

LOCALLY-PRIMITIVE BLOCK DESIGNS

JIANFU CHEN, PEICE HUA, CAI HENG LI, AND YANNI WU

ABSTRACT. A locally-primitive design is a block design $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ which admits an automorphism group G with primitive local actions. It is proved that G is primitive on the points \mathcal{P} , and either G is an almost simple group, or G acting on \mathcal{P} is an affine group.

Keywords: designs; 2-designs; flag-transitive; locally-primitive

1. INTRODUCTION

A *block design* is a point-line incidence geometry such that each line contains a constant number of points, and any two points lie on a constant number of lines, which is more formally defined below.

Definition 1.1. Let k, t, λ be positive integers. Let \mathcal{P} be a finite set of *points*, and let \mathcal{B} consist of some k -subsets of \mathcal{P} , called *blocks*. An incidence geometry $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ is called a t - (v, k, λ) *design*, or briefly a t -*design*, if any t points of \mathcal{P} are contained in exactly λ blocks in \mathcal{B} , where the incidence relation \mathcal{I} is defined by inclusion.

It follows from the definition that

- each point in \mathcal{P} is contained in a constant number of blocks;
- a t -design is a $(t - 1)$ -design if $t \geq 2$.

Traditionally, let $v = |\mathcal{P}|$, $b = |\mathcal{B}|$, and r be the number of blocks which contain a common point. To avoid trivial cases, we usually assume that $b < \binom{v}{k}$, and $t < k < v$. It is known and easily shown that $v \leq b$. In the case where $v = b$, the design \mathcal{D} is called *symmetric*. A *flag* of a design \mathcal{D} is an incident point-block pair. For convenience, let \mathcal{F} be the set of all flags of \mathcal{D} .

An automorphism of $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ is a permutation on the point set \mathcal{P} , which preserves the block set \mathcal{B} and the incidence relation \mathcal{I} . All of the automorphisms form the automorphism group $\text{Aut}\mathcal{D}$. For a subgroup $G \leq \text{Aut}\mathcal{D}$, we call that \mathcal{D} is G -*point-transitive*, G -*block-transitive*, or G -*flag-transitive*, if G is transitive on \mathcal{P} , \mathcal{B} , or \mathcal{F} , respectively.

A transitive permutation group is called *primitive* if it only preserves the trivial partitions of the set, and *quasiprimitive* if each of its nontrivial normal subgroups is transitive. Then, similarly, one can define G -*point-(quasi)primitive*, G -*block-(quasi)primitive* and G -*flag-(quasi)primitive* designs.

Constructing and classifying 2-designs with large automorphism groups have been a long-term project in Combinatorics, and lots of nice results have been obtained

The project was partially supported by the NNSF of China (11931005).

in the literature. For instance, flag-transitive $2-(v, k, 1)$ designs have been well-characterized by Kantor and then a team of six people; refer to [14, 7]. Some flag-transitive 2-designs with special parameters have also been studied, seeing [20, 1] for $\gcd(r, \lambda) = 1$, [15, 16] for $\lambda = 2$, [19] for λ prime and [3] for r prime. Block designs with certain automorphism groups are investigated in [13] and [10, 11], respectively for automorphism groups being 2-transitive on points and being primitive of rank 3. A nice reduction is given by Camina-Praeger in [9] for $2-(v, k, 1)$ designs which are line-transitive and point-quasiprimitive.

Hereinafter, for convenience, we will denote a point by α , and a block by β . Then let $\mathcal{D}(\alpha)$ be the set of blocks containing α , and let $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$ be the set of points lying in β . We observe that a G -flag-transitive design \mathcal{D} is G -locally-transitive, namely,

- G_α is transitive on $\mathcal{D}(\alpha)$ for all points $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$, and
- G_β is transitive on $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$ for all blocks $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$.

In this paper, we study locally-primitive designs, defined below.

Definition 1.2. Let $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ be a block design, and let $G \leq \text{Aut}\mathcal{D}$. Then \mathcal{D} is said to be G -locally-primitive if G_α is primitive on $\mathcal{D}(\alpha)$ for all points $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$, and G_β is primitive on $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$ for all blocks $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$.

Locally-primitive designs \mathcal{D} with $\lambda = 1$ were studied in [5, 6], which shows that $\text{Aut}\mathcal{D}$ acting on \mathcal{P} is an affine group or an almost simple group. We shall prove the same conclusion in the general case. A key property for this paper is that locally-primitive designs are point-primitive; see Proposition 5.1. This enables us to employ the O’Nan-Scott-Praeger Theorem (refer to [17, 18]) for (quasi)primitive permutation groups to analyze automorphism groups of locally-primitive designs.

The incidence graph of an incidence geometry provides a different language for and a different view on the geometry.

Definition 1.3. Let $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ be an incidence geometry with flag set \mathcal{F} . Then the *incidence graph* is the bipartite graph $\Gamma = (\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{F})$ with vertex set of biparts $\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{B}$ and edge set \mathcal{F} .

It is not hard to show that an incidence geometry $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ is a 2-design if and only if the incidence graph Γ satisfies that for any two vertices $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{P}$, the intersection of their neighbors has the same size, i.e., $|\Gamma(\alpha_1) \cap \Gamma(\alpha_2)| = \lambda$, a constant. Similar to the definition of locally-primitive designs, one can define *locally-primitive graphs*. Then the incidence graph of a G -locally-primitive design is a G -locally-primitive graph. A systematic study of locally-primitive graphs was carried out in [12], which provides us with a useful tool to analyze automorphism groups of locally-primitive designs.

The main result of this paper is the following reduction theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ be a G -locally primitive 2-design. Then G is flag-transitive and point-primitive on \mathcal{D} , and either*

- (1) G is an almost simple group and $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is quasiprimitive, or
- (2) G is an affine group, and either $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is also primitive affine, or $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is non-quasiprimitive and \mathcal{D} is a subdesign of $\text{AG}_i(d, q)$.

Examples do exist for each of the three possibilities.

Corollary 1.5. *Let $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ be a G -locally primitive symmetric design. Then G is almost simple or affine, and acts primitively on both \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{B} .*

Corollary 1.6. *Let $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ be a G -locally primitive t -design with $t \geq 3$. Then either G is an almost simple group, or $t = 3$, and \mathcal{D} is a subdesign of $\text{AG}_i(d, 2)$.*

The possible quasiprimitive types for locally-primitive t -designs are listed below.

TABLE 1. G -locally-primitive t -design $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$

$(G^{\mathcal{P}}, G^{\mathcal{B}})$	Possible Types	Properties
(X, Y)	(HA, HA) (AS, AS)	symmetric, $t = 2$ $t \leq 6$
$(X, -)$	(HA, -)	non-symmetric, $t = 2$ or 3 $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \text{AG}_i(d, q)$

With the reduction given in Theorem 1.4, the following problem naturally occurs.

Problem 1.7. Classify locally primitive 2-designs.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we collect some necessary notation, definitions, and some fundamental results, including O’Nan-Scott-Praeger Theorem. In Section 3, we study the incidence graphs of block designs, and present two key lemmas for the proof of the main theorem, namely, Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4. In Section 4, we present examples of block designs which are locally-primitive. In Section 5, we give Lemma 5.1, which shows that locally-primitivity implies point-primitivity so that we can employ O’Nan-Scott-Praeger Theorem to analyze the automorphism groups. In Section 6, we prove that the primitive action of the automorphism groups on points must be affine, almost simple, or in product action. Finally, we determine the affine case in Section 7, and exclude the product action case in Section 8. Then, one can find the complete proofs of our main results in the last Section 9.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we collect notations, definitions and basic properties about block designs, and automorphism groups.

The parameters of non-trivial $2-(v, k, \lambda)$ designs $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ have various properties. First, by definition, we have

$$2 \leq k < v, \text{ and } b = |\mathcal{B}| < \binom{v}{k}.$$

Let r be the number of blocks containing a fixed point. Then one can obtain

$$vr = bk,$$

by counting the number of flags $\{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{B} \mid \alpha \sim \beta\}$ in two ways. Moreover, Fisher’s inequality tells us that

$$b \geq v, \text{ and } r \geq k.$$

For a given point $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{P}$, counting flags of the form $\{(\alpha, \beta) \mid (\alpha_0, \beta) \in \mathcal{F}, \alpha \neq \alpha_0\}$ in two ways gives rise to the equality:

$$\lambda(v - 1) = r(k - 1),$$

It follows that $\lambda < r$, and $\lambda v = rk - r + \lambda < rk$.

Given a t -(v, k, λ_t) design $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$, it is not hard to show that \mathcal{D} is also an s -(v, k, λ_s) design, where $s \leq t$, and in this case, $\lambda_s = \lambda_t \binom{v-s}{t-s} / \binom{k-s}{t-s}$. By [8, Theorems 1.1, 2.1], the values of t for G -flag-transitive t -design is bounded by $t \leq 6$, and G is $\lfloor \frac{t+1}{2} \rfloor$ -homogeneous on the points.

