# On the Continued Fraction Expansion of Almost All Real Numbers<sup>∗</sup>

Alex Jin, Shreyas Singh, Zhuo Zhang, AJ Hildebrand

<{ajin7,singh88,zhuoz4,ajh}@illinois.edu>

Department of Mathematics University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Urbana, IL 61801, USA

March 14, 2024

#### Abstract

By a classical result of Gauss and Kuzmin, the continued fraction expansion of a "random" real number contains each digit  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  with asymptotic frequency  $log_2(1 +$  $1/(a(a+2))$ .

We generalize this result in two directions: First, for certain sets  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ , we establish simple explicit formulas for the frequency with which the continued fraction expansion of a random real number contains a digit from the set A. For example, we show that digits of the form  $p-1$ , where p is prime, appear with frequency  $\log_2(\pi^2/6)$ .

Second, we obtain a simple formula for the frequency with which a string of  $k$ consecutive digits a appears in the continued fraction expansion of a random real number. In particular, when  $a = 1$ , this frequency is given by  $|\log_2(1 + (-1)^k/F_{k+2})|$ , where  $F_n$  is the *n*th Fibonacci number.

Finally, we compare the frequencies predicted by these results with actual frequencies found among the first 300 million continued fraction digits of  $\pi$ , and we provide strong statistical evidence that the continued fraction expansion of  $\pi$  behaves like that of a random real number.

### 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Decimal expansions and normal numbers

If one picks a "random" real number in  $(0, 1)$  and expands it in base 10, then  $1/10$  of the digits will be  $0, 1/10$  will be 1, and so on. That is, the proportion of digits 0 among the first *n* decimal digits of the number converges to  $1/10$  as  $n \to \infty$ , and the same holds for

<sup>∗</sup>Subject Classification: 11K50, 11A55. Key words: Continued fraction, digits, random number, Pi.

any other digit  $d \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 9\}$ . More generally, any finite string  $d_1 \ldots d_k$  of k digits in  $\{0, 1, \ldots, 9\}$  occurs in the decimal expansion of the number with frequency  $1/10^k$ .

A number whose decimal expansion has this property is called *normal with respect to base* 10; normality with respect to other integer bases  $b \geq 2$  is defined analogously. It is a classical result of Borel (see [\[9\]](#page-17-0) or [\[17,](#page-17-1) Theorem 8.11]) that almost all real numbers are normal with respect to all integer bases  $b \geq 2$ ; that is, the set of numbers that are *not* normal has Lebesgue measure 0. Therefore, if we pick a real number "at random"  $(e.g.,)$ uniformly from a finite interval), then, with probability 1, this number will be normal, and the statistics of the digits in its decimal (or base b) expansion are well-understood.

In contrast to such "almost all" type results, we know almost nothing about the statistics of the digits in the expansion of *specific* irrational numbers. In fact, with the exception of some specially constructed numbers (for example, the Champernowne constant [\[6\]](#page-16-0)  $0.1234567891011121314...$ , for most "natural" irrational constants it is not even known whether each digit occurs infinitely often in the decimal expansion of the number. In particular, this is the case for the number  $\pi = 3.141592...$  Although there exists overwhelming *statistical* evidence towards the normality of  $\pi$ , a proof of even a very weak form of normality seems to be out of reach; see, e.g.,  $|2|, |4|$ .

#### 1.2 Continued fraction expansions

In this paper, we consider similar questions with respect to the *continued fraction expansion* of real numbers, that is, expansions of the form

<span id="page-1-1"></span>(1.1) 
$$
x = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{\ddots}}} = [a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots],
$$

where  $a_0 = \lfloor x \rfloor$  and  $a_i = a_i(x), i = 1, 2, \ldots$ , are positive integers, which we call the *continued fraction digits*[1](#page-1-0) of x. It is well-known (see, e.g., [\[17,](#page-17-1) Theorem 5.11]) that any *irrational* number x has a unique *infinite* continued fraction expansion of the form  $(1.1)$ . For example, the continued fraction expansion of  $\pi$  is

$$
\pi = 3 + \cfrac{1}{7 + \cfrac{1}{15 + \cfrac{1}{15
$$

Conversely, given any integer  $a_0$  and any sequence of positive integers  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$ , there is a unique irrational number x with continued fraction expansion  $(1.1)$ . In this sense, the continued fraction digits are analogous to the decimal digits of a number, and we can therefore ask similar questions as above:

<span id="page-1-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We do not include the leading term  $a_0$  here as  $a_0$  may be an arbitrary integer, while the terms  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$ are restricted to positive integers.

- Statistics of continued fraction digits of a random real number. What can we say about the continued fraction digits of a "random" real number (or, equivalently, of almost all real numbers)?
- Statistics of continued fraction digits of  $\pi$ . What can we say about the continued fraction digits of *specific* "natural" irrational numbers such as the number  $\pi$ ? In particular, do the continued fraction digits of  $\pi$  behave like those of a random real number?

Our state of knowledge with respect to these two questions is similar to that for the usual decimal and base b expansions. On the one hand, the statistical nature of the continued fraction expansion of *almost all* real numbers is now well understood. On the other hand, we know almost nothing about the statistics of the continued fraction digits of  $\pi$  and most other classical constants.

#### 1.3 Classical results on the statistics of continued fraction digits

The study of the statistical nature of continued fraction expansions originated with Gauss and was further developed by Kuzmin [\[15\]](#page-17-2), Lévy [\[16\]](#page-17-3), Khinchin [\[14\]](#page-17-4), and others. We mention here two classical results in this field.

*Khinchin's Theorem* (see [\[14,](#page-17-4) p. 93], or [\[5,](#page-16-3) Proposition 4.1.8]) states that the geometric mean of the first n continued fraction digits of a random real number converges, as  $n \to \infty$ , to the constant (now known as *Khinchin's constant*)

(1.2) 
$$
K_0 = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{k(k+2)} \right)^{\log_2 k} = 2.685452...,
$$

where  $log_2$  denotes the base 2 logarithm. That is, almost all real numbers x satisfy

(1.3) 
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} (a_1(x) \dots a_n(x))^{1/n} = K_0,
$$

where  $a_i(x)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ , are the continued fraction digits of x defined by [\(1.1\)](#page-1-1).

