

Local square mean in the hyperbolic circle problem

by András BIRÓ

HUN-REN Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics

1053 Budapest, Reáltanoda u. 13-15., Hungary; e-mail: biro.andras@renyi.hu

Abstract. Let $\Gamma \subseteq PSL_2(\mathbf{R})$ be a finite volume Fuchsian group. The hyperbolic circle problem is the estimation of the number of elements of the Γ -orbit of z in a hyperbolic circle around w of radius R , where z and w are given points of the upper half plane and R is a large number. An estimate with error term $e^{\frac{2}{3}R}$ is known, and this has not been improved for any group. Petridis and Risager proved that in the special case $\Gamma = PSL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ taking $z = w$ and averaging over z locally the error term can be improved to $e^{(\frac{7}{12}+\epsilon)R}$. Here we show such an improvement for the local L^2 -norm of the error term. Our estimate is $e^{(\frac{9}{14}+\epsilon)R}$, which is better than the pointwise bound $e^{\frac{2}{3}R}$ but weaker than the bound of Petridis and Risager for the local average.

1. Introduction.

1.1. Statement of the main result. Let \mathbb{H} be the upper half plane. For $z, w \in \mathbb{H}$ let

$$u(z, w) = \frac{|z - w|^2}{4\operatorname{Im}z\operatorname{Im}w},$$

this is closely related to the hyperbolic distance $\rho(z, w)$ of z and w , namely we have $1 + 2u = \cosh \rho$. The elements $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ of the group $PSL_2(\mathbf{R})$ act on \mathbb{H} by the rule $z \rightarrow (az + b) / (cz + d)$, these are isometries of the hyperbolic plane. Let $\Gamma \leq PSL_2(\mathbf{R})$ be a finite volume Fuchsian group, i.e. Γ acts discontinuously on \mathbb{H} and it has a fundamental

Research partially supported by the NKFIH (National Research, Development and Innovation Office) Grants No. K135885, K143876, and by the Rényi Intézet Lendület Automorphic Research Group

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11F72

domain \mathcal{F} of finite volume with respect to the invariant measure $d\mu_z = \frac{dx dy}{y^2}$. For $z, w \in \mathbb{H}$ and $X > 2$ define

$$N(z, w, X) := |\{\gamma \in \Gamma : 4u(\gamma z, w) + 2 \leq X\}|,$$

this is the number of points γz in the hyperbolic circle around w of radius $\cosh^{-1}(X/2)$, so the estimation of this quantity is called the hyperbolic circle problem.

In order to give the main term in the asymptotic approximation of $N(z, w, X)$ as $X \rightarrow \infty$ we have to introduce Maass forms for Γ .

The hyperbolic Laplace operator is given by

$$\Delta := y^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right).$$

It is well-known that Δ commutes with the action of $PSL_2(\mathbf{R})$.

Let $\{u_j(z) : j \geq 0\}$ be a complete orthonormal system of Maass forms for Γ (the function $u_0(z)$ is constant), let $\Delta u_j = \lambda_j u_j$, where $\lambda_j = s_j(s_j - 1)$, $s_j = \frac{1}{2} + it_j$ and $\text{Res } s_j = \frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{2} < s_j \leq 1$. Note that $s_j = 1$ if and only if $j = 0$, and $\frac{1}{2} < s_j < 1$ holds only for finitely many j , these are called exceptional eigenvalues.

We can now define

$$M(z, w, X) := \sqrt{\pi} \sum_{s_j \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]} \frac{\Gamma(s_j - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(s_j + 1)} u_j(z) \overline{u_j(w)} X^{s_j}.$$

We know that

$$|N(z, w, X) - M(z, w, X)| = O_{z, w, \Gamma} \left(X^{\frac{2}{3}} \right),$$

this is an unpublished theorem of Selberg, but it is proved also in [L-P], see also [I], Theorem 12.1.

It was proved in [P-R] that in the case $\Gamma = PSL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ the error term $X^{\frac{2}{3}}$ can be improved taking a certain local diagonal average. Then we showed in [B1] that for this local average the error term $X^{\frac{2}{3}}$ can be improved in the case of any finite volume Fuchsian group Γ . In this generality we got an exponent which is better than $X^{\frac{2}{3}}$ but not as strong as the result of [P-R] in the special case $\Gamma = PSL_2(\mathbf{Z})$.

THEOREM 1.1 ([P-R], [B1]). *If f is a smooth nonnegative function which is compactly supported on \mathcal{F} , then*

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}} f(z) (N(z, z, X) - M(z, z, X)) d\mu_z = O_{f, \Gamma, \epsilon} \left(X^{\frac{5}{8} + \epsilon} \right) \quad (1.1)$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$. Moreover, for $\Gamma = PSL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ the exponent $5/8$ can be improved to $7/12$.

A drawback of this local average result is that we take the average of a quantity which is not nonnegative. Therefore, in the present paper we prove a similar result for the square $(N(z, z, X) - M(z, z, X))^2$ in place of $(N(z, z, X) - M(z, z, X))$, but we can do that only for the special case of the modular group.

So from now on we take $\Gamma = PSL_2(\mathbf{Z})$, and \mathcal{F} will be the closure of the standard fundamental domain of Γ , i.e. let

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ z \in \mathbf{C} : \text{Im}z > 0, -\frac{1}{2} \leq \text{Re}z \leq \frac{1}{2}, |z| \geq 1 \right\}. \quad (1.2)$$

It is known that there are no exceptional eigenvalues for $\Gamma = PSL_2(\mathbf{Z})$, i.e. we have $\text{Res}_j = \frac{1}{2}$ for $j > 0$. We also know that $|\mathcal{F}| = \frac{\pi}{3}$, so we have $M(z, w, X) = 3X$ in this case. The main result of the present paper is the following.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $\Gamma = PSL_2(\mathbf{Z})$, let \mathcal{F} be as in (1.2), and let $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ be a compact set, then*

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} (N(z, z, X) - 3X)^2 d\mu_z \right)^{1/2} = O_{\Omega, \epsilon} \left(X^{\frac{9}{14} + \epsilon} \right)$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$.

REMARK 1.1. The significance of the theorem is that the estimate is better than the pointwise bound $X^{\frac{2}{3}}$. Applying Cauchy-Schwarz it gives a nontrivial result for the left-hand side of (1.1), but with a weaker exponent than the one given in Theorem 1.1.

REMARK 1.2. It would be interesting to extend Theorem 1.2 for any finite volume Fuchsian group in place of $PSL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ with some exponent smaller than $\frac{2}{3}$, similarly as the theorem of [P-R] was extended in [B1]. Our present proof uses arithmetic tools, so it might be extended only for groups similar to $PSL_2(\mathbf{Z})$.

REMARK 1.3. Several other kind of average results in the hyperbolic circle problem were proved in [C] and [C-R].

1.2. Outline of the proof. We take an integer $J \geq 2$, it will be fixed to be large enough in terms of ϵ . We also take a parameter d which will tend to ∞ together with x , we assume $X^{2/3} \leq d = X^{1-\delta}$ with some fixed $\delta > 0$. For simplicity we write $N(z, X) = N(z, z, X)$, and we take the sum

$$N_{d,J}(z, X) := \sum_{j=0}^J (-1)^j \binom{J}{j} \int_1^2 \eta_0(\tau) N(z, X - jd\tau) d\tau,$$

where η_0 is a given nonnegative smooth function on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\eta_0(\tau) = 0$ for $\tau \notin [1, 2]$, and $\int_1^2 \eta_0(\tau) d\tau = 1$. Then the $j = 0$ term equals $N(z, X)$, but the terms $j \neq 0$ are smoothed versions of $N(z, X)$. It can be proved by spectral methods that for $z \in \Omega$ the $j \neq 0$ terms can be replaced by their main terms with an error term $O_\Omega\left(\frac{X}{\sqrt{d}}\right)$. One gets from these spectral estimates that

$$N_{d,J}(z, X) = N(z, X) - 3X + O_\Omega\left(\frac{X}{\sqrt{d}}\right) \quad (1.3)$$

for $z \in \Omega$. If we take d larger than $X^{2/3}$, then this error term will be smaller than $X^{2/3}$. One can also see easily that the contribution of the nonhyperbolic $\gamma \in \Gamma$ to $N_{d,J}(z, X)$ is $O_{\Omega, \epsilon}\left(X^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right)$. Therefore, for the proof of Theorem 1.2 it is enough to estimate

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}} (N_{d,J,\text{hyp}}(z, X))^2 d\mu_z, \quad (1.4)$$

where $N_{d,J,\text{hyp}}(z, X)$ is the contribution of the hyperbolic $\gamma \in \Gamma$ to $N_{d,J}(z, X)$. We will give an expression for (1.4) whose most essential part will be an expression of type

$$\sum_{t_1, t_2, f^2 \neq (t_1^2-4)(t_2^2-4)} h(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4, f) \sum_{j_1, j_2=0}^J (-1)^{j_1+j_2} \binom{J}{j_1} \binom{J}{j_2} F_{X,d}(t_1, t_2, f, j_1, j_2), \quad (1.5)$$

where $t_1, t_2 > 2$ and f run over integers, $F_{X,d}(t_1, t_2, f, j_1, j_2)$ is an analytic expression, and $h(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4, f)$ has the following arithmetic meaning. If $d_1, d_2, t \in \mathbf{Z}$, then $h(d_1, d_2, t)$ denotes the number of $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ -equivalence classes of pairs (Q_1, Q_2) of quadratic forms $Q_i(X, Y) = A_i X^2 + B_i XY + C_i Y^2$ with integer coefficients satisfying that the discriminant of Q_i is d_i , and the codiscriminant $B_1 B_2 - 2A_1 C_2 - 2A_2 C_1$ of Q_1 and Q_2 is t .

Now, (1.5) can be estimated in the following way. For certain ranges of the parameters t_1, t_2 and f we will show that if these three parameters are fixed, then the summation over j_1, j_2 will be negligibly small. This will follow simply from the mean-value theorem of differential calculus, using that J is large enough. For those ranges of t_1, t_2 and f where this reasoning does not work, we estimate every term of the summation separately. In this way we get an upper bound for (1.5) of size $\frac{X^\epsilon d^{5/2}}{\sqrt{X}}$. Balancing it with the square of the error term in (1.3) we get the theorem choosing $d = X^{5/7}$.

We note that $h(d_1, d_2, t)$ was studied in the papers [H-W] and [M]. They gave explicit formulas for $h(d_1, d_2, t)$ but only under restrictive conditions for the parameters, so we cannot apply their results. Therefore we prove a general upper bound for $h(d_1, d_2, t)$ and apply it in the proof of Theorem 1.2. It would be interesting to investigate in the future whether it is possible to improve the estimate in Theorem 1.2 using an explicit formula instead of our upper bound.

1.3. Structure of the paper. In Section 2 we give a general formula for the inner product of two automorphic functions $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{t_i}} m_i(u(z, \gamma z))$, where m_i are test functions, $t_i > 2$ are integers for $i = 1, 2$, and Γ_{t_i} is the set of elements of $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ with trace t_i . The class numbers $h(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4, f)$ occur in that formula. In Section 3 we give an upper bound for $h(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4, f)$, and in Section 4 we investigate the special functions appearing in the formula of Section 2 in the case when m_i are characteristic functions as in the circle problem. In Section 5 we begin the proof of Theorem 1.2 by giving the spectral estimate and bounding the contribution of nonhyperbolic elements. In Section 6 we complete the proof by estimating the square integral (1.4).

2. Inner product of automorphic functions and class numbers of pairs of quadratic forms

To state the main result of this section we have to introduce a few notations.

If s is a positive integer with $s \equiv 0, 1(4)$, let

$$Q_s := \{Q(X, Y) = AX^2 + BXY + CY^2 : A, B, C \in \mathbf{Z}, B^2 - 4AC = s\}.$$

If $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ and Q is a quadratic form, let us define the quadratic form Q^τ by $Q^\tau(X, Y) = Q(aX + bY, cX + dY)$. The group $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ acts in this way on Q_s .

If $t > 2$ is an integer, let

$$\Gamma_t = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z}) : a + d = t \right\}.$$

The group $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ acts on this set by conjugation. If $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_t$, let $Q_\gamma(X, Y) = cX^2 + (d - a)XY - bY^2$. Then it is easy to see (see [B2], p. 119) that this is a one-to-one correspondence between Γ_t and Q_s with $s = t^2 - 4$, and also between the conjugacy classes of Γ_t over $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ and the $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ -equivalence classes of Q_s . More precisely: if $\tau \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_t$, then we have $Q_{\tau^{-1}\gamma\tau} = Q_\gamma^\tau$. Observe also that the fixed points of γ on \mathbf{R} are exactly the roots of the quadratic polynomial $Q_\gamma(X, 1)$.

For $d_1, d_2, t \in \mathbf{Z}$, let $Q_{d_1, d_2, t}$ be the set of pairs (Q_1, Q_2) of quadratic forms

$$Q_1(X, Y) = A_1X^2 + B_1XY + C_1Y^2, \quad Q_2(X, Y) = A_2X^2 + B_2XY + C_2Y^2 \quad (2.1)$$

with integer coefficients A_i, B_i, C_i satisfying

$$B_1^2 - 4A_1C_1 = d_1, \quad B_2^2 - 4A_2C_2 = d_2, \quad B_1B_2 - 2A_1C_2 - 2A_2C_1 = t. \quad (2.2)$$

It is easy to check that if $\tau \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$, and $(Q_1, Q_2) \in Q_{d_1, d_2, t}$, then $(Q_1^\tau, Q_2^\tau) \in Q_{d_1, d_2, t}$. Hence $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ acts on $Q_{d_1, d_2, t}$. Let us denote by $h(d_1, d_2, t)$ the number of $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ -equivalence classes of $Q_{d_1, d_2, t}$.

If $t_1 > 2, t_2 > 2$ are integers, let R_{t_1, t_2} be the set of pairs (Q_1, Q_2) of quadratic forms with integer coefficients of the form (2.1) satisfying that

$$B_1^2 - 4A_1C_1 = t_1^2 - 4, \quad B_2^2 - 4A_2C_2 = t_2^2 - 4, \quad Q_1 = \lambda Q_2 \text{ with some } \lambda \in \mathbf{Q}.$$

It is easy to check that if $\tau \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$, and $(Q_1, Q_2) \in R_{t_1, t_2}$, then $(Q_1^\tau, Q_2^\tau) \in R_{t_1, t_2}$. Hence $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ acts on R_{t_1, t_2} . If $(Q_1, Q_2) \in R_{t_1, t_2}$, we can define a nonnegative number $n(Q_1, Q_2)$ in the following way. Let $\gamma_i \in \Gamma_{t_i}$ be such that $Q_{\gamma_i} = Q_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then γ_i are uniquely determined. The fixed points on \mathbf{R} of the hyperbolic transformations γ_1 and γ_2 are the same, since these are the roots of the polynomial $Q_1(X, 1) = \lambda Q_2(X, 1)$.

Denoting the centralizer of a hyperbolic element γ in $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ by $C(\gamma)$ it is well-known and easily proved that we have

$$C(\gamma) = \{\tau \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z}) : \tau z_1 = z_1, \tau z_2 = z_2\}, \quad (2.3)$$

where z_1 and z_2 are the fixed points of γ . Therefore we have $C(\gamma_1) = C(\gamma_2)$. The image of $C(\gamma_1)$ in $PSL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ is infinite cyclic, i.e there is a $\gamma_0 \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ such that

$$C(\gamma_1) = \{\pm \gamma_0^l \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z}) : l \in \mathbf{Z}\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Let $N(\gamma)$ denote the norm of a hyperbolic transformation γ , see p. 19 of [I]. Let us define $n(Q_1, Q_2) := |\log N(\gamma_0)|$, this definition is correct. It can be seen that if $\tau \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$, then $n(Q_1^\tau, Q_2^\tau) = n(Q_1, Q_2)$. Finally, if $t_1 > 2$, $t_2 > 2$ are integers, let us define

$$E_{t_1, t_2} := \sum_{(Q_1, Q_2) \in R_{t_1, t_2}^*} n(Q_1, Q_2),$$

where R_{t_1, t_2}^* denotes a complete set of representatives of the $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ -equivalence classes of R_{t_1, t_2} .

If $t > 2$ is an integer and m is a compactly supported bounded function on $[0, \infty)$, then for $z, w \in \mathbb{H}$ write $m(z, w) = m(u(z, w))$, and for $z \in \mathbb{H}$ define

$$M_{t, m}(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_t} m(z, \gamma z).$$

Our goal in this section is to prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $t_1, t_2 > 2$ be integers and let m_1, m_2 be compactly supported bounded functions on $[0, \infty)$. Then we have that*

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}} M_{t_1, m_1}(z) M_{t_2, m_2}(z) d\mu_z$$

equals the sum of

$$J(t_1, t_2, m_1, m_2) E_{t_1, t_2}$$

and

$$\sum_{f \in \mathbf{Z}, f^2 \neq (t_1^2 - 4)(t_2^2 - 4)} h(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4, f) I\left(t_1, t_2, \frac{f}{\sqrt{t_1^2 - 4}\sqrt{t_2^2 - 4}}, m_1, m_2\right)$$

with the following notations:

$$J(t_1, t_2, m_1, m_2) := \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} m_1 \left(\frac{t_1^2 - 4}{4 \cos^2 \theta} \right) m_2 \left(\frac{t_2^2 - 4}{4 \cos^2 \theta} \right) \frac{d\theta}{\cos^2 \theta},$$

and

$$I(t_1, t_2, F, m_1, m_2) := \int \int \frac{m_1 \left(\frac{t_1^2 - 4}{4} (1 + S^2) \right) m_2 \left(\frac{t_2^2 - 4}{4} (1 + T^2) \right)}{\sqrt{S^2 + T^2 + 2FTS + 1 - F^2}} dSdT \quad (2.5)$$

for any real F , where we integrate over the set

$$\{(S, T) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : S^2 + T^2 + 2FTS + 1 - F^2 \geq 0\}.$$

We first need a few preliminary lemmas. We use the notations of Lemma 2.1.

