


# Electromagnetic chirality-induced negative refraction with the same amplitude and anti-phase of the two chirality coefficients

Shun-Cai Zhao <sup>1, 2, 3, \*</sup> Zheng-Dong Liu,<sup>1, 2, 3, †</sup> Jun Zheng,<sup>1, 2, 3</sup> and Gen Li<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanchang University, Nanchang 330031, China*

<sup>2</sup>*Engineering Research Center for Nanotechnology, Nanchang University, Nanchang 330047, China*

<sup>3</sup>*Institute of Modern Physics, Nanchang University, Nanchang 330031, China*

We suggest a scheme of electromagnetic chirality-induced negative refraction utilizing magneto-electric cross coupling in a four-level atomic system. The negative refraction can be achieved with the two chirality coefficients having the same amplitude but the opposite phase, and without requiring the simultaneous presence of an electric-dipole and a magnetic-dipole transition near the same transition frequency. The simultaneously negative electric permittivity and magnetic permeability does not require, either.

---

\* Corresponding author: [zscnum1@126.com](mailto:zscnum1@126.com).

† Co-first author. [lzdgroup@ncu.edu.cn](mailto:lzdgroup@ncu.edu.cn)

## I. INTRODUCTION

Negative refraction of light, first predicted to occur in materials with simultaneous negative permittivity and permeability in 1968[1], has attracted considerable attention in the last decade[2-7]. Materials with negative refraction index promise many surprising and even counterintuitive electromagnetic and optical effects, such as the reversals of both Doppler shift and Cerenkov radiation[4], amplification of evanescent waves[8], subwavelength focusing[8-10] and so on[11,12]. Up to now, there have been several approaches to the realization of negative refractive index materials, including artificial composite metamaterials[13,14], photonic crystal structures[15], transmission line simulation[11] and chiral media[17-18] as well as photonic resonant materials (coherent atomic vapor)[19-21]. The early proposals for negative refraction required media with both negative permittivity and permeability ( $\epsilon, \mu < 0$ ) in the frequency range of interest[1,4]. However, the typical transition magnetic dipole moments are smaller than transition electric dipole moments by a factor of the order of the fine structure constant ( $\alpha \approx \frac{1}{137}$ ). So, it is difficult to obtain a negative permeability since the smaller typical transition magnetic-dipole moments. Thus, it's difficult to achieve negative refraction in the optical region of the spectrum because of the weakness of the magnetic response. To alleviate this problem, recently, a chiral route to negative refraction has been suggested [17,22-24] without simultaneously negative electric permittivity and magnetic permeability. The key idea is to use a magnetoelectric cross coupling where the medium's electric polarization is coupled to the magnetic field of the wave and the medium's magnetization is coupled to the electric field. Under such conditions, a negative index of refraction can be achieved without requiring a negative permeability. The medium in which the electric polarization  $\mathbf{P}$  is coupled to the magnetic field  $\mathbf{H}$  of an electromagnetic wave and the magnetization  $\mathbf{M}$  is coupled to the electric field  $\mathbf{E}$ :

$$\mathbf{p} = \epsilon_0 \chi_e \mathbf{E} + \frac{\xi_{EH}}{c} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{M} = \frac{\xi_{HE}}{c \mu_0} \mathbf{E} + \chi_m \mathbf{H} \quad (1)$$

Here  $\chi_e$  and  $\chi_m$ , and  $\xi_{EH}$  and  $\xi_{HE}$  are the electric and magnetic susceptibilities, and the complex chirality coefficients, respectively. They lead to additional contributions to the refractive index for one circular polarization[17,23-24]:

$$n = \sqrt{\epsilon \mu - \frac{(\xi_{EH} + \xi_{HE})^2}{4}} + \frac{i}{2} (\xi_{EH} - \xi_{HE}) \quad (2)$$

