

# COCHAIN COMPLEXES OVER A FUNCTOR

GERMÁN BENITEZ AND PEDRO RIZZO

ABSTRACT. In this paper we propose unifying the categories of cochain complexes  $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{C})$  and modules  $\widehat{A}\text{-mod}$  over a repetitive algebra  $\widehat{A}$ . Motivated by their striking similarities and importance, we introduce a novel category encompassing both. Our analysis explores key properties of this unified category, highlighting its parallels and divergences from the original structures. We study whether it preserves crucial aspects like limits, colimits, products, coproducts, and abelianity. Besides, we establish a family of projective and injective indecomposable objects within this framework. Moving beyond theoretical foundations, we examine the influence and interaction over these novel categories of the category of endofunctors and its monoidal structure. Finally, we explore the implications of our constructions over representation theory of algebras and algebraic geometry.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Roadmap and overview of the results	3
2. Cochain complexes over a functor	3
3. Some properties of $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C})$	5
3.1. (Co-)Completeness	8
4. Projective and injective objects	10
5. The (monoidal) category $\text{End}(\mathcal{C})$ , and the categories $\mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{C})$	15
5.1. The contravariant functors $\mathfrak{L}$ and $\mathfrak{R}$	15
5.2. Monoidal categories and its relations with $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C})$	18
6. Some applications	20
6.1. (Locally) Finite categories	20
6.2. Generalized Repetitive algebras or Cochain complexes over representable functors	21
6.3. Some geometric applications	25
References	26

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $A$  be a basic finite dimensional  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra. We denote by  $A\text{-mod}$  the finitely generated modules on  $A$  and by  $D(-) = \text{Hom}(-, \mathbb{k})$  the duality functor on  $A\text{-mod}$ . The repetitive algebra  $\widehat{A}$  of  $A$ , introduced by Hughes and Waschbüsch in [HW83], plays a crucial role in the representation theory of algebras. We highlights some important properties of the repetitive algebra, such as: is an selfinjective infinite-dimensional algebra without unity;  $\widehat{A}$  is a special biserial algebra if and only if  $A$  is a gentle algebra (see [Sch99]). Additionally, the category of finitely generated left modules over  $\widehat{A}$ , denoted by  $\widehat{A}\text{-mod}$ , is a Frobenius category (see [Hap88]).

A key result by Happel in his seminal book [Hap88] is a full and faithful embedding of the bounded derived (triangulated) category  $D^b(A)$  into the stable (triangulated) category  $\widehat{A}\text{-mod}$ . This embedding

---

*Date:* March 29, 2024.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 18G35, 18M05, Secondary 18A35, 16E35, 16G20, 14A30.

*Key words and phrases.* Cochain complexes, monoidal categories, repetitive algebra.

is even an equivalence of triangulated categories if  $A$  has finite global dimension. This result has undoubtedly had a significant impact on the development of the representation theory of algebras.

In the realm of geometry, building on prior work by Bernstein–Gelfand–Gelfand [BGG78] and Beilinson [Bei78], Dowbor and Meltzer in [DM92] established a remarkable equivalence between the (triangulated) categories  $D^b(\text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n))$ , the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves over the projective  $n$ -space, and  $\widehat{\Lambda}_n\text{-mod}$ . Here  $\Lambda_n$ , represents the (finite-dimensional) exterior algebra of the space  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . This equivalence has significant implications and applications in several areas such as the results in [DM05] and [FCGRVM21].

While the equivalences with bounded derived categories showcase the repetitive algebras significance, its applications and theoretical implications extend beyond this. Repetitive algebras serve as crucial tools in several problems in other contexts. Indeed, a first problem is the classification of self-injective algebras. More precisely, through the lens of Galois coverings, they offer insights and classification techniques for these special algebras (see [SY99]). A second problem is related to understanding of Nakajima varieties. In fact, Leclerc and Plamondon in [LP13] used repetitive algebras of Dynkin quivers to construct certain Nakajima varieties, which are geometric objects linked to perverse sheaves over quantum loop algebras. As a final example of the diverse kind of problems where the repetitive algebras contribute significantly, is in the study and construction of equivalences in the stable category of repetitive modules over an algebra via Wakamatsu-tilting modules (see [Wei21]), which is the natural context to generalize the equivalences beyond the equivalences between derived categories of an algebra.

This discussion has exhibited the deep significance of the repetitive algebra, its modules, and derived properties from these. Their reach and impact extend across diverse areas of mathematics, motivating us to further explore and potentially generalize these concepts. Previous advancements have been made by several researchers in this direction, including Keller [Kel90] and Asashiba-Nakashima [AN17], who addressed specific cases of generalizations and equivalences. In this paper our primary interest, however, lies in resolving the following three key questions:

- **Unifying graded categories constructions:** Can we find a single, overarching definition for the categories of complex of modules over  $A$  and modules over its repetitive algebra  $\widehat{A}$ ? This questions arise from the close parallels in their constructions as graduated categories. Examining their similarities has aided in understanding  $\widehat{A}$ -modules by drawing inspiration from complex of  $A$ -modules (see [Gir18] and [CHGVM23])
- **Formalizing main claims:** Can we establish a clear and structured framework to formalize certain assertions made by Happel [Hap88] regarding constructions in  $\widehat{A}$ -modules?
- **Demystifying tensorization:** Under what conditions is the tensorization with  $D(A)$  crucial in building modules over  $\widehat{A}$ ? This question arises from alternative “repetitive category” constructions in the literature, where different modules are used for tensorization (see [Ass18, Section 3.3, p. 153] and [ABS09, Section 1.3]).

Our response to each item above is outlined in the following order: For the first item is addressed in Sections 2, 3 and 4. The second item is supported by Theorem 5.5, Corollary 5.6 and Corollary 6.14. Finally, the third item is explained in Subsection 6.2, specifically, Theorem 6.12. Furthermore, we present a novel perspective, explored in Section 5, that delves into the fascinating properties of the monoidal category of covariant  $\mathbb{k}$ -endofunctors over an abelian category. This perspective was born from a desire to comprehensively address the initial questions. Additionally, Subsection 6.3 offers valuable applications of these concepts within the realm of geometry. In essence, this work lays the groundwork for a new area of study we term *F-graduate categories over  $\mathcal{C}$*  (see Remark 5.8). In conclusion, this paper provides a comprehensive response to the initial inquiries, drawing from several sources and establishing new paths of exploration.

**1.1. Roadmap and overview of the results.** This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the categories of left (resp. right) cochain complexes over an endofunctor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , namely,  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C})$ ) and its graded version  $\mathfrak{gr}_F(\mathcal{C})$ , these categories unify naturally the categories of cochain complexes and of modules  $\widehat{A}\text{-mod}$ . In Section 3, we study some properties to show different and similar points with respect to the category of cochain complexes, for instance, when these categories preserve kernel, cokernel, abelianity, (co-)completeness, direct limit, among others. In Section 4, motivated with the relation with the category  $\widehat{A}\text{-mod}$ , we dedicate this section to find projective and injective objects in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C})$  with the aim to see if these categories are far to be Frobenius categories. Section 5 delves into new directions using a natural “action” of the monoidal category  $\text{End}(\mathcal{C})$  on the 2-categories  $\mathfrak{L}$  and  $\mathfrak{R}$ . The former and latter categories are defined by  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C})$ , respectively, as objects and functors as morphisms. Remarkably, this “action” preserves the monoidal structure, transforming the correspondence into a monoidal correspondence applied to specific subcategories of  $\mathfrak{L}$  and  $\mathfrak{R}$ . We call these subcategories  $F$ -graduate categories over  $\mathcal{C}$ . In addition, we establish significant relationships between the categories  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_G(\mathcal{C})$  when  $(F, G)$  is an adjoint pair. This findings, motivated by seeking an answer to the third key question above, offers a promising approach which to extend these results to other contexts. Finally, in Section 6, we explore the practical implications of our constructions, focusing on their meaningful impacts within both representation theory of algebras and algebraic geometry.

While our work presents novel tools and constructions for cochain complexes over endofunctors, it is worth acknowledging that similar ideas were explored in specific cases by previous authors. In [FGR75] the authors defined the trivial extension of an abelian category by an endofunctor as a generalization of the category of modules over a trivial extension of a ring. They established various properties and studied their homological implications. This approach recently appeared in [Mao23], where the author delved deeper into projective and injective objects and their applications in Morita context rings. However, both these works differ significantly from our focus, results, and potential applications.

## 2. COCHAIN COMPLEXES OVER A FUNCTOR

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an additive category and let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be a covariant functor. Denote by  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  the category with objects defined to be a family  $M = (M^n, d_M^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of objects  $M^n$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and morphisms  $d_M^n : M^n \rightarrow M^{n+1}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfying  $d_M^{n+1}F(d_M^n) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This condition guarantees that the following composition in  $\mathcal{C}$  vanishes for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$F^2(M^n) \xrightarrow{F(d_M^n)} F(M^{n+1}) \xrightarrow{d_M^{n+1}} M^{n+2}.$$

We will call these objects  $F$ -cochain complexes or cochain complexes over  $F$ . We can visualize an  $F$ -cochain complex diagrammatically as

$$M := \dots \rightsquigarrow M^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_M^{n-1}} M^n \xrightarrow{d_M^n} M^{n+1} \xrightarrow{d_M^{n+1}} M^{n+2} \rightsquigarrow \dots$$

A morphism  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  is then a family  $\varphi = (\varphi^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of morphisms  $\varphi^n : M^n \rightarrow N^n$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfying  $\varphi^{n+1}d_M^n = d_N^n F(\varphi^n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This condition ensures that the following diagram commutes in  $\mathcal{C}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(M^n) & \xrightarrow{d_M^n} & M^{n+1} \\ F(\varphi^n) \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi^{n+1} \\ F(N^n) & \xrightarrow{d_N^n} & N^{n+1} \end{array}$$

We say that such a diagram  $F$ -commutes and depict it as:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
M & = & \dots & \rightsquigarrow & M^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{d_M^{n-1}} & M^n & \xrightarrow{d_M^n} & M^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{d_M^{n+1}} & M^{n+2} & \rightsquigarrow & \dots \\
\varphi \downarrow & & & & \varphi^{n-1} \downarrow & & \varphi^n \downarrow & & \varphi^{n+1} \downarrow & & \varphi^{n+2} \downarrow & & \\
N & = & \dots & \rightsquigarrow & N^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{d_N^{n-1}} & N^n & \xrightarrow{d_N^n} & N^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{d_N^{n+1}} & N^{n+2} & \rightsquigarrow & \dots
\end{array}$$

We introduce a full subcategory  $\mathfrak{L}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$  within  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ , consisting of objects  $M = (M^n, d_M^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with the property that almost all  $M^n$  are 0.

**Example 2.1.**

- (i)  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  coincides with the category of cochain complex of  $\mathcal{C}$ , when  $F = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}}$  is the identity functor.
- (ii) Consider a  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra  $A$ , where  $\mathbb{k}$  is a commutative field. We fix the following notations:  $A\text{-Mod}$  (resp.,  $A\text{-mod}$ ) denotes the category of (resp., finitely generated) left  $A$ -modules;  $D = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(-, \mathbb{k})$  represents the standard duality on  $A\text{-Mod}$  (resp.,  $A\text{-mod}$ );  $\widehat{A}$  denotes the repetitive algebra of  $A$ , introduced by D. Hughes and J. Waschbüsch in [HW83]. In [Gir18], the author state that  $\mathfrak{L}_{D A \otimes_A -}^b(A\text{-mod})$  is equivalent to the category of  $\widehat{A}\text{-mod}$ .

**Remark 2.2.**

- (i) In a similar manner, we define categories  $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$  whose objects and morphisms, analogous to those in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ , possess the following structure: Objects are the families  $M = (M^n, d_M^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , with  $M^n \in \mathcal{C}$ , and morphisms  $d_M^n : M^n \rightarrow F(M^{n+1})$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfying  $F(d_M^{n+1})d_M^n = 0$ , holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The full subcategory  $\mathfrak{R}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$  of  $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C})$  is defined by objects  $M = (M^n, d_M^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  where almost all  $M^n$  are zero.
- (ii) Similar to the cochain and chain complex for an abelian category, we can define left and right chain complexes over a covariant functor on any additive category.

We can interpret these categories through of the graded perspective, as follows: Consider the category  $\mathfrak{gr}_F(\mathcal{C})$ , whose objects are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  equipped with a morphism  $d_M : F(M) \rightarrow M$  such that  $d_M F(d_M) = 0$  and  $d_M(F(M_n)) \subseteq M_{n+1}$  (i.e.,  $d_M(F(M_n))$  is a subobject of  $M_{n+1}$ ) for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We denote such an object by  $(M, d_M)$ . Morphisms in  $\mathfrak{gr}_F(\mathcal{C})$  are degree-preserving morphism  $\varphi : (M, d_M) \rightarrow (N, d_N)$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  that satisfy  $\varphi d_M = d_N F(\varphi)$ . This means the following diagram commutes in  $\mathcal{C}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F(M) & \xrightarrow{d_M} & M \\
F(\varphi) \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\
F(N) & \xrightarrow{d_N} & N
\end{array}$$

**Example 2.3.** Let  $F = A \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} - \in \text{End}(\mathcal{C})$  be the endofunctor over the category  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathbb{k}$ -vector space, where  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra. Note that, the objects in  $\mathfrak{gr}_F(\mathcal{C})$  are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $\mathbb{k}$ -vector spaces  $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n$  equipped with a linear map  $m : A \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} M \rightarrow M$  such that  $m(1 \otimes m) = 0$  and  $m(A \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} M_n) \subseteq M_{n+1}$  for all  $n$ . In other words,  $\mathfrak{gr}_F(\mathcal{C})$  is the category of  $A$ -modules  $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n$  such that

$$A^2 M = 0 \text{ and } A M_n \subseteq M_{n+1} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Modules with the first property are well-known as 2-nilpotent modules. Note that this condition is automatically satisfied for algebras with zero square radical or zero-algebras.

