

## COHEN-MACAULAY REPRESENTATIONS OF INVARIANT SUBRINGS

RYU TOMONAGA

ABSTRACT. We investigate Cohen-Macaulay representations of quotient singularities  $R := l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]^G$  where  $l$  is a field and  $G$  is a finite group acting on  $l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$  as a ring, not necessarily as an  $l$ -algebra, with  $|G|$  not divided by  $\text{char } l$ . In fact, if we put  $k := l^G$ , then we may assume that  $G$  is a subgroup of  $GL_d(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k)$ . We show that  $R$  has a generator giving an NCCR and therefore when  $d = 2$ , it is of finite Cohen-Macaulay type. Additionally, we completely describe its Auslander-Reiten quiver, which is often non-simply laced, as a quotient of a certain quiver. Moreover, we also prove that two-dimensional rings of finite Cohen-Macaulay type of equicharacteristic zero are precisely quotient singularities of this form, which generalizes Esnault and Auslander's result. Combining these results, we prove that the quivers that may arise as the Auslander-Reiten quiver of a two-dimensional Gorenstein ring of finite Cohen-Macaulay type of equicharacteristic zero are either doubles of extended Dynkin diagrams or ones having loops. To accomplish these, we establish two results which are of independent interest. First, we prove the existence of  $(d - 1)$ -almost split sequences for arbitrary Cohen-Macaulay normal rings having NCCR which are not necessarily isolated singularities. Second, we make it possible to determine simple modules over skew group algebras by relating them to those over certain group algebras.

## CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Conventions	6
Acknowledgements	7
1. Auslander-Reiten theory for CT modules	7
2. Auslander-Reiten theory for quotient singularities admitting field extensions	12
3. Proof of Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.6	15
4. The McKay quiver of $lH$ determines that of $l * G$	18
5. Examples	24
6. Two-dimensional rings of finite Cohen-Macaulay type of equicharacteristic zero	31
7. Two-dimensional Gorenstein rings of finite Cohen-Macaulay type of equicharacteristic zero	34
Appendix A. Special Cohen-Macaulay modules	38
References	39

## INTRODUCTION

0.1. **Classical results and observations.** Auslander-Reiten theory, which gives Auslander-Reiten quivers for certain categories, is an indispensable tool to study categories of finitely generated modules over finite dimensional algebras [ARS, ASS] and Cohen-Macaulay modules over orders and commutative rings [LW, Y]. Quotient singularities form a class of rings which has interesting geometrical properties known as McKay correspondence [AV, Mc]. In 1980's, Auslander developed theories of Cohen-Macaulay representations for two-dimensional quotient singularities [A86B]. This says that the Auslander-Reiten quiver of a quotient singularity coincides with the McKay quiver of a certain finite group, which is called algebraic McKay correspondence. In 2000's, Iyama gave its higher dimensional version by developing higher dimensional Auslander-Reiten theory, where modules called cluster tilting play an essential role [I07A]. As a generalization, the concept of CT modules which has strong relationships with non-commutative crepant resolutions (NCCR) was introduced [IW14].

Now we summarize the known classification results of Cohen-Macaulay complete local rings  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  of finite Cohen-Macaulay type where  $k$  is algebraically closed with  $\text{char } k = 0$ . See the table below. When  $R$  is Gorenstein, then these rings are precisely simple singularities ([BGS, K]). For general  $R$ , the complete classification is known when  $\dim R \leq 2$ . If  $\dim R \geq 3$  and  $R$  is non-Gorenstein, then the classification problem is still open and only two examples are known.

$\dim R$	Gorenstein	Cohen-Macaulay
0	$k[x]/(x^n)$	$k[x]/(x^n)$
1		rings dominating simple singularities
2	simple singularities	quotient singularities
$\geq 3$		open (only two examples are known)

From now on, we concentrate on the case  $\dim R = 2$  and  $R$  is not necessarily Gorenstein. For this, we explain classical results of Cohen-Macaulay representations of quotient singularities in two-dimensional case. Let  $k$  be a field,  $G$  a finite subgroup of  $GL_2(k)$  with  $|G|$  not divided by  $\text{char } k$ ,  $S := k[[x, y]]$  the formal power series ring and  $\mathfrak{n} := (x, y)$  the maximal ideal of  $S$ . Since  $G$  acts on  $S$  as a  $k$ -algebra, we can think of the ring of invariants  $S^G$ .

**Theorem 0.1.** [A86B, 2.2, 3.3]

- (1) *The ring  $(S^G, \mathfrak{n} \cap S^G, k)$  is a two-dimensional complete Cohen-Macaulay local ring and  $\text{CM } S^G$  has  $S$  as an additive generator. In particular,  $S^G$  is Cohen-Macaulay finite.*
- (2) *If  $G \subseteq GL_2(k)$  is small (see Definition 2.4), then we have  $\text{End}_{S^G}(S) \cong S * G$ .*
- (3) *The Auslander-Reiten quiver  $\text{AR}(\text{CM } S^G)$  coincides with the McKay quiver of  $kG$ .*

This (3) says that information about Cohen-Macaulay representations of  $S^G$  can be obtained from finite dimensional representation of  $G$  over  $k$ . The converse to (1) holds in the following sense.

**Theorem 0.2.** [A86B, 4.9][Esn] *Assume that  $\text{char } k = 0$  and  $k$  is algebraically closed. Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a two-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay complete local ring of finite Cohen-Macaulay type. Then there exists a finite subgroup  $G \subseteq GL_2(k)$  such that  $R \cong k[[x, y]]^G$  holds.*

Theorem 0.1(1) and 0.2 are nothing but the answer to the classification problem. Next we consider about two-dimensional rings  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  of finite Cohen-Macaulay type where  $k$  is not necessarily algebraically closed. Actually, there are many examples other than the invariant subrings of the form  $S^G$  where  $G \subseteq GL_2(k)$ . In this paper, we investigate more general invariant subrings and see that these rings give plenty of examples of rings of finite Cohen-Macaulay type (Theorem 0.3). Moreover, we prove that all two-dimensional rings of finite Cohen-Macaulay type are just our invariant subrings, which is the answer to the classification problem (Theorem 0.4).

**0.2. Finite Cohen-Macaulay type over arbitrary fields in dimension two.** In this paper, we investigate Cohen-Macaulay representations of quotient singularities admitting field extensions and show that classical results on Cohen-Macaulay representations of quotient singularities also hold for this general setting. In this subsection, we restrict ourselves to the two-dimensional case.

Let  $l$  be a field,  $S := l[[x, y]]$  the formal power series ring,  $\mathfrak{n} := (x, y)$  the maximal ideal of  $S$  and  $G$  a finite group acting on  $S$  as a ring, not necessarily as an  $l$ -algebra, with  $|G|$  not divided by  $\text{char } l$ . Then we obtain the ring of invariants  $S^G$ . Recall that we call  $M \in \text{CM } R$  an additive generator of  $\text{CM } R$  if  $\text{add}_R M = \text{CM } R$  holds where  $\text{add}_R M = \{X \in \text{mod } R \mid X \text{ is a direct summand of } M^{\oplus n} \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \text{CM } R$ .

**Theorem 0.3.** (Theorem 2.2) *The ring  $(S^G, \mathfrak{n} \cap S^G, l^G)$  is a two-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay complete local normal domain which is of finite Cohen-Macaulay type with an additive generator  $S \in \text{CM } S^G$ .*


We put  $k := l^G$ . In fact, it is enough to consider only finite subgroups of  $GL_2(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k)$  which is a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_k^{\text{al}}(S)$  consisting of all linear actions (see Lemma 6.2). Moreover, we prove that the

converse to this theorem holds in the following sense. This guarantees that our new setting is essential in study of representation of Cohen-Macaulay rings over non-algebraically closed fields.

**Theorem 0.4.** (Theorem 6.1) *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  a two-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay complete local ring of finite Cohen-Macaulay type with  $\text{char } k = 0$ . Then there exists a finite Galois extension  $l/k$  and a finite subgroup  $G \subseteq GL_2(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k)$  such that  $R \cong l[[x, y]]^G$  holds.*

Observe that this is a generalization of Theorem 0.2 admitting non-algebraically closed residue fields. Later, we explain how to draw the Auslander-Reiten quiver of the category  $\text{CM } S^G$  (Theorem 0.10, 0.12). Combining these results, we can characterize quivers which may appear as  $\text{AR}(\text{CM } R)$  where  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is a two-dimensional Gorenstein complete local ring of finite Cohen-Macaulay type with  $\text{char } k = 0$ . When  $k$  is algebraically closed, these quivers are just doubles of simply laced extended Dynkin diagrams. Admitting non-algebraically closed fields, these are mostly doubles of extended Dynkin diagrams. However, in fact, some of them have loops. In addition, not all the double of extended Dynkin diagrams appear.

**Theorem 0.5.** (Theorem 7.1) *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a two-dimensional Gorenstein complete local ring of finite Cohen-Macaulay type with  $\text{char } k = 0$ . Then quivers which may appear as  $\text{AR}(\text{CM } R)$  are precisely listed below.*



Thus doubles of all extended Dynkin diagrams except for type  $\tilde{B}_n, \tilde{C}\tilde{D}_n, \tilde{F}_{41}$  and  $\tilde{G}_{21}$  appear. In addition, two of them have loops.

**0.3. Higher-dimensional Auslander-Reiten theory for invariant subrings.** In this subsection, we consider the general case  $d \geq 2$ . First, as a preliminary, we develop the higher-dimensional Auslander-Reiten theory for NCCRs. For a two-dimensional local normal domain  $R$ , it is classical that  $0 \neq M \in \text{CM } R$  is an additive generator if and only if  $\text{End}_R(M)$  is a regular  $R$ -order, i.e.  $\text{End}_R(M) \in \text{CM } R$  and  $\text{gl.dim } \text{End}_R(M) = d$  hold [RVdB] (see [IW14, 5.4] for a complete proof). Based on this fact, we generalize the concept of additive generators of  $\text{CM } R$  so that it is suitable for higher dimensional cases.

**Definition 0.6.** [VdB, 4.1][IW14, 5.1] Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay complete local normal domain with  $d := \dim R \geq 2$  and  $0 \neq M \in \text{ref } R$ . We say  $M$  gives an NCCR if  $\text{End}_R(M)$  is a regular  $R$ -order. If in addition  $R \in \text{add}_R M$  holds, then  $M$  is called a CT module.

When  $d = 2$ ,  $M \in \text{ref } R$  gives an NCCR if and only if  $M$  is a CT module if and only if  $M$  is an additive generator of  $\text{CM } R$ . We further remark that if  $R$  is an isolated singularity, then the concept of CT modules coincides with that of  $(d - 1)$ -cluster tilting modules which is important in representation theories [BMRRT, I07A]. In [I07A], the existence of higher almost split sequences was proved for cluster tilting modules over isolated singularities. In this paper, we generalize this result: we prove the existence of  $(d - 1)$ -almost split sequences for NCCRs over arbitrary Cohen-Macaulay normal domains.

**Theorem 0.7.** (Theorem 1.12, Proposition 1.19) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay complete local normal domain with  $d := \dim R \geq 2$ ,  $M \in \text{ref } R$  a module giving an NCCR and  $X \in \text{ind add}_R M$ .*

(1) *There exists a  $(d - 1)$ -almost split sequence in  $\text{add}_R M$  of the following form.*

$$\nu X \rightarrow C_{d-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow X$$

(2) *Assume  $M$  is CT. If  $X \neq R$  (respectively,  $X = R$ ), then the complex  $0 \rightarrow \nu X \rightarrow C_{d-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$  (respectively,  $0 \rightarrow \nu X \rightarrow C_{d-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow X$ ) is exact.*

Now we start to explain our results about quotient singularities in general dimensions. We consider the setting below.

(A1)  $l$  is a field,  $S := l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$  is the formal power series ring and  $\mathfrak{n} := (x_1, \dots, x_d)$  is the maximal ideal of  $S$ .

(A2)  $G$  is a finite group acting on  $S$  as a ring with  $|G|$  not divided by  $\text{char } l$ .

Remark that the action  $G \curvearrowright S$  is not necessarily  $l$ -linear. In fact, if we put  $k := l^G$ , then it is enough to consider the following setting (see Lemma 6.2).

(B1)  $l/k$  is a finite Galois extension of fields.

(B2)  $G$  is a finite subgroup of  $GL_d(l) \times \text{Gal}(l/k)$  with  $|G|$  not divided by  $\text{char } k$  and  $k = l^G$ .

(B3)  $S := l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$  is the formal power series ring,  $\mathfrak{n} := (x_1, \dots, x_d)$  is the maximal ideal of  $S$ .

We consider the ring of invariants  $S^G$ . The following result is the starting point of our study in general dimensions. Observe that this is a higher-dimensional generalization of Theorem 0.3.

**Theorem 0.8.** (Theorem 2.3) *Under the setting (B1), (B2) and (B3), the ring  $(S^G, \mathfrak{n} \cap S^G, k)$  is a  $d$ -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay complete local normal domain and  $S \in \text{CM } S^G$  is a CT module.*

Next, we give a complete description of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of

$$\mathcal{C}_{S^G} := \text{add}_{S^G} S.$$

Remark that  $\mathcal{C}_{S^G} = \text{CM } S^G$  holds when  $d = 2$ . In the representation theory, homological properties of endomorphism rings play an important role. In fact, a key step to draw  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_{S^G})$  is to determine the ring  $\text{End}_{S^G}(S)$ . This algebra is isomorphic to the skew group ring under a certain condition as in the classical case (Theorem 0.1(2)).

**Theorem 0.9.** (Theorem 2.5) *Under the setting (B1), (B2) and (B3), let  $H := G \cap GL_d(l)$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ . If  $H \subseteq GL_d(l)$  is small, then we have*

$$\text{End}_{S^G}(S) \cong S * G.$$

The assumption that  $H$  is small is not restrictive because without changing  $S^G$ , we can replace  $G$  so that  $H$  is small (see Proposition 6.4). Using this theorem, we can obtain the following bijections:

$$\text{ind } \mathcal{C}_{S^G} \xrightleftharpoons[(-)^G]{\text{Hom}_R(S, -)} \text{ind proj } S * G \xrightleftharpoons[S \otimes_l -]{(S/\mathfrak{n}) \otimes_S -} \text{sim } l * G.$$

For  $V \in \text{mod } l * G$ , we denote by

$$M(V) := (S \otimes_l V)^G \in \mathcal{C}_{S^G}$$

the correspondent to  $V$ . We can describe all  $(d - 1)$ -almost split sequences in  $\mathcal{C}_{S^G}$  explicitly.

**Theorem 0.10.** (Theorem 2.14) *Under the setting (B1), (B2) and (B3), we assume  $H := G \cap GL_d(l) \subseteq GL_d(l)$  is small.*

- (1) *The Auslander-Reiten quiver  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_{S^G})$  coincides with the McKay quiver of  $l * G$  which we denote by  $\text{MK}(l * G)$  (see Definition 2.13):*

$$\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_{S^G}) = \text{MK}(l * G).$$

- (2) *We state more precise result. Let  $V \in \text{sim } l * G$  and  $U := \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2 \in \text{mod } l * G$ . Then we have a  $(d - 1)$ -almost split sequence of the following form.*

$$M\left(\bigwedge^d U \otimes_l V\right) \longrightarrow M\left(\bigwedge^{d-1} U \otimes_l V\right) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M(U \otimes_l V) \longrightarrow M(V)$$

**0.4. McKay quivers of skew group algebras.** In the previous subsection, we see that the McKay quiver  $\text{MK}(l * G)$  coincides with the Auslander-Reiten quiver  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_{S^G})$ . Here, the McKay quiver is a valued quiver whose vertex set is  $\text{sim } l * G$  and whose valuations are determined by information about  $\text{mod } l * G$ . In this subsection, we explain how to draw  $\text{MK}(l * G)$ . For this purpose, we introduce a general method for determining simple modules over skew group algebras. Our method is to describe  $\text{sim } l * G$  by using  $\text{sim } lH$ .

We discuss in a general setting: let  $l$  be a field,  $G$  a finite group acting on  $l$  as a field and  $k := l^G$ . We assume  $\text{char } l$  does not divide  $|G|$  and thus  $l * G$  is semisimple by Proposition 4.8. By Artin's theorem, we know that  $l/k$  is a finite Galois extension and the natural group homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \text{Gal}(l/k)$  is surjective. We let  $H := \text{Ker}(G \rightarrow \text{Gal}(l/k))$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ . To determine  $\text{sim } l * G$ , we use the natural action of  $\text{Gal}(l/k)$  on the set  $\text{sim } lH$ . Observe that we have the restriction functor and the induction functor

$$\text{Res}_H: \text{mod } l * G \rightarrow \text{mod } lH, \quad \text{Ind}_H^G: \text{mod } lH \rightarrow \text{mod } l * G.$$

**Theorem 0.11.** (Theorem 4.10) *Decompose  $\text{sim } lH$  into the disjoint union of the orbits with respect the  $\text{Gal}(l/k)$ -action:*

$$\text{sim } lH = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^s X_i, \text{ where } X_i = \{W_{i1}, \dots, W_{it_i}\}.$$

Then the following assertions hold.

- (1) *There exists a bijection between the set  $\{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq s}$  of the orbits and  $\text{sim } l * G$ :*

$$\text{Gal}(l/k) \backslash \text{sim } lH \cong \text{sim } l * G.$$

We denote  $V_i \in \text{sim } l * G$  the simple left  $l * G$ -module corresponding to  $X_i$ .

- (2) *For each  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , there exists  $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\text{Res}_H V_i \cong (W_{i1} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{it_i})^{\oplus a_i}$  holds.*  
(3) *For each  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , there exists  $b_i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\text{Ind}_H^G W_{ij} \cong V_i^{\oplus b_i}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq t_i$ ) holds.*  
(4) *For each  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , the equation  $t_i a_i b_i = [l : k]$  holds.*

Now we return to the setting (B1), (B2) and (B3). Using this theorem, we can draw  $\text{MK}(l * G)$  as the quotient of  $\text{MK}(lH)$  except the valuations of arrows. Moreover, we can exhibit valuations of  $\text{MK}(l * G)$  explicitly. Here,  $\text{Gal}(l/k)$  also acts on the  $\text{MK}(lH)$ .

**Theorem 0.12.** (Theorem 4.16)

- (1) *The McKay quiver  $\text{MK}(l * G)$  coincides with the quotient quiver of  $\text{MK}(lH)$  with respect to the action of  $\text{Gal}(l/k)$  (see Definition 4.15) except for valuations:*

$$\text{MK}(l * G) = \text{Gal}(l/k) \backslash \text{MK}(lH)$$

- (2) *The valuations of  $\text{MK}(l * G)$  are determined by the  $a_i$ 's appeared in Theorem 0.11(2) (see Theorem 4.16 for the details).*

In fact, more strongly, we can determine each term of  $(d-1)$ -almost split (fundamental) sequences of  $\mathcal{C}_{S^G}$  by those of  $\mathcal{C}_{S^H}$  (see Theorem 4.17). In Section 5, we frequently use this result to draw  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_{S^G})$ .

In addition to Auslander-Reiten quivers, we give a way to determine the divisor class group  $\text{Cl}(S^G)$ . A key point is that  $\text{Cl}(S^G)$  can be identified with  $\{V \in \text{sim } l * G \mid \dim_l V = 1\}$ .

**Theorem 0.13.** (Lemma 4.18, Theorem 4.20)

- (1) *There exists a bijection  $\text{Cl}(S^G) \cong \{V \in \text{sim } l * G \mid \dim_l V = 1\}$ .*
- (2) *The map*

$$\{V \in \text{sim } l * G \mid \dim_l V = 1\} \rightarrow \{W \in \text{sim } lH \mid \dim_l W = 1\}; V \mapsto \text{Res}_H V$$

*gives an injective group homomorphism  $\text{Cl}(S^G) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(S^H)$ .*

- (3) *The group  $\text{Cl}(S^H)$  is isomorphic to the group  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H^{\text{ab}}, l^\times)$  where  $H^{\text{ab}} = H/[H, H]$  is the Abelianization of  $H$ .*

For  $V \in \text{sim } l * G$ , whether  $\dim_l V = 1$  holds or not can be determined by its decomposition law. In conclusion, we can calculate  $\text{Cl}(S^G)$  as a subgroup of  $\text{Cl}(S^H) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H^{\text{ab}}, l^\times)$  by knowing the decomposition laws.

**Example 0.14.** (Example 5.2) Let  $N \geq 2$  be an integer and  $l/k$  a field extension with  $\text{char } k = 0$  and  $[l : k] = 2$ . We assume  $\zeta_{2N} \in l \setminus k$  and the image of the norm map  $N_{l/k} : l \rightarrow k$  does not contain  $-1 \in k$ . For example,  $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q}(\cos \frac{\pi}{N})$  satisfy these conditions. Let  $G := \left\langle \left( \begin{bmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \text{id} \right), \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \sigma \right) \right\rangle \subseteq GL_2(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k)$  where  $\text{Gal}(l/k) = \{\text{id}, \sigma\}$ . It is easy to see that  $H = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \cong C_{2N}$ . Thus we can write  $\text{sim } lH = \{W_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/2N\mathbb{Z}}$  and draw  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_{S^H})$  as the McKay quiver of  $H$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & W_1 & \rightleftarrows & \cdots & \rightleftarrows & W_{N-1} & & \\ & \nearrow & & & & & & \searrow & \\ W_0 & & & & & & & & W_N \\ & \searrow & & & & & & \nearrow & \\ & & W_{-1} & \rightleftarrows & \cdots & \rightleftarrows & W_{-N+1} & & \end{array}$$

Here, we can show  $\sigma \cdot W_j = W_{-j}$ . Thus  $\text{Gal}(l/k)$  acts on  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_{S^H})$  by swapping the top and bottom and we can write  $\text{sim } l * G = \{V_0, V_{\pm 1}, \dots, V_{\pm(N-1)}, V_N\}$ . The decomposition law is as follows.

$$\text{Res}_H V_0 = W_0, \text{Res}_H V_{\pm j} = W_j \oplus W_{-j}, \text{Res}_H V_N = W_N \quad (N: \text{even}), \text{Res}_H V_N = W_N^{\oplus 2} \quad (N: \text{odd})$$

Therefore we can draw  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_{S^G})$  as the quotient below, and determine  $\text{Cl}(S^G)$ .