The *Gauss-Kuzmin Theorem* (see Lemma [2.1](#page-8-0) below) states that the frequency of a given digit  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  in the continued fraction expansion of a random real number is given by

<span id="page-2-0"></span>(1.4) 
$$
P(a) = \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{a(a+2)} \right).
$$

That is, almost all real numbers  $x$  satisfy

(1.5) 
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \# \{ 1 \le i \le n : a_i(x) = a \} = P(a), \quad a \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

Thus, for example, around  $\log_2(4/3) \approx 41.5\%$  of the continued fraction digits of a random real number will be 1, around  $\log_2(9/8) \approx 17\%$  of these digits will be 2, and so on. The numbers  $P(a)$  defined by [\(1.4\)](#page-2-0) form a discrete probability distribution on N, called the *Gauss-Kuzmin distribution* and depicted in Figure [1.](#page-3-0)



<span id="page-3-0"></span>Figure 1: The Gauss-Kuzmin distribution  $P(a) = \log_2(1 + \frac{1}{a(a+2)}), a = 1, 2, \ldots$  ( $\log_2 t =$  $\log t / \log 2$  denotes the base 2 logarithm.)

As natural extensions of the Gauss-Kuzmin result on the frequency of a single continued fraction digit  $a$ , one can consider the following frequencies<sup>[2](#page-3-1)</sup>:

• Subset frequencies: The frequency,  $P(A)$ , with which the continued fraction digits of a random real number belong to a given subset  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ . More precisely, given a set  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  and an irrational number x with continued fraction digits  $a_i(x), i = 1, 2, \ldots$ , we are interested in the limit

<span id="page-3-2"></span>(1.6) 
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \# \{ 1 \le i \le n : a_i(x) \in A \}.
$$

• String frequencies: The frequency,  $P(a)$ , with which the continued fraction expansion of a random real number contains a given finite string  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_k)$ , of digits  $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ . More precisely, given an irrational number x with continued fraction digits  $a_i(x)$ , we are interested in the limit

<span id="page-3-3"></span>(1.7) 
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \# \{ 1 \le i \le n : a_{i+1}(x) = a_1, \dots, a_{i+k}(x) = a_k \}.
$$

It follows from the Gauss-Kuzmin theory (see Lemmas [2.1](#page-8-0) and [2.2\)](#page-8-1) that, for almost all real numbers x, the limits in  $(1.6)$  and  $(1.7)$  exist and depend only on the set A (respectively the string a). This justifies the use of the notation  $P(A)$  and  $P(a)$  for these frequencies. The Gauss-Kuzmin theory also yields explicit formulas for the frequencies  $P(A)$  and  $P(a)$ ,

<span id="page-3-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>For simplicity of notation, we use the same symbol,  $P(\ldots)$ , to denote single digit frequencies, subset frequencies, and frequencies of strings. This should not cause any problems as the meaning will always be clear from the context.

but these formulas are in general quite complicated, involving either infinite sums (in the case of  $P(A)$  for infinite sets A) or continued fraction convergents (in the case of  $P(a)$ ). In our main results, Theorems [1](#page-4-0)[–3](#page-5-0) below, we exhibit a class of sets A and strings a for which these frequencies have surprisingly simple closed-form expressions.

#### 1.4 Statement of main results

We first consider the frequencies  $P(A)$ . In the case when the set A is an arithmetic progression, Nolte [\[18\]](#page-17-5) (see also Girstmair [\[10\]](#page-17-6) and [\[13,](#page-17-7) Proposition 4.1.5]) established a closed form expression for the subset frequency  $P(A)$  in terms of the Euler gamma function.

In our first two results, we establish similarly simple expressions for  $P(A)$  in the case when A is a set of shifted prime powers or shifted squares, defined as follows:

(1.8) 
$$
\mathcal{P}_k = \{p^k - 1 \in \mathbb{N} : p \text{ prime }\} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),
$$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>(1.9) 
$$
S = \{n^2 - 1 : n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 1\}.
$$

Theorem 1 (Shifted prime powers). *Let* k *be a positive integer. Then the frequency of digits of the form*  $p^k - 1$ *, where* p *is prime, in the continued fraction expansion of a random real number is given by*

<span id="page-4-4"></span>(1.10) 
$$
P(\mathcal{P}_k) = \log_2 \zeta(2k),
$$

*where*  $\zeta(s)$  *is the Riemann zeta function. In particular, the frequency of digits of the form* p−1*, where* p *is prime, in the continued fraction expansion of a random real number is given by*

<span id="page-4-1"></span>(1.11) 
$$
P(\mathcal{P}_1) = \log_2 \zeta(2) = \log_2 \frac{\pi^2}{6} = 0.718029\dots
$$

<span id="page-4-3"></span>**Theorem 2** (Shifted squares). *The frequency of digits of the form*  $n^2 - 1$ *, where*  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *, in the continued fraction expansion of a random real number is given by*

<span id="page-4-2"></span>(1.12) 
$$
P(\mathcal{S}) = \log_2 \frac{8\pi}{e^{\pi} - e^{-\pi}} = 0.121832...
$$

The relatively large value  $0.71...$  of the frequency  $(1.11)$  for "shifted prime" digits is due to the fact that the set  $\mathcal{P}_1 = \{1, 2, 4, 6, 10, 12, 16, 18, 22, ...\}$  of shifted primes is rather dense at the beginning. In particular, it contains the digits 1 and 2, the two most frequent digits in the continued fraction expansion of a random number, as well as two of the next four most frequent digits, namely 4 and 6. The combined frequencies of the digits  $1, 2, 4, 6$ in  $\mathcal{P}_1$  (i.e., the sum of the Gauss-Kuzmin probabilities  $P(a)$  for  $a = 1, 2, 4, 6$ ) alone is around  $0.67...$  and thus accounts for the bulk of the shifted prime digit frequency given in  $(1.11).$ By contrast, the set  $S$  of shifted squares contains neither of the digits 1 and 2 and only one of the first seven digits; hence the much smaller shifted square digit frequency in [\(1.12\)](#page-4-2).