LEMMA 2.2. *Write $G := \Gamma_{t_1} \times \Gamma_{t_2}$, and let G_0 be the set of those elements $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G$ for which the set of fixed points on \mathbf{R} of γ_1 and of γ_2 are the same. If $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2), (\gamma_1^*, \gamma_2^*) \in G$, we say that (γ_1, γ_2) and (γ_1^*, γ_2^*) are $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ -equivalent if there is an element $\tau \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ such that $\tau^{-1}\gamma_i\tau = \gamma_i^*$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}} M_{t_1, m_1}(z) M_{t_2, m_2}(z) d\mu_z \quad (2.6)$$

equals the sum of

$$\sum_{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_0^*} \int_{C(\gamma_1) \setminus \mathbb{H}} m_1(z, \gamma_1 z) m_2(z, \gamma_2 z) d\mu_z$$

and

$$\sum_{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in (G \setminus G_0)^*} \int_{\mathbb{H}} m_1(z, \gamma_1 z) m_2(z, \gamma_2 z) d\mu_z,$$

where G_0^* denotes a complete set of representatives of the $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ -equivalence classes of G_0 , and $(G \setminus G_0)^*$ denotes a complete set of representatives of the $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ -equivalence classes of $G \setminus G_0$.

Proof. An element $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ with $a + d > 2$ determines a hyperbolic transformation of \mathbb{H} , see Section 1.5 of [I]. Hence γ has two different fixed points on \mathbf{R} , let us denote them by z_1 and z_2 . Assume that $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_{t_1}$, $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma_{t_2}$, $\tau \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ and

$$\tau^{-1}\gamma_1\tau = \gamma_1, \quad \tau^{-1}\gamma_2\tau = \gamma_2. \quad (2.7)$$

It is clear by (2.3) that if $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G \setminus G_0$, then (2.7) is true if and only if $\tau = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. If $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_0$, then by (2.3) we see that $C(\gamma_1) = C(\gamma_2)$, and (2.7) is true if and only if $\tau \in C(\gamma_1)$.

By the definitions we see that (2.6) equals

$$\sum_{\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_{t_1}} \sum_{\gamma_2 \in \Gamma_{t_2}} \int_{\mathcal{F}} m_1(z, \gamma_1 z) m_2(z, \gamma_2 z) d\mu_z.$$

We partition G into $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ -equivalence classes. Since for $\tau \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ we have that

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}} m_1(z, \tau^{-1} \gamma_1 \tau z) m_2(z, \tau^{-1} \gamma_2 \tau z) d\mu_z = \int_{\tau \mathcal{F}} m_1(z, \gamma_1 z) m_2(z, \gamma_2 z) d\mu_z,$$

so our considerations above give the lemma.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $\gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, $\gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ be hyperbolic elements of $SL_2(\mathbf{R})$, assume that the set of fixed points of γ_1 and the set of fixed points of γ_2 are disjoint. Let*

$$F := F(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \frac{(d-a)(D-A) + 2bC + 2Bc}{\sqrt{(d+a)^2 - 4} \sqrt{(D+A)^2 - 4}}. \quad (2.8)$$

Let us write $t_1 = a + d$, $t_2 = A + D$, and assume $t_1, t_2 > 2$. Then we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}} m_1(z, \gamma_1 z) m_2(z, \gamma_2 z) d\mu_z = I(t_1, t_2, F, m_1, m_2). \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. First note that it is easy to check that $F(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = F(\tau^{-1} \gamma_1 \tau, \tau^{-1} \gamma_2 \tau)$ for $\tau \in SL_2(\mathbf{R})$. Since (2.9) also remains the same if we write $\tau^{-1} \gamma_1 \tau$ and $\tau^{-1} \gamma_2 \tau$ in place of γ_1 and γ_2 , and since we can choose τ in such a way that $\tau^{-1} \gamma_1 \tau$ is diagonal, hence for the proof of the lemma we may assume that γ_1 is diagonal.

So assume that $b = c = 0$. Then by the conditions we have $BC \neq 0$. It can be easily computed by the definitions that

$$m_1(z, \gamma_1 z) = m_1\left(\frac{(d-a)^2 |z|^2}{4\text{Im}^2 z}\right), \quad m_2(z, \gamma_2 z) = m_2\left(\frac{|Cz^2 + (D-A)z - B|^2}{4\text{Im}^2 z}\right).$$

Hence if $z = x + iy$, then using $ad = 1$ and $AD - BC = 1$ we get by some computations that

$$m_1(z, \gamma_1 z) = m_1\left(\frac{(a+d)^2 - 4}{4} + \frac{(d-a)^2 x^2}{4y^2}\right), \quad (2.10)$$

$$m_2(z, \gamma_2 z) = m_2 \left(\frac{(A+D)^2 - 4}{4} + \frac{(Cx^2 + (D-A)x - B + Cy^2)^2}{4y^2} \right).$$

Let us use the substitution

$$q := \frac{x}{y}, \quad r := \frac{Cx^2 + (D-A)x - B + Cy^2}{y} \quad (2.11)$$

Then the determinant of the Jacobi matrix $\frac{dqdr}{dxdy}$ is

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{y} & \frac{2Cx + (D-A)}{y} \\ -\frac{x}{y^2} & C - \frac{Cx^2 + (D-A)x - B}{y^2} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{B + Cx^2 + Cy^2}{y^3}.$$

It is not hard to check that

$$\frac{B + Cx^2 + Cy^2}{y} = r - (D-A)q + \frac{2B}{y} \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$\frac{B}{y^2} + \frac{r - (D-A)q}{y} - (C + Cq^2) = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

From (2.12) and (2.13) we get

$$\left(\frac{B + Cx^2 + Cy^2}{y} \right)^2 = ((D-A)q - r)^2 + 4B(C + Cq^2).$$

Hence if we want to compute the left-hand side of (2.9) by the substitution (2.11), then on the one hand we see that for q and r we have the condition

$$((D-A)q - r)^2 + 4B(C + Cq^2) \geq 0. \quad (2.14)$$

On the other hand, in the case $BC > 0$ we see from the quadratic equation (2.13) that for every real q and r satisfying (2.14) there is exactly one $y > 0$ and real x satisfying (2.11). Similarly, in the case $BC < 0$ we see from the quadratic equation (2.13) that we must have $\frac{(D-A)q - r}{B} > 0$, i.e. combined with (2.14) we must have

$$\frac{(D-A)q - r}{B} \geq 2\sqrt{-\frac{C + Cq^2}{B}}.$$

If this is true, then we have two positive solutions of (2.13) in $\frac{1}{y}$.

Putting everything together we see that the left-hand side of (2.9) equals

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{A_q} f(r, q) dr dq \quad (2.15)$$

for $BC > 0$, and the left-hand side of (2.9) equals

$$2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{A_q^+} f(r, q) dr dq \quad (2.16)$$

for $BC < 0$, where

$$f(r, q) := \frac{m_1 \left(\frac{(a+d)^2 - 4}{4} + \frac{(d-a)^2 q^2}{4} \right) m_2 \left(\frac{(A+D)^2 - 4}{4} + \frac{r^2}{4} \right)}{\sqrt{((D-A)q - r)^2 + 4B(C + Cq^2)}},$$

$$A_q := \left\{ r \in \mathbf{R} : ((D-A)q - r)^2 + 4B(C + Cq^2) \geq 0 \right\},$$

$$A_q^+ := \left\{ r \in \mathbf{R} : \frac{(D-A)q - r}{B} \geq 2\sqrt{-\frac{C + Cq^2}{B}} \right\}.$$

Writing also

$$A_q^- := \left\{ r \in \mathbf{R} : \frac{(D-A)q - r}{B} \leq -2\sqrt{-\frac{C + Cq^2}{B}} \right\}$$

we see that for $BC < 0$ we have that $-A_{-q}^+ = A_q^-$, so, since $f(r, q) = f(-r, -q)$, hence for $BC < 0$ we have that (2.16) equals

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{A_q^+} f(r, q) dr dq + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{A_q^-} f(r, q) dr dq.$$

Since A_q is the disjoint union of A_q^+ and A_q^- , so we finally get that the left-hand side of (2.9) equals (2.15) also in the case $BC < 0$. Applying the substitution

$$S = q, \quad T = \frac{-r}{\sqrt{(D+A)^2 - 4}}$$

in (2.15) and taking into account

$$(a+d)^2 - 4 = (a-d)^2, \quad (D-A)^2 + 4BC = (D+A)^2 - 4, \quad F^2 = \frac{(D-A)^2}{(D+A)^2 - 4}$$

and the fact that $I(t_1, t_2, F, m_1, m_2)$ is even in F , we get equation (2.9) for the case $b = c = 0$. But we have seen that then the lemma is completely proved.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_{t_1}$, $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma_{t_2}$, where $t_i > 2$ for $i = 1, 2$. Assume that the set of fixed points of γ_1 and the set of fixed points of γ_2 are the same. Then we have that*

$$\int_{C(\gamma_1) \setminus \mathbb{H}} m_1(z, \gamma_1 z) m_2(z, \gamma_2 z) d\mu_z = J(t_1, t_2, m_1, m_2) |\log N(\gamma_0)|, \quad (2.17)$$

where $\gamma_0 \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ satisfies (2.4).

Proof. We may assume that $N(\gamma_0) > 1$. We can choose $\tau \in SL_2(\mathbf{R})$ in such a way that $\tau^{-1}\gamma_i\tau z = \lambda_i z$ for every $z \in \mathbb{H}$ and $0 \leq i \leq 2$ with $\lambda_0 = N(\gamma_0)$ and $\lambda_i = N(\gamma_i)^{\epsilon_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$, where $\epsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\}$. The fundamental domain of the group $\tau^{-1}C(\gamma_1)\tau$ in \mathbb{H} is the subset $\{1 \leq |z| < N(\gamma_0)\}$. Then we have that the left-hand side of (2.17) equals

$$\int_{\{z \in \mathbb{H}: 1 \leq |z| < N(\gamma_0)\}} m_1(z, \tau^{-1}\gamma_1\tau z) m_2(z, \tau^{-1}\gamma_2\tau z) d\mu_z. \quad (2.18)$$

By the substitution $z = re^{i(\frac{\pi}{2} + \theta)}$ with $1 \leq r < N(\gamma_0)$, $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$ we get using $d\mu_z = \frac{drd\theta}{r \cos^2 \theta}$ that (2.18) equals

$$\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_1^{N(\gamma_0)} m_1\left(\frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_1^{-1} - 2}{4 \cos^2 \theta}\right) m_2\left(\frac{\lambda_2 + \lambda_2^{-1} - 2}{4 \cos^2 \theta}\right) \frac{drd\theta}{r \cos^2 \theta}.$$

It is clear that $\lambda_1^{1/2} + \lambda_1^{-1/2} = a + d$, $\lambda_2^{1/2} + \lambda_2^{-1/2} = A + D$, since the trace is invariant under conjugation. The lemma is proved.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. We use the one-to one correspondence between Γ_{t_i} and $Q_{t_i^2-4}$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Using the notations of Lemma 2.2, we see that if $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G$, then $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_0$ holds if and only if $Q_{\gamma_1} = \lambda Q_{\gamma_2}$ with some $\lambda \in \mathbf{Q}$. Indeed, $Q_{\gamma_1} = \lambda Q_{\gamma_2}$ holds with some $\lambda \in \mathbf{Q}$ if and only if the polynomials $Q_{\gamma_1}(X, 1)$ and $Q_{\gamma_2}(X, 1)$ have the same roots, i.e. if and only if γ_1 and γ_2 have the same fixed points.

It is clear that $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2), (\gamma_1^*, \gamma_2^*) \in G$ are $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ -equivalent if and only if there is a $\tau \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ such that $(Q_{\gamma_1}^\tau, Q_{\gamma_2}^\tau) = (Q_{\gamma_1^*}, Q_{\gamma_2^*})$. We note also that if $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G \setminus G_0$, then

for the quantity $F(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ defined in (2.8) we have $F(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \frac{f}{\sqrt{t_1^2-4}\sqrt{t_2^2-4}}$ with the notations

$$Q_{\gamma_1}(X, Y) = A_1X^2 + B_1XY + C_1Y^2, \quad Q_{\gamma_2}(X, Y) = A_2X^2 + B_2XY + C_2Y^2, \quad (2.19)$$

$$f = B_1B_2 - 2A_1C_2 - 2A_2C_1. \quad (2.20)$$

We show that if $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G \setminus G_0$, then $f^2 \neq (t_1^2 - 4)(t_2^2 - 4)$. Indeed, writing $d_i := t_i^2 - 4$ and using $d_i = B_i^2 - 4A_iC_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, we easily get from (2.19) and (2.20) that

$$d_2^2A_1^2 - 2fd_2A_1A_2 + d_1d_2A_2^2 = d_2(B_2A_1 - B_1A_2)^2,$$

$$d_2^2C_1^2 - 2fd_2C_1C_2 + d_1d_2C_2^2 = d_2(B_2C_1 - B_1C_2)^2.$$

Assume $f^2 = d_1d_2$. Then the left-hand sides above are squares, and since $d_2 = t_2^2 - 4$ cannot be a square, we get $B_2A_1 - B_1A_2 = 0$, $B_2C_1 - B_1C_2 = 0$. One has the identity

$$(A_1C_2 - A_2C_1)^2 - (A_1B_2 - A_2B_1)(B_1C_2 - B_2C_1) = \frac{f^2 - \prod_{i=1}^2 (B_i^2 - 4A_iC_i)}{4} \quad (2.21)$$

with f defined in (2.20). We get also $A_1C_2 - A_2C_1 = 0$ from (2.21). It follows that the vectors (A_1, B_1, C_1) and (A_2, B_2, C_2) are linearly dependent, hence $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_0$, which is a contradiction.

We note finally that if $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G \setminus G_0$, then the set of fixed points of γ_1 and the set of fixed points of γ_2 are disjoint.

By these considerations, applying Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, 2.4 and the definitions we obtain the lemma.

3. Estimates on the number of equivalence classes of quadratic forms.

Recall the definition of $Q_{d_1, d_2, t}$, R_{t_1, t_2} , $h(d_1, d_2, t)$ and E_{t_1, t_2} from the beginning of Section 2. In this section we will give several upper bounds for $h(d_1, d_2, t)$ and E_{t_1, t_2} .

Let $\gcd(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r)$ be the greatest common divisor of the integers n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r . If p is a prime and $n \neq 0$ is an integer, let us denote by $\nu_p(n)$ the largest nonnegative integer such that $p^{\nu_p(n)}$ divides n . The integer part of a real number x is denoted by $[x]$.

3.1. A general upper bound for $h(d_1, d_2, t)$. Our aim in this subsection is to prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. *Assume that $d_1, d_2, t \in \mathbf{Z}$, and d_i is not a square of an integer ($i = 1, 2$). Assume also that $t^2 - d_1d_2 \neq 0$. Then we have that*

$$h(d_1, d_2, t) \leq C\tau^2(t^2 - d_1d_2)S(d_1, d_2, t^2),$$

where for any finite set of integers n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r we write

$$S(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) = \max \{k \geq 1 : k^2 | \gcd(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r)\},$$

$\tau(n)$ is the number of divisors of a nonzero integer n , and $C > 0$ is an absolute constant. To prepare the proof of this result we need two preliminary lemmas. We introduce the notation

$$C_{d_1, d_2, t} := \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : d_2x^2 + d_1y^2 - 2txy = 1\}.$$

In the first lemma we prove general statements for any two different points of $C_{d_1, d_2, t}$. In the second one we show that if we have any element of $Q_{d_1, d_2, t}$, then we can parametrize the rational points of $C_{d_1, d_2, t}$.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let d_1, d_2, t be as in Lemma 3.1, assume that $(x_i, y_i) \in C_{d_1, d_2, t}$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $(x_1, y_1) \neq (x_2, y_2)$. Then we have*

$$S_1 := d_2(x_1 - x_2)^2 + d_1(y_1 - y_2)^2 - 2t(x_1 - x_2)(y_1 - y_2) \neq 0 \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$S_2 := (d_1y_1 - tx_1)(y_1 - y_2) + (d_2x_1 - ty_1)(x_1 - x_2) \neq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. One can check the identities

$$S_2 = (y_1 \quad x_1) \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & -t \\ -t & d_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 - y_2 \\ x_1 - x_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$2S_2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 (-1)^i (d_2x_i^2 + d_1y_i^2 - 2tx_iy_i) = S_1.$$

Since $(x_i, y_i) \in C_{d_1, d_2, t}$ for $i = 1, 2$, so $S_1 = 2S_2$. Hence it is enough to show that $S_1 \neq 0$. Assume for a contradiction that $S_1 = 0$. Then the right-hand side of (3.3) is 0, but this is true also by exchanging the role of (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) , so we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 & x_1 \\ y_2 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & -t \\ -t & d_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 - y_2 \\ x_1 - x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The vector $\begin{pmatrix} y_1 - y_2 \\ x_1 - x_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is nonzero and $\det \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & -t \\ -t & d_2 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$ by $t^2 - d_1 d_2 \neq 0$, so we must have $\det \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & x_1 \\ y_2 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0$. Hence $(x_2, y_2) = \lambda(x_1, y_1)$ with some constant $\lambda \neq 1$, so $S_1 = (1 - \lambda)^2 \neq 0$ by our assumptions. This is a contradiction, the lemma is proved.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let d_1, d_2, t be as in Lemma 3.1, and let $Q_i(X, Y) = A_i X^2 + B_i XY + C_i Y^2$ ($i = 1, 2$) be such that $(Q_1, Q_2) \in Q_{d_1, d_2, t}$. Assume that $A_1 B_2 - A_2 B_1 \neq 0$. Define*

$$R(X, Y) = (A_1 B_2 - A_2 B_1) X^2 + 2XY (A_1 C_2 - A_2 C_1) + Y^2 (B_1 C_2 - B_2 C_1).$$

Then for $x, y \in \mathbf{Q}$ the following two statements are equivalent.

(i) We have $(x, y) \in C_{d_1, d_2, t}$.

(ii) There are $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that $R(a, b) \neq 0$ and writing $x_{a,b} := \frac{Q_1(a,b)}{R(a,b)}$, $y_{a,b} := \frac{Q_2(a,b)}{R(a,b)}$ we have $x = x_{a,b}$, $y = y_{a,b}$.

