

ECHOES IN GENUS THREE OF TEICHMÜLLER CURVES IN GENUS TWO

THOMAS LE FILS

ABSTRACT. We classify the Teichmüller curves in the moduli space of genus three Riemann surfaces \mathcal{M}_3 that are obtained by a covering construction from a primitive Teichmüller curve in \mathcal{M}_2 . We describe the action on homology modulo two of the affine groups of translation surfaces generating these primitive curves. We also classify the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits of square-tiled surfaces in $\mathcal{H}(2, 2)$ that are a cover of a genus two one.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Teichmüller curves. The moduli space of algebraic curves \mathcal{M}_g is a central object in the geometry of surfaces that parametrises closed Riemann surfaces of genus g . It is endowed with a complex structure and a complete metric, the *Teichmüller metric*, that corresponds to its Kobayashi metric. The geodesics for this metric naturally come in families called *complex geodesics*: for each geodesic $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$, there exists a totally geodesic immersion $f: \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$ such that $\gamma = f|_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}}$. Some exceptional complex geodesics have image of finite area: the *Teichmüller curves*¹. A central problem in the theory of Teichmüller and flat surfaces is to classify these rare objects, see for example the surveys [Mol18, McM23a].

Given a Teichmüller curve $V \subset \mathcal{M}_g$, one can obtain infinitely many others in moduli spaces of curves of higher genera by covering constructions: its *echoes*. A Teichmüller curve that is not an echo is called *primitive*. In a series of groundbreaking articles [McM03, McM05, McM06b, McM07], McMullen gave a complete classification of the primitive Teichmüller curves in \mathcal{M}_2 . There exists an infinite series of Teichmüller curves $W_D \subset \mathcal{M}_2$ called the *Weierstrass curves*. They are indexed by their discriminant, an integer $D \geq 5$ such that $D \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$, and their spin $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ when $D > 9$ satisfies $D \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. We study the echoes of each Weierstrass curve in \mathcal{M}_3 .

Theorem 1.1. *Let $V \subset \mathcal{M}_2$ be a Weierstrass curve of discriminant D . If $D \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ then V has three echoes in \mathcal{M}_3 , and five otherwise.*

McMullen showed in [McM06b] that the Weierstrass curves with non-square discriminant account for all primitive Teichmüller curves in \mathcal{M}_2 , except for one curve, the decagon curve \mathcal{D} .

Theorem 1.2. *The curve \mathcal{D} has three echoes in \mathcal{M}_3 .*

Teichmüller curves in genus three can be divided into three categories. The first consists of the primitive ones, for which there is a conjectural classification, see

¹We only consider Teichmüller curves generated by squares of abelian differentials, see Section 2.

[McM23a, Problem 5.4]. The second category is formed by the echoes of Teichmüller curves in genus two, and the third consists of the echoes of the only Teichmüller curve in genus one. The present article is a contribution to the classification of Teichmüller curves in genus three, studying this second category.

1.2. The flat viewpoint. A translation surface is a surface obtained by gluing sides of polygons together with translations. Equivalently, it is the datum of a pair (X, ω) where $X \in \mathcal{M}_g$ and ω is a holomorphic one-form on X , see Section 2. The group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ acts on the moduli space $\Omega\mathcal{M}_g$ of translation surfaces of genus g , by acting on polygons. The Veech group of (X, ω) is its stabiliser for this action, and is denoted $\mathrm{SL}(X, \omega)$. When $\mathrm{SL}(X, \omega)$ is a lattice, (X, ω) is said to be a Veech surface. The Teichmüller curves are obtained by projecting the orbits of Veech surfaces to \mathcal{M}_g . This produces Teichmüller curves, since $\mathbb{H}^2 = \mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R}) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & \Omega\mathcal{M}_g \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{H}^2/\mathrm{SL}(X, \omega) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_g. \end{array}$$

Therefore our results can be expressed as a classification of the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -orbits of genus three Veech surfaces covering a genus two translation surface. For example we can rephrase Theorem 1.2 as follows.

Corollary 1.3. *The genus three Veech surfaces covering a genus two surface with two singularities, and not covering a genus one surface, form three $\mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ -orbits.*

1.3. Square-tiled surfaces. Our analysis includes the non-primitive Teichmüller curves in \mathcal{M}_2 that are generated by a form in $\mathcal{H}(2)$: the Weierstrass curves with square discriminant. They are generated by square-tiled surfaces, that is translation surfaces obtained by gluing unit squares along their sides. Hubert-Lelièvre [HL06] and McMullen [McM05] gave a complete classification of their $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -orbits. More precisely, they gave a classification of the square-tiled surfaces belonging to this orbit, or in other words, of their $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit. We analyse the lifts of these translation surfaces in genus three. We say that a square-tiled surface is reduced if the set of its relative periods is $\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 1.4. *There are ten $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits of reduced square-tiled surfaces with $2n$ tiles in $\mathcal{H}(2, 2)$, that cover a genus two one for all odd $n \geq 5$. There are five orbits if $n \geq 4$ is even or $n = 3$.*

Similarly to [McM05, Corollary 1.5], we can rephrase this statement purely topologically. Two branched covers $p_1, p_2: S \rightarrow T$ are of the same type if there exist orientation-preserving homeomorphisms s, t such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{s} & S \\ p_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow p_2 \\ T & \xrightarrow{t} & T. \end{array}$$

A square-tiled surface gives rise to a branched cover of the torus T . Only some orbits of Theorem 1.4 give a surjection $\pi_1(S) \rightarrow \pi_1(T)$. We characterise the ones that do and classify the types of the corresponding branched covers.

Theorem 1.5. *Let S be a genus three closed surface and T a torus. For every $d \geq 4$ even, or $d = 3$, there are exactly three types of degree $2d$ branched covers $f: S \rightarrow T$ branched over just two points and surjective on homology, that are invariant by a fixed-point free involution. For $d \geq 5$ odd, there are exactly seven types of these.*

1.4. Monodromy representation. Let us consider the finite extension of the Veech group consisting of elements of the mapping class group appearing in the identifications $A \cdot \omega \simeq \omega$: the affine group $\text{Aff}^+(X, \omega)$. Since the mapping class group acts on homology, we get a *monodromy representation*

$$\rho: \text{Aff}^+(X, \omega) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})).$$

We will observe that classifying the echoes of Teichmüller curves amounts to gaining understanding of the *monodromy groups* of their generators: the images of ρ . An important tool to analyse these groups comes from the fact that they must commute with real multiplication. Let $\mathcal{O}_D = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^2 + ax + b)$, where $D = a^2 - 4b$. The Jacobian $\text{Jac}(X)$ of $X \in \mathcal{M}_2$ admits real multiplication by \mathcal{O}_D if there is an embedding $\mathcal{O}_D \subset \text{End}(\text{Jac}(X))$ in the self-adjoint endomorphisms, generating a proper subring of $\text{End}(\text{Jac}(X))$. If (X, ω) generates a Weierstrass curve of discriminant D , then $\text{Jac}(X)$ admits real multiplication by \mathcal{O}_D , such that $\mathcal{O}_D \cdot \omega \subset \mathbb{C}\omega$. See the reminders of Section 2 for more details and references.

Proposition 1.6. *Every element in the monodromy group of (X, ω) generating a Weierstrass curve commutes with real multiplication.*

This observation is proven in [FL23, Lemma 6.2] when D is not a square. Commutation with real multiplication also appears in the work of Mukamel [Muk14]. It will allow us to explicitly describe the *monodromy groups modulo two*: the images of the monodromy groups in $\text{Sp}(H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}))$, recovering a theorem of [GRP23].

Theorem 1.7. *The monodromy groups modulo two of Veech surfaces in $\mathcal{H}(2)$, and of the regular decagon, are explicit finite dihedral groups.*

The action of affine groups on homology has received a lot of attention recently. McMullen studied them modulo two for regular polygons in [McM24, McM23b]. Guitérrez-Romo and Pardo described the action of affine groups of Veech surfaces in $\mathcal{H}(2)$ on Weierstrass points in [GRP23]. We recover their result with new methods, and provide a uniform treatment for both the arithmetic and non-arithmetic case. Freedman and Lucas in [FL23] described in particular the monodromy groups modulo p of primitive Teichmüller curves in genus two, for an infinite set of primes $p \geq 3$, that can be computed but depend on D .

1.5. Pure echoes. More generally, one can study Teichmüller curves that are generated by a translation surface covering another via an unramified cover. We call these Teichmüller curves the *pure echoes* of the original Teichmüller curve V . We show as a consequence of our study that their numbers can be made arbitrarily large. We also observe that it follows from a theorem of Funar and Lochak [FL18] that for any primitive Teichmüller curve $V \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$, there exist infinitely many g' and Teichmüller curves $V \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g'}$.

Theorem 1.8. *There can be arbitrarily large numbers of pure echoes of a Teichmüller curve in \mathcal{M}_g . Any primitive Teichmüller curve has infinitely many copies in higher genera moduli spaces.*

1.6. Organisation of the article. In Section 2, we recall some known facts about translation surfaces, abelian differentials, and the classification of Teichmüller curves in genus two. Section 3 defines echoes, and relates them with actions of affine groups on sets of covers. We give explicit generators for the monodromy groups of abelian differentials generating the Weierstrass curves in Section 4. In Section 5, we compute the monodromy group of the regular decagon, prove Theorem 1.2 and deduce Corollary 1.3. Then in Section 6, we establish Proposition 1.6. In Section 7 we explicitly give the monodromy groups modulo two of generators of the Weierstrass curves and prove Theorem 1.7. We deduce Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.4 from this result. We then determine the covers of reduced square-tiled surfaces in $\mathcal{H}(2)$ that give rise to a surjection $\pi_1(S) \rightarrow \pi_1(T)$ and show Theorem 1.5. Finally in Section 8 we consider echoes in moduli spaces of higher genera and prove Theorem 1.8.

1.6.1. *Acknowledgements.* I wish to thank Erwan Lanneau for his encouragement and interest.

2. ABELIAN DIFFERENTIALS

In this section we recall some well-known facts about abelian differentials, translation surfaces, Teichmüller curves, and their classification in genus two. This presentation is classical and inspired by the survey [McM23a].

2.1. Teichmüller spaces.

2.1.1. *The classical Teichmüller space.* Let us fix S a closed topological surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Let $\text{Homeo}^+(S)$ be the group of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of S , and $\text{Homeo}_0(S)$ be its subgroup of elements isotopic to the identity. Recall that the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(S)$ of S is the space of complex structures on S , modulo the natural action of $\text{Homeo}_0(S)$, see *e.g.* [FM12, Section 11.1.1]. The Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(S)$ carries a natural topology for which it is a manifold homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{6g-6} , see [FM12, Theorem 10.6].

2.1.2. *The Teichmüller space of abelian differentials.* We now define the space parametrising the marked translation surfaces of genus g , that will be naturally a vector bundle over $\mathcal{T}(S)$, with the zero section removed. A translation surface gives a complex structure on the underlying topological surface since the polygons are embedded in the plane. It also gives a non-zero holomorphic one-form on it: the form dz is invariant by translations. The datum of a pair of a closed Riemann surface and a holomorphic one-form on it is actually equivalent to the datum of a translation surface, see *e.g.* [Zor06, Section 3.3].

Definition 2.1. The Teichmüller space of abelian differentials on S , denoted by $\Omega\mathcal{T}(S)$, is the space of (X, ω) where X is a complex structure on S and ω is a non-zero holomorphic one-form on X , modulo the action of $\text{Homeo}_0(S)$.

We will sometimes denote by $\Omega\mathcal{T}_g$ the space $\Omega\mathcal{T}(S)$ where S is an implicit fixed topological surface of genus g .

2.1.3. *Linear charts.* Integrating the form ω gives local *linear charts* in \mathbb{R}^2 whose transition functions are translations. One can also recover ω from the charts. From this point of view, a translation surface can be seen as a (G, X) -structure in the sense of Thurston, see [Thu97, Chapter 3].