**Remark 2.4.** Following [GH04, p. 215], the  $\mathbf{gr}_F(\mathcal{C})$  is a subcategory of the category of  $F$ -algebras. Moreover, if additionally there exist natural transformations  $\mu : F^2 \rightarrow F$  and  $\eta : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow F$ , with  $d_M \eta = \mu$  for all  $(M, d_M) \in \mathbf{gr}_F(\mathcal{C})$ , then  $\mathbf{gr}_F(\mathcal{C})$  is a subcategory of the category of algebras for the monad  $(F, \mu, \eta)$ , in the sense [Mac71, p. 136] and [Awo10, p. 259].

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an additive category and let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be a covariant functor. Under the above notations, the categories  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathbf{gr}_F(\mathcal{C})$  are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* We consider the following covariant functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} : \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{gr}_F(\mathcal{C}) & & \mathcal{G} : \mathbf{gr}_F(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) \\ M & \longmapsto & \mathcal{F}(M) := \left( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M^n, \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} d_M^n \right) & & (M, d_M) & \longmapsto & \mathcal{G}((M, d_M)) := (M_n, d_M^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \\ \varphi & \longmapsto & \mathcal{F}(\varphi) := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi^n & & \varphi & \longmapsto & \mathcal{G}(\varphi) := (\varphi^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \end{array}$$

where, for the functor  $\mathcal{G}$ , we write  $d_M^n = \pi_{n+1} d_M F(\iota_n)$  and  $\varphi^n = \pi_n \varphi \iota_n$ , with the canonical inclusion  $\iota_n : M_n \rightarrow M$  and canonical projection  $\pi_n : M \rightarrow M_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Follows from these covariant functors that

$$\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G} = 1_{\mathbf{gr}_F(\mathcal{C})} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} = 1_{\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})}.$$

□

The following result is an extension of Proposition 3 in [Gir18].

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $A$  be a  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra. The categories  $\widehat{A}\text{-Mod}$ ,  $\mathfrak{L}_{DA \otimes A^-}(A\text{-Mod})$  and  $\mathbf{gr}_{DA \otimes A^-}(A\text{-Mod})$  are isomorphic. Moreover, the categories  $\widehat{A}\text{-mod}$ ,  $\mathfrak{L}_{DA \otimes A^-}^b(A\text{-mod})$  and  $\mathbf{gr}_{DA \otimes A^-}(A\text{-mod})$  are isomorphic.*

### 3. SOME PROPERTIES OF $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ AND $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C})$

Motivated by the coincidence of  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  with cochain complexes when  $F$  is the identity functor (c.f. Example 2.1(i)), in this section we will delve into the study of some of its properties to exhibit both similarities and differences compared to cochain complexes in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Obviously, this study involves results with consequences over the categories  $\mathfrak{L}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$ . We introduce the following notation: For any category  $\mathcal{C}$ , we denote  $\text{End}(\mathcal{C})$  the category of endofunctors  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ .

From now on, in this paper we restrict our focus to the realm of additive categories and additive covariant functors.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be an endofunctor on the category  $\mathcal{C}$ . We establish the following results.*

- (i) *The categories  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $\mathfrak{L}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$  are additive categories.*
- (ii) *If  $\mathcal{C}$  has kernels, then  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{L}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$  are also closed by kernels.*
- (iii) *If  $\mathcal{C}$  is an abelian category and  $F$  preserves cokernels, then  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{L}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$  are abelian categories.*
- (iv) *If  $\mathcal{C}$  has cokernels, then  $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$  are also closed by cokernels.*
- (v) *If  $\mathcal{C}$  is an abelian category and  $F$  preserves kernels, then  $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$  are abelian categories.*
- (vi) *If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\mathbb{k}$ -category, where  $\mathbb{k}$  is a commutative ring with unity, then  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $\mathfrak{L}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$  are  $\mathbb{k}$ -categories.*

*Proof.*

- (i) The proof follows the same line of reasoning as for cochain complexes.
- (ii) Like cochain complexes, the kernel of a morphism  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  is defined by a pair  $(K, \iota)$ , where  $\iota = (\iota^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is determined by the canonical inclusions  $\iota^n : \ker(\varphi^n) \rightarrow M^n$  and

$K = (\ker(\varphi^n), d_K^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is defined by the unique morphism  $d_K^n : F(\ker(\varphi^n)) \rightarrow \ker(\varphi^{n+1})$  such that

$$d_M^n F(\iota^n) = \iota^{n+1} d_K^n. \quad (1)$$

The existence of these unique  $d_K^n$  is guaranteed by the universal property of kernels. To see this, first observe that  $\varphi^{n+1} d_M^n F(\iota^n) = d_N^n F(\varphi^n) F(\iota^n) = d_N^n F(\varphi^n \iota^n) = 0$ . Since  $\iota^{n+1}$  is a monomorphism and  $\iota^{n+1} d_K^n F(d_K^{n-1}) = d_M^n F(\iota^n) F(d_K^{n-1}) = d_M^n F(d_M^{n-1}) F(\iota^{n-1}) = 0$ , we obtain that  $d_K^n F(d_K^{n-1}) = 0$ . Now, we will prove the universal property of kernel  $(K, \iota)$ . For this, we consider another morphism  $\eta : L \rightarrow M$  in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  such that  $\varphi \eta = 0$ . This implies that  $\eta^n : L^n \rightarrow M^n$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\varphi^n \eta^n = 0$  and

$$\eta^{n+1} d_L^n = d_M^n F(\eta^n) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (2)$$

from universal property of kernel in  $\mathcal{C}$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists a unique  $\omega^n : L^n \rightarrow \ker(\varphi^n)$  such that

$$\eta^n = \iota^n \omega^n. \quad (3)$$

Consider the family  $\omega = (\omega^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . To see that  $\omega \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})}(L, K)$ , we need to show that

$$\omega^{n+1} d_L^n = d_K^n F(\omega^n), \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Indeed, by equations (1), (2) and (3) we have  $\iota^{n+1} d_K^n F(\omega^n) = d_M^n F(\iota^n) F(\omega^n) = d_M^n F(\eta^n) = \eta^{n+1} d_L^n = \iota^{n+1} \omega^{n+1} d_L^n$ , and due to the fact that  $\iota^{n+1}$  is a monomorphism, we conclude that  $d_K^n F(\omega^n) = \omega^{n+1} d_L^n$ , which completes the proof of item (ii).

(iii) From item (ii) we know that  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{L}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$  have kernels. Now, for any morphism  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  (resp. in  $\mathfrak{L}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$ ) its cokernel is  $(\text{coker}(\varphi), \pi)$ , where  $\pi = (\pi^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is defined by the canonical projections  $\pi^n : N^n \rightarrow \text{coker}(\varphi^n)$  and  $\text{coker}(\varphi) = \left( \text{coker}(\varphi^n), d_{\text{coker}(\varphi)}^n \right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . Here, since  $F$  commutes with cokernels, the morphism  $d_{\text{coker}(\varphi)}^n : F(\text{coker}(\varphi^n)) = \text{coker}(F(\varphi^n)) \rightarrow \text{coker}(\varphi^{n+1})$  corresponds to (the unique) morphism with the property  $\pi^{n+1} d_N^n = d_{\text{coker}(\varphi)}^n F(\pi^n)$ . The existence of these  $d_{\text{coker}(\varphi)}^n$  is guaranteed by universal property of cokernel of  $F(\varphi^n)$ , since  $\pi^{n+1} d_N^n F(\varphi^n) = \pi^{n+1} \varphi^{n+1} d_M^n = 0$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{L}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$  are also closed by cokernels. Finally, following similar lines of reasoning “by components” in the two previous proofs, it is easy to conclude that for every morphism  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  (resp. in  $\mathfrak{L}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$ ) there exists a sequence  $K \xrightarrow{\iota} M \xrightarrow{i} I \xrightarrow{j} N \xrightarrow{\pi} C$  satisfying:  $ji = \varphi$ ;  $(K, \iota) = \ker(\varphi)$  and  $(C, \pi) = \text{coker}(\varphi)$ ;  $(I, i) = \text{coker}(\iota)$  and  $(I, j) = \ker(\pi)$ , which completes the proof of item (iii).

(iv) The proof is analogous to the proof of item (ii), relying on the cokernel construction for every morphism  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  in  $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C})$  (resp. in  $\mathfrak{R}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$ ). That is,  $(\text{coker}(\varphi), \pi)$ , where  $\pi = (\pi^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is defined from of the canonical projections  $\pi^n : N^n \rightarrow \text{coker}(\varphi^n)$  and  $\text{coker}(\varphi) = \left( \text{coker}(\varphi^n), d_{\text{coker}(\varphi)}^n \right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , where  $d_{\text{coker}(\varphi)}^n : \text{coker}(\varphi^n) \rightarrow F(\text{coker}(\varphi^{n+1}))$  the unique morphism with the property  $F(\pi^{n+1}) d_N^n = d_{\text{coker}(\varphi)}^n \pi^n$ . Indeed, since  $F(\pi^{n+1}) d_N^n \varphi^n = F(\pi^{n+1}) F(\varphi^{n+1}) d_M^n = F(\pi^{n+1} \varphi^{n+1}) d_M^n = 0$ ,  $d_{\text{coker}(\varphi)}^n$  is obtained by universal property of the kernels.

(v) The proof is omitted due to its similarity to the item (iii).

(vi) We will prove that for every  $M = (M^n, d_M^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $N = (N^n, d_N^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$  and morphisms  $\varphi = (\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $\psi = (\psi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})}(M, N)$  we obtain that  $\varphi + \lambda \psi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})}(M, N)$ . The claim is a direct consequence from the following commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(M^n) & \xrightarrow{d_M^n} & M^{n+1} \\ F(\varphi^n + \lambda \psi^n) \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi^{n+1} + \lambda \psi^{n+1} \\ F(N^n) & \xrightarrow{d_N^n} & N^{n+1} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F(M^n) & \xrightarrow{d_M^n} & M^{n+1} \\
F(\varphi^n) \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi^{n+1} \\
F(N^n) & \xrightarrow{d_N^n} & N^{n+1}
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
F(M^n) & \xrightarrow{d_M^n} & M_{n+1} \\
\lambda F(\psi^n) \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda \psi^{n+1} \\
F(N^n) & \xrightarrow{d_N^n} & N^{n+1}
\end{array}$$

□

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $E : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a functor. If  $F \in \text{End}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $G \in \text{End}(\mathcal{D})$  are endofunctors for which there exists a natural isomorphism  $\mu : G \circ E \rightarrow E \circ F$ , then this transformation and the functor  $E$  induce an additive covariant functor*

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{E} : \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{D}) \\
M &\longmapsto \widehat{E}(M) := (E(M^n), E_\mu(d_M^n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \\
\varphi &\longmapsto \widehat{E}(\varphi) := (E(\varphi^n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $E_\mu(d_M^n)$  corresponds to the composition  $E(d_M^n) \circ \mu(M^n) : G(E(M^n)) \rightarrow E(M^{n+1})$ .

*Proof.* We need to show that:

- $E_\mu(d_M^{n+1}) \circ G(E_\mu(d_M^n)) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,
- For each morphism  $E(\varphi^n) : E(M^n) \rightarrow E(N^n)$  satisfies  $E(\varphi^{n+1}) \circ E_\mu(d_M^n) = E_\mu(d_N^n) \circ G(E(\varphi^n))$ , for all morphism  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ .

The first claim it follows from the following sequence of equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_\mu(d_M^{n+1}) \circ G(E_\mu(d_M^n)) &= E(d_M^{n+1}) \circ \mu(M^{n+1}) \circ G(E(d_M^n)) \circ G(\mu(M^n)) \\
&= E(d_M^{n+1}) \circ (\mu(M^{n+1}) \circ G(E(d_M^n))) \circ G(\mu(M^n)) \\
&= E(d_M^{n+1}) \circ (E(F(d_M^n)) \circ \mu(F(M^n))) \circ G(\mu(M^n)) \\
&= E(d_M^{n+1} \circ F(d_M^n)) \circ \mu(F(M^n)) \circ G(\mu(M^n)) \\
&= E(0) \circ \mu(F(M^n)) \circ G(\mu(M^n)) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

The second claim holds from the following sequence of equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\varphi^{n+1}) \circ E_\mu(d_M^n) &= E(\varphi^{n+1}) \circ E(d_M^n) \circ \mu(M^n) \\
&= E(\varphi^{n+1} \circ d_M^n) \circ \mu(M^n) \\
&= E(d_N^n \circ F(\varphi^n)) \circ \mu(M^n) \\
&= E(d_N^n) \circ E(F(\varphi^n)) \circ \mu(M^n) \\
&= E(d_N^n) \circ \mu(N^n) \circ G(E(\varphi^n)) \\
&= E_\mu(d_N^n) \circ G(E(\varphi^n)).
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 3.3.** *Every endofunctor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  can be extended to a covariant endofunctor*

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{F} : \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) \\
M &\longmapsto \widehat{F}(M) := (F(M^n), F(d_M^n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \\
\varphi &\longmapsto \widehat{F}(\varphi) := (F(\varphi^n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* It is sufficient to take  $E = F = G$ ,  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}$  and by the natural isomorphism  $\mu$  the identity in Proposition 3.2.

