

BOWEN'S FORMULA FOR A RATIONAL GRAPH-DIRECTED MARKOV SYSTEM

TADASHI ARIMITSU, JOHANNES JAERISCH, HIROKI SUMI AND TAKAYUKI WATANABE

ABSTRACT. We establish Bowen's formula for the Julia set of a non-elementary, expanding, irreducible and aperiodic rational graph-directed Markov system satisfying the backward separating condition. Towards this end, we shall prove that the associated skew product map is topologically exact on the skew product Julia set, and satisfies the density of repelling periodic points. Moreover, we give a criterion for expandingness in terms of hyperbolicity.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Let Rat be the set of all non-constant rational maps on the Riemann sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. A subsemigroup of Rat with semigroup operation being the functional composition is called a rational semigroup. The study of rational semigroups was initiated independently in [GR96], [HM96],[Sum97] for their own purposes. This theory has seen significant development in relation to independent and identically-distributed (i.i.d.) random holomorphic dynamics [Sum11, Sum13, Sum21]. Here, by i.i.d. we mean that the holomorphic maps are chosen independently and with the same distribution. We refer to [Sum11] and [Sum13] for precise definitions.

Regarding further development along this line of study, it is noticeable that the authors of [SW19] and [SW22] developed this random iteration theory by introducing a Markov random dynamical system of rational maps. These works revealed new phenomena which cannot be observed in i.i.d. random dynamical systems; see, for example, [SW19, Proposition 4.23]. We aim to establish a fractal theory for Markov random dynamical systems of rational maps which will lead us to a new horizon of random complex dynamics. As a first step, we establish Bowen's formula for the Hausdorff dimension of the Julia set of a rational graph-directed Markov system (rational GDMS for short). We refer to Section 1.1 for an introduction to rational GDMS.

To explain and motivate our study of Bowen's formula better, let us first briefly introduce the thermodynamic formalism of dynamical systems. The origin of this theory dates back to the seminal paper [Sin72] by Y. Sinaï. Later, D. Ruelle and R. Bowen laid the foundation of this theory as cofounders; see [Rue04], [Bow08] for comprehensive accounts of the prototypical results. Of particular concern is Bowen's celebrated result which determines the Hausdorff dimension of a quasi-circle as the unique zero of a central notion in the thermodynamic formalism called pressure function; see [Bow79]. This achievement is widely known as Bowen's formula. In [Rue82], Ruelle further developed this result in the context of hyperbolic Julia sets, and many other analogous statements have been justified in various settings to this date. In particular, we note that under the open set condition, Bowen's formula for a finitely generated, expanding rational semigroup was justified in [Sum05].

1.1. Rational graph directed Markov systems. Let us begin with a formal introduction to a rational GDMS. All the definitions in this subsection are given in [SW19] and [Wal82].

Definition 1.1. Let (V, E) be a *directed graph* with finite *vertex set* V and finite *edge set* E . Let $\Gamma_e \subset \text{Rat}$ be a non-empty, finite subset of Rat indexed by a directed edge $e \in E$. Then the triple $S := (V, E, (\Gamma_e)_{e \in E})$ is called a *rational GDMS*. The symbol $i(e)$ (resp. $t(e)$) denotes the *initial* (resp. *terminal*) *vertex* of each directed edge $e \in E$.

We shall adopt the convention that $\mathbb{N} := \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n > 0\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0 := \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \geq 0\}$. For each point of the complex plane \mathbb{C} , let $|z|$ denote the modulus of z .

Definition 1.2. Given a rational GDMS $S := (V, E, (\Gamma_e)_{e \in E})$, we define the subshift of finite type $(X(S), \sigma)$ given by

$$X(S) := \left\{ \xi := (\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} := (g_n, e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in (\text{Rat} \times E)^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, g_n \in \Gamma_{e_n}, t(e_n) = i(e_{n+1}) \right\},$$

and the left shift $\sigma : X(S) \rightarrow X(S)$. We say that S is *irreducible* if $(X(S), \sigma)$ is irreducible, and S is *irreducible and aperiodic* if $(X(S), \sigma)$ is irreducible and aperiodic; see e.g. [Wal82] for these properties of subshifts.

For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $X^N(S)$ the set of all subwords of $X(S)$ of length N . For each element $\xi = (g_n, e_n)_{1 \leq n \leq N} \in X^N(S)$, denoting $g_\xi := g_N \circ \cdots \circ g_1$, we define the sets

$$\begin{aligned} H(S) &:= \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \{g_\xi \mid \xi \in X^N(S)\}, & H_i(S) &:= \{g_\xi \mid \xi \in X^N(S), i = i(e_1)\}, \\ H^j(S) &:= \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \{g_\xi \mid \xi \in X^N(S), j = t(e_N)\} & \text{and } H_i^j(S) &:= H_i(S) \cap H^j(S). \end{aligned}$$

The set $F(S)$ defined as $\{z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \mid H(S) \text{ is equicontinuous on some neighborhood of } z\}$ is called the *Fatou set of S*. Its complement $J(S) := \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus F(S)$ is called the *Julia set of S*.

Likewise, we define the following sets at each vertex. The set $F_i(S)$ defined as $\{z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \mid H_i(S) \text{ is equicontinuous on some neighborhood of } z\}$ is called the *Fatou set of S at the vertex i*. Its complement $J_i(S) := \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus F_i(S)$ is called the *Julia set of S at the vertex i*.

The cardinality of a set \mathcal{A} is denoted by $\#\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$.

Definition 1.3. A rational GDMS S is said to be *non-elementary* if $\#J_i(S) \geq 3$ for all $i \in V$.

Definition 1.4. Let $S := (V, E, (\Gamma_e)_{e \in E})$ be a rational GDMS.

(i) We define the *skew product map* $\tilde{f} : X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ associated with S by

$$\tilde{f}(\xi, z) := (\sigma(\xi), g_1(z))$$

for each $\xi := (g_n, e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X(S)$ and $z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$.

(ii) For each $\xi := (g_n, e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X(S)$, we define the *fiber Fatou set* F_ξ of ξ by

$$\{z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \mid (g_N \circ \cdots \circ g_1)_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is equicontinuous on some neighborhood of } z\}.$$

Its complement $J_\xi := \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus F_\xi$ is called the *fiber Julia set of ξ* . We also define $F^\xi := \{\xi\} \times F_\xi \subset X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, and $J^\xi := \{\xi\} \times J_\xi \subset X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. We call the set

$$J(\tilde{f}) := \overline{\bigcup_{\xi \in X(S)} J^\xi} \subset X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$$

the *skew product Julia set of \tilde{f}* .

Let $d_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}$ be the spherical distance on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Let d_X be the shift distance on $X(S)$ and define the distance \tilde{d} on the set $X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ given by $\tilde{d}((\xi, x), (\tau, y)) := d_X(\xi, \tau) + d_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}(x, y)$ for each $(\xi, x), (\tau, y) \in X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. We shall endow the set $J(\tilde{f})$ with the subspace topology of the metric topology on $X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$.

1.2. Main results. In the setting above, we introduce further definitions to state the main results. Let S be a rational GDMS. Given an element $\xi \in X(S)$, we also write $(g_{\xi, n}, e_{\xi, n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} := \xi$. For each $\tilde{z} := (\xi, z) \in X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$(\tilde{f}^n)'(\tilde{z}) := (g_{\xi, n} \circ \cdots \circ g_{\xi, 1})'(z).$$

The first result provides the characterisation of the skew product Julia set $J(\tilde{f})$ from the perspective of the dynamics of \tilde{f} under a mild assumption. Recall that a point $\tilde{z} \in X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is called a *repelling periodic point of \tilde{f}* if there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\tilde{f}^p(\tilde{z}) = \tilde{z}$ and $|\tilde{f}^p(\tilde{z})'| > 1$.

Main result A (see Proposition 2.2). Let S be a non-elementary, irreducible rational GDMS and \tilde{f} be the associated skew product map. Then, $J(\tilde{f})$ is equal to the closure of the set of repelling periodic points of \tilde{f} .

The next result concerns the topological behaviour of the skew product map \tilde{f} with respect to $J(\tilde{f})$: the topological exactness, often casually described as locally eventually onto. To be more precise, the skew product map $\tilde{f} : X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is said to be *topologically exact on $J(\tilde{f})$* if for each open subset U of $X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ with $U \cap J(\tilde{f}) \neq \emptyset$, there exists an element $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq N$, we have $\tilde{f}^n(U) \supset J(\tilde{f})$.

To obtain this result, we restrict the class of a skew product map \tilde{f} by using the notion of expandingness. This notion is inspired by a class called the expanding rational semigroup introduced in [Sum05]. We state the definition. A rational GDMS S is said to be *expanding* if $J(S) \neq \emptyset$ and the map \tilde{f} is *expanding along fibers*, i.e., there exist $C > 0$ and $\lambda > 1$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\inf_{\tilde{z} \in J(\tilde{f})} \|(\tilde{f}^n)'(\tilde{z})\| \geq C\lambda^n,$$

where we use $\|\cdot\|$ to denote the norm of the derivative with respect to the metric $d_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}$.

