

LINEARLY STABLE SELF-SIMILAR SOLUTIONS OF SEMILINEAR HEAT EQUATIONS

KYEONGSU CHOI AND JIUZHOU HUANG

ABSTRACT. We classify the smooth linearly stable self-similar solutions of the semilinear heat equation $u_t = \Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$ under an integral condition for all $p > 1$. As a corollary, we prove that finite time blowing up solutions of this equation on a bounded convex domain with $u(\cdot, 0) \geq 0$ and $u_t(\cdot, 0) \geq 0$ converges to a constant after rescaling at the blow-up point for all $p > 1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the self-similar solutions of the and the blow up behaviour of the semilinear heat equation

$$u_t = \Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u =: \tilde{F}(u) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $p > 1$ is a constant.

Suppose u is a smooth solution to (1.1) on $\Omega \times (0, T)$. u is said to be self-similar about $(a, T) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$. If $u(x, t) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{p-2}}u(a + \lambda(x - a), T + \lambda^2(t - T)) =: u_\lambda(x, t)$ for any $\lambda > 0$. A fundamental tool to study self-similar solutions is the similarity variables. Define

$$y = \frac{x-a}{\sqrt{T-t}}, \quad s = -\log(T-t), \quad (1.2)$$

$$w_{(a,T)}(y, s) = (T-t)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}u(x, t) = e^{-\frac{s}{p-1}}u(a + ye^{-\frac{s}{2}}, T - e^{-s}).$$

Then $w_{(a,T)}(= w)$ satisfies

$$w_s = \Delta w - \frac{1}{2}y \cdot \nabla w - \frac{1}{p-1}w + |w|^{p-1}w =: F(w), \quad \text{in } D_{a,T,\Omega} \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$D_{a,T,\Omega} = \{(y, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} | a + ye^{-\frac{s}{2}} \in \Omega, s > -\log T\}. \quad (1.4)$$

The point is that w_s measures the extent to which u is not self-similar. In particular, u is self-similar about (a, T) if and only if $w_{(a,T)}$ is independent of s , i.e. $w_{(a,T)}(= w)$ satisfies

$$\Delta w - \frac{1}{2}y \cdot \nabla w - \frac{1}{p-1}w + |w|^{p-1}w = 0, \quad (1.5)$$

with $(y, s) \in D_{a,T,\Omega}$.

The first goal of this paper is to classify the linearly stable self-similar solutions of (1.1) for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$. A solution u of (1.1) is said to be linearly stable if the only unstable eigenfunctions of the linearized operator of F at w come from the re-centering of space and time (see Section 2 for the detailed discussion of linearly stable self-similar solutions).

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that u is a smooth linearly stable self-similar solution of (1.1) on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$ with $p > 1$, satisfying one of the following conditions*

$$(1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, t)|^{2p} (T-t)^{\frac{2p}{p-1}} e^{-\frac{|x-a|^2}{4(T-t)}} dx < \infty, \quad \forall t \in (0, T);$$

$$(2) p > 1 + \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}}.$$

Then $u(x, t) = \kappa (T-t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$, where $\kappa := (\frac{1}{p-1})^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$.

We will see from Corollary 2.4 that, the linear stability of u implies that $\frac{1}{p-1}w + \frac{1}{2}y_i w_i > 0$. Thus, it suffices to prove

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose w is a smooth solution of (1.5) on \mathbb{R}^n with $\frac{1}{p-1}w + \frac{1}{2}y_i w_i > 0$ on \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose one of the following condition is satisfied*

$$(1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |w|^{2p} e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4}} dy < \infty;$$

$$(2) p > 1 + \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}}.$$

Then w is a constant, i.e. $w \equiv \kappa := (\frac{1}{p-1})^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$.

The study of equation (1.5) plays an important role in the study of blowup of solutions of (1.1). Usually, the blow up behaviour of solutions of (1.5) depends on the power p and radial symmetry of w heavily. Before recalling the known results, we first introduce several critical exponents:

$$\text{(Sobolev exponent)} \quad p_S := \begin{cases} +\infty, & n = 1, 2; \\ \frac{n+2}{n-2}, & n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

$$\text{(Joseph-Lundgren exponent)} \quad p_{JL} := \begin{cases} +\infty, & n \leq 10; \\ 1 + 4\frac{n-4+2\sqrt{n-1}}{(n-2)(n-10)}, & n \geq 11. \end{cases}$$

$$\text{(Lepin exponent)} \quad p_L := \begin{cases} +\infty, & n \leq 10; \\ 1 + \frac{6}{n-10}, & n \geq 11. \end{cases}$$

For $n = 1, 2$, $p > 1$ or $n \geq 3$, $p \leq p_S$, [8] shows that the only bounded solution of (1.5) is $w = 0, \pm\kappa$. For $p > p_S$, the most known results are about positive radial solutions. i.e. solutions of

$$\begin{aligned} w_{rr} + \left(\frac{n-1}{r} - \frac{r}{2}\right)w_r - \frac{w}{p-1} + w^p &= 0, \quad r > 0; \\ w_r(0) &= 0, \quad w > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

For $p < p_L$, [17] and [2, 4, 7, 12, 16, 18] showed that the solutions of (1.6) are infinitely many and countable for $p_S < p < p_{JL}$, at most countable for $p = p_{JL}$, and finite for $p_{JL} < p < p_L$. For the case $p > p_L$, [13] proved that (1.6) only has constant solution κ , the same result was claimed in [14] for $p = p_L$, but the proof there seems not complete, see [17].

