

Discrete Lagrangian multiforms for quad equations, tetrahedron equations, and octahedron equations

Jacob J. Richardson¹ and Mats Vermeeren²

¹School of Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK
mmj jr@leeds.ac.uk

²Department of Mathematical Sciences, Loughborough University,
Loughborough, LE11 3TU, UK
m.vermeeren@lboro.ac.uk

March 26, 2024

We present three novel types of discrete Lagrangian 2-form for the integrable quad equations of the ABS list. Two of our new Lagrangian 2-forms have the quad equations, or a system equivalent to the quad equations, as their Euler-Lagrange equations, whereas the third produces the tetrahedron equations. This is in contrast to the well-established Lagrangian 2-form for these equations, which produces equations that are weaker than the quad equations (they are equivalent to two octahedron equations). We use relations between the Lagrangian 2-forms to prove that the system of quad equations is equivalent to the combined system of tetrahedron and octahedron equations. Furthermore, for each of the Lagrangian 2-forms, existing and new, we study the double zero property of the exterior derivative. In particular, this gives a possible variational interpretation to the octahedron equations.

1 Introduction

The theory of Lagrangian multiforms describes integrable systems through a variational principle. In recent years, the continuous version of the theory, describing integrable differential equations, has received a lot of attention [8, 13, 15, 18, 21] and a semi-discrete

version was formulated, extending the theory differential-difference equations [19]. However, the origins of Lagrangian multiform theory lie in the fully discrete setting and concern integrable *quad equations*, in particular those of the Adler-Bobenko-Suris (ABS) list [1]. This is a classification of multi-affine, multidimensionally consistent difference equations on a quadrilateral stencil (under the additional assumption that there exists a compatible “tetrahedron equation”). The observation that all equations on this list have a variational structure led to the introduction of Lagrangian multiforms in [10].

Lagrangian multiform theory describes a set of compatible equations (multidimensionally consistent difference equations or a hierarchy of differential equations) through a single variational principle involving a difference or differential form. This d -form is defined on the space of all independent variables of the set of equations. For any d -dimensional surface within the space of independent variables, we can consider an action, defined by the integral/sum of the Lagrangian d -form over the surface. In Lagrangian multiform theory (and in the closely related theory of *pluri-Lagrangian systems*), the variational principle requires these integrals to be critical no matter which surface is chosen.

The action functional originally suggested for the ABS equations in [1] involves Lagrangians defined on quadrilateral stencil. These have not been studied as Lagrangian multiforms, only as traditional Lagrangians. In [10], Lagrangians on triangular stencils were introduced and generalised to discrete Lagrangian 2-forms. These have been studied in [3, 5, 6, 10, 23] and produce a slightly weaker set of equations: the quad equations are sufficient conditions for the variational principle, but not necessary conditions. The necessary conditions can either be written as equations that are each a linear combination of two quad equations [5], or they can be put in the form of quad equations with an additional term, which plays the role of an integration constant [11]. It is emphasised in [5] that: “*quad-equations are not variational; rather, discrete Euler-Lagrange equations for the two-forms given in [3, 10] are consequences of quad-equations*”. In the present work we show that the literal interpretation of the first part of that statement is not true: quad equations *are* variational. We generalise the original Lagrangians on quadrilateral stencils to Lagrangian 2-forms and show that the generalised Euler-Lagrange equations produce the quad equations exactly.

An important property of Lagrangian multiform theory is that, on solutions to the variational principle, the Lagrangian d -form is closed. This means in particular that the action over a closed surface vanishes on solutions. Recently, an algebraic interpretation of this property has been given more emphasis: we can write the exterior derivative of the Lagrangian form as (a sum of) product(s) of Euler-Lagrange expressions. In other words, the exterior derivative is not just zero on solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations, but attains a *double zero* on this set of equations. In the continuous (and semi-discrete) case, the double zero property has been used implicitly in [17, 20] and discussed explicitly in [7, 16, 19]. In the discrete setting, a double zero expansion for the lattice Boussinesq equation was recently obtained in [14]. In this work, we present double zero expansions for the Lagrangian multiforms of the ABS list.

The Lagrangian 2-form considered in the existing literature on quad equations produces Euler-Lagrange equations that are equivalent to a system of two octahedron equations [6]. This cannot be equivalent to the set of six quad equations on a cube, any four

of which are independent. Furthermore, the two octahedron equations are independent from the two tetrahedron equations. In fact, the combination of these two sets, two octahedron equations and two tetrahedron equations, is equivalent to the full set of quad equations. The double zero expansion extends the variational interpretation of the quad and tetrahedron equations and provides the first known variational interpretation of the octahedron equations.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we review the structure of the ABS equations and the assumptions behind their classification. We start Section 3 with a short introduction to Lagrangian multiform theory. In Sections 3.2–3.4 we present two new Lagrangian multiforms for which the variational principle produces exactly the set of quad equations, as well as a third multiform that produces only the tetrahedron equations (the latter has appeared in a slightly different context in [3]). In Section 3.5 we contrast this with the well-known Lagrangian multiform. In Section 3.6 we discuss the closure property of each of these 2-forms. In Section 4, we show that the relations between quad equations, tetrahedron equations, and octahedron equations follow from relations between the different Lagrangian 2-forms. In Section 5 we show that the exterior derivatives of all four 2-forms admit a double zero expansion (in terms of quad, tetrahedron, and octahedron polynomials, respectively). We give a general construction of these double zero expansions, as well as explicit expressions for the quad equation H1.

2 Quad equations

2.1 The ABS classification

We consider partial difference equations in the \mathbb{Z}^N lattice, where to each lattice direction there is an associated parameter $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$. For a function $u : \mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and a reference point $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, we use the shorthand notations $u = u(\mathbf{n})$, $u_i = u(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{e}_i)$, $u_{ij} = u(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j)$ etc., where \mathbf{e}_i is the unit vector in the i -th coordinate direction.

The ABS list [1] is a classification of integrable difference equations that satisfy the following conditions:

- They are quad equations: they depend on a square stencil and on two parameters associated to the two lattice directions:

$$Q(u, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) = 0. \quad (1)$$

- They are symmetric: Equation (1) is invariant under the symmetries of the square,

$$Q(u, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) = \pm Q(u, u_j, u_i, u_{ij}, \alpha_j, \alpha_i) = \pm Q(u_i, u, u_{ij}, u_j, \alpha_i, \alpha_j). \quad (2)$$

Note that these two transformations of the tuple (u, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}) generate the symmetry group D_4 , and that the α_i, α_j are interchanged accordingly.

- They are multi-affine: the function Q is a polynomial of degree one in each of the u, u_i, u_j, u_{ij} (but can be of higher total degree). This guarantees that we can solve the equations for any of the four variables, given the other three.




Figure 1: Multidimensional consistency demands that all three of these routes to calculate u_{ijk} from initial values u, u_i, u_j, u_k produce the same value

- They are three-dimensionally consistent: given initial values u, u_i, u_j, u_k , use the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_{ij} &:= Q(u, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) = 0 \\
 Q_{jk} &:= Q(u, u_j, u_k, u_{jk}, \alpha_j, \alpha_k) = 0 \\
 Q_{ki} &:= Q(u, u_k, u_i, u_{ki}, \alpha_k, \alpha_i) = 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

to determine u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki} ; then u_{ijk} should be uniquely determined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \overleftarrow{Q}_{ij} &:= Q(u_{ijk}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, u_k, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) = 0 \\
 \overleftarrow{Q}_{jk} &:= Q(u_{ijk}, u_{ki}, u_{ij}, u_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k) = 0 \\
 \overleftarrow{Q}_{ki} &:= Q(u_{ijk}, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_j, \alpha_k, \alpha_i) = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

This is illustrated in Figure 1.

- They satisfy the tetrahedron property: the value obtained for u_{ijk} in the computation above is independent of u , depending only on u_i, u_j, u_k .

Remark 1. Note that, regardless of which signs occur in Equation (2), we have that

$$\overleftarrow{Q}_{ij} := Q(u_{ijk}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, u_k, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) = Q(u_k, u_{ki}, u_{jk}, u_{ijk}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j),$$

because the last equality is obtained by applying both symmetries of the square twice. We will use the notation $\overleftarrow{(\dots)}$ more generally to denote the point inversion $u \leftrightarrow u_{ijk}$, $u_i \leftrightarrow u_{jk}$, etc.

It turns out that each equation $Q(u, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) = 0$ of the ABS list is equivalent to an equation in *three-leg form*:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{(u)} := \psi(u, u_i, \alpha_i) - \psi(u, u_j, \alpha_j) - \phi(u, u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) = 0. \tag{5}$$

This gives us a second, complementary, perspective on the ABS equations: we can either study the multiaffine expressions Q_{ij} or the three-leg forms $\mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{(u)}$. The D_4 -symmetry

imposed on the quad equations has significant implications for the three-leg form. From the reflection symmetry it follows that $\phi(u, u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) = -\phi(u, u_{ij}, \alpha_j - \alpha_i)$. Applying rotational symmetry to Equation (5) we get equivalent three-leg forms based at the other three vertices of the square:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{(u_i)} := \psi(u_i, u_{ij}, \alpha_j) - \psi(u_i, u, \alpha_i) - \phi(u_i, u_j, \alpha_j - \alpha_i) = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{(u_{ij})} := \psi(u_{ij}, u_j, \alpha_i) - \psi(u_{ij}, u_i, \alpha_j) - \phi(u_{ij}, u, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{(u_j)} := \psi(u_j, u, \alpha_j) - \psi(u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i) - \phi(u_j, u_i, \alpha_j - \alpha_i) = 0. \quad (8)$$

The three-leg forms based at different vertices are illustrated in Figure 2. We emphasise that

$$\mathcal{Q}_{ij} = 0 \iff \mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{(u)} = 0 \iff \mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{(u_i)} = 0 \iff \mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{(u_j)} = 0 \iff \mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{(u_{ij})} = 0.$$




Figure 2: Graphical representation of the four orientations of a three-leg form, where the colour reflects the sign of the leg function.

On the faces of a cube adjacent to a fixed vertex, the three-leg forms based at that vertex naturally combine to form an equation on a tetrahedral stencil, illustrated in Figure 3, for example:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}^{(u)} &:= \phi(u, u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) + \phi(u, u_{jk}, \alpha_j - \alpha_k) + \phi(u, u_{ki}, \alpha_k - \alpha_i) \\ &= -\mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{(u)} - \mathcal{Q}_{jk}^{(u)} - \mathcal{Q}_{ki}^{(u)} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

To relate the three-leg tetrahedron equation (9) with a multiaffine equation, we will rely on some relations between the equations of the ABS list (which is given in the Appendix). The ABS list contains three types of equation, labeled Q, H, and A. Those of type Q have the property that their short and long leg functions are the same, $\phi = \psi$. Each equation of type H and A shares its long leg function ϕ with an equation of type Q, but has a different short leg function ψ . Thus the quad equations of type H and type Q have the same the tetrahedron equation as an equation of type Q. In fact, a multiaffine tetrahedron equation $T = 0$, equivalent to $\mathcal{T}^{(u)} = 0$, can be defined in terms of a quad polynomial of type Q, evaluated on a tetrahedron stencil:

$$T(u, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k) = \mathcal{Q}^{(\text{type Q})}(u, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j, -\alpha_j + \alpha_k).$$




Figure 3: Tetrahedron equation from three quad equations

Here $Q^{(\text{type Q})}$ represents the multiaffine polynomial corresponding to a quad equation of type Q. Consistent with the three-leg form (9), this multiaffine polynomial is symmetric under permutation of indices.