□

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $E : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be an equivalence of categories, and let  $F \in \text{End}(\mathcal{C})$  be an endofunctor. Then, there exists a unique, up to isomorphism, additive covariant endofunctor  $G \in \text{End}(\mathcal{D})$  such that the induced covariant functor  $\widehat{E} : \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{D})$  is an equivalence of categories.*

*Proof.* Consider the endofunctor  $G := E \circ F \circ H \in \text{End}(\mathcal{D})$ , where  $H : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is the pseudo-inverse of the equivalence  $E$ . Since  $E \circ F \simeq G \circ E$ , we obtain that there exist natural isomorphisms  $\mu : G \circ E \rightarrow E \circ F$  and  $\beta : F \circ H \rightarrow H \circ G$ . From Proposition 3.2 we obtain two covariant additive functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{E} : \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{D}) \\ M & \longmapsto & (E(M^n), E_\mu(d_M^n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \\ \varphi & \longmapsto & (E(\varphi^n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{H} : \mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{D}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) \\ N & \longmapsto & (H(N^n), H_\beta(d_N^n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \\ \psi & \longmapsto & (H(\psi^n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \end{array}$$

It is easy to check that  $\widehat{H} \circ \widehat{E} \simeq 1_{\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})}$  and  $\widehat{E} \circ \widehat{H} \simeq 1_{\mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{D})}$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Example 3.5.** Let  $f : B \rightarrow A$  be an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebras, with  $g : A \rightarrow B$  its inverse. The morphism  $f$  induces a natural covariant  $\mathbb{k}$ -linear functor  $E : A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow B\text{-Mod}$  defined over objects  $M \mapsto {}_fM$ , where  ${}_fM$  indicates the  $B$ -module structure induced by  $f$  on  $M$ . Likewise,  $g$  induces a  $\mathbb{k}$ -linear functor  $H : B\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$  which is the inverse of  $F_f$ , that is,  $E \circ H = 1_{B\text{-Mod}}$  and  $H \circ E = 1_{A\text{-Mod}}$ . In conclusion,  $E$  is an isomorphism, *a fortiori*, an equivalence. More details in [SY11, Lemma 6.3].

Now, we consider for any  $A$ -bimodule  $D$  the endofunctor  $F : A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$ , defined by  $M \mapsto D \otimes M$ . Following the ideas in Corollary 3.4, we obtain an endofunctor  $G : B\text{-Mod} \rightarrow B\text{-Mod}$  defined by  $N \mapsto {}_fD \otimes {}_gN$  and the equivalences  $\widehat{E}$  and  $\widehat{H}$  are determined by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{E} : \mathfrak{L}_F(A\text{-Mod}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{L}_G(B\text{-Mod}) \\ M & \longmapsto & ({}_fM^n, d_M^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \\ \varphi & \longmapsto & (\varphi^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{H} : \mathfrak{L}_G(B\text{-Mod}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{L}_F(A\text{-Mod}) \\ N & \longmapsto & ({}_gN^n, d_N^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \\ \psi & \longmapsto & (\psi^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \end{array}$$

since, in this case,  $\mu$  and  $\beta$  are the identities. For sake of completeness, observe that any  $M = (M^n, d_M^n) \in \mathfrak{L}_F(A\text{-Mod})$  which correspond a  $M^n$   $A$ -module (not necessarily finitely generated) and  $A$ -module homomorphism  $d_M^n : D \otimes M^n \rightarrow M^{n+1}$  are mapped, respectively, to  ${}_fM^n$  and  $d_M^n : {}_fD \otimes M^n \rightarrow {}_fM^{n+1}$ . Here,  ${}_fD \otimes M^n$  is the result of applying  ${}_fD \otimes M^n = {}_fD \otimes {}_gM^n$  and using that  $g : A \rightarrow B$  is the inverse of  $f$ .

**3.1. (Co-)Completeness.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a complete category, and let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be an endofunctor which preserves direct (resp. inverse) limits. Sometimes,  $F$  is called *continuous (resp. cocontinuous) functor*. The main aim of this subsection is to prove that  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  is an additive (locally small) complete category. To this end, we recall the [Bor94, Theorem 2.8.1, p. 60] which states that: *A category is complete precisely when each family of objects has a product and each pair of parallel arrows has an equalizer*. These conditions will be part of the content of the following proposition.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a complete (resp. co-complete) category, and let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be an endofunctor. Then,*

- (i) *For any morphisms  $\varphi, \psi : M \rightarrow N$  in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ , there exists the equalizer (resp. co-equalizer)  $\kappa : K \rightarrow M$  (resp.  $\lambda : N \rightarrow L$ ) in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ , where  $\kappa^n : K^n \rightarrow M^n$  (resp.  $\lambda^n : N^n \rightarrow L^n$ ) is the equalizer (resp. co-equalizer) of the morphisms  $\varphi^n, \psi^n : M^n \rightarrow N^n$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

- (ii) *If the endofunctor  $F$  preserves products (resp. co-products), then the product (resp. co-product) in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  of a family of objects  $(M_i = (M_i^n, d_{M_i}^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}})_{i \in I}$ , indexed by the set  $I$ , is  $\prod_{i \in I} M_i =$*

$$\left( \prod_{i \in I} M_i^n, \prod_{i \in I} d_{M_i}^n \right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \quad (\text{resp. } \prod_{i \in I} M_i = \left( \prod_{i \in I} M_i^n, \prod_{i \in I} d_{M_i}^n \right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}).$$



In particular, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a complete (resp. co-complete) category and  $F \in \text{End}(\mathcal{C})$  is a continuous (resp. co-continuous) functor, then  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  is an additive complete (resp. co-complete) category.

*Proof.* We presents the proof in the case to be  $\mathcal{C}$  a complete category and  $F$  a preserving product functor, since the proof for the case when  $\mathcal{C}$  co-complete and  $F$  preserving co-product functor is completely analogous. In the former case, the proof shares the spirit of cochain complex proofs, but requires careful attention in the following aspects:

- (i) For this item, the equalizer  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  is the morphism  $\kappa := (\kappa^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} : K \longrightarrow M$ , where  $K := (K^n, d_K^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and, for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the morphism  $\kappa^n : K^n \longrightarrow M^n$  is the equalizer in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Here, every  $d_K^n : F(K^n) \longrightarrow K^{n+1}$  is the unique morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\kappa^{n+1}d_K^n = d_M^n F(\kappa^n)$ , which there exists by universal property for equalizers in  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- (ii) In this case, the product corresponds to the object  $M_* := (M_*^n, d_{M_*}^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  endowed with the morphisms  $\pi_i := (\pi_i^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} : M_* \longrightarrow M_j$ , where  $i \in I$ . Here, we denote  $M_*^n := \prod_{i \in I} M_i^n$  and the morphism  $\pi_i^n : M_*^n \longrightarrow M_i^n$  represents the  $i$ -th projection. Besides, the collection of morphisms, for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $d_{M_*}^n : \prod_{i \in I} F(M_i^n) \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} M_i^{n+1}$  is the unique morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$ , such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \prod_{i \in I} F(M_i^n) & \xrightarrow{d_{M_*}^n} & \prod_{i \in I} M_i^{n+1} \\ F(\pi_i^n) \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_i^{n+1} \\ F(M_i^n) & \xrightarrow{d_{M_i}^n} & M_i^{n+1} \end{array}$$

for all  $j \in I$ . Since  $F$  preserves limits, we obtain that  $\prod_{i \in I} F(M_i^n) = F\left(\prod_{i \in I} M_i^n\right)$  and, consequently,  $d_{M_*}^n \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(M_*^n), M_*^{n+1})$ ,  $F(\pi_i^n) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(M_*^n), M_i^n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $i \in I$ . Finally, observe that

$$d_{M_*}^{n+1} \circ F(d_{M_*}^n) = \left( \prod_{i \in I} d_{M_i}^{n+1} \right) \circ F\left( \prod_{i \in I} d_{M_i}^n \right) = \left( \prod_{i \in I} d_{M_i}^{n+1} \right) \circ \left( \prod_{i \in I} F(d_{M_i}^n) \right) = \prod_{i \in I} \left( d_{M_i}^{n+1} \circ F(d_{M_i}^n) \right) = 0.$$

□

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a complete category, and let  $F \in \text{End}(\mathcal{C})$  be a continuous endofunctor. Then, the direct limit of a direct system  $\{M_i, \varphi_{ij}\}_{i,j \in I}$  in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  on the poset  $I$ , is the object  $\varinjlim_{i \in I} M_i =$*

$\left( \varinjlim_{i \in I} M_i^n, \varinjlim_{i \in I} d_{M_i}^n \right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  together with morphisms  $\alpha_i := (\alpha_i^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} : M_i \longrightarrow \varinjlim_{i \in I} M_i$  in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ , where for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the direct limit of the direct system  $\{M_i^n, \varphi_{ij}^n\}_{i,j \in I}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is the object  $\varinjlim_{i \in I} M_i^n$  together with morphisms  $\alpha_i^n : M_i^n \longrightarrow \varinjlim_{i \in I} M_i^n$ , and  $\varinjlim_{i \in I} d_{M_i}^n : F\left(\varinjlim_{i \in I} M_i^n\right) \longrightarrow \varinjlim_{i \in I} M_i^{n+1}$  is the morphism induced by the universal property of limits on  $\mathcal{C}$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows the similar lines of reasoning in the proof of the existence of the product in Theorem 3.6.

□

**Remark 3.8.**

- (i) Similar results to Theorem 3.7 holds for  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  when  $\mathcal{C}$  is a co-complete category and  $F$  is a co-continuous functor, which guarantees the existence of inverse limits in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ . Likewise, the same results applying to the categories  $\mathfrak{L}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$ .
- (ii) Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a complete category. An example of limit is the pullback of two morphisms  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  and  $\psi : P \rightarrow N$  in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ . In this case, the pullback is the pair  $M \times_N P = \left( M^n \times_{N^n} P^n, d_{M \times_N P}^n \right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , where  $M^n \times_{N^n} P^n$  is the pullback in  $\mathcal{C}$  given by  $\varphi^n : M^n \rightarrow N^n$  and  $\psi^n : P^n \rightarrow N^n$ , and  $d_{M \times_N P}^n$  is obtained as in the proof of Proposition 3.6(ii). Diagrammatically

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & M^{n-1} \times_{N^{n-1}} P^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P^{n-1} \\
 & \nearrow^{d_{M \times_N P}^{n-1}} & \downarrow & & \nearrow^{d_P^{n-1}} \\
 M^n \times_{N^n} P^n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P^n & & \downarrow^{\psi^{n-1}} \\
 & \searrow_{d_M^{n-1}} & \downarrow & \xrightarrow{\varphi^{n-1}} & N^{n-1} \\
 & & M^{n-1} & & \downarrow^{\psi^{n-1}} \\
 & & \downarrow & & \nearrow^{d_N^{n-1}} \\
 M^n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & N^n & & \\
 & \searrow_{\varphi^n} & & & 
 \end{array}$$

In particular, an important case of this construction is when  $M = 0$  and  $\varphi = 0$ . In this case, the pullback coincides with  $\ker(\psi) = \left( \ker(\psi^n), d_{\ker(\psi)}^n \right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ .

In the realm either of Grothendieck categories or of complete and co-complete abelian categories, a natural question arises: how does exactness of sequences in categories like  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{L}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$ ) relate to exactness in the underlying category  $\mathcal{C}$ ? It is readily verifiable that exactness in these latter categories ensures exactness of corresponding sequences in  $\mathcal{C}$  for each degree. However, the converse implication, that exactness in  $\mathcal{C}$  for all degrees implies exactness in the category  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{L}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$ ), requires additional conditions, as we will discover through applications the results established in this section in the following Corollary.

**Corollary 3.9.** *Within a category  $\mathcal{C}$  endowed with an endofunctor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , consider the following conditions:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{C}$  is an abelian category and  $F$  preserves cokernel (resp. preserves kernel).  
(ii)  $\mathcal{C}$  is complete and co-complete category and  $F$  preserves products and co-products.

Under either of these conditions, then the sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\varphi} N \xrightarrow{\psi} P \rightarrow 0$  is exact in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  or  $\mathfrak{L}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$  (resp. in  $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C})$  or  $\mathfrak{R}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$ ) if and only if  $0 \rightarrow M^n \xrightarrow{\varphi^n} N^n \xrightarrow{\psi^n} P^n \rightarrow 0$  are exacts in  $\mathcal{C}$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* If the first item holds, the equivalence follows from Theorem 3.1. Now, if the second item holds, the equivalence is an application of Remark 3.8(ii). □

#### 4. PROJECTIVE AND INJECTIVE OBJECTS

Recall that  $\mathfrak{L}_{D A \otimes A^-}^b(A\text{-mod})$  is the category of  $\widehat{A}$ -mod (c.f. Example 2.1(ii)). Likewise, the latter category has enough projectives, and the classes of injective and projective modules coincide, that is, it is a *Frobenius category*. Motivated by these constructions, we will dedicate this section to determines projective and injective objects on  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{L}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$  (resp. in  $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_F^b(\mathcal{C})$ ), when  $\mathcal{C}$  is an abelian category. In this section we will consider only abelian categories.

Let us start introducing an useful object. For any morphism  $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(X), Y)$  (respectively,  $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, F(Y))$ ), we will denote by  $\Sigma^k(\psi)$  the object in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  (resp. in  $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C})$ ) concentrated in degrees  $(k, k+1)$ . That is,  $X$  is the term in the  $k$ th position,  $Y$  is the term in the  $(k+1)$ th position, all other terms are 0, and  $\psi$  in the morphism from  $k$ th position to  $(k+1)$ th position. Graphically  $\Sigma^k(\psi)$  is represented by

$$\Sigma^k(\psi) := \cdots \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow X \xrightarrow{\psi} Y \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow \cdots$$

From now on, a pair of adjoint functors (or an adjoint pair of functors) with  $F$  left adjoint and  $G$  right adjoint will be denoted by  $(F, G)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $F, G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be two endofunctors such that  $(F, G)$  is an adjoint pair, where  $\eta : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow GF$  and  $\varepsilon : FG \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}}$  are its unit and counit, respectively.*

(i) *If  $P$  is a projective object in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then*

$$\Sigma^k(1_{F(P)}) := \cdots \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow P \xrightarrow{1_{F(P)}} F(P) \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow \cdots$$

and

$$\Sigma^k(\eta_P) := \cdots \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow P \xrightarrow{\eta_P} F(P) \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow \cdots$$

are projective objects in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_G(\mathcal{C})$ , respectively, for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(ii) *If  $I$  is an injective object in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then*

$$\Sigma^k(\varepsilon_I) := \cdots \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow G(I) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_I} I \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow \cdots$$

and

$$\Sigma^k(1_{G(I)}) := \cdots \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow G(I) \xrightarrow{1_{G(I)}} I \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow \cdots$$

are injective objects in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_G(\mathcal{C})$ , respectively, for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* We only prove that (i) holds, since the proof of (ii) is similar with the natural adaptations.