Proof. By straightforward computation using the definitions we get the identity

$$d_2 (Q_1(a, b))^2 + d_1 (Q_2(a, b))^2 - 2t Q_1(a, b) Q_2(a, b) = (R(a, b))^2. \quad (3.4)$$

Introduce the abbreviations

$$a_1 = \frac{A_1}{A_1 B_2 - A_2 B_1}, \quad a_2 = \frac{A_2}{A_1 B_2 - A_2 B_1}. \quad (3.5)$$

Note that writing $a = 1$, $b = 0$ in (3.4) we get $(a_1, a_2) \in C_{d_1, d_2, t}$. We first assume (ii). Then (i) follows at once from (3.4).

We now assume (i). If $(x, y) = (a_1, a_2)$, then we can take $a = 1$, $b = 0$. So let us assume that $(x, y) \neq (a_1, a_2)$. It is easy to see that if $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}$, then

$$Q_1(a, b) - a_1 R(a, b) = \frac{b(\alpha a + b\beta)}{(A_1 B_2 - A_2 B_1)}, \quad Q_2(a, b) - a_2 R(a, b) = \frac{b(\gamma a + \delta b)}{(A_1 B_2 - A_2 B_1)} \quad (3.6)$$

with

$$\alpha := B_1 (A_1 B_2 - A_2 B_1) + 2A_1 (A_2 C_1 - A_1 C_2) = tA_1 - d_1 A_2, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\beta := C_1 (A_1 B_2 - A_2 B_1) + A_1 (C_1 B_2 - C_2 B_1), \quad (3.8)$$

$$\gamma := B_2 (A_1 B_2 - A_2 B_1) + 2A_2 (A_2 C_1 - A_1 C_2) = -tA_2 + d_2 A_1, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\delta := C_2 (A_1 B_2 - A_2 B_1) + A_2 (C_1 B_2 - C_2 B_1). \quad (3.10)$$

Now, one can compute that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} = 2(A_2 B_1 - A_1 B_2) \left((A_1 C_2 - A_2 C_1)^2 - (A_1 B_2 - A_2 B_1)(B_1 C_2 - B_2 C_1) \right).$$

The last bracket equals $\frac{t^2 - d_1 d_2}{4}$ by (2.21) and (2.20). Hence $t^2 - d_1 d_2 \neq 0$ and $A_1 B_2 - A_2 B_1 \neq 0$ imply $\det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$.

Let us take $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}$ in the following way:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta & -\beta \\ -\gamma & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x - a_1 \\ y - a_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.11)$$

By (3.6) and (3.11) we then easily get

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_1(a, b) - a_1 R(a, b) \\ Q_2(a, b) - a_2 R(a, b) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{b \left(\det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \right)}{A_1 B_2 - A_2 B_1} \begin{pmatrix} x - a_1 \\ y - a_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.12)$$

So this is true if $(x, y) \neq (a_1, a_2)$, and a, b are defined by (3.7)-(3.11).

Assume that $b = 0$. Then by (3.7), (3.9) and (3.11) we get

$$(d_1 A_2 - t A_1)(y - a_2) + (-t A_2 + d_2 A_1)(x - a_1) = 0.$$

By (3.5), $(a_1, a_2), (x, y) \in C_{d_1, d_2, t}$ and $(x, y) \neq (a_1, a_2)$ this contradicts (3.2). So we have $b \neq 0$.

Assume that $R(a, b) = 0$. Then (3.4) and (3.12) imply that

$$d_2 (x - a_1)^2 + d_1 (y - a_2)^2 - 2t (x - a_1)(y - a_2) = 0.$$

But this contradicts (3.1). So we have $R(a, b) \neq 0$.

Then (3.12) clearly implies

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{a,b} - a_1 \\ y_{a,b} - a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{b \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}}{R(a,b)(A_1B_2 - A_2B_1)} \begin{pmatrix} x - a_1 \\ y - a_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence we have $(x_{a,b}, y_{a,b}) \neq (a_1, a_2)$, since we assumed $(x, y) \neq (a_1, a_2)$. We would like to show that $(x, y) = (x_{a,b}, y_{a,b})$. If this is false, then (a_1, a_2) , $(x_{a,b}, y_{a,b})$ and (x, y) are three pairwise different points lying on a line and all of these three points belong to $C_{d_1, d_2, t}$.

Hence we have that the equation

$$d_2(a_1 + q(x - a_1))^2 + d_1(a_2 + q(y - a_2))^2 - 2t(a_1 + q(x - a_1))(a_2 + q(y - a_2)) = 1$$

has three different real solutions in q . The coefficient of q^2 is nonzero by (3.1), so this is a contradiction. The lemma is proved.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Let $Q_i(X, Y) = A_iX^2 + B_iXY + C_iY^2$ ($i = 1, 2$) be two quadratic forms such that $(Q_1, Q_2) \in Q_{d_1, d_2, t}$. We first show that replacing (Q_1, Q_2) by an element in its $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ -equivalence class we may assume that $(B_1, B_2) \neq (0, 0)$. If $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, then we have

$$Q_i^\tau(X, Y) = Q_i(X + bY, Y) = A_iX^2 + (B_i + 2A_ib)XY + C_i^*Y^2. \quad (3.13)$$

for $i = 1, 2$ with some C_i^* . If $(B_1 + 2A_1b, B_2 + 2A_2b) = (0, 0)$ for every integer b , then $A_i = B_i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. But this is impossible, since this would imply $d_1 = d_2 = t = 0$ (see (2.2)), but this contradicts $t^2 - d_1d_2 \neq 0$.

Hence we may assume that $(B_1, B_2) \neq (0, 0)$. Let $B_1 \neq 0$, say. Assume for a contradiction that $B_2A_1 - B_1A_2 = 0$ and $B_2C_1 - B_1C_2 = 0$. Then $(A_2, C_2) = \lambda(A_1, C_1)$ with $\lambda = B_2/B_1$, hence $C_2A_1 - C_1A_2 = 0$. So the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & B_1 & C_1 \\ A_2 & B_2 & C_2 \end{pmatrix}$ has rank 1, hence its lines are linearly dependent. But this contradicts $t^2 - d_1d_2 \neq 0$.

So we may assume that $B_2A_1 - B_1A_2 \neq 0$ or $B_2C_1 - B_1C_2 \neq 0$. But applying the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ we can exchange the roles of A_i and C_i . So finally, we proved that replacing (Q_1, Q_2) by an element in its $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ -equivalence class we can achieve $B_2A_1 - B_1A_2 \neq 0$.

We may assume that $Q_{d_1, d_2, t}$ is nonempty. Then there is an element $(Q_1, Q_2) \in Q_{d_1, d_2, t}$ such that for their coefficients we have $B_2A_1 - B_1A_2 \neq 0$. Taking $a = 1, b = 0$ in Lemma 3.3 (ii) we see by that lemma that there are numbers $x, y \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that $(x, y) \in C_{d_1, d_2, t}$. Note that we proved the existence of such x and y from the assumption that $Q_{d_1, d_2, t}$ is nonempty.

Fix $x, y \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that $(x, y) \in C_{d_1, d_2, t}$. Let us take an arbitrary element $(Q_1, Q_2) \in Q_{d_1, d_2, t}$ with coefficients $Q_i(X, Y) = A_iX^2 + B_iXY + C_iY^2$. We have seen above that replacing (Q_1, Q_2) by an element in its $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ -equivalence class we may assume that $B_2A_1 - B_1A_2 \neq 0$. Then it follows from Lemma 3.3 that there are $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that $Q_1(a, b) = rx, Q_2(a, b) = ry$ with some $r \in \mathbf{Q}, r \neq 0$. We may clearly assume here that $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $(a, b) = 1$. Taking $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ with some c and d we then see that replacing (Q_1, Q_2) by an element in its $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ -equivalence class we may assume that for their coefficients we have $A_1 = rx, A_2 = ry$ with some $r \in \mathbf{Q}, r \neq 0$. Observe also that

$$\gcd(A_1, A_2) \mid t^2 - d_1d_2 \tag{3.14}$$

follows from the definition of d_1, d_2 and t . Let $x = sm, y = sn$ with integers m and n satisfying $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ and with some $s \in \mathbf{Q}, s \neq 0$. Then we see that $A_1 = Dm, A_2 = Dn$ with some integer $D \neq 0$ satisfying $D \mid t^2 - d_1d_2$. Observe that we may fix m and n . Hence we proved that there exists a subset of \mathbf{Z}^2 of size $2\tau(t^2 - d_1d_2)$ such that in every $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ -equivalence class of $Q_{d_1, d_2, t}$ there is an element for which the pair of coefficients (A_1, A_2) belongs to this subset.

Now let A_1 and A_2 be fixed and assume that there are N inequivalent forms in $Q_{d_1, d_2, t}$ of the form $Q_i(X, Y) = A_iX^2 + B_iXY + C_iY^2$ having these fixed coefficients A_1 and A_2 . We now give an upper bound for N . Observe first that by the identity (3.4) with $a = 1, b = 0$ we see that there are only two possibilities for $B_2A_1 - B_1A_2$, so there are at least $N/2$ inequivalent forms with fixed A_1, A_2 and $D := B_2A_1 - B_1A_2$. Note also that we clearly have $A_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2$ by the assumption that d_i is not a square. We want to give an upper bound for the possible values of B_1 modulo A_1 . Observe that we have

$$B_i^2 \equiv d_i (A_i) \tag{3.15}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Let p be a prime, and let us denote $\nu_p(A_1) = \alpha$, $\nu_p(A_2) = \beta$. We consider two cases separately.

(i) Assume $\nu_p(d_1) < \alpha$. Then we use (3.15) with $i = 1$. We see that there is a solution only if $\nu_p(d_1) = 2k$ for some integer k , and then we must have $\nu_p(B_1) \geq k$ and $\left(\frac{B_1}{p^k}\right)^2 \equiv \frac{d_1}{p^{2k}} (p^{\alpha-2k})$. Since $\alpha - 2k > 0$, so we get from this congruence that there are at most $2(1 + \nu_p(2))$ possibilities for $\frac{B_1}{p^k}$ modulo $p^{\alpha-2k}$. Hence we finally get that there are at most $2(1 + \nu_p(2))p^{[\nu_p(d_1)/2]}$ possibilities for B_1 modulo p^α .

(ii) Assume $\nu_p(d_1) \geq \alpha$. Then we use again (3.15) with $i = 1$, and we see that we must have $\nu_p(B_1) \geq \alpha/2$. So there are at most $p^{[\alpha/2]}$ possibilities for B_1 modulo p^α .

Hence we see that in both cases there are at most $2(1 + \nu_p(2))p^{\min([\frac{1}{2}\nu_p(d_1)], [\frac{1}{2}\alpha])}$ possibilities for B_1 modulo p^α . But we can see completely similarly that there are at most $2(1 + \nu_p(2))p^{\min([\frac{1}{2}\nu_p(d_2)], [\frac{1}{2}\beta])}$ possibilities for B_2 modulo p^β . Since $D := B_2A_1 - B_1A_2$ is fixed, so if B_2 is given modulo p^β , then $D + B_1A_2 = B_2A_1$ is given modulo $p^{\alpha+\beta}$, hence B_1 is given modulo p^α . Taking into account (3.14) we finally get that for every prime p there are at most

$$2(1 + \nu_p(2)) \min\left(p^{[\nu_p(d_1)/2]}, p^{[\nu_p(d_2)/2]}, p^{[\nu_p(t^2-d_1d_2)/2]}\right)$$

possibilities for B_1 modulo $p^{\nu_p(A_1)}$. We apply it for every prime divisor p of A_1 for which we have also that p divides $t^2 - d_1d_2$. If $p|A_1$ but p does not divide $t^2 - d_1d_2$, then by (3.14) we see that p does not divide A_2 , and so $D = B_2A_1 - B_1A_2$ implies that B_1 is determined modulo $p^{\nu_p(A_1)}$. So for the number of possible values of B_1 modulo A_1 we have the upper bound

$$C \left(\prod_{p|t^2-d_1d_2} 2 \right) \prod_p \min\left(p^{[\nu_p(d_1)/2]}, p^{[\nu_p(d_2)/2]}, p^{[\nu_p(t^2-d_1d_2)/2]}\right) \quad (3.16)$$

with an absolute constant C . Then (3.16) with a different C is an upper bound also for the number of possible values of B_1 modulo $2A_1$. But we see by (3.13) that the residue of B_1 modulo $2A_1$ determines the $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ -equivalence class of (Q_1, Q_2) once A_1, A_2 and $B_2A_1 - B_1A_2$ is given. Hence (3.16) with a different C is an upper bound also for N above. The lemma follows.

3.2. Upper bounds for certain special averages of $h(d_1, d_2, t)$. When we will apply Lemma 3.1, then we will have numbers d_i of special form $d_i = t_i^2 - 4$, and we will have certain triple sums of $S(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4, f^2)$, where t_1, t_2, f run over integers. We will use the trivial upper bounds $S(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4, f^2) \leq S(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4)$ and $S(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4, f^2) \leq S(t_1^2 - 4, f^2)$, and we will use Lemmas 3.5, 3.6 and 3.7 below. We first need a preliminary lemma.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let $t_1, t_2 > 2$ be integers, $t_1 \neq t_2$, and let $E = \gcd(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4)$.*

(i) *There is a divisor e of E such that*

$$e \geq c\sqrt{E}, \quad e | t_1 - \delta t_2$$

with an absolute constant $c > 0$ and with some $\delta \in \{-1, 1\}$.

(ii) *We have $E \leq |t_1 - t_2|(t_1 + t_2)$.*

Proof. Part (ii) follows at once from the fact that E divides $t_1^2 - t_2^2$, so it remains to show part (i). Let $p|E$ be a prime. Then $p^{\nu_p(E)}$ divides $(t_1 - t_2)(t_1 + t_2)$, so writing $\alpha := \nu_p(t_1 - t_2)$ and $\beta := \nu_p(t_1 + t_2)$ we have $\nu_p(E) \leq \alpha + \beta$. If $m = \min(\alpha, \beta)$, then $m \leq \nu_p(2t_1)$, so $2m \leq \nu_p(4t_1^2)$. But $0 < \nu_p(E) \leq \nu_p(4t_1^2 - 16)$, so if $m > 0$, then we must have $p = 2$. If $p = 2$ and $m > 2$, then we have $\nu_2(4t_1^2) > 4$, and so $\nu_2(4t_1^2 - 16) = 4$, hence $\nu_2(E) \leq 4$. It follows for every prime p that $p^{\nu_p(E)}$ divides either $16(t_1 - t_2)$ or $16(t_1 + t_2)$. Then there is a decomposition $E = e_1 e_2$ such that $\gcd(e_1, e_2) = 1$, and e_1 divides $16(t_1 - t_2)$, e_2 divides $16(t_1 + t_2)$. The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 3.5. *Let $3 \leq a < b \leq c \leq 2a$ be integers. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ we have the following two inequalities:*

$$\sum_{t_1=a}^{c-1} \sum_{a \leq t_2 \leq c-1, 0 < |t_2 - t_1| \leq b-a} S(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4) \ll_{\epsilon} a^{\epsilon} (c-a) a^{1/2} (b-a)^{1/2} \quad (3.17)$$

and

$$\sum_{t_1=a}^{c-1} \sum_{a \leq t_2 \leq c-1, 0 < |t_2 - t_1|} \frac{S(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4)}{\sqrt{|t_1 - t_2|}} \ll_{\epsilon} a^{\epsilon} (c-a) a^{1/2}. \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. The second inequality follows at once from the first one by using a dyadic subdivision. To prove the first one we may assume $b = c$, since the general case follows from this special case by dividing the summation over t_1 into $O\left(\frac{c-a}{b-a}\right)$ subsums.

So let $b = c$. Note that by Lemma 3.4 (ii) we have that $\gcd(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4) \ll (b - a)b$. Then by Lemma 3.4 (i) and by $S(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4) \leq \sqrt{\gcd(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4)}$ we have that the left-hand side of (3.17) is

$$\ll \sum_{t_1=a}^{b-1} \left(\sum_{E|t_1^2-4, E \ll (b-a)b} \sqrt{E} \sum_{e|E, e \geq c\sqrt{E}} \sum_{\delta \in \{-1, 1\}} \sum_{a \leq t_2 < b, e|t_2 - \delta t_1} 1 \right),$$

and for a given t_1 the bracket is

$$\ll \sum_{E|t_1^2-4, E \ll (b-a)b} \sqrt{E} \sum_{e|E, e \geq c\sqrt{E}} \left(1 + \frac{b-a}{e} \right) \ll b^\epsilon \left(\sqrt{(b-a)b} + b - a \right).$$

The lemma follows.

LEMMA 3.6. *Let $3 \leq a < b \leq 2a$ be integers. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ we have the following two inequalities:*

$$\sum_{t=a}^{b-1} \max \{k \geq 1 : k^2 | t^2 - 4\} \ll_\epsilon a^\epsilon a \sqrt{b-a} \quad (3.19)$$

and

$$\sum_{t_1=a}^{b-1} \sum_{t_2=a}^{b-1} S(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4) \ll_\epsilon a^\epsilon \left(a \sqrt{b-a} + a^{1/2} (b-a)^{3/2} \right). \quad (3.20)$$

Proof. Statement (3.20) follows at once from Lemma 3.5 and (3.19), so we deal only with (3.19). It is enough to show that for any integer $1 \leq K \leq 2a$ we have that

$$K \sum_{t=a}^{b-1} \sum_{k=K}^{2K} \sum_{d > 1, t^2 - 4 = dk^2} \mu^2(d) \ll_\epsilon a^\epsilon a \sqrt{b-a}. \quad (3.21)$$

A trivial upper bound for the left-hand side of (3.21) is $K(b-a)$.

