Triple product p-adic L-functions for finite slope families and a p-adic Gross–Zagier formula

Ting-Han Huang

March 28, 2024

Abstract

In this paper, we generalize two results of H. Darmon and V. Rotger on triple product p-adic L-functions attached to Hida families to finite slope families. We first prove a p-adic Gross–Zagier formula, then demonstrate an application to a special case of the equivariant Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for supersingular elliptic curves.

1 Introduction

In [DR14], a p-adic Gross–Zagier formula is proved for the triple product p-adic L-functions for ordinary families of modular forms. More precisely, the formula relates

- the special values of the Garrett–Rankin triple product *p*-adic *L*-function at classical points lying outside the region of interpolation, to
- the *p*-adic Abel–Jacobi images of *generalized diagonal cycles* in the product of three Kugo–Sato varieties, evaluated at certain differentials.

The fist part of this paper aims to generalize the above result to triple product p-adic L-functions for finite slope families of modular forms.

Let $f \in S_k(N_f, \chi_f)$, $g \in S_\ell(N_g, \chi_g)$, $h \in S_m(N_h, \chi_h)$ be a triple of normalized primitive cuspidal eigenforms and set $N := \ell \operatorname{cm}(N_f, N_g, N_h)$. We will assume that $\chi_f \cdot \chi_g \cdot \chi_h = 1$, which implies that $k + \ell + m$ is even.

The triple (k, ℓ, m) is said to be *balanced* if the largest number is strictly less than the sum of the other two. A triple that is not balanced is called *unbalanced*, and the largest number in an unbalanced triple will be called the *dominant weight*.

For such a triple (f, g, h), one has the (complex) Garrett-Rankin L-function L(f, g, h; s). It can be viewed as the L-function attached to the tensor product

$$V(f,g,h) = V(f) \otimes V(g) \otimes V(h)$$

of the (compatible systems of) *p*-adic Galois representations associated with f, g and h respectively. This *L*-function admits a functional equation relating its values at *s* and $k + \ell + m - 2 - s$. As a consequence, the order of vanishing of L(f, g, h; s) at the central point $c := \frac{k+\ell+m-2}{2}$ is determined by the root number $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ of the functional equation. The root number ε can be further written as a product $\prod_{v|N\infty} \varepsilon_v$ of local root numbers $\varepsilon_v \in \{\pm 1\}$. We will assume the following assumption throughout this article as in [DR14].

Assumption H: The local root numbers $\varepsilon_v = +1$ for all finite primes $v \mid N$.

As indicated in [DR14, § 1], this condition is satisfied in a broad collection of settings. Under Assumption H, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\infty}$ depends only on the triple of weights (k, ℓ, m) :

$$\varepsilon_{\infty} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } (k, \ell, m) \text{ is balanced;} \\ +1 & \text{if } (k, \ell, m) \text{ is unbalanced.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, the *L*-function necessarily vanishes at the central point c when (k, ℓ, m) is balanced. In this situation, instead of studying the values of L(f, g, h; s), one usually studies the values of its derivatives or its associated *p*-adic *L*-functions and expects them to encode arithmetic information.

Choose a prime $p \nmid N$ such that f, g, h are of (sufficiently small) finite slope at p. We may find (*p*-adic) Coleman families $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}$ passing through f, g, h, defined over certain weight spaces $\Omega_f, \Omega_g, \Omega_h$, respectively. In the language of rigid analytic geometry, the space Ω_{\bullet} for $\bullet \in \{f, g, h\}$ is a finite rigid analytic cover over a subset of the weight space $\mathcal{W} := \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{cts}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}, \mathbb{C}_p^{\times})$ which always contains the integers \mathbb{Z} via the identification $k \mapsto (\gamma \mapsto \gamma^k)$.

When **f** is of slope $a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, a point $x \in \Omega_f$ is said to be classical if its image in Ω , denoted by $\kappa(x)$, belongs to $\mathbb{Z}_{>a+1}$. We will often identify a classical weight x with $\kappa(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$ by an abuse of notation. We denote the set of classical points in Ω_f by $\Omega_{f,cl}$. For almost all $x \in \Omega_{f,cl}$, the specialization of **f** at $x \in \Omega_{f,cl}$, denoted by \mathbf{f}_x (or simply f_x), is a normalized eigenform of weight $\kappa(x)$ on $\Gamma_1(N,p) := \Gamma_1(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p)$. For all but finitely many such x, \mathbf{f}_x is a (finite slope) p-stabilization of a normalized eigenform of the same weight on $\Gamma_1(N)$, denoted by f_x^0 .

When \mathbf{f} , \mathbf{g} , \mathbf{h} are Hida (ordinary) families, one can view them as maps

$$\mathbf{f}:\Omega_f\to\mathbb{C}_p[\![q]\!],\ \mathbf{g}:\Omega_g\to\mathbb{C}_p[\![q]\!],\ \mathbf{h}:\Omega_h\to\mathbb{C}_p[\![q]\!].$$

The triple product p-adic L-functions for Hida families in [DR14] is defined as

$$\mathscr{L}_p^f(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g},\mathbf{h}) := rac{(\mathbf{f}^*,e_{\mathrm{ord}}(\mathbf{ heta}^{ullet}\mathbf{g}^{[p]} imes\mathbf{h}))}{(\mathbf{f}^*,\mathbf{f}^*)},$$

where \mathbf{f}^* is the Atkin–Lehner involution of \mathbf{f} , e_{ord} is Hida's ordinary projector, $\theta = q \frac{d}{dq}$ is Serre's operator, $\mathbf{g}^{[p]} := (1 - VU)\mathbf{g}$ is the *p*-depletion of \mathbf{g} , and (,) is the Petersson product.

For finite slope families, one needs a different approach. A more geometric construction of triple product p-adic L-functions for finite slope families is developed by F. Andreatta and A. Iovita in [AI21]. Section §2 is dedicated to recall their construction.

Roughly speaking, one wants to define the triple product *p*-adic *L*-function as the ratio

$$\mathscr{L}_p^f(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g},\mathbf{h}) := rac{(\mathbf{f}^*,
abla^{ullet}\mathbf{g}^{[p]} imes\mathbf{h})}{(\mathbf{f}^*,\mathbf{f}^*)}$$

In order for this expression to make sense, one needs to *p*-adically iterate the Gauss–Manin connection ∇ . When **f**, **g**, **h** are Hida families, as we saw in above definition, one may replace ∇ by $\theta = q \frac{d}{dq}$ and works only on the *q*-expansions. Then there is a straightforward way to iterate θ to *p*-adic powers, provided that the form it acts on lies in the kernel of U (e.g. the *p*-depletion $\mathbf{g}^{[p]}$). The case for ∇ is more complicated and requires a careful study on the convergence. However, we would like to refer the detailed computations to [AI21].

The triple product *p*-adic *L*-function $\mathscr{L}_p^f(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$ can be viewed as a three-variable function

$$\mathscr{L}_p^f(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}) : \Omega_f \times \Omega_g \times \Omega_h \to \mathbb{C}_p,$$

whose value at $(x, y, z) \in \Omega_f \times \Omega_g \times \Omega_h$ is

$$\frac{(\mathbf{f}_x^*, \nabla^{-t} \mathbf{g}_y^{[p]} \times \mathbf{h}_z)}{(\mathbf{f}_x^*, \mathbf{f}_x^*)},$$

where $t \in \Omega$ satisfies $\kappa(x) = \kappa(y) + \kappa(z) - 2t$. As one can observe, the form **f** plays a distinct role in \mathscr{L}_p^f . What is not so obvious is that $\mathscr{L}_p^f(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$ is symmetric, up to a \pm sign, in the last two components. Similarly, one can define $\mathscr{L}_p^g = \mathscr{L}_p^g(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h})$ and $\mathscr{L}_p^h = \mathscr{L}_p^h(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})$. Set $\Sigma = \Omega_f \times \Omega_g \times \Omega_h$ and $\Sigma_{cl} = \Omega_{f,cl} \times \Omega_{g,cl} \times \Omega_{h,cl}$. The set Σ_{cl} can be naturally divided into

four disjoint subsets:

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{\text{bal}} &:= \{(x, y, z) \in \Sigma_{\text{cl}} \mid (\kappa(x), \kappa(y), \kappa(z)) \text{ is balanced} \};\\ \Sigma_f &:= \{(x, y, z) \in \Sigma_{\text{cl}} \mid \kappa(x) \geq \kappa(y) + \kappa(z) \};\\ \Sigma_g &:= \{(x, y, z) \in \Sigma_{\text{cl}} \mid \kappa(y) \geq \kappa(x) + \kappa(z) \};\\ \Sigma_h &:= \{(x, y, z) \in \Sigma_{\text{cl}} \mid \kappa(z) \geq \kappa(x) + \kappa(y) \}. \end{split}$$

The *p*-adic *L*-function \mathscr{L}_p^f on Σ_f interpolates the square roots of central critical values of the classical *L*-functions $L(f_x^0, g_y^0, h_z^0; s)$, which is a combined result of the Ichino formula, [DR14, Theorem 4.7] and [AI21, Corollary 5.13].

Points in Σ_{bal} , on the other hand, lie outside this region of interpolation, and we are interested in the values of \mathscr{L}_p^f at these points. By definition, the value of $\mathscr{L}_p^f(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$ at a balanced point (x, y, z)involves a 'negative' power ∇^{-t} , which is originally defined as a *p*-adic limit. On the other hand, the interpolating property of ∇ implies the relation $\nabla^s \circ \nabla^{-t} = \nabla^{-t+s}$. In other words, this negative power also serves as a t-th anti-derivative with respect to ∇ . Hence, we may expect to express these special values via a p-adic integration theory. A suitable candidate is Coleman p-adic integration or its generalization, Besser's finite polynomial cohomology. Furthermore, these p-adic integration theories allow us to compute *p*-adic Abel–Jacobi maps, which will be discussed bellow.

Let $E \to X = X_1(N)$ be the universal elliptic curve over the modular curve defined over \mathbb{Q}_p (or a finite extension K of \mathbb{Q}_p). For any $n \geq 0$, we let W_n be the *n*-th Kuga–Sato variety over X. It is an (n+1)-dimensional variety obtained by desingularizing the n-fold fiber product E^n over X (c.f. [BDP13, Apeendix]).

Now fix a balanced weight $(x, y, z) \in \Sigma_{bal}$. We write $(x, y, z) = (r_1 + 2, r_2 + 2, r_3 + 2), r :=$ $\frac{1}{2}(r_1+r_2+r_3)$, and set

$$W := W_{r_1} \times W_{r_2} \times W_{r_3}.$$

As in [DR14, § 3.1], one can construct a homologically trivial cycle

$$\Delta_{x,y,z} \in \mathrm{CH}^{r+2}(W)_0 := \ker(\mathrm{CH}^{r+2}(W) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{cl}} H^{2r+4}_{\mathrm{dR}}(W/\mathbb{C}_p)),$$

and study its image under the *p*-adic Abel–Jacobi map

$$\operatorname{AJ}_p: \operatorname{CH}^{r+2}(W)_0 \to [\operatorname{Fil}^{r+2} H^{2r+3}_{\operatorname{dR}}(W/\mathbb{C}_p)]^{\vee}.$$

Suppose that the family **f** is of slope a with a < x - 1, and assume that the specializations $\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z$ are p-stabilizations of classical modular forms f_x^0, g_y^0, h_z^0 , respectively. For simplicity, we will further assume that f_x^0, g_y^0, h_z^0 are newforms of the same level N in the introduction.

Associated with the triple (f_x^0, g_y^0, h_z^0) , we construct an element

$$\eta_{f}^{a} \otimes \omega_{g} \otimes \omega_{h} \in H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{r_{1}+1}(W_{r_{1}}) \otimes \mathrm{Fil}^{r_{2}+1} H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{r_{2}+1}(W_{r_{2}}) \otimes \mathrm{Fil}^{r_{3}+1} H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{r_{3}+1}(W_{r_{3}}) \subset \mathrm{Fil}^{r+2}(H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{r_{1}+1}(W_{r_{1}}) \otimes H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{r_{2}+1}(W_{r_{2}}) \otimes H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{r_{3}+1}(W_{r_{3}})) \subset \mathrm{Fil}^{r+2} H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{2r+3}(W/\mathbb{C}_{p}),$$

where the first inclusion is by the balancedness assumption, and the second one is from the Künneth decomposition of $W = W_{r_1} \times W_{r_2} \times W_{r_3}$. In particular, $\eta_f^a \otimes \omega_g \otimes \omega_h$ lies inside the domain of $\mathrm{AJ}_p(\Delta_{x,y,z}).$

Before stating the Gross–Zagier formula, we need to introduce several Euler factors. For any $\phi \in S_k(\Gamma_1(N), \chi)$, we shall write the Hecke polynomial as

$$x^{2} - a_{p}(\phi)x + \chi(p)p^{k-1} = (x - \alpha_{\phi})(x - \beta_{\phi})$$

with $\operatorname{ord}_p(\alpha_{\phi}) \leq \operatorname{ord}_p(\beta_{\phi})$. For the modular form f_x^0 associated with \mathbf{f}_x , we also assume that $a = \operatorname{ord}_p(\alpha_{f_x^0})$. To simplify the notations, we will write α_f for $\alpha_{f_x^0}$ and similarly for the modular forms g and h when no confusion might occur.

The first result of this paper is the theorem below.

Theorem 1.1. Given $(x, y, z) \in \Sigma_{\text{bal}}$. Let c := (x + y + z - 2)/2 and write x = y + z - 2t with $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then

$$\mathscr{L}_p^f(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})(x, y, z) = (-1)^{t-1} \frac{\mathscr{E}(f_x^0, g_y^0, h_z^0)}{(t-1)!\mathscr{E}_0(f_x^0)\mathscr{E}_1(f_x^0)} \operatorname{AJ}_p(\Delta_{x, y, z})(\eta_f^a \otimes \omega_g \otimes \omega_h), \tag{1}$$

where the Euler factors are given by

$$\mathscr{E}_{0}(f_{x}^{0}) := 1 - \beta_{f}^{2} \chi_{f}^{-1}(p) p^{1-x},
\mathscr{E}_{1}(f_{x}^{0}) := 1 - \beta_{f}^{2} \chi_{f}^{-1}(p) p^{-x},
\mathscr{E}(f_{x}^{0}, g_{y}^{0}, h_{z}^{0}) := (1 - \beta_{f} \alpha_{g} \alpha_{h} p^{-c}) (1 - \beta_{f} \alpha_{g} \beta_{h} p^{-c}) (1 - \beta_{f} \beta_{g} \alpha_{h} p^{-c}) (1 - \beta_{f} \beta_{g} \beta_{h} p^{-c}).$$
(2)

After establishing the *p*-adic Gross–Zagier formula, we proceed to generalize two results in the paper [DR17]. Let E be an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} and

$$\rho : \operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}} := \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \operatorname{Aut}_{L}(V_{\rho}) \cong \operatorname{GL}_{n}(L)$$

be an Artin representation with coefficient in a finite extension L of \mathbb{Q} , which factors through a finite Galois extension H/\mathbb{Q} . Then one has $L(E, \rho, s)$, the Hasse–Weil–Artin L-function of E twisted by ρ . Let $E(H)^{\rho} := \operatorname{Hom}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}}}(V_{\rho}, E(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L)$ be the ρ -isotypic component of the Mordell–Weil group. One defines the analytic and algebraic rank of the twist of E by ρ as

$$r_{\rm an}(E,\rho) := \operatorname{ord}_{s=1} L(E,\rho,s), \quad r(E,\rho) := \dim_L(E(H))^{\rho}.$$

The Galois-equivariant Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture then predicts that

$$r_{\rm an}(E,\rho) = r(E,\rho).$$

The triple product L-function appears in the special case $\rho = \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2$, where

$$\rho_1, \rho_2: G_{\mathbb{O}} \to \operatorname{GL}_2(L)$$

are two-dimensional odd irreducible Artin representation with $\chi = \det(\rho_1) = \det(\rho_2)^{-1}$. As the elliptic curve E is associated with a cuspidal newform $f \in S_2(N_f)$, and the representations ρ_1, ρ_2 are associated with cuspidal newforms $g \in S_1(N_g, \chi), h \in S_1(N_h, \chi^{-1})$, respectively, $L(E, \rho; s)$ is equal to the triple product L-function L(f, g, h; s).

Assuming that the modular forms f, g, h are ordinary at a fixed prime p, and let $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}$ be three Hida families passing through respectively f, g, h, Darmon–Rotger proved the following result.

Theorem 1.2 ([DR17, Theorem B]). If L(f, g, h; 1) = 0 and $\mathscr{L}_p^g(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h})(1, 2, 1) \neq 0$ for some choice of test vectors, then

$$\dim_{L_p} \operatorname{Sel}_p(E,\rho) \ge 2$$

where L_p is the completion of L in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, $\operatorname{Sel}_p(E,\rho)$ is the ρ -isotypic component of the Bloch-Kato Selmer group (c.f. §6.1 in loc. cit.). The statement stays true if we replace $\mathscr{L}_p^g(\mathbf{g},\mathbf{f},\mathbf{h})$ by $\mathscr{L}_p^h(\mathbf{h},\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g})$. The technique used in [DR17] was later improved by Bertolini–Seveso–Venerucci in [BSV22]. We will give an over-simplified illustration of their approach below.

First, one constructs an element (called the *diagonal class*)

$$\underline{\kappa} = \underline{\kappa}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}))$$

in the cohomology group of a certain big Galois representation $V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$, such that the image of $\underline{\kappa}_p := res_p(\underline{\kappa})$ under the Perrin-Riou big logarithm $\mathscr{L}og_{\xi}$ is the triple product *p*-adic *L*-function \mathscr{L}_p^{ξ} for $\xi \in \{f, g, h\}$, where res_p denotes the restriction to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

Second, one studies the specialization $\underline{\kappa}_p(\mathbf{f}_2, \mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{h}_1)$ of the class $\underline{\kappa}_p(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$ to weight (2, 1, 1). It turns out that the complex *L*-function encodes certain information about this specialization. In particular, one has

Theorem 1.3 ([DR14, Theorem 6.4] and [BSV22, Theorem B]). The class $\underline{\kappa}_p(\mathbf{f_2}, \mathbf{g_1}, \mathbf{h_1})$ is crystalline if and only if the complex L-function L(f, g, h; s) vanishes at the central point s = 1.