The equality $\lambda(v-1) = r(k-1)$ implies that the automorphism group of a G -flag-transitive design has large stabilizers, which means that $|G| \leq |G_\alpha|^3$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathcal{D} be G -flag-transitive 2-(v, k, λ) design, with a fixed flag (α, β) . Then*

$$|G| < \frac{|G_\alpha|^3}{|G_{\alpha\beta}|^2}.$$

Proof. As \mathcal{D} is G -flag-transitive, we have $v = \frac{|G|}{|G_\alpha|}$, $b = \frac{|G|}{|G_\beta|} \geq v$, $r = \frac{|G_\alpha|}{|G_{\alpha\beta}|}$ and $k = \frac{|G_\beta|}{|G_{\alpha\beta}|}$. Then by $\lambda v < rk$, we have $\lambda \cdot |G| < \frac{|G_\alpha|^2 |G_\beta|}{|G_{\alpha\beta}|^2} \leq \frac{|G_\alpha|^3}{|G_{\alpha\beta}|^2}$. \square

The bound given in Lemma 2.1 allows us to use the tool called large subgroup described in [2], to classify locally-primitive 2-designs with automorphism groups being almost simple, which will be done in an upcoming sequel.

The following simple lemma is well-known. Due to the completeness and brevity, a simple proof is given below.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ be a non-trivial 2-design, and let $G \leq \text{Aut}\mathcal{D}$. Then G acts faithfully on both \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{B} .*

Proof. By definition, $G \leq \text{Sym}(\mathcal{P})$ acts faithfully on \mathcal{P} . Suppose that G is unfaithful on \mathcal{B} . Then there exists some element $g \in G_{(\mathcal{B})} \setminus \{1\}$; namely, $g \neq 1$ fixes all the blocks in \mathcal{B} . Since G is faithful on \mathcal{P} , it follows that g must move some points, say mapping α_1 to α_2 . However, g fixes all the blocks, so the r blocks containing α_1 will simultaneously contain α_2 . It implies that $r \leq \lambda$, a contradiction. Thus G is faithful on \mathcal{B} . \square

We focus on locally primitive designs $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ in this paper, so we introduce a fundamental result — *O’Nan-Scott-Praeger Theorem* for primitive/quasiprimitive groups.

Let G be a transitive permutation group on Ω . A partition $\Omega = \Delta_1 \cup \dots \cup \Delta_\ell$ is said to be G -invariant if, for any $g \in G$ and any Δ_i ,

$$\Delta_i^g = \Delta_i, \text{ or } \Delta_i^g \cap \Delta_i = \emptyset.$$

Then G induces a transitive action on the set $\{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_\ell\}$. The partition $\Omega = \Delta_1 \cup \dots \cup \Delta_\ell$ is called an *imprimitive partition* and each part Δ_i is said to be an *imprimitive-block*. Clearly, there are two *trivial* G -invariant partitions, namely, $|\Delta_i| = 1$ or $|\Omega|$. If the two trivial partitions are the only G -invariant partitions, then G is called *primitive*, otherwise, G is said to be *imprimitive*. It is well-known and easily shown that a transitive group $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ is primitive if and only if the point stabilizer of G is a maximal subgroup of G .

A transitive group G on Ω is said to be *quasiprimitive*, if every non-identity normal subgroup of G is transitive on Ω . Obviously, a primitive group is quasiprimitive,

but a quasiprimitive group is not necessarily primitive. A fundamental theorem in permutation group theory is the so-called O’Nan-Scott-Praeger Theorem, which describes the structure and action of a primitive/quasiprimitive group, refer to Praeger [17].

Let $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ be quasiprimitive, and take $\omega \in \Omega$. Let $N = \text{soc}(G)$ be the *socle* of G , which is the product of the minimal normal subgroups. It is easily shown that either G has a unique minimal normal subgroup, or G has exactly two minimal isomorphic normal subgroups, so that

$$N = \text{soc}(G) = T^m,$$

where T is a simple group and m is a positive integer. According to the structures and the actions of G and N , we make the following definition:

- (1) *Almost Simple* (AS): $N = T$ is nonabelian simple, and $G \leq \text{Aut}(T)$;
- (2) *Holomorph Affine* (HA): $N = \mathbb{Z}_p^m$, and $G \leq \text{AGL}(m, p)$;
- (3) *Holomorph Simple* (HS): $N = T \times T$, and $G \leq T \rtimes \text{Aut}(T)$;
- (4) *Holomorph Compound* (HC): $N = T^\ell \times T^\ell$, and $G \leq T^\ell \rtimes \text{Aut}(T^\ell) = (T^\ell \times T^\ell) \cdot \text{Out}(T^\ell)$;
- (5) *Twisted Wreath product* (TW): $N = T^m$ is regular on Ω , and $G = N \rtimes G_\omega$;
- (6) *Simple Diagonal* (SD): $N = T^m$, and $N_\omega \cong T$;
- (7) *Compound Diagonal* (CD): $N = T^m$, and $N_\omega \cong T^\ell$ with $\ell > 1$ dividing m ;
- (8) *Product Action type* (PA): $N = T^m > T$ is irregular on Ω ; in the primitive case, $N_\omega \cong H^m$, $H < T$.

Then O’Nan-Scott-Praeger Theorem states as below.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ be quasiprimitive. Then G is one of the eight types described above. Further, G is primitive if G is of type HA, HS or HC.*

3. INCIDENCE COSET GRAPHS

As pointed out in the Introduction, the incidence graph of a flag-transitive design is bipartite and edge-transitive. Bipartite edge-transitive graphs can be expressed as coset graphs, defined below.

Given a group G and two subgroups $L, R \leq G$, the *coset graph* $\text{Cos}(G, L, R)$ is defined with vertex bipartite parts $V = [G : L] \cup [G : R]$, and adjacency relations as

$$Lx \sim Ry \iff Lx \cap Ry \neq \emptyset, \text{ for all } x, y \in G.$$

Clearly, the vertex L is adjacent to the vertex R .

For convenience, let $\mathcal{P} = [G : L]$, $\mathcal{B} = [G : R]$, and denote the vertices L, R by α, β , respectively. Then the stabilizers $G_\alpha = L$ and $G_\beta = R$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ such that β is adjacent to both α and α^g , where $g \in G$. Let $L = G_\alpha$ and $R = G_\beta$. Then $|\Gamma(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\alpha^g)| = \frac{|(RL) \cap (RLg)|}{|R|}$.*

Proof. Coset graphs are edge-transitive. Then G_α is transitive on $\Gamma(\alpha)$ and G_{α^g} is transitive on $\Gamma(\alpha^g)$. We have that $\Gamma(\alpha) = \beta^L$ and $\Gamma(\alpha^g) = \beta^R$. Identifying the vertices α and β with L and R , respectively, we have that $\alpha^g = Lg$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\alpha) &= \beta^L = R^L = \{Ry \mid y \in RL\}, \\ \Gamma(\alpha^g) &= (\beta^L)^g = \{Ry \mid y \in L\}^g = \{Ryg \mid y \in L\} = \{Rx \mid x \in RLg\}. \end{aligned}$$

The intersection $\Gamma(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\alpha^g)$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\alpha^g) &= \{Ry \mid y \in RL\} \cap \{Ry \mid y \in RLg\} \\ &= \{Ry \mid y \in (RL) \cap (RLg)\}.\end{aligned}$$

For any elements $y_1, y_2 \in (RL) \cap (RLg)$, we have that $Ry_1 = Ry_2 \iff y_1y_2^{-1} \in R$. Thus $|\Gamma(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\alpha^g)| = \frac{|(RL) \cap (RLg)|}{|R|}$, as stated. \square

Let $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ be a G -flag-transitive 2-design. Let (α, β) be a flag with $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$. Then the incidence graph Γ of \mathcal{D} has the form

$$\Gamma = \text{Cos}(G, G_\alpha, G_\beta),$$

with $\mathcal{P} = [G : G_\alpha]$ and $\mathcal{B} = [G : G_\beta]$. Obviously, the graph $\text{Cos}(G, G_\alpha, G_\beta)$ is connected. Lemma 3.1 has the following improved version for incidence graph of a 2-design.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\Gamma = \text{Cos}(G, G_\alpha, G_\beta)$ be connected. Then the following statements are equivalent, where λ is a positive constant.*

- (i) Γ is the incidence graph of a G -flag-transitive 2-design;
- (ii) $|\Gamma(\alpha_1) \cap \Gamma(\alpha_2)| = \lambda$, for any $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in [G : G_\alpha]$;
- (iii) $\frac{|G_\beta G_\alpha \cap G_\beta G_\alpha g|}{|G_\beta|} = \lambda$, for any element $g \in G \setminus G_\alpha$.

Proof. Firstly, assume that Γ is the incidence graph of a G -flag-transitive 2-design $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ such that $\mathcal{P} = [G : G_\alpha]$. Then any two points $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ lie in exactly λ blocks, and so $|\Gamma(\alpha_1) \cap \Gamma(\alpha_2)| = \lambda$ is a constant. Thus part (i) implies part (ii).