As seen above, most of the previous classification of the self-similar solutions need either w to be radial symmetric or the exponent p to be subcritical. Our result replaces these conditions with a stability condition together with a mild integral condition $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |w|^{2p} e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4}} dy < \infty$ (and this additional condition can be removed in the case $p > 1 + \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}}$). The idea comes from the Colding-Minicozzi's classification of linearly stable self-shrinkers of mean curvature flow with polynomial volume growth in [3]. Due to the similarity of the mean curvature flow equation and equation (1.1), this is reasonable.

As mentioned above, the classification of self-similar solution plays an important role in the study of blow up behaviour of (1.1). A smooth solution u of (1.1) is said to blow up at time T if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = \infty.$$

It's convenient to divide the blow-up into two types: type-I blow-up and type-II blow-up. The blow-up is said to be type-I if

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(T-t)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} < \infty.$$

Otherwise, it is said to be type-II. Suppose that u has type-I blow up at T , and $(a, T) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$ is a blow up point of u (i.e. there exists a sequence $(x_i, t_i) \rightarrow (a, T)$, such that $|u(x_i, t_i)| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$). Then $w^{(i)}(y, s) = w_{a,T}(y, s_i + s)$ converges to a solution \hat{w} of (1.5) for some sequence $s_i \rightarrow \infty$, which can be seen from the Proposition 1' in [8]

$$\int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |w_s(s, y)|^2 \rho dy ds = E[w](a) - E[w](b), \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$E(w) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla w|^2 \rho dy + \frac{1}{2(p-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |w|^2 \rho dy - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |w|^{p+1} \rho dy, \quad (1.8)$$

and $\rho(y) = (4\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4}}$. Such a blowup is called an asymptotically self-similar blow-up near (a, T) .

Giga-Kohn and Giga-Matsui-Sasayama [9, 10, 11] proved that when $1 < p < p_S$ and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ or Ω is convex domain, then only type-I blow up happens. Thus, the blow-up are all asymptotically self-similar in this case. For the supercritical case, [5] proved that when Ω is a bounded convex

domain, $u_0 \geq 0$, $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, and $u_t \geq 0$, then only type-I blow-up appears. Thus, we have the following theorem

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded convex domain with smooth boundary with $p > 1$. Given a smooth function $\varphi(x) \geq 0$ in Ω , suppose the initial boundary value problem*

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u(x, 0) = \varphi(x), \\ u(x, t) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, 0 < t < T, \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

has a smooth solution u on $\Omega \times (0, T)$ which blows up at $(a, T) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$, and $u_t(x, 0) \geq 0$ for $x \in \Omega$. Then $u = o((T-t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}})$ or u is asymptotically self-similar to $\frac{\kappa}{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}$ as $t \rightarrow T$. Equivalently, $w(y, s) = w_{a,T}(y, s)$ converges to 0 or κ in $C_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$.

Note that [1] got similar results when $n \geq 3$ and $p \geq \frac{n}{n-2}$ for the case $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x| < R\}$ being a ball centered at the origin, $u_t \geq 0$, $\varphi \geq 0$ are radial symmetric (see also [6, 8] for $n = 1, 2$). We removed the assumption of the radial symmetry of Ω and u .

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In section 2, we define the linear stability of smooth self-similar solution of (1.1), and derive some basic facts about it. In Section 3, we first derive some formulas for integration by parts in a weighted space on a noncompact domain. Then we derive the integral estimates which will conclude the proof of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 in section 4.

Acknowledgement K. Choi is supported by KIAS Individual Grant MG078902, J. Huang is supported by KIAS Individual Grant MG088501.

2. LINEAR STABILITY

In this section, we assume that u is a smooth self-similar solution of (1.1) on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$. We define the linear stability of u and give some basic facts about it. It's more convenient to use self-similar variables in (1.2) to study the linear stability of u . Using the notation in (1.2), u is self-similar w.r.t. (a, T) if and only if $w = w_{a,T}(y, s)$ is independent of s , i.e.

$$0 = w_s = \Delta w - \frac{1}{2}y \cdot \nabla w - \frac{1}{p-1}w + |w|^{p-1}w =: F(w). \quad (2.1)$$

To define the linear stability of self-similar solutions via w , we first compute the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the linearization of F . The linearization L_w of F is:

$$\begin{aligned} L_w v &= \frac{dF(w+lv)}{dl} = \Delta v - \frac{1}{2}y \cdot \nabla v - \frac{v}{p-1} + (p-1)|w|^{p-3}w^2v + |w|^{p-1}v \\ &= \Delta v - \frac{1}{2}y \cdot \nabla v - \frac{v}{p-1} + p|w|^{p-1}v. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

The eigenfunctions v satisfies

$$L_w v = \Delta v - \frac{1}{2}y \cdot \nabla v - \frac{v}{p-1} + p|w|^{p-1}v = -\lambda v. \quad (2.3)$$

Definition 2.1. A smooth self-similar solution u of (1.1) (with respect to (a, T)) is linearly stable if the only unstable eigenfunctions of L_w corresponds to the re-centering of space and time, where $w = w_{a,T}$ is defined in (1.2).