Remark 1.4. The above theorem actually holds for specializations at general unbalanced weights (x, y, z), with the central point being $c = \frac{x+y+z-2}{2}$.

Lastly, one can construct, out of the crystalline class $\kappa(\mathbf{f_2}, \mathbf{g_1}, \mathbf{h_1})$, at least two linearly independent elements in $\operatorname{Sel}_p(E, \rho)$ and completes the proof.

Remark 1.5. Since g, h are modular forms of weight 1, they are necessarily ordinary at p, the two p-adic L-functions $\mathscr{L}_p^g(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h})$ and $\mathscr{L}_p^h(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})$ are already defined in [DR14]. The only remaining obstacle for the finite slope case is about \mathscr{L}_p^f and the existence of the class $\underline{\kappa}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$ that gives rise to L_p^f , whose proof will rely on our p-adic Gross–Zagier formula for finite slope families.

To summarize, our main result in the second part is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.6. Let $(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$ be as in the setting of Theorem 1.1. Then there is a unique element $\underline{\kappa}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}))$ such that

$$\mathscr{L}og_f(\underline{\kappa}_n(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g},\mathbf{h})) = \mathscr{L}_n^f(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g},\mathbf{h}).$$

Moreover, when specialized to a unbalanced triple $(x, y, z) \in \Sigma_f$, the class $\underline{\kappa}_p(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z)$ is crystalline if and only if the classical L-function $L(f_x^0, g_y^0, h_z^0; s)$ vanishes at the central point $c = \frac{x+y+z-2}{2}$.

Put in other words, the second part aims to answer the question raised in [BSV22, Remark 1.3]; namely, whether their two main results can be generalized to the finite slope case. Most of the materials are already provided in their paper, except for various Galois cohomology groups and the big logarithm map for finite slope families. Once these objects are carefully introduced, the proof of Theorem 1.6 will follow identically the arguments in [BSV22, § 8 and § 9]. For this reason, we will mainly adopt their notations and omit most of the proofs in §4.

With Theorem 1.6 established, it is not hard to see that one can prove an analogous result of Theorem 1.2 by the same strategy as in [DR17, § 6].

Remark 1.7. We would like to mention that we do not generalize or improve [DR17, Theorem A] in any sense, since one can always find a prime p such that the elliptic curve E and the modular forms g, h are ordinary at p.

Acknowledgements. The author would like thank his supervisors Adrian Iovita and Giovanni Rosso for their constant support. In addition, the author is grateful to Henri Darmon for his insightful advice on the *p*-adic Gross–Zagier formula. The work of this article is funded by an NSERC grant and an FRQNT grant.

2 Triple product *p*-adic *L*-functions

In this section, we will recall the definition of the triple product *p*-adic *L*-function for finite slope families. The main reference is [AI21], but we will follow closely [AI19] for simpler setups. Before going through the construction, we would like to briefly explain the idea of their work.

Fix an integer $N \ge 5$ and a prime $p \nmid N$. Let $X = X_1(N)$ be the modular curve defined over \mathbb{Z}_p with the universal generalized elliptic curve $\pi : E \to X$. Let $Y \subset X$ be the affine modular curve and $C \subset X$ be the closed subscheme of cusps. Then the two sheaves

$$\underline{\omega} := \pi_*(\Omega^1_{E/Y}) \text{ and } \mathcal{H} := \mathbb{R}^1 \pi_*(\Omega^{\bullet}_{E/Y})$$

on Y have canonical extensions to X (c.f. [BDP13, § 1]), which will still be denoted by the same notations $\underline{\omega}$ and \mathcal{H} .

For any $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $H^0(X, \underline{\omega}^r)$ can be identified as the space of modular forms of weight r. For a strict neighborhood \mathcal{U} of the ordinary locus in X, $H^0(\mathcal{U}, \underline{\omega}^r)$ can be identified as the space of overconvergent modular forms of weight r.

The sheaf \mathcal{H} is equipped with a (increasing) filtration given by the exact sequence

$$0 \to \underline{\omega} \to \mathcal{H} \to \underline{\omega}^{-1} \to 0$$

and a Gauss–Manin connection

$$\nabla : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \otimes \Omega^1_X(\log C).$$

For any $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we let $\mathcal{H}^r := \operatorname{Sym}^r \mathcal{H}$ be its *r*-th symmetric power. The filtration and the connection on \mathcal{H} then extend naturally to \mathcal{H}^r . By composing with the Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism

$$\mathrm{KS}: \Omega^1_X(\log C) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\omega}^2,$$

the Gauss–Manin connection may be viewed as a map from \mathcal{H}^r to \mathcal{H}^{r+2} .

The idea is to construct a sheaf \mathfrak{w}_k (over a certain strict neighborhood) which *p*-adically interpolates the collection $\{\underline{\omega}^r\}_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}$, such that a *p*-adic family of overconvergent modular forms may be viewed as a section of \mathfrak{w}_k over some strict neighborhood \mathcal{U} of the ordinary locus.

There is also a sheaf \mathbb{W}_k which *p*-adically interpolates $(\mathcal{H}^n, \operatorname{Fil}_{\bullet}, \nabla)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. In particular, it allows us to take certain *p*-adic powers of the connection ∇ , which is crucial for defining the triple product *p*-adic *L*-function $\mathscr{L}_n^f(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$ as explained in the introduction.

Since their construction is highly technical, we prefer not to provide detailed proofs in this section. Instead, we will only set up notations and statements that are sufficient for our application.

2.1 The modular sheaf \mathfrak{w}_k and the de Rham sheaf \mathbb{W}_k

Let N, p be as before and $X = X_1(N)$ be the modular curve defined over \mathbb{Z}_p . We write \mathfrak{X} for the formal completion of X along its special fiber. We denote by Hdg be the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -ideal that is locally generated by a lift of the Hasse invariant.

For any integer $n \geq 2$, we denote by \mathfrak{X}_n the formal open subscheme in the formal admissible blow-up of \mathfrak{X} with respect to the ideal $\mathcal{J} = (p, \operatorname{Hdg}^n)$, defined such that \mathcal{J} is generated by Hdg^n over \mathfrak{X}_n . The generalized elliptic curve $E \to \mathfrak{X}_n$ has a canonical subgroup of order p^r , where this rdepends on n (c.f. [AI21, § 3.1]). We will write \mathcal{X}_n for the adic generic fiber of \mathfrak{X}_n .

Definition 2.1. Let Λ be a *p*-adically complete and separated ring, and $k : \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \to \Lambda^{\times}$ a continuous homomorphism. Then k is called an analytic weight of radius $r \in \mathbb{N}$ if there exists an element $u = u_k \in p^{1-r}\Lambda$ such that $k(t) = \exp(u\log(t))$ for all $t \in 1 + p^r\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Definition 2.2. Let $k : \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \to \Lambda^{\times}$ be an analytic weight. By saying 'specialized to a classical weight $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,' we mean that we take the composition $\gamma \circ k$ for some homomorphism $\gamma : \Lambda \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $\gamma \circ k : \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \to \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ is the ℓ -th power map.

One has the following results (c.f. [AI21, \S 3.2 & \S 3.3]):

Proposition 2.3. Let k be an analytic weight of radius r as above, and take an integer n such that $E \to \mathfrak{X}_n$ admits a canonical subgroup of order p^r . Then there are $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda$ -modules \mathfrak{w}_k and \mathbb{W}_k with the following properties:

- 1. \mathfrak{w}_k is an invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda$ -module. Over the generic fiber \mathcal{X}_n , the global sections of \mathfrak{w}_k can then be identified as p-adic families of overconvergent modular forms of weight k;
- 2. \mathbb{W}_k has a natural increasing filtration $(\operatorname{Fil}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n} \hat{\otimes} \Lambda$ -modules with $\operatorname{Fil}_0 = \mathfrak{w}_k$. Moreover, when specializing to a classical weight $r \geq 1$, $\operatorname{Fil}_r \mathbb{W}_r \cong \mathcal{H}^r$ over \mathcal{X}_n and is compatible with the Hodge filtration of \mathcal{H}^r .
- 3. There is a Gauss-Manin connection ∇_k (with poles at V(Hdg)) on \mathbb{W}_k that satisfies Griffiths transversality. By composing with the Kodaira-Spencer map, the connection ∇_k can be viewed as a map from \mathbb{W}_k to \mathbb{W}_{k+2} .

The q-expansions. As explained in [AI21, \S 3.5], we have the q-expansion map

$$H^0(\mathfrak{X}_n, \mathbb{W}_k) \to \Lambda((q))$$

obtained by first restricting to the ordinary locus then applying the unit root splitting. On the other hand, the q-expansion map can also be described by using the Tate curve.

Let $T = \text{Tate}(q^N)$ be the Tate curve over Spf(S), where $S = \Lambda((q))$. We fix the canonical basis $(\omega_{\text{can}}, \eta_{\text{can}} = \nabla(\theta)(\omega_{\text{can}}))$ of \mathcal{H} on T. Let $\mathbb{W}_k(q)$ be the module of \mathbb{W}_k over the Tate curve, then we have the following local description: $\mathbb{W}_k(q) = S\langle \frac{Y}{1+p^r Z} \rangle (1+p^r Z)^k$ (c.f. [AI21, § 3.5]). If we set $V_{k,i} := Y^i (1+p^r Z)^{k-i}$, then the filtration of \mathbb{W}_k is given by $\text{Fil}_h \mathbb{W}_k(q) = \sum_{i=0}^h S \cdot V_{k,i}$. The q-expansion map then corresponds to the map $\sum_i a_i(q) V_{k,i} \mapsto a_0(q)$.

Remark 2.4. Since k is analytic, the element $k(1 + p^r Z)$ is well-defined in $S\langle Z \rangle$ and we sometimes denote it by $(1 + p^r Z)^k$. The description above comes directly from the explicit construction of vector bundles with marked sections (c.f. [AI21, § 2]). One should think of $1 + p^r Z$ (resp. Y) as an element that parametrizes the deformation of ω_{can} (resp. η_{can}). In particular, the specialization of $V_{k,i}$ to a classical weight $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ can be identified as the element $\omega_{\text{can}}^{\ell-i}\eta_{\text{can}}^i$ of \mathcal{H}^{ℓ} on the Tate curve when $i \leq \ell$.

The operators U and V. Similar to the classical case, we can define Hecke operators U on $H^0(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathbb{W}_k)$ and $V : H^0(\mathfrak{X}_n, \mathfrak{w}_k) \to H^0(\mathfrak{X}_{n+1}, \mathfrak{w}_k)$, whose actions on the *q*-expansions are the usual ones (c.f. [AI21, § 3.6, § 3.7]). Moreover, U is a compact operator. In particular, one has the following proposition.

Proposition 2.5 ([AI21, Cor. 3.26]). The operator U on $H^0(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathbb{W}_k)$ admits a Fredholm determinant $P(T) \in \Lambda[[T]]$, and for any non-negative rational number a the space $H^0(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathbb{W}_k)$ admits a slope a-decomposition

$$H^{0}(\mathcal{X}_{n}, \mathbb{W}_{k}) = H^{0}(\mathcal{X}_{n}, \mathbb{W}_{k})^{\leq a} \oplus H^{0}(\mathcal{X}_{n}, \mathbb{W}_{k})^{>a}.$$

Definition 2.6. Let $\mathbf{f} \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathfrak{w}_k)$. We define $\mathbf{f}^{[p]} := (1 - VU)\mathbf{f}$ and call it the *p*-depletion of \mathbf{f} . If $\mathbf{f}(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(\mathbf{f})q^n V_{k,0}$ is the *q*-expansion of \mathbf{f} , then the *q*-expansion of $\mathbf{f}^{[p]}$ is $\mathbf{f}^{[p]}(q) = \sum_{n=0, p \nmid n}^{\infty} a_n(\mathbf{f})q^n V_{k,0}$.

p-adic iterations of the Gauss–Manin connection. Another important property of the sheaf \mathbb{W}_k is that it is possible to iterate the Gauss–Manin connection ∇ to certain *p*-adic powers and can be described explicitly in terms of *q*-expansions.

We first need an assumption on the weights.

Assumption 2.7. Let k and s be two analytic weights for the same ring Λ that satisfy

$$k(t) = \chi(t)t^{a} \exp(u_{k} \log(t)), \quad s(t) = \chi'(t)t^{b} \exp(u_{s} \log(t)) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$$

where

- $a, b \in \mathbb{Z};$
- χ and χ' are finite character of \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} , and χ is even;
- $u_k \in p\Lambda$ and $u_s \in p^2\Lambda$.

Under this assumption, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.8 ([AI21, Theorem 4.3]). Suppose $\mathbf{g} \in H^0(\mathfrak{X}_n, \mathbb{W}_k)^{U=0}$ and let $\mathbf{g}(q) = \sum_h \mathbf{g}_h(q) V_{k,h}$ be its q-expansion. Then there are positive integers b and γ depending on k, s, n and an element $\nabla^s(\mathbf{g}) \in \mathrm{Hdg}^{-\gamma} H^0(\mathfrak{X}_b, \mathbb{W}_{k+2s})$ such that

$$\nabla^{s}(\mathbf{g})(q) := \sum_{h} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} {\binom{u_{s}}{j}} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (u_{k} + u_{s} - h - 1 - i)\theta^{s-j}(\mathbf{g}_{h}(q)) V_{k+2s,j+h}$$

where $\theta = q \frac{d}{dq}$.

Remark 2.9. Let $\gamma : R \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a homomorphism such that $\gamma \circ s : \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \to \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ is a positive classical weight ℓ . Then the specialization of $\nabla^s(\mathbf{g})$ via γ is $\nabla^\ell(\mathbf{g})$, the usual ℓ -th iteration of ∇ .

Remark 2.10. The requirement that u_k and u_s are divisible by powers of p is for convergence reason. We should mention that an improved version is developed by Ananyo Kazi in his Ph.D. thesis [Kaz22], which slightly releases the conditions.

The overconvergent projections. We have seen how to define $\nabla^s(\mathbf{g}^{[p]})$ for a suitable weight s. However, it is only a section of \mathbb{W}_{k+2s} instead of \mathfrak{w}_{k+2s} . In order to define the triple product *p*-adic *L*-function via Petersson product of families of overconvergent modular forms, we need the overconvergent projection, which sends $\nabla^s(\mathbf{g}^{[p]})$ to a certain section of \mathfrak{w}_{k+2s} .

We first consider the following commutative diagram of sheaves on \mathcal{X}_n

and view the columns as complexes $\operatorname{Fil}_m^{\bullet} \mathbb{W}_k$, \mathbb{W}_k^{\bullet} and $(\mathbb{W}_k/\operatorname{Fil}^m)^{\bullet}$ respectively.

Note that the sheaves $\operatorname{Fil}_m \mathbb{W}_k$ and $\operatorname{Fil}_{m+1} \mathbb{W}_{k+2}$ are coherent, while the rest are not. So the hypercohomology of $\operatorname{Fil}_m^{\bullet} \mathbb{W}_k$ on the Stein space \mathcal{X}_n can be computed by the cohomology of the complex of global sections. That is, for $i \geq 0$, we have

$$H^{i}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{X}_{n},\mathrm{Fil}_{m}^{\bullet}\mathbb{W}_{k}) := \mathbb{H}^{i}(\mathcal{X}_{n},\mathrm{Fil}_{m}^{\bullet}\mathbb{W}_{k}) = H^{i}(H^{0}(\mathcal{X}_{n},\mathrm{Fil}_{m}\mathbb{W}_{k}) \xrightarrow{\nabla_{k}} H^{0}(\mathcal{X}_{n},\mathrm{Fil}_{m+1}\mathbb{W}_{k+2})).$$

Theorem 2.11 ([AI21, Lemma 3.32]). For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an isomorphism

$$H_m^{\dagger}: H_{\mathrm{dR}}^1(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathrm{Fil}_m^{\bullet} \mathbb{W}_k) \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda \left[\prod_{i=0}^m (u_k - i) \right] \cong H^0(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathfrak{w}_{k+2}) \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda \left[\prod_{i=0}^m (u_k - i) \right].$$

Theorem 2.12 ([AI21, Theorem 3.34]). Fix a positive slope a. Then we have an integer $m_a \in \mathbb{N}$ and an isomorphism

$$H^{\dagger,\leq a}: H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathbb{W}_k)^{\leq a} \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda \left[\prod_{i=0}^{m_a} (u_k - i) \right] \cong H^0(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathfrak{w}_{k+2})^{\leq a} \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda \left[\prod_{i=0}^{m_a} (u_k - i) \right]$$

where the superscript $\leq a$ means the slope $\leq a$ subspace with respect to the U operator.

The maps H_m^{\dagger} and H^{\dagger} above are referred as the overconvergent projections.

Remark 2.13. When specialized to a classical weight $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, one can see that the map H_m^{\dagger} for $m = \ell$ coincides with the overconvergent projection defined in [Urb14, § 3.2].

We shall now give the description of the map H_m^{\dagger} on the *q*-expansions, which is an important tool for later computations. Recall that on $\mathbb{W}_k(q)$, we have the identity

$$\nabla_k(aV_{k,i}) = \theta(a)V_{k+2,i} + a(u_k - i)V_{k+2,h+1}, \quad a \in \Lambda((q)).$$

By definition, the overconvergent projection of a class $[\gamma]$ for $\gamma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_n, \operatorname{Fil}_{m+1} \mathbb{W}_{k+2})$ is the unique element in $H^0(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathfrak{w}_{k+2})$ that represents the same class modulo $\nabla(H^0(\mathcal{X}_n, \operatorname{Fil}_m \mathbb{W}_k))$. Hence, after shifting the numbers, we have the following result.

