

HIGH ENTROPY MEASURES ON THE SPACE OF LATTICES WITH ESCAPE OF MASS

TAEHYEONG KIM

ABSTRACT. For any diagonal element a with two eigenvalues, we construct a sequence of a -invariant probability measures on the space of unimodular lattices with high entropy but converging to the zero measure. This extends the result of Kadyrov [Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, **32**(1) (2012)].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let m, n be positive integers. Consider the homogeneous space $X_{m+n} = \mathrm{SL}_{m+n}(\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SL}_{m+n}(\mathbb{Z})$, which can be identified with the space of unimodular lattices in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} . We consider the diagonal flow

$$a_t = \begin{pmatrix} e^{t/m} I_m & \\ & e^{-t/n} I_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

which naturally acts on X_{m+n} by left multiplication. We let $a = a_1$ be the time-one map for the diagonal flow.

The following non-escape of mass result was proved in [KKLM17] using Margulis functions which originated in [EMM98].

Theorem 1.1. [KKLM17] *For any $h > 0$ and any sequence $(\mu_k)_{k \geq 1}$ of a -invariant probability measures on X_{m+n} with $h_{\mu_k}(a) \geq h$, any weak* limit μ of the sequence satisfies*

$$\mu(X_{m+n}) \geq h - (m + n - 1).$$

A similar statement was first proved in [ELMV12] for the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ case. Since then, generalizations were considered in [EKP15, Mor22] for other rank 1 cases, as well as in [EK12, Mor] for higher rank cases. See also [DKMS] for “almost” invariant measures.

Note that the maximal entropy for the transformation a on X_{m+n} is $m+n$ (see e.g. [EL10]) and Theorem 1.1 is nontrivial for $h \in (m+n-1, m+n]$. Kadyrov, Kleinbock, Lindenstrauss, and Margulis [KKLM17] conjectured that Theorem 1.1 is sharp in the sense that for any $h \in [m+n-1, m+n]$ there should exist a sequence of probability invariant measures $(\mu_k)_{k \geq 1}$ with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_{\mu_k}(a) = h$ such that the limit measure μ satisfies $\mu(X_{m+n}) = h - (m+n-1)$. This was proved in [Kad12] when $\min(m, n) = 1$.

In this article, we prove that the conjecture is true when $(m, n) \neq (1, 1)$, hence combining with [Kad12] it is true for every m and n .

Theorem 1.2. *There exists a sequence of a -invariant probability measures $(\mu_k)_{k \geq 1}$ on X_{m+n} with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_{\mu_k}(a) = m+n-1$ such that any weak* limit measure is the zero measure.*

Corollary 1.3. *For any $h \in [m+n-1, m+n]$, there exists a sequence of a -invariant probability measures $(\nu_k)_{k \geq 1}$ on X_{m+n} with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_{\nu_k}(a) = h$ such that any weak* limit ν satisfies $\nu(X_{m+n}) = h - (m+n-1)$.*

Structure of the paper. In Section 2, using variational principle developed in [DFSU24], we estimate the lower bound of the Hausdorff dimension for the set of matrices such that the diagonal orbit of the corresponding lattice stays in a certain compact region in X_{m+n} near the cusp. In Section 3, we construct an a -invariant probability measure on X_{m+n} with high entropy supported on that compact region near the cusp. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3.

Acknowledgments. I am grateful to Jinho Jeoung for introducing this problem to me. I also thank David Simmons for answering my question about the standard template.

2. DIMENSION ESTIMATES USING VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE

In this section, we will estimate the lower bound of the Hausdorff dimension for the set of matrices such that the diagonal orbit of the corresponding lattice stays in a certain compact region in X_{m+n} near the cusp. We make use of the variational principle in the parametric geometry of numbers developed in [DFSU24].

