

Anisotropic auxetic composite laminates: a polar approach

Paolo Vannucci

LMV - Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Versailles, UMR8100
Université de Versailles et Saint Quentin - 45, Avenue des Etats-Unis, 78035 - France
paolo.vannucci@uvsq.fr

Final version in Journal of Composite Materials
<https://doi.org/10.1177/00219983241256335>

June 5, 2024

Abstract

The problem of obtaining anisotropic auxetic composite laminates, i.e. having a negative Poisson's ratio for at least some directions, is examined in this paper. In particular, the possibility of obtaining auxeticity stacking uni-directional identical plies is considered. It is shown that if the ply is composed by isotropic matrix and fibers, then it is impossible to obtain totally auxetic orthotropic laminates, i.e. auxeticity for each direction, unless at least one among matrix and fibers is auxetic itself. Moreover, it is shown what are the conditions, in terms of the mechanical properties of the constituents and of the volume fraction of the fibers, to fabricate uni-directional plies with which to realize laminates having a negative Poisson's ratio for some directions. Several existing materials are also examined. All the analysis is done using the polar formalism, very effective for the study of plane anisotropic problems.

Key words: Poisson's ratio, auxeticity, anisotropy, composite laminates, polar formalism

1 Introduction

The property of having a negative Poisson's ratio is known in the literature as *auxeticity* [1]. Theoretically physically possible for isotropic materials, auxeticity is normally obtained if some peculiar material microstructure is present in an isotropic (at least at a sufficiently large scale) continuum. Several studies have been done the last three decades on the possibility of obtaining auxetic materials by the use of some peculiar microstructures, also at the molecular level, from the pioneer works of Almgren [2], Evans [3, 4], Lakes [5–8], Milton [9]. Literature on the topic is very huge, it is not the objective here to give a complete overview of the matter and the reader is addressed to specific review articles on auxetic materials, like the papers of Prawoto [10] or of Shukla & Behera [11].




Figure 1: The directional diagram of ν_{12} for pine wood in a plane-stress state (material data in Tab. 1, source Lekhnitskii [12]). The thin circle marks the zero value: inside it, ν_{12} is negative.

For an anisotropic body, also auxeticity is an anisotropic property, i.e. the Poisson's coefficient can be negative for some directions, positive for some others, and this without the need of any peculiar microstructure: the directional variations typical of anisotropy make the auxeticity of classical elastic materials *à la Cauchy* possible. For example, the Poisson's ratio ν_{12} for a board of pine wood in a plane-stress state is negative for almost all the directions, Fig. 1. Because anisotropic composite materials are mainly used in the fabrication of laminated structures, it has hence a certain interest the possibility of obtaining auxetic laminates. A recent state of the art on auxetic composite laminates for structural uses has been published by Veloso et al [13]. The first studies on auxetic anisotropic laminates date back to the eighties [14, 15]. Clarke et al [16] and Hine et al [17] investigated experimentally the auxeticity of balanced angle-ply laminates, a type of laminates frequently considered for the simplicity of the stack. The possibility of obtaining auxetic laminates is considered by Zhang et al [18, 19] and by Alderson et al [20], while the determination of the highest negative Poisson's ratio is studied in [21, 22].

However, in all the existing literature on composite laminates, the problem of the auxeticity of the elastic response is treated only partially, with regard to some specific cases, i.e. angle-ply stacks, or concerning some special situations or aspects, like for instance the constituent materials or the maximization of the negative value of the Poisson's ratio. The aim of this paper is precisely to give some generality to the matter and namely to try to respond as largely as possible to the following fundamental question: *when is it possible to fabricate an auxetic laminate stacking identical anisotropic plies?* In other terms, given an anisotropic ply, will it exist at least one stacking sequence giving rise to a laminate that will have an auxetic response? This leads to investigate the relations existing between the *material properties* of the ply and the *geometry* of the stack. We want to stress that we look for an auxetic behavior *generated uniquely by anisotropy*, i.e. the component layers as well as their constituent materials are classical elastic bodies *à la Cauchy*, with no microstructures able to generate auxeticity, so just the classical anisotropic elasticity theory is used for this study.

