

New properties of some classes of Saphar type operators

Ayoub Ghorbel and Snežana Č. Živković Zlatanović

Abstract. This paper explores additional properties of some classes of Saphar type operators, namely left Drazin invertible, essentially left Drazin invertible, right Drazin invertible, and essentially right Drazin invertible operators on Banach spaces, building upon the groundwork laid in [7] and [16]. Specifically, we propose alternative definitions for these operators and characterize them with a new type of operator decomposition, providing a deeper understanding of their properties. Furthermore, we investigate their behavior under powers. The operators we study are distinguished from other operators bearing the same name in existing literature, see [1], [8]. By employing more refined definitions, we uncover a broader range of properties for these operators, setting them apart from their counterparts in the literature.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 15A09, 47A05.

Keywords. Banach space; Saphar operators; left and right invertible operators; left and right Fredholm operators; decomposition of operators; powers of operators.

1. Introduction

Let X be an infinite-dimensional complex Banach space and let $\mathcal{B}(X)$ be the algebra of all linear bounded operators on X . For $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, let $\mathcal{N}(T)$ denote its kernel and $\mathcal{R}(T)$ denote its range, and let $a(T)$ (resp., $d(T)$, $a_e(T)$, $d_e(T)$) denote its ascent (resp., descent, essential ascent, essential descent). Recall that an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is called Drazin invertible if there exist a nonnegative integer k and a (unique) bounded operator S such that

$$ST = TS, STS = S, ST^{k+1} = T^k.$$

The smallest k for which the definition is valid is called the index of T . It is well known that Drazin invertible operators can be characterized in different ways, for instance, we have equivalences between the following statements:

- T is Drazin invertible.

- $a(T) = d(T) < \infty$.
- There exist a pair $(M, N) \in \text{Red}(T)$ such that T_M is invertible and T_N is nilpotent.

The last characterization is called the Kato decomposition of Drazin invertible operators. In addition to that, Drazin invertible operators can be also characterized in the following way

Theorem 1.1. [11] *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Then, the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (i) T is Drazin invertible.
- (ii) There exist operators $S, R \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that:
 - (a) $T = S + R$ and $SR = RS = 0$.
 - (b) S is Drazin invertible with index 0 or 1.
 - (c) R is nilpotent.

In [7], the concept of Drazin invertibility is extended through the introduction of two new classes of operators: left Drazin invertible and right Drazin invertible operators, defined as follows:

Definition 1.2. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is called left Drazin invertible if $p := a(T) < \infty$ and $\mathcal{R}(T) + \mathcal{N}(T^p)$ is topologically complemented, while $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is called right Drazin invertible if $q := d(T) < \infty$ and $\mathcal{N}(T) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^q)$ is topologically complemented.

Left and right Drazin invertible operators possess several notable properties, the most significant of which, akin to Drazin invertible operators, is the Kato decomposition property.

Theorem 1.3. [7] *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Then T is left (right) Drazin invertible if and only if there exist a pair $(M, N) \in \text{Red}(T)$ such that T_M is left (right) invertible and T_N is nilpotent of degree $a(T)$ ($d(T)$).*

In [16], broader classes of left and right Drazin invertible operators, termed essentially left Drazin invertible and essentially right Drazin invertible operators, are introduced as follows:

Definition 1.4. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is said to be essentially left Drazin invertible if $a_e(T) < \infty$ and the subspace $\mathcal{R}(T) + \mathcal{N}(T^{\text{dis}(T)})$ is topologically complemented, while $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is said to be essentially right Drazin invertible if $d_e(T) < \infty$ and $\mathcal{N}(T) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^{\text{dis}(T)})$ is topologically complemented, where $\text{dis}(T)$ is the degree of stable iteration of T .

Like left (resp., right) Drazin invertible operators, essentially left (resp., right) Drazin invertible operators are distinguished by the Kato decomposition property: they can be expressed as a direct sum of a left (resp., right) Fredholm operator and a nilpotent operator.

Theorem 1.5. [16] *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Then T is essentially left (right) Drazin invertible if and only if there exist a pair $(M, N) \in \text{Red}(T)$ such that T_M is left (right) Fredholm and T_N is nilpotent.*

Consider now the sets

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \{T \in \mathcal{B}(X) : a(T) < \infty, \mathcal{R}(T^n) \text{ is closed for every } n \geq a(T)\}, \\ R_2 &= \{T \in \mathcal{B}(X) : d(T) < \infty, \mathcal{R}(T^n) \text{ is closed for every } n \geq d(T)\}, \\ R_3 &= \{T \in \mathcal{B}(X) : a_e(T) < \infty, \mathcal{R}(T^n) \text{ is closed for every } n \geq a_e(T)\}, \\ R_4 &= \{T \in \mathcal{B}(X) : d_e(T) < \infty, \mathcal{R}(T^n) \text{ is closed for every } n \geq d_e(T)\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that a bounded linear operator T acting on a Hilbert space T belongs to R_1 (resp., R_2, R_3, R_4) if and only if it can be decomposed into a direct sum of a nilpotent operator and a left invertible one (resp., right invertible, left Fredholm, right Fredholm) [3, Theorem 3.12]. It was shown in [16] that this result does not hold in the general Banach space setting: the class of bounded linear operators on a Banach space which are characterized by the property that they can be decomposed into a direct sum of a nilpotent operator and a left invertible (resp., right invertible, left Fredholm, right Fredholm) one, i.e. the class of left (resp., right, essentially left, essentially right) Drazin invertible operators, can be a proper subset of the class R_1 (resp., R_2, R_3, R_4). For a bounded linear operators T acting on a Banach space and belonging to the class R_1 (resp., R_2, R_3, R_4) to be decomposed into a direct sum of a nilpotent operator and a left invertible one (resp., right invertible, left Fredholm, right Fredholm), it is necessary and sufficient that T is of Saphar type, i.e. that the subspaces $\mathcal{N}(T) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^{\text{dis}(T)})$ and $\mathcal{R}(T) + \mathcal{N}(T^{\text{dis}(T)})$ are topologically complemented, where $\text{dis}(T)$ is the degree of stable iteration of T (see [16, Theorems 4.13, 4.15, Corollaries 4.23, 4.24], [7, Theorems 3.2, 3.7]). Even though operators from the class R_1 (resp., R_2, R_3, R_4) were previously known in other literature as left Drazin invertible (resp., right Drazin invertible, essentially left Drazin invertible, essentially right Drazin invertible) operators, we refer to them in our work as upper Drazin invertible operators (resp., lower Drazin invertible, essentially upper Drazin invertible, essentially lower Drazin invertible). We observed that the name left Drazin invertible (resp., right Drazin invertible, essentially left Drazin invertible, essentially right Drazin invertible) operators is more appropriate for operators that can be represented as a direct sum of a nilpotent operator and a left invertible (resp., right invertible, left Fredholm, right Fredholm) operator [7, [16].

