

# INTERSECTING SUBSETS IN FINITE PERMUTATION GROUPS

C. H. LI, V. R. PANTANGI, S. J. SONG, AND Y. L. XIE

ABSTRACT. A subset (subgroup)  $S$  of a transitive permutation group  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is called an intersecting subset (subgroup, resp.) if the ratio  $xy^{-1}$  of any elements  $x, y \in S$  fixes some point. A transitive group is said to have the EKR property if the size of each intersecting subset is at most the order of the point stabilizer. A nice result of Meagher-Spiga-Tiep (2016) says that 2-transitive permutation groups have the EKR property. In this paper, we systematically study intersecting subsets in more general transitive permutation groups, including primitive (quasiprimitive) groups, rank-3 groups, Suzuki groups, and some special solvable groups. We present new families of groups that have the EKR property, and various families of groups that do not have the EKR property. This paper significantly improves the unpublished paper [17], and particularly solves Problem 1.4 of [17].

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a finite transitive permutation group on  $\Omega$ . A pair of permutations  $x, y \in G$  are said to *intersect* if the intersection

$$\{(\omega, \omega^x) \mid \omega \in \Omega\} \cap \{(\omega, \omega^y) \mid \omega \in \Omega\} \neq \emptyset,$$

in other words, the ratio  $xy^{-1}$  fixes some point in  $\Omega$ .

**Definition 1.1.** A subset  $S$  of a transitive permutation group  $G$  is said to be an *intersecting subset* if any pair of elements in  $S$  intersect and a subgroup is called an *intersecting subgroup* if it is an intersecting subset.

For instance, point stabilizers are intersecting subgroups, and cosets of point stabilizers are intersecting subsets. In this paper, we study the cardinality and the structure of intersecting subsets and intersecting subgroups in transitive permutation groups. An intersecting subset is said to be *maximum* if it is an intersecting subset of the maximum possible size. The size of a maximum intersecting subset is thus at least  $|G_\omega|$  where  $\omega \in \Omega$ . The following questions have been studied for some special families of permutation groups for a long time:

- (A) Is the size of an intersecting subset bounded above by the order of a point stabilizer?
- (B) Is every maximum intersecting subset a coset of a point stabilizer?

In 1977, Deza and Frankl [11] showed that, for the symmetric group of degree  $n$ , the answer to question (A) is positive, that is, the size of any intersecting subset is at most  $(n-1)!$ . They conjectured that every maximum intersecting subset is a coset of a point stabilizer. This conjecture was proved by Cameron-Ku in [4] and Larose-Malvenuto in [16] independently. Later, Godsil and Meagher [12] gave another proof.

---

*Key words and phrases.* EKR property, semiregular subsets, intersecting subsets.  
This work was partially supported by NSFC grants 11931005 and 61771019.

Deza-Frankl's work was motivated by a classical result of Erdős-Ko-Rado in extremal set theory, which states that for a collection  $\mathfrak{S}$  of  $k$ -subsets of a set  $\Omega$  of size  $n$  with  $k < n/2$ , if any two members of  $\mathfrak{S}$  intersect, then  $|\mathfrak{S}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ , and equality holds if and only if  $\mathfrak{S}$  consists of all  $k$ -subsets of  $\Omega$  containing a common point. The above-mentioned questions (A) and (B), and the classical Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem lead to the following definition.

A transitive permutation group  $G$  on  $\Omega$  has the *EKR property* if its point stabilizers are maximum intersecting subsets. The group is further said to have the *strict-EKR property* if cosets of point stabilizers are the only maximum intersecting subsets in  $G$ . A few classes of permutation groups satisfying the strict-EKR property are as follows: (a) Alternating groups of degree  $n$  (c.f [1]); (b) the groups  $\text{PGL}(2, q)$  (c.f [20]) and  $\text{PSL}(2, q)$  (c.f [18]) acting on 1-spaces. It was shown in [21] that all 2-transitive permutation groups have the EKR property. Not all 2-transitive permutation groups have the strict-EKR property, for example the affine group  $\text{AGL}(1, p^d) = \mathbb{Z}_p^d : \mathbb{Z}_{p^d-1}$  is 2-transitive but does not have the strict-EKR property unless  $(p, d) = (3, 1)$ . A recent result [19], shows that the characteristic vector of any maximum intersecting subset of 2-transitive groups is a linear combination of characteristic vectors of cosets of point stabilizers. Groups with this property are said to have the *EKR-Module* property. In this paper, we show that general transitive groups are “far from satisfying the EKR property”.

A subgroup  $R$  of  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is *semiregular* if the identity is the only element of  $R$  which fixes a point of  $\Omega$ , and then a *regular* subgroup is semiregular and transitive. These can be extended to subsets.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $R$  be a subset of  $G$  which contains the identity  $e$ . Then  $R$  is called a *semiregular subset* if, for any two elements  $r, s$ , the ratio  $rs^{-1}$  fixes no point of  $\Omega$ . A semiregular subset is called a *regular subset* if it is transitive.

We remark that a regular subset  $R$  is exactly a *sharply transitive* subset, namely, for any two points of  $\Omega$ , there is exactly one element of  $R$  sending one to the other. We notice that ‘semiregular subsets’ and ‘intersecting subsets’ are complement to each other.

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  be transitive. Let  $R$  be a semiregular subset, and  $S$  a maximum intersecting subset. Then  $|R||S| \leq |G|$ , and  $G = RS$  if  $|R||S| = |G|$ . In particular, if  $R$  is a regular subset then  $G$  has the EKR property.*

We remark that Corollary 2.2 of [22] states that if  $G$  has a regular subset, then  $G$  has the EKR property, and Proposition 1.1 is a generalisation of [22, Corollary 2.2].

A semiregular subset  $R$  provides an upper bound for intersecting subsets  $|S| \leq \frac{|G|}{|R|}$ , and if the equality holds then  $S$  is a maximum intersecting subset. This motivates us to propose the following ‘factorization’ problem.

**Problem 1.2.** Characterise transitive permutation groups  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  with a semiregular subset  $R$  and an intersecting subset  $S$  such that  $G = RS$ .

To measure how “far” a group  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is from satisfying the EKR property, we consider the ratio

$$|S|/|G_\omega|, \text{ where } S \text{ is a maximum intersecting subset and } \omega \in \Omega.$$

This ratio does not have an absolute constant upper bound; an upper bound may increase along with  $\sqrt{|\Omega|}$ . Noticing  $|G|/|G_\omega| = |\Omega|$  and observing various examples, it would be reasonable to use  $\frac{|S|}{|G_\omega|\sqrt{|\Omega|}}$  to measure the distance of a group  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  from satisfying the EKR property, led to the following quantity.

**Definition 1.3.** *Given a transitive permutation group  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ , define*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G/\Omega) &= \frac{|S|}{|G_\omega|\sqrt{|\Omega|}}, \text{ where } S \text{ is a maximum intersecting subset;} \\ \rho_0(G/\Omega) &= \frac{|H|}{|G_\omega|\sqrt{|\Omega|}}, \text{ where } H \text{ is a maximum intersecting subgroup.} \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,  $\rho_0(G/\Omega) \leq \rho(G/\Omega)$ , as an intersecting subgroup is an intersecting subset. We remark that in the previous unpublished version [17] of this paper, the notation  $\rho(G, \Omega)$  is defined as the ratio  $|S|/|G_\omega|$ , which is written as  $\rho(G)$  in [25]. So  $\rho(G/\Omega) = \rho(G, \Omega)/\sqrt{|\Omega|}$ . Since  $G_\omega$  is an intersecting subset, we have that  $\rho(G/\Omega) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\Omega|}}$ , and the equality holds if and only if  $G$  satisfies the EKR property.

One of the main purposes of this paper is to study the bounds  $\rho_0(G/\Omega)$  and  $\rho(G/\Omega)$  for primitive (quasiprimitive) permutation groups. The well-known O’Nan-Scott-Praeger Theorem divides quasiprimitive permutation groups into eight classes, see [8]. The following theorem shows that the EKR property is indeed satisfied for six out of the eight types of quasiprimitive groups; however, a bit surprisingly, the EKR property is “far” from being satisfied for the other two types.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $G$  a quasiprimitive permutation group on  $\Omega$ . Then either*

- (i)  $G$  has a regular subgroup and the EKR property, or
- (ii)  $G$  is an almost simple group or a group of product action type.

Moreover, the following hold in case (ii).

- (a) *There exists infinitely many primitive simple groups  $G$  such that  $G = RS$  for a semiregular subgroup  $R$  and a maximum intersecting subset  $S$ , and  $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} - \varepsilon < \rho(G/\Omega) < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ .*
- (b) *There exists infinitely many primitive groups  $G$  in product action on  $\Omega = \Delta^\ell$  such that  $G = RS$  where  $R$  is a semiregular subgroup and  $S$  is a maximum intersecting subset, and  $\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)^\ell - \varepsilon < \rho(G/\Omega) < \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)^\ell$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ .*

With the theorem, some questions naturally arise.

**Problem 1.4.** Characterize  $\rho_0(G/\Omega)$  and  $\rho(G/\Omega)$  finite quasiprimitive permutation groups. Is it true that  $\rho(G/\Omega) < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ ?

We are inclined to conjecture that  $\rho_0(G/\Omega) < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$  for primitive permutation groups. As a starting point, we address Problem 1.4 for primitive permutation representations of almost simple groups with socle being Suzuki groups. As usual, for a subset  $H$  of a group  $G$ , let  $\text{Spec}(H)$  be the set of orders of elements in  $H$ .