Main result B (see Corollary 2.7). Let S be a non-elementary irreducible and aperiodic rational GDMS. Suppose that the associated skew product map \tilde{f} is expanding along fibers. Then, \tilde{f} is topologically exact on $J(\tilde{f})$.

Motivated by [Sum98], we introduce the definitions below for a rational GDMS. Let $S := (V, E, (G_e)_{e \in E})$ be a rational GDMS. For each vertex $i \in V$, the set defined by

$$P_i(S) := \overline{\bigcup_{h \in H^i(S)} \{\text{critical values of } h\}} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$$

is called the *post-critical set at the vertex i* . A rational GDMS S is said to be *hyperbolic* if $P_i(S) \subset F_i(S)$ for each $i \in V$.

Main result C (see Theorem 2.13). Let S be a hyperbolic, non-elementary, irreducible rational GDMS. For each $i \in V$ and $h \in H^i(S)$, assume that h is loxodromic when its degree is one. Then, the skew product map associated with S is expanding along fibers.

To state the last result, we briefly introduce some relevant definitions of thermodynamic formalism; see subsection 2.3 for precise definitions. Assume that a rational GDMS S is expanding. The geometric potential $\tilde{\varphi}: J(\tilde{f}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by $\tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{z}) := -\log \|g'_{\xi,1}(z)\|$ for each $\tilde{z} := (\xi, z) \in J(\tilde{f})$. Let $P(\tilde{f}, \tilde{\varphi})$ denote the topological pressure of the pair $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{\varphi})$. Then, there is a unique zero δ of the geometric pressure function $\mathcal{P}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $t \mapsto P(\tilde{f}, t\tilde{\varphi})$. We refer to subsection 2.3 for further details on topological pressure. Let $\dim_H(A)$ denote the Hausdorff dimension of a set $A \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to $d_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}$.

Main result D (see Theorem 2.22). Let S be a non-elementary, expanding, irreducible and aperiodic rational GDMS. Then, the δ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of $J(S)$ is finite and its upper box-counting dimension never exceeds δ .

Main result E (see Corollary 2.23). Let S be a non-elementary, expanding, irreducible and aperiodic rational GDMS. Suppose that S satisfies the backward separating condition. Then, we have $\dim_H(J(S)) = \delta$ and the δ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of $J(S)$ is positive and finite.

The definition of the backward-separation condition is given in Definition 2.20. In section 3, we shall estimate the Hausdorff dimension of the Julia set for a rational GDMS by using the last result.

2. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

For each $z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and $r > 0$, let $D(z, r) := \{\zeta \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} : d_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}(z, \zeta) < r\}$. Let $\text{dist}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}(A, B) := \inf\{d_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}(z, w) : z \in A, w \in B\}$ for each nonempty subsets $A, B \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $\text{diam}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}(A)$ denote the diameter of the set A with respect to $d_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}$. For each element g of Rat , we write $\deg(g) \in \mathbb{N}$ to mean its degree. In the present paper, we further use the following notation for a rational GDMS S .

Notation. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in V$.

- Let $X_i(S) := \{(g_n, e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X(S) \mid i = i(e_1)\}$.
- For each $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_N) \in X^N(S)$, a *cylinder set* of length N is a set $[\tau_1, \dots, \tau_N] := \{(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X(S) \mid 1 \leq \forall n \leq N, \xi_n = \tau_n\}$.
- Given $\xi \in X^N(S)$ and $\xi' \in X(S)$, we write $\xi\xi' := (\xi, \xi')$ if $(\xi, \xi') \in X(S)$.
- Denote the first and second projections as $\pi_1: X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow X(S)$ and $\pi_2: X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ respectively.

Next, we list several important properties of a rational GDMS.

Proposition 2.1. Let S be a rational GDMS and \tilde{f} be the skew product map associated with S . Then, we have the following.

- (i) $\tilde{f}^{-1}(J(\tilde{f})) = J(\tilde{f})$ and $\tilde{f}(J(\tilde{f})) = J(\tilde{f})$.
- (ii) If the system S is irreducible, then $J_i(S) = J(H_i^i(S))$ for each $i \in V$.
- (iii) The map \tilde{f} is continuous on $X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and finite-to-one.
- (iv) A point $(\xi, z) \in X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfies $g'_1(z) \neq 0$ if and only if \tilde{f} is a homeomorphism in any small neighborhood of (ξ, z) .
- (v) $J(S) = \pi_2(\tilde{J}(\tilde{f}))$.

Proof. See Lemma 2.31 in [SW19] for the proof of (i). The other assertions follow from definitions and are analogous to some statements given in section 3 of [Sum00]. \square

2.1. The density of repelling periodic points and the topological exactness of a skew product map. The objectives of this subsection are to prove the density in $J(\tilde{f})$ of the repelling periodic points of a skew product map \tilde{f} and, as a consequence, the topological exactness of \tilde{f} under some standard assumptions.

Proposition 2.2. Let S be a non-elementary, irreducible rational GDMS and $\tilde{f} : X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ the associated skew product map. Then, $J(\tilde{f})$ is equal to the closure of the set of repelling periodic points of \tilde{f} .

Proof. Let U be a nonempty open subset of $X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ with $U \cap J(\tilde{f}) \neq \emptyset$. Then, there exists an element $(\xi, z_0) \in U \cap J(\tilde{f})$. We may assume $z_0 \in J_\xi$. Let $i := i(e_{\xi,1})$. There exist $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and an open subset V_0 of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that

$$(\xi, z_0) \in [\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r] \times V_0 \subset U.$$

Since S is irreducible and V is finite, there exists a finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset H(S)$ such that for each $i, j \in V$ there exists $h \in H_j^i(S) \cap \mathcal{F}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we take $h_n \in H_{t(e_{\xi,n})}^i(S) \cap \mathcal{F}$. Clearly, $\{h_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a finite set. Since $z_0 \in J_\xi$, the sequence $\{g_{\xi,n} \circ \dots \circ g_{\xi,1}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is not equicontinuous in any neighborhood of z_0 . We now show the following claim.

Claim. The sequence $\{h_n \circ g_{\xi,n} \circ \dots \circ g_{\xi,1}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is not equicontinuous in any neighborhood of z_0 .

To prove this claim, suppose $\{h_n \circ g_{\xi,n} \circ \dots \circ g_{\xi,1}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is equicontinuous in a neighborhood of z_0 . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be any small positive number. Since $\{h_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is finite, there exists an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for each ε_0 -ball B in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the diameter of any connected component of $h_n^{-1}(B)$ is less than ε . For this $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, since we are assuming that $\{h_n \circ g_{\xi,n} \circ \dots \circ g_{\xi,1}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is equicontinuous in a neighborhood of z_0 , there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the diameter of $h_n \circ g_{\xi,n} \circ \dots \circ g_{\xi,1}(D(z_0, \delta))$ is less than ε_0 . It follows that the diameter of $g_{\xi,n} \circ \dots \circ g_{\xi,1}(D(z_0, \delta))$ is less than ε . However, this contradicts $z_0 \in J_\xi$. Thus we have proved our claim.

Since S is irreducible, $\#J_i(S) = \#J(H_i^i(S)) \geq 3$ by ((ii)) of Proposition 2.1. Hence, $\#J(H_i^i(S)) = \infty$ and $J(H_i^i(S))$ is equal to the closure of the set of repelling fixed points of elements of $H_i^i(S)$; see e.g. [HM96], [Sta12].

Thus there exist mutually distinct three elements $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in J(H_i^i)$ such that for each $j = 1, 2, 3$, the point x_j is a repelling fixed point of some $\alpha_j \in H_i^i(S)$. By the claim above and Montel's theorem, it follows that there exist an element $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq r$, an element $z_1 \in V_0$, and an element $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that

$$h_n \circ g_{\xi,n} \circ \dots \circ g_{\xi,1}(z_1) = x_j.$$

Therefore there exist an element $\rho \in [\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r]$ and an element $s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s \geq r$ such that

$$(1) \quad z_1 \in J_\rho, \quad g_{\rho,s} \circ \dots \circ g_{\rho,1} \in H_i^i(S), \quad \text{and} \quad g_{\rho,s} \circ \dots \circ g_{\rho,1}(z_1) = x_j \in J_i(S) = J(H_i^i(S)).$$

Let $H := \{h \in H_i^i(S) \mid h = \dots \circ g_{\rho,s} \circ \dots \circ g_{\rho,1}\}$. Note that this is a rational semigroup and

$$(2) \quad J(H) = (g_{\rho,s} \circ \dots \circ g_{\rho,1})^{-1}(J(H_i^i(S))).$$

By (1), (2), we obtain

$$(3) \quad z_1 \in (g_{\rho,s} \circ \dots \circ g_{\rho,1})^{-1}(J(H_i^i(S))) = J(H).$$

Moreover, since $\#J(H_i^i(S)) \geq 3$, by (2) we have $\#(J(H)) \geq 3$. Hence, $J(H)$ is equal to the closure of the set of all repelling fixed points of elements of H ; see [HM96]. Combining this with (3) and the fact $z_1 \in V_0$, we obtain that there exist an element $z_2 \in V_0$ and an element $g \in H$ such that z_2 is a repelling fixed point of g .