The study proposed here concerns only the in-plane properties of the laminate, not the transversal ones. In addition, we consider laminates composed by identical unidirectional layers (the case of plies reinforced by fabrics will be the subject of a future study). More-

over, only orthotropic laminates are considered, as this is the case for usual structures. The results are presented for the extension behavior, but actually they can be rephrased *verbatim* for the bending one (the auxeticity of the bending response is less interesting for applications).

The problem is rather complicate and it reveals interesting, mathematically speaking, to formulate it in an appropriate space of material and geometrical parameters. In particular, for representing the material properties this study makes use of dimensionless moduli deduced from the *polar formalism* for plane anisotropy, introduced by G. Verchery in 1979 [23–25] and of the, also dimensionless, *lamination parameters* proposed in 1968 by Tsai and Pagano [26] for the geometry of the stack.

In the paper, four different theoretically possible cases of in-plane auxeticity for anisotropic laminates are introduced, all of them are considered and analyzed. Several existing materials are also analyzed and the question whether or not a type of auxeticity can be obtained for the laminate using classical anisotropic plies, i.e. plies made of non auxetic materials, is considered. Some examples of auxetic laminates are also given.

2 Fundamental relations

2.1 The in-plane Poisson's ratio ν_{12}

Let us consider an anisotropic layer in a plane-stress state, with \mathbb{S} and $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{S}^{-1}$ respectively the compliance and the reduced stiffness tensors. The in-plane Poisson's ratio $\nu_{12}(\theta)$ at the direction inclined of θ on the x_1 -axis is defined as [12, 27–29] (we adopt in this study the Kelvin's notation [30, 31] for the elasticity tensors)

$$\nu_{12}(\theta) := -\frac{\mathbb{S}_{12}(\theta)}{\mathbb{S}_{11}(\theta)}. \quad (1)$$

Because $\mathbb{S}_{11}(\theta) > 0 \forall \theta$,

$$\nu_{12}(\theta) < 0 \iff \mathbb{S}_{12}(\theta) > 0. \quad (2)$$

2.2 Polar formulation of the auxeticity condition

In the polar formalism, the above condition for an orthotropic layer becomes [25]

$$\mathbb{S}_{12}(\theta) = -t_0 + 2t_1 - r_0 \cos 4(\varphi_0 - \theta) > 0, \quad (3)$$

with t_0, t_1, r_0 non-negative tensor invariants depending upon the components of \mathbb{S} and φ_0 a polar angle. In view of the forthcoming developments, it is worth to transform the previous relation. To this end, we express the polar parameters of the compliance \mathbb{S} by those of the stiffness \mathbb{Q} :

$$\begin{aligned} t_0 &= 2 \frac{T_0 T_1 - R_1^2}{\Delta}, \\ t_1 &= \frac{T_0^2 - R_0^2}{2\Delta}, \\ r_0 e^{4i\varphi_0} &= \frac{2}{\Delta} (R_1^2 e^{4i\varphi_1} - T_1 R_0 e^{4i\varphi_0}), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where T_0, T_1, R_0, R_1 are the polar invariant (positive) moduli of \mathbb{Q} and Φ_0, Φ_1 the two polar angles of \mathbb{Q} , whose difference is the fifth invariant. Δ is the invariant given by

$$\Delta = 4T_1(T_0^2 - R_0^2) - 8R_1^2[T_0 - R_0 \cos 4(\Phi_0 - \Phi_1)]. \quad (5)$$

Some simple passages give

$$r_0 \cos 4(\varphi_0 - \theta) = \frac{2}{\Delta} [R_1^2 \cos 4(\Phi_1 - \theta) - T_1 R_0 \cos 4(\Phi_0 - \theta)]. \quad (6)$$