Now we can apply the rotational symmetry of the quad equation of type Q and obtain equivalent three-leg forms of tetrahedron equation based at the other vertices:

$$\mathcal{T}^{(u_{ij})} := \phi(u_{ij}, u_{ki}, \alpha_j - \alpha_k) + \phi(u_{ij}, u, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) + \phi(u_{ij}, u_{jk}, \alpha_i - \alpha_k) = 0, \quad (10)$$

$$\mathcal{T}^{(u_{ki})} := \phi(u_{ki}, u_{jk}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) + \phi(u_{ki}, u_{ij}, \alpha_j - \alpha_k) + \phi(u_{ki}, u, \alpha_k - \alpha_i) = 0, \quad (11)$$

$$\mathcal{T}^{(u_{jk})} := \phi(u_{jk}, u, \alpha_j - \alpha_k) + \phi(u_{jk}, u_{ki}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) + \phi(u_{jk}, u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_k) = 0. \quad (12)$$

Altogether we have that

$$T = 0 \iff \mathcal{T}^{(u)} = 0 \iff \mathcal{T}^{(u_{ij})} = 0 \iff \mathcal{T}^{(u_{jk})} = 0 \iff \mathcal{T}^{(u_{ki})} = 0.$$

The same argument applies to the other four variables, so we can derive a second tetrahedron equation in three-leg form, which is related to the first by point inversion:

$$\overleftarrow{\mathcal{T}}^{(u_{ijk})} := \phi(u_{ijk}, u_k, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) + \phi(u_{ijk}, u_i, \alpha_j - \alpha_k) + \phi(u_{ijk}, u_j, \alpha_k - \alpha_i) = 0$$

Similarly, we define the three-leg expressions $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{T}}^{(u_i)}$, $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{T}}^{(u_j)}$, $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{T}}^{(u_k)}$, based at the remaining three vertices. Considering also the polynomial $\overleftarrow{T} = T(u_{ijk}, u_k, u_i, u_j, \alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k)$, we have the following equivalent equations:

$$\overleftarrow{T} = 0 \iff \overleftarrow{\mathcal{T}}^{(u_{ijk})} = 0 \iff \overleftarrow{\mathcal{T}}^{(u_k)} = 0 \iff \overleftarrow{\mathcal{T}}^{(u_i)} = 0 \iff \overleftarrow{\mathcal{T}}^{(u_j)} = 0.$$

Example (H1), part 1. One of the simplest equations on the ABS list is the lattice potential KdV equation, labeled H1, for which

$$Q_{ij} = (u_i - u_j)(u - u_{ij}) - \alpha_i + \alpha_j. \quad (13)$$

In this case, the leg functions are given by

$$\psi(u, u_i, \alpha_i) = u_i \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(u, u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) = \frac{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}{u - u_{ij}}.$$

The quad equation $Q_{ij} = 0$ is equivalent to the three-leg equation $\mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{(u)} = 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{(u)} &= \psi(u, u_i, \alpha_i) - \psi(u, u_j, \alpha_j) - \phi(u, u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) \\ &= u_i - u_j - \frac{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}{u - u_{ij}} = \frac{Q_{ij}}{u - u_{ij}}.\end{aligned}$$

The three-leg form $\mathcal{T}^{(u)} = 0$ of the tetrahedron equation $T = 0$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}^{(u)} &= \phi(u, u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) + \phi(u, u_{jk}, \alpha_j - \alpha_k) + \phi(u, u_{ki}, \alpha_k - \alpha_i) \\ &= \frac{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}{u - u_{ij}} + \frac{\alpha_j - \alpha_k}{u - u_{jk}} + \frac{\alpha_k - \alpha_i}{u - u_{ki}} \\ &= \frac{T}{(u - u_{ij})(u - u_{jk})(u - u_{ki})}.\end{aligned}$$

The tetrahedron equation $T = 0$ can also be expressed in multi-affine form as

$$\begin{aligned}T(u, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k) \\ = (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)(uu_{ij} + u_{jk}u_{ki}) + (\alpha_j - \alpha_k)(uu_{jk} + u_{ij}u_{ki}) + (\alpha_k - \alpha_i)(uu_{ki} + u_{ij}u_{jk}),\end{aligned}\tag{14}$$

which is exactly the multi-affine polynomial of ABS equation $Q1_{\delta=0}$ (see Appendix).

2.2 Planar Lagrangian structure

Already in the original ABS paper [1], Lagrangians (in the traditional sense) were constructed for all equations from the ABS list. This construction is based on the observation that, after a suitable transformation of the variable u , there exist functions L and Λ such that the leg functions ψ and ϕ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x, y, \alpha) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} L(x, y, \alpha), \\ \phi(x, y, \alpha - \beta) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Lambda(x, y, \alpha - \beta),\end{aligned}$$

where L and Λ have the following symmetries:

$$\begin{aligned}L(x, y, \alpha) &= L(y, x, \alpha), \\ \Lambda(x, y, \alpha - \beta) &= \Lambda(y, x, \alpha - \beta), \\ \Lambda(x, y, \alpha - \beta) &= -\Lambda(x, y, \beta - \alpha).\end{aligned}$$

Note that this implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} L(x, y, \alpha) &= \psi(y, x, \alpha), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Lambda(x, y, \alpha - \beta) &= \phi(y, x, \alpha - \beta).\end{aligned}$$




Figure 4: (a) The stencil of the trident Lagrangian. (b) The discrete Euler-Lagrange equation involves three-leg structures on two squares.

The functions L and Λ can be combined into a 4-point Lagrangian [1]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\triangleleft}(u, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) := L(u, u_i, \alpha_i) - L(u, u_j, \alpha_j) - \Lambda(u, u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j). \quad (15)$$

which we will refer to as the *trident Lagrangian*, inspired by the three-leg structure depicted Figure 4(a). The traditional Euler-Lagrange equation of $\mathcal{L}_{\triangleleft}$, depicted in Figure 4(b), is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\triangleleft}(u, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) + \mathcal{L}_{\triangleleft}(u_{-i}, u, u_{-i,j}, u_j, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) + \mathcal{L}_{\triangleleft}(u_{-j}, u_{i,-j}, u, u_{i,-j}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \mathcal{L}_{\triangleleft}(u_{-i,-j}, u_{-j}, u_{-i}, u, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) \right) \\ & = \psi(u, u_i, \alpha_i) - \psi(u, u_j, \alpha_j) - \phi(u, u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) \\ & \quad + \psi(u, u_{-i}, \alpha_i) - \psi(u, u_{-j}, \alpha_j) - \phi(u, u_{-i,-j}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the subscript $-i$ denotes a shift in the negative direction along the i -th coordinate axis. This Euler-Lagrange equation is the sum of two shifted instances of the quad equation (in the form (5) and (7) respectively).

Alternatively, the functions L and Λ can be combined into a 3-point Lagrangian [10]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\triangle}(u, u_i, u_j, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) := L(u, u_i, \alpha_i) - L(u, u_j, \alpha_j) - \Lambda(u_i, u_j, \alpha_i - \alpha_j), \quad (16)$$

which we will refer to as the *triangle Lagrangian*, inspired by the three-leg structure depicted Figure 5(a). The traditional Euler-Lagrange equation of \mathcal{L}_{\triangle} , depicted in Figure 5(b), is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\triangle}(u, u_i, u_j, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) + \mathcal{L}_{\triangle}(u_{-i}, u, u_{-i,j}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) + \mathcal{L}_{\triangle}(u_{-j}, u_{i,-j}, u, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) \right) \\ & = \psi(u, u_i, \alpha_i) - \psi(u, u_{-j}, \alpha_j) - \phi(u, u_{i,-j}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) \\ & \quad + \psi(u, u_{-i}, \alpha_i) - \psi(u, u_j, \alpha_j) - \phi(u, u_{-i,j}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) = 0, \end{aligned}$$




Figure 5: (a) The stencil of the triangle Lagrangian. (b) The discrete Euler-Lagrange equation involves three-leg structures on two squares.

where the subscript $-i$ denotes a shift in the negative direction along the i -th coordinate axis. This Euler-Lagrange equation is the sum of two shifted instances of the quad equation (in the form (6) and (8) respectively).

3 Lagrangian multiforms

3.1 General theory

In [10] the crucial observation was made that the Lagrangian (16) can be combined with the multidimensional consistency property, leading to the concept of Lagrangian multiforms. The key idea is to interpret a Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(u, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j)$, which is skew-symmetric under the swap of indices $i \leftrightarrow j$, as a discrete 2-form on a higher-dimensional quadrilateral lattice. Here we will work on the lattice \mathbb{Z}^N but more general lattices with quadrilateral faces can be considered. Instead of considering the action sum over a plane, Lagrangian multiform theory considers arbitrary 2-dimensional discrete surfaces in the lattice. Just like continuous differential 2-form can be integrated over any oriented surface, a discrete 2-form can be integrated over an oriented discrete surface by summing over all elementary squares making up the surface. We require that, for every choice of discrete surface, the corresponding action sum is critical in two ways: with respect to variations of the fields u, u_i, \dots and with respect to variations of the discrete surface. An equivalent characterisation is to require that the action sum over every elementary cube $\{\mathbf{n} + \mu_i \mathbf{e}_i + \mu_j \mathbf{e}_j + \mu_k \mathbf{e}_k \mid \mu_i, \mu_j, \mu_k \in \{0, 1\}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^N$ is equal to zero and critical with respect to variations of the fields [5].

Criticality with respect to variations of the fields leads to a set of generalised Euler-Lagrange equations. They are variously called *multiform Euler-Lagrange equations*, *multi-time Euler-Lagrange equations* (because in the continuous case the space of independent variables is spanned by time-variables of several commuting flows), or *corner*

equations (because they are derived from the corners of an elementary cube in \mathbb{Z}^N). To derive the corner equations, consider the action sum over the cube,

$$S = \mathcal{L}(u_k, u_{ki}, u_{jk}, u_{ijk}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) + \mathcal{L}(u_i, u_{ij}, u_{ki}, u_{ijk}, \alpha_j, \alpha_k) + \mathcal{L}(u_j, u_{jk}, u_{ij}, u_{ijk}, \alpha_k, \alpha_i) \\ - \mathcal{L}(u, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) - \mathcal{L}(u, u_j, u_k, u_{ij}, \alpha_j, \alpha_k) - \mathcal{L}(u, u_k, u_i, u_{ki}, \alpha_k, \alpha_i).$$

This action around the cube is symmetric under cyclic permutation of indices (i, j, k) . We will use the notation $+ \mathcal{O}_{ijk}$ to represent adding two more copies of the preceding expression, permuted once and twice respectively. Thus, we can write

$$S = \mathcal{L}(u_k, u_{ki}, u_{jk}, u_{ijk}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) - \mathcal{L}(u, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}.$$

This action is critical respect to variations of the fields if and only if all of the following equations hold:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \frac{\partial S}{\partial u} = 0, & \frac{\partial S}{\partial u_{ijk}} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial S}{\partial u_i} = 0, & \frac{\partial S}{\partial u_{jk}} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial S}{\partial u_j} = 0, & \frac{\partial S}{\partial u_{ki}} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial S}{\partial u_k} = 0, & \frac{\partial S}{\partial u_{ij}} = 0. \end{array}$$

The action of a discrete Lagrangian 2-form over an elementary cube can be thought of as the discrete analog to the exterior derivative. A property at the core of Lagrangian multiform theory is that, on solutions, the Lagrangian 2-form is closed, i.e. that the action over an elementary cube is zero:

$$S = 0.$$

This is the necessary and sufficient condition for the criticality of the action over arbitrary surfaces with respect to variations of the surface. More details on discrete Lagrangian multiform theory can be found for example in [4, 5, 9, 11].

In the next four subsections we will introduce three additional 2-forms and contrast them to the known 2-form. Initially, we will only study their corner equations. Then, in Subsection 3.6, we will prove that each of them satisfies the closure relation on their respective set of corner equations.

3.2 Trident 2-form: quad equations are variational

One of our main results is that the quad equations of the ABS list are variational. We will show that the trident Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\sphericalangle}$, given in Equation (15) (and first considered in [1]) generalises to a Lagrangian multiform with corner equations that produce the quad

equations directly. The action over an elementary cube of $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{L}$, interpreted as a 2-form, can be written as (see Figure 6(b))

$$\begin{aligned}
S_\mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{L}(u_k, u_{ki}, u_{jk}, u_{ijk}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) - \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{L}(u, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) + \mathcal{O}_{ijk} \\
&= L(u_k, u_{ki}, \alpha_i) - L(u_k, u_{jk}, \alpha_j) - \Lambda(u_k, u_{ijk}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) \\
&\quad - L(u, u_i, \alpha_i) + L(u, u_j, \alpha_j) + \Lambda(u, u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) + \mathcal{O}_{ijk} \\
&= (L(u_i, u_{ij}, \alpha_j) + \Lambda(u, u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j)) - (L(u_{jk}, u_k, \alpha_j) + \Lambda(u_k, u_{ijk}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j)) + \mathcal{O}_{ijk} \\
&= L(u_i, u_{ij}, \alpha_j) + \Lambda(u, u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) - \overleftarrow{(\dots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}, \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

where the notation $-\overleftarrow{(\dots)}$ represents subtracting an inverted copy of the preceding expression, and $+\mathcal{O}_{ijk}$ indicates the addition of terms obtained by cyclic permutation of (i, j, k) . Thus, Equation (17) is manifestly symmetric under cyclic permutations and skew-symmetric under point inversion.