We next consider occurrences of finite strings  $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_k)$  of continued fraction digits. A key difference between decimal expansions and continued fraction expansions of a random real number is that, while the consecutive decimal digits behave like *independent* random variables, this is not the case for the continued fraction digits. That is, the string frequency  $P((a_1, \ldots, a_k))$  is, in general, *not* equal to the product of the corresponding single digit frequencies,  $P(a_1) \ldots P(a_k)$ . In particular, the frequency  $P((a, \ldots, a))$  of a "run" of k consecutive digits a is not equal to  $P(a)^k$ , the k-th power of the single digit frequency. In the following theorem, we determine these run frequencies explicitly in terms of a simple two-term recurrence sequence, which reduces to the Fibonacci sequence when  $a = 1$ .

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Theorem 3 (Strings of identical digits). *Let* a *and* k *be positive integers. Then the frequency of a string of* k *consecutive digits* a *in the continued fraction expansion of a random real number is given by*

(1.13) 
$$
P(\underbrace{(a, ..., a)}_{k}) = \left| \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{(-1)^k}{\left( F_{k+2}^{(a)} \right)^2} \right) \right|,
$$

where  $F_n^{(a)}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$  *is defined by* 

(1.14) 
$$
F_1^{(a)} = F_2^{(a)} = 1, \quad F_n^{(a)} = a F_{n-1}^{(a)} + F_{n-2}^{(a)} \quad (n \ge 3).
$$

*In particular, the frequency of a string of* k *consecutive digits* 1 *in the continued fraction expansion of a random real number is given by*

<span id="page-5-1"></span>(1.15) 
$$
P(\underbrace{(1,\ldots,1)}_{k}) = \left|\log_2\left(1 + \frac{(-1)^k}{F_{k+2}^2}\right)\right|,
$$

*where*  $F_n$  *is the nth Fibonacci number.* 

By estimating the term  $F_{k+2}$  in [\(1.15\)](#page-5-1) via Binet's formula (see, e.g., [\[11,](#page-17-8) (10.14.1)]), we obtain the following corollary.

<span id="page-5-2"></span>Corollary 4. As  $k \to \infty$ , the frequency [\(1.15\)](#page-5-1) of a string of k consecutive digits 1 in the *continued fraction expansion of a random real number satisfies*

<span id="page-5-3"></span>(1.16) 
$$
P(\underbrace{(1,\ldots,1)}_k) = \frac{5}{\Phi^{2(k+2)}\log 2}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\Phi^{2(k+2)}}\right)\right),
$$

*where*  $\Phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$  *is the Golden Ratio.* 

The approximations provided by the corollary are remarkably accurate, even for very small values of k. Table [1](#page-6-0) below shows the exact string frequencies given by Theorem [3,](#page-5-0) i.e.,  $|\log_2(1+(-1)^k/F_{k+2}^2)|$ , the approximations provided by Corollary [4,](#page-5-2) i.e.,  $5/(\Phi^{2(k+2)}\log 2)$ , and the relative error in these approximations.

$\boldsymbol{k}$	Exact value	Approximation	Relative error
	$\log_2(4/3) = 0.415037$	0.401993	$3.24\%$
2	$\log_2(10/9) = 0.152003$	0.153548	1.00%
3	$\log_2(25/24) = 0.058893$	0.058650	0.41%
	$\log_2(65/64) = 0.022367$	0.022402	0.15%
5	$\log_2(169/168) = 0.008562$	0.008556	$0.06\%$

<span id="page-6-0"></span>Table 1: Exact and approximate values for the frequencies of a string of k digits 1 in the continued fraction expansion of a random real number.

.

#### 1.5 Outline of paper

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section [2](#page-6-1) we review the elementary theory of continued fractions, and we state the key results from the metric theory of continued fractions that we will use in proving our results. Section [3](#page-8-2) contains the proofs of Theorems [1](#page-4-0) and [2,](#page-4-3) and Section [4](#page-10-0) contains the proofs of Theorem [3](#page-5-0) and Corollary [4.](#page-5-2) In Section [5](#page-11-0) we present empirical data on the frequencies predicted by these theorems based on the first 300 million continued fraction digits of  $\pi$ , and the results of statistical tests comparing the actual and predicted frequencies. We conclude in Section [6](#page-15-0) with some remarks on possible extensions of our results and open problems.

### <span id="page-6-1"></span>2 Background on continued fractions

We begin by recalling some key definitions and results from the elementary theory of continued fractions. Details and proofs can be found in [\[5,](#page-16-3) Chapter 2], [\[11,](#page-17-8) Chapter 9], [\[14,](#page-17-4) Chapters I–II], and [\[17,](#page-17-1) Chapter 5],

A *continued fraction* is a finite or infinite expression of the form

(2.1) 
$$
a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{\ddots}}} = [a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots],
$$

where  $a_0$  is an arbitrary integer, and  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$  are positive integers.

Clearly, any *finite* (i.e., terminating) continued fraction represents a rational number. Conversely, any rational number can be represented as a finite continued fraction  $[a_0; a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , and this representation is unique if we require  $a_n > 1$ .

An *infinite* continued fraction is defined as the limit, as  $n \to \infty$ , of the finite continued fractions obtained by truncating the given infinite continued fraction after  $n$  terms:

<span id="page-6-2"></span>(2.2) 
$$
[a_0; a_1, a_2, \ldots] = \lim_{n \to \infty} [a_0; a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n].
$$

It is known (see, e.g., [\[17,](#page-17-1) Theorem 5.11]) that any *irrational* real number has a unique infinite continued fraction expansion; that is, there exists a unique sequence  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots$ satisfying  $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ , such that

(2.3) 
$$
x = \lim_{n \to \infty} [a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n].
$$

Conversely, given any integer  $a_0$  and any sequence  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$  of positive integers, the limit [\(2.2\)](#page-6-2) exists and represents an irrational number.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>The numbers  $a_i$  in the representation  $(2.3)$  can be computed recursively by