Secondly, assume that $|\Gamma(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\alpha')| = \lambda$ is a constant, for any elements $\alpha, \alpha' \in [G : G_\alpha]$. Let $\beta \in \Gamma(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\alpha')$. As G is transitive on \mathcal{P} , there exists $g \in G$ such that $\alpha' = \alpha^g$. Thus, by Lemma 3.1, $\frac{|(G_\beta G_\alpha) \cap (G_\beta G_\alpha g)|}{|G_\beta|} = |\Gamma(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\alpha')| = \lambda$ is a constant. Thus part (ii) implies part (iii).

Finally, assume that $\frac{|G_\beta G_\alpha \cap G_\beta G_\alpha g|}{|G_\beta|} = \lambda$ for any element $g \in G \setminus G_\alpha$. By Lemma 3.1, $|\Gamma(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\alpha')| = \frac{|(G_\beta G_\alpha) \cap (G_\beta G_\alpha g)|}{|G_\beta|} = \lambda$. Viewing Γ as an point-line incidence geometry $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ with $\mathcal{P} = [G : G_\alpha]$ and $\mathcal{B} = [G : G_\beta]$, any two points $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathcal{P}$ are incident with exactly λ lines in \mathcal{B} . Thus Γ is the incidence graph of a 2-design $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$. \square

As expected, the incidence graphs of 2-designs have small diameters.

Lemma 3.3. *Let Γ be the incidence graph of a non-trivial 2-design \mathcal{D} . Then Γ is of diameter at most 4. If further \mathcal{D} is symmetric, then Γ is of diameter 3.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$. Then Γ is a bipartite graph with biparts \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{B} . Let $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the distance function.

Note that any two points are of distance 2. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\alpha \not\sim \beta$. Let $\alpha' \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\alpha' \sim \beta$, and let $\beta' \in \Gamma(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\alpha')$. Then $\alpha \sim \beta' \sim \alpha' \sim \beta$, and so $d(\alpha, \beta) = 3$.

If any two blocks are of distance 2, then Γ has diameter equal to 3; in particular, symmetric \mathcal{D} falls into this case. Otherwise, there exists $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ with $d(\beta_1, \beta_2) > 2$. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\alpha_1 \sim \beta_1$ and $\alpha_2 \sim \beta_2$. Then there exist $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$\beta \in \Gamma(\alpha_1) \cap \Gamma(\alpha_2)$, and so $\beta_1 \sim \alpha_1 \sim \beta \sim \alpha_2 \sim \beta_2$, and $d(\beta_1, \beta_2) = 4$. Thus Γ is of diameter equal to 4. \square

The next lemma is useful in the study of certain flag-transitive designs.

Lemma 3.4. *Let \mathcal{D} be a G -flag-transitive non-trivial 2-design, with a flag (α, β) with $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$. Assume that both G and G_α can be decomposed into direct products as*

$$G = G_1 \times G_2, \quad G_\alpha = L_1 \times L_2 = L, \quad \text{where } L_i < G_i.$$

Assume further that $K = K_1 \times K_2$ is a subgroup of G_β with $K_1 \leq G_1$ and $K_2 \leq G_2$. Then K is intransitive on $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$.

Proof. Suppose that $K = K_1 \times K_2 \leq G_\beta$ is transitive on $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$, where $K_i \leq G_i$. Then $G_\beta = KG_{\beta\alpha}$, and hence $G_\beta G_\alpha = KG_{\beta\alpha} G_\alpha = KG_\alpha = KL$. Take $x \in G_1 \setminus L_1$ and $y \in G_2 \setminus L_2$. Then for $(1, y), (x, y) \in G \setminus G_\alpha$, by Lemma 3.2,

$$\lambda = \frac{|KL \cap KL(1, y)|}{|G_\beta|} = \frac{|KL \cap KL(x, y)|}{|G_\beta|}.$$

Thus $|KL \cap KL(1, y)| = |KL \cap KL(x, y)|$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} KL &= (K_1 \times K_2)(L_1 \times L_2) = K_1 L_1 \times K_2 L_2, \\ KL(1, y) &= K_1 L_1 \times K_2 L_2 y, \\ KL(x, y) &= K_1 L_1 x \times K_2 L_2 y. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} KL \cap KL(1, y) &= K_1 L_1 \times (K_2 L_2 \cap K_2 L_2 y), \\ KL \cap KL(x, y) &= (K_1 L_1 \cap (K_1 L_1 x)) \times (K_2 L_2 \cap K_2 L_2 y). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|KL \cap KL(1, y)| = |KL \cap KL(x, y)|$, we have that

$$|K_1 L_1| \times |K_2 L_2 \cap K_2 L_2 y| = |K_1 L_1 \cap K_1 L_1 x| \times |K_2 L_2 \cap K_2 L_2 y|.$$

Hence $|K_1 L_1| = |K_1 L_1 \cap K_1 L_1 x|$, and so $x \in K_1 L_1$. It follows that $G_1 = K_1 L_1$.

Replacing $(1, y)$ by $(x, 1)$, the argument shows that $G_2 = K_2 L_2$. Thus

$$G = G_1 \times G_2 = K_1 L_1 \times K_2 L_2 = (K_1 \times K_2)(L_1 \times L_2) = KL = G_\beta G_\alpha.$$

Then $|G| = |G_\beta G_\alpha| = \frac{|G_\alpha||G_\beta|}{|G_\beta \cap G_\alpha|}$, and so

$$b = \frac{|G|}{|G_\beta|} = \frac{|G_\alpha|}{|G_\beta \cap G_\alpha|} = k,$$

which is a contradiction since \mathcal{D} is a non-trivial 2-design. Therefore, K is not transitive on $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$. \square

4. EXAMPLES

In this section, we present some examples of locally-primitive designs. In fact, every flag-transitive 2- (v, k, λ) design with k and r both prime is clearly an example of locally primitive 2-design (some examples of almost simple type can be found in [3]).

In the following, examples for each possible type described in Theorem 1.4 are presented. To be specific, in each of these examples, a locally-primitive group G is

one of the types: (1) G is almost simple; (2) both $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ and $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ are affine groups; and (3) $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is an affine group but $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is non-quasiprimitive.

Example 4.1. This example arises from the projective space $\text{PG}(d, q)$.

Let $V = \mathbb{F}_q^{d+1}$ be a vector space of dimension $d + 1 \geq 3$ over field \mathbb{F}_q . Let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P} &= \{1\text{-subspaces of } V\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_i &= \{(i+1)\text{-subspaces of } V\},\end{aligned}$$

where $2 \leq i + 1 \leq d - 1$. Let $\mathcal{D}_i = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}_i, \mathcal{I})$ and $\mathcal{D}'_i = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}_i, \mathcal{I}')$ be incidence geometries with incidence relations defined as follows, for $(\alpha, \beta) \in (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}_i)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{\sim} \beta &\iff \alpha \subseteq \beta, \\ \alpha \stackrel{\mathcal{I}'}{\sim} \beta &\iff \alpha \not\subseteq \beta.\end{aligned}$$

Then the linear group $\text{GL}_{d+1}(q)$ is 2-transitive on \mathcal{P} , and transitive on \mathcal{B}_i for each admissible i . Thus for any two elements $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ and any element $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_i$, we have that

$$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} \subseteq \beta \iff \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}^g \subseteq \beta^g, \text{ for each } g \in G.$$

It follows that any 2-elements of \mathcal{P} are contained in a constant number λ of elements in \mathcal{B}_i , and so \mathcal{D}_i is a 2-design. The design \mathcal{D}'_i is called the *complement* of \mathcal{D}_i .

The number of points is $|\mathcal{P}| = \frac{q^{d+1}-1}{q-1}$. The cardinality $|\mathcal{B}_i|$ is equal to the number of $(i+1)$ -subspaces of $V = \mathbb{F}_q^{d+1}$, which is denoted by $\begin{bmatrix} d+1 \\ i+1 \end{bmatrix}_q$ and called the Gaussian coefficient, and calculation shows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} d+1 \\ i+1 \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q^{d+1}-1)(q^{d+1}-q)\cdots(q^{d+1}-q^i)}{(q^{i+1}-1)(q^{i+1}-q)\cdots(q^{i+1}-q^i)}.$$

Now, the parameters of the 2-design \mathcal{D}_i are $v = |\mathcal{P}| = \begin{bmatrix} d+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{q^{d+1}-1}{q-1}$, $k = \begin{bmatrix} i+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{q^{i+1}-1}{q-1}$, $\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} d-1 \\ i-1 \end{bmatrix}_q$ ($\lambda = 1$ when $i = 1$) and $r = \begin{bmatrix} d \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q$. It then follows that the complement \mathcal{D}'_i of \mathcal{D}_i is a $2\text{-}\left(\frac{q^{d+1}-1}{q-1}, \frac{q^{d+1}-q^{i+1}}{q-1}, b - 2r + \lambda\right)$ design. We notice that the design \mathcal{D}_i is usually denoted by $\text{PG}_i(d, q)$.

Moreover, $G = \text{PGL}_{d+1}(q) \leq \text{Aut}\mathcal{D}_i = \text{Aut}\mathcal{D}'_i$ is locally-primitive on \mathcal{D} , with $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ and $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ both almost simple (i.e., $(G^{\mathcal{P}}, G^{\mathcal{B}})$ is of type (AS, AS)). But G is not necessarily locally-primitive on \mathcal{D}'_i .