Hence, there exist an element $\beta \in [\rho_1, \dots, \rho_s] \subset [\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r]$ and an element $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq s$ such that $\sigma^k(\beta) = \beta$ and z_2 is a repelling fixed point of $g_{\beta,k} \circ \dots \circ g_{\beta,1}$. Thus

$$(\beta, z_2) \in ([\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r] \times V_0) \cap J(\tilde{f}) \subset U \cap J(\tilde{f})$$

and (β, z_2) is a repelling periodic point of \tilde{f} . Hence, we have proved our theorem. \square

Definition 2.3. [SW19, Definition 4.5] A point z is called *an exceptional point* of S at the vertex $i \in V$ if $\#\bigcup_{h \in H_i^i(S)} h^{-1}(\{z\}) < 3$, and let $\mathcal{E}_i(S)$ denote the set of all exceptional points z of S at $i \in V$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $S := (V, E, (\Gamma_e)_{e \in E})$ be a non-elementary, irreducible and aperiodic rational GDMS. Suppose that K is a compact subset of $X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $K \cap (X_i(S) \times \mathcal{E}_i(S)) = \emptyset$ for each $i \in V$. Furthermore, assume that for any open set $U \subset X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ with $U \cap J(\tilde{f}) \neq \emptyset$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and non-empty open set $U_0 \subset U$ such that

$$(4) \quad U_0 \cap J(\tilde{f}) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f}^k(U_0) \supset U_0.$$

Then, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N$, $\tilde{f}^{nk}(U_0) \supset K$.

Proof. Fix U in the assumption. Then, Theorem 2.2 allows us to take an arbitrary repelling \tilde{f} -periodic point $(\xi, z_0) \in U_0 \cap J(\tilde{f})$ of period $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Here, there exist $r' \in \mathbb{N}$ and a neighborhood V_0 of z_0 such that $r := r'k$ and

$$(5) \quad U_0 \supset [\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r] \times V_0.$$

Setting $V_1 := (g_{\xi,r} \circ \dots \circ g_{\xi,1})(V_0)$, we have

$$(6) \quad \tilde{f}^r([\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r] \times V_0) \supset [\xi_1] \times V_1.$$

It follows from (5) and (6) that

$$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{f}^{nk}(U_0) \supset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{f}^{nk} \left(\tilde{f}^r([\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r] \times V_0) \right) \supset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{f}^{nk}([\xi_1] \times V_1).$$

Thus, it suffices to prove $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{f}^{nk}([\xi_1] \times V_1) \supset K$ to complete the proof.

Let $i \in V$ and take $(\rho, z_1) \in K \cap (\pi_1^{-1}X_i(S))$. Then, we have $z_1 \notin \mathcal{E}_i(S)$ by the assumption. Under the setting of this argument, we verify the next assertion.

Claim. $\#\pi_2(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{f}^{-nk}(\rho, z_1) \cap \pi_1^{-1}[\xi_1]) = \infty$.

We prove this claim. Firstly, one may observe that $\#\pi_2(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{f}^{-nk}(\rho, z_1)) = \infty$. Indeed, if $\#\pi_2(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{f}^{-nk}(\rho, z_1)) < \infty$, then we have $\#\bigcup_{g \in H_i^i(S)} g^{-1}(z_1) < \infty$, which implies the contradiction that $z_1 \in \mathcal{E}_i(S)$.

Next, assume for contradiction that $\#\pi_2(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{f}^{-nk}(\rho, z_1) \cap \pi_1^{-1}([\xi_1])) < \infty$. Noting that $i := i(e_{\tau,1})$ and the topological exactness of σ^k , the definition of $\mathcal{E}_i(S)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we see that this inequality implies $z_1 \in \mathcal{E}_i(S)$. Hence, our claim holds. Therefore, the desired result follows from this claim. \square

Theorem 2.5. Let S be a non-elementary, irreducible and aperiodic rational GDMS. Suppose that $\mathcal{E}_i(S) \subset F_i(S)$. Let K be a compact subset of $X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $K \cap (X_i(S) \times \mathcal{E}_i(S)) = \emptyset$ for each $i \in V$. Let U be an open subset of $X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $U \cap J(\tilde{f}) \neq \emptyset$. Then, there exists an element $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq N$, we have $\tilde{f}^n(U) \supset K$.

Proof. By the irreducibility of S , for each $i, j \in V$, and for each $h \in H_i^j(S)$, we have $h(\mathcal{E}_i(S)) \subset \mathcal{E}_j(S)$ and $h(F_i(S)) \subset F_j(S)$. For each connected component W of $F_i(S)$ with $W \cap \mathcal{E}_i(S) \neq \emptyset$, we take the hyperbolic metric. For each $r > 0$, we denote by $A_i(r)$ the r -open neighborhood of $\mathcal{E}_i(S)$ in $F_i(S)$ with respect to the hyperbolic metric. Then, for each $i, j \in V$ and for each $h \in H_i^j(S)$, we have $h(A_i(r)) \subset A_j(r)$. From the assumptions of our theorem, there exists a small $r > 0$ such that $K \cap (X_i(S) \times A_i(r)) = \emptyset$ for each $i \in V$. Thus $\tilde{f}(\cup_{i \in V} X_i(S) \times (\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus A_i(r))) \supset \cup_{i \in V} X_i(S) \times (\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus A_i(r))$. Hence, we may assume that $\tilde{f}(K) \supset K$.

By Theorem 2.2, there exist a natural number k and a point $(\rho, a) \in U \cap J(\tilde{f})$ such that (ρ, a) is a repelling fixed point of \tilde{f}^k . Hence, there exists a non-empty open neighborhood U_0 of (ρ, a) in U such that $\tilde{f}^k(U_0) \supset U_0$ and $U_0 \cap J(\tilde{f}) \neq \emptyset$.

Furthermore, Lemma 2.4 shows that $\tilde{f}^{nk}(U_0) \supset K$ for any sufficiently large n . Since $\tilde{f}^l(\tilde{f}^{nk}(U_0)) \supset \tilde{f}^l(K) \supset K$ for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq N$, we have $\tilde{f}^n(U) \supset K$. \square

Corollary 2.6. Let S be a non-elementary, irreducible and aperiodic rational GDMS. Suppose that $\mathcal{E}_i(S) \subset F_i(S)$ for each $i \in V$. Then, $\tilde{f} : J(\tilde{f}) \rightarrow J(\tilde{f})$ is topologically exact.

Proof. From the assumptions of our Corollary, $J(\tilde{f}) \cap (X_i(S) \times \mathcal{E}_i(S)) = \emptyset$ for each $i \in V$. Thus by Theorem 2.5, $\tilde{f} : J(\tilde{f}) \rightarrow J(\tilde{f})$ is topologically exact. \square

Corollary 2.7. Let S be a non-elementary, irreducible and aperiodic rational GDMS. Suppose that the associated skew product map \tilde{f} is expanding along fibers. Then, $\mathcal{E}_i(S) \subset F_i(S)$ for each $i \in V$ and thus $\tilde{f} : J(\tilde{f}) \rightarrow J(\tilde{f})$ is topologically exact.

Proof. Under the assumptions of our corollary, suppose that there exists an $i \in V$ such that $\mathcal{E}_i(S) \cap J_i(S) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in \mathcal{E}_i(S) \cap J_i(S)$. Then for each $h \in H_i^i(S)$, $x \in E(h)$ and $h^2(x) = x$. We now show the following claim.

Claim. For each $h \in H_i^i(S)$ we have $\deg(h) = 1$.

To prove this claim, suppose there exists an $h \in H_i^i(S)$ with $\deg(h) \geq 2$. Then since $x \in E(h)$ and $\deg(h) \geq 2$, x is a super attracting fixed point of h^2 [Bea00]. Since S is non-elementary, $J_i(S) = J(H_i^i(S))$ is equal to the closure of the set of all repelling fixed points of elements of $H_i^i(S)$. Thus $\pi_1(J_i(\tilde{f})) = J_i(S)$, where $J_i(\tilde{f}) = \{(\xi, z) \in J(\tilde{f}) \mid i(e_{\xi,1}) = i\}$. In particular, there exists an element $\xi \in X_i(S)$ such that $(\xi, x) \in J(\tilde{f})$. Let $\rho = (g_j, e_j)_{j=1}^r \in (\text{Rat} \times E)^r$ be a finite admissible element such that $g_r \circ \dots \circ g_1 = h^2$. Then $(\rho\xi, x) \in \tilde{f}^{-r}(J(\tilde{f})) = J(\tilde{f})$. Moreover, since x is a super attracting fixed point of h^2 , we have $(\tilde{f}^r)'(\rho\xi, x) = 0$. However, this contradicts that \tilde{f} is expanding on $J(\tilde{f})$. Thus we have proved Claim 2.1.

Since S is non-elementary, $J_i(S) = J(H_i^i(S))$ is an infinite set and is equal to the closure of the set of all repelling fixed points of elements of $H_i^i(S)$. Thus there exist an element $y \in J_i(S) \setminus \mathcal{E}_i(S)$ and an element $h \in H_i^i(S)$ such that y is a repelling fixed point of h . Then y is a repelling fixed point of h^2 . By Claim 2.1, h^2 is a loxodromic Möbius transformation, and x is an attracting fixed point of h^2 .