(2.4) 
$$
a_0 = \lfloor x \rfloor, \quad r_0 = x - a_0,
$$

<span id="page-7-3"></span>(2.5) 
$$
a_n = \left[ \frac{1}{r_{n-1}} \right], \quad r_n = \frac{1}{r_{n-1}} - a_n \quad (n \ge 1).
$$

Given an irrational number x with continued fraction representation  $(2.3)$ , the rational numbers represented by the truncated continued fractions  $[a_0; a_1, \ldots, a_n], n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ , are called the *convergents* of x. The nth convergent is traditionally denoted by  $p_n/q_n$ ; that is, we have

(2.6) 
$$
[a_0; a_1, \dots, a_n] = \frac{p_n}{q_n},
$$

with the convention that the denominator  $q_n$  is a positive integer and the numerator  $p_n$  is an integer relatively prime to  $q_n$ . For example, the first six convergents for  $\pi$  (corresponding to indices  $n = 0, 1, \ldots, 5$  are

(2.7) 
$$
\frac{3}{1}, \frac{22}{7}, \frac{333}{106}, \frac{355}{113}, \frac{103993}{33102}, \frac{104348}{33215}.
$$

A key property of a continued fraction convergent  $p_n/q_n$  for an *irrational* number x is that it represents the best rational approximation to  $x$  among all rational numbers with denominator bounded by  $q_n$ . In other words, if  $p/q$  is a rational number with denominator satisfying  $|q| \leq q_n$ , then either  $p/q = p_n/q_n$  or  $|x - p/q| > |x - p_n/q_n|$ .

The numerators  $p_n$  and denominators  $q_n$  of the convergents satisfy the recurrences

<span id="page-7-4"></span>(2.8) 
$$
p_{-1} = 1
$$
,  $p_0 = a_0$ ,  $p_n = a_n p_{n-1} + p_{n-2}$   $(n \ge 1)$ ,

<span id="page-7-1"></span>(2.9) 
$$
q_{-1} = 0
$$
,  $q_0 = 1$ ,  $q_n = a_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}$   $(n \ge 1)$ .

From these recurrences it can be proved by induction that

(2.10) 
$$
\frac{p_n}{q_n} - \frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}} = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{q_n q_{n-1}}
$$

Since, by [\(2.9\)](#page-7-1), the numbers  $q_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , form a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers, [\(2.10\)](#page-7-2) implies that the sequence of convergents  $p_n/q_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , converges to a finite limit, given by the real number x represented by the infinite continued fraction  $[a_0; a_1, a_2, \ldots]$ . It also follows from [\(2.10\)](#page-7-2) that the even-indexed convergents form an increasing sequence and the odd-indexed convergents form a decreasing sequence; that is, we have

<span id="page-7-2"></span>.

(2.11) 
$$
\frac{p_0}{q_0} < \frac{p_2}{q_2} < \frac{p_4}{q_4} \cdots < x < \cdots \frac{p_5}{q_5} < \frac{p_3}{q_3} < \frac{p_1}{q_1}.
$$

We conclude this section by stating two key results from the metric theory of continued fractions that we will need in proving Theorems [1,](#page-4-0) [2,](#page-4-3) and [3.](#page-5-0) These results lie much deeper than the elementary properties of continued fractions cited above, and their proofs are quite involved, requiring either very delicate elementary estimates (see, for example, [\[14\]](#page-17-4)), or the use of results from ergodic theory (see, for example, [\[7\]](#page-16-4) or [\[13\]](#page-17-7)). Both results are special cases of a more general theorem which in essence states that the transformation  $T(x) = 1/x-|1/x|$ that generates continued fractions via the recurrence [\(2.5\)](#page-7-3) is an *ergodic* transformation on the interval [0, 1] with invariant measure  $\mu([0, x]) = \log_2(1 + x)$ .

<span id="page-8-0"></span>Lemma 2.1 (Gauss-Kuzmin Theorem, [\[13,](#page-17-7) Proposition 4.1.1]). *For almost all real numbers*  $x,$  each digit  $a, a = 1, 2, \ldots$ , appears in the continued fraction expansion of x with frequency

(2.12) 
$$
P(a) = \log_2\left(1 + \frac{1}{a(a+2)}\right).
$$

<span id="page-8-1"></span>Lemma 2.2 (Generalized Gauss-Kuzmin Theorem, [\[13,](#page-17-7) Proposition 4.1.2]). *Let* k *be a positive integer and let*  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_k)$  *be a finite string of positive integers. Then, for almost all real numbers* x*, the string* a *appears in the continued fraction expansion of* x *with frequency*

(2.13) 
$$
P(\mathbf{a}) = \left| \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{(-1)^k}{(p_k + q_k)(q_k + q_{k-1})} \right) \right|
$$

where  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  are the numerators and denominators of the convergents  $p_i/q_i = [0; a_1, \ldots, a_i]$ ,  $i \leq k$ , defined recursively by [\(2.8\)](#page-7-4) and [\(2.9\)](#page-7-1) with respect to the finite continued fraction  $[0; a_1, \ldots, a_k].$ 

### <span id="page-8-2"></span>3 Proof of Theorems [1](#page-4-0) and [2](#page-4-3)

The proofs hinge on the following proposition, which expresses the frequency  $P(A)$  in terms of an infinite product over the set  $A$ , along with evaluations for these products in the case of sets of the form  $\mathcal{P}_k$  and  $\mathcal{S}$ .

<span id="page-8-5"></span>Proposition 3.1 (Explicit formula for subset frequencies). *Let* <sup>A</sup> <sup>⊂</sup> <sup>N</sup>*. Then, for almost all real numbers* x*, the continued fraction expansion of* x *contains a digit from the set* A *with frequency*

<span id="page-8-3"></span>(3.1) 
$$
P(A) = \log_2 \prod_{a \in A} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{(a+1)^2} \right)^{-1} = -\log_2 \prod_{a \in A} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{(a+1)^2} \right).
$$

*That is, almost all real numbers* x *satisfy*

<span id="page-8-4"></span>(3.2) 
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \# \{ 1 \le i \le n : a_i(x) \in A \} = P(A),
$$

*with*  $P(A)$  *given by*  $(3.1)$ *.* 

*Proof.* By Lemma [2.1](#page-8-0) the frequency  $P(A)$  defined in [\(3.2\)](#page-8-4) exists for almost all real numbers and is given by

$$
P(A) = \sum_{a \in A} P(a) = \sum_{a \in A} \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{a(a+2)} \right) = \log_2 \prod_{a \in A} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{a(a+2)} \right).
$$

Since

$$
1 + \frac{1}{a(a+2)} = \frac{(a+1)^2}{(a+1)^2 - 1} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{(a+1)^2}\right)^{-1},
$$

this yields [\(3.1\)](#page-8-3), as desired.

