

Diagnosing thermalization dynamics of non-Hermitian quantum systems via GKSL master equations

Yiting Mao,¹ Peigeng Zhong,¹ Haiqing Lin,^{1,2,*} Xiaoqun Wang,^{2,†} and Shijie Hu^{1,3,‡}

¹Beijing Computational Science Research Center, Beijing 100084, China

²School of Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310058, China

³Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, China

The application of the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis to non-Hermitian quantum systems has become one of the most important topics in dissipative quantum chaos, recently giving rise to intense debates. The process of thermalization is intricate, involving many time-evolution trajectories in the reduced Hilbert space of the system. By considering two different expansion forms of the density matrices adopted in the biorthogonal and right-state time evolutions, we have derived two versions of the Gorini-Kossakowski-Sudarshan-Lindblad master equations describing the non-Hermitian systems coupled to a bosonic heat bath in thermal equilibrium. By solving the equations, we have identified a sufficient condition for thermalization under both time evolutions, resulting in Boltzmann biorthogonal and right-eigenstate statistics, respectively. This finding implies that the recently proposed biorthogonal random matrix theory needs an appropriate revision. Moreover, we have exemplified the precise dynamics of thermalization and thermodynamic properties with test models.

Chaotic systems are known for their high sensitivity to initial conditions and their unpredictable long-term dynamics [1]. The famous Berry-Tabor [2] and Bohigas-Giannoni-Schmit [3] conjectures assert that classically integrable systems follow Poisson statistics of uncorrelated random variables, while chaotic quantum systems in the semiclassical limit exhibit statistics based on random matrix theory (RMT), which depends solely on symmetries rather than details of the models. For non-Hermitian chaotic systems, such as dissipative quantum systems described by Lindbladian, or non-unitary quantum dynamics governed by non-Hermitian Hamiltonians, or others [4], energy levels are predicted to follow Ginibre level statistics [5–7] and to show notable cubic level repulsion [8]. Despite these established theories, the hidden relation between the dissipative quantum chaos [9–11] and thermalization in open quantum systems have been widely studied in recent years [12–17].

The construction of the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH) [18–20] to describe thermalization in general non-Hermitian systems has been a topic of debate in the past year originating from the non-Hermitian RMT and dissipative quantum chaos [21, 22]. Metaphysically, the time evolution of the unit, consisting of a system and a heat bath, is governed by a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian H , with corresponding wave functions for the left eigenstate $|\Phi(t)\rangle$ and the right eigenstate $|\Psi(t)\rangle$. Since the unit is not inherently “closed”, it is challenging to determine which bases are uniformly suitable for expanding the density matrix $\rho(t)$ of a state toward the long-term limit $t = +\infty$. Among different choices evolving from the same initial density matrix $\rho(t = 0)$: the biorthogonal time evolution (BTE) gives a mixed state with

$$\rho(t) = |\Psi(t)\rangle\langle\Phi(t)| = e^{-iHt}\rho(0)e^{iHt}, \quad (1)$$

and the right-state time evolution (RTE) yields a pure




Figure 1. (Color online) When the system s is coupled to environments, it eventually reaches a stationary state described by either the Boltzmann biorthogonal statistics or the Boltzmann right-eigenstate statistics, depending on the time evolution assumption, BTE (1) or RTE (2). These environments, denoted as $\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n$, introduce non-Hermitian effects due to the post-selection. The bosonic heat bath remains in thermal equilibrium at temperature $T = 1/\beta$ and interacts with the system through the coupling term $A \otimes B$. Rightmost plots: In the statistics, the transitions between two levels with an energy difference of ω hold the detailed balance.

state with

$$\rho(t) = |\Psi(t)\rangle\langle\Psi(t)| = e^{-iHt}\rho(0)e^{iH^\dagger t}, \quad (2)$$

as shown in Fig. 1. The reference [21] extended ETH to non-Hermitian systems using RTE (2) and proposed modifications in the off-diagonal measurement due to non-zero overlaps between different right eigenstates [21]. The resulting Boltzmann right-eigenstate statistics (BRS) is in agreement with the previous works [23–25] on the Gorini-Kossakowski-Sudarshan-Lindblad (GKSL) master equation [26, 27]. In contrast,

another study [22] argued that RMT violates ETH when evaluating the biorthogonal measurement of the operator under the assumption of BTE (1). This argument is supported by significant fluctuations in both the diagonal and off-diagonal measurements as well as strong correlations between neighboring energy levels, which are absent in chaotic Hermitian systems [22]. Although intriguing, to date, the biorthogonal chaotic dynamics in RMT have not yet been validated through model simulations.

In this work, we mainly study the thermalization process in the biorthogonal GKSL master equation using BTE (1). In contrast to RMT [22], our results show that non-Hermitian systems consistently experience decoherence when the thermalization condition is satisfied, eventually leading to thermalization. In the long term, the density matrix is shown to obey the Boltzmann biorthogonal statistics (BBS), which is further illustrated by two models.

Biorthogonal GKSL master equation. We start with a system (s) that is coupled to multiple environments (b, $\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n$), one of which serves as a bosonic heat bath (b) in thermal equilibrium at temperature $T = 1/\beta$, as shown in Fig. 1. The post-selection condition [28–31] remains valid for other environments, such as those encountered in setups with superconducting qubits [32, 33] and optical lattices [28, 30, 34]. The reduced Hilbert space of the unit (s plus b) \mathcal{H} is a product of those for the system \mathcal{H}_s (the dimension $d \geq 2$) and the heat bath \mathcal{H}_b , i.e., $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_s \otimes \mathcal{H}_b$. The total Hamiltonian can be expressed as $H = H_s \otimes \mathbb{1}_b + \mathbb{1}_s \otimes H_b + H_{sb}$, where H_s , H_b and H_{sb} represent the Hamiltonians for the system, heat bath and their interaction, respectively. In a general scenario without trivial symmetries, the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian $H_s \neq H_s^\dagger$ has the left eigenstate $|m_L\rangle$ and the right eigenstate $|m_R\rangle$ for the m th non-degenerate state with energy e_m , satisfying both the biorthonormalization condition $\langle m_L | n_R \rangle = \delta_{mn}$ and the normalization condition $\langle m_R | m_R \rangle = 1$. The coupling term can be simply written as $H_{sb} = A \otimes B$, where the operator A defined in the system and the operator B defined in the heat bath are Hermitian. For the Hermitian system, the Hermiticity of the operators A and B is the key to preserving the energy conservation of the unit [35, 36].

Following BTE (1), we get the Heisenberg equation in the interaction picture, i.e., $d\rho^I(t)/dt = -i[V(t), \rho^I(t)]$, where the coupling term $V(t) = e^{iH_0 t} H_{sb} e^{-iH_0 t}$ and $\rho^I(t) = e^{iH_0 t} \rho(t) e^{-iH_0 t}$ are rotated by the Hamiltonian $H_0 = H_s \otimes \mathbb{1}_b + \mathbb{1}_s \otimes H_b$. Assuming that the correlation time of the bath is much shorter than the relaxation time of the system when the Born-Markov approximation (BMA) is valid [1], we get $\rho^I(t) \approx \rho_s^I(t) \otimes \rho_b^I(t)$ and $[V(t), \rho^I(0)] \approx 0$, where the density matrices in the interaction picture $\rho_s^I(t) = e^{iH_s t} \rho_s(t) e^{-iH_s t}$ and $\rho_b^I(t) = e^{iH_b t} \rho_b(t) e^{-iH_b t}$ are linked to the ordinary ones $\rho_s(t)$ and $\rho_b(t)$, respectively. In addition, the heat bath always maintains its thermal equilibrium, i.e., $\rho_b^I(t) \approx \rho_b^I(0) =$