Let  $L = \text{Sz}(q)$ , where  $q = 2^f$  with  $f \geq 3$  odd. Then  $|L| = q^2(q-1)(q^2+1)$ , and  $\text{Out}(L) = \langle \phi \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_f$ , so that an almost simple group  $G$  with socle  $L$  has the form  $G = L:\langle \psi \rangle$ , where  $\psi \in \langle \phi \rangle$  with  $|\psi| = e$ . It is known that cyclic subgroups of  $L = \text{Sz}(q)$  of the same order are all conjugate, see Corollary 4.7, led to the conclusion:  $a$

subgroup  $S$  of  $L = \text{Sz}(q)$  is an intersecting subset if and only if  $\text{Spec}(S) \subseteq \text{Spec}(L_\omega)$ , where  $\omega \in \Omega$ . However, this conclusion is not true for  $G = L:\langle\psi\rangle > \text{Sz}(q)$ , and the following theorem determines intersecting subgroups  $K$  of  $G$  with  $|K| > |G_\omega|$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $G = \text{Sz}(q).\mathbb{Z}_e$  be a primitive permutation group on a set  $\Omega$ , where  $q = 2^f$  and  $e$  divides  $f$ , and let  $\psi \in \langle\phi\rangle$  be such that  $|\psi| = e$ . Then a subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  with  $|K| > |G_\omega|$  is an intersecting subset if and only if  $K$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $S$  and  $(G_\omega, S)$  is one of the following:*

$G_\omega$	$\mathbf{C}_{\text{Sz}(q)}(\phi^r) \cong \text{Sz}(q_0):\mathbb{Z}_e$	$D:\langle\tau\psi\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{q-1}:\mathbb{Z}_{2e}$	$(\mathbb{Z}_{q\pm\sqrt{2q+1}}):\mathbb{Z}_{4e}$
$S$	$(Q:D_0):\langle\psi\rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2^f.\mathbb{Z}_2^f:\mathbb{Z}_{q_0-1}):\mathbb{Z}_e$	$(Q':D):\langle\psi\rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2^f:\mathbb{Z}_{q-1}):\mathbb{Z}_e$	$Q:\langle\psi\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^f.\mathbb{Z}_2^f:\mathbb{Z}_e$

where  $q = q_0^r$  with  $r$  an odd prime, and  $Q, D, \tau, D_0$  are defined in (4.1), (4.2) (4.3) and (4.4), respectively. In particular,  $\rho_0(G/\Omega) < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ .

Although we do not know yet whether the inequality  $\rho(G/\Omega) < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$  holds for all quasiprimitive permutation groups, it does not hold for non-quasiprimitive permutation groups. Various examples are given in Section 5 to show that  $\rho(G/\Omega)$  is large, and has no upper bound.

**Theorem 1.6.** *There is no upper-bound for  $\rho(G/\Omega)$  in the following two cases:*

- (i)  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^f.\mathbb{Z}_2^f:\mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$  is a maximal parabolic subgroup of  $\text{Sz}(q)$  with  $q = 2^f$ ,  $\Omega = [G : \mathbb{Z}_4]$ , and  $\rho(G/\Omega) = \frac{q}{2\sqrt{q-1}}$ ;
- (ii)  $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^f.\mathbb{Z}_p^{2f}:\mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1}$  is maximal parabolic subgroup of  $\text{SU}_3(q)$  with  $q = p^f$  odd,  $f \geq 4$  and  $\gcd(3, q+1) = 1$ ,  $\Omega = [G : p_+^{1+2}]$ , and  $\rho(G/\Omega) = \sqrt{\frac{q^3}{p^3q^3(q^2-1)}}$ .

One would hope that there is an upper bound for  $\rho(G/\Omega)$ , see Conjecture 1.2 in the previous version [17] of this paper which states  $\rho(G/\Omega) < 1$ . However, unfortunately, it is not true. Four sporadic counterexamples with  $\rho(G/\Omega) > 1$  were first discovered in [25, Theorem 5.1] by computer searching the list of all transitive groups of degrees less than equal to 48. Constructions 5.4 and 5.6 present infinity many examples such that  $\rho(G/\Omega)$  approaches to infinity. In particular, this solves Problem 1.4 of [17].

## 2. SEMIREGULAR SUBSETS AND INTERSECTING SUBSETS

Let  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  be transitive, and let  $S$  be an intersecting subset of  $G$ , namely,  $xy^{-1}$  fixes some points of  $\Omega$  for any elements  $x, y \in S$ . For a point  $\omega \in \Omega$ , consider the coset decomposition  $G = r_0G_\omega \cup r_1G_\omega \cup \cdots \cup r_{n-1}G_\omega$ , where  $r_0 = 1$ . Let

$$S_i = S \cap (r_iG_\omega), \text{ where } 0 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

Then  $S = S_0 \cup S_1 \cup \cdots \cup S_{n-1}$ , and  $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ , let

$$H_i = r_i^{-1}S_i = \{r_i^{-1}x \mid x \in S_i\}.$$

Then  $H_i \subset G_\omega$ , and  $|H_i| = |S_i|$ , where  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $H_i \cap H_j \neq \emptyset$  for some  $i, j$  with  $i \neq j$ , then  $r_i r_j^{-1}$  fixes a point.*

*Proof.* Let  $h \in G_\omega$  be such that  $h \in H_i \cap H_j$ . Then  $r_i h, r_j h \in S$ , and thus

$$(r_i h)(r_j h)^{-1} = r_i r_j^{-1}.$$

Since  $S$  is an intersecting subset, we have  $r_i r_j^{-1} = (r_i h)(r_j h)^{-1}$  fixes some point.  $\square$

We give two proofs for Proposition 1.1.

Let  $S$  be an intersecting subset, and  $R$  a semiregular subset of  $G$ .

**First Proof of Proposition 1.1.** The first proof follows from the clique-coclique bound given in [13, Theorem 2.1.1].

Let  $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, D)$ , the Cayley graph of  $G$  with respect to the derangement set  $D$  of  $G$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is a *derangement graph* of  $G$ , see [25] such that the intersecting subset  $S$  is an independent subset, and the semiregular subset  $R$  is a clique of the graph  $\Gamma$ . By the clique-coclique bound given in [13, Theorem 2.1.1], we conclude that  $|R||S| \leq |G|$ .

On the other hand, let  $s_i, s_j$  be two different elements in  $S$ . We claim that  $Rs_i \neq Rs_j$ . In fact, since  $s_i s_j^{-1}$  fixes some point in  $\Omega$  and  $s_i s_j^{-1} \neq 1$ , it follows from  $R$  is semiregular that  $s_i s_j^{-1} \notin R$ . This verifies the claim. As a result,  $|R||S| = |G|$  if and only if  $G = RS$ .  $\square$

**First Proof of Proposition 1.1.** Let  $R = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_t\}$  with  $r_1 = 1$  be a semiregular subset of  $G$ , and let  $m = |\Omega|/t$ . Then  $R$  has  $m$  orbits on  $\Omega$ , say  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_m$ .

Let  $\Delta_i = \{\delta_{i1}, \delta_{i2}, \dots, \delta_{it}\}$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $\delta_{ij} = \delta_{i1}^{r_j}$  with  $1 \leq j \leq t$ . Let  $\delta_{i1} = \delta_{1,1}^{y_i}$ , where  $y_i \in G$  with  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Then,  $\delta_{ij} = \delta_{i1}^{r_j} = \delta_{11}^{y_i r_j}$ , and  $\{y_i r_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq t\}$  is a transversal of  $G$  on  $\Omega$ , namely, letting  $\omega = \delta_{11}$ ,

$$G = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m} \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq t} G_\omega y_i r_j.$$

Then we have a coset partition for  $S$ :

$$S = S \cap G = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m} \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq t} (S \cap (G_\omega y_i r_j)) = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m} \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq t} S_{ij},$$

where  $S_{ij} = S \cap (G_\omega y_i r_j)$ . Since  $(G_\omega y_i r_j) \cap (G_\omega y_{i'} r_{j'}) = \emptyset$  for  $(i, j) \neq (i', j')$ , we have that  $S_{ij} \cap S_{i'j'} = \emptyset$ , and hence  $|S| = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m} \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq t} |S_{ij}|$ . Let

$$H_{ij} = S_{ij}(y_i r_j)^{-1} \subset G_\omega,$$

where  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq t$ . Then  $|H_{ij}| = |S_{ij}|$  for all admissible  $i, j$ , and

$$|S| = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m} \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq t} |S_{ij}| = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m} \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq t} |H_{ij}|.$$

Suppose that  $h \in H_{ij} \cap H_{i'j'} \neq \emptyset$ , where  $j \neq j'$ . Then

$$h = s_{ij}(y_i r_j)^{-1}, \text{ and } h = s_{i'j'}(y_{i'} r_{j'})^{-1}, \text{ where } s_{ij} \in S_{ij} \text{ and } s_{i'j'} \in S_{i'j'}.$$

Thus  $s_{ij}(y_i r_j)^{-1} = s_{i'j'}(y_{i'} r_{j'})^{-1}$ , and so

$$s_{ij}^{-1} s_{i'j'} = (y_i r_j)^{-1} (y_{i'} r_{j'}) = r_j^{-1} r_{j'}$$

fixes a point. Hence  $r_j^{-1} r_{j'}$  fixes a point, which is not possible since  $R$  is a semiregular subset. Thus  $H_{ij} \cap H_{i'j'} = \emptyset$  for  $j \neq j'$ , and so  $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq t} |H_{ij}| \leq |G_\omega|$ . Therefore, we conclude that

$$|S| = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m} \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq t} |S_{ij}| = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m} \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq t} |H_{ij}| \leq m|G_\omega|.$$

Moreover,  $|S| \leq m|G_\omega| = \frac{|\Omega|}{|R|} \frac{|G|}{|\Omega|} = \frac{|G|}{|R|}$ , and so  $|R||S| \leq |G|$ .  $\square$

### 2.1. A new family of primitive groups with the EKR property.

With Proposition 1.1, we construct new examples of permutation groups which have the EKR property.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $Q \cong \text{AGL}(1, q)$ , where  $q = p^f$  and  $p$  is an odd prime, and suppose  $Q$  is a transitive permutation group of degree  $\frac{1}{2}q(q-1)$  on  $\Omega$ . Then  $Q$  contains a sharply transitive set, and the EKR property.*

*Proof.* Let  $P \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^f$  be the minimal normal subgroup of  $Q$ , and  $g \in Q$  be of order  $q-1$ . Let  $c = g^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$ , the unique involution of  $\langle g \rangle$ . Note that  $|Q_\omega| = 2$  for any  $\omega \in \Omega$  and all involutions in  $Q$  are conjugate to  $c$  in  $Q$ , we thus may assume  $\Omega = [Q : \langle c \rangle]$ .

If  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then  $\frac{q-1}{2}$  is odd, and  $R = P : \langle g^2 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_p^f : \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q-1}{2}}$  is regular on  $\Omega$ .

Assume that  $q = p^f \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Let

$$R = Q \setminus (P\{g, g^2, \dots, g^{\frac{q-1}{2}}\}).$$

Then  $|R| = q(q-1) - q\frac{q-1}{2} = q\frac{q-1}{2}$ . We claim that  $R$  is sharply transitive on  $\Omega$ .