*Proof of Theorem [1.](#page-4-0)* Suppose A is a shifted power set, i.e.,  $A = \mathcal{P}_k = \{p^k - 1 : p \text{ prime }\},$ where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . In this case the product on the right of [\(3.1\)](#page-8-3) becomes

<span id="page-9-0"></span>(3.3) 
$$
\prod_{a \in \mathcal{P}_k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(a+1)^2}\right)^{-1} = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2k}}\right)^{-1} = \zeta(2k),
$$

 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n^s$  (see, e.g., [\[1,](#page-16-5) Theorem 11.7]) where we have used the Euler product formula for the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$  =

$$
\zeta(s) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \quad (\text{Re}(s) > 1).
$$

Substituting [\(3.3\)](#page-9-0) into [\(3.1\)](#page-8-3) yields the desired formula [\(1.10\)](#page-4-4) for  $P(\mathcal{P}_k)$ .

The formula [\(1.11\)](#page-4-1) for  $P(\mathcal{P}_1)$  follows on noting that  $\zeta(2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n^2 = \pi^2/6$ .  $\Box$ 

*Proof of Theorem [2.](#page-4-3)* When A is the set  $S = \{n^2 - 1 : n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 1\}$  of shifted squares, we have

<span id="page-9-3"></span>(3.4) 
$$
\prod_{a \in S} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{(a+1)^2} \right)^{-1} = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n^4} \right)^{-1} = \left( \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \right)^{-1}.
$$

The first of the two products on the right is easy to evaluate by a telescoping argument:

<span id="page-9-2"></span>(3.5) 
$$
\prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2}\right) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \prod_{n=2}^{N} \frac{n-1}{n} \cdot \frac{n+1}{n} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{N+1}{2N} = \frac{1}{2}.
$$

To evaluate the second product, we use Euler's product formula for the sine function (see [\[8\]](#page-17-9) or [\[21,](#page-17-10) Section 3.23])

<span id="page-9-1"></span>(3.6) 
$$
\frac{\sin(\pi z)}{\pi z} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{n^2}\right),
$$



which is valid for all complex numbers z. Setting  $z = i$  in [\(3.6\)](#page-9-1), it follows that

(3.7) 
$$
\frac{\sin(\pi i)}{\pi i} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n^2}\right) = 2 \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n^2}\right)
$$

Hence

<span id="page-10-1"></span>(3.8) 
$$
\prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n^2}\right) = \frac{\sin(\pi i)}{2\pi i} = \frac{e^{\pi} - e^{-\pi}}{4\pi}.
$$

Substituting [\(3.5\)](#page-9-2) and [\(3.8\)](#page-10-1) into [\(3.4\)](#page-9-3) and applying Proposition [3.1](#page-8-5) yields

(3.9) 
$$
P(\mathcal{S}) = \log_2 \left( \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \right)^{-1} = \log_2 \left( \frac{8\pi}{e^{\pi} - e^{-\pi}} \right),
$$

.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>as desired.

## 4 Proof of Theorem [3](#page-5-0) and Corollary [4](#page-5-2)

*Proof of Theorem [3.](#page-5-0)* Let a and k be positive integers, and let  $\mathbf{a} = (a, \ldots, a)$  denote the string consisting of k consecutive digits a. By Lemma [2.2,](#page-8-1) the frequency  $P(a)$  is given by

<span id="page-10-4"></span>(4.1) 
$$
P(\mathbf{a}) = \left| \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{(-1)^k}{(p_k + q_k)(q_k + q_{k-1})} \right) \right|,
$$

where  $p_k$  and  $q_k$  are defined recursively by

<span id="page-10-2"></span>
$$
(4.2) \t\t p_{-1} = 1, \t p_0 = 0, \t p_n = ap_{n-1} + p_{n-2} \t (n = 1, ..., k),
$$

<span id="page-10-3"></span>
$$
(4.3) \t q_{-1} = 0, \t q_0 = 1, \t q_n = aq_{n-1} + q_{n-2} \t (n = 1, ..., k).
$$

Setting

(4.4) 
$$
r_n = q_n + q_{n-1}, \quad s_n = p_n + q_n,
$$

it follows from [\(4.2\)](#page-10-2) and [\(4.3\)](#page-10-3) that

(4.5) 
$$
r_0 = 1, \quad r_1 = a + 1, \quad r_n = ar_{n-1} + r_{n-2} \quad (2 \le n \le k),
$$

(4.6) 
$$
s_0 = 1
$$
,  $s_1 = a + 1$ ,  $s_n = as_{n-1} + s_{n-2}$   $(2 \le n \le k)$ .

Thus, the sequences  $r_n$  and  $s_n$  satisfy the same recurrence as the sequence  $F_n^{(a)}$  of Theorem [3.](#page-5-0) In addition, their values at  $n = 0$  and  $n = 1$ , i.e.,  $r_0 = s_0 = 1$  and  $r_1 = s_1 = a + 1$ , agree with the values of  $F_n^{(a)}$  at  $n = 2$  and  $n = 3$ , namely  $F_2^{(a)} = 1$  and  $F_3^{(a)} = aF_2^{(a)} + F_1^{(a)} = a + 1$ . Therefore we have

$$
r_n = s_n = F_{n+2}^{(a)} \quad (1 \le n \le k).
$$

Hence

$$
\log_2\left(1+\frac{(-1)^k}{(p_k+q_k)(q_k+q_{k-1})}\right)=\log_2\left(1+\frac{(-1)^k}{r_ks_k}\right)=\log_2\left(1+\frac{(-1)^k}{\left(F_{k+2}^{(a)}\right)^2}\right).
$$

Substituting this into [\(4.1\)](#page-10-4) yields the desired result.