Suppose u is a self-similar solution w.r.t. (a, T) . To find the eigenfunctions of L_w corresponding to re-centering of space and time, we note that since $w = w_{a,T}$ is defined in (1.2), $u = (T-t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}w(\frac{x-a}{\sqrt{T-t}}, -\log(T-t))$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} u_i &= (T-t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}-\frac{1}{2}}w_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n; \\ u_t &= \frac{1}{p-1}(T-t)^{-\frac{p}{p-1}}w + (T-t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(\frac{1}{2}w_i y_i (T-t)^{-1} + w_s \frac{1}{T-t}) \\ &= (T-t)^{-\frac{p}{p-1}}(\frac{1}{p-1}w + \frac{1}{2}y_i w_i) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

since $w_s = 0$. Ignoring the multiple constants, this suggests that w_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and $\frac{1}{p-1}w + \frac{1}{2}y_i w_i$ are the eigenfunctions of $L = L_w$ which correspond to the re-centering of space and time variable respectively. In fact, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose w is smooth and satisfies (1.5) on \mathbb{R}^n . Then $\frac{1}{p-1}w + \frac{1}{2}y_i w_i$ is an eigenfunction of L_w with eigenvalue -1 , and w_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) is an eigenfunction of L_w with eigenvalue $-\frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. Differentiating the equation (1.5) with respect to y_i implies

$$L_w w_i = \frac{1}{2}w_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (2.5)$$

Thus, w_i is an eigenfunction of L_w with eigenvalue $-\frac{1}{2}$, which corresponding to the re-centering of space.

For the function $\frac{1}{p-1}w + \frac{1}{2}y_i w_i$, a direct computation using (1.5) shows

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(w_i y_i) - \frac{1}{2}y \cdot \nabla(w_i y_i) &= y_i \Delta w_i + 2\Delta w - \frac{1}{2}w_{ik} y_i y_k - \frac{1}{2}y \cdot \nabla w, \\ &= y_i (\frac{1}{2}y_k w_{ik} + \frac{1}{p-1}w_i - p|w|^{p-1}w_i + \frac{1}{2}w_i) - \frac{1}{2}w_{ik} y_i y_k \\ &\quad + 2(\frac{1}{2}y \cdot \nabla w + \frac{1}{p-1}w - |w|^{p-1}w) - \frac{1}{2}y \cdot \nabla w \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{y \cdot \nabla w}{p-1} + y \cdot \nabla w - p|w|^{p-1}w_i y_i + \frac{2}{p-1}w - 2|w|^{p-1}w.$$

This implies that

$$L_w(w_i y_i) = y \cdot \nabla w + \frac{2}{p-1}w - 2|w|^{p-1}w. \quad (2.6)$$

On the other hand,

$$L_w\left(\frac{2}{p-1}w\right) = \frac{2}{p-1}L_w w = \frac{2}{p-1}(-|w|^{p-1}w + p|w|^{p-1}w) = 2|w|^{p-1}w. \quad (2.7)$$

Combining (2.6) and (2.7), we get

$$L_w\left(w_i y_i + 2\frac{1}{p-1}w\right) = w_i y_i + \frac{2}{p-1}w. \quad (2.8)$$

Thus, $w_i y_i + 2\frac{1}{p-1}w$ is an eigenfunction with eigenvalue -1 , which corresponding to the re-centering of time. \square

Combing these discussions, we have the equivalent definition of linearly stable self-similar solutions of (1.1).

Definition 2.3. Let u be a smooth self-similar solution of (1.1) on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$ w.r.t. (a, T) . Then, u is linearly stable if and only if the only unstable eigenfunctions of L_w are $\frac{1}{p-1}w + \frac{1}{2}y \cdot \nabla w$ and w_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), where $w = w_{a,T}$ is defined in (1.2).

Corollary 2.4. Suppose u is a smooth self-similar solution of (1.1) on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$ w.r.t. (a, T) . Then $\frac{1}{p-1}w + \frac{1}{2}y_i w_i > 0$ in $D_{a,T,\Omega}$, where $w = w_{a,T}$ is defined in (1.2).

Proof. Since u is a linearly stable self-similar solution of (1.1) w.r.t. (a, T) , $\frac{1}{p-1}w + \frac{1}{2}y_i w_i$ and w_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) are the only eigenfunctions of L_w with eigenvalue $\lambda < 0$. Since $\frac{1}{p-1}w + \frac{1}{2}y_i w_i$ has eigenvalue -1 , w_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) has eigenvalue $-\frac{1}{2}$, we have $\frac{1}{p-1}w + \frac{1}{2}y_i w_i$ is the first eigenfunction of L_w , thus it is positive. \square

3. INTEGRAL ESTIMATES

We assume that u is a smooth linearly stable self-similar solution of (1.1) on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$ (w. r. t. (a, T)) in this section. To prove Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 in section 4, we need some integral estimates, which will be derived in this section. The main tool is integration by parts in a weighted space on a noncompact domain. First we introduce some notations.

The Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator

$$\mathcal{L} := \Delta - \frac{1}{2}y \cdot \nabla,$$

and the linearized operator

$$L = L_w = \Delta - \frac{1}{2}y \cdot \nabla - \frac{1}{p-1} + p|w|^{p-1} = \mathcal{L} - \frac{1}{p-1} + p|w|^{p-1}.$$

The weighed inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_W := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f g e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4}} dy. \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$[f]_W := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4}} dy. \quad (3.2)$$

Definition 3.1. A function $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to in the weighted $W^{1,2}$ space if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|f|^2 + |\nabla f|^2) e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4}} dy = [f^2 + |\nabla f|^2]_W < \infty. \quad (3.3)$$

We now give some formula for integration by parts in the weighted $W^{1,2}$ space. The proof follows the corresponding results for mean curvature flow in section 3 of [3], we give here for completeness. First, we consider the formula for functions with compact support.