To show that  $\Sigma^k(1_{F(P)})$  is a projective object in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ , consider an epimorphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  and a morphism  $\psi : \Sigma^k(1_{F(P)}) \rightarrow N$  in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ . Since  $\phi^k : M^k \rightarrow N^k$  is epimorphism (by Corollary 3.9) and  $P$  is projective in  $\mathcal{C}$ , there exists a morphism  $\varphi^k : P \rightarrow M^k$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\psi^k = \phi^k \varphi^k$ . This proof ends setting  $\varphi^{k+1} = d_M^k F(\varphi^k)$ .

On the other hand, to show that  $\Sigma^k(\eta_P)$  is a projective object in  $\mathfrak{R}_G(\mathcal{C})$ , consider an epimorphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  and a morphism  $\psi : \Sigma^k(\eta_P) \rightarrow N$  in  $\mathfrak{R}_G(\mathcal{C})$ . Since  $\phi^k : M^k \rightarrow N^k$  is epimorphism (by Corollary 3.9) and  $P$  is projective in  $\mathcal{C}$ , there exists a morphism  $\varphi^k : P \rightarrow M^k$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\psi^k = \phi^k \varphi^k$ . Note that  $d_M^k \varphi^k \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P, G(M^{k+1}))$ . Now, by adjointness, there exists a unique morphism  $\varphi^{k+1} : F(P) \rightarrow M^{k+1}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $G(\varphi^{k+1})\eta_P = d_M^k \varphi^k$ . Now, due to the fact that  $G(d_M^{k+1} \varphi^{k+1})\eta_P = G(d_M^{k+1})d_M^k \varphi^k$ , we obtain by adjointness that  $d_M^{k+1} \varphi^{k+1} : F(P) \rightarrow G(M^{k+2})$  is the unique morphism with such condition. Since, by definition,  $G(d_M^{k+1})d_M^k = 0$ , we conclude that  $d_M^{k+1} \varphi^{k+1} = 0$ . Thus, the sequence  $\varphi := (\varphi^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with  $\varphi^n = 0$  for  $n \neq k, k+1$  is a morphism from  $\Sigma^k(\eta_P)$  to  $N$  in  $\mathfrak{R}_G(\mathcal{C})$ . Now, we need to show that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Sigma^k(\eta_P) & \\ \varphi \swarrow & \downarrow \psi & \\ M & \xrightarrow{\phi} & N \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

For this, it is enough to prove the commutativity of the following diagram in  $\mathcal{C}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P & \xrightarrow{\eta_P} & G(F(P)) \\
 \downarrow \varphi^k & \searrow \psi^k & \downarrow G(\varphi^{k+1}) \\
 M^k & \xrightarrow{d_M^k} & G(M^{k+1}) \\
 \downarrow \phi^k & \searrow & \downarrow G(\psi^{k+1}) \\
 N^k & \xrightarrow{d_N^k} & G(N^{k+1})
 \end{array}$$

Indeed, since  $\psi^k = \phi^k \varphi^k$ ,  $G(\varphi^{k+1})\eta_P = d_M^k \varphi^k$  and  $\psi, \phi$  are morphisms in  $\mathfrak{R}_G(\mathcal{C})$ , we only need to see that  $G(\phi^{k+1})G(\varphi^{k+1}) = G(\psi^{k+1})$ . To this end, observe that by adjointness the morphism  $\psi^{k+1} : F(P) \rightarrow N^{k+1}$  is the unique such that  $G(\psi^{k+1})\eta_P = d_N^k \psi^k$ . Nevertheless,

$$G(\phi^{k+1} \varphi^{k+1})\eta_P = G(\phi^{k+1})G(\varphi^{k+1})\eta_P = G(\phi^{k+1})d_M^k \varphi^k = d_N^k \phi^k \varphi^k = d_N^k \psi^k.$$

In conclusion, we obtain that  $\phi^{k+1} \varphi^{k+1} = \psi^{k+1}$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** Under the above notations and Theorem 4.1, an important consequence is: if  $\Sigma^k(1_{F(P)})$  and  $\Sigma^k(\varepsilon_I)$  are isomorphic objects in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  (respectively,  $\Sigma^k(\eta_P)$  and  $\Sigma^k(1_{G(I)})$  are isomorphic objects in  $\mathfrak{R}_G(\mathcal{C})$ ) if and only if there exist isomorphisms  $\phi : G(I) \rightarrow P$  and  $\psi : I \rightarrow F(P)$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\varepsilon_I F(\phi) = \psi$ .

**Definition 4.3.** The fundamental exact sequences of an object  $M \in \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  are, for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(F(d_M^{n-1})) & \xrightarrow{\iota^{n-1}} & \ker(d_M^n) & \twoheadrightarrow & \ker(d_M^n)/\text{Im}(F(d_M^{n-1})) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(d_M^n) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{i}^n} & F(M^n) & \xrightarrow{\delta^n} & \text{Im}(d_M^n) \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

where  $\delta^n : F(M^n) \rightarrow \text{Im}(d_M^n)$  is the unique epimorphism such that  $d_M^n = i^n \delta^n$ , with  $i^n : \text{Im}(d_M^n) \hookrightarrow F(M^{n+1})$  is the monomorphism inclusion. We will say that  $M$  is split if all its fundamental exact sequences are split in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Remark 4.4.** Let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be a right exact functor and  $M \in \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ . From Definition 4.3, we obtain that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 F^2(M^n) & \xrightarrow{F(d_M^n)} & F(M^{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{d_M^{n+1}} & M^{n+2} \\
 \searrow F(\delta^n) & & \nearrow F(i^n) & \searrow \delta^{n+1} & \nearrow i^{n+1} \\
 & & F(\text{Im}(d_M^n)) = \text{Im}(F(d_M^n)) & & \text{Im}(d_M^{n+1})
 \end{array}$$

Since  $\iota^n$  and  $\widehat{i}^{n+1}$  are natural inclusions, it follows that  $F(d_M^n) = \widehat{i}^{n+1} \iota^n F(\delta^n)$ . Consequently,  $F(i^n) = \widehat{i}^{n+1} \iota^n$ , because  $F(\delta^n)$  is an epimorphism in  $\mathcal{C}$  and, by universal property of kernel, the inclusion  $\iota^n$  is the unique morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$  with that property. Therefore,  $F(i^n)$  is a monomorphism and the morphism

in the left square in the diagram below, are all commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
F^2(M^n) & \xrightarrow{F(d_M^n)} & F(M^{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{d_M^{n+1}} & M^{n+2} & & \\
\downarrow F(\delta^n) & \nearrow F(i^n) & \uparrow \widehat{i^{n+1}} & & & & \\
0 \longrightarrow & F(\text{Im}(d_M^n)) = \text{Im}(F(d_M^n)) & \xrightarrow{\iota^n} & \ker(d_M^{n+1}) & \twoheadrightarrow & \ker(d_M^{n+1})/\text{Im}(F(d_M^n)) & \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

In the following proposition we will use the extended endofunctor  $\widehat{F} : \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  constructed in Corollary 3.3.

**Proposition 4.5.** *Consider  $F : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$  a right exact endofunctor. If  $M \in \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  is split, then we have an isomorphism:*

$$\widehat{F}(M) \cong \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^k(\iota^k).$$

*Proof.* Suppose that all fundamental exact sequences of  $M$  are split (see Definition 4.3). This implies that there exist morphisms  $p^n : F(M^n) \longrightarrow \ker(d_M^n)$  and  $q^n : \text{Im}(d_M^n) \longrightarrow F(M^n)$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(d_M^n) \xrightleftharpoons[p^n]{\widehat{i}^n} F(M^n) \xrightleftharpoons[q^n]{\delta^n} \text{Im}(d_M^n) \longrightarrow 0,$$

which satisfying

$$p^n \widehat{i}^n = 1_{\ker(d_M^n)}, \quad \delta^n q^n = 1_{\text{Im}(d_M^n)}, \quad \delta^n \widehat{i}^n = 0, \quad p^n q^n = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{i}^n p^n + q^n \delta^n = 1_{F(M^n)}.$$

Now, since

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma^{k-1}(\iota^{k-1}) &= \dots \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow \text{Im}(d_M^{k-1}) \xrightarrow{\iota^{k-1}} \ker(d_M^k) \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow \dots \\
\Sigma^k(\iota^k) &= \dots \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow \text{Im}(d_M^k) \xrightarrow{\iota^k} \ker(d_M^{k+1}) \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow \dots \\
\Sigma^{k+1}(\iota^{k+1}) &= \dots \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow \text{Im}(d_M^{k+1}) \xrightarrow{\iota^{k+1}} \ker(d_M^{k+2}) \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow \dots
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain that  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^k(\iota^k)$  is described by

$$\dots \rightsquigarrow \ker(d_M^{k-1}) \oplus \text{Im}(d_M^{k-1}) \xrightarrow{\Delta^{k-1}} \ker(d_M^k) \oplus \text{Im}(d_M^k) \xrightarrow{\Delta^k} \ker(d_M^{k+1}) \oplus \text{Im}(d_M^{k+1}) \rightsquigarrow \dots$$

where  $\Delta^k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \iota^k \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Defining the collections of morphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$

$$\psi^k := \begin{bmatrix} p^k \\ \delta^k \end{bmatrix} : F(M^k) \longrightarrow \ker(d_M^k) \oplus \text{Im}(d_M^k)$$

and

$$\varphi^k = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{i}^k & q^k \end{bmatrix} : \ker(d_M^k) \oplus \text{Im}(d_M^k) \longrightarrow F(M^k)$$

we need to prove first that the morphisms

$$\psi := (\psi^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} : \widehat{F}(M) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^k(\iota^k) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi := (\varphi^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} : \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^k(\iota^k) \longrightarrow \widehat{F}(M)$$

belong to  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ . Specifically, we need to prove that the following diagrams commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^2(M^n) & \xrightarrow{F(d_M^n)} & F(M^{n+1}) & & F(\ker(d_M^n) \oplus \text{Im}(d_M^n)) & \xrightarrow{\Delta^n} & \ker(d_M^{n+1}) \oplus \text{Im}(d_M^{n+1}) \\ F(\psi^n) \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi^{n+1} & & F(\varphi^n) \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi^{n+1} \\ F(\ker(d_M^n) \oplus \text{Im}(d_M^n)) & \xrightarrow{\Delta^n} & \ker(d_M^{n+1}) \oplus \text{Im}(d_M^{n+1}) & & F^2(M^n) & \xrightarrow{F(d_M^n)} & F(M^{n+1}) \end{array}$$

Indeed, note that in the left square we have that

$$\Delta^n F(\psi^n) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \iota^n \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F(p^n) \\ F(\delta^n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \iota^n F(\delta^n) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\psi^{n+1} F(d_M^n) = \begin{bmatrix} p^{n+1} \\ \delta^{n+1} \end{bmatrix} F(d_M^n) = \begin{bmatrix} p^{n+1} F(d_M^n) \\ \delta^{n+1} F(d_M^n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p^{n+1} F(i^n) F(\delta^n) \\ \delta^{n+1} F(i^n) F(\delta^n) \end{bmatrix}$$

Since  $i^{n+1} \delta^{n+1} F(i^n) F(\delta^n) = d_M^{n+1} F(d_M^n) = 0$  and  $i^{n+1} : \text{Im}(d_M^{n+1}) \rightarrow F(M^{n+2})$  is a monomorphism, it follows that  $\delta^{n+1} F(i^n) F(\delta^n) = 0$ . Thus,

$$\psi^{n+1} F(d_M^n) = \begin{bmatrix} p^{n+1} F(i^n) F(\delta^n) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

In conclusion, since  $F(i^n) = \widehat{i^{n+1}} \iota^n$  and  $p^{n+1} \widehat{i^{n+1}} = 1_{\ker(d_M^{n+1})}$ , we obtain  $p^{n+1} F(i^n) = p^{n+1} \widehat{i^{n+1}} \iota^n = \iota_n$  and, consequently,  $\Delta^n F(\psi^n) = \psi^{n+1} F(d_M^n)$ , that is, the commutativity in the left square.

For the commutativity in the right square, note that

$$\varphi^{n+1} \Delta^n = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{i^{n+1}} & q^{n+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \iota^n \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \widehat{i^{n+1}} \iota^n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & F(i^n) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(d_M^n) F(\varphi^n) &= F(d_M^n) \begin{bmatrix} F(\widehat{i^n}) & F(q^n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F(d_M^n) F(\widehat{i^n}) & F(d_M^n) F(q^n) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & F(i^n) F(\delta^n) F(q^n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & F(i^n) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\varphi^{n+1} \Delta^n = F(d_M^n) F(\varphi^n)$ , which prove the commutativity of the aforementioned square.

