

Bounded islands in dS_2 multiverse model

Wen-Hao Jiang^{1*} and Yun-Song Piao^{1,2,3,4†}

¹ *School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese
Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China*

² *School of Fundamental Physics and Mathematical Sciences,
Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, UCAS, Hangzhou 310024, China*

³ *International Center for Theoretical Physics Asia-Pacific, Beijing/Hangzhou, China and*

⁴ *Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
P.O. Box 2735, Beijing 100190, China*

Abstract

The cosmological event horizons are observer-dependent, which might bring a paradox. As an example, in dS_2 multiverse model there are entanglement islands in crunching regions encoding the information of regions near future infinity of inflating or Minkowski bubbles, however, for two observers in different bubbles, since their island regions overlap, both observers will be able to get access to the information encoded in the overlapping region, indicating a violation of no-cloning theorem. In this paper, we present a different resolution to this paradox. Based on the Petz Rényi mutual information, we show that besides the quantum extremal surfaces there might be another boundary for the island in corresponding spacetime so that the island regions are bounded by "division points" rather than extending to the rest of the entire spacetime. We also discuss the implications of our result.

PACS numbers:

* jiangwenhao16@mailsucas.ac.cn

† yspiao@ucas.ac.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

Black hole is an ideal object to study quantum gravity, see e.g. recent Ref.[1]. Recently, one of the most notable achievements is the derivation of Page Curve [2, 3], the generalized entropy of radiation S_R follows the 'island formula' [4–10], which is regarded as a significant step toward resolving the black hole information paradox [11, 12]:

$$S_R = \min\{\text{ext}[\frac{A(\partial I)}{4G_N} + S_{\text{semi-cl}}(\text{Rad} \cup I)]\}, \quad (1)$$

where I is the island region surrounded by quantum extremal surface (QES) [13], see also [14–18], which appears after Page time, entangling with the radiation emitted by black hole. The occurrence of island suggests that the information of a region inside the horizon can be encoded in the state of another system outside the horizon. As event horizons also exist in cosmology, it is interesting to consider the implication of island for cosmology, see e.g.recent [19–37]¹.

In the model of Jackiw-Teitelboim (JT) gravity [51, 52] with a positive cosmological constant (hereafter dS₂ JT) [53, 54]:

$$S = \frac{\phi_0}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \sqrt{-g}R + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \sqrt{-g}\phi(R - 2) + S_{\partial\mathcal{M}}, \quad (2)$$

both the crunching region and the de-Sitter (dS) region, i.e. inflating patch, coexist. In the case where a Minkowski 'hat' is glued on the inflating patch, there could be an island in the black hole patch encoded a region in the Minkowski spacetime [19, 20], see Fig.1. This dS₂ spacetime can be extended to the model with many alternating inflating and crunching regions, and the corresponding model is a multiverse model, e.g.[22, 23].

¹ The applications of island rule have been studied intensively in variety of spacetimes, see e.g.Refs[38–50].




FIG. 1: Island region I for the entropy of region A in the Minkowski ‘hat’ gluing on the future boundary of inflating region (shaded in light green).

However, as has been mentioned in [23], such a multiverse model leads to a paradox as follows. In such a model where two Minkowski bubbles are glued on two different inflating patches with a crunching region in between, we consider two observers - Alice and Bob - locating on two bubble regions, respectively. Then we consider the island encoded in each region, see Fig.2, and find it explicitly overlapped. Thus both Alice and Bob can encode information in the overlapping region, indicating a violation of **no-cloning theorem of quantum mechanics**. In Ref.[23], one resolution to this paradox has been proposed², see [22, 30] for other relevant perspectives.

In this paper, we would like to present a different resolution to this paradox. In our scenario, the island regions which are encoded in the region of observers in the Minkowski hat should not include the rest of the universe, as showed in Fig.2. Instead, the island region of a hat can only extend halfway into the “overlapping” region, while the other part of the “overlapping” region entangles with the neighbouring hat. As a result the island regions of a hat are bounded by “division points” rather than extending to the rest of the entire spacetime. Therefore, there is no overlapping, Alice and Bob can only encode information in the part of island that separately entangles with their own patch.

We will derive “division points” that bound the islands using Petz Rényi mutual information (PRMI). In section II, we focus on the multiverse model in dS_2 JT spacetime with Minkowski bubbles glued on the future boundaries of inflating regions, in particular the

² They modify the JT action to insert a canonical singularity at a proper point, and find a result that the dominant saddle of the gravitational path integral is Alice and Bob’s region locates on their own spacetime, which suggests that there is no overlapping island region.

QES of islands and the generalized entropy without the backreaction effect. In section III, we present our resolution to the paradox. In section IV, we discuss the implication of our bounded island for the traversable wormhole model in dS₂ JT spacetime. In section V, we summarize our results and discuss the outlook of future researches.




FIG. 2: A multiverse with two copies of spacetime. It seems that the island regions of two neighbouring hats, A and B , are overlapped.

II. ISLANDS IN DS₂ JT MULTIVERSE MODEL

In this section, following Refs.[22, 23], we construct a multiverse model of dS₂ JT gravity with Minkowski ‘hats’ glued on it.

The global coordinate of dS₂ spacetime is:

$$ds^2 = \frac{-d\sigma^2 + d\varphi^2}{\cos^2 \sigma}, \quad \sigma \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right), \quad \varphi \in (-\pi, \pi). \quad (3)$$

Then, varying (2), we get the equation of motion:

$$(g_{\mu\nu}\nabla^2 - \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu + g_{\mu\nu})\phi = 2\pi\langle T_{\mu\nu}\rangle, \quad (4)$$

where $\langle T_{\mu\nu}\rangle$ is the expectation value of the covariant stress-energy tensor of the CFT. The vacuum solution ($\langle T_{\mu\nu}\rangle = 0$) in Nariai limit is [21]:

$$\phi = \phi_r \frac{\cos \varphi}{\cos \sigma}. \quad (5)$$

This solution is periodic in spacelike direction φ , so it is natural to consider the extension in this direction. Rescaling $\sigma = n\tilde{\sigma}$, and $\varphi = n\tilde{\varphi}$ with the spatial coordinate $\tilde{\varphi} \in (-\pi, \pi)$, we perform a coordinate change:

$$z = e^{-i(\tilde{\sigma}+\tilde{\varphi})}, \quad \bar{z} = e^{-i(\tilde{\sigma}-\tilde{\varphi})}. \quad (6)$$