Lemma 3.2. *If $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $v \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ function, and at least one of u, v has compact support. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u \mathcal{L}v e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4}} dy = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \nabla v, \nabla u \rangle e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4}} dy. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. This is just the divergence theorem since at least one of u, v has compact support. \square

For general C^2 functions, we have:

Lemma 3.3. *If $u, v \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|u \nabla v| + |\nabla u| |\nabla v| + |u \mathcal{L}v|) e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4}} dy < \infty, \quad (3.5)$$

then we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u \mathcal{L}v e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4}} dy = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \nabla v, \nabla u \rangle e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4}} dy. \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. Given any C^1 function ϕ with compact support, we can apply Lemma 3.2 to ϕu and v to get

$$[\phi u \mathcal{L}v]_W = -[\phi \langle \nabla v, \nabla u \rangle]_W - [u \langle \nabla v, \nabla \phi \rangle]_W. \quad (3.7)$$

Next, we apply this with $\phi = \phi_R \geq 0$, where $\phi_R = 1$ on the ball B_R and $\phi_R = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{R+1}$ with $|\nabla \phi_R| \leq 1$. Then the dominate convergence theorem gives that

$$\begin{aligned} [\phi_R u \mathcal{L}v]_W &\rightarrow [u \mathcal{L}v]_W, \\ [\phi_R \langle \nabla v, \nabla u \rangle]_W &\rightarrow [\langle \nabla v, \nabla u \rangle]_W, \end{aligned}$$

$$[u\langle \nabla v, \nabla \phi_R \rangle]_W \rightarrow 0.$$

due to (3.5). \square

In the rest of the paper, we use w to denote a smooth solution of (1.5) in \mathbb{R}^n and use the notation

$$H := \frac{1}{p-1}w + \frac{1}{2}y_i w_i \quad (3.8)$$

to denote the eigenfunction of L with eigenvalue -1 . Moreover, we assume u is linearly stable in this section, thus $H > 0$ by lemma 2.4.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that f is a C^2 function on \mathbb{R}^n with $Lf = -\mu f$ for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. If $f > 0$ and ϕ is in the weighted $W^{1,2}$ space, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi^2 (p|w|^{p-1} + |\nabla \log f|^2) e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4}} dy \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (4|\nabla \phi|^2 - 2(\mu - \frac{1}{p-1})\phi^2) e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4}} dy. \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. Since $f > 0$, $\log f$ is well defined and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \log f &= \frac{\mathcal{L}f}{f} - |\nabla \log f|^2 = \frac{Lf + (\frac{1}{p-1} - p|w|^{p-1})f}{f} - |\nabla \log f|^2 \\ &= -\mu + \frac{1}{p-1} - p|w|^{p-1} - |\nabla \log f|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Suppose that η is a function with compact support. Then, the self-adjointness of \mathcal{L} (Lemma 3.2) gives

$$[\langle \nabla \eta^2, \nabla \log f \rangle]_W = -[\eta^2 \mathcal{L} \log f]_W = [\eta^2 (\mu - \frac{1}{p-1} + p|w|^{p-1} + |\nabla \log f|^2)]_W. \quad (3.11)$$

Since

$$\langle \nabla \eta^2, \nabla \log f \rangle = 2\langle \eta \nabla \eta, \nabla \log f \rangle \leq 2|\nabla \eta|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\eta^2 |\nabla \log f|^2.$$

We get

$$[\eta^2 (p|w|^{p-1} + |\nabla \log f|^2)]_W \leq [4|\nabla \eta|^2 - 2(\mu - \frac{1}{p-1})\eta^2]_W. \quad (3.12)$$

Let $\eta_R \geq 0$ be one on B_R and zero on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{R+1}$ so that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and $|\nabla \eta| \leq 1$. Since ϕ is in the weighted $W^{1,2}$ space, applying (3.12) with $\eta = \eta_R \phi$, letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ and using the monotone convergence theorem and dominated convergence theorem gives that (3.12) also holds with $\eta = \phi$. \square

Proposition 3.5. *If $H > 0$, and $[|w|^{2m}]_W < \infty$ with $m^2 - p(2m - 1) < 0$ and $m > \frac{1}{2}$. Then*

$$[|w|^{2m} + |w|^{2m+p-1} + |\nabla |w|^m|^2]_W < \infty. \quad (3.13)$$

In particular, if $p > 1 + \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}}$, we can take $m = \frac{p-1}{2}$. If $[|w|^{2p}] < \infty$, we can take $m = p$.

Proof. First, since $H > 0$, $\log H$ is well defined and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} \log H &= -|\nabla \log H|^2 + \frac{\Delta H - \frac{1}{2}y \cdot \nabla H}{H} \\ &= -|\nabla \log H|^2 + \frac{H + (\frac{1}{p-1} - p|w|^{p-1})H}{H} \\ &= -|\nabla \log H|^2 + \frac{p}{p-1} - p|w|^{p-1}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.14}$$

Given any compactly supported function ϕ , self-adjointness of \mathcal{L} (Lemma 3.2) gives

$$[\langle \nabla \phi^2, \nabla \log H \rangle]_W = -[\phi^2 \mathcal{L} \log H]_W = [\phi^2 (-\frac{p}{p-1} + p|w|^{p-1} + |\nabla \log H|^2)]_W.\tag{3.15}$$