2.2. Mapping class group and moduli space. We denote by $\text{Mod}(S)$ the mapping class group of S : $\text{Mod}(S) = \text{Homeo}^+(S)/\text{Homeo}_0(S)$. Recall that the moduli space of curves \mathcal{M}_g is defined to be $\mathcal{T}_g/\text{Mod}(S)$. Similarly we define the moduli space of abelian differentials $\Omega\mathcal{M}(S)$ to be the set of couples (X, ω) modulo the action of the full group of homeomorphisms $\text{Homeo}(S)$, or in other words,

$$\Omega\mathcal{M}(S) = \Omega\mathcal{T}(S)/\text{Mod}(S).$$

As before, we denote by $\Omega\mathcal{M}_g$ the space $\Omega\mathcal{M}(S)$ where S is a surface of genus g . When (X_0, ω_0) and (X_1, ω_1) are two elements of $\Omega\mathcal{T}(S)$, we write $\omega_0 \simeq \omega_1$ to indicate that they define the same element of the moduli space.

2.3. Strata of abelian differentials. The spaces $\Omega\mathcal{T}_g$ and $\Omega\mathcal{M}_g$ are both naturally stratified. Indeed an abelian differential ω on a Riemann surface of genus g has $2g - 2$ zeroes counted with multiplicity. Therefore these spaces are stratified by the subspaces where the number of zeroes and their multiplicity are prescribed. The strata are denoted by $\Omega\mathcal{T}(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ and, respectively, $\Omega\mathcal{M}(n_1, \dots, n_k)$, where $\sum_i n_i = 2g - 2$. Another common notation for the strata of the moduli space of abelian differentials is the following: $\mathcal{H}(n_1, \dots, n_k) = \Omega\mathcal{M}(n_1, \dots, n_k)$.

2.4. The $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -action. The moduli space of abelian differentials admits an action of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Indeed, matrices act on polygons and this action preserves parallelity. The projection of an orbit under the action of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ to the moduli space of curves defines a *complex geodesic* for the Teichmüller metric: a totally geodesic immersion of \mathbb{H}^2 , see for example [FM12, Proposition 11.18].

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & \Omega\mathcal{M}_g \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{H}^2 = \text{SO}_2 \backslash \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_g \end{array}$$

The moduli space of quadratic differentials parametrises half-translation surfaces: surfaces obtained by gluing sides of polygons with translations, and $z \mapsto -z$. This space carries a similar $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -action, and the complex geodesics in \mathcal{M}_g are all obtained by the discussion above, see [FM12, Proposition 11.18]. In the present article, we only consider complex geodesics generated by abelian differentials, or their squares in the space of quadratic differentials.

2.5. Affine and Veech groups. The stabiliser of $(X, \omega) \in \Omega\mathcal{M}_g$ under the action of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is called its *Veech group* and is denoted by $\text{SL}(X, \omega)$:

$$\text{SL}(X, \omega) = \{A \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \mid A \cdot \omega \simeq \omega\}.$$

We define $\text{Aff}^+(X, \omega)$ the *affine group* of (X, ω) to be the group of $f \in \text{Homeo}^+(S)$ such that f preserves the zeroes of ω and is affine in linear charts. We have a short exact sequence, where $\text{Tr}(X, \omega)$ is the group of homeomorphisms of S that are translations in linear charts.

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Tr}(X, \omega) \rightarrow \text{Aff}^+(X, \omega) \rightarrow \text{SL}(X, \omega) \rightarrow 1.$$

We say that (X, ω) is a Veech surface when its Veech group is a lattice in $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. We denote by d the map taking $f \in \text{Aff}^+(X, \omega)$ to its derivative, $d(f) \in \text{SL}(X, \omega)$.

2.6. Periods. Given $(X, \omega) \in \Omega\mathcal{T}(S)$, we define its period map $\text{Per}: H_1(S, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to be the homomorphism

$$\gamma \mapsto \int_{\gamma} \omega.$$

We will sometimes refer to $\text{Per}(H_1(S, \mathbb{Z}))$ as the set of absolute periods, by opposition to the relative periods defined similarly $\text{RelPer}: H_1(S, Z, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where $Z \subset S$ is the set of zeroes of ω . The relative periods give local homeomorphisms $U \subset \Omega\mathcal{T}(n_1, \dots, n_k) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2g+k-1}$, and endow the strata with a manifold structure.

2.7. Dehn twist and cylinder decompositions. A saddle connection on a translation surface is a straight line joining two conical points (*i.e.* two zeroes of the one-form), that has no conical point in its interior. A Veech surface admits a cylinder decomposition in the direction of each of its saddle connections. In other words, for any $s \in \mathbb{S}^1$ such that there is a saddle connection with slope s , the straight lines in the direction of s decompose the surface into cylinders C_i . The modulus of C_i is $m_i = h_i/l_i$, where l_i is its length and h_i its height. If the cylinder C_i is horizontal, then it is preserved by the right-handed Dehn twist:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/m_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The moduli m_i are commensurable. Let m be the lowest common multiple of the $1/m_i$. The affine group of the surface contains the element whose restriction to each C_i is the right-handed Dehn twist to the power $m \cdot m_i$.

2.8. Monodromy groups. There is a natural map $\text{Aff}^+(X, \omega) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(S)$. The action on homology of $\text{Mod}(S)$ respects the symplectic form $(\gamma, \delta) \mapsto \gamma \cdot \delta$ given by the algebraic intersection. This gives a surjection $\text{Mod}(S) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(H_1(S, \mathbb{Z}))$, see for example [FM12, Theorem 6.4]. By composing these maps one obtains an action on homology, and the monodromy representation:

$$\text{Aff}^+(X, \omega) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(H_1(S, \mathbb{Z})).$$

We are mostly interested in the action on homology modulo two, hence we will consider the projection $\rho: \text{Aff}^+(X, \omega) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(H_1(S, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}))$. Let us call the *monodromy group modulo two* of (X, ω) the image of ρ .

2.9. Teichmüller discs and Teichmüller curve. Given $(X, \omega) \in \Omega\mathcal{M}_g$, the *Teichmüller disc* generated by ω is $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit of ω in $\Omega\mathcal{M}_g$. A *Teichmüller curve* is the projection of a Teichmüller disc in \mathcal{M}_g that has finite area. This happens exactly when the forms (X, ω) generating the Teichmüller disc are Veech surfaces.

2.10. The classification of McMullen in genus two. In a series of groundbreaking articles [McM03, McM05, McM06b, McM07], McMullen gave a complete classification of the primitive Teichmüller curves in genus two. Let us recall the definition of the Weierstrass curves. For any $D \geq 5$, with $D \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$, the Weierstrass curve W_D is the locus of Riemann surfaces $X \in \mathcal{M}_2$ such that

- (1) The Jacobian of X admits real multiplication by \mathcal{O}_D ,
- (2) There exists an abelian differential ω on X with a single double zero, such that $\mathcal{O}_D \cdot \omega \subset \mathbb{C}\omega$.

Recall that the Jacobian of X is the complex torus $\text{Jac}(X) = \Omega^\vee(X)/H_1(S, \mathbb{Z})$. Here $\Omega^\vee(X)$ is the dual of $\Omega(X)$, the vector space of holomorphic one-forms on X , and $H_1(S, \mathbb{Z})$ is a lattice in $\Omega^\vee(X)$ by $\gamma \mapsto \int_\gamma \cdot$. An endomorphism of $\text{Jac}(X)$ comes from a unique \mathbb{C} -linear map $T: \Omega^\vee(X) \rightarrow \Omega^\vee(X)$ preserving the lattice $H_1(S, \mathbb{Z})$. We say that T is self-adjoint if for any $\gamma, \delta \in H_1(S, \mathbb{Z})$, we have $T(\gamma) \cdot \delta = \gamma \cdot T(\delta)$. The dual of T acts on $\Omega(X)$, giving a meaning to $\mathcal{O}_D \cdot \omega \subset \mathbb{C}\omega$. The subring $\mathcal{O}_D \subset \text{End}(\text{Jac}(X))$ is said to be proper when for $T \in \text{End}(\text{Jac}(X))$, if T is not in \mathcal{O}_D , then neither is nT for all $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. For more information on real multiplication in this context, we refer to [McM07, McM23a]. McMullen showed that the components of W_D are Teichmüller curves, that are primitive if and only if D is not a square. He also proved [McM05] that they are connected unless $D \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $D > 9$, in which case W_D has two connected components, distinguished by a spin invariant $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$. Veech proved in the seminal article [Vee89] that the regular polygons with even number of sides generate Teichmüller curves. In particular, the regular decagon defines a Teichmüller disc in $\mathcal{H}(1, 1)$ and gives a Teichmüller curve in \mathcal{M}_2 .

Theorem 2.2 (McMullen [McM06b]). *The curve generated by the decagon and the Weierstrass curves with non-square discriminant account for all the primitive Teichmüller curves in \mathcal{M}_2 .*

2.11. Square-tiled surfaces. Square-tiled surfaces, or origamis, form an important class of Veech surfaces of combinatorial nature. Intuitively, a square-tiled surface is a translation surface obtained by gluing sides of unit squares. A surface tiled by n squares is entirely characterised by the following two permutations: one taking a tile to its adjacent tile on its right, and the other taking it to its adjacent tile on top of it. Square-tiled surfaces can thus be thought of as pairs of permutations $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, such that $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ acts transitively on $\{1, \dots, n\}$, up to simultaneous conjugacy, see for example [Mat22, Definition 6 and Remark 9]. Another way of defining them is to consider covers of tori. Let us denote by T the standard torus: the translation surface $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z})$ equipped with the form $\omega = dz$.

Definition 2.3. A square-tiled surface is a translation surface obtained by pulling back the standard torus via a cover $S \rightarrow T$ that sends its branch points to a single point.

We say that a square-tiled surface is *reduced* when the set of its relative periods is $\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}$. In [HL06], Hubert and Lelièvre classified the $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits of reduced origamis in $\mathcal{H}(2)$ tiled with a prime number of tiles. McMullen then classified them for all numbers of tiles in [McM05].

Theorem 2.4. (Hubert-Lelièvre, McMullen) *There are two $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits of reduced square-tiled surfaces in $\mathcal{H}(2)$ with n squares if $n \geq 5$ is odd. There is only one orbit for $n \geq 4$ even or $n = 3$.*

There is still no similar classification for square-tiled surfaces in $\mathcal{H}(1, 1)$, but there are results in this direction in [Dur19].

3. LIFTS OF ABELIAN DIFFERENTIALS

3.1. Covering maps. Throughout this article, unless otherwise stated, we will consider covering maps up to upper equivalence. That is, we consider that the

covers $p_i: S \rightarrow \Sigma$ are equal for $0 \leq i \leq 1$ when there exists a homeomorphism $F: S \rightarrow S$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{F} & S \\ & \searrow p_0 & \swarrow p_1 \\ & & \Sigma. \end{array}$$

The mapping class group $\text{Mod}(\Sigma)$ acts on the set of unramified covers $S \rightarrow \Sigma$ by pushforward. Namely if $p: S \rightarrow \Sigma$ and $f \in \text{Mod}(\Sigma)$, then $f \cdot p = f \circ p$.

3.2. Definitions. Let $f: S \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a possibly branched covering map. The lift, or pullback, of $(X, \omega) \in \Omega\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ by f is defined to be the abelian differential in $\Omega\mathcal{M}(S)$ whose linear charts are given by the composition of f and charts of (X, ω) . We denote by $f^*(X, \omega)$, or $f^*(\omega)$, the lift of (X, ω) by f . We can now define the echoes.

Definition 3.1. An *echo* of a Teichmüller curve generated by (X, ω) is a Teichmüller curve generated by a lift of (X, ω) .

It is easy to verify that this definition is consistent: being an echo of V does not depend on the choice of the generator of V . In the present article, we are mostly interested in unramified covers, and from now on we suppose that Φ is the set of these covers $S \rightarrow \Sigma$. We define the lifting map:

$$\mathcal{L}: \Omega\mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \times \Phi \rightarrow \Omega\mathcal{M}(S).$$

The lift of a set $X \subset \Omega\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ is defined to be $\mathcal{L}(X \times \Phi)$, and the lift of $Y \subset \Omega\mathcal{M}(\Sigma)$ is the lift of the preimage of Y by $\Omega\mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \Omega\mathcal{M}(\Sigma)$. By definition, we have $\mathcal{L}(f \cdot \omega, f \cdot p) = \mathcal{L}(\omega, p)$.

Lemma 3.2. *The map \mathcal{L} is invariant by the diagonal action of $\text{Mod}(\Sigma)$.*

Therefore in order to understand all the lifts of a specific $(X, \omega) \in \Omega\mathcal{M}_g$, it suffices to consider one of its representatives in $\Omega\mathcal{T}_g$ and all the possible different covers.

3.3. Genus three surfaces covering a genus two one. We specialise to the case where Σ is a closed surface of genus two, whilst S is of genus three. We fix these topological surfaces for the rest of the article.