Case	$\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_{S^G})$	$\text{Cl}(S^G)$
$N: \text{even}$	$V_0 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(2,1)} \\ \xleftarrow{(1,2)} \end{array} V_{\pm 1} \rightleftarrows \cdots \rightleftarrows V_{\pm(N-1)} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(1,2)} \\ \xleftarrow{(2,1)} \end{array} V_N$	$\{M(V_0), M(V_2)\} \cong C_2$
$N: \text{odd}$	$V_0 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(2,1)} \\ \xleftarrow{(1,2)} \end{array} V_{\pm 1} \rightleftarrows \cdots \rightleftarrows V_{\pm(N-1)} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(2,1)} \\ \xleftarrow{(1,2)} \end{array} V_N$	$\{M(V_0)\} \cong 1$

Observe that these Auslander-Reiten quivers have non-trivial valuations which do not appear in the classical case.

#### CONVENTIONS

For a ring  $A$ , we denote by  $\text{Mod } A$  the category of left  $A$ -modules,  $\text{mod } A$  the category of finitely generated left  $A$ -modules,  $\text{proj } A$  the category of finitely generated projective left  $A$ -modules,  $\text{fl } A$  the category of left  $A$ -modules of finite length,  $\text{ref } A$  the category of finitely generated reflexive left  $A$ -modules and  $\text{sim } A$  the set of isomorphism classes of simple left  $A$ -modules. For a Cohen-Macaulay local ring  $R$ , we write  $\text{CM } R$  for the category of maximal Cohen-Macaulay  $R$ -modules. For a full subcategory  $\mathcal{D}$  of an additive category  $\mathcal{C}$ , we denote by  $\text{add } \mathcal{D}$  the smallest full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$  containing  $\mathcal{D}$  closed under isomorphisms, finite direct sums, and direct summands. For a skeletally small Krull-Schmidt category  $\mathcal{C}$ , we write  $\text{ind } \mathcal{C}$  for the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author expresses his sincere gratitude to his supervisor Osamu Iyama for his valuable advice, suggestions and patient efforts in improving this paper. He is grateful to Norihiro Hanihara for fruitful discussions and refining some proofs. He is indebted to Yasuaki Gyoda and Yuya Goto for their careful reading and extensive corrections of this paper. He wishes to thank Issei Enomoto for his interests and constant encouragements. This work was supported by the WINGS-FMSP program at the Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, the University of Tokyo.

## 1. AUSLANDER-REITEN THEORY FOR CT MODULES

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring having the canonical module  $\omega \in \text{CM } R$ . An  $R$ -order is a module-finite  $R$ -algebra  $\Lambda$  satisfying  $\Lambda \in \text{CM } R$ . We define  $\text{CM } \Lambda := \{M \in \text{mod } \Lambda \mid M_R \in \text{CM } R\}$ . In the branch of Cohen-Macaulay representations, the categorical structure of the category of Cohen-Macaulay modules  $\text{CM } \Lambda$  over orders is the main interest. We let  $(-)^* := \text{Hom}_\Lambda(-, \Lambda)$  and  $(-)^{\vee} := \text{Hom}_R(-, \omega): \text{mod } \Lambda \rightarrow \text{mod } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$ . Remark that  $(-)^{\vee}: \text{CM } \Lambda \rightarrow \text{CM } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$  gives an anti-equivalence.

**1.1. Preliminary on orders.** In this subsection, we summarize basic facts about orders for the convenience of the reader. First, we introduce some classes of orders using homological dimensions.

- Definition 1.1.** (1) An  $R$ -order  $\Lambda$  is called *regular* if  $\text{gl.dim } \Lambda = \dim R$  holds.  
 (2) An  $R$ -order  $\Lambda$  has *isolated singularities* if  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a regular  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -order for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ .  
 (3) An  $R$ -order  $\Lambda$  is called *Gorenstein* if  $\text{inj.dim } \Lambda_{\Lambda} = \text{inj.dim } {}_{\Lambda}\Lambda = d$  holds.

Remark that  $R$  itself is a regular (respectively, Gorenstein)  $R$ -order if and only if  $R$  is a regular (respectively, Gorenstein) local ring. We have a useful criterion for regularity. This is a consequence of Auslander-Buchsbaum formula.

**Proposition 1.2.** [IW14, 2.17] *An  $R$ -order  $\Lambda$  is regular if and only if  $\text{CM } \Lambda = \text{proj } \Lambda$  holds.*

Next, we see that all orders of finite Cohen-Macaulay type are automatically isolated singularities. When  $R$  is complete local, then this follows by the existence of almost split sequences (see [A86A]). When not, this is still true by [LW, 7.12].

**Proposition 1.3.** [A86A][LW, 7.12] *If an  $R$ -order  $\Lambda$  is of finite Cohen-Macaulay type, i.e. the category  $\text{CM } \Lambda$  has an additive generator, then  $\Lambda$  is an isolated singularity.*

Finally, we see that Gorenstein orders satisfy a condition called *Gorenstein condition*. We give a categorical proof using the concept of cotilting bimodules (see [Mi]).

**Proposition 1.4.** [GN, 4.13] *Let  $\Lambda$  be a Gorenstein  $R$ -order. For  $S \in \text{sim } \Lambda$ , we have*

$$\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^i(S, \Lambda) = 0 \ (i \neq d), \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^d(S, \Lambda) \in \text{sim } \Lambda^{\text{op}}.$$

*Proof.* By  $\text{depth}_R \Lambda = d$ , we have  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^i(S, \Lambda) = 0$  ( $i < d$ ). Since  $\text{inj.dim } \Lambda_{\Lambda} = d$  holds, we have  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^i(S, \Lambda) = 0$  ( $i > d$ ). Now since  $\Lambda$  is a cotilting  $(\Lambda, \Lambda)$ -bimodule,  $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(-, \Lambda): D^b(\text{mod } \Lambda) \rightarrow D^b(\text{mod } \Lambda^{\text{op}})$  gives an anti-equivalence (see [Mi, 2.11]). By the discussion above, this restricts to an anti-equivalence  $\text{fl } \Lambda \rightarrow \text{fl } \Lambda^{\text{op}}[-d]$ . Thus we obtain an anti-equivalence  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^d(-, \Lambda): \text{fl } \Lambda \rightarrow \text{fl } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$  and the assertion follows.  $\square$

In the rest, we assume  $d := \dim R \geq 2$ . We consider the following  $(R_1)$ -condition for  $\Lambda$ .

$$(R_1): \text{gl.dim } \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} \ (\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \text{ with } \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} \leq 1)$$

For example, if  $\Lambda = R$ , then  $R$  satisfies the  $(R_1)$ -condition if and only if  $R$  is normal. Observe that if  $\Lambda$  satisfies the  $(R_1)$ -condition, then for all  $M \in \text{ref } \Lambda$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$  with  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} \leq 1$ , we have  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{proj } \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$  by Proposition 1.2.

Next, we consider the following  $(S_n)$ -condition for a module  $X \in \text{mod } A$  where  $A$  is a commutative Noetherian ring.

$$(S_n): \text{depth}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} X_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \min\{n, \text{ht } \mathfrak{p}\} \quad (\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A)$$

We have the following useful lemma which states that whether a homomorphism between certain modules is an isomorphism is determined only by codimension-one information.

**Lemma 1.5.** [LW, 5.11] *Let  $A$  be a commutative Noetherian ring,  $M, N \in \text{mod } A$  and  $f: M \rightarrow N$  an  $A$ -homomorphism. We assume that  $M$  satisfies  $(S_1)$  and  $N$  satisfies  $(S_2)$ . If  $f_{\mathfrak{p}}: M_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow N_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an isomorphism for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$  with  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} \leq 1$ , then  $f$  is an isomorphism.*

Using this lemma, we can prove the following basic facts for orders satisfying the  $(R_1)$ -condition.

**Proposition 1.6.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be an  $R$ -order satisfying the  $(R_1)$ -condition.*

- (1) *We have  $\text{CM } \Lambda \subseteq \text{ref } \Lambda$ .*
- (2) *For  $X \in \text{ref } \Lambda$ , we have  $X^{\vee} \in \text{ref } \Lambda$  and  $X \cong X^{\vee\vee}$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Take  $X \in \text{CM } \Lambda$ . We consider the evaluation map  $f: X \rightarrow X^{**}$ . For  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$  with  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} \leq 1$ ,  $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an isomorphism since  $X_{\mathfrak{p}}, X_{\mathfrak{p}}^{**} \in \text{proj } \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$  holds. Thus  $f$  is an isomorphism by Lemma 1.5.

(2) Take  $X \in \text{ref } \Lambda$ . First, we consider the evaluation map  $g: X^{\vee} \rightarrow X^{\vee**}$ . By the same argument as in (1), we can see that  $g$  is an isomorphism. Thus  $X^{\vee} \in \text{ref } \Lambda$  holds. In the same way, we can see that the evaluation map  $X \rightarrow X^{\vee\vee}$  is also an isomorphism.  $\square$

Thanks to this Proposition, we can define the *Nakayama functor*

$$\nu := (-)^{\vee\vee}: \text{ref } \Lambda \longrightarrow \text{ref } \Lambda.$$

This is a categorical equivalence with quasi-inverse  $\nu^{-} := (-)^{\vee*}$ . Finally, we see the following lemma which plays a crucial role in the next subsection.

**Lemma 1.7.** [DITW, 3.3] *Let  $\Lambda$  be an  $R$ -order satisfying the  $(R_1)$ -condition. For  $X, Y \in \text{ref } \Lambda$ , we have*

$$\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X, \nu Y) \cong \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(Y, X)^{\vee}.$$

*Proof.* Observe that  $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X, \nu Y) \cong (X \otimes_{\Lambda} Y^*)^{\vee}$  holds. We have a natural  $R$ -homomorphism  $\phi: X \otimes_{\Lambda} Y^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(Y, X); x \otimes f \mapsto (y \mapsto xf(y))$ . For  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$  with  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} \leq 1$ ,  $\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an isomorphism since  $X_{\mathfrak{p}}, Y_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{proj } \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$  holds. Since  $Z^{\vee} \in \text{mod } R$  satisfies  $(S_2)$ -condition for all  $Z \in \text{mod } R$ , we can conclude that  $\phi^{\vee}: \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(Y, X)^{\vee} \rightarrow (X \otimes_{\Lambda} Y^*)^{\vee}$  is an isomorphism by Lemma 1.5.  $\square$

**1.2. Higher-dimensional Auslander-Reiten theory for NCCRs.** In the rest of this section, we assume  $d := \dim R \geq 2$ . We introduce the concept of non-commutative crepant resolutions (NCCR).

**Definition 1.8.** [VdB, 4.1] Let  $\Lambda$  be an  $R$ -order and  $M \in \text{ref } \Lambda$ . We say  $\text{End}_{\Lambda}(M)$  is an *NCCR* of  $\Lambda$  or  $M$  gives an *NCCR* of  $\Lambda$  if  $\text{End}_{\Lambda}(M)$  is a regular  $R$ -order.

Before discussing NCCR, we give a quick review on functor categories. For a preadditive category  $\mathcal{C}$ , a left module over  $\mathcal{C}$  means a covariant additive functor from  $\mathcal{C}$  to the category of Abelian groups. We denote  $\text{Mod } \mathcal{C}$  the Abelian category of left modules over  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Proposition 1.9.** [A74, 2.5] *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an idempotent complete additive category having an additive generator  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then the substitution functor*

$$\text{ev}_X: \text{Mod } \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mod } \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)^{\text{op}}$$

*is a categorical equivalence. Moreover, this restricts to an equivalence*

$$\mathcal{C}(X, -): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{proj } \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)^{\text{op}}.$$

From now on, we assume that an  $R$ -order  $\Lambda$  satisfies the  $(R_1)$ -condition and that  $M \in \text{ref } \Lambda$  gives an NCCR. Let  $\mathcal{C} := \text{add } M \subseteq \text{ref } \Lambda$  and  $\Gamma := \text{End}_{\Lambda}(M)$ . We see that the Nakayama functor gives a self-equivalence of  $\mathcal{C}$ .



**Proposition 1.10.** *The Nakayama functor  $\nu: \text{ref } \Lambda \rightarrow \text{ref } \Lambda$  restricts to a self-equivalence  $\nu: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that the functor  $F := \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, -): \text{ref } \Lambda \rightarrow \text{ref } \Gamma^{\text{op}}$  is a categorical equivalence (see [IR, 2.4]). Take  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ . Now as an  $R$ -module, we have  $F(\nu X) \cong \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, M)^\vee \in \text{CM } R$  by Lemma 1.7. This means  $F(\nu X) \in \text{CM } \Gamma^{\text{op}} = \text{proj } \Gamma^{\text{op}}$  by Proposition 1.2. Since the equivalence  $F: \text{ref } \Lambda \rightarrow \text{ref } \Gamma^{\text{op}}$  restricts to an equivalence  $F: \text{add } M \rightarrow \text{proj } \Gamma^{\text{op}}$  by Proposition 1.9, we obtain  $\nu X \in \mathcal{C}$ .  $\square$

Observe that the functors  $\text{ev}_M: \text{Mod } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Mod } \Gamma$  and  $\text{ev}_M: \text{Mod } \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mod } \Gamma^{\text{op}}$  are categorical equivalences by Proposition 1.9. We define  $(d-1)$ -almost split sequences which are generalizations of [I07A, 3.1].

**Definition 1.11.** A complex  $\cdots \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is called a *sink sequence* of  $X$  if  $\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(-, C_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(-, C_0) \rightarrow J_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X) \rightarrow 0$  gives the minimal projective resolution of  $J_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X) \in \text{Mod } \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ . Dually, a complex  $Y \rightarrow C^0 \rightarrow C^1 \rightarrow \cdots$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is called a *source sequence* of  $Y$  if  $\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(C^1, -) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(C^0, -) \rightarrow J_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, -) \rightarrow 0$  gives the minimal projective resolution of  $J_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, -) \in \text{Mod } \mathcal{C}$ . A complex  $Y \rightarrow C_{d-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is called a  $(d-1)$ -almost split sequence if it is a sink sequence of  $X$  and a source sequence of  $Y$  simultaneously.

Remark that these sequences are unique up to isomorphisms if exist. We prove the following main theorem in this section which is a partial generalization of [I07A, 3.3.1, 3.4.4]. The proof is very different from the classical case even when  $d = 2$ .

**Theorem 1.12.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be an  $R$ -order and  $M \in \text{ref } \Lambda$  with  $\Gamma := \text{End}_\Lambda(M)$  giving an NCCR. We let  $\mathcal{C} := \text{add } M$ . Then for any  $X \in \text{ind } \mathcal{C}$ , there exists a  $(d-1)$ -almost split sequence*

$$\nu X \longrightarrow C_{d-2} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow C_0 \longrightarrow X.$$

*Proof.* Let  $Y \longrightarrow C_{d-2} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow C_0 \longrightarrow X$  be a complex which induces a minimal projective resolution  $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(-, Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(-, C_{d-2}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(-, C_0) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(-, X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(-, X)/J_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X) \longrightarrow 0$  of  $\mathcal{C}(-, X)/J_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X) \in \text{mod } \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ . Remark that this sequence exists since  $\text{gl.dim } \Gamma = d$  holds and  $\Gamma$  is semiperfect by the completeness of  $R$ . By Proposition 1.4, we have

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}^i(\mathcal{C}(-, X)/J_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X), \mathcal{C}) = 0 \quad (i \neq d), \quad \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}^d(\mathcal{C}(-, X)/J_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X), \mathcal{C}) \in \text{sim } \mathcal{C}.$$

Thus we obtain the following exact sequence.

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, -) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(C_0, -) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(C_{d-2}, -) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y, -) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}^d(\mathcal{C}(-, X)/J_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X), \mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

This is the minimal projective resolution of  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}^d(\mathcal{C}(-, X)/J_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X), \mathcal{C}) \in \text{mod } \mathcal{C}$ . Thus if we can prove  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}^d(\mathcal{C}(-, X)/J_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X), \mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{C}(\nu X, -)/J_{\mathcal{C}}(\nu X, -)$ , then  $Y \cong \nu X$  holds and so the assertion follows. Since  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}^d(\mathcal{C}(-, X)/J_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X), \mathcal{C}) \in \text{sim } \mathcal{C}$  holds, it is enough to show  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}^d(\mathcal{C}(-, X)/J_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X), \mathcal{C}(-, \nu X)) \neq 0$ . If we rewrite the minimal projective resolution of  $\mathcal{C}(-, X)/J_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X)$  as  $\mathcal{C}(-, D.) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(-, X)/J_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X) \longrightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}^d(\mathcal{C}(-, X)/J_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X), \mathcal{C}(-, \nu X)) &\cong H^d(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}(\mathcal{C}(-, D.), \mathcal{C}(-, \nu X))) \cong H^d(\mathcal{C}(D., \nu X)) \\ &\cong H^d(\mathcal{C}(X, D.)^\vee) \quad (\because \text{Lemma 1.7}) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_R^d(\mathcal{C}(X, X)/J_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X), \omega) \quad (\because \mathcal{C}(X, D.) \in \text{CM } R). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{C}(X, X)/J_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X) \in \text{fl } R$  holds, we have  $\text{Ext}_R^d(\mathcal{C}(X, X)/J_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X), \omega) \neq 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

By the uniqueness of sink and source sequences, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.13.** *Let  $Y \rightarrow C_{d-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow X$  be a complex in  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $X \in \text{ind } \mathcal{C}$ . Then it is a sink sequence of  $X$  if and only if it is a source sequence of  $Y$  if and only if it is a  $(d-1)$ -almost split sequence. If these conditions hold, then we have  $Y = \nu X$ .*

**1.3. CT modules.** In this section, we introduce the concept of CT modules as [IW14, 5.1]. Later, we see that every CT module gives an NCCR (Theorem 1.17(1)).

**Definition 1.14.** [IW14, 5.1] A module  $M \in \text{CM } \Lambda$  is called a *CT module* if the following holds.

$$\text{add } M = \{X \in \text{CM } \Lambda \mid \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, X) \in \text{CM } R\} = \{X \in \text{CM } \Lambda \mid \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, M) \in \text{CM } R\}$$

Remark that if  $M \in \text{CM } \Lambda$  is a CT module, then  $\text{add } M$  contains  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda^\vee$ . When  $d = 2$ , a module  $M \in \text{CM } \Lambda$  is CT if and only if it is an additive generator of  $\text{CM } \Lambda$  by the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.15.** *Let  $X, Y \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ . If  $\text{depth}_R Y \geq 2$ , then  $\text{depth}_R(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, Y)) \geq 2$  holds.*

*Proof.* Let  $P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$  be a projective presentation of  $X$ . Then we have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_0, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_1, Y)$ . Since  $\text{depth}_R(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_i, Y)) \geq 2$  holds, the assertion follows by using the depth lemma.  $\square$

If  $\Lambda$  is an isolated singularity, then the concept of CT modules coincides with that of  $(d - 1)$ -cluster tilting modules [I07A, 2.2], i.e. a module  $M \in \text{CM } \Lambda$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \text{add } M &= \{X \in \text{CM } \Lambda \mid \text{Ext}_\Lambda^i(M, X) = 0 \ (1 \leq \forall i \leq d - 2)\} \\ &= \{X \in \text{CM } \Lambda \mid \text{Ext}_\Lambda^i(X, M) = 0 \ (1 \leq \forall i \leq d - 2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 1.16.** [I07A, 2.5.1] *Assume that  $\Lambda$  is an isolated singularity. For  $X, Y \in \text{CM } \Lambda$ , the condition  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, Y) \in \text{CM } R$  is equivalent to  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^i(X, Y) = 0 \ (1 \leq \forall i \leq d - 2)$ . In particular, a module  $M \in \text{CM } \Lambda$  is CT if and only if it is  $(d - 1)$ -cluster tilting.*

We see a characterization of CT modules by a homological property of its endomorphism ring. In particular, we can say that every CT module gives an NCCR. As an immediate consequence, we can see that localizations of CT modules are also CT.

**Theorem 1.17.** [IW14, 5.4, 5.5]

- (1) *A module  $M \in \text{CM } \Lambda$  is CT if and only if  $\Lambda \in \text{add } M$  holds and  $\text{End}_\Lambda(M)$  is a regular  $R$ -order.*
- (2) *Let  $M \in \text{CM } \Lambda$  be a CT module and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ . Then the module  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{CM } \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is also CT.*

As a summary, we obtain the following implications for a reflexive module.

$$(d - 1)\text{-cluster tilting} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}} \\ \xleftarrow[\Lambda: \text{ isolated singularity}]{\hspace{2cm}} \end{array} \text{CT} \longleftrightarrow \text{NCCR+generator}$$

Next, we see that the  $(R_1)$ -condition follows from the existence of CT modules.

**Proposition 1.18.** *If  $\Lambda$  has a CT module  $M \in \text{CM } \Lambda$ , then  $\Lambda$  satisfies the  $(R_1)$ -condition.*

*Proof.* Take  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$  with  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} \leq 1$ . Since  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay, there exists  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } R$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$  and  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{q} = 2$  hold. By Theorem 1.17(2), we know that  $M_{\mathfrak{q}} \in \text{CM } \Lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is a CT module. Thus  $\text{add } M_{\mathfrak{q}} = \text{CM } \Lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}$  holds since  $\dim R_{\mathfrak{q}} = 2$ . Therefore  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is an isolated singularity by Proposition 1.3. In conclusion,  $(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{q}})_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{q}}} = \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a regular  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -order.  $\square$

Now we assume that  $M \in \text{CM } \Lambda$  is a CT module. Let  $\mathcal{C} := \text{add } M$  and  $X \in \text{ind } \mathcal{C}$ . By Theorem 1.17(1) and Proposition 1.18, we can apply Theorem 1.12 and thus there exists a  $(d - 1)$ -almost split sequence  $\nu X \rightarrow C_{d-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . In this situation, we can deduce the exactness of this complex.

**Proposition 1.19.** *Let  $\nu X \rightarrow C_{d-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow X$  be a  $(d - 1)$ -almost split sequence in  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

- (1) *If  $X \notin \text{proj } \Lambda$ , then the following complex is exact.*

$$0 \longrightarrow \nu X \longrightarrow C_{d-2} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow C_0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0.$$

- (2) *If  $X \in \text{proj } \Lambda$ , then the following complex is exact.*

$$0 \longrightarrow \nu X \longrightarrow C_{d-2} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow C_0 \longrightarrow X.$$

*Proof.* We obtain the assertions by substituting  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}$  for the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(-, \nu X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(-, C_{d-2}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(-, C_0) \rightarrow J_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X) \longrightarrow 0. \quad \square$$

In this setting, not the complex  $\nu X \rightarrow C_{d-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow X$  but the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \nu X \rightarrow C_{d-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$  is called  $(d-1)$ -almost split sequence when  $X \notin \text{proj } \Lambda$ . If  $X \in \text{proj } \Lambda$ , then the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \nu X \rightarrow C_{d-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow X$  is called  $(d-1)$ -fundamental sequence (see [I07A, 3.1]).