Combining this with the inequality

$$|\langle \nabla \phi^2, \nabla \log H \rangle| = 2|\langle \phi \nabla \phi, \nabla \log H \rangle| \leq |\nabla \phi|^2 + \phi^2 |\nabla \log H|^2$$

gives

$$[\phi^2 |w|^{p-1}]_W \leq [\frac{1}{p-1} \phi^2 + \frac{1}{p} |\nabla \phi|^2]_W.\tag{3.16}$$

We will apply this with $\phi = \eta |w|^m$ where $\eta \geq 0$ has compact support and $m > 0$ is a real number. This gives

$$\begin{aligned}&[\eta^2 |w|^{2m+p-1}]_W \\ &\leq [\frac{1}{p} (\eta^2 |\nabla |w|^m|^2 + |\nabla \eta|^2 |w|^{2m} + 2\eta |w|^m \langle \nabla \eta, \nabla |w|^m \rangle) + \frac{1}{p-1} \eta^2 |w|^{2m}]_W \\ &\leq [\frac{1+\varepsilon}{p} \eta^2 |\nabla |w|^m|^2]_W + [|w|^{2m} (\frac{1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{p} |\nabla \eta|^2 + \frac{1}{p-1} \eta^2)]_W \\ &= \frac{1+\varepsilon}{p} m^2 [\eta^2 |w|^{2m-2} |\nabla w|^2]_W + [|w|^{2m} (\frac{1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{p} |\nabla \eta|^2 + \frac{1}{p-1} \eta^2)]_W,\end{aligned}\tag{3.17}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary and the last inequality used the inequality $2ab \leq \varepsilon a^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} b^2$.

Second, using definition of L and the fact that w is a solution of (1.5), we get that for any $m' > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{L} |w|^{m'} \\ &= m' |w|^{m'-2} w \mathcal{L} w + m'(m' - 1) |w|^{m'-2} |\nabla w|^2 \\ &= m' |w|^{m'-2} w (Lw + (\frac{1}{p-1} - p|w|^{p-1})w) + m'(m' - 1) |w|^{m'-2} |\nabla w|^2 \\ &= m' |w|^{m'-2} w ((p-1)|w|^{p-1}w + (\frac{1}{p-1} - p|w|^{p-1})w) + m'(m' - 1) |w|^{m'-2} |\nabla w|^2 \\ &= m' |w|^{m'} (\frac{1}{p-1} - |w|^{p-1}) + m'(m' - 1) |w|^{m'-2} |\nabla w|^2 \\ &= m'(m' - 1) |w|^{m'-2} |\nabla w|^2 + \frac{m'}{p-1} |w|^{m'} - m' |w|^{m'+p-1}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.18}$$

Integrating this against η^2 and using the self-adjointness of \mathcal{L} (Lemma 3.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & - [2m' \langle \eta \nabla \eta, |w|^{m'-2} w \nabla w \rangle_W \\ & = [m'(m' - 1) \eta^2 |w|^{m'-2} |\nabla w|^2 + \frac{m'}{p-1} \eta^2 |w|^{m'} - m' \eta^2 |w|^{m'+p-1}]_W. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Using the inequality $2ab \leq \varepsilon a^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} b^2$ gives

$$[\eta^2 |w|^{m'+p-1}]_W + [\frac{1}{\varepsilon} |w|^{m'} |\nabla \eta|^2]_W \geq ((m' - 1) - \varepsilon) [\eta^2 |w|^{m'-2} |\nabla w|^2]_W. \quad (3.20)$$

Plugging (3.20) with $m' = 2m$ into (3.17) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & [\eta^2 |w|^{2m+p-1}]_W \\ & \leq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{p} \frac{m^2}{2m-1-\varepsilon} [\eta^2 |w|^{2m+p-1}]_W + [|w|^{2m} (\frac{1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{p} + \frac{(1+\varepsilon)m^2}{p(2m-1-\varepsilon)\varepsilon}) |\nabla \eta|^2 + \frac{1}{p-1} \eta^2]_W. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

In order to use the above inequality to get the upper bound for $[\eta^2 |w|^{2m+p-1}]_W$, we need $\frac{m^2}{p(2m-1)} < 1$, i.e.

$$m^2 - p(2m - 1) = m^2 - 2pm + p = (m - p)^2 - p^2 + p < 0. \quad (3.22)$$

This is the assumption. Thus we can take $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small to absorb the term $\frac{1+\varepsilon}{p} \frac{m^2}{2m-1-\varepsilon} [\eta^2 |w|^{2m+p-1}]_W$ into the left hand side to get

$$[\eta^2 |w|^{2m+p-1}]_W \leq C(p, \frac{1}{p-1}, m, \varepsilon) [|w|^{2m} (|\nabla \eta|^2 + |\eta|^2)]_W. \quad (3.23)$$

We take $\eta = \eta_R \geq 0$ such that $\eta_R = 1$ on B_R and $\eta_R = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{R+1}$ so that $|\nabla \eta_R| \leq 1$. Since $[|w|^{2m}]_W < \infty$, the monotone convergence theorem then implies $[|w|^{2m+p-1}]_W < \infty$ by letting $R \rightarrow \infty$. Using (3.20), we get $[|\nabla |w|^m|^2]_W = [m^2 |w|^{2m-2} |\nabla w|^2]_W < \infty$ by monotone convergence theorem.

If $p > 1 + \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}}$, we can take $m = \frac{p-1}{2}$. In fact, if we take $f = H$ and $\phi \equiv 1$ in Lemma 3.4, then (3.9) implies that $[|w|^{p-1}]_W < \infty$. On the other hand, $0 < \frac{(p-1)^2}{4p(p-2)} < 1$, $\frac{p-1}{2} > \frac{1}{2} \Leftrightarrow 3p^2 - 6p - 1 > 0$, $p > 2 \Leftrightarrow p > 1 + \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}}$.