 $\Box$ 

 $\Box$ 

*Proof of Corollary* [4.](#page-5-2) Let  $\Phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2 = 1.618034...$  denote the Golden Ratio and let  $\overline{\Phi} = (1 - \sqrt{5})/2 = 1/\Phi = -0.618034...$  denote its conjugate. Then Binet's formula for Fibonacci numbers (see, e.g.,  $[11, (10.14.1)]$ ) yields

<span id="page-11-1"></span>(4.7) 
$$
F_n = \frac{\Phi^n - \overline{\Phi}^n}{\sqrt{5}} = \frac{\Phi^n}{\sqrt{5}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\Phi^n}\right),
$$

and hence

<span id="page-11-2"></span>(4.8) 
$$
\frac{1}{F_{k+2}^2} = \frac{5}{\Phi^{2(k+2)} + O(1)} = \frac{5}{\Phi^{2(k+2)}} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\Phi^{2(k+2)}}\right) \right).
$$

Combining this with the elementary estimate

<span id="page-11-3"></span>(4.9) 
$$
\log_2(1+x) = \frac{\log(1+x)}{\log 2} = \frac{x}{\log 2} (1+O(x)),
$$

which holds as  $x \to 0$ , it follows from Theorem [3](#page-5-0) that

<span id="page-11-4"></span>(4.10) 
$$
P(\underbrace{(1,\ldots,1)}_k) = \left|\log_2\left(1 + \frac{(-1)^k}{F_{k+2}^2}\right)\right| = \frac{5}{\Phi^{2(k+2)}\log 2}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\Phi^{2(k+2)}}\right)\right),
$$

as claimed.

*Remark* 4.1*.* By replacing the O-estimates in [\(4.7\)](#page-11-1), [\(4.8\)](#page-11-2), and [\(4.9\)](#page-11-3) with explicit inequalities, one can obtain an explicit value for the O-constant in  $(4.10)$  (i.e., the estimate  $(1.16)$ ) of Corollary [4\)](#page-5-2). In particular, one can show that an admissible value for this  $O$ -constant is 1; that is, the expression represented by the  $O$ -term in  $(4.10)$  is bounded in absolute value by  $\leq 1/\Phi^{2(k+2)}$  for all k. We leave out the details, which are routine, though rather tedious.

 $\Box$ 

### <span id="page-11-0"></span>5 Statistical analysis of continued fraction digits of  $\pi$

The number  $\pi$  is arguably the most famous mathematical constant, and it has been the subject of more studies—both theoretical and numerical—than any other mathematical constant. The decimal expansion of  $\pi$  has been calculated to more than twenty trillion digits [\[22\]](#page-17-11) and has been subjected to extensive statistical analyses; see, for example, [\[3\]](#page-16-6), [\[4\]](#page-16-2).

By comparison, the continued fraction digits of  $\pi$  have received much less attention in the literature, with most of the empirical studies focusing on *single digit* frequencies among the digits of  $\pi$ . For example, [\[5,](#page-16-3) Figure 3.2] shows the deviations between observed and predicted frequencies of digits 1 through 15 based on the first 100 million continued fraction digits of  $\pi$ .

In light of Theorems [1–](#page-4-0)[3](#page-5-0) it seems natural to compare, in a statistically rigorous manner, the frequencies predicted by these results (i.e., the actual frequencies in the continued fraction expansion of a "random" real number), with the corresponding empirical frequencies based on the continued fraction digits of  $\pi$ .

#### 5.1 Methodology

Our analysis is based on a data set of 300 million continued fraction digits of  $\pi$ . We first split the full data set of 300 million digits into  $B$  disjoint blocks of length  $N$  each (so that  $B \cdot N = 3 \cdot 10^8$ ) and apply a given statistical test to each of these B blocks. The test outputs a test statistic (for example, a z-score) for each of the B blocks. We then employ a second statistical test to compare the B values of the test statistic to their predicted distribution. Such a two-stage testing procedure is based on NIST recommendations for randomness tests, and it results in improved reliability when compared to applying a test to the full data set; see [\[19\]](#page-17-12).

To ensure robustness of the results, we carry out our tests with three different values for the block size, namely blocks of  $N = 250,000$ ; 500,000; and 1,000,000 digits. This corresponds to splitting the full set of 300 million digits into  $B = 12,000$ ; 6,000; and 3,000 blocks, respectively.

<span id="page-12-1"></span>We carry out this process with the following tests.

Test I: Z-score test for shifted primes. By Theorem [1,](#page-4-0) the predicted frequency of continued fraction digits of the form  $p-1$ , where p is prime, is

(5.1) 
$$
q = \log_2(\pi^2/6) = 0.718029...
$$

To test this prediction, we model the continued fraction digits as Bernoulli random variables with parameter q and compute, for each block of size  $N$ , the corresponding z-score, given by

<span id="page-12-0"></span>(5.2) 
$$
z = \frac{N_1 - Nq}{\sqrt{Nq(1-q)}},
$$

where  $N_1$  is the actual number of digits of the form  $p-1$  among the N digits in the block.

We expect these z-scores to be approximately normally distributed. To test this, we apply the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Anderson-Darling goodness-of-fit tests (see [\[12,](#page-17-13) 1.3.5.14 and  $1.3.5.16$ ) to this set of *z*-scores.

Test II: Z-score test for shifted squares. We employ an analogous testing procedure to digits of the form  $n^2 - 1$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > 1$ , with the predicted probability being given by Theorem [2,](#page-4-3) namely

<span id="page-12-2"></span>(5.3) 
$$
q = \log_2\left(\frac{8\pi}{e^{\pi} - e^{-\pi}}\right) = 0.121832...
$$

Test III: Longest run of 1s. Our third test is based on the predicted frequencies of "runs" of digits 1 given by Theorem [3,](#page-5-0) and the approximations provided by Corollary [4.](#page-5-2) Specifically, we determine, for each block, the length of the longest run of consecutive digits 1, and then compute the average of these longest run lengths across all blocks. This average can be considered as an approximation to the *expected* length of the longest string of 1s in an appropriate random model.

