

A MODULAR FRAMEWORK OF GENERALIZED HURWITZ CLASS NUMBERS

OLIVIA BECKWITH AND ANDREAS MONO

ABSTRACT. We discover a non-trivial rather simple relation between the mock modular generating functions of the level 1 and level N Hurwitz class numbers. This relation yields a holomorphic modular form of weight $\frac{3}{2}$ and level $4N$, where $N > 1$ is stipulated to be odd and square-free. We extend this observation to a non-holomorphic framework and obtain a higher level non-holomorphic Zagier Eisenstein series as well as a higher level preimage of it under the Bruinier–Funke operator $\xi_{\frac{1}{2}}$. All of these observations are deduced from a more general inspection of a certain weight $\frac{1}{2}$ Maass–Eisenstein series of level $4N$ at its spectral point $s = \frac{3}{4}$. This idea goes back to Duke, Imamoglu and Tóth in level 4 and relies on the theory of so-called sesquiharmonic Maass forms. We calculate the Fourier expansion of our sesquiharmonic preimage and of its shadow. We conclude by offering an example if $N = 5$ or $N = 7$ and we provide the SAGE code to compute the Fourier coefficients involved.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

1.1. Hurwitz class numbers - holomorphic setting. Since Shimura’s seminal paper [51] laid the foundations of the theory of half integral weight modular forms, a natural goal in the field has been finding explicit analytic constructions. Aside from half integral weight holomorphic examples constructed using the Jacobi theta function Θ or the Dedekind eta function, one of the earliest examples are the Cohen–Eisenstein [20] series of weights greater than $\frac{3}{2}$, whose coefficients are Dirichlet L -values $L(s, \chi_D)$ at integral values of s . In weight $\frac{3}{2}$, Cohen’s coefficients are the Hurwitz class numbers $H(n)$, which generate a so-called mock modular form instead of a modular form (see Section 2 for the definition of mock modular forms). The study of Hurwitz class numbers can be traced back to Gauss, who observed the connection with the sums of three squares problem. To be more specific, we have ($q := e^{2\pi i\tau}$ throughout)

$$\Theta^3(\tau) = 12 \sum_{n \geq 0} (H(4n) - 2H(n))q^n. \quad (1.1)$$

Since Gauss, Hurwitz class numbers have found numerous applications throughout number theory. Hurwitz himself showed that they admit a class number formula paralleling Dirichlet’s famous formula, which was recently extended to the case of indefinite quadratic forms [23]. Their mock modular properties give rise to recurrence formulas which have been extensively studied [19, 39–41]. Their divisibility properties have been studied in a handful of recent papers [6–9, 16] and used to study class numbers of imaginary quadratic number fields. They also are

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F37 (Primary); 11F12, 11F30 (Secondary).

Key words and phrases. Automorphic forms, Eisenstein series, Harmonic Maass forms, Hurwitz class numbers, Modular forms, Mock modular forms, Sesquiharmonic Maass forms.

The first author was partially supported by Simons Foundation Collaboration Grant #953473. Parts of the second author’s research on this project was supported by the CRC/TRR 191 “Symplectic Structures in Geometry, Algebra and Dynamics”, funded by the DFG (project number 281071066).

known to count isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over finite fields with specified numbers of points [48], which was recently used to compute distributions of Gaussian hypergeometric functions [42]. Other recent appearances of the Hurwitz class numbers involve connections to formulas involving real quadratic number fields [34] and shifted convolution L -series [56].

The formula (1.1) can be viewed as a modular modification of the mock modular Hurwitz generating function. Modular forms similar to (1.1) were constructed in [15, Lemma 2.6]. However, this approach leads to a twist by a non-trivial character and a change of the level of the form. Independent from that, [6] studied modular Hurwitz generating functions with indices restricted to given square classes using quadratic twisting arguments.

Cohen's work has been extended in level aspect by Pei and Wang [45] about 20 years ago, who constructed bases for the Eisenstein plus subspace of weight at least $\frac{3}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(4N)$ with $N > 1$ odd and square-free. We denote their functions by $\mathcal{H}_{\ell,N}$, $\ell \mid N$, and refer to them as *generalized Cohen–Eisenstein series*. That is, these functions are the generating functions of the *generalized Hurwitz class numbers* $H_{\ell,N}$ (recalled in equations (2.9) and (2.8) below). These numbers are higher level analogs of the classical Hurwitz class numbers in the sense that $H(n) = H_{1,1}(n)$ and they appeared in [35] very recently. However, if $N > 1$ then one obtains such numbers for every $\ell \mid N$. In weight $\frac{3}{2}$, it turns out that $\mathcal{H}_{1,N}$ continues to be mock modular, while the functions $\mathcal{H}_{\ell,N}$ for $1 < \ell \mid N$ were the main objects of study in [45]. In view of the mock modularity of both $\mathcal{H}_{1,1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{1,N}$, we offer the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $N > 1$ be odd and square-free. Then, the linear combination*

$$\prod_{p \mid N} \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{n \geq 0} H_{1,1}(n)q^n - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \geq 1} H_{1,N}(n)q^n$$

is a modular form of weight $\frac{3}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(4N)$. If N is an odd prime then

$$\frac{1}{1-N} \mathcal{H}_{N,N}(\tau) = \sum_{n \geq 0} H_{1,1}(n)q^n - \frac{N+1}{N} \sum_{n \geq 1} H_{1,N}(n)q^n.$$

At the end of this introduction, we offer two examples with relate the coefficients of $\mathcal{H}_{N,N}$ to certain theta functions for $N = 5$ or $N = 7$ along the lines of equation (1.1).

Remark. Note that our modular form does not arise from non-trivial quadratic twists, because the level would not be square-free. Thus, these modular forms lie in different spaces than those considered in [6], where the level was square. We also note that the character for our function is trivial and hence these modular forms differ from those in [15], where the character is always nontrivial. Furthermore, Theorem 1.1 is complementary to [35, Theorem 7.2].

1.2. Hurwitz class numbers - non-holomorphic setting. In 1975, Zagier [57, 58] discovered the weight $\frac{3}{2}$ non-holomorphic modular completion of $\mathcal{H}_{1,1}$ on $\Gamma_0(4)$, which is explicitly given by ($\tau = u + iv$ with $v > 0$ throughout)

$$\mathcal{H}(\tau) := -\frac{1}{12} + \sum_{n \geq 1} H_{1,1}(n)q^n + \frac{1}{8\pi\sqrt{v}} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n \geq 1} n\Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}, 4\pi n^2 v\right) q^{-n^2}. \quad (1.2)$$

Here and throughout, $\Gamma(s, y)$ denotes the incomplete Γ -function, see equation (2.2) below.

About 20 years ago, Bruinier and Funke [18] introduced the notion of *harmonic Maass forms*, which are integral or half-integral weight non-holomorphic modular forms whose Fourier expansion splits in a similar way as \mathcal{H} . Around the same time, Zwegers [59] demonstrated that such forms provided the analytical framework for Ramanujan's elusive mock

theta functions. In turn, this observation coined the notion of a *mock modular form*, which are the holomorphic part of a harmonic Maass form. Our methods rely on the theory of mock modular forms, particularly, their construction from Maass–Eisenstein series and the study of their behavior under the Bruinier–Funke ξ_k -operator

$$\xi_k = 2iv^k \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\tau}}} = iv^k \overline{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right)}.$$

The ξ_k -operator plays a fundamental role in the theory of harmonic Maass forms, as it defines a surjective map from spaces of harmonic Maass forms to spaces of weakly holomorphic modular forms. The problem of constructing preimages under ξ_k of a given (weakly) holomorphic modular form is generally difficult and only understood in a few cases, such as Poincaré series (cuspidal and Eisenstein series), Θ^3 , and weight 2 newforms [2, 17, 47, 55].

In today's terminology, Zagier established that \mathcal{H} is a weight $\frac{3}{2}$ harmonic Maass form on $\Gamma_0(4)$. We find the following higher level analog of Zagier's result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $N > 1$ be odd and square-free. Then the function*

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \geq 1} H_{1,N}(n) q^n + \left(\prod_{p|N} \frac{1}{p+1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{8\pi\sqrt{v}} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n \geq 1} n \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}, 4\pi n^2 v\right) q^{-n^2} \right)$$

is a weight $\frac{3}{2}$ harmonic Maass form on $\Gamma_0(4N)$. Its image under $\xi_{\frac{3}{2}}$ is given by

$$-\frac{1}{16\pi} \left(\prod_{p|N} \frac{1}{p+1} \right) \Theta(\tau).$$

Since harmonic Maass forms might be viewed as non-holomorphic preimages of modular forms under ξ_k , it is natural to ask for preimages of harmonic Maass forms under ξ_k , which often are called *sesquiharmonic Maass forms*. A first example of a sesquiharmonic Maass form appeared in a paper by Duke, Imamoglu and Tóth [22], which constructed such a preimage of \mathcal{H} . More recently, they also appear in Maass–Poincaré series whose coefficients involve non-critical modular L -values [13] and Shintani lifts of weight 0 harmonic Maass forms [3] of level greater than 4. Iterating the search for preimages under ξ_k leads to so-called *polyharmonic Maass forms* studied in [5, 33] for integral weights and in [1, 37, 38] for half integral weights.

Returning to Duke, Imamoglu and Tóth's preimage of \mathcal{H} , we note that it is in fact the “Eisenstein case” of their more general construction of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ mock modular forms with Fourier coefficients given by traces of cycle integrals of the modular j -function. Their work inspired Rhoades' and Waldherr's [47] construction of a harmonic preimage of Θ^3 under ξ_k as well as Wagner's [55] harmonic preimages of the Cohen–Eisenstein series under ξ_k . Both papers were further generalized in [10].

The body of this paper is devoted to the inspection of the higher level “Eisenstein case” of Duke, Imamoglu and Tóth's sesquiharmonic form. To this end, we define

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,4N}(\tau, s) := \sum_{\gamma \in (\Gamma_0(4N))_\infty \backslash \Gamma_0(4N)} v^{s - \frac{k}{2}} \Big|_k \gamma, \quad k \in -\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N} + 1, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1 - \frac{k}{2}, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,N}^+(\tau, s) := \operatorname{pr}^+ \mathcal{F}_{k,N}(\tau, s), \quad \mathcal{G}(\tau) := \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left[\left(s - \frac{3}{4} \right) \mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2},4N}^+(\tau, s) \right] \Big|_{s=\frac{3}{4}}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $\cdot|_{\text{pr}^+}$ denotes the projection operator into Kohnen's plus space (see Section 2). Both of our previous theorems follow from the following result, where we refer to equation (2.3) for the definition of Duke, Imamoglu and Tóth's special function α (slightly renormalized here).

Theorem 1.3. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be odd and square-free.*

- (i) *The function \mathcal{G} is a weight $\frac{1}{2}$ sesquiharmonic Maass form on $\Gamma_0(4N)$.*
- (ii) *Let $\mathfrak{c}(n)$ be given by equations (3.9), (3.10), (3.11) and γ be the Euler–Mascheroni constant. Then, the Fourier expansion of \mathcal{G} is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(\tau) &= \frac{2}{3}v^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\log(16v)}{2\pi} \prod_{p|N} \frac{1}{p+1} + \frac{1}{\pi} \prod_{p|N} \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{m \geq 1} \left(\gamma + \log(\pi m^2) + \alpha(4m^2v) \right) q^{m^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{3}(1-i)\pi \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ n \equiv 0,1 \pmod{4}}} \mathfrak{c}(n)q^n + \frac{2}{3}(1-i)\pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\substack{n < 0 \\ n \equiv 0,1 \pmod{4}}} \mathfrak{c}(n)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, 4\pi|n|v\right) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) *If $N > 1$ then the shadow of \mathcal{G} is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}\xi_{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{G}(\tau) &= -\left(\prod_{p|N} \frac{1}{p+1}\right)\mathcal{H}(\tau) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{\ell|N \\ \ell > 1}} \ell \left(\prod_{p|\ell} \frac{1}{1-p}\right) \mathcal{H}_{\ell,N}(\tau) \\ &\quad + \left(\prod_{p|N} \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \sum_{n \geq 0} H_{1,1}(n)q^n - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \geq 1} H_{1,N}(n)q^n \end{aligned}$$

and this is a weight $\frac{3}{2}$ harmonic Maass form on $\Gamma_0(4N)$.

Remark. The phenomenon that coefficients of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ mock modular forms are linked to real quadratic fields was further explored in additional work by Duke, Imamoglu and Tóth [24].

1.3. Examples. We conclude the introduction by offering two examples of Theorem 1.1.

Example. We demonstrate the modularity result from Theorem 1.1 from a different perspective if the level is prime. Recall Sturm's bound (see [53, Corollary 9.20] for example).

- (1) If $N = 5$, Theorem 1.1 specializes to

$$-\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{H}_{N,N}(\tau) = \sum_{n \geq 0} H_{1,1}(n)q^n - \frac{6}{5} \sum_{n \geq 1} H_{1,N}(n)q^n.$$

Moreover, Sturm's bound implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 3\mathcal{H}_{N,N}(\tau) &= -12 \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} H_{1,1}(n)q^n - \frac{6}{5} \sum_{n \geq 1} H_{1,N}(n)q^n \right) = \sum_{(a,b,c) \in \mathbb{Z}^3} q^{Q_5(a,b,c)} \\ &= 1 + 2q^3 + 6q^7 + 6q^8 + 8q^{12} + 6q^{15} + O(q^{20}), \end{aligned}$$

where Q_5 is the ternary quadratic form

$$Q_5(x, y, z) = 7x^2 + 3y^2 + 7z^2 + 2xy - 6xz + 2yz.$$

The coefficients can be found in the OEIS [60, A028973] or computed using the SAGE [61] code in Appendix A.