$\bar{\rho}_b = e^{-\beta H_b} / Z_b$ with $Z_b = \text{tr}_b e^{-\beta H_b}$. Consequently, one can obtain an equation:

$$\frac{d\rho_s^I(t)}{dt} = - \int_0^\infty d\tau \text{tr}_b [V(t), [V(\tau), \rho_s^I(t) \otimes \bar{\rho}_b]]. \quad (3)$$

Using the biorthogonal bases $|m_L\rangle$ and $|m_R\rangle$, we get the expansion of $V(t) = \sum_{\omega \neq 0} e^{-i\omega t} A_\omega \otimes B(t)$ as convention [1], where $A_\omega = \sum_{\omega_{mn}=\omega} A_{mn}$ sums over all selected pairs of m and n satisfying the level spacing $\omega_{mn} \equiv e_n - e_m = \omega$, and the operator for a pair of m and n is given by $A_{mn} = \mathbb{A}_{mn} |m_R\rangle \langle n_L|$ with the expansion coefficient $\mathbb{A}_{mn} = \langle m_L | A | n_R \rangle$. We assume that the system has no trivial symmetries so that $\mathbb{A}_{mn} \neq 0$ for any m and n . In addition, $B(t) = e^{iH_b t} B e^{-iH_b t}$. In this work, we consider only the case of the real number ω_{mn} , specifically in the \mathcal{PT} -unbroken region of the models.

We continue to use the definition of the correlation function for the bosonic heat bath [1], i.e., $\Gamma(\omega) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^t d\tau e^{i\omega\tau} \text{tr}_b [B(t)B(t-\tau)\bar{\rho}_b] = \gamma(\omega)/2 + i\eta(\omega)$, due to the Kubo-Martin-Schwinger (KMS) condition $\gamma(-\omega)/\gamma(\omega) = e^{-\beta\omega}$. Within the rotating-wave approximation (RWA), only the zero-frequency microscopic processes provide the effective contribution [37]. We also ignore the imaginary part η , which only gives rise to the Lamb-shift term, affecting the evolution process instead of the steady state [1]. At last, we get the biorthogonal GKSL master equation:

$$\frac{d\rho_s}{dt} = \mathcal{L}_s[\rho_s] + \mathcal{L}_b[\rho_s] = \mathcal{L}_s[\rho_s] - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_d[\rho_s] + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_j[\rho_s], \quad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_s[\rho_s] &= -i[H_s, \rho_s], \quad \mathcal{L}_d[\rho_s] = \sum_{\omega \neq 0} \gamma(\omega) \{A_{-\omega} A_\omega, \rho_s\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_j[\rho_s] &= \sum_{\omega \neq 0} \gamma(\omega) (A_\omega \rho_s A_{-\omega} + A_{-\omega} \rho_s A_\omega) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

represent the Liouvillians for the system, dissipation, and quantum jumps, respectively. And $[o_1, o_2]$ ($\{o_1, o_2\}$) denotes the regular (anti)commutator.

Thermalization condition. As we can see that the transition from the projector $|m_R\rangle \langle n_L|$ to the other one $|(m')_R\rangle \langle (n')_L|$ in Eq. (4) conserves the energy, that is $\omega_{m'm} = \omega_{n'n}$, so that the density matrix $\rho_s(t)$ can be written as a direct sum of partial density matrices $\rho_s^{(\Delta)}(t)$ for the sectors labeled by the bias $\Delta = e_n - e_m$.

To assume that M pairs of m and n construct

$$\rho_s^{(\Delta)}(t) = \sum_{p=1}^M c_p(t) |(m_p)_R\rangle \langle (n_p)_L|, \quad (6)$$

we get a Pauli master equation $d\mathbf{c}(t)/dt = \mathbb{L}\mathbf{c}(t)$ [38], where a vector of $\mathbf{c}(t) = (c_1(t), \dots, c_M(t))^T$ and two sets of $\mathbf{v}_m = \{m_1, \dots, m_M\}$ and $\mathbf{v}_n = \{n_1, \dots, n_M\}$

are introduced. The detailed derivation is provided in the Supplementary Material. In the time-independent matrix \mathbb{L} , the diagonal elements $\mathbb{L}_{pp} = -[\sum_{m' \neq m_p} \gamma(\omega_{m'm_p}) \kappa_{m_p m'} + \sum_{n' \neq n_p} \gamma(\omega_{n'n_p}) \kappa_{n_p n'}]/2$ are generated by the dissipation \mathcal{L}_d in Eq. (5), where symmetric $\kappa_{mn} = \mathbb{A}_{mn} \mathbb{A}_{nm}$ represents the transition rate, and both m' and n' run over all d levels of the system. We divide \mathbb{L}_{pp} into the part $-\sum_{q \neq p} \gamma(\omega_{m_q m_p})(\kappa_{m_p m_q} + \kappa_{n_p n_q})/2$ from the sector Δ , and the rest from $m' \notin \mathbf{v}_m$ and $n' \notin \mathbf{v}_n$. In contrast, the off-diagonal matrix element $\mathbb{L}_{qp} = \gamma(\omega_{m_q m_p}) \mathbb{A}_{m_q m_p} \mathbb{A}_{n_p n_q}$ for $q \neq p$ is only determined by the quantum jumps \mathcal{L}_j within the sector Δ as specified in Eq. (5). For a steady state $\mathbf{c}(t) = e^{\lambda t} \bar{\mathbf{c}}$, we get an equation $\mathbb{L} \bar{\mathbf{c}} = \lambda \bar{\mathbf{c}}$ for the right eigenstate $\bar{\mathbf{c}}$ of \mathbb{L} with λ representing the eigenvalue, as well as the one $\bar{\mathbf{c}}_L^\dagger \mathbb{L} = \lambda \bar{\mathbf{c}}_L^\dagger$ for the corresponding left eigenstate $\bar{\mathbf{c}}_L$.

We have two scenarios to consider: (i) For the partial density matrix $\rho_s^{(0)}(t)$ in diagonal [39] with $n_p \equiv m_p$ in Eq. (6), the equality $\sum_{q=1}^M \mathbb{L}_{qp} = 0$ holds for any p , ensuring the existence of at least a stable left eigenstate $\bar{\mathbf{c}}_L = (1, \dots, 1)^\dagger$ with the zero eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$. (ii) For the off-diagonal term $\rho_s^{(\Delta \neq 0)}(t)$, the possible presence of nonzero \mathbb{L}_{qp} indicates the existence of the level spacing degeneracy ($M \geq 2$), facilitating coherent transitions from $|m_p\rangle_R \langle n_p|_L$ to $|m_q\rangle_R \langle n_q|_L$. Remarkably, if

$$\mathbb{A}_{mn} = (\mathbb{A}_{nm})^* \quad (7)$$

is satisfied for arbitrary $m \neq n$, it is easy to prove that the matrix \mathbb{L} is strictly diagonally dominant because $|\mathbb{L}_{pp}| - \sum_{q \neq p} |\mathbb{L}_{qp}| > \sum_{q \neq p} \gamma(\omega_{m_q m_p})(|\mathbb{A}_{m_p m_q}| - |\mathbb{A}_{n_p n_q}|)^2/2 \geq 0$ [40]. In this case, the real parts of eigenvalues for sectors $\Delta \neq 0$ are always negative because of $\mathbb{L}_{pp} < 0$, resulting in decoherence accordingly. Overall, the condition (7) is sufficient but unnecessary for thermalization in the long term, where only $\rho_s^{(0)}(t)$ survives. For the convenience of the following discussion, we get a simple form of $\rho_s^{(0)}(t) = \sum_{m=1}^d c_m(t) |m_R\rangle \langle m_L|$, because $M = d$ is given for $\Delta = 0$. It is noteworthy that Eq. (7) is consistent with the stability condition in Ref. [25] when H_s is quasi-Hermitian.