Note that each element of  $Q$  is of order dividing  $q(q-1)$ , and  $Q_\omega = \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Since  $R$  does not contain any involution of  $Q$ , the only element in  $R$  that fixes some point in  $\Omega$  is the identity. Let  $x, y$  be distinct elements of  $R$ . Then  $x = ag^i$  and  $y = bg^j$ , where  $a, b \in P$  and  $\frac{q-1}{2} < i, j \leq q-1$ . Thus

$$xy^{-1} = (ag^i)(bg^j)^{-1} = ag^{i-j}b^{-1}.g^{-(i-j)}g^{i-j} = ab'g^{i-j},$$

where  $b' = g^{i-j}b^{-1}.g^{-(i-j)} \in P$ . If  $i = j$ , then  $xy^{-1} = ab'$  is of order  $p$  and fixes no point of  $\Omega$ . Suppose that  $i \neq j$ . Since  $\frac{q-1}{2} < i, j \leq q-1$ , it follows that  $i-j$  is indivisible by  $\frac{q-1}{2}$ . Thus  $xy^{-1}$  is not an involution and fixes no point. It follows that  $R$  is a sharply transitive set.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $q = p^f$  with  $p$  an odd prime, and  $G = \text{PGL}(2, q)$  act on  $\Omega$  such that  $G_\omega = \text{D}_{2(q+1)}$ , where  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Then  $G$  has a sharply transitive subset  $R$  and the EKR property.*

*Proof.* Let  $Q \leq G$  be a maximal parabolic subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $Q \cong \text{AGL}(1, q)$ . Note that  $G = QG_\omega$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ . We thus have  $Q \leq G$  is transitive of degree  $\frac{1}{2}q(q-1)$ . Therefore,  $Q \leq G$  contains a sharply transitive set of  $G$  and  $G$  has the EKR property by Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

### 2.2. Permutation groups of rank 3.

As mentioned above, 2-transitive permutation groups indeed satisfy the EKR property. A natural step is to characterize primitive permutation groups of rank 3 which have the EKR property. We consider the alternating groups and the Symmetric groups act on 2-subsets.

Let  $\Delta = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and let  $T = \text{Alt}(\Delta)$  and  $G = \text{Sym}(\Delta)$ . Let  $\Omega = \Delta^{\{2\}}$ , the set of 2-subsets of  $\Delta$ . Then  $|\Omega| = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ , and both  $T$  and  $G$  are primitive permutation groups on  $\Omega$  of rank 3.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $T, G < \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  be defined above, and let  $p$  be a prime. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *if  $n$  is odd, then each intersecting subset of  $T = A_n$  has size at most  $\frac{n-1}{2}|T_\omega|$ ;*
- (2) *if  $n = p^f$ , then  $G = S_n$  has the EKR property on  $\Omega$ ;*
- (3) *if  $n = p^f \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then  $T = A_n$  has the EKR property;*
- (4) *if  $n = p^f \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then each intersecting subset of  $T = A_n$  has size at most  $2|T_\omega|$ .*

*Proof.* (1). Assume that  $n$  is odd. Then  $T = A_n$  has a cyclic subgroup  $R = \mathbb{Z}_n$  which is regular on  $\Delta$  and semiregular on  $\Omega$ . Thus  $R$  has  $m = \frac{n-1}{2}$  orbits on  $\Omega$ , and by Proposition 1.1, an intersecting subset  $S$  has cardinality

$$|S| \leq m|T_\omega| = \frac{n-1}{2}|T_\omega|.$$

(2). Let  $n = p^f$ , and  $G \cong S_n$ , acting on  $\Omega$ . Note that there exists a transitive subgroup  $Q \leq G$ , such that  $Q \cong \text{AGL}(1, p^f)$ . Thus,  $G$  has the EKR property by Lemma 2.2.

(3). If  $n = p^f \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then  $T = A_n$  has a regular subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}_p^f : \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{p^f-1}{2}}$ , and hence  $T$  has the EKR property, as in part (3).

(4). Assume that  $n = p^f \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Let  $S$  be an intersecting subset of  $T = A_n$ . By part (2), the symmetric group  $G = S_n$  acting on  $\Omega$  has the EKR property. Since an intersecting subset of  $T = A_n$  is also an intersecting subset of  $S_n$ , we conclude that  $|S| \leq |G_\omega| = 2|T_\omega|$ , as in part (4).  $\square$

Part (4) of Proposition 2.4 tells us that, for the rank 3 actions of the alternating groups  $T = A_n$  with  $n$  being a prime-power, each intersecting subset has size at most  $2|T_\omega|$ . We notice that, for  $T = A_5$ , the subgroup  $S = A_4$  is indeed an intersecting subset of  $T$  with cardinality equal to  $2|G_\omega|$ . However, we have been unable to answer the question of whether the bound  $|S| \leq 2|G_\omega|$  is sharp in the general case.

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

The proof of Theorem 1.3 involves constructions of groups with EKR property.

#### 3.1. Examples of almost simple groups.

We present examples in the almost simple group case.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $T = \text{PSL}(2, 2^e)$  with  $e \geq 2$ ,  $H = D_{2(2^e-1)}$ , and  $\Delta = [T : H]$ . Then*

- (1)  *$T$  is a primitive permutation simple group on  $\Delta$  of degree  $2^{e-1}(2^e + 1)$ , and*
- (2)  *$T$  has a semiregular subgroup  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^e+1}$ , and*
- (3) *each intersecting subset of  $T$  has size at most  $2^e(2^e - 1)$ , and*
- (4)  *$S = \text{AGL}(1, 2^e) = \mathbb{Z}_2^e : \mathbb{Z}_{2^e-1}$  is a maximum intersecting subset, and*
- (5)  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T/\Delta) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ .

*Proof.* Part (1) is clearly true.

Let  $R$  be a cyclic subgroup of  $T$  of order  $2^e + 1$ . Then  $\gcd(|R|, |H|) = 1$ , and so  $R$  is semiregular on  $\Delta$ , as in part (2).

By Proposition 1.1, each intersecting subset of  $T$  has size at most  $\frac{|G|}{|R|} = 2^e(2^e - 1)$ , as in part (3).

Let  $S = 2^e:(2^e - 1)$  be a maximal parabolic subgroup of  $T$ . Then  $S$  is a Frobenius group. Let  $x$  be an element of  $S$ . Then either  $x$  is an involution, or the order  $|x|$  divides  $2^e - 1$ . The main result of [6] implies that cyclic subgroups of  $T = \text{PSL}(2, 2^e)$  of the same order are conjugate. Thus, each element of  $S$  is conjugate to some element of  $H$ . Thus  $S$  is an intersecting subgroup of  $T = \text{PSL}(2, 2^e)$  on  $\Delta = [T : H]$ , as in part (4).

Finally we show part (5), we have  $\frac{|S|}{|H|} = 2^{e-1}$ , and  $|\Delta| = 2^{e-1}(2^e + 1)$ . Thus

$$\rho(T/\Delta) = \frac{|S|}{|H|\sqrt{|\Delta|}} = \frac{2^{e-1}}{\sqrt{2^{e-1}(2^e + 1)}} = \sqrt{\frac{2^{e-1}}{2^e + 1}} < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2},$$

and

$$\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T/\Delta) = \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{2^{e-1}}{2^e + 1}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}.$$

□

### 3.2. Examples of groups of product action type.

We next introduce a generic construction of intersecting subsets in groups of product action type.

**Construction 3.2.** Let  $T \leq \text{Sym}(\Delta)$  be transitive with  $\delta \in \Delta$ , and let  $P$  be a transitive permutation group of degree  $\ell$ . Let

$$\begin{cases} G = T \wr P = T^\ell : P, \\ K = T_\delta \wr P = T_\delta^\ell : P, \\ \Omega = \Delta^\ell. \end{cases}$$

Let  $S_0$  be an intersecting subgroup of  $T$ , and let  $S = S_0^\ell : P$ . □

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $G, K, \Omega$  and  $S$  be as defined in Construction 3.2. Then  $S$  is an intersecting subgroup of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* By definition,  $P$  is a transitive permutation group of degree  $\ell$ . Write elements of  $G$  as

$$\{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_\ell)\sigma \mid t_1, t_2, \dots, t_\ell \in T, \sigma \in P\}.$$

Pick an element  $x \in S$ . Then there exists a permutation  $\sigma_x \in P$  and  $\ell$  elements  $s_i \in S_0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$  such that

$$x = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_\ell)\sigma_x.$$

For a cycle  $\sigma = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) \in S_\ell$  (note that  $k \geq 1$ ), we denote the elements of  $\{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$  that lies in  $\sigma$  by  $\text{Supp}(\sigma)$ , which means  $\text{Supp}(\sigma) = \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\}$ . Assume that  $\sigma_x = \sigma_{x_1}\sigma_{x_2}\dots\sigma_{x_r}$ , where  $\sigma_{x_1}, \sigma_{x_2}, \dots, \sigma_{x_r}$  are  $r$  disjoint cycles with

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^r \text{Supp}(\sigma_{x_j}) = \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}.$$

Let  $L \subseteq \{1, \dots, \ell\}$  such that  $|L \cap \text{Supp}(\sigma_{x_j})| = 1$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq r$ . Then [5, Proposition 2.1] implies there exists  $y \in S_0^\ell$  such that  $x^y = (\tilde{s}_1, \tilde{s}_2, \dots, \tilde{s}_\ell)\sigma_x$ , where



$\tilde{s}_i \in S_0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$  and  $\tilde{s}_i = 1$  if  $i \notin L$ . Since  $S_0$  is an intersecting subgroup of  $T$ , for each  $1 \leq j \leq r$  and each  $m_j \in L \cap \text{Supp}(\sigma_{x_j})$ ,  $s_{m_j} = s_{m_j} e^{-1}$  fixes some point in  $\Delta$  and there exists  $d_j \in T$  such that  $s_{m_j}^{d_j} \in T_\delta$ . Let  $z = (d'_1, d'_2, \dots, d'_\ell) \in T^\ell$  such that  $d'_i = d_j$  if  $i \in \text{Supp}(\sigma_{x_j})$ , recall that  $\{1, 2, \dots, \ell\} = \bigsqcup_{1 \leq j \leq r} \text{Supp}(\sigma_{x_j})$ . Then  $z\sigma_x = \sigma_x z$ , and

$$x^{yz} = (x^y)^z = (\tilde{s}_1, \tilde{s}_2, \dots, \tilde{s}_\ell)^z \sigma_x \in T_\delta^\ell : P.$$

Thus, each  $x \in S$  fixes some point in  $\Omega$ , and  $s_1 s_2^{-1} \in S$  fixes some point in  $\Omega$  for any  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ . Therefore,  $S$  is an intersecting subgroup.  $\square$

### Proof of Theorem 1.3.

O'Nan-Scott Theorem (see [23]) divides primitive permutation groups into eight types, which are categorized into three classes for our purpose:

- (i)  $G$  has a subnormal subgroup which is regular, and  $G$  is of type HA, HS, HC, SD, CD, or TW;
- (ii)  $G$  is an almost simple group;
- (iii)  $G$  is in product action.