One typically chooses the phase such that the chirality coefficients are imaginary, if  $\xi_{EH} = -\xi_{HE} = i\xi$ , and Eq.(2) reads  $n = \sqrt{\epsilon \mu} - \xi$ . When  $\sqrt{\epsilon \mu}$  is less than  $\xi$  ( $\xi > 0$ ), the negative refraction is obtained without requiring both  $\epsilon < 0$  and  $\mu < 0$ . In this paper, we propose an alternative scheme to realize negative refraction. We demonstrate that the electromagnetic chirality induced negative refraction can be realized when the two chirality coefficients have the same amplitude but the opposite phase, which requires the chirality coefficients not only to be imaginary. And all of these current suggestions for negative refraction in left-handedness[25-26] require a strong magnetic dipole transition and a strong electric-dipole transition near the same transition frequency. This requirement puts a stringent constraint on the energy level structure of systems where negative refraction can be achieved. In this article, the electric-dipole and magnetic-dipole transition will occur at different transition frequency.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 establishes the model, and presents expressions for the chirality coefficients and refractive index. Section 3 is devoted to present the numerical results and to discuss numerical results. Finally, Sec.4 summarizes the conclusions.

## II. THEORETICAL MODEL AND CHIRALITY COEFFICIENTS

We proceed with a detailed description of electromagnetically induced chiral negative refraction. The four-level configuration of atoms for consideration is shown in Fig.1. The parity properties of the atomic states are as follows: levels  $|1\rangle, |2\rangle$ , and  $|3\rangle$  have same parity, which is opposite to the parity of level  $|4\rangle$ . Since the two lower levels  $|1\rangle$  and  $|2\rangle$  have same parity, the coherent coupling  $\Omega_{pm}$  cannot be electric-dipole, but instead can be achieved through




FIG. 1. Schematic diagram of a four-level atomic system interacting with the control  $\Omega_c$ , signal  $\Omega_s$  fields and the probe field which electric and magnetic components are coupled to the level pairs  $|4\rangle - |3\rangle$  and  $|2\rangle - |1\rangle$ , respectively.

the magnetic-field, and so  $\langle 2|\hat{\mu}|1\rangle \neq 0$  where  $\hat{\mu}$  is the magnetic-dipole operator. The two upper levels,  $|3\rangle$  and  $|4\rangle$  have the opposite parity, the coherent coupling  $\Omega_{pe}$  should be electric-dipole with  $\langle 4|\hat{d}|3\rangle \neq 0$  where  $\hat{d}$  is the electric dipole operator. As showed in Figure 1, three electromagnetic fields are introduced to couple the four states: The electric ( $\mathbf{E}$ ) and magnetic ( $\mathbf{B}$ ) components of the probe light (corresponding Rabi frequency  $\Omega_{pe} = \frac{\vec{E}_P \cdot \vec{d}_{34}}{\hbar}$ ,  $\Omega_{pm} = \frac{\vec{B}_P \cdot \vec{\mu}_{12}}{\hbar}$ ) interact with the transitions  $|3\rangle - |4\rangle$  and  $|2\rangle - |1\rangle$ , respectively. Hence, the electric and magnetic components of the probe field with the same frequency  $\omega_p$  drive the two transitions  $|3\rangle - |4\rangle$  and  $|2\rangle - |1\rangle$ , simultaneously. The control field with Rabi frequency denoted by  $\Omega_c$  pumps atoms in level  $|1\rangle$  into upper level  $|3\rangle$ . According to parity selection rules, the transition  $|1\rangle - |3\rangle$  is assumed to be a two-photon process as stated in [19]. The strong signal field couples levels  $|2\rangle$  and  $|4\rangle$  with Rabi frequency  $\Omega_s$ . In the rotating-wave and dipole approximations, the Hamiltonian of the system can be read in the form

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^4 \hbar\omega_i |i\rangle\langle i| - \hbar(\Omega_{pm} e^{-i(\omega_p t + \theta_{pm})} |2\rangle\langle 1| + \Omega_c e^{-i(2\omega_c t + \theta_c)} |3\rangle\langle 1| + \Omega_{pe} e^{-i(\omega_p t + \theta_{pe})} |4\rangle\langle 3| + \Omega_s e^{-i(\omega_s t + \theta_s)} |4\rangle\langle 2| + c.c.) \quad (3)$$