As, actually, $\Delta = \det \mathbb{Q} > 0$, it can be ignored in the following, because it does not change the sign of \mathbb{S}_{12} . So, in terms of the polar parameters of \mathbb{Q} the auxeticity condition is

$$2(T_0 T_1 - R_1^2) - T_0^2 + R_0^2 + 2[R_1^2 \cos 4(\Phi_1 - \theta) - T_1 R_0 \cos 4(\Phi_0 - \theta)] < 0. \quad (7)$$

A rotation α of the frame corresponds to subtract α from the two polar angles. If $\alpha = \Phi_1$ or, equivalently, if the reference frame is chosen in such a way that $\Phi_1 = 0$, which corresponds, for unidirectional (UD) plies, to put the x_1 -axis aligned with the fibres, the above relation becomes

$$2(T_0 T_1 - R_1^2) - T_0^2 + R_0^2 + 2[R_1^2 \cos 4\theta - T_1 R_0 \cos 4(\Phi - \theta)] < 0, \quad (8)$$

with $\Phi = \Phi_0 - \Phi_1$, the angular invariant of \mathbb{Q} . Common orthotropy corresponds to the condition [25]

$$\Phi = K \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad K \in \{0, 1\}, \quad (9)$$

the value of K determining two different types of orthotropic materials sharing the same polar moduli of \mathbb{Q} . As said in the Introduction, we consider in this paper only UD plies (i.e. layers reinforced by fabrics are not considered). It can be shown that such plies can be only ordinary orthotropic hence $R_0 \neq 0, R_1 \neq 0$.

So finally, for an UD layer, the auxeticity condition resumes to

$$\lambda(\theta) := 2(T_0 T_1 - R_1^2) - T_0^2 + R_0^2 + 2[R_1^2 - (-1)^K T_1 R_0] \cos 4\theta < 0, \quad (10)$$

2.3 Auxeticity condition for an orthotropic laminate

Let us now consider a laminate composed of identical UD plies all sharing the same reduced stiffness tensor \mathbb{Q} . We focus on the extension response, described by tensor [25, 28]

$$\mathbb{A} = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{j=1}^n (z_j - z_{j-1}) \mathbb{Q}(\delta_j), \quad (11)$$

with δ_j the orientation of the j -th layer among the n composing the laminate and h the plate's thickness. We further assume that the laminate is extension-bending uncoupled. This assumption is necessary, because otherwise the Poisson's ratio for the extension, or

also for the bending, behavior, should be practically impossible to be analyzed (in fact, for coupled laminates the compliances, in extension and in bending, depend in a very complicate manner upon \mathbb{A} , \mathbb{B} and \mathbb{D} , respectively the stiffness tensors in extension, coupling and bending [32–34]). It is worth to recall that uncoupling ($\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{O}$) can be obtained by suitable stacking sequences, but, contrarily to what commonly believed, not necessarily symmetric. Actually, asymmetric uncoupled laminates are much more numerous than the symmetric ones [35, 36]. So, uncoupling can be obtained rather easily and to assume it does not constitute a true limitation.

The auxeticity condition for an orthotropic tensor \mathbb{A} is the same of that for \mathbb{Q} , provided that the polar parameters of \mathbb{A} (denoted in the following by a superscript A) replace those of the layer:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^A(\theta) &:= 2(T_0^A T_1^A - R_1^{A2}) - T_0^{A2} + R_0^{A2} + \\ &+ 2[R_1^{A2} - (-1)^{K^A} T_1^A R_0^A] \cos 4\theta < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Because the plies are identical, the polar parameters of the extension stiffness tensor \mathbb{A} can be put in the form [25]

$$\begin{aligned} T_0^A &= T_0, \\ T_1^A &= T_1, \\ R_0^A e^{4i\Phi_0^A} &= R_0 e^{4i\Phi_0} (\xi_1 + i\xi_2), \\ R_1^A e^{2i\Phi_1^A} &= R_1 e^{2i\Phi_1} (\xi_3 + i\xi_4). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The quantities $\xi_i, i = 1, \dots, 4$ are the *lamination parameters* [26] for \mathbb{A} :

$$\xi_1 + i\xi_2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n e^{4i\delta_j}, \quad \xi_3 + i\xi_4 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n e^{2i\delta_j}, \quad (14)$$