Figure 6: (a) The leg structure of a single Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{L}(u_k, u_{ki}, u_{jk}, u_{ijk}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j)$. (b) The leg structure for the action on an elementary cube of the trident 2-form $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{L}$.

Now we consider the corner expression at u_{ij}

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial S_\mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{ij}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{ij}} (L(u_i, u_{ij}, \alpha_j) - L(u_{ij}, u_j, \alpha_i) + \Lambda(u, u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j)) \\
&= \psi(u_{ij}, u_i, \alpha_j) - \psi(u_{ij}, u_j, \alpha_i) + \phi(u_{ij}, u, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) \\
&= -\mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{(u_{ij})}.
\end{aligned}$$

This gives us exactly the original quad equation in three-leg form (7). The expressions at u_{jk} and u_{ki} are analogous and follow from permutation of indices. At the u_k corner we find a similar expression, $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{(u_k)}}$, which is what we would expect from the skew-symmetry under point inversion. The expressions at u_i and u_j are analogous and follow from permutation of indices.

Let us consider the u corner expression produced by this 2-form

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial S_{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial u} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial u} (\Lambda(u, u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) + \Lambda(u, u_{jk}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) + \Lambda(u, u_{ki}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j)) \\ &= \phi(u, u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) + \phi(u, u_{jk}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) + \phi(u, u_{ki}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) \\ &= \mathcal{T}^{(u)}.\end{aligned}$$

We find exactly the tetrahedron equation (9) in three-leg form. At the u_{ijk} corner we find the same but negated and inverted $-\overleftarrow{\mathcal{T}}^{(u_{ijk})}$. Altogether we conclude the following:

Theorem 2. *The corner equations of the trident 2-form (15) are the quad equations and tetrahedron equations in three-leg form:*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial S_{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial u} &= \mathcal{T}^{(u)} = 0, & \frac{\partial S_{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial u_{ijk}} &= -\overleftarrow{\mathcal{T}}^{(u_{ijk})} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial S_{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial u_i} &= \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Q}}_{jk}^{(u_i)} = 0, & \frac{\partial S_{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial u_{jk}} &= -\mathcal{Q}_{jk}^{(u_{jk})} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial S_{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial u_j} &= \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Q}}_{ki}^{(u_j)} = 0, & \frac{\partial S_{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial u_{ki}} &= -\mathcal{Q}_{ki}^{(u_{ki})} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial S_{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial u_k} &= \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Q}}_{ij}^{(u_k)} = 0, & \frac{\partial S_{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial u_{ij}} &= -\mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{(u_{ij})} = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

Proof. Take derivatives of (17) and recognise the three-leg terms (7) (and their point inversions), as well as the three-leg terms (9) (and their point inversions). \square

Example (H1), part 2. *For H1 we have*

$$\begin{aligned}L(u, u_i, \alpha_i) &= uu_i, \\ \Lambda(u_i, u_j, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) &= (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log(u_i - u_j).\end{aligned}$$

Note in this form the symmetry $\Lambda(u_j, u_i, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) = \Lambda(u_i, u_j, \alpha_i - \alpha_j)$ is up to an addition of a complex constant. We comment on options to make this strictly symmetric in the appendix.

The trident Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}(u, \bar{u}_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) = uu_i - u\bar{u}_j - (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log(u - u_{ij}).$$

Its action around the elementary cube of the 2-form is

$$\begin{aligned}S_{\mathcal{L}} &= u_k u_{ki} - u_k u_{jk} - (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log(u_k - u_{ijk}) - uu_i + uu_j + (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log(u - u_{ij}) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}_{ijk} \\ &= (u_i u_{ij} + (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log(u - u_{ij})) - (u_{jk} u_k + (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log(u_{ijk} - u_k)) + \mathcal{O}_{ijk} \\ &= u_i u_{ij} + (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log(u - u_{ij}) - \overleftarrow{(\dots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}.\end{aligned}$$

This leads to 8 corner expressions. For example, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial S_{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial u} &= \frac{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}{u - u_{ij}} + \frac{\alpha_j - \alpha_k}{u - u_{jk}} + \frac{\alpha_k - \alpha_i}{u - u_{ki}} \\ &= \mathcal{T}^{(u)} = \frac{T}{(u - u_{ij})(u - u_{jk})(u - u_{ki})}.\end{aligned}$$

In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial S_{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial u_{ij}} &= u_i - u_j - \frac{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}{u - u_{ij}} \\ &= -\mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{(u_{ij})} = \frac{Q_{ij}}{u - u_{ij}}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial S_{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial u_i} &= \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Q}}_{jk}^{(u_{jk})} = \frac{\overleftarrow{Q}_{jk}}{(u_i - u_{ijk})}, \\ \frac{\partial S_{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial u_{ijk}} &= -\overleftarrow{\mathcal{T}}^{(u_{ijk})} = \frac{\overleftarrow{T}}{(u_i - u_{ijk})(u_j - u_{ijk})(u_k - u_{ijk})}.\end{aligned}$$

3.3 Cross 2-form: tetrahedron equations are variational

We will show that the tetrahedron equations arise as corner equations of the following 2-form, which we call the *cross Lagrangian*,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\times}(u, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) = \Lambda(u_i, u_j, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) - \Lambda(u, u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j). \quad (19)$$

The name for this Lagrangian is inspired on its leg structure, which is shown in Figure 7(a). This Lagrangian was studied in [3] in the more general context of Laplace type equations on a bipartite quad graph. In our present context, it is particularly relevant because it links our new trident Lagrangian to the known triangle Lagrangian.

Consider the action over an elementary cube and note that

$$\begin{aligned}S_{\times} &= \Lambda(u_{ki}, u_{jk}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) - \Lambda(u_k, u_{ijk}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) \\ &\quad - \Lambda(u_i, u_j, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) + \Lambda(u, u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) + \mathcal{O}_{ijk} \\ &= \Lambda(u, u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) - \Lambda(u_i, u_j, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) - \overleftarrow{(\cdots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}.\end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

By taking partial derivatives of this action we can conclude the following:




Figure 7: (a) The leg structure of a single Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_\times(u_k, u_{ki}, u_{jk}, u_{ijk}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j)$. (b) The leg structure for the action on an elementary cube of the cross 2-form \mathcal{L}_\times .

Theorem 3. *The corner equations of the cross 2-form (19) are the two tetrahedron equations, in different three-leg forms,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial S_\times}{\partial u} &= \mathcal{T}^{(u)} = 0, & \frac{\partial S_\times}{\partial u_{ijk}} &= -\overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{T}}^{(u_{ijk})} = 0, \\
\frac{\partial S_\times}{\partial u_i} &= -\overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{T}}^{(u_i)} = 0, & \frac{\partial S_\times}{\partial u_{jk}} &= \mathcal{T}^{(u_{jk})} = 0, \\
\frac{\partial S_\times}{\partial u_j} &= -\overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{T}}^{(u_j)} = 0, & \frac{\partial S_\times}{\partial u_{ki}} &= \mathcal{T}^{(u_{ki})} = 0, \\
\frac{\partial S_\times}{\partial u_k} &= -\overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{T}}^{(u_k)} = 0, & \frac{\partial S_\times}{\partial u_{ij}} &= \mathcal{T}^{(u_{ij})} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Proof. In the partial derivatives of (20), we recognise three-leg forms of the tetrahedron equations: Equations (9)–(12) and their counterparts obtained by point inversion. \square

3.4 Cross-square 2-form

Here we study a second 2-form that produces a system of corner equations equivalent to the quad equations. It will be particularly useful in later sections when we consider the double zero property of the exterior derivative of a 2-form. The function defining this 2-form was introduced in [3], where it was studied on a single quad. To our knowledge, it was never before considered as a Lagrangian multiform. It is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_\boxtimes &:= L(u, u_i, \alpha_i) + L(u_{ij}, u_j, \alpha_i) - L(u, u_j, \alpha_j) - L(u_{ij}, u_i, \alpha_j) \\
&\quad - \Lambda(u, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) - \Lambda(u_i, u_j, \alpha_i, \alpha_j)
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Inspired by its leg structure, illustrated in Figure 8, we call it the *cross-square Lagrangian*.




Figure 8: (a) The leg structure of a single Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes}(u_k, u_{ki}, u_{jk}, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j)$. (b) The leg structure for the action on an elementary cube of the cross-square 2-form \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes} .

The action over an elementary cube of the cross-square Lagrangian is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\boxtimes} &= 2L(u_i, u_{ij}, \alpha_j) + \Lambda(u, u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) + \Lambda(u_i, u_j, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) - \overleftarrow{(\dots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}, \\ &= 2S_{\mathcal{L}} - S_{\times}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

so its corner equations consist of linear combinations of the corner equations of the trident and cross Lagrangians:

Theorem 4. *The corner equations of the cross-square 2-form (22) are combinations of quad equations and tetrahedron equations in three-leg form*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S_{\boxtimes}}{\partial u} &= -\mathcal{T}^{(u)} = 0, & \frac{\partial S_{\boxtimes}}{\partial u_{ijk}} &= \overleftarrow{\mathcal{T}}^{(u_{ijk})} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial S_{\boxtimes}}{\partial u_i} &= 2\overleftarrow{\mathcal{Q}}_{jk}^{(u_i)} + \overleftarrow{\mathcal{T}}^{(u_i)} = 0, & \frac{\partial S_{\boxtimes}}{\partial u_{jk}} &= -2\mathcal{Q}_{jk}^{(u_{jk})} - \mathcal{T}^{(u_{jk})} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial S_{\boxtimes}}{\partial u_j} &= 2\overleftarrow{\mathcal{Q}}_{ki}^{(u_j)} + \overleftarrow{\mathcal{T}}^{(u_j)} = 0, & \frac{\partial S_{\boxtimes}}{\partial u_{ki}} &= -2\mathcal{Q}_{ki}^{(u_{ki})} - \mathcal{T}^{(u_{ki})} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial S_{\boxtimes}}{\partial u_k} &= 2\overleftarrow{\mathcal{Q}}_{ij}^{(u_k)} + \overleftarrow{\mathcal{T}}^{(u_k)} = 0, & \frac{\partial S_{\boxtimes}}{\partial u_{ij}} &= -2\mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{(u_{ij})} - \mathcal{T}^{(u_{ij})} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

These are equivalent to the set of multi-affine quad equations around the cube (3)-(4).

Proof. From (23) we deduce that the corner equations are a the corresponding linear combination of the corner equations (18) and (21) of the trident and cross Lagrangian 2-forms.

If multi-affine quad equations hold, then all of the three-leg forms involved in the system (24) vanish, so the corner equations are satisfied. Conversely, if all equations of the system (24) hold, then in particular the tetrahedron equations in three-leg form, centred at u and

u_{ijk} , are satisfied. Then the tetrahedron three-leg forms centred at $u_i, u_j, u_k, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}$ also vanish, so the remaining corner equations reduce to individual quad equations in three-leg form. These are equivalent to the multi-affine quad equations. \square

3.5 Comparison with the triangle 2-form

Now we compare the above constructions to the known 2-form \mathcal{L}_Δ (which started the theory of Lagrangian multiforms [10]), defined as in Equation (16). We review how this 2-form is critical on a set of equations weaker than the quad equations and we will relate it to our new 2-forms via $\mathcal{L}_\Delta = \mathcal{L}_\sphericalangle - \mathcal{L}_\times$.




Figure 9: (a) The leg structure of a single Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_\Delta(u_k, u_{ki}, u_{jk}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j)$. (b) The leg structure for the action on an elementary cube of the triangle 2-form \mathcal{L}_Δ sits on an octahedral stencil.

The action around the elementary cube of the triangle Lagrangian depends on an octahedral stencil depicted in Figure 9,

$$S_\Delta = L(u_i, u_{ij}, \alpha_j) + \Lambda(u_i, u_j, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) - \overleftrightarrow{(\dots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}. \quad (25)$$

Since u and u_{ijk} does not appear in this action, there are no corner equations at these points. The corner equation at u_{ij} in terms of leg functions is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\partial S_\Delta}{\partial u_{ij}} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{ij}} (L(u_i, u_{ij}, \alpha_j) - L(u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i) - \Lambda(u_{ij}, u_{ki}, \alpha_j - \alpha_k) - \Lambda(u_{jk}, u_{ij}, \alpha_k - \alpha_i)) \\ &= \psi(u_{ij}, u_i, \alpha_j) - \psi(u_{ij}, u_j, \alpha_i) - \phi(u_{ij}, u_{ki}, \alpha_j - \alpha_k) - \phi(u_{ij}, u_{jk}, \alpha_k - \alpha_i) \\ &=: \mathcal{E}_{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Note that this corner equation can be written as a combination of quad equations in three-leg form:

$$\mathcal{E}_{ij} = \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Q}}_{jk}^{(u_{ij})} + \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Q}}_{ki}^{(u_{ij})}. \quad (27)$$

Similarly, at the corner u_i , we find the corner equation $-\overleftarrow{\mathcal{E}}_{ij} = 0$, where $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{E}}_{ij} = \mathcal{Q}_{jk}^{(u_k)} + \mathcal{Q}_{ki}^{(u_i)}$.