Where  $\omega_i$  ( $i = c, s$ ) are the frequencies of the control and signal fields, respectively. And  $\theta_i$  ( $i = pe, pm, c, s$ ) represent phases of the electric and magnetic components of the probe field, control and the signal fields, respectively. When the probe field is weak, i.e.  $\Omega_{pe}, \Omega_{pm} \ll \Omega_c < \Omega_s$ . We find the first-order perturbation solution of the Liouville equation in the steady-state

$$\rho_{43} = \alpha_{EE} \mathbf{E} + \alpha_{EH} \mathbf{B}, \quad \rho_{21} = \alpha_{HE} \mathbf{E} + \alpha_{HH} \mathbf{B} \quad (4)$$

where the coefficients  $\alpha_{EE}, \alpha_{EH}, \alpha_{HH}$  and  $\alpha_{HE}$  are given by

$$\alpha_{EE} = \frac{A_0 \Omega_c^2 d_{34} (A_{11} A_{12} + A_{13})}{D_0 D_1 + D_2 \Omega_s^2 + \Omega_s^4} \quad (5)$$

$$\alpha_{EH} = \frac{e^{i\theta} A_0 \mu_{12} \Omega_c \Omega_s [A_{21} - (\Gamma_2 + i\Delta_c)(A_{22} - A_{23} \Omega_c^2 - \gamma_{31} \Omega_s^2)]}{D_0 D_1 + D_2 \Omega_s^2 + \Omega_s^4} \quad (6)$$

$$\alpha_{HE} = \frac{e^{i\theta} A_0 d_{34} \Omega_c \Omega_s \{A_{41}(\Gamma_2 + i\Delta_c)\Omega_c^2 + (i\Delta_c - \Gamma_2)[\gamma_{31}\Omega_s^2 - A_{42}\Omega_c^2 + (i\Gamma_6 + \Delta_c - \Delta_p)A_{43}]\}}{D_0 D_1 + D_2 \Omega_s^2 + \Omega_s^4} \quad (7)$$

$$\alpha_{HH} = \frac{A_0 \mu_{12} A_{31} (i\Delta_c - \Gamma_2) \{A_{33}[(\Gamma_5 + i\Delta_p)A_{32} + \Omega_c^2] + A_{32}\Omega_s^2\}}{D_0 D_1 + D_2 \Omega_s^2 + \Omega_s^4} \quad (8)$$

$$- \frac{A_0 \mu_{12} \Omega_c^2 (\Gamma_2 + i\Delta_c) \{(\gamma_{31} - A_{33})[(\Gamma_5 + i\Delta_p)A_{32} + \Omega_c^2] - (A_{32} + \gamma_{31})\Omega_s^2\}}{D_0 D_1 + D_2 \Omega_s^2 + \Omega_s^4} \quad (9)$$

and

$$A_0 = \frac{i}{\hbar(\Gamma_2^2 \gamma_{31} + \gamma_{31} \Delta_c^2 + 4\Gamma_2 \Omega_c^2)}, A_{11} = \gamma_{31}(\Gamma_2 - i\Delta_c) + 2\Gamma_1[\Gamma_3 + i(\Delta_c + \Delta_p)]$$

$$A_{12} = (\Gamma_1 + i\Delta_m)[\Gamma_6 - i(\Delta_c - \Delta_p)] + \Omega_c^2, A_{13} = \Omega_s^2[i\gamma_{31}\Delta_c - \Gamma_2(\gamma_{31} - 2\Gamma_6 + 2i\Delta_c - 2i\Delta_p)]$$

$$A_{21} = (\Gamma_2 - i\Delta_c)(\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_6 + 2i\Delta_p)(\Gamma_2 \gamma_{31} + i\gamma_{31}\Delta_c + \Omega_c^2), A_{23} = \Gamma_3 - \gamma_{31} + \Gamma_6 + 2i\Delta_p$$

$$A_{22} = \gamma_{31}(\Gamma_1 + i\Delta_m)[- \Gamma_3 - i(\Delta_c + \Delta_p)], A_{31} = -\Gamma_2 \gamma_{31} - i\gamma_{31}\Delta_c - \Omega_c^2$$