By the argument in the proof of Claim 2.1, there exists an element $\xi \in X(S)$ such that $(\xi, x) \in J(\tilde{f})$. Since \tilde{f} is expanding on $J(\tilde{f})$, there exists a number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n \geq N$ and for each $(\alpha, z) \in J(\tilde{f})$, we have

$$(7) \quad \|(\tilde{f}^n)'(\alpha, z)\| > 2.$$

Let $\beta = (\gamma_j, e_j)_{j=1}^s \in (\text{Rat} \times E)^s$ be a finite admissible element such that $\gamma_s \circ \cdots \circ \gamma_1 = h^{2N}$. Then $(\beta\xi, x) \in (\tilde{f}^s)^{-1}(J(\tilde{f})) = J(\tilde{f})$. Moreover, since x is an attracting fixed point of h^2 , we have $\|(\tilde{f}^s)'(\beta\xi, x)\| < 1$. However, this contradicts (7).

Therefore $\mathcal{E}_i(S) \cap J_i(S) = \emptyset$ for each $i \in V$. By Theorem 2.5, it follows that \tilde{f} is topologically exact on $J(\tilde{f})$. Hence, we have proved our corollary. \square

2.2. Hyperbolicity implies expandingness. In this subsection, we shall prove that Main result C by verifying that the hyperbolic system S satisfies the assumption of Theorem 2.17 of [Sum01], which is an assertion to the same effect as ours. The only task is to prove that under certain assumptions a rational GDMS satisfies a condition called Condition (C2) given below. To clarify what this condition is, let us present the setting in which it was introduced.

Definition 2.8. [Sum01, Definition 2.1] Let (X, d) be a compact metric space. Suppose that $p : X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map and $q_x : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a rational map with a degree at least one for each $x \in X$. Then, a map $\tilde{f} : X \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow X \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ given by $\tilde{f}((x, y)) := (p(x), q_x(y))$ is called a *rational skew product*, where $p : X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map and $q_x : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a rational map with degree at least one for each $x \in X$. Then we say that $\tilde{f} : X \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow X \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a rational skew product. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$, we set $q_x^{(n)} := q_{p^{n-1}(x)} \circ \cdots \circ q_x$ and $\tilde{f}_x^n := \tilde{f}^n|_{\pi_X^{-1}(x)}$. For each $x \in X$, let $F_x := \{y \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} : (q_x^{(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is normal in a neighborhood of } y\}$ and $J_\xi := \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus F_x$ and $\tilde{J}_x = \{x\} \times J_\xi$. Further, we set $J(\tilde{f}) := \overline{\bigcup_{x \in X} \tilde{J}_x} \subset X \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and $F(\tilde{f}) := (X \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}) \setminus J(\tilde{f})$. We endow the set of all non-empty subsets of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ with the Hausdorff metric.

Definition 2.9. [Sum01, Condition (C2)] We say that a rational skew product \tilde{f} satisfies Condition (C2) if for each $x_0 \in X$ there exists an open neighborhood O of x_0 and a family $(D_x)_{x \in O}$ of round discs in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that the following three conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\overline{\bigcup_{x \in O} \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \tilde{f}^n(\{x\} \times D_x)} \subset F(\tilde{f})$;
- (ii) for any $x \in O$, we have that $\text{diam}(q_x^{(n)}(D_x)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- (iii) $x \mapsto D_x$ is continuous in O .

Definition 2.10. [SW19] Let L_i be a subset of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ for each $i \in V$. For a family $\mathcal{F} \subset \text{Rat}$ and a set $A \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, we write $\mathcal{F}(A) := \bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} f(A)$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(A) := \bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} f^{-1}(A)$, where we set $\mathcal{F}(A) := \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(A) := \emptyset$ if $\mathcal{F} = \emptyset$. Utilizing these symbols, we name the following properties.

- (i) The family $(L_i)_{i \in V}$ is said to be *forward S -invariant* if $\Gamma_e(L_{i(e)}) \subset L_{t(e)}$ for each $e \in E$.
- (ii) The family $(L_i)_{i \in V}$ is said to be *backward S -invariant* if $\Gamma_e^{-1}(L_{t(e)}) \subset L_{i(e)}$ for each $e \in E$.

Proposition 2.11. Let S be a rational GDMS. Then, the families $(F_i(S))_{i \in V}$ and $(P_i(S))_{i \in V}$ are both forward S -invariant and the family $(J_i(S))_{i \in V}$ is backward S -invariant.

Proof. The forward S -invariance of $(P_i(S))_{i \in V}$ follows from the definition. See Lemma 2.15 of [SW19] for the other assertions. \square

Proposition 2.12. Let $S := (V, E, (\Gamma_e)_{e \in E})$ be a non-elementary, hyperbolic, irreducible rational GDMS. For each $i \in V$ and $h \in H_i^i(S)$, assume that h is loxodromic when $\deg(h) = 1$. Then, the skew product map \tilde{f} of S satisfies Condition (C2).

Proof. Let $i \in V$. We use \mathcal{W}_i to denote the set of connected components of $F_i(S)$ which have a non-empty intersection with $P_i(S)$. Note that the hyperbolicity and irreducibility of S imply $\mathcal{W}_i \neq \emptyset$. The compactness of $P_i(S)$ in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ guarantees that $\#\mathcal{W}_i < \infty$. We use Ω_i as an index set

for the elements of \mathcal{W}_i and we write $\mathcal{W}_i = \{W_\omega : \omega \in \Omega_i\}$. For each $\omega \in \Omega_i$, we may take some compact subset $K_{i,\omega}$ of W_ω given by $K_{i,\omega} := \{\zeta \in W_\omega : d_\omega(\zeta, P_i(S) \cap W_\omega) \leq 1\}$, where d_ω denotes the hyperbolic distance on W_ω and $d_\omega(\zeta, P_i(S) \cap W_\omega) := \inf\{d_\omega(\zeta, p) : p \in P_i(S) \cap W_\omega\}$ for each $\zeta \in W_\omega$. We shall write $\mathcal{K} := \{K_{i,\omega} : i \in V, \omega \in \Omega_i\}$.

Here, for each $\xi := (\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X(S)$, take a family of spherical open discs $(D_\rho)_{\rho \in [\xi_1]}$ such that for each $\rho, \rho' \in [\xi_1]$ we have $D_\rho = D_{\rho'}$, $D_\rho \cap P_{i(e_{\xi,1})}(S) \neq \emptyset$ and $D_\rho \subset K_{i(e_{\xi,1}),\omega}$ for some $\omega \in \Omega_{i(e_{\xi,1})}$. It is immediately clear from definitions that this finite family satisfies (iii) of Condition (C2). Moreover, noting $D_\rho \subset K_{i(e_{\xi,1}),\omega} \subset W_\omega$, we see that $(D_\rho)_{\rho \in [\xi_1]}$ satisfies (i) of Condition (C2) for any $\xi \in X(S)$. Now, (ii) remains to be verified for $(D_\rho)_{\rho \in [\xi_1]}$. For simplicity, we shall write $N := \#V \times \max_{j \in V} \#W_j$ and $g_{\xi,[a,b]} := g_{\xi,b} \circ \cdots \circ g_{\xi,a}$ for every $\xi \in X(S)$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a < b$.

Now, we fix $\eta > 0$, $\xi \in X(S)$, a member D_ρ of $(D_\rho)_{\rho \in [\xi_1]}$ for the remainder of the proof. Take arbitrary $z \in D_\rho \cap P_{i(e_{\xi,1})}(S)$ and $k' \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, the S -forward invariance of $P(S)$, $\#\mathcal{W}_i < \infty$ and $\#V < \infty$ imply that there exists $(i, \omega, (a, b)) \in V \times \Omega_i \times \mathbb{N}^2$ such that

$$(8) \quad k' \leq b, a < b \text{ and } g_{\xi,[1,b]}(z), g_{\xi,[1,a]}(z) \in P_i(S) \cap W_\omega.$$

We shall verify the next claim under the setting above.

Claim. For each $k' \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(i, \omega, (a, b)) \in V \times \Omega_i \times \mathbb{N}^2$ satisfying (8), we have the following.

(i) $g_{\xi,[a,b]}(W_\omega \cap P_i(S)) \subset W_\omega \cap P_i(S)$.

(ii) there exists $\lambda(i, K_{i,\omega}, g_{\xi,[a,b]}) \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $z_1, z_2 \in K_{i,\omega}$ we have

$$(9) \quad d_\omega(g_{\xi,[a,b]}(z_1), g_{\xi,[a,b]}(z_2)) \leq \lambda(i, K_{i,\omega}, g_{\xi,[a,b]})d_\omega(z_1, z_2).$$

We prove this claim. As (i) follows immediately from definitions, we shall prove (ii). Suppose that the map $g_{\xi,[a,b]}$ satisfies $\deg(g_{\xi,[a,b]}) \geq 2$. Remark that the hyperbolicity of S and definitions imply that $P(g_{\xi,[a,b]}) \subset P_i(S) \subset F_i(S) \subset F(g_{\xi,[a,b]})$. Noting (i), one may prove that $W_\omega \cap P_i(S)$ contains an attracting fixed point of $g_{\xi,[a,b]}$. Hence, by the Pick theorem [Mil11], there exists $\lambda(i, K_{i,\omega}, g_{\xi,[a,b]}) \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $z_1, z_2 \in K_{i,\omega}$, we have

$$(10) \quad d_\omega(g_{\xi,[a,b]}(z_1), g_{\xi,[a,b]}(z_2)) \leq \lambda(i, K_{i,\omega}, g_{\xi,[a,b]})d_\omega(z_1, z_2).$$

Next, we assume that $\deg(g_{\xi,[a,b]}) = 1$. Since, $g_{\xi,[a,b]}$ is loxodromic by the assumption, $W_\omega \cap P_i(S)$ contains an attracting fixed point of $g_{\xi,[a,b]}$. Thus, the Pick theorem shows that there exists $\lambda(i, K_{i,\omega}, g_{\xi,[a,b]}) \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $z_1, z_2 \in K_{i,\omega}$ the inequality in (10) holds. Therefore, the conclusion of our claim follows.