While consecutive continued fraction digits are not independent, the result of Corollary [4](#page-5-2) and the numerical data provided in Table [1](#page-6-0) suggest that, for "long" strings of 1, each

additional digit 1 decreases the frequency by a factor  $q = 1/\Phi^2 \approx 0.381966...$  This suggests that, in the context of "long" runs of 1s, digits behave approximately like Bernoulli random variables with probability  $q = 1/\Phi^2$ . Let  $L_{N,q}$  denote the length of the longest run of 1s in a sequence of N independent Bernoulli random variables that take on the value 1 with probability q, and 0 with probability  $1 - q$ . It is known (see [\[20,](#page-17-14) (5)]) that, as  $N \to \infty$ , we have

<span id="page-13-0"></span>(5.4) 
$$
E(L_{N,q}) = \frac{\log(N(1-q))}{\log(1/q)} + \gamma \log(1/q) - \frac{1}{2} + o(1),
$$

where  $\gamma$  is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Ignoring the term  $o(1)$ , we compare the prediction [\(5.4\)](#page-13-0) with the average length of the longest run computed from our data.

Implementation notes. We used *Mathematica 13.1* to generate the set of 300 million continued fraction digits of  $\pi$  that formed the basis of our analysis. We used Python for the first stage tests described above and *Mathematica* for subsequent statistical analysis and visualization, such as generating histograms of z-scores and applying the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Anderson-Darling goodness-of-fit tests to the z-scores produced by Tests I and II.

### 5.2 Results

Tests I and II: Figure [2](#page-14-0) shows the results of the z-score tests, applied to frequencies of digits of the form  $p-1$  (top row) and  $n^2-1$  (bottom row) for block sizes  $N = 250,000$ ; 500,000; and 1,000,000. The actual distributions of z-scores are shown as density histograms, with the density function of a standard normal distribution overlayed. The z-scores were computed via formula [\(5.2\)](#page-12-0) with the probabilities q being those given by  $(5.1)$  for the case of shifted primes and [\(5.3\)](#page-12-2) for the case of shifted squares.



<span id="page-14-0"></span>Figure 2: Distribution of z-scores for digits of the form  $p-1$  (top row) and  $n^2-1$  (bottom row), corresponding to block sizes 250,000 (left figure), 500,000 (middle figure), and 1,000,000 (right figure),

The histograms in Figure [2](#page-14-0) suggest that the z-scores are approximately normally distributed, as one would expect under the null hypothesis that the continued fraction digits of  $\pi$  of the form  $p-1$  and  $n^2-1$  behave like independent Bernoulli random variables, with frequencies q given by  $(5.1)$  and  $(5.3)$ , respectively.

For a more precise analysis we applied the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Anderson-Darling goodness-of-fit tests to each set of z-scores, comparing these scores to those drawn from a standard normal distribution. The resulting p-values are shown in Table [2.](#page-14-1) None of these p-values is significant at the 0.05 level, thus providing further strong evidence for the "randomness" of the continued fraction digits of  $\pi$ .

Block length		$KS$ p-value $AD$ p-value	Block length	KS p-value	AD p-value
250,000	0.4656	0.1850	250,000	0.6180	0.9021
500,000	0.0779	0.0525	500,000	0.9077	0.7466
1,000,000	0.8915	0.8821	1,000,000	0.0643	0.1076

<span id="page-14-1"></span>Table 2: P-values from the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) and Anderson-Darling (AD) tests, applied to the z-scores for digits of the form  $p-1$  (left table) and  $n^2-1$  (right table).

Test III: Table [3](#page-15-1) shows the average longest run length, with the average being taken over all blocks of length  $N$ , along with the corresponding predicted values, given by the approximation [\(5.4\)](#page-13-0) for the expected length of the longest run of 1s in a Bernoulli model with parameters N and  $q = 1/\Phi^2$ .

Block length	Average longest run length	Prediction	
250,000	12.5267	12.5142	
500,000	13.2617	13.2345	
1,000,000	13.9567	13.9547	

<span id="page-15-1"></span>Table 3: Average length of the longest run of 1s in a block of length N. The prediction is based on the asymptotic formula [\(5.4\)](#page-13-0).

## <span id="page-15-0"></span>6 Concluding Remarks

We conclude this paper by mentioning possible extensions and generalizations of our results and some open questions suggested by these results.

Proposition [3.1](#page-8-5) shows that there is a close connection between the subset probabilities  $P(A)$  defined by [\(1.6\)](#page-3-2) and identities for infinite products of the form  $\prod_{b \in B} (1 - 1/b^2)$ . Any set B for which the latter product has a closed formula gives rise to a set A for which  $P(A)$  has a closed formula of similar type. Theorems [1](#page-4-0) and [2](#page-4-3) exhibit two classes of sets for which such closed formulas exist and are strikingly simple. To keep the exposition simple, we decided to focus on these particular classes of sets, rather than attempting to state and prove our results in their most general form.

Here we mention, without proof, one such generalization: Given an integer  $k \geq 2$ , let  $S_k$ be the set of "shifted kth powers," i.e.,  $S_k = \{n^k - 1 : n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 1\}$ . For  $h = 1, \ldots, k - 1$ let  $\omega_h = e^{\pi i h/k}$ . Then

(6.1) 
$$
P(A) = -\log_2 \left| \frac{1}{2k\pi^{k-1}} \prod_{h=1}^{k-1} \sin(\pi \omega_h) \right|.
$$

This result generalizes Theorem [2](#page-4-3) and it can be proved using the same approach, though the technical details are significantly more involved. In fact, one can prove a slighly more general result for sets  $S_{k,a} = \{an^k - 1 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\,$ , where a and k are fixed positive integers.