For given positive integers m and n , denote $d = m+n$. For each $j = 1, \dots, d$, let $\lambda_j(\Lambda)$ denote the j th successive minimum of a lattice Λ in \mathbb{R}^d , i.e. the infimum of λ such that the set $\{\mathbf{r} \in \Lambda : \|\mathbf{r}\| \leq \lambda\}$ contains j linearly independent vectors. Given a matrix $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$, we define the *successive minima function* $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}_A = (h_1, \dots, h_d) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ of the matrix A by

$$h_i(t) = \log \lambda_i(a_t u_A \mathbb{Z}^d), \quad \text{where } u_A = \begin{pmatrix} I_m & A \\ & I_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

We use the following notation:

$$[a, b]_{\mathbb{Z}} = [a, b] \cap \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad (a, b]_{\mathbb{Z}} = (a, b] \cap \mathbb{Z}.$$

Now we recall the definition of templates in [DFSU24].

Definition 2.1 (Template). An $m \times n$ *template* is a piecewise linear map $\mathbf{f} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ with the following properties:

- (1) $f_1 \leq \dots \leq f_d$.
- (2) $-\frac{1}{n} \leq f'_i \leq \frac{1}{m}$ for all i .
- (3) For all $j = 0, \dots, d$ and for every interval I such that $f_j < f_{j+1}$ on I , the function $\sum_{0 < i \leq j} f_i$ is convex and piecewise linear on I with

slopes in the set

$$\left\{ \frac{L_+}{m} - \frac{L_-}{n} : L_+ \in [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}}, L_- \in [0, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}, L_+ + L_- = j \right\}.$$

As a convention, we use $f_0 = -\infty$ and $f_{d+1} = +\infty$.

Definition 2.2 (Contraction rate of a template). Let \mathbf{f} be a template and I be an open interval on which \mathbf{f} is linear. An *interval of equality* for \mathbf{f} on I is an interval $(p, q]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $0 \leq p < q \leq d$ such that

$$f_p < f_{p+1} = \cdots = f_q < f_{q+1} \text{ on } I.$$

For $0 \leq p < q \leq d$ with an interval of equality $(p, q]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, we let $M_{\pm}(p, q)$ be the unique integers such that

$$M_+ + M_- = q - p \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=p+1}^q f'_i = \frac{M_+}{m} - \frac{M_-}{n} \text{ on } I.$$

Note that $M_{\pm} \geq 0$ by (2) of Definition 2.1. We also let

$$S_+ = \bigcup_{(p,q]_{\mathbb{Z}}} (p, p + M_+(p, q)]_{\mathbb{Z}} \quad \text{and} \quad S_- = \bigcup_{(p,q]_{\mathbb{Z}}} (p + M_+(p, q), q]_{\mathbb{Z}}$$

where the unions are taken over all intervals of equality for \mathbf{f} on I . Define

$$\delta(\mathbf{f}, I) = \#\{(i_+, i_-) \in S_+ \times S_- : i_+ < i_-\};$$

$$\underline{\delta}(\mathbf{f}) = \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \delta(\mathbf{f}, t) dt,$$

where $\delta(\mathbf{f}, t)$ is the piecewise constant function with value $\delta(\mathbf{f}, I)$ on I . The value $\underline{\delta}(\mathbf{f})$ is called the *lower average contraction rate* of \mathbf{f} .

The following [DFSU24, Theorem 4.7] is a uniform version of the variational principle.

Theorem 2.3 (Uniform variational principle). *For all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for every template \mathbf{f} ,*

$$\dim_H(\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f}, C)) \geq \underline{\delta}(\mathbf{f}) - \epsilon,$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f}, C) = \{A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}) : \|\mathbf{h}_A - \mathbf{f}\| \leq C\}.$$

In [DFSU24, Section 9], the following special template was mainly used to estimate the lower bound of the Hausdorff dimension of singular matrices. We also need that template for our purpose.