For each natural number $l \leq N$, we set

$$\mathcal{H}_{i,\xi}(l) := \{g_{\xi,[a,b]} \in H_i^i(S) : \exists(\omega, (a, b)) \in \Omega_i \times \mathbb{N}^2 \text{ s.t. } b - a = l, g_{\xi,[1,b]}(z), g_{\xi,[1,a]}(z) \in P_i(S) \cap W_\omega\}.$$

Since V and Γ are both finite sets, $\mathcal{H}_{i,\xi}(l)$ is a finite set and thus

$$\lambda_\xi := \max \left\{ \lambda(j, K_{j,\omega}, h) : i \in V, \omega \in \Omega_j, K_{j,\omega} \in \mathcal{K}, h \in \bigcup_{l=1}^N \mathcal{H}_{j,\xi}(l) \neq \emptyset \right\} < 1.$$

Also, letting diam_ω denote the diameter in the hyperbolic distance d_ω for $\omega \in \Omega$, we have

$$c := \sup \left\{ \frac{\text{diam}_\omega(A)}{\text{diam}_{\widehat{C}}(A)} : j \in V, \omega \in \Omega_j, A \subset K_{j,\omega} \text{ is compact in } W_\omega \right\} < \infty,$$

where we used the fact that the ratio of conformal metrics is bounded away from 0 and infinity when restricted on a compact set.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $c\lambda_\xi^k < \eta$. Then, it follows from the S -forward invariance of $P(S)$, $\#\mathcal{W}_i < \infty$ and $\#V < \infty$ that for all $n \geq k(N+1)$, there exist natural numbers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k$ such that $1 \leq \alpha_1 < \beta_1 < \alpha_2 < \beta_2 < \dots < \alpha_k < \beta_k \leq n$ satisfying the property that for each $l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ there exist $i \in V$ and $\omega \in \Omega_i$ such that $g_{\xi, [1, \beta_l]}(z), g_{\xi, [1, \alpha_l]}(z) \in P_i(S) \cap W_\omega$. Thus, we obtain

$$(11) \quad \text{diam}_{\widehat{C}}(g_{\xi, [1, n]}(D_\rho)) \leq c\lambda_\xi^k < \eta.$$

Combining this with 2.11 of Proposition 2.1 and the fact that $\xi \in X(S)$ and $z \in P_{i(e_{\xi, 1})}(S)$ are arbitrary, we may conclude that (2) of Condition (C2) holds by taking $(D_\rho)_{\rho \in [\xi]}$ for each $\xi \in X(S)$. Consequently, the desired statement follows. \square

Theorem 2.13. Let S be a hyperbolic, non-elementary, irreducible rational GDMS. For each $i \in V$ and $h \in H_i^1(S)$, assume that h is loxodromic when $\deg(h) = 1$. Then, the skew product map \tilde{f} of S is expanding along fibers.

Proof. Since \tilde{f} satisfies Condition (C2), Theorem 2.17 of [Sum01] verifies the statement. \square

2.3. Bowen's formula. The last subsection is dedicated to presenting the central result of Bowen's formula for a rational GDMS. We shall start by proving the existence of a conformal measure for $(J(\tilde{f}), \tilde{f}|_{J(\tilde{f})})$ and deriving some properties.

We shall write $\tilde{f} := \tilde{f}|_{J(\tilde{f})}$ to avoid redundancy when the identification is clear from the context. Denote by $C(J(\tilde{f}))$ the space of all real-valued, Borel measurable functions on $J(\tilde{f})$ equipped with the supremum norm written as $\|\cdot\|_{J(\tilde{f})}$. Let $\varphi : J(\tilde{f}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function and $P(\tilde{f}, \varphi)$ be the topological pressure of (\tilde{f}, φ) . Then, the variational principle holds: $P(\tilde{f}, \varphi) = \sup\{h_\mu(\tilde{f}) + \int \varphi d\mu : \mu \in M_{\tilde{f}}(J(\tilde{f}))\}$, where $M_{\tilde{f}}(J(\tilde{f}))$ is the set of all \tilde{f} -invariant Borel probability measures on $J(\tilde{f})$ and $h_\mu(\tilde{f})$ is the measure-theoretic entropy of \tilde{f} with respect to $\mu \in M_{\tilde{f}}(J(\tilde{f}))$; see [Wal82]. An element $\mu \in M_{\tilde{f}}(J(\tilde{f}))$ is called an equilibrium state of (\tilde{f}, φ) if $P(\tilde{f}, \varphi) = h_\mu(\tilde{f}) + \int \varphi d\mu$.

When the skew product Julia set $J(\tilde{f})$ contains no critical point of \tilde{f} , we define a function $\tilde{\varphi} : J(\tilde{f}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{z}) := -\log \|g'_{\xi_1}(z)\|$ for each $\tilde{z} := (\xi, z) \in J(\tilde{f})$. Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, a Perron-Frobenius operator $\mathcal{L}_{t\tilde{\varphi}} : C(J(\tilde{f})) \rightarrow C(J(\tilde{f}))$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{t\tilde{\varphi}}\psi(\tilde{z}) := \sum_{\tilde{y} \in \tilde{f}^{-1}(\{\tilde{z}\})} \exp(t\tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{y}))\psi(\tilde{y})$$

for each $\psi \in C(J(\tilde{f}))$ and $\tilde{z} \in J(\tilde{f})$. Let $\mathcal{L}_{t\tilde{\varphi}}^*$ denote the dual operator of $\mathcal{L}_{t\tilde{\varphi}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ denote the Borel σ -algebra on $J(\tilde{f})$. Also, a function $\mathcal{P} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ defined by $\mathcal{P}(t) := P(\tilde{f}, t\tilde{\varphi})$ is called the geometric pressure function of (\tilde{f}, φ) . All the statements of this subsection shall be given in this setting.

Lemma 2.14. Suppose that a rational GDMS S is expanding. Then, the following hold.

- (i) For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a unique probability measure $\tilde{\nu}_t$ on $(J(\tilde{f}), \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{t\tilde{\varphi}}^*\tilde{\nu}_t = \lambda\tilde{\nu}_t$, where $\lambda := \mathcal{L}_{t\tilde{\varphi}}^*(\tilde{\nu}_t)(1)$.
- (ii) There exists $h \in C(J(\tilde{f}))$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{t\tilde{\varphi}}h = \lambda h$, $\tilde{\nu}_t(h) = 1$, $h > 0$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\lambda^{-n} \mathcal{L}_{t\tilde{\varphi}}^n \psi - \tilde{\nu}_t(\psi)h\|_{J(\tilde{f})} = 0$$

for each $\psi \in C(J(\tilde{f}))$.

- (iii) The measure $h\tilde{\nu}_t$ is \tilde{f} -invariant, exact (hence ergodic) and an equilibrium state for $(\tilde{f}, t\tilde{\varphi})$.

(iv) There exists a unique zero δ of $t \mapsto \mathcal{P}(t)$ such that $\delta = -h_{h\tilde{\nu}_\delta}(\tilde{f}) / \int \tilde{\varphi} h d\tilde{\nu}_\delta$.

Proof. Noting the topological exactness of \tilde{f} proved in Corollary 2.7 and its expandingness, one may prove that a sufficiently high iteration \tilde{f}^s satisfies Condition I and II of [Wal82]. Thus, (i) follows from the application to $(\tilde{f}^s, \tilde{\varphi})$ of Lemma 2 in [Wal82], and (ii) also follows from Theorem 8 in [Wal82]. One may justify (iii) by the argument on page 140 of [Wal82].