Example 4.2. Let $q^d = 2^3$. Then $\mathcal{D} = \text{PG}_1(2, 2)$ is the well-known $2\text{-}(7, 3, 1)$ design, called the Fano plane. The automorphism group $G = \text{Aut}\mathcal{D} = \text{PGL}_3(2)$ is locally-primitive, with $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ and $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ both almost simple. Moreover, G has a subgroup $H = \langle h_1 \rangle : \langle h_2 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_7 : \mathbb{Z}_3$, where

$$h_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad h_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then \mathcal{D} is also H -locally-primitive, with $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ and $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ both affine (i.e., $(H^{\mathcal{P}}, H^{\mathcal{B}})$ is of type (HA, HA)). However, the complement \mathcal{D}' is a $2\text{-}(7, 4, 2)$ design, and is not H -locally-primitive.

Example 4.3. Let $q^d = 2^4$. Then $\mathcal{D} = \text{PG}_1(3, 2)$ is a 2 - $(15, 3, 1)$ design. This design admits two locally-primitive automorphism groups, which are $\text{PSL}_4(2)$ and the alternating group A_7 . These two groups are both almost simple, acting 2 -transitively on \mathcal{P} . Besides, A_7 is locally-primitive on $\text{PG}_2(3, 2)$ (a 2 - $(15, 7, 3)$ symmetric design) by the same 2 -transitive action.

Remark. Example 4.2 shows that the Fano plane admits two locally-primitive automorphism groups $\text{PGL}_3(2)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_7 : \mathbb{Z}_3$ of *different types*. The former one is almost simple, whereas the latter one is an affine group on both \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{B} .

Example 4.3 gives an example of an almost simple group with the socle an alternating group acting locally-primitively on a design.

Example 4.4. This example arises from the affine space $\text{AG}(d, q)$.

Let $V = \mathbb{F}_q^d$ be a vector space with q a prime power. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P} &= \{v \mid v \in V\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_i &= \{U + v \mid v \in V \text{ and } U \text{ is any } i\text{-subspace of } V\}.\end{aligned}$$

Denote by $\text{AG}_i(d, q)$ the incidence geometry $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}_i, \mathcal{I})$ with incidence relation defined as inclusion. In this geometry, each block is a coset of some i -subspace U of V .

We then show that $\text{AG}_i(d, q)$ is a 2 - $(q^d, q^i, \left[\begin{smallmatrix} d-1 \\ i-1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q)$ design with a locally-primitive automorphism group $\text{AGL}_d(q)$. Moreover, $\text{AGL}_d(q)$ is non-quasiprimitive on \mathcal{B} .

Let $G = \text{AGL}_d(q) = \widehat{V} \rtimes \text{GL}_d(q)$. An element $g \in G$ can be written as

$$g = a\widehat{w}, \text{ where } \widehat{w} \in \widehat{V} \text{ and } a \in \text{GL}_d(q).$$

The action of g on V is defined as

$$a\widehat{w} : v \mapsto v^{a\widehat{w}} = v^a + w.$$

For any two distinct points w and u in \mathcal{P} , there exists $\widehat{-u} \in \widehat{V}$ such that $w^{\widehat{-u}} = w - u$ and $u^{\widehat{-u}} = u - u = 0$. Hence the number of blocks containing w and u , denoted by $\lambda_{w,u}$, is equal to the number of blocks containing $w - u$ and 0 . This is exactly the number of i -dimensional subspaces containing $w - u$. Hence, if $i \geq 2$, then

$$\lambda_{w,u} = \left[\begin{matrix} d-1 \\ i-1 \end{matrix} \right]_q = \frac{(q^{d-1} - q)(q^{d-1} - q^2) \cdots (q^{d-1} - q^{i-2})}{(q^{i-1} - q)(q^{i-1} - q^2) \cdots (q^{i-1} - q^{i-2})},$$

which is a constant number, independent of the choice of w and u . So $\text{AG}_i(d, q)$ is a 2 - $(q^d, q^i, \left[\begin{smallmatrix} d-1 \\ i-1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q)$ design. If $i = 1$, then clearly $\lambda_{w,u} = 1$. When $q = 2$ and $i > 1$, $\text{AG}_i(d, q)$ is even a 3 -design since any triple on \mathcal{P} corresponds to a 2 -subspace of V by a translation.

Note that G is primitive on $\mathcal{P} = V$. Meanwhile, since $\text{GL}(V)$ is transitive on the set of i -subspaces, we conclude that G is transitive on \mathcal{B} , the set of all cosets of i -subspaces. Moreover, the point stabilizer $G_0 = \text{GL}_d(q)$ is primitive on the set of all i -subspaces of V , and for an i -subspace $U < V$, we have that

$$G_U^U \cong \widehat{U} \rtimes \text{GL}(U) \cong \text{AGL}(U),$$

which is primitive on U . Hence G is locally-primitive on design \mathcal{D} . Note that $\widehat{V} \triangleleft G$ is intransitive on \mathcal{B} . Then $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is an affine group and $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is non-quasiprimitive (i.e., $(G^{\mathcal{P}}, G^{\mathcal{B}})$ is of type (HA, -)).

In the following, we provide an example satisfying Theorem 1.4 (2), that is, \mathcal{D} is a proper subdesign of $\text{AG}_2(2m, q)$ and admits a locally-primitive automorphism group G with $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ non-quasiprimitive.

Example 4.5. This example gives a proper subdesign of $\text{AG}_2(2m, q)$.

Let $V = \mathbb{F}_q^{2m}$ be a vector space associated with a non-degenerate alternating form, $m > 1$. Let $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ be an incidence geometry, where $\mathcal{P} = \{v \mid v \in V\}$ and

$$\mathcal{B} = \{U + v \mid v \in V \text{ and } U \text{ is a non-degenerate 2-subspace of } V\}.$$

Similar to Example 4.4, for any two points w and u in \mathcal{P} , the number of blocks containing both w and u , denoted by $\lambda_{w,u}$, is equal to the number of non-degenerate 2-subspaces containing $w_1 = w - u$. Every such subspace is in form $\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$, where $w_2 \in V \setminus \langle w_1 \rangle^\perp$ has $q^{2m} - q^{2m-1}$ choices. Hence

$$\lambda_{w,u} = \frac{q^{2m} - q^{2m-1}}{q^2 - q} = q^{2m-2},$$

is a constant number, independent of the choice of w and u . Then $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ is a 2 - (q^{2m}, q^2, q^{2m-2}) design, which is a proper subdesign of $\text{AG}_2(2m, q)$.

Further, let $G = \widehat{V} \rtimes \text{Sp}_{2m}(q) \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ with point stabilizer $G_0 = \text{Sp}_{2m}(q)$. For $\beta = U < V$ a non-degenerate 2-subspace, i.e., a block containing point 0 , we have that $G_{0\beta} = (\text{Sp}_{2m}(q))_U$ is a maximal subgroup of $G_0 = \text{Sp}_{2m}(q)$. Besides,

$$G_\beta^{\mathcal{D}(\beta)} \cong \widehat{U} \rtimes \text{Sp}_2(q)$$

is primitive on $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$. Hence G is locally-primitive on design \mathcal{D} . Note that $\widehat{V} \triangleleft G$ is not transitive on \mathcal{B} . Then $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is an affine group and $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is non-quasiprimitive (i.e., $(G^{\mathcal{P}}, G^{\mathcal{B}})$ is of type (HA, -)).

In addition, though $\text{AG}_2(2m, 2)$ is a 3-design, its subdesign \mathcal{D} is not a 3-design: there exist distinct points $u, v, w \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $u \not\perp v$ but $u \perp w$, and then there exists a unique block (a non-degenerate 2-subspace) containing $\{0, u, v\}$, but no block will contain $\{0, u, w\}$.

5. PRIMITIVITY ON POINTS AND BLOCKS

Let $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ be a 2-design, and let $G \leq \text{Aut}\mathcal{D}$.

5.1. Point primitivity.

The following key lemma shows that locally-primitive 2-designs are flag-transitive and point-primitive.

Lemma 5.1. *Assume that G is locally-primitive on \mathcal{D} . Then \mathcal{D} is G -flag-transitive and G -point-primitive.*

Proof. Let Γ be the incidence graph of \mathcal{D} . Then Γ is a connected graph. Since G is locally-primitive on \mathcal{D} , for each element $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$, the stabilizer G_β is primitive on $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$. It implies that all flags of \mathcal{D} are equivalent under G action, and hence G is flag-transitive on \mathcal{D} .