Eq. (13) shows, on the one hand, that the isotropic part of \mathbb{A} is identical to that of the layer, T_0 and T_1 , and, on the other hand, because the layer is UD, if we consider, as said above, an orthotropic extension behavior, choosing $\Phi_1^A = 0$ to fix the reference frame for the laminate, we get easily

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_2 &= \xi_4 = 0, \\ (-1)^{K^A} R_0^A &= (-1)^K R_0 \xi_1, \\ R_1^A &= R_1 \xi_3. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

So, the polar auxeticity condition for \mathbb{A} becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^A(\theta) &= 2(T_0 T_1 - R_1^2 \xi_3^2) - T_0^2 + R_0^2 \xi_1^2 + \\ &+ 2[R_1^2 \xi_3^2 - (-1)^K T_1 R_0 \xi_1] \cos 4\theta < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

2.4 Dimensionless auxeticity condition

In the expression of $\lambda^A(\theta)$, there are three kind of variables/parameters: the independent variable θ , determining the *direction*, the two lamination parameters ξ_1 and ξ_3 , accounting

for the *geometry* of the stack (i.e., the sequence of the orientation angles δ_j), and the polar parameters $T_0, T_1, (-1)^K R_0$ and R_1 of the layer, representing the *material* part of $\lambda^A(\theta)$. It is worth to introduce new parameters for the material part, dimensionless like ξ_1 and ξ_3 . This will reduce the number of independent material parameters and allow an easier study of the relation between geometry and material. To this end, we introduce the following ratios [37]:

$$\tau_0 = \frac{T_0}{R_1}, \quad \tau_1 = \frac{T_1}{R_1}, \quad \rho = \frac{R_0}{R_1}. \quad (17)$$

It is worth noting that these ratios can be introduced because, for a UD ply, $R_1 \neq 0$. The auxeticity condition (16) can be rewritten in the equivalent form

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^A(\theta) = & 2(\tau_0\tau_1 - \xi_3^2) - \tau_0^2 + \rho^2\xi_1^2 + \\ & + 2[\xi_3^2 - (-1)^K\tau_1\rho\xi_1] \cos 4\theta < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

depending on five dimensionless quantities: three for the ply, material part, and two for the stack, geometric part.

We present in Tab. 1 the characteristics of twenty different UD plies commonly used for the fabrication of composite laminates.

2.5 Thermodynamic necessary conditions

The polar moduli, like any other set of parameters representing elasticity, must satisfy some thermodynamic conditions, stating the positivity of the elastic potential [25, 29, 42, 43]. In [37] it has been shown that using the dimensionless parameters (17), for an orthotropic ply these conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_0 - \rho & > 0, \\ \tau_1 [\tau_0 + (-1)^K \rho] - 2 & > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

They are necessary for establishing the set, in the space of the polar parameters, of materials giving rise to auxetic laminates, see below.

2.6 Theoretical considerations

An interesting interpretation can be given to the auxeticity condition: Eq. (3) can equivalently be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{4[t_0 + r_0 \cos 4(\varphi_0 - \theta)]} > \frac{1}{8t_1}. \quad (20)$$

The two members of the above inequality have a direct mechanical interpretation [25]: the shear modulus $G_{12}(\theta)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} G_{12}(\theta) &= \frac{1}{4[t_0 - r_0 \cos 4(\varphi_0 - \theta)]} = \\ &= \frac{1}{4[t_0 + r_0 \cos 4(\varphi_0 - \theta - \frac{\pi}{4})]}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The bulk modulus κ is

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{8t_1}. \quad (22)$$

Table 1: Some examples of UD plies. Modules are in GPa, $\Phi_0 = \Phi_1 = 0 \Rightarrow K = 0$ for all the plies.