In this paper, we seek to develop further properties of left Drazin invertible, essentially left Drazin invertible, right Drazin invertible, and essentially right Drazin invertible operators on Banach spaces. We begin our study with providing necessary and sufficient conditions for an upper (resp., lower, essentially upper, or essentially lower) Drazin invertible operator to be left (resp., right, essentially left, or essentially right) Drazin invertible, thereby distinguishing these newly introduced concepts from traditional ones. We then present several characterizations that serve as alternative definitions for these new operators. To this end, we introduce and prove two new foundational lemmas that establish sufficient conditions for a paracomplete subspace

to be topologically complemented. These lemmas are particularly instrumental in establishing that necessary conditions for an operator $T \in B(X)$ to be essentially left (resp., essentially right) Drazin invertible are that $\mathcal{R}(T^n)$ and $\mathcal{N}(T^n)$ are topologically complemented for each $n \geq a_e(T)$ (resp., $n \geq d_e(T)$), which is not the case with operators from the class R_3 (resp., R_4) on an arbitrary Banach space. Subsequently, we introduce a new decomposition for Saphar-type operators. This decomposition involves splitting the operator into two parts: a part of Saphar type and a nilpotent part. This decomposition provides a unique characterization of Saphar-type operators, offering a new perspective on their structure. Finally in the last section, we prove that an operator $T \in B(X)$ is (essentially) left (right) Drazin invertible if and only if its power T^m is also (essentially) left (right) Drazin invertible for some positive integer m . This result is also based on the previously mentioned two lemmas and highlights the stability of the (essentially) left (right) Drazin invertibility property under the operation of taking powers.

2. Definitions and preliminary results

Let X be an infinite-dimensional complex Banach space. Here $\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{N}_0)$ denotes the set of all positive (non-negative) integers, and \mathbb{C} denotes the set of all complex numbers. Recall the definitions of the ascent $a(T)$ and the descent $d(T)$ of T : we say that T has finite ascent if the chain $\mathcal{N}(T^0) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(T^2) \dots$ becomes constant after a finite number of steps. The smallest $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\mathcal{N}(T^n) = \mathcal{N}(T^{n+1})$ is defined to be $a(T)$. The descent is defined similarly for the chain $\mathcal{R}(T^0) \supseteq \mathcal{R}(T) \supseteq \mathcal{R}(T^2) \dots$. We define the infimum of the empty set to be ∞ . For $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we set $\alpha_n(T) = \dim(\mathcal{N}(T) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^n))$ and $\beta_n(T) = \text{codim}(\mathcal{R}(T) + \mathcal{N}(T^n))$. The smallest integer n such that $\alpha_n(T) < \infty$ is defined to be the essential ascent $a_e(T)$ of T , while the smallest integer n such that $\beta_n(T) < \infty$ is defined to be the essential descent $d_e(T)$ of T . If there is $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for which the sequence $(\mathcal{N}(T) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^n))$ is constant for $n \geq d$, then T is said to have uniform descent for $n \geq d$. For $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ the degree of stable iteration $\text{dis}(T)$ is defined by:

$$\text{dis}(T) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : m \geq n, m \in \mathbb{N} \implies \mathcal{N}(T) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^m) = \mathcal{N}(T) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^n)\}.$$

An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is said to be *quasi-Fredholm of degree d* if there exists a $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\text{dis}(T) = d$ and $\mathcal{R}(T^n)$ is closed for each $n \geq d$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is *Kato* if $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is closed and $\mathcal{N}(T) \subset \mathcal{R}(T^n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We recall that $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is Kato if and only if T is quasi-Fredholm of degree 0.

An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is called upper (resp., lower) semi-Fredholm, or $T \in \Phi_+(X)$ (resp., $T \in \Phi_-(X)$) if $\alpha(T) := \dim \mathcal{N}(T) < \infty$ and $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is closed (resp., $\beta(T) := \text{codim} \mathcal{R}(T) < \infty$), and it is said to be relatively regular if $\mathcal{R}(T)$ and $\mathcal{N}(T)$ are topologically complemented subspaces of X . An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is called left (resp., right) Fredholm, or $T \in \Phi_l(X)$ (resp., $T \in \Phi_r(X)$) if it is relatively regular and upper (resp., lower) semi-Fredholm.

If $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is a relatively regular Kato operator, then we say that T is a *Saphar operator*. The degree of a nilpotent operator T is the smallest $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $T^d = 0$. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is said to be of *Saphar type (of degree d)* if there exists a pair $(M, N) \in \text{Red}(T)$ such that T_M is Saphar and T_N is nilpotent (of degree d). In that case, since $\text{dis}(T_N) = a(T_N) = d(T_N) = d$ and $\text{dis}(T_M) = 0$, it follows that $\text{dis}(T) = \max\{\text{dis}(T_M), \text{dis}(T_N)\} = d$. We recall that $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is of Saphar type of degree d if and only if it is a quasi-Fredholm operator with $\text{dis}(T) = d$ and the subspaces $\mathcal{R}(T) + \mathcal{N}(T^d)$ and $\mathcal{N}(T) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^d)$ are topologically complemented [16, Theorem 4.2], [6, Theorem 4.1]. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is said to admit a *generalized Saphar decomposition* if there exists a pair $(M, N) \in \text{Red}(T)$ such that T_M is Saphar and T_N is quasinilpotent [5].