Definition 2.4 (Standard template). Fix $0 \leq t_k < t_{k+1}$ and $\epsilon_k, \epsilon_{k+1} \geq 0$ and let $\Delta t = \Delta t_k = t_{k+1} - t_k$ and $\Delta \epsilon = \Delta \epsilon_k = \epsilon_{k+1} - \epsilon_k$. Assume that [DFSU24, Equations (9.1)–(9.3)] hold. The *standard template* defined by the two points $(t_k, -\epsilon_k)$ and $(t_{k+1}, \epsilon_{k+1})$ is the partial template $\mathbf{f} : [t_k, t_{k+1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ defined as follows:

- Let $g_1, g_2 : [t_k, t_{k+1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be piecewise linear functions such that $g_i(t_j) = -\epsilon_j$, and g_i has two intervals of linearity: one on which $g'_i = \frac{1}{m}$ and another on which $g'_i = -\frac{1}{n}$. For $i = 1$ the latter interval comes first while for $i = 2$ the former interval comes first. Finally, let $g_3 = \dots = g_d$ be chosen so that $g_1 + \dots + g_d = 0$.
- For each $t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}]$ let $\mathbf{f}(t) = \mathbf{g}(t)$ if $g_2(t) \leq g_3(t)$; otherwise let $f_1(t) = g_1(t)$ and let $f_2(t) = \dots = f_d(t)$ be chosen so that $f_1 + \dots + f_d = 0$.

Denote the standard template defined by $(t_k, -\epsilon_k)$ and $(t_{k+1}, -\epsilon_{k+1})$ by $\mathbf{s}[(t_k, -\epsilon_k), (t_{k+1}, -\epsilon_{k+1})]$.

Remark 2.5. As explained in [DFSU24, Definition 9.1 and Lemma 9.2], [DFSU24, Equations (9.1)–(9.3)] is necessary to ensure the existence of the standard template and that it is indeed a balanced partial template.

We will choose $t_k = kt$ and $t_{k+1} = (k+1)t$ for some large $t > 0$, and large $\epsilon_k = \epsilon_{k+1} > 0$, hence $\Delta\epsilon_k = 0$. Note that if $\Delta t_k = t$ is large enough compared to ϵ_k , [DFSU24, Equations (9.1)–(9.3)] hold unless $(m, n) = (1, 1)$. If $(m, n) = (1, 1)$, it follows from [DFSU24, Equations (9.2) and (9.3)] that $\epsilon_k = \epsilon_{k+1} = 0$. Since we want to choose $\epsilon_k = \epsilon_{k+1} > 0$ large, we need to assume $(m, n) \neq (1, 1)$.

Proposition 2.6. *Suppose that $(m, n) \neq (1, 1)$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there are constants $\rho_\epsilon, \eta_\epsilon > 0$ and $t_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\dim_H \{A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}) : \rho_\epsilon \leq \lambda_1(a_t u_A \mathbb{Z}^d) \leq \eta_\epsilon \text{ for all } t \geq t_\epsilon\} \geq mn - \frac{mn}{m+n} - \epsilon.$$

Moreover, $\rho_\epsilon, \eta_\epsilon$ converge to 0 as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. We will use the following notation: given any template \mathbf{g} and interval $[T_1, T_2]$, we denote

$$\Delta(\mathbf{g}, [T_1, T_2]) = \frac{1}{T_2 - T_1} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \delta(\mathbf{g}, t) dt.$$

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and let $C_\epsilon > 0$ be as in the statement of Theorem 2.3. We may assume that $C_\epsilon \rightarrow \infty$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ by replacing C_ϵ with $\max(C_\epsilon, 1/\epsilon)$. Fix large $t > 0$ to be determined later. Define the template \mathbf{f} by

$$\mathbf{f} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{s}[(0, 0), (t, -2C_\epsilon)] & \text{on } [0, t] \\ \mathbf{s}[(kt, -2C_\epsilon), ((k+1)t, -2C_\epsilon)] & \text{on } [kt, (k+1)t] \text{ for all } k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that if $t > 0$ is large enough, then [DFSU24, Equations (9.1)–(9.3)] hold for all the above standard templates since $(m, n) \neq (1, 1)$.