Theorem 5. *The corner equations of the triangle 2-form are*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S_{\Delta}}{\partial u} &= 0, & \frac{\partial S_{\Delta}}{\partial u_{ijk}} &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial S_{\Delta}}{\partial u_i} &= -\overleftarrow{\mathcal{E}}_{jk} = 0, & \frac{\partial S_{\Delta}}{\partial u_{jk}} &= \mathcal{E}_{jk} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial S_{\Delta}}{\partial u_j} &= -\overleftarrow{\mathcal{E}}_{ki} = 0, & \frac{\partial S_{\Delta}}{\partial u_{ki}} &= \mathcal{E}_{ki} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial S_{\Delta}}{\partial u_k} &= -\overleftarrow{\mathcal{E}}_{ij} = 0, & \frac{\partial S_{\Delta}}{\partial u_{ij}} &= \mathcal{E}_{ij} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Proof. Using the action (25) and the definition (26) alongside cyclicity and point inversion gives us these six expressions. \square

This 2-form produces a set of equations which vanish on the quad equations but are not equivalent to them because they lack the variables u and u_{ijk} . Furthermore, we can relate each of the four-leg equations (28) to a quad equation and a tetrahedron equation. From $\mathcal{L}_{\Delta} = \mathcal{L}_{\sphericalangle} - \mathcal{L}_{\times}$, we have

$$S_{\Delta} = S_{\sphericalangle} - S_{\times} \tag{29}$$

and thus

$$\mathcal{E}_{ij} = -\mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{(u_{ij})} - \mathcal{T}^{(u_{ij})}. \tag{30}$$

Example (H1), part 3. *For H1 we have*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Delta} = uu_i - uu_j - (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log(u_i - u_j),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\Delta} &= u_k u_{ki} - u_k u_{jk} - (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log(u_{ki} - u_{jk}) + (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log(u_i - u_j) + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}, \\ &= u_i u_{ij} + (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log(u_i - u_j) - \overleftarrow{(\dots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk} \end{aligned}$$

Two corner equations are identically zero and the other six have a four-leg form. The corner equations at u_{ij} , u_{jk} and u_{ki} are all of the form

$$0 = \frac{\partial S_{\Delta}}{\partial u_{ij}} = u_i - u_j - \frac{\alpha_j - \alpha_k}{u_{ij} - u_{ki}} + \frac{\alpha_j - \alpha_k}{u_{jk} - u_{ij}} = \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Q}}_{jk}^{(u_{ij})} + \overleftarrow{\mathcal{Q}}_{ki}^{(u_{ij})} = \mathcal{E}_{ij}.$$

Clearly these equations vanish on the quad equations. Similarly, the other three corner equations are of the form

$$0 = \frac{\partial S_{\Delta}}{\partial u_k} = u_{ki} - u_{jk} + \frac{\alpha_k - \alpha_i}{u_k - u_i} - \frac{\alpha_j - \alpha_k}{u_j - u_k} = -\mathcal{Q}_{jk}^{(u_{ij})} - \mathcal{Q}_{ki}^{(u_{ij})} = -\overleftarrow{\mathcal{E}}_{ij}.$$

3.6 Closure relations

In this section we will show that each of the 2-forms satisfies the closure relation on their respective corner equations, which we stated in the theorems above and recall here:

1. The corner equations of the trident Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\sphericalangle}$ are equivalent to the system of quad equations.
2. The corner equations of the cross Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{\times} are the tetrahedron equations.
3. The corner equations of the cross-square Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes} are equivalent to the system of quad equations.
4. The corner equations of the triangle Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{\triangle} are equivalent to the system of four-leg \mathcal{E} equations.

In [10] it was verified (for most of the ABS equations) by direct computation that the action S_{\triangle} of the triangle Lagrangian vanishes on solutions of the quad equations. In [3] a conceptual proof of this property was given, which applies to all ABS equations. In [5] it was observed that the same technique can be used to show that the closure property for the triangle Lagrangian holds on the corner equations (i.e. \mathcal{E} -equations). Below we will adapt this proof to our new Lagrangian multiforms.

Theorem 6. *On solutions of the quad equations, $S_{\sphericalangle} = 0$.*

On solutions of the tetrahedron equations, $S_{\times} = 0$.

On solutions of the quad equations, $S_{\boxtimes} = 0$.

Note that the closure relation $S_{\times} = 0$ can be seen as a consequence of the star-triangle relation of [3, Theorem 2].

An essential fact required to prove Theorem 6 is that the space of solutions is connected:

Lemma 7. *The following sets are connected:*

1. *The space of complex-valued solutions to the tetrahedron equations,*

$$\{(u, u_i, u_j, u_k, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, u_{ijk}) \in \mathbb{C}^8 \mid T(u, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k) = 0 \\ \text{and } T(u_{ijk}, u_k, u_i, u_j, \alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k) = 0\}.$$

2. *The space of complex-valued solutions to the quad equations,*

$$\{(u, u_i, u_j, u_k, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, u_{ijk}) \in \mathbb{C}^8 \mid \text{Equations (3)–(4) hold}\}.$$

Proof. We give the proof of case 2. We parametrise the space of solutions by initial conditions $(u, u_i, u_j, u_k) \in \mathbb{C}^4$. Clearly, every solution corresponds to a unique element of \mathbb{C}^4 , but not every such 4-tuple generates a solution. For example, if $\frac{\partial Q_{ij}}{\partial u_{ij}} = 0$, we cannot

solve $Q_{ij} = 0$ for Q_{ij} . A solution exists with given initial values, if no such singularity occurs on any of the faces. Hence the space of solutions is diffeomorphic to

$$\mathbb{C}^4 \setminus \left\{ \frac{\partial Q_{ij}}{\partial u_{ij}} = 0 \right\} \setminus \left\{ \frac{\partial Q_{jk}}{\partial u_{jk}} = 0 \right\} \setminus \left\{ \frac{\partial Q_{ki}}{\partial u_{ki}} = 0 \right\} \setminus \left\{ \frac{\overleftrightarrow{\partial} Q_{ij}}{\partial u_{ijk}} = 0 \right\} \setminus \left\{ \frac{\overleftrightarrow{\partial} Q_{jk}}{\partial u_{ijk}} = 0 \right\} \setminus \left\{ \frac{\overleftrightarrow{\partial} Q_{ki}}{\partial u_{ijk}} = 0 \right\}.$$

Each of the six singularity sets has complex codimension one, so real codimension two. Removing sets of codimension two from \mathbb{C}^4 does not disconnect it, so the space of initial conditions avoiding singularities is connected. \square

Proof of Theorem. Let $S = S_{\times}$, $S = S_{\perp}$, or $S = S_{\boxtimes}$. We consider the action S as a function of $(u, u_i, u_j, u_k, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, u_{ijk})$. The corner equations express that the gradient of S with respect to these variables vanishes. Together with Lemma 7, this shows that the action is constant on the set of solutions.

Consider any solution $v = (u, u_i, u_j, u_k, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, u_{ijk})$ to the corner equations. Then also $\overleftarrow{v} := (u_{ijk}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, u_{ij}, u_k, u_i, u_j, u)$ is a solution. By the symmetry of L and Λ we have

$$S(\overleftarrow{v}) = -S(v).$$

Since S is constant on the set of solutions, this implies that $S = 0$. \square

The cross square 2-form satisfies an even stronger property than the closure relation. Up to an additive term depending only on the lattice parameters, the Lagrangian vanishes on a single quad as a consequence of the quad equation:

Proposition 8 ([3, Theorem 1]). *On solutions of the quad equation, $\mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes} = g(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$, where $g(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$ does not depend on the fields (u, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}) .*

Proof. We start by considering the derivatives of an individual cross Lagrangian

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes} = \mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{(u)} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes} = \mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{(u_i)} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j} \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes} = \mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{(u_j)} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{ij}} \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes} = \mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{(u_{ij})}.$$

These are exactly the quad equations in three-leg form. Hence, on solutions of $Q_{ij} = 0$ we have $\nabla \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes} = 0$. Similar to Lemma 7 we have that the space of solutions of a single quad equation is connected. It follows that the value of \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes} (on solutions) does not depend on (u, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}) . \square

4 Quad tetrahedron and octahedron equations

Above we discussed the three-leg forms equivalent to the multiaffine quad and tetrahedron equations. In this section, we discuss the polynomial equations associated with the four-leg corner equations (28) of the triangle 2-form. We review the related multiaffine *octahedron equations* (also known as *octahedron relations*) and their relationship to quad equations. Most of this section is based on [6], but in Proposition 10 we provide an additional variational interpretation of the relation between the different types of polynomial equations.

The four-leg equations $\mathcal{E}_{ij} = 0$, where the left-hand side is defined in Equation (27), can be obtained by eliminating the variable u_{ijk} from two quad equations in three-leg form. An equivalent equation is obtained by eliminating u_{ijk} from the corresponding two multiaffine quad equations. This yields the equation $E_{ij} = 0$, where the left-hand side is the polynomial

$$E_{ij} = \frac{\partial \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{jk} \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{ki}}{\partial u_{ijk}} - \frac{\partial \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{ki} \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{jk}}{\partial u_{ijk}}. \quad (31)$$

We have two more polynomials by permuting indices and three more from point inversion:

$$\overleftarrow{E}_{ij} = \frac{\partial Q_{jk} Q_{ki}}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial Q_{ki} Q_{jk}}{\partial u}. \quad (32)$$

These polynomials lead to equations that are equivalent to those involving the four-leg expressions \mathcal{E}_{ij} :

$$E_{ij}(u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k) = 0 \iff \mathcal{E}_{ij}(u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k) = 0.$$

From their definition as combinations of quad equations, it is clear that $E_{ij} = 0$ and $\overleftarrow{E}_{ij} = 0$ are consequences of the quad equations. However, they are not equivalent to the quad equations! Only two of these six equations are independent (see Proposition 9 below).

The relation (30) suggests that the polynomial E_{ij} is related to the polynomials Q_{ij} and T . Indeed, eliminating the variable u from the system $T = 0$, $Q_{ij} = 0$ must lead to an equation equivalent to $E_{ij} = 0$, so we find

$$\frac{\partial Q_{ij} T}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial T}{\partial u} Q_{ij} = \gamma(\alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k) E_{ij}. \quad (33)$$

Here, $\gamma(\alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k)$ does not contain field variables, because the left hand side depends linearly on $(u_i, u_j, u_{jk}, u_{ki})$ and quadratically on u_{ij} , as does E_{ij} . Under point inversion we find the analogous relation

$$\frac{\partial \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{ij} \overleftrightarrow{T}}{\partial u_{ijk}} - \frac{\partial \overleftrightarrow{T}}{\partial u_{ijk}} \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{ij} = \gamma(\alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k) \overleftrightarrow{E}_{ij}.$$

The main result of [6] is that for every member of the ABS list there exist two octahedron equations which are equivalent to the set of E -equations. These are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1(u_i, u_j, u_k, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k) &= 0, \\ \Omega_2(u_i, u_j, u_k, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where Ω_1, Ω_2 are multiaffine polynomials in the field variables $u_i, u_j, u_k, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}$, which form an octahedral stencil.

Note for Q4 and A2, we define Ω_1 and Ω_2 as two linearly independent cyclic combinations of the R_i, R_j, R_k from [6, Theorem 4.1]. For Q4 and A2 we have point inversion

symmetry such that $\overleftrightarrow{\Omega}_1 = \Omega_1$ and $\overleftrightarrow{\Omega}_2 = \Omega_2$. For the rest of ABS list there holds $\overleftrightarrow{\overline{\Omega}}_1 = -\Omega_1$ and $\overleftrightarrow{\overline{\Omega}}_2 = \Omega_2$.