Let d be fixed and assume $t^2 - 4 = dk^2$. Then $\alpha := \frac{t+k\sqrt{d}}{2}$ is an algebraic integer, since it is a root of the equation $x^2 - tx + 1$. We also see that α is a unit in the ring R of algebraic integers of the real quadratic field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. By the Dirichlet Unit Theorem there is a unit $1 < \epsilon \in R$ such that every unit of R has the form $\pm \epsilon^l$ with integer l . One has $\epsilon = \frac{a+b\sqrt{d}}{2}$ with integers a, b , where $b \neq 0$. Then $\epsilon^{-1} = \delta \frac{a-b\sqrt{d}}{2}$ with $\delta \in \{-1, 1\}$, hence $\epsilon = b\sqrt{d} + \delta \epsilon^{-1}$, so $\epsilon > \sqrt{d} - 1 \geq \sqrt{2} - 1$. But $\alpha = \epsilon^l$ with some positive integer l and $\alpha \leq t \leq 2a$. So we proved that for a fixed d there are at most $C \log a$ possibilities for the

pair (t, k) with an absolute constant C . We have $d \ll \frac{a^2}{K^2}$ on the left-hand side of (3.21), hence we finally get that the left-hand side of (3.21) is $\ll_\epsilon a^\epsilon \frac{a^2}{K}$.

So the left-hand side of (3.21) is $\ll_\epsilon a^\epsilon \min\left(K(b-a), \frac{a^2}{K}\right)$. This minimum here is clearly $\ll a\sqrt{b-a}$, and the lemma is proved.

LEMMA 3.7. *Let $t > 2$ be an integer and let $1 \leq A \ll t^2$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ we have that*

$$\sum_{f \in \mathbf{Z}, t^2-4-A \leq |f| < t^2-4} \frac{S(t^2-4, f^2)}{\sqrt{t^2-4-|f|}} \ll_\epsilon t^\epsilon \sqrt{A}.$$

Proof. The left-hand side is at most

$$\sum_{k^2 | t^2-4} k \sum_{g \in \mathbf{Z}, 0 < \frac{t^2-4}{k} - |g| \leq \frac{A}{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k} \sqrt{\frac{t^2-4}{k} - |g|}},$$

and the inner sum here is $\ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sqrt{\frac{A}{k}} = \frac{\sqrt{A}}{k}$. We used here that the inner sum is empty if $A < k$. The lemma is proved.

3.3. An upper bound for E_{t_1, t_2} . The following easy lemma will be enough for our reasoning.

LEMMA 3.8. *If $2 < t_1 \leq t_2$ are integers, then $E_{t_1, t_2} \ll_\epsilon t_2^{1+\epsilon}$ for every $\epsilon > 0$.*

Proof. If $(Q_1, Q_2) \in R_{t_1, t_2}$ and $\gamma_i \in \Gamma_{t_i}$ is such that $Q_{\gamma_i} = Q_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, then for γ_0 satisfying (2.4) we clearly have $|\log N(\gamma_0)| \leq |\log N(\gamma_2)| \ll \log t_2$. So it is enough to show that the number of $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ -equivalence classes of R_{t_1, t_2} is $\ll_\epsilon t_2^{1+\epsilon}$. If $Q_2 \in Q_{t_2^2-4}$ is given, then there are at most two possibilities for Q_1 to have $(Q_1, Q_2) \in R_{t_1, t_2}$, so it is enough to show that the number of $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ -equivalence classes of $Q_{t_2^2-4}$ is $\ll_\epsilon t_2^{1+\epsilon}$. But this is a well-known statement, it follows at once from [Bu], Proposition 3.3 and formula (3.1). The lemma is proved.

4. Identities and estimates for special functions.

In this section we consider the functions $I(t_1, t_2, F, m_1, m_2)$ and $J(t_1, t_2, m_1, m_2)$ defined in Lemma 2.1 for the special case when the functions m_i are characteristic functions of some intervals $[0, x_i]$ for $i = 1, 2$. This case will be important in our application. In the first subsection we prove an identity for this I -function for every $1 \neq F > 0$, in the second and

third subsections we use it to give estimates for the cases $F > 1$ and $F < 1$, respectively. In the last subsection we compute $J(t_1, t_2, m_1, m_2)$ for the above-mentioned special case.

4.1. Computing $I(t_1, t_2, F, m_1, m_2)$ when m_i are characteristic functions. For $S_0, T_0, F > 0, F \neq 1$ define

$$Z(S_0, T_0, F) := \int \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{S^2 + T^2 + 2FTS + 1 - F^2}} dSdT, \quad (4.1)$$

where we integrate over the set

$$\{(S, T) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : |S| \leq S_0, |T| \leq T_0, S^2 + T^2 + 2FTS + 1 - F^2 \geq 0\}.$$

It is clear that the I -function can be expressed by the Z -function in the case when m_i are characteristic functions.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $S_0, T_0, F > 0, F \neq 1$. We have*

$$Z(S_0, T_0, F) = 2J(S_0, T_0, F) + 2J(T_0, S_0, F),$$

where we write

$$J(S_0, T_0, F) := \int_{|y| \leq T_0/S_0, (1+y^2+2Fy)S_0^2 > F^2-1} \frac{\sqrt{(1+y^2+2Fy)S_0^2+1-F^2}}{1+y^2+2Fy} dy. \quad (4.2)$$

in the case $F > 1$, and

$$J(S_0, T_0, F) := \int_{|y| \leq T_0/S_0} \frac{\sqrt{(1+y^2+2Fy)S_0^2+1-F^2}}{1+y^2+2Fy} dy - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{1-F^2}}{1+y^2+2Fy} dy$$

in the case $F < 1$.

Proof. It is clear by the substitution $(S, T) \rightarrow (-S, -T)$ that the $S < 0$ and $S > 0$ parts of the integral (4.1) have the same value. For $S > 0$ we make the substitution $y = T/S$, and we get

$$Z(S_0, T_0, F) := 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int \frac{S}{\sqrt{S^2 + y^2 S^2 + 2FyS^2 + 1 - F^2}} dSdy,$$

where the inner integral is taken over the set

$$\{S \in \mathbf{R} : 0 \leq S \leq S_0, |Sy| \leq T_0, S^2 + y^2 S^2 + 2FyS^2 + 1 - F^2 > 0\}. \quad (4.3)$$

If $F < 1$, then the last condition is always true. If $F > 1$, then $1 + y^2 + 2Fy > 0$ should hold, otherwise (4.3) is empty. For a fixed y we integrate in S over the interval

$$\sqrt{\frac{F^2 - 1}{1 + y^2 + 2Fy}} \leq S \leq \min\left(S_0, \frac{T_0}{|y|}\right)$$

in the case $F > 1$, and we integrate over

$$0 \leq S \leq \min\left(S_0, \frac{T_0}{|y|}\right)$$

in the case $F < 1$. We consider separately the cases $|y| \leq T_0/S_0$ and $|y| \geq T_0/S_0$. We can compute the S -integral in every case. Making the substitution $y \rightarrow 1/y$ in the case $|y| \geq T_0/S_0$ we obtain the lemma.

4.2. The case $F > 1$. Our goal is to prove Lemmas 4.4 and 4.6, we will apply these lemmas in Section 6.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $S_0, T_0 > 0$ and $F > 1$. For the function $J(S_0, T_0, F)$ defined in (4.2) we have*

$$J(S_0, T_0, F) = \int_{H(S_0, T_0, F)} \frac{\sqrt{(1 + y^2 + 2Fy)S_0^2 + 1 - F^2}}{1 + y^2 + 2Fy} dy, \quad (4.4)$$

where the set $H(S_0, T_0, F)$ is defined as follows:

$$H(S_0, T_0, F) = \left[-\frac{T_0}{S_0}, \frac{T_0}{S_0}\right], \text{ if } \frac{T_0}{S_0} \leq 1, 1 < F \leq A(S_0, T_0), \quad (4.5)$$

$$H(S_0, T_0, F) = \left[-\frac{T_0}{S_0}, C(F, S_0)\right] \cup \left[D(F, S_0), \frac{T_0}{S_0}\right], \text{ if } \frac{T_0}{S_0} \geq 1, 1 < F \leq A(S_0, T_0), \quad (4.6)$$

$$H(S_0, T_0, F) = \left[D(F, S_0), \frac{T_0}{S_0}\right], \text{ if } A(S_0, T_0) \leq F \leq B(S_0, T_0), \quad (4.7)$$

$$H(S_0, T_0, F) = \emptyset, \text{ if } F \geq B(S_0, T_0), \quad (4.8)$$

where we write

$$A(S_0, T_0) := \sqrt{(1 + S_0^2)(1 + T_0^2)} - S_0T_0, \quad (4.9)$$

$$B(S_0, T_0) := \sqrt{(1 + S_0^2)(1 + T_0^2)} + S_0T_0, \quad (4.10)$$

$$C(F, S_0) := -F - \frac{\sqrt{F^2 - 1}\sqrt{1 + S_0^2}}{S_0}, \quad D(F, S_0) := -F + \frac{\sqrt{F^2 - 1}\sqrt{1 + S_0^2}}{S_0}.$$

We mean every statement in such a way that if we write an interval $[a, b]$, then this implicitly means that $a \leq b$.

Proof. One can check that $(1 + y^2 + 2Fy) S_0^2 > F^2 - 1$ holds if and only if $y < C(F, S_0)$ or $y > D(F, S_0)$. The following three claims can be checked by direct computation. For the proof of Claim 2 we use the obvious fact that $F - S_0 T_0 > -\sqrt{(1 + S_0^2)(1 + T_0^2)}$.

CLAIM 1. The sign of

$$\frac{\sqrt{F^2 - 1}\sqrt{1 + S_0^2}}{S_0} - \left| \frac{T_0}{S_0} - F \right|$$

equals the sign of $F - A(S_0, T_0)$.

CLAIM 2. The sign of

$$\frac{\sqrt{F^2 - 1}\sqrt{1 + S_0^2}}{S_0} - \left(\frac{T_0}{S_0} + F \right)$$

equals the sign of $F - B(S_0, T_0)$.

CLAIM 3. The sign of $\frac{T_0}{S_0} - 1$ equals the sign of $\frac{T_0}{S_0} - A(S_0, T_0)$.

We get from Claim 1 that if $\frac{T_0}{S_0} \leq 1$ and $1 < F \leq A(S_0, T_0)$, then $D(F, S_0) \leq -\frac{T_0}{S_0}$, and this gives (4.5).

If $\frac{T_0}{S_0} \geq 1$ and $1 < F \leq A(S_0, T_0)$, then we get from Claims 3 and 1 that

$$F + \frac{\sqrt{F^2 - 1}\sqrt{1 + S_0^2}}{S_0} \leq \frac{T_0}{S_0},$$

and this implies (4.6).

If $A(S_0, T_0) \leq F \leq B(S_0, T_0)$, then $-\frac{T_0}{S_0} \leq D(F, S_0) \leq \frac{T_0}{S_0}$ by Claims 1 and 2, and $C(F, S_0) \leq -\frac{T_0}{S_0}$ by Claim 1. This proves (4.7).

If $F \geq B(S_0, T_0)$, then $D(F, S_0) \geq \frac{T_0}{S_0}$ by Claim 2, and $C(F, S_0) \leq -\frac{T_0}{S_0}$ by Claim 1. This gives (4.8), and the lemma is proved.

LEMMA 4.3. Use the notations of Lemma 4.2. Write $A = 1 + \frac{1}{S_0^2}$, and for $0 < y < 1$ let

$$F(y) = F(S_0, y) := \int_0^y \frac{Ar^2}{(1 - r^2)(r^2 + A - 1)} dr. \quad (4.11)$$

Then for $1 < F \leq B(S_0, T_0)$ we have $J(S_0, T_0, F) = S_0(F(y_1) + \epsilon F(y_2))$, where

$$y_1 = y_1(S_0, T_0, F) := \sqrt{1 - \frac{(1 + S_0^2)(F^2 - 1)}{(T_0 + S_0 F)^2}},$$

$$y_2 = y_2(S_0, T_0, F) := \sqrt{1 - \frac{(1 + S_0^2)(F^2 - 1)}{(T_0 - S_0 F)^2}},$$

and $\epsilon = \epsilon(S_0, T_0, F)$ is defined as follows:

$$\epsilon = 1, \text{ if } \frac{T_0}{S_0} > 1, 1 < F \leq A(S_0, T_0),$$

$$\epsilon = -1, \text{ if } \frac{T_0}{S_0} < 1, 1 < F \leq A(S_0, T_0),$$

$$\epsilon = 0, \text{ if } A(S_0, T_0) < F \leq B(S_0, T_0).$$

We have $0 \leq y_i \leq 1$ and

$$1 - y_i \geq c_1 \frac{F - 1}{(1 + S_0)^{c_2} (1 + T_0)^{c_2}} \quad (4.12)$$

with some positive absolute constants c_1, c_2 for $i = 1, 2$ assuming $1 < F \leq B(S_0, T_0)$ for $i = 1$ and $1 < F \leq A(S_0, T_0)$ for $i = 2$.

Proof. Note that $1 < F \leq A(S_0, T_0)$ implies $\frac{T_0}{S_0} \neq 1$ by Claim 3, so ϵ is well-defined. We get the statement $0 \leq y_i \leq 1$ by Claims 1 and 2 above. Then (4.12) follows by easy estimates using the conditions $S_0, T_0 > 0$ and $1 < F \leq B(S_0, T_0)$.

To compute $J(S_0, T_0, F)$ we use (4.4). Note that this is the same formula as (4.2), but the integration set is given there explicitly. Use the substitution

$$r = r(y) = \sqrt{1 - \frac{A(F^2 - 1)}{(y + F)^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{1 + y^2 + 2Fy + (F^2 - 1)(1 - A)}{(y + F)^2}}.$$

We have a positive number under the square root by (4.2). It is clear by the conditions and by the definitions of $C(F, S_0)$ and $D(F, S_0)$ that the sign of $y + F$ is constant on each of the four intervals which are present in (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7), hence r is well-defined and strictly monotone on each of these intervals. It is easy to check that

$$\frac{\sqrt{1 + y^2 + 2Fy + (F^2 - 1)(1 - A)}}{1 + y^2 + 2Fy} \left| \frac{dy}{dr} \right| = \frac{Ar^2}{(1 - r^2)(r^2 + A - 1)}.$$

We have $r(C(F, S_0)) = r(D(F, S_0)) = 0$, hence by Lemma 4.2 we get the present lemma.

LEMMA 4.4. *Let $S_0 > 0$ and $0 < y < 1$. Then for the function $F(S_0, y)$ defined in Lemma 4.3 we have the following estimates:*

$$S_0 F(S_0, y) \ll S_0^3 y^3, \text{ if } S_0 \geq 1, 0 < y \leq \frac{1}{2S_0}, \quad (4.13)$$

$$S_0 F(S_0, y) \ll S_0 y, \text{ if } S_0 \geq 1, \frac{1}{2S_0} \leq y \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad (4.14)$$

$$S_0 F(S_0, y) \ll S_0 y^3, \text{ if } S_0 \leq 1, y \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad (4.15)$$

finally we have in every case that

$$F(S_0, y) \ll \log \frac{2}{1-y}. \quad (4.16)$$

Proof. We have by the definitions that

$$S_0 F(S_0, y) = S_0 \int_0^y \frac{(S_0^2 r^2 + r^2)}{(1-r^2)(S_0^2 r^2 + 1)} dr.$$

Every estimate follows easily, the lemma is proved.

We will use the following general identity. If F and G are smooth functions and $H(x) = F(G(x))$, then for every $j \geq 1$ we have

$$H^{(j)}(x) = \sum_{l=1}^j \sum_{k=(k_1, \dots, k_j) \in H_{j,l}} a_{j,l,k} F^{(l)}(G(x)) \prod_{i=1}^j \left(G^{(i)}(x)\right)^{k_i}, \quad (4.17)$$

with some constants $a_{j,l,k}$, where

$$H_{j,l} = \left\{ (k_1, \dots, k_j) \in \mathbf{Z}^j : k_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^j k_i = l, \sum_{i=1}^j i k_i = j \right\}. \quad (4.18)$$

This can be seen by induction using the chain rule.

LEMMA 4.5. *Let $S_0 > 0$, $A = 1 + \frac{1}{S_0^2}$.*

(i) *Recall the definition of $F(y)$ from (4.11). Write $\phi(t) = F\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$, then for every $j \geq 1$ and $t > 1$ we have*

$$\phi^{(j)}(t) \ll_j \frac{1}{t(t-1)^j}$$

uniformly in S_0 .

(ii) *For $Y > \sqrt{A}$ let $G(Y) = \frac{Y}{\sqrt{Y^2 - A}}$. Then for every $j \geq 1$ we have*

$$G^{(j)}(Y) \ll_j \left(\frac{Y}{Y^2 - A}\right)^j \frac{Y}{\sqrt{Y^2 - A}} \text{ for } \sqrt{A} < Y \leq 2\sqrt{A}, \quad (4.19)$$

$$G^{(j)}(Y) \ll_j \frac{A}{Y^{j+2}} \text{ for } Y \geq 2\sqrt{A}, \quad (4.20)$$

uniformly in S_0 .

(iii) For $Y > \sqrt{A}$ let $H(Y) = \phi(G(Y))$. Then for every $j \geq 1$ and $Y > \sqrt{A}$ we have

$$H^{(j)}(Y) \ll_j \frac{\sqrt{Y^2 - A}}{Y} \left(\frac{Y}{Y^2 - A} \right)^j$$

uniformly in S_0 .

Proof. By (4.11) and the substitution $r \rightarrow 1/r$ we have

$$\phi(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{A}{(r^2 - 1)(1 + r^2(A - 1))} dr.$$

The integrand here equals $\frac{1}{r^2 - 1} - \frac{1}{r^2 + S_0^2}$. Considering separately the cases $t \geq 2$ and $1 < t \leq 2$ we obtain (i) easily.

For the proof of (4.19) note that if $j \geq 1$, then $G^{(j)}(Y)$ is the linear combination of terms of the form $\frac{Y^l}{(\sqrt{Y^2 - A})^{j+l}}$, where $0 \leq l \leq j + 1$, and $j + l$ is odd. Here clearly $l = j + 1$ gives the largest term, and we get (4.19). Statement (4.20) follows easily from the Taylor expansion

$$G(Y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - AY^{-2}}} = 1 + \frac{A}{2Y^2} + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_m \frac{A^m}{Y^{2m}}, \quad (4.21)$$

where a_m are absolute constants such that $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |a_m| r^m < \infty$ for every $0 < r < 1$.