Boltzmann biorthogonal statistics. When the thermalization condition (7) is valid, one only considers the diagonal partial density matrix in the long term, with $\mathcal{L}_s[\rho_s^{(0)}] = 0$ and

$$\mathcal{L}[\rho_s^{(0)}] = \sum_{m,n} (\gamma(\omega_{nm}) W_{mn} + \gamma(\omega_{mn}) W_{nm}), \quad (8)$$

where the transition superoperator is given by $W_{mn} = \kappa_{mn}(|n_R\rangle \langle n_L| - |m_R\rangle \langle m_L|) c_m(t)$ for indicating the probability transition from the m th level to the n th level. The KMS condition establishes the detailed balance between those levels: $\gamma(\omega_{nm})/\gamma(\omega_{mn}) = e^{-\beta \omega_{mn}}$, as shown in the upper rightmost plot of Fig. 1. It is easy to

get the right eigenstate $\bar{\mathbf{c}} = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_M)^\dagger$ for the stable steady state, where the Boltzmann weight for the m th level $\chi_m = e^{-\beta e_m}/Z_s$ and the partition function $Z_s = \sum_m e^{-\beta e_m}$ are given. As a result, the system evolves to be a thermal state described by the density matrix for *Boltzmann biorthogonal statistics*, i.e.,

$$\bar{\rho}_s^{\text{lr}} = \sum_{m=1}^d \chi_m |m_R\rangle \langle m_L|. \quad (9)$$

In contrast, in the \mathcal{PT} -broken region, the perturbative quantum jumps and the dissipative terms in Eq. (5) can be simultaneously ignored compared to the dissipation caused by the non-Hermiticity of the system due to Born-Markov approximation. If H_s has no degeneracy in the imaginary parts of the energy, the system cannot be thermalized as it evolves into the eigenstate corresponding to the energy with the maximal imaginary part, which has the highest growth rate of the amplitude and becomes dominant in the long term [23].

Boltzmann right-eigenstate statistics. Under RTE (2), we get the solution to Eq. (4) similar to Ref. [23–25], with Liouvillian defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_s[\rho_s] &= -i[H_s, \rho_s]_\dagger, \quad \mathcal{L}_d[\rho_s] = \sum_{\omega \neq 0} \gamma(\omega) \{A_{-\omega} A_\omega, \rho_s\}_\dagger, \\ \mathcal{L}_j[\rho_s] &= \sum_{\omega \neq 0} \gamma(\omega) (A_\omega \rho_s (A_\omega)^\dagger + A_\omega \rho_s (A_\omega)^\dagger). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $[o_1, o_2]_\dagger = o_1 o_2 - o_2 o_1^\dagger$ and $\{o_1, o_2\}_\dagger = o_1 o_2 + o_2 o_1^\dagger$ denote the modified commutator and anticommutator, respectively.

Analogous to BTE (1), starting from an initial density matrix that can be written as the diagonal form of non-orthogonal self-normalized right eigenstates $|m_R\rangle$, the thermalization condition (7) yields a long-term stable thermal state with the density matrix for *Boltzmann right-eigenstate statistics* (see details in the Supplementary Material), i.e.,

$$\bar{\rho}_s^{\text{rr}} = \sum_{m=1}^d \chi_m |m_R\rangle \langle m_R|. \quad (11)$$

As shown in the lower rightmost plot of Fig. 1, the detailed balances can also be activated by the Liouvillian (8) with the redefined transition superoperator $W_{mn} = \kappa_{mn}(|n_R\rangle \langle n_L| - |m_R\rangle \langle m_L|) c_m(t)$. Nevertheless, the condition (7) can not guarantee that the off-diagonal terms in the density matrix disappear in the long term in general.

A qubit. In the simplest example of the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric qubit [32], the system Hamiltonian

$$H_s = h_x \sigma^x - i h_y \sigma^y \quad (12)$$

has the biorthogonal eigenstates $|e_L\rangle, |e_R\rangle, |g_L\rangle$ and $|g_R\rangle$ for the excited state (e) and the ground state (g) with the




Figure 2. (Color online) The thermalization of the qubit (12) is studied by analyzing the time evolution from an initial state $|\uparrow\rangle\langle\uparrow|$, using either (a) the biorthogonal GKSL master equation (5) or (b) the right-state one (10) at a temperature of $T/h_x = 1$. We focus on the expansion coefficients $c_{\uparrow\uparrow}$ (red line), $c_{\downarrow\downarrow}$ (blue line) and $c_{\uparrow\downarrow}$ (black line) in the density matrix $\rho_s(t) = \sum_{\sigma, \sigma'=\uparrow/\downarrow} c_{\sigma\sigma'}(t) |\sigma\rangle\langle\sigma'|$ as a function of the time $h_x t$. The dashed lines represent the anticipated coefficients in ETH. In the long term, under (c) BTE and (d) RTE, the vector $\langle\sigma\rangle$ (points or vectors) is plotted in 3-dimensional coordinates as the temperature varies from $T = 0.1$ (blue) to 5 (red). Here, we use $h_y/h_x = 0.5$ in the \mathcal{PT} -unbroken region.

energy e_e , $e_g = \pm\sqrt{h_x^2 - h_y^2}$, respectively. $\sigma^{x,y,z}$ denote the Pauli matrices in three axes and form a vector operator $\sigma = (\sigma^x, \sigma^y, \sigma^z)^\top$. We take $h_x = 1$ as the energy unit and investigate the long-term behavior in the \mathcal{PT} -unbroken region $|h_y| < 1$. We choose $A = \sigma^z$, satisfying the thermalization condition (7).

After the initial preparation of a fully polarized pure state at temperature $T/h_x = 1$, Figure 2 shows the trajectory of the time-evolving matrix elements of ρ_s , according to Eq. (4). When $h_x t \gg 1$, it can be easily verified that the stable steady state satisfies BBS (9) in Fig. 2(a) and BRS (11) in Fig. 2(b), neglecting the normalization coefficients. In Figs. 2(c) and (d), we also plot the vector $\langle\sigma\rangle = \text{tr}[\rho_s(t)\sigma]_{h_x t \gg 1} = (\langle\sigma^x\rangle, \langle\sigma^y\rangle, \langle\sigma^z\rangle)^\top$ in three dimensions. When we lower the temperature in Figs. 2(c), $\langle\sigma\rangle$ under BTE (1) persists along x -axis in the equatorial plane, since $\text{Re}\langle\sigma^{y,z}\rangle = 0$. In contrast, under RTE (2), the orientation of $\langle\sigma\rangle$ continuously rotates in the equatorial plane. It is worthy noticing that under BTE (1) the vector $\langle\sigma\rangle$ can move out of the Bloch sphere at low temperatures because $|\langle e_L|\sigma^x|e_R\rangle| > 1$.