(2) If $N = 7$, Theorem 1.1 specializes to

$$-\frac{1}{6}\mathcal{H}_{N,N}(\tau) = \sum_{n \geq 0} H_{1,1}(n)q^n - \frac{8}{7} \sum_{n \geq 1} H_{1,N}(n)q^n.$$

Moreover, Sturm's bound implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mathcal{H}_{N,N}(\tau) &= -12 \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} H_{1,1}(n)q^n - \frac{8}{7} \sum_{n \geq 1} H_{1,N}(n)q^n \right) = \sum_{(a,b,c) \in \mathbb{Z}^3} q^{Q_7(a,b,c)} \\ &= 1 + 2q^4 + 2q^7 + 4q^8 + 4q^{11} + 8q^{15} + 6q^{16}O\left(q^{20}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where Q_7 is the ternary quadratic form

$$Q_7(x, y, z) = 4x^2 + 7y^2 + 8z^2 - 4xz.$$

1.4. Outline of the paper. The paper is organized as follows. We summarize the required concepts in Section 2 and provide the general Fourier expansion of $\mathcal{F}_{k,N}^+$. In Section 3, we move to a closer inspection of the Kloosterman zeta function involved in that Fourier expansion and specialize it to weight $\frac{1}{2}$ and $s = \frac{3}{4}$ subsequently. The purpose of this section is to provide explicit formulas and to compute the residue of the Kloosterman zeta function at the spectral point. The content of Section 4 is the proof of Theorem 1.3 (i) and (ii). Section 5 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.3 (iii). Section 6 establishes Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, which concludes the body of the paper. We provide some SAGE code to compute the Fourier coefficients involved in Theorem 1.1 and Example 1.3 in Appendix A.

NOTATION

For convenience, we collect our notation and abbreviations used throughout the paper:

- $\tau = u + iv \in \mathbb{H}$, $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$,
- s, \mathfrak{s} are spectral parameters with $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1 - \frac{k}{2}$ and related by $\mathfrak{s} = 2s - \frac{1}{2}$,
- $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is an odd and square-free level,
- $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{N}$ is a general level with $4 \mid \mathcal{N}$ whenever the weight is in $\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$,
- ℓ is a positive divisor of N ,
- γ is either the Euler–Mascheroni constant or a 2×2 matrix,
- $\Gamma(s, z)$ is the incomplete Γ -function, $\Gamma(s) = \Gamma(s, 0)$ is the Γ -function,
- $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$ is the Hecke congruence subgroup of $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$,
- $\nu_p(n) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$ is the p -adic valuation of n ,
- $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$ is the Kronecker symbol,
- $\chi_d(n) = \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)$ is this specific Dirichlet character,
- $\operatorname{id} = \chi_1$ is the principal character of modulus 1,
- ε_d equals 1 if $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and equals i if $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$,
- $M_k(\mathcal{N})$ is the \mathbb{C} -vector space of holomorphic modular forms of weight k on $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$,
- $M_k^+(\mathcal{N}) \subseteq M_k(\mathcal{N})$ is Kohnen's plus subspace inside $M_k(\mathcal{N})$,
- $E_k^+(\mathcal{N}) \subseteq M_k^+(\mathcal{N})$ is the Eisenstein subspace inside $M_k^+(\mathcal{N})$,
- $M_{\mu,\nu}(y)$ and $W_{\mu,\nu}(y)$ are the usual M - and W -Whittaker functions,
- $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{i\infty\}$ is a cusp,
- $\xi_k = 2iv^k \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}$ is the Bruinier–Funke differential operator resp. the shadow operator,
- $\Delta_k = -\xi_{2-k}\xi_k$ is the hyperbolic Laplace operator (see Section 2),
- $\cdot|_k \cdot$ is the Petersson slash operator (see Section 2),

- $\cdot|_{\text{pr}^+}$ is the projection operator to Kohnen's plus space (see Section 2),
- $\mathcal{F}_{k,4N}(\tau, s)$ is the weight $k \in -\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N} + 1$ and level $4N$ Maass–Eisenstein series with spectral parameter $s \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\text{Re}(s) > 1 - \frac{k}{2}$, see equation (1.3)
- $\mathcal{F}_{k,4N}^+(\tau, s)$ is the projection of $\mathcal{F}_{k,4N}(\tau, s)$ to Kohnen's plus space, see equation (5.1)
- $\mathcal{G}(\tau)$ is the constant coefficient function in the Laurent expansion of $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2},4N}^+(\tau, s)$ about $s = \frac{3}{4}$, see equation (1.4),
- $\mathfrak{t}(N) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \prod_{p|N} \frac{1}{p+1}$ is the multiple of Θ in the residue of $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2},4N}^+$ at $s = \frac{3}{4}$,
- $\alpha(y)$ is 4π times Duke, Imamoglu and Tóth's [22, p. 952] special function and defined in equation (2.3),
- $\mathfrak{c}(n)$ are part of the Fourier coefficients of \mathcal{G} defined in equation (3.8) and rewritten in equations (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11),
- $H_{\ell,N}(n)$, $\ell | N$, are the generalized Hurwitz class numbers, see equations (2.8), (2.9),
- $H(n) = H_{1,1}(n)$ are the classical Hurwitz class numbers,
- $\mathcal{H}_{\ell,N}(\tau)$, $\ell | N$, are the generating functions of $H_{\ell,N}(n)$; if $N, \ell > 1$ then $\mathcal{H}_{\ell,N} \in M_{\frac{3}{2}}^+(4N)$,
- $\mathcal{H}(\tau)$ is Zagier's non-holomorphic Eisenstein series, see equation (1.2),
- $\Theta(\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2} \in M_{\frac{1}{2}}(4)$ is the classical Jacobi theta function,
- $K_k(m, n; c)$ is the classical Kloosterman sum with weight $k \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$ (theta) multiplier system normalized by $\frac{1}{c}$ (see Section 2),
- $\mathcal{K}_{-\rho,k}$ is Kohnen's modified Kloosterman sum normalized by $\frac{(-1)^{k-\frac{1}{2}i}}{4}$ (see Section 2),
- $\mathcal{K}_k(m, n; s)$ is the plus space Kloosterman zeta function defined in equation (2.6),
- $L(\chi, s)$ is the (complete) Dirichlet L -function and $\zeta(s) = L(\text{id}, s)$ is the Riemann zeta function,
- $L_N(\chi, s)$ the incomplete Dirichlet L -function defined in equation (2.7),
- $\mu(n)$ the Möbius function,
- $\sigma_{N,s}(n)$ and $\sigma_{\ell,N,s}(n)$, $N \neq \ell | N$, are certain modifications of the standard sum of powers of divisors function (see Section 2),
- $a(p^j, n)$ are certain Gauss sums pertaining to weight $\frac{1}{2}$ introduced in Lemma 3.2,
- $A(p, n)$ are local factors pertaining to weight $\frac{1}{2}$ introduced before Proposition 3.5,
- $\mathcal{A}_r(p, n)$ are Pei's and Wang's variant of $A(p, n)$ pertaining to weights $r + \frac{1}{2}$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, see Section 5,
- $T_{N,s}^\chi$ is a certain divisor-type sum introduced before Lemma 3.3 arising from the square part of the Fourier index of $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2},4N}^+$,
- $f_j(\tau)$ are the coefficient functions in the Laurent expansion of $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2},4N}^+(\tau, s)$ about $s = \frac{3}{4}$ introduced in equation (4.1),
- $g(\tau) \in M_{\frac{3}{2}}^+(4N)$ is introduced in equation (5.2), determined in Proposition 5.1 and rewritten in equation (5.3).

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Automorphic forms. We recall the definitions and some properties of the various automorphic objects appearing in this paper. More details can be found in [14] for example.

2.1.1. *Definitions.* Let $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. We choose the principal branch of the square-root throughout. The (*Petersson*) slash operator is defined as

$$(f|_k\gamma)(\tau) := \begin{cases} (c\tau + d)^{-k} f(\gamma\tau) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \left(\frac{c}{d}\right) \varepsilon_d^{2k} (c\tau + d)^{-k} f(\gamma\tau) & \text{if } k \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}, \end{cases} \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{cases} \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \Gamma_0(4) & k \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}, \end{cases}$$

where $\left(\frac{c}{d}\right)$ denotes the Kronecker symbol, and

$$\varepsilon_d := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ i & \text{if } d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

for odd integers d (this is guaranteed whenever $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(4)$). We write $\tau = u + iv \in \mathbb{H}$ throughout. Then, the *weight k hyperbolic Laplace operator* is given by

$$\Delta_k := -v^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2} \right) + ikv \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right),$$

and this operator satisfies

$$\Delta_k = -\xi_{2-k}\xi_k. \quad (2.1)$$

This established, we define various classes of modular objects.

Definition 2.1. Let $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $4 \mid \mathcal{N}$ whenever $k \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$. Let $f: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a smooth function.

- (a) We call f a (*holomorphic*) *modular form* of weight k on $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$, if f satisfies the following conditions:
 - (i) For all $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$, it holds that $f|_k\gamma = f$.
 - (ii) The function f is holomorphic on \mathbb{H} , that is $\xi_k f = 0$.
 - (iii) The function f is holomorphic at every cusp of $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$.
- (b) We call f a *harmonic Maass form of weight k* on $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$, if f satisfies the following conditions:
 - (i) For all $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$, it holds that $f|_k\gamma = f$.
 - (ii) The function f is an eigenfunction of Δ_k with eigenvalue 0, that is $-\xi_{2-k}\xi_k f = 0$.
 - (iii) The function f is of at most linear exponential growth towards all cusps of $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$.
- (c) We call f a *sesquiharmonic Maass form of weight k* on $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$, if f satisfies the following conditions:
 - (i) For all $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$, it holds that $f|_k\gamma = f$.
 - (ii) The function f satisfies $\xi_k \xi_{2-k} \xi_k f = 0$.
 - (iii) The function f is of at most linear exponential growth towards all cusps of $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$.

Forms in *Kohnen's plus space* are half-integral weight forms, whose Fourier expansions are supported on indices n satisfying $(-1)^{k-\frac{1}{2}}n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$.

2.1.2. *Maass–Poincaré series and a construction of sesquiharmonic Maass forms.* We follow Bringmann, Diamantis and Raum [13, Section 4]. There, the authors construct a family of sesquiharmonic Maass forms for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ by the method of Poincaré series. To be more precise, let M denotes the usual M -Whittaker function (see [11, §13.14]) and define

$$\phi_{k,m}(\tau, s) := \mathcal{M}_s(4\pi m v) e^{2\pi i m u}, \quad \mathcal{M}_s(t) := |t|^{-\frac{k}{2}} M_{\mathrm{sgn}(t)\frac{k}{2}, s-\frac{1}{2}}(|t|), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$$

This function gives rise to the Maass–Poincaré series

$$\Phi_{k,m}(\tau) := \sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})_\infty \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \left(\phi_{k,m} \left(\cdot, \frac{k}{2} \right) \Big|_k \gamma \right) (\tau), \quad k \in -\mathbb{N},$$

and some of its properties can be found in [14, Theorem 6.11] for example. Note that this series specializes to the (weakly) holomorphic Poincaré series of exponential type for $k > 2$. Letting

$$\psi_{k,m}(\tau) := \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \phi_{k,m}(\tau, s) \Big|_{s=\frac{k}{2}},$$

Bringmann, Diamantis and Raum prove that

$$\Psi_{k,m}(\tau) := \sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})_\infty \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \left(\psi_{k,m} \Big|_k \gamma \right) (\tau), \quad k > 0,$$

defines a sesquiharmonic Maass form for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. If $m > 0$, it turns out that

$$\xi_k(\Psi_{k,-m}) = (4\pi m)^{1-k} \Phi_{2-k,m}.$$

We inspect the weight $\frac{1}{2}$ “Eisenstein case” ($m = 0$) in this construction and generalize to higher levels.

2.1.3. *The Fourier expansion of harmonic and sesquiharmonic Maass forms.* The incomplete Gamma function is defined by

$$\Gamma(s, z) := \int_z^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt, \quad \mathrm{Re}(s) > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.2)$$

It is multi-valued as a function of s . If $z \neq 0$, each branch can be analytically continued in s with removable singularities at non-positive integers. We restrict to principal values throughout by imposing that the path of integration has to exclude $\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$. We refer to [12, Section 2.2] for more details. We have the asymptotic behavior

$$\Gamma(s, v) \sim v^{s-1} e^{-v}, \quad |v| \rightarrow \infty$$

for $v \in \mathbb{R}$, see [26, item 8.3357] or [11, §8.11]. Equation (2.1) implies that a weight $k \neq 1$ harmonic Maass form f naturally splits into a holomorphic and a non-holomorphic part. Explicitly, we have

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} c_f^+(n) q^n + c_f^-(0) v^{1-k} + \sum_{\substack{n \ll \infty \\ n \neq 0}} c_f^-(n) \Gamma(1-k, -4\pi n v) q^n,$$

where the notation $\sum_{n \gg -\infty}$ abbreviates $\sum_{n \geq m_f}$ for some $m_f \in \mathbb{Z}$. The notation $\sum_{n \ll \infty}$ is defined analogously and similar expansions hold at the other cusps. A *mock modular form* of weight k is the holomorphic part of a harmonic Maass form whose non-holomorphic part is not identically zero.

Sesquiharmonic Maass forms possess a third part in their Fourier expansion, which is called the harmonic part of the sesquiharmonic form. In weight $\frac{1}{2}$, the harmonic part is given in terms of the special function

$$\alpha(y) := \sqrt{y} \int_0^\infty \frac{\log(t+1)}{\sqrt{t}} e^{-\pi y t} dt, \quad y > 0, \quad (2.3)$$

which is a renormalization of [22, p. 952]. Moreover, we require the W -Whittaker function (see [11, §13.14] for instance). According to NIST [11, items 13.18.2, 13.18.5], the W -Whittaker function satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\frac{k}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{k}{2}}(4\pi nv) &= (4\pi nv)^{\frac{k}{2}} e^{-2\pi nv}, \\ W_{-\frac{k}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{k}{2}}(4\pi |n|v) &= (4\pi |n|v)^{\frac{k}{2}} e^{2\pi |n|v} \Gamma(1 - k, 4\pi |n|v). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

The terminology ‘‘harmonic part’’ is motivated by the following lemma dealing with the special case of weight $\frac{1}{2}$.