3.3.1. The set of covers. Let us first observe that the covers $S \rightarrow \Sigma$ have no ramification point, and that there are fifteen of them. This is also observed for example in [LN18, Proposition 2.3], where Laneeau and Nguyen use this fact to show that there is only a finite number of Teichmüller curves in the Prym locus in $\mathcal{H}(2, 2)^{\text{hYP}}$ of fixed discriminant.

Lemma 3.3. *Every covering map $S \rightarrow \Sigma$ is unramified. The space of these covers is in bijection with $H^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}$.*

Proof. If $f: S \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a covering map of degree d , with total branching order B , then the Riemann-Hurwitz formula gives

$$\chi(S) = d\chi(\Sigma) - B.$$

Since $\chi(S) = -4$ and $\chi(\Sigma) = -2$, we must have $d \leq 2$. Moreover if $d = 2$ then $B = 0$ and f is unramified. Finally, it is impossible to have $d = 1$ since otherwise

f would be a homeomorphism. Every degree two cover is normal thus the space of these covers is in bijection with the set of epimorphisms $\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, in other words with the non-zero elements of $H^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. \square

By duality, we may identify the non-zero elements of $H^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ with the ones of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. We will in the rest of the text identify the latter with the set of degree two covers $S \rightarrow \Sigma$. The cover corresponding to $\gamma \in H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is then obtained by the following construction. Consider two copies of Σ and a simple closed curve $c \subset \Sigma$ on each copy representing γ . Cutting the two copies along c and gluing them back together produces the cover associated with γ . The mapping class group acts transitively on these covers.

Lemma 3.4. *The mapping class group $\text{Mod}(\Sigma)$ acts transitively on the set of covers $S \rightarrow \Sigma$.*

Proof. The action of the mapping class group on covers is conjugated to its natural action on $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}$. This action is easily seen to be transitive, see for example [LF23, Proposition 3.2]. \square

3.3.2. *Lifts of strata of $\Omega\mathcal{M}_2$ in $\Omega\mathcal{M}_3$.* Kontsevich and Zorich gave a complete classification of the connected components of the strata of $\Omega\mathcal{M}_g$ in [KZ03]. Calderon and Calderon-Salter [Cal20, CS21] extended these results and studied the connected components of the strata in $\Omega\mathcal{T}_g$. We will now identify the connected components of the locus in the strata of $\Omega\mathcal{M}_3$ of surfaces covering a genus two one. This was already done in [AN16, AN20], but we provide a different and more direct proof. For this purpose, we will need to know the connected components of $\Omega\mathcal{T}(1, 1)$ and $\Omega\mathcal{T}(2)$. In [Cal20, Remark 2.7], Calderon classifies these connected components, and attributes this result to folklore knowledge.

Lemma 3.5 (Folklore). *The space $\Omega\mathcal{T}(1, 1)$ is connected, while the space $\Omega\mathcal{T}(2)$ has six connected components, corresponding to the six Weierstrass points.*

The space $\mathcal{H}(1, 1)$ lifts to a connected set $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(1, 1)$ in $\mathcal{H}(1, 1, 1, 1)$, as shown in [AN20, Proposition 2.17]. Here we give a similar argument, that illustrates how we can use the action of the mapping class group to study lifts.

Proposition 3.6. *The set $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(1, 1)$ in $\mathcal{H}(1, 1, 1, 1)$ is connected.*

Proof. Let us first restrict the lifting map to $\Omega\mathcal{T}(1, 1)$:

$$\mathcal{L}: \Omega\mathcal{T}(1, 1) \times H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(1, 1, 1, 1).$$

Let us show that its image is connected. By Lemma 3.2, $\mathcal{L}(\omega, \gamma) = \mathcal{L}(f \cdot \omega, f \cdot \gamma)$ for any (ω, γ) and any $f \in \text{Mod}(\Sigma)$. Recall that $\text{Mod}(\Sigma)$ acts transitively on $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2) \setminus \{0\}$. Thus for $\gamma \in H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2) \setminus \{0\}$, the image of \mathcal{L} does not change when restricted to $\Omega\mathcal{T}(1, 1) \times \{\gamma\}$. The space $\Omega\mathcal{T}(1, 1)$ is connected hence so is its image $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(1, 1)$. \square

It is proven in [KZ03] that $\mathcal{H}(2, 2)$ has two connected components: the hyperelliptic component $\mathcal{H}(2)^{\text{hyp}}$, and the odd component $\mathcal{H}(2)^{\text{odd}}$. To tell which connected component (X, ω) belongs to, one can proceed as follows. For each $\gamma \in H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ primitive, consider $c: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow X$ representing γ , avoiding the zeroes of (X, ω) with non-zero derivative everywhere. Taking local linear charts, we can compute $c': \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. We then define $\text{Ind}(\gamma)$ to be the degree of the map

$\mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ given by $s \rightarrow \frac{c'(s)}{|c'(s)|}$. Given a symplectic basis (a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2) of X , we define

$$\text{Arf}(X, \omega) = \sum_i (\text{Ind}(a_i) + 1) (\text{Ind}(b_i) + 1) \pmod{2}.$$

This does not depend on the choices of the c nor on the choice of the symplectic basis and (X, ω) is in $\mathcal{H}(2)^{\text{odd}}$ if and only if $\text{Arf}(X, \omega) = 1$, see also [Zor06, Section 9.4]. We apply this criterion to exhibit a surface in different connected components of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(2)$. Indeed, we easily verify by considering a basis of homology on each of the surfaces of Figure 1 that they belong to different components of $\mathcal{H}(2, 2)$. They are both covering an L -shaped surface in $\mathcal{H}(2)$, that we will study later, see Figure 2 in Section 4.




FIGURE 1. Translation surfaces, in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(2)^{\text{odd}}$ on the left, and in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(2)^{\text{hyp}}$ on the right.

We now show that the lift $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(2) \subset \mathcal{H}(2, 2)$ has two connected components. This result is obtained in [AN16] as a consequence of the classification of affine invariant submanifolds in $\mathcal{H}(2, 2)$.

Proposition 3.7. *The stratum $\mathcal{H}(2)$ lifts to two connected components $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\text{odd}}(2)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\text{hyp}}(2)$ in $\mathcal{H}(2, 2)$, one in each connected component of $\mathcal{H}(2, 2)$.*

Choose a complex structure X on Σ and let $\iota: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a holomorphic involution representing the hyperelliptic involution, the only non-trivial element in the centre of $\text{Mod}(\Sigma)$. The Weierstrass points of X are the fixed points of ι . It follows from a remark of Arnold [Arn68] that a non-zero element in $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ can be identified with the choice of two Weierstrass points on X .

Lemma 3.8. *The non-zero elements of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ can be naturally identified with the unordered couples of Weierstrass points of X .*

Proof. By [Arn68, Lemma 1], the quotient by the hyperelliptic involution gives a well defined injection $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(S_0^6, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ to the homology of the sphere with six points removed. The latter homology group is identified with the partitions of the Weierstrass points in two sets by [Arn68, Lemma 2]. We can take a non-separating simple closed curve whose image singles out two Weierstrass points. Since $\text{Mod}(\Sigma)$ acts transitively on the non-separating simple closed curves, it is the case of every such curve. The image of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is thus identified with the $15 = \binom{6}{2}$ unordered pairs of Weierstrass points. \square

We can now prove Proposition 3.7.

Proof. There are at least two of these connected components. Indeed we have seen that the surfaces of Figure 1 do not belong to the same component of $\mathcal{H}(2, 2)$.

The space $\Omega\mathcal{T}(2)$ has six connected components, that correspond to the Weierstrass point on which the zero of the form lies. Since $\text{Mod}(\Sigma)$ acts transitively on $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}$, the lift of $\Omega\mathcal{T}(2)$ is covered by the sets $\mathcal{L}(X_i \times \{\gamma\})$ where X_i are the connected components of $\Omega\mathcal{T}(2)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 6$, and $\gamma \in H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is a fixed non-zero element. The Dehn twists along a simple closed curve that single out two Weierstrass points interchange them, and leave the others unchanged, see for example [BB01, Proposition 3.3] or [MW19, Section 2.1]. A Dehn twist T along a simple closed curve c representing γ will interchange the two Weierstrass points singled out by γ , and preserves γ . Therefore T interchanges the two connected components, say X_1 and X_2 , of $\Omega\mathcal{T}(2)$ corresponding to the Weierstrass points singled out by γ . Thus they lift to the same sets in $\mathcal{H}(2, 2)$:

$$\mathcal{L}(X_1 \times \{\gamma\}) = \mathcal{L}(T(X_1) \times \{T(\gamma)\}) = \mathcal{L}(X_2 \times \{\gamma\}).$$

Similarly, Dehn twists along simple closed curves not intersecting c will permute the other four Weierstrass points, leaving γ invariant. Therefore the lift of $\mathcal{H}(2)$ has at most two connected components. \square

Observe that our proof of Proposition 3.7 gives a criterion to determine the connected component where $(X, \omega) \in \Omega\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ is sent to by $\gamma \in H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Indeed it suffices to check whether the zero of (X, ω) is one of the two Weierstrass points singled out by γ . It is the case exactly when the lift is in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(2)^{\text{hyp}}$. Therefore we have the following.

Corollary 3.9. *Among the fifteen lifts of $(X, \omega) \in \Omega\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$, exactly five belong to the hyperelliptic component.*

3.4. Telling the lifts appart. We now wish to determine when two lifts define the same abelian differential in $\Omega\mathcal{M}_3$. Let us first show that, under an extra assumption, an isomorphism of lifts comes from an isomorphism at the base level.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $\alpha_i \in \Omega\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$, and $p_i: S \rightarrow \Sigma$ be covers, for $0 \leq i \leq 1$. Consider $\omega_0 = p_0^*(\alpha_0)$ and $\omega_1 = p_1^*(\alpha_1)$. Suppose that the forms ω_i have only one translation with quotient of genus two. Every homeomorphism $F: S \rightarrow S$ such that $F \cdot \omega_0 = \omega_1$ is induced by a homeomorphism $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ such that $f \circ p_0 = p_1 \circ F$ and $f \cdot \alpha_0 = \alpha_1$.*

Proof. We wish to check that F passes through the quotient by the deck transformations to a map $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$. The deck transformations of p_i are translations with quotient of genus two. Since ω_i have only one such translation, the deck transformations are conjugated by F . Therefore F passes to a map f . \square

Remark 3.11. Lemma 3.10 does not hold without this extra assumption. Indeed, there exist non-isomorphic translation surfaces in genus two with isomorphic double covers. For example, the escalator square-tiled surface defined by $\alpha = (1, 2)(3, 4)(5, 6)(7, 8)$ and $\beta = (2, 3)(4, 5)(6, 7)(8, 1)$, see [SPWS17, Figure 4]. It is a cover of both the origamis defined by $\alpha = (1, 2)(3, 4)$ and $\beta = (1, 3)$ and $\alpha = (2, 3)$, $\beta = (1, 2)(3, 4)$ that are not isomorphic.

We can now describe, under our assumption, when two lifts define the same element in $\Omega\mathcal{M}_3$. This happens exactly when the pairs (ω, γ) differ by the diagonal action of the mapping class group on $\Omega\mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \times H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, by Lemma 3.10 and the invariance of \mathcal{L} by the diagonal action of $\text{Mod}(\Sigma)$.

Proposition 3.12. *Let $(X_i, \omega_i) \in \Omega\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ and $p_i: S \rightarrow \Sigma$ be covering maps, for $0 \leq i \leq 1$. Suppose that $p^*(\omega_i)$ have only one non-trivial translation. We have $p_0^*(\omega_0) \simeq p_1^*(\omega_1)$ if and only if there exists $f \in \text{Mod}(\Sigma)$ such that both $f \cdot \omega_0 = \omega_1$ and $f \cdot p_0 = p_1$.*

3.5. Lifting Teichmüller discs and Veech groups. We now derive from Proposition 3.12 a description of the Veech groups of forms covering genus two ones. We will assume that the lifts only have one non-trivial translation. Let $(X, \omega) \in \Omega\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$. Let us denote by $[p]$ the orbit of the cover p under the action of $\text{Tr}(X, \omega)$. Since $\text{Tr}(X, \omega)$ is normal, the group $\text{Aff}^+(X, \omega)$ acts on these orbits. We thus obtain an action of $\text{SL}(X, \omega)$ on the set of orbits $[p]$.