Suppose that G is imprimitive on \mathcal{P} . Let $\mathcal{P} = P_1 \cup \cdots \cup P_m$ be a non-trivial G -invariant partition of \mathcal{P} , namely, each permutation of G on \mathcal{P} induces a permutation

of G on the set $\{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$. Pick a member $P \in \{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$. For each element $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$, since G_β is primitive on $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$, we have that

$$|P \cap \mathcal{D}(\beta)| = 0, 1, \text{ or } |\mathcal{D}(\beta)|.$$

Take points $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in P$, and $\alpha_3 \notin P$. Let $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ be such that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\beta_1)$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_3 \in \mathcal{D}(\beta_2)$. Then $|P \cap \mathcal{D}(\beta_1)| = |\mathcal{D}(\beta_1)|$, and so $P \cap \mathcal{D}(\beta_1) = \mathcal{D}(\beta_1)$, while $\mathcal{D}(\beta_2) \not\subseteq P$. Since G is transitive on \mathcal{B} , there exists $g \in G$ which maps β_1 to β_2 . Then we have that

$$\mathcal{D}(\beta_2) = \mathcal{D}(\beta_1)^g = (\mathcal{D}(\beta_1) \cap P)^g = \mathcal{D}(\beta_2) \cap P^g.$$

Thus $\mathcal{D}(\beta_2) \subseteq P^g$, so $P \neq P^g$ and then $P \cap P^g = \emptyset$. However, $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{D}(\beta_1) \cap \mathcal{D}(\beta_2) \subseteq P \cap P^g$, which is a contradiction. So \mathcal{P} does not have a non-trivial G -invariant partition, and G is primitive on \mathcal{P} . \square

5.2. Block imprimitivity.

Although $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is primitive, the permutation group $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is not necessarily primitive. We next establish Lemma 5.2, which will be needed in the ensuing arguments.

Recall that, for an element $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$, the points contained in β is denoted by $\mathcal{D}(\beta) = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{P} \mid \alpha \sim \beta\}$ for the notation convenience. Now for a subset Δ of \mathcal{B} , we let

$$\mathcal{D}(\Delta) = \bigcup_{\beta \in \Delta} \mathcal{D}(\beta) = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{P} \mid \alpha \sim \beta \in \Delta\},$$

consisting of points which are incident with some elements in Δ .

Let Δ with $1 < |\Delta| < |\mathcal{B}|$ be an imprimitive-block, namely, for any element $g \in G \leq \text{Aut}\mathcal{D}$, either $\Delta^g = \Delta$, or $\Delta^g \cap \Delta = \emptyset$.

We have to notice that the word ‘block’ here is confusing, which is used for an element of \mathcal{B} in design theory, and also used for a part of a G -invariant partition of \mathcal{B} in permutation group theory. Thus, to avoid confusion, we call the latter ‘imprimitive-block’.

Lemma 5.2. *Assume that G is imprimitive on \mathcal{B} , and let Δ be an imprimitive-block for G acting on \mathcal{B} . Then the following statements hold.*

- (1) $G_{\alpha\beta} = G_{\alpha\Delta}$, for any $\beta \in \Delta$.
- (2) Any distinct blocks $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \Delta$ are disjoint, namely, $\mathcal{D}(\beta_1) \cap \mathcal{D}(\beta_2) = \emptyset$.

Proof. (1). Let $\beta \in \Delta$. Then, as \mathcal{D} is G -locally-primitive, $G_{\alpha\beta}$ is a maximal subgroup of G_α . Since Δ is an imprimitive-block for G acting on \mathcal{B} , we have that $G_\beta \leq G_\Delta$. Thus $G_{\alpha\beta} \leq G_{\alpha\Delta} \leq G_\alpha$.

Suppose that $G_{\alpha\Delta} = G_\alpha$. Then $\mathcal{D}(\alpha) = \beta^{G_\alpha} = \beta^{G_{\alpha\Delta}} \subset \Delta$. Let $g \in G$ be such that $\Delta^g \cap \Delta = \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{D}(\alpha^g) = \mathcal{D}(\alpha)^g \subset \Delta^g$. Let $\beta' \in \mathcal{B}$ be such that $\alpha \sim \beta' \sim \alpha^g$. Then $\beta' \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha) \cap \mathcal{D}(\alpha^g) \subset \Delta \cap \Delta^g = \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. Thus $G_{\alpha\Delta} < G_\alpha$, and so $G_{\alpha\beta} = G_{\alpha\Delta}$ since $G_{\alpha\beta}$ is a maximal subgroup of G_α .

(2) Suppose that $\mathcal{D}(\beta_1) \cap \mathcal{D}(\beta_2) \neq \emptyset$, where $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \Delta$ are distinct. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}(\beta_1) \cap \mathcal{D}(\beta_2)$. Since G_α is transitive on $\mathcal{D}(\alpha)$, there exists $g \in G_\alpha$ such that $\beta_1^g = \beta_2$. Thus $\Delta^g = \Delta$, and $g \in G_{\alpha\Delta}$. By part (1), we have that $g \in G_{\alpha\Delta} = G_{\alpha\beta_1}$, and so $\beta_2 = \beta_1^g = \beta_1$, which is a contradiction. So $\mathcal{D}(\beta_1) \cap \mathcal{D}(\beta_2) = \emptyset$, and part (2) is proved. \square

6. A REDUCTION

In this section, let $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ be a G -locally-primitive 2-design. We are going to determine the primitive permutation group $G^{\mathcal{P}}$.

The first lemma characterizes the case where G has a normal subgroup which is regular on \mathcal{P} .

Lemma 6.1. *Assume that G has a normal subgroup N which is regular on \mathcal{P} . Then N is elementary abelian, and G is a primitive affine group on \mathcal{P} .*

Proof. As N is regular on \mathcal{P} , by [21, Proposition 2.3], N is solvable. Since G is primitive on \mathcal{P} by Lemma 5.1, we conclude that N is elementary abelian. Thus $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is a primitive affine group. \square

The next lemma treats the case where $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is not quasiprimitive.

Lemma 6.2. *Assume that $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is not quasiprimitive. Then $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is an affine group.*

Proof. Let $1 \neq N \triangleleft G$, such that N is intransitive on \mathcal{B} . Thus N is intransitive on the flag set of \mathcal{D} .

Suppose that $N_{\alpha}^{\mathcal{D}(\alpha)} \neq 1$. Then the non-trivial normal subgroup $N_{\alpha}^{\mathcal{D}(\alpha)}$ of the primitive permutation group $G_{\alpha}^{\mathcal{D}(\alpha)}$ is transitive. Since N is transitive on \mathcal{P} , it follows that N is transitive on the flag set, which is a contradiction.

We thus conclude that $N_{\alpha}^{\mathcal{D}(\alpha)} = 1$, namely, N_{α} acts trivially on $\mathcal{D}(\alpha)$. By the result of Zieschang in [20, Proposition 2], the normal subgroup N is regular on \mathcal{P} . By Lemma 6.1, we obtain that $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is of type HA, namely, $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is an affine primitive group. \square

The final lemma of this section deals with the case where $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is quasiprimitive.

Lemma 6.3. *If $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is quasiprimitive, then $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is of type HA, AS, or PA.*

Proof. Assume that $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is quasiprimitive. Let $N = \text{soc}(G) = T^m$, where T is nonabelian simple. Suppose $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ of type SD or CD. Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ be a flag. Then N is transitive on \mathcal{P} with point stabilizer $N_{\alpha} \cong T^{\ell}$, where $1 \leq \ell < m$. Since G_{α} is primitive on $\mathcal{D}(\alpha)$ and $N_{\alpha} \triangleleft G_{\alpha}$, either N_{α} is transitive on $\mathcal{D}(\alpha)$, or N_{α} fixes $\mathcal{D}(\alpha)$ pointwise.

Suppose that N_{α} is transitive on $\mathcal{D}(\alpha)$. Then $r = |\mathcal{D}(\alpha)|$ divides $|N_{\alpha}| = |T|^{\ell}$, and thus r is coprime to $|T|^{m-\ell} - 1 = v - 1$. Since $\lambda(v - 1) = r(k - 1)$, we have that r divides λ , which is a contradiction since $r > \lambda$.

We thus conclude that N_{α} acts trivially on $\mathcal{D}(\alpha)$. Thus $N_{\alpha} \leq N_{\beta}$ for each element $\beta \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha)$. Since G is primitive on \mathcal{P} and quasiprimitive on \mathcal{B} , the normal subgroup N is transitive on both \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{B} . Thus $v = |N : N_{\alpha}| \geq |N : N_{\beta}| = b$, so that $v = b$ as it is known that $v \leq b$. It then follows that $|N_{\alpha}| = |N_{\beta}|$ and so $N_{\alpha} = N_{\beta}$ as $N_{\alpha} \leq N_{\beta}$. Since the incidence graph Γ of \mathcal{D} is connected, it follows that N_{α} fixes each element of $\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{B}$. Since N is faithful on $\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{B}$ by Lemma 2.2, we have that $T \leq T^{\ell} \cong N_{\alpha} = 1$, which is a contradiction. Thus $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is not of type SD or type CD.

By Lemma 6.1, $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is not of type HS, HC, or TW, and thus $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is of type HA, AS, or PA by Theorem 2.3. \square

For the case where $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is of type AS, since $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is quasiprimitive, Theorem 1.4 is satisfied.

7. AFFINE GROUPS

In this section, we assume that $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ is a G -locally-primitive 2-design, and further, $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is primitive affine, and $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is non-quasiprimitive.

Lemma 7.1. *Each N -orbit on \mathcal{B} is of size equal to $\frac{v}{k} = \frac{b}{r}$.*

Proof. Let Δ be an orbit of N on \mathcal{B} . Since N is a normal subgroup of G , Δ^G is a G -invariant partition of \mathcal{B} , say $\Delta^G = \{\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_\ell\}$. In particular, the orbits of N on \mathcal{B} have equal size.