Finally, for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , it is only remaining to note that we have

$$\varphi^n \psi^n = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{i^n} & q^n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p^n \\ \delta^n \end{bmatrix} = \widehat{i^n} p^n + q^n \delta^n = 1_{F(M^n)}$$

and

$$\psi^n \varphi^n = \begin{bmatrix} p^n \\ \delta^n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{i^n} & q^n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p^n \widehat{i^n} & p^n q^n \\ \delta^n \widehat{i^n} & \delta^n q^n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1_{\ker(d_M^n)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{\text{Im}(d_M^n)} \end{bmatrix} = 1_{\ker(d_M^n) \oplus \text{Im}(d_M^n)}$$

which allows us to obtain that  $\widehat{F}(M) \cong \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^k(\iota^k)$  in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ . □

**Corollary 4.6.** *Consider  $F, G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  two endofunctors such that  $(F, G)$  is an adjoint pair. If  $M \in \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  is split such that each one of the terms is projective in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\text{Im}(F(d_M^{n-1})) = \ker(d_M^n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\widehat{F}(M)$  is projective in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ .*

*Proof.* First of all, note that  $1_{\ker(d_M^n)} = \iota^{n-1} : \text{Im}(F(d_M^{n-1})) \rightarrow \ker(d_M^n)$  and thus

$$\Sigma^{n-1}(\iota^{n-1}) = \dots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \ker(d_M^n) \xrightarrow{1_{\ker(d_M^n)}} \ker(d_M^n) \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \dots$$

Since  $M \in \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  is split, the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(d_M^n) \xrightarrow{\widehat{i}^n} F(M^n) \xrightarrow{\delta^n} \text{Im}(d_M^n) \longrightarrow 0$$

is split. Therefore, we can conclude that the complex  $\Sigma^{n-1}(\iota^{n-1})$  is a direct sum of the complex

$$\Sigma^{n-1}(1_{F(M^n)}) = \cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow F(M^n) \xrightarrow{1_{F(M^n)}} F(M^n) \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

in  $\mathfrak{L}_{\text{Id}}(\mathcal{C})$ , because the exact sequence below

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \Sigma^{n-1}(\iota^{n-1}) = \cdots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(d_M^n) & \xrightarrow{1_{\ker(d_M^n)}} & \ker(d_M^n) & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \widehat{i}^n & & \downarrow \widehat{i}^n & & \downarrow & & \\ \Sigma^{n-1}(1_{F(M^n)}) = \cdots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & F(M^n) & \xrightarrow{1_{F(M^n)}} & F(M^n) & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta^n & & \downarrow \delta^n & & \downarrow & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(d_M^n) & \xrightarrow{1_{\text{Im}(d_M^n)}} & \text{Im}(d_M^n) & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

is split in  $\mathfrak{L}_{\text{Id}}(\mathcal{C})$ . Hence, by Theorem 4.1,  $\Sigma^{n-1}(\iota^{n-1})$  is projective in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ . Finally, by Theorem 4.5, we conclude that  $\widehat{F}(M) \cong \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^k(\iota^k)$  is projective in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 4.7.** The converse of Corollary 4.6 does not hold generally. Consider  $F = DA \otimes_A - : A\text{-mod} \rightarrow A\text{-mod}$ , where  $A$  is a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra. Giraldo ([Gir18, Proposition 6]) showed that all the indecomposable projective in  $\mathfrak{L}_{DA \otimes_A -}^b(A\text{-mod})$  has the form

$$\cdots \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow A\varepsilon \rightsquigarrow D(\varepsilon A) \rightsquigarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow \cdots$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is an idempotent of  $A$ , implying  $D(\varepsilon A)$  is an injective  $A$ -module. However, for the identity functor  $F = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is any abelian category, the converse indeed holds (cf. [Wei94, Exercise 2.2.1, p. 34]).

## 5. THE (MONOIDAL) CATEGORY $\text{End}(\mathcal{C})$ , AND THE CATEGORIES $\mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{C})$ AND $\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{C})$

Within the 2-category of categories, we introduce in this section two full sub-2-categories  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{C})$  whose objects are the categories  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C})$ , respectively, for each functor  $F \in \text{End}(\mathcal{C})$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is an additive category. We use the monoidal category structure of  $\text{End}(\mathcal{C})$  to explore the properties of  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C})$ , through of a natural correspondence from  $\text{End}(\mathcal{C})$  to  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{C})$  and similarly to  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{C})$ . These developments lay the foundation for a new research area we call *F-graduate categories over  $\mathcal{C}$* , using the monoidal structure of  $\text{End}(\mathcal{C})$  and offering a novel perspective on these novel constructions. Further explorations and applications await in [BR24].

**5.1. The contravariant functors  $\mathfrak{L}$  and  $\mathfrak{R}$ .** Let us start showing that any natural transformation  $\Psi : F \rightarrow G$  between endofunctors  $F, G \in \text{End}(\mathcal{C})$ , induces the following covariant functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}_\Psi(\mathcal{C}) : \mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{C}) &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) \\ M &\longmapsto \mathfrak{L}_\Psi(\mathcal{C})(M) := (M^n, d_M^n \circ \Psi_{M^n})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \\ \varphi &\longmapsto \mathfrak{L}_\Psi(\mathcal{C})(\varphi) := \varphi \end{aligned}$$

We first establish the well-definedness of  $\mathfrak{L}_\Psi(\mathcal{C})$ . To achieve this, we show that for any  $M = (M^n, d_M^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{C})$ , the corresponding object  $(M^n, d_M^n \circ \Psi_{M^n})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ . In fact, we obtain the following sequence of equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} d_M^{n+1} \circ \Psi_{M^{n+1}} \circ F(d_M^n \circ \Psi_{M^n}) &= d_M^{n+1} \circ (\Psi_{M^{n+1}} \circ F(d_M^n)) \circ F(\Psi_{M^n}) \\ &= d_M^{n+1} \circ (G(d_M^n) \circ \Psi_{F(M^n)}) \circ F(\Psi_{M^n}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The final equality comes from the hypothesis that  $M \in \mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{C})$ . The second equality holds due to the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(G(M^n)) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{F(M^n)}} & G(G(M^n)) \\ F(d_M^n) \downarrow & & \downarrow G(d_M^n) \\ F(M^{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{M^{n+1}}} & G(M^{n+1}), \end{array}$$

guaranteed by the naturality of  $\Psi : F \rightarrow G$ . Now, we need to prove that for each morphism  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{C})}(M, N)$ , the corresponding morphism satisfy  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})}(\mathfrak{L}_\Psi(\mathcal{C})(M), \mathfrak{L}_\Psi(\mathcal{C})(N))$ . Indeed, this claim is established by commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F(M^n) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{M^n}} & G(M^n) & \xrightarrow{d_M^n} & M^{n+1} \\ F(\varphi^n) \downarrow & & G(\varphi^n) \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi^{n+1} \\ F(N^n) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{N^n}} & G(N^n) & \xrightarrow{d_N^n} & N^{n+1}, \end{array}$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which is a direct consequence of the naturality of  $\Psi : F \rightarrow G$ . Clearly, by definition, this assignment is functorial.

Similarly, from each natural transformation  $\Psi : F \rightarrow G$  between endofunctors  $F, G \in \text{End}(\mathcal{C})$ , we can prove that induces a covariant functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}_\Psi(\mathcal{C}) : \mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C}) &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}_G(\mathcal{C}) \\ M &\longmapsto \mathfrak{R}_\Psi(\mathcal{C})(M) := (M^n, d_M^n \circ \Psi_{M^n})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \\ \varphi &\longmapsto \mathfrak{R}_\Psi(\mathcal{C})(\varphi) := \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, we obtain the functors  $\mathfrak{L}$  and  $\mathfrak{R}$ . The theorem below guaranteed that these functors are embeddings.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Consider an additive category  $\mathcal{C}$  and its associated (monoidal) category of endofunctors,  $\text{End}(\mathcal{C})$ . The functors  $\mathfrak{L}$  and  $\mathfrak{R}$  establish embeddings. Specifically, the functors  $\mathfrak{L}$  and  $\mathfrak{R}$ , defined as follows, are a faithful contravariant functor and a faithful covariant functor, respectively:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{L} : \text{End}(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{C}) \\ F & \longmapsto & \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) \\ \Psi & \longmapsto & \mathfrak{L}_\Psi(\mathcal{C}) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{R} : \text{End}(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{C}) \\ F & \longmapsto & \mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C}) \\ \Psi & \longmapsto & \mathfrak{R}_\Psi(\mathcal{C}). \end{array}$$

*Proof.* We provide a proof for the functor  $\mathfrak{L}$ , noting that an analogous argument holds for the functor  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Let  $\Psi, \Phi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{End}(\mathcal{C})}(F, G)$  be two natural transformations such that  $\mathfrak{L}_\Psi(\mathcal{C}) = \mathfrak{L}_\Phi(\mathcal{C}) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{C})}(\mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{C}), \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}))$ . In particular, it follows that  $\mathfrak{L}_\Psi(\mathcal{C})(M) = \mathfrak{L}_\Phi(\mathcal{C})(M)$ , for any object  $M \in \mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{C})$ . Following the notation in Theorem 4.1, we obtain that

$$\Sigma^0(\Psi_M) = \mathfrak{L}_\Psi(\mathcal{C})(\Sigma^0(1_{G(M)})) = \mathfrak{L}_\Phi(\mathcal{C})(\Sigma^0(1_{G(M)})) = \Sigma^0(\Phi_M), \quad \text{for all } M \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Therefore,  $\Psi_M = \Phi_M$  for all  $M \in \mathcal{C}$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$



**Remark 5.2.** Theorem 5.1 implies an important property: the categories  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C})$  are invariant by natural isomorphism. In more precise terms, given two naturally isomorphic endofunctors  $F, G \in \text{End}(\mathcal{C})$ , we obtain natural isomorphisms between their associated categories:  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathfrak{R}_G(\mathcal{C})$ .

To establish the following corollaries, To the next corollaries, we invoke the result from Example 2.1(i) which asserts that the categories  $\mathfrak{L}_{1_{\mathcal{C}}}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_{1_{\mathcal{C}}}(\mathcal{C})$  coincide with the category of cochain complexes of  $\mathcal{C}$ , denote here by  $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{C})$ .

**Corollary 5.3.** *If  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a categories equivalence with inverse functor  $G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , then the categories  $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathfrak{L}_{FG}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathfrak{L}_{GF}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathfrak{R}_{FG}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathfrak{R}_{GF}(\mathcal{C})$  are isomorphic.*

**Corollary 5.4.** *Let  $F, G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be two endofunctors such that  $(F, G)$  is an adjoint pair, where  $\eta : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow GF$  and  $\varepsilon : FG \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}}$  are the unit and counit, respectively. Then, the following functors*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathfrak{L}_{GF}(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{L}_{\eta\varepsilon}(\mathcal{C})} & \mathfrak{L}_{FG}(\mathcal{C}) & & \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) & & \mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{C}) & & \mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C}) & & \mathfrak{R}_G(\mathcal{C}) \\
& \searrow \mathfrak{L}_{\eta}(\mathcal{C}) & & \nearrow \mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{C}) & \downarrow \mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon F}(\mathcal{C}) & & \downarrow \mathfrak{L}_{G\varepsilon}(\mathcal{C}) & & \downarrow \mathfrak{R}_{F\eta}(\mathcal{C}) & & \downarrow \mathfrak{R}_{\eta G}(\mathcal{C}) \\
& & \text{Ch}(\mathcal{C}) & & \mathfrak{L}_{FGF}(\mathcal{C}) & & \mathfrak{L}_{GFG}(\mathcal{C}) & & \mathfrak{R}_{FGF}(\mathcal{C}) & & \mathfrak{R}_{GFG}(\mathcal{C}) \\
& \nearrow \mathfrak{R}_{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{C}) & & \searrow \mathfrak{R}_{\eta}(\mathcal{C}) & \downarrow \mathfrak{L}_{F\eta}(\mathcal{C}) & & \downarrow \mathfrak{L}_{\eta G}(\mathcal{C}) & & \downarrow \mathfrak{R}_{\varepsilon F}(\mathcal{C}) & & \downarrow \mathfrak{R}_{G\varepsilon}(\mathcal{C}) \\
\mathfrak{R}_{FG}(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{R}_{\eta\varepsilon}(\mathcal{C})} & \mathfrak{R}_{GF}(\mathcal{C}) & & \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) & & \mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{C}) & & \mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C}) & & \mathfrak{R}_G(\mathcal{C})
\end{array}$$

and the vertical composition are the identity transformations, respectively.

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 5.1 and the triangle identities, i.e., the compositions

$$F \xrightarrow{F\eta} FGF \xrightarrow{\varepsilon F} F \quad \text{and} \quad G \xrightarrow{\eta G} GFG \xrightarrow{G\varepsilon} G$$

are the identity transformations respectively.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $F, G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be endofunctors such that  $(F, G)$  is an adjoint pair. Then, there exists an isomorphism of categories*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{E} : \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{R}_G(\mathcal{C}) \\
M = (M^n, f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} & \longmapsto & \mathcal{E}(M) := (M^n, g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \\
\varphi = (\varphi^n) & \longmapsto & \mathcal{E}(\varphi) := \varphi
\end{array}$$

where  $g_n = \tau_{n,n+1}(f_n)$  is the unique morphism  $\tau_{n,n+1} : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(M^n), M^{n+1}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M^n, G(M^{n+1}))$  under the natural group isomorphism induced by adjointness, for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Under the hypothesis that  $f_{n+1} \circ F(f_n) = 0$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we need to prove that  $G(g_{n+1}) \circ g_n = 0$ . Indeed, the equation  $f_{n+1} \circ F(f_n) = 0$  means that  $F(f_n)^*(f_{n+1}) = 0$ . In particular,  $\tau_{n,n+1}(F(f_n)^*(f_{n+1})) = 0$ , and by naturality, this equation is equal to  $f_n^*(g_{n+1}) = g_{n+1} \circ f_n = 0$ , equivalently,  $(g_{n+1})_*(f_n) = 0$ . Now, since  $G(g_{n+1}) \circ g_n$  is equal to  $G(g_{n+1})_*(g_n)$ , it follows that by naturality,  $G(g_{n+1})_*(g_n) = G(g_{n+1})_*(\tau_{n,n+1}(f_n)) = \tau_{n,n+1}((g_{n+1})_*(f_n))$ . Thus, from the equality  $\tau_{n,n+1}((g_{n+1})_*(f_n)) = \tau_{n,n+1}(0) = 0$ , we conclude that  $G(g_{n+1}) \circ g_n = 0$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Next, let  $\varphi = (\varphi^n) : (M_n, f_n) \rightarrow (M'_n, f'_n)$  be a collection of morphisms satisfying  $f'_n \circ F(\varphi^n) = \varphi^{n+1} \circ f_n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We need to show that  $\varphi = (\varphi^n) : (M_n, g_n) \rightarrow (M'_n, g'_n)$  is such that  $g'_n \circ \varphi^n = G(\varphi^{n+1}) \circ g_n$ . By the adjointness, there exist a co-unity morphisms  $\varepsilon_{n+1} : F(G(M_{n+1})) \rightarrow M_{n+1}$  and unity morphisms  $\eta_n : M_n \rightarrow G(F(M_n))$ , which relates (by uniqueness) the morphisms  $f_n$  and  $g_n$  (resp.  $f'_n$  and  $g'_n$ ) under the equations  $\varepsilon_{n+1} \circ F(g_n) = f_n$  and  $G(f_n) \circ \eta_n = g_n$  (resp.  $\varepsilon_{n+1} \circ F(g'_n) = f'_n$  and  $G(f'_n) \circ \eta_n = g'_n$ ). From the equation  $f'_n \circ F(\varphi^n) = \varphi^{n+1} \circ f_n$  and substituting by  $\varepsilon_{n+1} \circ F(g'_n) = f'_n$ , we obtain that  $\varepsilon_{n+1} \circ F(g'_n \circ \varphi^n) = \varphi^{n+1} \circ f_n$ . Thus, by uniqueness,  $G(\varphi^{n+1} \circ f_n) \circ \eta_n = g'_n \circ \varphi^n$ ,

i.e.,  $G(\varphi^{n+1}) \circ (G(f_n) \circ \eta_n) = g'_n \circ \varphi^n$  and substituting by  $G(f_n) \circ \eta_n = g_n$  in this latter equation we conclude that  $g'_n \circ \varphi^n = G(\varphi^{n+1}) \circ g_n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Since the definition of  $\mathcal{E}$  depends uniquely and naturally on the properties of adjointness, it is easy to verify that under the same conditions as above, the functor  $\mathcal{R} : \mathfrak{R}_G(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ , which sends  $(M^n, g_n)$  to  $(M^n, f_n)$  and  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi$ , is well-defined and satisfies  $\mathcal{E} \circ \mathcal{R} = Id_{\mathfrak{R}_G(\mathcal{C})}$ ,  $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{E} = Id_{\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})}$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a complete and co-complete abelian category and let  $(F, G)$  be an adjoint pair of functors, where  $F, G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  is a complete and co-complete abelian category.*