Proposition 2.14 ([AI21, Prop. 3.37]). Consider an element $\gamma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_n, \operatorname{Fil}_m \mathbb{W}_k)$ with its class $[\gamma] \in H^1_{\operatorname{dR}}(\mathcal{X}_n, \operatorname{Fil}_{m-1} \mathbb{W}^{\bullet}_{k-2})$. Let $\gamma(q) = \sum_{i=0}^m \gamma_i(q) V_{k,i}$ be its q-expansion. Then the q-expansion of $H^{\dagger}([\gamma]) \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathfrak{w}_k)$ is

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m} (-1)^{i} \frac{\theta^{i} \gamma_{i}(q)}{(u_{k}-2-i+1)(u_{k}-2-i+2)\cdots(u_{k}-2)} V_{k,0}.$$

2.2 Definition of the triple product *p*-adic *L*-function

We are now able to define triple product *p*-adic *L*-functions for finite slope families. We first recall some results in the complex case. For any newform *f* of level N_f and nebentypus χ_f , we write \mathbb{Q}_f for the number field generated by all Hecke eigenvalues of *f*. We denote by π_f the automorphic representation of $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_Q)$ generated by *f*. If *N* is a multiple of N_f and $\mathbb{Q}_f \subset L$, then we let $S_k(N,L)[\pi_f]$ be the *f*-isotypic subspace of $S_k(N,L)$.

Now let $f \in S_k(N_f, \chi_f)$, $g \in S_\ell(N_g, \chi_g)$, $h \in S_m(N_h, \chi_h)$ be a triple of normalized primitive cuspidal eigenforms. We set $N = \ell \operatorname{cm}(N_f, N_g, N_h)$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{f,g,h} = \mathbb{Q}_f \cdot \mathbb{Q}_g \cdot \mathbb{Q}_h$. We assume that $\chi_f \cdot \chi_g \cdot \chi_h = 1$ and the triple (k, ℓ, m) is unbalanced with $k = \ell + m + 2t'$ for some $t' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. In this situation, we have the following result of M. Harris and S. Kulda [HK91], refined by A. Ichino [Ich08] and T. C. Watson [Wat02], which is usual known as the Ichino formula.

Theorem 2.15. Let f, g, h be as above, then there exist holomorphic modular forms

$$f \in S_k(N, \mathbb{Q}_{f,g,h})[\pi_f], \ \breve{g} \in S_k(N, \mathbb{Q}_{f,g,h})[\pi_g], \ h \in S_k(N, \mathbb{Q}_{f,g,h})[\pi_h]$$

and constants $C_q \in \mathbb{Q}_{f,q,h}$ depending only on the local components of $\check{f}, \check{g}, \check{h}$ for all $q \mid N\infty$ such that

$$\frac{\prod_q C_q}{\pi^{2k}} L\left(\breve{f}, \breve{g}, \breve{h}; \frac{k+l+m-2}{2}\right) = |I(\breve{f}, \breve{g}, \breve{h})|^2.$$

Moreover, there exists a choice of $\check{f}, \check{g}, \check{h}$ such that all $C_q \neq 0$.

In the above theorem, L(f, g, h; s) is the complex Garrett-Rankin triple product L-function and

$$I(\check{f},\check{g},\check{h}) := (\check{f}^*,\delta^t(\check{g})\cdot\check{h})$$

where (,) is the Petersson inner product, δ is the Maass-Shimura differential operator, and $\check{f}^* = \check{f} \otimes \chi_f^{-1}$ is the Atkin–Lehner involution of \check{f} .

Triple product *p*-adic *L*-functions for finite slope families. Let f, g, h be as before and suppose that f is of finite slope a > 0. We further assume that and a < 1 if k = 2, and 2a < k - 1 if $k \ge 2$ (c.f. Remark 2.19). We fix modular forms $\check{f}, \check{g}, \check{h}$ as in Theorem 2.15 such that all the constants C_q are non-zero. For simplicity, we write $K = \mathbb{Q}_{f,g,h}$ and let \mathcal{O}_K be the ring of integers in K.

Let $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}$ be overconvergent families of modular forms deforming the *p*-stabilizations of f, g, h, respectively, and similarly $\mathbf{\check{f}}, \mathbf{\check{g}}, \mathbf{\check{h}}$ for $\check{f}, \check{g}, \check{h}$. We denote by

$$k_f: \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \to \Lambda_f, \quad k_g: \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \to \Lambda_g, \quad k_h: \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \to \Lambda_h$$

the analytic weights of these families. After base-change to \mathcal{O}_K , we may assume that $\Lambda_f, \Lambda_g, \Lambda_h$ are \mathcal{O}_K -algebras. Then there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that we have

$$\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f} \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathfrak{w}_{k_f}), \quad \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{\breve{g}} \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathfrak{w}_{k_g}), \quad \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h} \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathfrak{w}_{k_h}).$$

As we want to apply *p*-adic powers of ∇ to the *p*-depletion $\mathbf{\breve{g}}^{[p]}$ later, we need the following assumption on the weights.

Assumption 2.16. Suppose the weight $k_f - k_g - k_h$ is even, i.e., there is a weight $\nu : \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \to \Lambda_f \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} \Lambda_g \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} \Lambda_h$ such that $k_f - k_g - k_h = 2\nu$. Furthermore, k_g and ν satisfy Assumption 2.7.

Under this assumption, we have

$$\nabla_{k_{q}}^{\nu}(\mathbf{\breve{g}}^{[p]}) \in H^{0}(\mathcal{X}_{n'}, \mathbb{W}_{k_{q}+2\nu})$$

for some $n' \geq n$. Therefore, $\nabla_{k_g}^{\nu}(\mathbf{\tilde{g}}^{[p]}) \times \mathbf{\check{h}} \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{n'}, \mathbb{W}_{k_f})$ and we may consider its class in $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{X}_{n'}, \mathbb{W}_{k_f-2})$. After base change to \mathfrak{K}_f , we obtain a section in $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{X}_{n'}, \mathbb{W}_{k_f-2}) \otimes_{\Lambda_f} \mathfrak{K}_f$, where \mathfrak{K}_f is obtained from Λ_f by inverting the elements $\{u_{k_f} - n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ (or one may simply take it to be $\operatorname{Frac}(\Lambda_f)$). We then consider its overconvergent projection

$$H^{\dagger,\leq a}(\nabla_{k_{q}}^{\nu}(\check{\mathbf{g}}^{[p]})\times\check{\mathbf{h}})\in H^{0}(\mathcal{X}_{n'},\mathfrak{w}_{k_{f}})^{\leq a}\otimes\mathfrak{K}_{f}.$$

To define the triple product *L*-function, we consider the Atkin-Lehner involution $\check{\mathbf{f}}^* = w_N(\check{\mathbf{f}}) \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathfrak{w}_{k_f})$ (c.f. [AI21, Definition 5.2]). At a classical point $x \in \Omega_{f,cl}$, the specialization $\check{\mathbf{f}}_x^*$ is simply the Atkin–Lehner involution of $\check{\mathbf{f}}_x$.

Definition 2.17. The Garrett-Rankin triple product *p*-adic *L*-function attached to the triple ($\check{\mathbf{f}}, \check{\mathbf{g}}, \check{\mathbf{h}}$) of *p*-adic families of modular forms is

$$\mathscr{L}_p^f(\breve{\mathbf{f}},\breve{\mathbf{g}},\breve{\mathbf{h}}) := \frac{(\breve{\mathbf{f}}^*, H^{\dagger,\leq a}(\nabla_{k_g}^{\nu}(\breve{\mathbf{g}}^{[p]})\times\breve{\mathbf{h}}))}{(\breve{\mathbf{f}}^*,\breve{\mathbf{f}}^*)} \in \mathfrak{K}_f \hat{\otimes} \Lambda_g \hat{\otimes} \Lambda_h$$

where (,) is the Petersson product of families defined in [AI21, § 5.2.1].

Proposition 2.18 ([AI21, Corollary 5.13]). For a unbalanced classical weight (x, y, z) with x dominant, one has the interpolating formula

$$\mathscr{L}_p^f(\check{\mathbf{f}}, \check{\mathbf{g}}, \check{\mathbf{h}})(x, y, z) = C \cdot I(\check{f}_x, \check{g}_y, \check{h}_z)$$

for some non-zero constant C.

Remark 2.19. As explained in [AI21, § 5.2.1], when specialized to a classical weight x > 2 with 2a < x - 1 or x = 2 with a < 1, the Petersson product interpolates the classical Peterssons inner product on $S_x(\Gamma_1(N, p))$, up to a constant multiple.

In fact, given **f** of finite slope a, what we really require is the condition $\alpha(\mathbf{f}_x)^2 \neq p^{x-1}b(\mathbf{f}_x)$, where $\alpha(\mathbf{f}_x), b(\mathbf{f}_x)$ are the eigenvalues of U_p and $\langle p \rangle$ acting on \mathbf{f}_x , respectively. In other words, if f_x^0 is the classical modular form on $\Gamma_1(N)$ with one of its *p*-stabilizations being \mathbf{f}_x , it means that the two roots α_f, β_f of the Hecke polynomial of f_x^0 at *p* are different. Equivalently in a fancier language, one wants to restrict to a subset of the weight space over which the eigencurve giving rise to **f** is étale over it.

Remark 2.20. When $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}$ are Hida families, one can see that this definition coincides with the one given in [DR14, § 4.2].

3 The *p*-adic Gross–Zagier formula

In this section, we will prove the *p*-adic Gross–Zagier formula. We examine one side of the equation, *i.e.*, the special values of the *p*-adic *L*-function in §3.1. Then we recall some computations on the *p*-adic Abel–Jacobi image of the generalized diagonal cycle in §3.2. In §3.3, we will prove the formula by comparing the two ingredients.

For simplicity, we will drop the $\check{}$ notation throughout this section.

3.1 Special values at balanced classical weights

We first specify at which classical points we want to study the values of $\mathscr{L}_p^f(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$.

Suppose **f** is a family of finite slope $a = a_f$, and **g**, **h** are of slopes a_g, a_h respectively. We are interested in the values of $\mathscr{L}_p^f(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$ at classical weights $(x, y, z) \in \Sigma_{\text{bal}}$ such that

- i. The specializations of $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}$ at (x, y, z) are *p*-old, *i.e.*, $\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z$ are *p*-stabilizations of some classical modular forms f_x^0, g_y^0, h_z^0 on $X_1(N)$;
- ii. The triple (x, y, z) is balanced with x = y + z 2t for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$;
- iii. x > 2a + 1, $y > 2a_g + 1$ and $z > 2a_h + 1$ (c.f. Remark 2.19).

We denote the set consisting of all such weights by $\Sigma_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g},\mathbf{h}}$. To simplify the notations, we will write $f_x := \mathbf{f}_x, g_y := \mathbf{g}_y, h_z := \mathbf{h}_z$ and denote the classical forms f_x^0, g_y^0, h_z^0 by f, g, h. Notice that the new f, g, h are different from the modular forms (of unbalanced weights k, ℓ, m) which the families $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}$ deform respectively.

As we will proceed our proof by studying the q-expansions of various modular forms, we here recall several definitions. On the Tate curve, one has the canonical basis $\{\omega_{\text{can}}, \eta_{\text{can}}\}$ of \mathcal{H} that satisfies

$$abla(\omega_{\mathrm{can}}) = \eta_{\mathrm{can}} \otimes \frac{dq}{q}, \quad \nabla(\eta_{\mathrm{can}}) = 0.$$

As mentioned in Remark 2.4, the element $V_{r,i}$ of $\mathbb{W}_r(q)$ corresponds to the element $\omega_{\operatorname{can}}^{r-i}\eta_{\operatorname{can}}^i$ when r is a positive integer and $i \leq r$. From now on, we will make no difference between these two notations and use them interchangeably.

We now examine the q-expansion of $H^{\dagger}(\nabla_{k_q}^{\nu}(\mathbf{g}^{[p]}) \times \mathbf{h})$ at (y, z). Let

$$g_{u}^{[p]}(q)V_{u,0}$$
 and $h_{z}(q)V_{z,0}$

be the q-expansions of the specializations of $\mathbf{g}^{[p]}$ and \mathbf{h} at y and z respectively.

Since we assume the triple (x, y, z) to be balanced, b := y - t is a positive integer. Apply the formula in Theorem 2.8, we see that

$$\nabla^{-t}(g_y^{[p]}V_{y,0}) = \sum_{j}^{\infty} {\binom{-t}{j}} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (y-t-1-i)\theta^{-t-j}g_y^{[p]}(q)V_{y-2t,j}$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} {\binom{-t}{j}} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (y-t-1-i)\theta^{-t-j}g_y^{[p]}(q)V_{y-2t,j}$$

is in fact a finite sum. We simplify the above formula as

$$\nabla^{-t}(g_y^{[p]}V_{y,0}) = \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} C_j \cdot \theta^{-t-j} g_y^{[p]}(q) V_{y-2t,j}$$

by letting $C_j := {-t \choose j} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (y-t-1-i).$

The q-expansion of the product $\nabla^{-t} g_y^{[p]}(q) \times h_z(q)$ can now be expressed as

$$\sum_{j=0}^{b-1} C_j \cdot \theta^{-t-j} g_y^{[p]}(q) V_{y-2t,j} \times h_z(q) V_{z,0} = \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} C_j \cdot \theta^{-t-j} g_y^{[p]}(q) \times h_z(q) V_{x,j}$$

We here remark that $b-1 \le x$. Since if b-1 = y-t-1 > x, by using the balancedness assumption x + z - y > 0, we have z > t + 1. But this would imply x = y - t + z - t > y - t + 1 > y - t - 1, which is a contradiction. As a result, one has the identification

$$\sum_{j=0}^{b-1} C_j \cdot \theta^{-t-j} g_y^{[p]}(q) \times h_z(q) V_{x,j} = \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} C_j \cdot \theta^{-t-j} g_y^{[p]}(q) \times h_z(q) \omega_{\operatorname{can}}^{x-j} \eta_{\operatorname{can}}^j.$$

Applying the overconvergent projection formula in Prop. 2.14 with

$$\gamma_j(q) = C_j \cdot \theta^{-t-j} g_y^{[p]}(q) \times h_z(q),$$

we get

$$H^{\dagger}(\nabla^{-t}(g_{y}^{[p]}V_{y,0}) \times h_{z}V_{z,0})(q) = \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} (-1)^{j}C_{j} \cdot \frac{\theta^{j}(\theta^{-t-j}g_{y}^{[p]}(q) \times h_{z}(q))}{(x-2-j+1)(x-2-j+2)\cdots(x-2)}V_{x,0}$$

3.2 The *p*-adic Abel–Jacobi maps and the generalized diagonal cycles

Kuga–Sato varieties and the generalized diagonal cycles. For any $r \ge 0$, we have the Kuga–Sato variety W_r , which is the desingularization (c.f. [BDP13, Appendix]) of the *r*-fold fiber product

$$W'_r := E \times_X E \cdots \times_X E$$

Then one may see the parabolic cohomolgy $H^1_{\text{par}}(X, \mathcal{H}^r)$ as a subspace in a correct degree of the de Rham cohomology of W_r . This is illustrated in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 ([BDP13, Lemma 2.2]). Assume that $r \ge 1$. Then there is a idempotent $\epsilon_r \in \mathbb{Q}[\operatorname{Aut}(W_r/X)]$, defined in [DR14, §3.1], such that we have

$$\epsilon_r H^j_{\mathrm{dR}}(W_r/K) = \begin{cases} 0 & j \neq r+1 \\ H^1_{\mathrm{par}}(X, \mathcal{H}^r) & j = r+1 \end{cases}.$$

Furthermore, the Hodge filtration on $\epsilon_r H^{r+1}_{\mathrm{dR}}(W_r/K)$ is given by

$$\operatorname{Fil}^{0} = H_{\operatorname{par}}^{1}(X, \mathcal{H}^{r}),$$

$$\operatorname{Fil}^{1} = \operatorname{Fil}^{2} = \dots = \operatorname{Fil}^{r+1} = H^{0}(X, \underline{\omega}^{r} \otimes \Omega_{X}^{1}),$$

$$\operatorname{Fil}^{r+2} = 0.$$

Remark 3.2. We here recall that the parabolic cohomology $H^1_{par}(X, \mathcal{H}^r)$ is defined to be the hypercohomology of the complex

$$0 \to \mathcal{H}^r \to \left(\mathcal{H}^r \otimes \Omega^1_X + \nabla(\mathcal{H}^r)\right) \to 0.$$

As we are mainly interested in cusp forms, the parabolic cohomology has the advantage that it comes with the exact sequence (c.f. $[Sch85, \S 2]$)

$$0 \to H^0(X, \underline{\omega}^r \otimes \Omega^1_X) \to H^1_{\mathrm{par}}(X, \mathcal{H}^r) \to H^1(X, \underline{\omega}^{-r}) \to 0$$

Suppose now we have a triple of balanced weights $(x, y, z) = (r_1 + 2, r_2 + 2, r_3 + 2)$. We further assume that $r_1 > 0, r_2 > 0, r_3 > 0$ and $r := \frac{r_1 + r_2 + r_3}{2} \in \mathbb{N}$.

Set $W = W_{r_1} \times W_{r_2} \times W_{r_3}$, which is of dimension 2r + 3. We now briefly recall the definition of the generalized diagonal cycle $\Delta_{x,y,z} \in CH^{r+2}(W)$ (c.f. [DR14, Definition 3.3]).

Choose three subsets

$$A = \{a_1, \dots, a_{r_1}\}, \quad B = \{b_1, \dots, b_{r_2}\}, \quad C = \{c_1, \dots, c_{r_3}\}$$

of $\{1, \ldots, r\}$ such that $A \cap B \cap C = \emptyset$ and $A \cup B = B \cup C = A \cup C = \{1, \ldots, r\}$. One can see that the balancedness assumption guarantees the existence of such sets. Then we consider the map

$$\varphi_{ABC}: W_r \to W := W_{r_1} \times W_{r_2} \times W_{r_3}$$

(x; P_1, ..., P_r) \mapsto ((x; P_{a_1}, ..., P_{a_{r_1}}), (x; P_{b_1}, ..., P_{b_{r_2}}), (x; P_{c_1}, ..., P_{c_{r_2}})),

which is a closed embedding of W_r into W.

Definition 3.3. The generalized diagonal cycle is defined by

$$\Delta_{x,y,z} = (\epsilon_{r_1}, \epsilon_{r_2}, \epsilon_{r_3})\varphi_{ABC}(W_r) \in \mathrm{CH}^{r+2}(W).$$

Remark 3.4. Here we assume that x, y, z > 2 for simplicity. The definition of $\Delta_{x,y,z}$ when some of the weights are equal to 2 can be found in [DR14, § 3].