Since $G = NG_\alpha$, the stabilizer G_α is transitive on the orbit set $\{\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_\ell\}$. By Lemma 5.2(2), we have that $|\mathcal{D}(\alpha) \cap \Delta_i| = 0$ or 1 for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. It follows that $|\mathcal{D}(\alpha) \cap \Delta_i| = 1$ for each i with $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Thus $\ell = |\mathcal{D}(\alpha)| = r$, and $|\Delta_i| = \frac{|\mathcal{B}|}{\ell} = \frac{b}{r} = \frac{v}{k}$. \square

The next lemma determines the designs \mathcal{D} in the case where $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is affine.

Lemma 7.2. *Let $N = \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ where p is a prime and d is a positive integer. Then \mathcal{D} is a subdesign of $\text{AG}_i(d, q)$ for some i with $1 \leq i \leq d - 1$. In particular, \mathcal{D} is non-symmetric.*

Proof. Regard \mathcal{P} as a vector space $V = \mathbb{F}_p^d$. Then $G \leq \text{AGL}(d, p)$, and so

$$G = N : G_\alpha = \widehat{V} : G_0 \leq \widehat{V} : \text{GL}(d, p),$$

where $G_0 \leq \text{GL}(d, p)$ is irreducible. Let $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ contain the point $\alpha = 0$, and let $\Delta = \beta^N = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s\}$. Since $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is imprimitive, the N -orbits on \mathcal{B} form a G -invariant partition of \mathcal{B} . Thus the stabilizer N_β is a non-identity proper subgroup of N , and so

$$N_\beta = \widehat{W} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^i, \quad 0 < i < d.$$

Since \mathcal{D} is G -locally-primitive, $N_\beta \triangleleft G_\beta$ is transitive on $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$. Thus we have that

$$\beta = 0^{N_\beta} = 0^{\widehat{W}} = \{0 + w \mid w \in W\} = W.$$

Thus every element of \mathcal{B} containing the point 0 is an i -subspace of V . In other words,

$$\mathcal{D}(0) = \beta^{G_0} = \{W_1, \dots, W_r\},$$

where $W_1, \dots, W_r < V$ are i -subspaces. Therefore we have that

$$\mathcal{B} = \beta^G = \beta^{G_0 N} = \{W_1, \dots, W_r\}^N = \bigcup_{j=1}^r \{W_j + v \mid v \in V\},$$

that is to say, the block set \mathcal{B} consists of some of the cosets of i -subspaces of $V = \mathbb{F}_p^d$. So, up to isomorphism, \mathcal{D} is a subdesign of $\text{AG}_i(d, q)$.

Finally, if \mathcal{D} is symmetric, then the block size $|\beta| = k = r$ divides the number of points $v = |\mathcal{P}|$. Since $\lambda(v - 1) = r(k - 1)$, we get r dividing λ , which is a contradiction as $r > \lambda$. So \mathcal{D} is non-symmetric. \square

8. PRODUCT ACTION TYPE

In this section, we assume that $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ is a G -locally-primitive 2-design, and further, $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is primitive of type PA, and $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is quasiprimitive.

Lemma 8.1. *Under the assumption made above, $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is of type PA, SD or CD, and $\text{soc}(G)$ is flag-transitive on \mathcal{D} .*

Proof. The former statement of the lemma follows from Theorem 1.2 of [12].

Let $N = \text{soc}(G)$, and let (α, β) be a flag. Suppose that N_α fixes all elements of $\mathcal{D}(\alpha)$, and N_β fixes all elements of $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$. Then $N_\beta \leq N_\alpha$ and $N_\alpha \leq N_\beta$, so that $N_\alpha = N_\beta$. It then follows that N_α fixes all elements of $\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{B}$, and so $N_\alpha = 1$ by Lemma 2.2, which is not possible since $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is of PA type. Thus either $N_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}(\alpha)} \neq 1$, or $N_\beta^{\mathcal{D}(\beta)} \neq 1$. Since both $G_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}(\alpha)}$ and $G_\beta^{\mathcal{D}(\beta)}$ are primitive, it follows that either $N_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}(\alpha)}$ is transitive, or $N_\beta^{\mathcal{D}(\beta)}$ is transitive. Note that N is transitive on \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{B} , it implies that N is transitive on the flags of \mathcal{D} , completing the proof. \square

To procedure our proof, we need to introduce the structures and the actions of the primitive group $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ and its socle N . Since $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is a primitive permutation group of type PA by assumption, the set \mathcal{P} can be written as a product of an underlying set Ω :

$$\mathcal{P} = \{(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m) \mid \omega_i \in \Omega\} \cong \Omega^m, \quad m > 1,$$

and $N = \text{soc}(G) = T^m \triangleleft G \leq H^m \rtimes S_m$, where $H \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ is an almost simple group and primitive on Ω with simple socle T . Since N is a minimal normal subgroup, G acts transitively by conjugation on the set of simple direct factors of T^m . Then an element $g \in G \leq H^m \rtimes S_m$ has the form $g = (h_1, \dots, h_m)\sigma$, where $(h_1, \dots, h_m) \in H^m$ and $\sigma \in S_m$, and g acts on \mathcal{P} by

$$(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m)^{(h_1, \dots, h_m)\sigma} = (\omega_{1^{\sigma^{-1}}}^{h_1^{\sigma^{-1}}}, \dots, \omega_{m^{\sigma^{-1}}}^{h_m^{\sigma^{-1}}}).$$

Here in order to make things clear, we explicitly write the socle N as an direct product in both *internal* and *external* ways, with their differences shown as

$$N = \begin{cases} T_1 \times \dots \times T_m, & \text{internal with each } T_i \leq N, T_i \cong T; \\ T \times \dots \times T, & \text{external with } m \text{ copies of } T \not\leq N. \end{cases}$$

For a point $\alpha = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m) \in \mathcal{P}$, the stabilizer can be written as:

$$N_\alpha = \begin{cases} (T_1)_\alpha \times \dots \times (T_m)_\alpha \text{ with each } (T_i)_\alpha \leq N_\alpha, & \text{internally;} \\ T_{\omega_1} \times \dots \times T_{\omega_m} = \{(t_1, \dots, t_m) \mid t_i \in T_{\omega_i}\}, & \text{externally.} \end{cases}$$

The following three lemmas help us to exclude all the three types of group $G^{\mathcal{B}}$.

Lemma 8.2. *The quasiprimitive group $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is not of type CD.*

Proof. Let $N = \text{soc}(G)$. Then \mathcal{D} is N -flag-transitive by Lemma 8.1.

Suppose $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is of type CD. Then G is built from groups of type SD by taking wreath product. Let (α, β) be a flag. Then $N = T_1 \times \dots \times T_{\ell t}$ acts transitively on

both \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{B} , with stabilizers decomposed as

$$\begin{aligned} N_\alpha &= K_1 \times \cdots \times K_{\ell t}, \text{ where } K_i < T_i, \\ N_\beta &= X_1 \times \cdots \times X_\ell, \text{ where } X_i < T_{(i-1)t+1} \times \cdots \times T_{it} \text{ and } X_i \cong T. \end{aligned}$$

This is not possible by Lemma 3.4. \square

Lemma 8.3. *The quasiprimitive group $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is not of type SD.*

Proof. We write the socle

$$N = \{(t_1, \dots, t_m) \mid t_i \in T\} = T \times \cdots \times T \cong T^m$$

as a direct product in *external* way, where each element (t_1, \dots, t_m) in N is an ordered m -tuple of T .

Since $G \cong G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is a quasiprimitive permutation group of type SD, we have that

$$G \leq \{(a_1, \dots, a_m)s \mid a_i \in \text{Aut}(T), s \in S_m, Ta_i = Ta_j, \text{ for any } i, j\}.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ is such that

$$G_\beta \leq \{(a, a, \dots, a)s \mid a \in \text{Aut}(T), s \in S_m\}.$$

Fix a flag $(\alpha, \beta) \in (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$. Write N_β and N_α externally as

$$\begin{aligned} N_\beta &= \{(t, \dots, t) \mid t \in T\} \cong T, \\ N_\alpha &= \{(t_1, \dots, t_m) \mid t_i \in Q_i\} = Q_1 \times \cdots \times Q_m, \end{aligned}$$

where these Q_i are isomorphic subgroups of T . Let $Y = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_m < T$. Then

$$N_{\alpha\beta} = N_\alpha \cap N_\beta = \{(y, \dots, y) \mid y \in Y\} \cong Y.$$

By Lemma 8.1, \mathcal{D} is N -flag-transitive. Choose $g_1 \in T \setminus Q_1$. We have that $g = (g_1, 1, \dots, 1) \in N \setminus N_\alpha$. By Lemma 3.2, we have that

$$\lambda = \frac{|N_\beta N_\alpha \cap N_\beta N_\alpha g|}{|N_\beta|} > 0.$$

Hence there exists an element $x \in N_\beta N_\alpha \cap N_\beta N_\alpha g$, and so

$$x = u_1 v_1 = u_2 v_2 g,$$

for some elements $u_1, u_2 \in N_\beta$ and $v_1, v_2 \in N_\alpha$, which we write as