For the proof of (iii) we use (4.17), and we see that it is enough to estimate terms of the form

$$\phi^{(l)}(G(Y)) \prod_{i=1}^j \left(G^{(i)}(Y) \right)^{k_i}, \quad (4.22)$$

where $1 \leq l \leq j$, and k_i satisfy the conditions in (4.18).

If $Y \geq 2\sqrt{A}$, then $1 < G(Y) \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$, and we get from (i) and (4.20) that (4.22) is

$$\ll_j \frac{1}{(G(Y) - 1)^l} \prod_{i=1}^j \left(\frac{A}{Y^{i+2}} \right)^{k_i} = \left(\frac{A}{Y^2(G(Y) - 1)} \right)^l Y^{-j},$$

where we used the conditions in (4.18). We see from (4.21) that $1 \ll \frac{A}{Y^2(G(Y) - 1)} \ll 1$, so we get (iii) for $Y \geq 2\sqrt{A}$.

If $\sqrt{A} < Y \leq 2\sqrt{A}$, then $G(Y) \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$, and we get from (i) and (4.19) that (4.22) is

$$\ll_j \frac{1}{(G(Y))^{l+1}} \prod_{i=1}^j \left(\left(\frac{Y}{Y^2 - A} \right)^i \frac{Y}{\sqrt{Y^2 - A}} \right)^{k_i} = \frac{1}{G(Y)} \left(\frac{Y/G(Y)}{\sqrt{Y^2 - A}} \right)^l \left(\frac{Y}{Y^2 - A} \right)^j,$$

where we used the conditions in (4.18). By the definition of $G(Y)$ we get (iii) also for this case. The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 4.6. *Let $S_0 > 0$, $F > 1$, $t \geq 3$, $\tau \in \{-1, 1\}$ be given. If $x > t^2 - 4$, write*

$$T_0 = T_0(x) := \sqrt{\frac{x}{t^2 - 4} - 1} \quad (4.23)$$

and

$$R(x) := \frac{|\tau F S_0 + T_0(x)|}{S_0 \sqrt{F^2 - 1}}. \quad (4.24)$$

Let the number A and the function H be defined as in Lemma 4.5, and let us define $K(x) = H(R(x))$ for $x \in H_{S_0, F, t, \tau}$, where

$$H_{S_0, F, t, 1} := \{x > t^2 - 4 : F < B(S_0, T_0(x))\},$$

$$H_{S_0, F, t, -1} := \{x > t^2 - 4 : F < A(S_0, T_0(x))\}$$

(see (4.9) and (4.10)). Then K is well-defined. If $\tau = -1$, then $K(x)$ is a smooth function for $\{x \in H_{S_0, F, t, -1} : T_0(x) < S_0\}$ and also for $\{x \in H_{S_0, F, t, -1} : T_0(x) > S_0\}$. For every $j \geq 1$ and every x satisfying the above conditions we have

$$K^{(j)}(x) \ll_j (x - t^2 + 4)^{-j} \max \left(1, \left(\frac{T_0 |T_0 - F S_0|}{\sqrt{(S_0^2 + 1)(T_0^2 + 1)} (A(S_0, T_0) - F)} \right)^j \right)$$

for $\tau = -1$, and

$$K^{(j)}(x) \ll_j (x - t^2 + 4)^{-j} \max \left(1, \left(\frac{T_0 (T_0 + F S_0)}{\sqrt{(S_0^2 + 1)(T_0^2 + 1)} (B(S_0, T_0) - F)} \right)^j \right)$$

for $\tau = 1$.

Proof. First note that we see by Claims 1,2 that $R(x) > \sqrt{A}$, hence $K(x)$ is well-defined. Note also that for $\tau = -1$ we have $|-F S_0 + T_0| = F S_0 - T_0$ in the case $S_0 > T_0$, and $|-F S_0 + T_0| = T_0 - F S_0$ in the case $S_0 < T_0$. This follows by the conditions, using Claim 3. We cannot have $T_0 = S_0$ if $\tau = -1$, because $T_0 = S_0$ implies $A(S_0, T_0) = 1$, so $1 < F < A(S_0, T_0)$ is impossible. Hence if $\tau = -1$, then $R(x)$ is indeed a smooth function for $T_0 < S_0$, and also for $T_0 > S_0$, so we can speak about the derivatives of K .

We see from (4.17) that it is enough to estimate terms of the form

$$H^{(l)}(R(x)) \prod_{i=1}^j \left(R^{(i)}(x) \right)^{k_i}, \quad (4.25)$$

where $1 \leq l \leq j$, and k_i satisfy the conditions in (4.18). It is clear by the definitions that for $i \geq 1$ we have

$$R^{(i)}(x) \ll_i \frac{(x - t^2 + 4)^{\frac{1}{2}-i}}{S_0 \sqrt{t^2 - 4} \sqrt{F^2 - 1}}.$$

Hence, using also Lemma 4.5 (iii) we get that (4.25) is

$$\ll_j \frac{\sqrt{(R(x))^2 - A}}{R(x)} \left(\frac{R(x)}{(R(x))^2 - A} \right)^l \prod_{i=1}^j \left(\frac{(x - t^2 + 4)^{\frac{1}{2}-i}}{S_0 \sqrt{t^2 - 4} \sqrt{F^2 - 1}} \right)^{k_i},$$

and by the conditions in (4.18), using also (4.23) this equals

$$\frac{\sqrt{(R(x))^2 - A}}{R(x)} \left(\frac{R(x) T_0}{((R(x))^2 - A) S_0 \sqrt{F^2 - 1}} \right)^l (x - t^2 + 4)^{-j}.$$

Note that $\frac{\sqrt{(R(x))^2 - A}}{R(x)} \leq 1$, and it is easy to compute by (4.24) and $A = 1 + \frac{1}{S_0^2}$ that

$$S_0 \sqrt{F^2 - 1} \frac{(R(x))^2 - A}{R(x)} = \frac{(S_0^2 + 1)(T_0^2 + 1) - (F - \tau S_0 T_0)^2}{|\tau F S_0 + T_0|}.$$

The lemma is proved.

4.3. The case $F < 1$. Our goal is to prove Lemmas 4.7 and 4.10, we will apply these lemmas in Section 6. Recall $J(S_0, T_0, F)$ from Lemma 4.1.

LEMMA 4.7. *Let $0 < S_0, 0 < T_0 < T_0^*, 0 < F < 1$. We have*

$$J(S_0, T_0, F) + J(T_0, S_0, F) = K(S_0, T_0, F) + K(T_0, S_0, F), \quad (4.26)$$

where

$$K(S_0, T_0, F) := \int_{|y| \leq T_0/S_0} \frac{\sqrt{(1 + y^2 + 2Fy) S_0^2 + 1 - F^2} - \sqrt{1 - F^2}}{1 + y^2 + 2Fy} dy.$$

We also have that

$$K(S_0, T_0, F) \ll \frac{S_0 T_0}{\sqrt{1-F^2}}, \quad K(S_0, T_0^*, F) - K(S_0, T_0, F) \ll \frac{S_0(T_0^* - T_0)}{\sqrt{1-F^2}}. \quad (4.27)$$

Proof. The first statement follows from Lemma 4.1 and

$$\int_{|y| \leq T_0/S_0} \frac{dy}{1+y^2+2Fy} + \int_{|y| \leq S_0/T_0} \frac{dy}{1+y^2+2Fy} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{1+y^2+2Fy},$$

which follows by the substitution $y \rightarrow 1/y$. We have that

$$\frac{\sqrt{(1+y^2+2Fy)S_0^2+1-F^2} - \sqrt{1-F^2}}{1+y^2+2Fy} = \frac{S_0^2}{\sqrt{(1+y^2+2Fy)S_0^2+1-F^2} + \sqrt{1-F^2}},$$

and this is $\leq \frac{S_0^2}{\sqrt{1-F^2}}$. The lemma follows.

LEMMA 4.8. *Let $S_0, T_0 > 0$ and $F < 1$. Write $A = 1 + \frac{1}{S_0^2}$, and for $-1 < t < 1$ let*

$$V(t) = V(S_0, t) := \int_0^t \frac{A}{(1-r^2)(1+(A-1)r^2)} dr.$$

Then we have $J(S_0, T_0, F) = S_0(V(s_1) - V(s_2)) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{1-F^2}}{1+y^2+2Fy} dy$, where

$$s_1 = s_1(S_0, T_0, F) := \frac{S_0 F + T_0}{\sqrt{(S_0 F + T_0)^2 + (1 + S_0^2)(1 - F^2)}},$$

$$s_2 = s_2(S_0, T_0, F) := \frac{S_0 F - T_0}{\sqrt{(S_0 F - T_0)^2 + (1 + S_0^2)(1 - F^2)}}.$$

Proof. We use the substitution

$$r = r(y) = \frac{y + F}{\sqrt{(y + F)^2 + A(1 - F^2)}}$$

in the first integral in the definition of $J(S_0, T_0, F)$. It is easy to check that

$$\frac{\sqrt{1+y^2+2Fy+(1-F^2)(A-1)}}{1+y^2+2Fy} dy = \frac{A}{(1-r^2)(1+(A-1)r^2)} dr,$$

and the lemma follows.

LEMMA 4.9. *Let $S_0 > 0$, $A = 1 + \frac{1}{S_0^2}$.*

(i) For every $j \geq 1$ and $-1 < t < 1$ we have that

$$V^{(j)}(t) \ll_j (1 - |t|) \left(\frac{1}{|t| + S_0} + \frac{1}{1 - |t|} \right)^{j+1}$$

uniformly in S_0 .

(ii) For $-\infty < Y < \infty$ let $g(Y) = \frac{Y}{\sqrt{Y^2 + A}}$. Then for every $j \geq 1$ we have

$$g^{(j)}(Y) \ll_j \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \right)^j \text{ for } |Y| \leq 2\sqrt{A}, \quad (4.28)$$

$$g^{(j)}(Y) \ll_j \frac{A}{|Y|^{j+2}} \text{ for } |Y| \geq 2\sqrt{A}, \quad (4.29)$$

uniformly in S_0 .

(iii) For $-\infty < Y < \infty$ let $h(Y) = V(g(Y))$. Then for every $j \geq 1$ and $Y > 0$ we have

$$h^{(j)}(Y) \ll_j \sqrt{A} (1 + |Y|)^{-j}$$

uniformly in S_0 .

Proof. For the proof of (i) note that

$$\frac{A}{(1 - r^2)(1 + (A - 1)r^2)} = \frac{1}{1 - r^2} + \frac{1}{S_0^2 + r^2} = \frac{1}{1 - r^2} + \frac{1/2S_0}{S_0 + ir} + \frac{1/2S_0}{S_0 - ir}.$$

Considering first the case $|t| \geq \frac{1}{2}$, and then if $|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then considering separately $|t| \leq S_0$ and $|t| \geq S_0$ we get (i). In (ii) we can assume $Y \geq 0$, and then the proof of is completely similar to the proof of (ii) of Lemma 4.5.

For the proof of (iii) we use (4.17), and we see that it is enough to estimate terms of the form

$$V^{(l)}(g(Y)) \prod_{i=1}^j \left(g^{(i)}(Y) \right)^{k_i}, \quad (4.30)$$

where $1 \leq l \leq j$, and k_i satisfy the conditions in (4.18).

If $|Y| \geq 2\sqrt{A}$, then $|g(Y)| \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}$, and we get from (i) and (4.29) that (4.30) is

$$\ll_j \frac{1}{(1 - |g(Y)|)^l} \prod_{i=1}^j \left(\frac{A}{|Y|^{i+2}} \right)^{k_i} = \left(\frac{A}{|Y|^2 (1 - |g(Y)|)} \right)^l |Y|^{-j},$$

where we used the conditions in (4.18). It is easy to see that $1 \ll \frac{A}{|Y|^2(1-|g(Y)|)} \ll 1$, so taking into account $A \geq 1$ we get (iii) for $|Y| \geq 2\sqrt{A}$. If $|Y| \leq 2\sqrt{A}$, then $|g(Y)| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}$, and we get from (i) and (4.28) that (4.30) is

$$\ll_j \left(\frac{1}{|g(Y)| + S_0} + 1 \right)^{l+1} \prod_{i=1}^j \left(\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \right)^i \right)^{k_i} = \left(\frac{1}{|g(Y)| + S_0} + 1 \right)^{l+1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \right)^j,$$

where we used the conditions in (4.18). If $S_0 \gg 1$, then $1 \ll A \ll 1$, and we get (iii). If $S_0 \ll 1$, then $\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \ll S_0 \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}}$, $\frac{|Y|}{\sqrt{A}} \ll |g(Y)| \ll \frac{|Y|}{\sqrt{A}}$, and

$$\left(\frac{1}{|g(Y)| + S_0} + 1 \right)^{l+1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \right)^j \ll \left(\frac{1}{|g(Y)| + S_0} \right) \left(\frac{1}{|g(Y)|\sqrt{A} + S_0\sqrt{A}} \right)^j.$$

The lemma follows.

LEMMA 4.10. *Let $S_0 > 0$, $F < 1$, $t \geq 3$, $\tau \in \{-1, 1\}$ be given. If $x > t^2 - 4$, write*

$$T_0 = T_0(x) := \sqrt{\frac{x}{t^2 - 4} - 1} \quad (4.31)$$

and

$$r(x) := \frac{FS_0 + \tau T_0}{S_0\sqrt{1 - F^2}}. \quad (4.32)$$

Let the number A and the function h be defined as in Lemma 4.9, and let us define $k(x) = h(r(x))$ for every x satisfying $x > t^2 - 4$. Then for every $j \geq 1$ and every $x > t^2 - 4$ we have

$$k^{(j)}(x) \ll_j \sqrt{A} (x - t^2 + 4)^{-j} \max \left(1, \left(\frac{T_0}{(S_0\sqrt{1 - F^2} + |\tau FS_0 + T_0|)} \right)^j \right).$$

Proof. We see from (4.17) that it is enough to estimate terms of the form

$$h^{(l)}(r(x)) \prod_{i=1}^j \left(r^{(i)}(x) \right)^{k_i}, \quad (4.33)$$

where $1 \leq l \leq j$, and k_i satisfy the conditions in (4.18). It is clear by the definitions that for $i \geq 1$ we have

$$r^{(i)}(x) \ll_i \frac{(x - t^2 + 4)^{\frac{1}{2} - i}}{S_0\sqrt{t^2 - 4}\sqrt{1 - F^2}}.$$

Hence, using also Lemma 4.9 (iii) we get that (4.33) is

$$\ll_j \sqrt{A} (1 + |r(x)|)^{-l} \prod_{i=1}^j \left(\frac{(x - t^2 + 4)^{\frac{1}{2}-i}}{S_0 \sqrt{t^2 - 4} \sqrt{1 - F^2}} \right)^{k_i}.$$

Using the conditions in (4.18) and the relations (4.31), (4.32) we obtain the lemma.

4.4. Computing $J(t_1, t_2, m_1, m_2)$ when m_i are characteristic functions. For $x > 0$ introduce the notation

$$k_x(y) = 1 \text{ for } 0 \leq y \leq x, \quad k_x(y) = 0 \text{ for } y > x. \quad (4.34)$$

LEMMA 4.11. *Let $t_i > 2$ and $x_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $J(t_1, t_2, k_{x_1/4}, k_{x_2/4})$ is nonzero only if $x_i > t_i^2 - 4$ for $i = 1, 2$. Assuming that this is true, we have*

$$J(t_1, t_2, k_{x_1/4}, k_{x_2/4}) = 2 \frac{\sqrt{1-m}}{\sqrt{m}},$$

where $m := \max\left(\frac{t_1^2-4}{x_1}, \frac{t_2^2-4}{x_2}\right)$.

Proof. The statement is trivial for $m \geq 1$, so let us assume $m < 1$. Then by definition we have

$$J(t_1, t_2, k_{x_1/4}, k_{x_2/4}) = \int_{-\arccos(\sqrt{m})}^{\arccos(\sqrt{m})} \frac{d\theta}{\cos^2 \theta} = 2 \frac{\sin(\arccos(\sqrt{m}))}{\cos(\arccos(\sqrt{m}))}.$$

The lemma is proved.

5. First steps of the proof.

We introduce some notations. Let η_0 be a given nonnegative smooth function on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\eta_0(\tau) = 0$ for $\tau \notin [1, 2]$, and

$$\int_1^2 \eta_0(\tau) d\tau = 1. \quad (5.1)$$

Recall the definition of $k_x(y)$ from (4.34). For $x > 0$, $D > 0$, define

$$k_{x,D}(y) := \frac{1}{D} \int_D^{2D} \eta_0\left(\frac{\tau}{D}\right) k_x(y + \tau) d\tau \quad (5.2)$$

for $y \geq 0$. We will use also the notations of Theorem 1.2.

5.1. A spectral estimate. Our aim is to prove Lemma 5.2, which result will show that for a smoothed version of the hyperbolic circle problem one can give a good estimate by spectral methods.

If m is a compactly supported bounded function on $[0, \infty)$, let (see [I], (1.62))

$$g_m(a) = 2q_m \left(\frac{e^a + e^{-a} - 2}{4} \right), \text{ where } q_m(v) = \int_0^\infty \frac{m(v+\tau)}{\sqrt{\tau}} d\tau, \quad (5.3)$$

and for any complex r let

$$h_m(r) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty g_m(a) e^{ira} da. \quad (5.4)$$

For simplicity introduce the abbreviations $h_x = h_{k_x}$ and $h_{x,D} = h_{k_{x,D}}$ (see (5.3) and (5.4)).