To prove (iv), consider a rational semigroup generated by all the elements of Γ , and its skew product Julia set $J_\Gamma(\tilde{f})$. Since $h_{\text{top}}(\tilde{f}|_{J(\tilde{f})}) \leq h_{\text{top}}(\tilde{f}|_{J_\Gamma(\tilde{f})})$, it follows from Theorem 6.1 of [Sum00] that $h_{\text{top}}(\tilde{f}|_{J(\tilde{f})}) \leq h_{\text{top}}(\tilde{f}|_{J_\Gamma(\tilde{f})}) \leq \log(\sum_{g \in \Gamma} \deg(g))$, where $h_{\text{top}}(\tilde{f}|_{J(\tilde{f})})$ and $h_{\text{top}}(\tilde{f}|_{J_\Gamma(\tilde{f})})$ refer to the topological entropies of $\tilde{f}|_{J(\tilde{f})}$ and $\tilde{f}|_{J_\Gamma(\tilde{f})}$. Combining this fact with the expandingness of \tilde{f} verifies the function \mathcal{P} is strictly decreasing on \mathbb{R} , and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}(t) = -\infty$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \mathcal{P}(t) = \infty$ hold. The last identity may be justified by the definition of the equilibrium state $h\tilde{\nu}$ of $(\tilde{f}, \delta\tilde{\varphi})$. \square

Let $\mathcal{L}^1(\tilde{\nu}) := \{f : J(\tilde{f}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \int_{J(\tilde{f})} |f| d\tilde{\nu} < \infty\}$ and $L^1(\tilde{\nu}) := \mathcal{L}^1(\tilde{\nu}) / \sim$ equipped with L^1 norm, where the relation $f \sim g$ means $f(\tilde{z}) = g(\tilde{z})$ for $\tilde{\nu}$ -a.e. $\tilde{z} \in J(\tilde{f})$.

Lemma 2.15. For an expanding rational GDMS S , consider the probability space $(J(\tilde{f}), \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\nu}_\delta)$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, each $A \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $\tilde{f}^k|_A : A \rightarrow \tilde{f}^k(A)$ is injective, we have

$$\tilde{\nu}_\delta(\tilde{f}^k(A)) = \int_A \|(\tilde{f}^k)'\|^\delta d\tilde{\nu}_\delta.$$

Proof. One may first show that $\mathcal{L}_{\delta\tilde{\varphi}}\psi \in L^1(\tilde{\nu}_\delta)$ for $\psi \in L^1(\tilde{\nu}_\delta)$ in the same manner as Lemma 3.9 in [Sum05]. Remark that \tilde{f} is finite-to-one. Then, following the approach taken in Lemma 3.10 in [Sum05], we see that Proposition 2.2 in [DU91] verifies the claim. \square

Adopting a similar approach in the proof of Lemma 4.3 in [Sum05], we obtain the following.

Proposition 2.16. Let S be an expanding rational GDMS. Let m be a probability measure on $(J(\tilde{f}), \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ and V be an open set in $X(S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $V \cap J(\tilde{f}) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that

- (i) $\tilde{f}^n|_V : V \rightarrow \tilde{f}^n(V)$ is a homeomorphism;
- (ii) for any Borel set $A \subset J(\tilde{f}) \cap V$, $m(\tilde{f}^n(A)) = \int_A \|(\tilde{f}^n)'\|^t dm$,

and let $\eta : g(V) \rightarrow V$ be an inverse mapping of $\tilde{f}^n|_V$. Then, we have $m(\eta(B)) = \int_B \|(\tilde{f}^n)'(\eta)\|^{-t} dm$ for any Borel set B in $\tilde{f}^n(V) \cap J(\tilde{f})$.

Now, we may define a push-forward measure $\nu := (\pi_2|_{J(\tilde{f})})_*(\tilde{\nu}_\delta)$ under the restriction $\pi_2|_{J(\tilde{f})}$. Let $\text{spt}(m)$ denote the support of a Borel probability measure m on $J(\tilde{f})$.

Proposition 2.17. Let S be a non-elementary, irreducible rational GDMS. Suppose that \tilde{f} is expanding and the incidence matrix is aperiodic. Then we have $\text{spt}(\tilde{\nu}_\delta) = J(\tilde{f})$ and $\text{spt}(\nu) = J(S)$.

Proof. The first conclusion follows from the topological exactness of \tilde{f} and the conformality of $\tilde{\nu}_\delta$. Since $\pi_2(J(\tilde{f})) = J(S)$, we obtain $\text{spt}(\nu) = J(S)$. \square

Following [KU23, Theorem 8.3.12] and [KU23, Lemma 8.3.14], we obtain the results based on the Koebe distortion theorem.

Lemma 2.18. Let $R, s \in (0, \pi)$. Then, there exists a monotone increasing continuous function $k : [0, 1) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ such that for each $z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, $r \in (0, R]$, $t \in [0, 1)$ and univalent analytic function $h : D(z, r) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ with $D \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus h(D(z, r))$, we have

$$\sup\{\|h'(z)\| : z \in D(z, tr)\} \leq k(t) \inf\{\|h'(z)\| : z \in D(z, tr)\}.$$

Lemma 2.19. Let $z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and $R, s \in (0, \pi)$. Let $h: D(z, 2R) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a univalent analytic function such that $h(D(z, 2r)) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ with some radius $s \in (0, \pi)$. Then, for each $0 \leq r \leq R$ we have

$$D(h(z), k^{-1}(2^{-1})r\|h'(z)\|) \subset h(D(z, r)) \subset D(h(z), k(2^{-1})r\|h'(z)\|).$$

Let $E \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, $u \geq 0$. We shall denote by $\mathcal{H}^u(E)$ and $\dim_H(E)$ respectively the u -dimensional (outer) Hausdorff measure and Hausdorff dimension of E with respect to the distance $d_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}$.

To prove the next two theorems, we shall adopt approaches similar to the ones developed in [Sum98, Theorem 3.4] and [Sum05, Lemma 3.16].

Definition 2.20. [SW19] We say a rational GDMS satisfies the *backward separating condition* (BSC) if

$$g_1^{-1}(J_{t(e_1)}(S)) \cap g_2^{-1}(J_{t(e_2)}(S)) = \emptyset$$

for every $e_1, e_2 \in E$ with the same initial vertex and for every $g_1 \in \Gamma_{e_1}, g_2 \in \Gamma_{e_2}$, except the case $e_1 = e_2$ and $g_1 = g_2$.

Theorem 2.21. Let S be a non-elementary, expanding, irreducible and aperiodic rational GDMS satisfying the backward separating condition. Then we have $\mathcal{H}^\delta(J(S)) > 0$.

Proof. By the same arguments as in [Sum98, Proof of Theorem 2.8] we have that S is hyperbolic. Thus, we may take some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $j \in V$ there exists a spherical neighborhood $\mathcal{N}(J_j(S), \varepsilon)$ of $J_j(S)$ with $\mathcal{N}(J_j(S), \varepsilon) \cap P_j(S) = \emptyset$. For each $j \in V$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_j &:= \min\{\text{dist}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}(g_1^{-1}(J_{t(e_1)}(S)), g_2^{-1}(J_{t(e_2)}(S))) \\ &\quad : e_1, e_2 \in E \text{ with } i(e_1) = i(e_2) = j, \text{ and } g_1 \in \Gamma_{e_1}, g_2 \in \Gamma_{e_2} \text{ except for } g_1 = g_2, e_1 = e_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the BSC, $\rho_j > 0$ for each $j \in V$, and thus $\rho := \min_{j \in V} \rho_j > 0$.

Fix $\tilde{z} := (\xi, z) \in J(\tilde{f})$ and $r \in (0, \varepsilon)$, and let $i := i(e_{\xi, 1})$. Remark that $z \in J_i(S)$. Then, (i) in Proposition 2.1 and (v) in Proposition 2.1 show that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\xi|_n \in X^n(S)$ such that $z_n := g_{\xi|_n}(z) = \pi_2(\tilde{f}^n(\tilde{z})) \in J_{t(e_{\xi, n})}(S)$. Also, by the analytic continuation, there exists a holomorphic map $\gamma_{\xi|_n}$ on $D(z_n, \varepsilon)$, which is the inverse mapping of the restriction of $g_{\xi|_n}$ to $g_{\xi|_n}^{-1}(D(z_n, \varepsilon))$. We shall write $r_n := 2^{-1}\varepsilon\|\gamma'_{\xi|_n}(z_n)\| > 0$ and remark that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = 0$ because \tilde{f} is expanding along fibers.

Besides, we use Lemma 2.19 to ensure that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$(12) \quad D(z, K^{-1}r_n) \subset \gamma_{\xi|_n}(D(z_n, 2^{-1}\varepsilon)) \subset D(z, Kr_n),$$

where $K := k(2^{-1})$ is given in Lemma 2.19. In addition, by Lemma 2.18 we see that

$$(13) \quad \|\gamma'_{\xi|_n}(\zeta)\| \leq 2K\varepsilon^{-1}r_n,$$

for all $\zeta \in D(z_n, 2^{-1}\varepsilon)$.

Furthermore, writing $\Gamma := \cup_{e \in E} \Gamma_e$, we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\frac{r_n}{r_{n+1}} = \frac{\|\gamma'_{\xi|_n}(z_n)\|}{\|\gamma'_{\xi|_{n+1}}(z_{n+1})\|} \leq \sup_{g \in \Gamma} \sup_{z \in g^{-1}(J(S))} \|g'(z)\| =: c_M.$$

Letting n be the largest natural number such that

$$(14) \quad r_{n+1} < Kr \leq r_n,$$

we obtain

$$(15) \quad K_1^{-1} := K^{-1}c_M^{-1} < \frac{r}{r_{n+1}} \frac{r_{n+1}}{r_n} = rr_n^{-1} \leq K^{-1}.$$

By taking $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, we may assume that $K^{-1}r_n = K^{-1}2^{-1}\varepsilon\|\gamma'_{\xi|n}(z_n)\| < \rho$. Note that since \tilde{f} is expanding along fibers, we can choose ε independently of the point $\tilde{z} \in J(\tilde{f})$.