As a result, the metric (3) is:

$$ds^2 = \frac{-dzd\bar{z}}{\Omega^2}, \quad \Omega = \frac{1}{2n}(z\bar{z})^{\left(\frac{1-n}{2}\right)}(1 + (z\bar{z}^n)). \quad (7)$$

According to Ref.[55], we have the entanglement entropy of CFT:

$$S_{\text{CFT}} = \frac{c}{6} \log\left(\frac{(z_2 - z_1)(\bar{z}_2 - \bar{z}_1)}{\Omega\bar{\Omega}}\right) = \frac{c}{6} \log\left(\frac{2n^2(\cos(\frac{\sigma_2 - \sigma_1}{n}) - \cos(\frac{\varphi_2 - \varphi_1}{n}))}{\epsilon^2 \cos \sigma_2 \cos \sigma_1}\right), \quad (8)$$

where ϵ is the ultraviolet cutoff and c is the central charge. It is noteworthy that the Weyl anomaly and Casimir energy of CFT will contribute $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle$, which is [23]:

$$\langle T_{\pm\pm} \rangle = \frac{c}{24\pi} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2}\right), \quad (9)$$

when we set $x_{\pm} = \sigma \pm \varphi$ and $e^{-2\omega} = \cos^2(\sigma)$. It is explicit that it will be cancelled when $n = 1$. However, in multiverse model it will lead to a correction to the dilaton solution (5):

$$\phi = \phi_r \frac{\cos \varphi}{\cos \sigma} - \frac{c}{12\pi} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2}\right) (1 + \sigma \tan \sigma). \quad (10)$$

In this paper, for the simplicity of calculation we set $\phi_r \gg c$, so for the rest of the paper we will neglect the backreaction effect and (5) can be still regarded as the dilaton solution.

Then we glue a Minkowski hat on each inflation region (we refer the Minkowski region as hat). We assume that this welding is near the future boundary of the inflating region, $\sigma(\varphi) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \delta\sigma$, then the boundary condition in the global coordinate is: $\phi_r \frac{\cos \varphi}{\delta\sigma} = \frac{\tilde{\phi}}{\epsilon}$, which suggests $\delta\sigma = \epsilon \frac{\phi_r}{\phi_r} \cos \varphi$. In the light of Milne wedge that cover a portion of inflating region and the hat: $\tanh \chi = \frac{\sin \varphi}{\sin \sigma}$ and $\tanh \eta = \frac{\cos \sigma}{\cos \varphi}$, we can fix the metric of the hat:

$$ds^2 = \frac{-d\eta^2 + d\chi^2}{\eta_0^2}, \quad (11)$$

where

$$\tanh \eta_0 \approx \eta_0 = \frac{\cos(\frac{\pi}{2} - \delta\sigma(\varphi))}{\cos \varphi} \approx \epsilon \frac{\phi_r}{\phi_r}. \quad (12)$$

Rewrite (11) in the global coordinate:

$$ds^2 = \frac{-d\sigma^2 + d\varphi^2}{\eta_0^2(\cos^2(\varphi) - \cos^2(\sigma))} = \frac{dzd\bar{z}}{\tilde{\Omega}\bar{\tilde{\Omega}}}, \tilde{\Omega} = \frac{\eta_0 e^{i\tilde{\sigma}}}{n} \sqrt{\cos^2(\varphi) - \cos^2(\sigma)}. \quad (13)$$

By replacing Ω with $\tilde{\Omega}$ in (8), together with the dilaton solution, we get the generalized entropy of a hat with island regions in the crunching region:

$$S_{\text{gen}} = \frac{c}{3} \log\left(\frac{2n^2(\cos(\frac{\sigma_2 - \sigma_1}{n}) - \cos(\frac{\varphi_2 - \varphi_1}{n}))}{\epsilon^2 \cos \sigma_2 \sqrt{\cos^2(\varphi) - \cos^2(\sigma)}}\right) + 2\phi_r \frac{\cos \varphi}{\cos \sigma} + 2\phi_0, \quad (14)$$

where the constant η_0 has been absorbed into ϵ , since it will not affect the position of the endpoints of islands. It is speculated that the endpoints of R and island regions are close to

the corners of their patches (see Fig. 3): $\sigma_R = \frac{\pi}{2} + \delta\sigma_R$, $\varphi_R = \frac{\pi}{2} - \delta\varphi_R$, $\sigma_I = \frac{\pi}{2} - \delta\sigma_I$ and $\varphi_I = \frac{\pi}{2} + \delta\varphi_I$, thus we have

$$S_{\text{gen}} = \frac{c}{3} \log\left(\frac{(\delta\varphi_I + \delta\varphi_R)^2 - (\delta\sigma_I - \delta\sigma_R)^2}{\epsilon^2 \delta\sigma_I \sqrt{(\delta\varphi_R)^2 - (\delta\sigma_R)^2}}\right) - 2\phi_r \frac{\delta\varphi_I}{\delta\sigma_I} + 2\phi_0. \quad (15)$$

The island solution suggests:

$$\delta\sigma_I = \frac{6\phi_r}{c} \delta\varphi_R, \quad \delta\varphi_I = \sqrt{1 + \frac{36(\phi_r)^2}{c^2}} \delta\varphi_R \approx \frac{6\phi_r}{c} \delta\varphi_R, \quad (16)$$

where we have set $\phi_r \gg c$ and assumed $\delta\sigma_I \gg \delta\sigma_R$. As a result, when region R nearly covers the entire hat, it seems that its island regions cover the rest of entire spacetime, see Fig.3.




FIG. 3: Islands of region R in $n = 3$ multiverse spacetime.