Following [DFSU24, Section 9], we have that for each $k \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\mathbf{f}, [kt, (k+1)t]) &= \Delta(\mathbf{s}([kt, -2C_\epsilon], ((k+1)t, -2C_\epsilon]), [kt, (k+1)t]) \\ &= \Delta\left(\mathbf{s}\left[\left(0, -\frac{2C_\epsilon}{t}\right), \left(1, -\frac{2C_\epsilon}{t}\right)\right], [0, 1]\right) \\ &= \Delta(\mathbf{s}[(0, 0), (1, 0)], [0, 1]) - O\left(\frac{C_\epsilon}{t}\right) \\ &= mn - \frac{mn}{m+n} - O\left(\frac{C_\epsilon}{t}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.3, $\dim_H(\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f}, C_\epsilon)) \geq mn - \frac{mn}{m+n} - \epsilon - O\left(\frac{C_\epsilon}{t}\right)$. Take $t = t_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ large so that $\dim_H(\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f}, C_\epsilon)) \geq mn - \frac{mn}{m+n} - 2\epsilon$.

Fix any $A \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f}, C_\epsilon)$ and let $\mathbf{h}_A = (h_1, \dots, h_d)$. Observe that

$$h_1(t) = f_1(t) - (f_1(t) - h_1(t)) \leq -2C_\epsilon + C_\epsilon = -C_\epsilon$$

for all $t \geq t_\epsilon$. Note that \mathbf{f} is a bounded template. Setting $D_\epsilon = \min_t(-f_1(t))$,

$$h_1(t) = f_1(t) - (f_1(t) - h_1(t)) \geq -D_\epsilon - C_\epsilon$$

for all $t \geq t_\epsilon$. Hence, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_H\{A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}) : -D_\epsilon - C_\epsilon \leq \log \lambda_1(a_t u_A \mathbb{Z}^d) \leq -C_\epsilon, \forall t \geq t_\epsilon\} \\ \geq mn - \frac{mn}{m+n} - 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $-D_\epsilon - C_\epsilon$ and $-C_\epsilon \rightarrow -\infty$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, taking $\rho_\epsilon = e^{-D_\epsilon/2 - C_\epsilon/2}$ and $\eta_\epsilon = e^{-C_\epsilon/2}$, we can conclude Proposition 2.6. \square

3. CONSTRUCTION OF HIGH ENTROPY MEASURES

In this section, we will construct an a -invariant probability measure on X_{m+n} with high entropy supported on a certain compact region near the cusp. We basically follow the strategy as in [LSS19, KKL, KLP23] to construct invariant measures and make use of Proposition 2.6 to bound the entropy.

Let $d = m + n$ as in Section 2. Denote $G = \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{R})$, $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z})$, and $X = G/\Gamma$. Let d_G be a right invariant metric on G and let d_X be the metric on X induced by d_G . Denote by d_∞ the metric on G induced by the supremum norm on $M_{d,d}(\mathbb{R})$. Since d_G and d_∞ are locally bi-Lipschitz (see e.g. [EW11, Lemma 9.12]), there are constants $0 < r_0 < 1$ and $C_0 \geq 1$ such that if $d_G(g, id) < r_0$ or $d_\infty(g, id) < r_0$, then

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{C_0} d_\infty(g, id) \leq d_G(g, id) \leq C_0 d_\infty(g, id).$$

We refer the reader to [ELW, Chapters 1 and 2] for definitions and properties of entropies. In particular, for a countable partition \mathcal{P} of X and a probability measure μ on X , the entropy $H_\mu(\mathcal{P})$ is defined by

$$H_\mu(\mathcal{P}) = - \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mu(P) \log \mu(P) \in [0, \infty]$$

where $0 \log 0$ is defined to be 0. The (dynamical) entropy $h_\mu(a)$ of the transformation a on X is defined by

$$h_\mu(a) = \sup_{\mathcal{P}: H_\mu(\mathcal{P}) < \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} H_\mu \left(\bigvee_{k=0}^{N-1} a^{-k} \mathcal{P} \right),$$

where $\bigvee_{k=0}^{N-1} a^{-k} \mathcal{P}$ denotes the join of the preimages $a^{-k} \mathcal{P}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that $(m, n) \neq (1, 1)$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\rho_\epsilon, \eta_\epsilon$ be as in Proposition 2.6. Then there exists an a -invariant probability measure μ on X such that*