By using the Künneth decomposition on $H_{dR}^{2r+4}(W)$ and examining the image of the idempotents ϵ_{r_i} 's, it follows that the cycle $\Delta_{x,y,z}$ is homologous to zero. That is,

$$\Delta_{x,y,z} \in \operatorname{CH}^{r+2}(W)_0 := \ker(\operatorname{cl} : \operatorname{CH}^{r+2}(W) \to H^{2r+4}_{\operatorname{dR}}(W)).$$

In particular, one may consider the image of $\Delta_{x,y,z}$ under the *p*-adic Abel–Jacobi map (c.f. [Nek00])

$$\operatorname{AJ}_p: \operatorname{CH}^{r+2}(W)_0 \to \operatorname{Fil}^{r+2} H^{2r+3}_{\mathrm{dR}}(W)^{\vee}.$$

Explicit computations of the Abel–Jacobi maps. We now study the value of $AJ_p(\Delta_{x,y,z})$ at a certain element $\eta \otimes \omega_2 \otimes \omega_3$ in $H^1_{par}(X, \mathcal{H}^{r_1}) \otimes H^0(X, \underline{\omega}^{r_2} \otimes \Omega^1_X) \otimes H^0(X, \underline{\omega}^{r_3} \otimes \Omega^1_X)$.

First of all, by the balancedness assumption, we see that

$$H^{1}_{\mathrm{par}}(X,\mathcal{H}^{r_{1}})\otimes H^{0}(X,\underline{\omega}^{r_{2}}\otimes\Omega^{1}_{X})\otimes H^{0}(X,\underline{\omega}^{r_{3}}\otimes\Omega^{1}_{X})\subset \mathrm{Fil}^{r+2}\,H^{2r+3}_{\mathrm{dR}}(W).$$

We now specify the forms η, ω_2, ω_3 we will encounter later. Let f, g, h be a triple of cusp forms on $X_1(N)$ of balanced weights $(x = r_1 + 2, y = r_2 + 2, z = r_3 + 2)$ such that x = y + z - 2t as before. The cusp form f then corresponds to a section $\omega_f \in H^0(X, \underline{\omega}^{r_1} \otimes \Omega^1_X)$ and similar for g and h. We also reserve the notation \mathcal{U} for some strict neighborhood of the ordinary locus in X (which may vary according to the situation) and view ω_g, ω_h as sections over \mathcal{U} via restriction.

We write the Hecke polynomial of f as

$$T^{2} - a_{p}(f)T + \chi_{f}(p)p^{x-1} = (T - \alpha_{f})(T - \beta_{f})$$

with $a = \operatorname{ord}_p(\alpha_f)$, and similarly for g, h. We also write

$$P_g(T) = 1 - a_p(g)p^{1-y}T + \chi_g(p)p^{1-y}T^2 = (1 - \alpha_g p^{1-y}T)(1 - \beta_g p^{1-y}T),$$

$$P_h(T) = 1 - a_p(h)p^{1-z}T + \chi_h(p)p^{1-z}T^2 = (1 - \alpha_h p^{1-z}T)(1 - \beta_h p^{1-z}T).$$

We will write $\alpha'_g := \alpha_g p^{1-y}$, $\beta'_g := \beta_g p^{1-y}$, $\alpha'_h := \alpha_h p^{1-z}$ and $\beta'_h := \beta_h p^{1-z}$. The polynomial $P_g(T)$ is defined such that $P_g(\phi)$ annihilates the class of ω_g , where ϕ is the Frobenius on the cohomology $H^1_{\text{par}}(X, \mathcal{H}^{r_2})$. In fact, $P_g(\phi)\omega_g = \omega_{g^{[p]}}$ as section over \mathcal{U} .

Similarly as in [DR14, Cor. 2.13] and the paragraph before it, we have a class $\overline{\eta_f} \in H^1(X, \underline{\omega}^{-r_1})$ such that for any cusp form $\omega \in S_x(\Gamma_1(N))$, we have

$$\langle \overline{\eta_f}, \omega \rangle_{\mathrm{dR}} = \frac{(f^*, \omega)_N}{(f^*, f^*)_N}$$

We want to find a suitable lift of $\overline{\eta_f}$ in $H^1_{\text{par}}(X_K, \mathcal{H}^{r_1})$. First recall that Poincaré pairing on $H^1_{\text{par}}(X_K, \mathcal{H}^{r_1})$ descends to a perfect pairing

$$H^1_{\mathrm{par}}(X_K, \mathcal{H}^{r_1})[f]/\operatorname{Fil}^{r_1+1} \times S_k(N; K)[f^*] \to K.$$

Hence, one can viewed $\overline{\eta_f}$ as an element in $H^1_{\text{par}}(X_K, \mathcal{H}^r)[f]/\operatorname{Fil}^{r+1}$. We would also like to remark that on the *f*-isotypic part $H^1_{\text{par}}(X_K, \mathcal{H}^{r_1})[f]$, the Frobenius ϕ acts with eigenvalues $\alpha_f/p^{x-1} = \beta_{f^*}$ and $\beta_f/p^{x-1} = \alpha_{f^*}$. Notice that $\alpha_{f^*} = \chi_f(p)^{-1}\alpha_f$ is also of *p*-adic valuation *a*.

Suppose that a < x - 1, then one has the following decomposition (c.f. [BC09, § 2.4.3])

$$H^{1}_{\text{par}}(X, \mathcal{H}^{r_{1}})[f] = \text{Fil}^{r_{1}+1} H^{1}_{\text{par}}(X, \mathcal{H}^{r_{1}})[f] \oplus H^{1}_{\text{par}}(X, \mathcal{H}^{r_{1}})[f]^{\phi = \alpha_{f^{*}}}$$

This splitting allows us to fix a lift $\eta_f = \eta_f^a$ of $\overline{\eta_f}$ such that the Frobenius ϕ acts as multiplication by α_{f^*} .

We now turn our attention to the modular forms g and h. As 1 - VU annihilates the cohomology group $H^1_{\text{par}}(X, \mathcal{H}^{r_2})$, the form $\omega_g^{[p]}$ over \mathcal{U} is ∇ -exact. A primitive $G^{[p]}$ over \mathcal{U} can be chosen (uniquely) such that its polynomial q-expansion takes the form

$$G^{[p]}(q) = \sum_{i=0}^{r_2} (-1)^i i! \binom{r_2}{i} \theta^{-i-1} g^{[p]}(q) \omega_{\text{can}}^{r_2-i} \eta_{\text{can}}^i.$$

The product $G^{[p]} \times \omega_h$ can then be viewed as a section of $\mathcal{H}^{r_2} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{r_3} \otimes \Omega^1_X$. By assumption on the weights (x, y, z), it follows that there is a projection $\operatorname{pr}_{r_1} : \mathcal{H}^{r_2} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{r_3} \to \mathcal{H}^{r_1}(1-t)$ (c.f. [DR14, Prop. 2.9]). As a result, one has a section $\operatorname{pr}_{r_1}(G^{[p]} \times \omega_h)$ of $\mathcal{H}^{r_1}(1-t) \otimes \Omega^1_X$.

Remark 3.5. We here explain the projection pr_{r_1} in detail, for it is crucial in later computations. Set $r := r_2 + r_3 - (t-1)$, and let $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathcal{H}^{(r)}$ be *r*-copies of \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes r} := \mathcal{H}^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \mathcal{H}^{(r)}$. We

then have a natural embedding of $\mathcal{H}^r := \operatorname{Sym}^r \mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes r}$.

We choose subsets $B \subset \{1, 2, ..., r\}$ of cardinality r_2 and $C \subset \{1, 2, ..., r\}$ of cardinality r_3 such that $B \cup C = \{1, 2, ..., r\}$ as before. Notice that we automatically have $\#(B \cap C)^c = r_1$. We may fix a simple choice $B = \{1, 2, ..., r_2\}$ and $C = \{r - r_3 + 1 = r_2 - t + 2, ..., r\}$.

We may embed \mathcal{H}^{r_2} canonically into $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes r_2}$, then embed it into $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes r}$ via the set B, and embed \mathcal{H}^{r_3} into $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes r}$ via C similarly. In terms of the basis $\{\omega_{\mathrm{can}}, \eta_{\mathrm{can}}\}$, the element $1 \cdot \omega_{\mathrm{can}}^{r_2}$ of \mathcal{H}^{r_2} is sent to $\omega_{\text{can}}^{(r_2)} = 1 \cdot \omega_{\text{can}} \otimes \omega_{\text{can}} \cdots \otimes \omega_{\text{can}}$ of $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes r_2}$. On the other hand, $1 \cdot \omega_{\text{can}}^{r_2-1} \eta_{\text{can}}$ is sent to

$$\frac{1}{\binom{r_2}{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{r_2} \omega_{\mathrm{can}}^{(\hat{j})} \otimes \eta_{\mathrm{can}}^{(j)}$$

where $\eta_{\text{can}}^{(j)} = 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes \eta_{\text{can}} \otimes 1 \cdots \otimes 1$ with only one η_{can} at the *j*-th component, and $\omega_{\text{can}}^{(j)} =$ $\omega_{\operatorname{can}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{\operatorname{can}} \otimes 1 \otimes \omega_{\operatorname{can}} \cdots \otimes \omega_{\operatorname{can}}$ with only one 1 at the *j*-th component. One should be able to work out the general cases explicitly.

Now apply the Poincaré pairing $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{O}_X(-1)$ component-wise on the images of \mathcal{H}^{r_2} and \mathcal{H}^{r_3} . Since there are (t-1)-many overlapping components corresponding to $B \cap C$, after symmetrization, we may identify the resulting sheaf as $\mathcal{H}^{r_1}(1-t)$. We remark that the symmetrization sends, for example, $\sum_{j=1}^{r_2} \alpha_j \omega_{\operatorname{can}}^{(j)} \otimes \eta_{\operatorname{can}}^{(j)}$ to $(\sum_{j=1}^{r_2} \alpha_j) \cdot \omega_{\operatorname{can}}^{r_2-1} \eta_{\operatorname{can}}$. Note that the projection pr_{r_1} generalizes the decomposition

$$\operatorname{Sym}^{r_2} V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{r_3} V \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\min\{r_2, r_3\}} \operatorname{Sym}^{r_2+r_3-2j} V$$

for a two dimensional vector space V (or viewed as the standard representation of SL_2).

Now we are able to describe the Abel–Jacobi image.

Theorem 3.6. Let $\Delta_{x,y,z}$ be the general diagonal cycle defined as above, and view $\eta_f \otimes \omega_g \otimes \omega_h$ as elements in the de Rham cohomology Fil^{r+2} $H^{2r+3}_{dR}(W)$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{AJ}_p(\Delta_{x,y,z})(\eta_f \otimes \omega_g \otimes \omega_h) = \frac{\mathscr{E}_1(f)}{\mathscr{E}(f,g,h)} \cdot \langle \eta_f, e^{\leq a} \operatorname{pr}_{r_1}(G^{[p]} \times \omega_h) \rangle$$

where $\langle \ , \ \rangle$ is the Poincaré pairing between $H^1_{\text{par}}(X, \mathcal{H}^{r_1})$ and $H^1_{\text{par}}(X, \mathcal{H}^{r_1}(1-t))$ and $e^{\leq a}$ is the projection to the slope $\leq a$ part with respect to the operator U. Note that on the right hand side, we identify $e^{\leq a} \operatorname{pr}_{r_1}(G^{[p]} \times \omega_h)$ with its class in $H^1_{\operatorname{par}}(X, \mathcal{H}^{r_1}(1-t))$.

The terms $\mathcal{E}_1(f)$ and $\mathcal{E}(f,g,h)$ are Euler factors defined by

$$\mathscr{E}_1(f) = (1 - \beta^2 \alpha'_g \beta'_g \alpha'_h \beta'_h) = (1 - \beta_f^2 \chi_f^{-1}(p) p^{-x}),$$
$$\mathscr{E}(f, g, h) = (1 - \beta \alpha'_g \alpha'_h)(1 - \beta \alpha'_g \beta'_h)(1 - \beta \beta'_g \alpha'_h)(1 - \beta \beta'_g \beta'_h)$$

where $\beta := p^{x-1+t-1} / \alpha_{f^*}$.

Proof. The proof of this theorem is essentially identical to the proof in [DR14, § 3.4]. The main difference is that instead of the ordinary projection $e_{\rm ord}$, we use the finite slope projection $e^{\leq a}$.

Notice that the projection $e^{\leq a}$ can be written as a power series in U without constant term (c.f. Remark 5.12). Consequently, one has the following analogous result of [DR14, Lemma 2.17]:

$$e^{\leq a}(G^{[p]} \cdot V\omega_h) = 0.$$

In addition, one needs the following lemma (c.f. [DR14, Proposition 2.11]), which will be proved in the Appendix.

Lemma 3.7. Suppose $\eta \in H^1_{par}(X, \mathcal{H}^r)$ is an element for which the Frobenius ϕ acts with eigenvalue α such that $v_p(\alpha) \leq a$. Then for any $\omega \in H^1_{par}(X, \mathcal{H}^r)$, we have

$$\langle \eta, \omega \rangle = \langle \eta, e^{\leq a} \omega \rangle$$

where the pairing is the Poincaré pairing as in Theorem 3.6.

With these two facts, one then follow the exact same argument in [DR14, \S 3.4] and conclude the proof.

Remark 3.8. In [Bes16], it is showed that the proof of the above theorem in the case (x, y, z) = (2, 2, 2) can be greatly simplified by using the explicit cup product formula for finite polynomial cohomology on X. It is expected that the general case can also be proved in a similar manner if one has a suitable theory with coefficients. Currently, there is a joint work of me and Ju-Feng Wu [HW22] aiming to develop a theory of finite polynomial cohomology and *p*-adic Abel–Jacobi maps with coefficients. Alternatively, it is also proved in my Ph.D. thesis [Hua24].

3.3 Proof of the *p*-adic Gross–Zagier formula

After studying the two ingredients, we are now able to prove the *p*-adic Gross–Zagier formula. Before doing so, we first recall our notations.

Let $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}$ be three finite slope families and $(x, y, x) \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}}$ be a triple of balanced weights as in Section 3.1. Their specializations f_x, g_y, h_z are classical modular forms on $X_1(N, p)$ and will be viewed as sections over the strict neighborhood \mathcal{U} in X. The forms f_x, g_y, h_z are the *p*-stabilizations of modular forms f, g, h on $X_1(N)$ and $f_x = (1 - \beta_f V)f$ by our assumption. We also have the elements $\eta_f, \omega_q, \omega_h$ associated with f, g, h in their respective cohomology groups as defined in Section 3.2.

Theorem 3.9. (p-adic Gross-Zagier formula) Let notations be as above. We have

$$\mathscr{L}_p^f(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})(x, y, z) = (-1)^{t-1} \frac{\mathscr{E}(f, g, h)}{(t-1)! \mathscr{E}_0(f) \mathscr{E}_1(f)} \times \mathrm{AJ}_p(\Delta_{x, y, z})(\eta_f \otimes \omega_g \otimes \omega_h),$$

where the Euler factors $\mathscr{E}(f, g, h)$ and $\mathscr{E}_1(f)$ are as Theorem 3.6, and

$$\mathscr{E}_0(f) = (1 - \beta_f^2 \chi_f^{-1}(p) p^{1-x}).$$

The strategy of the proof is the following: from the two overconvergent forms g_y, h_z , there are two ways to construct an overconvergent form of weight x (or an element in $H^1_{\text{par}}(X, \mathcal{H}^{r_1})$).

One is $H^{\dagger}(\nabla^{-t}(g_y^{[p]}V_{y,0}) \times h_z V_{z,0})$, which appears in the triple product *L*-function. The other is $H^{\dagger}(\operatorname{pr}_{r_1}(G^{[p]} \times \omega_h))$, which is related to the *p*-adic Abel-Jacobi image. Hence, proving the *p*-adic Gross–Zagier formula is essentially equal to relating the two overconvergent forms (or cohomological classes) mentioned above.

The crucial observation is the following lemma, which should be considered the main novelty of this paper.

Lemma 3.10. Let notations be as before, then we have

$$(-1)^{t-1}(t-1)! \cdot H^{\dagger}(\nabla^{-t}(g_y^{[p]}V_{y,0}) \times h_z V_{z,0}) = H^{\dagger}(\operatorname{pr}_{r_1}(G^{[p]} \times h_z))V_{x,0}.$$

Proof. We prove this equality by examining their q-expansions.

First, we observe that the two forms g and $g_y = (1 - \beta_g V)g$ has the same p-depletion. So we may replace $g_y^{[p]}$ with $g^{[p]}$.

Let b := y - t be as before, recall that

$$H^{\dagger}(\nabla^{-t}(g^{[p]}V_{y,0}) \times hV_{z,0}) = \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} (-1)^j \binom{-t}{j} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (y-t-1-i) \frac{\theta^j (\theta^{-t-j}g^{[p]} \times h)}{(x-2-j+1)\cdots(x-2)} V_{x,0}.$$
 (3)

On the other hand, recall that we have

$$G^{[p]} = \sum_{s=0}^{r_2} (-1)^s s! \binom{r_2}{s} \theta^{-s-1} g^{[p]}(q) \omega_{\text{can}}^{r_2-s} \eta_{\text{can}}^s$$

as the primitive of the overconvergent form $g^{[p]}$ with respect to the Gauss–Manin connection ∇ . We also view h_z as a section of $\mathcal{H}^{r_3} \otimes \Omega^1_X$ over \mathcal{U} and write its *q*-expansion as $h_z(q)\omega^{r_3}_{\operatorname{can}} \cdot \frac{dq}{q}$.

