Rényi entropy for a stationary m -dependent sequence. *Journal of Nonparametric Statistics*, **26**: 385–411.
- [3] Liu, H. and Xu, F. (2022). Kernel entropy estimation for long memory linear processes with infinite variance. arXiv:2210.03644v2, 2022.
- [4] Sang, H., Sang, Y. and Xu, F. (2018). Kernel entropy estimation for linear processes. *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, **39**: 563–591.
- [5] Wu, W.B.(2006). Unit root testing for functionals of linear processes. *Econometric Theory*, **22**: 1–14.

Yudan Xiong

School of Statistics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200262, China
xyd_980107@163.com

Fangjun Xu

KLATASDS-MOE, School of Statistics, East China Normal University, Shanghai, 200062, China
NYU-ECNU Institute of Mathematical Sciences at NYU Shanghai, 200062, China
fjxu@finance.ecnu.edu.cn