III. A RESOLUTION TO THE VIOLATION OF NO-CLONING THEOREM

In the case of Fig.3, we have a paradox: both Alice and Bob can encode information in the overlapping region, indicating a violation of no-cloning theorem. The origin of this paradox is that the entanglement wedge of a hat can extend to the rest of entire spacetime, which results the inevitable overlap of the island regions of different hats. Here, we will show that instead of extend to the rest of entire spacetime the other end of the island might be bounded by what we called “division point”.




FIG. 4: For one hat in the multiverse model, its island regions are bounded by the dashed lines, or we could say, the supposed ‘overlapping’ regions are divided by the dashed lines. I_2 can be regarded as one of the division points of the islands, whose location is what we want to derive.

In the following, we will derive the “division point” of the islands. As illustrated in Fig.4, we consider the red region centered at $\phi = 0$. The region R can only entangle with the islands between the dashed lines, thus the states between the dashed lines can be regarded as pure, i.e.the region $(I_1, I_2) \cup (R_1, R_2)$ purifies the region (R_1, I_1) .

Here, we would use the PRMI $I_\alpha(A; B)$, which we will review in Appendix-A. In the limit $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, we have

$$I_{\alpha=1}(A_{(I_1, I_2)}; B_{(R_1, R_2)}) \sim S_{\text{CFT}}(R_1, I_1), \quad (17)$$

where $I_{\alpha=1}$ is difficult to calculate³. However, considering $d(R_1, I_1)$ is relatively small, we could use the adjacent approximation, and slightly modify (A10) as⁴[56]:

$$I_{\alpha=1}(A_{(I_1, I_2)}; B_{(R_1, R_2)}) = \frac{1}{3} \log \frac{l_A l_B}{d(l_A + l_B)}, \quad (18)$$

where $l_A = d(I_1, I_2)$, $l_B = d(R_1, R_2)$, and $d = d(I_1, R_1)$. We assume the UV cut-off cancels and neglect the constant correction factors, Eq.(17) is approximately:

$$\frac{1}{l_A} + \frac{1}{l_B} \sim \frac{1}{d^2}. \quad (19)$$

In the light of (16), the coordinates of R_1, I_1 are:

$$R_1 : \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \delta\sigma_R, \frac{\pi}{2} - \delta\varphi_R \right), \quad I_1 : \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{6\phi_r}{c} \delta\varphi_R, \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{6\phi_r}{c} \delta\varphi_R \right). \quad (20)$$

³ It contains a four-point function: $\text{Tr}(\rho_{AB}^\alpha (\rho_A \otimes \rho_B)^m) = \langle \sigma_{g_A}(A_1) \sigma_{g_A^{-1}}(A_2) \sigma_{g_B}(B_1) \sigma_{g_B^{-1}}(B_2) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$, which we do not have an analytic expression.

⁴ The accuracy of (18) will be discussed in Appendix-B.

We assume $R_2 : (\frac{\pi}{2} + \delta\sigma_R, 0)$ is near the future boundary of inflating region and set $\delta\varphi_R = N\delta\sigma_R$. According to Fig.4, we can easily find $N > 1$. Then we will get:

$$\begin{aligned} d^2 &= \frac{(z_{I_1} - z_{R_1})(\bar{z}_{I_1} - \bar{z}_{R_1})}{\Omega_{I_1}\tilde{\Omega}_{R_1}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1 - N^{-2}}}, \\ l_B^2 &= \frac{(z_{R_2} - z_{R_1})(\bar{z}_{R_2} - \bar{z}_{R_1})}{\tilde{\Omega}_{R_1}\tilde{\Omega}_{R_2}} = \frac{2n^2(1 - \cos(\frac{\pi - \delta\varphi_R}{n}))}{\delta\varphi_R\sqrt{1 - N^{-2}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Thus we have

$$l_A = d^2, \quad (22)$$

since l_B is very large for $n \gg 1$. Considering that there exists axial symmetries at $\phi = n\pi$, we could set $I_2 : (\sigma_2, \pi)$, and substitute it to (22):

$$\frac{2n^2((\cos \frac{\sigma_2 - (\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{6\phi_r}{c}\delta\varphi_R)}{n}) - \cos(\frac{\pi - \frac{6\phi_r}{c}\delta\varphi_R}{n}))}{\frac{6\phi_r}{c} \cos \sigma_2 \delta\varphi_R} = \frac{4}{1 - N^{-2}}. \quad (23)$$

Though it seems rather complicated to solve (23) directly, when $n \gg 1$ we could approximately have

$$\frac{(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{6\phi_r}{c}\delta\varphi_R)^2 - (\sigma_2 - (\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{6\phi_r}{c}\delta\varphi_R))^2}{\frac{6\phi_r}{c} \cos \sigma_2 \delta\varphi_R} = \frac{4}{1 - N^{-2}}. \quad (24)$$

As $\delta\varphi_R$ is very small, $\sigma \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$, it is explicitly that σ_2 should be very close to 0, which makes $\cos \sigma_2 \sim 1$. Then solving (24), we get:

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{24\phi_r}{\pi c(1 - N^{-2})}\delta\varphi_R, \quad (25)$$

which is consistent with our assumption that σ_2 should be very small. Thus we seek out such a division point I_2 of island, actually very close to the event horizon.

Therefore, the island region encoded in a hat in the dS_2 multiverse model are bounded instead of extending to the rest of entire spacetime. In corresponding case, the paradox can be avoided since the observer in one hat could only encoded the information in the bounded island regions in the multiverse model (Fig.4), The position of the boundaries can be fixed with the help of mutual information calculations and symmetry. In the "bird eye" view the existence of such boundaries does not affect the endpoints of the islands. Instead, they seems to affect the "shape" of the islands.

It should be commented that if we choose the "bird eye" view of the multiverse in corresponding model, we will still find the island regions continuous, however, for an observer, such as Alice, at one hat, when she attempted to decode the island region, she has no ideas

for the regions beyond the division points, and what she can only know is the island regions bounded by the division points in the neighbouring crunching regions,

IV. CONNECTION WITH TRAVERSABLE WORMHOLE

It is natural to consider the implications of the division points that bound the islands. In this section we argue that it might bring us a new perspective of understanding the relationship between the entanglement islands and traversable wormhole.