**1.4. Auslander-Reiten quivers.** We introduce the Auslander-Reiten quivers for NCCRs.

**Definition 1.20.** [INP, 3.11]

- (1) A *valued quiver* is a triple  $Q = (Q_0, d, d')$  consisting of a set  $Q_0$  and maps  $d, d': Q_0 \times Q_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ . We often visualize  $Q$  by regarding elements of  $Q_0$  as vertices and drawing a valued arrow  $X \xrightarrow{(d_{XY}, d'_{XY})} Y$  for each  $(X, Y) \in Q_0 \times Q_0$  with  $(d_{XY}, d'_{XY}) \neq (0, 0)$ .
- (2) Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a skeletally small Krull-Schmidt category. For  $X, Y \in \text{ind } \mathcal{C}$ , we let

$$D_X := (\mathcal{C}/J_{\mathcal{C}})(X, X), \text{Irr}(X, Y) := (J_{\mathcal{C}}/J_{\mathcal{C}}^2)(X, Y),$$

$$d_{XY} := \dim \text{Irr}(X, Y)_{D_X}, d'_{XY} := \dim_{D_Y} \text{Irr}(X, Y).$$

We define the *Auslander-Reiten quiver* of  $\mathcal{C}$ , which is denoted by  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C})$ , as the valued quiver  $(\text{ind } \mathcal{C}, d, d')$ .

- (3) If  $\mathcal{C} = \text{add } M$  where  $M \in \text{ref } \Lambda$  gives an NCCR, then we draw a dotted arrow  $X \cdots \cdots \rightarrow \nu X$  in  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C})$  for each  $X \in \text{ind } \mathcal{C}$  with  $X \notin \text{proj } \Lambda$ .

If  $\Lambda$  is a symmetric order (i.e.  $\Lambda \cong \Lambda^\vee$  holds as  $(\Lambda, \Lambda)$ -bimodules), then we have  $\nu = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . Thus in this case we often omit the dotted arrows in (3). To draw the Auslander-Reiten quivers, it is essential to find sink or source maps.

**Definition 1.21.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Krull-Schmidt category,  $X, Y \in \text{ind } \mathcal{C}$  and  $Z \in \mathcal{C}$ .

- (1) A morphism  $Z \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is called a *sink map* if it induces the projective cover  $\mathcal{C}(-, Z) \rightarrow J_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X)$  in  $\text{Mod } \mathcal{C}$ .
- (2) Dually, a morphism  $Y \rightarrow Z$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is called a *source map* if it induces the projective cover  $\mathcal{C}(Z, -) \rightarrow J_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, -)$  in  $\text{Mod } \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ .

**Example 1.22.** Let  $M \in \text{ref } \Lambda$  be a module giving an NCCR. If  $Y \xrightarrow{b} C_{d-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0 \xrightarrow{a} X$  is a  $(d-1)$ -almost split sequence in  $\text{add } M$ , then  $a$  is a sink map and  $b$  is a source map by definition.

We see how we can obtain information about  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C})$  from sink or source maps in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Proposition 1.23.** [INP, 3.12] *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a skeletally small Krull-Schmidt category,  $X, Y \in \text{ind } \mathcal{C}$  and  $Z \in \mathcal{C}$ .*

- (1) *If  $a: Z \rightarrow X$  is a sink map, then it induces an isomorphism  $a \circ -: (\mathcal{C}/J_{\mathcal{C}})(-, Z) \xrightarrow{\cong} (J_{\mathcal{C}}/J_{\mathcal{C}}^2)(-, X)$ . Thus we have  $Z \cong \bigoplus_{W \in \text{ind } \mathcal{C}} W^{\oplus d_{WX}}$ .*
- (2) *If  $b: Y \rightarrow Z$  is a source map, then it induces an isomorphism  $- \circ b: (\mathcal{C}/J_{\mathcal{C}})(Z, -) \xrightarrow{\cong} (J_{\mathcal{C}}/J_{\mathcal{C}}^2)(Y, -)$ . Thus we have  $Z \cong \bigoplus_{W \in \text{ind } \mathcal{C}} W^{\oplus d'_{YW}}$ .*

For example, if  $\mathcal{C} = \text{add } M$  where  $M \in \text{ref } \Lambda$  gives an NCCR, then we can draw  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C})$  by determining all  $(d-1)$ -almost split sequences.

## 2. AUSLANDER-REITEN THEORY FOR QUOTIENT SINGULARITIES ADMITTING FIELD EXTENSIONS

Let  $d \geq 2$  be an integer. We assume the following settings.

- (B1)  $l/k$  is a finite Galois extension of fields.
- (B2)  $G$  is a finite subgroup of  $GL_d(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k)$  with  $|G|$  not divided by  $\text{char } k$  and  $k = l^G$ .
- (B3)  $S := l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$  is the formal power series ring,  $\mathfrak{n} := (x_1, \dots, x_d)$  is the maximal ideal of  $S$  and  $R := S^G$  is the ring of invariants.

Remark that the action of  $(A, \sigma) \in GL_d(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k)$  on  $S$  as a  $k$ -algebra is defined by

$$(A, \sigma)(\lambda) := \sigma(\lambda) \ (\lambda \in l) \text{ and } (A, \sigma)(x_j) := a_{1j}x_1 + \dots + a_{dj}x_d \ (A = [a_{ij}]_{i,j}).$$

Now we have the *Reynolds operator*  $\rho: S \rightarrow R; s \mapsto \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g(s)$ . Observe that this is a retraction of the inclusion map  $R \hookrightarrow S$  as an  $R$ -module homomorphism.

**Proposition 2.1.** *The ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{n} \cap R, k)$  is a  $d$ -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay complete local normal domain and  $S \in \text{CM } R$  holds.*

*Proof.* To show  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is a  $d$ -dimensional Noetherian local normal domain and  $S$  is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module is just a routine (see, for example, [LW]). We only prove  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay and complete and  $S \in \text{CM } R$ .

Since  $S \in \text{mod } R$  holds, we have  $\text{depth}_R S = \text{depth}_S S = d$ . Thus  $S \in \text{CM } R$  holds. Moreover,  $\text{depth}_R R = d$  follows since  $R$  is a direct summand of  $S$ . Since  $(S/\mathfrak{m}S, \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{m}S)$  is a finite dimensional local  $k$ -algebra, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathfrak{n}^n \subseteq \mathfrak{m}S$  holds. Thus the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic topology on  $S$  coincides with the  $\mathfrak{n}$ -adic one. Thus  $R$  is complete since it is a submodule of a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $S$  which is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic complete.  $\square$

Note that  $l[x_1, \dots, x_d]^G$  is a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra since it is an  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded Noetherian ring. Thus we can say that  $S$  is a completion of a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra. We remark that most arguments in this section are parallel to the classical case.

**2.1.  $S$  is a CT module.** In this section, we prove that  $S \in \text{CM } R$  is a CT module (Theorem 2.3). First, we show the two-dimensional version of Theorem 2.3 since it is easier than the higher dimensional case and is independent of Theorem 2.5.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Under the setting (B1), (B2) and (B3), assume  $d = 2$ . Then  $S$  is an additive generator of  $\text{CM } R$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $M \in \text{CM } R$ . Observe that  $M \cong \text{Hom}_R(R, M)$  can be seen as a direct summand of  $\text{Hom}_R(S, M)$  as an  $R$ -module through a split monomorphism  $\text{Hom}_R(\rho, M)$ . We know that  $\text{Hom}_R(S, M) \in \text{CM } R$  holds by Lemma 1.15(1). Thus as an  $S$ -module,  $\text{Hom}_R(S, M) \in \text{CM } S = \text{proj } S$  follows.  $\square$

In the representation theory, homological properties of endomorphism rings play an important role. For example, Proposition 1.17 states that whether a module is CT or not can be judged from a certain homological property of its endomorphism ring. In addition, in the proof of Theorem 1.12, we deduced a representation theoretic property (the existence of  $(d-1)$ -almost split sequences) from a homological property (Gorenstein condition) of endomorphism rings. We further remark that the so-called Auslander-Iyama correspondence realizes this philosophy (see [A71, I07B]). Our goal in this subsection is to show the following theorem which is a generalization of [IW14, 5.7] or [I07A, 2.5] by using Proposition 1.17.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Under the setting (B1), (B2) and (B3),*

- (1) *The module  $S \in \text{CM } R$  is CT.*
- (2) *In particular, if  $R$  is an isolated singularity, then  $S \in \text{CM } R$  is a  $(d-1)$ -cluster tilting module.*

To achieve this, we study  $\text{End}_R(S)$ . Let  $H := G \cap GL_d(l)$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $U := \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2$  a  $d$ -dimensional  $l$ -linear space. For  $A \in H$ , we let  $U_A := \text{Im}(A - I_d: U \rightarrow U) \subseteq U$  be an  $l$ -linear subspace

of  $U$  where  $I_d \in GL_d(l)$  is the unit matrix. Observe that  $U$  can be viewed as an  $l$ -linear subspace of  $S$ . We define the smallness of  $H$ .

**Definition 2.4.** We say that  $A \in GL_d(l)$  is a *pseudo-reflection* if  $\text{rank}(A - I_d) \leq 1$ . We call  $H \subseteq GL_d(l)$  *small* if  $H$  contains no pseudo-reflection except for  $I_d$ .

For example, every finite subgroup of  $SL_d(l)$  is small. Remark that although  $H \subseteq GL_d(l)$  is small, there may exist an element  $(A, \sigma) \in G$  with  $A \neq I_d$  pseudo-reflection (see Example 5.2). We have the following result which is crucial to Theorem 2.3.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Under the setting (B1), (B2) and (B3), if  $H \subseteq GL_d(l)$  is small, then the canonical ring homomorphism*

$$S * G \rightarrow \text{End}_R(S); s * g \mapsto (s' \mapsto sg(s'))$$

*is an isomorphism.*

We prove this theorem in Section 3. Using this theorem, we can prove Theorem 2.3. This immediately follows if  $H \subseteq GL_d(l)$  is small. If not, we replace  $G$  so that  $H \subseteq GL_d(l)$  is small.

*Proof of Theorem 2.3.* By the existence of the Reynolds operator, we have  $R \in \text{add } S$ . Thus we have only to show that  $\text{End}_R(S)$  is a regular  $R$ -order.

We can take a group homomorphism  $\phi: G \rightarrow GL_d(l) \rtimes \text{Aut}_k^{\text{al}}(l)$  and an  $l$ -algebra monomorphism  $\theta: l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]] \rightarrow l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$  as in Proposition 6.4. Through the induced isomorphism  $l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]^{\phi(G)} \cong l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]^G$ , we have

$$\text{add}_{l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]^{\phi(G)}} l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]] \simeq \text{add}_{l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]^G} \text{Im } \theta = \text{add}_{l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]^G} l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]].$$

This means that  $\text{End}_R(S)$  is Morita equivalent to  $\text{End}_{l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]^{\phi(G)}}(l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]])$ , which is isomorphic to  $S * \phi(G)$  by Theorem 2.5. Thus the assertion holds by Proposition 4.8.  $\square$

Finally, we see a necessary and sufficient condition for  $R$  to be an isolated singularity with the assumption that  $H \subseteq GL_d(l)$  is small. We give a proof in Section 3.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Under the setting (B1), (B2) and (B3), if  $H \subseteq GL_d(l)$  is small, then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) *The ring  $R$  is an isolated singularity.*
- (2) *Any element  $A \neq I_d$  of  $H$  does not have eigenvalue one.*

**2.2. Auslander-Reiten sequences.** From now on, we denote  $\mathcal{C}_R := \text{add}_R S \subseteq \text{CM } R$ . In the rest of this paper, we further assume the following.

(B4)  $H := G \cap GL_d(l) \subseteq GL_d(l)$  is small.

Then by Theorem 2.5, we have  $\text{End}_R(S) \cong S * G$ . Since  $S * G \rightarrow (S * G)^{\text{op}}; s * g \mapsto g^{-1}(s) * g^{-1}$  gives a ring isomorphism, we have  $\text{End}_R(S) \cong (S * G)^{\text{op}}$ . Combining with Proposition 1.9, we obtain the following.

**Proposition 2.7.** *We have a categorical equivalence*

$$\Phi := \text{Hom}_R(S, -): \mathcal{C}_R \rightarrow \text{proj } S * G$$

*where the left  $S * G$ -module structure on  $\text{Hom}_R(S, M)$  is given by  $((s * g)f)(s') := f(g^{-1}(ss'))$ .*

Now we consider about a quasi-inverse of  $\Phi$ . Let

$$\Psi := (-)^G: \text{proj } S * G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_R$$

be a functor taking the  $G$ -invariant part. To see the image of  $\Psi$  is contained in  $\mathcal{C}_R$ , it is enough to show  $\Psi(S * G) \cong S$ , which will be proved in the following proposition since  $S * G \cong \Phi(S)$  holds.

**Proposition 2.8.** *The functor  $\Psi$  is a quasi-inverse of  $\Phi$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\rho: S \rightarrow R$  be the Reynolds operator. For  $M \in \mathcal{C}_R$ , let  $\eta_M := - \circ \rho : \text{Hom}_R(R, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(S, M)^G$ . We shall show that  $\eta : \text{Id} \Rightarrow \Psi \circ \Phi$  is a natural isomorphism.

First, we show the well-definedness. Take  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(R, M)$ , then we have

$$(g \cdot \eta_M(f))(s) = f(\rho(g^{-1} \cdot s)) = f(\rho(s)) = (\eta_M(f))(s).$$

The injectivity is obvious from the surjectivity of  $\rho$ .

Finally, we show the surjectivity. Take  $h \in \text{Hom}_R(S, M)^G$ , then we have

$$(\eta_M(h|_R))(s) = h(\rho(s)) = h\left(\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g(s)\right) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} (g^{-1} \cdot h)(s) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} h(s) = h(s). \quad \square$$

From this equivalence, we can see that  $\text{ind } \mathcal{C}_R$  corresponds to  $\text{ind } \text{proj } S * G$  bijectively. Moreover,  $\text{ind } \text{proj } S * G$  bijectively corresponds to  $\text{sim } S * G$ , which is equal to  $\text{sim } l * G$  by the next lemma.

**Lemma 2.9.** *The Jacobson radical  $J_{S * G}$  of  $S * G$  is just  $\mathfrak{n}(S * G)$ . Thus  $(S * G)/J_{S * G} = l * G$  holds.*

*Proof.* Since  $(S/\mathfrak{m}S, \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{m}S)$  is a finite dimensional local  $R/\mathfrak{m}$ -algebra, there exists  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathfrak{n}^i \subseteq \mathfrak{m}S$  holds. Thus  $\mathfrak{n}^i(S * G) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}(S * G)$  follows. Since  $S * G$  is a module-finite  $R$ -algebra, we have  $\mathfrak{m}(S * G) \subseteq J_{S * G}$ . Combining these results, we obtain  $\mathfrak{n}(S * G) \subseteq J_{S * G}$  since in  $(S * G)/\mathfrak{n}^i(S * G) (= (S/\mathfrak{n}^i) * G)$ , the ideal  $\mathfrak{n}(S * G)/\mathfrak{n}^i(S * G)$  is nilpotent. We can deduce  $\mathfrak{n}(S * G) = J_{S * G}$  because  $(S * G)/\mathfrak{n}(S * G) = l * G$  is semisimple by Proposition 4.8.  $\square$

In summary, we obtain the following bijections.

**Definition-Proposition 2.10.** There exist bijections as follows:

$$\text{ind } \mathcal{C}_R \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}_R(S, -)} \\ \xrightarrow{(-)^G} \end{array} \text{ind } \text{proj } S * G \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{(S/\mathfrak{n}) \otimes_S -} \\ \xrightarrow{S \otimes_l -} \end{array} \text{sim } l * G.$$

For  $V \in \text{mod } l * G$ , we denote by

$$M(V) := (S \otimes_l V)^G \in \mathcal{C}_R$$

the correspondent to  $V$ .

For example, for the trivial representation  $l \in \text{sim } l * G$  (see Example 4.2), we have  $M(l) = (S \otimes_l l)^G = R$ .

**Remark 2.11.** Remark that the rank of  $M(V)$  as an  $R$ -module coincides with the dimension of  $V$  as an  $l$ -linear space since  $\dim_l V = \text{rank}_S \text{Hom}_R(S, M(V)) = \text{rank}_R M(V)$ .

Recall that  $(d-1)$ -almost split sequences in  $\mathcal{C}_R$  correspond to minimal projective resolutions of simple left  $S * G$ -modules (=simple left  $l * G$ -modules) (see Corollary 1.13). This is given explicitly as follows.

**Proposition 2.12.** *We view  $U := \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2$  as a left  $l * G$ -module through the natural  $G$ -action. The minimal projective resolution of  $V \in \text{mod } l * G$  in  $\text{mod } S * G$  is given by applying  $- \otimes_l V$  to the Koszul complex*

$$0 \longrightarrow S \otimes_l \bigwedge^d U \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow S \otimes_l \bigwedge^2 U \longrightarrow S \otimes_l U \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow l \longrightarrow 0.$$

That is,

$$0 \longrightarrow S \otimes_l \bigwedge^d U \otimes_l V \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow S \otimes_l \bigwedge^2 U \otimes_l V \longrightarrow S \otimes_l U \otimes_l V \longrightarrow S \otimes_l V \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow 0$$

is the minimal projective resolution of  $V$  in  $\text{mod } S * G$ .

This proposition forces us to define the McKay quivers as follows.

**Definition 2.13.** Take  $V_1, V_2 \in \text{sim } l * G$ . Let  $d_{V_1 V_2} \geq 0$  be the number of  $V_1$  appearing as direct summands of  $U \otimes_l V_2$  where  $U = \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2 \in \text{mod } l * G$ . Let  $d'_{V_1 V_2} \geq 0$  be the number of  $V_2$  appearing as direct summands of  $\bigwedge^{d-1} U \otimes_l V'_1$  where  $V'_1 \in \text{sim } l * G$  is the irreducible representation satisfying  $V_1 = \bigwedge^d U \otimes_l V'_1$ . We define the *McKay quiver of  $l * G$*  (we denote  $\text{MK}(l * G)$ ) as a valued quiver (see Definition 1.20).

$$\text{MK}(l * G) := (\text{sim } l * G, d, d')$$

Combining with Corollary 1.13, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.14.** *Under the setting (B1), (B2) and (B3), we assume the condition (B4). Let  $V \in \text{sim } l * G$  and  $U := \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2 \in \text{mod } l * G$ . Then  $\nu(M(V)) = M(\bigwedge^d U \otimes_l V)$  holds. Moreover, we have a  $(d-1)$ -almost split sequence of the following form.*

$$M\left(\bigwedge^d U \otimes_l V\right) \longrightarrow M\left(\bigwedge^{d-1} U \otimes_l V\right) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M(U \otimes_l V) \longrightarrow M(V)$$

Thus the Auslander-Reiten quiver  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_R)$  coincides with  $\text{MK}(l * G)$ .

In particular, we can see that the canonical module  $\omega \in \text{ind } \mathcal{C}_R$  corresponds to  $\bigwedge^d U \in \text{sim } l * G$  since  $M(\bigwedge^d U) = \nu(M(l)) = \nu(R) = \omega$ .

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3 AND THEOREM 2.6

**3.1. Preliminaries on rings of invariants.** In this subsection, we review some basic facts on rings of invariants for the convenience of the reader. Throughout this subsection, we consider the following settings.

- (C1)  $B$  is a commutative Noetherian normal domain.
- (C2)  $G \subseteq \text{Aut}^{\text{al}}(B)$  is a finite subgroup with  $|G| \cdot 1_B$  invertible in  $B$ .
- (C3)  $A := B^G$  is the ring of invariants.

It is a routine to see that  $A$  is a Noetherian normal domain and  $B$  is finitely generated as an  $A$ -module. In this setting, we have a ring homomorphism

$$\gamma: B * G \rightarrow \text{End}_A(B); b * g \mapsto (b' \mapsto bg(b')).$$

First, we study sufficient conditions for  $\gamma$  to be an isomorphism.

**Proposition 3.1.** *If the extension  $A \subseteq B$  is separable, then  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* The surjectivity follows from [IT, 3.6]. The injectivity also follows since the ranks of  $B * G$  and  $\text{End}_A(B)$  are equal.  $\square$

Combining with Lemma 1.5, we obtain the following immediately.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Under the settings (C1), (C2) and (C3), if the extension  $A \subseteq B$  is unramified in codimension one, then  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Take  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$  with  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = 1$ . Since the extension  $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is unramified, it is separable (see, for example, [IT, 3.8]). Thus  $\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}: B_{\mathfrak{p}} * G \rightarrow \text{End}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(B_{\mathfrak{p}})$  is an isomorphism by Proposition 3.1. Therefore  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism by Lemma 1.5.  $\square$

Second, we see how we can judge whether the extension  $A \subseteq B$  or its localization is unramified. Most arguments are parallel to [IY, 8.1]. Let  $\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Spec } B$  and  $\mathfrak{p} := \mathfrak{P} \cap A \in \text{Spec } A$ . We let  $\mathfrak{P}_1 = \mathfrak{P}, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_n$  be all the prime ideals of  $S$  lying over  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *The action  $G \curvearrowright \{\mathfrak{P}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_n\}$  is transitive.*

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists no  $g \in G$  with  $\mathfrak{P}_1 = g(\mathfrak{P}_2)$ . Since the extension  $A \subseteq B$  is integral,  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \not\subseteq g(\mathfrak{P}_2)$  ( $\forall g \in G$ ) holds. By the prime avoidance, there exists  $x \in \mathfrak{P}_1$  such that  $x \notin g(\mathfrak{P}_2)$  ( $\forall g \in G$ ) holds. Then the element  $\prod_{g \in G} g(x)$  does not belong to  $\mathfrak{P}_2$ , but belongs to  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

By taking  $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic completion, we have  $\widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}_1} \times \cdots \times \widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}_n}$ . The action of  $G$  on  $B$  can naturally be extended to that on  $\widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . It is easy to see that  $(\widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{p}})^G = \widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  holds.