Mat.	E_1	E_2	G_{12}	ν_{12}	T_0	T_1	R_0	R_1	τ_0	τ_1	ρ
1	10.00	0.42	0.75	0.24	1.66	1.34	0.91	1.20	1.383	1.116	0.758
2	181.00	10.30	7.17	0.28	26.88	24.74	19.71	21.43	1.254	1.154	0.919
3	205.00	18.50	5.59	0.23	29.80	29.14	24.21	23.42	1.272	1.244	1.033
4	38.6	8.27	4.14	0.26	7.47	6.49	3.33	3.85	1.940	1.686	0.865
5	86.90	5.52	2.14	0.34	12.23	12.11	10.09	10.25	1.193	1.181	0.984
6	47.66	13.31	4.75	0.27	9.24	8.70	4.49	4.38	2.108	1.984	1.023
7	54.00	18.00	9.00	0.25	12.54	10.34	3.54	4.59	2.729	2.250	0.771
8	207.00	5.00	2.60	0.25	27.52	26.85	24.93	25.29	1.088	1.062	0.986
9	76.00	5.50	2.10	0.34	10.85	10.74	8.75	8.88	1.221	1.209	0.984
10	207.00	21.00	7.00	0.30	30.67	30.35	23.67	23.46	1.307	1.293	1.009
11	45.00	12.00	4.50	0.30	8.62	8.22	4.13	4.22	2.041	1.945	0.976
12	134.00	7.00	4.20	0.25	19.34	18.12	15.14	15.93	1.214	1.138	0.951
13	85.00	5.60	2.10	0.34	11.98	11.89	9.88	10.00	1.198	1.189	0.988
14	220.00	140.00	7.50	0.25	41.50	55.98	34.00	10.41	3.985	5.375	3.265
15	294.50	6.34	4.90	0.23	39.73	38.01	34.83	36.06	1.102	1.054	0.966
16	109.70	8.55	5.31	0.30	16.89	15.53	11.58	12.73	1.327	1.220	0.910
17	131.70	8.76	5.03	0.28	19.55	18.26	14.52	15.45	1.265	1.182	0.940
18	133.10	9.31	3.74	0.34	19.02	18.74	15.28	15.60	1.219	1.201	0.979
19	135.00	9.24	6.28	0.32	20.55	18.89	14.27	15.83	1.298	1.193	0.901
20	128.00	13.00	6.40	0.30	20.00	18.77	13.60	14.51	1.378	1.293	0.937

1: Pine wood [12]	11: Glass-epoxy [38]
2: Carbon-epoxy T300/5208 [27]	12: Carbon-epoxy [38]
3: Boron-epoxy B(4)-55054 [27]	13: Kevlar-epoxy [38]
4: Glass-epoxy s-ply1002 $V_f=0.45$ [27]	14: Boron-aluminium [38]
5: Kevlar-epoxy 149 [39]	15: Carbon-epoxy GY70/34 [40]
6: S-glass-epoxy S2-449/SP381 [40]	16: Carbon-bismaleide AS4/5250-3 [40]
7: Glass-epoxy [28]	17: Carbon-peek AS4/APC2 [40]
8: Carbon-epoxy [28]	18: Carbon-epoxy AS4/3502 [40]
9: Kevlar-epoxy [28]	19: Carbon-epoxy T300/976 [40]
10: Boron-epoxy [28]	20: Carbon-epoxy 3 MXP251S [41]




Figure 2: The lamination domain of an orthotropic \mathbb{A} .

So, the auxeticity condition for the direction $\theta + \frac{\pi}{4}$ actually corresponds to state that

$$G_{12}(\theta) > \kappa. \quad (23)$$

This condition also includes and generalizes the already discussed auxeticity condition [9, 10] for isotropy ($r_0 = 0$), that in 2D elasticity reads like:

$$\frac{1}{4t_0} > \frac{1}{8t_1} \Rightarrow G > \kappa. \quad (24)$$

2.7 The lamination domain

Miki [28, 44, 45] has shown that the *lamination domain* of an orthotropic \mathbb{A} , i.e. the set of points of the plane (ξ_3, ξ_1) , that can correspond to an orthotropic behavior in extension, is the sector of parabola Ω in Fig. 2 defined by the bounds