Theorem [3](#page-5-0) on frequencies of strings of the form  $(a, \ldots, a)$  can also be generalized in various directions. One such generalization is to strings of the form  $\mathbf{a} = (A, \ldots, A)$ , consisting of k repeated blocks A, where A is a given finite block of digits. The resulting frequency  $P(\mathbf{a})$ can be expressed in terms of two-term linear recurrence sequences similar to the sequence  $F_n^{(a)}$  in Theorem [3,](#page-5-0) but with coefficients and initial conditions defined in terms of the block A. In the case of a two-digit block  $A = (a, b)$ , repeated k times, this frequency is given by

(6.2) 
$$
P(\underbrace{(A, ..., A)}_k) = P(\underbrace{(a, b, ..., a, b)}_k) = \left| \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{F_{k+2}^{(ab)} G_{k+2}^{(ab)}} \right) \right|,
$$

where the sequences  $F_n^{(ab)}$  and  $G_n^{(ab)}$  are defined recursively by

$$
F_1^{(ab)} = b - 1, F_2^{(ab)} = 1, \quad F_n^{(ab)} = (ab + 2)F_{n-1}^{(ab)} - F_{n-2}^{(ab)} \quad (n \ge 3),
$$
  
\n
$$
G_1^{(ab)} = a - 1, G_2^{(ab)} = 1, \quad G_n^{(ab)} = (ab + 2)G_{n-1}^{(ab)} - G_{n-2}^{(ab)} \quad (n \ge 3).
$$

We note that, from the general theory of linear recurrences, the sequences  $F_n^{(ab)}$  and  $G_n^{(ab)}$ have explicit representations as linear combinations of roots of the associated characteristic equation.

In testing the predictions of our theorems, we focused on the continued fraction expansion of the number  $\pi$ , in part because of the status of  $\pi$  as the most famous and most extensively studied mathematical constant, but also because large sets of continued fraction digits of π can reliably be computed using off-the-shelf software such as *Mathematica*. A possible direction for future research would be to perform a systematic statistical analysis of the continued fraction digits of particular classes of irrational numbers—for example, algebraic numbers of degree greater than 2.

Among open problems, the most glaring is a proof that the continued fraction digits of  $\pi$  and other "natural" irrational constants are indeed "random," in the sense that these numbers fall into the class of "almost all" real numbers for which results such as the Gauss-Kuzmin theorem and Theorems [1](#page-4-0)[–3](#page-5-0) hold. But this seems to be an intractable problem under currently available methods.

### Acknowledgements

This work originated with an undergraduate research project carried out at the *Illinois Geometry Lab* (IGL) at the University of Illinois; we thank the IGL for providing this opportunity. We are also grateful to the referee for a careful reading of this paper and many helpful suggestions.

### <span id="page-16-5"></span>References

- <span id="page-16-1"></span>[1] Tom M. Apostol. *Introduction to analytic number theory*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1976.
- [2] Francisco J. Aragón Artacho, David H. Bailey, Jonathan M. Borwein, and Peter B. Borwein. Walking on real numbers. *Math. Intelligencer*, 35(1):42–60, 2013.
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>[3] David H. Bailey. The computation of  $\pi$  to 29, 360, 000 decimal digits using Borweins' quartically convergent algorithm. *Math. Comp.*, 50(181):283–296, 1988.
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>[4] David H. Bailey and Jonathan Borwein. Pi Day is upon us again and we still do not know if pi is normal. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 121(3):191–206, 2014.
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>[5] Jonathan Borwein, Alf van der Poorten, Jeffrey Shallit, and Wadim Zudilin. *Neverending fractions*, volume 23 of *Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014. An introduction to continued fractions.
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>[6] D. G. Champernowne. The Construction of Decimals Normal in the Scale of Ten. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 8(4):254–260, 1933.
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>[7] Karma Dajani and Cor Kraaikamp. *Ergodic theory of numbers*, volume 29 of *Carus Mathematical Monographs*. Mathematical Association of America, Washington, DC, 2002.
- <span id="page-17-9"></span><span id="page-17-0"></span>[8] W. F. Eberlein. On Euler's infinite product for the sine. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 58(1):147– 151, 1977.
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>[9] M Emile Borel. Les probabilités dénombrables et leurs applications arithmétiques. *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo (1884-1940)*, 27(1):247–271, 1909.
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>[10] Kurt Girstmair. Linear relations among asymptotic frequencies in continued fractions. *Monatsh. Math.*, 191(1):77–91, 2020.
- [11] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright. *An introduction to the theory of numbers*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, sixth edition, 2008. Revised by D. R. Heath-Brown and J. H. Silverman, With a foreword by Andrew Wiles.
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>[12] N Alan Heckert and James J Filliben. NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods; Chapter 1: Exploratory data analysis. <https://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2003.
- <span id="page-17-7"></span><span id="page-17-4"></span>[13] Marius Iosifescu and Cor Kraaikamp. *Metrical theory of continued fractions*, volume 547 of *Mathematics and its Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2002.
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>[14] A. Ya. Khinchin. *Continued fractions*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill.-London, 1964.
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>[15] R Kuzmin. Sur un probl`eme de Gauss. In *Atti del Congresso Internazionale dei Matematici: Bologna del 3 al 10 de settembre di 1928*, pages 83–90, 1929.
- [16] Paul Lévy. Sur les lois de probabilité dont dépendent les quotients complets et incomplets d'une fraction continue. *Bulletin de la Societ´e Math´ematique de France*, 57:178– 194, 1929.
- <span id="page-17-5"></span><span id="page-17-1"></span>[17] Ivan Niven. *Irrational numbers*, volume No. 11 of *The Carus Mathematical Monographs*. Mathematical Association of America, 1956.
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>[18] V. N. Nolte. Some probabilistic results on the convergents of continued fractions. *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, 1(3):381–389, 1990.
- [19] Fabio Pareschi, Riccardo Rovatti, and Gianluca Setti. Second-level NIST randomness tests for improving test reliability. In *2007 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems*, pages 1437–1440. IEEE, 2007.
- <span id="page-17-14"></span><span id="page-17-10"></span>[20] Mark F. Schilling. The longest run of heads. *College Math. J.*, 21(3):196–207, 1990.
- [21] E. C. Titchmarsh. *The theory of functions*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1958. Reprint of the second (1939) edition.
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>[22] Peter Trueb. Digit statistics of the first 22.4 trillion decimal digits of Pi. 2016. Preprint, [arXiv:1612.00489.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1612.00489)