We have the *decomposition group*  $D(\mathfrak{P})$  and the *inertia group*  $T(\mathfrak{P})$ .

$$D(\mathfrak{P}) := \{g \in G \mid g(\mathfrak{P}) = \mathfrak{P}\}, T(\mathfrak{P}) := \{g \in G \mid g(x) - x \in \mathfrak{P} \ (\forall x \in B)\}$$

Remark that the equation  $n = [G : D(\mathfrak{P})]$  holds by Proposition 3.3. Similar as above,  $D(\mathfrak{P})$  naturally acts on  $\widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ . Note that we may view  $\widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** *The equation  $(\widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}})^{D(\mathfrak{P})} = \widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  holds.*

*Proof.* Take  $x \in (\widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}})^{D(\mathfrak{P})}$ . By Proposition 3.3, there exist  $g_1 = e, g_2, \dots, g_n \in G$  such that  $g_i(\mathfrak{P}) = \mathfrak{P}_i$  ( $1 \leq \forall i \leq n$ ) hold. Consider the element  $x' := (g_i(x))_i \in \widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}_1} \times \cdots \times \widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}_n} = \widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Take  $g \in G$ . If  $g(\mathfrak{P}_i) = \mathfrak{P}_j$ , we have  $g(g_i(x)) = g_j((g_j^{-1}gg_i)(x)) = g_j(x)$  since  $g_j^{-1}gg_i \in D(\mathfrak{P})$ . Thus  $g(x') = x'$  holds, which means  $x' \in (\widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{p}})^G = \widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .  $\square$

Let  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$  (respectively  $\kappa(\mathfrak{P})$ ) be the residue field of the local ring  $\widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  (respectively  $\widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ ). By Proposition 3.4, we can easily see that the extension  $\kappa(\mathfrak{P})/\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$  is a Galois extension with Galois group  $D(\mathfrak{P})/T(\mathfrak{P})$ . Let  $f := [\kappa(\mathfrak{P}) : \kappa(\mathfrak{p})] = [D(\mathfrak{P}) : T(\mathfrak{P})]$ .

Let  $e := \text{length}_{B_{\mathfrak{P}}}(B_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{P}})$ . Remark that  $\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{P}_1^e \cdots \mathfrak{P}_n^e B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  holds when  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = 1$ . Observe that  $e = 1$  holds if and only if the extension  $A \subseteq B$  is unramified at  $\mathfrak{P}$ . By Proposition 3.3, we have  $\text{length}_{B_{\mathfrak{P}_i}}(B_{\mathfrak{P}_i}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{P}_i}) = e$  ( $1 \leq \forall i \leq n$ ). Using  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}} = B_{\mathfrak{P}_1}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{P}_1} \times \cdots \times B_{\mathfrak{P}_n}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{P}_n}$ , we obtain  $\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})}(B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}}) = nef$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *Under the settings (C1), (C2) and (C3), if  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -free, then we have*

$$|G| = nef, \quad |D(\mathfrak{P})| = ef \quad \text{and} \quad |T(\mathfrak{P})| = e.$$

*In particular, the extension  $A \subseteq B$  is unramified at  $\mathfrak{P}$  if and only if  $|T(\mathfrak{P})| = 1$  holds.*

*Proof.* Since  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -free, we have  $\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})}(B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{rank}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(B_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . This leads to the first equation. The others also follows by  $[G : D(\mathfrak{P})] = n$  and  $[D(\mathfrak{P}) : T(\mathfrak{P})] = f$ .  $\square$

If  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = 1$ , then  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -free since  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a discrete valuation ring. In this case, Theorem 3.5 is nothing but [S, I.4.10].

Finally, we consider a necessary and sufficient condition for  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  to be regular with the assumption that  $B_{\mathfrak{P}}$  is regular.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $l/k$  be a finite Galois extension with Galois group  $D$ . For  $V \in \text{Mod } l * D$ , we have*

$$lV^D = V.$$

*Proof.* We denote  $D = \{d_1, \dots, d_m\}$  and let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in l$  be a  $k$ -basis. Let  $C := [d_j(\lambda_i)]_{i,j} \in M_m(l)$ , then we have  $\det(C) \neq 0$ . Here, for  $v \in V$ ,

$$C \begin{bmatrix} d_1(v) \\ \vdots \\ d_m(v) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} d_1(\lambda_1 v) + \cdots + d_m(\lambda_1 v) \\ \vdots \\ d_1(\lambda_m v) + \cdots + d_m(\lambda_m v) \end{bmatrix} \in (V^D)^m$$

holds. Thus we have the assertion by multiplying  $C^{-1}$ .  $\square$

Using this lemma, we can exhibit a sufficient condition for the  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  to be free.



**Proposition 3.7.** *If  $T(\mathfrak{P})$  is trivial, then  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -free. Thus the extension  $A \subseteq B$  is unramified at  $\mathfrak{P}$  by Theorem 3.5.*

*Proof.* Let  $V := \mathfrak{P}\widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathfrak{P}^2\widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}} \in \text{Mod } \kappa(\mathfrak{P}) * D(\mathfrak{P})$ . By Proposition 3.4, the projection  $\mathfrak{P}\widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}} \rightarrow V$  induces a surjection  $\mathfrak{p}\widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} (= (\mathfrak{P}\widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}})^{D(\mathfrak{P})}) \rightarrow V^{D(\mathfrak{P})}$  (see also Lemma 4.7). This also induces a surjection  $\mathfrak{p}\widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}} \rightarrow \widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}}V^{D(\mathfrak{P})}$ . We obtain  $\widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}}V^{D(\mathfrak{P})} = V$  by applying Lemma 3.6 (remark that  $\text{Gal}(\kappa(\mathfrak{P})/\kappa(\mathfrak{p})) = D(\mathfrak{P})$ ). This means  $\mathfrak{p}\widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}} = \mathfrak{P}\widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}}$  by Nakayama's lemma.

Take elements  $b_1, \dots, b_{|D(\mathfrak{P})|} \in B$  such that their images in  $\kappa(\mathfrak{P})$  form a  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ -basis. Here, note that  $[\kappa(\mathfrak{P}) : \kappa(\mathfrak{p})] = |D(\mathfrak{P})|$ . Since  $\sum_i \widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} b_i + \mathfrak{p}\widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}} = \widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}}$  holds, we have  $\sum_i \widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} b_i = \widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}}$  by Nakayama's lemma. By  $\widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}_1} \times \dots \times \widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}_n}$ , we can conclude that there exists elements  $b_1, \dots, b_{|G|} \in B$  such that  $\sum_i \widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} b_i = \widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  holds. This means  $\sum_i A_{\mathfrak{p}} b_i = B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . These  $b_i$ 's are linearly independent over  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  since  $\text{rank}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(B_{\mathfrak{p}}) = [L : K] = |G|$  holds.  $\square$

Now we can prove the desired proposition.

**Theorem 3.8.** *Under the settings (C1), (C2) and (C3), consider the following two conditions.*

- (1) *The local ring  $B_{\mathfrak{P}}$  is regular and the equation  $|T(\mathfrak{P})| = 1$  holds.*
- (2) *The local ring  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is regular.*

*The condition (1) always implies (2). The converse holds if the extension  $A \subseteq B$  is unramified in codimension one.*

*Proof.* First, assume that the condition (1) holds. By Proposition 3.7, we know  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -free. This implies  $\widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}}$  is  $\widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -free. Since  $\widehat{B}_{\mathfrak{P}}$  is regular, so is  $\widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

Second, assume that the condition (2) holds and the extension  $A \subseteq B$  is unramified in codimension one. Then the extension  $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is unramified by the purity of the branch locus (see [A62, 1.4]). This means that  $B_{\mathfrak{P}}$  is regular. Moreover, we can see that  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -free by Proposition 1.2. Therefore we have  $|T(\mathfrak{P})| = 1$  by Theorem 3.5.  $\square$

**3.2. Proof of Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.6.** Under preliminaries in the previous subsection, we can prove Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.6 easily. First, we investigate the inertia group  $T(\mathfrak{P})$  for  $\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Spec } S$ .

**Proposition 3.9.** *For  $\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Spec } S$  and  $g = (A, \sigma) \in G$ , the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) *The map  $\sigma$  is the identity and  $U_A S \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$  holds.*
- (2) *The inertia group  $T(\mathfrak{P})$  contains  $g$ .*

*Proof.* The condition  $U_A S \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$  is just a rephrasing of  $(A, \text{id}) \in T(\mathfrak{P})$ . Thus we have only to prove  $\sigma = \text{id}$  under (2). Assume  $g \in T(\mathfrak{P})$ . For  $\lambda \in l$ , we have  $\sigma(\lambda) - \lambda = g(\lambda) - \lambda \in \mathfrak{P} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}$ , which means that  $\sigma(\lambda) = \lambda$  holds. Thus  $\sigma$  is the identity.  $\square$

Now we can prove Theorem 2.3. By Theorem 3.2, it is enough to show the following.

**Proposition 3.10.** *The subgroup  $H \subseteq GL_d(l)$  is small if and only if the extension  $R \subseteq S$  is unramified in codimension one.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.5, we have only to show the following: for  $g = (A, \sigma) \in G$ , the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1)  *$A$  is a pseudo-reflection and  $\sigma$  is the identity.*
- (2) *There exists  $\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Spec } S$  with  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{P} = 1$  such that  $g \in T(\mathfrak{P})$  holds.*

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) We may assume  $U_A \neq 0$ . Take  $f \neq 0 \in U_A$  and let  $\mathfrak{P} := (f) \in \text{Spec } S$ . Then  $g \in T(\mathfrak{P})$  holds by Proposition 3.9 since  $U_A = lf$  holds.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Take  $\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Spec } S$  with  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{P} = 1$  and  $g \in T(\mathfrak{P})$ . By Proposition 3.9, we have  $\sigma = \text{id}$  and  $U_A S \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$ . This forces  $\dim_l(U_A) \leq 1$  since  $\mathfrak{P}$  is generated by one element.  $\square$

Finally, we prove Theorem 3.2.

*Proof of Theorem 3.2.* Take  $\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Spec } S$ . By Theorem 3.8, the ring  $R_{\mathfrak{P} \cap R}$  is regular if and only if  $|T(\mathfrak{P})| = 1$ . This is equivalent to that there exists no  $A \neq I_d \in H$  with  $U_A S \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$  by Proposition 3.9. Thus the assertion follows.  $\square$

#### 4. THE MCKAY QUIVER OF $lH$ DETERMINES THAT OF $l * G$

In the previous section, we proved  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_R) = \text{MK}(l * G)$  (see Theorem 2.14). Our main theorem in this section (Theorem 4.16) describes  $\text{MK}(l * G)$  by relating them to  $\text{MK}(lH)$ .

**4.1. Preliminaries on skew group rings.** In this subsection, we summarize basic properties of skew group rings for the convenience of the reader. Let  $A$  be a ring and  $G$  a group acting on  $A$  as a ring. Observe the following basic result about modules over the skew group ring  $A * G$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *For an Abelian group  $M$ , there exists a bijection between the following.*

- (1) *Left  $A * G$ -module structures on  $M$ .*
- (2) *Pairs (a left  $A$ -module structure on  $M$ , an action  $G \curvearrowright M$  as an Abelian group) satisfying  $g \cdot (am) = (g \cdot a)(g \cdot m)$ .*

**Example 4.2.** We can view  $A$  as a left  $A * G$ -module through the given  $G$ -action. We call this the *trivial representation* as in the case of usual group rings.

Especially when  $M$  is a free left  $A$ -module of finite rank, we obtain the following.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Left  $A * G$ -module structures on  $A^m$  extending the natural left  $A$ -module structure bijectively correspond to group homomorphisms  $G \rightarrow GL_m(A) \rtimes \text{Aut}^{\text{al}}(A)$  which make the following diagram commute.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \\ GL_m(A) \rtimes \text{Aut}^{\text{al}}(A) & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}^{\text{al}}(A) \end{array}$$

Next, under the assumption that  $A$  is commutative, we define left  $A * G$ -module structures on tensor products and homomorphisms as in the case of usual group rings. This can be summarized as the category  $\text{Mod } A * G$  admits a closed symmetric monoidal structure.

**Definition 4.4.** Assume  $A$  is commutative. Let  $M, N$  be left  $A * G$ -modules.

- (1) We define left  $A * G$ -module structures on  $M \otimes_A N$  by

$$g \cdot (m \otimes n) := (g \cdot m) \otimes (g \cdot n).$$

- (2) We define left  $A * G$ -module structures on  $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$  by

$$(g \cdot f)(m) := g \cdot (f(g^{-1} \cdot m)).$$

A left  $A * G$ -module structure on  $\bigwedge^m M$  can be defined in the same way. We see that Hom-tensor adjoint holds as usual.

**Proposition 4.5.** *Assume  $A$  is commutative. Let  $L, M, N$  be left  $A * G$ -modules. Then there exists a natural isomorphism*

$$\text{Hom}_{A * G}(L \otimes_A M, N) \cong \text{Hom}_{A * G}(L, \text{Hom}_A(M, N)).$$

*Proof.* We have the usual adjointness  $\text{Hom}_A(L \otimes_A M, N) \cong \text{Hom}_A(L, \text{Hom}_A(M, N))$ . By taking the  $G$ -invariant parts, we obtain the assertion.  $\square$

In the rest of this section, we compare  $\text{l.gl.dim } A * G$  with  $\text{l.gl.dim } A$ .

**Proposition 4.6.** *The inequality  $\text{l.gl.dim } A * G \geq \text{l.gl.dim } A$  holds.*

*Proof.* We may assume  $\text{l.gl.dim } A * G$  is finite. Take  $M \in \text{Mod } A$ . Let

$$0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow (A * G) \otimes_A M \rightarrow 0$$

be a projective resolution in  $\text{Mod } A * G$  with  $n = \text{proj.dim}_{A * G} (A * G) \otimes_A M$ . Here, this exact sequence is also one in  $\text{Mod } A$  since  $A * G$  is free as a left  $A$ -module. Thus we can conclude  $\text{proj.dim}_A M \leq n$  since  $M$  is a direct summand of  $(A * G) \otimes_A M$  as a left  $A$ -module.  $\square$

To obtain the equality, we assume that  $|G| \cdot 1_A$  is invertible in  $A$ .

**Lemma 4.7.** *If  $|G| \cdot 1_A \in A^\times$  holds, then the functor  $(-)^G : \text{Mod } A * G \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  is exact.*

*Proof.* Let  $0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{\phi} M \xrightarrow{\psi} N \rightarrow 0$  be an short exact sequence in  $\text{Mod } A * G$ . We prove  $0 \rightarrow L^G \rightarrow M^G \rightarrow N^G \rightarrow 0$  is also exact.

Only the exactness at  $N^G$  is non-trivial. Take  $z \in N^G$ . By the surjectivity of  $\psi$ , there exists  $y' \in M$  such that  $\psi(y') = z$  holds. Let  $y := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot y'$ , then  $y \in M^G$  and  $\psi(y) = z$  hold.  $\square$

From this lemma, we obtain the following.

**Proposition 4.8.** *Assume  $|G| \cdot 1_A \in A^\times$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $M, N \in \text{Mod } A * G$ , we have*

$$\text{Ext}_A^n(M, N)^G = \text{Ext}_{A * G}^n(M, N).$$

*Especially,  $\text{l.gl.dim } A * G = \text{l.gl.dim } A$  holds.*

*Proof.* Let  $P. \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  be a projective resolution in  $\text{Mod } A * G$ . Then this is also one in  $\text{Mod } A$ . Thus  $\text{Ext}_A^n(M, N)$  is the  $n$ th cohomology of the complex  $\text{Hom}_A(P., N)$ . By the above lemma,  $\text{Ext}_A^n(M, N)^G$  is the  $n$ th cohomology of the complex  $\text{Hom}_A(P., N)^G = \text{Hom}_{A * G}(P., N)$ .  $\square$

**4.2. Simple modules over skew group algebras.** In this subsection, we see how we can determine simple modules over skew group algebras. We discuss in a general setting: let  $l$  be a field,  $G$  a finite group acting on  $l$  as a field and  $k := l^G$ . We assume  $\text{char } l$  does not divide  $|G|$  and thus  $l * G$  is semisimple by Proposition 4.8. By Artin's theorem, we know that  $l/k$  is a finite Galois extension and the natural group homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \text{Gal}(l/k)$  is surjective. We let  $H := \text{Ker}(G \rightarrow \text{Gal}(l/k))$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Our method is to relate  $\text{mod } l * G$  to  $\text{mod } lH$  since we can investigate modules over  $lH$  easily.

**Definition 4.9.** We define the *induction functor*  $\text{Ind}_H^G$  and the *restriction functor*  $\text{Res}_H$  by

$$\text{Ind}_H^G := (l * G) \otimes_{lH} -: \text{mod } lH \rightarrow \text{mod } l * G, \quad \text{Res}_H := {}_{lH}(-): \text{mod } l * G \rightarrow \text{mod } lH.$$

For  $g \in G$  and  $W \in \text{mod } lH$ , we define  $g \otimes W := \{g \otimes w \mid w \in W\} \subseteq (l * G) \otimes_{lH} W$ . Observe that  $G$  acts on the category  $\text{mod } lH$  by  $g \cdot W = g \otimes W$ . Since  $h \otimes W = W$  holds for  $h \in H$ , we can conclude that  $\text{Gal}(l/k) \cong G/H$  acts on the category  $\text{mod } lH$  and thus on the set  $\text{sim } lH$ .

**Theorem 4.10.** *Decompose  $\text{sim } lH$  into the disjoint union of the orbits with respect the  $\text{Gal}(l/k)$ -action:*

$$\text{sim } lH = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^s X_i, \quad \text{where } X_i = \{W_{i1}, \dots, W_{it_i}\}.$$

*Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *There exists a bijection between the set  $\{X_i\}_i$  of the orbits and  $\text{sim } l * G$ :*

$$\text{Gal}(l/k) \backslash \text{sim } lH \cong \text{sim } l * G.$$

*We denote  $V_i \in \text{sim } l * G$  the simple left  $l * G$ -module corresponding to  $X_i$ .*

- (2) *For each  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , there exists  $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\text{Res}_H V_i \cong (W_{i1} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{it_i})^{\oplus a_i}$  holds.*  
(3) *For each  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , there exists  $b_i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\text{Ind}_H^G W_{ij} \cong V_i^{\oplus b_i}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq t_i$ ) holds.*  
(4) *For each  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , the equation  $t_i a_i b_i = [l : k]$  holds.*

To prove Theorem 4.10, we investigate  $\text{Res}_H \text{Ind}_H^G : \text{mod } lH \rightarrow \text{mod } lH$  and  $\text{Ind}_H^G \text{Res}_H : \text{mod } l * G \rightarrow \text{mod } l * G$ .

**Proposition 4.11.** *Let  $T \subseteq G$  be a subset with  $G = \bigsqcup_{g \in T} gH$ . We have a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Res}_H \mathrm{Ind}_H^G = \bigoplus_{g \in T} g \otimes -: \mathrm{mod} \, lH \rightarrow \mathrm{mod} \, lH.$$

*Proof.* We can decompose  $l * G$  into  $\bigoplus_{g \in T} l * (gH)$  as an  $(lH, lH)$ -bimodule. Therefore we have

$$\mathrm{Res}_H \mathrm{Ind}_H^G = \bigoplus_{g \in T} (l * (gH)) \otimes_{lH} - = \bigoplus_{g \in T} g \otimes -. \quad \square$$

Next, we consider  $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G \mathrm{Res}_H$ . Unlike Proposition 4.11, the discussions depend strongly on the definition of  $H$ . We view  $l * (G/H)$  as a left  $l * G$ -module through the natural ring homomorphism  $l * G \rightarrow l * (G/H)$ .

**Lemma 4.12.** *There exists an isomorphism*

$$l * (G/H) \cong l^{\oplus[l:k]}$$

as a left  $l * G$ -module where  $l$  in the right hand side is the trivial representation.

*Proof.* We have ring isomorphisms

$$l * (G/H) \cong l * \mathrm{Gal}(l/k) \cong \mathrm{End}_k(l),$$

where the last one follows from Proposition 3.1. Therefore we have

$${}_{l * G}(l * (G/H)) \cong {}_{l * G} \mathrm{End}_k(l) = \mathrm{Hom}_k(l_k, {}_{l * G} l_k) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_k(k_k^{\oplus[l:k]}, {}_{l * G} l_k) \cong {}_{l * G} l^{\oplus[l:k]}. \quad \square$$

**Proposition 4.13.** *We have a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_H^G \mathrm{Res}_H \cong (-)^{\oplus[l:k]}: \mathrm{mod} \, l * G \rightarrow \mathrm{mod} \, l * G.$$

*Proof.* For  $V \in \mathrm{mod} \, l * G$ , we have an  $l * G$ -homomorphism  $1 \otimes -: V \rightarrow (l * (G/H)) \otimes_l V$ . This induces an  $lH$ -homomorphism  $\mathrm{Res}_H V \rightarrow \mathrm{Res}_H((l * (G/H)) \otimes_l V)$ . By the adjointness, we obtain an  $l * G$ -homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ind}_H^G \mathrm{Res}_H V = (l * G) \otimes_{lH} V \rightarrow (l * (G/H)) \otimes_l V; (\lambda * g) \otimes v \mapsto (\lambda * (gH)) \otimes g(v).$$

This is obviously surjective. The injectivity also follows since  $\dim_l((l * G) \otimes_{lH} V) = [l : k] \dim_l V = \dim_l((l * (G/H)) \otimes_l V)$  holds. Thus the assertion holds by Lemma 4.12.  $\square$

Under these preparations, we can prove Theorem 4.10.

*Proof of Theorem 4.10.* Take  $V \in \mathrm{sim} \, l * G$  and let  $\mathrm{Res}_H V \cong W_1^{\oplus a_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_t^{\oplus a_t}$  the decomposition into simple modules. Since we have

$$V^{\oplus[l:k]} \cong \mathrm{Ind}_H^G \mathrm{Res}_H V \cong \mathrm{Ind}_H^G W_1^{\oplus a_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{Ind}_H^G W_t^{\oplus a_t},$$

there exists  $b_j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G W_j \cong V^{\oplus b_j}$  by the Krull-Remak-Schmidt theorem. Since

$$\mathrm{Res}_H \mathrm{Ind}_H^G W_1 \cong \mathrm{Res}_H V^{\oplus b_1} \cong W_1^{\oplus a_1 b_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_t^{\oplus a_t b_1},$$

the set  $\{W_1, \dots, W_t\}$  coincides with an orbit with respect to the action  $\mathrm{Gal}(l/k) \curvearrowright \mathrm{sim} \, lH$ . Moreover, we obtain  $\dim_l W_1 = \cdots = \dim_l W_t$  and  $\dim_l \mathrm{End}_{lH}(W_1) = \cdots = \dim_l \mathrm{End}_{lH}(W_t)$ . By  $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G W_j \cong V^{\oplus b_j}$  and  $\mathrm{Hom}_{l * G}(V^{\oplus b_j}, V) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{lH}(W_j, \mathrm{Res}_H V) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{lH}(W_j, W_j^{\oplus a_j})$ , we have  $b_j \dim_l V = [l : k] \dim_l W_j$  and  $b_j \dim_l \mathrm{End}_{l * G}(V) = a_j \dim_l \mathrm{End}_{lH}(W_j)$ . Therefore we can conclude  $a_1 = \cdots = a_t$  and  $b_1 = \cdots = b_t$ . Thus the assertions hold.  $\square$

In Section 5, we calculate  $a_i$  by using the equation  $t_i a_i b_i = [l : k]$ .