Traversable wormhole plays an important part in ER=EPR conjecture [57–60], making it possible for information transferring through the horizon. It also serves as a resolution to the cloning paradox in Hayden-Preskill’ work [61, 62]. To construct a traversable wormhole in a crunching spacetime, we need to impose a pulse with negative energy [63, 64].

In dS_2 JT spacetime with the Kruskal coordinate ⁵

$$ds^2 = -\frac{4}{(1-UV)^2}dUdV, \quad (26)$$

a negative pulse [48]⁶:

$$\langle T_{VV} \rangle = \alpha \frac{\delta(V - V_S)}{V_S}, \quad \text{with } \alpha < 0, \quad (27)$$

will result in a shift:

$$\Delta U = -\alpha \frac{\pi}{V_S \phi_r} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^3) \quad (28)$$

The computation of (28) will be presented in Appendix-C. The coordinate of null ray move through different patches will be changed by $U_i \rightarrow -\frac{1}{U_i}$ and $V_i \rightarrow -\frac{1}{V_i}$, as the motion from the crunching region to the inflating region result in a shift of $\phi \rightarrow \phi + \pi$. Thus for the null ray sent from $U = U_2$, it will enter the inflating region along the path:

$$U_\alpha = -\frac{1}{U_2 - \Delta U}. \quad (29)$$

⁵ The shift from the Kruskal coordinate to the global coordinate are: $\tan \sigma = \frac{U+V}{1-UV}$ and $\tan \varphi = \frac{V-U}{1+UV}$.

⁶ We assumed $|\alpha|$ is small so that the backreaction effect to the islands can be neglected.




FIG. 5: Traversable wormhole in dS_2 JT Nariai spacetime with Minkowski hat. In convention we choose to fix the Penrose diagram and makes the null ray (purple line) shift $-\Delta U$. If the island is close to the singularity everywhere, only a few of signals whose geodesic lines intersect with the casual diamond of the island (shaded in light green) can reach the observer in region R .

The light ray could intersect with region R for $U_\alpha < U_R$. In the case of Fig.5, the traversable wormhole can only cover a small portion of the causal diamond of the right half of the island entangled with region R , as $|\alpha|$ is small, however, in the case that the division points exist (see Fig.6), a large portion of the causal diamond of the right part of the island entangled with the region R can be covered by the traversable wormhole.




FIG. 6: The division point I_2 change the shape of the island. Considering the pulse is small, I_2 should still close to the horizon. When the null ray emitted at the north pole (purple line) intersect with I_2 and can reach the endpoint of R , all signals that intercept the causal diamond of right half island (shaded in light green) can reach R . In this case the traversable wormhole cover the casual diamond of the part of the island that entangles with the half R .

In the following, we will present an argument into this insight. In a case that all signals that intercept with the causal diamond of the right half of the island can reach R , the coordination of I_2 must obey:

$$U_R = U_\alpha = -\frac{1}{U_2 - \Delta U} = -\frac{1}{U_2 + \frac{4\pi\alpha\epsilon}{cV_s}}. \quad (30)$$

where $\epsilon = \frac{c}{4\phi_r}$. Thus we have

$$I_2 : \left(\frac{12\phi_r}{(1 - N^{-2})c} \delta\varphi_R, -\pi \right), \quad (31)$$

where $n = 1$ is set. We assume the pulse is imposed at $\sigma_S = 0$, so that we can fix $V_S = 1$. Thus the positions of I_2, R_1 in the Kruskal coordinate are:

$$\begin{aligned} U_2 = V_2 &= \frac{6\phi_r}{(1 - N^{-2})c} \delta\varphi_R = \frac{3\delta\varphi_R}{2(1 - N^{-2})\epsilon}, \\ U_R &\sim \frac{2}{\delta\varphi_R} \quad V_R \sim \frac{\delta\varphi_R}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Thus substituting (32) to (30), we have

$$\alpha = -\frac{c}{8\pi\epsilon} \left(\frac{3}{(1 - N^{-2})\epsilon} - 1 \right) \delta\varphi_R. \tag{33}$$

As expected, $|\alpha|$ is very small. However, if the amplitude of pulse is bigger, we cannot ignore the backreaction effects, and the location of island will be shifted, see (C7) in Appendix-C. In that case, the shift of the island in U direction cancels the shift of the null ray caused by the pulse, since the position of shifted division point I_2 (for $V_S = 1$) is:

$$\begin{aligned} U_2 = V_2 &= \frac{3\delta\varphi_R}{2(1 - N^{-2})\epsilon} - \alpha \frac{\pi}{\phi_r} = \frac{3\delta\varphi_R}{2(1 - N^{-2})\epsilon} + \Delta U > \Delta U, \\ U_1 &= \frac{V_r\epsilon}{3} + \Delta U > \Delta U \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

it is impossible for the signals that pass through the causal diamond of island to travel through the horizons. Thus when a pulse get too large, it will favor to close the traversable wormhole in dS_2 spacetime, see also Ref.[62] for AdS spacetime.

In our case the traversable wormhole has causal contact with portion of the entanglement island, indicating a possible potential connection between them. The division point we derived in section.III can make the traversable wormhole cover most of the casual diamond of the half part of the island that entangles with the observer, which might strengthen the correlation between the traversable wormhole and ER=EPR conjecture.

V. DISCUSSIONS

One of the major differences between the cosmology and black holes is that cosmological event horizons are observer-dependent. Two observers outside a black hole will agree one same event horizon, and they cannot encode the same interior region at once. However, two observers in different patch of the universe may have different event horizons. They can encode the regions beyond their horizons, but these regions seems inevitably overlap, which violates the no-cloning theorem.

In this paper, our resolution to this paradox is that the regions beyond the horizons are bounded so that they cannot overlap. In implementing detail, we focus on a multiverse model in dS_2 JT gravity, and set a proper condition so that we can neglect the backreaction effect to simplify the calculation. In corresponding model, with the help of the PRMI and symmetry we find that while one end of the island region of one hat is bounded by the QES, the other end of the island is bounded by what we called "division point" instead of extend to the rest of entire spacetime. Bounded by the division points, the island regions of different hats do not overlap any longer, thus the paradox can be avoided, see Fig.4.