Lemma 2.2. *We have*

$$(4\pi nv)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} W_{\frac{1}{4}, s - \frac{1}{2}}(4\pi nv) \Big|_{s=\frac{3}{4}} = e^{-2\pi nv} \alpha(4nv).$$

and

$$\xi_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha(4nv)q^n) = -\sqrt{\pi n} \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}, 4\pi nv\right) q^{-n}.$$

Proof. Following [13] (see (4.10)), define the function

$$\Gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}}(y) := \int_y^\infty t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}, t\right) e^t dt.$$

Utilizing [26, (8.853)], we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}}(4\pi ny) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} \int_{4\pi ny}^\infty e^{-t} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-u} u^{\frac{1}{2}}}{t+u} du \right) e^{t} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{4\pi ny}^\infty t^{-1} (t+u)^{-1} dt \right) du \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log\left(1 + \frac{u}{4\pi ny}\right) du \\ &= 2\alpha(4ny). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we can directly compute the shadow of $q^n \alpha(4ny)$ using the fundamental theorem of calculus, getting

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{\frac{1}{2}}(q^n \alpha(4ny)) &= \xi_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}}(4\pi ny)\right) \\ &= \xi_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{4\pi ny}^\infty \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}, t\right) e^t t^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt\right) \\ &= iy^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \overline{iq^n} (4\pi ny)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{4\pi ny} \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}, 4\pi ny\right) (4\pi n) \\ &= -q^{-n} (\pi n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}, 4\pi ny\right), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

2.2. Work of Kohnen.

2.2.1. *Kloosterman sums.* We define the Kloosterman sum

$$K_k(m, n; c) := \frac{1}{c} \sum_{\substack{r \pmod{c} \\ \gcd(c, r) = 1}} \left(\frac{c}{r}\right) \varepsilon_r^{2k} e^{2\pi i \frac{mr^* + nr}{c}}, \quad k \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}, \quad rr^* \equiv 1 \pmod{c}, \quad 4 \mid c,$$

using Kohnen's normalization [32, p. 254] and the modified Kloosterman sum

$$\mathcal{K}_{-\rho, k}(m, n; Nc) := \frac{(-1)^{k-\frac{1}{2}i}}{4} \left(\frac{4}{-Nc}\right) \varepsilon_{Nc}^{-2k} \sum_{\substack{r \pmod{Nc} \\ \gcd(Nc, r) = 1}} \left(\frac{r}{Nc}\right) e^{2\pi i \frac{m(4r)^* + nr}{Nc}},$$

$$k \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}, \quad (4r)(4r)^* \equiv 1 \pmod{Nc}.$$

Comparing with Kohnen [32, p. 256], we have

$$K_k(m, n; 4Nc) = \mathcal{H}_{4Nc}(n, m), \quad \mathcal{K}_{-\rho, k}(m, n; Nc) = \frac{(-1)^{k-\frac{1}{2}i}}{4} \mathcal{H}'_{Nc}(n, m).$$

Furthermore, note that it suffices to inspect $K_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $K_{\frac{3}{2}}$ by definition of ε_r . In turn, both Kloosterman sums are related by

$$K_{\frac{3}{2}}(m, n; c) = -iK_{\frac{1}{2}}(-m, -n; c). \quad (2.5)$$

In addition, Kohnen proved the following identities.

Lemma 2.3 ([32, p. 257]). *Suppose that $c \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Then, we have*

$$K_k(m, n; 4Nc) = \begin{cases} \left(1 - (-1)^{k-\frac{1}{2}i}\right) \mathcal{K}_{-\rho, k}\left(4^*m, \frac{n}{4}; Nc\right) & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{(-1)^{k-\frac{1}{2}i}n}{2}\right) K_k(4m, n; 8Nc) & \text{if } (-1)^{k-\frac{1}{2}i}n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

2.2.2. *Projection operator.* Furthermore, we need the operator $\cdot|_{\text{pr}^+}$ (see [32, Proposition 3]) which is the projection operator of a half-integral weight form into the Kohnen plus space. We follow the exposition of Kohnen [32, p. 250] to construct this operator. Let

$$\mathcal{G}_{k+\frac{1}{2}} = \left\{ (A, \phi) : A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}), \quad \phi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ holomorphic such that} \right. \\ \left. |\phi(\tau)| = \det(A)^{-\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{4}} |\gamma\tau + \delta|^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \right\}$$

be endowed with the group law

$$(A, \phi(\tau)) \cdot (B, \psi(\tau)) := (AB, \phi(B\tau)\psi(\tau)).$$

The Petersson slash operator extends to an action of the group algebra $\mathcal{G}_{k+\frac{1}{2}}$ over \mathbb{C} on functions $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by letting

$$f \Big| \left(\sum_{\nu} c_{\nu} (A_{\nu}, \phi_{\nu}) \right) (\tau) := \sum_{\nu} c_{\nu} \phi_{\nu}(\tau)^{-1} f(A_{\nu}\tau),$$

and we have an injection

$$\Gamma_0(4N) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{k+\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$A \mapsto \left(A, \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \left(\frac{-4}{\delta} \right)^{-k-\frac{1}{2}} (\gamma\tau + \delta)^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

We denote the image of A under this injection by A^* . Letting

$$A_\nu := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 4N\nu & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B := \left(\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, i \right),$$

the projection operator of a half integral weight modular form f to Kohnen's plus space is given by

$$f|_{\text{pr}^+} := \frac{f}{3} + \frac{(-1)^{\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor}}{3\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\nu \pmod{4}} f|_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(BA_\nu^*).$$

Kohnen [32, pp. 250] computes the right hand side in terms of the Fourier expansions at the cusps $i\infty$, $\frac{1}{2N}$, and $\frac{-\rho}{N}$, where $\rho := \left(\frac{-4}{N}\right) \in \{\pm 1\}$. The scaling matrices are

$$C_{i\infty} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2N & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_{-\rho} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\rho N & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which send the cusp $i\infty$ to the aforementioned cusps respectively. The width of $i\infty$ is $h_{i\infty} = 1$, while the widths of the other two cusps equals $h_{\frac{1}{2N}} = h_{\frac{-\rho}{N}} = 4$.

2.3. Fourier expansions of non-holomorphic Eisenstein series. We state the following Fourier expansions.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $k \in -\mathbb{N} + \frac{3}{2}$ and $\text{Re}(s) > 1 - \frac{k}{2}$.*

(i) *At the cusp $\mathfrak{a} = i\infty$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{k,4N}(\tau, s) &= v^{s-\frac{k}{2}} + \frac{4^{1-s}\pi i^{-k}\Gamma(2s-1)}{\Gamma\left(s+\frac{k}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(s-\frac{k}{2}\right)} \sum_{c \geq 1} \frac{K_k(0, 0; 4Nc)}{(4Nc)^{2s-1}} v^{1-s-\frac{k}{2}} \\ &\quad + i^{-k}\pi^s v^{-\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{n \neq 0} \sum_{c \geq 1} \frac{K_k(0, n; 4Nc)}{(4Nc)^{2s-1}} \frac{|n|^{s-1} W_{\text{sgn}(n)\frac{k}{2}, s-\frac{1}{2}}(4\pi|n|v) e^{2\pi i n u}}{\Gamma\left(s + \text{sgn}(n)\frac{k}{2}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *At the cusp $\mathfrak{a} = \frac{-\rho}{N}$, $\rho = \left(\frac{-4}{N}\right)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{k,4N} \Big|_k \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\rho N & 1 \end{pmatrix} (\tau, s) &= \frac{4^s \pi i^{-k} \Gamma(2s-1)}{\Gamma\left(s+\frac{k}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(s-\frac{k}{2}\right)} v^{1-s-\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{\substack{c > 0 \\ c \equiv 1 \pmod{2}}} \frac{\mathcal{K}_{-\rho, k}(0, 0; Nc)}{(Nc)^{2s-1}} \\ &\quad + 4^s \pi^s i^{-k} v^{-\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{n \neq 0} \sum_{\substack{c > 0 \\ c \equiv 1 \pmod{2}}} \frac{i^{-n} \mathcal{K}_{-\rho, k}(0, n; Nc)}{(Nc)^{2s-1}} \frac{|n|^{s-1} W_{\text{sgn}(n)\frac{k}{2}, s-\frac{1}{2}}(\pi|n|v) e^{2\pi i n \frac{u}{4}}}{\Gamma\left(s + \text{sgn}(n)\frac{k}{2}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) *At the cusp $\mathfrak{a} = \frac{1}{2N}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{k,4N} \Big|_k \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2N & 1 \end{pmatrix} (\tau, s) &= \frac{4^s \pi i^{-k} \Gamma(2s-1)}{\Gamma\left(s+\frac{k}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(s-\frac{k}{2}\right)} v^{1-s-\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{\substack{c > 0 \\ c \equiv 1 \pmod{2}}} \frac{K_k(0, 0; 8Nc)}{(8Nc)^{2s-1}} \\ &\quad + 4^s \pi^s i^{-k} v^{-\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{n \neq 0} \sum_{\substack{c > 0 \\ c \equiv 1 \pmod{2}}} \frac{K_k(0, n; 8Nc)}{(8Nc)^{2s-1}} \frac{|n|^{s-1} W_{\text{sgn}(n)\frac{k}{2}, s-\frac{1}{2}}(\pi|n|v) e^{2\pi i n \frac{u}{4}}}{\Gamma\left(s + \text{sgn}(n)\frac{k}{2}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Remarks.

- (1) The first item specializes to [14, Theorem 6.15] (v) at $s = 1 - \frac{k}{2}$ by equation (2.4).
- (2) According to [11, item 13.19.3], we have

$$W_{\lambda, \mu}(x) \sim x^\lambda e^{-\frac{1}{2}x}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

which establishes convergence of the Fourier expansions.

Proof. The proof is standard and follows Kohnen's calculations. Since we work in a non-holomorphic setting, we require a generalization of the classical Lipschitz summation formula, which is established by Shimura [52, Lemma 1]. The connection between Shimura's integrals in his Lemma 1 and the W -Whittaker functions is given by (see [26, 9.222] for example)

$$I\left(y, s \pm \frac{k}{2}, s \mp \frac{k}{2}\right) = \int_0^\infty (t+1)^{s \pm \frac{k}{2} - 1} t^{s \mp \frac{k}{2} - 1} e^{-ty} dt = \frac{\Gamma\left(s \mp \frac{k}{2}\right)}{y^s} e^{\frac{y}{2}} W_{\pm \frac{k}{2}, s - \frac{1}{2}}(y).$$

Combining yields the claimed expansions. \square

We define the *Kloosterman zeta function*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_k(m, n; s) &:= \left(\sum_{c>0} + \sum_{\substack{c>0 \\ c \equiv 1 \pmod{2}}} \right) \frac{K_k(m, n; 4Nc)}{(4Nc)^{s-1}} \\ &= \sum_{c>0} \frac{1 + \left(\frac{4}{c}\right)}{(4Nc)^s} \sum_{\substack{r \pmod{4Nc} \\ \gcd(4Nc, r)=1}} \left(\frac{4Nc}{r}\right) \varepsilon_r^{2k} e^{2\pi i \frac{mr^* + nr}{4Nc}}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

The sums $K_k(m, n; c)$ do satisfy an analogue of Weil's bound for the integral weight Kloosterman sums, see [22, Section 3] for example, which yields absolute convergence of $\mathcal{K}_k(m, n; s)$ as a function of s in the given right half plane. The Kloosterman zeta function satisfies a functional equation (see [30, Chapter 9] for example), which is motivated by equation (2.5). Selberg [49, (3.9)], Goldfeld–Sarnak [27, Theorem 1] and Goldfeld–Hoffstein [25, Section 3] proved that $\mathcal{K}_k(m, n; s)$ admits a meromorphic continuation in s to $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}$ with a finite number of poles inside the real interval $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. The residues can be found in [29, Proposition 2.5] for example. In the same paper, the Kloosterman zeta function yields a certain trace of the Selberg–Poincaré series [29, Theorem 3.1] along the lines of Duke, Imamoglu and Tóth [22, Proposition 4].

Summing up, the Fourier expansion of $\mathcal{F}_{k, 4N}^+$ is as follows.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $k \in -\mathbb{N} + \frac{3}{2}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1 - \frac{k}{2}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{k, 4N}^+(\tau, s) &= \frac{2}{3} \left(v^{s - \frac{k}{2}} + \frac{4^{1-s} \pi i^{-k} \Gamma(2s-1)}{\Gamma\left(s + \frac{k}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(s - \frac{k}{2}\right)} \mathcal{K}_k(0, 0; 2s) v^{1-s - \frac{k}{2}} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{3} i^{-k} \pi^s v^{-\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{\substack{n \neq 0 \\ (-1)^{k - \frac{1}{2}} n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}}} \frac{\mathcal{K}_k(0, n; 2s) |n|^{s-1}}{\Gamma\left(s + \operatorname{sgn}(n) \frac{k}{2}\right)} W_{\operatorname{sgn}(n) \frac{k}{2}, s - \frac{1}{2}}(4\pi |n| v) e^{2\pi i n u}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark. This result can be found as a part of [31, Theorem 4.4] as well.