Thus we can take $m = \frac{p-1}{2}$ when $p > 1 + \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}}$.

If $[|w|^{2p}]_W < \infty$, we can take $m = p > 1$, so that $\frac{m^2}{p(2m-1)} = \frac{p^2}{p(2p-1)} < 1$ since $p > 1$.

□

Proposition 3.6. *If $H > 0$, and $|w|^m$ is in the weighted $W^{1,2}$ space (i.e. $[|w|^{2m} + |\nabla |w|^m|^2]_W < \infty$) and $[|w|^{2m+p-1}]_W < \infty$ with $m^2 - p(2m-1) \leq (<)0$ and $m > \frac{1}{2}$, then $|w|^m \nabla \log H = \nabla |w|^m$ (and $|w|^{2m-2} |\nabla w|^2 = 0$). Consequently, $\nabla \log H = \nabla \log |w|^m$ (and $\nabla w = 0$) or $w = 0$.*

Proof. Since $|w|^m$ is in the weighted $W^{1,2}$ space, $[|w|^{2m}|\nabla \log H|^2]_W < \infty$ by taking $\phi = |w|^m$ and $f = H$ in Lemma 3.4. Since $|w|^m$ is in the weighted $W^{1,2}$ space, and by Cauchy inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |w|^{2m}|\nabla \log H| &\leq \frac{1}{2}(|w|^{2m} + |w|^{2m}|\nabla \log H|^2), \\ |\nabla |w|^{2m}||\nabla \log H| &= 2m|w|^{2m-1}|\nabla w||\nabla \log H| \\ &\leq m^2|w|^{2m-2}|\nabla w|^2 + |w|^{2m}|\nabla \log H|^2 \\ &= m^2|\nabla |w|^m|^2 + |w|^{2m}|\nabla \log H|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$[|w|^{2m}|\nabla \log H| + |\nabla |w|^{2m}||\nabla \log H|]_W < \infty. \quad (3.24)$$

On the other hand, since $[|w|^{2m+p-1}] < \infty$ by assumption, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \log H &= -|\nabla \log H|^2 + \frac{p}{p-1} - p|w|^{p-1}, \\ |w|^{2m}|\mathcal{L} \log H| &\leq |w|^{2m}|\nabla \log H|^2 + \frac{p}{p-1} + p|w|^{p-1} \\ &\leq |w|^{2m}|\nabla \log H|^2 + \frac{p}{p-1}|w|^{2m} + p|w|^{2m+p-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the expression of $\mathcal{L} \log H$ is seen from (3.14), we have

$$[|w|^{2m}\mathcal{L} \log H]_W < \infty. \quad (3.25)$$

Combining (3.24) and (3.25), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &[\langle \nabla |w|^{2m}, \nabla \log H^{\frac{m}{p}} \rangle]_W \\ &= -\frac{m}{p}[|w|^{2m}\mathcal{L} \log H]_W \\ &= -\frac{m}{p}[|w|^{2m}((\frac{p}{p-1} - p|w|^{p-1}) - |\nabla \log H|^2)]_W \\ &= \frac{m}{p}[p|w|^{2m+p-1} - \frac{p}{p-1}|w|^{2m} + |w|^{2m}|\nabla \log H|^2]_W. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

by Lemma 3.3 (take $u = |w|^m$ and $v = \log H$ in Lemma 3.3).

On the other hand,

$$\mathcal{L}|w|^m = m|w|^m(\frac{1}{p-1} - |w|^{p-1}) + m(m-1)|w|^{m-2}|\nabla w|^2, \quad (3.27)$$

by (3.18).

Since $|w|^m$ is in the weighted $W^{1,2}$ space and $[|w|^{2m+p-1}] < \infty$, this together with the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |w|^m|\mathcal{L}|w|^m| &= |m|w|^{2m}(\frac{1}{p-1} - |w|^{p-1}) + m(m-1)|w|^{2m-2}|\nabla w|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{m}{p-1}|w|^{2m} + m|w|^{2m+p-1} + m(m-1)|\nabla |w|^m|^2 \end{aligned}$$

implies

$$[|w|^m|\nabla |w|^m| + |\nabla |w|^m|^2 + |w|^m\mathcal{L}|w|^m]_W < \infty. \quad (3.28)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & [|\nabla|w|^m|^2]_W = -[|w|^m \mathcal{L}|w|^m]_W \\ & = m[|w|^{2m}(|w|^{p-1} - \frac{1}{p-1})]_W - m(m-1)[|w|^{2m-2}|\nabla w|^2]_W \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

by applying Lemma 3.3 with $u = v = |w|^m$.