Following the recipe in Remark 3.5, we have the polynomial q-expansion

$$\operatorname{pr}_{r_1}(G^{[p]} \times h_z) = \sum_{s=t-1}^{r_2} (-1)^s s! \binom{r_2 - (t-1)}{s - (t-1)} \theta^{-s-1} g^{[p]} \times h_z \ \omega_{\operatorname{can}}^{r_1 - (s-(t-1))} \eta_{\operatorname{can}}^{s-(t-1)} \cdot \frac{dq}{q}$$

After a change of variable $\alpha := s - (t - 1)$ and noticing that $r_2 - (t - 1) = b - 1$, it can be written as

$$\mathrm{pr}_{r_1}(G^{[p]} \times h_z) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{b-1} (-1)^{\alpha+(t-1)} (\alpha+t-1)! \binom{b-1}{\alpha} \theta^{-t-\alpha} g^{[p]} \times h_z \ \omega_{\mathrm{can}}^{r_1-\alpha} \eta_{\mathrm{can}}^{\alpha} \cdot \frac{dq}{q}.$$

The overconvergent projection $H^{\dagger}(\mathrm{pr}_{r_1}(G^{[p]} \times h_z))$ then takes the form

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^{b-1} (-1)^{2\alpha+(t-1)} (\alpha+t-1)! {b-1 \choose \alpha} \frac{\theta^{\alpha} (\theta^{-t-\alpha} g^{[p]} \times h_z)}{(k-2-\alpha+1)\cdots(k-2)} \,\omega_{\operatorname{can}}^{r_1} \cdot \frac{dq}{q}.$$
 (4)

The lemma is then reduced to comparing the numbers

$$(-1)^{j} \binom{-t}{j} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (b-1-i)$$

and

$$(-1)^{t-1}(j+t-1)!\binom{b-1}{j}.$$

Observe that

$$(-1)^{j} {\binom{-t}{j}} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (b-1-i) = (-1)^{j} \frac{(-t)(-t-1)\cdots(-t-j+1)}{j!} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (b-1-i)$$
$$= (-1)^{2j} \cdot t(t+1)\cdots(t+j-1) \cdot \frac{1}{j!} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (b-1-i)$$
$$= t(t+1)\cdots(t+j-1)\frac{1}{j!} \cdot j! {\binom{b-1}{j}}$$
$$= t(t+1)\cdots(t+j-1) \cdot {\binom{b-1}{j}}.$$

Hence we have

$$(-1)^{t-1}(t-1)! \cdot \left((-1)^j \binom{-t}{j} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (b-1-i) \right) = (-1)^{t-1}(j+t-1)! \binom{b-1}{j}$$

for all $0 \le j \le b-1$. The lemma now follows. In fact, one sees that the equality holds even without applying the overconvergent projection.

Remark 3.11. In the ordinary case (c.f. [DR14, Prop 2.9]), the proof is easier as one may replace the overconvergent projection with the unit root splitting. In other words, one only needs to prove the equality between the first terms of the two polynomial *q*-expansions.

Corollary 3.12. With notations as before, we have

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{AJ}(\Delta_{x,y,z})(\eta_f \otimes \omega_g \otimes \omega_h) &= \frac{\mathscr{E}_1(f)}{\mathscr{E}(f,g,h)} \cdot \langle \eta_f, e^{\leq a}(\operatorname{pr}_{r_1}(G^{[p]} \times h)) \\ &= \frac{\mathscr{E}_1(f)}{\mathscr{E}(f,g,h)} \cdot \langle \eta_f, e^{\leq a}(\operatorname{pr}_{r_1}(G^{[p]} \times h_z)) \\ &= (-1)^{t-1}(t-1)! \frac{\mathscr{E}_1(f)}{\mathscr{E}(f,g,h)} \cdot \langle \eta_f, e^{\leq a} H^{\dagger}(\nabla^{-t}(g^{[p]}) \times h_z) \rangle. \end{split}$$

Proof. It only suffices to prove the second equation. As $U(G^{[p]} \cdot Vh) = 0$, and $e^{\leq a}$ has no constant term as a power series in U, $e^{\leq a}$ annihilates $\operatorname{pr}_{r_1}(G^{[p]} \times Vh)$. Hence we may replace h by one of its p-stabilization h_z . The second equality then follows.

Now back to the triple product *p*-adic *L*-function $\mathscr{L}_p^f(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$. By definition, its value at the point (x, y, z) is

$$\frac{(f_x^*, H^{\dagger, \leq a}(\nabla^{-t}(g_y^{[p]}) \times h_z))_{N, p}}{(f_x^*, f_x^*)_{N, p}}$$

where the Petersson product is on $X_1(N, p)$.

We need to translate the Petersson product on $X_1(N, p)$ back to $X_1(N)$. Recall that f_x is the *p*-stabilization of f. In other words, as classes in $H^1_{dR}(X, \mathcal{H}^{r_1})$, we have $f_x = (1 - \beta'_f \phi)f = (1 - \beta_f V)f$ and $f_x^* = (1 - \beta_{f^*} V)f^*$.

We now use the formula in [DR14, Lemma 2.19]. Let ω be any modular form on $X_1(N,p)$ of weight x, we have

$$\frac{(f_x^*,\omega)_{N,p}}{(f_x^*,f_x^*)_{N,p}} = \frac{(f_x^*,e_{f_x^*}\omega)_{N,p}}{(f_x^*,f_x^*)_{N,p}} = \frac{(f^*,\omega^0)_N}{(f^*,f^*)_N} = \langle \eta_f,\omega^0 \rangle_{\mathrm{dR}}$$

Here $e_{f_x^*}$ is the projection to the f_x^* -isotypic component, and ω^0 is a modular form on $X_1(N)$ such that

$$e_{f*}\omega = (1 - \beta'_{f*}\phi)\omega^0 = (1 - \beta_{f*}V)\omega^0.$$

Now we take ω to be the modular form $H^{\dagger,\leq a}(\nabla^{-t}(g_y^{[p]}) \times h_z)$, which we also view as a class in $H^1_{\text{par}}(X, \mathcal{H}^{r_1})$. Then,

$$\begin{split} \langle \eta_f, \omega \rangle &= \langle \eta_f, e_{f^*} \omega \rangle = \langle \eta_f, (1 - \beta_{f^*} V) \omega^0 \rangle \\ &= \langle \eta_f, \omega^0 \rangle - \beta_{f^*} \langle \eta_f, V \omega^0 \rangle \\ &= \langle \eta_f, \omega^0 \rangle - \beta_{f^*} \langle \phi^{-1} \eta_f, \omega^0 \rangle \\ &= (1 - \frac{\beta_{f^*}}{\alpha_{f^*}}) \langle \eta_f, \omega^0 \rangle \\ &= \mathcal{E}_0(f) \langle \eta_f, \omega^0 \rangle \end{split}$$

where $\mathscr{E}_0(f) := (1 - \frac{\beta_{f^*}}{\alpha_{f^*}}) = (1 - \frac{\beta_f}{\alpha_f}) = (1 - \beta_f^2 \chi_f^{-1}(p) p^{1-x})$. As a result,

$$\mathscr{L}_p^f(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{\mathscr{E}_0(f)} \langle \eta_f, H^{\dagger, \leq a}(\nabla^{-t}(g_y^{[p]}) \times h_z) \rangle_{\mathrm{dR}}.$$

This equality, together with Corollary 3.12, then imply

$$\mathscr{L}_p^f(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g},\mathbf{h})(x,y,z) = (-1)^{t-1} \frac{\mathscr{E}(f,g,h)}{(t-1)!\mathscr{E}_0(f)\mathscr{E}_1(f)} \times \mathrm{AJ}(\Delta_{x,y,z})(\eta_f \otimes \omega_g \otimes \omega_h).$$

4 An application to equivariant BSD conjecture

In this section, we will show an application of the *p*-adic Gross–Zagier formula for finite slope families.

4.1 Coleman families and big Galois representations

Let \mathcal{W} be the weight space defined over \mathbb{Q}_p as before. In this section, we will focus on families defined over wide open disks in \mathcal{W} as in [LZ16, § 4].

Definition 4.1. Suppose \mathcal{V} is a open disk in \mathcal{W} defined over a finite extension L over \mathbb{Q}_p . We denote by $\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{V}}$ (resp. $\Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}$) the ring of bounded (resp. bounded by 1) rigid analytic functions on \mathcal{V} . In particular, if $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a center of \mathcal{V} , $\Lambda_{\mathcal{V}} \cong \mathcal{O}_L[[\mathbf{k} - k_0]]$ for some formal variable \mathbf{k} and $\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{V}} = \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}[\frac{1}{p}]$. We will always endow $\Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}$ with the topology induced by its maximal ideal $m_{\mathcal{V}}$. We let $\kappa_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \to \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}^{\times}$ be the universal character (shifted by -2) on \mathcal{V} . In other words, $\kappa_{\mathcal{V}}(t) = \omega(t)^{k_0-2} \langle t \rangle^{\mathbf{k}-2}$ for $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$, where ω is the Teichmüller character and $\langle t \rangle := t/\omega(t) \in 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Definition 4.2. Let $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{W}$ be an open disk such that the classical weights $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is dense in \mathcal{V} . A Coleman family **f** (of level N_f and nebentypus character χ_f) defined over \mathcal{V} of slope $a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ is a power series

$$\mathbf{f} = \sum_{n \ge 1} a_n(\mathbf{f}) q^n \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}[\![q]\!]$$

such that $a_1(\mathbf{f}) = 1$, $a_p(\mathbf{f})$ is invertible in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}}$ with norm p^{-a} , and for all but finitely many classical weights $k \in \mathcal{V}$, the specialization $\mathbf{f}_k \in \mathcal{O}_L[\![q]\!]$ is the q-expansion of a classical normalized eigenform of weight k, level $\Gamma_1(N_f, p)$ and nebentypus χ_f .

We will often write $\mathcal{V}_f = \mathcal{V}$ and similarly $\mathcal{O}_f = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}_f}$, $\Lambda_f = \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}_f}$, $\kappa_f = \kappa_{\mathcal{V}_f}$ to specify their dependence to the family **f**.

One now wants to construct a p-adic family of Galois representations attached to a finite slope family. It turns out that this can be achieved by considering certain big étale sheaf on modular curves (c.f. [AIS15] and [AS08]). We shall briefly recall several notations and results without any proofs, mainly following the setups in [BSV22, § 4].

As we will consider both families and their specializations to classical weights, we use the terminology 'big' to refer to anything defined for families and 'small' for the specialized case.

Locally analytic functions and distributions.

Definition 4.3. For any integer $m \ge 0$, we write $LA_m(\mathbb{Z}_p, \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}})$ for the space of functions $\mathbb{Z}_p \to \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}$ that are analytic on every open disk $z + p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$ of \mathbb{Z}_p .

We define $T := \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $T' = p\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$. One has right actions of $M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ on T and T'. An easy calculation shows that T is preserved by

$$\Sigma_0(p) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ p\mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix}$$

and T' is preserved by

$$\Sigma_0'(p) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ p\mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, they are both preserved by scalar multiplication by \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} and the subgroup $\Gamma_0(p\mathbb{Z}_p) := \Sigma_0(p) \cap \Sigma'_0(p)$.

Definition 4.4. For any $m \ge 0$, we let $A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T)$ be the space of functions $f: T \to \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}$ such that

$$f(\gamma \cdot t) = \kappa_{\mathcal{V}}(\gamma)f(t)$$

for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ and $f(1, z) \in LA_m(\mathbb{Z}_p, \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}})$. This space is a $\Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}$ -module and we endow it with the topology induced by $m_{\mathcal{V}}$. Similarly, one defines $A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T')$ to be the space of functions $f: T' \to \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}$ with $f(\gamma \cdot t) = \kappa_{\mathcal{V}}(\gamma)f(t)$ for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ and $f(pz, 1) \in LA_m(\mathbb{Z}_p, \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}})$.

Definition 4.5. We define

$$D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T) := \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}}(A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T),\Lambda_{\mathcal{V}})$$

and $D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T')$ in a similar manner. We endow $D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T)$ with the weak-* topology, which is the weakest topology such that the evaluation-at-f maps are continuous for all $f \in A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T)$.

Remark 4.6. As we will frequently give statements that work both for T and T', we will use the convention T' as in [BSV22] to denote either T or T'.

One can also define the small versions $A_{k,m}(T^{\cdot})$ and $D_{k,m}(T^{\cdot})$, where the pair $(\Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}, \kappa_{\mathcal{V}})$ is replaced by (\mathcal{O}_L, k) with $k \in \mathcal{V} \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Then one has specialization maps (c.f. [BSV22, § 4.1.3])

$$A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot}) \xrightarrow{\rho_k} A_{k,m}(T^{\cdot}) \text{ and } D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot}) \xrightarrow{\rho_k} D_{k,m}(T^{\cdot})$$

induced by quotient by the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_k corresponding to the point k.

Remark 4.7 ([BSV22, § 4]). The objects $A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})$ and $D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})$ as well as the small versions have natural actions of $\Gamma = \Gamma_1(N, p)$. In particular, there are notions of Hecke operators $T_{\ell}, U^{\cdot} = U_p^{\cdot}$ and Atkin–Lehner involution w_p on $H^1(\Gamma, A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot}))$ and $H^1(\Gamma, D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot}))$.

Later we will introduce big étale sheaves whose construction is based on locally analytic functions and distributions. For this purpose, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.8 ([LZ16, Lemma 4.2.7]). We may write $D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})$ as an inverse limit

$$D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot}) = \lim_{n \ge 0} D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot}) / \operatorname{Fil}^{n} D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})$$

where $\operatorname{Fil}^n D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})$ is a decreasing filtration preserved by $\Sigma_0(p)$ and each quotient is finite of p-power order.

Big étale sheaves. Let $Y = Y_1(N, p)$ be the affine modular curve defined over $\mathbb{Z}[1/Np]$. Fix a geometric point η : Spec($\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$) $\to Y$ and denote by \mathcal{G} the étale fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(Y, \eta)$, which admits a natural map $\mathcal{G} \to \Gamma_0(p\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Let $S_f(Y_{\text{ét}})$ be the category of locally constant constructible sheaves on $Y_{\text{ét}}$ with finite stalk of *p*-power order at η . For any topological group *G*, we denote by $M_f(G)$ the category of finite sets of *p*-power order with continuous *G*-actions.

Following [BSV22, § 4.2], one sees that taking stalks at η defines an equivalence of categories between $S_f(Y_{\text{\acute{e}t}})$ and $M_f(\mathcal{G})$. We define $M_{\text{cts}}(G)$ be the category of *G*-modules *M* of the form $M = \bigcup_{i \in I} M_i$ with $M_i \in M_f(G)$ for all $i \in I$, and M(G) be the category of inverse systems of objects in $M_{\text{cts}}(G)$. We also define similarly $S_{\text{cts}}(Y_{\text{\acute{e}t}})$ and $S(Y_{\text{\acute{e}t}})$. If *G* is either \mathcal{G} or $\Gamma_0(p\mathbb{Z}_p)$, one has a functor

$$\cdot^{\text{et}}: M(G) \to S(Y_{\text{ét}})$$

extending the previous equivalence. For any $\mathscr{F} = (\mathscr{F}_i)_i \in S(Y_{\text{\'et}})$, we will mainly consider the following cohomology group

$$H^i_{\text{\'et}}(Y,\mathscr{F}) = \varprojlim_i H^i_{\text{\'et}}(Y,\mathscr{F}_i).$$

We now apply the above construction to the modules, viewed as element in $M(\Gamma_0(p\mathbb{Z}_p))$,

$$A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot}) = \varprojlim_{n} A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot}) / m_{\mathcal{V}}^{n},$$
$$D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot}) = \varprojlim_{n} D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot}) / \operatorname{Fil}^{n}.$$

As a consequence, we have objects $A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})^{\text{\acute{e}t}}$ and $D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})^{\text{\acute{e}t}}$ in $S(Y_{\text{\acute{e}t}})$. We will often drop the superscript $\acute{e}t$ when no confusion might be made.

Remark 4.9. We conclude this subsection with a list of facts about these big étale sheaves (c.f. [BSV22, § 4.2]).

1. One has isomorphisms

$$H^{1}_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(Y_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}, A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})^{\text{e}t}) \cong H^{1}(\Gamma, A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})),$$

$$H^{1}_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(Y_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}, D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})^{\text{\acute{e}t}}) \cong H^{1}(\Gamma, D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})),$$

$$H^{1}_{\text{\acute{e}t},c}(Y_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}, D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})^{\text{\acute{e}t}}) \cong H^{1}_{c}(\Gamma, D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})).$$

where we refer the definition of $H_c^1(\Gamma, D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot}))$ to [BSV22, (77)]. We remark that to prove these isomorphisms, one needs the fact that $A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})$ and $D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})$ are profinite.

2. For any continuous character $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \to \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}^{\times}$, one can define the twisted modules $D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})(\chi)$ and $A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})(\chi)$. Their resulting étale sheaves will be denoted by $D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})(\chi)^{\text{ét}}$ and $A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})(\chi)^{\text{ét}}$. Then one has the following identifications of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} H^{1}_{\acute{e}t}(Y_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}, D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})(\chi)^{\acute{e}t}) &= H^{1}_{\acute{e}t}(Y_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}, D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})^{\acute{e}t})(\chi'_{\mathbb{Q}}), \\ H^{1}_{\acute{e}t}(Y_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}, A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})(\chi)^{\acute{e}t}) &= H^{1}_{\acute{e}t}(Y_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}, A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})^{\acute{e}t})(\chi'_{\mathbb{Q}}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi'_{\mathbb{Q}} := \chi \circ \chi^{-1}_{\text{cyc}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \Lambda^{\times}_{\mathcal{V}}$. Similar statements also hold for the compactly supported versions.

3. The Hecke operator U^{\cdot} on the $G_{\mathbb{Q}}\text{-modules}$

$$H^1(\Gamma, A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})), H^1(\Gamma, D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})), H^1_c(\Gamma, D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot}))$$

admits slope $\leq h$ decompositions for all $h \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ (with possibly shrinking \mathcal{V}).