Proof. Let $c_{\mathfrak{a}}(n, v)$ be the n -th Fourier coefficient of the Fourier expansion of $\mathcal{F}_{k,4N}$ at the cusp \mathfrak{a} . Kohnen's result [32, Proposition 3] on the projection operator in terms of Fourier expansions generalizes straightforwardly to harmonic Maass forms by [14, Proposition 6.7]. We observe that the proof in our framework with an additional spectral parameter is the same except for the fact that our Fourier expansions are given in terms of

$$W_{\operatorname{sgn}(n)^{\frac{k}{2}}, s - \frac{1}{2}} \left(4\pi |n| \frac{v}{h_{\mathfrak{a}}} \right) e^{2\pi i n \frac{u}{h_{\mathfrak{a}}}}$$

instead of $q^{\frac{n}{h_{\mathfrak{a}}}}$. In other words, the necessary calculations carry over to our slightly more general Fourier expansion, getting

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{k,4N}^+(\tau, s) &= \frac{2}{3} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}}} \left(c_{i\infty}(n, v) + \left(1 - (-1)^{k - \frac{1}{2}} i \right) 2^{2k-2} i^{\frac{n}{4}} c_{-\frac{v}{N}} \left(\frac{n}{4}, 16v \right) \right) e^{2\pi i n u} \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (-1)^{k - \frac{1}{2}} n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}}} \left(c_{i\infty}(n, v) + 2^{k - \frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{(-1)^{k - \frac{1}{2}} n}{2} \right) c_{\frac{1}{2N}}(n, 4v) \right) e^{2\pi i n u}. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows by inserting the Fourier expansions from Proposition 2.4 and utilizing Kohnen's identity on Kloosterman sums (see Lemma 2.3). \square

2.4. Work by Pei and Wang. Let $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{N}$, $N > 1$ be odd and square-free, and define

$$\chi_d := \left(\frac{d}{\cdot} \right),$$

$$L_{\mathcal{N}}(\chi, s) := L(\chi, s) \prod_{p|N} (1 - \chi(p)p^{-s}) = \prod_{p \nmid N} \frac{1}{1 - \chi(p)p^{-s}} = \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ \gcd(n, \mathcal{N})=1}} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}. \quad (2.7)$$

In [45, Theorem 1 (I)], Pei and Wang show that the dimension of $E_{\frac{3}{2}}^+(4N)$ (the Eisenstein plus subspace of $M_{\frac{3}{2}}(4N)$) equals $2^{\omega(N)} - 1$. Here, $\omega(N) := \sum_{p|N} 1$ counts the distinct prime divisors of N . The idea of their proof is to construct a basis $E_{\frac{3}{2}}^+(4N)$ out of a basis of $E_{\frac{3}{2}}(4N)$. The latter basis is the main result in Pei [44]), while the former basis is explicitly given by so-called *generalized Cohen–Eisenstein series*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\ell, N}(\tau) := \sum_{n \geq 0} H_{\ell, N}(n) q^n, \quad \ell | N, \quad \ell \neq 1.$$

We emphasize that there is no generalized Cohen–Eisenstein series for the divisor $\ell = 1$ in weight $\frac{3}{2}$. That is, the generating function of $H_{1, N}(n)$ continues to be mock modular in higher levels and weight $\frac{3}{2}$, while the divisor $\ell = 1$ contributes to the basis of $E_k^+(4N)$ in half-integral weights $k \geq \frac{5}{2}$. The Fourier coefficients in weight $\frac{3}{2}$ are given by

$$H_{N, N}(n) := \begin{cases} L_N(-1, \operatorname{id}) & \text{if } n = 0, \\ L_N(0, \chi_t) \sum_{\substack{a|m \\ \gcd(a, N)=1}} \mu(a) \chi_t(a) \sigma_{N, 1} \left(\frac{m}{a} \right) & \text{if } -n = tm^2 \text{ with} \\ & t \text{ fundamental,} \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

as well as by

$$H_{\ell,N}(n) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ L_{\ell}(0, \chi_t) \prod_{p|\frac{N}{\ell}} \frac{1-\chi_t(p)p^{-1}}{1-p^{-2}} \sum_{\substack{a|m \\ \gcd(a,N)=1}} \chi_t(a) \sigma_{\ell,N,1}\left(\frac{m}{a}\right) & \text{if } -n = tm^2 \text{ with} \\ 0 & \text{\(t\) fundamental,} \\ & \text{else,} \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

where $\ell \mid N$, $\ell \neq N$ and

$$\sigma_{N,1}(r) := \sum_{\substack{0 < d|r \\ \gcd(d,N)=1}} d, \quad \sigma_{\ell,N,1}(r) := \sum_{\substack{d|r \\ \gcd(d,\ell)=1 \\ \gcd(\frac{r}{d}, \frac{N}{\ell})=1}} b$$

for such ℓ . Note that this includes the definition of the coefficients $H_{1,N}(n)$ and that that $H_{1,1}(n)$ are the classical Hurwitz class numbers.

Remark. Here, we included the summation condition that $\gcd(a, N) = 1$ in Pei's and Wang's sums running over $a \mid m$ inside the definition of $H_{\ell,N}(n)$ for all $\ell \mid N$. This is justified, since these sums arise in their paper from the same sums as in our Lemma 3.3. In addition to that, equation (3.2) below requires to twist these sums by $\left(\frac{N}{a}\right)^2$, which is equivalent to imposing the extra summation condition $\gcd(a, N) = 1$, otherwise equation (3.3) would not be correct.

3. THE KLOOSTERMAN ZETA FUNCTION IN HIGHER LEVELS

If $N = 1$, the Kloosterman zeta function is evaluated in [22, Lemma 4], [4, Proposition 5.7] or [28, Section 2] for example.

3.1. General results. We begin by utilizing the following result by Shimura as well as two Lemmas by Sturm¹.

Lemma 3.1 ([52, Proposition 1, equation (3.9)], [54, pp. 226, 227]). *Let $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{N} \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $\lambda = \frac{L}{2}$, $L \in 2\mathbb{N} - 1$. Let ω be a Dirichlet character modulo \mathcal{N} such that $\omega(-1) = 1$, and put*

- $\omega_{tm^2} := \left(\frac{-1}{\cdot}\right)^{\frac{1-L}{2}} \left(\frac{t\mathcal{N}}{\cdot}\right) \omega$, whenever $n = tm^2$ with $t \neq 0$ square-free, and $\gcd(\cdot, t\mathcal{N}) = 1$,
- $\omega^* = \left(\frac{\mathcal{N}}{\cdot}\right) \omega$.

For every $c \in \mathbb{N}$, write $\mathcal{N}c = Md$, where $\gcd(d, M) = 1$ and M divides all sufficiently high powers of \mathcal{N} . Denote this last condition by $M \mid \mathcal{N}^{\infty}$.

(i) Define²

$$b(n, \mathfrak{s}, \omega) := \sum_{d>0} \frac{\left(\frac{-\mathcal{N}}{d}\right) \varepsilon_d^L \omega(d)}{d^{s+\lambda}} \sum_{r=1}^d \left(\frac{r}{d}\right) e^{2\pi i \frac{nr}{d}}$$

¹Note that there is a typo in Sturm's citation of Shimura's result, it should be $a^{\frac{1-L}{2}-s} b^{2-L-2s}$ in the definition of the coefficients β .

²This fixes a typo in [54, equation (4)], the correct definition can be found in [52, equation (3.4)].

Suppose that $n \neq 0$. Then

$$b(n, \mathfrak{s}, \omega) = \frac{L_{\mathcal{N}}\left(\mathfrak{s} - \frac{1-L}{2}, \omega_n\right)}{L_{\mathcal{N}}(2\mathfrak{s} - (1-L), \omega^2)} \sum_{\substack{a, b \in \mathbb{N} \\ \gcd(a, \mathcal{N})=1 \\ \gcd(b, \mathcal{N})=1 \\ (ab)^2 | n}} \mu(a) \omega_n(a) \omega(b)^2 a^{\frac{1-L}{2}-s} b^{2-L-2s}.$$

(ii) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=1}^{\mathcal{N}c} \omega(r) \varepsilon_r^L \left(\frac{\mathcal{N}c}{r}\right) e^{2\pi i \frac{nr}{\mathcal{N}c}} \\ = \left(\left(\frac{-\mathcal{N}}{d}\right) \varepsilon_d^L \omega^*(d) \sum_{r=1}^d \left(\frac{r}{d}\right) e^{2\pi i \frac{nr}{d}} \right) \left(\sum_{r=1}^M \omega(r) \varepsilon_r^L \left(\frac{M}{r}\right) e^{2\pi i \frac{nr}{M}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Define

$$c(n, \mathfrak{s}, \omega) := \sum_{\mathcal{N}|M|\mathcal{N}^\infty} \frac{1}{M^{\mathfrak{s}+\lambda}} \sum_{r=1}^M \omega(r) \varepsilon_r^L \left(\frac{M}{r}\right) e^{2\pi i \frac{nr}{M}}.$$

Then,

$$\sum_{c \geq 1} \frac{1}{(\mathcal{N}c)^{\mathfrak{s}+\lambda}} \sum_{r=1}^{\mathcal{N}c} \omega(r) \varepsilon_r^L \left(\frac{\mathcal{N}c}{r}\right) e^{2\pi i \frac{nr}{\mathcal{N}c}} = b(n, \mathfrak{s}, \omega^*) c(n, \mathfrak{s}, \omega),$$

and $c(n, \mathfrak{s}, \omega)$ is a finite Dirichlet series if $n \neq 0$.

(iv) If $n = 0$ then

$$b(0, \mathfrak{s}, \omega) = \frac{L_{\mathcal{N}}(2\mathfrak{s} + L - 2, \omega^2)}{L_{\mathcal{N}}(2\mathfrak{s} - (1-L), \omega^2)}.$$

We cite the following results by Pei [43] and Maass [36].

Lemma 3.2 ([43, Lemma 2.1], [36, p. 140]). *Let*

$$a(p^j, n) := \begin{cases} \sum_{r=1}^{2^j} \left(\frac{2^j}{r}\right) \varepsilon_r e^{2\pi i \frac{nr}{2^j}} & \text{if } p = 2, \\ \varepsilon_{p^j}^{-1} \sum_{r=1}^{p^j} \left(\frac{r}{p^j}\right) e^{2\pi i \frac{nr}{p^j}} & \text{if } p > 2, \end{cases}$$

where $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

(i) Then, we have

$$\sum_{\mathcal{N}|M|\mathcal{N}^\infty} \frac{1}{M^{\mathfrak{s}+\lambda}} \sum_{r=1}^M \varepsilon_r \left(\frac{M}{r}\right) e^{2\pi i \frac{nr}{M}} = \prod_{p|\mathcal{N}} \sum_{j \geq \nu_p(\mathcal{N})} \frac{a(p^j, n)}{p^{j(\mathfrak{s}+\lambda)}}.$$

(ii) Let

$$\delta(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is an integer,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and ϕ be Euler's totient function. Then, we have

$$a(2^j, n) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} \cdot \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 2 \mid j, 2^{j-2} \nmid n, \\ 2^{j-\frac{3}{2}} e^{\pi i \frac{m}{2}}, & \text{if } 2 \mid j, 2^{j-2} \mid n, m = \frac{n}{2^{j-2}}, 2 \mid m, \\ 2^{j-\frac{3}{2}} e^{\pi i \frac{m-1}{2}}, & \text{if } 2 \mid j, 2^{j-2} \mid n, m = \frac{n}{2^{j-2}}, 2 \nmid m, \\ 2^{j-1} \delta\left(\frac{u-1}{4}\right) e^{\pi i \frac{u-1}{4}}, & \text{if } 2 \nmid j, n = 2^{j-3}u, 2 \nmid u, \\ 0, & \text{if } 2 \nmid j, n = 2^{j-3}u, 2 \mid u. \end{cases}$$

If $p > 2$, we have

$$a(p^j, n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p^{j-1} \nmid n, \\ p^{j-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\frac{n}{p^{j-1}}}{p}\right), & \text{if } p^{j-1} \mid n, p^j \nmid n, 2 \nmid j, \\ 0, & \text{if } p^{j-1} \mid n, p^j \mid n, 2 \nmid j, \\ -p^{j-1}, & \text{if } p^{j-1} \mid n, p^j \nmid n, 2 \mid j, \\ \phi(p^j), & \text{if } p^{j-1} \mid n, p^j \mid n, 2 \mid j. \end{cases}$$

Proof.

- (i) This follows by quadratic reciprocity essentially.
- (ii) In [36, p. 140], Maass evaluates closely related numbers $a_c(n)$, which he defines in [36, equations (7), (10)]. He notes that if $\gcd(c_1, c_2) = 1$ then $a_{c_1 c_2}(n) = a_{c_1}(n) a_{c_2}(n)$. Hence, it suffices to evaluate on prime powers. His numbers $a_{p^j}(n)$ are related to the numbers $a(p^j, n)$ from the Lemma by the identities

$$e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}} a(2^{j+1}, n) = a_{2^j}(n), \quad a(p^j, n) = a_{p^j}(n) \quad (3.1)$$

for $p > 2$. The claim follows by the special case that $L = 1$ in Maass' result. \square

Furthermore, we rewrite the divisor function type sums in Lemma 3.1. Define

$$T_{\mathcal{N}, s}^{\chi}(n) := \sum_{\substack{0 < d \mid n \\ \gcd(d, \mathcal{N})=1}} \mu(d) \chi(d) d^{s-1} \sigma_{\mathcal{N}, 2s-1}\left(\frac{n}{d}\right).$$

In terms of this notation, we have the following technical result.