- (1) $\text{Supp } \mu \subset \{x \in X : \rho_\epsilon \leq \lambda_1(x) \leq \eta_\epsilon\}$;
- (2) $h_\mu(a) \geq m + n - 1 - \frac{m+n}{mn} \epsilon$.

Remark 3.2. The set $\{x \in X : \rho_\epsilon \leq \lambda_1(x) \leq \eta_\epsilon\}$ is a compact subset of X by Mahler's compactness criterion.

Proof. Given $\epsilon > 0$, let $\rho_\epsilon, \eta_\epsilon > 0$ and $t_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ be as in Proposition 2.6. Denote

$$E(\epsilon) = \{A \in \mathbb{T}^{mn} : \eta_\epsilon \leq \lambda_1(a_t u_A \mathbb{Z}^d) \leq \rho_\epsilon \text{ for all } t \geq t_\epsilon\},$$

where $\mathbb{T}^{mn} = \mathbb{R}^{mn} / \mathbb{Z}^{mn}$ with the metric $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{T}^{mn} induced by the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathbb{R}^{mn} = M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$. For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let S_N be a maximal $e^{-(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n})N}$ -separated subset of $E(\epsilon)$. By Proposition 2.6, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |S_N|}{(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n})N} \geq \dim_H E(\epsilon) \geq mn - \frac{mn}{m+n} - \epsilon,$$

Define the measures ν_N and μ_N by

$$\nu_N = \frac{1}{|S_N|} \sum_{A \in S_N} \delta_{u_A \mathbb{Z}^d} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_*^k \nu_N.$$

Extracting a subsequences if necessary, we may assume that the sequence $(\mu_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weak* to some measure μ on X . Note that μ could not be a probability measure since X is non-compact.

First, observe that μ is clearly a -invariant since $a_* \mu_N - \mu_N$ goes to the zero measure. Next, we claim that μ is a probability measure on X and

$$\text{Supp } \mu \subset \{x \in X : \rho_\epsilon \leq \lambda_1(x) \leq \eta_\epsilon\}.$$

Indeed, writing $X(\epsilon) = \{x \in X : \rho_\epsilon \leq \lambda_1(x) \leq \eta_\epsilon\}$, it follows that

$$\mu_N(X \setminus X(\epsilon)) = \frac{1}{N|S_N|} \sum_{A \in S_N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \delta_{a^k u_A \mathbb{Z}^d}(X \setminus X(\epsilon)) \leq \frac{t_\epsilon}{N}.$$

By taking $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\mu(X \setminus X(\epsilon)) = 0$ and the claim follows since $X(\epsilon)$ is compact in X .

Finally, we claim that

$$h_\mu(a) \geq m + n - 1 - \frac{m+n}{mn} \epsilon.$$

In order to prove the claim, we fix small $r > 0$ which will be determined in Lemma 3.3 and consider a finite partition \mathcal{P} of X satisfying

- \mathcal{P} contains an atom P_∞ such that $X \setminus P_\infty$ contains $X(\epsilon)$ and has compact closure;
- For any $P \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{P_\infty\}$, $\text{diam } P < r$;
- For any $P \in \mathcal{P}$, the boundary of P is μ -null, i.e. $\mu(\partial P) = 0$.