An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is meromorphic if its non-zero spectral points are poles of its resolvent. We shall say $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ admits a *generalized Saphar-meromorphic decomposition* if there exists a pair $(M, N) \in \text{Red}(T)$ such that T_M is Saphar and T_N is meromorphic.

Let F, G and H be subspaces of X . We will copiously use the following modular law:

$$\text{if } F \subseteq H, \text{ then } (F + G) \cap H = F + (G \cap H). \quad (2.1)$$

The following lemma, upon which we will rely, was originally demonstrated in [7, Lemma 2.3] and later generalized in [16, Lemma 3.3].

Lemma 2.1. *Let M and N be closed in X such that $X = M \oplus N$. Let F be a subspace of M and G be a subspace of N . Then, $F \oplus G$ is topologically complemented in X if and only if F is topologically complemented in M and G is topologically complemented in N .*

Lemma 2.2. [15] *Let M, N be subspaces of X such that M is topologically complemented and N is finite-dimensional. Then $M + N$ is a topologically complemented subspace of X .*

Lemma 2.3. [16] *Let M be a topologically complemented subspace of X and let N be a closed subspace of X such that $\text{codim}N < \infty$. Then $M \cap N$ is topologically complemented in X .*

Lemma 2.4. [6] *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ be such that $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is topologically complemented. If M is topologically complemented and $\mathcal{N}(T) \subseteq M$, then $T(M)$ is topologically complemented.*

From Theorem 3.3 in [7] and its proof it follows that the following characterizations of left Drazin invertible operator hold:

Theorem 2.5. *For $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) T is left Drazin invertible.
- (ii) $a(T) < \infty$ and $\mathcal{N}(T^n)$ and $\mathcal{R}(T^n)$ are topologically complemented for every $n \geq a(T)$.

- (iii) $a(T) < \infty$ and there exists $n \geq a(T)$ such that $\mathcal{N}(T^n)$ and $\mathcal{R}(T^{n+1})$ is topologically complemented.

3. New Characterizations and Decompositions for (Essentially) Left and (Essentially) Right Drazin Invertible Operators

To deepen our understanding of (essentially) left and right Drazin invertibility of operators and to clearly differentiate these operators from related concepts in the literature, we present the following theorem which provides necessary and sufficient conditions for an upper (resp., lower, essentially upper, essentially lower) Drazin invertible operator to be left (resp., right, essentially left, essentially right) Drazin invertible.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ be an upper (resp., lower, essentially upper, essentially lower) Drazin invertible operator. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) T is left (resp., right, essentially left, essentially right) Drazin invertible.
- (ii) T is of Saphar type.
- (iii) T admits a generalized Saphar decomposition.
- (iv) T admits a generalized Saphar-meromorphic decomposition.

Proof. (i) \iff (ii) This equivalence follows from [16, Corollary 4.23] since $a(T) < \infty$.

(ii) \implies (iii) \implies (iv) Obvious.

(iv) \implies (ii) Suppose that T admits a generalized Saphar-meromorphic decomposition. Then there exists a pair $(M, N) \in \text{Red}(T)$ such that T_M is Saphar and T_N is meromorphic. Since T_N is meromorphic, it follows that $T_N - \lambda I_N$ is Drazin invertible for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, so that in particular $T_N - \lambda I_N$ is upper Drazin invertible for every $\lambda \neq 0$. On the other hand, since T is upper Drazin invertible, then T_N is also upper Drazin invertible. Therefore, $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T_N - \lambda I_N \text{ is not upper Drazin invertible}\} = \emptyset$, which, according to [8, Corollary 3.5] implies that the Drazin spectrum of T_N is empty. Thus T_N is Drazin invertible, and hence there exists a pair $(N_1, N_2) \in \text{Red}(T_N)$ such that T_{N_1} is invertible and T_{N_2} is nilpotent. Applying [16, Lemma 3.11], we obtain that $T_M \oplus T_{N_1}$ is Saphar. Consequently, T is of Saphar type.

The rest of the assertions can be proved similarly. \square

Therefore, an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is left (resp., right, essentially left, essentially right) Drazin invertible if and only if T is upper (resp., lower, essentially upper, essentially lower) Drazin invertible and admits a generalized Saphar-meromorphic decomposition.

There exists a bounded linear operator T acting on a Banach space that is bounded below (resp., surjective) with a non-complemented range (resp., null-space) [4, Example 1.1]. This operator is upper (resp., lower) Drazin invertible, and hence essentially upper (resp., essentially lower) Drazin invertible. Also, this operator is of Kato type, but it is not of Saphar type (see [16, p. 170]), and hence according to Theorem 3.1 it does not admit

a generalized Saphar decomposition nor a generalized Saphar-meromorphic decomposition. This example shows that for an upper (resp., lower, essentially upper, essentially lower) Drazin invertible operator to be left (resp., right, essentially left, essentially right) Drazin invertible it is not enough to be of Kato type. Also this example shows that the condition that T admits a generalized Saphar-meromorphic decomposition in the previous assertion can not be omitted.

Having established how left (resp., right, essentially left, essentially right) Drazin invertible operators are distinguished from their counterparts in the literature, we now turn our attention to presenting new characterizations of these operators. We begin by offering characterizations that serve as alternative definitions for right (resp., essentially left, essentially right) Drazin invertible operators.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) T is right Drazin invertible.
- (ii) $d(T) < \infty$ and $\mathcal{N}(T^n)$ and $\mathcal{R}(T^n)$ are topologically complemented for every $n \geq d(T)$.
- (iii) $d(T) < \infty$ and there exists $n \geq d(T)$ such that $\mathcal{N}(T^{n+1})$ and $\mathcal{R}(T^n)$ are topologically complemented.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii) If T is right Drazin invertible, then by Theorem 1.3, there exist closed T -invariant subspaces M and N such that $X = M \oplus N$ and $T = T_M \oplus T_N$ where T_M is right invertible and $T_N^q = 0$ where $q := d(T)$. It follows that $T^n = T_M^n \oplus 0$ for every $n \geq q$. Hence, $\mathcal{R}(T^n) = M$ which is topologically complemented and $\mathcal{N}(T^n) = \mathcal{N}(T_M^n) \oplus N$. Since T_M^n is right invertible, $\mathcal{N}(T_M^n)$ is topologically complemented in M so that $\mathcal{N}(T^n)$ is topologically complemented in X via Lemma 2.1.