Lemma 3.3. *Let χ be a Dirichlet character and write $n = tm^2$. Then, we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{a, b \in \mathbb{N} \\ \gcd(a, \mathcal{N})=1 \\ \gcd(b, \mathcal{N})=1 \\ (ab)^2 \mid n}} \mu(a) \chi(a) a^{-s} b^{1-2s} = T_{\mathcal{N}, 1-s}^{\chi}(m).$$

Proof. We substitute $c_1 := ab$, $c_2 := a$ first and write $c_1 = c_2 c_3$ subsequently, getting

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{a, b \in \mathbb{N} \\ \gcd(a, \mathcal{N})=1 \\ \gcd(b, \mathcal{N})=1 \\ (ab)^2 \mid n}} \mu(a) \chi(a) a^{-s} b^{1-2s} &= \sum_{\substack{0 < c_1 \mid m \\ \gcd(c_1, \mathcal{N})=1}} c_1^{1-2s} \sum_{\substack{0 < c_2 \mid c_1 \\ \gcd(c_2, \mathcal{N})=1}} \mu(c_2) \chi(c_2) c_2^{s-1} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0 < c_2 \mid m \\ \gcd(c_2, \mathcal{N})=1}} \mu(c_2) \chi(c_2) c_2^{s-1} \sum_{\substack{c_2 \mid c_1 \mid m \\ \gcd(c_1, \mathcal{N})=1}} c_1^{1-2s} = T_{\mathcal{N}, 1-s}^{\chi}(m). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

3.2. Application to our Kloosterman zeta function in weight $1/2$ and at $s = 3/4$. In weight $\frac{1}{2}$, we are interested in the specialization at the spectral point $\frac{3}{4}$. Note that we evaluated the Kloosterman zeta function at $\mathfrak{s} + \frac{1}{2}$ so far, while our Fourier expansion from Proposition 2.5 evaluates it at $2s$. Hence, the connection between Proposition 2.5 and the results from Subsection 3.1 is given by

$$\mathfrak{s} = 2s - \frac{1}{2}, \quad s = \frac{\mathfrak{s}}{2} + \frac{1}{4},$$

which imply that we are interested in the case $\mathfrak{s} = 1$. We begin by employing Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 to \mathcal{K}_k from equation (2.6).

Proposition 3.4. *Write $n = tm^2$.*

(i) *We have*

$$\mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(0, 0; \mathfrak{s} + \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{L_{4N}(2\mathfrak{s} - 1, \text{id})}{L_{4N}(2\mathfrak{s}, \text{id})} \left(\sum_{j \geq 2} \frac{a(2^j, 0)}{2^{j(\mathfrak{s} + \frac{1}{2})}} + \frac{a(4, 0)}{2^{2(\mathfrak{s} + \frac{1}{2})}} \right) \prod_{p|N} \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{a(p^j, 0)}{p^{j(\mathfrak{s} + \frac{1}{2})}}.$$

(ii) *If $n \neq 0$ it holds that*

$$\mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(0, n; \mathfrak{s} + \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{L_{4N}(\mathfrak{s}, \chi_t)}{L_{4N}(2\mathfrak{s}, \text{id})} T_{4N, 1-\mathfrak{s}}^{\chi_t}(m) \left(\sum_{j \geq 2} \frac{a(2^j, n)}{2^{j(\mathfrak{s} + \frac{1}{2})}} + \frac{a(4, n)}{2^{2(\mathfrak{s} + \frac{1}{2})}} \right) \prod_{p|N} \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{a(p^j, n)}{p^{j(\mathfrak{s} + \frac{1}{2})}}.$$

Proof.

(i) Choosing $\mathcal{N} = 4N$, $\omega = \chi_{4N}^2$ to be the principal character of modulus $4N$ and $L = 1$, we compute that

$$\omega^* = \chi_{4N}\omega = \chi_{4N}^3 = \chi_{4N}, \quad (\omega^*)_n = (\chi_{4N})_n = \chi_{4N}^2 \chi_t, \quad (3.2)$$

and note that

$$\begin{aligned} L_{4N}(\mathfrak{s}, \chi_{4N}^2 \chi_t) &= \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ \gcd(n, 4N)=1}} \frac{\chi_{4N}^2(n) \chi_t(n)}{n^{\mathfrak{s}}} = L_{4N}(\mathfrak{s}, \chi_t), \\ T_{4N, 1-\mathfrak{s}}^{\chi_{4N}^2 \chi_t}(m) &= \sum_{\substack{0 < d|m \\ \gcd(d, 4N)=1}} \mu(d) \chi_{4N}^2(d) \chi_t(d) d^{\mathfrak{s}-1} \sigma_{4N, 2\mathfrak{s}-1}\left(\frac{m}{d}\right) = T_{4N, 1-\mathfrak{s}}^{\chi_t}(m). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

By virtue of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3 we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{c>0} \frac{1}{(4Nc)^{\mathfrak{s} + \frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{\substack{r \pmod{4Nc} \\ \gcd(4Nc, r)=1}} \left(\frac{4Nc}{r}\right) \varepsilon_r e^{2\pi i \frac{nr}{4Nc}} \\ = \frac{L_{4N}(\mathfrak{s}, \chi_t)}{L_{4N}(2\mathfrak{s}, \text{id})} T_{4N, 1-\mathfrak{s}}^{\chi_t}(m) \sum_{(4N)|M|(4N)^\infty} \frac{1}{M^{\mathfrak{s} + \frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{r=1}^M \varepsilon_r \left(\frac{M}{r}\right) e^{2\pi i \frac{nr}{M}}. \end{aligned}$$

The sum running over odd $c > 0$ is evaluated by writing

$$\sum_{\substack{c>0 \\ c \text{ odd}}} = \sum_{c>0} - \sum_{\substack{c>0 \\ c \text{ even}}},$$

and mapping $c \mapsto 2c'$ in the latter sum. The former sum is evaluated as above, while the latter sum can be evaluated by choosing $\mathcal{N} = 8N$, $\omega = \chi_{8N}^2$ and $L = 1$ in Lemma 3.1. Since N is odd, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{c>0 \\ c \text{ odd}}} \frac{1}{(4Nc)^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{\substack{r \pmod{4Nc} \\ \gcd(4Nc,r)=1}} \left(\frac{4Nc}{r}\right) \varepsilon_r e^{2\pi i \frac{nr}{4Nc}} = \frac{L_{4N}(\mathfrak{s}, \chi_t)}{L_{4N}(2\mathfrak{s}, \text{id})} T_{4N,1-\mathfrak{s}}^{\chi_t}(m) \\ & \times \left(\sum_{(4N)|M|(4N)^\infty} - \sum_{(8N)|M|(8N)^\infty} \right) \frac{1}{M^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{r=1}^M \varepsilon_r \left(\frac{M}{r}\right) e^{2\pi i \frac{nr}{M}} \\ & = \frac{L_{4N}(\mathfrak{s}, \chi_t)}{L_{4N}(2\mathfrak{s}, \text{id})} T_{4N,1-\mathfrak{s}}^{\chi_t}(m) \sum_{\substack{(4N)|M|(4N)^\infty \\ \nu_2(M)=2}} \frac{1}{M^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{r=1}^M \varepsilon_r \left(\frac{M}{r}\right) e^{2\pi i \frac{nr}{M}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the first assertion follows by Lemma 3.2.

- (ii) The second assertion is proven along the same lines as the first one. Additionally, Lemma 3.3 is used here. \square

Next, we specialize Lemma 3.2 to $k = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathfrak{s} = 1$. Consequently, we define

$$A(2, n) := \sum_{j \geq 2} \frac{a(2^j, n)}{2^{\frac{3}{2}j}}, \quad A(p, n) := \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{a(p^j, n)}{p^{\frac{3}{2}j}},$$

and note that

$$a(4, n) = \begin{cases} 1 + i & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}, \\ -1 - i & \text{if } n \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Let $\nu_p(m) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$ be the p -adic valuation of $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $n \neq 0$, $\mathfrak{s} = 1$, and p be an odd prime.*

- (i) *We have*

$$A(2, n) = \frac{1+i}{4} \cdot \begin{cases} 1 - 3 \cdot 2^{-\frac{\nu_2(n)+1}{2}} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \nu_2(n), \\ 1 - 3 \cdot 2^{-\frac{\nu_2(n)}{2}-1} & \text{if } 2 \mid \nu_2(n), \frac{n}{2^{\nu_2(n)}} \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ 1 & \text{if } 2 \mid \nu_2(n), \frac{n}{2^{\nu_2(n)}} \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ 1 - 2^{-\frac{\nu_2(n)}{2}} & \text{if } 2 \mid \nu_2(n), \frac{n}{2^{\nu_2(n)}} \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$A(p, n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p} - (p+1)p^{-\frac{\nu_p(n)+3}{2}} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \nu_p(n), \\ \frac{1}{p} & \text{if } 2 \mid \nu_p(n), \left(\frac{\frac{n}{p^{\nu_p(n)}}}{p}\right) = 1, \\ \frac{1}{p} - 2p^{-\frac{\nu_p(n)}{2}-1} & \text{if } 2 \mid \nu_p(n), \left(\frac{\frac{n}{p^{\nu_p(n)}}}{p}\right) = -1. \end{cases}$$

- (ii) *In particular, we have*

$$A(2, m^2) = \frac{1+i}{4}, \quad A(p, m^2) = \frac{1}{p}$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof.

- (i) To prove the first assertion, we cite the following calculations by Maass [36, pp. 141, 142] to evaluate the sums from Lemma 3.2. To this end, let $\mathcal{D}(n)$ be the discriminant of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n})$ and recall equation (3.1). If $p = 2$ then

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{a(2^{j+1}, n)}{2^{j(s+\frac{1}{2})}} = e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} \times \begin{cases} 1 + \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}(n)}{2}\right) 2^{-s} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \mathcal{D}(n), \\ 1 - 2^{-2s} & \text{if } 2 \mid \mathcal{D}(n), \end{cases}$$

$$\times \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1+2^{-s}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{\nu_2(n)-1}{2}} 2^{j(1-2s)} + 2^{1-s} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{\nu_2(n)-3}{2}} 2^{j(1-2s)} \right) & \text{if } 2 \nmid \nu_2(n), \\ -\frac{2^s}{1+2^{-s}} + 2^s \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{\nu_2(n)}{2}} 2^{j(1-2s)} & \text{if } 2 \mid \nu_2(n), \frac{n}{2^{\nu_2(n)}} \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ (1 + 2^{1-s}) \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{\nu_2(n)}{2}} 2^{j(1-2s)} & \text{if } 2 \mid \nu_2(n), \frac{n}{2^{\nu_2(n)}} \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{2}{1+2^{-s}} + (2^{1-s} - 1) \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{\nu_2(n)}{2}} 2^{j(1-2s)} & \text{if } 2 \mid \nu_2(n), \frac{n}{2^{\nu_2(n)}} \equiv 1 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

If $p > 2$ then

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{a(p^j, n)}{p^{j(s+\frac{1}{2})}} = \begin{cases} (1 - p^{-2s}) \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{\nu_p(n)-1}{2}} p^{j(1-2s)} & \text{if } p \mid \mathcal{D}(n), \\ \left(1 + \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}(n)}{p}\right) p^{-s} \right) \left(p^{(1-2s)\frac{\nu_p(n)}{2}} + \left(1 - \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}(n)}{p}\right) p^{-s} \right) \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{\nu_p(n)}{2}-1} p^{j(1-2s)} \right) & \text{if } p \nmid \mathcal{D}(n). \end{cases}$$

After some calculations, we obtain

$$\sum_{j \geq 2} \frac{a(2^j, n)}{2^{j(s+\frac{1}{2})}} = \frac{1+i}{2^{s+1}}$$

$$\times \begin{cases} \frac{2^{-\nu_2(n)s} \left(2^{(\nu_2(n)+1)s} - 2^{\frac{\nu_2(n)+1}{2}} (2^{2s}-1) \right)}{2^{2s}-2} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \nu_2(n), \\ \frac{2^{-(\nu_2(n)+1)s} \left(2^{(\nu_2(n)+2)s} - 2^{\frac{\nu_2(n)}{2}+2s+1} + 2^{\frac{\nu_2(n)}{2}+1} \right)}{2^{2s}-2} & \text{if } 2 \mid \nu_2(n), \frac{n}{2^{\nu_2(n)}} \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{2^{-(\nu_2(n)+2)s} \left(2^{\frac{\nu_2(n)}{2}+1} (2^s-2)(2^s+1) + 2^{(\nu_2(n)+3)s} \right)}{2^{2s}-2} & \text{if } 2 \mid \nu_2(n), \frac{n}{2^{\nu_2(n)}} \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{2^{-(\nu_2(n)+2)s} \left(-2^{\frac{\nu_2(n)}{2}+1} (2^s+2^{2s}-2) + 2^{(\nu_2(n)+3)s} \right)}{2^{2s}-2} & \text{if } 2 \mid \nu_2(n), \frac{n}{2^{\nu_2(n)}} \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$1 + \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{a(p^j, n)}{p^{j(s+\frac{1}{2})}} = \begin{cases} (1 - p^{-2s}) \frac{p^{s(1-\nu_p(n)) + \frac{\nu_p(n)+1}{2}} - p^{2s}}{p - p^{2s}} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \nu_p(n), \\ \frac{-p^{\frac{\nu_p(n)}{2} - \nu_p(n)s + 2s} + p^{\frac{\nu_p(n)}{2} - \nu_p(n)s} (p^{2s} - p^{1-s} + p^s - p + 1) + p^{2s} - 1}{p^{2s} - p} & \text{if } 2 \mid \nu_p(n), \left(\frac{\frac{n}{p^{\nu_p(n)}}}{p}\right) = 1, \\ \frac{-p^{\frac{\nu_p(n)}{2} - \nu_p(n)s + 2s} + p^{\frac{\nu_p(n)}{2} - \nu_p(n)s} (p^{2s} + p^{1-s} - p^s - p + 1) + p^{2s} - 1}{p^{2s} - p} & \text{if } 2 \mid \nu_p(n), \left(\frac{\frac{n}{p^{\nu_p(n)}}}{p}\right) = -1 \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

for $p > 2$. The first assertion follows by specializing this to $s = 1$. Alternatively, this follows by combining equation (2.5) (or Lemma 5.2 (i) below) with Pei [43, (2.17), (2.18)].

- (ii) If $m \neq 0$, this is a direct consequence of the first assertion, since $\nu_p(m^2)$ is even and both 3 resp. 5 are quadratic non-residues modulo 4 resp. 8. If $m = 0$, this follows by letting $\nu_p(n) \rightarrow \infty$ in each arithmetic progression in the first assertion. Alternatively, this follows by combining equation (2.5) (or Lemma 5.2 (i) below) with Pei [43, (2.19)]. \square

Lastly, we evaluate the result of Lemma 3.3 at $s = 1$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let p be a prime and $\nu_p(m) \geq 1$. Then, we have*

$$T_{4N,0}^X(p^{\nu_p(m)}) = \begin{cases} 1 + (1 - \chi(p)) \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_p(m)} p^{-j} & \text{if } \gcd(p, 4N) = 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } \gcd(p, 4N) = p. \end{cases}$$

In particular, we have $T_{4N,0}^{\chi_{4N}^2}(m) = 1$.