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= (s_1, s_1, \dots, s_1) \in N_\beta, \\ u_2 &= (s_2, s_2, \dots, s_2) \in N_\beta, \\ v_1 &= (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m) \in N_\alpha, \\ v_2 &= (t'_1, t'_2, \dots, t'_m) \in N_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Now $u_2^{-1} u_1 = v_2 g v_1^{-1}$, and, letting $t = s_2^{-1} s_1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (t, t, \dots, t) &= (s_2^{-1} s_1, s_2^{-1} s_1, \dots, s_2^{-1} s_1) \\ &= u_2^{-1} u_1 \\ &= v_2 g v_1^{-1} \\ &= (t'_1, t'_2, \dots, t'_m)(g_1, 1, \dots, 1)(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m)^{-1} \\ &= (t'_1 g_1 t_1^{-1}, t'_2 t_2^{-1}, \dots, t'_m t_m^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then we will have that

$$t'_1 g_1 t_1^{-1} = t'_2 t_2^{-1} = \cdots = t'_m t_m^{-1} = t \in Q_2 \cap Q_3 \cap \cdots \cap Q_m.$$

If there exists $i_0 \geq 2$ such that $Q_{i_0} = Q_1$, then

$$t \in Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_m.$$

In particular, $t = t'_1 g_1 t_1^{-1} \in Q_1$ and we get $g_1 \in Q_1$, which is a contradiction to the choice of g_1 . It follows that $Q_1 \neq Q_i$ for any $i \neq 1$. Similarly, we obtain that $Q_i \neq Q_j$ for any $i \neq j$.

Case 1. Assume that the flag stabilizer $N_{\alpha\beta} \cong Y \neq 1$.

Let $X = \{(y_1, \dots, y_m) \mid y_i \in Y\} \cong Y^m$. Then $X \leq N_\alpha$. Since $Y < Q_i$, we have that $X < N_\alpha$, and

$$1 \neq N_{\alpha\beta} < X < N_\alpha \triangleleft G_\alpha.$$

Thus $G_{\alpha\beta} \leq XG_{\alpha\beta} \leq N_\alpha G_{\alpha\beta} = G_\alpha$, and $N_{\alpha\beta} \leq X \cap G_{\alpha\beta} \leq N_\alpha \cap G_{\alpha\beta}$. The latter implies that $X \cap G_{\alpha\beta} = N_{\alpha\beta}$.

Suppose that $G_{\alpha\beta} = XG_{\alpha\beta}$. Then $Y^m \cong X \leq G_{\alpha\beta} \cap N_\alpha = N_{\alpha\beta} \cong Y$, which is a contradiction. Thus $G_{\alpha\beta} < XG_{\alpha\beta}$.

Suppose that $XG_{\alpha\beta} = N_\alpha G_{\alpha\beta} = G_\alpha$. Then

$$\frac{|N_\alpha||G_{\alpha\beta}|}{|N_{\alpha\beta}|} = \frac{|N_\alpha||G_{\alpha\beta}|}{|N_\alpha \cap G_{\alpha\beta}|} = |G_\alpha| = \frac{|X||G_{\alpha\beta}|}{|X \cap G_{\alpha\beta}|} = \frac{|X||G_{\alpha\beta}|}{|N_{\alpha\beta}|},$$

and so $|X| = |N_\alpha|$, which is a contradiction since $X < N_\alpha$.

We thus conclude that $G_{\alpha\beta} < XG_{\alpha\beta} < G_\alpha$, which contradicts the fact that $G_{\alpha\beta}$ is a maximal subgroup of G_α .

Case 2. Assume that the flag stabilizer $N_{\alpha\beta} \cong Y = 1$.

Consider the primitive permutation group \overline{G}_β induced by G_β on $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$, that is,

$$\overline{G}_\beta = G_\beta^{\mathcal{D}(\beta)} = G_\beta / G_{(\mathcal{D}(\beta))}.$$

As

$$N \cap G_{(\mathcal{D}(\beta))} = N_{(\mathcal{D}(\beta))} \leq N_{\alpha\beta} = 1,$$

the image \overline{N}_β is isomorphic to N_β , and then \overline{G}_β has a regular normal subgroup $\overline{N}_\beta \cong N_\beta \cong T$. Note that HS is the only possible primitive type with a regular normal subgroup isomorphic to nonabelian simple T . Hence \overline{G}_β is of type HS. In addition, groups of type HS have two regular minimal normal subgroups, which centralize each other. Now, suppose that $L < G_\beta$, such that \overline{L} is the other regular minimal normal subgroup of \overline{G}_β . Then we have $\overline{L} \leq C_{\overline{G}_\beta}(\overline{N}_\beta)$. Explicitly, for any $\bar{g} \in \overline{L}$, where $g = (a, \dots, a)s \in L < G_\beta$, and any $\bar{x} \in \overline{N}_\beta$, where $x = (t, \dots, t)$, $t \in T$, we have

$$g^{-1}(t, \dots, t)g(t, \dots, t)^{-1} = (t^a t^{-1}, \dots, t^a t^{-1}) \in G_{(\mathcal{D}(\beta))} \cap N_\beta = 1.$$

Then $t^a = t$ for any $t \in T$, so $a \in C_{\text{Aut}(T)}(T) = 1$. Hence $g = s$, where $s \in S_m$, and $L \leq S_m$.

Recall that $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}(\beta)$, and the point stabilizer $N_\alpha = Q_1 \times \cdots \times Q_m$ satisfies that $Q_i \neq Q_j$ for $i \neq j$. For $1 \neq s \in L \leq S_m$,

$$N_\alpha^s = (Q_1 \times \cdots \times Q_m)^s = Q_{1^{s^{-1}}} \times \cdots \times Q_{m^{s^{-1}}} \neq N_\alpha.$$

Thus L acts faithfully on $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$, and $L \cong \overline{L}$. Now, on the one hand, since T is transitive on the conjugacy class $\{Q_1^t \mid t \in T\}$, each element $x = (t, \dots, t)$ in N_β maps the first component of N_α from Q_1 to any other element of $\{Q_1^t \mid t \in T\}$. On

the other hand, $L \cong \overline{L} \triangleleft \overline{G_\beta}$ acts transitively on the stabilizers of points of $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$ in N by permuting their m components. Hence

$$\{Q_1^t \mid t \in T\} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_m\}.$$

At last, each element in $Q_2 \cap \dots \cap Q_m$ fixes all Q_2, \dots, Q_m by conjugation, so it also fixes Q_1 , which is hence forced to be the identity, a contradiction to $Q_2 \cap \dots \cap Q_m \neq 1$. \square

Lemma 8.4. *The quasiprimitive group $G^\mathcal{B}$ is not of type PA.*

Proof. Suppose that $G^\mathcal{B}$ is of type PA, with $N = \text{soc}(G) = T_1 \times \dots \times T_m$. By Lemma 8.1, \mathcal{D} is N -flag-transitive. Fix a flag (α, β) .

Since $G^\mathcal{P}$ is primitive, we may write $\mathcal{P} = \Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_m$, so that a point $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ has the form $\alpha = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m)$ with $\omega_i \in \Omega_i$, and $N_\alpha = X_1 \times \dots \times X_m$, where $X_i < T_i$.

If $G^\mathcal{B}$ is primitive, then $N_\beta = Y_1 \times \dots \times Y_m$ with $Y_i < T_i$, which is a contradiction by Lemma 3.4. Hence $G^\mathcal{B}$ is quasiprimitive and imprimitive.

Let $\Delta \subset \mathcal{B}$ be a maximal imprimitive-block for the action $G^\mathcal{B}$. Then Δ^G is a G -invariant partition of \mathcal{B} , and G induces a primitive permutation group on Δ^G with stabilizer G_Δ . Thus $\Delta^G = \Sigma_1 \times \dots \times \Sigma_m$, and $N_\Delta = H_1 \times \dots \times H_m$ with $H_i < T_i$ such that H_i is transitive on Σ_i with $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Without loss of generality, pick an element $\beta \in \Delta$ and two points $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\beta)$ with

$$\alpha_1 = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_m), \quad \alpha_2 = (\omega'_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_m),$$

where $\omega_1 \neq \omega'_1$. Then there exists $x \in N_\beta$ such that $\alpha_1^x = \alpha_2$. Note that $G_\beta \leq G_\Delta$. Thus $x \in N_\Delta = H_1 \times \dots \times H_m$ with $H_i < T_i$. Let $x = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m)$ with $t_i \in H_i$. Thus $y = (1, t_2^{-1}, \dots, t_m^{-1}) \in N_\Delta$, and

$$x_0 = xy = (t_1, 1, \dots, 1) \in N_\Delta, \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_1^{x_0} = \alpha_2.$$

Then $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1^{x_0} \in \mathcal{D}(\beta)^{x_0} \cap \mathcal{D}(\beta)$. Since $\mathcal{D}(\beta)^{x_0} \cap \mathcal{D}(\beta) \neq \emptyset$, it follows that $\beta^{x_0} = \beta$ by Lemma 5.2, and so $x_0 \in N_\beta$. Let

$$R = x_0^{G_\beta} = \langle (t_1, 1, \dots, 1)^g \mid g \in G_\beta \rangle.$$

Then $R \triangleleft G_\beta$, and $R^{\mathcal{D}(\beta)} \neq 1$ since x_0 sends α_1 to α_2 , and hence the normal subgroup $R^{\mathcal{D}(\beta)} \triangleleft G_\beta^{\mathcal{D}(\beta)}$ is transitive.