(ii) \implies (iii) It is obvious.

(iii) \implies (i) Assume that $d(T) < \infty$ and that $\mathcal{N}(T^{n+1})$ and $\mathcal{R}(T^n)$ are topologically complemented for some $n \geq d(T)$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} T^n(\mathcal{N}(T^{n+1})) &= \mathcal{N}(T) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^n) \\ &= \mathcal{N}(T) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^{d(T)}). \end{aligned}$$

Now an application of Lemma 2.4 gives that $\mathcal{N}(T) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^{d(T)})$ is topologically complemented. \square

Now we shift our focus to essentially left and essentially right Drazin invertible operators. To this end, we introduce two key lemmas that provide sufficient conditions for a paracomplete subspace to be topologically complemented. These lemmas are essential not only for the results immediately ahead but also for several foundational results presented later.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and M be a paracomplete subspace (i.e. range of a bounded operator) of X such that $M \cap \mathcal{N}(T)$ is topologically complemented. If $T(M)$ is topologically complemented, then M is also topologically complemented.*

Proof. Let N and G be closed subspaces of X such that $T(M) \oplus N = X$ and $(M \cap \mathcal{N}(T)) \oplus G = X$. We claim that M is topologically complemented in X by $G \cap T^{-1}(N)$. Indeed from $M \cap \mathcal{N}(T) \subset T^{-1}(N)$, we have according to 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} M + (G \cap T^{-1}(N)) &= M + (M \cap \mathcal{N}(T)) + (G \cap T^{-1}(N)) \\ &= M + ((M \cap \mathcal{N}(T)) + G) \cap T^{-1}(N) \\ &= M + (X \cap T^{-1}(N)) \\ &= M + T^{-1}(N). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $T(M) \subset \mathcal{R}(T)$, it follows from [16, Lemma 3.1 (iii)] that

$$T^{-1}(T(M) + N) = T^{-1}(T(M)) + T^{-1}(N).$$

But

$$T^{-1}(T(M)) = M + \mathcal{N}(T).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} X &= T^{-1}(X) \\ &= T^{-1}(T(M) + N) \\ &= M + \mathcal{N}(T) + T^{-1}(N) \\ &= M + T^{-1}(N). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$M + (G \cap T^{-1}(N)) = X.$$

Now, if $x \in M \cap (G \cap T^{-1}(N))$, then $T(x) \in T(M) \cap N = \{0\}$ so that $x \in \mathcal{N}(T)$. Therefore, since $M \cap G \cap \mathcal{N}(T) = \{0\}$, it follows that $x = 0$, and whence $X = M \oplus (G \cap T^{-1}(N))$. Now to finish the proof, since $G \cap T^{-1}(N)$ is closed, we only need to prove that M is closed. Towards this, we will apply some results from Chapter II in [12]. Since M and $\mathcal{N}(T)$ are paracomplete and since $T^{-1}(T(M)) = M + \mathcal{N}(T)$ and $M \cap \mathcal{N}(T)$ are closed, it follows from [12, Proposition 2.1.1] that M is closed too. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and M be paracomplete such that $M + \mathcal{R}(T)$ is topologically complemented. If $T^{-1}(M)$ is topologically complemented, then M is also topologically complemented.*

Proof. Let N and H be closed such that $T^{-1}(M) \oplus N = X$ and $(M + \mathcal{R}(T)) \oplus H = X$. We claim that M is topologically complemented in X by $T(N) \oplus H$. Indeed, first, one has

$$\begin{aligned} T(T^{-1}(M) + N) &= T(T^{-1}(M)) + T(N) \\ &= (M \cap \mathcal{R}(T)) + T(N). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(M \cap \mathcal{R}(T)) + T(N) = \mathcal{R}(T)$$

Since $M + \mathcal{R}(T) + H = X$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} M + (T(N) \oplus H) &= M + (M \cap \mathcal{R}(T)) + T(N) + H \\ &= M + \mathcal{R}(T) + H \\ &= X. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $x \in M \cap (T(N) \oplus H)$, then $x = Tn + h$ for some $n \in N$ and $h \in H$. Hence, $h = x - Tn$ so that $h \in (M + \mathcal{R}(T)) \cap H = \{0\}$. Therefore, $n \in T^{-1}(M) \cap N = \{0\}$ which implies that $x = 0$. Summing up, $M \oplus (T(N) \oplus H) = X$. We shall lastly prove that M and $T(N) \oplus H$ are closed. But this is a consequence of [12, Proposition 2.1.1] since M and $T(N) \oplus H$ are paracomplete (CH. II [12]) and $M + (T(N) \oplus H)$ and $M \cap (T(N) \oplus H)$ are closed. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) T is essentially left Drazin invertible.
- (ii) $a_e(T) < \infty$ and $\mathcal{N}(T^n)$ and $\mathcal{R}(T^n)$ are topologically complemented for every $n \geq a_e(T)$.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii): Suppose that T is essentially left Drazin invertible. Then $a_e(T) \leq \text{dis}(T) < \infty$. From the proof of the implication (iv) \implies (v) in [16, Theorem 4.13] it follows that there exist closed T -invariant subspaces M and N such that $X = M \oplus N$ and $T = T_M \oplus T_N$ where T_M is left Fredholm and $T_N^d = 0$ for $d := \text{dis}(T)$. For every $n \geq d$ we have that $T^n = T_M^n \oplus 0_N$, and so $\mathcal{R}(T^n) = \mathcal{R}(T_M^n)$ and $\mathcal{N}(T^n) = \mathcal{N}(T_M^n) \oplus N$. Since T_M^n is left Fredholm it follows that $\mathcal{R}(T_M^n)$ and $\mathcal{N}(T_M^n)$ are topologically complemented in M . Applying Lemma 2.1, we conclude that $\mathcal{N}(T^n)$ and $\mathcal{R}(T^n)$ are topologically complemented in X for every $n \geq d$.