LEMMA 5.1. *Assume $1 < D < x/10$. For every integer $j \geq 0$ we have for $r \geq 1$ that*

$$|h_{x,D}(r)| \ll_j \frac{x^{1/2}}{r^{3/2}} \left(1 + \frac{x}{Dr}\right)^j + \frac{x^{1/2}}{r^{5/2}}. \quad (5.5)$$

We also have for every real r that

$$|h_{x,D}(r)| \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x. \quad (5.6)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$h_{x,D} \left(\frac{i}{2} \right) = 4\pi x - 4\pi D \int_1^2 \eta_0(\tau) \tau d\tau. \quad (5.7)$$

Proof. It is easy to see by (5.3), (5.4) and (5.2) that

$$h_{x,D}(r) = \frac{1}{D} \int_D^{2D} \eta_0 \left(\frac{\tau}{D} \right) h_{x-\tau}(r) d\tau \quad (5.8)$$

for every complex r . Now we apply Lemma 2.4 of [C] for the function $h_{x-\tau}(r)$ choosing $R = R(\tau)$ in that lemma in such a way that

$$\frac{\cosh R(\tau)}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = x - \tau \quad (5.9)$$

holds. Applying part (d) of that lemma we see that $h_{x-\tau} \left(\frac{i}{2} \right) = 4\pi(x - \tau)$, and taking into account (5.1) we get (5.7). The estimate (5.6) follows from a trivial estimation of (2.6) of

[C]. Finally, for the proof of (5.5) we apply part (a) of Lemma 2.4 of [C]. Applying it in (5.8) we get for $r \geq 1$ that

$$h_{x,D}(r) = \frac{2\sqrt{2\pi}}{r^{3/2}D} \int_D^{2D} \eta_0\left(\frac{\tau}{D}\right) \sqrt{\sinh R(\tau)} \cos\left(rR(\tau) - \frac{3\pi}{4}\right) d\tau + O\left(\frac{x^{1/2}}{r^{5/2}}\right).$$

By the substitution $R = R(\tau)$, using (5.9) this equals

$$\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{r^{3/2}D} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \eta_0\left(\frac{1+2x-\cosh R}{2D}\right) (\sinh R)^{3/2} \cos\left(rR - \frac{3\pi}{4}\right) dR + O\left(\frac{x^{1/2}}{r^{5/2}}\right),$$

where $\cosh R_1 = 1 + 2x - 4D$, $\cosh R_2 = 1 + 2x - 2D$. Repeated partial integration gives (5.5). The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 5.2. *Assume $1 < D < x/10$ and $z \in \Omega$. Then*

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} k_{x,D}(u(\gamma z, z)) = 12x - 12D \int_1^2 \eta_0(\tau) \tau d\tau + O_\Omega\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{D}} + x^{1/2} \log x\right). \quad (5.10)$$

Proof. It is clear by (5.3) and (5.4) that the function $h_{k_{x,D}}(r)$ satisfies condition (1.63) of [I], i.e it is even, it is holomorphic in the strip $|\operatorname{Im} r| \leq \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$ and $h_{k_{x,D}}(r) = O\left((1 + |r|)^{-2-\epsilon}\right)$ in this strip for some $\epsilon > 0$. Then we get from Theorem 7.4 of [I], using again the abbreviation $h_{x,D} = h_{k_{x,D}}$ that the left-hand side of (5.10) equals

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_{x,D}(t_j) |u_j(z)|^2 + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_{x,D}(r) \left| E\left(z, \frac{1}{2} + ir\right) \right|^2 dr$$

for any $z \in \mathbb{H}$, where $E(z, s)$ is the Eisenstein series for $\Gamma = PSL_2(\mathbf{Z})$, see [I], Chapter 3. Since for the fundamental domain \mathcal{F} defined in (1.2) we have $\hat{\text{vol}}(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{\pi}{3}$ (see [I], (6.33) and (3.26)), so $|u_0(z)|^2$ equals $\frac{3}{\pi}$ for every z . Then by Lemma 5.1 above and by [I], Proposition 7.2 we get the lemma.

5.2. Nonhyperbolic elements. We give an easy estimate for the contribution of the nonhyperbolic elements in the hyperbolic circle problems.

LEMMA 5.3. *Let $z \in \Omega$ and $X > 2$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ we have that*

$$\left| \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in PSL_2(\mathbf{Z}) : |a+d| \leq 2, 4u\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z, z\right) \leq X-2 \right\} \right| \ll_{\Omega, \epsilon} X^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}. \quad (5.11)$$

Proof. First note that by [I], (1.9) and (1.11) we have

$$4u \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z, z \right) = \frac{|cz^2 + (d-a)z - b|^2}{\operatorname{Im}^2 z}.$$

It is easy to compute that if $z = x + iy$, then we have

$$\operatorname{Im} (cz^2 + (d-a)z - b) = 2cxy + (d-a)y,$$

$$\operatorname{Re} (cz^2 + (d-a)z - b) = c(x^2 - y^2) + (d-a)x - b.$$

Hence if $z \in \Omega$, then the second inequality in (5.11) gives

$$2cx + d - a \ll_{\Omega} \sqrt{X}, \tag{5.12}$$

$$c(x^2 + y^2) + b \ll_{\Omega} \sqrt{X}. \tag{5.13}$$

By the first inequality in (5.11) we get from (5.12) that

$$d = -cx + O_{\Omega}(\sqrt{X}), \quad a = cx + O_{\Omega}(\sqrt{X}),$$

and from these relations and (5.13) we get

$$1 = ad - bc = -c^2x^2 + c^2(x^2 + y^2) + O_{\Omega}(\sqrt{X}(\sqrt{X} + |c|)).$$

This implies $c = O_{\Omega}(\sqrt{X})$, and so (5.12) gives $d - a \ll_{\Omega} \sqrt{X}$. Then there are $O_{\Omega}(\sqrt{X})$ possibilities for the pair (a, d) . If a and d are given with $ad \neq 1$, then $bc = ad - 1$ implies that there are $O_{\epsilon}(X^{\epsilon})$ possibilities for the pair (b, c) . Finally, if $ad = 1$, then $bc = 0$, and so (5.13) implies that there are $\ll_{\Omega} \sqrt{X}$ possibilities for the pair (b, c) . The lemma is proved.

5.3. Reduction to the estimation of a square integral on the fundamental domain. For simplicity let us write $N(z, X) = N(z, z, X)$. Let us take an integer $J \geq 2$, it will be fixed but we will choose it sufficiently large. Let d be a parameter that will be chosen optimally later, at the moment we assume that $d \geq 100$ and $100Jd \leq X$.

Let us define

$$N_{d,J}(z, X) := \sum_{j=0}^J (-1)^j \binom{J}{j} \int_1^2 \eta_0(\tau) N(z, X - jd\tau) d\tau. \tag{5.14}$$

Then using (5.1) we see that $N_{d,J}(z, X)$ equals

$$N(z, X) + \sum_{j=1}^J (-1)^j \binom{J}{j} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_1^2 \eta_0(\tau) k_{(X-2)/4} \left(u(\gamma z, z) + \frac{j d \tau}{4} \right) d\tau,$$

which equals

$$N(z, X) + \sum_{j=1}^J (-1)^j \binom{J}{j} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} k_{(X-2)/4, jd/4} (u(\gamma z, z))$$

by (5.2). Applying Lemma 5.2 this equals

$$N(z, X) + O_{\Omega, J} \left(\frac{X}{\sqrt{d}} + X^{1/2} \log X \right) + \sum_{j=1}^J (-1)^j \binom{J}{j} \left(3X - 3jd \int_1^2 \eta_0(\tau) \tau d\tau \right)$$

for $z \in \Omega$. Now, $\sum_{j=1}^J (-1)^j \binom{J}{j} = -1$, $\sum_{j=1}^J (-1)^j j \binom{J}{j} = 0$, which follows from the binomial theorem taking into account that $j \binom{J}{j} = J \binom{J-1}{j-1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq J$. Hence we proved that for $z \in \Omega$ we have

$$N_{d,J}(z, X) = N(z, X) - 3X + O_{\Omega, J} \left(\frac{X}{\sqrt{d}} + X^{1/2} \log X \right). \quad (5.15)$$

Recalling the notation $M_{t,m}$ from Section 2 we get from Lemma 5.3 that

$$N(z, X) = O_{\Omega, \epsilon} \left(X^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \right) + \sum_{t > 2} M_{t, k_{(X-2)/4}}(z)$$

for $z \in \Omega$, $X > 2$ and for any $\epsilon > 0$. Hence by (5.14) we see that

$$N_{d,J}(z, X) = O_{\Omega, \epsilon, J} \left(X^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \right) + \int_1^2 \eta_0(\tau) \left(\sum_{t > 2} \sum_{j=0}^J (-1)^j \binom{J}{j} M_{t, k_{(X-jd\tau-2)/4}}(z) \right) d\tau$$

for $z \in \Omega$, $\epsilon > 0$. By Cauchy-Schwarz we have that

$$\left(\int_1^2 \eta_0(\tau) \left(\sum_{t > 2} \sum_{j=0}^J (-1)^j \binom{J}{j} M_{t, k_{(X-jd\tau-2)/4}}(z) \right) d\tau \right)^2$$

is

$$\ll \int_1^2 \left(\sum_{t > 2} \sum_{j=0}^J (-1)^j \binom{J}{j} M_{t, k_{(X-jd\tau-2)/4}}(z) \right)^2 d\tau.$$

Hence, using also (5.15) we finally get that

$$\int_{\Omega} (N(z, X) - 3X)^2 d\mu_z \quad (5.16)$$

is \ll

$$O_{\Omega, \epsilon, J} \left(\frac{X^2}{d} + X^{1+\epsilon} \right) + \int_1^2 \int_{\mathcal{F}} \left(\sum_{t>2} \sum_{j=0}^J (-1)^j \binom{J}{j} M_{t, k_{(X-jd\tau-2)/4}}(z) \right)^2 d\mu_z d\tau \quad (5.17)$$

if $\epsilon > 0$, $d \geq 100$ and $100Jd \leq X$.

We will show in Section 6 that if $\epsilon > 0$ is given and the integer $J \geq 2$ is fixed to be large enough in terms of ϵ , then we have

$$\int_F \left(\sum_{t>2} \sum_{j=0}^J (-1)^j \binom{J}{j} M_{t, k_{(X-jd\tau-2)/4}}(z) \right)^2 d\mu_z \ll_{\epsilon} X^{\epsilon} \frac{d^{5/2}}{\sqrt{X}} \quad (5.18)$$

uniformly for $1 \leq \tau \leq 2$ and

$$X^{2/3} \leq d \leq X^{99/100}. \quad (5.19)$$

Assume that (5.18) is true. Then we see from (5.16) and (5.17) that (5.16) equals

$$O_{\Omega, \epsilon} \left(\frac{X^2}{d} + X^{\epsilon} \frac{d^{5/2}}{\sqrt{X}} \right) \quad (5.20)$$

for any d satisfying (5.19). Note that we choose J in terms of ϵ , so we do not have to denote the dependence on J in (5.20). Choosing $d = X^{5/7}$ we obtain Theorem 1.2. So it is enough to show the estimate (5.18).

6. Conclusion.

The goal of this section is to prove the estimate (5.18).

6.1. Application of Lemma 2.1 and basic observations. It is easy to see that if $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbf{R})$ and the trace of γ is $t > 2$, then we have $u(\gamma z, z) \geq \frac{t^2-4}{4}$ for every $z \in \mathbb{H}$. Therefore, the contribution of the terms $t > \sqrt{X+2}$ to the sum (5.18) is 0. We can take integers $1 \leq I \ll \log X$ and

$$3 = a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_I < 1 + \sqrt{X+2} \leq a_{I+1} < 2 + \sqrt{X+2} \quad (6.1)$$

such that

$$a_{i+1} \leq \frac{3}{2}a_i, \quad 3 + \sqrt{X+2} - a_i \leq 2 \left(3 + \sqrt{X+2} - a_{i+1} \right) \quad (6.2)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq I$. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get for every $1 \leq \tau \leq 2$ that

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}} \left(\sum_{t>2} \sum_{j=0}^J (-1)^j \binom{J}{j} M_{t, k_{(X-jd\tau-2)/4}}(z) \right)^2 d\mu_z \ll \log X \sum_{i=1}^I U_i \quad (6.3)$$

with

$$U_i = U_i(\tau) := \int_{\mathcal{F}} \left(\sum_{t=a_i}^{a_{i+1}-1} \sum_{j=0}^J (-1)^j \binom{J}{j} M_{t, k_{(X-jd\tau-2)/4}}(z) \right)^2 d\mu_z. \quad (6.4)$$

By Lemma 2.1 we have for every $1 \leq i \leq I$ that U_i equals the sum of

$$\sum_{t_1=a_i}^{a_{i+1}-1} \sum_{t_2=a_i}^{a_{i+1}-1} E_{t_1, t_2} S_{t_1, t_2}, \quad (6.5)$$

$$\sum_{t_1=a_i}^{a_{i+1}-1} \sum_{t_2=a_i}^{a_{i+1}-1} \sum_{f \in \mathbf{Z}, f^2 < (t_1^2-4)(t_2^2-4)} h(t_1^2-4, t_2^2-4, f) R_{t_1, t_2, f} \quad (6.6)$$

and

$$\sum_{t_1=a_i}^{a_{i+1}-1} \sum_{t_2=a_i}^{a_{i+1}-1} \sum_{f \in \mathbf{Z}, f^2 > (t_1^2-4)(t_2^2-4)} h(t_1^2-4, t_2^2-4, f) R_{t_1, t_2, f} \quad (6.7)$$

with the abbreviations

$$a_{j_1, j_2} := (-1)^{j_1+j_2} \binom{J}{j_1} \binom{J}{j_2}, \quad (6.8)$$

$$S_{t_1, t_2} := \sum_{j_1=0}^J \sum_{j_2=0}^J a_{j_1, j_2} J(t_1, t_2, k_{(X-j_1d\tau-2)/4}, k_{(X-j_2d\tau-2)/4}) \quad (6.9)$$

and

$$R_{t_1, t_2, f} := \sum_{j_1=0}^J \sum_{j_2=0}^J a_{j_1, j_2} I \left(t_1, t_2, \frac{f}{\sqrt{t_1^2-4}\sqrt{t_2^2-4}}, k_{(X-j_1d\tau-2)/4}, k_{(X-j_2d\tau-2)/4} \right). \quad (6.10)$$

By (2.5) and Lemma 4.11 we see that the I and J functions involved in (6.9) and (6.10) can be nonzero only in the case

$$t_1^2 - 4 \leq X - j_1d\tau - 2, \quad t_2^2 - 4 \leq X - j_2d\tau - 2. \quad (6.11)$$

If (6.11) is true, then by (2.5) and (4.1) we have that

$$I \left(t_1, t_2, \frac{f}{\sqrt{t_1^2 - 4}\sqrt{t_2^2 - 4}}, k_{(X-j_1 d\tau-2)/4}, k_{(X-j_2 d\tau-2)/4} \right) = Z(S_0, T_0, F), \quad (6.12)$$

and by Lemma 4.11 we have that

$$J(t_1, t_2, k_{(X-j_1 d\tau-2)/4}, k_{(X-j_2 d\tau-2)/4}) = 2 \min(S_0, T_0), \quad (6.13)$$

where we use the abbreviations

$$S_0 = S_0(j_1, t_1) = \sqrt{\frac{X - j_1 d\tau - 2}{t_1^2 - 4} - 1}, \quad T_0 = T_0(j_2, t_2) = \sqrt{\frac{X - j_2 d\tau - 2}{t_2^2 - 4} - 1}, \quad (6.14)$$

$$F = F(t_1, t_2, f) = \left| \frac{f}{\sqrt{t_1^2 - 4}\sqrt{t_2^2 - 4}} \right|. \quad (6.15)$$

We now consider the sum (6.7). Assume that (6.11) holds. Then by Lemma 4.1 and by (4.8) we see that (6.12) can be nonzero only if

$$|f| < B(S_0, T_0) \sqrt{t_1^2 - 4}\sqrt{t_2^2 - 4}. \quad (6.16)$$

If (6.11) is true and (6.16) holds for some f in (6.7), then we have

$$\frac{|f|}{\sqrt{t_1^2 - 4}\sqrt{t_2^2 - 4}} - 1 \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_1^2 - 4}\sqrt{t_2^2 - 4} (|f| + \sqrt{t_1^2 - 4}\sqrt{t_2^2 - 4})} \gg X^{-c} \quad (6.17)$$

with some absolute constant c . If (6.11) holds, then we determine (6.12) by Lemmas 4.1 and 4.3. In some cases we will apply the upper bounds of Lemma 4.4. By (6.17) and (4.12) we see that when we apply these lemmas for the estimation of (6.12) we always have $\log \frac{1}{1-y} \ll \log X$. So we get assuming (6.11) that for any f in (6.7) we have that (6.12) is

$$\ll \left(\sqrt{\frac{X - j_1 d\tau - 2}{t_1^2 - 4} - 1} + \sqrt{\frac{X - j_2 d\tau - 2}{t_2^2 - 4} - 1} \right) \log X. \quad (6.18)$$

We note finally that if $a_i \leq t_1, t_2 < a_{i+1}$ for some i , then we have

$$(t_1 t_2 - 5)^2 + \frac{t_1 t_2}{6} < (t_1^2 - 4)(t_2^2 - 4) \leq (t_1 t_2 - 4)^2, \quad (6.19)$$

since by the assumption $a_{i+1} \leq \frac{3}{2}a_i$ made in (6.2) we have $\frac{2}{3} \leq \frac{t_2}{t_1} \leq \frac{3}{2}$, and we also have $t_1 t_2 \geq 9$. So there is an absolute constant $c_0 > 0$ such that if $a_i \leq t_1, t_2 < a_{i+1}$, then

$$(t_1 t_2 - 5) + c_0 \leq \sqrt{(t_1^2 - 4)(t_2^2 - 4)} \leq t_1 t_2 - 4. \quad (6.20)$$

6.2. The case of very large a_i . Assume that we have

$$\sqrt{X+2} - a_i = O\left(\frac{d}{\sqrt{X}}X^\delta\right) \quad (6.21)$$

for some $\delta > 0$ which is chosen small enough in terms of ϵ . Consider first (6.7). Since it is easy to see that $B(S, T) - 1 \leq S^2 + T^2$ for any $S, T \geq 0$, so the number of integers f in (6.7) satisfying (6.16) is $\ll 1 + \sqrt{t_1^2 - 4}\sqrt{t_2^2 - 4} \left(\left(\frac{X - j_1 d \tau - 2}{t_1^2 - 4} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{X - j_2 d \tau - 2}{t_2^2 - 4} - 1 \right) \right) \ll dX^\delta$, where in the last step we used (6.21), (6.1) and (6.2). On the other hand, we get by (6.11), (6.12), (6.18), (6.21) and (6.2) that (6.10) is always $\ll_\delta \frac{\sqrt{d}}{\sqrt{X}}X^\delta$ for every such f . Hence for i satisfying (6.21) we have, applying also Lemma 3.1, (3.20) and (6.21) that (6.7) is

$$\ll_\delta X^{3\delta} \frac{d^{3/2}}{\sqrt{X}} \sum_{t_1=a_i}^{a_{i+1}-1} \sum_{t_2=a_i}^{a_{i+1}-1} S(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4) \ll_\delta X^{5\delta} d^{3/2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{d}{\sqrt{X}}} + \frac{\left(\frac{d}{\sqrt{X}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{X^{1/4}} \right),$$

where we used (6.1), (6.2). By (6.3) we see that its contribution is acceptable in (5.18).