Combining (3.26) and (3.29) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & [\langle \nabla|w|^{2m}, \nabla \log H^{\frac{m}{p}} \rangle]_W \\ & = [|\nabla|w|^m|^2 + m(m-1)|w|^{2m-2}|\nabla w|^2 + \frac{m}{p}|w|^{2m}|\nabla \log H|^2]_W \\ & = [m(2m-1)|w|^{2m-2}|\nabla w|^2 + \frac{m}{p}|w|^{2m}|\nabla \log H|^2]_W. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & [\langle \nabla|w|^{2m}, \nabla \log H^{\frac{m}{p}} \rangle]_W \\ & = [2m\frac{m}{p}\langle |w|^{2m-2}w\nabla w, \nabla \log H \rangle]_W \\ & \leq [m^2\frac{m}{p}|w|^{2m-2}|\nabla w|^2 + \frac{m}{p}|w|^{2m}|\nabla \log H|^2]_W. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

(3.30) and (3.31) implies that

$$[\frac{m}{p}||w|^m \nabla \log H - m|w|^{m-2}w\nabla w|^2 + m(2m-1-\frac{m^2}{p})|w|^{2m-2}|\nabla w|^2]_W \leq 0. \quad (3.32)$$

Since $m > \frac{1}{2} > 0$ and $(2m-1) \geq (>)\frac{m^2}{p}$ in particular hold if $m^2 - p(2m-1) \leq (<)0$. This implies "=" holds in (3.32), and we have $|w|^m \nabla \log H = m|w|^{m-2}w\nabla w = \nabla|w|^m$ (and $|w|^{2m-2}|\nabla w|^2 = 0$) $\Leftrightarrow \nabla \log H = \nabla \log |w|^m$ (and $\nabla w = 0$) at where $w \neq 0$. \square

Corollary 3.7. *If $H > 0$, and $p > 1 + \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}}$ (resp. $[|w|^{2p}]_W < \infty$) then $|w|^m \nabla \log H = \nabla|w|^m$ and $|w|^{2m-2}|\nabla w|^2 = 0$. Consequently, $\nabla \log H = \nabla \log |w|^m$ and $\nabla w = 0$, or $w = 0$ for $m = \frac{p-1}{2}$ (resp. $m = p$).*

Proof. This follows from the above two propositions. \square

4. PROOF OF AND THEOREM 1.2 AND THEOREM 1.3

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since u is linearly stable, $w(0) = H(0) > 0$ by Lemma 2.4. Hence $w \neq 0$ in a neighborhood U of 0 by continuity of w . Thus $\nabla \log \frac{|w|^m}{H} = 0$ and $\nabla w = 0$ in U by Corollary 3.7, i.e. $\frac{|w|^m}{H} = c_1 > 0$ and $|w| = c_2 > 0$ in U . By continuity, w doesn't change sign in U , thus the set $B := \{|w| = c_2\}$ is a nonempty open set. On the other hand, B is a closed set by continuity. Thus $B = \mathbb{R}^n$. Plugging c_2 into the equation (1.5), we get $c_2 = (\frac{1}{p-1})^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$. Moreover, since $w(0) > 0$, $w = c_2 = \kappa = (\frac{1}{p-1})^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. This follows from (1.2), Theorem 1.2 and a change of variable. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose (a, T) is the blow up point of u . Let $w(y, s) = w_{a,T}(y, s)$, $D_{a,T,\Omega}$ as defined in (1.2) and (1.4). First, a is contained in a compact subset K of Ω by Corollary 3.4 of [5]. Fix an open subset Ω' of Ω such that $a \in K \subset \subset \Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$. We have $D_{a,T,\Omega'} \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \times \{s\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, by maximum principle and Theorem 4.2 of [5],

$$0 \leq u(x, t) \leq \frac{C(\varphi, n, p, \Omega)}{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, T)$$

for some universal constant $C(\varphi, n, p, \Omega)$ depending only on φ, n, p, Ω . Thus

$$0 \leq w(y, s) \leq C(\varphi, n, p, \Omega) \text{ for } (y, s) \in D_{a,T,\Omega}.$$

For $0 < \delta < T$, by Proposition 1' of [8],

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla w| + |\nabla^2 w| &\leq C'(\varphi, n, p, \delta, \Omega, \Omega'), \\ |w_s| &\leq C'(\varphi, n, p, \delta, \Omega, \Omega')(1 + |y|), \end{aligned}$$

for $(y, s) \in D_{a,T-\delta,\Omega'}$. By Schauder theory for linear parabolic equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla^2 w|_{C^{2,\alpha}} &\leq C''(\varphi, n, p, \delta, \Omega, \Omega', \alpha), \\ |w_s|_{C^\alpha} &\leq C''(\varphi, n, p, \delta, \Omega, \Omega', \alpha)(1 + |y|), \end{aligned}$$

for $(y, s) \in D_{a,T-\delta,\Omega'}$. For any sequence $s_i \rightarrow \infty$, $\delta_i \searrow 0$, such that $w^{(i)}(y, s) := w(y, s + s_i)$, $(y, s + s_i) \in D_{a,T,\Omega}$, there is a subsequence which converges to a solution \hat{w} of (1.3) in $C_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, $|\nabla^2 \hat{w}|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \leq C''(\varphi, n, p, \Omega, \Omega', \alpha)$, $|\hat{w}_s|_{C^\alpha} \leq C''(\varphi, n, p, \Omega, \Omega', \alpha)(1 + |y|)$, $(y, s) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (-\log T + 1, \infty)$.

Since $w^{(i)} \rightarrow \hat{w}$ in $C_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ and $e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4}}$ has exponential decay,

$$E(\hat{w}(s)) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} E(w^{(i)}(s)).$$

Moreover, since Ω convex, it is star-shaped with respect to a . $E(w(s))$ is decreasing as s increases by (2.18) of [9]. Thus, $E(\hat{w}(s))$ is independent of the sequence $\{s_i\}$, and

$$E(\hat{w}(s)) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} E(w^{(i)}(s)) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} E(w(s))$$

is independent of s . Moreover, since $|\hat{w}(s)|_{C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C''$, every term in $E(\hat{w}(s))$ is finite and (1.7) implies that $\hat{w}_s \equiv 0$ on \mathbb{R}^n . That is, \hat{w} is a classical solution of (1.5) independent of s on \mathbb{R}^n .