**4.3. McKay quivers.** In this subsection, we adopt the settings (Q1), (Q2), (Q3) and assume the condition (Q4). Using Theorem 4.10, we can describe an algorithm to determine  $(d-1)$ -almost split (fundamental) sequences in  $\mathcal{C}_R$  and draw the McKay quiver of  $l * G$ . Before that, we see an application of the arguments in the previous subsection, which is a generalization of Watanabe's result [W].

**Theorem 4.14.** *The ring  $R = S^G$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $H \subseteq SL_d(l)$  holds.*

*Proof.* By  $M(l) = R$  and  $M(\bigwedge^d U) = \omega$ ,  $R$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $\bigwedge^d U \cong l$  as a left  $l * G$ -module. By Proposition 4.13, this is equivalent to  $\text{Res}_H \bigwedge^d U \cong \text{Res}_H l$ . Here, for  $A \in H$ , we have  $A(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_d) = (\det A) x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_d$ . Thus this is equivalent to that  $\det A = 1$  holds for all  $A \in H$ .  $\square$

Remark that without the assumption that  $H$  is small, these two conditions are not equivalent. For example, if we put  $G = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \subseteq GL_2(l)$ , then  $G$  is not contained in  $SL_2(l)$  but  $R = l[[x, y^2]]$  is regular (more generally, see Proposition 6.3). In addition, note that the condition  $H \subseteq SL_d(l)$  is weaker than  $G \subseteq SL_d(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k)$  (see Example 5.2).

To state our main theorem in this subsection, we have to define the concept of quotient quiver.

**Definition 4.15.** Let  $Q$  be a quiver and  $G$  a group acting on  $Q$ . We define the quotient quiver  $G \backslash Q$  as follows. The vertices of  $G \backslash Q$  is the set of the orbits with respect to the action of  $G$  on the vertices of  $Q$ . For vertices  $i, j$  of  $G \backslash Q$ , there exists an arrow from  $i$  to  $j$  in  $G \backslash Q$  if there exists an arrow from an element of  $i$  to an element of  $j$  in  $Q$ .

We see that if we forget about valuations, the quiver  $\text{MK}(l * G)$  coincides with the quotient quiver  $\text{Gal}(l/k) \backslash \text{MK}(lH)$ . Moreover, we can determine valuations of  $\text{MK}(l * G)$  by those of  $\text{MK}(lH)$ .

**Theorem 4.16.** *Observe that the group  $\text{Gal}(l/k)$  acts on  $\text{MK}(lH)$ .*

- (1) *We have an equation  $\text{MK}(l * G) = \text{Gal}(l/k) \backslash \text{MK}(lH)$  as quivers without valuations.*
- (2) *Let  $\text{MK}(l * G) = (\text{sim } l * G, d^G, d'^G)$  and  $\text{MK}(lH) = (\text{sim } lH, d^H, d'^H)$ . Take  $V_{i_1}, V_{i_2} \in \text{sim } l * G$ . Remark that  $\sum_{j_2=1}^{t_{i_2}} d_{W_{i_1 j_1} W_{i_2 j_2}}^H$  (respectively,  $\sum_{j_1=1}^{t_{i_1}} d_{W_{i_1 j_1} W_{i_2 j_2}}^H$ ) are common among  $1 \leq j_1 \leq t_{i_1}$  (respectively,  $1 \leq j_2 \leq t_{i_2}$ ), so we denote this by  $d_{i_1 i_2}^H$  (respectively,  $d'_{i_1 i_2}^H$ ). Then we have*

$$d_{V_{i_1} V_{i_2}}^G = \frac{a_{i_2}}{a_{i_1}} d_{i_1 i_2}^H \quad \text{and} \quad d'_{V_{i_1} V_{i_2}}^G = \frac{a_{i_1}}{a_{i_2}} d'_{i_1 i_2}^H.$$

*Proof.* We let  $U := \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2 \in \text{mod } l * G$ . By using  $(\text{Res}_H U) \otimes_l W_{i_2 j_2} = \bigoplus_{i_1=1}^s \bigoplus_{j_1=1}^{t_{i_1}} W_{i_1 j_1}^{\oplus d_{W_{i_1 j_1} W_{i_2 j_2}}^H}$  ( $1 \leq j_2 \leq t_{i_2}$ ) and  $\text{Res}_H V_{i_1} \cong (W_{i_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{i_{t_1}})^{\oplus a_{i_1}}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_H(U \otimes_l V_{i_2}) &= \bigoplus_{i_1=1}^s \bigoplus_{j_1=1}^{t_{i_1}} \bigoplus_{j_2=1}^{t_{i_2}} W_{i_1 j_1}^{\oplus a_{i_2} d_{W_{i_1 j_1} W_{i_2 j_2}}^H} \\ &= \bigoplus_{i_1=1}^s \bigoplus_{j_2=1}^{t_{i_2}} \text{Res}_H V_{i_1}^{\oplus \frac{a_{i_2}}{a_{i_1}} d_{W_{i_1 j_1} W_{i_2 j_2}}^H} = \bigoplus_{i_1=1}^s \text{Res}_H V_{i_1}^{\oplus \frac{a_{i_2}}{a_{i_1}} d_{i_1 i_2}^H}. \end{aligned}$$

This means  $d_{V_{i_1} V_{i_2}}^G = \frac{a_{i_2}}{a_{i_1}} d_{i_1 i_2}^H$ .  $\square$

In fact, more strongly, we can determine each term of  $(d-1)$ -almost split (fundamental) sequences in  $\mathcal{C}_R$ . Let  $V \in \text{mod } l * G$ . By Theorem 2.12, the minimal projective resolution of  $V$  in  $\text{mod } S * G$  is given by

$$0 \longrightarrow S \otimes_l \bigwedge^d U \otimes_l V \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow S \otimes_l U \otimes_l V \longrightarrow S \otimes_l V \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $U := \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2 \in \text{mod } l * G$ . We view this exact sequence as one in  $\text{mod } S * H$ , that is

$$0 \longrightarrow S \otimes_l \bigwedge^d \text{Res}_H U \otimes_l \text{Res}_H V \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow S \otimes_l \text{Res}_H U \otimes_l \text{Res}_H V \longrightarrow S \otimes_l \text{Res}_H V \longrightarrow \text{Res}_H V \longrightarrow 0.$$

This is the minimal projective resolution of  $\text{Res}_H V$  in  $\text{mod } S * H$  by Theorem 2.12. Therefore, if  $\text{Res}_H V = \bigoplus_j W_j$  ( $W_j \in \text{sim } lH$ ), the minimal projective resolution of  $V$  in  $\text{mod } S * G$  is obtained by taking a direct sum of minimal projective resolutions of  $W_j$ 's in  $\text{mod } S * H$ . By this observation, we obtain the following.

**Theorem 4.17.** *Take  $V \in \text{sim } l * G$  which is not the trivial representation and let  $\text{Res}_H V = (W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_t)^{\oplus a}$  where  $W_1, \dots, W_t \in \text{sim } lH$  are non-isomorphic to each other. Assume*

$$0 \longrightarrow N(W_{j,d-1}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow N(W_{j,1}) \longrightarrow N(W_{j,0}) \longrightarrow N(W_j) \longrightarrow 0$$

*is a  $(d-1)$ -almost split sequence in  $\mathcal{C}_{SH}$  where  $N(W) := (S \otimes_l W)^H \in \mathcal{C}_{SH}$  for  $W \in \text{mod } lH$ . For each  $0 \leq p \leq d-1$ , there exists a unique  $V_p \in \text{mod } l * G$  up to isomorphism such that  $\text{Res}_H V_p \cong (W_{1,p} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{t,p})^{\oplus a}$  holds. Then*

$$0 \longrightarrow M(V_{d-1}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M(V_1) \longrightarrow M(V_0) \longrightarrow M(V) \longrightarrow 0$$

*is a  $(d-1)$ -almost split sequence in  $\mathcal{C}_R$ . As for  $(d-1)$ -fundamental sequences, the same assertion holds.*

**4.4. Divisor class groups.** In this subsection we explain how to determine the divisor class group  $\text{Cl}(R)$ . Here, we view  $\text{Cl}(R)$  as the set of isomorphism classes of reflexive  $R$ -modules whose ranks are one.

**Lemma 4.18.** (1) *For  $N \in \text{mod } S$ ,  $N^* = \text{Hom}_R(N, R)$  is reflexive as an  $S$ -module.*  
 (2) *Every reflexive  $R$ -module whose rank is one is a direct summand of  $S \in \text{mod } R$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Take a projective presentation  $P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  in  $\text{mod } S$ . Then we have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N^* \rightarrow P_0^* \rightarrow P_1^*$ . Here  $P_i^* \in \text{proj } S$  holds by  $\text{End}_R(S) \cong S * G$  (see Theorem 2.5). Thus the assertion follows.

(2) Take a reflexive module  $M \in \text{mod } R$  with  $\text{rank}_R M = 1$ . By the existence of the Reynolds operator,  $M$  is a direct summand of  $M \otimes_R S$  as an  $R$ -module. Thus  $M \cong M^{**}$  is a direct summand of  $(M \otimes_R S)^{**}$  as an  $R$ -module where  $(-)^* := \text{Hom}_R(-, R)$ . By (1),  $(M \otimes_R S)^{**}$  is reflexive as an  $S$ -module. Since  $\text{rank}_S(M \otimes_R S)^{**} = 1$ , we can conclude  $(M \otimes_R S)^{**} \in \text{Cl}(S) = \{[S]\}$ .  $\square$

By this lemma, we can view  $\text{Cl}(R)$  as a subset of  $\text{ind } \mathcal{C}_R \cong \text{sim } l * G$ . Next, we see how to calculate the product in  $\text{Cl}(R)$ .

**Proposition 4.19.** *Take  $V, V' \in \text{mod } l * G$  and let  $M(V), M(V') \in \mathcal{C}_R$  be correspondents.*

- (1) *We have  $\text{Hom}_R(M(V), M(V')) \cong M(\text{Hom}_l(V, V'))$  (see Definition 4.4).*  
 (2) *We have  $(M(V) \otimes_R M(V'))^{**} \cong M(V \otimes_l V')$  where  $X^* := \text{Hom}_R(X, R) \in \text{mod } R$  for  $X \in \text{mod } R$ .*

*Proof.* (1) This follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_R((S \otimes_l V)^G, (S \otimes_l V')^G) &\cong \text{Hom}_{S:G}(S \otimes_l V, S \otimes_l V') \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_l V, S \otimes_l V')^G \cong (S \otimes_l \text{Hom}_l(V, V'))^G. \end{aligned}$$

(2) This follows from

$$\begin{aligned} (M(V) \otimes_R M(V'))^{**} &\cong \text{Hom}_R(M(V), \text{Hom}_R(M(V'), R))^* \cong \text{Hom}_R(M(V), M(\text{Hom}_l(V', l)))^* \\ &\cong (M(\text{Hom}_l(V, \text{Hom}_l(V', l))))^* \cong \text{Hom}_R(M(\text{Hom}_l(V \otimes_l V', l)), R) \\ &\cong M(\text{Hom}_l(\text{Hom}_l(V \otimes_l V', l), l)) \cong M(V \otimes_l V'). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

As a consequence, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.20.** (1) *If  $G \subseteq GL_d(l)$  holds, then  $\text{Cl}(R)$  is isomorphic to the group  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G^{\text{ab}}, l^\times)$  where  $G^{\text{ab}}$  is the Abelianization of  $G$ .*

- (2) *In the general case, the group  $\text{Cl}(R)$  can be regarded as a subgroup of  $\text{Cl}(S^H)$ . More explicitly,*

$$\text{Cl}(R) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(S^H); [M] \mapsto [M \otimes_{S^G} S^H]$$

*gives an injective group homomorphism.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.18 and Remark 2.11, we have a bijection

$$\{V \in \text{sim } l * G \mid \dim_l V = 1\} \rightarrow \text{Cl}(R); V \mapsto [M(V)].$$

For  $V, V' \in \text{sim } l * G$  with  $\dim_l V = \dim_l V' = 1$ , we know  $[M(V)] \cdot [M(V')] = [M(V \otimes_l V')] \in \text{Cl}(R)$  by Proposition 4.19.

(1) This follows by the fact that one-dimensional representations in  $\text{sim } lG$  corresponds bijectively to  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G^{\text{ab}}, l^\times)$ . Observe that this correspondence preserves products.

(2) We can regard  $\text{Cl}(R)$  as a subset of  $\text{Cl}(S^H)$  through

$$\{V \in \text{sim } l * G \mid \dim_l V = 1\} \rightarrow \{W \in \text{sim } lH \mid \dim_l W = 1\}; V \mapsto \text{Res}_H V.$$

Moreover, this turns out to be a subgroup since  $\text{Res}_H(V \otimes_l V') = (\text{Res}_H V) \otimes_l (\text{Res}_H V')$  holds. The explicit form of  $\text{Cl}(R) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(S^H)$  is obtained by Proposition 4.21.  $\square$

Let  $V \in \text{sim } l * G$  and  $\text{Res}_H V \cong (W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_s)^{\oplus a}$  be the decomposition to simple modules in  $\text{mod } lH$ . Then it is obvious that  $\dim_l V = 1$  is equivalent to all of  $s = 1$ ,  $a = 1$  and  $\dim_l W_1 = 1$  hold. Thus we can determine easily which elements of  $\text{Cl}(S^H)$  belong to  $\text{Cl}(R)$ .

**4.5. Induction and restriction in terms of Cohen-Macaulay modules.** The results in this subsection, which are of independent interest, will be used only in Appendix A. We interpret the functors  $\text{Ind}_H^G$  and  $\text{Res}_H$  on the side of  $\mathcal{C}_{SG}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{SH}$ . Moreover, we apply them to investigate special Cohen-Macaulay modules when  $d = 2$ . The following proposition is our starting point.

**Proposition 4.21.** *The following diagrams commute.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{C}_{SG} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{SG}(S, -)} \\ \xleftarrow{(-)^G} \end{array} & \text{proj } S * G & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(S/\mathfrak{n}) \otimes_S -} \\ \xleftarrow{S \otimes_l -} \end{array} & \text{mod } l * G \\ \text{Hom}_{SG}(S^H, -) \downarrow & & \downarrow s * H(-) & & \downarrow \text{Res}_H \\ \mathcal{C}_{SH} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{SH}(S, -)} \\ \xleftarrow{(-)^H} \end{array} & \text{proj } S * H & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(S/\mathfrak{n}) \otimes_S -} \\ \xleftarrow{S \otimes_l -} \end{array} & \text{mod } lH \\ s^G(-) \downarrow & & \downarrow (S * G) \otimes_{S * H} - & & \downarrow \text{Ind}_H^G \\ \mathcal{C}_{SG} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{SG}(S, -)} \\ \xleftarrow{(-)^G} \end{array} & \text{proj } S * G & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(S/\mathfrak{n}) \otimes_S -} \\ \xleftarrow{S \otimes_l -} \end{array} & \text{mod } l * G \end{array}$$

*Proof.* In both of the upper and lower diagrams, the commutativity of the right hand sides are obvious. We consider the left hand sides. In the upper diagram,

$$\text{Hom}_{SH}(S, \text{Hom}_{SG}(S^H, -)) \cong \text{Hom}_{SG}(S, -)$$

leads the commutativity. In the lower one, it follows by the adjointness  $(-)^{SG} \dashv \text{Hom}_{SG}(S^H, -)$  and  $(S * G) \otimes_{S * H} - \dashv s * H(-)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.22.** *We have the following isomorphisms.*

- (1)  $\text{Hom}_{SG}(S^H, S^G) \cong S^H$  in  $\text{mod } S^H$
- (2)  $S^H \cong (S^G)^{\oplus [l:k]}$  in  $\text{mod } S^G$

*Proof.* These follow from  $\text{Res}_H l = l$  and  $\text{Ind}_H^G l = l^{\oplus [l:k]}$  (see Proposition 4.13) respectively.  $\square$

We compare two functors  $- \otimes_{SG} S^H$  and  $\text{Hom}_{SG}(S^H, -) : \text{Mod } S^G \rightarrow \text{Mod } S^H$ . Both of these are exact by Corollary 4.22.

**Proposition 4.23.** *Two functors  $- \otimes_{SG} S^H$  and  $\text{Hom}_{SG}(S^H, -) : \text{Mod } S^G \rightarrow \text{Mod } S^H$  are naturally isomorphic.*

*Proof.* There exists an  $S^H$ -isomorphism  $\phi : S^H \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{S^G}(S^H, S^G)$  by Corollary 4.22. For  $M \in \text{Mod } S^G$ , we define a  $S^H$ -homomorphism  $\Phi_M : M \otimes_{S^G} S^H \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{S^G}(S^H, M)$  as

$$\Phi_M(m \otimes s)(t) := m \cdot \phi(s)(t).$$

This defines a natural transformation  $\Phi : - \otimes_{S^G} S^H \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{S^G}(S^H, -)$ . If  $M$  is  $S^G$ -free, then  $\Phi_M$  is an isomorphism since  $\Phi_{S^G} = \phi$  holds and both two functors preserve coproducts. Thus  $\Phi_M$  is an isomorphism for all  $M \in \text{Mod } S^G$  since both two functors are exact.  $\square$

Finally, we see that not only  $\text{Ind}_H^G$  and  $\text{Res}_H$  but also the  $G$ -action on  $\text{mod } lH$  can be interpreted on the side of  $\mathcal{C}_{S^H}$ .

**Proposition 4.24.** *The group  $G$  acts on  $\text{proj } S * H$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{S^H}$  by*

$$g \cdot P = g \otimes P := \{g \otimes p \mid p \in P\} \subseteq (S * G) \otimes_{S * H} P \text{ and}$$

$$g \cdot M = g \otimes M := \{g \otimes m \mid m \in M\} \subseteq (S^H * G) \otimes_{S^H} M$$

respectively. These actions are compatible with functors discussed in Subsection 2.2, i.e. the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{C}_{S^H} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{S^H}(S, -)} \\ \xleftarrow{(-)^H} \end{array} & \text{proj } S * H & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{(S/\mathfrak{n}) \otimes_S -} \\ \xrightarrow{S \otimes_l -} \end{array} & \text{mod } lH \\ g \cdot \downarrow & & g \cdot \downarrow & & \downarrow g \cdot \\ \mathcal{C}_{S^H} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{S^H}(S, -)} \\ \xleftarrow{(-)^H} \end{array} & \text{proj } S * H & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{(S/\mathfrak{n}) \otimes_S -} \\ \xrightarrow{S \otimes_l -} \end{array} & \text{mod } lH \end{array}$$

## 5. EXAMPLES

In this section, we explain the method for drawing Auslander-Reiten quivers using Theorem 4.10 and 4.17 through several explicit examples. As seen later, it is important to judge whether a given  $W \in \text{mod } lH$  can be written as  $\text{Res}_H V$  for some  $V \in \text{mod } l * G$  to determine  $a$ 's. We exhibit explicitly a necessary and sufficient condition for this.

**Proposition 5.1.** *For a given  $W \in \text{mod } lH$ , the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) *There exists  $V \in \text{mod } l * G$  such that  $W = \text{Res}_H V$  holds.*
- (2) *There exists a group homomorphism  $G \rightarrow GL_m(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k)$  making the following diagram commute.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H & \hookrightarrow & G & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ GL_m(l) & \hookrightarrow & GL_m(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(l/k) \end{array}$$

Here,  $H \rightarrow GL_m(l)$  is a group homomorphism giving the  $H$ -action on  $W$  ( $m = \dim_l W$ ).

*Proof.* It follows from Corollary 4.3 easily.  $\square$

First, we see a two-dimensional example where  $H = G \cap GL_2(l)$  is cyclic.

**Example 5.2.** ( $d = 2$ , Gorenstein) Let  $m, N$  be positive integers and  $l/k$  a field extension with  $\text{char } k = 0$  and  $[l : k] = 2$ . We denote  $\text{Gal}(l/k) = \{\text{id}, \sigma\}$ . We assume  $\zeta_{mN} \in l \setminus k$  and the image of the norm map  $N_{l/k} : l \rightarrow k$  does not contain  $-1 \in k$ . For example,  $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{mN})/\mathbb{Q}(\cos \frac{2\pi}{mN})$  satisfy these conditions. Let

$$\alpha := \left( \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \zeta_{mN} & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_{mN}^{-1} \end{array} \right], \text{id} \right), \beta := \left( \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & \zeta_m \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right], \sigma \right) \in GL_2(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k)$$

and  $G := \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \subseteq GL_2(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k)$ . We draw  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_R)$  and determine  $\text{Cl}(R)$ . We divide into two cases.

(Case 1)  $mN$  is odd.



We have  $\text{ind}(\mathcal{C}_R) = \{M_0 = R, M_{\pm 1}, \dots, M_{\pm s}\}$  where  $s := \frac{mN-1}{2}$ .

Case	AR( $\mathcal{C}_R$ )	Cl( $R$ )
$mN = 1$	$M_0 \xrightarrow{(2,2)}$	1
$mN \geq 3$	$M_0 \xrightleftharpoons[(1,2)]{(2,1)} M_{\pm 1} \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} \dots \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} M_{\pm s}$	1

(Case 2)  $mN$  is even.

We have  $\text{ind}(\mathcal{C}_R) = \{M_0 = R, M_{\pm 1}, \dots, M_{\pm(s-1)}, M_s\}$  where  $s := \frac{mN}{2}$ .