We now consider (6.6). We get by (6.11), (6.12), Lemma 4.1, (4.26), the first relation in (4.27) and (6.21) that (6.10) is $\ll_\delta \frac{X^\delta d}{\sqrt{(t_1^2 - 4)(t_2^2 - 4) - f^2}}$. Hence for i satisfying (6.21) we have, applying also Lemma 3.1 that (6.6) is

$$\ll_\delta X^{2\delta} d \sum_{t_1=a_i}^{a_{i+1}-1} \sum_{t_2=a_i}^{a_{i+1}-1} \sum_{f \in \mathbf{Z}, f^2 < (t_1^2 - 4)(t_2^2 - 4)} \frac{S(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4, f^2)}{\sqrt{(t_1^2 - 4)(t_2^2 - 4) - f^2}}. \quad (6.22)$$

In the $t_1 \neq t_2$ part we use $S(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4, f^2) \leq S(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4)$, and we easily see that the sum over f in (6.22) is $\ll S(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4)$. Then applying (3.17) with $b = c$ and (6.21) we see that the $t_1 \neq t_2$ part of (6.22) is $\ll_\delta X^{3\delta} d \sqrt{a_i} \left(\frac{d}{\sqrt{X}} X^\delta \right)^{3/2} \ll_\delta X^{5\delta} \frac{d^{5/2}}{\sqrt{X}}$, which is acceptable in (5.18). For the $t_1 = t_2$ part of (6.22) we use Lemma 3.7 and we get that the sum over f in (6.22) is $\ll_\delta X^\delta$, and so the $t_1 = t_2$ part of (6.22) is $\ll_\delta X^{3\delta} d \left(\frac{d}{\sqrt{X}} X^\delta \right)$,

which is smaller than our estimate for the $t_1 \neq t_2$ part. So we proved that assuming (6.21) the contribution of (6.6) is also acceptable in (5.18).

Assuming (6.21) in (6.5) we clearly have that $\min(S_0, T_0) \ll_\delta X^\delta \frac{\sqrt{d}}{\sqrt{X}}$, hence using also (6.9), (6.13) and Lemma 3.8 we get that (6.5) is $\ll_\delta \frac{X^{2\delta} \sqrt{d}}{\sqrt{X}} \left(\frac{d}{\sqrt{X}} X^\delta \right)^2 \sqrt{X} = \frac{X^{4\delta} d^{5/2}}{X}$. Hence in the case of (6.21) we get that the contribution of U_i in (5.18) is acceptable. We may assume from now on that

$$\sqrt{X+2} - a_i > X^{\delta_0} \frac{d}{\sqrt{X}} \quad (6.23)$$

with some $\delta_0 > 0$, which is fixed in terms of ϵ .

6.3. Easy consequences of (6.23). Observe that (6.23) implies the relations

$$\frac{X^{1/4} \sqrt{\sqrt{X} - a_i}}{a_i} \ll S_0(j, t_1), T_0(j, t_2) \ll \frac{X^{1/4} \sqrt{\sqrt{X} - a_i}}{a_i}, \quad (6.24)$$

$$\frac{X}{a_i^2} \ll 1 + S_0^2(j, t_1), 1 + T_0^2(j, t_2) \ll \frac{X}{a_i^2}, \quad (6.25)$$

$$T_0(j_1, t) - T_0(j_2, t) = O\left(\frac{d}{X^{1/4} a_i \sqrt{\sqrt{X} - a_i}}\right) = S_0(j_1, t) - S_0(j_2, t) \quad (6.26)$$

and

$$S_0(j_1, t_1) - T_0(j_2, t_2) = \frac{X(t_2^2 - t_1^2)((t_1^2 - 4)(t_2^2 - 4))^{-1}}{S_0(j_1, t_1) + T_0(j_2, t_2)} + O\left(\frac{dX^{-1/4}}{\sqrt{\sqrt{X} - a_i a_i}}\right) \quad (6.27)$$

for every real numbers $0 \leq j_1, j_2, j \leq J$ and integers $a_i \leq t_1, t_2, t \leq a_{i+1}$.

We have in general that $\frac{d}{dT}(\sqrt{1+S^2}\sqrt{1+T^2} + ST) = \frac{\sqrt{1+S^2}T}{\sqrt{1+T^2}} + S$ and

$$\frac{d}{dT}(\sqrt{1+S^2}\sqrt{1+T^2} - ST) = \frac{\sqrt{1+S^2}T}{\sqrt{1+T^2}} - S = \frac{(T-S)(T+S)}{\sqrt{1+T^2}(\sqrt{1+S^2}T + \sqrt{1+T^2}S)},$$

hence we get by (6.24)-(6.27) and the mean-value theorem that

$$B(S_0(j_1, t_1), T_0(j, t_2)) - B(S_0(j_1, t_1), T_0(0, t_2)) \ll \frac{d}{a_i^2} \quad (6.28)$$

and

$$A(S_0(j_1, t_1), T_0(j, t_2)) - A(S_0(j_1, t_1), T_0(0, t_2)) \ll \left(\frac{|t_2 - t_1|}{a_i} + \frac{d}{X}\right) \frac{d}{X - a_i^2} \quad (6.29)$$

for every real numbers $0 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq J$ and integers $a_i \leq t_1, t_2 \leq a_{i+1}$.

We will also need later the easily proved general identity

$$\frac{T_0}{S_0} - A(S_0, T_0) = \frac{(1 + S_0^2)(T_0^2 - S_0^2)}{S_0 T_0 (1 + S_0^2) + S_0^2 \sqrt{(1 + S_0^2)(1 + T_0^2)}}. \quad (6.30)$$

This easily implies by (6.24) and (6.25) that assuming (6.23) we have

$$\left| \frac{T_0}{S_0} - 1 \right| \ll \left| \frac{T_0}{S_0} - A(S_0, T_0) \right| \ll \left| \frac{T_0}{S_0} - 1 \right| \quad (6.31)$$

for every choice $S_0 = S_0(j_1, t_1)$, $T_0 = T_0(j_2, t_2)$ with any real numbers $0 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq J$ and integers $a_i \leq t_1, t_2 \leq a_{i+1}$. We also see from (6.30) that the signs of $\frac{T_0}{S_0} - A(S_0, T_0)$ and $\frac{T_0}{S_0} - 1$ are the same. Therefore we get from (6.31) that assuming (6.23) we have

$$\left| \frac{T_0(j_2, t_2)}{S_0(j_1, t_1)} - 1 \right| \ll \left| \frac{T_0(j_2, t_2)}{S_0(j_1, t_1)} - F \right| \ll \left| \frac{T_0(j_2, t_2)}{S_0(j_1, t_1)} - 1 \right| \quad (6.32)$$

for any real numbers $0 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq J$ and for any $1 < F \leq A(S_0(j_1, t_1), T_0(j_2, t_2))$.

Assuming (6.23) we see by (6.2) that (6.11) is always true. It follows then by (6.9) and (6.13) that (6.5) equals

$$2 \sum_{t_1=a_i}^{a_{i+1}-1} \sum_{t_2=a_i}^{a_{i+1}-1} E_{t_1, t_2} \sum_{j_1=0}^J \sum_{j_2=0}^J a_{j_1, j_2} \min(S_0(j_1, t_1), T_0(j_2, t_2)). \quad (6.33)$$

We also see that (6.12) always holds. Then by Lemma 4.1 and (6.10) we get for any f that

$$R_{t_1, t_2, f} = 2 \sum_{j_1=0}^J \sum_{j_2=0}^J a_{j_1, j_2} (J(S_0, T_0, F) + J(T_0, S_0, F)), \quad (6.34)$$

By the change of variables $j_1 \rightarrow j_2$, $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$ we see that substituting (6.34) into (6.7) and (6.6) the contributions of $J(S_0, T_0, F)$ and $J(T_0, S_0, F)$ in (6.7) are the same.

6.4. Estimating (6.5). Assume besides (6.23) that we have

$$|t_2 - t_1| > \frac{da_i}{X} X^\delta \quad (6.35)$$

for some $\delta > 0$ which is fixed in terms of ϵ . Then we see from (6.27) and (6.24) that the sign of $S_0(j_1, t_1) - T_0(j_2, t_2)$ is the same for every pair $0 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq J$. But then that part

of (6.33) where (6.35) holds is 0, since $\sum_{j_1=0}^J a_{j_1, j_2} = 0$ for every j_2 , and $\sum_{j_2=0}^J a_{j_1, j_2} = 0$ for every j_1 by (6.8). So we may assume in (6.33) that

$$|t_2 - t_1| \ll \frac{da_i}{X} X^\delta \quad (6.36)$$

for some $\delta > 0$ which is chosen small enough in terms of ϵ . Then we see using (6.24) and Lemma 3.8 that (6.5) is $\ll_\delta X^{2\delta} X^{1/4} \sqrt{\sqrt{X} - a_i a_i} (1 + \frac{da_i}{X}) \ll_\delta X^{2\delta} \sqrt{X} d$, which is acceptable in (5.18).

6.5. Estimating (6.6). Assume besides (6.23) that in (6.6) we have (6.36) and

$$1 - \left(\frac{d}{X - a_i^2} \right)^2 X^\delta < F < 1 \quad (6.37)$$

for some $\delta > 0$ which is chosen small enough in terms of ϵ . By (6.34) and (4.26) we have

$$R_{t_1, t_2, f} = 2 \sum_{j_1=0}^J \sum_{j_2=0}^J a_{j_1, j_2} (K(S_0, T_0, F) + K(T_0, S_0, F)) \quad (6.38)$$

in the case $F < 1$, and by the substitutions $j_1 \rightarrow j_2$, $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$ we see that the contributions of $K(S_0, T_0, F)$ and $K(T_0, S_0, F)$ in (6.6) are the same. Hence it is enough to consider the contribution of $K(S_0, T_0, F)$. Applying the second relation in (4.27) we see for fixed t_1, t_2, f and j_1 that

$$\sum_{j_2=0}^J (-1)^{j_2} \binom{J}{j_2} K(S_0, T_0(j_2, t_2), F) \ll \max_{0 \leq j_2 < J} \frac{|S_0| |T_0(j_2 + 1, t_2) - T_0(j_2, t_2)|}{\sqrt{1 - F^2}}.$$

The parameters are written here only in the case of T_0 , since only this variable depends on j_2 . By (6.24) and (6.26) this is $\ll \frac{d}{a_i^2 \sqrt{1 - F^2}}$. Hence using (6.8), (6.38) and Lemma 3.1 we get that that part of (6.6) where (6.36) and (6.37) hold is

$$\ll_\delta \frac{dX^\delta}{a_i^2} \sum_{t_1=a_i}^{a_{i+1}-1} \sum_{a_i \leq t_2 < a_{i+1}, |t_2 - t_1| \ll da_i X^{\delta-1}} \sum_{f \in \mathbf{Z}, 0 < 1 - F < \left(\frac{d}{X - a_i^2} \right)^2 X^\delta} \frac{S(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4, f^2)}{\sqrt{1 - F^2}}. \quad (6.39)$$

By (6.20) we see that the sum over f here is

$$\ll a_i \sum_{t_1 t_2 - 5 - \sqrt{(t_1^2 - 4)(t_2^2 - 4)} \left(\frac{d}{X - a_i^2} \right)^2 X^\delta \leq |f| \leq t_1 t_2 - 5} \frac{S(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4, f^2)}{\sqrt{(t_1 t_2 - 4) - |f|}}. \quad (6.40)$$

In the case $t_1 \neq t_2$ we use $S(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4, f^2) \leq S(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4)$, and we see that (6.40) is $\ll_\delta X^\delta a_i \left(1 + \frac{da_i}{X - a_i^2}\right) S(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4)$. So, applying also (3.17) we get that the $t_1 \neq t_2$ part of (6.39) is

$$\ll_\delta X^{3\delta} \left(\frac{d}{a_i} + \frac{d^2}{X - a_i^2} \right) \left(\left(\min(a_i, \sqrt{X} - a_i) \right) \left(a_i \frac{da_i}{X} \right)^{1/2} \right) \ll_\delta X^{3\delta} \frac{d^{5/2}}{\sqrt{X}},$$

which is acceptable in (5.18). In the case $t_1 = t_2$ we estimate (6.40) by Lemma 3.7 and we get that (6.40) is $\ll_\delta X^\delta a_i \left(1 + (t_1^2 - 4) \left(\frac{d}{X - a_i^2}\right)^2\right)^{1/2}$. So the $t_1 = t_2$ part of (6.39) is

$$\ll_\delta X^{2\delta} \frac{d}{a_i} \left(\min(a_i, \sqrt{X} - a_i) \right) \left(1 + a_i \frac{d}{X - a_i^2} \right) \ll_\delta X^{2\delta} \frac{d^2}{\sqrt{X}}.$$

Hence that part of (6.6) where (6.36) and (6.37) hold is acceptable in (5.18).

So it is enough to consider that part of (6.6) where at least one of the conditions (6.36) and (6.37) is false. We prove that this part is negligible. We use (6.34), and we recall that the contributions of $J(S_0, T_0, F)$ and $J(T_0, S_0, F)$ in (6.6) are the same. By Lemma 4.8 and $\sum_{j_2=0}^J a_{j_1, j_2} = 0$ we see that it is enough to show that for fixed t_1, t_2, f, j_1 the sum

$$\sum_{j_2=0}^J (-1)^{j_2} \binom{J}{j_2} V(s_i(S_0(j_1, t_1), T_0(j_2, t_2), F(t_1, t_2, f))) \quad (6.41)$$

is negligibly small for $i = 1, 2$. Observe that by the notation of Lemma 4.10, using $t = t_2$, $S_0 = S_0(j_1, t_1)$, $F = F(t_1, t_2, f)$ and $\tau = 1$ for $i = 1$, $\tau = -1$ for $i = 2$ we have that

$$V(s_i(S_0(j_1, t_1), T_0(j_2, t_2), F(t_1, t_2, f))) = k(X - j_2 d \tau - 2).$$

Then by Taylor's formula with remainder (see e.g. Theorem 7.6 of [A]) we have that (6.41) is $\ll d^J \max_{X-2-Jd\tau \leq x \leq X-2} |k^{(J)}(x)|$. Then by Lemma 4.10 and (6.24) we see that (6.41) is

$$\ll \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{S_0(j_1, t_1)^2}} d^J (X - a_i^2)^{-J} \max \left(1, \left(\frac{1}{\left(\sqrt{1 - F^2} + \left| \tau F + \frac{T_0(j_2, t_2)}{S_0(j_1, t_1)} \right| \right)} \right)^J \right) \quad (6.42)$$

with some real number $0 \leq j \leq J$. We see that if (6.37) is false, then this is negligibly small, since J is fixed to be large enough. So we can assume that (6.37) is true but (6.36) is false. We show that (6.42) is negligibly small. If

$$\left| \tau F + \frac{T_0(j, t_2)}{S_0(j_1, t_1)} \right| \gg X^\delta \frac{d}{X - a_i^2}, \quad (6.43)$$

then this is true. So we may assume that (6.43) is false. But then using also (6.37) and the triangle inequality, taking into account (6.23) we get

$$\left| \tau + \frac{T_0(j, t_2)}{S_0(j_1, t_1)} \right| \ll X^\delta \frac{d}{X - a_i^2}. \quad (6.44)$$

This is impossible for $\tau = 1$ for small δ by (6.23), so we may assume $\tau = -1$. Hence, using (6.27) and (6.44) with $\tau = -1$, applying also (6.24) we get $|t_2 - t_1| \ll X^\delta \frac{da_i}{X}$. But this is a contradiction, since we assumed that (6.36) is false. So that part of (6.6) where at least one of the conditions (6.36) and (6.37) is false is also negligibly small. Consequently (6.6) is acceptable in (5.18).