Let  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  be quasiprimitive, and let  $N = \text{soc}(G)$  be the socle of  $G$ , namely, the product of all minimal normal subgroups of  $G$ .

First, assume that  $N$  has a normal subgroup which is regular on  $\Omega$ . Then by Proposition 1.1,  $G$  has the EKR property, as in part (i) of Theorem 1.3.

Assume now that  $N$  does not have a normal subgroup which is regular on  $\Omega$ . Then by the O'Nan-Scott theorem, see [23], either  $G$  is of almost simple type, or  $G$  is of product action type, as in part (ii) of Theorem 1.3.

For part (a) of Theorem 1.3 (ii), the proof then follows from Lemma 3.1.

Finally, we need to construct a primitive permutation group of the product action type which has large intersecting subgroups.

Let  $T = T_i = \text{PSL}(2, 2^i)$  and  $S_0 = \mathbb{Z}_2^i : \mathbb{Z}_{2^i-1}$ , where  $i \geq 2$ , and let  $G, K, \Omega$  and  $S$  be as in Construction 3.2. Then  $G$  is a primitive group on  $\Omega$  in product action, of degree  $(2^{i-1}(2^i + 1))^\ell$ ,  $G_\omega = K = \text{D}_{2(2^i-1)}^\ell : P$ . Let

$$S = (\mathbb{Z}_2^i : \mathbb{Z}_{2^i-1})^\ell : P.$$

Then  $S$  is an intersecting subset by Lemma 3.3.

Let  $R_i < T_i$  such that  $R_i \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^i+1}$ , and let  $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_\ell$ . Then  $R$  is semiregular on  $\Omega$ , with  $r = 2^{\ell(i-1)}$  orbits. Thus an upper bound for an intersecting subset is

$$r|G_\omega| = 2^{\ell(i-1)} \cdot (2(2^i - 1))^\ell |P| = 2^{\ell i} (2^i - 1)^\ell |P|.$$

Thus  $S = (\mathbb{Z}_2^i : \mathbb{Z}_{2^i-1})^\ell : P$  is a maximum intersecting subset, and

$$\rho(G/\Omega) = \frac{|S|}{|G_\omega| \sqrt{|\Omega|}} = \frac{2^{\ell(i-1)}}{\sqrt{(2^{i-1}(2^i + 1))^\ell}} = \frac{1}{(2 + 1/2^{i-1})^{\ell/2}},$$

and so

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \rho(G/\Omega) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S|}{|G_\omega| \sqrt{|\Omega|}} = \frac{1}{2^{\ell/2}} = \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \right)^\ell.$$

Part (b) of Theorem 1.3 (ii) then follows, completing the proof of Theorem 1.3.  $\square$

## 4. INTERSECTING SUBGROUPS

In this section, we study intersecting subgroups.

**4.1. Basic properties.** We start with a simple lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a transitive permutation group on  $\Omega$ , and fix a point  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Then, for each subgroup  $S < G$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $S$  is an intersecting subgroup,
- (b)  $S^g$  is an intersecting subgroup for  $g \in G$ ,
- (c) every element of  $S$  fixes some point of  $\Omega$ ,
- (d) each element of  $S$  is conjugate to an element of  $G_\omega$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $S$  is an intersecting subset. For any  $(s_1)^g, (s_2)^g \in S^g$ ,  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ , and  $(s_1)^g(s_2^{-1})^g = (s_1s_2^{-1})^g$  fixes some point in  $\Omega$ . Thus,  $S^g$  is an intersecting subset. Conversely, if  $S^g$  is an intersecting subset, then  $S = (S^g)^{g^{-1}}$  implies  $S$  is an intersecting subset. Therefore, (a) and (b) are equivalent.

Let  $S$  be an intersecting subgroup. Then the identity  $e$  of  $G$  lies in  $S$ . By definition, for any element  $h \in S$ , the ratio  $he^{-1} = h$  fixes some point of  $\Omega$ . Thus, the statement in (a) implies the statement in (c).

Let  $h \in G$  fix a point  $\alpha \in \Omega$ , that is,  $h \in G_\alpha$ . As  $G$  acts transitively, the stabilizers  $G_\alpha$  and  $G_\omega$  are conjugate, and thus  $h$  is conjugate to some element of  $G_\omega$ . So the statement in (c) implies the statement in (d).

Now assume (d) and let  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ . Then  $S$  is a subgroup implies  $s_1(s_2)^{-1} \in S$ . Then there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $(s_1s_2^{-1})^g \in G_\omega$ . Therefore,  $s_1s_2^{-1} \in G_{\omega^g}$ , and hence  $S$  is intersecting, which is statement (a).  $\square$

It would be worth pointing out that group theoretic properties (solubility, nilpotence, etc) of the stabilizer may not hold for other intersecting subgroups.

**Lemma 4.2.** *In a permutation group, the solubility of the point stabilizer and the solubility of intersecting subgroups are independent.*

*Proof.* We prove this lemma by constructing examples.

Assume that  $p$  is a prime with  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{30}$ , say  $p = 31$ . Consider  $G = \text{PSL}(2, p)$  and a subgroup  $H$  which is isomorphic to the dihedral group  $D_{p-1}$ . In this case,  $G$  has a subgroup  $S$  which is isomorphic to the alternating group  $A_5$ . It is known that all subgroups of  $G$  of order 2, 3, or 5 are conjugate. As  $|H|$  is divisible by 30, each element of  $S = A_5$  is conjugate to an element of  $H$ . Thus  $S$  is an intersecting subgroup of  $G$ , with respect to its action on  $[G : H]$ , by Lemma 4.1.

Let  $G = \text{PSL}(2, 2^f)$  where  $f = 2r$  with  $r \geq 2$ . Consider a subgroup  $H$  isomorphic to  $\text{PSL}(2, 2^2)$ . It is known that all involutions of  $G$  are conjugate, so that a Sylow 2-subgroup  $S \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^f$  is an intersecting subgroup of  $G$ , with respect to its action on  $[G : H]$ .  $\square$

Obviously, a subgroup of a transitive group  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  which is conjugate to the stabilizer  $G_\omega$  is an intersecting subset. But we have the following observation.

**Example 4.3.** Let  $G = A_6$  naturally act on  $\Omega$  of size 6. Then  $G = SG_\omega$ , where  $S \cong G_\omega \cong A_5$ . Now  $S$  is fused (conjugate in  $\text{Aut}(G)$ ) to  $G_\omega$ , but  $S$  is not an intersecting subset since an element of  $S$  of order 3 fixes no point of  $\Omega$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $G$  be a transitive group on  $\Omega$  and let  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Then a subgroup  $K \leq G$  is an intersecting subgroup if and only if every cyclic subgroup of  $K$  is conjugate to a cyclic subgroup of  $G_\omega$ .*

*In particular, if any two cyclic subgroups of the same order of  $G$  are conjugate in  $G$ , then  $K$  is an intersecting subgroup if and only if  $\text{Spec}(K) \subseteq \text{Spec}(G_\omega)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $K$  is an intersecting subgroup, and let  $C$  be a cyclic subgroup of  $K$ . Then there exists  $x \in K$  and  $g \in G$  such that  $\langle x \rangle = C$  and  $x^g \in G_\omega$ . Thus,  $C^g = \langle x^g \rangle \leq G_\omega$ . Conversely, if each cyclic subgroup of  $K$  is conjugate to a cyclic subgroup of  $G_\omega$ . For any  $x \in K$ , there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $x^g \in \langle x \rangle^g \in G_\omega$ . It follows that  $K$  is an intersecting subgroup.

Assume further two cyclic subgroups of the same order in  $G$  are conjugate in  $G$ . Then for a subgroup  $K \leq G$ , the condition every cyclic subgroup of  $K$  is conjugate to a cyclic subgroup of  $G_\omega$  is equivalent to  $\text{Spec}(K) \subseteq \text{Spec}(G_\omega)$ . Therefore,  $K$  is an intersecting subgroup if and only if  $\text{Spec}(K) \subseteq \text{Spec}(G_\omega)$ .  $\square$

**4.2. Suzuki groups.** Following the notations in [3, Definition 7.3.1], let

$$L = \{g \in \text{Sp}_4(q) \mid g^\gamma = g^\theta\} \cong \text{Sz}(q),$$

where  $q = 2^f$  with  $f \geq 3$  odd,  $\gamma$  is an endomorphism of  $\text{Sp}_4(q)$  defined in [3, Lemma 7.2.2], and  $\theta$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $\theta(x) = x^{2^{(f+1)/2}}$ . Then  $|L| = q^2(q-1)(q^2+1)$ , and  $\text{Out}(L) = \langle \phi \rangle$ , where  $\phi$  is the standard field automorphism of  $\text{Sp}_4(q)$  restricting on  $L$ . Let

$$G = L:\langle \psi \rangle, \text{ where } \psi \in \langle \phi \rangle \text{ with } |\psi| = e.$$

We next define some elements and subgroups of  $L$ . Let  $Q$  be the set of upper-triangular unipotent matrices in  $L$ , which means

$$(4.1) \quad Q = \{T(a, b, a^\theta, a^{2+\theta} + ab + b^\theta) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q\},$$

where  $T(a, b, c, d)$  is a 4 by 4 upper-triangular unipotent matrix defined in [3, §7.2]. Let  $D$  be the set of diagonal matrices in  $L$ . Then

$$(4.2) \quad D = \{\text{diag}(\kappa, \kappa^\theta/\kappa, \kappa/\kappa^\theta, \kappa^{-1}) \mid \kappa \in \mathbb{F}_q\}.$$

Let  $\tau \in L$  be as follows

$$(4.3) \quad \tau := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Throughout this section, we use the notations above. Subgroups of  $G$  are explicitly known, as listed below, refer to [3].