Proof. Note that $T_{4N,s}^X(m)$ is a multiplicative function of m , because the Dirichlet convolution of multiplicative functions is multiplicative, and if $\gcd(e_1, e_2) = 1$ then

$$\gcd(e_1 e_2, e_3) = \gcd(e_1, e_3) \gcd(e_2, e_3).$$

The first claim follows by evaluating the functions involved. The second claim is an immediate consequence of the first one. \square

3.3. The residue of $\mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ at $s = \frac{3}{4}$. We conclude this section by computing the residue of $\mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0, n; 2s)$ at $s = \frac{3}{4}$. To this end, we abbreviate

$$\mathfrak{r}(N) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \prod_{p|N} \frac{1}{p+1}. \quad (3.7)$$

Proposition 3.7. *We have*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \frac{3}{4}^+} \left(s - \frac{3}{4}\right) \mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0, n; 2s) = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{2\pi} (1+i) \mathfrak{r}(N) & \text{if } n = m^2 \geq 1, \\ \frac{3}{4\pi} (1+i) \mathfrak{r}(N) & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $n = 0$, we utilize Propositions 3.4 and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow \frac{3}{4}^+} \left(s - \frac{3}{4} \right) \mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0, 0; 2s) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \frac{3}{4}^+} \left(s - \frac{3}{4} \right) \frac{L_{4N} \left(2 \left(2s - \frac{1}{2} \right) - 1, \text{id} \right)}{L_{4N} \left(2 \left(2s - \frac{1}{2} \right), \text{id} \right)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{j \geq 2} \frac{a(2^j, 0)}{2^j(2s - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2})} + \frac{a(4, 0)}{2^2(2s - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2})} \right) \prod_{p|N} \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{a(p^j, 0)}{p^j(2s - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2})}. \end{aligned}$$

According to Proposition 3.5 and equation (3.4), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{s \rightarrow \frac{3}{4}^+} \left(s - \frac{3}{4} \right) \mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0, 0; 2s) \\ &= \frac{1}{L_{4N}(2, \text{id})} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \cdot \left(\frac{1+i}{4} + \frac{(1+i)}{8} \right) \lim_{s \rightarrow \frac{3}{4}^+} \frac{1}{4} (4s - 2 - 1) L_{4N}(4s - 2, \text{id}) \\ &= \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \cdot \frac{3(1+i)}{8} \cdot \prod_{p|4N} \frac{p}{p+1} \cdot \lim_{s \rightarrow \frac{3}{4}^+} \frac{1}{4} (4s - 2 - 1) \zeta(4s - 2) = \frac{3(1+i)}{4\pi} \mathbf{r}(N). \end{aligned}$$

For $n = m^2 \geq 1$, the computation is similar, since $T_{4N,0}^{\chi_{4N}^2}(m) = 1$ by Lemma 3.6 (ii) and the evaluation of the local factors is the same by comparing expressions in Propositions 3.4, 3.5. Hence, the only difference to the previous computation is that we have a factor of

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \frac{3}{4}^+} \left(s - \frac{3}{4} \right) \zeta \left(2s - \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2}$$

instead of $\frac{1}{4}$. This proves the claimed evaluations. \square

3.4. The constant term in the Laurent expansion of $\mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ at $s = \frac{3}{4}$. Let γ be the Euler–Mascheroni constant. We compute the Fourier expansion of \mathcal{G} in terms of

$$\mathbf{c}(n) := \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left[\left(s - \frac{3}{4} \right) \mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0, n; 2s) \right] \Big|_{s=\frac{3}{4}}. \quad (3.8)$$

We observe that the function \mathbf{c} is well-defined for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $n \geq 0$ is a square, we recall that (see [11, item 25.2.4] for example)

$$\frac{d}{ds} [(s-1)\zeta(s)] \Big|_{s=1} = \gamma.$$

This implies that $\mathbf{c}(n)$ exists by virtue of Proposition 3.4 and equation (2.7). If $n \geq 0$ is not a square or if $n < 0$ (with $n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ in both cases) then $\mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0, n; 2s)$ is regular at $s = \frac{3}{4}$, because the involved Dirichlet L -functions are. In other words, we have

$$\mathbf{c}(n) = \mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}} \left(0, n; \frac{3}{2} \right), \text{ whenever } n < 0 \text{ or } 0 \leq n \neq \square. \quad (3.9)$$

An explicit formula for $\mathbf{c}(n)$ can be obtained by virtue of Lemma 3.3 and Propositions 3.4, 3.5. If $n = m^2 \geq 0$ with $n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$, we utilize the same results and equation (3.4),

which motivates to define

$$\mathfrak{f}(m^2, s) := \left(\sum_{j \geq 2} \frac{a(2^j, m^2)}{2^{js}} + \frac{1+i}{2^{2s}} \right) \prod_{p|N} \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{a(p^j, m^2)}{p^{js}} \cdot \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = 0, \\ T_{4N, \frac{3}{2}-s}^{\text{id}}(m) & \text{if } m \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

We refer to Proposition 3.5 and equations (3.5), (3.6) for an evaluation of $\mathfrak{f}(m^2, s)$. In terms of $\mathfrak{f}(m^2, 2s)$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{c}(0) &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(s - \frac{3}{4} \right) \frac{L_{4N}(4s-2, \text{id})}{L_{4N}(4s-1, \text{id})} \right) \Big|_{s=\frac{3}{4}} \mathfrak{f}\left(0, \frac{3}{2}\right) \\ &\quad + \left(\lim_{s \rightarrow \frac{3}{4}^+} \left(s - \frac{3}{4} \right) \frac{L_{4N}(4s-2, \text{id})}{L_{4N}(4s-1, \text{id})} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathfrak{f}(0, 2s) \Big|_{s=\frac{3}{4}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

and at

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{c}(m^2) &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(s - \frac{3}{4} \right) \frac{L_{4N}\left(2s - \frac{1}{2}, \text{id}\right)}{L_{4N}(4s-1, \text{id})} \right) \Big|_{s=\frac{3}{4}} \mathfrak{f}\left(m^2, \frac{3}{2}\right) \\ &\quad + \left(\lim_{s \rightarrow \frac{3}{4}^+} \left(s - \frac{3}{4} \right) \frac{L_{4N}\left(2s - \frac{1}{2}, \text{id}\right)}{L_{4N}(4s-1, \text{id})} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathfrak{f}(m^2, 2s) \Big|_{s=\frac{3}{4}}, \quad m \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

The s -derivatives of \mathfrak{f} can be computed by logarithmic differentiation. We would like to emphasize that the coefficients $\mathfrak{c}(n)$ encode information about L -functions of quadratic fields by equation (2.7). However, we do not provide more explicit formulas here, since they are not required for our results alluded to in the introduction.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3 (i) AND (ii)

Since the Dirichlet L -functions involved have at most a simple pole at $s = 1$, we have the formal Laurent expansion

$$\mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2}, 4N}^+(\tau, s) = \sum_{j \geq -1} f_j(\tau) \left(s - \frac{3}{4} \right)^j \quad (4.1)$$

about $s = \frac{3}{4}$. The functions f_j are polyharmonic Maass forms. Roughly speaking, such forms are an inductive generalization of sesquiharmonic Maass forms to a vanishing condition under multiple applications of ξ . This statement can be found in [5, middle of p. 43], which in turn generalizes [33, Theorem 8.4] to half integral weights. We recall the notation $\mathfrak{r}(N)$ from equation (3.7).

4.1. The residue of the Eisenstein series at $s = \frac{3}{4}$. Let

$$\Theta(\tau) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{m^2} = 1 + 2 \sum_{m \geq 1} q^{m^2} \in M_{\frac{1}{2}}(4), \quad (4.2)$$

be the standard Jacobi theta function. It can be shown that the residue of $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2}, 4N}^+$ satisfies

$$f_{-1}(\tau) \in M_{\frac{1}{2}}(4N),$$

see [21, Section 4] for example. The Serre–Stark [50] basis theorem asserts that a basis for the more general space $M_{\frac{1}{2}}(4M)$ with $M \in \mathbb{N}$ is given by (twisted) weight $\frac{1}{2}$ theta functions, see [14, Theorem 2.8] too. However, since our level equals $4N$ with N odd and square-free, the space $M_{\frac{1}{2}}(4N)$ is in fact one-dimensional and generated by Θ . Alternatively, this can be justified by observing that the Dirichlet L -function from Proposition 3.4 has a simple pole at $s = 1$ if and only if $n = m^2 \geq 0$, since the involved character equals the principal character χ_{4N}^2 of modulus $4N$ if and only if $t = 1$ by equation (3.2). The precise relation between the residue of $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2}, 4N}^+$ and Θ is a direct corollary of Proposition 3.7.

Proposition 4.1. *We have*

$$f_{-1}(\tau) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \frac{3}{4}^+} \left(s - \frac{3}{4} \right) \mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2}, 4N}^+(\tau, s) = \mathfrak{r}(N)\Theta(\tau).$$

Remark. References for the level 1 case include [28, Proposition 2.3], [22, Lemma 3, (5.4)], [1, (6.3)].

Proof. We combine the results of Proposition 2.5 and Proposition 3.7. Recall equation (2.4). If $n = m^2 \geq 1$, this yields a contribution

$$\frac{2}{3} i^{-\frac{1}{2}} \pi^{\frac{3}{4}} (4\pi)^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{3}{2\pi} (1+i)\mathfrak{r}(N) \sum_{m \geq 1} q^{m^2} = 2\mathfrak{r}(N) \sum_{m \geq 1} q^{m^2}.$$

If $n = m^2 = 0$, this yields a contribution

$$\frac{2}{3} \frac{4^{1-\frac{3}{4}} \pi i^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{4}\right)} \frac{3}{4\pi} (1+i)\mathfrak{r}(N) = \mathfrak{r}(N).$$

Adding both contributions proves the claim. \square

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3 (i) and (ii). Recall that $\mathcal{G}(\tau) = f_0(\tau)$ in equation (4.1). We are in position to prove Theorem 1.3 (i) and (ii).

Proof of Theorem 1.3 (i) and (ii). Modularity and growth condition are clear from the Laurent expansion (4.1), compare the references stated after that equation. By virtue of equation (2.1) and the fact that the shadow operators intertwine with the slash operator as well as the plus space projection operator, we deduce that

$$\Delta_{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2}, 4N}^+(\tau, s) = \left(s - \frac{1}{4} \right) \left(\frac{3}{4} - s \right) \mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2}, 4N}^+(\tau, s),$$

and thus

$$\Delta_{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{G}(\tau) = -\frac{1}{2} f_{-1}(\tau). \tag{4.3}$$

Since f_{-1} is holomorphic, this implies that \mathcal{G} is sesquiharmonic.

To verify the Fourier expansion, we inspect each term in Proposition 2.5 separately.

(1) Clearly, it holds that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{2}{3} \left(s - \frac{3}{4} \right) v^{s-\frac{1}{4}} \Big|_{s=\frac{3}{4}} = \frac{2}{3} v^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

(2) We compute that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{2}{3} \frac{4^{1-s} \pi i^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(2s-1)}{\Gamma\left(s+\frac{1}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(s-\frac{1}{4}\right)} v^{1-s-\frac{1}{4}} \Big|_{s=\frac{3}{4}} = \frac{2}{3} (i-1) \pi (\log(16v)).$$

Utilizing Proposition 3.7, we infer that

$$\left(\lim_{s \rightarrow \frac{3}{4}^+} \left(s - \frac{3}{4} \right) \mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0, 0; 2s) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{2}{3} \frac{4^{1-s} \pi i^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(2s-1)}{\Gamma\left(s+\frac{1}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(s-\frac{1}{4}\right)} v^{1-s-\frac{1}{4}} \Big|_{s=\frac{3}{4}} = -\mathfrak{r}(N) \log(16v).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \frac{3}{4}^+} \frac{2}{3} \frac{4^{1-s} \pi i^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(2s-1)}{\Gamma\left(s+\frac{1}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(s-\frac{1}{4}\right)} v^{1-s-\frac{1}{4}} = \frac{2}{3} (1-i) \pi.$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{2}{3} \left(s - \frac{3}{4} \right) \frac{4^{1-s} \pi i^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(2s-1)}{\Gamma\left(s+\frac{1}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(s-\frac{1}{4}\right)} \mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0, 0; 2s) v^{1-s-\frac{1}{4}} \Big|_{s=\frac{3}{4}} \\ = -\mathfrak{r}(N) \log(16v) + \frac{2}{3} (1-i) \pi \mathfrak{c}(0). \end{aligned}$$

(3) Suppose that $n > 0$. Using equation (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\lim_{s \rightarrow \frac{3}{4}^+} \frac{2}{3} i^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\pi^s v^{-\frac{1}{4}} n^{s-1}}{\Gamma\left(s+\frac{1}{4}\right)} W_{\frac{1}{4}, s-\frac{1}{2}}(4\pi n v) e^{2\pi i n u} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(s - \frac{3}{4} \right) \mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0, n; 2s) \Big|_{s=\frac{3}{4}} \\ = \frac{2}{3} (1-i) \pi \mathfrak{c}(n) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

If $n \neq m^2 \geq 1$, the residue of $\mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0, n; 2s)$ vanishes by Proposition 3.7. If $n = m^2 \geq 1$, we employ equation (2.4) and Lemma 2.2, getting

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{2}{3} i^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\pi^s v^{-\frac{1}{4}} n^{s-1}}{\Gamma\left(s+\frac{1}{4}\right)} W_{\frac{1}{4}, s-\frac{1}{2}}(4\pi n v) e^{2\pi i n u} \Big|_{s=\frac{3}{4}} = \frac{2}{3} (1-i) \pi (\gamma + \log(\pi n) + \alpha(4n v)) q^n.$$

According to Proposition 3.7, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(s - \frac{3}{4} \right) \frac{2}{3} i^{-\frac{1}{2}} \pi^s v^{-\frac{1}{4}} \frac{\mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0, n; 2s) n^{s-1}}{\Gamma\left(s+\frac{1}{4}\right)} W_{\frac{1}{4}, s-\frac{1}{2}}(4\pi |n| v) e^{2\pi i n u} \Big|_{s=\frac{3}{4}} \\ = 2\delta_{\square}(n) \mathfrak{r}(N) (\gamma + \log(\pi n) + \alpha(4n v)) q^n + \frac{2}{3} (1-i) \pi \mathfrak{c}(n) q^n, \end{aligned}$$

where δ_{\square} is the indicator function of n being a square.

(4) Suppose that $n < 0$. According to Proposition 3.7, $\mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0, n; 2s)$ is regular at $s = \frac{3}{4}$ for every $n < 0$ and thus

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \frac{3}{4}^+} \left(s - \frac{3}{4} \right) \mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0, n; 2s) = 0$$

for every $n < 0$. Utilizing equation (2.4) again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{2}{3} \left(s - \frac{3}{4} \right) i^{-\frac{1}{2}} \pi^s v^{-\frac{1}{4}} \frac{\mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0, n; 2s) n^{s-1}}{\Gamma\left(s - \frac{1}{4}\right)} W_{-\frac{1}{4}, s - \frac{1}{2}}(4\pi |n| v) e^{2\pi i n u} \Big|_{s=\frac{3}{4}} \\ = \frac{2}{3} \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - i) \mathfrak{c}(n) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, 4\pi |n| v\right) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

The claim follows by collecting the results of each item and rearranging them. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3 (iii)

5.1. The shadow of \mathcal{G} . To motivate the result of Proposition 5.1 below, we combine equations (2.1), (4.3) with Proposition 4.1 and the fact that the shadow of \mathcal{H} (see equation (1.2)) is given by $-\frac{1}{16\pi}\Theta$ (see eg. [14, Theorem 6.3]). We obtain

$$\xi_{\frac{3}{2}} \xi_{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{G}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} f_{-1}(\tau) = \frac{\mathfrak{r}(N)}{2} \Theta(\tau) = -\frac{16\pi}{2} \mathfrak{r}(N) \xi_{\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{H}(\tau), \quad (5.1)$$

from which we in turn deduce that

$$\xi_{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{G}(\tau) = -8\pi \mathfrak{r}(N) \mathcal{H}(\tau) + g(\tau), \quad g \in M_{\frac{3}{2}}^+(4N). \quad (5.2)$$

The following corollary computes the Fourier expansion of g . In the upcoming subsections, we rewrite g in terms of the generalized Cohen–Eisenstein series and the mock modular form $\mathcal{H}_{1,N}$, see equation (5.3) below, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.3 (iii).

Proposition 5.1. *Let N be odd and square-free. Then, the shadow of \mathcal{G} is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{G}(\tau) = \frac{1}{3} - \mathfrak{r}(N) v^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 2\sqrt{\pi} \mathfrak{r}(N) \sum_{m \geq 1} m \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}, 4\pi m^2 v\right) q^{-m^2} \\ - \frac{4\pi(1+i)}{3} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}}} \overline{\mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(0, -n; \frac{3}{2}\right)} n^{\frac{1}{2}} q^n. \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently, the modular form $g \in M_{\frac{3}{2}}^+(4N)$ in equation (5.2) has the Fourier expansion

$$g(\tau) = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \pi \mathfrak{r}(N) + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}}} \left(24\pi \mathfrak{r}(N) H_{1,1}(n) - 4\pi(1+i) \overline{\mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(0, -n; \frac{3}{2}\right)} n^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) q^n.$$

Proof. We compute $\xi_{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{G}$ by inspecting the action of $\xi_{\frac{1}{2}}$ on each term Theorem 1.3 (ii).

(1) Clearly, we have $\overline{\mathfrak{r}(N)} = \mathfrak{r}(N)$ and

$$\xi_{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2}{3} v^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \xi_{\frac{1}{2}} \log(16v) = v^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

(2) Suppose that $n \geq 0$. By holomorphicity, we deduce that

$$\xi_{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}}} \mathfrak{c}(n) q^n = \xi_{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m \geq 1} \mathfrak{r}(N) \left(\gamma + \log(\pi m^2) \right) q^{m^2} = 0.$$

Lemma 2.2 yields

$$\xi_{\frac{1}{2}} 2\mathfrak{r}(N) \sum_{m \geq 1} \alpha(4m^2 v) q^{m^2} = -2\pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathfrak{r}(N) \sum_{m \geq 1} m \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}, 4\pi m^2 v\right) q^{-m^2}.$$

(3) Let $n < 0$ and recall equation (3.9). A standard computation shows that

$$\xi_{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, 4\pi |n| v\right) q^n = -(4\pi |n|)^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{-n},$$

see the proof of [14, Theorem 5.10] for example. Consequently, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \xi_{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2}{3} (1-i) \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\substack{n < 0 \\ n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}}} \mathfrak{c}(n) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, 4\pi |n| v\right) q^n \\ &= \frac{2}{3} (1+i) \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\substack{n < 0 \\ n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}}} \overline{\mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(0, n; \frac{3}{2}\right)} \xi_{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, 4\pi |n| v\right) q^n \\ &= -\frac{4\pi(1+i)}{3} \sum_{\substack{n > 0 \\ n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}}} \overline{\mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(0, -n; \frac{3}{2}\right)} n^{\frac{1}{2}} q^n. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the first assertion. We conclude the second assertion by the first assertion, equation (5.2) and the definition of \mathcal{H} in equation (1.2). \square

5.2. Connection to generalized Hurwitz class numbers. The numbers $A(2, n)$ and $A(p, n)$ from Proposition 3.5 appear in Pei and Wang [45] too. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p > 2$ be a prime. Pei and Wang [45, p. 106] define the numbers

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_r(2, n) &:= 2^{-(2r+1)} (1 + (-1)^r i) \\ &\times \begin{cases} \frac{1-2^{(1-2r)\frac{\nu_2(n)-1}{2}}}{1-2^{1-2r}} - 2^{(1-2r)\frac{\nu_2(n)-1}{2}} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \nu_2(n), \\ \frac{1-2^{(1-2r)\frac{\nu_2(n)}{2}}}{1-2^{1-2r}} - 2^{(1-2r)\frac{\nu_2(n)}{2}} & \text{if } 2 \mid \nu_2(n), \frac{(-1)^r n}{2^{\nu_2(n)}} \equiv -1 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{1-2^{(1-2r)\frac{\nu_2(n)}{2}}}{1-2^{1-2r}} + 2^{(1-2r)\frac{\nu_2(n)}{2}} \left(1 + 2^{1-r} \left(\frac{(-1)^r n}{2^{\frac{\nu_2(n)}{2}}}\right)\right) & \text{if } 2 \mid \nu_2(n), \frac{(-1)^r n}{2^{\nu_2(n)}} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$\mathcal{A}_r(p, n) := \begin{cases} \frac{(p-1) \left(1 - p^{(1-2r)\frac{\nu_p(n)-1}{2}}\right)}{p(p^{2r-1}-1)} - p^{(1-2r)\frac{\nu_p(n)+1}{2}-1} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \nu_p(n), \\ \frac{(p-1) \left(1 - p^{(1-2r)\frac{\nu_p(n)}{2}}\right)}{p(p^{2r-1}-1)} + \left(\frac{(-1)^r n}{p^{\nu_p(n)}}\right) p^{(1-2r)\frac{\nu_p(n)+1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } 2 \mid \nu_p(n). \end{cases}$$

Remark. We believe that there is a small typo in the definition of $\mathcal{A}_r(p, n)$ in [45]. Namely, if $2 \mid \nu_p(n)$, the sign of the second term in their definition of $\mathcal{A}_r(p, n)$ should be flipped. We adjusted our definition of their numbers accordingly.

If $r = 1$, these numbers pertain to the case of weight $\frac{3}{2}$, while our local factors from Propositions 3.4, 3.5 arise from a weight $\frac{1}{2}$ automorphic form. However, we expect a connection between both weights by equation (2.5).

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose that N is odd and square-free.*

(i) *We have*

$$A(2, n) = \overline{\mathcal{A}_1(2, -n)}, \quad A(p, n) = \overline{\mathcal{A}_1(p, -n)} = \mathcal{A}_1(p, -n).$$

(ii) *We have*

$$\prod_{p|N} \mathcal{A}_1(p, n) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell|N} \ell \prod_{p|\ell} \left(\mathcal{A}_1(p, n) - \frac{1}{p} \right).$$

Proof.

(i) This follows either by a case-by-case inspection of both sides of the claim or by combining equation with Proposition 3.4 as indicated above.

(ii) We have

$$\prod_{p|N} \mathcal{A}_1(p, n) = \prod_{p|N} \left(\mathcal{A}_1(p, n) - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p} \right) = \sum_{\ell|N} \prod_{p|\ell} \left(\mathcal{A}_1(p, n) - \frac{1}{p} \right) \prod_{p|\frac{N}{\ell}} \frac{1}{p},$$

which implies the claim directly. Alternatively, this can be deduced from an identity at the bottom of [46, p. 239]. \square

In the course of proving their Theorem 1 (I), Pei and Wang establish the following identity.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $N > 1$ be odd and square-free. Let $\ell | N$ with $\ell \neq 1$. Let $n \geq 1$ satisfy $n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$. Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\ell, N}(n) &= \frac{4\pi(1+i)}{12} \prod_{p|\ell} (1-p) \\ &\quad \times \frac{L_{4N}(1, \chi_t)}{L_{4N}(2, \text{id})} T_{4N, 0}^{\chi_t}(m) \left(\prod_{p|\ell} \left(\mathcal{A}_1(p, n) - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right) \left(\mathcal{A}_1(2, n) + \frac{1-i}{8} \right) n^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Compare [45, (4)] with [45, p. 111] and the definition of the numbers $H_{\ell, N}(n)$ in equations (2.9), (2.8). \square

5.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3 (iii). Recall the results of Theorem 1.3 (i), (ii) and of Proposition 5.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 (iii). Let $n \geq 1$ satisfy $n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$. To prove the claimed expression for $\xi_{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{G}$, we use Proposition 3.4 and have ($-n = tm^2$)

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2}} \left(0, -n; \frac{3}{2} \right)} = \frac{L_{4N}(1, \chi_t)}{L_{4N}(2, \text{id})} T_{4N, 0}^{\chi_t}(m) \left(\prod_{p|N} A(p, -n) \right) \cdot \left(A(2, -n) + \frac{a(4, -n)}{8} \right).$$

Since the input s as well as all the characters are real, the Dirichlet L -functions involved are real-valued. For the same reasons, the function $T_{4N, 0}^{\chi_t}$ is real-valued. According to Lemma 5.2 (i) and equation (3.4), we hence obtain

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2}} \left(0, -n; \frac{3}{2} \right)} = \frac{L_{4N}(1, \chi_t)}{L_{4N}(2, \text{id})} T_{4N, 0}^{\chi_t}(m) \left(\prod_{p|N} \mathcal{A}_1(p, n) \right) \left(\mathcal{A}_1(2, n) + \frac{1-i}{8} \right).$$

We employ Lemmas 5.2 (ii) and 5.3, which yields

$$\begin{aligned} & 4\pi(1+i)\overline{\mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(0, -n; \frac{3}{2}\right)}n^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= 4\pi(1+i)\frac{L_{4N}(1, \chi_t)}{L_{4N}(2, \text{id})}T_{4N,0}^{\chi_t}(m)\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{\ell|N}\ell\prod_{p|\ell}\left(\mathcal{A}_1(p, n) - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right)\left(\mathcal{A}_1(2, n) + \frac{1-i}{8}\right)n^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{12}{N}\sum_{\ell|N}\ell H_{\ell, N}(n)\prod_{p|\ell}\frac{1}{1-p} \end{aligned}$$

We infer that the function g from equation (5.2) and Proposition 5.1 can be written as

$$g(\tau) = 4\prod_{p|N}\frac{1}{p+1}\sum_{n\geq 0}H_{1,1}(n)q^n - \frac{4}{N}\sum_{\ell|N}\ell\prod_{p|\ell}\frac{1}{1-p}\sum_{n\geq 1}H_{\ell, N}(n)q^n + \frac{1}{3}.$$

We add and subtract $H_{N, N}(0) = L_N(-1, \text{id})$, arriving at

$$g(\tau) = -\frac{4}{N}\sum_{\substack{\ell|N \\ \ell>1}}\ell\prod_{p|\ell}\frac{1}{1-p}\mathcal{H}_{\ell, N}(\tau) + 4\prod_{p|N}\frac{1}{p+1}\sum_{n\geq 0}H_{1,1}(n)q^n - \frac{4}{N}\sum_{n\geq 1}H_{1, N}(n)q^n. \quad (5.3)$$

This proves the claimed representation of $\xi_{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{G}$. The second assertion follows immediately from Theorem 1.3 (i) and the properties of $\xi_{\frac{1}{2}}$. \square

6. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2

The representation of $\xi_{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{G}$ in Theorem 1.3 (iii) isolates the higher level holomorphic modular correction of the mock modular generating function of $H_{1,1}(n)$. In addition to that, it isolates the multiple of the non-holomorphic part of \mathcal{H} that completes the mock modular generating function of $H_{1, N}(n)$ to a higher level analog of \mathcal{H} . We make this precise in the proofs below.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since g from equations (5.2), (5.3) and Proposition 5.1 is modular and Pei's and Wang's forms $\mathcal{H}_{\ell, N}$ for $1 < \ell | N$ are modular on their own, we deduce that the linear combination

$$\prod_{p|N}\frac{1}{p+1}\sum_{n\geq 0}H_{1,1}(n)q^n - \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\geq 1}H_{1, N}(n)q^n$$

is modular as well. Holomorphicity and growth condition are immediate.