In all cases we can get E -polynomials by eliminating a variable from the system of octahedron equations. For Q4 and A2, eliminating u_k and u_{ij} leads to, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Omega_1}{\partial u_k} \Omega_2 - \frac{\partial \Omega_2}{\partial u_k} \Omega_1 &= \mu(u_i, u_j, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k) E_{ij}, \\ \frac{\partial \Omega_1}{\partial u_{ij}} \Omega_2 - \frac{\partial \Omega_2}{\partial u_{ij}} \Omega_1 &= \mu(u_{jk}, u_{ki}, u_i, u_j, \alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k) \overleftrightarrow{E}_{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where the factor $\mu(u_i, u_j, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k)$ is polynomial in u_i, u_j, u_{jk}, u_{ki} . For the rest of ABS list, we have the explicit expressions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Omega_1}{\partial u_k} \Omega_2 - \frac{\partial \Omega_2}{\partial u_k} \Omega_1 &= g_1 \left(\frac{\partial \Omega_1}{\partial u_{ij}} - \frac{\partial \Omega_1}{\partial u_k} \right) E_{ij}, \\ -\frac{\partial \Omega_1}{\partial u_{ij}} \Omega_2 + \frac{\partial \Omega_2}{\partial u_{ij}} \Omega_1 &= g_1 \left(-\frac{\partial \Omega_1}{\partial u_k} + \frac{\partial \Omega_1}{\partial u_{ij}} \right) \overleftrightarrow{E}_{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where $g_1 = g_1(\alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k)$ is defined explicitly on a case by case basis in [6, Proposition 5.3].

Example (H1), part 4. For H1 the four-leg equations $\mathcal{E}_{ij} = 0$ and $\overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{E}}_{ij} = 0$ are equivalent to the following polynomials, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ij} &= (u_i - u_j)(u_{ij} - u_{jk})(u_{ij} - u_{ki}) + \alpha_i(u_{ki} - u_{ij}) + \alpha_j(u_{ij} - u_{jk}) + \alpha_k(u_{jk} - u_{ki}), \\ \overleftrightarrow{E}_{ij} &= (u_{jk} - u_{ki})(u_k - u_i)(u_k - u_j) + \alpha_i(u_j - u_k) + \alpha_j(u_k - u_i) + \alpha_k(u_i - u_j). \end{aligned}$$

These can be written in terms of the following multi-affine octahedron polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1 &= u_i(u_{ki} - u_{ij}) + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}, \\ \Omega_2 &= \alpha_i(u_k - u_j + u_{ij} - u_{ki}) + u_i u_{jk}(u_j - u_k - u_{ij} u_{ki}) + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}, \end{aligned}$$

The equivalence between the corner equations of $\mathcal{L}_\triangleleft$ (i.e. the E -equations obtained by setting the expressions (31) and (32) to zero) and octahedron equations can be seen as a particular case of the following statement. This holds in the sense of fractional ideals, as explained in [6].

Proposition 9. *Out of the set of equations consisting of the six E -equations and two octahedron equations, any 2 equations imply the other six.*

Further to this, a dimension-counting argument suggests that if we add the tetrahedron equations to the set of octahedron equations (or E -equations), we should obtain a system equivalent to the quad equations. The Lagrangian multiform structure provides an explicit proof of this fact:

Proposition 10. *The tetrahedron equations and octahedron equations together are equivalent to the quad equations.*

Proof. From Equation (9) (or from tetrahedron property as assumed in the ABS classification [1]) it follows that the quad equations imply the tetrahedron equations. From (34) or (35) it follows that the E -equations, and hence the quad equations, imply the octahedron equations (in the sense of fraction ideals, as in [6]).

To prove the other implication, assume that the octahedron and tetrahedron equations are satisfied. Then the actions of $\mathcal{L}_\triangleleft$ and \mathcal{L}_\times are critical. Since $\mathcal{L}_\sphericalangle = \mathcal{L}_\triangleleft + \mathcal{L}_\times$, it follows that the action of $\mathcal{L}_\sphericalangle$ is critical, hence the quad equations hold. \square

2-Form	Corner polynomials	Equivalent system
$\mathcal{L}_\triangleleft$	$(0, \overleftrightarrow{E}_{jk}, \overleftrightarrow{E}_{ki}, \overleftrightarrow{E}_{ij}, E_{ij}, E_{jk}, E_{ki}, 0)$	$\Omega_1, \Omega_2 = 0$
\mathcal{L}_\times	$(T, \overleftrightarrow{T}, \overleftrightarrow{T}, \overleftrightarrow{T}, T, T, T, \overleftrightarrow{T})$	$T, \overleftrightarrow{T} = 0$
$\mathcal{L}_\sphericalangle$	$(T, \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{jk}, \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{ki}, \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{ij}, Q_{ij}, Q_{jk}, Q_{ki}, \overleftrightarrow{T})$	$\Omega_1, \Omega_2, T, \overleftrightarrow{T} = 0$
\mathcal{L}_\boxtimes	$(T, \sim \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{jk}, \sim \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{ki}, \sim \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{ij}, \sim Q_{ij}, \sim Q_{jk}, \sim Q_{ki}, \overleftrightarrow{T})$	$\Omega_1, \Omega_2, T, \overleftrightarrow{T} = 0$

Table 1: Overview of the four types of Lagrangian multiform, with their corner equations (in polynomial form) and a symmetric set of equations forming an equivalent system. Note in the final row “ $\sim \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{jk}$ ” represents an expression such that, after the elimination of a tetrahedron polynomial \overleftrightarrow{T} , a quad polynomial $\overleftrightarrow{Q}_{jk}$ remains.

Proposition 10 relates the three sets of equations we are dealing with: those produced by $\mathcal{L}_\sphericalangle$ (or \mathcal{L}_\boxtimes), \mathcal{L}_\times , and $\mathcal{L}_\triangleleft$. (See Table 1 for an overview.) The corner equations of \mathcal{L}_\times can be immediately identified with the tetrahedron equations. The equations produced by $\mathcal{L}_\triangleleft$ can be understood from two points of view. On the one hand they are generated by the 5-point E -equations, which have an obvious variational interpretation, but are far less symmetric than the other equations considered. On the other hand they are generated by the octahedron equations, which have cyclic and point inversion symmetry, but have no previously known variational interpretation. The equations produced by $\mathcal{L}_\sphericalangle$ (or \mathcal{L}_\boxtimes) are the six quad equations around the cube (together with the two tetrahedron equations), which are equivalent to the combined set of two tetrahedron equations and two octahedron equations.

In the next section, we introduce the *double zero property*, which states that the exterior derivative of a 2-form can be expanded such that each of its terms is a product of expressions that vanish on its corner equations. This will give us a variational interpretation for all of the equations discussed above, including the octahedron equations.

5 The double zero property of discrete exterior derivatives

To motivate the formulation of the double zero property, we first present some observations regarding a linear quad equation. Then we formalise the definition of a double zero expansion and provide constructions of double zero expansions for each of the 2-forms

for any member of ABS list. Afterwards we investigate explicit expansions associated with each of the 2-forms for H1.

5.1 Double zero expansion associated with a linear quad equation

We consider the linear quad equation $Q_{ij} = 0$, with

$$Q_{ij} = (\alpha_i + \alpha_j)(u_i - u_j) - (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)(u - u_{ij}).$$

This equation can be considered as a linearisation of H1 and is associated to the following triangle Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_\Delta = u(u_i - u_j) - \frac{\alpha_i + \alpha_j}{2(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)}(u - u_{ij})^2.$$

Its action over an elementary cube can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} S_\Delta &= \mathcal{L}_\Delta(u_k, u_{ki}, u_{jk}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) + \mathcal{L}_\Delta(u_i, u_{ij}, u_{ki}, \alpha_j - \alpha_k) + \mathcal{L}_\Delta(u_j, u_{jk}, u_{ij}, \alpha_k - \alpha_i) \\ &\quad - \mathcal{L}_\Delta(u, u_i, u_j, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) - \mathcal{L}_\Delta(u, u_j, u_k, \alpha_j - \alpha_k) - \mathcal{L}_\Delta(u, u_k, u_i, \alpha_k - \alpha_i) \\ &= \frac{O_1 O_2}{(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)(\alpha_j - \alpha_k)(\alpha_k - \alpha_i)}, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} O_1 &:= (\alpha_j - \alpha_k)u_i + (\alpha_j - \alpha_k)u_{jk} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}, \\ O_2 &:= \alpha_i(\alpha_j - \alpha_k)u_i - \alpha_i(\alpha_j - \alpha_k)u_{jk} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the action over an elementary cube can be explicitly written as a product of two expressions O_1 and O_2 . In keeping with the terminology of [6], we refer to these as octahedron expressions since they lie on the stencil $(u_i, u_j, u_k, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki})$. They are symmetric under cyclic permutation of the indices and under point inversion, in the sense that $\overleftrightarrow{O}_1 = O_1$ and $\overleftrightarrow{O}_2 = -O_2$.

In analogy to multiple zeros of polynomials, we say that S_Δ has a *double zero* on the equations $O_1 = 0$ and $O_2 = 0$. The factorisation (36) implies that for any $v \in \{u, u_i, u_j, u_k, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, u_{ijk}\}$ there holds

$$\frac{\partial S_\Delta}{\partial v} = \frac{1}{(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)(\alpha_j - \alpha_k)(\alpha_k - \alpha_i)} \left(\frac{\partial O_1}{\partial v} O_2 + O_1 \frac{\partial O_2}{\partial v} \right),$$

which is zero if both $O_1 = 0$ and $O_2 = 0$. Hence, the double zero property tells us that the action is critical if the two equations $O_1 = 0$ and $O_2 = 0$ hold. This implies that all corner equations are consequences of these two equations!

Note that both O_1 and O_2 vanish on solutions to the linear quad equations. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} O_1 &= Q_{ij} + Q_{jk} + Q_{ki} = \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{ij} + \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{jk} + \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{ki}, \\ O_2 &= -\alpha_k Q_{ij} - \alpha_i Q_{jk} - \alpha_j Q_{ki} = \alpha_k \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{ij} + \alpha_i \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{jk} + \alpha_j \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{ki}. \end{aligned}$$

This linear example shows the power of the double zero property: if S can be written as a product of two expressions, then the fact that both these expressions vanish is a sufficient condition for criticality. Hence, in this situation, two equations together are equivalent to the full system of corner equations. In some examples, the double zero expansion of S involves more than two expressions and is a sum of products, rather than a single product. In the next subsection we give a suitably general definition of a double zero expansion.

5.2 Definition of a double zero Expansion

Definition 11. We say that $S(u, u_i, u_j, u_k, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, u_{ijk})$ has a double zero on a set of equations $\{K_m(u, u_i, u_j, u_k, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, u_{ijk}) = 0 \mid m = 1, \dots, M\}$ if it can be written as

$$S = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq m' \leq M} d_{m,m'} K_m K_{m'}. \quad (37)$$

Here $d_{m,m'}$ are coefficients which can depend upon any variable or parameter, but must be nonsingular on generic points of $\{K_m(u, u_i, u_j, u_k, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, u_{ijk}) = 0 \mid m = 1, \dots, M\}$. We call the right hand side of Equation (37) a double zero expansion of S .

This definition applies to the example above, because Equation (36) is of the form (37) with $M = 2$, $K_1 = O_1$, $K_2 = O_2$, $d_{1,1} = d_{2,2} = 0$, and

$$d_{1,2} = \frac{1}{(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)(\alpha_j - \alpha_k)(\alpha_k - \alpha_i)}.$$

Proposition 12. If the action over an elementary cube S of a Lagrangian 2-form L has a double zero on a set of equations $\{K_m = 0 \mid m = 1, \dots, M\}$, then this set implies the corner equations of L .

Proof. For any $v \in \{u, u_i, u_j, u_k, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, u_{ijk}\}$ we have

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial v} = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq m' \leq M} \left(\frac{\partial K_m}{\partial v} K_{m'} + K_m \frac{\partial K_{m'}}{\partial v} \right),$$

which vanishes on $\{K_m = 0 \mid m = 1, \dots, M\}$. □

Below, we will use Taylor expansions to derive double zero expansions involving higher powers of expressions. For example, consider an action S which is critical on some equation $K_1 = 0$, and an expansion of the form

$$S = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n K_1^n.$$

This satisfies Definition 11, because we can write the action as

$$S = \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n K_1^{n-2} \right) K_1^2,$$

where the sum can be identified with $d_{1,1}$.