Non-Hermitian Ising model. In the model, we con-




Figure 3. (Color online) In the \mathcal{PT} -unbroken region of the non-Hermitian Ising model (13), we plot the variance of the long-term ($Jt \gg 1$) solution of either (a) the biorthogonal GKSL master equation (5) and (b) the right-state one (10) compared to the anticipated BBS (9) and BRS (11), respectively, in the $(h_y/J, h_z/J)$ -plane. The variance near the exceptional point $|h_y| = |h_z|$ grows fast due to strong numerical instability. (c) When $h_y/J = 0.2$ and $h_z/J = 0.75$, we analyze the behavior of the averaged polarization in the z -axis $\bar{\sigma}^z = \sum_{\ell=1}^L \langle\sigma_\ell^z\rangle / L$ over time under BTE (1), starting from either a mixed state at infinity temperature $\sum_m |m_R\rangle\langle m_L|$ (solid line) or a pure state at zero temperature $|1_R\rangle\langle 1_L|$ (dashed line). We consider two cases of $A_\ell = \sigma_\ell^z$ (red) and σ_ℓ^x (black). The dashed line indicates the anticipated value according to ETH. Here, we set $L = 4$ and $T/J = 1$ for these calculations.

sider a real magnetic field h_z in the z -axis and an imaginary one ih_y in the y -axis. The latter can be implemented by the post-selection using Lindblad operators $A_\ell = \sqrt{h_z}(\sigma_\ell^x - i\sigma_\ell^y)$ for all sites [41, 42]. Thus, the Hamiltonian of the system is defined as

$$H_s = \sum_{\ell=1}^{L-1} J\sigma_\ell^x \sigma_{\ell+1}^x + \sum_{\ell=1}^L (ih_y \sigma_\ell^y + h_z \sigma_\ell^z). \quad (13)$$

where the index ℓ ranges from 1 to the size of the chain L , and the coupling strength $J = 1$ sets the energy unit. The operator A_ℓ is coupled to the individual heat bath at each site- ℓ , i.e., $H_{sb} = \sum_{\ell=1}^L A_\ell \otimes B_\ell$, to avoid the (non-Markov) memory effects caused by the long-range correlations [43, 44]. Although it is not a strict limitation, this assumption is physically plausible. More general forms of coupling could also be argued similarly, and the system will be thermalized if all the coupling operators A_ℓ satisfy the thermalization condition (7).

We study the thermalization of the system in the \mathcal{PT} -unbroken region $|h_z| > |h_y|$ [42]. To compare the solution




Figure 4. (Color online) After the thermalization of the non-Hermitian Ising model (13), the discrepancy of the thermal entropies $\delta\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_{\text{von}} - \mathcal{S}_{\text{gib}}$ is plotted in the $(h_y/J, h_z/J)$ -plane. We consider (a) BBS (9) and (b) BRS (11). Inset: Along a red dashed line $h_z/J = 0.8$ and $h_y/J \in [0, 0.8]$, we make a comparison of three thermal entropies: $\mathcal{S}_{\text{von}}^{\text{lr}}$ (black), $\mathcal{S}_{\text{von}}^{\text{rr}}$ (blue) and \mathcal{S}_{gib} (red). Here, we set $L = 4$ and $T/J = 1$ for these calculations.

of the GKSL master equation (4) with BBS (9) and BRS (11) at a typical temperature $T/J = 1$, we investigate the variance of $V^{\text{lr,rr}} = \|\rho_s(t) - \bar{\rho}_s^{\text{lr,rr}}\|_\infty$ as $Jt \gg 1$, where all density matrices have been normalized and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes the infinity-norm [45]. First, $A_\ell = \sigma_\ell^x$ has been taken in Fig 3(a) and (b) to ensure the system can be thermalized under BTE (1) and RTE (2) according to Eq. (7), even if more level spacing degeneracies ($M \gg 1$) exist and rich coherent transitions are allowed. In the long term, the system can be described by density matrices (9) and (11) within small error bars. In Fig. 3(c), we also consider the case of $A_\ell = \sigma_\ell^z$, which violates the thermalization condition (7). Under BTE (1), this violation only leads to a longer period of decoherence. In contrast, under RTE (2), the thermalization cannot occur at all (not shown).

Thermal entropy. Two distinct statistics may bring us qualitative differences in measuring thermodynamic quantities. Again taking the non-Hermitian Ising model as an example, we analyzed the thermal von-Neumann entropy (vNE) [46] of stable steady states described by density matrices (9) and (11) after thermalization. In Fig. 4(a), the biorthogonal vNE $\mathcal{S}_{\text{von}}^{\text{lr}} = -\text{tr}\bar{\rho}_s^{\text{lr}} \ln \bar{\rho}_s^{\text{lr}}$ is equal to the Gibbs entropy $\mathcal{S}_{\text{gib}} = -\sum_{m=1}^d \chi_m \log \chi_m$ [47], trivially because of the biorthonormalization condition between the left and right eigenstates. While in Fig. 4(b), we found that the right-eigenstate vNE $\mathcal{S}_{\text{von}}^{\text{rr}} = -\text{tr}\bar{\rho}_s^{\text{rr}} \ln \bar{\rho}_s^{\text{rr}}$ becomes lower than the Gibbs entropy \mathcal{S}_{gib} . This phenomenon arises from the fact that the dissipation under the incomplete measurement lowers the information by reweighing bases or even erasing certain during the reorthogonalization of the right eigenstates in $\bar{\rho}_s^{\text{rr}}$, exemplified with the skin effects [48].

Conclusion and discussions. We model the dynamics of non-Hermitian systems interacting with a bosonic heat bath in thermal equilibrium and establish the GKSL

master equations using both the biorthogonal and the right-state time evolutions, where the latter has been obtained in previous studies [23–25]. Our analysis reveals a sufficient condition (7) for thermalization, ensuring that the long-term solutions of the equations exhibit BBS (9) and BRS (11), respectively. For a single qubit and a non-Hermitian Ising model in the \mathcal{PT} -unbroken region, we have shown that the density matrices agree with the anticipated statistics in the long term.

The early biorthogonal RMT suggested that the non-Hermitian system could generally not undergo decoherence due to the lack of entropic suppression in the off-diagonal terms of the biorthogonal measurement. However, the biorthogonal GKSL master equation in this work indicates that a thermal state with BBS (9) can be achieved once the system satisfies the thermalization condition (7). This conflict may be attributed to a failure to consider the hidden relationship between the left and right eigenstates in the current version of RMT. Further investigation of the potential entropic effects on decoherence in the biorthogonal RMT is warranted. Additionally, our work also extends the previously proposed BRS (11) by adding a thermalization condition.

There are certain cases that may occur unexpectedly. For instance, if the thermalization condition (7) is not met, we cannot guarantee that the diagonal density matrix survives in the long term. Additionally, the matrix \mathbb{A} may not be dense due to extra symmetries. In such cases, a thorough examination is necessary.

One more thing should be noted. If the right eigenstates do not satisfy the self-normalization condition, but obey the biorthonormalization condition with the left eigenvectors, the Pauli equation remains unchanged under BTE, and the system still evolves into the BBS (9) when the thermalization condition (7) is fulfilled. In contrast, under RTE, different choices of right eigenstates yield different matrices \mathbb{L} in the Pauli master equation, which are connected by scaling transformations. Once the thermalization condition (7) is satisfied for a choice, we have proved that BRS (11) can be obtained in the long term following arbitrary \mathbb{L} . An explanation is given in the Supplementary Material.

We are grateful to Su-Peng Kou for fruitful discussions. This work is supported by grants: MOST 2022YFA1402700, NSFC 12174020, NSFC 12088101, NSFC 11974244 and NSAF U2230402. Computational resources from Tianhe-2JK at the Beijing Computational Science Research Center and Quantum Many-body I cluster at SPA, Shanghai Jiaotong University are also highly appreciated.