4. The evaluation map $A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot}) \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}} D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot}) \to \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}$ and the trace map induce a cup-product

$$H^1(\Gamma, A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})) \otimes H^1_c(\Gamma, D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot})) \to H^2_c(\Gamma, \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}) \cong \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}},$$

under which the action of U^{\cdot} on $H^1(\Gamma, A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot}))$ is adjoint to the one on $H^1_c(\Gamma, D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot}))$. For $h \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ and possibly shrinking \mathcal{V} , we have a morphism of $\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{V}} = \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}[1/p]$ -modules

$$\xi_{\mathcal{V},m}^{\cdot}: H^{1}(\Gamma, A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot}))^{\leq h} \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{V}}}(H^{1}_{c}(\Gamma, D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T^{\cdot}))^{\leq h}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{V}})$$

5. On the other hand, the determinant map det : $T \times T' \to \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ induces a cup-product pairing

$$\det: H^1(\Gamma, D_{\mathcal{V}, m}(T)) \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}} H^1_c(\Gamma, D_{\mathcal{V}, m}(T')) \to \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}$$

with U and U' adjoint to each other. In particular, for every $h \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, we have isomorphisms

$$\zeta'_{\mathcal{V},m}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{V}}}(H^{1}_{c}(\Gamma, D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T')^{\leq h}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{V}}) \cong H^{1}(\Gamma, H^{1}(\Gamma, D_{\mathcal{V},m})(T))^{\leq l}$$

and similarly

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{V},m}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{V}}}(H^{1}_{c}(\Gamma, D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T)^{\leq h}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{V}}) \cong H^{1}(\Gamma, H^{1}(\Gamma, D_{\mathcal{V},m})(T'))^{\leq h}.$$

6. For $h \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ and \mathcal{V} sufficiently small, the composition of $\zeta_{\mathcal{V},m}$ and $\xi_{\mathcal{V},m}$ gives a morphism of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules

$$s_{\mathcal{V},h}: H^1(\Gamma, A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T))^{\leq h}(\kappa_{\mathcal{V}}) \to H^1(\Gamma, D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T'))^{\leq h},$$
(5)

where by an abuse of notation we let $\kappa_{\mathcal{V}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}^{\times}$ be the composition of χ_{cyc} and the universal character $\kappa_{\mathcal{V}}$. Moreover, for every integer $k = r + 2 \in \mathcal{V} \cap \mathbb{Z}$ with h < k - 1, the specialization maps induce the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{1}(\Gamma, A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T))^{\leq h}(\kappa_{\mathcal{V}}) & \xrightarrow{s_{\mathcal{V},h}} & H^{1}(\Gamma, D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T'))^{\leq h} \\ & & & \downarrow^{\rho_{k}} & & \downarrow^{\rho_{k}} \\ & & & H^{1}_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(Y_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathscr{S}_{r})_{L}^{\leq' h}(r) & \xrightarrow{s_{r}} & H^{1}_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(Y_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathscr{L}_{r})_{L}^{\leq' h} \end{array}$$

where $\leq' h$ means the slope $\leq h$ part for the operator U'. The sheaves \mathscr{S}_r and \mathscr{L}_r are the small étale sheaves defined in [BSV22, § 2.3] and s_r is the natural duality between the two cohomology groups. Roughly speaking, the spaces $H^1_{\text{ét}}(Y_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathscr{S}_r)_L$ and $H^1_{\text{\acute{et}}}(Y_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathscr{L}_r)_L$ are where the small Galois representations attached to modular forms of weight k (with coefficients in L) live in. Similarly, one has an isomorphism

$$s'_{\mathcal{V},h}: H^1(\Gamma, A_{\mathcal{V},m}(T'))^{\leq h}(\kappa_{\mathcal{V}}) \to H^1(\Gamma, D_{\mathcal{V},m}(T))^{\leq h}$$
(6)

with an analogous commutative diagram.

7. Suppose that **f** is a Coleman family of slope $\leq a$ defined over an open disk \mathcal{V}_f . For simplicity, we will write $A_{f,m}(T^{\cdot})$ for $A_{\mathcal{V}_f,m}(T^{\cdot})$ and $D_{f,m}(T^{\cdot})$ for $D_{\mathcal{V}_f,m}(T^{\cdot})$. Then, for some *m* and possibly shrinking \mathcal{V}_f , one defines the big Galois representation attached to **f**,

$$H^1(\Gamma, D_{f,m}(T'))^{\leq h}(1) \twoheadrightarrow V(\mathbf{f})$$

to be the maximal \mathscr{O}_f -quotient on which T'_{ℓ} , U' and $\langle d \rangle^*$ acts respectively as multiplication by $a_{\ell}(\mathbf{f})$, $a_p(\mathbf{f})$ and $\chi_f(d)$ for all $\ell \nmid NP$ and $d \in (\mathbb{Z}/N_f\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$. Similarly, one define

$$V^*(\mathbf{f}) \hookrightarrow H^1_c(\Gamma, D_{f,m}(T))^{\leq h}(-\kappa_f)$$

to be the maximal \mathscr{O}_f -submodule by using the Hecke operators T_ℓ , U and $\langle d \rangle$ instead. These two \mathscr{O}_f -modules are free and in fact are direct summands of $H^1(\Gamma, D_{f,m}(T'))^{\leq h}(1)$ and $H^1_c(\Gamma, D_{f,m}(T))^{\leq h}(-\kappa_f)$ respectively. In particular, there are natural maps

$$\mathrm{pr}_f: H^1(\Gamma, D_{f,m}(T'))^{\leq h}(1) \twoheadrightarrow V(\mathbf{f}) \ \text{ and } \ \mathrm{pr}_f^*: H^1_c(\Gamma, D_{f,m}(T))^{\leq h}(-\kappa_f) \twoheadrightarrow V(\mathbf{f})^*.$$

If **f** is a Hida family, then there is a natural short exact sequence of $\mathscr{O}_f[G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}]$ -modules (c.f. [BSV22, (99)])

$$0 \to V(\mathbf{f})^+ \to V(\mathbf{f}) \to V(\mathbf{f})^- \to 0$$

with explicit descriptions for $V(\mathbf{f})^{\pm}$. However, in the finite slope case, this exact sequence does not exist, at least not in the category of Galois representations. To obtain an analogous result, one needs to consider the category of (φ, Γ) -modules, which is the main subject in next subsection.

4.2 On (φ, Γ) -modules and triangulations

This subsection aims to recall several facts about (φ, Γ) -modules and develop necessary setups for defining the big logarithm maps for finite slope families.

A brief reference on (φ, Γ) -modules and explicit computations of the logarithm maps can be found in [Ber03]. For the definitions of various Selmer groups for (φ, Γ) -modules, we follow the notations in [Ben11, § 1]. Since we are interested in the case of modular forms, we will also recall several useful results in [LZ16, § 6].

Let \mathscr{R} be the Robba ring of \mathbb{Q}_p . It is the ring consists of formal Laurent series over \mathbb{Q}_p in one variable π which converges in some annulus of the form $\{p^{-\epsilon} < |z|_p < 1\} \in \mathbb{A}^{1,\mathrm{rig}}$ for some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}$. There are an action of Frobenius φ and an action of $\Gamma := \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^{\infty}})/\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ on \mathscr{R} , defined by

$$\tau(\pi) = (1+\pi)^{\chi_{\text{cyc}}(\tau)} - 1, \quad \tau \in \Gamma,
\varphi(\pi) = (1+\pi)^p - 1,$$

where χ_{cyc} is the cyclotomic character. There is also a left inverse ψ of φ , whose definition we would like to refer to [Ber03, § I.2].

Definition 4.10. By *p*-adic representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, we always means a finite dimensional \mathbb{Q}_p -vector space with continuous linear action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. A (φ, Γ) -module M over \mathscr{R} is a free \mathscr{R} -module of finite rank with \mathscr{R} -semilinear actions of φ and Γ .

Given a reduced affinoid algebra A over \mathbb{Q}_p , let $\mathscr{R}_A := \mathscr{R} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$. By an A-representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, we means a finitely generated locally free A-module M with a continuous A-linear action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. One can also define (φ, Γ) -modules over \mathscr{R}_A similarly.

As is well known, there is a functor $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}$ from the category of *p*-adic representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ to the category of (φ, Γ) -modules over \mathscr{R} . A similar functor also exists from *A*-representations to (φ, Γ) -modules over \mathscr{R}_A , which will still be denoted by $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}$ (c.f. [Nak14]).

Proposition 4.11 ([Ben11, § 1]). Let notations be as before, then we have the following results.

- 1. The functor $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}$ establishes an equivalence between the category of p-adic representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ and the category of (φ, Γ) -modules over \mathscr{R} of slope 0.
- 2. Let V be a p-adic representation and D_{\bullet} be the functors of Fontaine for $\bullet \in \{ dR, cris, st \}$. Then there are functors \mathcal{D}_{\bullet} on the category of (φ, Γ) -modules over \mathscr{R} such that

$$D_{\bullet}(V) = \mathcal{D}_{\bullet}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V))$$

for $\bullet \in \{dR, cris, st\}$. In particular, one can define the notations of de Rham, crystalline, and semistable (φ, Γ) -modules over \mathscr{R} .

Definition 4.12. For any continuous character $\delta : \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times} \to \mathscr{R}_A^{\times}$, we let $\mathscr{R}_A\{\delta\}$ be the module $\mathscr{R}_A \cdot e_{\delta}$, with $\varphi(e_{\delta}) = \delta(p)e_{\delta}$ and $\gamma(e_{\delta}) = \delta(\gamma)e_{\delta}$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$. The object $\mathscr{R}_A\{\delta\}$ serves as an important example for (φ, Γ) -modules of rank 1 over \mathscr{R}_A . If we want to separate the actions of φ and Γ , we will use the notation $\mathscr{R}_A\{\delta\} = \mathscr{R}_A(a)[b]$ where $a := \delta(p) \in \mathscr{R}_A$ and $b := \delta|_{\Gamma} : \Gamma \to \mathscr{R}_A$.

Definition 4.13. Fix a generator γ of Γ . For a (φ, Γ) -module M over \mathscr{R}_A , we define the cohomology groups $H^i(\mathbb{Q}_p, M)$ to be the cohomology of the following complex

$$M \xrightarrow{(\varphi-1,\gamma-1)} M \oplus M \xrightarrow{(\gamma-1,-(\varphi-1))} M.$$

Proposition 4.14 ([Ber02, Theorem 0.2] and [KPX14, Proposition 2.3.7]). Let V be either a p-adic representation or an A-representation. Then we have

$$H^{i}(\mathbb{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) = H^{i}(\mathbb{Q}_{p}, V)$$

for all i, where $H^i(\mathbb{Q}_p, V)$ is the continuous cohomology.

Theorem 4.15 ([Nak14]). If A is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , and M is a de Rham (φ, Γ) -module over \mathscr{R}_A . Then there are Bloch-Kato exponential and dual-exponential maps

 $\exp: \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) \to H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, M), \quad \exp^*: H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, M) \to \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(M),$

which are compatible with the usual definitions when $M = \mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$ for a de Rham representation V.

Given a (φ, Γ) -module M, similarly to the case of Galois representations, one may interpret $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, M)$ as isomorphism classes of extensions of \mathscr{R} by M. By using the functor \mathcal{D}_{cris} , one can define $H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_p, M)$ to be the subset of crystalline extensions (c.f. [Ben11, § 1.4.1]). Its relation with the finite Bloch–Kato Selmer groups of p-adic representations is illustrated in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.16 ([Ben11, Proposition 1.4.2]). Suppose V is a p-adic representation. Then

$$H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_p, V) \cong H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)).$$

Remark 4.17. In fact, one can also define H_g^1 and H_e^1 (c.f. [Nak09, Definition 2.4]). They also agree with the usual Selmer groups H_g^1 and H_e^1 for *p*-adic representations.

Let **f** be a primitive Coleman family of finite slope a, defined over a wide open disk $\mathcal{V}_f \subset \mathcal{W}$, with nebentypus character χ_f as in §4.1.

Theorem 4.18 ([LZ16, Theorem 6.3.2], [Liu15]). Let $V(\mathbf{f})$ be the big Galois representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ over \mathscr{O}_f associated with \mathbf{f} . Set $M(\mathbf{f}) := \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V(\mathbf{f}))$ and $\mathscr{R}_f := \mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{O}_f}$. Then one has a triangulation of $M(\mathbf{f})$

$$0 \to F^+M(\mathbf{f}) \to M(\mathbf{f}) \to F^-M(\mathbf{f}) \to 0,$$

which satisfies the following properties:

1. $F^{-}M(\mathbf{f}) \cong \mathscr{R}_{f}(a_{p}(\mathbf{f}))$, i.e., φ acts as multiplication by $a_{p}(\mathbf{f})$;

2. $F^+M(\mathbf{f}) \cong \mathscr{R}_f(\chi_f(p) - a_p(\mathbf{f}))[1 + \kappa_f].$

A similar result hold for the dual module $M^*(\mathbf{f}) := \mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V^*(\mathbf{f}))$. Notice that we follow the additive notation of characters as in [BSV22].

4.3 The Balanced Selmer group

Let $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}$ be three Coleman families with tame characters satisfying the relation $\chi_f \cdot \chi_g \cdot \chi_h = 1$, and let a_f, a_g, a_h be their respective slopes. Suppose $\mathcal{V}_f, \mathcal{V}_g, \mathcal{V}_h$ are defined over some finite extension Lof \mathbb{Q}_p . We fix centers k_0, ℓ_0, m_0 and uniformizers $\mathbf{k} - k_0, \ell - \ell_0, \mathbf{m} - m_0$ for $\mathcal{V}_f, \mathcal{V}_g, \mathcal{V}_h$ respectively. We set $\mathscr{O}_{fgh} := \mathscr{O}_f \hat{\otimes}_L \mathscr{O}_g \hat{\otimes}_L \mathscr{O}_h$ and $\mathscr{R}_{fgh} := \mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{O}_{fgh}}$.

Consider the character $\Xi: G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathscr{O}_{fah}^{\times}$ defined by

$$\Xi(g) := \left(\omega^{(4-k_0-l_0-m_0)/2} \cdot \langle \right)^{(4-\mathbf{k}-\boldsymbol{\ell}-\mathbf{m})/2} \circ \chi_{\text{cyc}}(g)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} (-\kappa_f - \kappa_g - \kappa_h - 2) \circ \chi_{\text{cyc}}(g).$$

We set

$$V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}) := V(\mathbf{f}) \hat{\otimes}_L V(\mathbf{g}) \hat{\otimes}_L V(\mathbf{h}) \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{fgh}} \Xi$$

which is Kummer self-dual, and set $M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}) := \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}))$. One defines a filtration on $M(\mathbf{f})$ by $F^0M(\mathbf{f}) = M(\mathbf{f}), \ F^1M(\mathbf{f}) = F^+M(\mathbf{f}), \ F^2M(\mathbf{f}) = 0$, and similarly for $M(\mathbf{g}), M(\mathbf{h})$. These filtrations induce a filtration on $M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$, defined by

$$F^{n}M(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g},\mathbf{h}) := \left[\sum_{p+q+r=n} F^{p}M(\mathbf{f})\hat{\otimes}_{L}F^{q}M(\mathbf{g})\hat{\otimes}_{L}F^{r}M(\mathbf{h})\right] \{\Xi\}$$

where by $\{\Xi\}$ we mean the image of Ξ under the functor $\mathbf{D}_{rig}^{\dagger}$ (c.f. [Ben11, § 1.5]).

Definition 4.19. Analogous to [BSV22, § 7.2], we define the balanced Selmer group to be

$$H^{1}_{\text{bal}}(\mathbb{Q}_{p}, M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})) := H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}_{p}, F^{2}M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})) \subset H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}_{p}, M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})).$$
(7)

Remark 4.20. We remark that by examining the (φ, Γ) -module structure of $\operatorname{gr}^0 M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$, the natural map $F^2 M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}) \hookrightarrow M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$ induces an injection on H^1 (c.f. [BSV22, (148)]).

One important property of this balanced Selmer group is the following lemma.

Lemma 4.21 (c.f. [BSV22, Lamma 7.2]). If $(x, y, z) \in \Sigma_{bal}$ is a balanced triple of classical weights, then

$$H^{1}_{\text{bal}}(\mathbb{Q}_{p}, M(\mathbf{f}_{x}, \mathbf{g}_{y}, \mathbf{h}_{z})) = H^{1}_{f}(\mathbb{Q}_{p}, M(\mathbf{f}_{x}, \mathbf{g}_{y}, \mathbf{h}_{z})) = H^{1}_{f}(\mathbb{Q}_{p}, V(\mathbf{f}_{x}, \mathbf{g}_{y}, \mathbf{h}_{z}))$$
(8)

where the last one is the Bloch-Kato finite Selmer group. As a consequence, one has the Bloch-Kato exponential map

$$\exp_p: D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z)) / \operatorname{Fil}^0 \cong H^1_{\mathrm{bal}}(\mathbb{Q}_p, M(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z))$$

and we denote its inverse by \log_p .

Proof. The proof is essentially identical to that of [BSV22, Lamma 7.2], which boils down to compute the Hodge–Tate weights, or the actions of Γ in the case of (φ, Γ) -modules. In particular, one has

$$\operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(F^{2}M(\mathbf{f}_{x},\mathbf{g}_{y},\mathbf{h}_{z})) = 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(M(\mathbf{f}_{x},\mathbf{g}_{y},\mathbf{h}_{z})/F^{2}) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(M(\mathbf{f}_{x},\mathbf{g}_{y},\mathbf{h}_{z})).$$

The second identity implies that $H_e^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, M(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z)/F^2) = 0$. Notice that $F^2M(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z)$ is Kummer dual to $M(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z)/F^2$. So one has

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, F^2M(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z)) = H^1_g(\mathbb{Q}_p, F^2M(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z)).$$

By [BSV22, Lemma 3.5], $H_g^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, F^2M(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z)) = H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, F^2M(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z))$. One then deduces that $H_{\text{bal}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, F^2M(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z)) \subset H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, F^2M(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z))$. For the other direction, it follows similarly by using the fact that Fil⁰ $\mathcal{D}_{dR}(F^2M(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z)) = 0$.

If we set

$$M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})_f := F^- M(\mathbf{f}) \hat{\otimes} F^+ M(\mathbf{g}) \hat{\otimes} F^+ M(\mathbf{h}) \{\Xi\}$$

and similarly for $M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})_q$ and $M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})_h$, then the graded piece F^2/F^3 decomposes as

$$F^2/F^3 = M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})_f \oplus M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})_g \oplus M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})_h.$$
(9)

As a result, there are natural projections $F^2M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}) \to M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})_{\xi}$ for $\xi \in \{f, g, h\}$.