*Proof.* It is well-known that  $F$  preserves co-limits and  $G$  preserves limits, since  $(F, G)$  is an adjoint pair. By Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 3.1, we obtain that  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  is a co-complete abelian category and  $\mathfrak{R}_G(\mathcal{C})$  is a complete (abelian) category. Therefore, by Theorem 5.5, we conclude that  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  is a complete category also.  $\square$

**5.2. Monoidal categories and its relations with  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_F(\mathcal{C})$ .** In this subsection, we will analyze the influence of the monoidal structure of  $\text{End}(\mathcal{C})$  on the category  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ . We follow the notations and results in [EGNO15]. For a complete study of the main properties of monoidal categories, we recommend [EGNO15, Chapter 2, pp. 21-32]. Some examples of strict monoidal categories that we will be interested in include:

- $A\text{-biMod}$ : This is the category of  $A$ -bimodules or  $A$ - $A$ -modules, whose “ $\otimes$  product” is the tensor product  $\otimes_A$  with unity  $A$ .
- For any (abelian)  $\mathbb{k}$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$ , the category  $\text{End}(\mathcal{C})$  of  $\mathbb{k}$ -linear endofunctors has a tensor product “ $\otimes$ ” that corresponds to the composition of functors, with the identity functor  $Id_{\mathcal{C}}$  as the unity. In this case, the tensor product of two natural transformations  $\alpha : F \rightarrow G$  and  $\beta : F' \rightarrow G'$  is the natural transformation  $\alpha \otimes \beta : F \circ F' \rightarrow G \circ G'$  defined by

$$(\alpha \otimes \beta)(M) := \alpha(G'(M)) \circ F(\beta(M)) = G(\beta(M)) \circ \alpha(F'(M)), \forall M \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Moreover, from the latter example above, we have important families of strict monoidal subcategories (see [EGNO15, Ex. 2.3.12, p.28 and Sec. 2.7 p.35]):

- $\text{End}_{\text{rexact}}(\mathcal{C})$  :with objects right exact endofunctors and morphisms natural transformations.
- $\text{End}_{\text{lexact}}(\mathcal{C})$  :with objects left exact endofunctors and morphisms natural transformations.
- $\text{End}_{\text{exact}}(\mathcal{C})$  :with objects exact endofunctors and morphisms natural transformations.
- $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$  : whose objects are auto-equivalences and morphisms are isomorphisms of functors.

If  $\mathcal{C}$  is an abelian  $\mathbb{k}$ -category over a commutative ring  $\mathbb{k}$  with unity, then the monoidal category of  $\mathbb{k}$ -linear exact endofunctors  $\text{End}_{\text{exact}}(\mathcal{C})$  is *rigid* (see [EGNO15, Sec. 2.10]). Consequently, the subcategory  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$  of  $\mathbb{k}$ -linear equivalences is also rigid. This is because any exact  $\mathbb{k}$ -linear endofunctor over an abelian  $\mathbb{k}$ -category has left and right adjoint endofunctors. This implies that the correspondence between left (resp. right) adjoints and left (resp. right) duals in the monoidal category  $\text{End}(\mathcal{C})$  holds (see [EGNO15, Ex. 2.10.4, p. 41]). In particular,  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$  is a *categorical group* (see [EGNO15, Def. 2.11.4]), as can be easily deduced from its definition and the preceding remarks.

We are now prepared to address the following problem. From the functor in Theorem 5.1

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L} : \text{End}(\mathcal{C}) &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{C}) \\ F &\longmapsto \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) \\ \Psi : F \rightarrow G &\longmapsto \mathfrak{L}_\Psi : \mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) \end{aligned}$$

we consider the full and faithful subcategory  $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) := \text{Im } \mathfrak{L}$  of  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{C})$ , the *full image of the functor  $\mathfrak{L}$* . The category  $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$  can be endowed with a strict monoidal structure as follows. We define  $\tilde{\otimes}$  by the

functor

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\otimes} : \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \times \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) &\longrightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \\ (\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}), \mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{C})) &\longmapsto \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) \tilde{\otimes} \mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{C}) := \mathfrak{L}_{F \circ G}(\mathcal{C}) \\ (\mathfrak{L}_\alpha, \mathfrak{L}_\beta) &\longmapsto \mathfrak{L}_\alpha \tilde{\otimes} \mathfrak{L}_\beta \end{aligned}$$

where the tensor product of the functors  $\mathfrak{L}_\alpha : \mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{L}_\beta : \mathfrak{L}_{G'}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{L}_{F'}(\mathcal{C})$  is the functor  $\mathfrak{L}_\alpha \tilde{\otimes} \mathfrak{L}_\beta : \mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{C}) \tilde{\otimes} \mathfrak{L}_{G'}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) \tilde{\otimes} \mathfrak{L}_{F'}(\mathcal{C})$  which is defined by the composition of functors  $\mathfrak{L}_{F\beta} \circ \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha G'}$  which come from of the composition of the natural transformations

$$F\beta : F \circ F' \longrightarrow F \circ G', \quad \alpha_{G'} : F \circ G' \longrightarrow G \circ G'.$$

In this case, the unity  $\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\otimes}} \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$  is the category of cochain complexes on  $\mathcal{C}$ , that is,  $\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\otimes}} = \mathfrak{L}_{Id_{\mathcal{C}}}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Ch}(\mathcal{C})$  and, clearly by the construction,  $(\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) \tilde{\otimes} \mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{C})) \tilde{\otimes} \mathfrak{L}_H(\mathcal{C}) = \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) \tilde{\otimes} (\mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{C}) \tilde{\otimes} \mathfrak{L}_H(\mathcal{C}))$  and  $\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\otimes}} \tilde{\otimes} \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) = \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) \tilde{\otimes} \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\otimes}} = \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$ , for any  $F, G, H \in \text{End}(\mathcal{C})$ . Furthermore, the restriction functor

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathfrak{L}} : \text{End}(\mathcal{C}) &\longrightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \\ F &\longmapsto \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) \\ \alpha : F \rightarrow G &\longmapsto \mathfrak{L}_\alpha : \mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) \end{aligned}$$

satisfy:  $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}(F \otimes G) = \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}(F) \tilde{\otimes} \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}(G)$  and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}(\alpha \otimes \beta) = \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}(\alpha) \tilde{\otimes} \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}(\beta)$ , that is,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}$  is a strict monoidal functor. Indeed, clearly  $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}(F \otimes G) = \mathfrak{L}_{F \otimes G}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathfrak{L}_{F \circ G}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) \tilde{\otimes} \mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{C}) = \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}(F) \tilde{\otimes} \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}(G)$ . In addition,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}(\alpha \otimes \beta) = \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha \otimes \beta} = \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_{G'} \circ F\beta} = \mathfrak{L}_{F\beta} \circ \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_{G'}} = \mathfrak{L}_\alpha \tilde{\otimes} \mathfrak{L}_\beta = \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}(\alpha) \tilde{\otimes} \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}(\beta)$ .

In particular, restricting the functor  $\mathfrak{L}$  to the full monoidal subcategory  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ , for a certain category  $\mathcal{C}$ , and denoting by  $\mathfrak{L}_{\text{Aut}}(\Psi)$  the full subcategory of  $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$  with objects the categories  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  for  $F \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ , we obtain the following important result.

**Proposition 5.7.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{C}$  is an abelian  $\mathbb{k}$ -linear category. Then  $\mathfrak{L}_{\text{Aut}}(\Psi)$  is a categorical group.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.1 and the hypothesis,  $\mathfrak{L}_{\text{Aut}}(\Psi)$  is an abelian  $\mathbb{k}$ -linear category. Likewise, by the reasoning above, we have that  $\mathfrak{L}_{\text{Aut}}(\Psi)$  is a monoidal category, which is also rigid. Indeed, by Corollary 5.3, for any  $X := \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) \in \mathfrak{L}_{\text{Aut}}(\Psi)$ , its left and right duals are given by  $X^* = {}^*X = \mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{C})$ , where  $G$  is the pseudo-inverse of  $F \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ . Finally, the morphisms in  $\mathfrak{L}_{\text{Aut}}(\Psi)$  are isomorphisms, since the functors  $\mathfrak{L}_\alpha : \mathfrak{L}_{F'}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  come from isomorphisms  $\alpha : F' \longrightarrow F$ , and by functoriality, we conclude  $\mathfrak{L}_\alpha$  is an isomorphism as well. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 5.8.**

- (i) Theorem 2.5 shows that the category (actually, the 2-category!)  $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$  can be identified with the collection of *F-graduate categories over  $\mathcal{C}$* . The above results show that the “natural tensor product” over the (endo)functors “F’s” can be naturally extended to the category of *F-graduate categories over  $\mathcal{C}$*  endowing this latter category with a “natural tensor product”.
- (ii) If  $E^F : \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is the “forgetful projection functor”, defined by  $(M_n, f_n) \longmapsto (M_n)$  and  $(\varphi_n) \longmapsto (\varphi_n)$ , then the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{L}_G(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{L}_\Psi} & \mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) \\ E^G \downarrow & & \downarrow E^F \\ \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Z}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Z}} \end{array} \quad (4)$$

is commutative for any natural transformation  $\Psi : F \longrightarrow G$ , we can say that  $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$  is a 2-subcategory of  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{C})$ . However, as the property in (4) shows,  $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$  is not, in general, a full 2-subcategory of  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{C})$ .

## 6. SOME APPLICATIONS

Along this section we will fix a field  $\mathbb{k}$  and all the endofunctors are  $\mathbb{k}$ -linear.

In this section we explore significant consequences of our constructions, focusing on two key settings as are representation theory of algebras and algebraic geometry with meaningful implications in both contexts.

Firstly, we delve into the study of  $\otimes$ -representable and representable functors over the category of finitely generated left modules over a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra  $A$  (denoted  $A\text{-mod}$ ). This characterization yields significant results and generalizations for representation theory of algebras, especially in the context of “generalized repetitive algebras”.

Secondly, we translate these above characterizations and consequences to the study of endofunctors on the category of quasi-coherent sheaves over a specific class of schemes. This translation leads to novel insights and advances in this area.

**6.1. (Locally) Finite categories.** In this subsection we will study ( $\mathbb{k}$ -linear) endofunctors representables and  $\otimes$ -representables in the monoidal category  $\text{End}(A\text{-mod})$  over a  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra  $A$  (with unity) of finite dimension on  $\mathbb{k}$ , where  $A\text{-mod}$  corresponds to the category of left modules finitely generated over the  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra  $A$ .

**Definition 6.1** ([EGNO15, Definition 1.8.8, p. 10]). *Let  $A$  be a  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra of finite dimension over  $\mathbb{k}$ . An endofunctor  $F \in \text{End}(A\text{-mod})$  is called  $\otimes$ -representable if there exists an  $A$ - $A$ -bimodule  $D$  such that  $F$  is naturally isomorphic to  $D \otimes_A -$*

By [EGNO15, Prop. 2.5.4, p.32] we have a natural monoidal functor:

$$\mathcal{F} : D \mapsto (D \otimes_A -) : A\text{-bimod} \longrightarrow \text{End}(A\text{-mod}), \quad (5)$$

where  $A\text{-bimod}$  is the strict monoidal category of finitely generated  $A$ -bimodules with monoidal structure given by the usual tensor product  $\otimes_A$  whose unity is  $A$ .

As guarantees the following proposition (see [EGNO15, Prop.1.8.10, p.10]) the functor  $\mathcal{F}$  induce a monoidal equivalence between certain monoidal categories in (5).