Case	AR( $\mathcal{C}_R$ )	Cl( $R$ )
$m = 2, N = 1$	$M_0 \xrightleftharpoons[(1,4)]{(4,1)} M_1$	1
$m = 1, N = 2$	$M_0 \xrightleftharpoons[(2,2)]{(2,2)} M_1$	$C_2$
$N$ : even, $mN \geq 4$	$M_0 \xrightleftharpoons[(1,2)]{(2,1)} M_{\pm 1} \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} \dots \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} M_{\pm(s-1)} \xrightleftharpoons[(2,1)]{(1,2)} M_s$	$C_2$
$N$ : odd, $mN \geq 4$	$M_0 \xrightleftharpoons[(1,2)]{(2,1)} M_{\pm 1} \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} \dots \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} M_{\pm(s-1)} \xrightleftharpoons[(1,2)]{(2,1)} M_s$	1

*Proof.* We view only the case  $mN \geq 3$ . By easy calculations, we can check  $G = \langle \alpha, \beta \mid \alpha^{mN} = 1, \alpha^N = \beta^2, \beta\alpha = \alpha\beta \rangle$ . Thus  $H := G \cap GL_2(l) = \langle \alpha \rangle \cong C_{mN}$  holds and so  $H \subseteq SL_2(l)$ . Let  $\text{sim } lH = \{W_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/mN\mathbb{Z}}$ . Here,  $W_j = l$  as an  $l$ -linear space and  $\alpha \cdot - = \zeta_{mN}^j : W_j \rightarrow W_j$ . Then the map  $W_{-j} \rightarrow \beta \otimes W_j; \lambda \mapsto \beta \otimes \sigma(\lambda)$  is an  $lH$ -isomorphism, so  $\beta \otimes W_j = W_{-j}$  holds.

(Case 1)  $mN$  is odd.

Since  $G = H \sqcup \beta H$ , the orbit decomposition of  $\text{sim } lH$  with respect to the  $G$ -action is given by

$$\text{sim } lH = \{W_0\} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{j=1}^s \{W_j, W_{-j}\}$$

where  $s := \frac{mN-1}{2}$ . Thus by Theorem 4.10,  $\text{sim } l * G$  can be written as  $\{V_0, V_{\pm 1}, \dots, V_{\pm s}\}$ , where  $V_0$  and  $V_{\pm j}$  correspond to  $\{W_0\}$  and  $\{W_j, W_{-j}\}$  respectively. More explicitly, there exist  $a_0, a_{\pm j}, b_0, b_{\pm j} \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) satisfying

$$a_0 b_0 = 2a_{\pm j} b_{\pm j} = [l : k] = 2 \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Res}_H V_0 = W_0^{\oplus a_0}, \text{Res}_H V_{\pm j} = (W_j \oplus W_{-j})^{\oplus a_{\pm j}} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{Ind}_H^G W_0 = V_0^{\oplus b_0}, \text{Ind}_H^G W_j = \text{Ind}_H^G W_{-j} = V_{\pm j}^{\oplus b_{\pm j}}. \quad (3)$$

Let us calculate  $a$ 's. By (1), we have  $a_{\pm j} = b_{\pm j} = 1$ . The trivial representation  $l \in \text{sim } l * G$  satisfies  $\text{Res}_H l = W_0$ , so we have  $V_0 = l$  and hence  $a_0 = 1, b_0 = 2$ . From this, we can check  $\text{Cl}(R) \cong \{V_0\}$  easily.

Remark that the minimal projective resolution of  $W_j \in \text{mod } S * H$  is given by

$$0 \longrightarrow S \otimes_l W_j \longrightarrow S \otimes_l (W_{j-1} \oplus W_{j+1}) \longrightarrow S \otimes_l W_j \longrightarrow W_j \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Theorem 4.17, almost split sequences and fundamental sequences in  $\mathcal{C}_R$  have the following forms, where we put  $M_0 := M(V_0)$  and  $M_{\pm j} := M(V_{\pm j})$ .

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow M_0 \longrightarrow M_{\pm 1} \longrightarrow M_0 \\ 0 &\longrightarrow M_{\pm 1} \longrightarrow M_0^{\oplus 2} \oplus M_{\pm 2} \longrightarrow M_{\pm 1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\longrightarrow M_{\pm i} \longrightarrow M_{\pm(i-1)} \oplus M_{\pm(i+1)} \longrightarrow M_{\pm i} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (1 < i < s) \\ 0 &\longrightarrow M_{\pm s} \longrightarrow M_{\pm(s-1)} \oplus M_{\pm s} \longrightarrow M_{\pm s} \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Using these sequences, we can draw  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_R)$ .

(Case 2)  $mN$  is even.

Since  $G = H \sqcup \beta H$ , the orbit decomposition of  $\text{sim } lH$  with respect to the  $G$ -action is given by

$$\text{sim } lH = \{W_0\} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{s-1} \{W_j, W_{-j}\} \sqcup \{W_s\}$$

where  $s := \frac{mN}{2}$ . Thus by Theorem 4.10,  $\text{sim } l * G$  can be written as  $\{V_0, V_{\pm 1}, \dots, V_{\pm(s-1)}, V_s\}$ , where  $V_0, V_{\pm j}$  and  $V_s$  correspond to  $\{W_0\}, \{W_j, W_{-j}\}$  and  $\{W_s\}$  respectively. More explicitly, there exist  $a_0, a_{\pm j}, a_s, b_0, b_{\pm j}, b_s \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq s-1$ ) satisfying

$$a_0 b_0 = 2a_{\pm j} b_{\pm j} = a_s b_s = [l : k] = 2 \quad (4)$$

$$\text{Res}_H V_0 = W_0^{\oplus a_0}, \text{Res}_H V_{\pm j} = (W_j \oplus W_{-j})^{\oplus a_{\pm j}}, \text{Res}_H V_s = W_s^{\oplus a_s} \quad (5)$$

$$\text{Ind}_H^G W_0 = V_0^{\oplus b_0}, \text{Ind}_H^G W_j = \text{Ind}_H^G W_{-j} = V_{\pm j}^{\oplus b_{\pm j}}, \text{Ind}_H^G W_s = V_s^{\oplus b_s}. \quad (6)$$

Let us calculate  $a$ 's. By (4), we have  $a_{\pm j} = b_{\pm j} = 1$ . As in the previous case, we have  $V_0 = l$ ,  $a_0 = 1$  and  $b_0 = 2$ . To determine  $a_s$  and  $b_s$ , we further divide (Case 2) into two subcases.

(Case 2.1)  $N$  is even.

There exists  $V \in \text{sim } l * G$  such that  $\text{Res}_H V = W_s$  holds. In fact the  $H$ -action on  $W_s$  is given by the group homomorphism  $H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times; \alpha \mapsto -1$ , and this can be extended to  $G \rightarrow l^\times \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k); \alpha \mapsto (-1, \text{id}), \beta \mapsto (1, \sigma)$  (see Proposition 5.1). Thus we have  $V_s = V$  and hence  $a_s = 1, b_s = 2$ . From this, we can check  $\text{Cl}(R) \cong \{V_0, V_s\}$  easily.

Remark that the minimal projective resolution of  $W_j \in \text{mod } S * H$  is given by

$$0 \longrightarrow S \otimes_l W_j \longrightarrow S \otimes_l (W_{j-1} \oplus W_{j+1}) \longrightarrow S \otimes_l W_j \longrightarrow W_j \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Theorem 4.17, almost split sequences and fundamental sequences in  $\mathcal{C}_R$  have the following forms, where we put  $M_i := M(V_i)$  ( $i = 0, s$ ) and  $M_{\pm j} := M(V_{\pm j})$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M_0 & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm 1} & \longrightarrow & M_0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm 1} & \longrightarrow & M_0^{\oplus 2} \oplus M_{\pm 2} & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm 1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm i} & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm(i-1)} \oplus M_{\pm(i+1)} & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm i} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (1 < i < s-1) \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm(s-1)} & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm(s-2)} \oplus M_s^{\oplus 2} & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm(s-1)} \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_s & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm(s-1)} & \longrightarrow & M_s \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Using these sequences, we can draw  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_R)$ .

(Case 2.2)  $N$  is odd.

In this case,  $a_s = 2$  and  $b_s = 1$  hold. Otherwise, we have  $a_s = 1$ . Since the  $H$ -action on  $W_s$  is given by  $H \rightarrow l^\times; \alpha \mapsto -1$ , Proposition 5.1 implies that there exists a group homomorphism  $\rho : G \rightarrow l^\times \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k)$  such that  $\rho(\alpha) = (-1, \text{id})$  and  $\rho(\beta) = (\lambda, \sigma)$  ( $\exists \lambda \in l^\times$ ) hold. Then we have  $(-1, \text{id}) = \rho(\alpha^N) = \rho(\beta^2) = (N_{l/k}(\lambda), \text{id})$ , which contradicts to  $N_{l/k}(\lambda) \neq 1$ . From this, we can check  $\text{Cl}(R) \cong \{V_0\}$  easily.

Remark that the minimal projective resolution of  $W_j \in \text{mod } S * H$  is given by

$$0 \longrightarrow S \otimes_l W_j \longrightarrow S \otimes_l (W_{j-1} \oplus W_{j+1}) \longrightarrow S \otimes_l W_j \longrightarrow W_j \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Theorem 4.17, almost split sequences and fundamental sequences in  $\mathcal{C}_R$  have the following forms, where we put  $M_i := M(V_i)$  ( $i = 0, s$ ) and  $M_{\pm j} := M(V_{\pm j})$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M_0 & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm 1} & \longrightarrow & M_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm 1} & \longrightarrow & M_0^{\oplus 2} \oplus M_{\pm 2} & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm 1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm i} & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm(i-1)} \oplus M_{\pm(i+1)} & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm i} & \longrightarrow & 0 \quad (1 < i < s-1) \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm(s-1)} & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm(s-2)} \oplus M_s & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm(s-1)} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_s & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm(s-1)}^{\oplus 2} & \longrightarrow & M_s & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Using these sequences, we can draw  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_R)$ . □

Next we see an example whose Auslander-Reiten quiver has  $(3, 1)$  and  $(1, 3)$  as valuations.

**Example 5.3.** ( $d = 2$ , Gorenstein) Let  $l/k$  be a Galois extension with  $[l : k] = 3$  and  $\text{char } k \neq 2, 3$ . We assume  $\zeta := \zeta_{24} \in k$ . For example,  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{72})/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{24})$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{5^6}/\mathbb{F}_{5^2}$  satisfy these conditions. We let

$$\alpha := \left( \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{bmatrix}, \text{id} \right), \beta := \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{id} \right), \gamma := \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \zeta^7 & \zeta^7 \\ \zeta^{13} & \zeta \end{bmatrix}, \sigma \right) \in GL_2(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k)$$

and  $G := \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma \rangle \subseteq GL_2(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k)$  where  $\text{Gal}(l/k) = \{\text{id}, \sigma, \sigma^2\}$ . Then we can write  $\text{ind}(\mathcal{C}_R)$  as  $\{M_0 = R, M_1, M'\}$  and draw  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_R)$  as follows.

$$M_0 \begin{array}{c} \longleftarrow \\ \rightleftarrows \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} M' \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(3,1)} \\ \rightleftarrows \\ \xrightarrow{(1,3)} \end{array} M_1$$

In addition,  $\text{Cl}(R) = 1$  holds.

*Proof.* Easy calculations show  $G = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma \mid \alpha^4 = 1, \alpha^2 = \beta^2, \gamma^3 = 1, \beta\alpha = \alpha\beta^3, \gamma\alpha = \beta\gamma, \gamma\beta = \alpha\beta\gamma \rangle$ . Thus  $H := G \cap GL_2(l) = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  holds and so  $H \subseteq SL_2(l)$ . We can see that  $H \rightarrow C_2 \times C_2; \alpha \mapsto (1, 0), \beta \mapsto (1, 1)$  gives the Abelianization of  $H$ . Let  $\{W_{j,k}\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} \subseteq \text{sim } lH$  be one-dimensional representations where  $W_j = l$  as an  $l$ -linear space and  $\alpha \cdot - = (-1)^j, \beta \cdot - = (-1)^{j+k} : W_{j,k} \rightarrow W_{j,k}$ . We let  $W' \in \text{mod } lH$  be the two-dimensional representation determined by the inclusion  $H \hookrightarrow GL_2(l)$ . Then we can verify  $\text{sim } lH = \{W_{0,0}, W_{0,1}, W_{1,0}, W_{1,1}, W'\}$ . Now we can see that  $W_{k,j+k} \rightarrow \gamma \otimes W_{j,k}; \lambda \mapsto \gamma \otimes \sigma^{-1}(\lambda)$  is an  $lH$ -isomorphism, so  $\gamma \otimes W_{j,k} = W_{k,j+k}$  holds. In addition,  $\gamma \otimes W' = W'$  holds since  $W'$  is the unique two-dimensional irreducible representation. Since  $G = H \sqcup \gamma H \sqcup \gamma^2 H$ , the orbit decomposition of  $\text{sim } lH$  is given by

$$\text{sim } lH = \{W_{0,0}\} \sqcup \{W_{0,1}, W_{1,0}, W_{1,1}\} \sqcup \{W'\}.$$

Thus by Theorem 4.10,  $\text{sim } l * G$  can be written as  $\{V_0, V_1, V'\}$ , where  $V_0, V_1$  and  $V'$  correspond to  $\{W_{0,0}\}, \{W_{0,1}, W_{1,0}, W_{1,1}\}$  and  $\{W'\}$  respectively. More explicitly, since  $[l : k] = 3$ , we have

$$\text{Res}_H V_0 = W_0^{\oplus 1 \text{ or } 3}, \text{Res}_H V_1 = W_{0,1} \oplus W_{1,0} \oplus W_{1,1}, \text{Res}_H V' = W'^{\oplus 1 \text{ or } 3}.$$

As usual,  $V_0$  is the trivial representation and  $\text{Res}_H V_0 = W_0$  holds. Since  $\text{Res}_H \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2 = W'$ ,  $V'$  must be  $\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2$  and  $\text{Res}_H V' = W'$  holds. From this, we can check  $\text{Cl}(R) \cong \{V_0\}$  easily.

Remark that the minimal projective resolutions of  $W_{j,k}, W' \in \text{mod } S * H$  are given as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & S \otimes_l W_{j,k} & \longrightarrow & S \otimes_l W' & \longrightarrow & S \otimes_l W_{j,k} \longrightarrow W_{j,k} \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & S \otimes_l W' & \longrightarrow & S \otimes_l (W_{0,0} \oplus W_{0,1} \oplus W_{1,0} \oplus W_{1,1}) & \longrightarrow & S \otimes_l W' \longrightarrow W' \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

By Theorem 4.17, almost split sequences and fundamental sequences in  $\mathcal{C}_R$  have the following forms, where we put  $M_i := M(V_i)$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) and  $M' := M(V')$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M_0 & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & M_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \longrightarrow & M'^{\oplus 3} & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & M_0 \oplus M_1 & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$


Using these sequences, we can draw  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_R)$ .  $\square$

Now we see that the technique of tensor product representations is also useful to determine the decomposition laws. Observe that the ring in this example is not Gorenstein.

**Example 5.4.** ( $d = 2$ , non-Gorenstein) We denote by  $\sigma_i$  ( $i \in (\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^\times$ ) the element of  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q})$  ( $\zeta := \zeta_8$ ) determined by  $\sigma_i(\zeta) = \zeta^i$ . Let

$$\alpha := \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \zeta \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \sigma_3 \right), \beta := \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \zeta^7 \\ \zeta & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \sigma_5 \right) \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)) \rtimes \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q})$$

and  $G := \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)) \rtimes \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q})$ . Then we can write  $\text{ind}(\mathcal{C}_R)$  as  $\{M_0 = R, M_4 = \omega, M_{1,3}, M_{2,6}, M_{5,7}\}$  and draw  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_R)$  as follows.



In addition,  $\text{Cl}(R) \cong C_2$  holds.

*Proof.* Easy calculations such as  $\alpha^2 = \left( \begin{bmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^3 \end{bmatrix}, \text{id} \right)$  and  $\beta^2 = \left( \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{id} \right)$  show  $G = \langle \alpha, \beta \mid \alpha^{16} = 1, \alpha^8 = \beta^2, \beta\alpha = \alpha^7\beta \rangle$ . Thus  $H := G \cap GL_2(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)) = \langle \alpha^2 \rangle \cong C_8$  holds and so  $H \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta))$  is small.

Let  $\text{sim } \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)H = \{W_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}}$ . Here,  $W_j = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$  as a  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ -linear space and  $\alpha^2 \cdot - = \zeta^j : W_j \rightarrow W_j$ . By easy calculations as in Example 5.2, we have  $\alpha \otimes W_j = \beta \otimes W_j = W_{3j}$ . Since  $G = H \sqcup \alpha H \sqcup \beta H \sqcup \alpha\beta H$ , the orbit decomposition of  $\text{sim } \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)H$  is given by

$$\text{sim } \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)H = \{W_0\} \sqcup \{W_4\} \sqcup \{W_1, W_3\} \sqcup \{W_2, W_6\} \sqcup \{W_5, W_7\}.$$

Thus by Theorem 4.10,  $\text{sim } \mathbb{Q}(\zeta) * G$  can be written as  $\{V_0, V_4, V_{1,3}, V_{2,6}, V_{5,7}\}$ , where  $V_i$  and  $V_{j,j'}$  correspond to  $\{W_i\}$  and  $\{W_j, W_{j'}\}$  respectively. More explicitly, since  $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ , we have

$$\text{Res}_H V_0 = W_0^{\oplus 1 \text{ or } 2 \text{ or } 4}, \quad \text{Res}_H V_4 = W_4^{\oplus 1 \text{ or } 2 \text{ or } 4}, \quad \text{Res}_H V_{1,3} = (W_1 \oplus W_3)^{\oplus 1 \text{ or } 2},$$

$$\text{Res}_H V_{2,6} = (W_2 \oplus W_6)^{\oplus 1 \text{ or } 2}, \quad \text{Res}_H V_{5,7} = (W_5 \oplus W_7)^{\oplus 1 \text{ or } 2}.$$

As usual,  $V_0$  is the trivial representation and  $\text{Res}_H V_0 = W_0$  holds. Since  $\text{Res}_H \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2 = W_1 \oplus W_3$ ,  $V_{1,3}$  must be  $\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2$  and  $\text{Res}_H V_{1,3} = W_1 \oplus W_3$  holds. Now let us calculate  $\bigwedge^2 V_{1,3}$ . Since

$$\text{Res}_H \bigwedge^2 V_{1,3} = \bigwedge^2 \text{Res}_H V_{1,3} = \bigwedge^2 (W_1 \oplus W_3) = W_4,$$

$V_4$  must be  $\bigwedge^2 V_{1,3}$  and  $\text{Res}_H V_4 = W_4$  holds. Similarly, since

$$\text{Res}_H (V_{1,3} \otimes V_{1,3}) = (W_1 \oplus W_3) \otimes (W_1 \oplus W_3) = W_2 \oplus W_6 \oplus W_4^{\oplus 2},$$

$V_{1,3} \otimes V_{1,3}$  must be  $V_{2,6} \oplus V_4^{\oplus 2}$  and  $\text{Res}_H V_{2,6} = W_2 \oplus W_6$  holds. By the same argument,  $V_{1,3} \otimes V_{2,6} = V_{1,3} \oplus V_{5,7}$  and  $\text{Res}_H V_{5,7} = W_5 \oplus W_7$  hold. From this, we can check  $\text{Cl}(R) \cong \{V_0, V_4\}$  easily.

Remark that the minimal projective resolution of  $W_j \in \text{mod } S * H$  is given by

$$0 \longrightarrow S \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)} W_{j+4} \longrightarrow S \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)} (W_{j+1} \oplus W_{j+3}) \longrightarrow S \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)} W_j \longrightarrow W_j \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Theorem 4.17, almost split sequences and fundamental sequences in  $\mathcal{C}_R$  have the following forms, where we put  $M_i := M(V_i)$  ( $i = 0, 4$ ) and  $M_{j,j'} := M(V_{j,j'})$  ( $(j, j') = (1, 3), (2, 6), (5, 7)$ ).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & M_4 & \longrightarrow & M_{1,3} & \longrightarrow & M_0 \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M_0 & \longrightarrow & M_{5,7} & \longrightarrow & M_4 \longrightarrow 0 \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M_{5,7} & \longrightarrow & M_4^{\oplus 2} \oplus M_{2,6} & \longrightarrow & M_{1,3} \longrightarrow 0 \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M_{2,6} & \longrightarrow & M_{1,3} \oplus M_{5,7} & \longrightarrow & M_{2,6} \longrightarrow 0 \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M_{1,3} & \longrightarrow & M_0^{\oplus 2} \oplus M_{2,6} & \longrightarrow & M_{5,7} \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

Using these sequences, we can draw  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_R)$  and confirm  $\text{Cl}(R) = \{[M_0], [M_4]\}$  easily.  $\square$

**Example 5.5.** ( $d = 2$ , Gorenstein) Let  $H \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  be a finite subgroup and  $G := \langle H, \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) \rangle \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$ . Remark that such  $H$  is classified by the ADE Dynkin diagrams (see the first of Section 7). We exhibit  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_R)$  and  $\text{Cl}(R)$  without proofs.

Type of $H$	$\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_R)$	$\text{Cl}(R)$
$A_n$		$C_{n+1}$
$D_n$ ( $n$ : even)		$C_2 \times C_2$
$D_n$ ( $n$ : odd)		$C_2$
$E_6$		1
$E_7$		$C_2$
$E_8$		1

We can see that if  $H$  is of type  $D_n$  ( $n$ : odd) or  $E_6$ , then the Auslander-Reiten quiver has non-trivial valuations and thus is different from the usual one.

**Example 5.6.** ( $d = 2$ , Gorenstein) Let  $N \geq 2$  be an integer and  $l/k$  a Galois extension with  $[l : k] = 2$  and  $\text{char } k$  not dividing  $2N$ . We write  $\text{Gal}(l/k) = \{\text{id}, \sigma\}$ . We assume  $\zeta := \zeta_{4N} \in l$  and  $\sigma(\zeta) = -\zeta^{-1}$ . For example,  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q}(i \sin \frac{\pi}{2N})$  satisfies these conditions. We let

$$\alpha := \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{id} \right), \beta := \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\zeta^3 \\ \zeta & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \sigma \right) \in GL_2(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k)$$

and  $G := \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \subseteq GL_2(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k)$ . Then we can write  $\text{ind}(\mathcal{C}_R)$  as  $\{M_0 = R, M_1, M_2, M'_1, \dots, M'_{N-1}\}$  and draw  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_R)$  as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
M_0 & & & & & & \\
\swarrow & & & & & & \\
& M'_1 & \rightleftarrows & \cdots & \rightleftarrows & M'_{N-1} & \begin{array}{l} \xrightarrow{(1,2)} \\ \xleftarrow{(2,1)} \end{array} \\
& & & & & & M_2 \\
\searrow & & & & & & \\
M_1 & & & & & & 
\end{array}$$

In addition,  $\text{Cl}(R) \cong C_2$  holds.