Corollary 3.13. *Let $(X_0, \omega_0) \in \Omega\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ and $p: S \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a covering map. Suppose that $(X, \omega) = p^*(X_0, \omega_0)$ has only one translation with quotient of genus two. The Veech group of (X, ω) is*

$$\text{SL}(X, \omega) = \{A \in \text{SL}(X_0, \omega_0) \mid A \cdot [p] = [p]\}.$$

Proof. Let $A \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. We have $A \cdot p^*(\omega_0) = p^*(A \cdot \omega_0) \simeq p^*(\omega_0)$ if and only if there exists $f \in \text{Mod}(\Sigma)$ such that both $f \cdot p = p$ and $f \cdot \omega_0 = A \cdot \omega_0$ by Proposition 3.12. This happens exactly when $A \in \text{SL}(X_0, \omega_0)$ and $A \cdot [p] = [p]$. \square

Remark 3.14. If $p: S_g \rightarrow \Sigma_h$ is a covering map and $\omega \in \Omega\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_h)$, we always have the inclusion $\{A \in \text{SL}(X, \omega) \mid A \cdot [p] = [p]\} \subset \text{SL}(p^*(X, \omega))$. Indeed a map in $\text{Aff}^+(X, \omega)$ that stabilises p lifts to a map in $\text{Aff}^+(p^*(X, \omega))$ with the same derivative.

We also derive from Proposition 3.12 a criterion to decide when two lifts lie in the same Teichmüller disc.

Corollary 3.15. *Two lifts of $(X, \omega) \in \Omega\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ by the covers $p_i: S \rightarrow \Sigma$, $0 \leq i \leq 1$, with only one non-trivial translation with quotient of genus two, belong to the same Teichmüller disc if and only if p_1 is in the orbit of p_0 under the action of $\text{Aff}^+(X, \omega)$.*

Proof. The forms $p_0^*(\omega)$ and $p_1^*(\omega)$ belong to the same Teichmüller disc if and only if there exists $A \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $A \cdot p_1^*(\omega) = p_0^*(\omega)$. It follows from Proposition 3.12 that this happens exactly when p_1 is the orbit of p_0 under the action of $\text{Aff}^+(X, \omega)$. \square

We will verify that the assumption of Lemma 3.10 is satisfied in our cases.

Lemma 3.16. *Let $(X, \omega) \in \Omega\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ be a generator of either a Weierstrass curve, or the decagon curve. Any lift $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega\mathcal{M}(S)$ has only one translation with quotient of genus two, unless (X, ω) generates W_9 .*

We postpone the proof of Lemma 3.16 to Section 4 for the Weierstrass curves, and to Section 5 for the decagon one. Since a Teichmüller curve is generated by a unique Teichmüller disc (up to scaling), the echoes of the curve generated by (X, ω) are classified by the action of the $\text{Aff}^+(X, \omega)$ on homology in these cases.

Corollary 3.17. *Let $V \subset \mathcal{M}_2$ be either a Weierstrass curve or the decagon curve. Fix $(X, \omega) \in \Omega\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ generating V . The echoes of V in \mathcal{M}_3 are in correspondence with the orbits of the action of $\text{Aff}^+(X, \omega)$ on $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}$.*

We prove Corollary 3.17 for W_9 in Section 4. The other cases follow from Lemma 3.16 and Corollary 3.15.

3.6. Echoes are covers. Under our assumption, each echo \tilde{V} generated by (X, ω) of V generated by (X_0, ω_0) is naturally a cover of V since $\tilde{V} = \mathbb{H}^2/\mathrm{SL}_2(X, \omega)$ and $V = \mathbb{H}^2/\mathrm{SL}_2(X_0, \omega_0)$. The degree $d = [\mathrm{SL}_2(X, \omega) : \mathrm{SL}_2(X_0, \omega_0)]$ of this cover is the size of the orbit of the corresponding $[p]$.

4. GENERATORS OF THE MONODROMY GROUPS OF WEIERSTRASS CURVES

In this section we exhibit elements of the monodromy groups of generators of Weierstrass curves.

4.1. L-shaped billiards. In [McM05], McMullen gave explicit generators for the Teichmüller curves $W_D \subset \mathcal{M}_2$. These generators are given as surfaces obtained by unfolding a billiard table of the form $L(b, e)$: the L-shaped polygon of Figure 2, having top square of side $\lambda = \frac{e + \sqrt{e^2 + 4b}}{2}$, while the remaining rectangle has horizontal sides of length b and vertical side of unit length. It turns out that unfolding this billiard table leads, after rescaling, to the translation surface that we still denote $L(b, e)$, drawn in Figure 2. The integers (e, b) are chosen so that $b \geq 1$, $e \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ and if $e = 1$, then b is even. We also assume that $e + 1 < b$. We have $\lambda^2 = e\lambda + b$.




FIGURE 2. Translation surface $L(b, e)$.

Theorem 4.1 (McMullen [McM05]). *The Teichmüller curve generated by $L(b, e)$ is a Weierstrass curve of discriminant $D = e^2 + 4b$. When $D > 9$ is such that $D \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, the curves generated by $L(b, e)$ and $L(b, -e)$ do not have the same spin invariant.*

We use this explicit description to prove Lemma 3.16 for Weierstrass curves. Recall that this proves Corollary 3.17 for V a Weierstrass curve that is not W_9 .

Proof. Suppose that $\lambda \neq 1$. The saddle connections on L lift on a double cover \tilde{L} to saddle connections with the same periods. There are two saddle connections on L with period i . Of those two, only one has the property that the saddle connection defined by turning with an angle π from its endpoint has period i . Indeed, turning by an angle π at the endpoint of the other will give a saddle connection with period $i\lambda$. Namely it is the saddle connection going up from the only conical point in the interior of the bottom edge of L . Therefore \tilde{L} has exactly two saddle connections satisfying this property. A translation of \tilde{L} must either fix them, or interchange them. Hence the group of translations of \tilde{L} is of order two. We have $\lambda = 1$ if and only if $2 = e + \sqrt{e^2 + 4b}$, that is when $b = 1 - e$. We have assumed that $e + 1 < b$, so the only possibility is $b = 2$ and $e = -1$. The curve generated by $L(2, -1)$ is W_9 . \square

We now prove Corollary 3.17 for $V = W_9$.

Proof. The curve W_9 is generated by an origami \mathcal{O} with three squares. We check directly that among the fifteen lifts of \mathcal{O} , exactly one has a group of translation of order larger than two. The action of $\text{Aff}^+(\mathcal{O})$ on covers $S \rightarrow \Sigma$ preserves the order of the translation group of the associated lift, hence fixes this cover. Moreover, for the other covers, there are only two translations and we can apply Corollary 3.15. \square

4.2. Marking and basis of homology. Let us first fix (a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2) , a basis for $H_1(L, \mathbb{Z})$. We take for a_1 and b_1 respectively the horizontal and vertical sides of the top square of side λ . For a_2 and b_2 , we take the bottom horizontal side of L and the vertical (blue) side at the right of L , respectively. We orient a_1 and a_2 towards the right, while b_1 and b_2 are oriented as going up. This basis is symplectic. We fix an identification $\Sigma \simeq L$. We will use Dehn twists in cylinders decompositions of L as in Section 2.7 to study the action of its affine group on homology.

4.3. Action on homology of Dehn twists. Suppose that s is a direction that decomposes L into two cylinders C_1 and C_2 . Their moduli m_1 and m_2 are commensurable, since L is a Veech surface. Let m be the lowest common multiple of $\frac{1}{m_1}$ and $\frac{1}{m_2}$ and write $m \cdot m_1 = k_1$ and $m \cdot m_2 = k_2$. Since the action on homology modulo two of a Dehn twist in a cylinder is of order two, the action of the Dehn twist of Section 2.7 only depends on the parity of k_1 and k_2 . Namely, the action is the same as the one of the Dehn twist in C_1 (resp. C_2) if k_1 is odd and k_2 is even (resp. k_1 is even and k_2 is odd). If both k_1 and k_2 are odd, then the action on homology is the same as the one of the composition of a Dehn twist in C_1 and a Dehn twist in C_2 . Observe that we have $\frac{m_1}{m_2} = \frac{k_1}{k_2}$.

4.4. Horizontal cylinder decomposition. Let us compute the moduli of the cylinders that are in the horizontal direction. The top square of side λ has modulus $m_1 = 1$. The rectangle below has modulus $m_2 = \frac{1}{b}$. Thus the affine group of $L(b, e)$ contains the map that is a right-Dehn twist in the bottom rectangle, and the b -th power of a right-Dehn twist in the top square. Its action on homology gives the following element of the monodromy group in our chosen basis:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

4.5. Vertical cylinder decomposition. The vertical direction gives a decomposition into two cylinders. The cylinder on the left has modulus $m_1 = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}$, while the second has modulus $m_2 = b - \lambda$. Since $\lambda^2 = e\lambda + b$, their ratio is

$$\frac{m_2}{m_1} = \frac{(b - \lambda)(\lambda + 1)}{\lambda} = \frac{b\lambda + b - \lambda^2 - \lambda}{\lambda} = b - e - 1.$$

Similarly to the horizontal decomposition, we obtain an element of the monodromy group:

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & b - e & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can obtain the third column of V by remarking that the image by the Dehn twist of $(-1, 0, 1, 0)$ is $(-1, 0, 1, b - e - 1)$.

4.6. Rotation. We want to prove that the monodromy group modulo two strictly contains the one generated by H and V , when $D \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.

Lemma 4.2. *If $D \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, then the monodromy group modulo two of L is not generated by the horizontal and vertical twists.*

Recall that $D = e^2 + 4b$. We thus assume for the rest of the section that b is even and $e \in \{-1, 1\}$.

4.6.1. *The case $e = -1$.* There exists a simple argument to prove Lemma 4.2 when $e = -1$. In this case, the following matrix belongs to the Veech group of L

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{b}{\lambda+1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\lambda} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Indeed, the rotation of order four applied to L gives Figure 3. Then the rescaling of




FIGURE 3. Translation surface $L(b, e)$ rotated.

the axes changes the bottom rectangle to a new one of sides $\frac{b-\lambda}{\lambda}$ and $\frac{b}{\lambda+1}$. But since $b = \lambda^2 + \lambda$, it becomes a square of side λ . Similarly, the top rectangle changes to a new one of width b and height 1. A corresponding homeomorphism in the Veech group exchanges the two handles (a_1, b_1) and (a_2, b_2) . Its action on $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ will send $v = (0, 1, 0, 0)$ to $(-1, 0, 1, 0)$, while v is fixed by both the H and V . This proves Lemma 4.2 when $e = -1$.

4.6.2. *The case $e = 1$.* We suppose for the rest of the section that $e = 1$. The $\mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit of $L(b, e)$ contains the L -shaped translation surface $\mathcal{L}(b, e)$, that is the same as $L(b, e)$, but with the top square of side $\lambda - 2$ and the bottom rectangle of width $b - 2$. Indeed, apply the following matrix to $L(b, e)$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{b-\lambda}{\lambda} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\lambda} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

After rotation and rescaling, the top rectangle has width

$$\frac{(b-\lambda)(\lambda+1)}{\lambda} = b - e - 1 = b - 2.$$

The remaining rectangle is a square of side $\frac{b-\lambda}{\lambda} = \lambda - e - 1 = \lambda - 2$. We mark the surface $\mathcal{L}(b, e)$ similarly to $L(b, e)$.