We can build such \mathcal{P} following the procedure in the proof of [LSS19, Proposition 2.3]. For any $q \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{P}^{(q)} = \bigvee_{k=0}^{q-1} a^{-k} \mathcal{P}$. Write the Euclidean division of large enough $N - 1$ by q as

$$N - 1 = qN' + s \text{ with } s \in \{0, \dots, q - 1\}.$$

By subadditivity of entropy of the partition it follows that for each $p \in \{0, \dots, q - 1\}$

$$H_{\nu_N}(\mathcal{P}^{(N)}) \leq H_{a^p \nu_N}(\mathcal{P}^{(q)}) + H_{a^{p+q} \nu_N}(\mathcal{P}^{(q)}) + \dots + H_{a^{p+qN'} \nu_N}(\mathcal{P}^{(q)}) + 2q \log |\mathcal{P}|.$$

Summing those inequalities for $p = 0, \dots, q - 1$, and using concavity of entropy of the measure, it follows that

$$qH_{\nu_N}(\mathcal{P}^{(N)}) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} H_{a^k \nu_N}(\mathcal{P}^{(q)}) + 2q^2 \log |\mathcal{P}| \leq NH_{\mu_N}(\mathcal{P}^{(q)}) + 2q^2 \log |\mathcal{P}|.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{1}{q} H_{\mu_N}(\mathcal{P}^{(q)}) \geq \frac{1}{N} H_{\nu_N}(\mathcal{P}^{(N)}) - \frac{2q \log |\mathcal{P}|}{N}.$$

Now we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *There is small enough $r > 0$ such that for any non-empty atom P of $\mathcal{P}^{(N)}$, there is at most one element $A \in S_N$ such that $u_A \Gamma \in P$. In particular, r is independent of N .*

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Recall that the constants $0 < r_0 < 1$ and $C_0 \geq 1$ are given in the beginning of Section 3. Take $r > 0$ small enough so that $r < \min\{r_0, r_0 C_0^{-1} e^{-(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n})t_\epsilon}\}$ and $C_0^2 r e^{(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n})t_\epsilon}$ is less than the injectivity radius of $X \setminus P_\infty$.

Suppose that there are two distinct elements A_1 and A_2 in S_N such that $u_{A_1} \Gamma, u_{A_2} \Gamma \in P$, that is, $a^k u_{A_1} \Gamma, a^k u_{A_2} \Gamma$ are contained in the same atom of \mathcal{P} for each $k = 0, \dots, N - 1$. Since $A_1, A_2 \in E(\epsilon)$, $a^k u_{A_1} \Gamma, a^k u_{A_2} \Gamma \notin P_\infty$ for all $k \geq t_\epsilon$, hence

$$d_X(a^k u_{A_1} \Gamma, a^k u_{A_2} \Gamma) < r \quad \text{for all } t_\epsilon \leq k \leq N - 1.$$

We start with the case $k = 0$. Since $u_{A_1} \Gamma, u_{A_2} \Gamma \notin P_\infty$, it follows that $d_X(u_{A_1} \Gamma, u_{A_2} \Gamma) < r$. Since the map $A \rightarrow u_A \Gamma$ is injective on \mathbb{T}^m , it follows from the right invariance of d_G that

$$d_G(u_{A_1 - A_2}, id) = d_G(u_{A_1}, u_{A_2}) = d_X(u_{A_1} \Gamma, u_{A_2} \Gamma) < r.$$

Since $r < r_0$, by (3.1), we have

$$\|A_1 - A_2\| = d_\infty(u_{A_1-A_2}, id) \leq C_0 d_G(u_{A_1-A_2}, id) < C_0 r.$$

Now consider the case $k = t_\epsilon$. Observe that

$$d_\infty(a^{t_\epsilon} u_{A_1-A_2} a^{-t_\epsilon}, id) = e^{(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n})t_\epsilon} \|A_1 - A_2\| < e^{(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n})t_\epsilon} C_0 r < r_0.$$

Hence using the right invariance of d_G and (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_G(a^{t_\epsilon} u_{A_1}, a^{t_\epsilon} u_{A_2}) &= d_G(a^{t_\epsilon} u_{A_1-A_2} a^{-t_\epsilon}, id) \leq C_0 e^{(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n})t_\epsilon} \|A_1 - A_2\| \\ &< C_0^2 r e^{(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n})t_\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the last line is less than the injectivity radius of $X \setminus P_\infty$, we have

$$e^{(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n})t_\epsilon} \|A_1 - A_2\| \leq C_0 d_G(a^{t_\epsilon} u_{A_1}, a^{t_\epsilon} u_{A_2}) = C_0 d_X(a^{t_\epsilon} u_{A_1} \Gamma, a^{t_\epsilon} u_{A_2} \Gamma) < C_0 r,$$

hence $\|A_1 - A_2\| < C_0 r e^{-(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n})t_\epsilon}$.