On the other hand, $u_t(x, 0) \geq 0$ in Ω implies that $u_t(x, t) \geq 0$ for $(x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T)$ by maximum principle. By the second equation of (2.4), $(\frac{1}{p-1}w_{a,T} + \frac{1}{2}y_i w_{a,T,i})(y, s) = (T-t)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}u(x, t) \geq 0$, $y \in D_{a,T,\Omega}$, which

implies that $\hat{H} := \frac{1}{p-1}\hat{w}(y) + \frac{1}{2}y_i\hat{w}_i(y) \geq 0$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since $L_w\hat{H} = \hat{H}$, the Harnack inequality implies that $\hat{H} \equiv 0$ or $\hat{H} > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n . If $\hat{H} \equiv 0$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $\Delta\hat{w} + p|\hat{w}|^{p-1}\hat{w} = \hat{H} = 0$ by (1.5). Since $\hat{w} \geq 0$, using Harnack inequality again, we get $\hat{w} \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n . In the case $\hat{H} > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n , we note that $\hat{w} \leq C$ by the previous paragraph. In particular, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{w}|^{2p} e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4}} dy < \infty$. Thus, we can apply Theorem 1.2 to conclude that $\hat{w} \equiv \kappa$. We note that, since $E(\hat{w})$ is independent of s_i and

$$\begin{aligned} E(\kappa) &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{p-1}\kappa^2 - \frac{1}{p+1}\kappa^{p+1}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4}} dy \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right)\kappa^{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4}} dy > 0 = E(0), \quad p > 1, \end{aligned}$$

\hat{w} is also independent of the sequence $\{s_i\}$. This implies that $\hat{w}(y, s) \rightarrow 0$ or κ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ in $C_{loc}^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The $C_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ convergence follows from a standard bootstrapping argument. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bebernes, D. Eberly, *A description of self-similar blow-up for dimensions $n \geq 3$* , Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire(1988), no.1, 1-21.
- [2] C.J. Budd, Y. Qi, *The existence of bounded solutions of a semilinear elliptic equation*, J. Differential Equations 82 (1989) 207-218.
- [3] T. H. Colding, W. P. Minicozzi, II, *Generic mean curvature flow I: generic singularities*, Ann. of Math. (2) 175 (2012), no. 2, 755-833.
- [4] M. Fila, A. Pulkkinen, *Backward self-similar solutions of supercritical parabolic equations*, Appl. Math. Lett. 22 (2009) 897-901.
- [5] A. Friedman, B. McLeod, *Blow-up of positive solutions of semilinear heat equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J.34(1985), no.2, 425-447.
- [6] V. A. Galaktionov, S. A. Posashkov, *The equation $u_t = u_{xx} + u^\beta$. Localization, asymptotic behavior of unbounded solutions*, Akad. Nauk SSSR Inst. Prikl. Mat. Preprint(1985), no.97, 30 pp.
- [7] V.A. Galaktionov, J.L. Vázquez, *Continuation of blow-up solutions of nonlinear heat equations in several space dimensions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 50 (1997) 1-67.
- [8] Y. Giga, R. V. Kohn, Robert V. *Asymptotically self-similar blow-up of semilinear heat equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math.38(1985), no.3, 297-319.
- [9] Y. Giga, R. V. Kohn, Robert V. *Characterizing blowup using similarity variables*, Indiana Univ. Math. J.36(1987), no. 1, 1-40.

- [10] Y. Giga, S. Matsui, S. Sasayama, Satoshi, *Blow up rate for semilinear heat equations with subcritical nonlinearity*, Indiana Univ. Math. J.53(2004), no.2, 483-514.
- [11] Y. Giga, S. Matsui, S. Sasayama, *On blow-up rate for sign-changing solutions in a convex domain*. Math. Methods Appl. Sci.27(2004), no.15, 1771-1782.
- [12] L.A. Lepin, *Self-similar solutions of a semilinear heat equation*, Mat. Model. 2 (1990) 63-74.
- [13] N. Mizoguchi, *Nonexistence of backward self-similar blowup solutions to a supercritical semilinear heat equation*, J. Funct. Anal. 257 (2009) 2911-2937.
- [14] N. Mizoguchi, *On backward self-similar blow-up solutions to a supercritical semilinear heat equation*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 140 (2010) 821-831.
- [15] F. Merle, H. Zaag, *Optimal estimates for blowup rate and behavior for nonlinear heat equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 51 (1998), no. 2, 139-196.
- [16] Y. Naito, T. Senba, *Existence of peaking solutions for semilinear heat equations with blow-up profile above the singular steady state*, Nonlinear Anal. 181 (2019) 265-293.
- [17] P. Poláčik, P.P. Quittner, P. *On the multiplicity of self-similar solutions of the semilinear heat equation*, Nonlinear Anal.191(2020), 111639, 23 pp.
- [18] W.C. Troy, *The existence of bounded solutions of a semilinear heat equation*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 18 (1987) 332–336.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, 85 HOE-GIRO, DONGDAEMUN-GU, SEOUL 02455, REPUBLIC OF KOREA.

Email address: choiks@kias.re.kr

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, 85 HOE-GIRO, DONGDAEMUN-GU, SEOUL 02455, REPUBLIC OF KOREA.

Email address: jiuzhou@kias.re.kr