6.6. A new expression for (6.7). Recall that substituting (6.34) into (6.7) the contributions of $J(S_0, T_0, F)$ and $J(T_0, S_0, F)$ in (6.7) are the same. Hence, applying also Lemma 4.3 we get that (6.7) equals

$$4 \sum_{t_1=a_i}^{a_{i+1}-1} \sum_{t_2=a_i}^{a_{i+1}-1} (A_{t_1, t_2} + B_{t_1, t_2} - C_{t_1, t_2}) \quad (6.45)$$

with

$$A_{t_1, t_2} := \sum_{0 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq J, f \in \mathbf{Z}, 1 < F \leq B(S_0, T_0)} h(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4, f) a_{j_1, j_2} S_0 F(y_1(S_0, T_0, F)), \quad (6.46)$$

$$B_{t_1, t_2} := \sum_{0 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq J, f \in \mathbf{Z}, T_0 \geq S_0, 1 < F \leq A(S_0, T_0)} h(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4, f) a_{j_1, j_2} S_0 F(y_2(S_0, T_0, F)), \quad (6.47)$$

$$C_{t_1, t_2} := \sum_{0 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq J, f \in \mathbf{Z}, T_0 \leq S_0, 1 < F \leq A(S_0, T_0)} h(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4, f) a_{j_1, j_2} S_0 F(y_2(S_0, T_0, F)). \quad (6.48)$$

6.7. The contribution of B_{t_1, t_2} and C_{t_1, t_2} . Assume besides (6.23) that

$$1 < |F| \leq A(S_0, T_0) \quad (6.49)$$

and (6.36) holds with some $\delta > 0$ which is chosen small enough in terms of ϵ . Applying (6.17), (4.12) and (4.16) we get that the terms $F(y_2(S_0, T_0, F))$ in (6.47), (6.48) are always $O(\log X)$. Using

$$A(S_0, T_0) - 1 = \frac{(S_0 - T_0)^2}{\sqrt{(1 + S_0^2)(1 + T_0^2)} + S_0 T_0 + 1} \leq \frac{(S_0 - T_0)^2}{\sqrt{(1 + S_0^2)(1 + T_0^2)}},$$

(6.27), (6.25), (6.24) and (6.36) we see that the number of integers f satisfying (6.49) and (6.15) is $\ll 1 + X^{2\delta} \frac{d^2 a_i^2 / X}{X - a_i^2}$. So we get, applying also Lemma 3.1 that the contribution to (6.45) of the terms $B_{t_1, t_2}, C_{t_1, t_2}$ satisfying (6.49) and (6.36) is

$$\ll_{\delta} X^{3\delta} \left(\frac{\sqrt{X - a_i^2}}{a_i} + \frac{d^2 a_i / X}{\sqrt{X - a_i^2}} \right) \sum_{t_1 = a_i}^{a_{i+1} - 1} \sum_{a_i \leq t_2 \leq a_{i+1} - 1, |t_2 - t_1| \ll a_i \frac{d}{X} X^{\delta}} S(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4).$$

By (3.17) and (3.19) it is

$$\ll_{\delta} X^{4\delta} \left(\frac{\sqrt{X - a_i^2}}{a_i} + \frac{d^2 a_i / X}{\sqrt{X - a_i^2}} \right) \left(a_i \sqrt{\sqrt{X} - a_i} + (\sqrt{X} - a_i) a_i \left(\frac{d}{X} \right)^{1/2} \right),$$

and this is $\ll_{\delta} X^{4\delta} \frac{d^{5/2}}{\sqrt{X}}$ by (6.1), (6.2) and (5.19). Hence we proved that the contribution to (6.45) of the terms $B_{t_1, t_2}, C_{t_1, t_2}$ satisfying (6.49) and (6.36) is acceptable in (5.18).

Consider now the contribution of those terms $B_{t_1, t_2}, C_{t_1, t_2}$ to (6.45) for which

$$1 < F \leq A(S_0(j_1, t_1), T_0(0, t_2)) - \frac{d|t_2 - t_1|}{a_i(X - a_i^2)} X^{\delta} \quad (6.50)$$

and (6.35) hold for some $\delta > 0$ which is fixed in terms of ϵ . We want to prove that this contribution is negligibly small. We first show that for fixed t_1, t_2, j_1 and f the conditions in the summations in (6.47) and (6.48) are independent of $0 \leq j_2 \leq J$. It is enough to see that we have $F \leq A(S_0(j_1, t_1), T_0(j, t_2))$ for every $0 \leq j \leq J$, and the sign of $S_0(j_1, t_1) - T_0(j, t_2)$ is the same for every $0 \leq j \leq J$. These statements follow easily from (6.29), (6.27) and (6.24). Hence for fixed t_1, t_2, j_1 and f satisfying $a_i \leq t_1, t_2 < a_{i+1}$, $0 \leq j_1 \leq J$ and the conditions (6.50), (6.35) we have that either each $0 \leq j_2 \leq J$ satisfies

the conditions of the summations in (6.47), or each $0 \leq j_2 \leq J$ satisfies the conditions of the summations in (6.48). Consequently, recalling (6.8) we see that it is enough to show that for every fixed t_1, t_2, j_1 and f satisfying the above-mentioned conditions the sum

$$\sum_{j_2=0}^J (-1)^{j_2} \binom{J}{j_2} F(y_2(S_0(j_1, t_1), T_0(j_2, t_2), F(t_1, t_2, f))) \quad (6.51)$$

is negligibly small. Observe that by the notation of Lemma 4.6, using $\tau = -1$ and $t = t_2$, $S_0 = S_0(j_1, t_1)$, $F = F(t_1, t_2, f)$ there we have

$$F(y_2(S_0(j_1, t_1), T_0(j_2, t_2), F(t_1, t_2, f))) = K(X - j_2 d\tau - 2).$$

Theorem 7.6 of [A] shows that (6.51) is $\ll d^J \max_{X-2-Jd\tau \leq x \leq X-2} |K^{(J)}(x)|$. By Lemma 4.6, (6.32), (6.27), (6.24), (6.23), (6.1), (6.2) this is

$$\ll d^J (X - a_i^2)^{-J} \max \left(1, \left(\frac{|t_2 - t_1|}{a_i (A(S_0, T_0) - F)} \right)^J \right).$$

By (6.50), (6.29) and (6.23) we see that this is negligibly small, since J is fixed to be large enough in terms of ϵ . Hence we proved that the contribution to (6.45) of those terms $B_{t_1, t_2}, C_{t_1, t_2}$ for which (6.50) and (6.35) hold is negligibly small.

Consider the contribution to (6.45) of those terms $B_{t_1, t_2}, C_{t_1, t_2}$ for which (6.35) holds and we have

$$A(S_0(j_1, t_1), T_0(0, t_2)) - \frac{d|t_2 - t_1|}{a_i(X - a_i^2)} X^\delta < F \leq A(S_0(j_1, t_1), T_0(j_2, t_2)) \quad (6.52)$$

for some $\delta > 0$ which is small enough in terms of ϵ . Using also (6.29) this shows that the number of possible values of the integers f satisfying (6.52) is

$$\ll 1 + \frac{d|t_2 - t_1| a_i}{(X - a_i^2)} X^\delta. \quad (6.53)$$

It is easy to compute that

$$y_2(S_0, T_0, F) = \sqrt{\frac{(B(S_0, T_0) + F)(A(S_0, T_0) - F)}{(T_0 - S_0 F)^2}},$$

so using (6.24), (6.25), (6.27), (6.32) and (6.52) we get

$$y_2(S_0, T_0, F) \ll_{\delta} X^{\delta} \sqrt{\frac{da_i}{X|t_2 - t_1|}}, \quad S_0 y_2(S_0, T_0, F) \ll_{\delta} X^{\delta} \sqrt{\frac{d(\sqrt{X} - a_i)}{a_i \sqrt{X} |t_2 - t_1|}}. \quad (6.54)$$

Now, if $a_i \geq \frac{\sqrt{X}}{2}$, then we have $S_0 \ll 1$ by (6.24), and so by Lemma 4.4, (4.12) and (6.17) we get that

$$S_0 F(y_2(S_0, T_0, F)) \ll_{\delta} S_0 y_2^3(S_0, T_0, F) X^{\delta} \ll_{\delta} X^{4\delta} \sqrt{\frac{d^3(\sqrt{X} - a_i)}{X^2 |t_2 - t_1|^3}}. \quad (6.55)$$

If $a_i \leq \frac{\sqrt{X}}{2}$, then we have $S_0 \gg 1$ by (6.24), and by the second relation in (6.54), Lemma 4.4, (4.12), (6.17) we see that if $|t_2 - t_1| \ll \frac{d}{a_i}$, then

$$S_0 F(y_2(S_0, T_0, F)) \ll_{\delta} X^{\delta} S_0 y_2(S_0, T_0, F) \ll_{\delta} X^{2\delta} \sqrt{\frac{d}{a_i |t_2 - t_1|}}, \quad (6.56)$$

while if $|t_2 - t_1| \gg \frac{d}{a_i}$, then

$$S_0 F(y_2(S_0, T_0, F)) \ll_{\delta} X^{\delta} S_0^3 y_2^3(S_0, T_0, F) \ll_{\delta} X^{4\delta} \sqrt{\frac{d^3}{a_i^3 |t_2 - t_1|^3}}. \quad (6.57)$$

If $a_i \geq \frac{\sqrt{X}}{2}$, then by (6.35) and (5.19) we see that the second term is larger than the first one in (6.53). Then by (6.53) and (6.55) we see that the contribution to (6.45) of those terms $B_{t_1, t_2}, C_{t_1, t_2}$ for which (6.35) and (6.52) hold is

$$\ll_{\delta} X^{6\delta} \frac{d^{5/2}}{X^{3/4} \sqrt{X - a_i^2}} \sum_{t_1 = a_i}^{a_{i+1} - 1} \sum_{a_i \leq t_2 < a_{i+1}, |t_2 - t_1| \geq da_i X^{\delta-1}} \frac{S(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4)}{\sqrt{|t_2 - t_1|}} \quad (6.58)$$

in the case $a_i \geq \frac{\sqrt{X}}{2}$. By (3.18) we have that the sum over t_1, t_2 is $\ll_{\delta} X^{\delta} (\sqrt{X} - a_i) X^{1/4}$, hence (6.58) is acceptable in (5.18).

If $a_i \leq \frac{\sqrt{X}}{2}$, then by (6.53) and (6.56) we see that the contribution to (6.45) of those terms $B_{t_1, t_2}, C_{t_1, t_2}$ for which (6.35), (6.52) and $|t_2 - t_1| \ll \frac{d}{a_i}$ hold is \ll_{δ} than the sum of

$$X^{4\delta} \frac{d^2}{X} \sum_{t_1 = a_i}^{a_{i+1} - 1} \sum_{a_i \leq t_2 < a_{i+1}, 0 < |t_2 - t_1| \ll \frac{d}{a_i}} S(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4) \quad (6.59)$$

and

$$X^{4\delta} \sqrt{\frac{d}{a_i}} \sum_{t_1=a_i}^{a_{i+1}-1} \sum_{a_i \leq t_2 < a_{i+1}, 0 < |t_2 - t_1|} \frac{S(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4)}{\sqrt{|t_2 - t_1|}}. \quad (6.60)$$

By (3.17) we get that (6.59) is $\ll_{\delta} X^{5\delta-1} d^2 a_i^{3/2} (d/a_i)^{1/2} \ll_{\delta} X^{5\delta} d^{5/2} / \sqrt{X}$. We estimate (6.60) by (3.18), the result is an upper bound $X^{5\delta} (d/a_i)^{1/2} a_i^{3/2} \ll X^{5\delta} \sqrt{d} \sqrt{X}$, which is smaller than $d^{5/2} / \sqrt{X}$ by (5.19).

If $a_i \leq \frac{\sqrt{X}}{2}$ and $|t_2 - t_1| \gg \frac{d}{a_i}$, then by (5.19) we see that the second term in (6.53) is larger than the first one. Hence by (6.53) and (6.57) we see in the case $a_i \leq \frac{\sqrt{X}}{2}$ that the contribution to (6.45) of those terms B_{t_1, t_2} , C_{t_1, t_2} for which (6.35), (6.52) and $|t_2 - t_1| \gg \frac{d}{a_i}$ hold is

$$\ll_{\delta} X^{6\delta} \frac{d^{5/2}}{a_i^{1/2} X} \sum_{t_1=a_i}^{a_{i+1}-1} \sum_{a_i \leq t_2 < a_{i+1}, 0 < |t_2 - t_1|} \frac{S(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4)}{\sqrt{|t_2 - t_1|}} \ll_{\delta} X^{7\delta} \frac{d^{5/2} a_i}{X},$$

where in the last step we used (3.18). This is again acceptable in (5.18).

We examined every case, so we proved that the contribution to (6.45) of those terms B_{t_1, t_2} , C_{t_1, t_2} for which (6.35) and (6.52) hold is acceptable in (5.18). Using also the previous estimates we see that the whole contributions of B_{t_1, t_2} and C_{t_1, t_2} in (6.45) is acceptable in (5.18).

6.8. The contribution of A_{t_1, t_2} . Consider now that part of the contribution of A_{t_1, t_2} in (6.45) where

$$1 < F \leq B(S_0(j_1, t_1), T_0(0, t_2)) - \frac{d}{a_i^2} X^{\delta} \quad (6.61)$$

for some $\delta > 0$ which is fixed in terms of ϵ . We show that for fixed t_1, t_2, j_1 and f the condition in the summation in (6.46) is independent of $0 \leq j_2 \leq J$. It is enough to see that we have $F \leq B(S_0(j_1, t_1), T_0(j, t_2))$ for every $0 \leq j \leq J$, and this follows by (6.28). Hence for fixed t_1, t_2, j_1 and f satisfying $a_i \leq t_1, t_2 < a_{i+1}$, $0 \leq j_1 \leq J$ and (6.61) each $0 \leq j_2 \leq J$ satisfies the conditions of the summation in (6.46). Consequently, recalling (6.8) we see that if we can show that for every fixed t_1, t_2, j_1 and f satisfying the above-mentioned conditions the sum

$$\sum_{j_2=0}^J (-1)^{j_2} \binom{J}{j_2} F(y_1(S_0(j_1, t_1), T_0(j_2, t_2), F(t_1, t_2, f))) \quad (6.62)$$

is negligibly small, then we will get that that part of the contribution of A_{t_1, t_2} in (6.45) where (6.61) is true is negligibly small. Observe that by the notations of Lemma 4.6, using $\tau = 1$ and $t = t_2$, $S_0 = S_0(j_1, t_1)$, $F = F(t_1, t_2, f)$ there we have

$$F(y_1(S_0(j_1, t_1), T_0(j_2, t_2), F(t_1, t_2, f))) = K(X - j_2 d \tau - 2).$$

Theorem 7.6 of [A] gives that (6.62) is $\ll d^J \max_{X - J d \tau - 2 \leq x \leq X - 2} |K^{(J)}(x)|$. By Lemma 4.6 and (6.24) this is $\ll d^J \max \left((X - a_i^2)^{-J}, \left(\frac{1}{a_i^2((B(S_0, T_0) - F))} \right)^J \right)$. By (6.61), (6.28) and (6.23) this is negligibly small, since J is fixed to be large enough in terms of ϵ . Hence that part of the contribution of A_{t_1, t_2} in (6.45) where (6.61) holds is negligibly small.

Consider now that part of the contribution of A_{t_1, t_2} in (6.45) where

$$B(S_0(j_1, t_1), T_0(0, t_2)) - \frac{d}{a_i^2} X^\delta < F \leq B(S_0(j_1, t_1), T_0(j_2, t_2)) \quad (6.63)$$

for some $\delta > 0$ which is chosen small enough in terms of ϵ . It is easy to compute that

$$y_1(S_0, T_0, F) = \sqrt{\frac{(B(S_0, T_0) - F)(A(S_0, T_0) + F)}{(T_0 + S_0 F)^2}}.$$

By (6.25), (6.63) and (5.19) we get that $\frac{X}{a_i^2} \ll B(S_0, T_0)$, $F \ll \frac{X}{a_i^2}$. So we have

$$S_0 y_1(S_0, T_0, F) \ll \frac{\sqrt{B(S_0, T_0) - F}}{\sqrt{B(S_0, T_0)}} = o(1). \quad (6.64)$$

Then by Lemma 4.4, (4.12) and (6.17) we see in every case that

$$S_0 F(S_0, y_1(S_0, T_0, F)) \ll_\delta X^\delta (S_0 + S_0^3) y_1^3(S_0, T_0, F) \ll_\delta \frac{X^{1+\delta} (B(S_0, T_0) - F)^{3/2}}{a_i^2 S_0^2 (B(S_0, T_0))^{3/2}},$$

the second inequality follows by (6.25) and (6.64). By (6.24), (6.25) and (6.63) this gives

$$S_0 F(S_0, y_1(S_0, T_0, F)) \ll_\delta X^{3\delta} \frac{d^{3/2}}{X(\sqrt{X} - a_i)}.$$

The number of possible values of the integers f satisfying (6.63) is $\ll_\delta X^\delta d$, therefore using also Lemma 3.1 we get that that part of the contribution of A_{t_1, t_2} in (6.45) where (6.63) holds is

$$\ll_\delta X^{5\delta} \frac{d^{5/2}}{X(\sqrt{X} - a_i)} \sum_{t_1=a_i}^{a_{i+1}-1} \sum_{t_2=a_i}^{a_{i+1}-1} S(t_1^2 - 4, t_2^2 - 4) \ll_\delta \frac{X^{6\delta} d^{5/2}}{X(\sqrt{X} - a_i)} (\sqrt{X} - a_i) a_i,$$

where in the last step we used (3.20), noting that $a(b - a)$ is an upper bound there for both terms. This estimate is again acceptable in (5.18). So we proved that the contribution of A_{t_1, t_2} in (6.45) is acceptable in (5.18), hence the whole sum (6.45) is acceptable. The proof of (5.18) is now complete, so Theorem 1.2 is also proved.

References

- [A] T. Apostol, *Calculus*, Vol. 1, Second Edition, *Wiley*, 1967
- [B1] A. Biró, *Local average of the hyperbolic circle problem for Fuchsian groups*, *Mathematika* 64 (2018), 159–183.
- [B2] A. Biró, *Cycle integrals of Maass forms of weight 0 and Fourier coefficients of Maass forms of weight 1/2*, *Acta Arithmetica*, 94 (2) (2000), 103-152.
- [Bu] D. A. Buell, *Binary quadratic forms: classical theory and modern computations*, *Springer*, 1989
- [C] F. Chamizo, *Some applications of large sieve in Riemann surfaces*, *Acta Arithmetica*, 77 (4) (1996), 315-337.
- [C-R] G. Cherubini, M. Risager, *On the variance of the error term in the hyperbolic circle problem*, *Revista matemática iberoamericana*, 34 (2) (2018), 655-685.
- [H-W] K. Hardy, S. Williams, *The class number of pairs of positive-definite binary quadratic forms*, *Acta Arithmetica*, 52 (2) (1989), 103-117.
- [I] H. Iwaniec, *Introduction to the spectral theory of automorphic forms*, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 1995
- [L-P] P. Lax, R. Phillips *The asymptotic distribution of lattice points in Euclidean and non-Euclidean spaces*, *J. Funct. Anal.* 46 (1982), 280-350.
- [M] J. Morales, *The classification of pairs of binary quadratic forms*, *Acta Arithmetica*. 59 (2) (1991), 105-121.
- [P-R] Y. Petridis, M. Risager, *Local average in hyperbolic lattice point counting, with an appendix by Niko Laaksonen*, *Math. Zeitschrift*, 285 (2017), 1319-1344.