**Proposition 4.5.** *A maximal subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is conjugate to a subgroup of one of the following maximal subgroups:*

$$(1) \quad N_G(Q) = (Q:D):\langle \psi \rangle \cong ((\mathbb{Z}_2^f \cdot \mathbb{Z}_2^f):\mathbb{Z}_{q-1}):\mathbb{Z}_e;$$

- (2)  $\mathbf{C}_G(\phi^r) = \mathbf{C}_L(\phi^r):\langle\psi\rangle \cong \text{Sz}(q_0):\mathbb{Z}_e$ , where  $q = q_0^r$ , and  $r$  is a prime divisor of  $f$ ;
- (3)  $D:\langle\tau\psi\rangle \cong D_{2(q-1)}:\mathbb{Z}_e \cong \mathbb{Z}_{q-1}:\mathbb{Z}_{2e}$ ;
- (4) A subgroup of  $G$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_{q+\sqrt{2q+1}}:\mathbb{Z}_{4e}$ ;
- (5) A subgroup of  $G$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_{q-\sqrt{2q+1}}:\mathbb{Z}_{4e}$ .

Note that  $Q = \mathbb{Z}_2^f.\mathbb{Z}_2^f$  is a special 2-group of exponent 4 (which is also called *Suzuki 2-group*), and the normalizer  $N_L(Q) = Q:D$  is a Frobenius group. Note that  $(q - \sqrt{2q+1})(q + \sqrt{2q+1}) = q^2 + 1$ , and both

$$\mathbb{Z}_{q+\sqrt{2q+1}}:\mathbb{Z}_4, \text{ and } \mathbb{Z}_{q-\sqrt{2q+1}}:\mathbb{Z}_4$$

are Frobenius groups. Noticing that the numbers  $q, q-1, q-\sqrt{2q+1}$  and  $q+\sqrt{2q+1}$  are pairwise coprime leads to the following corollaries.

**Corollary 4.6.** *The following statements for  $L = \text{Sz}(q)$  holds.*

- (1) if a subgroup  $H < G$  and a maximal subgroup  $M < G$  have the same order, then  $H$  is conjugate to  $M$ .
- (2) for an odd prime  $r$ , each Sylow  $r$ -subgroup  $P_r$  of  $L$  is cyclic, and  $N_G(P_r)$  is a maximal subgroup conjugate to one of (3),(4) or (5) in Proposition 4.5.
- (3) if  $\ell \in L$ , then the order of  $\ell$  divides one of  $q + \sqrt{2q+1}, q - \sqrt{2q+1}, q - 1$  or  $4$ .

The main results of [6] implies two cyclic subgroups of  $L$  of same order are conjugate in  $L$ . Moreover, since  $Q$  acts regularly by conjugation on  $[L : N_L(Q)] \setminus Q$  (see [3, Lemma 7.3.2]), it follows that  $Q \cap Q^g = 1$  for any  $g \notin N_G(Q)$ . This leads to the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.7.** *Two cyclic subgroups of  $L$  of the same order are conjugate in  $L$ , and two cyclic subgroups of order 4 in  $Q$  are conjugate in  $N_G(Q)$ .*

This and Lemma 4.4 further lead to the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $L = \text{Sz}(q)$  be a transitive permutation group on  $\Omega$ . Then a subgroup  $K$  of  $L$  is an intersecting subgroup if and only if  $\text{Spec}(K) \subset \text{Spec}(L_\omega)$ .*

Note that  $\mathbf{C}_L(\phi) \cong \text{Sz}(2) = \mathbb{Z}_5:\mathbb{Z}_4$ , it follows that  $\mathbf{C}_Q(\phi) \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$ . Let  $\rho$  be a generator of  $\mathbf{C}_Q(\phi) = \langle\rho\rangle$ . Then the following holds.

**Lemma 4.9.** *Let  $e' = e'$  be a divisor of  $e$ , then*

- (i) *cyclic subgroups of  $G$  of order  $4e'$  are all conjugate to a subgroup of  $\langle\rho\psi\rangle$ ;*
- (ii) *cyclic subgroups of  $G$  of order  $2e'$  are all conjugate to a subgroup of  $\langle\rho^2\psi\rangle$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $|x^{e'}| = 4$ , there exists  $\ell \in L$  such that  $(x^{e'})^\ell$  is  $\rho$  or  $\rho^3$  by Corollary 4.7. We thus have  $(x^4)^\ell$  is an element of order  $e'$  in  $\mathbf{C}_G(\rho)$ . On the other hand, we claim that  $\mathbf{C}_G(\rho) = \mathbf{C}_Q(\rho):\langle\psi\rangle$ . In fact, since  $\rho\psi = \psi\rho$ , it follows that  $\mathbf{C}_G(\rho) = \mathbf{C}_L(\rho):\langle\psi\rangle$ . The facts  $\rho \in Q$  and  $Q \cap Q^g = 1$  for any  $g \notin N_G(Q)$  imply  $\mathbf{C}_L(Q) \leq N_G(Q)$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{C}_L(Q) \leq Q$  by noting  $N_G(Q)$  is Frobenius, which verifies the claim. Therefore, there exists  $g_1 \in \mathbf{C}_Q(\rho)$  such that  $\langle x^4 \rangle^{\ell g_1} \in \langle\psi\rangle$ , and

$$\langle x \rangle^{\ell g_1} = \langle x^{e'} \rangle^{\ell g_1} \langle x^4 \rangle^{\ell g_1} \in \langle\rho\rangle \times \langle\psi\rangle = \langle\rho\psi\rangle.$$

Note that all involutions in  $L$  are conjugate to  $\rho^2 \in L$ . By a similar argument above, we conclude if  $y \in G$  with  $|y| = 2e'$ ,  $y$  is conjugate to an element in  $\langle\rho^2\psi\rangle$ .  $\square$

Note that  $D:\langle\psi\rangle \leq G$  (see (4.2) for definition of  $D$ ), and  $D:\langle\psi\rangle \cong \mathbb{F}_q^\times:\langle\psi\rangle$ . The following Lemma describes elements in  $D:\langle\psi\rangle$  which are field automorphism.

**Lemma 4.10.** *Assume  $g \in D:\langle\psi\rangle$  and  $\langle g \rangle \cap L = 1$ , then  $g$  is conjugate to an element of  $\langle\psi\rangle$  by an element in  $D$ .*

*Proof.* For convenience, for any  $\kappa \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ , we denote

$$D(\kappa) = \text{diag}(\kappa, \kappa^\theta/\kappa, \kappa/\kappa^\theta, \kappa^{-1}) \in D.$$

Then  $g = D(\kappa)\psi^j$  for some  $\kappa \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$  and some integer  $j$ . Note that  $D(\kappa)^\psi = D(\kappa^\psi)$ . Let  $s = |\psi^j|$  and  $q = q_1^s$ . Then

$$g^s = D(\kappa)D(\kappa^{\psi^{-j}})D(\kappa^{\psi^{-2j}}) \cdots D(\kappa^{\psi^{(1-s)j}}) = D(\kappa^{1+q_1+\cdots+q_1^{s-1}}) = 1,$$

and hence  $\prod_{i=0}^{s-1} \kappa^{\psi^{ij}} = 1$ . As a result, there exist  $\kappa_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$  such that  $\kappa_0^{\psi^{-j}} \kappa_0^{-1} = \kappa$  by Hilbert Theorem 90, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi^j)^{D(\kappa_0)} &= D(\kappa_0^{-1})\psi^j D(\kappa_0)\psi^{-j}\psi^j = D(\kappa_0^{-1})D(\kappa_0^{\psi^{-j}})\psi^j \\ &= D(\kappa_0^{\psi^{-j}} \kappa_0^{-1})\psi^j = D(\kappa)\psi^j = g. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, assume that  $G$  is a primitive permutation group on  $\Omega$ . Then, for a point  $\omega \in \Omega$ , the stabilizer  $H = G_\omega$  is a maximal subgroup of  $G$  and listed in Proposition 4.5. We are going to determine intersecting subgroups  $K$  for  $G$  with  $|K| > |G_\omega|$  on  $\Omega$ .

We first make the following observation.

**Lemma 4.11.** *If  $K$  is an intersecting subgroup of  $G$ , then  $K \cap L$  is an intersecting subgroup of  $L$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in K \cap L$ . Then there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $x^g \in L_\omega$ , since  $K$  is an intersecting subgroup. Since  $G = LG_\omega$ , it follows that  $g = \ell h$  for some  $\ell \in L$  and  $h \in G_\omega$ . Therefore,  $x^\ell \in (L_\omega)^{h^{-1}} = L_\omega$ , which completes the proof. □

We then analyze the candidates for maximal subgroups  $H = G_\omega$  separately in a series of lemmas.

**Lemma 4.12.** *If  $G_\omega = N_G(Q)$ , then there is no intersecting subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $|K| > |G_\omega|$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $G$  acts 2-transitively on  $\Omega$ ,  $G$  has the EKR property by [21]. Thus, there is no intersecting subset  $K$  with  $|K| > |G_\omega|$ , this completes the proof. □

**Lemma 4.13.** *Let  $G_\omega = \mathbf{C}_G(\phi^r) \cong \text{Sz}(q_0):\mathbb{Z}_e$ , where  $q = q_0^r$  with  $r$  is a prime divisor of  $f$ . Recall the definition of  $D$  in (4.2), we define  $D_0 \leq D$  as follows*

$$(4.4) \quad D_0 = \mathbf{C}_D(\phi^r) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{q_0-1}.$$

*Then a subgroup  $K < G$  with  $|K| > |G_\omega|$  is an intersecting subgroup if and only if  $K$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $(Q:D_0):\langle\psi\rangle$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be an intersecting subgroup with  $|K| > |G_\omega|$ . Then  $K$  is conjugate to a subgroup of the maximal subgroups listed in Proposition 4.5. Because of Lemma 4.1, we may assume  $K$  is contained in one of the maximal subgroups in Proposition 4.5.

Suppose that  $K \leq \mathbb{Z}_{q \pm \sqrt{2q+1}} : \mathbb{Z}_{4e}$ . Since  $\text{Spec}(K \cap L) \subseteq \text{Spec}(L_\omega)$  by Lemma 4.11 and Lemma 4.8, it follows from  $(q \pm \sqrt{2q} + 1, |L_\omega|)$  is one of  $\{q_0 \pm \sqrt{2q_0} + 1\}$  that  $K \leq \mathbb{Z}_{q_0 \pm \sqrt{2q_0+1}} : \mathbb{Z}_{4e}$ , which is a contradiction since  $|K| > |G_\omega|$ .