It is worth mentioning that although the division points seem to divide the island regions apart, they do not actually physically divide the islands. In the "bird eye" view the island regions are still continuous while the regions for an observer on a hat can decode end at the division points. The difference of their understanding for islands originates from their different view, one is at a Minkowski hat, the other is in the "bird eye" view, though the latter perspective of view might not actually exist in reality.

The existence of the division points affect the "shape" of the islands, which might be an interesting bonus. It is well known that the endpoints of the island are usually fixed by the QES, and we seldom care about the shape of the island region itself. In this paper we discuss the role of division points might play in the traversable wormhole model in dS_2 spacetime, which shows that the division points of the island might actually affect the shape of the causal diamond of half of the island that entangles with the outside observer, just as Fig.6.

Here, we focus on a dS_2 JT multiverse model, it might be interesting to consider whether our results can be extended into higher dimensions or more realistic spacetime, e.g.[65], or [66] and recent e.g. [67, 68] for inflating spacetime with supermassive primordial black holes. To simplify the calculation, we have set $\phi_r \gg c$ to neglect the backreaction effect, we wonder with the backreaction if there would be some significant differences. And we make an adjacent approximation to PRMI, but in fact it is a two disjoint intervals calculation, which depends on the full operator content of the theory, and its expansion is complicated and depends on the specific models [69, 70]. It is worthwhile to explore relevant issues.

Acknowledgment This work is supported by NSFC, No.12075246, National Key Research and Development Program of China, No. 2021YFC2203004, and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

Appendix A: On PRRE and PRMI

In this Appendix, we briefly review some properties and calculations of Petz Rényi relative entropy (PRRE) and Petz Rényi mutual information (PRMI).

The well known von-Neumann entropy is:

$$S_{vN} = -\text{Tr}(\rho \log \rho), \quad (\text{A1})$$

where ρ is the density matrix. To measure the correlation between two subsystems A and B , we would take the mutual information:

$$I_{vN}(A; B) = S_{vN}(A) + S_{vN}(B) - S_{vN}(AB). \quad (\text{A2})$$

However, with the Rényi entropy:

$$S_n(\rho) = \frac{1}{1-n} \log(\text{Tr} \rho^n), \quad (\text{A3})$$

the Rényi mutual information (RMI) need to be written as [56]:

$$I_\alpha(A; B) = D_\alpha(\rho_{AB} \parallel \rho_A \otimes \rho_B), \quad \text{with} \quad D_\alpha(\rho \parallel \sigma) = \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log[\text{Tr}(\rho^\alpha \sigma^{1-\alpha})], \quad (\text{A4})$$

which is called PRMI, while D_α is called Petz Rényi relative entropy (PRRE) [71–73]. D_α will return to von-Neumann entropy at the limit of $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ [74].

In analogy to the situation of Rényi entropy, we use replica trick to calculate PRMI [56, 74]:

$$I_\alpha(A; B) = \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \lim_{m \rightarrow 1-\alpha} \log[\text{Tr}(\rho_{AB}^\alpha (\rho_A \otimes \rho_B)^m)]. \quad (\text{A5})$$




FIG. 7: The replica manifold of $\text{Tr} \log((\rho_{AB})^\alpha (\rho_A \otimes \rho_B)^m)$, the lines correspond to the structure of gluing between the replicas.

Here, similar to the method of [55], for the replica manifold of PRRI shown in Fig. 7, we can also derive its scaling dimensions. One of the major differences is that we will use the cyclic permutations g_A, g_B , instead of the cyclic permutations $(1\dots n), (n\dots 1)$ [56]:

$$g_A = (1, \dots, \alpha, \alpha + 1, \dots, \alpha + m), \quad g_B = (1, \dots, \alpha, \alpha + m + 1, \dots, \alpha + 2m), \quad (\text{A6})$$

noting that the permutation $g_A^{-1}g_B$ does not corresponds to the identity permutation:

$$g_A^{-1}g_B = (1, \alpha + m, \dots, \alpha + 1, \alpha + m + 1, \dots, \alpha + 2m). \quad (\text{A7})$$

Generally, the scaling dimension can be written as:

$$\Delta_g = \sum_{g \in \text{cycle}(g)} \frac{c}{12} (|g_i| - \frac{1}{|g_i|}), \quad (\text{A8})$$

then the scaling dimensions of the corresponding twists operators can be calculated:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \Delta_{g_A} = \Delta_{g_B} = \Delta_{g_A^{-1}} = \Delta_{g_B^{-1}} = \frac{c}{12} (\alpha + m - \frac{1}{\alpha + m}), \\ \Delta_{g_A^{-1}g_B} &= \Delta_{g_B^{-1}g_A} = \frac{c}{12} (2m + 1 - \frac{1}{2m + 1}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A9})$$

Assuming that the region A has a finite length l_A with endpoints at A_1 and A_2 , and the region B has a finite length l_B with endpoints at B_1 and B_2 , we consider a special situation

where A and B are adjacent, equivalently $A_2 = B_1$. Then we can calculate the PRMI of A and B :

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\alpha(A; B) &= \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \lim_{m \rightarrow 1 - \alpha} \log \langle \sigma_{g_A}(A_1) \sigma_{g_A^{-1}g_B}(A_2) \sigma_{g_B^{-1}}(B_2) \rangle_C \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \lim_{m \rightarrow 1 - \alpha} \log \frac{C_{\alpha, m}}{(l_A l_B)^{\Delta_{g_A^{-1}g_B}} (l_A + l_B)^{2\Delta - \Delta_{g_A^{-1}g_B}}} \\
&= c \frac{2 - \alpha}{3(3 - 2\alpha)} \log \frac{l_A l_B}{\epsilon^2 (l_A + l_B)} + \mathcal{O}(1),
\end{aligned} \tag{A10}$$

where $C_{\alpha, m}$ is the operator product expansion (OPE) coefficient and leads to the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ corrections which have no an general analytic expression. However, in some certain situations, such as fermion systems, it can be calculated [56].

Appendix B: A check of accuracy on (18)

In this Appendix, we explore the condition that our approximation in the calculation of PRMI (18) in section.III is accurate in $n = 1$ case.