If $a_e(T) = d$, then we have that $\mathcal{N}(T^n)$ and $\mathcal{R}(T^n)$ are topologically complemented for every $n \geq a_e(T)$. Suppose that $a_e(T) < d$. Then $a_e(T) \leq d - 1$ and hence $\alpha_{d-1} = \dim(\mathcal{N}(T) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^{d-1})) < \infty$. Consequently, the subspace $\mathcal{N}(T) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^{d-1})$ is topologically complemented. Since $\mathcal{R}(T^{d-1})$ is paracomplete and $T(\mathcal{R}(T^{d-1})) = \mathcal{R}(T^d)$ is topologically complemented, from Lemma 3.3 it follows that $\mathcal{R}(T^{d-1})$ is topologically complemented. By repeating this method we can conclude that $\mathcal{R}(T^n)$ is topologically complemented for every $n \geq a_e(T)$. On the other side, from the equivalence (i) \iff (iii) in [16, Theorem 4.11] it follows that that $\mathcal{R}(T) + \mathcal{N}(T^{d-1})$ is topologically complemented. As $\mathcal{N}(T^{d-1})$ is paracomplete and since $T^{-1}(\mathcal{N}(T^{d-1})) = \mathcal{N}(T^d)$ is topologically complemented, Lemma 3.4 ensures that $\mathcal{N}(T^{d-1})$ is topologically complemented. By repeating this method we can conclude that $\mathcal{N}(T^n)$ is complemented for every $n \geq a_e(T)$.

(ii) \implies (i): It follows from the equivalence (i) \iff (x) in [16, Theorem 4.13]. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) T is essentially right Drazin invertible.
- (ii) $d_e(T) < \infty$ and $\mathcal{N}(T^n)$ and $\mathcal{R}(T^n)$ are topologically complemented for every $n \geq d_e(T)$.

- (iii) $d_e(T) < \infty$ and there exists $n \geq d_e(T)$ such that $\mathcal{N}(T^{n+1})$ and $\mathcal{R}(T^n)$ are topologically complemented.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii): Let $d = \text{dis}(T)$. From the proof of the implication (iv) \implies (v) in [16, Theorem 4.15] it follows that there exist closed T -invariant subspaces M and N such that $X = M \oplus N$ and $T = T_M \oplus T_N$ where T_M is right Fredholm and $T_N^d = 0$. Now from Lemma 2.1, similarly to the proof of the implication (i) \implies (ii) in Theorem 3.5, we conclude that $\mathcal{N}(T^n)$ and $\mathcal{R}(T^n)$ are topologically complemented for every $n \geq d$.

If $d_e(T) = d$, then we have that $\mathcal{N}(T^n)$ and $\mathcal{R}(T^n)$ are topologically complemented for every $n \geq d_e(T)$. Suppose that $d_e(T) < d$. Then $d_e(T) \leq d-1$ and from the equivalence (i) \iff (iii) in [16, Theorem 4.12] it follows that the subspace $\mathcal{N}(T) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^{d-1})$ is topologically complemented. As in the proof of Theorem 3.5, by using Lemma 3.3 we get that $\mathcal{R}(T^{d-1})$ is topologically complemented. By repeating this method we can conclude that $\mathcal{R}(T^n)$ is complemented for every $n \geq d_e(T)$.

Further, from [16, Proposition 4.10] it follows that $\mathcal{R}(T^d)$ is closed and hence $T^{-(d-1)}(\mathcal{R}(T^d)) = \mathcal{R}(T) + \mathcal{N}(T^{d-1})$ is closed. Since $\beta_{d-1} = \text{codim}(\mathcal{R}(T) + \mathcal{N}(T^{d-1})) < \infty$, we conclude that the subspace $\mathcal{R}(T) + \mathcal{N}(T^{d-1})$ is topologically complemented. As in the proof of Theorem 3.5, Lemma 3.4 ensures that $\mathcal{N}(T^{d-1})$ is topologically complemented. By repeating this method we can conclude that $\mathcal{N}(T^n)$ is complemented for every $n \geq d_e(T)$.

(ii) \implies (iii): It is obvious.

(iii) \implies (i): Suppose that $d_e(T) < \infty$ and let $\mathcal{N}(T^{n+1})$ and $\mathcal{R}(T^n)$ be topologically complemented for some $n \geq d_e(T)$. Since $T^n(\mathcal{N}(T^{n+1})) = \mathcal{N}(T) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^n)$ and $\mathcal{N}(T^n) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(T^{n+1})$, applying Lemma 2.4 we obtain that $\mathcal{N}(T) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^n)$ is topologically complemented. Using the equivalence (i) \iff (iv) in [16, Theorem 4.12] we conclude that T is essentially right Drazin invertible. \square

In the final part of this section, we establish a distinct characterization of Saphar type operators by demonstrating a new decomposition that contrasts with the classical Kato decomposition.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and let M and N be two subspaces of X such that $(M, N) \in \text{Red}(T)$ where T_M is Kato and T_N is nilpotent. Then there exist two operators $S, R \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that $T = S + R$, $SR = RS = 0$, R is nilpotent and for all $n \geq 1$:*

$$\mathcal{N}(S) \cap \mathcal{R}(S^n) = \mathcal{N}(T_M), \quad (3.1)$$

$$\mathcal{R}(S) + \mathcal{N}(S^n) = \mathcal{R}(T_M) \oplus N. \quad (3.2)$$

If in particular T is of Saphar type, then S is also of Saphar type.