$$A_{32} = \Gamma_3 + i(\Delta_c + \Delta_p), A_{33} = \Gamma_6 + i(\Delta_p - \Delta_c), A_{41} = \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_6 + 2i\Delta_p,$$

$$A_{42} = \Gamma_3 + \gamma_{31} + i(\Delta_c + \Delta_p), A_{43} = \gamma_{31}(\Delta_p - i\Gamma_5) + i\Omega_c^2,$$

$$D_0 = (\Gamma_1 + i\Delta_m)[\Gamma_6 - i(\Delta_c - \Delta_p)] + \Omega_c^2, D_1 = (\Gamma_5 + i\Delta_p)[\Gamma_3 + i(\Delta_c + \Delta_p)] + \Omega_c^2,$$

$$D_2 = (i\Gamma_6 + \Delta_c - \Delta_p)(\Delta_p - i\Gamma_5) + (\Gamma_1 + i\Delta_m)[\Gamma_3 + i(\Delta_c + \Delta_p)] - 2\Omega_c^2$$

with the coherence damping coefficient given by  $\Gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 + \gamma_{21}) + \gamma_c$ ,  $\Gamma_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 + \gamma_{31}) + \gamma_c$ ,  $\Gamma_3 = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 + \gamma_{42} + \gamma_{43}) + \gamma_c$ ,  $\Gamma_4 = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{21} + \gamma_{42} + \gamma_{43}) + \gamma_c$ ,  $\Gamma_5 = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{31} + \gamma_{42} + \gamma_{43}) + \gamma_c$ ,  $\Gamma_6 = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{31} + \gamma_{21})$ , in which  $\gamma_c$  denotes the collisional dephasing rate, and  $\gamma_1 = 0$ . The detunings of the applied fields are respectively defined by  $\Delta_p = \omega_p - (\omega_4 - \omega_3)$ ,  $\Delta_c = 2\omega_c - (\omega_3 - \omega_1)$ ,  $\Delta_s = \omega_s - (\omega_4 - \omega_2)$ ,  $\Delta_m = \omega_p - (\omega_2 - \omega_1)$  and here we depict the electric-dipole and magnetic-dipole transitions be different by setting  $\Delta_m \neq \Delta_p$  (i.e. two transition frequencies are not near the same frequency in this atomic system)[20]. The relative phase of the signal, control and probe electric fields and probe magnetic field is  $\theta = \theta_{pe} + \theta_c - \theta_{pm} - \theta_s$ . It is well known that the phases of the electric and magnetic components of an electromagnetic field are identical in a nonconductor [27]. Hence, in our scheme,  $\theta_{pe} = \theta_{pm}$  and the relative phase becomes the phase difference of the control and pump fields, i.e.,  $\theta = \theta_c - \theta_s$ .

The ensemble electric polarization and magnetization of the atomic medium to the probe field are given by  $\vec{P} = Nd_{12}\rho_{21}$  and  $\vec{M} = N\vec{\mu}_{34}\rho_{43}$ , respectively, where  $N$  is the density of atoms. Then the coherent cross-coupling between electric and magnetic dipole transitions driven by the electric and magnetic components of the probe field may lead to chirality [17,23-24]. Substituting equations (4) into the formula for the ensemble electric polarization and magnetization, we have the relations

$$\mathbf{P} = a_1 \mathbf{E} + a_2 \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{M} = a_3 \mathbf{E} + a_4 \mathbf{B} \quad (10)$$

where

$$a_1 = Nd_{12}\alpha_{HE}, a_2 = Nd_{12}\alpha_{HH},$$

$$a_3 = N\mu_{34}\alpha_{EE}, a_4 = N\mu_{34}\alpha_{EH}.$$

Considering both electric and magnetic local field effects[27,28],  $\underline{\mathbf{E}}$  and  $\underline{\mathbf{B}}$  in equation(10) must be replaced by the local fields

$$\mathbf{E}_L = \mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{P}}{3\varepsilon_0}, \mathbf{B}_L = \mu_0(\mathbf{H} + \frac{\mathbf{M}}{3})$$