4.7. Diagonal cylinder decompositions. Our goal is to prove Lemma 4.2 in the remaining case $e = 1$.

4.7.1. *The case $b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.* Let us start by assuming that b is not a multiple of four. Let us consider the cylinder decomposition associated with the slope $s = \frac{2}{b}$. We assume for now that $\frac{b}{2} > \lambda$. This is equivalent to $b > 6$. This requirement allows us to have a simple picture for the cylinder decomposition, as shown in Figure 4. The elements $\alpha = (0, 0, 1, 2)$ and $\beta = (\frac{b}{2}, 1, 0, 0)$ of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ represent




FIGURE 4. Cylinder decomposition with slope $s = 2/b$.

saddle connections with slope s , see the brown and violet lines in Figure 4. Let $\gamma = (1, 0, 0, 1)$ and $\delta = (1, 0, 0, 0)$. The elements (α, γ) form a basis of the homology of the handle formed by the smaller cylinder C_1 , and $(\alpha + \beta, \delta)$ form a basis of the homology of the handle formed by the longer cylinder C_2 . Let us compute the moduli of these cylinders. Let us start with the cylinder C_1 . The period of α is $b + 2i$, while the period of γ is $\lambda + i$. We wish to compute the modulus of the cylinder defined by these complex numbers. Multiplying both numbers by a complex number does not change the modulus of the cylinder they form, hence we can consider the parallelogram formed by $b^2 + 4$ and $(\lambda + i)(b - 2i)$. Thus the modulus m_1 of C_1 is:

$$m_1 = \frac{b - 2\lambda}{b^2 + 4}.$$

The period of $\alpha + \beta$ is $\frac{b}{2}\lambda + b + i(\lambda + 2)$, and the period of δ is λ . Thus the modulus m_2 of C_2 is:

$$m_2 = \frac{\lambda(\lambda + 2)}{(\frac{b}{2}\lambda + b)^2 + (\lambda + 2)^2} = \frac{4\lambda}{(b^2 + 4)(\lambda + 2)}.$$

Since $\lambda^2 = e\lambda + b$, we have

$$\frac{m_1}{m_2} = \frac{(b - 2\lambda)(\lambda + 2)}{4\lambda} = \frac{b\lambda + 2b - 2\lambda^2 - 4\lambda}{4\lambda} = \frac{\frac{b}{2} - e - 2}{2}.$$

Since b is not a multiple of four, $\frac{b}{2} - e - 2$ is even, and the twist associated with the slope s will send δ to $\delta + \alpha + \beta$ in the monodromy modulo two group. Therefore, the action of this group on $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ must have $(1, 0, 0, 0)$ in the orbit of $(1, 1, 1, 0)$. This is not done by any element of the group generated by the horizontal and vertical twists. Indeed the vector $(1, 1, 1, 0)$ is fixed by both V and H . We thus have proven Lemma 4.2 when $b > 6$ is not a multiple of four.

4.7.2. *The case $b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.* We now turn to the case where b is a multiple of four. To deal with this case, we will for convenience use the model $\mathcal{L}(b, e)$ instead of $L(b, e)$. Since they are in the same $\mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit, their monodromy groups modulo two are isomorphic. The horizontal and vertical cylinder decomposition give the

same matrices H and V . Indeed when computing the moduli of the associated cylinders, we obtain $\frac{m_1}{m_2} = b - 2$ for the horizontal one, and for the vertical one

$$\frac{m_2}{m_1} = \frac{(b - \lambda)(\lambda - 1)}{\lambda - 2} = \frac{b\lambda - 2b - e\lambda + \lambda}{\lambda - 2} = b.$$

We consider, similarly to the previous diagonal cylinder decomposition, the slope $s = \frac{2}{b-2}$. We obtain a picture similar to Figure 4, provided $\lambda - 2 < \frac{b-2}{2}$. This is actually always the case: the inequality is satisfied as soon as $b > 2$, and b is a multiple of four. Let us compute the moduli of the cylinders C_1 and C_2 . We have as in the previous case, setting $b' = b - 2$ and $\lambda' = \lambda - 2$:

$$\frac{m_1}{m_2} = \frac{(b' - 2\lambda')(\lambda' + 2)}{4\lambda'} = \frac{(b - 2\lambda + 2)\lambda}{4(\lambda - 2)} = \frac{b\lambda - 2(e\lambda + b) + 2\lambda}{4(\lambda - 2)} = \frac{b}{4}.$$

Since b is a multiple of 4, as before the twist in the cylinder C_2 will create an element of the monodromy group that is not in the group generated by H and V . We thus have proven Lemma 4.2 when b is a multiple of four.

4.7.3. *The case $b = 6$.* The only cases remaining are when $b \leq 6$ is not a multiple of four. Note that when $b = 2$, since $e + 1 < b$, we have $e = -1$. This corresponds to the fact that there is only one Weierstrass curve of discriminant $D = 9$ by [McM05, Theorem 1.1]. Hence we just need to consider the case $b = 6$. This case corresponds to a square-tiled surface, tiled by five squares. Let us give two ways to prove Lemma 4.2 in this case. One way, that gives a uniform approach to Lemma 4.2 but that is tedious, is to consider the cylinder decomposition associated with the slope $s = \frac{1}{2}$, as pictured in Figure 5. We leave it to the reader to check




FIGURE 5. Cylinder decomposition of $L(6, 1)$ with slope $s = 1/2$.

that this cylinder decomposition has two cylinders C_1 and C_2 and that both a twist in C_1 and C_2 send elements in $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ to others that are not in their orbit under the action of $\langle H, V \rangle$.

An easier approach consists in remarking that, since $L(6, 1)$ is square-tiled, we may act by $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on it to find elements in its Veech group directly. We will work with $\mathcal{O} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot L(6, 1)$ instead of $L(6, 1)$ since it is then tiled by five unit squares.

We transport our basis of homology to \mathcal{O} with this rescaling of the x -axis. The permutations in \mathfrak{S}_5 defining \mathcal{O} can be taken to be $\alpha = (1, 2)$ and $\beta = (2, 3, 4, 5)$. Let $L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. The matrices L and R transform (α, β) respectively to $(\alpha\beta^{-1}, \beta)$ and $(\alpha, \beta\alpha^{-1})$, see *e.g.* [Mat22, Section 2.3]. This perspective allows us to find an element in the monodromy group modulo two that is not in $\langle H, V \rangle$.

Lemma 4.3. *The matrix $M = LR^3L$ belongs to the Veech group of \mathcal{O} and the element in the monodromy group modulo two it induces does not leave b_1 invariant.*

In Lemma 4.3, we can talk about *the* element of the monodromy group induced by M since \mathcal{O} does not have any translation, hence $\mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{O}) = \mathrm{Aff}^+(\mathcal{O})$. This is actually the case for every square-tiled surface in $\mathcal{H}(2g-2)$, see for example [Mat22, Proposition 39]. Concretely the matrix M is

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 5 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Let us first show that LR^3L is in the Veech group of \mathcal{O} . The matrix L changes (α, β) to (γ, β) where $\gamma = \alpha\beta^{-1} = (1\ 5\ 4\ 3\ 2)$. Then R^3 sends (γ, β) to (γ, δ) where $\delta = \beta\gamma^{-3} = (1\ 4\ 3\ 2)$. Finally L sends (γ, δ) to (ϵ, δ) where $\epsilon = \gamma\delta^{-1} = (1\ 5)$. The pair (ϵ, δ) is conjugated to (α, β) by $(1\ 2\ 5)(3\ 4)$. Therefore $M \in \mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{O})$. In order to show that b_1 is not stabilised by M , it suffices by Corollary 3.13 to show that the cover $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ of \mathcal{O} associated with b_1 does not contain M in its Veech group. The cover associated with b_1 has the form of the right side of Figure 1. The permutations defining it can be taken to be $\alpha' = (1\ 2)(6\ 7)(3\ 8)(4\ 9)(5\ 10)$ and $\beta' = (2\ 3\ 4\ 5)(7\ 8\ 9\ 10)$. Applying the same transformations as before, one obtains

$$(\epsilon', \delta') = ((1\ 10)(5\ 6), (1\ 4\ 8\ 2)(3\ 7\ 6\ 9)(5\ 10)).$$

The pair (ϵ', δ') is obviously not conjugated to (α', β') thus $M \notin \mathrm{SL}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})$. \square

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.2.

5. MONODROMY OF THE DECAGON

In [McM06b], McMullen established that the regular decagon D is the only abelian differential in $\mathcal{H}(1,1)$ that generates a primitive Teichmüller curve, up to the action of $\mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$. Let us fix an identification $\Sigma \simeq D$. In this section we compute the monodromy group of D , that is the image of the monodromy map

$$\rho: \mathrm{Aff}^+(D) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})).$$


Since the translation group of the decagon $\mathrm{Tr}(D)$ is trivial, we identify the affine group with the Veech group $\mathrm{SL}(D)$ of D . The seminal article of Veech [Vee89] describes the Veech groups of regular polygons. In order to recall this description in our case, let us denote by R the matrix of the rotation of angle $\frac{\pi}{5}$, and by T the following matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \cot \frac{\pi}{10} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2\sqrt{5+2\sqrt{5}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows from [Vee89, Theorem 1.1] that the Veech group of the decagon is generated by the matrices R and T . Let us fix a basis (a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2) of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$, as pictured in Figure 6, where the curves are oriented from left to right.

Before computing the monodromy of D , let us prove Lemma 3.16.

Proof. There is only one saddle connection on D with period 2. There are thus two saddle connections on any cover of degree two \tilde{D} with period 2. Any translation must either fix them, or interchange them. The translation group of \tilde{D} is thus of order two. \square

FIGURE 6. The decagon D .

This finishes the proof of Corollary 3.17. We now turn to the computation of the monodromy. Let $\xi = e^{i\pi/5}$, and $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2$ be the periods of a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 , respectively. We can compute these numbers directly:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1 &= \xi + 1 = \frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{4} + i\sqrt{\frac{5 - \sqrt{5}}{8}} \\ \beta_1 &= \overline{\alpha_1} = \frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{4} - i\sqrt{\frac{5 - \sqrt{5}}{8}} \\ \alpha_2 &= \xi^2 - \xi^4 = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{5 - 2\sqrt{5}}}{2} \\ \beta_2 &= \xi - \xi^3 = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} - i\frac{\sqrt{5 - 2\sqrt{5}}}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

The numbers $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2$ are linearly independent.

Lemma 5.1. *The period map $\text{Per}: H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is injective.*

Proof. Suppose $(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ is such that

$$x_1\alpha_1 + y_1\beta_1 + x_2\alpha_2 + y_2\beta_2 = 0.$$

The real part gives $x_1 + y_1 = 0$ and $x_2 + y_2 = 0$. The imaginary part then gives

$$x_1^2(5 - \sqrt{5}) = 2x_2^2(5 - 2\sqrt{5}).$$

Therefore $x_1 = x_2 = 0$ and $y_1 = y_2 = 0$. \square

Remark 5.2. Lemma 5.1 also follows from the fact that \mathcal{D} is algebraically primitive, see [FL23, Lemma 3.2].

This will allow us to derive the monodromy representation from the action of the Veech group on periods. Indeed, the Veech group $\text{SL}(D)$ acts on $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$, and on periods since for $A \in \text{SL}(D)$ and $\gamma \in H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$,

$$A \cdot \text{Per}(\gamma) = \text{Per}(\rho(A) \cdot \gamma).$$

Since R is a rotation of angle $\frac{\pi}{5}$, we have $R\beta_1 = \xi\beta_1 = \alpha_1$, and $R\beta_2 = \xi\beta_2 = \alpha_2$. Hence $\rho(R)$ sends b_i to a_i for $1 \leq i \leq 2$. From $\xi^4 = -\xi^{-1}$, we get

$$R\alpha_1 = \xi(\xi + 1) = \xi^2 + \xi = (\xi + 1) - (\xi^{-1} + 1) + (\xi^2 - \xi^4).$$

Therefore $R\alpha_1 = \alpha_1 - \beta_1 + \alpha_2$. Similarly,

$$R\alpha_2 = \xi(\xi^2 - \xi^4) = \xi^3 + 1 = (\xi + 1) - (\xi - \xi^3).$$

Thus $R\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 - \beta_2$. We can now write the matrix of $\rho(R)$ in the basis (a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2)

$$\rho(R) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us now turn to the computation of $\rho(T)$. Straightforward computations give

$$\begin{aligned} T\alpha_1 &= 3 \cdot \frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{4} + i\sqrt{\frac{5 - \sqrt{5}}{8}} \\ T\beta_1 &= -\frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{4} - i\sqrt{\frac{5 - \sqrt{5}}{8}} \\ T\alpha_2 &= 3\frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{5 - 2\sqrt{5}}}{2} \\ T\beta_2 &= -\frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{5 - \sqrt{5}}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $T\alpha_1 = 2\alpha_1 + \beta_1$, $T\beta_1 = -\alpha_1$, $T\alpha_2 = 2\alpha_2 + \beta_2$, and $T\beta_2 = -\alpha_2$. We now have the image of T by the monodromy map:

$$\rho(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Reducing modulo two, we easily see that the monodromy group modulo two of D is dihedral of order ten. One could alternatively use results of [McM23b] to describe this action. We are now set to prove Theorem 1.2: \mathcal{D} has three echoes in \mathcal{M}_3 .