4.4 The three-variable big logarithm

Write $V_{dR}(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z) := D_{dR}(V(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z))$ and similarly for its Kummer dual $V_{dR}^*(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z)$.

If $w = (x, y, z) \in \Sigma_{\text{bal}}$, one can construct an element $\eta^a_{\mathbf{f}_x} \otimes \omega_{\mathbf{g}_y} \otimes \omega_{\mathbf{h}_z} \in \operatorname{Fil}^0 V^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z)$ similarly to the construction in §3.2 (c.f. [BSV22, § 3.1]). The Bloch–Kato logarithm

$$\log_p : H^1_{\text{bal}}(\mathbb{Q}_p, M(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z)) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\text{dR}}(V(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z)) \cong [\text{Fil}^0 V^*_{\text{dR}}(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z)]^{\vee}$$

then enables us to define $\log_{p,f} : H^1_{\text{bal}}(\mathbb{Q}_p, M(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z)) \to L$ as the composition of \log_p and evaluation at $\eta^a_{\mathbf{f}_x} \otimes \omega_{\mathbf{g}_y} \otimes \omega_{\mathbf{h}_z}$.

On the other hand, if $w \in \Sigma_f$, one has the Bloch-Kato dual exponential

$$\exp_p^*: H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, M(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z)) \to \operatorname{Fil}^0 V_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z).$$

We then let $\exp_{p,f}^*$ be the composition of \exp_p^* with evaluation at $\eta_{\mathbf{f}_x}^a \otimes \omega_{\mathbf{g}_y} \otimes \omega_{\mathbf{h}_z}$. Notice that here one needs to identify Fil⁰ $V_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z)$ as a subspace of $[V_{\mathrm{dR}}^*(\mathbf{f}_x, \mathbf{g}_y, \mathbf{h}_z)]^{\vee}$ via Poincaré duality.

Before stating the proposition about the three variable big logarithm, we would like to recall some notations. Let $w = (x, y, z) \in \Sigma_{cl}$, we write $\alpha_{f_x} = a_p(\mathbf{f})_x$ and $\beta_{f_x} = \chi_f(p)p^{x-1}/\alpha_{f_x}$ and similarly for g and h. We also set $c_w := (x + y + z - 2)/2$ to be the point of symmetry.

Proposition 4.22 ([BSV22, Proposition 7.3]). There is a unique \mathcal{O}_{fgh} -module morphism

$$\mathscr{L}_f = \mathscr{L}og_f(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}) : H^1_{\mathrm{bal}}(\mathbb{Q}_p, M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})) \to \mathscr{O}_{fgh}$$

such that, for all $w = (x, y, z) \in \Sigma_{cl}$ with $\alpha_{f_x} \beta_{g_y} \beta_{h_z} \neq p^{c_w}$ and $Z \in H^1_{bal}(\mathbb{Q}_p, M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}))$,

$$\mathscr{L}_{f}(Z)_{w} = (p-1)\alpha_{f_{x}} \cdot \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\beta_{f_{x}}\alpha_{g_{y}}\alpha_{h_{z}}}{p^{c_{w}}}\right)}{\left(1 - \frac{\alpha_{f_{x}}\beta_{g_{y}}\beta_{h_{z}}}{p^{c_{w}}}\right)} \cdot \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{c_{w}-x}}{(c_{w}-x)!}\log_{p,f}(Z_{w}) & \text{if } w \in \Sigma_{\text{bal}} \\ (x - c_{w} - 1)!\exp_{p,f}^{*}(Z_{w}) & \text{if } w \in \Sigma_{f} \end{cases},$$
(10)

where the subscript w means the specialization at w. Moreover, \mathscr{L}_{f} factors through the natural map

$$H^1_{\text{bal}}(\mathbb{Q}_p, M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})) \to H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})_f).$$

Analogous results also hold for g and h.

Proof. The construction of the big logarithm is a consequence based on the work of Coleman–Perrin-Riou [Col79; Per94], Ochiai [Och03], and Loeffler–Zerbes [LZ14, Theorem 4.15]. Here we only explain the factor

$$(p-1)\alpha_{f_x} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\beta_{f_x}\alpha_{g_y}\alpha_{h_z}}{p^{c_w}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_{f_x}\beta_{g_y}\beta_{h_z}}{p^{c_w}}\right)^{-1}$$

It suffices to understand the (φ, Γ) -module structure of $M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})_f$. To be more precise, one need to compute the φ -eigenvalue of $M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})_f$ when specialized to $w = (x, y, z) \in \Sigma_{\text{bal}}$. According to [BSV22, § 7.1], one would expect this eigenvalue to be

$$\frac{\chi_g(p)\chi_h(p)\alpha_{f_x}}{\alpha_{g_y}\alpha_{h_z}} = \frac{p^{k-1}}{\beta_{f_x}\alpha_{g_y}\alpha_{h_z}}$$

up to a twist of p^j for some $j = j(w) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

First, one sees from the description in Theorem 4.18 that the term

$$\frac{\chi_g(p)\chi_h(p)\alpha_{f_x}}{\alpha_{g_y}\alpha_{h_z}}$$

appears. One now calculates the Hodge–Tate weight of $(M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})_f)_w$, which is $j := \frac{x-y-z}{2}$. Then by [Ben11, Proposition 1.5.8], the φ -eigenvalue on $(M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})_f)_w$ is

$$A := \frac{\chi_g(p)\chi_h(p)\alpha_{f_x}}{\alpha_{g_y}\alpha_{h_z}} \cdot p^{-j} = \frac{p^{k-1}}{\beta_{f_x}\alpha_{g_y}\alpha_{h_z}} \cdot p^{-j}.$$
(11)

Notice that $k - 1 - j = c_w$. Hence one gets the Euler factor

$$(1 - A^{-1})(1 - p^{-1}A)^{-1} = \left(1 - \frac{\beta_{f_x}\alpha_{g_y}\alpha_{h_z}}{p^{c_w}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_{f_x}\beta_{g_y}\beta_{h_z}}{p^{c_w}}\right)^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, it follows from Eichler–Shimura relation in families (c.f. [LZ16, Theorem 6.4.1 & Corollary 6.4.3]) that one can also *p*-adically interpolate the class $\eta^a_{\mathbf{f}_x}, \omega_{\mathbf{g}_y}, \omega_{\mathbf{h}_z}$. Here we follows the normalization in [BSV22, (132)]. Namely, the big class $\eta^a_{\mathbf{f}}$ is such that for $x \in \Sigma_f$,

$$(\eta^a_{\mathbf{f}})_x = (p-1)\alpha_{f_x}\eta^a_{\mathbf{f}_x}.$$

For $\omega_{\mathbf{g}}$ and $\omega_{\mathbf{h}}$, they interpolate respectively $\omega_{\mathbf{g}_y}, \omega_{\mathbf{h}_z}$ without extra multiples. This explains the factor $(p-1)\alpha_{f_x}$ in (10).

4.5 The diagonal class $\underline{\kappa}$

We now recall the definition of the big diagonal class $\underline{\kappa}$, which interpolates the (*p*-stabilization of) the classes given by $\Delta_{x,y,z}$'s in the étale cohomology groups. If one examines the construction in [BSV22, § 8.1] carefully, one sees that their argument is also valid for the finite slope case, with only few modifications needed.

Let $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}$ be as before. We fix a integer m large enough and set $A_{\xi} = A_{\mathcal{V}_{\xi}, m}(T^{\cdot})$ and $D_{\xi} = D_{\mathcal{V}_{\xi}, m}(T^{\cdot})$ for $\xi \in \{f, g, h\}$.

Set $(T \times T)_0 := \{(t_1, t_2) \in T \times T \mid \det(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}\}$ and let $(T \times T)^0$ be the complement of $(T \times T)_0$ in $T \times T$. Both $(T \times T)_0$ and $(T \times T)^0$ are compact open in $T \times T$ and are preserved by $\Gamma_0(p\mathbb{Z}_p)$. The decomposition $T \times T = (T \times T)_0 \sqcup (T \times T)^0$ induces a decomposition of $\Gamma_0(p\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -modules

$$A_g \hat{\otimes} A_h = (A_g \hat{\otimes} A_h)_0 \oplus (A_g \hat{\otimes} A_h)^0$$

where $(A_g \hat{\otimes} A_h)_0$ consists of locally analytic functions supported on $(T \times T)_0$ and similarly for $(A_g \hat{\otimes} A_h)^0$. Let $\Lambda_{fgh} := \Lambda_f \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_L} \Lambda_g \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_L} \Lambda_h$ and define the following characters from \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} to Λ_{fgh}^{\times}

$$\kappa_{fgh}^{*}(u) := \omega(u)^{(\ell_{0}+m_{0}-k_{0}-2)/2} \cdot \langle u \rangle^{(\ell+m-k-2)/2},$$

$$\kappa_{fgh}^{*}(u) := \omega(u)^{(k_{0}+\ell_{0}+m_{0}-6)/2} \cdot \langle u \rangle^{(k+\ell+m-6)/2}.$$

One also define κ_g^*, κ_h^* similarly so that $\kappa_{fgh}^* = \kappa_f^* + \kappa_g^* + \kappa_h^*$.

Consider now the function

$$Det: T' \times T \times T \to \Lambda_{fgh}^{\times}$$

which is identically zero on $T' \times (T \times T)^0$ and on an element $(t_1, t_2, t_3) \in T' \times (T \times T)_0$ takes the value

$$Det(t_1, t_2, t_3) = \det(t_1, t_2)^{\kappa_h^*} \cdot \det(t_1, t_3)^{\kappa_g^*} \cdot \det(t_2, t_3)^{\kappa_f^*}.$$

A direct computation shows that **Det** belongs to $A'_f \hat{\otimes} A_g \hat{\otimes} A_h(-\kappa^*_{fgh})$. By Remark 4.9, is corresponds to a class

$$\boldsymbol{Det}^{\text{\acute{e}t}} \in H^0_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(Y, A'_f \otimes A_g \otimes A_h(-\kappa^*_{fgh}))$$

$$\tag{12}$$

where we use the same notation A_{ξ}^{\cdot} for its corresponding étale sheave.

Let $d: Y \to Y^3$ be the diagonal embedding. Define $\underline{\kappa}^{\circ\circ} := \mathbf{AJ}_{\acute{e}t}(\mathbf{Det}^{\acute{e}t})$, where $\mathbf{AJ}_{\acute{e}t}$ denotes the following composition of maps:

$$H^{0}_{\acute{e}t}(Y, A'_{f} \otimes A_{g} \otimes A_{h}(-\kappa^{*}_{fgh})) \xrightarrow{d_{*}} H^{4}_{\acute{e}t}(Y^{3}, A'_{f} \boxtimes A_{g} \boxtimes A_{h}(-\kappa^{*}_{fgh}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathbb{Z}_{p}(2)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{HS}} H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, H^{3}_{\acute{e}t}(Y^{3}_{\mathbb{Q}}, A'_{f} \boxtimes A_{g} \boxtimes A_{h})(2 + \kappa^{*}_{fgh})) \xrightarrow{K} H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, H^{1}(\Gamma, A'_{f}) \hat{\otimes}_{L} H^{1}(\Gamma, A_{g}) \hat{\otimes}_{L} H^{1}(\Gamma, A_{h})(2 + \kappa^{*}_{fgh})) \xrightarrow{w_{p} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id}} H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, H^{1}(\Gamma, A_{f}) \hat{\otimes}_{L} H^{1}(\Gamma, A_{g}) \hat{\otimes}_{L} H^{1}(\Gamma, A_{h})(2 + \kappa^{*}_{fgh})) \xrightarrow{\frac{s_{fgh}}{H}} H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, H^{1}(\Gamma, D'_{f})^{\leq a_{f}} \hat{\otimes}_{L} H^{1}(\Gamma, D'_{g})^{\leq a_{g}} \hat{\otimes}_{L} H^{1}(\Gamma, D'_{h})^{\leq a_{h}}(2 - \kappa^{*}_{fgh})) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Pr}_{fgh}} H^{0}(\mathbb{Q}, V(\mathbf{f}) \hat{\otimes}_{L} V(\mathbf{g}) \hat{\otimes} V(\mathbf{h})(-1 - \kappa^{*}_{fgh})) = H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})).$$

$$(13)$$

Here $\kappa_{fgh}^*: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \Lambda_{fgh}^{\times}$ denotes, by an abuse of notation, the composition of the *p*-adic cyclotomic character with κ_{fgh}^* . The map d_* is the push-forward map. The map HS is the map arising from the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence (since $H_{\acute{e}t}^4(Y_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}^3, \cdot)$ vanishes) and 2. of Remark 4.9. The map K comes from the Künneth decomposition and the isomorphism in 1. of Remark 4.9. The meaning of the map $w_p \otimes id \otimes id$ is clear, with $w_p: H^1(\Gamma, A'_f) \to H^1(\Gamma, A_f)$ being the Atkin–Lehner involution. The map s_{fgh} is induced by the tensor product of the $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -morphisms

$$H^1(\Gamma, A_{\xi}) \twoheadrightarrow H^1(\Gamma, A_{\xi})^{\leq a_{\xi}} \xrightarrow{s_{\xi}} H^1(\Gamma, D'_{\xi})^{\leq a_{\xi}}(-\kappa_{\xi})$$

for $\xi \in \{f, g, h\}$. The last map pr_{fgh} is the tensor product of the maps pr_{ξ} in 7. of Remark 4.9. We now define

$$\underline{\kappa}^{\circ}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}) : \frac{1}{a_p(\mathbf{f})} \cdot \underline{\kappa}^{\circ \circ} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})).$$

and let $\underline{\kappa}_p^{\circ} := res_p(\underline{\kappa}^{\circ}) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}))$ be its restriction to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Finally, we let

$$\underline{\kappa}_p \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}))$$

be the corresponding element of $\underline{\kappa}_{p}^{\circ}$.

Theorem 4.23 ([BSV22, Theorem A]). The class $\underline{\kappa}_p$ belongs to $H^1_{\text{bal}}(\mathbb{Q}_p, M(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}))$ and

$$\mathscr{L}og_f(\underline{\kappa}_p) = \mathscr{L}_p^f(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}).$$

Proof. The proof is the same as in [BSV22, § 8]. Through §8.2 to §8.4 in *loc. cit.*, one attempts to describe the specialization of $\mathscr{L}og_f(\underline{\kappa}_p)$ at a balanced triple $w = (x, y, z) \in \Sigma_{\text{bal}}$ in terms of $\log_p(\kappa(f_x^0, g_y^0, h_z^0))$, where $\kappa(f_x^0, g_y^0, h_z^0)$ is the small diagonal class defined in [BSV22, § 3]. In the end, one gets an equality

$$\mathscr{L}og_{f}(\underline{\kappa}_{p})_{w} = (-1)^{t-1} \frac{\mathscr{E}(f_{x}^{0}, g_{y}^{0}, h_{z}^{0})}{(t-1)!\mathscr{E}_{0}(f_{x}^{0})\mathscr{E}_{1}(f_{x}^{0})} \cdot \log_{p}(\kappa(f_{x}^{0}, g_{y}^{0}, h_{z}^{0}))(\eta_{f_{x}^{0}}^{a} \otimes \omega_{g_{y}^{0}} \otimes \omega_{h_{z}^{0}})$$

On the other hand, the Bloch–Kato logarithm \log_p can be interpreted as the *p*-adic Abel–Jacobi map (c.f. [Bes12, § 1.6.1] and [Bes00, Proposition 9.11]). In particular,

$$\log_p(\kappa(f_x^0, g_y^0, h_z^0))(\eta_{f_x^0}^a \otimes \omega_{g_y^0} \otimes \omega_{h_z^0}) = \mathrm{AJ}_p(\Delta_{x,y,z})(\eta_{f_x^0}^a \otimes \omega_{g_y^0} \otimes \omega_{h_z^0}).$$

Now, our *p*-adic Gross–Zagier formula tells us that

$$\mathscr{L}og_f(\underline{\kappa}_p)_w = \mathscr{L}_p^f(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})(w)$$

for all $w \in \Sigma_{\text{bal}}$ with the condition $\alpha_{f_x} \beta_{g_y} \beta_{h_z} \neq p^{c_w}$. As such a subset of weights is dense in the weight space Σ , one obtains the desired identity.

We now shift our attention to the unbalanced region Σ_f . For simplicity, we will only deal with $w = (x, y, z) \in \Sigma_f$ that is not exceptional in the sense of [BSV22, § 1.2]. Following the same argument as in [BSV22, § 9.1], we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.24 ([BSV22, Theorem B]). Let $w = (x, y, z) \in \Sigma_f$ be a unbalanced triple that is not exceptional. Then the class $\underline{\kappa}_p(x, y, z)$ is crystalline (i.e., belongs to H_f^1) if and only if the complex L-function $L(f_x^0, g_y^0, h_z^0; s)$ vanishes at $s = \frac{x+y+z-2}{2}$.

Remark 4.25. In the case where w is exceptional, the arguments in [BSV22, § 9.2 to §9.4] can also be applied to the finite slope case.

With Corollary 4.24, one can also establish an analogue of Theorem 1.2. The proof will be identical to one given in [DR17, § 6.4]. So we would like leave the verification to the readers.

5 Appendix. A lemma on pairings of *p*-adic Banach spaces

In this appendix, we will give the proof of Lemma 3.7.

The goal is to study the interaction of the finite slope projector $e^{\leq a}$ with the Poincaré pariring or the Petersson product. To be more precise, we wish to establish an analogous result of [DR14, Prop 2.3] and [DR14, Prop 2.11]. A combined statement as well as its proof will be recalled below.

Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and $X_K = X_1(N)_K$ be the modular curve base-changed to K.

Lemma 5.1. Let $\eta \in H^1_{\text{par}}(X_K, \mathcal{H}^r)^{u-r}$ be a class in the unit root part, i.e., the Frobenius ϕ acts on η as multiplying by a p-adic unit. Let g be a nearly overconvergent modular form of weight k = r+2 on $\Gamma_1(N)$ with vanishing residues at all the supersigular annuli, which induces a class $\omega = \omega_g$ in $H^1_{\text{par}}(X_K, \mathcal{H}^r)$. Then

$$\eta, \omega \rangle = \langle \eta, e_{\rm ord} \omega \rangle$$

where \langle , \rangle is the Poincaré pairing on $H^1_{\text{par}}(X_K, \mathcal{H}^r)$.