5.3 Double zero expansions for ABS 2-forms

In this subsection we derive double zero expansions for the action over an elementary cube for each of the discrete 2-forms for arbitrary members of the ABS list. The double zero expansions are constructed from Taylor expansions in one variable, where the variable represents either a quad polynomial Q , a tetrahedron polynomial T or an E polynomial. In this Taylor expansion, the zeroth order term vanishes due to the closure relation and the first order term vanishes as a consequence of the corner equations. Hence, each discrete 2-form has a double zero expansion in terms of the polynomials associated with its corner equations. These double zero expansions are manifestly symmetric under cyclic permutation of the indices and under point inversion.

Cross-square 2-form. Recall that $Q_{ij} = 0$ implies that $\mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes} = g(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$ and that $\nabla \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes} = 0$ (see Proposition 8 and its proof). With that in mind, we consider a change of variables from (u, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}) to $(Q_{ij}, u_i, u_j, u_{ij})$, so that we can easily perform a Taylor expansions “about solutions”, i.e. about $Q_{ij} = 0$.

Since the quad polynomial Q_{ij} is multiaffine, we can write it as

$$Q_{ij}(u, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) = r(u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j)u + s(u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j),$$

so it is possible to write u as a rational function of Q_{ij}, u_i, u_j, u_{ij} :

$$u = V(Q_{ij}, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) := \frac{Q_{ij} - s(u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j)}{r(u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j)}.$$

In the case of the cross square 2-form, we can consider the Lagrangian on a single square, apply this variable transformation, and then Taylor expand about $Q_{ij} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes} &= \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes}(V(Q_{ij}, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j), u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n!} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial Q_{ij}^n} \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes}(V(Q_{ij}, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j), u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) \Big|_{Q_{ij}=0} \right) Q_{ij}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the fact that for $Q_{ij} = 0$ there holds $\mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes} = g(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$ and $\nabla \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes} = 0$ implies that the zeroth order term is the constant $g(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$ and that the first order term vanishes. Hence we have:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes} = g(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n!} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial Q_{ij}^n} \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes}(V(Q_{ij}, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j), u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) \Big|_{Q_{ij}=0} \right) Q_{ij}^n. \quad (38)$$

This has a significant consequence for the action of \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes} over an elementary cube,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\boxtimes} &= \overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{L}}_{\boxtimes} - \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk} \\ &= -\mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes} - \overleftarrow{(\dots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes} = \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes}(u, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j)$ and $\overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{L}}_{\boxtimes} = \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes}(u_k, u_{ki}, u_{jk}, u_{ijk}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j)$, due to the symmetry of the cross-square Lagrangian. Indeed, we can substitute in the expansion (38), using the fact that $g(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) - \overleftarrow{(\dots)} = 0$, to conclude the following:

Proposition 13. *The action of the Lagrangian 2-form \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes} over an elementary cube has a double zero expansion in terms of Q_{ij} , Q_{jk} , Q_{ki} , $\overleftrightarrow{Q}_{ij}$, $\overleftrightarrow{Q}_{jk}$, $\overleftrightarrow{Q}_{ki}$:*

$$S_{\boxtimes} = - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n!} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial Q_{ij}^n} \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes}(V(Q_{ij}, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j), u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) \Big|_{Q_{ij}=0} \right) Q_{ij}^n \quad (39)$$

$$- \overleftrightarrow{(\dots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}.$$

Cross 2-form. Recall that $T, \overleftrightarrow{T} = 0$ implies that $S_{\times} = 0$ (see Theorem 6) and that $\nabla S_{\times} = 0$ (these are the corner equations). With that in mind, and with the intention of finding a series expansion about $T, \overleftrightarrow{T} = 0$, we consider a change of variables from $(u, u_i, u_j, u_k, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, u_{ijk})$ to $(T, u_i, u_j, u_k, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, \overleftrightarrow{T})$. Since the tetrahedron polynomial T is multi-affine, it is possible to rewrite u in terms of T (and u_{ijk} in terms of \overleftrightarrow{T}) in the following way for the entire ABS list:

$$u = W(T, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k),$$

$$u_{ijk} = W(\overleftrightarrow{T}, u_k, u_i, u_j, \alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k).$$

Here, W is a fraction with a numerator which is multi-affine in $(T, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki})$ and a denominator which is multi-affine in (u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}) . Now we consider the action on an elementary cube of the cross 2-form, apply the variable transformation, and Taylor expand about $T = 0$ and $\overleftrightarrow{T} = 0$:

$$S_{\times} = -\mathcal{L}_{\times}(u, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) - \overleftrightarrow{(\dots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}$$

$$= -\Lambda(u_i, u_j, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) + \Lambda(W(T, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j), u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) - \overleftrightarrow{(\dots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}$$

$$= -\Lambda(u_i, u_j, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n!} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial T^n} \Lambda(W(T, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j), u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) \Big|_{T=0} \right) T^n$$

$$- \overleftrightarrow{(\dots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}.$$

Now, the fact that $T, \overleftrightarrow{T} = 0 \implies S_{\times}, \nabla S_{\times} = 0$ means that the zeroth and first order terms must vanish (i.e. the sum starts at $n = 2$), so we have:

Proposition 14. *The action of the Lagrangian 2-form \mathcal{L}_{\times} over an elementary cube has a double zero expansion in terms of $T, \overleftrightarrow{T}$*

$$S_{\times} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n!} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial T^n} \Lambda(W, u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) \Big|_{T=0} \right) T^n - \overleftrightarrow{(\dots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}, \quad (40)$$

where $W = W(T, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j)$.

Triangle 2-form. From Equations (23) and (29), we infer that

$$S_{\triangle} = \frac{1}{2}S_{\square} - \frac{1}{2}S_{\times}. \quad (41)$$

We note that $S_{\triangle}(u_i, u_j, u_k, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki})$ does not depend on u or u_{ijk} . Thus, imposing the equations $T, \overleftrightarrow{T} = 0$ has no effect on S_{\triangle} : we can take $T = 0$ and $\overleftrightarrow{T} = 0$ as the definition of u and u_{ijk} in terms of the variables $(u_i, u_j, u_k, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki})$ that occur in S_{\triangle} . Since $T, \overleftrightarrow{T} = 0$ implies $S_{\times} = 0$, we have that

$$T, \overleftrightarrow{T} = 0 \quad \implies \quad S_{\triangle} = \frac{1}{2}S_{\square}.$$

Now recall that $E, \overleftrightarrow{E} = 0$ implies $S_{\triangle} = 0$ (see Theorem 6) and $\nabla S_{\triangle} = 0$ (these are the corner equations). With all of this in mind, we note that the polynomial identity (33) between T, Q_{ij} and E_{ij} implies that

$$T = 0 \quad \implies \quad Q_{ij} = \frac{\gamma(\alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k)}{\frac{\partial T}{\partial u}} E_{ij}.$$

Applying these observations to the double zero expansion of the action of the cross square Lagrangian (39) we find:

Proposition 15. *The action of the Lagrangian 2-form \mathcal{L}_{\square} over an elementary cube has a double zero expansion in terms of $E_{ij}, E_{jk}, E_{ki}, \overleftrightarrow{E}_{ij}, \overleftrightarrow{E}_{jk}, \overleftrightarrow{E}_{ki}$*

$$S_{\square} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{\partial^n}{\partial Q_{ij}^n} \mathcal{L}_{\square}(V, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) \Big|_{Q_{ij}=0}}{n! \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial u} \frac{1}{\gamma(\alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k)} \right)^n} E_{ij}^n - \overleftrightarrow{(\dots)} + \circlearrowleft_{ijk}, \quad (42)$$

where $V(Q_{ij}, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j)$.

Trident 2-form. Recall that $Q, \overleftrightarrow{Q} = 0$ implies $S_{\triangle} = 0$ (see Theorem 6) and $\nabla S_{\triangle} = 0$. From Equation (23), we know that

$$S_{\triangle} = \frac{1}{2}S_{\times} + \frac{1}{2}S_{\square}. \quad (43)$$

From the three-leg equation (9) and its point inversion, we can derive the following relations between the multiaffine polynomials T in terms of Q_{ij}, Q_{jk} and Q_{ki}

$$\begin{aligned} cT &= d_{ij} Q_{ij} + d_{jk} Q_{jk} + d_{ki} Q_{ki}, \\ \overleftrightarrow{c}T &= \overleftrightarrow{d}_{ij} \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{ij} + \overleftrightarrow{d}_{jk} \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{jk} + \overleftrightarrow{d}_{ki} \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{ki}, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where d and c_{ij} are polynomials. We can apply these observations to the double zero expansion of the cross 2-form (40) and cross-square 2-form (39) and conclude the following:

Proposition 16. *The action of Lagrangian 2-form $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}$ over a an elementary cube has a double zero expansion in terms of Q_{ij} , Q_{jk} , Q_{ki} , $\overleftrightarrow{Q}_{ij}$, $\overleftrightarrow{Q}_{jk}$, $\overleftrightarrow{Q}_{ki}$*

$$S_{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n!} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial T^n} \Lambda(W, u_{ij}, \alpha_i - \alpha_j) \Big|_{T=0} \right) \frac{1}{c^n} (d_{ij} Q_{ij} + d_{jk} Q_{jk} + d_{ki} Q_{ki})^n \\ - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n!} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial Q_{ij}^n} \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes}(V, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) \Big|_{Q_{ij}=0} \right) Q_{ij}^n - \overleftrightarrow{(\dots)} + \circ_{ijk},$$

where $W = W(T, u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j)$ and $V = V(Q_{ij}, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j)$.

5.4 Double zeroes on octahedron equations

Some of the double zero expansions above can be written in terms of octahedron polynomials. For Q4 and A2 we can rewrite the expansion (42) using Equation (34). With the cyclic symmetry and point inversion symmetry of Ω_1 and Ω_2 , we can conclude that:

Proposition 17. *For Q4 and A2, a double zero expansion for the cube action of the triangle 2-form in terms of Ω_1 , Ω_2 is given by*

$$S_{\Delta} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{\partial^n}{\partial Q_{ij}^n} \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes}(V, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) \Big|_{Q_{ij}=0}}{n! \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial u} \frac{\mu(u_i, u_j, u_{jk}, u_{ki}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k)}{\gamma(\alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k)} \right)^n} \left(\frac{\partial \Omega_1}{\partial u_k} \Omega_2 - \frac{\partial \Omega_2}{\partial u_k} \Omega_1 \right)^n \\ - \overleftrightarrow{(\dots)} + \circ_{ijk},$$

where $V = V(Q_{ij}, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j)$.

For the rest of the ABS list we can rewrite the expansion (42) using Equation (35) and can conclude that:

Proposition 18. *For Q1, Q2, Q3, H1, H2, H3 and A1, a double zero expansion for the cube action of the triangle 2-form in terms of Ω_1 , Ω_2 is given by*

$$S_{\Delta} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{\partial^n}{\partial Q_{ij}^n} \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes}(V, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j) \Big|_{Q_{ij}=0}}{n! \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial u} \frac{1}{\gamma(\alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k)} g_1 \left(\frac{\partial \Omega_1}{\partial u_{ij}} - \frac{\partial \Omega_1}{\partial u_k} \right) \right)^n} \left(\frac{\partial \Omega_1}{\partial u_k} \Omega_2 - \frac{\partial \Omega_2}{\partial u_k} \Omega_1 \right)^n \\ - \overleftrightarrow{(\dots)} + \circ_{ijk},$$

where $V = V(Q_{ij}, u_i, u_j, u_{ij}, \alpha_i, \alpha_j)$.

These propositions give a possible variational interpretation to the octahedron equations. The double zero expansions imply that the equations $\Omega_1 = 0$ and $\Omega_2 = 0$ are sufficient conditions for criticality, hence they imply the corner equations.

5.5 Example: double zero expansions for H1

In this subsection we show that the general construction described above leads to succinct double zero expansions for the actions over the cube of each of the discrete 2-forms associated with H1. For this example, we will show by explicit computation that the zeroth and first order terms vanish in the Taylor expansions.