* haiqing0@csrc.ac.cn

† xiaoqunwang@zju.edu.cn

‡ shijiehu@csrc.ac.cn

- [1] H.-P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems* (Oxford University Press Oxford, 2007).
- [2] M. V. Berry and M. Tabor, Level clustering in the regular spectrum, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **356**, 375 (1977).
- [3] O. Bohigas, M. J. Giannoni, and C. Schmit, Characterization of chaotic quantum spectra and universality of level fluctuation laws, *Physical Review Letters* **52**, 1 (1984).
- [4] L. Sá, P. Ribeiro, and T. Prosen, Complex spacing ratios: A signature of dissipative quantum chaos, *Physical Review X* **10**, 021019 (2020).
- [5] R. Grobe, F. Haake, and H.-J. Sommers, Quantum distinction of regular and chaotic dissipative motion, *Physical Review Letters* **61**, 1899 (1988).
- [6] F. Haake, Quantum signatures of chaos, in *NATO ASI Series* (Springer US, 1991) pp. 583–595.
- [7] G. Akemann, M. Kieburg, A. Mielke, and T. Prosen, Universal signature from integrability to chaos in dissipative open quantum systems, *Physical Review Letters* **123**, 254101 (2019).
- [8] R. Grobe and F. Haake, Universality of cubic-level repulsion for dissipative quantum chaos, *Physical Review Letters* **62**, 2893 (1989).
- [9] A. Altland and M. R. Zirnbauer, Nonstandard symmetry classes in mesoscopic normal-superconducting hybrid structures, *Physical Review B* **55**, 1142 (1997).
- [10] K. Kawabata, K. Shiozaki, M. Ueda, and M. Sato, Symmetry and topology in non-hermitian physics, *Physical Review X* **9**, 041015 (2019).
- [11] R. Hamazaki, K. Kawabata, N. Kura, and M. Ueda, Universality classes of non-hermitian random matrices, *Physical Review Research* **2**, 023286 (2020).
- [12] J. Xu and Y. Li, Eigenstate distribution fluctuation of a quenched disordered bose–hubbard system in thermal-to-localized transitions, *Chinese Physics Letters* **36**, 027201 (2019).
- [13] J. Li, T. Prosen, and A. Chan, Spectral statistics of non-hermitian matrices and dissipative quantum chaos, *Physical Review Letters* **127**, 170602 (2021).
- [14] A. Chan, S. Shivam, D. A. Huse, and A. De Luca, Many-body quantum chaos and space-time translational invariance, *Nature Communications* **13**, 7484 (2022).
- [15] S. Shivam, A. De Luca, D. A. Huse, and A. Chan, Many-body quantum chaos and emergence of ginibre ensemble, *Physical Review Letters* **130**, 140403 (2023).
- [16] C. B. Dag, S. I. Mistakidis, A. Chan, and H. R. Sadeghpour, Many-body quantum chaos in stroboscopically-driven cold atoms, *Communications Physics* **6**, 136 (2023).
- [17] J. Li, S. Yan, T. Prosen, and A. Chan, Spectral form factor in chaotic, localized, and integrable open quantum many-body systems (2024), [arXiv:2405.01641 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.01641).
- [18] J. M. Deutsch, Quantum statistical mechanics in a closed system, *Physical Review A* **43**, 2046 (1991).
- [19] M. Srednicki, Chaos and quantum thermalization, *Physical Review E* **50**, 888 (1994).
- [20] M. Srednicki, The approach to thermal equilibrium in quantized chaotic systems, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **32**, 1163 (1999).
- [21] S. S. Roy, S. Bandyopadhyay, R. C. de Almeida, and P. Hauke, Unveiling eigenstate thermalization for non-hermitian systems (2023), [arXiv:2309.00049 \[quant-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.00049).
- [22] G. Cipolloni and J. Kudler-Flam, Non-hermitian hamiltonians violate the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis, *Physical Review B* **109**, 1020201 (2024).
- [23] Q. Du, K. Cao, and S.-P. Kou, Physics of \mathcal{PT} -symmetric quantum systems at finite temperatures, *Physical Review A* **106**, 032206 (2022).
- [24] K. Cao, Q. Du, and S.-P. Kou, Many-body non-hermitian skin effect at finite temperatures, *Physical Review B* **108**, 165420 (2023).
- [25] K. Cao and S.-P. Kou, Statistical mechanics for non-hermitian quantum systems, *Physical Review Research* **5**, 033196 (2023).
- [26] T. Albash, S. Boixo, D. A. Lidar, and P. Zanardi, Quantum adiabatic markovian master equations, *New Journal of Physics* **14**, 123016 (2012).
- [27] P. Mai and S. Yin, Derivation of lindblad master equation for the quantum ising model interacting with a heat bath (2013), [arXiv:1303.3366 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3366).
- [28] T. Fukuhara, A. Kantian, M. Endres, M. Cheneau, P. Schauß, S. Hild, D. Bellem, U. Schollwöck, T. Giamarchi, C. Gross, I. Bloch, and S. Kuhr, Quantum dynamics of a mobile spin impurity, *Nature Physics* **9**, 235 (2013).
- [29] O. S. Magaña-Loaiza, M. Mirhosseini, B. Rodenburg, and R. W. Boyd, Amplification of angular rotations using weak measurements, *Physical Review Letters* **112**, 200401 (2014).
- [30] Y. Ashida, S. Furukawa, and M. Ueda, Parity-time-symmetric quantum critical phenomena, *Nature Communications* **8**, 15791 (2017).
- [31] F. Minganti, A. Miranowicz, R. W. Chhajlany, I. I. Arkhipov, and F. Nori, Hybrid-liouvillian formalism connecting exceptional points of non-hermitian hamiltonians and liouvillians via postselection of quantum trajectories, *Physical Review A* **101**, 062112 (2020).
- [32] M. Naghiloo, M. Abbasi, Y. N. Joglekar, and K. W. Murch, Quantum state tomography across the exceptional point in a single dissipative qubit, *Nature Physics* **15**, 1232 (2019).
- [33] W. Chen, M. Abbasi, Y. N. Joglekar, and K. W. Murch, Quantum jumps in the non-hermitian dynamics of a superconducting qubit, *Physical Review Letters* **127**, 140504 (2021).
- [34] T. Fukuhara, S. Hild, J. Zeiher, P. Schauß, I. Bloch, M. Endres, and C. Gross, Spatially resolved detection of a spin-entanglement wave in a bose-hubbard chain, *Physical Review Letters* **115**, 035302 (2015).
- [35] P. Gaspard and M. Nagaoka, Non-markovian stochastic schrödinger equation, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **111**, 5676 (1999).
- [36] I. de Vega and D. Alonso, Dynamics of non-markovian open quantum systems, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **89**, 015001 (2017).
- [37] C. Fleming, N. I. Cummings, C. Anastopoulos, and B. L. Hu, The rotating-wave approximation: consistency and applicability from an open quantum system analysis, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **43**, 405304 (2010).
- [38] W. Pauli, *Festschrift zum 60. Geburtstag A. Sommerfeld* (Hirzel, Leipzig, 1928) pauli master equation; p. 30.
- [39] G. Bulnes Cuetara, M. Esposito, and G. Schaller, Quantum thermodynamics with degenerate eigenstate coher-

- ences, *Entropy* **18**, 447 (2016).
- [40] C. Timm, Random transition-rate matrices for the master equation, *Physical Review E* **80**, 021140 (2009).
- [41] T. Deguchi and P. K. Ghosh, The exactly solvable quasi-hermitian transverse ising model, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **42**, 475208 (2009).
- [42] F. Yang, H. Wang, M.-L. Yang, C.-X. Guo, X.-R. Wang, G.-Y. Sun, and S.-P. Kou, Hidden continuous quantum phase transition without gap closing in non-hermitian transverse ising model, *New Journal of Physics* **24**, 043046 (2022).
- [43] E.-M. Laine, H.-P. Breuer, J. Piilo, C.-F. Li, and G.-C. Guo, Nonlocal memory effects in the dynamics of open quantum systems, *Physical Review Letters* **108**, 210402 (2012).
- [44] H.-P. Breuer, E.-M. Laine, J. Piilo, and B. Vacchini, Colloquium: Non-markovian dynamics in open quantum systems, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **88**, 021002 (2016).
- [45] A. Rajput, A. Roggero, and N. Wiebe, Hybridized methods for quantum simulation in the interaction picture, *Quantum* **6**, 780 (2022).
- [46] J. von Neumann, *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics: New Edition*, edited by N. A. Wheeler (Princeton University Press, 2018).
- [47] M. Kardar, *Statistical Physics of Particles* (Cambridge University Press, 2007).
- [48] K. Kawabata, T. Numasawa, and S. Ryu, Entanglement phase transition induced by the non-hermitian skin effect, *Physical Review X* **13**, 021007 (2023).