$$2\xi_3^2 - 1 \leq \xi_1 \leq 1, \quad -1 \leq \xi_3 \leq 1. \quad (25)$$

Each lamination point (ξ_3, ξ_1) corresponds to an extension response, i.e. to a tensor \mathbb{A} , that, in general, can be realized by more than one stacking sequence. We just recall that the lamination point $P_1 = (1, 1)$ corresponds to a unidirectional laminate with all the plies with $\delta_j = 0 \forall j$, $P_2 = (-1, 1)$ to a unidirectional laminate with $\delta_j = \frac{\pi}{2} \forall j$, $P_3 = (0, -1)$ to a balanced angle ply with $\delta_j = \pm \frac{\pi}{4}$, $P_4 = (0, 0)$ to an isotropic laminate. Moreover, any angle-ply laminate is represented by a point of the parabolic boundary and any cross-ply laminate by a point of the line P_1 – P_2 .

Miki [46] has also shown that a tensor \mathbb{D} describing the bending behavior has exactly the same lamination domain, although the definition of the relevant lamination parameters is different. That is why all the results of this paper, concerning extension, can be exported identically to bending, the stacking sequence apart.

3 Auxeticity conditions for an orthotropic laminate

As mentioned above, for anisotropic bodies also auxeticity is an anisotropic property. However, when an UD ply is used to fabricate a laminate, it is of interest, at least theoretically, to examine the different situations that can determine an auxetic behavior of the laminate. First of all, a natural question is: because physics allows auxetic isotropic materials ($-1 < \nu < 0$), one can wonder whether or not it is possible to realize, stacking identical UD plies, a Totally Auxetic Anisotropic Laminate (TAAL), i.e. an anisotropic, or also isotropic, laminate that is auxetic for each direction. Secondly, one could consider a relaxed requirement: an anisotropic laminate that should be auxetic for some directions, situation that in short we will denote by the acronym PAAL (Partially Auxetic Anisotropic Laminate). For a given UD material, four different situations are, in principle, possible:

1. the material allows to fabricate a TAAL for each possible lamination point $(\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Omega$;
2. the material allows to fabricate a TAAL for some lamination points $(\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Omega$;
3. the material allows to fabricate a PAAL for each possible lamination point $(\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Omega$;
4. the material allows to fabricate a PAAL for some lamination points $(\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Omega$.

The objective of this paper is precisely to determine the conditions to be satisfied by a material in order to obtain one of the four cases above. It is a matter of fact that composite anisotropic auxetic laminates can exist [13], but the general conditions allowing to obtain them and, more precisely, of what type among the four cases above, has never been elucidated in the literature, only some partial recommendations have been proposed. We aim at giving a general response through the use of classical anisotropic elasticity and the polar formalism.

3.1 The conditions for obtaining a TAAL

For an anisotropic laminate to be totally auxetic, equation (18) must be satisfied $\forall \theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$. But $\lambda^A(\theta) \leq 0 \forall \theta \iff \max \lambda^A(\theta) \leq 0$ which gives the condition

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\xi_3, \xi_1) := & 2(\tau_0\tau_1 - \xi_3^2) - \tau_0^2 + \rho^2\xi_1^2 + \\ & + 2|\xi_3^2 - (-1)^K \tau_1\rho \xi_1| < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

For a chosen ply, the function $\Psi(\xi_3, \xi_1)$ is defined on Ω ; it is shown in Fig. 3 for materials 2 and 4 in Tab. 1. Then:

- an UD ply can fabricate a TAAL $\forall (\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Omega \iff \max \Psi(\xi_3, \xi_1) < 0$;
- an UD ply can produce a TAAL for some lamination points $(\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Omega \iff \min \Psi(\xi_3, \xi_1) < 0$.