Next, we define $\mathbf{D} := \{(\tau, \zeta) \in J(\tilde{f}) : \tau \in [\xi_1 \dots, \xi_n], \zeta \in \gamma_{\xi|n}(D(z_n, 2^{-1}\varepsilon))\}$. Then, we see that $\tilde{f}^n|_{\mathbf{D}} : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \tilde{f}^n(\mathbf{D})$ is a homeomorphism with respect to the subspace topology on \mathbf{D} and that we have

$$\tilde{f}^n(\mathbf{D}) = \left\{ (\tau, \zeta) \in J(\tilde{f}) : i(e_{\tau,1}) = t(e_{\xi,n}), \zeta \in D(z_n, 2^{-1}\varepsilon) \right\}.$$

Also, we let $\eta_{\xi|n}$ denote some inverse branch of $\tilde{f}^n|_{\mathbf{D}}$ given by $\eta_{\xi|n}((\tau, \zeta)) = (\xi|n\tau, \gamma_{\xi|n}(\zeta))$ for each $(\tau, \zeta) \in \tilde{f}^n(\mathbf{D})$. Now, let us prove the following claim under the setting developed in this argument.

Claim.

$$(16) \quad \pi_1^{-1}(X_i(S)) \cap \pi_2|_{J(\tilde{f})}^{-1}(D(z, K^{-1}r_n)) \subset \mathbf{D}.$$

Proof. Let (τ, κ) be an element of the LHS of (16), where we write $\tau := (\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots)$. Then, it suffices to prove $\tau \in [\xi_1 \dots, \xi_n]$ due to (12). Suppose for contradiction that $\tau_1 \neq \xi_1$. Remark that the definitions of (τ, κ) and (ξ, z) imply that $i(e_{\xi,1}) = i(e_{\tau,1}) = i$, $\kappa \in g_{\tau_1}^{-1}(J_{t(e_{\tau,1})}(S))$, $z \in g_{\xi_1}^{-1}(J_{t(e_{\xi,1})}(S))$. In addition, $d_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(\kappa, z) \leq K^{-1}r_n < \rho$. However, this contradicts the fact that $d_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(\kappa, z) \geq \rho$, which is guaranteed by the assumption of the BSC. Hence, we have $\tau_1 = \xi_1$. Similarly, one may verify $\tau_k = \xi_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $2 \leq k \leq n$. Our claim has been verified. \square

Recall the Borel probability measure $\tilde{\nu} := \tilde{\nu}_\delta$ given by Lemma 2.14 and the push-forward measure $\nu := (\pi_2|_{J(\tilde{f})})_*(\tilde{\nu})$ under the restriction $\pi_2|_{J(\tilde{f})}$. Then, we may define the restriction of $(J(\tilde{f}), \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\nu})$ to $\pi_1^{-1}(X_i(S))$ written as $(J(\tilde{f}) \cap \pi_1^{-1}(X_i(S)), \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \cap \pi_1^{-1}(X_i(S)), \tilde{\nu}|_{\pi_1^{-1}(X_i(S))})$. Denote $\mathcal{F}_i := \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \cap \pi_1^{-1}(X_i(S))$. Also, we have $J_i(S) = \pi_2(J(\tilde{f}) \cap \pi_1^{-1}(X_i(S)))$. Then, the push-forward of \mathcal{F}_i under $\pi_2|_{J(\tilde{f})}$ is given by

$$\pi_2|_{J(\tilde{f})}(\mathcal{F}_i) := \left\{ A \subset J_i(S) : \pi_2|_{J(\tilde{f})}^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{F}_i \right\}$$

proves to be a σ -algebra on $J_i(S)$. Hence, we may define a measure $\nu_i := (\pi_2|_{J(\tilde{f})})_* (\tilde{\nu}|_{\pi_1^{-1}(X_i(S))})$ given by

$$\left(\pi_2|_{J(\tilde{f})} \right)_* (\tilde{\nu}|_{\pi_1^{-1}(X_i(S))}) (A) := \tilde{\nu} \left(\pi_2|_{J(\tilde{f})}^{-1}(A) \cap \pi_1^{-1}(X_i(S)) \right)$$

for each $\pi_2|_{J(\tilde{f})}(\mathcal{F}_i)$ -measurable set A . Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_i(D(z, r) \cap J_i(S)) &= \tilde{\nu} \left(\pi_1^{-1}(X_i(S)) \cap \pi_2|_{J(\tilde{f})}^{-1}(D(z, r)) \right) \\ &\leq \tilde{\nu} \left(\pi_1^{-1}(X_i(S)) \cap \pi_2|_{J(\tilde{f})}^{-1}(D(z, K^{-1}r_n)) \right) \quad (\because (14)) \\ &\leq \tilde{\nu} \left(\eta_{\xi|n}(\tilde{f}^n(\mathbf{D})) \right) \quad (\because (16)) \\ &= \int_{\tilde{f}^n(\mathbf{D})} \|(\gamma_{\xi|n})'\|^\delta d\tilde{\nu} \quad (\because \text{Lemma 2.16}) \\ &< (2KK_1\varepsilon^{-1})^\delta r^\delta. \quad (\because (13)(15)) \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $z \in J_i(S)$ is arbitrarily taken, we see that combining this with Proposition 2.2 in [Fal97] and the fact that $\nu_i(J_i(S)) = 1$ implies $\mathcal{H}^\delta(J(S)) \geq \mathcal{H}^\delta(J_i(S)) > 0$. The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 2.22. Let S be a non-elementary, expanding, irreducible and aperiodic rational GDMS. Then we have $\mathcal{H}^\delta(J(S)) < \infty$ and $\overline{\dim}_B(J(S)) \leq \delta$.

Proof. Under the same setting of Theorem 2.21 without the BSC, we shall use the same notations in its proof. First, observe that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(17) \quad \pi_2|_{J(\tilde{f})}^{-1}(D(z, Kr_n) \cap J(S)) \supset \pi_2|_{J(\tilde{f})}^{-1}(\gamma_{\xi|n}(D(z_n, 2^{-1}\varepsilon) \cap J(S))) \supset \mathbf{D}.$$

Since $\text{spt}(\nu) = J(S)$, we see that for each small $a > 0$ there exists $M(a) > 0$ such that $\nu(D(\zeta, a) \cap J(S)) > M(a)$ for all $\zeta \in J(S)$. Let $r > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as in (14).

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(D(z, Kr_n) \cap J(S)) &= \tilde{\nu} \left(\pi_2|_{J(\tilde{f})}^{-1}(D(z, Kr_n) \cap J(S)) \right) \\ &\geq \tilde{\nu} \left(\eta_{\xi|n} \left(\tilde{f}^n(\mathbf{D}) \right) \right) \quad (\because (17)) \\ &= \int_{\tilde{f}^n(\mathbf{D})} \|(\gamma_{\xi|n})'\|^\delta d\tilde{\nu} \quad (\because \text{Lemma 2.16}) \\ &\geq K^{-\delta} \|(\gamma_{\xi|n})'(z_n)\|^\delta \nu(D(z_n, 2^{-1}\varepsilon) \cap J(S)) \quad (\because \text{Lemma 2.18}) \\ &\geq (2K\varepsilon^{-1})^{-\delta} M(2^{-1}\varepsilon) r_n^\delta = (2K^2\varepsilon^{-1})^{-\delta} M(2^{-1}\varepsilon) (Kr_n)^\delta. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\nu(D(z, Kr_n))}{(Kr_n)^\delta} \geq (2K^2\varepsilon^{-1})^{-\delta} M(2^{-1}\varepsilon) > 0.$$

By Proposition 2.2 in [Fal97], we conclude that $\mathcal{H}^\delta(J(S)) < \infty$ and $\overline{\dim}_B(J(S)) \leq \delta$. The assertion has been justified. \square

From the two preceding theorems, the corollary below immediately follows.

Corollary 2.23. Let S be a non-elementary, expanding, irreducible and aperiodic rational GDMS satisfying the backward separating condition. Then, we have $\dim_H J(S) = \delta$ and $0 < \mathcal{H}^\delta(J(S)) < \infty$.

3. EXAMPLE

In this section, we shall use some results of this paper to present an example of an upper estimate of the Hausdorff dimension of a Julia set in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ which is generated by a rational GDMS.

To define a rational GDMS denoted by S , we first consider a set of vertices $V_S := \{1, 2, 3\}$ and a set of direct edges $E_S := (V_S \times V_S) \setminus \{(1, 2)\}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 5$. We define rational maps

$$g_1(z) := z^n, \quad g_2(z) := (z - 3i)^n + 3i, \quad g_3(z) := (z - 3)^n + 3.$$

We define the family $(\Gamma_e)_{e \in E_S}$ by $\Gamma_e := \{g_{t(e)}\}$ for each $e \in E$.