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let  $A$  be a  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra with unity and finite dimension over the field  $\mathbb{k}$ . An endofunctor  $F : A\text{-mod} \longrightarrow A\text{-mod}$  is  $\otimes$ -representable if and only if  $F \in \text{End}_{\text{rexact}}(A\text{-mod})$ . In particular, the functor  $\mathcal{F}$  in (5) establish an equivalence between the monoidal categories below:*

$$\mathcal{F} : D \mapsto (D \otimes_A -) : A\text{-bimod} \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\text{rexact}}(A\text{-mod}),$$

*Proof.* Clearly, if  $F$  is isomorphic to  $D \otimes_A -$ , then  $F$  is a right exact functor. Conversely, suppose that  $F$  is a right exact functor and consider the  $A$ -module  $D = F(A)$ . It easy to check that  $D$  admits a  $(A, A)$ -bimodule structure from the  $\mathbb{k}$ -linear homomorphism  $\text{Hom}(A, A) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(F(A), F(A))$  and the canonical identification of  $A \cong \text{Hom}(A, A)$ . In consequence, from the canonical isomorphism  $F(A) \cong A \otimes_A D$ , we obtain for any free  $M \in \text{mod}A$ ,  $F(M) \cong D \otimes_A M$ , since  $F$  is an additive endofunctor. We next claim is  $F(M) \cong D \otimes_A M$ , for all  $M \in A\text{-mod}$ . Indeed, from the free presentation of  $M$ , i.e., the exact sequence  $L_1 \xrightarrow{g} L_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$ , where  $L_0$  and  $L_1$  are free (finitely generated)  $A$ -modules, we obtain the exact sequence  $F(L_1) \xrightarrow{F(g)} F(L_0) \longrightarrow F(M) \longrightarrow 0$ , due to  $F$  is right exact functor. Now, from the following isomorphisms  $F(M) \cong \text{coker}(F(g))$ ,  $F(L_1) \cong D \otimes_A L_1$ ,  $F(L_0) \cong D \otimes_A L_0$ ,  $\text{coker}(g \otimes 1_D) \cong \text{coker}(g) \otimes 1_D$  and identifying  $\text{coker}(F(g))$  with  $\text{coker}(g \otimes 1_D)$ , it follows that  $F(M) \cong D \otimes_A M$ . Likewise, as consequence of these isomorphisms, its easy to check that the isomorphism  $F(M) \cong D \otimes_A M$  no depends of the free presentation of  $M$  and that satisfies the functoriality in  $M$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 6.3.** As a consequence of [Rot09, Theorem. 5.51], we have that every  $F \in \text{End}_{\text{rexact}}(A\text{-mod})$  has a right adjoint given by the (covariant representable left-exact) endofunctor  $G = \text{Hom}_A(D, -)$ , for

$D$  an  $A$ -bimodule. Thus,  $(F, G)$  is an adjoint pair on  $A\text{-mod}$ . In particular, the category  $\mathfrak{L}_F(A\text{-mod})$  is abelian, complete and co-complete, by Corollary 5.6.

For the special case that  $F \in \text{End}_{\text{exact}}(A\text{-mod}) \hookrightarrow \text{End}_{\text{rexact}}(A\text{-mod})$  belongs to the rigid full monoidal subcategory of exact functors (see introduction to Section 5.2) we have a new characterization for  $D$ , which  $\otimes$ -represents to  $F$  as guarantees the proposition below (see [EGNO15, Exercise 2.10.16, p.43]).

**Proposition 6.4.** *Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra with unity over the field  $\mathbb{k}$  and let  $F \in \text{End}_{\text{rexact}}(A\text{-mod})$  be an endofunctor  $\otimes$ -representable by  $D \in A\text{-bimod}$ . Then,  $F \in \text{End}_{\text{exact}}(A\text{-mod})$  if and only if  $D \in A\text{-bimod}$  is projective.*

*Proof.* In this case, we have that  $F$  is exact if and only if  $F$  is left and right exact. Due to the fact that  $F$  is characterizes by  $D \otimes_A -$  we obtain that:  $F$  is left exact (resp. right exact) if and only if  $D$  is a left flat (resp. right flat)  $A$ -module. Since  $A$  is a perfect ring it follows that  $D$  is a left flat (resp. right flat)  $A$ -module if and only if  $D$  is a left projective (resp. right projective)  $A$ -module (see e.g [AF12, p. 315]), which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 6.5.**

- (i) From Proposition 6.4, we obtain a new equivalence of strict monoidal categories induced by the functor  $\mathcal{F}$  in (5):

$$\mathcal{F} : D \longmapsto (D \otimes_A -) : A\text{-projbimod} \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\text{exact}}(A\text{-mod}).$$

where  $A\text{-projbimod}$  corresponds to the full subcategory of projective finitely generated  $A$ -bimodules.

- (ii) Let  $A$  be a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra over a field. Recall that the Nakayama functors  $\mathcal{N}_A$  and  $\mathcal{N}_A^{-1}$  are naturally isomorphic to the endofunctors  $D(A) \otimes_A -$  and  $\text{Hom}_A(D(A), -)$ , respectively (see [SY11, Prop. 5.2, Ch. III]). In this case also we obtain a mutually inverse equivalences of the categories:

$$\text{proj}A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}_A} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathcal{N}_A^{-1}} \end{array} \text{inj}A$$

This equivalence is used by Happel to show that  $\widehat{A}\text{-mod}$ , the category of finitely generated modules over the repetitive algebra, is a Frobenius category. More details in [Hap88]. This result is the motivation for the proposition below.

**Proposition 6.6.** *Let  $I$  be an object of  $A\text{-injbimod}$ , the category of finitely generated injective  $A$ -bimodules. Then, the endofunctors  $F = I \otimes_A -$  and  $G = \text{Hom}_A(I, -)$  are mutually inverse equivalences when restricted to the categories  $\text{proj}A$  and  $\text{inj}A$ , respectively.*

*Proof.* Clearly, putting  $A$  the minimal projective generator  $A$ -module, we have that  $F(A) = I$  is an injective  $A$ -module. In consequence, if  $L = P \oplus M$ , where  $L$  is a free  $A$ -module and  $P$  is a projective  $A$ -module, it follows that  $F(L) = F(P) \oplus F(M)$ , where  $F(L)$  is injective. Hence,  $F(P)$  is an injective  $A$ -module. Conversely, the argument is similar as above putting  $D(A)$  the minimal injective cogenerator  $A$ -bimodule to obtain that  $G(D(A)) = D(I)$ , which is a projective  $A$ -module.  $\square$

## 6.2. Generalized Repetitive algebras or Cochain complexes over representable functors.

We fix an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{k}$  of characteristic zero throughout this subsection. Inspired by the previous subsection, we delve into the special case of derived categories  $\mathfrak{L}_F(A\text{-mod})$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_F(A\text{-mod})$ . Here,  $F \in \text{End}(A\text{-mod})$  denotes a  $\otimes$ -representable endofunctor on the category of finitely-generated  $A$ -modules. Notably, these derived categories have garnered significant attention across several mathematical domains due to their diverse applications. Some key examples of these applications are:



when the product in  $\widehat{B}$  of two infinite matrices of this form is the usual product of matrices, except that the entries of the second lower diagonal in the product are ignored and set to be zero entries.

**Remark 6.7.**  $\widehat{DA}$  is the repetitive of  $A$ , defined by D. Hughes and J. Waschbüsch in [HW83].

**Lemma 6.8.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $M \in \widehat{B}\text{-mod}$ .
- (ii) As vector space,  $M$  decomposes in the form  $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{e}_n M$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t\}$  is the set of generates of  $M$  as  $\widehat{B}$ -module. Clearly, each  $m \in M$  has the form  $m = \sum_{i=1}^t \widehat{b}_i m_i$  with  $\widehat{b}_1, \widehat{b}_2, \dots, \widehat{b}_t \in \widehat{B}$ . Since  $\widehat{B} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{e}_n \widehat{B}$  we have  $\widehat{b}_i = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{e}_n \widehat{b}_{in}$ , where  $\widehat{b}_{in} \in \widehat{B}$ . This implies that

$$m = \sum_{i=1}^t \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{e}_n \widehat{b}_{in} \right) m_i = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{e}_n \left( \sum_{i=1}^t \widehat{b}_{in} m_i \right) \in \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{e}_n M.$$

□

A key consequence of Lemma 6.8 is that it assures the existence of the following covariant functor  $\mathcal{F} : \widehat{B}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_{B \otimes_A}^b(A\text{-mod})$  defined as follows: For any  $\widehat{B}$ -module  $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{e}_n M$ , denote by  $\mathcal{F}(M)$

the sequence  $(M^n, d_M^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  determined by:

- $A$ -modules  $M^n = \widehat{e}_n M$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Such a structure of  $A$ -module is consequence of the isomorphism of  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebras  $\widehat{e}_n \widehat{B} \widehat{e}_n \cong A$ , whose action is given by

$$\left( \widehat{e}_n \widehat{b} \widehat{e}_n \right) \cdot \widehat{e}_n v := \widehat{e}_n \widehat{b} \widehat{e}_n v, \quad \text{for all } \widehat{b} \in \widehat{B}, v \in M;$$

- $A$ -homomorphisms, for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned} d_M^n : \quad B \otimes_A M^n &\longrightarrow M^{n+1} \\ (\widehat{e}_{n+1} \widehat{b} \widehat{e}_n) \otimes \widehat{e}_n v &\longmapsto d_M^n \left( (\widehat{e}_{n+1} \widehat{b} \widehat{e}_n) \otimes \widehat{e}_n v \right) := \widehat{e}_{n+1} \widehat{b} \widehat{e}_n v \end{aligned}$$

which are well-defined due to the isomorphisms of  $A$ - $A$ -bimodules  $\widehat{e}_{m+1} \widehat{B} \widehat{e}_m \cong B$ . Also, it is easy to check that  $d_M^{n+1}(1 \otimes d_M^n) = 0$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $1 : B \rightarrow B$  is the identity map.

Now, for any  $\widehat{B}$ -homomorphism  $g : M \rightarrow N$  we denote by  $\mathcal{F}(g)$  the sequence  $(g^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of  $A$ -homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} g^n : \quad M^n &\longrightarrow N^n \\ \widehat{e}_n v &\longmapsto g^n(\widehat{e}_n v) := \widehat{e}_n g(v) \end{aligned}$$

D. Hughes and J. Waschbüsch in [HW83] state that  $\mathfrak{L}_{DA \otimes_A}^b(A\text{-mod})$  is the category of  $\widehat{DA}\text{-mod}$ . In this subsection we will generalize that result, following the ideas of [Gir18]. More precisely, we show that the categories  $\mathfrak{L}_{B \otimes_A}^b(A\text{-mod})$  and  $\widehat{B}\text{-mod}$  are isomorphic. To this end, we consider the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} : \quad \mathfrak{L}_{B \otimes_A}^b(A\text{-mod}) &\longrightarrow \widehat{B}\text{-mod} \\ M &\longmapsto \mathcal{G}(M) := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M^n \\ \varphi &\longmapsto \mathcal{G}(\varphi) := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi^n \end{aligned}$$

where the  $\widehat{B}$ -module structure of  $\mathcal{G}(M)$  is given by

$$\widehat{a} \cdot \widehat{v} := (a_n v_n + d_M^{n-1}(\varphi_{n-1} \otimes v_{n-1}))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad \text{for all } \widehat{a} = (a_n, \varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \widehat{B} \text{ and } \widehat{v} = (v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{G}(M).$$

**Proposition 6.9.** *The categories  $\widehat{B}\text{-mod}$  and  $\mathfrak{L}_{B \otimes_A}^b(A\text{-mod})$  are isomorphic abelian categories, with isomorphisms (the covariant functors)  $\mathcal{F} : \widehat{B}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_{B \otimes_A}^b(A\text{-mod})$  and  $\mathcal{G} : \mathfrak{L}_{B \otimes_A}^b(A\text{-mod}) \rightarrow \widehat{B}\text{-mod}$ .*

*Proof.* Let us consider  $M \in \widehat{B}\text{-mod}$  and  $g \in \text{Hom}_{\widehat{B}\text{-mod}}(M, N)$ . Hence,

$$\mathcal{GF}(M) = \mathcal{G}((M^n, d_M^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M^n = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{e}_n M = M. \quad (6)$$

From Lemma 6.8 we have the last equality in 6. Since we can consider any  $v \in M$  of the form  $v = (\widehat{e}_n v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{e}_n M = M$ , it follows that

$$(\mathcal{GF}(g))(v) = ((g^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}})(v) = (g^n(\widehat{e}_n v_n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = (\widehat{e}_n g(v_n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = (g(\widehat{e}_n v_n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = g(v).$$

Therefore,  $\mathcal{GF} = 1_{\widehat{B}\text{-mod}}$  is the identity functor of  $\widehat{B}\text{-mod}$ .

On the other hand, consider  $M = (M^n, d_M^n)$  and  $N = (N^n, d_N^n)$  two objects in  $\mathfrak{L}_{B \otimes_A -}^b(A\text{-mod})$ . To facilitate the exposition, we denote  $\mathcal{G}(M)$  by  $\widehat{M}$ . Thus,

$$\mathcal{FG}(M) = \mathcal{F}(\widehat{M}) = (\widehat{M}^n, d_{\widehat{M}}^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

Since  $\widehat{e}_n = (\delta_{nm}, 0)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \widehat{B}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , from the action of  $\widehat{B}$  over  $\widehat{M}$  we obtain that

$$\widehat{M}^n = \widehat{e}_n \widehat{M} = \widehat{e}_n \left( \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M^i \right) = M^n$$

and for each  $\widehat{a} = (a_n, b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \widehat{B}$  and  $v = (v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \widehat{M}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_M^n((\widehat{e}_{n+1} \widehat{a} \widehat{e}_n) \otimes \widehat{e}_n v) &= \widehat{e}_{n+1} \widehat{a} \widehat{e}_n v \\ &= (0, \delta_{nm} b_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \cdot (v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \\ &= (d_M^{m-1}(\delta_{n, m-1} b_{m-1} \otimes v_{m-1}))_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \\ &= d_M^n(b_n \otimes v_n) \\ &= d_M^n((\widehat{e}_{n+1} \widehat{a} \widehat{e}_n) \otimes \widehat{e}_n v). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\mathcal{FG}(M) = (\widehat{M}^n, d_{\widehat{M}}^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = (M^n, d_M^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = M$ .