Supplementary Material for: Diagnosing thermalization dynamics of non-Hermitian quantum systems via GKSL master equations

Yiting Mao,¹ Peigeng Zhong,¹ Haiqing Lin,^{1,2,*} Xiaoqun Wang,^{2,†} and Shijie Hu^{1,3,‡}

¹*Beijing Computational Science Research Center, Beijing 100084, China*

²*School of Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310058, China*

³*Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, China*

BIORTHOGONAL PAULI MASTER EQUATION

In this section, we derive the biorthogonal Pauli master equation and discuss its two scenarios. We start our argument from Eqs. (4) and (5) in the main text. We omit $\mathcal{L}_s[\rho_s]$ because it does not affect the steady state but only contributes a phase factor [1, 2]. Thus, $\mathcal{L}_b[\rho_s]$ can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_b[\rho_s] &= \frac{d}{dt}\rho_s = \sum_{\omega \neq 0} \gamma(\omega) [(A_\omega \rho_s A_{-\omega}) - \frac{1}{2} \{A_{-\omega} A_\omega, \rho_s\}] \\ &= \underbrace{\sum_{m,n,m',n'} \delta_{\omega_{m'n'} = \omega_{mn}} \gamma(\omega_{m'n'}) A_{\omega_{m'n'}} \rho_s A_{-\omega_{mn}}}_{\textcircled{1}} - \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\sum_{m,n,m',n'} \delta_{\omega_{m'n'} = \omega_{mn}} \gamma(\omega_{m'n'}) \{A_{-\omega_{m'n'}} A_{\omega_{mn}}, \rho_s\}}_{\textcircled{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

We notice that the terms with $\omega_{mn} = 0$ do not contribute to the effective physical processes and can be neglected in the following argument. After we define $c_{mn}(t) = \langle m_L | \rho_s(t) | n_R \rangle$, $\textcircled{1}$ and $\textcircled{2}$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} &= \sum_{m,n,m',n'} \delta_{\omega_{m'n'} = \omega_{mn}} \gamma(\omega_{m'n'}) |m'_R\rangle \langle m'_L| A |n'_R\rangle \langle n'_L| \rho_s |n_R\rangle \langle n_L| A |m_R\rangle \langle m_L|, \\ \textcircled{2} &= \sum_{m,n,m',n'} \delta_{\omega_{m'n'} = \omega_{mn}} \gamma(\omega_{m'n'}) \left[|n'_R\rangle \langle n'_L| A |m'_R\rangle \langle m'_L| m_R\rangle \langle m_L| A |n_R\rangle \langle n_L| \rho_s \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \rho_s |n'_R\rangle \langle n'_L| A |m'_R\rangle \langle m'_L| m_R\rangle \langle m_L| A |n_R\rangle \langle n_L| \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Considering $\rho_s^{(\Delta)}(t) = \sum_{p=1}^M c_p(t) |(m_p)_R\rangle \langle (n_p)_L|$ with bias $\Delta = e_{n_p} - e_{m_p}$ and $c_p(t) = c_{m_p n_p}(t)$, $\mathcal{L}_b[\rho_s^{(\Delta)}]$ is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt} c_p = \frac{d}{dt} \langle (m_p)_L | \rho_s^{(\Delta)} | (n_p)_R \rangle = \langle (m_p)_L | \textcircled{1} | (n_p)_R \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle (m_p)_L | \textcircled{2} | (n_p)_R \rangle. \quad (3)$$

We calculate them individually, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (m_p)_L | \textcircled{1} | (n_p)_R \rangle &= \sum_{m,n,m',n'} \delta_{\omega_{m'n'} = \omega_{mn}} \gamma(\omega_{m'n'}) \mathbb{A}_{m'n'} \mathbb{A}_{nm} c_{n'n} \delta_{m_p m'} \delta_{m n_p} \\ &= \sum_{n,n'} \delta_{\omega_{m_p n'} = \omega_{n_p n}} \gamma(\omega_{m_p n'}) \mathbb{A}_{m_p n'} \mathbb{A}_{n n_p} c_{n'n} = \sum_{m',n'} \delta_{\omega_{m_p m'} = \omega_{n_p n'}} \gamma(\omega_{m_p m'}) \mathbb{A}_{m_p m'} \mathbb{A}_{n' n_p} c_{m' n'}, \\ \langle (m_p)_L | \textcircled{2} | (n_p)_R \rangle &= \sum_{m,n,m',n'} \delta_{\omega_{m'n'} = \omega_{mn}} \gamma(\omega_{m'n'}) \mathbb{A}_{n'm'} \mathbb{A}_{mn} c_{n n_p} + \delta_{\omega_{m'n'} = \omega_{mn}} \gamma(\omega_{m'n'}) \mathbb{A}_{n'm'} \mathbb{A}_{mn} c_{m_p n'} \delta_{m m'} \delta_{n n_p} \quad (4) \\ &= \sum_{m',n} \delta_{\omega_{m' m_p} = \omega_{m' n}} \gamma(\omega_{m' m_p}) \mathbb{A}_{m_p m'} \mathbb{A}_{m' n} c_{n n_p} + \sum_{m',n'} \delta_{\omega_{m'n'} = \omega_{m' n_p}} \gamma(\omega_{m'n'}) \mathbb{A}_{n'm'} \mathbb{A}_{m' n_p} c_{m_p n'} \\ &= \sum_{m',n'} \left[\delta_{\omega_{m' m_p} = \omega_{m' n'}} \gamma(\omega_{m' m_p}) \mathbb{A}_{m_p m'} \mathbb{A}_{m' n'} c_{n' n_p} + \delta_{\omega_{m'n'} = \omega_{m' n_p}} \gamma(\omega_{m'n'}) \mathbb{A}_{n'm'} \mathbb{A}_{m' n_p} c_{m_p n'} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{A}_{mn} = \langle m_L | A | n_R \rangle$.

We analyze the cases of $\Delta = 0$ and $\Delta \neq 0$ in Eq. (3) separately.

(i) If $\Delta = 0$ ($m_p = n_p$) [2], then M is equal to the dimension d of H_s . Consequently, one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}c_p &= \sum_{m',n'} \delta_{\omega_{m_p m'} = \omega_{m_p n'}} \gamma(\omega_{m_p m'}) \mathbb{A}_{m_p m'} \mathbb{A}_{n' m'} c_{m' n'} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m',n'} \left[\delta_{\omega_{m' m_p} = \omega_{m' n'}} \gamma(\omega_{m' m_p}) \mathbb{A}_{m_p m'} \mathbb{A}_{m' n'} c_{n' m'} + \delta_{\omega_{m' n'} = \omega_{m' m_p}} \gamma(\omega_{m' n'}) \mathbb{A}_{n' m'} \mathbb{A}_{m' m_p} c_{m_p n'} \right] \\ &= \sum_{n'} \gamma(\omega_{m_p n'}) \mathbb{A}_{m_p n'} \mathbb{A}_{n' m_p} c_{n'} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m'} \left[\gamma(\omega_{m' m_p}) \mathbb{A}_{m_p m'} \mathbb{A}_{m' m_p} c_p + \gamma(\omega_{m' m_p}) \mathbb{A}_{m_p m'} \mathbb{A}_{m' m_p} c_p \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We replace the indices m', n' with q , m_p with p here, then Eq. (5) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{d}{dt}c_p = \sum_{q \neq p} [\gamma(\omega_{pq}) \kappa_{qp} c_q - \gamma(\omega_{qp}) \kappa_{pq} c_p] \quad (6)$$

with the transition rate $\kappa_{pq} = \mathbb{A}_{pq} \mathbb{A}_{qp} = \kappa_{qp}$. By defining $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_M)^\top$, Eq. (6) can be written as the Pauli master equation [3],