Cross-square Lagrangian. Solving the quad equation $Q_{ij} = 0$ for u , with Q_{ij} given by Equation (13), we find a change of variables expressing u in terms of the quad polynomial Q_{ij} :

$$u = \frac{\alpha_i - \alpha_j + Q_{ij}}{u_i - u_j} + u_{ij}.$$

Now we apply this to a single cross-square Lagrangian and Taylor expand in Q_{ij}

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes} &= uu_i + u_j u_{ij} - uu_j - u_i u_{ij} - (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log(u - u_{ij}) - (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log(u_i - u_j), \\ &= (u - u_{ij})(u_i - u_j) - (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log((u - u_{ij})(u_i - u_j)), \\ &= \alpha_i - \alpha_j + Q_{ij} - (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log(\alpha_i - \alpha_j + Q_{ij}) \\ &= (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)(1 - \log(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{Q_{ij}^n}{n(\alpha_j - \alpha_i)^{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We find that the first order term vanishes as expected, but the zeroth order term does not. It depends only on the lattice parameters, in line with Proposition 8. (These lattice parameter terms could be removed by redefining the leg terms of Lagrangians.) When we consider the action around the cube, the zeroth order contributions cancel and we find

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\boxtimes} &= \overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{L}}_{\boxtimes} - \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk} \\ &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{Q_{ij}^n}{n(\alpha_j - \alpha_i)^{n-1}} - \overleftrightarrow{(\dots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Equation (45) is a double zero expansion for the action around the cube of the cross square 2-form associated with H1 in terms of $Q_{ij}, Q_{jk}, Q_{ki}, \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{ij}, \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{jk}, \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{ki}$.

Cross Lagrangian. In order to derive a double zero expansion for the cross 2-form associated with H1, we consider a variable transformation for u in terms of T , obtained from Equation (14),

$$u = \frac{T - ((\alpha_i - \alpha_j)u_{jk}u_{ki} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk})}{((\alpha_i - \alpha_j)u_{ij} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk})}.$$

From this we obtain

$$u - u_{ij} = \frac{T + (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)(u_{ij} - u_{jk})(u_{ki} - u_{ij})}{((\alpha_i - \alpha_j)u_{ij} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk})}. \quad (46)$$

We have an analogous transformation for u_{ijk} in terms of \overleftrightarrow{T} . Now we apply this to the action around the cube of the cross 2-form and Taylor expand in T and \overleftrightarrow{T} . Using the point inversion symmetry we find

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\times} &= -\mathcal{L}_{\times} - \overleftrightarrow{(\dots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk} \\ &= -(\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log(u_i - u_j) + (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log(u - u_{ij}) - \overleftrightarrow{(\dots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk} \\ &= (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log(u_{jk} - u_{ki}) + (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log(u - u_{ij}) - \overleftrightarrow{(\dots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk} \\ &= (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log((u - u_{ij})(u_{jk} - u_{ki})) - \overleftrightarrow{(\dots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we substitute u using Equation (46):

$$S_{\times} = (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log \left(\frac{T(u_{jk} - u_{ki}) + (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)(u_{ij} - u_{jk})(u_{ki} - u_{ij})(u_{jk} - u_{ki})}{((\alpha_i - \alpha_j)u_{ij} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk})} \right) - \overleftrightarrow{(\dots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}.$$

Then we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log((u_{ij} - u_{jk})(u_{ki} - u_{ij})(u_{jk} - u_{ki})) + \mathcal{O}_{ijk} &= 0, \\ (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log((\alpha_i - \alpha_j)u_{ij} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}) + \mathcal{O}_{ijk} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

because the logarithms are invariant under cyclic permutations of i, j, k , so we find

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\times} &= (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log \left(\frac{T}{(u_{ij} - u_{jk})(u_{ki} - u_{ij})} + (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \right) - \overleftrightarrow{(\dots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk} \\ &= - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{T^n}{n(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^{n-1}(u_{ij} - u_{jk})^n(u_{ij} - u_{ki})^n} - \overleftrightarrow{(\dots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Note that the zeroth and first order terms vanish. Hence, Equation (47) is a double zero expansion in terms of T and \overleftrightarrow{T} .

Triangle Lagrangian. In order to derive a double zero expansion for the action on the elementary cube of the triangle 2-form, we note the following relation for H1:

$$T = 0 \quad \implies \quad Q_{ij} = \frac{(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)E_{ij}}{(\alpha_i(u_{ij} - u_{ki}) + \mathcal{O}_{ijk})}.$$

$T, \overleftrightarrow{T} = 0 \implies S_{\times} = 0$, so we deduce from Equation (41) that

$$T, \overleftrightarrow{T} = 0 \quad \implies \quad S_{\triangle} = \frac{1}{2} S_{\square}.$$

Since $S_{\triangle}(u_i, u_j, u_k, u_{ij}, u_{ji}, u_{ki})$ does not depend on u or u_{ijk} , we can assume that $T = 0$ and $\overleftrightarrow{T} = 0$, without affecting the action. Thus, we can write the cube action for the

triangle 2-form as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\triangle} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\alpha_j - \alpha_i)^{n-1}} \left(\frac{(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)E_{ij}}{(\alpha_i(u_{ij} - u_{ki}) + \mathcal{O}_{ijk})} \right)^n - \overleftrightarrow{(\dots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_j - \alpha_i)(-1)^n}{n(\alpha_i(u_{ij} - u_{ki}) + \mathcal{O}_{ijk})^n} E_{ij}^n - \overleftrightarrow{(\dots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Equation (48) is a double zero expansion for the triangle 2-form in terms of $E_i, E_j, E_k, \overleftrightarrow{E}_i, \overleftrightarrow{E}_j, \overleftrightarrow{E}_k$.

We can use the identities (35), where $g_1 = 1$ for H1, to rewrite this in terms of Ω_1 and Ω_2 :

$$S_{\triangle} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_j - \alpha_i)(-1)^n}{n(\alpha_i(u_{ij} - u_{ki}) + \mathcal{O}_{ijk})^n} \left(\frac{\frac{\partial \Omega_1}{\partial u_k} \Omega_2 - \frac{\partial \Omega_2}{\partial u_k} \Omega_1}{\frac{\partial \Omega_1}{\partial u_{ij}} - \frac{\partial \Omega_1}{\partial u_k}} \right)^n - \overleftrightarrow{(\dots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}. \quad (49)$$

Equation (49) is a double zero expansion for the triangle 2-form in terms of the octahedron polynomials.

Trident Lagrangian. To derive the double zero expansion for action of the trident 2-form, we consider equation (44), which shows that cyclic combinations of quad equations lead to the tetrahedron equation. For H1, this can be written explicitly as

$$T = -(u - u_{jk})(u - u_{ki})Q_{ij} - (u - u_{ki})(u - u_{ij})Q_{jk} - (u - u_{ij})(u - u_{jk})Q_{ki}.$$

Using Equation (43), we can write the action on an elementary cube of the trident 2-form as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\triangleleft} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{Q_{ij}^n}{n(\alpha_j - \alpha_i)^{n-1}} - \frac{T^n}{n(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^{n-1}(u_{ij} - u_{jk})^n(u_{ij} - u_{ki})^n} \right) - \overleftrightarrow{(\dots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{Q_{ij}^n}{n(\alpha_j - \alpha_i)^{n-1}} - \frac{(-Q_{ij}(u - u_{jk})(u - u_{ki}) + \mathcal{O}_{ijk})^n}{n(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^{n-1}(u_{ij} - u_{jk})^n(u_{ij} - u_{ki})^n} \right) - \overleftrightarrow{(\dots)} + \mathcal{O}_{ijk}. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Equation (50) is a double zero expansion for the cube action of the trident 2-form in terms of the quad polynomials $Q_{ij}, Q_{jk}, Q_{ki}, \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{ij}, \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{jk}, \overleftrightarrow{Q}_{ki}$.

6 Conclusion

In this work we elevated the Lagrangians from [1] and [3] to full-fledged Lagrangian multiforms for the ABS quad equations: $\mathcal{L}_{\triangleleft}$, \mathcal{L}_{\times} and \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes} . We showed that, contrary to common belief, the ABS quad equations are variational: they are the corner equations of $\mathcal{L}_{\triangleleft}$ (and equivalent to the corner equations of \mathcal{L}_{\boxtimes}).

Using the relations between these new Lagrangian 2-forms and the well-established Lagrangian 2-form \mathcal{L}_Δ , we showed that the quad equations are equivalent to the combined system of tetrahedron and octahedron equations. In addition, we formulated the double-zero property, which has recently seen a lot of emphasis in the continuous and semi-discrete settings, on the discrete level. This gives a variational interpretation of the octahedron equations.

This shows once again that Lagrangian multiform theory is a powerful variational principle. As well as being an attribute of integrability, it can provide a solution to the inverse problem of the calculus of variations for equations that do not admit a Lagrangian in the traditional sense.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Professor Frank Nijhoff and Dr Vincent Caudrelier for their continued support over many years. They would also like to thank the organisers and supporters of the BIRS-IASM workshop on Lagrangian Multiform Theory and Pluri-Lagrangian Systems in October 2023, where this work was started.

JR acknowledges funding from EPSRC DTP, Crowther endowment and School of Mathematics at the University of Leeds.

Appendix: The ABS list

Here we present the equations of the ABS list. For each one, we give leg functions ϕ and ψ making up a three-leg form. There is freedom in how to present the equations (such as Möbius transformations of the fields and point transformations of the parameters). The leg functions below are chosen such that (except for Q4) they can be explicitly integrated to give the Lagrangian functions L and Λ .

For H1–H3, A1–A2, and Q1 the leg functions are taken from [10] (with some modifications in H3 and A2 to clarify the case $\delta = 0$ and to enforce the symmetry of Λ). The Lagrangians for the equations Q2–Q3 are inspired on the ideas of that paper and on the three-leg forms presented in [1, 3].

H1

$$Q = (u - u_{ij})(u_i - u_j) - \alpha_i + \alpha_j$$

$$\psi = u_i$$

$$\phi = \frac{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}{u - u_{ij}}$$

$$L = uu_i$$

$$\Lambda = (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log(u - u_{ij})$$

A comment on the symmetry of Λ is in order. If the fields are real-valued we can interpret the log-term as $\log(|u - u_i|)$, which makes the symmetry $u \leftrightarrow u_i$ manifest. If the fields

are complex-valued, we have $\log(u - u_i) - \log(u_i - u) = \pi i$, so the symmetry holds up to a constant which does not affect the corner equations. We could write an explicitly symmetric expression, $\Lambda = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log((u - u_i)^2)$, but for the sake of conciseness we choose not to write such symmetrised expressions.

H2

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &= -\alpha_i^2 + \alpha_j^2 - (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)(u + u_i + u_{ij} + u_j) + (u - u_{ij})(u_i - u_j) \\
\psi &= \log(\alpha_i + u + u_i) + 1 \\
\phi &= \log(\alpha_i - \alpha_j + u - u_{ij}) - \log(-\alpha_i + \alpha_j + u - u_{ij}) \\
L &= (\alpha_i + u + u_i) \log(\alpha_i + u + u_i) \\
\Lambda &= (\alpha_i - \alpha_j + u - u_{ij}) \log(\alpha_i - \alpha_j + u - u_{ij}) \\
&\quad + (\alpha_i - \alpha_j - u + u_{ij}) \log(-\alpha_i + \alpha_j + u - u_{ij})
\end{aligned}$$

H3 _{$\delta=0$}

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &= (uu_i + u_{ij}u_j)e^{\alpha_i} - (u_iu_{ij} + uu_j)e^{\alpha_j} \\
\psi &= \frac{\log(uu_i)}{u} \\
\phi &= \frac{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}{u} - \frac{\log\left(-\frac{ue^{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}}{u_{ij}} + 1\right)}{u} + \frac{\log\left(-\frac{ue^{-\alpha_i + \alpha_j}}{u_{ij}} + 1\right)}{u} \\
L &= \frac{1}{2} \log(uu_i)^2 \\
\Lambda &= (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log\left(\frac{u}{u_{ij}}\right) + \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{ue^{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}}{u_{ij}}\right) - \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{ue^{-\alpha_i + \alpha_j}}{u_{ij}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, Li_2 denotes the dilogarithm function. The symmetry of Λ follows from the dilogarithm identity

$$\text{Li}_2(z) + \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = -\frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{(\ln(-z))^2}{2}.$$

H3 _{$\delta=1$}

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &= (uu_i + u_{ij}u_j)e^{\alpha_i} - (u_iu_{ij} + uu_j)e^{\alpha_j} + e^{2\alpha_i} - e^{2\alpha_j} \\
\psi &= \frac{\alpha_i}{u} + \frac{\log(uu_i e^{-\alpha_i} + 1)}{u} \\
\phi &= \frac{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}{u} - \frac{\log\left(-\frac{ue^{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}}{u_{ij}} + 1\right)}{u} + \frac{\log\left(-\frac{ue^{-\alpha_i + \alpha_j}}{u_{ij}} + 1\right)}{u} \\
L &= \alpha_i \log(uu_i) - \text{Li}_2(-uu_i e^{-\alpha_i}) \\
\Lambda &= (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log\left(\frac{u}{u_{ij}}\right) + \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{ue^{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}}{u_{ij}}\right) - \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{ue^{-\alpha_i + \alpha_j}}{u_{ij}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