Furthermore, any element $g \in G_\beta$ has the form $g = (g_1, \dots, g_m)\pi$ where $g_i \in T_i$ and $\pi \in S_m$, and so

$$x_0^g = (t_1, 1, \dots, 1)^g = (t_1^{g_1}, \dots, 1^{g_m})^s = (1, \dots, 1, t_1^{g_1}, 1, \dots, 1).$$

It follows that $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_m$ is a direct product of the isomorphic subgroups R_1, \dots, R_m , where each $R_i \leq T_i$. This contradicts Lemma 3.4, and the lemma is proved. \square

9. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section, we complete the proofs of the main theorem and its corollaries.

Proof of Theorem 1.4:

Let $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ be a 2-design, and assume that $G \leq \text{Aut}\mathcal{D}$ is locally primitive on \mathcal{D} .

By Lemma 2.2, the group G is faithful on both \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{B} . Further, by Lemma 5.1, the group G is transitive on the flag set and primitive on the point set \mathcal{P} . Thus $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is a primitive permutation group, and $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is a transitive permutation group.

First, if $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is not quasiprimitive, then $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is a primitive affine group by Lemma 6.2, and then Lemma 7.2 shows that Theorem 1.4 is satisfied.

Otherwise, assume that $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is quasiprimitive. Then the primitive permutation group $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is of type HA, AS or PA by Lemma 6.3. Moreover, if $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is of type AS, then Theorem 1.4 is satisfied, and the case where $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is of type PA is excluded by Lemmas 8.2-8.4.

We thus only need to treat the case where $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is of type HA. Then G has a unique minimal normal subgroup, which is abelian, and it then follows that the quasiprimitive permutation group $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is a primitive affine group. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.5:

Let $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ be a symmetric 2-design, and assume that $G \leq \text{Aut}\mathcal{D}$ is locally-primitive on \mathcal{D} . Then we obtain by Theorem 1.4 that $G^{\mathcal{P}}$ is primitive, and either almost simple or affine.

Since the dual structure of \mathcal{D} also forms a G -locally-primitive symmetric design, again we obtain by Theorem 1.4 that $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is primitive, and either almost simple or affine. The proof then follows. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.6:

Let $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ be a t -design with $t \geq 3$. By [4, Thm.3.3.2], \mathcal{D} is non-symmetric. Let $G \leq \text{Aut}\mathcal{D}$ be locally-primitive on \mathcal{D} . To complete the proof, by Theorem 1.4 we may assume that \mathcal{D} is a subdesign of $\text{AG}_i(d, q)$.

Suppose that $q > 2$. Let x be a non-zero vector of $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{F}_q^d$, and then $\{0, x, ax\}$ is a 3-subset of \mathcal{P} , where $a \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Given a block $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$, note that β contains $0 \in \mathcal{P}$ if and only if β is a subspace of V . Then, β contains $\{0, x, ax\}$ if and only if it contains the 2-subset $\{0, x\}$. Since \mathcal{D} is a 2-design and a 3-design, it follows that $\lambda_3 = \lambda_2$, which is a contradiction as $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 \binom{v-2}{3-2} / \binom{k-2}{3-2}$.

We thus conclude that $q = 2$. Since \mathcal{D} is non-trivial, we have that $d > 2$. We already know that $\text{AG}_i(d, 2)$ is a 3-design when $i > 1$. Suppose that its subdesign \mathcal{D} is a 4-design. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{P} = \mathbb{F}_2^d$ be two linearly independent vectors, and then $\{0, x, y, x+y\}$ is a 4-subset of \mathcal{P} . Similarly, a block contains $0 \in \mathcal{P}$ if and only if it is a subspace of V ; then, it contains $\{0, x, y, x+y\}$ if and only if it contains $\{0, x, y\}$. It follows that $\lambda_4 = \lambda_3$, a contradiction. \square

Declaration of Competing Interests. The authors have no known financial interests or other competing interests that are relevant to the content of this paper to declare.

Data Availability. Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Acknowledgements. The project was partially supported by the NNSF of China (11931005).

REFERENCES

[1] S. H. ALAVI, M. BAYAT, M. BILIOTTI, A. DANESHKHAH, E. FRANCO, H. GUAN, A. MONTINARO, F. MOUSELI, P. RIZZO, D. TIAN, Y. WANG, X. ZHAN, Y. ZHANG, S. ZHOU, AND Y. ZHU, *Block designs with $\gcd(r, \lambda) = 1$ admitting flag-transitive automorphism groups*, Results Math., 77 (2022), pp. Paper No. 151, 17.

[2] S. H. ALAVI AND T. C. BURNES, *Large subgroups of simple groups*, J. Algebra, 421 (2015), pp. 187–233.

[3] S. H. ALAVI, J. CHOULAKI, AND A. DANESHKHAH, *A classification of 2-designs with prime replication numbers and flag-transitive automorphism groups*, Miskolc Math. Notes, 24 (2023), pp. 535–540.

[4] N. L. BIGGS AND A. T. WHITE, *Permutation groups and combinatorial structures*, vol. 33 of London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1979.

[5] F. BUEKENHOUT, A. DELANDTSHEER, AND J. DOYEN, *Finite linear spaces with flag-transitive and locally primitive groups*, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, 34 (1985/86), pp. 193–197.

[6] ———, *Finite linear spaces with flag-transitive groups*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, 49 (1988), pp. 268–293.

[7] F. BUEKENHOUT, A. DELANDTSHEER, J. DOYEN, P. B. KLEIDMAN, M. W. LIEBECK, AND J. SAXL, *Linear spaces with flag-transitive automorphism groups*, Geom. Dedicata, 36 (1990), pp. 89–94.

[8] P. J. CAMERON AND C. E. PRAEGER, *Block-transitive t -designs. II. Large t* , in Finite geometry and combinatorics (Deinze, 1992), vol. 191 of London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993, pp. 103–119.

[9] A. R. CAMINA AND C. E. PRAEGER, *Line-transitive, point quasiprimitive automorphism groups of finite linear spaces are affine or almost simple*, Aequationes Math., 61 (2001), pp. 221–232.

[10] U. DEMPWOLFF, *Primitive rank 3 groups on symmetric designs*, Des. Codes Cryptogr., 22 (2001), pp. 191–207.

[11] ———, *Affine rank 3 groups on symmetric designs*, Des. Codes Cryptogr., 31 (2004), pp. 159–168.

[12] M. GIUDICI, C. H. LI, AND C. E. PRAEGER, *Analysing finite locally s -arc transitive graphs*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 356 (2004), pp. 291–317.

[13] W. M. KANTOR, *Classification of 2-transitive symmetric designs*, Graphs Combin., 1 (1985), pp. 165–166.

[14] ———, *Primitive permutation groups of odd degree, and an application to finite projective planes*, J. Algebra, 106 (1987), pp. 15–45.

[15] E. O’REILLY-REGUEIRO, *On primitivity and reduction for flag-transitive symmetric designs*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 109 (2005), pp. 135–148.

[16] ———, *Classification of flag-transitive symmetric designs*, in 6th Czech-Slovak International Symposium on Combinatorics, Graph Theory, Algorithms and Applications, vol. 28 of Electron. Notes Discrete Math., Elsevier Sci. B. V., Amsterdam, 2007, pp. 535–542.

[17] C. E. PRAEGER, *An O’Nan-Scott theorem for finite quasiprimitive permutation groups and an application to 2-arc transitive graphs*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 47 (1993), pp. 227–239.

- [18] ———, *Finite quasiprimitive graphs*, in *Surveys in combinatorics, 1997 (London)*, vol. 241 of London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997, pp. 65–85.
- [19] Y. ZHANG AND J. CHEN, *Reduction for flag-transitive point-primitive 2 -(v, k, λ) designs with λ prime*, *Journal of Combinatorial Designs*, 32 (2024), pp. 88–101.
- [20] P.-H. ZIESCHANG, *Flag transitive automorphism groups of 2-designs with $(r, \lambda) = 1$* , *J. Algebra*, 118 (1988), pp. 369–375.
- [21] ———, *Point regular normal subgroups of flag transitive automorphism groups of 2-designs*, *Adv. Math.*, 121 (1996), pp. 102–123.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
SHENZHEN 518055, P.R.CHINA

Email address: chenjf6@sustech.edu.cn

SUSTECH INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICS, SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SHENZHEN 518055, P.R.CHINA

Email address: huapc@pku.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUSTECH INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICS,
SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SHENZHEN 518055, P.R.CHINA

Email address: lich@sustech.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
SHENZHEN 518055, P.R.CHINA

Email address: 12031209@mail.sustech.edu.cn