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{c} = \mathbb{L}\mathbf{c}, \quad (7)$$

where the diagonal elements and off-diagonal elements of \mathbb{L} are

$$\mathbb{L}_{pp} = - \sum_{q \neq p} \gamma(\omega_{qp}) \kappa_{pq}, \quad \mathbb{L}_{qp} = \gamma(\omega_{qp}) \kappa_{pq}. \quad (8)$$

It is easy to verify that the non-Hermitian matrix \mathbb{L} has at least one left eigenvector $\bar{\mathbf{c}}_L = (1, \dots, 1)^\top$, corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{c}}_L^\top \mathbb{L} &= (1, \dots, 1) \begin{pmatrix} -\sum_{q \neq 1} \gamma(\omega_{q1}) \kappa_{1q} & \gamma(\omega_{12}) \kappa_{21} & \cdots & \gamma(\omega_{1M}) \kappa_{M1} \\ \gamma(\omega_{21}) \kappa_{12} & -\sum_{q \neq 2} \gamma(\omega_{q2}) \kappa_{2q} & \cdots & \gamma(\omega_{2M}) \kappa_{M2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma(\omega_{M1}) \kappa_{1M} & \gamma(\omega_{M2}) \kappa_{2M} & \cdots & -\sum_{q \neq M} \gamma(\omega_{qM}) \kappa_{Mq} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left(\sum_{q=1}^M \mathbb{L}_{q1}, \dots, \sum_{q=1}^M \mathbb{L}_{qM} \right) = (0, \dots, 0) = \lambda \bar{\mathbf{c}}_L^\top. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Therefore, the corresponding right eigenvector $\bar{\mathbf{c}}$, which shares λ with $\bar{\mathbf{c}}_L$, survives as the stable steady state in the long term.

(ii) It is more difficult to argue the case of a certain $\Delta \neq 0$ ($m_p \neq n_p$) in Eq. (3). Similar to Eq. (5), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}c_p &= \sum_{m',n'} \left[\delta_{\omega_{m_p m'} = \omega_{n_p n'}} \gamma(\omega_{m_p m'}) \mathbb{A}_{m_p m'} \mathbb{A}_{n' n_p} c_{m' n'} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\omega_{m' m_p} = \omega_{m' n'}} \gamma(\omega_{m' m_p}) \mathbb{A}_{m_p m'} \mathbb{A}_{m' n'} c_{m' n_p} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\omega_{n' m'} = \omega_{n' n_p}} \gamma(\omega_{n' n_p}) \mathbb{A}_{m' n'} \mathbb{A}_{n' n_p} c_{m_p m'} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

To obtain nonzero terms in Eq. (10), we take $n' = m_p$ and $m' = n_p$ in the second and the third terms, respectively, and consider $\omega_{m_p m_q} = \omega_{n_p n_q}$ in the first term when $M \geq 2$. We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}c_p &= \sum_{q \neq p} \gamma(\omega_{m_p m_q}) \mathbb{A}_{m_p m_q} \mathbb{A}_{n_q n_p} c_q - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q \neq p} \gamma(\omega_{m_q m_p}) \kappa_{m_q m_p} c_p - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q \neq p} \gamma(\omega_{n_q n_p}) \kappa_{n_q n_p} c_p \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m' \notin \mathbf{v}_m} \gamma(\omega_{m' m_p}) \kappa_{m_p m'} c_p - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n' \notin \mathbf{v}_n} \gamma(\omega_{n' n_p}) \kappa_{n' n_p} c_p \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

with $\mathbf{v}_m = \{m_1, \dots, m_M\}$, $\mathbf{v}_n = \{n_1, \dots, n_M\}$ representing the sets of the available pairs of m and n . \mathbf{c} also obeys the Pauli master equation Eq. (7). The matrix elements of \mathbb{L} are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}_{pp} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{m' \neq m_p} \gamma(\omega_{m'm_p}) \kappa_{m_p m'} + \sum_{n' \neq n_p} \gamma(\omega_{n'n_p}) \kappa_{n_p n'} \right] = \mathbb{L}_{pp}^{(i)} + \mathbb{L}_{pp}^{(o)}, & \mathbb{L}_{qp} &= \gamma(\omega_{m_q m_p}) \mathbb{A}_{m_q m_p} \mathbb{A}_{n_p n_q}, \\ \mathbb{L}_{pp}^{(i)} &= -\sum_{q \neq p} \gamma(\omega_{m_q m_p}) (\kappa_{m_p m_q} + \kappa_{n_p n_q}) / 2, & \mathbb{L}_{pp}^{(o)} &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m' \notin \mathbf{v}_m} \gamma(\omega_{m'm_p}) \kappa_{m_p m'} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n' \notin \mathbf{v}_n} \gamma(\omega_{n'n_p}) \kappa_{n_p n'}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Here we divide \mathbb{L}_{pp} into the part $\mathbb{L}_{pp}^{(i)}$ in the sector and $\mathbb{L}_{pp}^{(o)}$ out of the sector. If \mathbb{L} is a strictly diagonally dominant matrix with negative diagonal elements, i.e., $|\mathbb{L}_{pp}| - \sum_{q \neq p} |\mathbb{L}_{qp}| > 0$ and $|\mathbb{L}_{pp}| < 0$ for arbitrary p , the real parts of eigenvalues of \mathbb{L} are all negative [4, 5], which implies that the off-diagonal density matrix $\rho_s^{(\Delta \neq 0)}$ vanishes in the long term.

To prove that \mathbb{L} is strictly diagonally dominant, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{L}_{pp}| - \sum_{q \neq p} |\mathbb{L}_{qp}| &= |\mathbb{L}_{pp}^{(i)}| + |\mathbb{L}_{pp}^{(o)}| - \sum_{q \neq p} |\mathbb{L}_{qp}| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left| \sum_{q \neq p} \gamma(\omega_{m_q m_p}) (\kappa_{m_q m_p} + \kappa_{n_q n_p}) + \sum_{m' \notin \mathbf{v}_m} \gamma(\omega_{m'm_p}) \kappa_{m' m_p} + \sum_{n' \notin \mathbf{v}_n} \gamma(\omega_{n'n_p}) \kappa_{n_p n'} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{q \neq p} \gamma(\omega_{m_q m_p}) |\mathbb{A}_{m_q m_p} \mathbb{A}_{n_p n_q}| \right| \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $|\dots|$ denotes the norm. Under the thermalization condition Eq. (7) in the main text, it can be proved that $\kappa_{m_q m_p} \geq 0$ and $\kappa_{n_q n_p} \geq 0$, so that Eq. (13) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{L}_{pp}| - \sum_{q \neq p} |\mathbb{L}_{qp}| &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{q \neq p} \gamma(\omega_{m_q m_p}) (|\mathbb{A}_{m_p m_q}| - |\mathbb{A}_{n_p n_q}|)^2 + \sum_{m' \notin \mathbf{v}_m} \gamma(\omega_{m'm_p}) |\kappa_{m' m_p}| + \sum_{n' \notin \mathbf{v}_n} \gamma(\omega_{n'n_p}) |\kappa_{n_p n'}| \right] \\ &> |\mathbb{L}_{pp}^{(i)}| - \sum_{q \neq p} |\mathbb{L}_{qp}| = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{q \neq p} \gamma(\omega_{m_q m_p}) (|\mathbb{A}_{m_p m_q}| - |\mathbb{A}_{n_p n_q}|)^2 \right] \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Moreover, the thermalization condition also ensures $\kappa_{m' m_p} \geq 0$ and $\kappa_{n_p n'} \geq 0$, implying that the diagonal elements $\mathbb{L}_{pp} \leq 0$ for arbitrary p . All inequalities become strict as \mathbb{A} is dense without any extra symmetries.