A1_{δ=0}

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &= (uu_{ij} + u_i u_j)(\alpha_i - \alpha_j) + (uu_i + u_{ij} u_j)\alpha_i - (u_i u_{ij} + uu_j)\alpha_j \\
\psi &= \frac{\alpha_i}{u + u_i} \\
\phi &= \frac{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}{u - u_{ij}} \\
L &= \alpha_i \log(u + u_i) \\
\Lambda &= (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log(u - u_{ij})
\end{aligned}$$

A1_{δ=1}

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &= -(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)\alpha_i\alpha_j + (uu_{ij} + u_i u_j)(\alpha_i - \alpha_j) + (uu_i + u_{ij} u_j)\alpha_i - (u_i u_{ij} + uu_j)\alpha_j \\
\psi &= \log(\alpha_i + u + u_i) - \log(-\alpha_i + u + u_i) \\
\phi &= -\log(\alpha_i - \alpha_j - u + u_{ij}) + \log(-\alpha_i + \alpha_j - u + u_{ij}) \\
L &= (\alpha_i + u + u_i) \log(\alpha_i + u + u_i) + (\alpha_i - u - u_i) \log(-\alpha_i + u + u_i) \\
\Lambda &= (\alpha_i - \alpha_j - u + u_{ij}) \log(\alpha_i - \alpha_j - u + u_{ij}) \\
&\quad + (\alpha_i - \alpha_j + u - u_{ij}) \log(-\alpha_i + \alpha_j - u + u_{ij})
\end{aligned}$$

A2

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &= -(uu_i u_{ij} u_j + 1) \sin(\alpha_i - \alpha_j) + (u_i u_{ij} + uu_j) \sin(\alpha_i) - (uu_i + u_{ij} u_j) \sin(\alpha_j) \\
\psi &= \frac{i\alpha_i}{u} - \frac{\log(-uu_i e^{i\alpha_i} + 1)}{u} + \frac{\log(-uu_i e^{-i\alpha_i} + 1)}{u} \\
\phi &= \frac{i\alpha_i - i\alpha_j}{u} - \frac{\log\left(-\frac{ue^{i\alpha_i - i\alpha_j}}{u_{ij}} + 1\right)}{u} + \frac{\log\left(-\frac{ue^{-i\alpha_i + i\alpha_j}}{u_{ij}} + 1\right)}{u} \\
L &= i\alpha_i \log(uu_i) + \text{Li}_2(uu_i e^{i\alpha_i}) - \text{Li}_2(uu_i e^{-i\alpha_i}) \\
\Lambda &= (i\alpha_i - i\alpha_j) \log\left(\frac{u}{u_{ij}}\right) + \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{ue^{i\alpha_i - i\alpha_j}}{u_{ij}}\right) - \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{ue^{-i\alpha_i + i\alpha_j}}{u_{ij}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Q1_{δ=0} For equations of type Q, the long-leg function ϕ is identical to the short leg function ψ , and correspondingly Λ is identical to L .

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &= \alpha_i(u - u_j)(u_i - u_{ij}) + \alpha_j(u - u_i)(u_{ij} - u_j) \\
\psi &= \frac{\alpha_i}{u - u_i} \\
L &= \alpha_i \log(u - u_i)
\end{aligned}$$

Q1_{δ=1}

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &= (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)\alpha_i\alpha_j + \alpha_i(u - u_j)(u_i - u_{ij}) + \alpha_j(u - u_i)(u_{ij} - u_j) \\
\psi &= \log(\alpha_i + u - u_i) - \log(-\alpha_i + u - u_i) \\
L &= (\alpha_i + u - u_i) \log(\alpha_i + u - u_i) + (\alpha_i - u + u_i) \log(-\alpha_i + u - u_i)
\end{aligned}$$

Q2

$$Q = -(\alpha_i^2 - \alpha_i\alpha_j + \alpha_j^2 - u - u_i - u_{ij} - u_j)(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)\alpha_i\alpha_j \\ + \alpha_i(u - u_j)(u_i - u_{ij}) + \alpha_j(u - u_i)(u_{ij} - u_j)$$

$$\psi = \frac{\log(\alpha_i + \sqrt{u} + \sqrt{u_i})}{2\sqrt{u}} + \frac{\log(\alpha_i + \sqrt{u} - \sqrt{u_i})}{2\sqrt{u}} \\ - \frac{\log(-\alpha_i + \sqrt{u} + \sqrt{u_i})}{2\sqrt{u}} - \frac{\log(-\alpha_i + \sqrt{u} - \sqrt{u_i})}{2\sqrt{u}}$$

$$L = (\alpha_i + \sqrt{u} + \sqrt{u_i}) \log(\alpha_i + \sqrt{u} + \sqrt{u_i}) + (\alpha_i + \sqrt{u} - \sqrt{u_i}) \log(\alpha_i + \sqrt{u} - \sqrt{u_i}) \\ + (\alpha_i - \sqrt{u} - \sqrt{u_i}) \log(-\alpha_i + \sqrt{u} + \sqrt{u_i}) + (\alpha_i - \sqrt{u} + \sqrt{u_i}) \log(-\alpha_i + \sqrt{u} - \sqrt{u_i})$$

Q3 _{$\delta=0$}

$$Q = -(uu_{ij} + u_i u_j) \sin(\alpha_i - \alpha_j) + (uu_i + u_{ij} u_j) \sin(\alpha_i) - (u_i u_{ij} + uu_j) \sin(\alpha_j)$$

$$\psi = \frac{i\alpha_i}{u} - \frac{\log(-\frac{ue^{i\alpha_i}}{u_i} + 1)}{u} + \frac{\log(-\frac{ue^{-i\alpha_i}}{u_i} + 1)}{u}$$

$$L = i\alpha_i \log\left(\frac{u}{u_i}\right) + \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{ue^{i\alpha_i}}{u_i}\right) - \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{ue^{-i\alpha_i}}{u_i}\right)$$

Q3 _{$\delta=1$} An explicit function L is only known after a change of variable $u \rightarrow \cosh(u)$:

$$Q = \sinh(\alpha_i)(\cosh(u) \cosh(u_i) + \cosh(u_{ij}) \cosh(u_j)) \\ - \sinh(\alpha_j)(\cosh(u_i) \cosh(u_{ij}) + \cosh(u) \cosh(u_j)) \\ - \sinh(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)(\cosh(u) \cosh(u_{ij}) + \cosh(u_i) \cosh(u_j)) \\ - \sinh(\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \sinh(\alpha_i) \sinh(\alpha_j)$$

$$\psi = \alpha_i - \log(-e^{(\alpha_i - u + u_i)} + 1) - \log(-e^{(\alpha_i - u - u_i)} + 1) \\ + \log(-e^{(-\alpha_i - u + u_i)} + 1) + \log(-e^{(-\alpha_i - u - u_i)} + 1)$$

$$L = \alpha_i(u - u_i) - \text{Li}_2(e^{(\alpha_i - u + u_i)}) - \text{Li}_2(e^{(\alpha_i - u - u_i)}) \\ + \text{Li}_2(e^{(-\alpha_i - u + u_i)}) + \text{Li}_2(e^{(-\alpha_i - u - u_i)})$$

Q4 We present Q4 in its elliptic parametrisation [12]. Consider the following parameter pairs related to α_i, α_j through the Weierstrass elliptic function \wp :

$$(a, A) = (\wp(\alpha_i), \wp'(\alpha_i)) , \\ (b, B) = (\wp(\alpha_j), \wp'(\alpha_j)) , \\ (c, C) = (\wp(\alpha_j - \alpha_i), \wp'(\alpha_j - \alpha_i)) .$$

Then, after a change of variable $u \rightarrow \wp(u)$, Q4 can be written as

$$Q = A((\wp(u) - b)(\wp(u_j) - b) - (a - b)(c - b))((\wp(u_i) - b)(\wp(u_{ij}) - b) - (a - b)(c - b)) \\ + B((\wp(u) - a)(\wp(u_i) - a) - (b - a)(c - a))((\wp(u_j) - a)(\wp(u_{ij}) - a) - (b - a)(c - a)) \\ - ABC(a - b) .$$

Its three-leg form is given by [2]:

$$\psi = \log \left(\frac{\sigma(u + u_i + \alpha_i) \sigma(u - u_i + \alpha_i)}{\sigma(u + u_i - \alpha_i) \sigma(u - u_i - \alpha_i)} \right).$$

A corresponding function L was constructed in [22] by taking a power series expansion of ψ and integrating it term by term. No closed form formula for L is known.

References

- [1] Adler V.E., Bobenko A.I., Suris Y.B., Classification of Integrable Equations on Quad-Graphs. The Consistency Approach, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **233** (2003), 513–543.
- [2] Adler V.E., Suris Y.B., Q4: integrable master equation related to an elliptic curve, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2004** (2004), 2523–2553.
- [3] Bobenko A.I., Suris Y.B., On the Lagrangian structure of Integrable Quad-Equations, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **92** (2010), 17–31.
- [4] Bobenko A.I., Suris Y.B., Discrete pluriharmonic functions as solutions of linear pluri-Lagrangian systems, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **336** (2015), 199–215.
- [5] Boll R., Petrera M., Suris Y.B., What is integrability of discrete variational systems?, *Proc. R. Soc. A: Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **470** (2014), 20130550.
- [6] Boll R., Petrera M., Suris Y.B., On integrability of discrete variational systems: octahedron relations, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2016** (2016), 645–668.
- [7] Caudrelier V., Dell’Atti M., Singh A.A., Lagrangian Multiforms on coadjoint orbits for finite-dimensional integrable systems, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **114** (2024), 34.
- [8] Caudrelier V., Nijhoff F.W., Sleigh D.G., Vermeeren M., Lagrangian multiforms on Lie groups and non-commuting flows, *J. Geom. Phys.* **187** (2023), 104807.
- [9] Hietarinta J., Joshi N., Nijhoff F.W., Discrete systems and integrability, Vol. 54, Cambridge university press, 2016.
- [10] Lobb S.B., Nijhoff F.W., Lagrangian multiforms and multidimensional consistency, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42** (2009), 454013.
- [11] Lobb S.B., Nijhoff F.W., A variational principle for discrete integrable systems, *SIGMA* **14** (2018), 041.
- [12] Nijhoff F.W., Lax pair for the Adler (lattice Krichever–Novikov) system, *Phys. Lett. A* **297** (2002), 49–58.
- [13] Nijhoff F.W., Lagrangian 3-Form structure for the Darboux system and the KP hierarchy, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **113** (2023), 27.
- [14] Nijhoff F.W., Zhang D.J., On the Lagrangian multiform structure of the extended lattice Boussinesq system, *Open Commun. Nonlinear Math. Phys. Special Issue in Memory of Decio Levi* (2024),.
- [15] Petrera M., Suris Y.B., Variational symmetries and pluri-Lagrangian systems in classical mechanics, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **24** (2017), 121–145.
- [16] Petrera M., Vermeeren M., Variational symmetries and pluri-Lagrangian structures for integrable hierarchies of PDEs, *Eur. J. Math.* **7** (2021), 741–765.
- [17] Sleigh D., Nijhoff F.W., Caudrelier V., Variational Symmetries and Lagrangian Multiforms, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **110** (2020), 805–826.
- [18] Sleigh D., Nijhoff F.W., Caudrelier V., Lagrangian Multiforms for Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (KP) and the Gelfand–Dickey Hierarchy, *International Mathematics Research Notices* **2023** (2021), 1420–1460.
- [19] Sleigh D., Vermeeren M., Semi-discrete Lagrangian 2-forms and the Toda hierarchy, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **55** (2022), 475204.
- [20] Suris Y.B., Variational Symmetries and Pluri-Lagrangian Systems, in Dynamical Systems, Number Theory and Applications: A Festschrift in Honor of Armin Leutbecher’s 80th Birthday, World Scientific, 2016, 255–266.

- [21] Suris Y.B., Vermeeren M., On the Lagrangian Structure of Integrable Hierarchies, in *Advances in Discrete Differential Geometry*, Springer, 2016, 347–378.
- [22] Vermeeren M., A Variational Perspective on Continuum Limits of ABS and Lattice GD Equations, *SIGMA* **15** (2019), 044.
- [23] Xenitidis P., Nijhoff F.W., Lobb S.B., On the Lagrangian formulation of multidimensionally consistent systems, *Proc. R. Soc. A: Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **467** (2011), 3295–3317.