Proof. Let $S = T_M \oplus 0_N$ and $R = 0_M \oplus T_N$. Obviously, R is nilpotent and $\mathcal{N}(S^n) = \mathcal{N}(T_M^n) \oplus N$ and $\mathcal{R}(S^n) = \mathcal{R}(T_M^n)$. Since T_M is Kato, (3.2) follows immediately and (3.1) follows via using (2.1). The final assertion follows easily from the construction of S . \square

Proposition 3.8. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. If there exist two operators $S, R \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that $T = S + R$ and $SR = RS = 0$, then*

- (i) $\mathcal{N}(T) \cap \mathcal{R}(S^n) = \mathcal{N}(S) \cap \mathcal{R}(S^n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{R}(T) + \mathcal{N}(S^n) = \mathcal{R}(S) + \mathcal{N}(S^n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (i) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $y \in \mathcal{N}(S) \cap \mathcal{R}(S^n)$. Then $Sy = 0$ and $y = S^n x$ for some $x \in X$ so that $Ty = (S + R)S^n x = S^{n+1}x + RS^n x$. Since $RS^n = 0$, it follows that $Ty = Sy = 0$, i.e. $y \in \mathcal{N}(T)$. Consequently, $y \in \mathcal{N}(T) \cap \mathcal{R}(S^n)$. A similar line of reasoning yields the converse inclusion.

(ii) Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $y \in \mathcal{R}(S) + \mathcal{N}(S^n)$. Then for some $z \in \mathcal{N}(S^n)$ and $x \in X$, one has $y - z = Sx = Tx - Rx$ so that $y - z + Rx \in \mathcal{R}(T)$. On the other hand, since $S^n R = 0$, it follows that $Rx \in \mathcal{N}(S^n)$ and therefore $y \in \mathcal{R}(T) + \mathcal{N}(S^n)$. The converse inclusion can be demonstrated in a similar way. \square

Corollary 3.9. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. If there exist two operators $S, R \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that $T = S + R$, $SR = RS = 0$ and R is nilpotent of degree d , then*

- (i) $\mathcal{N}(T) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^n) = \mathcal{N}(S) \cap \mathcal{R}(S^n)$ for all $n \geq d$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{R}(T) + \mathcal{N}(T^n) = \mathcal{R}(S) + \mathcal{N}(S^n)$ for all $n \geq d$.

Proof. From the binomial theorem, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $T^n = (S + R)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} S^k R^{n-k} = R^n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} S^k R^{n-k} + S^n$. In the last expression, the middle sum is zero as $SR = RS = 0$. Consequently, $T^n = S^n$ for all $n \geq d$, and applying Proposition 3.8 we obtain the equalities (i) and (ii). \square

Consequently, we derive the following result as an immediate application of Corollary 3.9.

Corollary 3.10. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. If there exist two operators $S, R \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that $T = S + R$, $SR = RS = 0$ and R is nilpotent of degree d , then $\text{dis}(T) < \infty$ if and only if $\text{dis}(S) < \infty$. We have the same result for the ascent, the essential ascent, the descent and the essential descent of T and S .*

Proposition 3.11. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Then, equivalent are:*

- (i) T is essentially left (right) Drazin invertible.
- (ii) There exist a pair $(M, N) \in \text{Red}(T)$ such that T_M is Kato and left (right) Fredholm and T_N is nilpotent.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii) According to Theorem 1.5, there exists a pair $(M, N) \in \text{Red}(T)$ where T_M is left (right) Fredholm and T_N is nilpotent. Since $T_M \in \mathcal{B}(M)$ is left (right) Fredholm, it follows from [14, Theorem 16.21] and [17, Lemma 2.1] that there exist closed subspaces M_1 and M_2 of M such that, $\dim(M_2) < \infty$ and $(M_1, M_2) \in \text{Red}(T_M)$ where T_{M_1} is Kato and left (right) Fredholm and T_{M_2} is nilpotent. Set $G = M_1$ and $H = M_2 \oplus N$ which is obviously closed. Then, clearly one has $(G, H) \in \text{Red}(T)$ where T_G is Kato and left (right) Fredholm and $T_H = T_{M_2} \oplus T_N$ is nilpotent.

- (ii) \implies (i) It follows from Theorem 1.5. \square

In what follows, we will denote the sets of Saphar type operators, left Drazin invertible operators, right Drazin invertible operators, essentially left Drazin invertible operators, and essentially right Drazin invertible operators respectively by $S_i(X)$, where $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. Additionally, the sets of Saphar type operators of degree ≤ 1 , left Drazin invertible operators with ascent ≤ 1 , right Drazin invertible operators with descent ≤ 1 , essentially left Drazin invertible operators with essential ascent ≤ 1 , and essentially right Drazin invertible operators with essential descent ≤ 1 will be denoted respectively by $S_i^1(X)$, where $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$.

Theorem 3.12. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $T \in S_i(X)$.
- (ii) *There exist operators $S, R \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that $T = S + R$, $SR = RS = 0$, $S \in S_i^1(X)$ and R is nilpotent.*
- (iii) *There exist operators $S, R \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that $T = S + R$, $SR = RS = 0$, $S \in S_i(X)$ and R is nilpotent.*

Proof. (i) \implies (ii) Let $T \in S_i(X)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$. Then there exists a pair $(M, N) \in \text{Red}(T)$ such that T_M is Saphar and T_N is nilpotent, and moreover in the case that $i = 2$ (resp., $i = 3$, $i = 4$, $i = 5$) T_M is left invertible (resp., right invertible, left Fredholm, right Fredholm) according to Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 3.11. According to Lemma 3.7 there exist two operators $S, R \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that $T = S + R$, $SR = RS = 0$, R is nilpotent, S is of Saphar type and for all $n \geq 1$:

$$\mathcal{N}(S) \cap \mathcal{R}(S^n) = \mathcal{N}(T_M), \quad (3.3)$$

$$\mathcal{R}(S) + \mathcal{N}(S^n) = \mathcal{R}(T_M) \oplus N. \quad (3.4)$$

If $i = 1$, obviously we have from (3.3) that $\text{dis}(S) \leq 1$. If $i = 2$ (resp., $i=4$), then from (3.3) it follows that $a(S) \leq 1$ (resp., $a_e(S) \leq 1$). If $i = 3$ (resp., $i=5$), then from (3.4) it follows that $d(S) \leq 1$ (resp., $d_e(S) \leq 1$). Now applying [16, Corollary 4.23] (resp., [16, Corollary 4.24], [16, Theorem 4.13], [16, Theorem 4.15]) we get that $S \in S_i^1(X)$ for $i = 2$ (resp., $i = 3$, $i = 4$, $i = 5$).