Finally, for any morphism  $\varphi = (\varphi_n) : M \rightarrow N$  in  $\mathfrak{L}_F(A\text{-mod})$  we find

$$\mathcal{FG}(\varphi) = \mathcal{F}(\widehat{\varphi}) = (\widehat{\varphi}^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = (\varphi^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = \varphi$$

because,  $\widehat{\varphi}^n(v) = \widehat{\varphi}^n(\widehat{e}_n v) = \widehat{e}_n \widehat{\varphi}(v) = \widehat{e}_n \varphi^n(v) = \varphi^n(v)$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and for all  $v \in M^n$ . In conclusion,  $\mathcal{FG} = 1_{\mathfrak{L}_F(A\text{-Mod})}$  is the identity functor of  $\mathfrak{L}_F(A\text{-Mod})$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

Since  $A \otimes_A - = 1_{A\text{-mod}} : A\text{-mod} \rightarrow A\text{-mod}$  and the fact that  $\mathfrak{L}_{1_{A\text{-mod}}}^b(A\text{-mod}) = \text{Ch}(A\text{-mod})$  is the category of cochain complex of  $A\text{-mod}$  (Example 2.1(i)), we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 6.10.** *The categories  $\widehat{A}\text{-mod}$  and complexes cochain  $\text{Ch}(A\text{-mod})$  are isomorphic.*

**Remark 6.11.** Based on the construction presented in [FCGRVM21, Lemma 3.7], we can transfer certain important objects and properties from the category  $\widehat{A}\text{-mod}$ , of the finitely generated modules over the repetitive algebra, to the newly derived algebra  $\widehat{B}\text{-mod}$  for certain  $A$ -bimodule  $B$ . Specifically, the authors in [FCGRVM21] employ a technique involving the lifting of orthogonal primitive idempotents to obtain projective, injective, and indecomposable modules, along with a class of morphisms associated with them. These elements are lifted from the repetitive algebra  $\widehat{A}$  to a new algebra  $R\widehat{A} = R \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \widehat{A}$ , which looks like a repetitive algebra. Here,  $R$  denotes a local, complete, commutative, Noetherian  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra with residual field  $\mathbb{k}$ . This technique of lifting can be translated word-to-word to the category  $\widehat{B}\text{-mod}$  to obtain similar results over the classes of projective, injective, and indecomposable modules.



Clearly, the Nakayama's functor belong to  $\text{End}_{re}(A\text{-mod})$  associated to  $A$ - $A$ -bimodule  $D(A) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(A, \mathbb{k})$ , the dual of  $A$ . Thus, the general claim is

**Theorem 6.12.** *Let  $A$  be finite-dimensional algebra on the field  $\mathbb{k}$  and  $F : A\text{-mod} \rightarrow A\text{-mod}$  a right exact endofunctor. If  $F$  is  $\otimes$ -representable by  $B \in A\text{-bimod}$  then  $\mathfrak{L}_F(A\text{-mod})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{L}_{B \otimes_A (-)}(A\text{-mod})$ , the repetitive algebra generated by  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Since, by hypothesis,  $F$  is naturally isomorphic to  $B \otimes_A (-)$ , the conclusion is a consequence of the functorial properties of the correspondence described in Subsection 5.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.13.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite  $\mathbb{k}$ -category. If  $F \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{C})$  is a right exact endofunctor then  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  is a Krull–Schmidt category, with enough projectives and injectives.*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is a finite  $\mathbb{k}$ -category is well-known that  $\mathcal{C}$  it is equivalent to the category  $A\text{-mod}$  of finite dimensional modules over a certain finite dimensional  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra  $A$ . In consequence, by Corollary 3.4, there exists a unique right exact endofunctor  $F_0$  on  $A\text{-mod}$ , such that we obtain an equivalence of categories  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathfrak{L}_{F_0}(A\text{-mod})$ . Thus, by Theorem 6.12, Proposition 6.9 and Remark 6.11, we obtain that  $\mathfrak{L}_{F_0}(A\text{-mod})$  is a Krull–Schmidt category, with enough projectives and injectives, *a fortiori*,  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathcal{C})$  satisfies the same properties.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.14.** *Let  $A$  be a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra. If  $F \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(A\text{-mod})$  is a right exact endofunctor which is  $\otimes$ -representable by an injective  $A$ -bimodule  $I$ , then  $\mathfrak{L}_F(A\text{-mod})$  is a Frobenius category.*

*Proof.* By Corollary 6.13 we obtain that  $\mathfrak{L}_F(A\text{-mod})$  has enough projectives and injectives. Now, using the natural equivalence of Proposition 6.6 and the isomorphism by Proposition 6.9, we can lift (see Remark 6.11) the following two results to the context of the category  $\widehat{I}\text{-mod}$ :

- [Gir18, Proposition 6] characterizes the indecomposable projective modules on  $\widehat{I}\text{-mod}$ .
- [Hap88, Lemma 2.2] establishes the equivalence between projective indecomposable modules with injective indecomposable modules on  $\widehat{I}\text{-mod}$ , using the aforementioned equivalence between projectives and injectives modules.

Thus, we conclude that  $\mathfrak{L}_{I \otimes_A (-)}(A\text{-mod})$  is a Frobenius category.  $\square$

**6.3. Some geometric applications.** If  $A$  is a commutative  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra over a field  $\mathbb{k}$  with unity, the category  $A\text{-biMod}$  contains the full monoidal subcategory  $A\text{-Mod}$  (resp.  $A\text{-mod}$ ) of (resp. finitely generated) modules, regarded as  $A$ -bimodules where the left and right actions coincide. Actually,  $A\text{-Mod}$  (resp.  $A\text{-mod}$ ) is the particular case of the geometric strict monoidal category  $\mathbf{QCoh}(X)$  (resp.  $\mathbf{Coh}(X)$ ) of quasi-coherent (resp. coherent) sheaves on the algebraic scheme  $X$ . Indeed, if  $X$  is an affine algebraic scheme then  $\mathbf{QCoh}(X) = A\text{-Mod}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{Coh}(X) = A\text{-mod}$ ) where  $A = \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ . Under above notations and following the ideas and results in [BC14], we obtain the analogous result of Proposition 6.12 in the commutative case. Recall that,  $F \in \text{End}(\mathbf{QCoh}(X))$  is a *cocontinuous* endofunctor if this preserves colimits.

**Theorem 6.15.** *Let  $X$  be quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme and  $F \in \text{End}(\mathbf{QCoh}(X))$  a cocontinuous, symmetric, monoidal endofunctor on the tensor category  $\mathbf{QCoh}(X)$  of quasi-coherent sheaves on  $X$ . Then there exists an scheme–endomorphism  $f : X \rightarrow X$  such that  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathbf{QCoh}(X))$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{L}_{f^*}(\mathbf{QCoh}(X))$ , where  $f^* : \mathbf{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{QCoh}(X)$  is the canonical pullback endofunctor induced by  $f$ .*

*Proof.* By [BC14, Theorem 3.4.3, p.684] we obtain the analogous equivalence of Proposition 6.2 in the scheme-theoretical context. The conclusion of theorem is a consequence from functor  $\mathfrak{L}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 6.16.** *Let  $X$  be a noetherian algebraic scheme and  $F \in \text{End}_{re}(\mathbf{Coh}(X))$ , a right exact endofunctor on the category  $\mathbf{Coh}(X)$  of the coherent sheaves. Then there exists an endomorphism  $f : X \rightarrow X$  such that  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathbf{Coh}(X))$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{L}_{f^*}(\mathbf{Coh}(X))$ , where  $f^* : \mathbf{Coh}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Coh}(X)$  is the canonical pullback functor induced by  $f$ . In particular, if  $A$  is a commutative noetherian  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra and  $F \in \text{End}_{re}(A\text{-mod})$  then there exists  $f \in \text{End}_A(A)$  such that  $\mathfrak{L}_F(A\text{-mod})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{L}_{f^*}(A\text{-mod})$ , with  $f^* : A\text{-mod} \rightarrow A\text{-mod}$  is the base-change functor induced by  $f$ , i.e.,  $M \mapsto M \otimes_{A,f} A$ .*

*Proof.* Firstly, is well-known [Har13, Chap. 2] that any noetherian algebraic scheme is a quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme, and  $\mathbf{QCoh}_{fp}(X)$ , the category of quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules of finite presentation, coincides with the category  $\mathbf{Coh}(X)$ , when  $X$  is a noetherian scheme. Thus, the conclusion is an immediately consequence of [BC14, Corollary 3.4.4, p.684] and Theorem 6.15.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.17.** *Let  $X$  be quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme and  $F \in \text{End}(\mathbf{QCoh}(X))$  a cocontinuous, symmetric, monoidal endofunctor on the tensor category  $\mathbf{QCoh}(X)$  of quasi-coherent sheaves on  $X$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathbf{QCoh}(X))$  is an abelian, complete and co-complete category.*

*Proof.* It is well-known that the category  $\mathbf{QCoh}(X)$  is a Grothendieck category. Now, by Theorem 6.15,  $\mathfrak{L}_F(\mathbf{QCoh}(X))$  is isomorphic to the category  $\mathfrak{L}_{f^*}(\mathbf{QCoh}(X))$ , for certain scheme-endomorphism  $f : X \rightarrow X$ . Since  $f^* : \mathbf{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{QCoh}(X)$ , the canonical pullback endofunctor, admits a natural left adjoint endofunctor  $f_* : \mathbf{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{QCoh}(X)$  given by the canonical pushforward, the conclusion is a consequence of Corollary 5.6.  $\square$

## Acknowledgments.

The first author was partially supported by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001 and also by CODI (Universidad de Antioquia, UdeA) by project numbers 2020-33713 and 2022-52654. The second author was partially supported by CODI (Universidad de Antioquia, UdeA) by project numbers 2020-33713, 2022-52654 and 2023-62291.

## REFERENCES

- [AF12] F. Anderson and K. Fuller. *Rings and categories of modules*, volume 13. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [AN17] H. Asashiba and K. Nakashima. Tilted algebras and configurations of self-injective algebras of dynkin type. *Communications in Algebra*, 45(8):3278–3296, 2017.
- [Ass18] I. Assem. A course on cluster tilted algebras. In *Homological methods, representation theory, and cluster algebras*, CRM Short Courses, pages 127–176. Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [ABS09] I. Assem, T. Brüstle, and R. Schiffler. On the Galois coverings of a cluster-tilted algebra. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 213(7):1450-1463, 2009.
- [Awo10] S. Awodey. *Category theory*, volume 52 of *Oxford Logic Guides*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, second edition, 2010.
- [Bei78] A. Beilinson. Coherent sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  and problems of linear algebra. *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, 12(3):214–216, 1978.
- [BR24] G. Benitez and P. Rizzo. About new monoidal categories and its implications in representation theory. In preparation, 2024.
- [BGG78] I. Bernštem, I. Gel'fand, and S. Gel'fand. Algebraic vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  and problems of linear algebra. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen*, 12(3):66–67, 1978.
- [Bor94] F. Borceux. *Handbook of categorical algebra*. 1, volume 50 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. Basic category theory.
- [BC14] M. Brandenburg and A. Chirvasitu. Tensor functors between categories of quasi-coherent sheaves. *Journal of Algebra*, 399:675–692, 2014.

- [CHGVM23] Y. Calderón-Henao, H. Giraldo, and J. Vélez-Marulanda. On irreducible morphisms and Auslander-Reiten triangles in the stable category of modules over repetitive algebras. *Algebras and Representation Theory*, 26(5):2039–2055, 2023.
- [DM05] P. Dowbor and H. Meltzer. Classical vector bundles and representations of quivers. *Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana: Tercera Serie*, 11(2):205–220, 2005.
- [DM92] P. Dowbor and H. Meltzer. On equivalences of Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand, Beilinson and Happel. *Communications in Algebra*, 20(9):2513–2531, 1992.
- [EGNO15] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik. *Tensor categories*, volume 205 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [FCGRVM21] A. Fonce-Camacho, H. Giraldo, P. Rizzo, and J. Vélez-Marulanda. On a deformation theory of finite dimensional modules over repetitive algebras. *Algebras and Representation Theory*, pages 1–22, 2021.
- [FGR75] R. Fossum, P. Griffith, and I. Reiten. *Trivial extensions of abelian categories*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 456. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975. Homological algebra of trivial extensions of abelian categories with applications to ring theory.
- [GH04] N. Gambino and M. Hyland. Wellfounded trees and dependent polynomial functors. In *Types for proofs and programs*, volume 3085 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 210–225. Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [Gir18] H. Giraldo. Irreducible morphisms between modules over a repetitive algebras. *Algebras and Representation Theory*, 21(4):683–702, 2018.
- [Hap88] D. Happel. *Triangulated categories in the representation of finite dimensional algebras*, volume 119. Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- [Har13] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*, volume 52. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [HW83] D. Hughes and J. Waschbüsch. Trivial extensions of tilted algebras. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 46(2):347–364, 1983.
- [Kel90] B. Keller. Chain complexes and stable categories. *Manuscripta mathematica*, 67(1):379–417, 1990.
- [LP13] B. Leclerc and P. Plamondon. Nakajima varieties and repetitive algebras. *Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences*, 49(3):531–561, 2013.
- [Mac71] S. MacLane. *Categories for the working mathematician*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1971. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 5.
- [Mao23] L. Mao. Pure projective, pure injective and  $FP$ -injective modules over trivial ring extensions. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 33(4):699–716, 2023.
- [Rot09] J. Rotman. *An introduction to homological algebra*, volume 2. Springer, 2009.
- [Sch99] J. Schröer. On the quiver with relations of a repetitive algebra. *Archiv der Mathematik*, 72(6):426–432, 1999.
- [SY11] A. Skowroński and K. Yamagata. *Frobenius algebras*, volume 1. European Mathematical Society, 2011.
- [SY99] A. Skowroński and K. Yamagata. Galois coverings of selfinjective algebras by repetitive algebras. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 351(2):715–734, 1999.
- [Wei21] J. Wei. Repetitive equivalences and tilting theory. *Nagoya Mathematical Journal*, 243:97–136, 2021
- [Wei94] C. A. Weibel. *An introduction to homological algebra*, volume 38 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO AMAZONAS, MANAUS, BRAZIL

*Email address:* gabm@ufam.edu.br

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE ANTIOQUIA, MEDELLÍN ANT, COLOMBIA

*Email address:* pedro.hernandez@udea.edu.co