Finally, we see a three-dimensional example. Observe that the ring in this example is neither Gorenstein nor an isolated singularity.

**Example 5.7.** ( $d = 3$ , non-Gorenstein) Let  $N \geq 2$  be an integer and  $l/k$  a field extension with  $\text{char } k$  not dividing  $2N$ . We assume  $\zeta := \zeta_{2N} \in l \setminus k$  and  $[l : k] = 2$ . Let

$$\alpha := \left( \begin{bmatrix} \zeta & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & \zeta^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \text{id} \right), \beta := \left( \begin{bmatrix} & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \overline{(-)} \right) \in GL_3(l) \times \text{Gal}(l/k)$$

and  $G := \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \subseteq GL_3(l) \times \text{Gal}(l/k)$ . Then we can write  $\text{ind}(\mathcal{C}_R) = \{M_0 = R, M_{\pm 1}, \dots, M_{\pm(N-1)}, M_N = \omega\}$  and  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_R)$  is as below. In addition,  $\text{Cl}(R) \cong C_2$  holds.

Case	$\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_R)$
$N$ : even	$  \begin{array}{ccccccc}  & & \xrightarrow{(2,1)} & & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M_{\pm(\frac{N}{2}-1)} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M_{\pm\frac{N}{2}} \\  M_0 & \xleftrightarrow{(1,2)} & M_{\pm 1} & \xleftrightarrow{\quad} & \cdots & \xleftrightarrow{\quad} & M_{\pm(\frac{N}{2}-1)} & \xleftrightarrow{\quad} & M_{\pm\frac{N}{2}} \\  \updownarrow & & \updownarrow & & \updownarrow & & \updownarrow & & \updownarrow \\  M_N & \xleftrightarrow{(1,2)} & M_{\pm(N-1)} & \xleftrightarrow{\quad} & \cdots & \xleftrightarrow{\quad} & M_{\pm(\frac{N}{2}+1)} & \xleftrightarrow{\quad} & M_{\pm\frac{N}{2}}  \end{array}  $
$N$ : odd	$  \begin{array}{ccccccc}  & & \xrightarrow{(2,1)} & & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M_{\pm\frac{N-3}{2}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M_{\pm\frac{N-1}{2}} \\  M_0 & \xleftrightarrow{(1,2)} & M_{\pm 1} & \xleftrightarrow{\quad} & \cdots & \xleftrightarrow{\quad} & M_{\pm\frac{N-3}{2}} & \xleftrightarrow{\quad} & M_{\pm\frac{N-1}{2}} \\  \updownarrow & & \updownarrow & & \updownarrow & & \updownarrow & & \updownarrow \\  M_N & \xleftrightarrow{(1,2)} & M_{\pm(N-1)} & \xleftrightarrow{\quad} & \cdots & \xleftrightarrow{\quad} & M_{\pm\frac{N+3}{2}} & \xleftrightarrow{\quad} & M_{\pm\frac{N+1}{2}}  \end{array}  $

*Proof.* Easy calculations show  $G = \langle \alpha, \beta \mid \alpha^{2N} = \beta^2 = 1, \beta\alpha = \alpha\beta \rangle$ . Thus  $H := G \cap GL_3(l) = \langle \alpha \rangle \cong C_{2N}$  holds and so  $H \subseteq GL_3(l)$  is small. Let  $\text{sim } lH = \{W_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/2N\mathbb{Z}}$ . Here,  $W_j = l$  as an  $l$ -linear space and  $\alpha \cdot - = \zeta^j : W_j \rightarrow W_j$ . By easy calculations as in Example 5.2, we have  $\beta \otimes W_j = W_{-j}$ . Since  $G = H \sqcup \beta H$ , the orbit decomposition of  $\text{sim } lH$  is given by

$$\text{sim } lH = \{W_0\} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{N-1} \{W_j, W_{-j}\} \sqcup \{W_N\}.$$

Thus by Theorem 4.10,  $\text{sim } l * G$  can be written as  $\{V_0, V_{\pm 1}, \dots, V_{\pm(N-1)}, V_N\}$ , where  $V_i$  and  $V_{\pm j}$  corresponds to  $\{W_i\}$  and  $\{W_j, W_{-j}\}$  respectively. As usual, we obtain  $\text{Res}_H V_0 = W_0, \text{Res}_H V_{\pm j} = W_j \oplus W_{-j}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq N-1$ ) and  $\text{Res}_H V_N = W_N$  easily. From this, we can check  $\text{Cl}(R) \cong \{V_0, V_N\}$ . Remark that the minimal projective resolution of  $W_j \in \text{mod } S * H$  is given by

$$0 \longrightarrow S \otimes_l W_{j+N} \longrightarrow S \otimes_l (W_j \oplus W_{j+N-1} \oplus W_{j-N+1}) \longrightarrow S \otimes_l (W_{j+1} \oplus W_{j+N} \oplus W_{j-1}) \longrightarrow S \otimes_l W_j \longrightarrow W_j \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Theorem 4.17, 2-almost split sequences and 2-fundamental sequences in  $\mathcal{C}_R$  have the following forms, where we put  $M_i := M(V_i)$  ( $i = 0, N$ ) and  $M_{\pm j} := M(V_{\pm j})$  ( $1 \leq j \leq N-1$ ).

$$\begin{array}{l}
 0 \longrightarrow M_N \longrightarrow M_0 \oplus M_{\pm(N-1)} \longrightarrow M_{\pm 1} \oplus M_N \longrightarrow M_0 \\
 0 \longrightarrow M_{\pm(N-1)} \longrightarrow M_{\pm 1} \oplus M_{\pm(N-2)} \oplus M_N^{\oplus 2} \longrightarrow M_0^{\oplus 2} \oplus M_{\pm 2} \oplus M_{\pm(N-1)} \longrightarrow M_{\pm 1} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 0 \longrightarrow M_{\pm(N-j)} \longrightarrow M_{\pm j} \oplus M_{\pm(N-j-1)} \oplus M_{\pm(N-j+1)} \longrightarrow M_{\pm(j-1)} \oplus M_{\pm(j+1)} \oplus M_{\pm(N-j)} \longrightarrow M_{\pm j} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 0 \longrightarrow M_{\pm(N-1)} \longrightarrow M_0^{\oplus 2} \oplus M_{\pm 2} \oplus M_{\pm(N-1)} \longrightarrow M_{\pm 1} \oplus M_{\pm(N-2)} \oplus M_N^{\oplus 2} \longrightarrow M_{\pm(N-1)} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 0 \longrightarrow M_N \longrightarrow M_{\pm 1} \oplus M_N \longrightarrow M_0 \oplus M_{\pm(N-1)} \longrightarrow M_N \longrightarrow 0 \\
 \qquad \qquad \qquad (1 < j < \frac{N-1}{2}, \frac{N+1}{2} < j < N-1)
 \end{array}$$

(Case 1)  $N$  is even.

$$0 \longrightarrow M_{\pm\frac{N}{2}} \longrightarrow M_{\pm(\frac{N}{2}-1)} \oplus M_{\pm\frac{N}{2}} \oplus M_{\pm(\frac{N}{2}+1)} \longrightarrow M_{\pm(\frac{N}{2}-1)} \oplus M_{\pm\frac{N}{2}} \oplus M_{\pm(\frac{N}{2}+1)} \longrightarrow M_{\pm\frac{N}{2}} \longrightarrow 0$$

(Case 2)  $N$  is odd.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm \frac{N+1}{2}} & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm \frac{N+1}{2}}^{\oplus 2} \oplus M_{\pm \frac{N+3}{2}} & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm \frac{N-3}{2}} \oplus M_{\pm \frac{N+1}{2}}^{\oplus 2} & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm \frac{N-1}{2}} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm \frac{N-1}{2}} & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm \frac{N-3}{2}} \oplus M_{\pm \frac{N+1}{2}}^{\oplus 2} & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm \frac{N+1}{2}}^{\oplus 2} \oplus M_{\pm \frac{N+3}{2}} & \longrightarrow & M_{\pm \frac{N+1}{2}} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

In both cases, we can draw  $\text{AR}(\mathcal{C}_R)$ . □

## 6. TWO-DIMENSIONAL RINGS OF FINITE COHEN-MACAULAY TYPE OF EQUICARACTERISTIC ZERO

The goal of this section is to prove that two-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay complete local rings of finite Cohen-Macaulay type of equicharacteristic zero are precisely quotient singularities admitting field extensions.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $k$  be a field with  $\text{char } k = 0$  and  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  a two-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay complete local ring of finite Cohen-Macaulay type. Then there exist a finite Galois extension  $l/k$  and a finite subgroup  $G \subseteq GL_2(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k)$  such that the following conditions are satisfied.*

- (1) *There exists a ring isomorphism  $R \cong l[[x, y]]^G$ .*
- (2) *The subgroup  $G \cap GL_2(l) \subseteq GL_2(l)$  is small.*
- (3) *The natural group homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \text{Gal}(l/k)$  is surjective.*

Towards this theorem, we make some preparations about group actions on the power series rings. Remark that except for this main theorem, we do not impose any assumption on  $d$  or  $\text{char } l$ .

Let  $G$  be a finite group acting on  $S := l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$  as a ring with  $|G|$  not divided by  $\text{char } l$ . If the action  $G \curvearrowright S$  is  $l$ -linear, then it is known that we may assume  $G \subseteq GL_d(l)$  [?, ]. In general case, in fact,  $G$  can be assumed to be a subgroup of  $GL_d(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k)$  where  $k = l^G$ .

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $l$  be a field,  $G$  a finite group acting on  $S := l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$  as a ring with  $|G|$  not divided by  $\text{char } l$  and  $k := l^G$ . Then there exists a group homomorphism  $\phi: G \rightarrow GL_d(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k)$  and an  $l$ -algebra automorphism  $\theta: S \rightarrow S$  such that for all  $g \in G$ , the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{\theta} & S \\ \phi(g) \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ S & \xrightarrow{\theta} & S \end{array}$$

In particular,  $S^G \cong S^{\phi(G)}$  holds as a  $k$ -algebra.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{n} := (x_1, \dots, x_d) \subseteq S$  be the maximal ideal. Take  $g \in G$ . For  $\lambda \in l$ , we have  $g(\lambda) \in l$  since  $g(\lambda)$  is integral over  $l$ . Thus  $g$  induces a  $k$ -algebra automorphism  $g|_l: l \rightarrow l$ , which we denote  $\rho(g)$ .  $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{Gal}(l/k)$  is obviously a group homomorphism. Since  $g: S \rightarrow S$  is a  $k$ -algebra automorphism, it induces a  $k$ -linear automorphism  $\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2$ . Remark that  $\rho(g)$  acts on  $\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2$  as  $\rho(g)(\lambda x_i) = \rho(g)(\lambda)x_i$ . Then for  $\lambda \in l$  and  $v \in \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2$ ,

$$(g\rho(g)^{-1})(\lambda v) = (g\rho(g)^{-1})(\lambda)(g\rho(g)^{-1})(v) = \lambda(g\rho(g)^{-1})(v)$$

holds, so we obtain  $g\rho(g)^{-1} \in GL_d(l)$ .

We define  $\phi: G \rightarrow GL_d(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k)$  by  $\phi(g) := (g\rho(g)^{-1}, \rho(g))$ . Then it can be easily checked that  $\phi$  is a group homomorphism. We define an  $l$ -algebra homomorphism  $\theta: l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]] \rightarrow l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$  by

$$\theta(x_i) := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g^{-1}\phi(g)(x_i).$$

Since  $\theta(x_i) \in x_i + \mathfrak{n}^2$  holds,  $\theta$  is an isomorphism. Moreover, it is easy to see that  $\theta(\phi(g)(x_i)) = g(\theta(x_i))$  and  $\theta(\phi(g)(\lambda)) = g(\theta(\lambda))$  ( $\lambda \in l$ ) hold. Thus the assertion follows. □

From this lemma, we can say that our assumption on  $G$  to be in  $GL_d(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k)$  is natural. Now we exhibit a well-known fact.

**Proposition 6.3.** [LW, B.27] *Let  $N \subseteq GL_d(l)$  be a finite subgroup generated by pseudo-reflections. Then the ring of invariants  $l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]^N$  is regular.*

Using this Proposition, we can see that  $G$  can be replaced so that  $G \cap GL_d(l) \subseteq GL_d(l)$  is small. This result can be viewed as a stronger version of Lemma 6.2.

**Proposition 6.4.** *Let  $l$  be a field,  $G$  a finite group acting on  $S := l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$  as a ring with  $|G|$  not divided by  $\text{char } l$  and  $k := l^G$ . Then there exist a group homomorphism  $\phi: G \rightarrow GL_d(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k)$  and an  $l$ -algebra monomorphism  $\theta: S \rightarrow S$  such that the following conditions are satisfied.*

- (1) *The subgroup  $\phi(G) \cap GL_d(l) \subseteq GL_d(l)$  is small.*
- (2) *The image of  $\theta$  coincides with  $S^{\text{Ker } \phi}$ .*
- (3) *For all  $g \in G$ , the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{\theta} & S \\ \phi(g) \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ S & \xrightarrow{\theta} & S \end{array}$$

In particular,  $S^G \cong S^{\phi(G)}$  holds as a  $k$ -algebra.

*Proof.* We show by induction on  $|G|$ . By Lemma 6.2, we may assume  $G \subseteq GL_d(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k)$ . Let  $N := \langle \{(A, \text{id}) \in G \mid A \in GL_d(l) \text{ is a pseudo-reflection}\} \rangle$  be a group generated by all pseudo-reflections in  $G \cap GL_d(l)$ . Then  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ . If  $|N| = 1$ , there is nothing to prove. We assume  $|N| > 1$ . By Lemma 6.3, the ring of invariants  $l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]^N$  is regular, so there exist  $f_1, \dots, f_d \in l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$  such that  $l[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]^N = l[[f_1, \dots, f_d]]$  holds. Observe that  $G/N$  acts on  $l[[f_1, \dots, f_d]]$  as a  $k$ -algebra. Since  $|G/N| < |G|$ , the assertion follows by the induction hypothesis.  $\square$

The following fact due to [F] is crucial to our main theorem.

**Theorem 6.5.** [F, 1.7] *For a two-dimensional complete local normal domain  $(S, \mathfrak{n}, l)$  with  $\text{char } l = 0$ , the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) *The ring  $S$  is regular.*
- (2) *The ring  $S$  is pure, i.e. the natural group homomorphism  $\pi_1^{\text{et}}(\text{Spec } S \setminus \{\mathfrak{n}\}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{et}}(\text{Spec } S)$  is an isomorphism.*
- (3) *Let  $L := \text{Frac } S$  be the field of fractions,  $L'/L$  a field extension of finite degree and  $S' \subseteq L'$  the integral closure of  $S$  in  $L'$ . If the extension  $S'/S$  is unramified in codimension one, then it is unramified.*

Remark that (3) is just a rephrasing of (2). The implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) is nothing but the purity of the branch locus (see [A62, 1.4]). Now we can prove Theorem 6.1 using the implication (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1).

*proof of Theorem 6.1.* Observe that  $R$  is a normal domain since is of finite Cohen-Macaulay type (see Proposition 1.3). Let  $K$  be the field of fractions of  $R$  and fix an algebraic closure  $\overline{K}$  of  $K$ . For a finite Galois extension  $L/K$  in  $\overline{K}$ , we denote by  $S_L$  the integral closure of  $R$  in  $L$ . Remark that  $S_L^{\text{Gal}(L/K)} = R$  holds since  $R$  is normal. By the finiteness of the integral closure,  $S_L$  is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module, so is a Noetherian normal domain. In addition,  $S_L \in \text{CM } R$  holds. Moreover, since  $R$  is complete local, so is  $S_L$ . Let  $\mathfrak{n}_L$  be the maximal ideal of  $S_L$  and  $l_L := S_L/\mathfrak{n}_L$ . Since  $l_L^{\text{Gal}(L/K)} = k$  holds, the extension  $l_L/k$  is Galois and the natural group homomorphism  $\text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(l_L/k)$  is surjective by Artin's theorem. We let  $T(L/K) := \text{Ker}(\text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(l_L/k))$  be the inertia group. Consider the following condition (\*) for  $L$ .

- (\*) The extension  $S_L/R$  is unramified in codimension one.



We let  $X$  be the set of finite Galois extensions  $L$  of  $K$  in  $\overline{K}$  satisfying condition  $(*)$ .

We define a partial order  $\prec$  on  $X$  as

$$L \prec L' :\Leftrightarrow L \subseteq L', T(L'/L) \neq 1 \ (L, L' \in X).$$

Assume that we can prove the following inequality for all  $L, L' \in X$  with  $L \prec L'$ .

$$\sharp \text{ind add}_R S_L < \sharp \text{ind add}_R S_{L'}$$

By the fact that  $\sharp \text{ind CM } R < \infty$ , we can conclude that the partial ordered set  $(X, \prec)$  has a maximal element  $\Omega \in X$ . We show the condition (3) of Theorem 6.5 for  $S_\Omega$ . Take a field extension  $\Omega'/\Omega$  of finite degree in  $\overline{K}$  with the extension  $S_{\Omega'}/S_\Omega$  unramified in codimension one. By taking the Galois closure, we may assume that the extension  $\Omega'/K$  is Galois. Now the extension  $S_{\Omega'}/R$  is unramified in codimension one since so are  $S_{\Omega'}/S_\Omega$  and  $S_\Omega/R$ . Thus we have  $\Omega' \in X$ . By the maximality of  $\Omega$ , we can conclude  $T(\Omega'/\Omega) = 1$ . Thus the extension  $S_{\Omega'}/S_\Omega$  is unramified by Proposition 3.7. Therefore we obtain the desired condition and thus  $S_\Omega$  is regular by Theorem 6.5. By Cohen's structure theorem, we have  $S_\Omega \cong l[[x, y]]$  ( $l := l_\Omega$ ). Since  $S_\Omega^{\text{Gal}(\Omega/K)} = R$  holds, there exists a finite subgroup  $G \subseteq GL_2(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k)$  such that  $R \cong l[[x, y]]^G$  holds and  $G \cap GL_2(l) \subseteq GL_2(l)$  is small by Proposition 6.4.

In the rest, we prove the inequality in the previous paragraph. Take  $L, L' \in X$  with  $L \prec L'$ . For the simplicity, we put  $(S, \mathfrak{n}, l) := (S_L, \mathfrak{n}_L, l_L)$ ,  $(S', \mathfrak{n}', l') := (S_{L'}, \mathfrak{n}_{L'}, l_{L'})$ ,  $G := \text{Gal}(L/K)$ ,  $G' := \text{Gal}(L'/K)$ ,  $H := T(L/K)$  and  $H' := T(L'/K)$ . Observe that we have the following commutative diagram whose rows and columns are exact (see [S, I.7.22]).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & T(L'/L) & \longrightarrow & H' & \longrightarrow & H \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(L'/L) & \longrightarrow & G' & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(l'/l) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(l'/k) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(l/k) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 \end{array}$$

By Theorem 3.2, we have  $\text{End}_R(S) \cong S * G$ . This means  $\text{add}_R S \simeq \text{proj}(S * G)^{\text{op}} \simeq \text{proj } S * G$  (see Proposition 2.7). Since  $S * G/J_{S * G} = l * G$  holds as in Lemma 2.9, we have the following bijections.

$$\text{ind add}_R S \cong \text{ind proj } S * G \cong \text{sim } l * G$$

Thus it is enough to show the following inequality.

$$\sharp \text{sim } l * G < \sharp \text{sim } l' * G'$$

Let  $e := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in \text{Gal}(L'/L)} g \in l' * G'$  be an idempotent. It is easy to see that  $e(l' * G')e = l * G$  holds. Therefore we obtain an injective map  $(l' * G')e \otimes_{l * G} -: \text{sim } l * G \rightarrow \text{sim } l' * G'$ . From now on, we show that this map is not surjective. By Theorem 4.10, we have the following bijections.

$$\text{sim } l * G \cong \text{Gal}(l/k) \backslash \text{sim } lH, \text{ sim } l' * G' \cong \text{Gal}(l'/k) \backslash \text{sim } l'H'$$

Since we have the natural surjective ring homomorphism  $l'H' \rightarrow l'H$ , we may view  $\text{mod } l'H \subseteq \text{mod } l'H'$ . Recall that the action  $\text{Gal}(l'/k) \curvearrowright \text{mod } l'H'$  is induced by the action  $G' \curvearrowright \text{mod } l'H'$ . By easy calculations, we can see that the action  $\text{Gal}(l'/k) \curvearrowright \text{mod } l'H'$  restricts to  $\text{Gal}(l'/k) \curvearrowright \text{mod } l'H$ , so we can regard  $\text{Gal}(l'/k) \backslash \text{sim } l'H \subseteq \text{Gal}(l'/k) \backslash \text{sim } l'H'$ . Since the surjective ring homomorphism  $l'H' \rightarrow l'H$  between semisimple algebras is not an isomorphism due to  $T(L'/L) \neq 1$ , the inclusion map  $\text{Gal}(l'/k) \backslash \text{sim } l'H \hookrightarrow$

$\text{Gal}(l'/k) \backslash \text{sim } l'H'$  is not surjective. Thus it is enough to show that the map  $\text{sim } l * G \rightarrow \text{sim } l' * G' \cong \text{Gal}(l'/k) \backslash \text{sim } l'H'$  factors through the inclusion map  $\text{Gal}(l'/k) \backslash \text{sim } l'H \hookrightarrow \text{Gal}(l'/k) \backslash \text{sim } l'H'$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{sim } l * G & \xrightarrow{(l' * G')e \otimes_{l * G} -} & \text{sim } l' * G' \\ \cong \Big\downarrow & & \Big\downarrow \cong \\ \text{Gal}(l/k) \backslash \text{sim } lH & \xrightarrow{\dots\dots\dots} & \text{Gal}(l'/k) \backslash \text{sim } l'H \hookrightarrow \text{Gal}(l'/k) \backslash \text{sim } l'H' \end{array}$$

Since the bijection  $\text{sim } l' * G' \cong \text{Gal}(l'/k) \backslash \text{sim } l'H'$  is obtained by the restriction functor  $\text{Res}_{H'}: \text{mod } l' * G' \rightarrow \text{mod } l'H'$ , it is enough to show that  $\text{Res}_{H'}(l' * G')e \in \text{mod } l'H \subseteq \text{mod } l'H'$  holds. For  $\sum_{h' \in H'} \mu'_{h'} h' \in l'H'$  and  $(\lambda' * g')e \in (l' * G')e$ , we have

$$\left( \sum_{h' \in H'} \mu'_{h'} h' \right) (\lambda' * g')e = \sum_{h' \in H'} (\mu'_{h'} \lambda' * h' g')e.$$

Observe that if  $\overline{h'_1} = \overline{h'_2} \in H$ , then  $h'_1 g' e = h'_2 g' e$  holds. Thus if  $\sum_{h' \in H'} \mu'_{h'} h' \in l'H'$  belongs to  $\text{Ker}(l'H' \rightarrow l'H)$ , we have  $\left( \sum_{h' \in H'} \mu'_{h'} h' \right) (\lambda' * g')e = 0$ . This means  $\text{Res}_{H'}(l' * G')e \in \text{mod } l'H$ . In conclusion, we obtain the desired inequality.  $\square$


## 7. TWO-DIMENSIONAL GORENSTEIN RINGS OF FINITE COHEN-MACAULAY TYPE OF EQUICHARACTERISTIC ZERO

In this section, we determine all quivers that may appear as  $\text{AR}(\text{CM } R)$  where  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is a two-dimensional Gorenstein complete local ring of finite Cohen-Macaulay type with  $\text{char } k = 0$ . First, we consider the case where  $k$  is algebraically closed. By Theorem 6.1 and 4.14, we may assume  $R \cong k[[x, y]]^G$  where  $G \subseteq SL_2(k)$  is a finite subgroup. Here, we have the following Klein's classification of finite subgroups of  $SL_2(k)$  up to conjugacy by the ADE Dynkin diagrams.