Proof. By Corollary 3.17, it suffices to describe the action of the monodromy group on $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. We form the graph whose vertices are non-zero elements of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, with an edge between each vertex v and Mv for $M \in \{\rho(R), \rho(T)\}$. We verify that this graph has three connected components, each of size five. \square

Note that each of the three echoes is a cover of \mathcal{D} of degree five. We deduce from this analysis Corollary 1.3.

Proof. Let (X, ω) be a genus three Veech surface covering $(X_0, \omega_0) \in \mathcal{H}(1, 1)$. If (X, ω) is not covering a surface of genus one, then $\mathrm{SL}(X, \omega)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(X_0, \omega_0)$, by [McM06a, Corollary 2.2]. Thus (X_0, ω_0) is a Veech surface in $\mathcal{H}(1, 1)$, generating a primitive Teichmüller curve. It follows from [McM06b] that (X_0, ω_0) is, after rescaling, in the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit of D . Therefore (X, ω) belongs to one of the three Teichmüller discs generated by lifts of D . \square

5.0.1. *Higher genera.* Our techniques can be applied to study echoes of \mathcal{D} in higher genera moduli spaces. One can for example replace degree two covers by cyclic covers of a given degree n , that is covers associated with a subgroup of the form $\ker(\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. Let us call the *cyclic* echoes of \mathcal{D} the Teichmüller curves obtained by pulling back D with these covers. The cyclic echoes of \mathcal{D} lie in \mathcal{M}_{n+1} . We can mimic the proof of Lemma 3.16, and get that the echoes are still classified by

the action of the affine group on the covers as in Corollary 3.17, see also Corollary 8.3 in Section 8. We compute the graphs as before, where this time the vertices are the primitive elements of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. We can write a program that counts the connected components of these graphs, and get the number $N(n)$ of cyclic echoes of \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{M}_{n+1} for small values of n , see Table 1.

$n = g - 1$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
$N(n)$	3	1	3	8	3	1	3	1	24	3	3	1	3	8

TABLE 1. The numbers of cyclic echoes of \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{M}_g .

6. REAL MULTIPLICATION AND MONODROMY GROUPS

In this section, we prove Proposition 1.6. We first give a general proof, and then for the specific case of Weierstrass curves with non-square discriminant, we indicate an alternative and more direct approach.

6.1. Theoretical approach.

6.1.1. *Commutation with real multiplication.* Let us fix $X \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ a complex structure on Σ . We identify $\Omega(X)$ with $H^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$ by the map that sends α to $\text{Re}(\int \alpha)$. Recall that $[\alpha, \beta] \mapsto \frac{i}{2} \int \alpha \wedge \bar{\beta}$ is an inner product on $\Omega(X)$. An endomorphism $T: H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ extends to an \mathbb{R} -linear endomorphism of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$. Its dual $T^\vee: \Omega(X) \rightarrow \Omega(X)$ is a real linear endomorphism. When T^\vee is complex linear and self-adjoint, we can diagonalise it in an orthogonal basis.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $(X, \omega) \in \Omega\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$, and T be a complex linear self-adjoint endomorphism of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ that admits ω as eigenvector. Its dual $T^\vee: \Omega(X) \rightarrow \Omega(X)$ is diagonal in any orthogonal basis of the form (ω, ω') .*

Proof. Since T is self-adjoint, T^\vee also is, and preserves $\omega^\perp = \mathbb{C}\omega'$. \square

Let us verify that an element $f \in \text{Aff}^+(X, \omega)$ preserves $\mathbb{C}\omega$ and its orthogonal.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $(X, \omega) \in \Omega\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ and $f \in \text{Aff}^+(X, \omega)$. The endomorphism $\rho(f)^\vee: \Omega(X) \rightarrow \Omega(X)$ preserves both $\mathbb{C}\omega$ and its orthogonal.*

Proof. Under our identification, $\mathbb{C}\omega = \mathbb{R}\text{Re}(\int \omega) + \mathbb{R}\text{Im}(\int \omega)$. The endomorphism $\rho(f)^\vee: \Omega(X) \rightarrow \Omega(X)$ sends $\text{Re} \int \alpha$ to $\text{Re} \int_{\rho(f)} \alpha$. Since $f \in \text{Aff}^+(X, \omega)$, there exists $A \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\int_{\rho(f)} \omega = A \int \omega$. In particular for $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\rho(f)^\vee(z\omega) = \text{Re} \left(zA \int \omega \right) \in \mathbb{R}\text{Re}(\int \omega) + \mathbb{R}\text{Im}(\int \omega).$$

Therefore $\rho(f)^\vee$ preserves $\mathbb{C}\omega$. Since $\rho(f)$ is symplectic, the adjoint of $\rho(f)^\vee$ is its inverse, and $\rho(f)^\vee$ preserves ω^\perp . \square

We are now able to prove Proposition 1.6. Let us give a slightly more general statement.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $(X, \omega) \in \Omega\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ and $f \in \text{Aff}^+(X, \omega)$. Any self-adjoint complex linear endomorphism $T: H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ with real eigenvalues such that ω is an eigenvector of T^\vee commutes with $\rho(f)$.*

Proof. Let $S = \rho(f)^{-1}T\rho(f)$. Let us pick (ω, ω') an orthogonal basis of $\Omega(X)$. The spaces $\mathbb{C}\omega$ and $\mathbb{C}\omega'$ are composed of eigenvectors of T by Lemma 6.1. It follows from Lemma 6.2 that $\rho(f)^\vee$ preserves both of them. Therefore if $T^\vee(\omega) = \lambda\omega$ and $\rho(f)^{-1}(\omega) = \mu\omega$, shortening S^\vee and T^\vee by S and T , we have

$$S(\omega) = \rho(f)T\rho(f)^{-1}(\omega) = \rho(f)T(\mu\omega) = \lambda\rho(f)(\mu\omega) = \lambda\omega.$$

Note that we used that λ is real. Similarly, $S^\vee(\omega') = T^\vee(\omega')$. Therefore $S = T$. \square

6.1.2. *Real multiplication on L .* Recall that $W_D \subset \mathcal{M}_2$ is the locus of Riemann surfaces whose Jacobian admits real multiplication by an order \mathcal{O}_D , and an eigenform ω with a single zero. Let us find T a generator of \mathcal{O}_D for $L(b, e)$ the surface Figure 2 of Section 4. We fix again $\lambda = \frac{e+\sqrt{e^2+4b}}{2}$, and considering the periods, we let

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & e & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have $T^2 = eT + b$, and T is self-adjoint. It is actually a generator of multiplication by \mathcal{O}_D . Indeed, let us recall an argument from [McM07, Theorem 8.3]. A self-adjoint endomorphism has the following form

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 & \delta & -\beta \\ 0 & \epsilon & -\gamma & \alpha \\ \alpha & \beta & \eta & 0 \\ \gamma & \delta & 0 & \eta \end{pmatrix}.$$

If S is a generator of \mathcal{O}_D , we can replace it with $S - \eta I$ and suppose that $\eta = 0$. Since $S^\vee(\omega) = \lambda\omega$, considering periods, we get $S = T$. Therefore the monodromy group of L commutes with T . The matrix T is also explicit in [McM05, Section 5].

6.2. **A hands-on approach.** We now indicate a more direct approach to show that the monodromy group of L must commute with T , when D is not a square. Let $f \in \text{Aff}^+(X, \omega)$, $A = d(f)$, and write

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We also write $\rho(f) = (u_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4}$. Let $\gamma = a_1$. We have

$$A \cdot \text{Per}(\gamma) = A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \text{Per}(\rho(f) \cdot \gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} u_{11}\lambda + u_{31}b \\ u_{21}\lambda + u_{41} \end{pmatrix}$$

and it follows from the relation $\lambda^2 = \lambda e + b$ that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \\ a_{21} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} - u_{31}e + u_{31}\lambda \\ u_{21} - u_{41}\frac{e}{b} + u_{41}\frac{\lambda}{b} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, setting $\gamma = b_1$, we get

$$A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{32}b + u_{12}\lambda \\ u_{42} + u_{22}\lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Just as before, we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{12} \\ a_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{12} - u_{32}e + u_{32}\lambda \\ u_{22} - \frac{e}{b}u_{42} + \frac{\lambda}{b}u_{42} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying the same reasoning with $\gamma = a_2$ and $\gamma = b_2$ will give us relations between the numbers u_{ij} . Namely, we obtain with $\gamma = a_2$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \\ a_{21} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{b} \begin{pmatrix} u_{33}b + u_{13}\lambda \\ u_{43} + u_{23}\lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally for $\gamma = e_4$, we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{12} \\ a_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{34}b + u_{14}\lambda \\ u_{44} + u_{24}\lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now have four explicit equations relating the coefficients of $\rho(f)$. Since λ is not rational, these equations split and give eight linear equations in the coefficients u_{ij} . We can verify directly that they are another instance of the action on homology commuting with the matrix T .

Proposition 6.4. *These equations are satisfied if and only if $\rho(f)$ and T commute.*

This approach is more elementary in the sense that one does not need to know about real multiplication to show that the matrix T must commute with $\rho(f)$.

7. ECHOES OF THE WEIERSTRASS CURVES

In this section we compute the monodromy groups modulo two of the generators of Weierstrass curves, and prove Theorem 1.7. Then we classify the echoes of the components of W_D and prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.4. After that, we determine which covers of reduced square-tiled surfaces in $\mathcal{H}(2)$ have lattice of absolute periods $\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}$, and prove Theorem 1.5. Finally, we remark that one can count the sizes of the orbits of reduced square-tiled in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(2)$ surfaces, applying a result of Lelièvre and Royer.

7.1. Monodromy groups. We work again with the generators of W_D given by the surfaces of Figure 2, and the marking of Section 4. Recall from Corollary 3.9 that there are five elements of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ that lift L to the hyperelliptic component, while the other ten lift it to the odd component. Using the criterion given in Corollary 3.9, we verify that in our basis (a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2) of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, those five elements are $(1, 0, 1, 0)$, $(1, 0, 1, 1)$, $(1, 0, 0, 1)$, $(0, 1, 0, 0)$ and $(1, 1, 0, 0)$. Note that it follows from Corollary 3.15 that the monodromy group of L preserves these covers.

As a consequence of commutation with real multiplication, we show that the monodromy groups modulo two of L are generated by the horizontal and vertical twists when $D \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.

Proposition 7.1. *Let $D \geq 5$ be such that $D \equiv 0, 4, 5 \pmod{8}$ and $(X, \omega) \in \Omega\mathcal{M}_2$ generating W_D . The monodromy group modulo two of (X, ω) is dihedral, generated by two Dehn twists.*

Proof. Let us suppose that $D \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$. Then W_D is generated by the L -shaped translation surface of Figure 2, where $e = 0$ and $4b = D$. Since $D \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, we have $b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. The elements in the monodromy group modulo two induced by the horizontal and vertical Dehn twists have been computed in Section 4. They generate a group of order eight in $\mathrm{Sp}(H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}))$. The group $\mathrm{Sp}(H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}))$ is

of order 720. The monodromy group modulo two G of L is included in its subgroup of elements that commute with T , and preserve the set of covers lifting to the hyperelliptic component. This latter group can be checked to be of order eight. Therefore $G = \langle V, H \rangle$. We proceed similarly for $D \equiv 4, 5 \pmod 2$ with (e, b) being respectively $(0, 1), (1, 1)$ modulo two. \square

When $D \equiv 1 \pmod 8$, we have seen in Section 4 that the horizontal and vertical Dehn twists do not generate the monodromy group modulo two of L . However, we can still characterise it.

Proposition 7.2. *Let $(X, \omega) \in \Omega\mathcal{M}_2$ generating a Weierstrass curve of discriminant D , with $D \equiv 1 \pmod 8$. The monodromy group modulo two of (X, ω) is dihedral of order twelve.*

Proof. As before, we consider the L -shaped surface of Figure 2, with (e, b) such that $e \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $D = e^2 + 4b$. The group of elements in $\mathrm{Sp}(H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}))$ that commute with T and preserve the covers lifting to the hyperelliptic component is then checked to be dihedral of order twelve. However the subgroup $\langle H, V \rangle$ is of order six, and is strictly included in the monodromy group modulo two by Lemma 4.2. Therefore the monodromy group modulo two of L is of order twelve. \square

Concretely, this monodromy group is generated for example by the matrices H and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

7.2. Classification of the echoes. We now use the above generators of the monodromy group modulo two to classify the echoes of Weierstrass curves. It suffices by Corollary 3.17 to classify the orbits of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}$ under the action of these groups. In Table 2, we summarise results obtained by doing so, for the different congruences of D modulo eight. In the first line we recall the order of G , the monodromy group modulo two of their generators. We then show the sets of elements of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ that lift L to generators of the same Teichmüller curve. For this purpose, we label the elements of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ as follows. The element $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ corresponds to the number $\sum 2^i x_i$. For example, $(1, 0, 1, 1)$ is numbered $1 + 4 + 8 = 13$. We then write for example $\{2, 5\}$ to indicate that the Teichmüller curve generated by the lift of L by the covers corresponding to $(0, 1, 0, 0)$ and $(1, 0, 1, 0)$ are the same, and that these are the only covers giving this curve.