FIG. 8: The Penrose diagram of dS_2 JT Nariai spacetime with Minkowski hat, corresponding to the $n = 1$ situation of the multiverse model we focus.

As is shown in Fig.8, it is explicitly that $(R_1, R_1') \cup (I_1, I_1')$ purifies the region $(I_1, R_1) \cup (I_1', R_1')$, which leads to:

$$I_{\alpha=1}(A_{(I_1, I_1')}; B_{(R_1, R_1')}) \sim 2S_{\text{CFT}}(R_1, I_1), \tag{B1}$$

with the coordinates of the points:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \delta\sigma_I, -\frac{\pi}{2} - \delta\varphi_I\right), & \quad I'_1\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \delta\sigma_I, -\frac{3\pi}{2} + \delta\varphi_I\right), \\ R_1\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \delta\sigma_R, -\frac{\pi}{2} + \delta\varphi_R\right), & \quad R'_1\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \delta\sigma_R, \frac{\pi}{2} - \delta\varphi_R\right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B2})$$

According to our procedures in section.III, the deviation of our approximation will be:

$$\Delta S = \frac{1}{3} \log\left(d^3\left(\frac{1}{l_A} + \frac{1}{l_B}\right)\right), \quad (\text{B3})$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} d^2 &= d^2(I_1, R_1) = \frac{4}{1 - N^{-2}}, \\ l_A^2 &= d^2(I_1, I'_1) = \frac{2(1 - \cos(\pi - 2\delta\varphi_I))}{\delta\sigma_I^2}, \\ &= \frac{4 \sin^2(4\delta\varphi_I)}{\delta\sigma_I^2} \sim 64, \\ l_B^2 &= d^2(R_1, R'_1) = \frac{2(1 - \cos(\pi - 2\delta\varphi_R))}{\delta\varphi_R^2 - \delta\sigma_R^2}, \\ &= \frac{4 \sin^2(4\delta\varphi_R)}{\delta\varphi_R^2 - \delta\sigma_R^2} \sim \frac{64}{1 - N^{-2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B4})$$

Substituting to (B3), we get the deviation function:

$$\Delta S(N) = \frac{1}{3} \log\left(\frac{1 + (1 - N^{-2})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 - N^{-2})^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right), \quad (\text{B5})$$

which lowers monotonically with N when $N > 1$. Therefore, in $n = 1$ situation, our assumption will get more accurate as N get bigger. When $N \rightarrow \infty$, $\Delta S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)$, indicating that our assumption is quite accurate in this case.

Appendix C: Derivation of traversable wormhole in dS₂ JT spacetime

In this Appendix, we borrow the methods from [48] to derive the shift of the horizon and the back-reacted island.

The dilaton satisfies:

$$\phi = \phi_0 - \phi_r \frac{1 + U_I V_I}{1 - U_I V_I} - \frac{2\pi\alpha(1 - V_S U_I)}{V_S(1 - V_I U_I)} (V_I - V_S)\theta(V_I - V_S), \quad (\text{C1})$$

where θ is the Heaviside function. To derive the shifted horizon we need to extremalize the dilaton in U and V directions:

$$U^+ \sim \frac{\phi_r}{\pi V_S \alpha}, \quad U^- \sim -\frac{\pi\alpha}{V_S \phi_r}. \quad (\text{C2})$$

Consider $|\alpha|$ is small, the shift of horizon should not be very large, and it is explicitly that when $\alpha = 0$ the horizon lies at $U = 0$, so the shift of horizon in U direction will be:

$$\Delta U = -\frac{\pi\alpha}{V_S\phi_r}, \quad (\text{C3})$$

which results in (28).

To calculate the back-reacted island we need to map the Kruskal coordinate into the vacuum coordinate z and \bar{z} , which can be fixed by:

$$\langle T_{VV} \rangle = -\frac{c}{24\pi} \{z, V\}. \quad (\text{C4})$$

We set:

$$z = V + \alpha f(V), \quad \bar{z} = U, \quad (\text{C5})$$

in $|\alpha| \ll 1$, and thus the solution to (C4) is:

$$f(V) = -\frac{12\pi}{cV_S}(V - V_S)^2\theta(V - V_S). \quad (\text{C6})$$

Then we substitute it together with the back-reacted dilaton (C1) to the formula of generalized entropy and derive the QES in the case $\epsilon \ll |\alpha| \ll 1$, we will have the island affected by the backreaction at leading order of ϵ and α :

$$\begin{aligned} V_i &= \frac{U_r\epsilon}{3} + 4\pi\alpha\frac{V_S\epsilon}{c}, \\ U_i &= \frac{V_r\epsilon}{3} - 4\pi\alpha\frac{\epsilon}{cV_S}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C7})$$

In the case of $\alpha = 0$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{\delta\sigma_R} &= \frac{U_R + V_R}{1 - U_RV_R}, & \frac{1}{\delta\sigma_I} &= \frac{U_I + V_I}{1 - U_IV_I}, \\ -\frac{1}{\delta\varphi_R} &= \frac{V_R - U_R}{1 - U_RV_R}, & \frac{1}{\delta\varphi_I} &= \frac{V_I - U_I}{1 - U_IV_I}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C8})$$

Solving it and we will get:

$$\delta\sigma_I \sim \delta\varphi_I \sim \frac{6\phi_r}{c}\delta\varphi_R, \quad (\text{C9})$$

which is incoherence with the island we derive in section.II.

[1] R. Bousso, X. Dong, N. Engelhardt, T. Faulkner, T. Hartman, S.H. Shenker et al., *Snowmass White Paper: Quantum Aspects of Black Holes and the Emergence of Spacetime*, [2201.03096](#).