As a result, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P} = & \frac{3\varepsilon_0(\mu_0 a_2 a_1 - \mu_0 a_3 a_2 - 3a_1)}{\mu_0 a_3 \alpha_{EB} + 3a_1 - \mu_0 a_4 a_1 - 9\varepsilon_0 + 3\mu_0 \varepsilon_0 a_4} \mathbf{E} \\ & + \frac{-9\mu_0 \varepsilon_0 a_2}{\mu_0 a_3 a_2 + 3a_1 - \mu_0 a_4 a_1 - 9\varepsilon_0 + 3\mu_0 \varepsilon_0 a_4} \mathbf{H}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M} = & \frac{9\varepsilon_0 a_3}{\mu_0 a_4 a_1 + 9\varepsilon_0 - \mu_0 a_3 a_2 - 3a_1 - 3\varepsilon_0 \mu_0 a_4} \mathbf{E} \\ & + \frac{3(\mu_0 a_3 a_2 - \mu_0 a_4 a_1 + 3\varepsilon_0 \mu_0 a_4)}{\mu_0 a_4 a_1 + 9\varepsilon_0 - \mu_0 a_3 a_2 - 3a_1 - 3\varepsilon_0 \mu_0 a_4} \mathbf{H} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

By comparison with equation (1), we obtain the permittivity and the permeability, and the complex chirality coefficients:

$$\varepsilon = 1 + \chi_e = \frac{6a_1 + 9\varepsilon_0 + \mu_0[2a_3 a_2 - a_4(2a_1 + 3\varepsilon_0)]}{-3a_1 + \mu_0[-a_3 a_2 + a_4(a_1 - 3\varepsilon_0)] + 9\varepsilon_0}, \quad (13)$$

$$\mu = 1 + \chi_m = \frac{-3\alpha_{EE} + 2\mu_0[\alpha_{BE}\alpha_{EB} - \alpha_{BB}(\alpha_{EE} - 3\varepsilon_0)] + 9\varepsilon_0}{-3\alpha_{EE} + \mu_0[-a_3 a_2 + a_4(a_1 - 3\varepsilon_0)] + 9\varepsilon_0}, \quad (14)$$

$$\xi_{EH} = \frac{9c\mu_0 a_2 \varepsilon_0}{-3a_1 + \mu_0[-a_3 a_2 + a_4(a_1 - 3\varepsilon_0)] + 9\varepsilon_0}, \quad (15)$$

$$\xi_{HE} = \frac{9c\mu_0 a_3 \varepsilon_0}{-3a_1 + \mu_0[-a_3 a_2 + a_4(a_1 - 3\varepsilon_0)] + 9\varepsilon_0} \quad (16)$$

In the above, we obtained the expressions for the electric permittivity and magnetic permeability, and the complex chirality coefficients of the atomic media. Substituting equations from(13)to(16)into(2), the expression for refractive index can also be presented. In the section that follows, we will show that the negative refractive index of the atomic system can be obtained without requiring simultaneously negative both permittivity and permeability.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Before doing these calculations, we need to fix several key parameters such as spontaneous emission rate, wavelength and atomic density in these equations. In the model configuration, the transition from  $|2\rangle$  to  $|1\rangle$  is magnetic dipole allowed and others are electrical dipole allowed. The typical value of the spontaneous emission rate of atomic electric dipole transitions is the magnitude of  $10^8$  Hz. The spontaneous emission rate of atomic magnetic dipole transitions is in general smaller than that of atomic electric dipole transitions by four magnitude. Thus, in our numerical calculations, the spontaneous emission rates are scaled by  $\gamma = 10^8 s^{-1}$ :  $\gamma_{21} = \gamma_{43} \times (\frac{1}{137})^2$  [20],  $\gamma_{31} = \gamma_{42} = \gamma_c = 1\gamma$  for simplicity. The typical optical wavelength for the transitions  $|4\rangle \rightarrow |3\rangle$  and  $|2\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle$  is selected to be 600 nm. The dipole moments  $d_{12}$  and  $\mu_{34}$  are estimated by the relation  $\sqrt{3\hbar\Gamma_{ij}\lambda^3/8\pi^2}$ . In the present calculations, we choose the density of atoms  $N$  to be  $5 \times 10^{24} m^{-3}$ . Dense vapor is required so that the atomic density should be larger than  $10^{24} m^{-3}$  [20]. The detuning of the strong signal field is set as  $\Delta_s = 0$  with Rabi frequency  $\Omega_s = 20\gamma$ . As mentioned previously, The requirement