On the one hand, if $|\mathbb{L}_{pp}^{(i)}| - \sum_{q \neq p} |\mathbb{L}_{qp}| > 0$ for the specified p , the coherent transitions from the same initial projector $|(m_p)_R\rangle \langle (n_p)_L|$ to various final projectors $|(m_q)_R\rangle \langle (n_q)_L|$ yield the reduction of $|\mathbf{c}|$. On the other hand, only c_p decays due to the dissipation term originating from the energy levels out of the sector when $\mathbb{L}_{pp}^{(o)} < 0$. Either of the above mechanisms can give rise to the vanishing of $\rho_s^{(\Delta \neq 0)}$ in the long term for $M \geq 2$.

In particular, if we cannot find a q to satisfy $\omega_{m_q m_p} = \omega_{n_q n_p}$, i.e. $M = 1$, quantum jumps are absent and Eq. (10) becomes

$$\frac{d}{dt} c_p = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m'} \gamma(\omega_{m'm_p}) \kappa_{m_p m'} c_p - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n'} \gamma(\omega_{n_p n'}) \kappa_{n' n_p} c_p. \quad (15)$$

The dissipation term in Eq. (10) still causes the vanishing of $\rho_s^{(\Delta \neq 0)}$, as both $\kappa_{m' m_p}$ and $\kappa_{n_p n'}$ are non-negative under the thermalization condition.

Overall, the thermalization condition guarantees that only $\rho_s^{(0)}(t)$ can survive in the long term.

BOLTZMANN RIGHT-EIGENSTATE STATISTICS

In this section, we derive the Boltzmann right-eigenstate statistics (BRS) under the right-state time evolution (RTE). We assume that the system Hamiltonian H_s has the self-normalized right eigenstates $\{|m_R\rangle\}$ with the real

energy $e_1 > e_2 > \dots > e_d$. Given the density matrix in diagonal $\rho_s^{(0)}(t) = \sum_{m=1}^d c_m(t) |m_R\rangle\langle m_R|$, we can rewrite Eq. (10) in the main text as

$$\mathcal{L}_s[\rho_s^{(0)}] = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_d[\rho_s^{(0)}] = \sum_{m \neq n} \gamma(\omega_{mn}) \{A_{nm} A_{mn}, \rho_s^{(0)}\}_\dagger, \quad \mathcal{L}_j[\rho_s^{(0)}] = \sum_{m \neq n} 2\gamma(\omega_{mn}) (A_{mn} \rho_s^{(0)} (A_{mn})^\dagger) \quad (16)$$

where $\omega_{mn} = e_n - e_m$ and the spectrum function γ keeps the KMS condition $\gamma(\omega_{nm})/\gamma(\omega_{mn}) = e^{-\beta\omega_{mn}}$ at temperature $T = 1/\beta$. Then, the GKSL master equation Eq. (4) in the main text under RTE becomes

$$\mathcal{L}[\rho_s^{(0)}] = \mathcal{L}_b[\rho_s^{(0)}] = \sum_{m > n} (\gamma(\omega_{nm}) \tilde{W}_{mn} + \gamma(\omega_{mn}) \tilde{W}_{nm}), \quad (17)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{W}_{mn} &= (\mathbb{A}_{nm} \mathbb{A}_{nm}^* |n_R\rangle\langle n_R| - \mathbb{A}_{mn}^* \mathbb{A}_{nm}^* |m_R\rangle\langle m_R|) c_m(t), \\ \tilde{W}_{nm} &= (\mathbb{A}_{mn} \mathbb{A}_{mn}^* |m_R\rangle\langle m_R| - \mathbb{A}_{nm} \mathbb{A}_{nm}^* |n_R\rangle\langle n_R|) c_n(t). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

We can consider a simplified scenario where only the m -th and n -th states ($e_m > e_n$) are involved, i.e., $\rho_s^{(0)} = c_m |m_R\rangle\langle m_R| + c_n |n_R\rangle\langle n_R|$, then we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} c_m \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma(\omega_{nm}) \mathbb{A}_{mn}^* \mathbb{A}_{nm} & \gamma(\omega_{mn}) \mathbb{A}_{mn} \mathbb{A}_{mn}^* \\ \gamma(\omega_{nm}) \mathbb{A}_{nm} \mathbb{A}_{nm}^* & -\gamma(\omega_{mn}) \mathbb{A}_{nm} \mathbb{A}_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_m \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{L} \begin{pmatrix} c_m \\ c_n \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

When the thermalization condition Eq. (7) in the main text is satisfied, then Eq. (19) becomes

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} c_m \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} = \kappa_{mn} \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma(\omega_{nm}) & \gamma(\omega_{mn}) \\ \gamma(\omega_{nm}) & -\gamma(\omega_{mn}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_m \\ c_n \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

For the matrix \mathbb{L} , an eigenstate $\bar{c} = (\alpha^2 e^{\beta\omega_{mn}}, 1)^\top$ corresponds to the maximum eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$. In the long term, only this eigenstate survives, giving the Boltzmann distribution for two levels, that is,

$$\bar{\rho}_s = \frac{e^{\beta\omega_{mn}} |m_R\rangle\langle m_R| + |n_R\rangle\langle n_R|}{1 + e^{\beta\omega_{mn}}}. \quad (21)$$

We can change the norm of the n -th right eigenstate, i.e., $|n'_R\rangle = \alpha |n_R\rangle$, but scale the corresponding left eigenstate to the revised one $|n'_L\rangle = (1/\alpha) |n_L\rangle$ to preserve the validity of the normalization condition of $\langle n'_L | n'_R \rangle = 1$, where α is a positive real number. Then, Eq. (19) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} c_m \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} = \kappa_{mn} \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma(\omega_{nm}) & \alpha^2 \gamma(\omega_{mn}) \\ \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \gamma(\omega_{nm}) & -\gamma(\omega_{mn}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_m \\ c_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad (22)$$

in the new eigenstate set. We can see that \mathbb{L} still has an eigenstate $\bar{c} = (\alpha^2 e^{\beta\omega_{mn}}, 1)^\top$ with the maximum eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$, which also yields the Boltzmann distribution $\bar{\rho}'_s$, the same as Eq. (21) after it is normalized. In detail, we get

$$\bar{\rho}'_s = \frac{\alpha^2 e^{\beta\omega_{mn}} |m'_R\rangle\langle m'_R| + |n'_R\rangle\langle n'_R|}{\alpha^2 e^{\beta\omega_{mn}} \langle m'_R | m'_R \rangle + \langle n'_R | n'_R \rangle} = \bar{\rho}_s \quad (23)$$

Similarly, this conclusion can be extended to the case of multiple energy levels. As a result, the system can evolve into a thermal state described by BRS under BTE.

* haiqing0@csrc.ac.cn

† xiaoqunwang@zju.edu.cn

‡ shijiehu@csrc.ac.cn

- [1] H.-P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems* (Oxford University Press Oxford, 2007).
- [2] G. Bulnes Cuetara, M. Esposito, and G. Schaller, Quantum thermodynamics with degenerate eigenstate coherences, *Entropy* **18**, 447 (2016).
- [3] W. Pauli, *Festschrift zum 60. Geburtstag A. Sommerfeld* (Hirzel, Leipzig, 1928) pauli master equation; p. 30.
- [4] S. Geršgorin, über die abgrenzung der eigenwerte einer matrix, Bulletin de l'Académie des Sciences de l'URSS. Classe des sciences mathématiques et na, 749 (1931).
- [5] C. Timm, Random transition-rate matrices for the master equation, *Physical Review E* **80**, 021140 (2009).