- [2] D.N. Page, *Information in black hole radiation*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** (1993) 3743 [[hep-th/9306083](#)].
- [3] D.N. Page, *Time Dependence of Hawking Radiation Entropy*, *JCAP* **09** (2013) 028 [[1301.4995](#)].
- [4] G. Penington, *Entanglement Wedge Reconstruction and the Information Paradox*, *JHEP* **09** (2020) 002 [[1905.08255](#)].
- [5] G. Penington, S.H. Shenker, D. Stanford and Z. Yang, *Replica wormholes and the black hole interior*, *JHEP* **03** (2022) 205 [[1911.11977](#)].
- [6] A. Almheiri, N. Engelhardt, D. Marolf and H. Maxfield, *The entropy of bulk quantum fields and the entanglement wedge of an evaporating black hole*, *JHEP* **12** (2019) 063 [[1905.08762](#)].
- [7] A. Almheiri, R. Mahajan, J. Maldacena and Y. Zhao, *The Page curve of Hawking radiation from semiclassical geometry*, *JHEP* **03** (2020) 149 [[1908.10996](#)].
- [8] A. Almheiri, T. Hartman, J. Maldacena, E. Shaghoulian and A. Tajdini, *Replica Wormholes and the Entropy of Hawking Radiation*, *JHEP* **05** (2020) 013 [[1911.12333](#)].
- [9] A. Almheiri, R. Mahajan and J.E. Santos, *Entanglement islands in higher dimensions*, *SciPost Phys.* **9** (2020) 001 [[1911.09666](#)].
- [10] A. Almheiri, R. Mahajan and J. Maldacena, *Islands outside the horizon*, [1910.11077](#).
- [11] S.W. Hawking, *Particle Creation by Black Holes*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43** (1975) 199.
- [12] S.W. Hawking, *Breakdown of Predictability in Gravitational Collapse*, *Phys. Rev. D* **14** (1976) 2460.
- [13] N. Engelhardt and A.C. Wall, *Quantum Extremal Surfaces: Holographic Entanglement Entropy beyond the Classical Regime*, *JHEP* **01** (2015) 073 [[1408.3203](#)].
- [14] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, *Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** (2006) 181602 [[hep-th/0603001](#)].
- [15] V.E. Hubeny, M. Rangamani and T. Takayanagi, *A Covariant holographic entanglement entropy proposal*, *JHEP* **07** (2007) 062 [[0705.0016](#)].
- [16] A. Lewkowycz and J. Maldacena, *Generalized gravitational entropy*, *JHEP* **08** (2013) 090 [[1304.4926](#)].
- [17] T. Faulkner, A. Lewkowycz and J. Maldacena, *Quantum corrections to holographic entanglement entropy*, *JHEP* **11** (2013) 074 [[1307.2892](#)].
- [18] A.C. Wall, *Maximin Surfaces, and the Strong Subadditivity of the Covariant Holographic*

- Entanglement Entropy*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **31** (2014) 225007 [[1211.3494](#)].
- [19] T. Hartman, Y. Jiang and E. Shaghoulian, *Islands in cosmology*, *JHEP* **11** (2020) 111 [[2008.01022](#)].
- [20] Y. Chen, V. Gorbenko and J. Maldacena, *Bra-ket wormholes in gravitationally prepared states*, *JHEP* **02** (2021) 009 [[2007.16091](#)].
- [21] V. Balasubramanian, A. Kar and T. Ugajin, *Islands in de Sitter space*, *JHEP* **02** (2021) 072 [[2008.05275](#)].
- [22] S.E. Aguilar-Gutierrez, A. Chatwin-Davies, T. Hertog, N. Pinzani-Fokeeva and B. Robinson, *Islands in Multiverse Models*, *JHEP* **11** (2021) 212 [[2108.01278](#)].
- [23] A. Levine and E. Shaghoulian, *Encoding beyond cosmological horizons in de Sitter JT gravity*, *JHEP* **02** (2023) 179 [[2204.08503](#)].
- [24] Y.-S. Piao, *Implication of the island rule for inflation and primordial perturbations*, *Phys. Rev. D* **107** (2023) 123509 [[2301.07403](#)].
- [25] G. Yadav and N. Joshi, *Cosmological and black hole islands in multi-event horizon spacetimes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **107** (2023) 026009 [[2210.00331](#)].
- [26] R. Espíndola, B. Najian and D. Nikolakopoulou, *Islands in FRW Cosmologies*, [2203.04433](#).
- [27] I. Ben-Dayan, M. Hadad and E. Wildenhain, *Islands in the fluid: islands are common in cosmology*, *JHEP* **03** (2023) 077 [[2211.16600](#)].
- [28] J. Kames-King, E.M.H. Verheijden and E.P. Verlinde, *No Page curves for the de Sitter horizon*, *JHEP* **03** (2022) 040 [[2108.09318](#)].
- [29] L. Aalsma and W. Sybesma, *The Price of Curiosity: Information Recovery in de Sitter Space*, *JHEP* **05** (2021) 291 [[2104.00006](#)].
- [30] J.-H. Baek and K.-S. Choi, *Islands in proliferating de Sitter spaces*, *JHEP* **05** (2023) 098 [[2212.14753](#)].
- [31] L. Aalsma, S.E. Aguilar-Gutierrez and W. Sybesma, *An outsider's perspective on information recovery in de Sitter space*, *JHEP* **01** (2023) 129 [[2210.12176](#)].
- [32] D. Teresi, *Islands and the de Sitter entropy bound*, *JHEP* **10** (2022) 179 [[2112.03922](#)].
- [33] M.-S. Seo, *Information paradox and island in quasi-de Sitter space*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82** (2022) 1082 [[2204.04585](#)].
- [34] S. Azarnia, R. Fareghbal, A. Naseh and H. Zolfi, *Islands in flat-space cosmology*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 126017 [[2109.04795](#)].