FIG. 2. Real(solid lines) and imaginary(dashed lines) parts of the chirality coefficients as a function of the rescaled detuning parameter  $\Delta_p/\gamma$  for  $\theta = 1/5\pi$ ,  $\Omega_c = 1.3\gamma$ , and the other parameters given in the text.

of a strong magnetic dipole transition and a strong electric-dipole transition near the same transition frequency puts a stringent constraint on the energy level structure of systems where negative refraction can be achieved[20].In our scheme,the electric-dipole and magnetic-dipole transition occur at different transition frequency,because the magnetic component of probe field and the control field detunings are assumed to be the same,  $\Delta_m = \Delta_c = 0.001\gamma$ .

Fig.2 shows the real and imaginary parts of the chirality coefficients  $\xi_{EH}$  and  $\xi_{HE}$  as the function of  $\Delta_p/\gamma$ .It is observed their real and imaginary parts have the same order of magnitude but the opposite phase,simultaneously.And the similar conclusion can also be drawn when varying the  $\Omega_c=$  with  $0.4\gamma$ ,  $0.8\gamma$ , or  $\theta = 3/2\pi$ , $\Omega_c = 1\gamma$ , $1.4\gamma$ , $1.8\gamma$ ,respectively. The sum of the two chirality coefficients  $\xi_{EH}$  and  $\xi_{HE}$  will vanish in Eq.(2).And negative refraction can be possible to obtain when the chirality coefficients are large enough.




FIG. 3. Real( solid lines) and imaginary(dashed lines) parts of the refractive index as a function of the rescaled detuning parameter  $\Delta_p/\gamma$ .The black,gray and light gray lines correspond to(a): $\theta = 1/5\pi$ , $\Omega_c = 0.4\gamma$ ,  $0.8\gamma$ ,  $1.3\gamma$ ;(b): $\theta = 3/2\pi$ , $\Omega_c = 1\gamma$ ,  $1.4\gamma$ ,  $1.8\gamma$ ,respectively.The other parameters are same as in Fig.2.

In Fig.3(a), the refraction index is plotted for  $\theta = 1/5\pi$ , $\Omega_c = 0.4\gamma$ ,  $0.8\gamma$ ,  $1.3\gamma$ , and the black,gray and light gray curves correspond to the different values of  $\Omega_c$ ,respectively.The other parameters are identical to those of Fig.2.As shown in Fig.3(a),the real part of the refractive index is negative in the region of  $[0,2\gamma]$ ,and the imaginary part depicts the absorption and gain properties.  $\Omega_c = 1.3\gamma$ ,the amplitude of the negative refraction index obtains maximum.We change the phase difference between the control and pump fields to  $\theta = 3/2\pi$ ,and vary  $\Omega_c$  by  $1\gamma$ , $1.4\gamma$ , $1.8\gamma$  in Fig.3(b).It's observed that the negative refraction index amplitude is decreasing with the variation of  $\Omega_c$ ,and the frequency region of negative refraction index is gradually widening companying with the same variation.The imaginary part of the refractive index shows that some absorptions do exist in the same regions as mentioned in [23], due to the

effect of the electromagnetically induced chirality.




FIG. 4. Real(solid lines) and imaginary(dashed lines) parts of the permittivity and permeability as a function of the rescaled detuning parameter  $\Delta_p/\gamma$  for  $\theta = 1/5\pi, \Omega_c = 1.3\gamma$ , and the other parameters are same as in Fig.2.