A rational GDMS $S := (V_S, E_S, (\Gamma_e)_{e \in E_S})$ has now been defined. We next define an incidence matrix A associated with the directed graph (V_S, E_S) as follows.

$$\forall \mathbf{e} \in V_S \times V_S, \quad a_{\mathbf{e}} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{e} \in E_S \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{e} = (1, 2) \end{cases} \quad ; \quad A := (a_e)_{e \in E_S} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $(\Sigma_A, \hat{\sigma})$ denote the subshift of finite type over V_S associated with A , where $\hat{\sigma}: \Sigma_A \rightarrow \Sigma_A$ is the left shift. Note that the matrix A is irreducible and aperiodic. Since each Γ_e is a singleton, $(\Sigma_A, \hat{\sigma})$ is topologically conjugate to $(X(S), \sigma)$. Hence, S is irreducible and aperiodic. The largest eigenvalue of A will be denoted by $\lambda_A := (3 + \sqrt{5})/2$.

Let G_S be the rational semigroup generated by the family $(g_i)_{i \in V_S}$ and $F(G_S)$ be the Fatou set of G_S , and the post-critical set of G_S is defined by $P(G_S) := \overline{\bigcup_{h \in G_S} \{\text{all critical values of } h\}} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. We write CP to refer to the set of all the critical points of elements of $\{g_i : i \in V_S\}$.

Then, one may check that the system S is hyperbolic as follows. Let

$$K_S := \{|z| \geq 5\} \cup \bigcup_{i \in V_S} \{|z - p_i| \leq 2^{-1}\} \subset F(G_S).$$

Then, it immediately follows that $g(\text{CP}) \subset K_S$ for each $g \in \{g_i : i \in V_S\}$. Hence, any small open neighborhood $g(\mathcal{N}_S) \subset \mathcal{N}_S$ for each element of $\{g_i : i \in V_S\}$. Then, Montel's theorem implies that $\mathcal{N}_S \subset F(G_S)$, and thus $P(G_S) \subset F(G_S)$. Thus, we conclude that the system S is hyperbolic.

Let \tilde{f}_S be a skew product map associated with S and write $\tilde{f} := \tilde{f}_S|_{J(\tilde{f}_S)}$ for simplicity. Now we see that Proposition 2.12 implies that S is expanding. Let $\delta(S)$ denote the unique zero of the topological pressure $P(\tilde{f}, t\tilde{\varphi})$, where $\tilde{\varphi}$ and $P(\tilde{f}, t\tilde{\varphi})$ are as in subsection 2.3. Also, by (iii) and (iv) of Lemma 2.14, there exists an equilibrium state $\hat{\nu}$ of $(\tilde{f}, \delta(S)\tilde{\varphi})$ and we obtain

$$\delta(S) = \frac{h_{\hat{\nu}}(\tilde{f})}{\int \log |\tilde{f}'| d\hat{\nu}}.$$

By [Jon00, Theorem B, (ii)], we have $h_{\hat{\nu}}(\tilde{f}) \leq h_{\pi_{1*}\hat{\nu}}(\sigma) + \log n$. Hence, $h_{\hat{\nu}}(\tilde{f}) \leq h_{\text{top}}(\sigma) + \log n$. Since the pairs $(X(S), \sigma)$ and $(\Sigma_A, \hat{\sigma})$ are topologically conjugated by some map $\psi : \Sigma_A \rightarrow X(S)$ given by $(\omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto (g_{\omega_{n+1}}, (\omega_n, \omega_{n+1}))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, we have $h_{\text{top}}(\sigma) = h_{\text{top}}(\hat{\sigma}) = \log \lambda_A$.

One may verify that the system S satisfies the BSC as follows. Let $U := \{|z| \leq 5\}$. Then, $g_1^{-1}(U) \subset \{|z| \leq \sqrt[5]{5}\} \subset \{|z| < 1.4\}$, $g_2^{-1}(U) \subset \{|z - 3i| < \sqrt[5]{8}\} \subset \{|z - 3i| < 1.55\}$ and $g_3^{-1}(U) \subset \{|z - 3| \leq \sqrt[5]{8}\} \subset \{|z - 3| < 1.55\}$. Thus, $g_i^{-1}(U) \subset U$, for each $i \in V_S$ and $g_i^{-1}(U) \cap g_j^{-1}(U) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Since $J(S) \subset J(G_S) \subset U$, one may conclude that S satisfies the BSC.

Therefore, Corollary 2.23 yields

$$\dim_H(J(S)) = \delta(S) = \frac{h_{\hat{\nu}}(\tilde{f})}{\int \log |\tilde{f}'| d\hat{\nu}} \leq \frac{1}{\log n} \left(\log n + \log \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right) < 1 + \frac{\log(3 + \sqrt{5})2^{-1}}{\log 5} < 1.6$$

and $0 < \mathcal{H}^{\delta(S)}(J(S)) < \infty$.

REFERENCES

- [Bea00] Alan F Beardon. Iteration of rational functions: Complex analytic dynamical systems, volume 132. Springer Science & Business Media, 2000.
- [Bow79] Rufus Bowen. Hausdorff dimension of quasicircles. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., (50):11–25, 1979.
- [Bow08] Rufus Bowen. Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms, volume 470 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, revised edition, 2008. With a preface by David Ruelle, Edited by Jean-René Chazottes.
- [DU91] Manfred Denker and Mariusz Urbański. On the existence of conformal measures. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 328(2):563–587, 1991.
- [Fal97] Kenneth Falconer. Techniques in fractal geometry. Wiley Chichester, 1997.
- [GR96] Zhimin Gong and Fuyao Ren. A random dynamical system formed by infinitely many functions. Journal of Fudan University. Natural Science, 35, 01 1996.
- [HM96] A. Hinkkanen and G. J. Martin. The dynamics of semigroups of rational functions. I. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 73(2):358–384, 1996.
- [Jon00] Mattias Jonsson. Ergodic properties of fibered rational maps. Ark. Mat., 38(2):281–317, 2000.
- [KU23] Janina Kotus and Mariusz Urbański. Meromorphic dynamics. Vol. I. Abstract ergodic theory, geometry, graph directed Markov systems, and conformal measures, volume 46 of New Mathematical Monographs. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2023.

- [Mil11] John Milnor. Dynamics in One Complex Variable.(AM-160):(AM-160)-, volume 160. Princeton University Press, 2011.
- [Rue82] David Ruelle. Repellers for real analytic maps. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 2(1):99–107, 1982.
- [Rue04] David Ruelle. Thermodynamic formalism. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2004. The mathematical structures of equilibrium statistical mechanics.
- [Sin72] Ja. G. Sinaĭ. Gibbs measures in ergodic theory. Uspehi Mat. Nauk, 27(4(166)):21–64, 1972.
- [Sta12] Rich Stankewitz. Density of repelling fixed points in the Julia set of a rational or entire semigroup, II. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 32(7):2583–2589, 2012.
- [Sum97] Hiroki Sumi. On dynamics of hyperbolic rational semigroups. J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 37(4):717–733, 1997.
- [Sum98] Hiroki Sumi. On hausdorff dimension of julia sets of hyperbolic rational semigroups. Kodai Mathematical Journal, 21(1):10–28, 1998.
- [Sum00] Hiroki Sumi. Skew product maps related to finitely generated rational semigroups. Nonlinearity, 13(4):995–1019, 2000.
- [Sum01] Hiroki Sumi. Dynamics of sub-hyperbolic and semi-hyperbolic rational semigroups and skew products. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 21(2):563–603, 2001.
- [Sum05] Hiroki Sumi. Dimensions of Julia sets of expanding rational semigroups. Kodai Math. J., 28(2):390–422, 2005.
- [Sum11] Hiroki Sumi. Random complex dynamics and semigroups of holomorphic maps. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3), 102(1):50–112, 2011.
- [Sum13] Hiroki Sumi. Cooperation principle, stability and bifurcation in random complex dynamics. Adv. Math., 245:137–181, 2013.
- [Sum21] Hiroki Sumi. Negativity of Lyapunov exponents and convergence of generic random polynomial dynamical systems and random relaxed Newton’s methods. Comm. Math. Phys., 384(3):1513–1583, 2021.
- [SW19] Hiroki Sumi and Takayuki Watanabe. Non-i.i.d. random holomorphic dynamical systems and the probability of tending to infinity. Nonlinearity, 32(10):3742–3771, 2019.
- [SW22] Hiroki Sumi and Takayuki Watanabe. Non-i.i.d. random holomorphic dynamical systems and the generic dichotomy. Nonlinearity, 35(4):1857–1875, 2022.
- [Wal82] Peter Walters. An introduction to ergodic theory, volume 79 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.

(TADASHI ARIMITSU) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, FUROCHO, CHIKUSAKU, NAGOYA, 464-8602, JAPAN

Email address: m21002u@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

(JOHANNES JAERISCH) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, FUROCHO, CHIKUSAKU, NAGOYA, 464-8602, JAPAN

Email address: jaerisch@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

(HIROKI SUMI) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF HUMAN AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, YOSHIDA NIHONMATSU-CHO, SAKYO-KU, KYOTO, 606-8501, JAPAN

Email address: sumi@math.h.kyoto-u.ac.jp

(TAKAYUKI WATANABE) CHUBU UNIVERSITY ACADEMY OF EMERGING SCIENCES/CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE. 1200 MATSUMOTOCHO, KASUGAI CITY, AICHI PREFECTURE 487-8501, JAPAN

Email address: takawatanabe@isc.chubu.ac.jp