- [35] S. Choudhury, S. Chowdhury, N. Gupta, A. Mishara, S.P. Selvam, S. Panda et al., *Circuit Complexity from Cosmological Islands*, *Symmetry* **13** (2021) 1301 [2012.10234].
- [36] S. Choudhury, *Entanglement Negativity in de Sitter Biverse from Stringy Axionic Bell Pair: An Analysis Using Bunch-Davies Vacuum*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **72** (2024) 2300063 [2301.05203].
- [37] S.E. Aguilar-Gutierrez and F. Landgren, *A multiverse model in dS wedge holography*, [2311.02074](#).
- [38] T. Li, M.-K. Yuan and Y. Zhou, *Defect extremal surface for reflected entropy*, *JHEP* **01** (2022) 018 [2108.08544].
- [39] F.F. Gautason, L. Schneiderbauer, W. Sybesma and L. Thorlacius, *Page Curve for an Evaporating Black Hole*, *JHEP* **05** (2020) 091 [2004.00598].
- [40] X. Dong, X.-L. Qi, Z. Shangnan and Z. Yang, *Effective entropy of quantum fields coupled with gravity*, *JHEP* **10** (2020) 052 [2007.02987].
- [41] M. Alishahiha, A. Faraji Astaneh and A. Naseh, *Island in the presence of higher derivative terms*, *JHEP* **02** (2021) 035 [2005.08715].
- [42] Y. Ling, Y. Liu and Z.-Y. Xian, *Island in Charged Black Holes*, *JHEP* **03** (2021) 251 [2010.00037].
- [43] Y. Matsuo, *Islands and stretched horizon*, *JHEP* **07** (2021) 051 [2011.08814].
- [44] S. He, Y. Sun, L. Zhao and Y.-X. Zhang, *The universality of islands outside the horizon*, *JHEP* **05** (2022) 047 [2110.07598].
- [45] R.-X. Miao, *Massless Entanglement Island in Wedge Holography*, [2212.07645](#).
- [46] D. Li and R.-X. Miao, *Massless entanglement islands in cone holography*, *JHEP* **06** (2023) 056 [2303.10958].
- [47] J.-C. Chang, S. He, Y.-X. Liu and L. Zhao, *Island formula in Planck brane*, *JHEP* **11** (2023) 006 [2308.03645].
- [48] S.E. Aguilar-Gutierrez, R. Espíndola and E.K. Morvan-Benhaim, *A teleportation protocol in Schwarzschild-de Sitter space*, [2308.13516](#).
- [49] P. Jain, S. Pant and H. Parihar, *Island in Quark Cloud Model*, [2311.08186](#).
- [50] V. Franken and F. Rondeau, *On the Quantum Bousso Bound in de Sitter JT gravity*, [2311.17152](#).
- [51] R. Jackiw, *Lower Dimensional Gravity*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **252** (1985) 343.
- [52] C. Teitelboim, *Gravitation and Hamiltonian Structure in Two Space-Time Dimensions*, *Phys.*

- Lett. B* **126** (1983) 41.
- [53] J. Maldacena, G.J. Turiaci and Z. Yang, *Two dimensional Nearly de Sitter gravity*, *JHEP* **01** (2021) 139 [[1904.01911](#)].
- [54] J. Cotler, K. Jensen and A. Maloney, *Low-dimensional de Sitter quantum gravity*, *JHEP* **06** (2020) 048 [[1905.03780](#)].
- [55] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, *Entanglement entropy and conformal field theory*, *J. Phys. A* **42** (2009) 504005 [[0905.4013](#)].
- [56] J. Kudler-Flam, L. Nie and A. Vijay, *Rényi mutual information in quantum field theory, tensor networks, and gravity*, [2308.08600](#).
- [57] J. Maldacena and L. Susskind, *Cool horizons for entangled black holes*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **61** (2013) 781 [[1306.0533](#)].
- [58] L. Susskind and Y. Zhao, *Teleportation through the wormhole*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 046016 [[1707.04354](#)].
- [59] H. Verlinde, *ER = EPR revisited: On the Entropy of an Einstein-Rosen Bridge*, [2003.13117](#).
- [60] C.H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crepeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres and W.K. Wootters, *Teleporting an unknown quantum state via dual classical and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen channels*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70** (1993) 1895.
- [61] P. Hayden and J. Preskill, *Black holes as mirrors: Quantum information in random subsystems*, *JHEP* **09** (2007) 120 [[0708.4025](#)].
- [62] J. Maldacena, D. Stanford and Z. Yang, *Diving into traversable wormholes*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **65** (2017) 1700034 [[1704.05333](#)].
- [63] B. Freivogel, V. Godet, E. Morvan, J.F. Pedraza and A. Rotundo, *Lessons on eternal traversable wormholes in AdS*, *JHEP* **07** (2019) 122 [[1903.05732](#)].
- [64] P. Gao, D.L. Jafferis and A.C. Wall, *Traversable Wormholes via a Double Trace Deformation*, *JHEP* **12** (2017) 151 [[1608.05687](#)].
- [65] E. Farhi, A.H. Guth and J. Guven, *Is It Possible to Create a Universe in the Laboratory by Quantum Tunneling?*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **339** (1990) 417.
- [66] S. Li, Y. Liu and Y.-S. Piao, *Inflation in Web*, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009) 123535 [[0906.3608](#)].
- [67] H.-L. Huang, Y. Cai, J.-Q. Jiang, J. Zhang and Y.-S. Piao, *Supermassive primordial black holes in multiverse: for nano-Hertz gravitational wave and high-redshift JWST galaxies*, [2306.17577](#).

- [68] H.-L. Huang and Y.-S. Piao, *Towards supermassive primordial black holes from inflationary bubbles*, [2312.11982](#).
- [69] P. Calabrese, J. Cardy and E. Tonni, *Entanglement entropy of two disjoint intervals in conformal field theory II*, *J. Stat. Mech.* **1101** (2011) P01021 [[1011.5482](#)].
- [70] J. Cardy, *Some results on the mutual information of disjoint regions in higher dimensions*, *J. Phys. A* **46** (2013) 285402 [[1304.7985](#)].
- [71] D. Petz, *Quasi-entropies for finite quantum systems*, *Rept. Math. Phys.* **23** (1986) 57.
- [72] E.H. Lieb, *Convex trace functions and the wigner-yanase-dyson conjecture*, *Advances in Mathematics* **11** (1973) 267.
- [73] A. Uhlmann, *Relative entropy and the wigner-yanase-dyson-lieb concavity in an interpolation theory*, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **54** (1977) 21.
- [74] J. Kudler-Flam, V. Narovlansky and S. Ryu, *Distinguishing Random and Black Hole Microstates*, *PRX Quantum* **2** (2021) 040340 [[2108.00011](#)].