We plot the electric permittivity and magnetic permeability in Fig.4 by the separate depiction of their real and imaginary parts, respectively. We noticed that the electric permittivity and magnetic permeability are not simultaneously negative in the frequency region of negative refraction mentioned in Fig.3. The real part of electric permittivity is negative in the considering frequency range but the magnetic permeability is not always like this. And the similar conclusion can be drawn by varying the corresponding parameters identical to Fig.3.

In experimental investigations, the level configuration shown in Fig.1 may be realized in the atomic hydrogen or neon because each has the same level structure as that of Fig.1 [19]. Detailed assessment of our approach in such system will be among our future investigations. We also expect our technique to be applicable in other systems including molecules or solid-state structures in the future.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we utilized magneto-electric cross coupling to obtain chirality-induced negative index of refraction without requiring simultaneously negative both permittivity and permeability. By tuning the phase and amplitude of the external fields properly, the two chirality coefficients have the same amplitude but the opposite phase, and the atomic system shows that the negative refraction can be carried out without requiring the simultaneous presence of an electric-dipole and a magnetic-dipole transition near the same transition frequency.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China ( Grant No.60768001 and No.10464002 ).

- 
- [1] Veselago V G, *Soviet Physics Usp.* **10**(1968) 509.  
[2] Shelby R, Smith D R, and Schultz S, *Science* **292** (2001) 77 .  
[3] Yen T J, Padilla W J, Fang N, Vier D C, Smith D R, Pendry J B, Basov D N, and Zhang X, *Science* **303**(2004)1494 .  
[4] Veselago V G and Narimanov E E *Nature Mater.* **5**(2006) 759.  
[5] Chen Y Y, Huang Z M, Shi J L, Li C F and Wang Q, *Chin. Phys.* **16** (2007) 173 .

- [6] Zhao S C,Liu Z D,*Int.J.Quan.Inf.* **7**(2009)747.
- [7] Lin Z L,Ding J C and Zhang P, **Chin. Phys.B** **18**(2008)954.
- [8] Pendry J B,*Phys.Rev.Lett.* **85** (2000)3966.
- [9] Aydin K,Bulu I and Ozbay E *Appl.Phys.Lett.* **90**(2007)254102
- [10] Chen L,He S and Shen L *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **92**(2004)107404
- [11] Jiang Y Y,Shi H Y,Zhang Y Q,Hou C F and Sun X D, **Chin. Phys.** **16** (2007)1959.
- [12] Dong Z G,Zhu S N and Liu H, **Chin. Phys.** **17** (2006)1772.
- [13] Shelby R A,Smith D R,Schultz S,*Science* **292** (2001) 77-79.
- [14] Pendry J B,*Nature* **423** (2003) 22-23.
- [15] Cubukcu E, *Nature* **423** (2003)604-605.
- [16] Eleftheriades G V,Iyer A K,Kremer P C,*IEEE Trans.Microwave Theory Tech.* **50**(2002)2702-2712.
- [17] Pendry J B,*Science* **306** (2004) 1353-1355.
- [18] Yannopoulos V,*J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **18**(2006) 6883-6890
- [19] Thommen Q,Mandel P,*Phys.Rev.Lett.* **96**(2006) 053601.
- [20] Oktel M ö,Mütecaplı ö E,*Phys.Rev.A* **70**(2004)053806.
- [21] Shen J Q, *Phys. Lett. A* **357** (2006) 54.
- [22] Monzon C and Forester D W,*Phys.Rev.Lett.* **95**,(2005)123904 .
- [23] Kästel J,Fleischhauer M,Yelin S F,Walworth R L, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **99** (2007) 073602
- [24] Kästel J,Fleischhauer M,Walworth R L,*Phys.Rev.A* **79**(2009)063818
- [25] Zhao S C, Liu Z D, and Wu Q X, *Chin. Phys.B* **19**,(2010)014211 .
- [26] Shen J Q, *Chin. Phys.* **16**,(2007)1976.
- [27] Cook D M,1975 *The Theory of the Electromagnetic Field*(Prentice-Hall,New Jersey)**chapter 11**.
- [28] Jackson J D,1999 *Classical Electrodynamics(3rd edn)*(New York: Wiley)**p160**