$D \pmod 8$	0	1	4	5
Order of G	8	12	8	10
Echoes in $\mathcal{H}(2, 2)^{\mathrm{hyp}}$	$\{2\}, \{3, 5, 9, 13\}$	$\{2, 5\}, \{3, 9, 13\}$	$\{2, 3, 9, 13\}, \{5\}$	$\{2, 3, 5, 9, 13\}$
Echoes in $\mathcal{H}(2, 2)^{\mathrm{odd}}$	$\{1, 7, 11, 15\},$ $\{4, 6\}, \{8, 10, 12, 14\}$	$\{1, 6, 8, 11, 12, 15\},$ $\{4, 10, 14\}, \{7\}$	$\{1, 4, 11, 14\}$ $\{6, 7, 8, 12\}, \{10, 15\}$	$\{1, 8, 11, 12, 14\}$ $\{4, 6, 7, 10, 15\}$

TABLE 2. The different echoes of W_D .

The orbits in Table 2 correspond to the echoes of each Weierstrass curve, which proves Theorem 1.1. It also allows us to prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof. Reduced square-tiled surfaces belong to the same $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit if and only if they generate the same Teichmüller curve. They cover a square-tiled surface in $\mathcal{H}(2)$ with d squares if and only if the corresponding Teichmüller curve is an echo of a component of W_D with $D = d^2$. The $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits of reduced square-tiled surfaces covering one in $\mathcal{H}(2)$ are thus classified by the echoes of Weierstrass curves with discriminant D . Since D is a square, $D \equiv 0, 1, 4 \pmod{8}$, and each component of W_D has five echoes. If d is even or $d = 3$, then W_D has only one component. When $d \geq 5$ is odd, then W_D has two components, giving ten $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits of reduced square-tiled surfaces with $2d$ tiles. \square

When $D \not\equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ and (X, ω) generates W_D , there is a single lift of (X, ω) with Veech group $\mathrm{SL}(X, \omega)$. This creates a copy of W_D in \mathcal{M}_3 . We will see in Section 8 that primitive Teichmüller curves always have copies in higher genera moduli spaces.

7.3. Primitivity. Let $(X, \omega) = L(b, e)$ be the L -shaped surface generating a Weierstrass curve of discriminant D from Section 4. Let us denote by $\Lambda = \mathrm{Per}(H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}))$ the set of its periods. If $p: S \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a covering, denote by Λ_p the set of periods of $p^*(\omega)$. In other words, if p is the cover associated with $f \in H^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, then

$$\Lambda_p = \mathrm{Per}(\{\gamma \in H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}) \mid f(\gamma) = 0\}).$$

Note that if p is the cover associated with γ , then $f: \alpha \mapsto \alpha \cdot \gamma$. When D is not a square, then Λ_p is always of index two in Λ .

Lemma 7.3. *The subgroup $\Lambda_p \subset \Lambda$ is of index at most two, and is of index two when D is not a square.*

Proof. Since $H_1(S, \mathbb{Z})$ is sent to an index two subgroup of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ by p , the index of Λ_p in Λ is at most two. If D is not a square, then the period map $\mathrm{Per}: H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \Lambda$ is a group isomorphism, hence $[\Lambda: \Lambda_p] = 2$. \square

We thus now assume that D is a square and $D = d^2$. Since $\lambda^2 = e\lambda + b$, we have

$$\Lambda = \lambda\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z} + i(\mathbb{Z} + \lambda\mathbb{Z}) = \lambda\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}.$$

Let us say that the cover p is *primitive* when $\Lambda_p = \Lambda$. In other words, a cover is primitive if the lattice of absolute periods of the cover $\tilde{L} = p^*(L)$ is the same as the one of L . We now identify the covers p that are primitive.

Lemma 7.4. *The covers associated with γ of the form $(x, 0, 0, y)$ are primitive.*

Proof. Let us show in this case that $\Lambda_p = \Lambda$. The $f \in H^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ corresponding to γ is

$$(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) \mapsto x_2y - y_1x.$$

Therefore, $(1, 0, 0, 0)$ is in $\ker f$. Its period is λ . Similarly, $(0, 0, 0, 1)$ is in $\ker f$. Its period is i . Thus $\Lambda_p = \lambda\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}$. \square

They correspond to $(1, 0, 0, 0)$, $(0, 0, 0, 1)$ and $(1, 0, 0, 1)$ which are labeled in Table 2 by 1, 8, and 9 respectively. Let us now consider the cover labeled 5.

Lemma 7.5. *The cover associated with $\gamma = (1, 0, 1, 0)$ is primitive if and only if $e + d \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. Here $f: (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) \mapsto -y_1 - y_2$. We have $\gamma = (1, 0, 0, 0) \in \ker f$. Thus $\lambda \in \Lambda_p$. We have $\Lambda_p = \Lambda$ if and only if $i \in \Lambda_p$. This is the case when one can write $i = x_1\lambda + x_2b + i(y_1\lambda + y_2)$ with $y_1 + y_2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. That is, when $1 = y_1\lambda + y_2$, with $y_1 + y_2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. When λ is odd, reducing modulo two gives $y_1 + y_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, and there is no solution. When λ is even, one can take $y_1 = 1$ and $y_2 = 1 - \lambda$. The result follows since $\lambda = \frac{e+d}{2}$ is even exactly when $e + d$ is a multiple of four. \square

We now turn to the case of the cover labeled two.

Lemma 7.6. *The cover associated with $\gamma = (0, 1, 0, 0)$ is primitive if and only if $d - e \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. This cover is primitive if and only if one can write $x_1\lambda + x_2b = \lambda$ with $x_1 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, that is, $x_1 + x_2(\lambda - e) = 1$. There is no solution if $\lambda - e$ is even, and $(x_1, x_2) = (1 + \lambda - e, 1)$ is a solution otherwise. Therefore the cover is primitive if and only if $\lambda - e = \frac{d-e}{2}$ is odd, that is when $d - e \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. \square

Let us now turn to the cover number five.

Lemma 7.7. *The cover associated with $\gamma = (1, 0, 1, 0)$ is primitive if and only if $d + e \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. It is primitive if and only if $y_1\lambda + y_2 = 1$ has a solution with $y_1 + y_2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. This happens exactly when λ is even. \square

We can now tell which of the covers lifting to the hyperelliptic component are primitive. Indeed primitive covers are preserved by the action of $\text{Aff}^+(X, \omega)$. Moreover the orbits of covers lifting to the hyperelliptic component are represented in every case by the covers numbered 2, 5 and 9, see Table 2. We now turn to the covers lifting to the odd component, starting with the one numbered four.

Lemma 7.8. *The cover associated with $\gamma = (0, 0, 1, 0)$ is primitive if and only if $d + e \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. It is primitive if and only if $1 = y_1\lambda + y_2$ with $y_2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. This is possible exactly when λ is odd, that is when $d + e \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. \square

We now consider the cover labeled seven.

Lemma 7.9. *The cover associated with $\gamma = (1, 1, 1, 0)$ is primitive if and only if $e = 0$ or $e + d \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. We have $f: (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) \mapsto y_1 - x_1 + y_2$. The cover is primitive when the following two equations have a solution with (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) in $\ker f$

$$\begin{aligned} i &= x_1\lambda + x_2b + i(y_1\lambda + y_2), \\ \lambda &= x_1\lambda + x_2b + i(y_1\lambda + y_2). \end{aligned}$$

There is a solution to the first equation when λ is even:

$$(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = (0, 1, 0, 1 - \lambda).$$

There is also a solution when λ is odd and $e = 0$:

$$(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = (1, \lambda, -\lambda, 1 - \lambda).$$

However if λ is odd and $e = \pm 1$, then from $x_1\lambda + x_2b = 0$ we get $x_1 + x_2(\lambda - e) = 0$ and x_1 must be even. One must have $y_1\lambda + y_2 = 1$ and $y_1 + y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 2$, which has no solution. For the second equation, there is a solution when $\lambda - e$ is odd:

$$(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = (1 + \lambda - e, 0, -1, 0).$$

When $e = 0$ and λ is even, we also have the solution

$$(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = (1 + \lambda, 1, -1, -\lambda).$$

If $e = \pm 1$ and λ is odd, a solution must have $x_1 \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ and $y_1\lambda + y_2 = 0$ with $y_1 + y_2 \equiv 1 \pmod 2$. This is not possible since λ is odd. Summarising, we have a solution to both equations if and only if $e = 0$, or when λ is even. \square

Finally, we analyse the primitivity of the cover number ten.

Lemma 7.10. *The cover associated with $\gamma = (0, 1, 0, 1)$ is primitive if and only if $d - e \equiv 0 \pmod 4$.*

Proof. It is primitive when one can write $x_1\lambda + x_2b = \lambda$ with $x_1 + x_2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. This happens when $1 = x_1 + x_2(\lambda - e)$, which has a solution if and only if $\lambda - e$ is even. \square

We can now tell which covers lifting to the odd component is primitive. Indeed the orbits of these covers under the action of $\text{Aff}^+(X, \omega)$ are represented by the covers 1, 4, 8, 7, 10, see Table 2. We summarise in Table 3 the echoes of L obtained by a primitive cover. Observe that if $e = \pm 1$, then $d + e \equiv d - e + 2 \pmod 4$.

$(d, d - e) \pmod 4$	$(0, 0)$	$(\pm 1, 0)$	$(2, 2)$	$(\pm 1, 2)$
Echoes in $\mathcal{H}(2, 2)^{\text{hyp}}$	$\{3, 5, 9, 13\}$	$\{3, 9, 13\}$	$\{2, 3, 9, 13\}$	$\{2, 5\}, \{3, 9, 13\}$
Echoes in $\mathcal{H}(2, 2)^{\text{odd}}$	$\{1, 7, 11, 15\}, \{8, 10, 12, 14\}$	$\{1, 6, 8, 11, 12, 15\}, \{4, 10, 14\}$	$\{1, 4, 11, 14\}, \{6, 7, 8, 12\}$	$\{1, 6, 8, 11, 12, 15\}, \{7\}$

TABLE 3. The echoes of square-tiled surfaces by primitive covers.

Theorem 1.5 follows from this analysis.

Proof. The types of branched covers of degree d of Theorem 1.5 correspond to the $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits of square-tiled surfaces that are lifts of a reduced square-tiled surface in $\mathcal{H}(2)$ by a primitive cover. \square

It follows from [McM05, Theorem 5.3] that when d is odd, we have $d - e \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ if and only if the spin invariant of the curve generated by $L = L(\frac{d^2-1}{4}, e)$ vanishes. This means that reduced square-tiled surfaces in the $\text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit of L have exactly one Weierstrass point on $\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}$, see [McM05, Theorem 6.1], while the ones in the other component of W_D have three integral Weierstrass points. This way of distinguishing the orbits of square-tiled surfaces was introduced by Hubert and Lelièvre in [HL06].

7.4. Counting square-tiled surfaces. Remark that our proof gives the indices of the Veech groups of the covers. In particular, since Lelièvre and Royer in [LR06] counted the sizes of the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits of reduced square-tiled surface in $\mathcal{H}(2)$, we have formulas for the sizes of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits of square-tiled surface in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(2)$. As an example, let us count the number of square-tiled surfaces in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(2)$, with $d = 22$ squares, odd Arf invariant and lattice of absolute periods $\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}$. By the results gathered in Table 3, they form four $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits. From [LR06], the two $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits of reduced square-tiled surfaces in $\mathcal{H}(2)$ with an odd number n of squares are of sizes $a_n = \frac{3}{16}(n-1)n^2 \prod_{p|n} (1 - \frac{1}{p^2})$ and $b_n = \frac{n-3}{n-1}a_n$. The numbers a_n are the sizes of the orbits with vanishing spin invariant, while b_n are the sizes of the others. Thus the a_n , resp. b_n , correspond to the orbits whose lifts are classified on the second, resp. last, column of Table 2. For $n = 11$, we have $a_n = 225$ and $b_n = 180$. It follows from Corollary 3.13 that the sizes of our orbits lifting origamis with vanishing spin invariant are $1350 = 6 \cdot 225$ and $900 = 4 \cdot 225$. The remaining orbits are of sizes $1080 = 6 \cdot 180$ and 180 .