In the case $k = t_\epsilon + 1$, since

$$d_\infty(a^{t_\epsilon+1} u_{A_1-A_2} a^{-(t_\epsilon+1)}, id) = e^{(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n})(t_\epsilon+1)} \|A_1 - A_2\| < e^{(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n})} C_0 r < r_0,$$

it follows from the right invariance of d_G and (3.1) that

$$d_G(a^{t_\epsilon+1} u_{A_1}, a^{t_\epsilon+1} u_{A_2}) \leq C_0 e^{(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n})(t_\epsilon+1)} \|A_1 - A_2\| < C_0^2 r e^{(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n})},$$

which is less than the injectivity radius of $X \setminus P_\infty$, hence

$$e^{(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n})(t_\epsilon+1)} \|A_1 - A_2\| \leq C_0 d_X(a^{t_\epsilon+1} u_{A_1} \Gamma, a^{t_\epsilon+1} u_{A_2} \Gamma) < C_0 r,$$

that is, $\|A_1 - A_2\| < C_0 r e^{-(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n})(t_\epsilon+1)}$.

Following this procedure until $k = N - 1$, we have

$$\|A_1 - A_2\| < C_0 r e^{-(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n})(N-1)} < e^{-(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n})N}.$$

This is a contradiction to the fact that A_1 and A_2 are $e^{-(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n})N}$ -separated. \square

It follows from (3.3) and Lemma 3.3 that

$$\frac{1}{q} H_{\mu_N}(\mathcal{P}^{(q)}) \geq \frac{\log |S_N|}{N} - \frac{2q \log |\mathcal{P}|}{N}.$$

Since the boundary of the atoms of \mathcal{P} , hence of $\mathcal{P}^{(q)}$, is of zero μ -measure, taking $N \rightarrow \infty$ and using (3.2), we have

$$\frac{1}{q} H_\mu(\mathcal{P}^{(q)}) \geq m + n - 1 - \frac{m+n}{mn} \epsilon.$$

Therefore, we have

$$h_\mu(a) \geq m + n - 1 - \frac{m+n}{mn} \epsilon.$$

\square

4. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.2 AND COROLLARY 1.3

Proof of Theorem 1.2. When $(m, n) = (1, 1)$, it follows from [Kad12, Theorem 1.2]. Assume $(m, n) \neq (1, 1)$. Using Proposition 3.1 with $\epsilon = 1/k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain a sequence of a -invariant probability measures $(\mu_k)_{k \geq 1}$ on X such that $\text{Supp } \mu_k \subset \{x \in X : \rho_{1/k} \leq \lambda_1(x) \leq \eta_{1/k}\}$ and $h_{\mu_k}(a) \geq m + n - 1 - \frac{m+n}{mnk}$. Hence, it follows that $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_{\mu_k}(a) \geq m + n - 1$ and any weak* limit measure is the zero measure since $\rho_{1/k}, \eta_{1/k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