Now suppose  $K \leq D : \langle \tau \psi \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{q-1} : \mathbb{Z}_{2e}$ , where  $\tau$  is defined in (4.3). Then  $\gcd(|K \cap L|, |L_\omega|)$  divides  $(q_0 - 1)$ . Arguing as in the previous paragraph excludes this case.

Suppose  $K \leq N_G(Q) = (Q:D) : \langle \psi \rangle$ . We are going to show that  $K$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $(Q:D_0) : \langle \psi \rangle$ . Since  $\text{Spec}(K \cap L) \subseteq \text{Spec}(L_\omega)$ , it follows that a Hall  $2'$ -subgroup of  $K \cap L$  is a cyclic subgroup of order dividing  $(q - 1, |L_\omega|) = q_0 - 1$ . This implies  $K \cap L \leq Q:D_0$ . Let  $x \in K$  such that

$$K = (K \cap L) \langle x \rangle.$$

We first suppose  $\langle x \rangle \cap L = 1$ . Then  $|x|$  is odd, and  $D : \langle \psi \rangle$  is a Hall  $2'$ -subgroup of  $N_G(Q)$  implies there exists  $\ell \in N_G(Q)$  such that  $x^\ell \in D : \langle \psi \rangle$ . By Lemma 4.10, there exist a  $d \in D$  such that  $x^{\ell d} \in \langle \psi \rangle$ . As a consequence,

$$K^{\ell d} = (K \cap L)^{\ell d} \langle x \rangle^{\ell d} \leq (Q:D_0) : \langle \psi \rangle.$$

We then assume  $\langle x \rangle \cap L \neq 1$ . Note that  $K/K \cap L$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_e$ , we thus may replace  $x$  with  $x^4$  and assume  $|x|$  is odd. Then  $|\langle x \rangle \cap L|$  divides  $q_0 - 1$ , and there exists  $g_0 \in Q$  such that  $\langle x^{g_0} \rangle \cap L \leq D_0$ . Since  $K$  is an intersecting subgroup, there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $x^{g_0 g} \in G_\omega$ . Note that  $|\langle x^{g_0 g} \rangle \cap L|$  divides  $q_0 - 1$ , there exists  $h \in L_\omega$  such that  $\langle x^{g_0 g h} \rangle \cap L \leq D_0$  by Corollary 4.7. We thus have  $\langle x^{g_0} \rangle \cap L = \langle x^{g_0 g h} \rangle \cap L \leq D_0$ , and hence  $gh \in N_G(\langle x^{g_0} \rangle \cap L)$ . Since  $D : \langle \tau \psi \rangle$  is a maximal subgroup of  $G$  which normalizes  $\langle x^{g_0} \rangle \cap L$ , it follows that

$$N_G(\langle x^{g_0} \rangle \cap L) = D : (\langle \tau \rangle \times \langle \psi \rangle).$$

Then  $N_{G_\omega}(\langle x^{g_0} \rangle \cap L) = D_0 : (\langle \tau \rangle \times \langle \psi \rangle)$ , and there exists  $h_1 \in N_{G_\omega}(\langle x^{g_0} \rangle \cap L)$  such that  $g_0 g h h_1 \in D \leq N_G(Q)$ . Note that  $x^{g_0 g h h_1} \in G_\omega$ , we thus have

$$x^{g_0 g h h_1} \in N_G(Q) \cap G_\omega \leq (Q:D_0) : \langle \psi \rangle,$$

$$\text{thus, } K^{g_0 g h h_1} = \langle K \cap L, x^{g_0 g h h_1} \rangle \leq \langle Q:D_0, (Q:D_0) : \langle \psi \rangle \rangle = (Q:D_0) : \langle \psi \rangle.$$

Conversely, we claim  $\widehat{K} = (Q:D_0) : \langle \psi \rangle$  is indeed an intersecting subgroup. Note that  $D_0 : \langle \psi \rangle \leq G_\omega$  is a Hall  $2'$ -subgroup of  $\widehat{K}$ . Thus each element of odd order of  $\widehat{K}$  is conjugate to some element of  $G_\omega$ . Let  $x \in \widehat{K}$  be of even order. Since  $Q:D_0 \leq N_L(Q)$  is a Frobenius group, the order  $|g|$  divides  $4|\psi| = 4e$ . By Lemma 4.9, we conclude that  $x$  is conjugate to an element of  $\mathbf{C}_Q(\phi) \times \langle \psi \rangle \leq G_\omega$ . So  $\widehat{K} = (Q:D_0) : \langle \psi \rangle$  is indeed an intersecting subgroup, and any subgroup  $K$  of  $\widehat{K}$  is an intersecting subgroup.

Finally we assume  $K$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $\mathbf{C}_G(\phi^{r_1}) \cong \text{Sz}(q_1) : \mathbb{Z}_e$ , where  $r_1 \neq r$  is an odd prime with  $q = q_1^{r_1}$ . Note that if  $K \cap L \leq D_{2(q_1-1)}$ , then  $K$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $D : \langle \tau \psi \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{q-1} : \mathbb{Z}_{2e}$ . If  $K \cap L$  normalizes a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\mathbf{C}_L(\phi^{r_1}) \cong \text{Sz}(q_1)$ , then  $K$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $N_G(Q)$ . If  $K \cap L \lesssim \mathbb{Z}_{q_1 \pm \sqrt{2q_1+1}} : \mathbb{Z}_4$ , then  $q_1^2 + 1$  divides  $q^2 + 1$  implies  $K \lesssim \mathbb{Z}_{q \pm \sqrt{2q+1}} : \mathbb{Z}_4$ . By the previous three cases, we may assume  $K \cap L$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $\mathbf{C}_L(\phi^{r_2}) = \text{Sz}(q_2)$ , where  $r_1$  divides  $r_2$  and  $q = q_2^{r_2}$ . Repeating the argument above, we assume the only maximal subgroup of  $L$  contains  $K \cap L$  is conjugate to

$\mathbf{C}_L(\phi^{r_1}) = \text{Sz}(q_1)$ , and  $K \cap L$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $\mathbf{C}_L(\phi^{r_3}) = \text{Sz}(q_3)$ , where  $r_1$  divides  $r_3$  and  $q = q_3^{r_3}$ . Then  $|K| > |G_\omega|$  implies  $q_3 > q_0$ . But then  $K \cap L$  contains an element of order  $q_3 + \sqrt{2q_3} + 1 \notin \text{Spec}(L_\omega)$ , a contradiction to Lemma 4.11.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.14.** *Let  $G_\omega = D:\langle\tau\psi\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{q-1}:\mathbb{Z}_{2e}$ , where  $\tau$  is defined in (4.3). Then a subgroup  $K < G$  with  $|K| > |G_\omega|$  is an intersecting subgroup if and only if  $K$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $(Q':D):\langle\psi\rangle$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be an intersecting subgroup with  $|K| > |G_\omega|$ . Then  $K$  is conjugate to a subgroup of the maximal subgroups given in Proposition 4.5. Because of Lemma 4.1, we may assume  $K$  is contained in one of the maximal subgroups in Proposition 4.5.

First, since  $\gcd(q \pm \sqrt{2q} + 1, q - 1) = 1$ , it is not possible to have  $K \leq \mathbb{Z}_{q \pm \sqrt{2q} + 1}:\mathbb{Z}_{4e}$  such that  $\text{Spec}(K \cap L) \subseteq \text{Spec}(L_\omega)$  and  $|K| > |G_\omega|$ .

Suppose that  $K \leq \mathbf{C}_G(\phi^r) \cong \text{Sz}(q_0).\mathbb{Z}_e$ , where  $q = q_0^r$  where  $r$  is a prime. Since  $\text{Spec}(K \cap L) \subseteq \text{Spec}(L_\omega)$  and  $\gcd(q_0^2 + 1, |L_\omega|) = 1$ ,  $K \cap L \leq \text{Sz}(q_0)$  is of order coprime to  $q_0^2 + 1$  and has no element of order 4. It follows that either  $K \lesssim \mathbb{Z}_{q_0-1}:\mathbb{Z}_{2e}$  or  $K \lesssim (\mathbb{Z}_2^{f/r}:\mathbb{Z}_{q_0-1}):\mathbb{Z}_e$ , in both cases  $|K| < |G_\omega|$ , a contradiction.

We thus have that  $K \leq N_G(Q) = (Q:D):\langle\psi\rangle$ . Since  $G_\omega$  does not have an element of order 4, it follows that  $K \leq (Q':D):\langle\psi\rangle$ .

Conversely, let  $\widehat{K} = (Q':D):\langle\psi\rangle$ . Then a Hall  $2'$ -subgroup  $D:\langle\psi\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{q-1}:\mathbb{Z}_e$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $G_\omega = D:\langle\tau\psi\rangle$ , and so each element of  $\widehat{K}$  of odd order is conjugate to some element of  $G_\omega$ . Noting that  $Q':D \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^f:\mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$  is a Frobenius group, an element of even order has order divides  $2e'$  with  $e'$  divides  $e$ , and is conjugate to some element of  $G_\omega$  by Lemma 4.9 (ii). Thus  $\widehat{K} = (Q':D):\langle\psi\rangle$  is indeed an intersecting subgroup, and so is each subgroup of  $\widehat{K}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.15.** *Let  $G_\omega = \mathbb{Z}_{q \pm \sqrt{2q} + 1}:\mathbb{Z}_{4e}$ . Then a subgroup  $K < G$  with  $|K| > |G_\omega|$  is an intersecting subgroup if and only if  $K$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $Q:\langle\psi\rangle$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be an intersecting subgroup with  $|K| > |G_\omega|$ . Then  $|K| > |G_\omega|$  implies  $K \leq N_G(Q)$  or  $K \leq \mathbf{C}_G(\phi^3) \cong \text{Sz}(q^{1/3}):\langle\psi\rangle$ . Assume first  $K \lesssim \text{Sz}(q^{1/3}):\mathbb{Z}_e$ . Then  $q^{1/3} - 1 \in \text{Spec}(K) \setminus \text{Spec}(L)$  implies  $K \cap L \neq \text{Sz}(q^{1/3})$ . Thus,  $|K| < |G_\omega|$  by noting that any proper subgroup of  $\text{Sz}(q^{1/3})$  has order less than  $|L_\omega|$ , a contradiction.

We thus may assume  $K \leq N_G(Q)$ . Since  $\text{Spec}(K \cap L) \subseteq \text{Spec}(L_\omega)$  by Lemma 4.11, it follows that  $K \cap L \leq Q$ . Take  $x \in K$  such that  $K = (K \cap L)\langle x \rangle$ . Since  $|K/K \cap L|$  is odd, we may replace  $x$  by  $x^4$  and assume  $|x|$  is odd. Then there exists  $g \in N_G(Q)$  such that  $x^g \in D:\langle\psi\rangle$  since it is a Hall  $2'$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Thus, there exists  $d \in D$  such that  $x^{dg} \in \langle\psi\rangle$  by Lemma 4.10. Therefore,  $K^{gd} = (K \cap L)^{gd}\langle x^{gd} \rangle \leq Q:\langle\psi\rangle$ .

On the other hand, we claim each element of  $Q:\langle\psi\rangle$  is conjugate to some element of  $G_\omega$ . Note that  $G_\omega$  contains an element of order  $4e$ . In view of Lemma 4.9, we may replace  $G_\omega$  with  $(G_\omega)^g$  for a suitable  $g \in G$  and assume  $\langle\rho\psi\rangle \leq G_\omega$ , where  $\rho$  is a generator of  $\mathbf{C}_Q(\phi)$ . If  $x \in Q:\langle\psi\rangle$  and  $|x|$  is odd, then  $x$  is conjugate to an element in  $\langle\psi\rangle \leq G_\omega$ . If  $x \in Q:\langle\psi\rangle$  and  $|x|$  is even, then  $|x| = 2e'$  or  $4e'$  with  $e'$  divides  $e$  and  $x$  is conjugate to an element of  $\langle\rho\rangle \times \langle\psi\rangle \leq G_\omega$  by Lemma 4.9. This verifies the claim. Thus  $Q:\langle\psi\rangle$  is an intersecting subgroup, and so is each of its subgroups.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.5.**

Theorem 1.5 holds by Lemma 4.12, 4.13, 4.14 and 4.15.  $\square$

## 5. VARIOUS EXAMPLES OF LARGE INTERSECTING SUBGROUPS

We shall construct large intersecting subgroups in various transitive permutation groups that are not quasiprimitive.

## 5.1. Examples from affine groups.

Some different permutation representations of solvable 2-transitive permutation groups give rise to some critical examples.

**Construction 5.1.** For  $q = p^d$  with  $p$  prime, let  $E = (\mathbb{F}_q, +)$  and  $F = (\mathbb{F}_{q^2}, +)$  be the additive groups of the fields  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ , and let  $E^\times$  and  $F^\times$  denote the multiplicative groups of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ , respectively. These define two affine groups

$$\begin{aligned} G &= F:F^\times = \text{AGL}(1, p^{2d}) = \mathbb{Z}_p^{2d}:\mathbb{Z}_{p^{2d-1}}, \\ H &= E:E^\times = \text{AGL}(1, q) = \mathbb{Z}_p^d:\mathbb{Z}_{p^{d-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Viewing  $H$  as a subgroup of  $G$ , let  $\Omega = [G : H]$ . Then  $G$  is a transitive permutation group on  $\Omega$ . Let

$$S = F:E^\times = \mathbb{Z}_p^{2d}:\mathbb{Z}_{p^{d-1}}.$$

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $G$ ,  $H$ ,  $\Omega$ , and  $S$  be as defined in Construction 5.1 with  $p$  odd. Then  $S$  is an intersecting subgroup, and*

$$\rho(G/\Omega) < 1, \text{ and } \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \rho(G/\Omega) = 1.$$

*Proof.* Observe that  $G$  is a Frobenius group with the Frobenius kernel  $F$  and a Frobenius complement  $F^\times$ . It follows that  $S$  is a Frobenius group too. Let  $x$  be a non-identity element of  $S$ . Then either  $|x| = p$ , or  $|x|$  divides  $p^d - 1$ . If  $|x| = p$ , then  $x$  is conjugate to an element of  $H$  since  $F^\times$  acts transitively on the non-identity elements of  $F$  in  $G = F:F^\times$  by conjugation. If  $|x|$  divides  $p^d - 1$ , then  $x$  is conjugate to an element of  $H$  by Hall's theorem. Therefore,  $S$  is an intersecting subgroup.

Finally, calculations show that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega| &= \frac{|G|}{|H|} = \frac{q^2(q^2-1)}{q(q-1)} = q(q+1), \\ \frac{|S|}{|H|} &= \frac{q^2(q-1)}{q(q-1)} = q = \sqrt{q^2} < \sqrt{q(q+1)} = \sqrt{|\Omega|}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\rho(G/\Omega) = \frac{|S|}{\sqrt{|\Omega|}|H|} = \frac{\sqrt{q^2}}{\sqrt{q(q+1)}} = \sqrt{\frac{q}{q+1}} < 1$ , and further,

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \rho(G/\Omega) = \sqrt{\frac{q}{q+1}} = 1.$$

This proves the lemma.  $\square$



5.2. **Some exceptional examples.** We next present a few exceptional examples, which show that the inequality  $\rho(G/\Omega) < 1$  is not always true.

$G$	$H$	$S$	$ \Omega $	$\rho(G/\Omega)$
$5^2:\text{SL}(2, 3)$	$5:4$	$5^2:\text{Q}_8$	30	$\sqrt{\frac{10}{3}}$
$5^2:(\text{SL}(2, 3).2)$	$5:(4:2)$	$5^2:(\text{Q}_8.2)$	30	$\sqrt{\frac{10}{3}}$
$29^2:(\text{SL}(2, 5) \times 7)$	$29:28$	$29^2:(\text{Q}_8 \times 7)$	29.30	$\sqrt{\frac{58}{15}}$
$29^2:(\text{SL}(2, 5) \circ 28)$	$29:(4 \circ 28)$	$29^2:(\text{Q}_8 \circ 28)$	29.30	$\sqrt{\frac{58}{15}}$
$3^3:\text{A}_4$	$3^2:2$	$3^3:2^2$	18	$\sqrt{2}$

TABLE 1. Groups with large intersecting subgroups.

We remark that the examples in rows 1, 2 and 5 were first obtained in [25].

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $G, H, S$  be as in Table 1, and let  $\Omega = [G : H]$ . Then  $G$  is a transitive permutation group on  $\Omega$ , and  $S$  is an intersecting subgroup such that*

$$\sqrt{2} \leq \rho(G/\Omega) < 2.$$

*Proof.* Here we only treat the group in row 4 since similar arguments work for the other candidates. Let

$$\begin{aligned} G &= 29^2:(\text{SL}(2, 5) \circ 28) < \text{AGL}(2, 29), \\ H &= 29:(4 \circ 28) = 29:(2 \times 28), \\ S &= 29^2:(\text{Q}_8 \circ 28). \end{aligned}$$

We need to prove that each element of  $S$  is conjugate to an element of  $H$ .

First, it is well-known that  $G$  is a 2-transitive permutation group of degree  $29^2$ , and hence all elements of  $G$  of order 29 are conjugate.

Let  $H_{29'} = \mathbb{Z}_4 \circ \mathbb{Z}_{28}$  be a Hall  $29'$ -subgroup of  $H$ , and let  $S_{29'} = \text{Q}_8 \circ \mathbb{Z}_{28}$  be a Hall  $29'$ -subgroup of  $S$  such that  $H_{29'} < S_{29'}$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} H_{29'} &= \langle x \rangle \circ \langle c \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_4 \circ \mathbb{Z}_{28}, \\ S_{29'} &= \langle x, y \rangle \circ \langle c \rangle = \text{Q}_8 \circ \mathbb{Z}_{28}. \end{aligned}$$

The polynomial  $t^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_5[t]$  is the minimal polynomial of any order 4 element of  $\text{SL}(2, 5)$ . Thus all elements of order 4 in  $\text{SL}(2, 5)$  are conjugate. It follows that all elements of  $\langle x, y \rangle$  of order 4 are conjugate in  $G$  to  $x$ . Let  $g \in S_{29'}$ . If  $g \in \langle c \rangle$ , then  $g \in H_{29'}$ . Assume that  $g \notin \langle c \rangle$ . Then  $g = zc^i$  where  $z \in \langle x, y \rangle$  is of order 4, and  $g$  is conjugate to  $xc^i \in H$ . Therefore, each element of  $S$  is conjugate to an element of  $H$ . So  $S$  is an intersecting subgroup.

Finally, by definition, we have  $|\Omega| = |G|/|H| = 29 \times 30$ , and thus

$$|S|/|H| = 29 \times 2 > \sqrt{29 \times 30} = \sqrt{|\Omega|}.$$

It then follows that the parameters are as stated in row 4 of Table 1.  $\square$

### 5.3. Examples from special $p$ -groups.

We present examples of intersecting subgroups with large order based on some special  $p$ -groups. The first example is from the so-called *Suzuki 2-groups*.

**Construction 5.4.** Let  $T = \text{Sz}(q)$  with  $q = 2^f$ , a Suzuki simple group, and let  $Q$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $T$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} G &= N_T(Q) = Q:\langle g \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2^f.\mathbb{Z}_2^f):\mathbb{Z}_{q-1}, \\ H &\cong \mathbb{Z}_4, \text{ a cyclic subgroup of } G \text{ of order } 4, \\ \Omega &= [G : H], \text{ of size } \frac{1}{4}q^2(q-1). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $G, H, \Omega$  and  $Q$  be as defined in Construction 5.4. Then  $Q$  is an intersecting subgroup of  $G$ , and  $\rho(G/\Omega) = \frac{q}{2\sqrt{q-1}}$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 4.7, each element of  $Q$  is conjugate to an element of  $G_\omega = H \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$  in  $G$ , and hence  $Q$  is an intersecting subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $|Q| = q^2$ , we have that

$$\rho(G/\Omega) = \frac{|Q|}{|H|\sqrt{|\Omega|}} = \frac{q^2}{4\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}q^2(q-1)}} = \frac{q^2}{2q\sqrt{q-1}} = \frac{q}{2\sqrt{q-1}}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

The next example is based on Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of simple unitary groups.