Type	Generator(s)
$A_n$	$\begin{bmatrix} \zeta_{n+1} & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_{n+1}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$
$D_n$	$A_{2n-5}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \zeta_4 \\ \zeta_4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$E_6$	$D_4, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \zeta_8 & \zeta_8^3 \\ \zeta_8 & \zeta_8^7 \end{bmatrix}$
$E_7$	$E_6, \begin{bmatrix} \zeta_8^3 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_8^5 \end{bmatrix}$
$E_8$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{bmatrix} \zeta_5^4 - \zeta_5 & \zeta_5^2 - \zeta_5^3 \\ \zeta_5^2 - \zeta_5^3 & \zeta_5 - \zeta_5^4 \end{bmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{bmatrix} \zeta_5^2 - \zeta_5^4 & \zeta_5^4 - 1 \\ 1 - \zeta_5 & \zeta_5^3 - \zeta_5 \end{bmatrix}$

It is well-known that the quiver  $\text{AR}(\text{CM } k[[x, y]]^G)$  coincides with the double of the corresponding extended Dynkin diagram. Therefore in this case, we can say that quivers that may appear as  $\text{AR}(\text{CM } R)$  are just doubles of simply laced extended Dynkin diagrams.

Next, we consider the general case. We exhibit the list of all extended Dynkin diagrams with their additive functions labeling the vertices.



Our theorem below gives a complete classification of the quivers which may appear as  $\text{AR}(\text{CM } R)$ . These are either doubles of extended Dynkin diagrams or ones have loops. Note that not all the double of extended Dynkin diagrams appear. In fact, type  $\tilde{B}_n, \widetilde{CD}_n, \tilde{F}_{41}$  and  $\tilde{G}_{21}$  does not appear.

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a two-dimensional Gorenstein complete local ring of finite Cohen-Macaulay type with  $\text{char } k = 0$ . Then quivers which may appear as  $\text{AR}(\text{CM } R)$  are precisely listed below. Observe that doubles of all extended Dynkin diagrams except for type  $\tilde{B}_n, \widetilde{CD}_n, \tilde{F}_{41}$  and  $\tilde{G}_{21}$  appear. In addition, two of them have loops. Moreover, we can also determine the divisor class group  $\text{Cl}(R)$ .*

$Type$	$AR(CM R)$	$Cl(R)$
	$R \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} (2,2) \\ \end{array}$	1
$\tilde{A}_{11}$	$R \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(4,1)} \\ \xleftarrow{(1,4)} \end{array} \circ$	1
$\tilde{A}_{12}$	$R \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(2,2)} \\ \xleftarrow{(2,2)} \end{array} \circ$	$C_2$
$\tilde{A}_n (n \geq 2)$	$\begin{array}{c} R \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \circ \rightleftarrows \circ \rightleftarrows \cdots \rightleftarrows \circ \rightleftarrows \circ \end{array}$	$C_{n+1}$
$\tilde{C}_n$	$R \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(2,1)} \\ \xleftarrow{(1,2)} \end{array} \circ \rightleftarrows \cdots \rightleftarrows \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(1,2)} \\ \xleftarrow{(2,1)} \end{array}$	$C_2$
$\widetilde{BC}_n$	$R \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(2,1)} \\ \xleftarrow{(1,2)} \end{array} \circ \rightleftarrows \cdots \rightleftarrows \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(2,1)} \\ \xleftarrow{(1,2)} \end{array}$	1
	$R \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(2,1)} \\ \xleftarrow{(1,2)} \end{array} \circ \rightleftarrows \cdots \rightleftarrows \circ \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \end{array}$	1
$\widetilde{BD}_n$	$R \begin{array}{c} \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \circ \end{array} \rightleftarrows \cdots \rightleftarrows \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(1,2)} \\ \xleftarrow{(2,1)} \end{array}$	$C_2$
$\tilde{D}_n (n: \text{ odd})$	$R \begin{array}{c} \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \circ \end{array} \rightleftarrows \cdots \rightleftarrows \circ \begin{array}{c} \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \circ \end{array}$	$C_4$
$\tilde{D}_n (n: \text{ even})$	$R \begin{array}{c} \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \circ \end{array} \rightleftarrows \cdots \rightleftarrows \circ \begin{array}{c} \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \circ \end{array}$	$C_2 \times C_2$
$\tilde{E}_6$	$\begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \updownarrow \\ \circ \\ \updownarrow \\ R \rightleftarrows \circ \rightleftarrows \circ \rightleftarrows \circ \rightleftarrows \circ \end{array}$	$C_3$
$\tilde{E}_7$	$\begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \updownarrow \\ \circ \\ \updownarrow \\ R \rightleftarrows \circ \rightleftarrows \circ \rightleftarrows \circ \rightleftarrows \circ \end{array}$	$C_2$
$\tilde{E}_8$	$R \rightleftarrows \circ \rightleftarrows \circ \rightleftarrows \circ \rightleftarrows \circ \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \updownarrow \\ \circ \end{array} \rightleftarrows \circ \rightleftarrows \circ \rightleftarrows \circ$	1
$\tilde{F}_{42}$	$R \rightleftarrows \circ \rightleftarrows \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(1,2)} \\ \xleftarrow{(2,1)} \end{array} \circ \rightleftarrows \circ$	1
$\tilde{G}_{22}$	$R \rightleftarrows \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(3,1)} \\ \xleftarrow{(1,3)} \end{array} \circ$	1

*Proof.* Observe that these quivers certainly appear as  $AR(CM R)$  (see Example 5.2, 5.3, 5.5 and 5.6).

By Theorem 6.1, there exists a finite Galois extension  $l/k$  and a finite subgroup  $G \subseteq GL_2(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l/k)$  such that  $R \cong l[[x, y]]^G$  holds. By Theorem 4.14, we know that  $H := G \cap \text{Gal}(l/k)$  is contained in  $SL_2(l)$ . If we view  $H \subseteq SL_2(\bar{l})$ , then there exists  $P \in GL_2(\bar{l})$  such that  $H' := P^{-1}HP \subseteq SL_2(\bar{l})$  coincides with one in the list exhibited at the first of this section. Let  $l'/l$  be a field extension of finite degree in

$\bar{l}$  such that  $P \in GL_2(l')$  and  $H' \subseteq SL_2(l')$  holds. By taking the Galois closure, we may assume that the extension  $l'/k$  is Galois. If we let  $G' \subseteq GL_2(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l'/k) \subseteq GL_2(l') \rtimes \text{Gal}(l'/k)$  be the preimage of  $G$  under the natural group homomorphism  $GL_2(l) \rtimes \text{Gal}(l'/k) \rightarrow GL_2(l') \rtimes \text{Gal}(l'/k)$ , then we have  $G' \cap GL_2(l') = H$  and  $l[[x, y]]^G = l'[[x, y]]^{G'}$ . Therefore by expanding the field  $l$ , we may assume that  $H$  is of the form of one in the list exhibited at the first of this section. Moreover, by expanding  $l$  more, we may also assume that  $H$  splits over  $l$ , i.e. the endomorphism ring of any simple  $lH$ -module is isomorphic to  $l$ .

If  $H$  is trivial, then  $\text{AR}(\text{CM } S^H)$  is

$$S^H \curvearrowright_{(2,2)}.$$

Thus  $\text{AR}(\text{CM } R)$  is also of this form.

Assume that  $H$  is of type  $A_{2n}$ , i.e.  $H = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \zeta_{2n+1} & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_{2n+1}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$ . Then  $\text{sim } lH = \{W_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/2N\mathbb{Z}}$  holds and  $\text{AR}(\text{CM } S^H)$  is as follows, where  $N_0 = S^H$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & N_0 & & \\ & & & & \swarrow & & \searrow \\ N_1 & \rightleftarrows & N_2 & \rightleftarrows & \cdots & \rightleftarrows & N_{2n-1} & \rightleftarrows & N_{2n} \end{array}$$

Since the action of  $G$  on  $\text{AR}(\text{CM } S^H)$  fixes  $N_0$ , this action must be either: the trivial action, or the action swapping the left and right sides.

(Case 1) The action  $G \curvearrowright \text{AR}(\text{CM } S^H)$  is trivial.

We have  $\text{sim } l * G = \{V_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/2N\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\text{Res}_H V_i = W_i^{\oplus a_i}$ . Let us calculate  $a_i$ 's. First,  $V_0$  is the trivial representation and  $a_0 = 1$  holds as in Example 5.2. Second,  $V_1 \oplus V_{2N-1} = \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2$  and  $a_1 = a_{2N-1} = 1$  hold since  $\text{Res}_H \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2 = W_1 \oplus W_{2N-1}$ . Next, we get  $a_2 = 1$  by  $\text{Res}_H(V_1 \otimes_l V_1) = W_0 \oplus W_2$ . Continuing this operation, we have  $a_j = 1$  ( $\forall j$ ). Thus the minimal projective resolution of  $V_i \in \text{mod } S * G$  must be

$$0 \rightarrow S \otimes_l V_i \rightarrow S \otimes_l (V_{i-1} \oplus V_{i+1}) \rightarrow S \otimes_l V_i \rightarrow V_i \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore  $\text{AR}(\text{CM } R)$  is as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & M_0 & & \\ & & & & \swarrow & & \searrow \\ M_1 & \rightleftarrows & M_2 & \rightleftarrows & \cdots & \rightleftarrows & M_{2n-1} & \rightleftarrows & M_{2n} \end{array}$$

(Case 2) The action  $G \curvearrowright \text{AR}(\text{CM } S^H)$  swaps the left and right sides.

We have  $\text{sim } l * G = \{V_0, V_{\pm 1}, \dots, V_{\pm n}\}$ ,  $\text{Res}_H V_0 = W_0^{\oplus a_0}$  and  $\text{Res}_H V_{\pm i} = (W_i \oplus W_{-i})^{\oplus a_i}$ . Similar as in the previous case, we can conclude  $a_0 = a_1 = \dots = a_n = 1$ . Thus the minimal projective resolution of  $V_i \in \text{mod } S * G$  must be

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow S \otimes_l V_0 \rightarrow S \otimes_l V_{\pm 1} \rightarrow S \otimes_l V_0 \rightarrow V_0 \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow S \otimes_l V_{\pm 1} \rightarrow S \otimes_l (V_0^{\oplus 2} \oplus V_{\pm 2}) \rightarrow S \otimes_l V_{\pm 1} \rightarrow V_{\pm 1} \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow S \otimes_l V_{\pm i} \rightarrow S \otimes_l (V_{\pm(i-1)} \oplus V_{\pm(i+1)}) \rightarrow S \otimes_l V_{\pm i} \rightarrow V_{\pm i} \rightarrow 0 \quad (1 < i < n) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow S \otimes_l V_{\pm n} \rightarrow S \otimes_l (V_{\pm(n-1)} \oplus V_{\pm n}) \rightarrow S \otimes_l V_{\pm n} \rightarrow V_{\pm n} \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore  $\text{AR}(\text{CM } R)$  is as follows.

$$M_0 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(2,1)} \\ \xleftarrow{(1,2)} \end{array} M_{\pm 1} \rightleftarrows \cdots \rightleftarrows M_{\pm n} \curvearrowright$$

When  $H$  is of another type, we can deduce that  $\text{AR}(\text{CM } R)$  must be any in the list in the same way. We present the results without proofs.

If  $H$  is of type  $A_{2n-1}$ , then  $\text{AR}(\text{CM } R)$  is any one of the list below.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 R \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(2,2)} \\ \xleftarrow{(2,2)} \end{array} \circ \quad R \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(4,1)} \\ \xleftarrow{(1,4)} \end{array} \circ \\
 \\
 \begin{array}{c} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \cdots \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \\
 \\
 R \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(2,1)} \\ \xleftarrow{(1,2)} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \cdots \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(1,2)} \\ \xleftarrow{(2,1)} \end{array} \circ \quad R \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(2,1)} \\ \xleftarrow{(1,2)} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \cdots \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(2,1)} \\ \xleftarrow{(1,2)} \end{array} \circ
 \end{array}$$

If  $H$  is of type  $D_n$ , then  $\text{AR}(\text{CM } R)$  is any one of the list below.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 R \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \cdots \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \\
 \\
 R \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \cdots \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(1,2)} \\ \xleftarrow{(2,1)} \end{array} \circ \\
 \\
 R \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(3,1)} \\ \xleftarrow{(1,3)} \end{array} \circ
 \end{array}$$

If  $H$  is of type  $E_6$ , then  $\text{AR}(\text{CM } R)$  is any one of the list below.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \circ \\
 \updownarrow \\
 \circ \\
 \updownarrow \\
 R \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \\
 \\
 R \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(1,2)} \\ \xleftarrow{(2,1)} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ
 \end{array}$$

If  $H$  is of type  $E_7$ , then  $\text{AR}(\text{CM } R)$  is as follows.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \circ \\
 \updownarrow \\
 R \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ
 \end{array}$$

If  $H$  is of type  $E_8$ , then  $\text{AR}(\text{CM } R)$  is as follows.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \circ \\
 \updownarrow \\
 R \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ
 \end{array}$$

□

## APPENDIX A. SPECIAL COHEN-MACAULAY MODULES

In this section, we assume  $d = 2$  and discuss special Cohen-Macaulay modules. The concept of specials was introduced in [Wun] in the context of special McKay correspondence. In [IW10], an algorithm to determine specials using AR combinatorics was established. From now on, we prove that specials in  $\text{CM } S^G$  can be determined by ones in  $\text{CM } S^H$ . First, we recall the definition of specialness (see [IW10, 2.7]).

**Definition A.1.** Let  $R$  be a two-dimensional Noetherian local normal domain. For  $M \in \text{CM } R$ , we say  $M$  is *special* if  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, R) = 0$  holds. We denote  $\text{SCM } R := \{M \in \text{CM } R : \text{special}\}$ .

Note that  $\text{CM } R = \text{SCM } R$  holds if and only if  $R$  is Gorenstein. Now we relate  $\text{SCM } S^G$  to  $\text{SCM } S^H$ . Recall that  $G$  acts on  $\text{CM } S^H$  (see Proposition 4.24).

**Theorem A.2.** (1) *The action  $G \curvearrowright \text{CM } S^H$  restricts to an action of  $G \curvearrowright \text{SCM } S^H$ .*

(2) *The bijection  $\text{ind}(\text{CM } S^G) \cong G \backslash \text{ind}(\text{CM } S^H)$  restricts to a bijection  $\text{ind}(\text{SCM } S^G) \cong G \backslash \text{ind}(\text{SCM } S^H)$ .*

*Proof.* (1) We have  $g \cdot S^H = S^H$  by  $g \cdot (\iota_H l) = \iota_H l$ . Thus for  $N \in \text{CM } S^H$ , we have

$$\text{Ext}_{S^H}^1(N, S^H) \cong \text{Ext}_{S^H}^1(g \cdot N, g \cdot S^H) \cong \text{Ext}_{S^H}^1(g \cdot N, S^H).$$

(2) Let  $M \in \text{CM } S^G$ . Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{S^H}^1(\text{Hom}_{S^G}(S^H, M), S^H) &\cong \text{Ext}_{S^H}^1(M \otimes_{S^G} S^H, S^H) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_{S^G}^1(M, \text{Hom}_{S^H}(S^H, S^H)) \cong \text{Ext}_{S^G}^1(M, S^G)^{\oplus [l:k]} \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 4.23 and Corollary 4.22. Thus the assertion holds by Proposition 4.21.  $\square$

**Example A.3.** Let  $G$  be as in Example 5.4. Easy calculations show  $\text{ind}(\text{SCM } S^H) = \{N_0, N_5, N_7\}$  (see [IW10, 5.2]). Here, we denote by  $N_j \in \text{ind}(\text{CM } S^H)$  the correspondent to  $W_j \in \text{sim } lH$ . Thus by Theorem A.2,  $\text{ind}(\text{SCM } S^G) = \{M_0, M_{5,7}\}$  holds.

## REFERENCES

- [A62] M. Auslander: *On the purity of the branch locus*, American Journal of Mathematics 84.1 (1962): 116–125.
- [A71] M. Auslander: *Representation dimension of Artin algebras*, Lecture notes, Queen Mary College, London, 1971.
- [A74] M. Auslander: *Representation theory of Artin algebras I*, (1974): 177–268.
- [A86A] M. Auslander; U. Louise: *Isolated singularities and existence of almost split sequences*, Representation Theory II Groups and Orders: Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Representations of Algebras held in Ottawa, Canada, August 16–25, 1984. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1986.
- [A86B] M. Auslander: *Rational singularities and almost split sequences*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 293 (1986), no. 2, 511–531.
- [AR] M. Auslander; I. Reiten: *Almost split sequences in dimension two*, Advances in Mathematics 66.1 (1987), 88–118.
- [ARS] M. Auslander; I. Reiten; S. O. Smalø: *Representation theory of Artin algebras*, Corrected reprint of the 1995 original. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 36. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [ASS] I. Assem; D. Simson; A. Skowroński: *Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras*, vol.1, London Mathematical Society Student Texts 65, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [AV] M. Artin; J. L. Verdier: *Reflexive modules over rational double points*, Mathematische Annalen 270 (1985): 79–82.
- [BMRRT] A. B. Buan; B. R. Marsh; M. Reineke; I. Reiten; G. Todorov: *Tilting theory and cluster combinatorics*, Advances in mathematics, 204.2 (2006), 572–618.
- [BGS] R. O. Buchweitz; G. M. Greuel; F. O. Schreyer: *Cohen-Macaulay modules on hypersurface singularities II*, 1987.
- [DITW] H. Dao; O. Iyama; R. Takahashi; M. Wemyss: *Gorenstein modifications and Q-Gorenstein rings*, Journal of Algebraic Geometry, 29(4) (2020), 729–751.
- [Esn] H. Esnault: *Reflexive modules on quotient surface singularities*, 1985.
- [F] Hubert Flenner: *Reine lokale Ringe der Dimension zwei*, Math. Ann. 216 (1975), no. 3, 253–263.
- [GN] S. Goto; K. Nishida: *Towards a theory of Bass numbers with application to Gorenstein algebras*, Colloquium Mathematicum. Vol. 91. Instytut Matematyczny Polskiej Akademii Nauk, 2002.
- [I07A] O. Iyama: *Higher-dimensional Auslander–Reiten theory on maximal orthogonal subcategories*, Adv. Math. 210 (2007), no. 1, 22–50.
- [I07B] O. Iyama: *Auslander correspondence*. *Advances in Mathematics*, 2007, 210(1), 51–82.
- [INP] O. Iyama; H. Nakaoka; Y. Palu: *Auslander–Reiten theory in extriangulated categories*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.03776, 2018.
- [IR] O. Iyama; I. Reiten: *Fomin–Zelevinsky mutation and tilting modules over Calabi–Yau algebras*, American Journal of Mathematics, 130(4) (2008), 1087–1149.
- [IT] O. Iyama; R. Takahashi: *Tilting and cluster tilting for quotient singularities*, Math. Ann. 356 (2013), 1065–1105.
- [IY] O. Iyama; Y. Yoshino: *Mutation in triangulated categories and rigid Cohen–Macaulay modules*, Invent. Math. 172 (2008), no. 1, 117–168.
- [IW10] O. Iyama; M. Wemyss: *The classification of special Cohen Macaulay modules*, Math. Z. 265 (2010), no.1, 41–83.
- [IW14] O. Iyama; M. Wemyss: *Maximal modifications and Auslander–Reiten duality for non-isolated singularities*, Invent. Math. 197 (2014), no. 3, 521–586.
- [K] H. Knörrer: *Cohen–Macaulay modules on hypersurface singularities*, Representations of Algebras (Durham, 1985), London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes, 1986, 116, 147–164.
- [LW] G. Leuschke; R. Wiegand: *Cohen–Macaulay representations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 181. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
- [Mc] J. McKay: *Graphs, singularities, and finite group*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., AMS 37, 1980, pp. 183–186.
- [Mi] J. Miyachi: *Duality for derived categories and cotilting bimodules*, Journal of Algebra 185.2 (1996): 583–603.
- [RVdB] I. Reiten; M. Van den Bergh: *Two-dimensional tame and maximal orders of finite representation type*, Vol. 408. American Mathematical Soc., 1989.

- [S] J. P. Serre: *Local fields*, Vol. 67. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [VdB] M. Van den Bergh: *Non-commutative crepant resolutions*, In The Legacy of Niels Henrik Abel: The Abel Bicentennial, Oslo, 2002 (pp. 749–770). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2004.
- [W] K. Watanabe: *Certain invariant subrings are Gorenstein. I.* (1974): 1–8.
- [Wun] J. Wunram: *Reflexive modules on quotient surface singularities*, Mathematische Annalen 279 (1988), no. 4, 583–598.
- [Y] Y. Yoshino: *Cohen-Macaulay modules over Cohen-Macaulay rings*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 146, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, 3-8-1 KOMABA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO, 153-8914, JAPAN

*Email address:* ryu-tomonaga@g.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp