

TYPE PROBLEM, THE FIRST EIGENVALUE AND HARDY INEQUALITIES

GILLES CARRON, BO-YONG CHEN AND YUANPU XIONG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the relationship between the type problem and the asymptotic behaviour of the first (Dirichlet) eigenvalues $\lambda_1(B_r)$ of “balls” $B_r := \{\rho < r\}$ on a complete Riemannian manifold M as $r \rightarrow +\infty$, where ρ is a Lipschitz continuous exhaustion function with $|\nabla\rho| \leq 1$ a.e. on M . We obtain several sharp results. First, if for all $r > r_0$

$$r^2\lambda_1(B_r) \geq \gamma > 0,$$

we obtain a sharp estimate of the volume growth: $|B_r| \geq cr^{\mu(\gamma)}$. Moreover when $\gamma > j_0^2 \approx 5.784$, where j_0 denotes the first positive zero of the Bessel function J_0 , then M is hyperbolic and we have a Hardy type inequality. In the case where $r_0 = 0$, a sharp Hardy type inequality holds. These spectral conditions are satisfied if one assumes that $\Delta\rho^2 \geq 2\mu(\gamma) > 0$. In particular, when $\inf_M \Delta\rho^2 > 4$, M is hyperbolic and we get a sharp Hardy type inequality. Related results for finite volume case are also studied.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Comments	5
2. Proof of Theorem 1.1	5
2.1. Bessel’s functions	5
2.2. The volume growth	6
2.3. The Hardy type inequalities	8
3. Proof of Proposition 1.4	12
4. Proof of Proposition 1.5	13
5. Examples	15
References	19

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, g) be a complete, non-compact Riemannian manifold with $\dim M \geq 2$, and denote by Δ the Laplace operator associated to g . An upper semicontinuous function u on M is called *subharmonic* if $\Delta u \geq 0$ holds in the sense of distributions. If every negative subharmonic function on M has to be a constant, then M is said to be *parabolic*; otherwise M is called *hyperbolic*. It is well-known that M is parabolic (resp. hyperbolic) if and only if the Green

The first author thanks the Centre Henri Lebesgue ANR-11-LABX-0020-01 for creating an attractive mathematical environment. The second and third authors are supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China, No. 12271101.

function $G_M(x, y)$ is infinite (resp. finite) for all $x \neq y$; or the Brownian motion on M is recurrent (resp. transient).

The type problem is how to decide the parabolicity and hyperbolicity through intrinsic geometric conditions. The case of surfaces is classical, for the type of M depends only on the conformal class of g , i.e., the complex structure determined by g . Ahlfors [1] and Nevanlinna [23] first showed that M is parabolic whenever

$$(1.1) \quad \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{dr}{|\partial B(x_0, r)|} = +\infty,$$

where $B(x_0, r)$ is the geodesic ball with center $x_0 \in M$ and radius r . The same conclusion was extended to high dimensional cases by Lyons-Sullivan [21] and Grigor'yan [12, 13]. Moreover, (1.2) can be relaxed to

$$(1.2) \quad \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{r dr}{|B(x_0, r)|} = +\infty$$

(cf. Karp [17], Varopolous [26] and Grigor'yan [12, 13], see also Cheng-Yau [9]). We refer to the excellent survey [14] of Grigor'yan for other sufficient conditions of parabolicity.

On the other side, it seems more difficult to find sufficient conditions for hyperbolicity. Yet there is a classical result stating that M is hyperbolic whenever the first (Dirichlet) eigenvalue $\lambda_1(M)$ of M is positive. Recall that

$$\lambda_1(M) := \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_1(\Omega_j)$$

for some/any increasing sequence of precompact open sets $\{\Omega_j\}$ in M , such that $M = \bigcup \Omega_j$. Here given an open set $\Omega \subset\subset M$, define

$$\lambda_1(\Omega) := \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi|^2 dV}{\int_{\Omega} \phi^2 dV} : \phi \in \text{Lip}_0(M), \text{supp } \phi \subset \bar{\Omega}, \phi \not\equiv 0 \right\},$$

where $\text{Lip}_0(M)$ denotes the set of Lipschitz continuous functions on M with compact supports.

Remark. We use the convention that $\lambda_1(\emptyset) = +\infty$.

The main focus of this paper is to determine the hyperbolicity in the case $\lambda_1(M) = 0$. Grigor'yan showed that M is hyperbolic if the following Faber-Krahn type inequality holds:

$$\lambda_1(\Omega) \geq f(|\Omega|), \quad \forall \Omega \subset\subset M : |\Omega| \geq v_0 > 0,$$

where f is a positive decreasing function on $(0, +\infty)$ such that $\int_{v_0}^{+\infty} \frac{dv}{v^2 f(v)} < +\infty$ (see, e.g., [14], Theorem 10.3). We shall use certain quantity measuring the asymptotic behaviour of $\lambda_1(B_r)$ for certain ‘‘balls’’ B_r as $r \rightarrow +\infty$, which seems to be easier to analyse. More precisely, let us first fix a nonnegative locally Lipschitz continuous function ρ on M , which is an exhaustion function (i.e., $B_r := \{\rho < r\} \subset\subset M$ for any $r > 0$), such that $|\nabla \rho| \leq 1$ holds a.e. on M . Note that if ρ is the distance $\text{dist}_M(x_0, \cdot)$ from some $x_0 \in M$, then B_r is precisely the geodesic ball $B(x_0, r)$.

To state the main result, we denote by λ_μ the first eigenvalue of the Laplace operator on $[0, 1)$ for the Dirichlet condition at $s = 1$ and with respect to the measure $s^{\mu-1}ds$, i.e.,

$$(1.3) \quad \lambda_\mu = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_0^1 |\psi'(s)|^2 s^{\mu-1} ds}{\int_0^1 |\psi(s)|^2 s^{\mu-1} ds} : \psi \in \text{Lip}([0, 1]), \psi(1) = 0, \psi \not\equiv 0 \right\}.$$

It is known that $\lambda_\mu = j_{\mu/2-1}^2$, where j_ν is the first positive zero of the Bessel function J_ν , with the infimum λ_μ realized by

$$(1.4) \quad \psi_\mu(s) := s^{1-\mu/2} J_{\mu/2-1} \left(\sqrt{\lambda_\mu} s \right).$$

We have

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that*

$$(1.5) \quad \lambda_1(B_r) \geq \frac{\lambda_\mu}{r^2},$$

holds for all $r \geq r_0$. Then the following properties hold:

(1) *There is a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$(1.6) \quad |B_r| \geq cr^\mu, \quad \forall r \geq r_0.$$

Here, the constant c might depend on the geometry of B_{r_0} .

(2) *If $\mu > 2$, then M is hyperbolic and the Hardy type inequality*

$$(1.7) \quad C \int_M \frac{u^2}{1 + \rho^2} dV \leq \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dV$$

holds for some $C > 0$ and for any $u \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$.

(3) *If $\mu > 2$ and (1.5) holds for all $r > 0$, then the sharp Hardy type inequality*

$$(1.8) \quad \left(\frac{\mu - 2}{2} \right)^2 \int_M \frac{u^2}{\rho^2} dV \leq \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dV$$

holds for any $u \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$.

Remark. (a) *It is well-known that if $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\rho(x) = |x|$ then*

$$\lambda_1(B(0, r)) = \frac{\lambda_n}{r^2} = \frac{j_{n/2-1}^2}{r^2}, \quad \forall r > 0.$$

Thus the volume growth in (1.6) is sharp for $\mu = n$ and (1.8) reduces to the classical Hardy inequality for $\mu = n \geq 3$.

(b) (1.6) *also follows from the sharp Hardy type inequality (cf. Carron [7], Proposition 2.26). Indeed, (1.8) implies the following reverse doubling property of order μ (cf. Lansade [18], Proposition 5.2):*

$$\frac{|B_R|}{|B_r|} \geq c_\mu \frac{R^\mu}{r^\mu}, \quad \forall R > r > 0.$$

Define

$$\Lambda_* := \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \{r^2 \lambda_1(B_r)\}.$$

Note that $\mu \mapsto \lambda_\mu$ is a strict increasing continuous function when $\mu \geq 2$ (cf. [11]). Thus a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1 is the following

Corollary 1.2. *If $\Lambda_* > \lambda_2 = j_0^2 \approx 5.784$, then M is hyperbolic. In other words, if M is parabolic, then $\Lambda_* \leq j_0^2$.*

Remark. *We have already said that for $M = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\rho(x) = |x|$ then*

$$\lambda_1(B(0, r)) = \frac{\lambda_2}{r^2} = \frac{j_0^2}{r^2},$$

so that $\Lambda_* = j_0^2$. Since \mathbb{R}^2 is parabolic, so the above result turns out to be the best possible.

Conversely, it is natural to ask whether there exists a universal constant c_0 such that M is parabolic whenever $\Lambda_* \leq c_0$. The answer is, however, negative (see Example 3 in § 5).

Theorem 1.1/(1) allows us to estimate Λ_* through volume growth conditions. Cheng-Yau [9] showed that $\lambda_1(M) = 0$ if M has polynomial volume growth. This was extended by Brooks [3], who showed that if the volume $|M|$ of M is infinite, then

$$\lambda_1(M) \leq \frac{\mu^{*2}}{4}, \quad \mu^* := \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log |B(x_0, r)|}{r}.$$

Refined results for ends of complete Riemannian manifolds are obtained by Li-Wang [20] (see also Carron [7], § 2.4). The following consequence of Theorem 1.1 may be viewed as a quantitative version of the theorem of Cheng-Yau.

Corollary 1.3. *If $\nu_* := \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log |B_r|}{\log r}$, then $\Lambda_* \leq \lambda_{\nu_*} = j_{\nu_*/2-1}^2$. In particular, we have*

- (1) $\Lambda_* = 0$ if $\nu_* = 0$;
- (2) $\Lambda_* \lesssim \nu_*$ if $0 < \nu_* \ll 1$;
- (3) $\Lambda_* \lesssim \nu_*^2$ if $\nu_* \gg 1$.

When $|M| < +\infty$, we have $\nu_* = 0$, so that $\lambda_1(B_r)$ decays faster than quadratically as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. More precisely, we have

Proposition 1.4. *If $|M| < \infty$, then*

$$(1.9) \quad \tilde{\Lambda}_* := \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-\log \lambda_1(B_r)}{r} \geq \alpha_* := \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-\log |M \setminus B_r|}{r}.$$

It follows from Proposition 1.4 that $\lambda_1(B_r)$ decays exponentially if $|M \setminus B_r|$ decays exponentially as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. On the other hand, the relationship of $\lambda_1(M \setminus B_r)$ and $|M \setminus B_r|$ is studied by Brooks [4], who proved that if $|M| < \infty$, then $\lambda_1(M \setminus B_r) \leq \frac{\alpha_*^2}{4}$ for all $r > 0$, where

$$\alpha^* := \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-\log |M \setminus B_r|}{r}.$$

A more precise version of Brooks' result is also proved by Li-Wang [20].

Motivated by a result of Dodziuk-Pignataro-Randol-Sullivan [10], we present an example in § 5 showing that the estimate in Proposition 1.4 is sharp. Some other examples such that $\lambda_1(B_r)$ have various decaying behaviours are also given in § 5. In particular, Example 3 shows that $\tilde{\Lambda}_* > 0$ does not necessarily imply $|M| < \infty$, i.e., the assumption that M has finite volume in Proposition 1.4 cannot be removed.

We also show that (1.5) holds under suitable condition on ρ .

Proposition 1.5. *Suppose that ρ is a nonnegative locally Lipschitz continuous exhaustion function on M such that $|\nabla\rho| \leq 1$ a.e. and $\Delta\rho^2 \geq 2\mu$ in the sense of distributions. Then*

$$(1.10) \quad \lambda_1(B_r) \geq \frac{\lambda_\mu}{r^2}, \quad \forall r > 0.$$

Proposition 1.5 together with Theorem 1.1 immediately yield the following

Corollary 1.6 (cf. [5]). *If $\Delta\rho^2 \geq 2\mu > 4$, then M is hyperbolic and the sharp Hardy type inequality (1.8) holds.*

Proposition 1.5 implies several well-known results. First, if M is an n -dimensional Cartan-Hadamard manifold and ρ is the geodesic distance function, then Proposition 1.5 together with the Hessian comparison theorem yield $\Lambda_* \geq \lambda_n$. In particular, it follows from Theorem 1.1 that M is hyperbolic when $n \geq 3$ (cf. Ichihara [15, 16], see also Grigor'yan [14], Theorem 15.3). Next, if M is a complete n -dimensional minimal submanifold in \mathbb{R}^N and ρ is the restriction of Euclidean distance to a given point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$, then $\Delta\rho^2 \geq 2n$, so that

$$(1.11) \quad \lambda_1(B^N(x_0, r) \cap M) \geq \frac{\lambda_n}{r^2},$$

in view of Proposition 1.5. Here $B^N(x_0, r)$ is a Euclidean ball in \mathbb{R}^N . (1.11) was first proved by Cheng-Li-Yau [8] by heat kernel method. In particular, M is hyperbolic for $n \geq 3$, which can also be proved by the isoperimetric inequality of Michael-Simon [22] and Theorem 8.2 in [14].

Comments. In an early version of this paper, the last two authors obtained the conclusion of Corollary 1.2 under a worse condition $\Lambda_* > 18.624 \dots$. Shortly afterwards, the first author suggested some ideas for improving certain results. We then decided to write a joint paper on the subject, and the improvements found in this paper are the result of this collaboration.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

2.1. Bessel's functions. Assume that $\nu > -1$ and $\mu > 0$. The Bessel function J_ν is given by

$$J_\nu(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{m! \Gamma(m + \nu + 1)} \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{2m + \nu},$$

which is a solution of the ODE

$$t^2 J_\nu''(t) + t J_\nu'(t) + (t^2 - \nu^2) J_\nu(t) = 0.$$

Thus $\psi_\mu(s) = s^{1-\mu/2} J_{\mu/2-1}(\sqrt{\lambda_\mu} s)$ satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad \psi_\mu''(s) + \frac{\mu-1}{s} \psi_\mu'(s) + \lambda_\mu \psi_\mu(s) = 0,$$

where $\sqrt{\lambda_\mu} = j_{\mu/2-1}$ is the first positive zero of $J_{\mu/2-1}$. In particular, $\psi_\mu(1) = 0$. Moreover, we have

$$\psi_\mu(s) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{m! \Gamma(m + \mu/2)} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_\mu} s}{2} \right)^{2m},$$

so that $\psi'_\mu(0) = 0$.

Let us first verify the following

Lemma 2.1. *The following properties hold:*

(1) *For any $[a, b] \subset [0, 1]$, we have*

$$(2.2) \quad \int_a^b (\lambda_\mu \psi_\mu(s)^2 - \psi'_\mu(s)^2) s^{\mu-1} ds = -\psi'_\mu(b) \psi_\mu(b) b^{\mu-1} + \psi'_\mu(a) \psi_\mu(a) a^{\mu-1}.$$

(2) *$\psi'_\mu(s) < 0$ for all $s \in (0, 1]$. More precisely,*

$$(2.3) \quad \psi'_\mu(s) s^{\mu-1} = -\lambda_\mu \int_0^s \psi_\mu(t) t^{\mu-1} dt.$$

Proof. By (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_\mu \psi_\mu(s)^2 - \psi'_\mu(s)^2) s^{\mu-1} &= \left(-\psi''_\mu(s) \psi_\mu(s) - \frac{\mu-1}{s} \psi'_\mu(s) \psi_\mu(s) - \psi'_\mu(s)^2 \right) s^{\mu-1} \\ &= -(\psi'_\mu(s) \psi_\mu(s))' s^{\mu-1} - (\mu-1) \psi'_\mu(s) \psi_\mu(s) s^{\mu-2} \\ &= -(\psi'_\mu(s) \psi_\mu(s) s^{\mu-1})' \end{aligned}$$

and

$$-\lambda_\mu \psi_\mu(s) s^{\mu-1} = \psi''_\mu(s) s^{\mu-1} + (\mu-1) \psi'_\mu(s) s^{\mu-2} = (\psi'_\mu(s) s^{\mu-1})',$$

from which (2.2) and (2.3) follow immediately. \square

2.2. The volume growth.

Proof of Theorem 1.1(1). We first assume that $\rho > 0$. Set $\phi = \psi_\mu(\rho/r)$, where ψ_μ is given as (1.4). Then the variational characterization of eigenvalue gives

$$\lambda_\mu \int_{B_r} \psi_\mu(\rho/r)^2 dV \leq r^2 \lambda_1(B_r) \int_{B_r} \phi^2 dV \leq r^2 \int_{B_r} |\nabla \phi|^2 dV \leq \int_{B_r} \psi'_\mu(\rho/r)^2 dV.$$

By using the co-area formula, this can be rewritten as

$$\lambda_\mu \int_0^r \psi_\mu(t/r)^2 d\sigma(t) \leq \int_0^r \psi'_\mu(t/r)^2 d\sigma(t),$$

where $d\sigma(t) := (\rho)_\#(dV)$ is a Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure on $(0, +\infty)$. Divide this inequality by $r^{\mu+1}$ and integrate on $r \in [r_0, \bar{r}]$, we obtain

$$\lambda_\mu \int_0^{\bar{r}} \int_{\max\{r_0, t\}}^{\bar{r}} \psi_\mu(t/r)^2 \frac{dr}{r^{\mu+1}} d\sigma(t) \leq \int_0^{\bar{r}} \int_{\max\{r_0, t\}}^{\bar{r}} \psi'_\mu(t/r)^2 \frac{dr}{r^{\mu+1}} d\sigma(t),$$

in view of Fubini's theorem. By using the new variable $s = t/r$, we get

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda_\mu \int_0^{\bar{r}} \left(\int_{t/\bar{r}}^{\min\{1, t/r_0\}} \psi_\mu(s)^2 s^{\mu-1} ds \right) \frac{d\sigma(t)}{t^\mu} \leq \int_0^{\bar{r}} \left(\int_{t/\bar{r}}^{\min\{1, t/r_0\}} \psi'_\mu(s)^2 s^{\mu-1} ds \right) \frac{d\sigma(t)}{t^\mu}.$$

Take $a = t/\bar{r}$ and $b = \min\{1, t/r_0\}$ when $t \leq r_0$ in (2.2), we infer from (2.4) and the facts $\psi_\mu(1) = 0$ and $\psi'_\mu \leq 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\bar{r}} -\psi'_\mu(t/\bar{r})\psi_\mu(t/\bar{r})(t/\bar{r})^{\mu-1} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{t^\mu} \\ & \geq \int_0^{\bar{r}} -\psi'_\mu(\min\{1, t/r_0\})\psi_\mu(\min\{1, t/r_0\})(\min\{1, t/r_0\})^{\mu-1} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{t^\mu} \\ & \geq \int_0^{r_0} -\psi'_\mu(t/r_0)\psi_\mu(t/r_0)(t/r_0)^{\mu-1} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{t^\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{1}{\bar{r}^\mu} \int_{B_{\bar{r}}} -\frac{\psi'_\mu(\rho/\bar{r})\psi_\mu(\rho/\bar{r})}{\rho/\bar{r}} dV \geq \frac{1}{r_0^\mu} \int_0^{r_0} -\frac{\psi'_\mu(\rho/r_0)\psi_\mu(\rho/r_0)}{\rho/r_0} dV.$$

If we merely have $\rho \geq 0$, then we may also apply the above argument to

$$\rho_\varepsilon := \sqrt{\rho^2 + \varepsilon^2}.$$

Note that we still have

$$|\nabla \rho_\varepsilon| = \frac{\rho |\nabla \rho|}{(\rho^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{1/2}} \leq 1.$$

Since $B_r^\varepsilon := \{\rho_\varepsilon < r\} = B_{\sqrt{r^2 - \varepsilon^2}}$, it follows that

$$(2.6) \quad \lambda_1(B_r^\varepsilon) = \lambda_1(B_{\sqrt{r^2 - \varepsilon^2}}) \geq \frac{\lambda_\mu}{r^2 - \varepsilon^2} \geq \frac{\lambda_\mu}{r^2}, \quad \forall r \geq r_{0,\varepsilon} := \sqrt{r_0^2 + \varepsilon^2},$$

so that (2.5) still holds with ρ and r_0 replaced by ρ_ε and $r_{0,\varepsilon}$, respectively. Moreover, since ψ_μ is a C^2 function on $[0, 1]$ with $\psi'_\mu(0) = 0$, there exists a constant $A > 0$ such that

$$-\psi'_\mu(s)\psi_\mu(s) \leq As, \quad \forall s \in [0, 1].$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$, we see that (2.5) remains valid for ρ and r_0 in view of the dominated convergence theorem, which yields

$$\frac{|B_{\bar{r}}|}{\bar{r}^\mu} \geq \frac{1}{Ar_0^\mu} \int_0^{r_0} -\frac{\psi'_\mu(t/r_0)\psi_\mu(t/r_0)}{t/r_0} d\sigma(t) =: c, \quad \forall \bar{r} \geq r_0. \quad \square$$

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Suppose on the contrary that $\Lambda_* > \lambda_{\nu_*}$. Since the function $\mu \mapsto \lambda_\mu$ is continuous, we have $\Lambda_* > \lambda_{\nu_* + \varepsilon}$ for $\varepsilon \ll 1$, so that $\lambda_1(B_r) \geq \lambda_{\nu_* + \varepsilon}/r^2$ for $r \gg 1$. By Theorem 1.1/(1), we conclude that $|B_r| \gtrsim r^{\nu_* + \varepsilon}$. But this implies $\nu_* \geq \nu_* + \varepsilon$, which is impossible.

Since $j_\nu \sim 2\sqrt{\nu+1}$ as $\nu \rightarrow -1+$ (cf. Piessens [25]), we have $\lambda_{\nu_*} = j_{\nu_*/2-1}^2 \sim 2\nu_*$ as $\nu_* \rightarrow 0+$, from which assertions (1) and (2) immediately follow. On the other hand, since $j_\nu \sim \nu$ as $\nu \rightarrow +\infty$ (cf. Watson [27], pp. 521, see also Elbert [11], § 1.4), we have $\lambda_{\nu_*} = j_{\nu_*/2-1}^2 \sim \nu_*^2/4$ as $\nu_* \rightarrow +\infty$, which implies (3). \square

2.3. The Hardy type inequalities. Recall that the capacity $\text{cap}(K)$ of a compact set $K \subset M$ is given by

$$\text{cap}(K) := \inf \int_M |\nabla \psi|^2 dV,$$

where the infimum is taken over all $\psi \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$ with $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ and $\psi|_K = 1$. The following criterion is of fundamental importance (cf. Grigor'yan [14], Theorem 5.1 and Ancona [2], pp. 46–47, see also Carron [6], Definition 2.13).

Theorem 2.2. *Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian manifold. Then the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) M is hyperbolic.
- (2) $\text{cap}(K) > 0$ for some/any compact set $K \subset M$ with non-empty interior.
- (3) Given some/any open subset $U \subset\subset M$, there exists a constant $C = C(U)$ such that

$$\int_U u^2 dV \leq C(U) \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dV$$

for any $u \in \text{Lip}(M)$ with a compact support.

We shall take a unified approach to proving the Hardy inequalities (1.7) and (1.8). To begin with, set

$$\Phi(s) = J_{\mu/2-1} \left(\sqrt{\lambda_\mu} s \right).$$

The function Φ satisfies $\Phi(0) = \Phi(1) = 0$ and

$$(2.7) \quad \Phi''(s) + \frac{1}{s} \Phi'(s) + \left(\lambda_\mu - \frac{(\mu/2-1)^2}{s^2} \right) \Phi(s) = 0.$$

We shall make use the following property of Φ .

Lemma 2.3. *For any $s \in [0, 1]$, we have*

$$(2.8) \quad \int_0^x \Phi'(s)^2 s ds = \lambda_\mu \int_0^x \Phi(s)^2 s ds - \left(\frac{\mu-2}{2} \right)^2 \int_0^x \Phi(s)^2 \frac{ds}{s} + \Phi'(x) \Phi(x) x.$$

In particular,

$$(2.9) \quad \int_0^1 \Phi'(s)^2 s ds = \lambda_\mu \int_0^1 \Phi(s)^2 s ds - \left(\frac{\mu-2}{2} \right)^2 \int_0^1 \Phi(s)^2 \frac{ds}{s}.$$

Proof. By (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\mu \Phi(s)^2 s - \nu^2 \Phi(s)^2 \frac{1}{s} &= \Phi''(s) \Phi(s) s + \Phi'(s) \Phi(s) \\ &= (\Phi'(s) \Phi(s) s)' - \Phi'(s)^2 s, \end{aligned}$$

from which (2.8) and (2.9) follow immediately. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1/(2)(3). As the proof of (1), we first consider the case that $\rho > 0$. Given $u \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$, define

$$\phi(x) := u(x)\Phi(\rho(x)/r), \quad x \in M.$$

Since $|\nabla\rho| \leq 1$, we have

$$|\nabla\phi|^2 \leq \Phi(\rho/r)^2 |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} u^2 \Phi'(\rho/r)^2 + 2\frac{u}{r} \langle \nabla u, \nabla\rho \rangle \Phi'(\rho/r) \Phi(\rho/r).$$

The variational characterization of eigenvalue gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda_\mu}{r^2} \int_{B_r} \phi^2 dV &\leq \lambda_1(B_r) \int_{B_r} \phi^2 dV \leq \int_{B_r} |\nabla\phi|^2 dV \\ &\leq \int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^2 \Phi(\rho/r)^2 dV + \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{B_r} u^2 \Phi'(\rho/r)^2 dV \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{B_r} \frac{u}{r} \langle \nabla u, \nabla\rho \rangle \Phi'(\rho/r) \Phi(\rho/r) dV, \quad \forall r > r_0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

We then divide the (2.10) by r and integrate for $r \in (r_0, +\infty)$. (Under the condition of (3) we set $r_0 = \inf_M \rho > 0$.) First,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{r_0}^{+\infty} \int_{B_r} u(x)^2 \Phi(\rho(x)/r)^2 dV(x) \frac{dr}{r^3} \\ &= \int_M \left(\int_{\max\{r_0, \rho(x)\}}^{+\infty} \Phi(\rho(x)/r)^2 \frac{dr}{r^3} \right) u(x)^2 dV(x) \\ &= \int_M \left(\int_0^{\min\{1, \rho(x)/r_0\}} \Phi(s)^2 s ds \right) \frac{u(x)^2}{\rho(x)^2} dV(x) \\ &\geq \left(\int_0^1 \Phi(s)^2 s ds \right) \int_{M \setminus B_{r_0}} \frac{u^2}{\rho^2} dV, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the new variable $s = \rho(x)/r$ in the second step. Analogously, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{r_0}^{+\infty} \int_{B_r} |\nabla u(x)|^2 \Phi(\rho(x)/r)^2 dV(x) \frac{dr}{r} \\ &= \int_M \left(\int_{\max\{r_0, \rho(x)\}}^{+\infty} \Phi^2(\rho(x)/r) \frac{dr}{r} \right) |\nabla u(x)|^2 dV(x) \\ &= \int_M \left(\int_0^{\min\{1, \rho(x)/r_0\}} \Phi^2(s) \frac{ds}{s} \right) |\nabla u(x)|^2 dV(x) \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^1 \Phi^2(s) \frac{ds}{s} \right) \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dV \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{r_0}^{+\infty} \int_{B_r} u(x)^2 \Phi'(\rho(x)/r)^2 dV(x) \frac{dr}{r^3} \\
&= \int_M \left(\int_{\max\{r_0, \rho(x)\}}^{+\infty} \Phi'(\rho(x)/r)^2 \frac{dr}{r^3} \right) u(x)^2 dV(x) \\
&= \int_M \left(\int_0^{\min\{1, \rho(x)/r_0\}} \Phi'(s)^2 s ds \right) \frac{u^2(x)}{\rho(x)^2} dV(x) \\
&\leq \left(\int_0^1 \Phi'(s)^2 s ds \right) \int_{M \setminus B_{r_0}} \frac{u^2}{\rho^2} dV + \int_{B_{r_0}} \left(\int_0^{\rho(x)/r_0} \Phi'(s)^2 s ds \right) \frac{u^2}{\rho^2} dV \\
&=: \left(\int_0^1 \Phi'(s)^2 s ds \right) \int_{M \setminus B_{r_0}} \frac{u^2}{\rho^2} dV + I_1(r_0),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.11) \quad I_1(r_0) \leq \frac{\|\Phi'\|_{L^\infty([0,1])}^2}{2r_0^2} \int_{B_{r_0}} u^2 dV, \quad \forall r_0 \geq \inf_M \rho,$$

so that $I_1(r_0) = 0$ if $r_0 = \inf_M \rho$. Eventually

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{r_0}^{+\infty} \int_{B_r} \frac{u}{r} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \rho \rangle \Phi'(\rho/r) \Phi(\rho/r) dV \frac{dr}{r} \\
&= \int_M \left(\int_{\max\{r_0, \rho(x)\}}^{+\infty} \Phi'(\rho(x)/r) \Phi(\rho(x)/r) \frac{dr}{r^2} \right) u(x) \langle \nabla u(x), \nabla \rho(x) \rangle dV(x) \\
&= \int_M \left(\int_0^{\min\{1, \rho(x)/r_0\}} \Phi'(s) \Phi(s) ds \right) \frac{u(x)}{\rho(x)} \langle \nabla u(x), \nabla \rho(x) \rangle dV(x) \\
&= \int_{B_{r_0}} \Phi(\rho/r_0)^2 \frac{u}{\rho} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \rho \rangle dV =: I_2(r_0),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact $2 \int_0^1 \Phi'(s) \Phi(s) ds = \Phi^2(1) - \Phi^2(0) = 0$. We also have $I_2(r_0) = 0$ if $r_0 = \inf_M \rho$. Moreover, since $\Phi(0) = 0$ we get that $\Phi^2(s) \leq \|\Phi'\|_{L^\infty([0,1])}^2 s^2$ for all $s \geq 0$, so that,

$$(2.12) \quad I_2(r_0) \leq \frac{\|\Phi'\|_{L^\infty([0,1])}^2}{r_0} \int_{B_{r_0}} |\nabla u| |u| dV, \quad \forall r_0 \geq \inf_M \rho$$

These together with (2.10) yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_\mu \left(\int_0^1 \Phi(s)^2 s ds \right) \int_{M \setminus B_{r_0}} \frac{u^2}{\rho^2} dV \\ & \leq \left(\int_0^1 \Phi^2(s) \frac{ds}{s} \right) \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dV + \left(\int_0^1 \Phi'(s)^2 s ds \right) \int_{M \setminus B_{r_0}} \frac{u^2}{\rho^2} dV \\ & \quad + I_1(r_0) + I_2(r_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(2.13) \quad \left(\frac{\mu - 2}{2} \right)^2 \int_{M \setminus B_{r_0}} \frac{u^2}{\rho^2} dV \leq \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dV + \frac{I_1(r_0) + I_2(r_0)}{A}$$

in view of (2.9), where $A = \int_0^1 \Phi^2(s) \frac{ds}{s}$. If (1.5) holds for all $r > 0$, we may take $r_0 = \inf_M \rho$, so that (1.8) follows immediately from (2.13).

Under the condition of (2), we infer from (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13) that

$$(2.14) \quad \left(\frac{\mu - 2}{2} \right)^2 \int_M \frac{u^2}{1 + \rho^2} dV \leq (1 + \delta) \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dV + C_\delta \int_{B_{r_0}} u^2 dV$$

holds for any $u \in \text{Lip}_{\text{loc}}(M)$ with a compact support and $\delta > 0$, where

$$(2.15) \quad C_\delta = \left(\frac{\mu - 2}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{\|\Phi'\|_{L^\infty([0,1])}^2}{Ar_0^2} + \frac{\|\Phi'\|_{L^\infty([0,1])}^4}{A^2 r_0^2 \delta}.$$

Note that C_δ only depends on μ and r_0 if δ is fixed.

The above proofs of inequalities (1.8) and (2.14) require an additional condition $\rho > 0$. In general, if $\inf_M \rho = 0$, we consider $\rho_\varepsilon := \sqrt{\rho^2 + \varepsilon^2}$. Analogously to the proof of Theorem 1.1/(1), we have (2.6), so that (2.14) becomes

$$\left(\frac{\mu - 2}{2} \right)^2 \int_M \frac{u^2}{1 + \varepsilon^2 + \rho^2} dV \leq (1 + \delta) \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dV + C_{\delta, \varepsilon} \int_{B_{r_0^\varepsilon}} u^2 dV,$$

where $C_{\delta, \varepsilon}$ is given by (2.15) with r_0^2 replaced by $r_0^2 + \varepsilon^2$. Thus (2.14) follows by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, if (1.5) holds for all $r > 0$, then $\lambda_1(B_r^\varepsilon) \geq \lambda_\mu/r^2$ when $r > \varepsilon$. Take $r_0 = \varepsilon = \inf_M \rho_\varepsilon$ in (2.13) with ρ replaced by ρ_ε , we have

$$(2.16) \quad \left(\frac{\mu - 2}{2} \right)^2 \int_M \frac{u^2}{\rho_\varepsilon^2} dV \leq \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dV.$$

We obtain (1.8) immediately by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, which completes the proof of (3).

To prove (2), we shall first derive the hyperbolicity of M from (2.14) when $\mu > 2$. By Theorem 1.1/(1), there exist some constants $c > 0$ and $\mu > 2$ such that $|B_r| \geq cr^\mu$ for $r \gg 1$. Thus

$$\int_M \frac{dV}{1 + \rho^2} \geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|B_r|}{1 + r^2} = +\infty.$$

Choose $\bar{r} \gg r_0$ so that

$$\left(\frac{\mu-2}{2}\right)^2 \int_{B_{\bar{r}}} \frac{dV}{1+\rho^2} \geq C_\delta |B_{r_0}| + 1 + \delta.$$

Then

$$\int_M |\nabla u|^2 dV \geq 1$$

whenever $u \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$ and $u = 1$ on $\bar{B}_{\bar{r}}$. Thus $\text{cap}(\bar{B}_{\bar{r}}) \geq 1$ and M is hyperbolic in view of Theorem 2.2/(2).

Finally, it follows from Theorem 2.2/(3) that

$$\int_{B_{r_0}} u^2 dV \leq C(B_{r_0}) \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dV$$

for any $u \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$. This together with (2.14) give (1.7) with

$$C = \left(\frac{\mu-2}{2}\right)^2 \frac{1}{1+\delta+C_\delta C(B_{r_0})}.$$

If we fix some $\delta > 0$, then C only depends on μ , r_0 and the geometry of M . \square

3. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.4

By definition, there exists a sequence $\{r_k\}$ with $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} r_k = +\infty$, such that $\lambda_1(B_{r_k}) > e^{-(\tilde{\Lambda}_* + \varepsilon)r_k}$ for some $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. Again, for $k \geq 1$ and $0 < \delta < 1$, we take a cut-off function $\phi_k : M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\phi_k|_{B_{\delta r_k}} = 1$, $\phi_k|_{M \setminus B_{r_k}} = 0$ and $|\nabla \phi_k| \leq (1-\delta)^{-1} r_k^{-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-(\tilde{\Lambda}_* + \varepsilon)r_k} |B_{\delta r_k}| &\leq \lambda_1(B_{r_k}) \int_M \phi_k^2 dV \leq \int_M |\nabla \phi_k|^2 dV \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1-\delta)^2 r_k^2} |B_{r_k} \setminus B_{\delta r_k}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1-\delta)^2 r_k^2} |M \setminus B_{\delta r_k}|. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$|M| \geq \left(1 + e^{-(\tilde{\Lambda}_* + \varepsilon)r_k} (1-\delta)^2 r_k^2\right) |B_{\delta r_k}|,$$

which is equivalent to

$$|M \setminus B_{\delta r_k}| \geq \frac{e^{-(\tilde{\Lambda}_* + \varepsilon)r_k} (1-\delta)^2 r_k^2}{1 + e^{-(\tilde{\Lambda}_* + \varepsilon)r_k} (1-\delta)^2 r_k^2} |M|.$$

Thus

$$\alpha_* \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log |M \setminus B_{\delta r_k}|}{\delta r_k} \leq \frac{\tilde{\Lambda}_* + \varepsilon}{\delta}.$$

Letting $\delta \rightarrow 1-$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$, we conclude that $\tilde{\Lambda}_* \geq \alpha_*$.

4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.5

Let $w \in \text{Lip}_{\text{loc}}(M)$ and $v \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(M)$, by $\Delta w \geq v$ in the sense of distributions (or weakly) for some locally integrable function v , we mean

$$(4.1) \quad \int_M \langle \nabla w, \nabla \varphi \rangle dV \leq - \int_M v \varphi dV$$

for any nonnegative function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M)$. Since $C_0^\infty(M)$ is dense in $\text{Lip}_0(M)$ with respect to the Sobolev norm $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,2}}$, we see that $\Delta w \geq v$ weakly if and only if (4.1) holds for all nonnegative $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$. One can define $\Delta w \leq v$ in a similar way.

We first prove the following technical lemma:

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that $\Delta w \geq v$ weakly and $w > 0$. Let $f : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ be a smooth function. Then the following properties hold:*

- (1) *If f is increasing, then $\Delta f(w) \geq f'(w)v + f''(w)|\nabla w|^2$ weakly;*
- (2) *If f is decreasing, then $\Delta f(w) \leq f'(w)v + f''(w)|\nabla w|^2$ weakly.*

Proof. (1) Let $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$ and $\varphi \geq 0$. If f is increasing, then we also have $f'(w)\varphi \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$ and $f'(w)\varphi \geq 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \langle \nabla f(w), \nabla \varphi \rangle dV &= \int_M \langle f'(w)\nabla w, \nabla \varphi \rangle dV \\ &= \int_M \langle \nabla w, \nabla (f'(w)\varphi) \rangle dV - \int_M f''(w)|\nabla w|^2 \varphi dV \\ &\leq - \int_M (f'(w)v + f''(w)|\nabla w|^2) \varphi dV, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the first assertion.

(2) Analogously, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \langle \nabla f(w), \nabla \varphi \rangle dV &= \int_M \langle f'(w)\nabla w, \nabla \varphi \rangle dV \\ &= - \int_M \langle \nabla w, \nabla (-f'(w)\varphi) \rangle dV - \int_M f''(w)|\nabla w|^2 \varphi dV \\ &\geq - \int_M (f'(w)v + f''(w)|\nabla w|^2) \varphi dV. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition 1.5. We follow the ideas in [5]. Assume for a moment that $\rho > 0$. Apply Lemma 4.1/(1) with $w = \rho^2$ and $f(t) = t^{1/2}$, we get

$$(4.2) \quad \Delta \rho \geq \frac{\mu - |\nabla \rho|^2}{\rho}$$

weakly.

Let ϕ be a Lipschitz continuous function which is positive on B_r and fix $u \in \text{Lip}_0(B_r)$. With $v := u/\phi$, we have

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dV &= \int_M \phi^2 |\nabla v|^2 dV + \int_M v^2 |\nabla \phi|^2 dV + 2 \int_M \phi v \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla v \rangle dV \\ &= \int_M \phi^2 |\nabla v|^2 dV + \int_M \langle \nabla(\phi v^2), \nabla \phi \rangle dV. \end{aligned}$$

Let us choose $\phi = \psi_\mu(\rho/r)$, where

$$\psi_\mu(s) = s^{1-\mu/2} J_{\mu/2-1}(\sqrt{\lambda_\mu} s).$$

Recall that ψ_μ is a solution of the ODE (2.1) and $\psi'_\mu \leq 0$. Thus (4.2), (2.1) together with Lemma 4.1/(2) yield

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta \phi &\leq \psi'_\mu(\rho/r) \frac{\mu - |\nabla \rho|^2}{\rho r} + \psi''_\mu(\rho/r) \frac{|\nabla \rho|^2}{r^2} \\ &= \psi'_\mu(\rho/r) \frac{\mu - 1}{\rho r} + \psi''_\mu(\rho/r) \frac{1}{r^2} + \psi'_\mu(\rho/r) \frac{1 - |\nabla \rho|^2}{\rho r} - \psi''_\mu(\rho/r) \frac{1 - |\nabla \rho|^2}{r^2} \\ &= -\frac{\lambda_\mu}{r^2} \phi + \frac{1 - |\nabla \rho|^2}{r^2} \left(\frac{\psi'_\mu(\rho/r)}{\rho/r} - \psi''_\mu(\rho/r) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We have (cf. Lebedev [19, formula (5.3.5)])

$$\psi'_\mu(s) = -s \psi_{\mu+2}(s),$$

from which it follows that

$$\psi'_\mu(s)/s - \psi''_\mu(s) = -\psi_{\mu+2}(s) - (-s \psi_{\mu+2}(s))' = -s^2 \psi_{\mu+4}(s) \leq 0.$$

Then (4.4) implies

$$\Delta \phi \leq -\frac{\lambda_\mu}{r^2} \phi,$$

which gives

$$\int_M \langle \nabla(\phi v^2), \nabla \phi \rangle dV \geq - \int_M \phi v^2 \left(-\frac{\lambda_\mu}{r^2} \phi \right) dV = \frac{\lambda_\mu}{r^2} \int_M u^2 dV.$$

This together with (4.3) yield

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{\lambda_\mu}{r^2} \int_{B_r} u^2 dV \leq \int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^2 dV, \quad \forall u \in \text{Lip}_{\text{loc}}(B_r)$$

under the additional condition $\rho > 0$.

In general, we use $\rho_\varepsilon := (\rho^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{1/2}$ instead of ρ . Note that $|\nabla \rho_\varepsilon| \leq 1$ and

$$\Delta \rho_\varepsilon^2 = \Delta \rho^2 \geq 2\mu.$$

Thus (4.5) holds if B_r is replaced by B_r^ε in view of (2.6). The proposition follows by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. \square

5. EXAMPLES

Let $M = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ be equipped with the following Riemannian metric

$$g = dt^2 + \eta'(t)^2 d\theta^2, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^1,$$

where $\eta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function such that $\eta'(t) > 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \eta(t) = 0$. Dodziuk-Pigmataro-Randol-Sullivan [10, Proposition 3.1] showed that if $\eta(t) = e^t$, then $\lambda_1(M) \geq 1/4$, so that (M, g) is hyperbolic.

In general, we consider

$$h(t) := \int_0^t \frac{ds}{\eta'(s)}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

which gives a function on M . A straightforward calculation shows

$$\Delta h = \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\eta''(t)}{\eta'(t)} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\eta'(t)^2} \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial \theta^2} = 0,$$

i.e., h is a harmonic function on M . Moreover, in the new coordinate system $(\tilde{t}, \theta) := (h(t), \theta)$, we may write

$$g = \eta'(t)^2 (h'(t)^2 dt^2 + d\theta^2) = \eta'(t)^2 (d\tilde{t}^2 + d\theta^2),$$

which indicates that (M, g) is conformally equivalent to the cylinder $(\inf h, \sup h) \times \mathbb{S}^1$ equipped with a flat metric. In conclusion, we have

Proposition 5.1. *(M, g) is hyperbolic if and only if $\inf h > -\infty$ or $\sup h < +\infty$.*

Let $\rho(t, \theta) = |t|$. Clearly, ρ is an exhaustion function which satisfies $|\nabla \rho|_g \leq 1$. Indeed, ρ is the geodesic distance to the circle $\{0\} \times \mathbb{S}^1$. The goal of this section is to investigate the asymptotic behaviour of $\lambda_1(B_r)$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ for different choices of η . We start with the following elementary lower estimate.

Proposition 5.2.

$$\lambda_1(B_r) \geq \frac{1}{4} \inf_{|t| \leq r} \frac{\eta'(t)^2}{\eta(t)^2}.$$

Proof. The idea is essentially implicit in [10]. Since $dV = \eta'(t) dt d\theta$, we have

$$\int_{-r}^r \phi^2 \eta'(t) dt = -2 \int_{-r}^r \phi \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \eta(t) dt, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(B_r),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-r}^r \phi^2 \eta'(t) dt &\leq 4 \int_{-r}^r \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right|^2 \frac{\eta(t)^2}{\eta'(t)} dt \leq 4 \int_{-r}^r |\nabla \phi|^2 \frac{\eta(t)^2}{\eta'(t)} dt \\ (5.1) \quad &\leq 4 \sup_{|t| \leq r} \frac{\eta(t)^2}{\eta'(t)^2} \int_{-r}^r |\nabla \phi|^2 \eta'(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

in view of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Thus

$$\int_{B_r} \phi^2 dV = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{-r}^r \phi^2 \eta'(t) dt d\theta \leq 4 \sup_{|t| \leq r} \frac{\eta(t)^2}{\eta'(t)^2} \int_{B_r} |\nabla \phi|^2 dV,$$

from which the assertion immediately follows. \square

Example 1. Given $\alpha > 0$, take η such that

$$\eta(t) = \begin{cases} (-t)^{-\alpha}, & t < -1, \\ 2t^\alpha, & t > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then

- (1) $\Lambda_* \sim \alpha^2/4$ as $\alpha \rightarrow +\infty$.
- (2) M is parabolic if and only if $0 < \alpha \leq 2$.

Proof. (1) By Proposition 5.2, we have

$$\Lambda_* = \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \{r^2 \lambda_1(B_r)\} \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{4}.$$

On the other hand, since

$$|B_r| = 2\pi \int_{-r}^r \eta'(t) dt = 2\pi(\eta(r) - \eta(-r)) = 4\pi r^\alpha - 2\pi r^{-\alpha}, \quad \forall r \gg 1,$$

we see that

$$\nu_* = \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log |B_r|}{\log r} = \alpha.$$

This together with Corollary 1.3 gives

$$\frac{\alpha^2}{4} \leq \Lambda_* \leq \lambda_\alpha = j_{\alpha/2-1}^2 \sim \frac{\alpha^2}{4}$$

i.e., $\Lambda_* \sim \alpha^2/4$ as $\alpha \rightarrow +\infty$. In particular, M is hyperbolic provided $\alpha \gg 1$, in view of Corollary 1.2.

(2) follows immediately from Proposition 5.1. \square

Example 2. Given $\alpha > 0$, take η such that

$$(5.2) \quad \eta'(t) = e^{-\alpha|t|}, \quad |t| > 1.$$

Then

$$(5.3) \quad \lambda_1(B_r) \gtrsim e^{-\alpha r},$$

and

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-\log \lambda_1(B_r)}{r} = \alpha = \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-\log |M \setminus B_r|}{r},$$

i.e., the estimate in Proposition 1.4 is sharp.

Proof. First of all, since

$$|M \setminus B_r| = 4\pi \int_r^\infty e^{-\alpha t} dt \asymp e^{-\alpha r}, \quad r \gg 1,$$

we have $\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-\log |M \setminus B_r|}{r} = \alpha$, which implies

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-\log \lambda_1(B_r)}{r} \geq \alpha,$$

in view of Proposition 1.4.

Next, we shall use the following Hardy-type inequality (cf. Opic-Kufner [24], pp. 100–103)

$$(5.4) \quad \int_{-r}^r \phi(t)^2 \eta'(t) dt \lesssim e^{\alpha r} \int_{-r}^r \phi'(t)^2 \eta'(t) dt, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty((-r, r)),$$

where the implicit constant is independent of r . For reader's convenience, we include here a rather short proof for this special case. Since $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \eta'(t) dt$ is finite in view of (5.2), we have

$$(5.5) \quad \int_{-r}^r \phi(t)^2 \eta'(t) dt \leq \sup_{-r < t < r} \phi(t)^2 \int_{-r}^r \eta'(t) dt \lesssim \sup_{-r < t < r} \phi(t)^2.$$

On the other hand, by setting $|\phi(t_0)| = \sup_{-r < t < r} |\phi(t)|$, we have

$$\int_{-r}^r |\phi'(t)| dt \geq \int_{-r}^{t_0} |\phi'(t)| dt \geq \left| \int_{-r}^{t_0} \phi'(t) dt \right| = |\phi(t_0)| = \sup_{-r < t < r} |\phi(t)|.$$

This together with the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yield

$$(5.6) \quad \sup_{-r < t < r} \phi(t)^2 \leq \left(\int_{-r}^r \phi'(t)^2 \eta'(t) dt \right) \left(\int_{-r}^r \frac{1}{\eta'(t)} dt \right) \lesssim e^{\alpha r} \int_{-r}^r \phi'(t)^2 \eta'(t) dt.$$

By (5.5) and (5.6), we obtain (5.4), which in turn gives (5.3), i.e.,

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-\log(\lambda_1(B_r))}{r} \leq \alpha. \quad \square$$

Remark. By Proposition 5.2, we only obtain a weaker conclusion

$$\lambda_1(B_r) \geq \frac{1}{4} \frac{\eta'(r)^2}{\eta(r)^2} \gtrsim e^{-2\alpha r}.$$

Example 3. Take η such that

$$\eta'(t) = \begin{cases} n^2, & 2^n < t < 2^n + 1, \\ e^t, & 2^n + 2 < t < 2^{n+1} - 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\eta'(t) \geq n^2, \quad 2^n - 1 \leq t \leq 2^n + 2$$

for $n = 2, 3, \dots$. Then

$$\lambda_1(B_r) \lesssim e^{-r/2} (\log r)^2, \quad \forall r \gg 1$$

and M is hyperbolic.

Proof. Define h as Proposition 5.1. Since $\sup h = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{\eta'(t)} < +\infty$, we infer from Proposition 5.1 that M is hyperbolic. Use the test function,

$$\phi(t) := \begin{cases} t, & 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ 1, & 1 \leq t \leq r-1, \\ r-t, & r-1 \leq t \leq r, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we obtain

$$(5.7) \quad \lambda_1(B_r) \leq \frac{\int_{-r}^r \phi'(t)^2 \eta'(t) dt}{\int_{-r}^r \phi(t)^2 \eta'(t) dt} \leq \frac{\eta(r) - \eta(r-1) + \eta(1) - \eta(0)}{\eta(r-1) - \eta(1)}.$$

For $r = 2^n + 1$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $n \geq 2$, we have $\eta(r) - \eta(r-1) = n^2$ and

$$\eta(r-1) = \eta(2^n) \geq \int_{2^{n-1}+2}^{2^n-1} e^t dt \asymp e^{2^n},$$

so that (5.7) gives

$$\lambda_1(B_{2^n+1}) \lesssim e^{-2^n} n^2.$$

In general, for $r \gg 1$, take $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $2^n + 1 \leq r < 2^{n+1} + 1$. Then

$$\lambda_1(B_r) \leq \lambda_1(B_{2^n+1}) \lesssim e^{-2^n} n^2 \lesssim e^{-r/2} (\log r)^2. \quad \square$$

Remark. We have

$$\Lambda^* := \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} r^2 \lambda_1(B_r) = 0$$

and

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_* = \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-\log \lambda_1(B_r)}{r} \geq \frac{1}{2} > 0.$$

On the other hand, M is hyperbolic with an infinite volume.

Example 4. Let μ be a positive, smooth and decreasing function on $[1, +\infty)$ satisfying

- (1) $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \mu(t) = 0$,
- (2) $\int_1^{+\infty} \mu(s) ds = +\infty$,
- (3) $t\mu(t)$ is increasing on $[c, +\infty)$ for some $c \gg 1$.

Take η such that

$$\eta(t) = \begin{cases} e^{-\int_1^{-t} \mu(s) ds}, & t < -1, \\ 2e^{\int_1^t \mu(s) ds}, & t > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(5.8) \quad \lambda_1(B_r) \asymp \mu(r)^2.$$

Proof. Note that $\eta'(t)/\eta(t) = \mu(-t)$ for $t < -1$ and $\eta'(t)/\eta(t) = \mu(t)$ for $t > 1$. Thus it follows from Proposition 5.2 that

$$(5.9) \quad \lambda_1(B_r) \geq \frac{1}{4} \inf_{|t| \leq r} \frac{\eta'(t)^2}{\eta(t)^2} = \frac{\mu(r)^2}{4}.$$

On the other hand, we have $r\mu(r) \geq c\mu(c) > 0$ for $r \geq c \gg 1$ in view of the condition (3). Thus we may take $0 < \varepsilon \leq c\mu(c)/2$ so that

$$(5.10) \quad r_\varepsilon := r - \varepsilon\mu(r)^{-1} = r \left(1 - \varepsilon r^{-1} \mu(r)^{-1}\right) \geq \frac{r}{2}, \quad \forall r \geq c.$$

Set $I_r := (-r, -r_\varepsilon)$. Since $\eta''(t) = -\mu'(-t)\eta(t) + \mu(-t)\eta'(t) \geq 0$, i.e., $\eta'(t)$ is increasing on $(-\infty, -1]$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(B_r) &\leq \lambda_1(\{(t, \theta) \in M : -r \leq t \leq -r_\varepsilon\}) \\ &\leq \inf_{\phi \in C_0^\infty(I_r)} \left\{ \frac{\int_{I_r} \phi'(t)^2 \eta'(t) dt}{\int_{I_r} \phi(t)^2 \eta'(t) dt} \right\} \\ &\leq \inf_{\phi \in C_0^\infty(I_r)} \left\{ \frac{\int_{I_r} \phi'(t)^2 dt}{\int_{I_r} \phi(t)^2 dt} \right\} \cdot \frac{\eta'(-r_\varepsilon)}{\eta'(-r)} \\ &= \lambda_1(I_r) \cdot \frac{\eta'(-r_\varepsilon)}{\eta'(-r)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_1(I_r) \lesssim |I_r|^{-2} \asymp \mu(r)^2$, we obtain

$$(5.11) \quad \lambda_1(B_r) \lesssim \mu(r)^2 \cdot \frac{\eta'(-r_\varepsilon)}{\eta'(-r)}.$$

We have

$$\frac{\eta'(-r_\varepsilon)}{\eta'(-r)} = \frac{\mu(r_\varepsilon)}{\mu(r)} \exp\left(\int_{r_\varepsilon}^r \mu(s) ds\right) \leq \frac{\mu(r_\varepsilon)}{\mu(r)} \exp\left(\varepsilon \frac{\mu(r_\varepsilon)}{\mu(r)}\right),$$

for μ is decreasing and $r - r_\varepsilon = \varepsilon \mu(r)^{-1}$. By condition (3) and (5.10), we have

$$\frac{\mu(r_\varepsilon)}{\mu(r)} \leq \frac{r}{r_\varepsilon} \leq 2.$$

Thus $\frac{\eta'(-r_\varepsilon)}{\eta'(-r)} = O(1)$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. This together with (5.9) and (5.11) give (5.8). \square

Particular choices of μ give the following

- (1) For $\mu(t) = t^{-1}(\log t)^\beta$ with $\beta > 0$, $\lambda_1(B_r) \asymp r^{-2}(\log r)^{2\beta}$.
- (2) For $\mu(t) = t^{-\alpha}$ with $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\lambda_1(B_r) \asymp r^{-2\alpha}$.
- (3) For $\mu(t) = (\log(t+1))^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma > 0$, $\lambda_1(B_r) \asymp (\log r)^{-2\gamma}$.

In all three cases, we have

$$\Lambda_* = \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \{r^2 \lambda_1(B_r)\} = +\infty.$$

Thus these Riemannian manifolds (M, g) are hyperbolic in view of Corollary 1.2.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. V. Ahlfors, *Sur le type d'une surface de Riemann*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **201** (1935), 30–32.
- [2] A. Ancona, *Théorie du potentiel sur des graphes et des variétés*. École d'été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XVIII–1988, 1–112. Lecture Notes in Math., 1427 Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [3] R. Brooks, *A relation between growth and the spectrum of the Laplacian*, Math. Z. **178** (1981), 501–508.
- [4] R. Brooks, *On the spectrum of non-compact manifolds with finite volume*, Math. Z. **187** (1984), 425–432.
- [5] G. Carron, *Inégalités de Hardy sur les variétés riemanniennes non-compactes*, J. Math. Pures et Appl. **76** (1997), 883–891.
- [6] G. Carron, *L^2 harmonic forms on non compact manifolds*, arXiv: 0704.3194v1.

- [7] G. Carron, *Geometric inequalities for manifolds with Ricci curvature in the Kato class*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **69** (2019), 3095–3167.
- [8] S. Y. Cheng, P. Li and S.-T. Yau, *Heat equations on minimal submanifolds and their applications*, Amer. J. Math. **106** (1984), 1033–1065.
- [9] S. Y. Cheng and S.-T. Yau, *Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and their geometric applications*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **28** (1975), 333–354.
- [10] J. Dodziuk, T. Pignataro, B. Randol and D. Sullivan, *Estimating small eigenvalues of Riemann surfaces*, Contemp. Math. **64** (1987), 93–121.
- [11] Á. Elbert, *Some recent results on the zeros of Bessel functions and orthogonal polynomials*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **133** (2001), 65–83.
- [12] A. Grigor’yan, *Existence of the Green function on a manifolds*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **38** (1983), 161–162.
- [13] A. Grigor’yan, *The existence of positive fundamental solutions of the Laplace equation on Riemannian manifolds*, Math. Sb. (N.S.) **128** (1985), 354–363.
- [14] A. Grigor’yan, *Analytic and geometric background for recurrence and non-explosion of the Brownian motion on Riemannian manifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (1999), 135–249.
- [15] K. Ichihara, *Curvature, geodesics and the Brownian motion on a Riemannian manifold. I. Recurrence properties*, Nagoya Math. J. **87** (1982), 101–114.
- [16] K. Ichihara, *Curvature, geodesics and the Brownian motion on a Riemannian manifold. II. Explosion properties*, Nagoya Math. J. **87** (1982), 115–125.
- [17] L. Karp, *Subharmonic functions, harmonic mappings and isometric immersions*, Seminar on Differential Geometry, Ann. Math. Stud., No. 102, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1982, 133–142.
- [18] M. Lansade, *Lower bound of Schrödinger operators on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Spectr. Theory, **13**, 153–199.
- [19] N. N. Lebedev, *Special Functions and Their Applications*, Revised edition, translated from the Russian and edited by Richard A. Silverman. Unabridged and corrected republication, Dover Publication, Inc., New York, 1972.
- [20] P. Li and J. Wang, *Complete manifolds with positive spectrum*, J. Diff. Geom. **58** (2001), 501–534.
- [21] T. Lyons and D. Sullivan, *Function theory, random paths and covering spaces*, J. Diff. Geom. **19** (1984), 299–323.
- [22] Michael and Simon, *Sobolev and mean-value inequalities on generalized submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^n* , Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **26** (1973), 361–379.
- [23] R. Nevanlinna, *Ein Satz über offene Riemannsche Flächen*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fennicae (A). **54** (1940), 1–18.
- [24] B. Opic and A. Kufner, *Hardy-type Inequalities*, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1990.
- [25] R. Piessens, *A series expansion for the first positive zero of the Bessel functions*, Math. Comp. **42** (1984), 195–197.
- [26] N. T. Varopoulos, *Potential theory and diffusion on Riemannian manifolds*, Conference on harmonic analysis in honor of Antoni Zygmund, Vol. I, II (Chicago, III., 1981), Wadsworth Math. Ser., Wadsworth International Group, Belmont, CA, 1983, 821–837.
- [27] G. N. Watson, *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*, 2nd Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1944.

(Gilles Carron) NANTES UNIVERSITÉ, CNRS, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES JEAN LERAY, LMJL, UMR 6629, F-44000 NANTES, FRANCE

Email address: gilles.carron@univ-nantes.fr

(Bo-Yong Chen) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, 200433, CHINA

Email address: boychen@fudan.edu.cn

(Yuanpu Xiong) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, 200433, CHINA

Email address: ypxiong@fudan.edu.cn