**Construction 5.6.** Let  $T = \text{PSU}_3(q)$ , where  $q = p^f$  is odd, and  $\gcd(3, q+1) = 1$ , and let  $Q \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^f:\mathbb{Z}_p^{2f}$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $T$ . Let  $x, y$  be two non-commuting element in  $Q$ , and let

$$\begin{aligned} G &= N_T(Q) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_p^f.\mathbb{Z}_p^{2f}):\mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1}, \\ H &= \langle x, y \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p.\mathbb{Z}_p^2, \\ \Omega &= [G : H], \text{ of size } \frac{q^3(q^2-1)}{p^3}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 5.7.** *Let  $G, H, \Omega$  and  $Q$  be as defined in Construction 5.6. Then  $Q$  is an intersecting subgroup of  $G$ , and  $\rho(G/\Omega) = \frac{q^3}{\sqrt{p^3q^3(q^2-1)}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $V = \text{span}\{u, v, w\}$  be a unitary space, where  $(u, v)$  is a hyperbolic pair and  $w \in \text{span}\{u, v\}^\perp$ . Assume that  $T = \text{PSU}(V) = \text{PGU}_3(q)$ , consisting of unitary linear transformations over  $V$ . Let  $G = T_{\text{span}\{u\}}$ , the stabilizer of the subspace  $\text{span}\{u\}$ . For convenience, we denote the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & -b^q \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

as  $M(a, b)$ . Then

$$Q = \{M(a, b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}, a + a^q + bb^q = 0\}.$$

Moreover,  $G = N_T(Q) = Q:\langle g \rangle$ , where  $g = \text{diag}(\lambda, \lambda^{-q}, \lambda^{q-1})$ , with  $\lambda$  being a generator of the multiplicative group of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ .

One may easily verify the following two matrix equations

$$(5.5) \quad M(a, b)M(a', b') = M(a + a' - b^qb', b + b'),$$

$$(5.6) \quad M(a, b)^{\text{diag}(\lambda, \lambda^{-q}, \lambda^{q-1})} = M(\lambda^{-(q+1)}a, \lambda^{1-2q}b).$$

Then (5.5) implies

$$\mathbf{C}_Q(M(a, b)) = \{M(a', b') \mid b^q b' = (b')^q b, a' + (a')^q + b'(b')^q = 0\}.$$

Note that  $b^q b' = (b')^q b = (b^q b')^q$  is equivalent to  $b^q b' \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Thus,  $M(a, 0) \leq \mathbf{Z}(Q)$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  with  $a + a^q = 0$ , and  $|\mathbf{C}_Q(M(a', b'))| = q^2$  for any  $a', b' \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$  with  $M(a', b') \in Q$ . This implies

$$(5.7) \quad \mathbf{Z}(Q) = \{M(a, 0) \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_q^2, a + a^q = 0\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^f, \text{ and } Q/\mathbf{Z}(Q) \cong \mathbb{F}_q^+ \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{2f}.$$

Further, two elements of  $\mathbf{Z}(Q)$  of order  $p$  are conjugate by an element in  $\langle g \rangle$  by (5.6).

Take an element  $x \in Q \setminus \mathbf{Z}(Q)$ . Then  $x = M(a, b)$  with  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$  and  $a + a^q + bb^q = 0$ . Note that  $\langle g \rangle$  acts regularly by conjugation on  $Q/\mathbf{Z}(Q)$  by (5.6) and (5.7). Thus  $\mathbf{C}_G(x) \leq Q$ , and  $|\mathbf{C}_G(x)| = q^2$ . It follows that

$$|x^G| = |G|/|\mathbf{C}_G(x)| = \frac{q^3(q^2 - 1)}{q^2} = q(q^2 - 1),$$

and hence  $x^G = Q \setminus \mathbf{Z}(Q)$ , refer to [9]. That is to say, non-identity elements of  $Q$  form two conjugacy classes of  $G$ , one of which is  $\mathbf{Z}(Q) \setminus \{1\}$ , and the other is  $Q \setminus \mathbf{Z}(Q)$ .

By definition,  $H$  is non-abelian. Further,  $Q/\mathbf{Z}(Q)$  is abelian implies  $[x, y] \in \mathbf{Z}(Q)$  and  $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p.\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ . Then  $\mathbf{Z}(H) = H \cap \mathbf{Z}(Q) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ , and  $H \cap (Q \setminus \mathbf{Z}(Q)) \neq \emptyset$ . Thus each element in  $\mathbf{Z}(Q) \setminus \{1\}$  is conjugate to some element of  $\mathbf{Z}(H)$ , and each element of  $Q \setminus \mathbf{Z}(Q)$  is conjugate to some element of  $H \setminus \mathbf{Z}(H)$ . Therefore,  $Q$  is an intersecting subgroup of  $G$ . Then

$$\rho(G/\Omega) = \frac{|Q|}{|H|\sqrt{|\Omega|}} = \frac{|Q|}{\sqrt{|G||H|}} = \frac{q^3}{\sqrt{p^3 q^3 (q^2 - 1)}},$$

completing the proof.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.6.** Give an arbitrary positive number  $M$ .

First, let  $G$  be a maximal parabolic subgroup of the simple group  $\text{Sz}(q)$  with  $q = 2^f$ . Then  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^f.\mathbb{Z}_2^f:\mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$  by [3, Lemma 7.3.2]. Let  $H = \mathbb{Z}_4 \leq G$ , and  $\Omega = [G : H]$ . Then each element of the Sylow 2-subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}_2^f.\mathbb{Z}_2^f$  of  $G$  is conjugate to an element of  $H$  in  $G$  by Corollary 4.7. Thus  $\rho(G/\Omega) = \frac{q}{2\sqrt{q-1}}$  by Lemma 5.5. Obviously, when  $f$  is sufficiently large,  $\rho(G/\Omega) = \frac{q}{2\sqrt{q-1}} > 2^{f/2-1}$  is larger than  $M$ .

Now let  $q = p^f$  with  $p$  odd and  $\gcd(3, p+1) = 1$ , and let  $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^f.\mathbb{Z}_p^{2f}:\mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1}$  be the normalizer of a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\text{SU}_3(q)$ . Let  $H = p^{1+2} \leq G$ , and let  $\Omega = [G : H]$ . Then  $\gcd(3, q+1) = 1$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_p^f.\mathbb{Z}_p^{2f}$  is an intersecting subgroup, and  $\rho(G/\Omega) = \frac{q^2}{\sqrt{p^3 q^3 (q^2 - 1)}}$  by Construction 5.4 and Lemma 5.5. Clearly, for  $f \geq 4$  and when  $p^f$  is sufficiently large  $\rho(G/\Omega) = \frac{q^2}{\sqrt{p^3 q^3 (q^2 - 1)}} > \sqrt{p^{f-3}}$  is larger than  $M$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.6.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. Ahmadi and K. Meagher, A new proof for the Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem for the alternating group. *Discrete Math.*, 324:28–40, 2014.
- [2] B. Ahmadi and K. Meagher. The Erdős–Ko–Rado property for some 2-transitive groups. *Ann. Combinatorics*, 19.4 (2015): 621–640.
- [3] J.N. Bray, D.F. Holt, and C.M. Roney-Dougal. *The maximal subgroups of the low-dimensional finite classical groups*. vol. **407**. Cambridge university press, 2013.
- [4] Peter J Cameron and C. Y. Ku. Intersecting families of permutations. *Europ. J. Combin.*, 24(7):881–890, 2003.
- [5] J.J. Cannon, D. F. Holt. Computing conjugacy class representatives in permutation groups. *J. Algebra*, 300 (2006), no. 1, 213–222.
- [6] M. Costantini, E. Jabara, On finite groups in which cyclic subgroups of the same order are conjugate. *Comm. Algebra*, **37** (2009), 3966–3990.
- [7] L. E. Dickson. *Linear groups: With an exposition of the Galois field theory*. Courier Corporation, 2003.
- [8] J. Dixon, and B. Mortimer. Permutation groups. Vol. 163. Springer Science & Business Media, 1996.
- [9] L. Dornhoff, On imprimitive solvable rank 3 permutation groups. *Illinois J. Math.*, **14** (1970), 692–707.
- [10] B. Fein, W. M. Kantor, M. Schacher, Relative Brauer groups. II, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 328 (1981), 39–57
- [11] P. Frankl and M. Deza, On the maximum number of permutations with given maximal or minimal distance. *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, 22(3):352–360, 1977.
- [12] C. Godsil and K. Meagher. A new proof of the Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem for intersecting families of permutations. *Europ. J. Combin.*, 30(2):404–414, 2009.
- [13] C. Godsil and K. Meagher. *Erdos-Ko-Rado theorems: algebraic approaches*. Number 149. Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [14] R. Guralnick. Subgroups of prime power index in a simple group. *J. Alg.*, 81(2):304–311, 1983.
- [15] B. Huppert. *Endliche gruppen I*, volume 134. Springer-verlag, 2013.
- [16] B. Larose and C. Malvenuto. Stable sets of maximal size in Kneser-type graphs. *Europ. J. Combin.*, 25(5):657–673, 2004.
- [17] C. H. Li, S. J. Song, and V. R. Pantangi, Erdos-Ko-Rado problems for permutation groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.10339*, 2020.
- [18] L. Long, R. Plaza, P. Sin, and Q. Xiang. Characterization of intersecting families of maximum size in  $\text{PSL}(2, q)$ . *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, 157:461–499, 2018.
- [19] K. Meagher and P. Sin. All 2-transitive groups have the EKR-module property. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.11252*, 2019.
- [20] K. Meagher and P. Spiga. An Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem for the derangement graph of  $\text{PGL}(2, q)$  acting on the projective line. *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, 118(2):532–544, 2011.
- [21] K. Meagher, P. Spiga, and P. H. Tiep. An Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem for finite 2-transitive groups. *Europ. J. Combin.*, 55:100–118, 2016.
- [22] B. Ahmadi and M. Karen. The Erdos-Ko-Rado property for some permutation groups. *Austral. J. Combin.*, 61(1):23–41, 2015.
- [23] C. E. Praeger. An O’Nan–Scott theorem for finite quasiprimitive permutation groups and an application to 2-arc transitive graphs. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 2(2):227–239, 1993.
- [24] P. Spiga. The Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem for the derangement graph of the projective general linear group acting on the projective space. *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, 166 (2019): 59–90, 2019.
- [25] A. S. Razafimahatratra, K. Meagher, and P. Spiga. On triangles in derangement graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **180**(2021), Paper No. 105390, 26 pp.

SUSTECH INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG 518055, P.  
R. CHINA

*Email address:* lich@sustech.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LETHBRIDGE, LETHBRIDGE, ALBERTA T1K3M4,  
CANADA

*Email address:* pvrt1990@gmail.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, YANTAI UNIVERSITY, YANTAI, SHANDONG

*Email address:* shujiao.song@hotmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,  
SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG 518055, P. R. CHINA

*Email address:* 11930521@mail.sustech.edu.cn