(ii) \implies (iii) is trivial.

(iii) \implies (i) Suppose that $S \in S_i(X)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$, R is nilpotent, $SR = RS = 0$ and $T = S + R$. Then S is of Saphar type. From Corollary 3.9, for all $n \geq r := \max\{d, \text{dis}(S)\}$ (where d is the degree of R), one has that

$$\mathcal{N}(T) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^n) = \mathcal{N}(S) \cap \mathcal{R}(S^{\text{dis}(S)}),$$

Therefore, T has uniform descent for $n \geq r$ and $\mathcal{N}(T) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^r)$ is topologically complemented. Also, one has from Corollary 3.9 that

$$\mathcal{R}(T) + \mathcal{N}(T^r) = \mathcal{R}(S) + \mathcal{N}(S^{\text{dis}(S)})$$

and hence $\mathcal{R}(T) + \mathcal{N}(T^r)$ is topologically complemented. Applying [16, Theorem 4.2] we conclude that T is of Saphar type. For $i = 2$ (resp., $i = 3$, $i = 4$, $i = 5$), as $a(S) < \infty$ (resp., $d(S) < \infty$, $a_e(S) < \infty$, $d_e(S) < \infty$), from

Corollary 3.10, it follows that $a(T) < \infty$ (resp., $d(T) < \infty$, $a_e(T) < \infty$, $d_e(T) < \infty$). Now from [16, Corollary 4.23] (resp., [16, Corollary 4.24], [16, Theorem 4.13], [16, Theorem 4.15]), we get that $T \in S_i(X)$. \square

Remark 3.13. We shall note that the case $i = 1$ in the last theorem complements [6, Theorem 5.2].

4. Iterates of (Essentially) Left and (Essentially) Right Drazin Invertible Operators

The purpose of this section is to investigate the behavior of powers of (essentially) left and (essentially) right Drazin invertible operators.

Theorem 4.1. *For $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) T is (essentially) left Drazin invertible.
- (ii) T^m is (essentially) left Drazin invertible for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (iii) T^m is (essentially) left Drazin invertible for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii): If T is (essentially) left Drazin invertible, then by Theorem 1.3 ([16, Theorem 4.13]), there exist closed T -invariant subspaces M and N of X such that $X = M \oplus N$ and $T = T_M \oplus T_N$ where T_M is left invertible (left Fredholm) and T_N is nilpotent. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $T^m = T_M^m \oplus T_N^m$. But T_M^m is left invertible (left Fredholm) and T_N^m is nilpotent. Thus, T^m is left Drazin invertible, again by Theorem 1.3 ([16, Theorem 4.13]).

(ii) \implies (iii): It is obvious.

(iii) \implies (i): Assume that T^m is left Drazin invertible for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $d := a(T^m)$. Then $p := a(T) \leq md$. According to the equivalence (i) \iff (iii) in Theorem 2.5, to prove that T is left Drazin invertible it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{N}(T^{md})$ and $\mathcal{R}(T^{md+1})$ are topologically complemented. From the fact that T^m is left Drazin invertible according to the equivalence (i) \iff (ii) in Theorem 2.5 it follows that $\mathcal{N}((T^m)^d) = \mathcal{N}(T^{md})$ and $\mathcal{R}((T^m)^{d+1}) = \mathcal{R}(T^{md+m})$ are topologically complemented. Notice that $T^{m-1}(\mathcal{R}(T^{md+1})) = \mathcal{R}(T^{md+m})$. Also, since $a(T) \leq md$, we know from [9, Lemma 3.1] that $\mathcal{N}(T^{m-1}) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^{md+1}) = \{0\}$. As $\mathcal{R}(T^{md+1})$ is paracomplete, an application of Lemma 3.3 gives that $\mathcal{R}(T^{md+1})$ is topologically complemented.

Suppose now that T^m is essentially left Drazin invertible for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $d := a_e(T^m) < \infty$. From the inequality $\alpha_{mn}(T) \leq \alpha_n(T^m)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ [13, p. 137], we have that $a_e(T) \leq ma_e(T^m) = md$. On the other side, from Theorem 3.5 it follows that $\mathcal{N}((T^m)^d) = \mathcal{N}(T^{md})$ and $\mathcal{R}((T^m)^{d+1}) = \mathcal{R}(T^{md+m})$ are topologically complemented. We have that

$$\dim(\mathcal{N}(T^m) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^{md})) = \alpha_d(T^m) < \infty,$$

and hence $\dim T(\mathcal{N}(T^m) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^{md})) < \infty$. Since $T(\mathcal{N}(T^m) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^{md})) = \mathcal{N}(T^{m-1}) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^{md+1})$, we obtain that $\mathcal{N}(T^{m-1}) \cap \mathcal{R}(T^{md+1})$ is topologically complemented. As in the previous part of the proof by using Lemma 3.3 we get that $\mathcal{R}(T^{md+1})$ is topologically complemented. Now from the equivalence

(i) \iff (x) in [16, Theorem 4.13] we conclude that T is essentially left Drazin invertible. \square

Theorem 4.2. *For $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) T is (essentially) right Drazin invertible.
- (ii) T^m is (essentially) right Drazin invertible for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (iii) T^m is (essentially) right Drazin invertible for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii): This can be proved in a way similar to the proof of the implication (i) \implies (ii) in Theorem 4.1..

(ii) \implies (iii): It is obvious.