8. REMARKS IN HIGHER GENUS

In this section we make some remarks on Teichmüller curves in higher genus, obtained by covering constructions. We first show that there are arbitrarily large numbers of echoes of Teichmüller curves of genus two, in higher genus. We then show that, as a corollary of a theorem of Funar and Lochak, one can find copies of a given primitive Teichmüller curve in moduli spaces of higher genera.

8.1. There are arbitrarily large numbers of pure echoes. As a consequence of Proposition 1.6, we show that a Teichmüller curve $V \subset \mathcal{M}_g$ can have an arbitrarily large number of *pure* echoes in higher genera moduli spaces. Since square-tiled surfaces are dense in each moduli space $\Omega\mathcal{M}_g$, there can be infinite numbers of echoes of a given Teichmüller curve in a given \mathcal{M}_g . However, this is not possible with pure echoes.

Lemma 8.1. *Let $V \subset \mathcal{M}_g$ be a Teichmüller curve. There is only a finite number of pure echoes of V in $\mathcal{M}_{g'}$ for each g' .*

Proof. There is only one Teichmüller curve in \mathcal{M}_1 , thus we suppose that $g \geq 2$. There are only finitely many covers of genus g' of a given genus g surface. \square

Recall that we call cyclic echoes of a Teichmüller curve $V \subset \mathcal{M}_g$ the Teichmüller curves generated by $p^*(\omega)$ where ω generates V and p is a normal cover with cyclic deck transformation group. The number of cyclic echoes of Teichmüller curves generated by a square-tiled surface in $\mathcal{H}(2)$ can be made arbitrarily large.

Proposition 8.2. *Let $b > 1$ be a square and $D = 4b$. For any odd $n \geq 3$ coprime with b , the number of cyclic echoes of W_D in \mathcal{M}_{n+1} is at least $\varphi(n)$.*

In Proposition 8.2, the function φ is the Euler's totient function. Let us first observe that as in Corollary 3.17, the echoes are classified by the action of $\mathrm{Aff}^+(X, \omega)$ on the set of primitive elements of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. Actually, the proof of Lemma 3.16 shows the following more general result.

Corollary 8.3. *Let $(X, \omega) \in \Omega\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ with a unique double zero, and $p: S_g \rightarrow \Sigma$ be an unramified normal cover with deck transformation group G . Suppose that (X, ω) generates a Teichmüller curve V that is not W_9 .*

- (1) *The translation group of $p^*(\omega)$ is isomorphic to G .*
- (2) *The echoes of V by such covers are in correspondence with the action of $\text{Aff}^+(X, \omega)$ on the set of these covers.*

Proof. The group of deck transformations G is naturally embedded in the translation group. On the translation surface $p^*(\omega)$, there are $|G|$ saddle connections $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{|G|}$ lifting the one of the proof of Lemma 3.16. Any translation must permute the σ_i , and is determined by the image of σ_1 . The translation group has thus order at most $|G|$. For the second affirmation, it suffices to notice that any isomorphism $F: S_g \rightarrow S_g$ sending one lift to the other must then conjugate the corresponding deck transformations as in Lemma 3.10. \square

We can now prove Proposition 8.2.

Proof. Let $b' = \sqrt{b}$. The matrix T admits $u_1 = (b', 0, 1, 0)$ and $u_2 = (0, b', 0, 1)$ as eigenvectors associated with the eigenvalue b' . It also admits $u_3 = (b', 0, -1, 0)$ and $(0, b', 0, -1)$ as eigenvectors with eigenvalue $-b'$. Since the monodromy group commutes with T , any matrix $A \in \rho(\text{Aff}^+(X, \omega))$ must preserve these sets.

The following matrix is invertible modulo n since its determinant is $4b$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} b' & 0 & b' & 0 \\ 0 & b' & 0 & b' \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) forms a basis of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, and we now write A in this basis. The matrix A is now block-diagonal. Thus the elements $(1, 0, x, 0) \in H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ with $x \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ are not in the same orbit for x generating different subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. There are $\varphi(n) + 1$ of these groups. \square

Note that one could improve the bound to $2\varphi(n) + 1$ in the preceding argument by also considering the elements of the form $(x, 0, 1, 0)$. One can then count primitive elements of the form $(x, 0, y, 0)$, where both x and y do not generate $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. We can also use the fact that A is symplectic to restrict the possibilities of its action on homology even further.

8.2. Any Teichmüller curve appears in infinitely many moduli spaces.

Given V a hyperbolic surface of finite type, it is known that there are only finitely many Teichmüller curves $V \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$ for each genus g , see [McM09]. A result of Funar and Lochak [FL18, Theorem 1.4] states that every closed surface Σ_g of genus $g \geq 2$ admits infinitely many surjections $\rho: \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow G$ with G simple and finite, such that $\ker \rho$ is a characteristic subgroup of $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$. As a consequence of this result and our observations, we show that for a Teichmüller curve $V \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$, there exist infinitely many $g' > g$ and Teichmüller curves $V \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g'}$. It is proven in [Her06] that there are infinitely many copies of the Teichmüller curve in \mathcal{M}_1 generated by any torus, namely $\mathbb{H}^2/\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 8.4. *There are infinitely many copies of a given primitive Teichmüller curve in higher genera moduli spaces.*

Proof. This is proven by Herrlich in [Her06] for the only Teichmüller curve in \mathcal{M}_1 , hence we fix Σ_g a closed surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Let $V \subset \mathcal{M}_g$ be a primitive

Teichmüller curve and $\rho: \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow G$ a surjection onto a finite group with characteristic kernel. Fix $(X, \omega) \in \Omega\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_g)$ generating V . Let $p: S' \rightarrow \Sigma_g$ be the cover associated with $\ker \rho$. The Teichmüller curve generated by $p^*(\omega)$ is isomorphic to V . Indeed, since $\ker \rho$ is characteristic, p is stabilised by the whole group $\text{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$. Therefore by Remark 3.14, the Veech group of $p^*(\omega)$ contains $\text{SL}(X, \omega)$. By results of Möller [Mol06, Theorem 2.6], see also [McM06a, Corollary 2.2], the Veech group of $p^*(\omega)$ is a subgroup of $\text{SL}(X, \omega)$. We thus get a copy of $V = \mathbb{H}^2/\text{SL}(X, \omega)$ in $\mathcal{M}_{g'}$, where $g' = (g-1)|G| + 1$. There exist arbitrarily large such G by [FL18]. \square

REFERENCES

- [AN16] David Aulicino and Duc-Manh Nguyen. Rank two affine submanifolds in $\mathcal{H}(2, 2)$ and $\mathcal{H}(3, 1)$. *Geom. Topol.*, 20(5):2837–2904, 2016.
- [AN20] David Aulicino and Duc-Manh Nguyen. Rank 2 affine manifolds in genus 3. *J. Differential Geom.*, 116(2):205–280, 2020.
- [Arn68] Vladimir I. Arnold. A remark on the branching of hyperelliptic integrals as functions of the parameters. *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.*, 2(3):1–3, 1968.
- [BB01] Stephen J. Bigelow and Ryan D. Budney. The mapping class group of a genus two surface is linear. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 1:699–708, 2001.
- [Cal20] Aaron Calderon. Connected components of strata of Abelian differentials over Teichmüller space. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 95(2):361–420, 2020.
- [CS21] Aaron Calderon and Nick Salter. Higher spin mapping class groups and strata of abelian differentials over Teichmüller space. *Adv. Math.*, 389:Paper No. 107926, 56, 2021.
- [Dur19] Eduard Duryev. Teichmüller curves in genus two: Square-tiled surfaces and modular curves. *Preprint*, 2019.
- [FL18] Louis Funar and Pierre Lochak. Profinite completions of Burnside-type quotients of surface groups. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 360(3):1061–1082, 2018.
- [FL23] Sam Freedman and Trent Lucas. Veech fibrations. *Preprint*, 2023.
- [FM12] Benson Farb and Dan Margalit. *A primer on mapping class groups*, volume 49 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012.
- [GRP23] Rodolfo Gutiérrez-Romo and Angel Pardo. Permutation of periodic points of Veech surfaces in $\mathcal{H}(2)$. *Preprint*, 2023.
- [Her06] Frank Herrlich. Teichmüller curves defined by characteristic origamis. In *The geometry of Riemann surfaces and abelian varieties*, volume 397 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 133–144. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [HL06] Pascal Hubert and Samuel Lelièvre. Prime arithmetic Teichmüller discs in $\mathcal{H}(2)$. *Israel J. Math.*, 151:281–321, 2006.
- [KZ03] Maxim Kontsevich and Anton Zorich. Connected components of the moduli spaces of Abelian differentials with prescribed singularities. *Invent. Math.*, 153(3):631–678, 2003.
- [LF23] Thomas Le Fils. Holonomy of complex projective structures on surfaces with prescribed branch data. *J. Topol.*, 16(1):430–487, 2023.
- [LN18] Erwan Lanneau and Duc-Manh Nguyen. Connected components of Prym eigenform loci in genus three. *Math. Ann.*, 371(1-2):753–793, 2018.
- [LR06] Samuel Lelièvre and Emmanuel Royer. Orbitwise countings in $\mathcal{H}(2)$ and quasimodular forms. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, pages Art. ID 42151, 30, 2006.
- [Mat22] Carlos Matheus. Three lectures on square-tiled surfaces. In *Teichmüller theory and dynamics*, volume 58 of *Panor. Synthèses*, pages 77–99. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2022.
- [McM03] Curtis T. McMullen. Billiards and Teichmüller curves on Hilbert modular surfaces. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(4):857–885, 2003.
- [McM05] Curtis T. McMullen. Teichmüller curves in genus two: discriminant and spin. *Math. Ann.*, 333(1):87–130, 2005.
- [McM06a] Curtis T. McMullen. Prym varieties and Teichmüller curves. *Duke Math. J.*, 133(3):569–590, 2006.
- [McM06b] Curtis T. McMullen. Teichmüller curves in genus two: torsion divisors and ratios of sines. *Invent. Math.*, 165(3):651–672, 2006.

- [McM07] Curtis T. McMullen. Dynamics of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ over moduli space in genus two. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 165(2):397–456, 2007.
- [McM09] Curtis T. McMullen. Rigidity of Teichmüller curves. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 16(4):647–649, 2009.
- [McM23a] Curtis T. McMullen. Billiards and Teichmüller curves. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 60(2):195–250, 2023.
- [McM23b] Curtis T. McMullen. Billiards in regular polygons. *Preprint*, 2023.
- [McM24] Curtis T. McMullen. Triangle groups: Cusps, congruence and chaos. *Preprint*, 2024.
- [Mol06] Martin Moller. Periodic points on Veech surfaces and the Mordell-Weil group over a Teichmüller curve. *Invent. Math.*, 165(3):633–649, 2006.
- [Mol18] Martin Moller. Geometry of Teichmüller curves. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians—Rio de Janeiro 2018. Vol. III. Invited lectures*, pages 2017–2034. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2018.
- [Muk14] Ronen E. Mukamel. Orbifold points on Teichmüller curves and Jacobians with complex multiplication. *Geom. Topol.*, 18(2):779–829, 2014.
- [MW19] Julien Marché and Maxime Wolff. Six-point configurations in the hyperbolic plane and ergodicity of the mapping class group. *Groups, Geometry and Dynamics*, pages 731–766, 2019.
- [SPWS17] Jan-Christoph Schlage-Puchta and Gabriela Weitze-Schmithüsen. Finite translation surfaces with maximal number of translations. *Israel J. Math.*, 217(1):1–15, 2017.
- [Thu97] William P. Thurston. *Three-dimensional geometry and topology. Vol. 1*, volume 35 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997.
- [Vee89] W. A. Veech. Teichmüller curves in moduli space, Eisenstein series and an application to triangular billiards. *Invent. Math.*, 97(3):553–583, 1989.
- [Zor06] Anton Zorich. Flat surfaces. In *Frontiers in number theory, physics, and geometry. I*, pages 437–583. Springer, Berlin, 2006.