On the other hand, if $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_{\mu_k}(a) > m + n - 1$, it follows from Theorem 1.1 that there is a subsequence of $(\mu_k)_{k \geq 1}$ such that any weak* limit measure along this subsequence cannot be the zero measure, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_{\mu_k}(a) = m + n - 1$. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Let $(\mu_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be as in Theorem 1.2. Denote by m_X the Haar probability measure on X . Note that $h_{m_X}(a) = m + n$ (see e.g. [EL10]). Given $h \in [m + n - 1, m + n]$, define

$$\nu_k = (h - (m + n - 1))m_X + (m + n - h)\mu_k.$$

It follows from [ELW, Theorem 2.33] that

$$h_{\nu_k}(a) = (h - (m + n - 1))h_{m_X}(a) + (m + n - h)h_{\mu_k}(a),$$

hence $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_{\nu_k}(a) = h$. Since the weak* limit measure of $(\nu_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is $(h - (m + n - 1))m_X$, this completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [DFSU24] T. Das, L. Fishman, D. Simmons and M. Urbański, *A variational principle in the parametric geometry of numbers*, *Advances in Mathematics* **437** (2024): 109435.
- [DKMS] O. David, T. Kim, R. Mor, and U. Shapira *On the rate of convergence of continued fraction statistics of random rationals*, preprint, [arXiv:2401.15586](https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.15586).
- [EK12] M. Einsiedler and S. Kadyrov, *Entropy and escape of mass for $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$* , *Israel J. Math.* **190** (2012), 253–288.
- [EKP15] M. Einsiedler, S. Kadyrov, and A. D. Pohl, *Escape of mass and entropy for diagonal flows in real rank one situations*, *Israel J. Math.* **210** (2015), 245–295.
- [EL10] M. Einsiedler and E. Lindenstrauss, *Diagonal actions on locally homogeneous spaces*, *Homogeneous flows, moduli spaces and arithmetic*, *Clay Math. Proc.*, vol. 10, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010, pp. 155–241. MR 2648695
- [ELMV12] M. Einsiedler, E. Lindenstrauss, P. Michel and A. Venkatesh, *The distribution of periodic torus orbits on homogeneous spaces, II: Duke’s theorem for quadratic fields*, *Enseign. Math.* **58** (2012), 249–313.
- [ELW] M. Einsiedler, E. Lindenstrauss, and T. Ward, *Entropy in ergodic theory and homogeneous dynamics*, Preprint available at <http://www.personal.leeds.ac.uk/~mattbw/entropy>.
- [EMM98] A. Eskin, G. A. Margulis and S. Mozes, *Upper bounds and asymptotics in a quantitative version of the Oppenheim conjecture*, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **147** (1998), no. 1, 93–141.
- [EW11] M. Einsiedler and T. Ward, *Ergodic theory with a view towards number theory*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 259, Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2011.

- [Kad12] S. Kadyrov, *Positive entropy invariant measures on the space of lattices with escape of mass*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems **32**(1) (2012), 141–157.
- [KKL] T. Kim, W. Kim, and S. Lim, *Dimension estimates for badly approximable affine forms*, preprint, [arXiv:2111.15410](https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.15410).
- [KKLM17] S. Kadyrov, D. Kleinbock, E. Lindenstrauss, and G. A. Margulis, *Singular systems of linear forms and non-escape of mass in the space of lattices*, J. Anal. Math. **133** (2017), 253–277.
- [KLP23] T. Kim, S. Lim, and F. Paulin, *On Hausdorff dimension in inhomogeneous Diophantine approximation over global function fields*, Journal of Number Theory, **251** (2023), 102–146.
- [LSS19] S. Lim, N. de Saxcé, and U. Shapira, *Dimension bound for badly approximable grids*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (20) (2019), 6317–6346.
- [Mor22] R. Mor, *Excursions to the cusps for geometrically finite hyperbolic orbifolds and equidistribution of closed geodesics in regular covers*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **42** (2022), no. 12, 3745–3791.
- [Mor] R. Mor, *Bounding entropy for one-parameter diagonal flows on $SL_d(\mathbb{R})/SL_d(\mathbb{Z})$ using linear functionals*, preprint, [arXiv:2302.07122](https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.07122).

THE EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, EDMOND J. SAFRA CAMPUS, GIVAT RAM, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, JERUSALEM, 91904, ISRAEL
Email address: taehyeong.kim@mail.huji.ac.il