The second assertion follows by the fact that if N is an odd prime then the space $E_{\frac{3}{2}}^+(4N)$ is spanned by $\mathcal{H}_{N, N}(\tau)$, since N is the only divisor of N greater than 1. Consequently, we must have

$$g(\tau) = \frac{\frac{1}{3}\left(1 - \prod_{p|N}\frac{1}{p+1}\right)}{-\frac{1}{12}\prod_{p|N}(1-p)}\mathcal{H}_{N, N}(\tau).$$

Equating this representation of g with equation (5.3) and rearranging concludes the proof. \square

For the sake of completeness, we provide two proofs of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The first assertion follows either by adding $2\pi\tau(N)\mathcal{H}(\tau)$ to the result of Theorem 1.1 or by inserting the definition of $\mathcal{H}(\tau)$ into Theorem 1.3 (iii). The second assertion follows by Proposition 4.1 and equation (5.1). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Ahlgren, N. Andersen, and D. Samart, *A polyharmonic Maass form of depth $3/2$ for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **468** (2018), no. 2, 1018–1042.
- [2] C. Alfes, M. Griffin, K. Ono, and L. Rolén, *Weierstrass mock modular forms and elliptic curves*, Res. Number Theory **1** (2015), Paper No. 24, 31.
- [3] C. Alfes-Neumann and M. Schwagenscheidt, *Shintani theta lifts of harmonic Maass forms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **374** (2021), no. 4, 2297–2339.
- [4] N. Andersen and W. Duke, *Modular invariants for real quadratic fields and Kloosterman sums*, Algebra Number Theory **14** (2020), no. 6, 1537–1575.
- [5] N. Andersen, Jeffrey C. Lagarias, and Robert C. Rhoades, *Shifted polyharmonic Maass forms for $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$* , Acta Arith. **185** (2018), no. 1, 39–79.
- [6] O. Beckwith, *Indivisibility of class numbers of imaginary quadratic fields*, Res. Math. Sci. **4** (2017), Paper No. 20, 11.
- [7] O. Beckwith, M. Raum, and O. K. Richter, *Congruences of Hurwitz class numbers on square classes*, Adv. Math. **409** (2022), Paper No. 108663, 19.
- [8] ———, *Nonholomorphic Ramanujan-type congruences for Hurwitz class numbers*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **117** (2020), no. 36, 21953–21961.
- [9] ———, *Imaginary quadratic fields with ℓ -torsion-free class groups*. (2023). preprint.
- [10] A. Bhand, K. D. Shankhadhar, and R. K. Singh, *Maass lifts of half-integral weight Eisenstein series and theta powers*, Pacific J. Math. **321** (2022), no. 1, 1–43.
- [11] Ronald F. Boisvert, Charles W. Clark, Daniel W. Lozier, and Frank W. J. Olver (eds.), *NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, U.S. Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Washington, DC; Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010. With 1 CD-ROM (Windows, Macintosh and UNIX).
- [12] K. Bringmann, N. Diamantis, and S. Ehlen, *Regularized inner products and errors of modularity*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **24** (2017), 7420–7458.
- [13] K. Bringmann, N. Diamantis, and M. Raum, *Mock period functions, sesquiharmonic Maass forms, and non-critical values of L -functions*, Adv. Math. **233** (2013), 115–134.
- [14] K. Bringmann, A. Folsom, K. Ono, and L. Rolén, *Harmonic Maass forms and mock modular forms: theory and applications*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 64, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017.
- [15] K. Bringmann and B. Kane, *Class numbers and representations by ternary quadratic forms with congruence conditions*, Math. Comp. **91** (2021), no. 333, 295–329.
- [16] ———, *Sums of class numbers and mixed mock modular forms*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **167** (2019), no. 2, 321–333.
- [17] K. Bringmann and K. Ono, *Lifting cusp forms to Maass forms with an application to partitions*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **104** (2007), no. 10, 3725–3731.
- [18] J. H. Bruinier and J. Funke, *On two geometric theta lifts*, Duke Math. J. **125** (2004), no. 1, 45–90.
- [19] J. H. Bruinier and M. Schwagenscheidt, *Theta lifts for Lorentzian lattices and coefficients of mock theta functions*, Math. Z. **297** (2021), no. 3-4, 1633–1657.
- [20] H. Cohen, *Sums involving the values at negative integers of L -functions of quadratic characters*, Math. Ann. **217** (1975), no. 3, 271–285.
- [21] W. Duke and Ö. Imamoglu, *A converse theorem and the Saito-Kurokawa lift*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **7** (1996), 347–355.
- [22] W. Duke, Ö. Imamoglu, and Á. Tóth, *Cycle integrals of the j -function and mock modular forms*, Ann. of Math. (2) **173** (2011), no. 2, 947–981.
- [23] ———, *On a class number formula of Hurwitz*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **23** (2021), no. 12, 3995–4008.
- [24] ———, *Real quadratic analogs of traces of singular moduli*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **13** (2011), 3082–3094.
- [25] D. Goldfeld and J. Hoffstein, *Eisenstein series of $\frac{1}{2}$ -integral weight and the mean value of real Dirichlet L -series*, Invent. Math. **80** (1985), no. 2, 185–208.
- [26] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of integrals, series, and products*, 6th ed., Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 2000. Translated from the Russian; Translation edited and with a preface by Alan Jeffrey and Daniel Zwillinger.

- [27] D. Goldfeld and P. Sarnak, *Sums of Kloosterman sums*, Invent. Math. **71** (1983), no. 2, 243–250.
- [28] T. Ibukiyama and H. Saito, *On zeta functions associated to symmetric matrices, II: Functional equations and special values*, Nagoya Math. J. **208** (2012), 265–316.
- [29] Ö. Imamoglu, A. Lägeler, and Á. Tóth, *The Katok-Sarnak formula for higher weights*, J. Number Theory **235** (2022), 242–274.
- [30] H. Iwaniec, *Spectral methods of automorphic forms*, 2nd ed., Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 53, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, Madrid, 2002.
- [31] D. Jeon, S.-Y. Kang, and C. H. Kim, *Weak Maass-Poincaré series and weight 3/2 mock modular forms*, J. Number Theory **133** (2013), no. 8, 2567–2587.
- [32] W. Kohnen, *Fourier coefficients of modular forms of half-integral weight*, Math. Ann. **271** (1985), no. 2, 237–268.
- [33] J. C. Lagarias and R. C. Rhoades, *Polyharmonic Maass forms for $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$* , Ramanujan J. **41** (2016), no. 1-3, 191–232.
- [34] Y. Li and M. Schwagenscheidt, *Mock modular forms with integral Fourier coefficients*, Adv. Math. **399** (2022), Paper No. 108264, 30.
- [35] Y. Luo and H. Zhou, *The classification and representations of positive definite ternary quadratic forms of level $4N$* (2024), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.17443>. preprint.
- [36] H. Maass, *Konstruktion ganzer modulformen halbzahliger dimension mit ϑ -multiplikatoren in einer und zwei variablen*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **12** (1937), no. 1, 133–162 (German).
- [37] T. Matsusaka, *Polyharmonic weak Maass forms of higher depth for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$* , Ramanujan J. **51** (2020), no. 1, 19–42.
- [38] ———, *Traces of CM values and cycle integrals of polyharmonic Maass forms*, Res. Number Theory **5** (2019), no. 1, Paper No. 8, 25.
- [39] M. H. Mertens, *Eichler-Selberg type identities for mixed mock modular forms*, Adv. Math. **301** (2016), 359–382.
- [40] ———, *Mock modular forms and class number relations*, Res. Math. Sci. **1** (2014), Art. 6, 16.
- [41] Y. Murakami, *Hurwitz class numbers with level and modular correspondences*, Res. Number Theory **9** (2023), no. 2, Paper No. 40, 23.
- [42] K. Ono, H. Saad, and N. Saikia, *Distribution of values of Gaussian hypergeometric functions*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **19** (2023), no. 1, 371–407.
- [43] T. Y. Pei, *Eisenstein series of weight 3/2. I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **274** (1982), no. 2, 573–606.
- [44] ———, *Eisenstein series of weight 3/2. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **283** (1984), no. 2, 589–603.
- [45] X. Wang and D. Pei, *A generalization of Cohen-Eisenstein series and Shimura liftings and some congruences between cusp forms and Eisenstein series*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **73** (2003), 99–130.
- [46] ———, *Modular forms with integral and half-integral weights*, Science Press Beijing, Beijing; Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [47] R. C. Rhoades and M. Waldherr, *A Maass lifting of Θ^3 and class numbers of real and imaginary quadratic fields*, Math. Res. Lett. **18** (2011), no. 5, 1001–1012.
- [48] R. Schoof, *Nonsingular plane cubic curves over finite fields*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **46** (1987), no. 2, 183–211.
- [49] A. Selberg, *On the estimation of Fourier coefficients of modular forms*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. VIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1965, pp. 1–15.
- [50] J.-P. Serre and H. M. Stark, *Modular forms of weight 1/2*, Modular functions of one variable, VI (Proc. Second Internat. Conf., Univ. Bonn, Bonn, 1976), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. Vol. 627, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1977, pp. 27–67.
- [51] G. Shimura, *On modular forms of half integral weight*, Ann. of Math. (2) **97** (1973), 440–481.
- [52] ———, *On the holomorphy of certain Dirichlet series*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **31** (1975), no. 1, 79–98.
- [53] W. Stein, *Modular forms, a computational approach*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 79, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007. With an appendix by Paul E. Gunnells.
- [54] J. Sturm, *Special values of zeta functions, and Eisenstein series of half integral weight*, Amer. J. Math. **102** (1980), no. 2, 219–240.
- [55] I. Wagner, *Harmonic Maass form eigencurves*, Res. Math. Sci. **5** (2018), no. 2, Paper No. 24, 16.
- [56] A. Walker, *Self-Correlations of Hurwitz Class Numbers* (2024), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.01455>. preprint.

- [57] D. Zagier, *Nombres de classes et formes modulaires de poids 3/2*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **281** (1975), no. 21, Ai, A883–A886 (French, with English summary).
- [58] ———, *On the values at negative integers of the zeta-function of a real quadratic field*, Enseign. Math. (2) **22** (1976), no. 1-2, 55–95.
- [59] S. Zwegers, *Mock theta functions*, Ph.D. Thesis, Universiteit Utrecht, 2002.
- [60] OEIS Foundation Inc., *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences* (2024), <https://oeis.org>.
- [61] W. A. Stein et al., *Sage Mathematics Software*, 2022. The Sage Development Team, Version 9.3, <https://www.sagemath.org/>.

APPENDIX A. SAGE CODE

```

def sigma_ell(r,N):
    return sum(kronecker((r/d),N)^2 * d for d in divisors(r))

def sigma_N(r,N):
    return sum(kronecker(d,N)^2 * d for d in divisors(r))

def H(n):
    if (n > 0 and (n % 4 == 0 or n % 4 == 3)):
        t = fundamental_discriminant(-n)
        m = (-n/t)^(1/2)
        L = quadratic_L_function__exact(0, t)
        S = sum(moebius(a)*kronecker(t,a)*sigma(m/a,1)
                for a in divisors(m))
        return (L * S)
    if (n==0):
        return zeta(-1)
    if (n > 0 and (n % 4 == 1 or n % 4 == 2)):
        return 0

def H_1(N,n):
    if (n > 0 and (n % 4 == 0 or n % 4 == 3)):
        t = fundamental_discriminant(-n)
        m = (-n/t)^(1/2)
        L = quadratic_L_function__exact(0, t)
        F = list(factor(N))
        P = prod(((1-kronecker(t,F[j][0]))*F[j][0]^(-1))
                / (1-F[j][0]^(-2)) for j in srange(len(F)))
        S = sum(kronecker(a,N)^2*moebius(a)*kronecker(t,a)
                *sigma_ell(m/a,N) for a in divisors(m))
        return (L * P * S)
    if (n==0):
        return 0
    if (n > 0 and (n % 4 == 1 or n % 4 == 2)):
        return 0

```

```

def H_N(N,n):
    if (n > 0 and (n % 4 == 0 or n % 4 == 3)):
        t = fundamental_discriminant(-n)
        m = (-n/t)^(1/2)
        L = quadratic_L_function__exact(0, t)
        F = list(factor(N))
        P = prod((1-kronecker(t,F[j][0])) for j in xrange(len(F)))
        S = sum(kronecker(a,N)^2*moebius(a)*kronecker(t,a)
                *sigma_N(m/a,N) for a in divisors(m))
        return (L * P * S)
    if (n==0):
        F = list(factor(N))
        P = prod((1-F[j][0]) for j in xrange(len(F)))
        return zeta(-1) * P
    if (n > 0 and (n % 4 == 1 or n % 4 == 2)):
        return 0

def test_relation(N,prec):
    # Input: odd prime level N
    for n in xrange(prec):
        modularcoeff = 1/(1-N) * H_N(N,n)
        mockmodularcoeff = H(n) - 1/N*(N+1)*H_1(N,n)
        print ([n, modularcoeff, mockmodularcoeff])

def test_theta(prec):
    gram1 = matrix([[7,1,-3],[1,3,1],[-3,1,7]])
    Q5 = QuadraticForm(ZZ,2*gram1)
    print ('Q_5:', Q5.representation_number_list(prec))
    gram2 = matrix([[4,0,-2],[0,7,0],[-2,0,8]])
    Q7 = QuadraticForm(ZZ,2*gram2)
    print ('Q_7:', Q7.representation_number_list(prec))

```

LISTING 1. Sage functions

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TULANE UNIVERSITY, NEW ORLEANS, LA 70118

Email address: obeckwith@tulane.edu

Current address: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 1505 STEVENSON CENTER, VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY, NASHVILLE, TN 37240, USA

Former address: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, DIVISION OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COLOGNE, WEYERTAL 86-90, 50931 COLOGNE, GERMANY

Email address: andreas.mono@vanderbilt.edu