(iii) \implies (i): Let T^m be right Drazin invertible for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $d := d(T^m)$. Then we have that $d(T) \leq md$. From the equivalence (i) \iff (ii) in Theorem 3.2 it follows that $\mathcal{N}((T^m)^{d+1}) = \mathcal{N}(T^{md+m})$ and $\mathcal{R}((T^m)^d) = \mathcal{R}(T^{md})$ are topologically complemented. Since $d(T) \leq md$, from [9, Lemma 3.2] it follows that $\mathcal{R}(T^{m-1}) + \mathcal{N}(T^{md+1}) = X$. As the subspace $\mathcal{N}(T^{md+1})$ is paracomplete and $T^{-(m-1)}(\mathcal{N}(T^{md+1})) = \mathcal{N}(T^{md+m})$ is topologically complemented, an application of Lemma 3.4 gives that $\mathcal{N}(T^{md+1})$ is topologically complemented. According to the equivalence (i) \iff (iii) in Theorem 3.2 we conclude that T is right Drazin invertible.

Now suppose that T^m is essentially right Drazin invertible for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $d := d_e(T^m) < \infty$. From [13, Lemma 3] it follows that $d_e(T) \leq md_e(T^m) = md$. By using the equivalence (i) \iff (ii) in Theorem 3.6 we obtain that $\mathcal{N}((T^m)^{d+1}) = \mathcal{N}(T^{md+d})$ and $\mathcal{R}((T^m)^d) = \mathcal{R}(T^{md})$ are topologically complemented. Since

$$\text{codim}(\mathcal{R}(T^m) + \mathcal{N}(T^{md})) = \beta_d(T^m) < \infty,$$

we have that $\text{codim}T^{-1}(\mathcal{R}(T^m) + \mathcal{N}(T^{md})) < \infty$. From [13, Lemma 12] it follows that $\mathcal{R}(T^m) + \mathcal{N}(T^{md})$ is closed and hence $T^{-1}(\mathcal{R}(T^m) + \mathcal{N}(T^{md}))$ is closed. Since $T^{-1}(\mathcal{R}(T^m) + \mathcal{N}(T^{md})) = \mathcal{R}(T^{m-1}) + \mathcal{N}(T^{md+1})$, we get that $\mathcal{R}(T^{m-1}) + \mathcal{N}(T^{md+1})$ is topologically complemented. As in the previous part of the proof, Lemma 3.4 ensures that $\mathcal{N}(T^{md+1})$ is topologically complemented. Now from the equivalence (i) \iff (iii) in Theorem 3.6, it follows that T is essentially right Drazin invertible. \square

Author contributions. : All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Also, all authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing Interests. : The authors declare that they have no financial interests.

Funding. : Snežana Č. Živković-Zlatanović is supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development, Republic of Serbia, grant no. 451-03-68/2022-14/200124.

Data availability statement. : Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

Statements and Declarations. : Author have no conflict of interest to declare.

References

- [1] P. Aiena, *Fredholm and local spectral theory II, with application to Weyl-type theorems*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2235, Springer (2018).
- [2] O. Bel Hadj Fredj, *Essential descent spectrum and commuting compact perturbations*, Extracta Math. 21 2006, 261-271.
- [3] M. Berkani, *Restriction of an operator to the range of its powers*, Studia Mathematica, 140 (2) (2000), 163-174.
- [4] L. Burlando, *Continuity of spectrum and spectral radius in algebras of operators*, Annales Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse, Vol. IX (1), 1988.
- [5] M. D. Dimitrijević, S. Č. Živković-Zlatanović, *Essentially left and right generalized Drazin invertible operators and generalized Saphar decomposition*, Filomat 37(28) (2023), 9511-9529.
- [6] A. Ghorbel, *On Generalized Saphar Operators On Banach Spaces*, Banach J. Math. Anal. 17, 6 (2023).
- [7] A. Ghorbel, M. Mnif, *On a generalization of left and right invertible operators on Banach spaces*, Operators and Matrices, 16(2) (2022) 401-413.
- [8] Q. Jiang, H. Zhong and S. Zhang, *Components of topological uniform descent resolvent set and local spectral theory*, Linear Algebra Appl., 438 (2013), 1149-1158.
- [9] M. A. Kaashoek, *Ascent, descent, nullity and defect, a note on a paper by A. E. Taylor*, Math. Ann. 172 (1967), 105-115.
- [10] M.A. Kaashoek, D.C. Lay, *Ascent, descent, and commuting perturbations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 169(1972), 35-47.
- [11] C. F. King, *A note on Drazin inverses*, Pacific J. Math. 70 (1977), 383-390.
- [12] J. Ph. Labrousse, *Les opérateurs quasi-Fredholm: Une généralisation des opérateurs semi-Fredholm*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 29 (1980) 161-258.
- [13] M. Mbekhta, V. Müller, *On the axiomatic theory of spectrum II*, Studia Math. 119(2) (1996), 129-147.
- [14] V. Müller, *Spectral Theory of Linear Operators: and Spectral Systems in Banach Algebras*, Second ed., Operator Theory: Advances and Applications 139, Birkhäuser, Basel (2007).
- [15] L. Svensson, *Sums of complemented subspaces in locally convex spaces*, Ark. Mat. 25 issue 1 (1987), 147-153.
- [16] S. Č. Živković-Zlatanović, S.V. Djordjević, *On some classes of Saphar type operators*, Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie a Matemáticas 116:170 (2022).
- [17] S. Č. Živković-Zlatanović, D.S. Djordjević, R. E. Harte, *Left-right Browder and left-right Fredholm operators*, Integral Equations Oper. Theory 69 (2011), 347-363.

Ayoub Ghorbel

Dynamical and Combinatorial Systems LR13ES20, Faculty of Sciences of Sfax,
University of Sfax, Tunisia

e-mail: aghorbel139@gmail.com

Snežana Č. Živković Zlatanović

University of Niš, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, Niš, Serbia

e-mail: snezana.zivkovic-zlatanovic@pmf.edu.rs, mladvlad@mts.rs