Proof. ([Bes16, [Prop. 3.2]) First, recall that we the operators U and V are inverse to each other on the cohomology. In addition, the Frobenius morphism ϕ satisfies the relations $\langle \phi \eta, \phi \omega \rangle = p^{k-1} \langle \eta, \omega \rangle$ and $\phi = p^{k-1}V = p^{k-1}U^{-1}$ on the cohomology. Let β be the eigenvalue of η for ϕ , which is a *p*-adic unit by assumption. Then,

$$\begin{split} \langle \eta, U\omega \rangle &= p^{1-k} \langle \phi \eta, \phi U\omega \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi \eta, p^{1-k} \phi U\omega \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi \eta, \omega \rangle \\ &= \beta \langle \eta, \omega \rangle \end{split}$$

As a result, we have

 $\langle \eta, e_{\mathrm{ord}} \omega \rangle = \langle \eta, \lim_{n \to \infty} U^{n!} \omega \rangle = \lim_{n \to \infty} \beta^{n!} \langle \eta, \omega \rangle = \langle \eta, \omega \rangle$

as desired.

Remark 5.2. A more conceptual proof is outlined in [DR14]. First, one uses the relation $\langle \phi \eta, \phi \omega \rangle = p^{k-1} \langle \eta, \omega \rangle$ to deduce that the Poincaré pairing descends to a well-defined pairing

$$\langle , \rangle : H^1_{\text{par}}(X_K, \mathcal{H}^r)^{\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{r}} \times H^1_{\text{par}}(X_K, \mathcal{H}^r)^{\phi, k-1} \to K(1-r)$$

where the subscript $\phi, k-1$ denotes the slope = k-1 subspace for ϕ . Then one can identify $S^{\text{ord}}(N)$, the space of ordinary overconvergent modular forms, with $H^1_{\text{par}}(X_K, \mathcal{H}^r)^{\phi, k-1}$.

The main result in this section is the following lemma:

Lemma 5.3. (General form) Let M be the p-adic Banach space over a p-adic field K and u be a compact operator on M. Suppose there is a bilinear pairing $\langle , \rangle : M \times M \to K$ and another operator ϕ on M that satisfies

$$\langle m_1, um_2 \rangle = \langle \phi m_1, m_2 \rangle$$

for any $m_1, m_2 \in M$.

Let $\eta \in M$ be an eigenvector for ϕ with eigenvalue α , whose p-adic valuation is $a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$. Let $e^{\leq a}$ be the projector onto the slope $\leq a$ subspace of M for the operator u. Then we have

$$\langle \eta, m \rangle = \langle \eta, e^{\leq a} m \rangle$$

for all $m \in M$.

In our application, it takes the following form:

Lemma 5.4. Suppose $\eta \in H^1_{\text{par}}(X_K, \mathcal{H}^r)$ is an element for which the Frobenius ϕ acts with eigenvalue α such that $v_p(\alpha) \leq a$. Then for any $\omega \in H^1_{\text{par}}(X_K, \mathcal{H}^r)$, we have

$$\langle \eta, \omega \rangle = \langle \eta, e^{\leq a} \omega \rangle$$

where $e^{\leq a}$ is the projector to the slope $\leq a$ part for the U operator and the pairing is the Poincaré pairing.

Remark 5.5. As we will eventually restrict to classical forms on $X_1(N)$, we are in fact dealing with the finite dimensional vector space $H^1_{\text{par}}(X_K, \mathcal{H}^r)$. Hence the projector $e^{\leq a}$ can be expressed as a polynomial in U instead of a convergent power series. So we do not really need to deal with (infinite dimensional) *p*-adic Banach spaces and compact operators. However, we wish to provide a proof that may be applied to more general cases.

We will skip the basic definitions of p-adic Banach spaces and compact operators. Some standard references are [Ser62], [Col97] and [Buz07].

Let K be a complete non-archimedean valuation field, A be its ring of valuation, \mathfrak{m} be its maximal ideal, and $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$ be the residue field. Suppose E is a p-adic Banach space over K, we denote by $\mathcal{L}(E, E)$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}(E, E)$) the space of all linear operators (resp. compact operators) on E.

Proposition 5.6. For any $u \in C(E, E)$, we can define the Fredholm determinant $det(1-Tu) \in K[[T]]$ that satisfies the following properties:

- i. det(1 Tu) is an entire function of T with values in $\mathcal{L}(E, E)$.
- ii. If $u_i \to u$ with $u \in \mathcal{C}(E, E)$. Then $\det(1 Tu_i) \to \det(1 Tu)$ coefficient-wise.
- iii. If u is of finite rank, then det(1 Tu) coincides with the polynomial defined in the classical sense (c.f. §5. of [Ser62]).

Definition 5.7. The Fredholm resolvant R(T, u) is defined to be

$$R(T, u) = \frac{\det(1 - Tu)}{(1 - Tu)} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} v_m T^m$$

where $v_m \in \mathcal{L}(E, E)$ are polynomials in u.

Proposition 5.8 ([Ser62, Proposition 10]). As a function with value in $\mathcal{L}(E, E)$, R(T, u) is an entire function of T.

From now on, we will fix a compact operator $u \in \mathcal{C}(E, E)$ and simply write $P(T) = P_u(T)$ for det(1 - Tu).

Proposition 5.9. Suppose $\lambda \in K$. Then $1 - \lambda u$ is invertible in $\mathcal{L}(E, E)$ if and only if $P(\lambda) \neq 0$.

Definition 5.10. For a function $F(T) = \sum b_m T^m$, define $\Delta^s f = \sum {\binom{m+s}{m}} b_{m+s} T^m$ for any $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Then a zero $\lambda \in K$ of f is said to have order $h \in \mathbb{N}$ if $\Delta^s(\lambda) = 0$ for all s < h and $\Delta^h f(\lambda) \neq 0$. Note that if the characteristic of K is 0, we have $\Delta^s = \frac{1}{s!} \frac{d^s}{dT^s}$.

One important result of compact operators on *p*-adic Banach spaces is the following proposition.

Proposition 5.11. (Riesz theory) If $\lambda \in K$ is a zero of $P(T) = \det(1 - Tu)$ of order h. Then the space E can be decomposed uniquely as a direct sum of u-invariant closed subspaces

$$E = N(\lambda) \oplus F(\lambda)$$

such that

- i. $(1 \lambda u)$ is invertible on $F(\lambda)$
- ii. $(1 \lambda u)$ is nilpotent on $N(\lambda)$. More precisely, $(1 \lambda u)^h N(\lambda) = 0$ and $N(\lambda)$ is of dimension h.

In order to understand the projection $E \rightarrow N(\lambda)$, we recall part of the proof from [Ser62].

Proof. By assumption, we have $\Delta^s P(\lambda) = 0$ for all s < h, and $\Delta^h P(\lambda) = c \neq 0$. Consider the identity

$$(1 - Tu)R(T, u) = P(T)$$

and apply Δ^s , we get

$$(1 - Tu)\Delta^{s}R(T, u) - u\Delta^{s-1}R(T, u) = \Delta^{s}P(T)$$

Now put $w_s = \Delta^s R(\lambda, u)$, we have the equations

$$(1 - \lambda u)w_0 = 0$$

$$(1 - \lambda u)w_1 - uw_0 = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$1 - \lambda u)w_{h-1} - uw_{h-2} = 0$$

$$(1 - \lambda u)w_h - uw_{h-1} = c$$

We deduce from these equations that $(1 - \lambda u)^{s+1} w_s = 0$ for s < h.

Set $e = c^{-1}(1-\lambda u)w_h$ and $f = -c^{-1}uw_{h-1}$. Then e+f = 1 and $fe^h = 0$ since $(1-\lambda u)^h w_{h-1} = 0$ (w_s is power series in u, so it commutes with u).

Consider the equation $(e+f)^h = 1$, and let

$$p = e^{h}$$
$$q = he^{h-1}f + \dots + hef^{h-1} + f^{h}$$

Then p + q = 1 and pq = qp = 0. The maps p and q are the desired projections to F(a) and N(a). More precisely, ker $p = \text{Im } q = N(\lambda)$ and ker $q = \text{Im } p = F(\lambda)$. Hence we have the decomposition

$$E = N(\lambda) \oplus F(\lambda)$$

As $(1 - \lambda u)^h q = 0$, we see that $(1 - \lambda u)^h = 0$ on $N(\lambda)$. On the other hand, $(1 - \lambda u)^h (w_h)^h = c^h p$, so $1 - \lambda u$ is invertible on $F(\lambda) = \operatorname{Im} p$.

The rest of the proof will be skipped. A proof for dim $N(\lambda) = h$ can be found in [Buz07].

Remark 5.12. Examining the above proof carefully, we see that the projector $q: E \to N(\lambda)$ is a power series without constant term in u. This fact plays an important role when we compute the p-adic Abel–Jacobi maps.

We are now able to prove lemma 5.3

Proof. By assumption, for any power series G(T) such that G(u) converges, we have

$$\langle m_1, G(u)m_2 \rangle = \langle G(\phi)m_1, m_2 \rangle$$

as long as the expression $G(\phi)m_1$ also converges. So it suffices to show that $G(\phi)\eta = \eta$ when G(T) is a power series such that G(u) is the projector $e^{u=\alpha}$ on M.

Suppose that u has α as one of its eigenvalues, then α^{-1} is a root of $P(T) = \det(1 - Tu)$. On the finite dimensional subspace $N(\alpha^{-1})$, u has characteristic polynomial $(T - \alpha)^h$. We will assume that $(T - \alpha)^h$ is also the minimal polynomial for simplicity.

By Proposition 5.8, the resolvant R(T, u) is an entire function of T. In particular, $R(\alpha^{-1}, u)$ converges in $\mathcal{L}(E, E)$. We write R(T) for the power series $R(\alpha^{-1}, T)$. For any eigenvector ω of u with eigenvalue α , the element $R(\alpha^{-1}, u)\omega = R(\alpha)\omega$ converges. Hence, the power series R(T) a least converges for $|T| \leq |\alpha| = p^{-a}$.

In other words, we may view R(T) as an element in the Tate algebra $K\langle T/p^a \rangle$, and so are $w_s(T) = \Delta^s R(\alpha^{-1}, T)$ and the power series G(T) that satisfies $G(u) = q = he^{h-1}f + \cdots + hef^{h-1} + f^h$.

As the polynomial $(T - \alpha)^h$ is regular, we can apply Weierstrass division on the Tate algebra $K\langle T/p^a \rangle$ and write

$$G(T) = (T - \alpha)^h S(T) + r(T)$$

where $S(T) \in K\langle T/p^a \rangle$ and r(T) is a polynomial of degree $\langle h$. Since G(u) is a projector to $N(\alpha^{-1})$, we must have $G(u)|_{N(\alpha^{-1})} = 1$. This implies r(u) = 1 on $N(\alpha^{-1})$. Since we assume $(T - \alpha)^h$ is the minimal polynomial on $N(\alpha^{-1})$, we must have r(T) = 1.

Now, for every $m \in M$, we have

$$\begin{split} \langle \eta, e^{u=\alpha}m \rangle &= \langle \eta, G(u)m \rangle \\ &= \langle G(\phi)\eta, m \rangle \\ &= \langle [(\phi-\alpha)^h S(\phi)+1]\eta, m \rangle \\ &= \langle \eta, m \rangle. \end{split}$$

Note that the expression $[(\phi - \alpha)^h S(\phi) + 1]\eta$ converges.

The lemma then follows as the projector $e^{\leq a}$ is just the finite sum of all $e^{u=\gamma}$ with $\operatorname{ord}_p(\gamma) \leq a$. \Box

References

- [AI19] Fabrizio Andreatta and Adrian Iovita. Katz type p-adic L-functions for primes p non-split in the CM field. 2019.
- [AI21] Fabrizio Andreatta and Adrian Iovita. Triple product *p*-adic *L*-functions associated to finite slope *p*-adic families of modular forms. *Duke Mathematical Journal* 170.9 (2021), pp. 1989–2083.
- [AIS15] Fabrizio Andreatta, Adrian Iovita, and Glenn Stevens. Overconvergent Eichler-Shimura isomorphisms. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 14.2 (2015), pp. 221–274.
- [AS08] Avner Ash and Glenn Stevens. *p-adic deformations of arithmetic cohomology*. Preprint. 2008.
- [BC09] Joël Bellaïche and Gaëtan Chenevier. *Families of Galois representations and Selmer groups*. Astérisque 324. Société mathématique de France, 2009.
- [BDP13] Massimo Bertolini, Henri Darmon, and Kartik Prasanna. Generalized Heegner cycles and *p*-adic Rankin *L*-series. *Duke Mathematical Journal* 162.6 (2013), pp. 1033–1148.
- [Ben11] Denis Benois. A generalization of Greenberg's *L*-invariant. Amer. J. Math. 133.6 (2011), pp. 1573–1632.
- [Ber02] Laurent Berger. Représentations *p*-adiques et équations différentielles. *Invent. Math.* 148.2 (2002), pp. 219–284.
- [Ber03] Laurent Berger. "Bloch and Kato's exponential map: three explicit formulas". In: Kazuya Kato's fiftieth birthday. 2003, pp. 99–129.
- [Bes00] Amnon Besser. Syntomic regulators and *p*-adic integration I: Rigid syntomic regulators. Israel Journal of Mathematics 120 (2000), pp. 201–334.
- [Bes12] Amnon Besser. "Heidelberg Lectures on Coleman Integration". In: The Arithmetic of Fundamental Groups. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012, pp. 3–52.
- [Bes16] Amnon Besser. On the regulator formulas of Bertolini, Darmon and Rotger. *Research in the Mathematical Sciences* 3 (2016).
- [BSV22] Massimo Bertolini, Marco Adamo Seveso, and Rodolfo Venerucci. "Reciprocity laws for balanced diagonal classes". In: 434. 2022, pp. 77–174.

- [Buz07] Kevin Buzzard. "Eigenvarieties". In: L-Functions and Galois Representations. Ed. by David Burns, Kevin Buzzard, and JanEditors Nekovář. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, 2007, pp. 59–120.
- [Col79] Robert F. Coleman. Division values in local fields. Invent. Math. 53.2 (1979), pp. 91–116.
- [Col97] Robert F. Coleman. p-adic Banach spaces and families of modular forms. Inventiones mathematicae 127 (1997), pp. 417–479.
- [DR14] Henri Darmon and Victor Rotger. Diagonal cycles and Euler systems I: A *p*-adic Gross-Zagier formula. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. 47.4 (2014), pp. 779–832.
- [DR17] Henri Darmon and Victor Rotger. Diagonal cycles and Euler systems II: The Birch and Swinnerton–Dyer conjecture for Hasse–Weil–Artin L-functions. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 30.3 (2017), pp. 601–672.
- [HK91] Michael Harris and Stephen S. Kudla. The central critical value of a triple product *L*-Function. *Annals of Mathematics* 133.3 (1991), pp. 605–672.
- [Hua24] Ting-Han Huang. "Triple product *p*-adic *L*-functions for finite slope families of modular forms and a *p*-adic Gross–Zagier formula". PhD thesis. Concordia University, 2024.
- [HW22] Ting-Han Huang and Ju-Feng Wu. *Finite polynomial cohomology with coefficients*. Preprint available at: https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.10289. 2022.
- [Ich08] Atsushi Ichino. Trilinear forms and the central values of triple product L-functions. Duke Mathematical Journal 145.2 (2008), pp. 281–307.
- [Kaz22] Ananyo Kazi. "Overconvergent modular and de Rham sheaves". PhD thesis. University of Milan, 2022.
- [KPX14] Kiran S. Kedlaya, Jonathan Pottharst, and Liang Xiao. Cohomology of arithmetic families of (φ, Γ)-modules. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 27.4 (2014), pp. 1043–1115.
- [Liu15] Ruochuan Liu. Triangulation of refined families. Comment. Math. Helv. 90.4 (2015), pp. 831–904.
- [LZ14] David Loeffler and Sarah Livia Zerbes. Iwasawa theory and *p*-adic *L*-functions over \mathbb{Z}_p^2 extensions. Int. J. Number Theory 10.8 (2014), pp. 2045–2095.
- [LZ16] David Loeffler and Sarah Livia Zerbes. Rankin-Eisenstein classes in Coleman families. Res. Math. Sci. 3 (2016), Paper No. 29, 53.
- [Nak09] Kentaro Nakamura. Classification of two-dimensional split trianguline representations of p-adic fields. Compos. Math. 145.4 (2009), pp. 865–914.
- [Nak14] Kentaro Nakamura. Iwasawa theory of de Rham (φ, Γ)-modules over the Robba ring. Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu 13.1 (2014), pp. 65–118.
- [Nek00] Jan Nekovář. "p-adic Abel–Jacobi maps and p-adic heights". In: The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles. Vol. 24. GRM Proc. Lecture Notes. Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 2000, pp. 367–379.
- [Och03] Tadashi Ochiai. A generalization of the Coleman map for Hida deformations. Amer. J. Math. 125.4 (2003), pp. 849–892.
- [Per94] Bernadette Perrin-Riou. Théorie d'Iwasawa des représentations *p*-adiques sur un corps local. *Invent. Math.* 115.1 (1994). With an appendix by Jean-Marc Fontaine, pp. 81–161.
- [Sch85] Anthony J. Scholl. Modular forms and de Rham cohomology; Atkin-Swinnerton-Dyer congruences. *Inventiones mathematicae* 79 (1985), pp. 49–77.
- [Ser62] Jean-Pierre Serre. Endomorphismes complètement continus des espaces de Banach *p*adiques. en. *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS* 12 (1962), pp. 69–85.

- [Urb14] Eric Urban. Nearly Overconvergent Modular Forms (2014). Ed. by Thanasis Bouganis and Otmar Venjakob, pp. 401–441.
- [Wat02] Thomas C. Watson. "Rankin triple products and quantum chaos". PhD thesis. Princeton University, 2002.