The maximum and minimum of $\Psi(\xi_3, \xi_1)$ depend on the material parameters τ_0, τ_1 and ρ and are rather cumbersome though not difficult to be found. The maximum has the same expression regardless the type of orthotropy, $K = 0$ or $K = 1$:

$$\Psi_{\max} = \Psi(0, \pm 1) = (\tau_0 + \rho)(2\tau_1 - \tau_0 + \rho). \quad (27)$$




Figure 3: The function $\Psi(\xi_3, \xi_1)$ on Ω for materials 2 and 4 of Tab. 1.

So, because the polar moduli are non-negative quantities, the condition for obtaining a TAAL $\forall(\xi_3, \xi_1)$ is

$$\Psi_0 := 2\tau_1 - \tau_0 + \rho < 0. \quad (28)$$

The minimum can take different values and depends also on the type of orthotropy. If $K = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\min} &= -(\tau_0 - \tau_1)^2 := \Psi_1 \quad \text{if } \tau_1 < \rho < \frac{\tau_1}{2\tau_1^2 - 1}, \\ \Psi_{\min} &= \left(\frac{\rho}{2\tau_1\rho - 1}\right)^2 - \frac{2\tau_1\rho}{2\tau_1\rho - 1} - \tau_0^2 + 2\tau_0\tau_1 := \Psi_2 \\ &\text{if } \rho > \frac{1}{\tau_1} \text{ and } \frac{\rho(2\tau_1^2 - 1) - \tau_1}{2\tau_1\rho - 1} > 0, \\ \Psi_{\min} &= (\tau_0 - \rho)(2\tau_1 - \tau_0 - \rho) := \Psi_3 \\ &\text{if } \rho < \tau_1 \text{ and } \rho < \frac{1}{\tau_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

The condition for obtaining a TAAL for some points (ξ_3, ξ_1) is hence, for $K = 0$ orthotropic materials,

$$\Psi_{\min} \in \{\Psi_1, \Psi_2, \Psi_3\} < 0. \quad (30)$$

Moreover, referring to Fig. 4: Ψ_1 is get on the segment q_1q_2 and on its symmetric with respect to the ξ_1 -axis; Ψ_2 is get on the point q_3 and on its symmetric; Ψ_3 is get on the segment q_4q_5 and on its symmetric. The coordinates of the points q_i are:

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 &= \left(\tau_1, \frac{\tau_1}{\rho}\right), \quad q_2 = \left(\sqrt{\frac{\rho + \tau_1}{2\rho}}, \frac{\tau_1}{\rho}\right), \\ q_3 &= \left(\sqrt{\frac{\tau_1\rho}{2\tau_1\rho - 1}}, \frac{1}{2\tau_1\rho - 1}\right), \\ q_4 &= (\sqrt{\tau_1\rho}, 1), \quad q_5 = (1, 1). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$




Figure 4: The points of minimum of the function $\Psi(\xi_3, \xi_1)$.

A similar analysis can be done for materials with $K = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\min} &= \Psi_1 \quad \text{if} \quad \rho(2\tau_1^2 - 1) + \tau_1 < 0, \\ \Psi_{\min} &= \left(\frac{\rho}{2\tau_1\rho + 1} \right)^2 - \frac{2\tau_1\rho}{2\tau_1\rho + 1} - \tau_0^2 + 2\tau_0\tau_1 := \Psi_4 \\ &\quad \text{if} \quad \rho(2\tau_1^2 - 1) + \tau_1 > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

so the condition for obtaining a TAAL for some points (ξ_3, ξ_1) using a $K = 1$ orthotropic material is

$$\Psi_{\min} \in \{\Psi_1, \Psi_4\} < 0. \quad (33)$$

Still referring to Fig. 4, Ψ_1 is get on the segment q_6q_7 and on its symmetric with respect to the ξ_1 -axis, while Ψ_4 is get on the point q_8 and on its symmetric and the coordinates of these points are:

$$\begin{aligned} q_6 &= \left(\tau_1, -\frac{\tau_1}{\rho} \right), \quad q_7 = \left(\sqrt{\frac{\rho - \tau_1}{2\rho}}, -\frac{\tau_1}{\rho} \right), \\ q_8 &= \left(\sqrt{\frac{\tau_1\rho}{2\tau_1\rho + 1}}, -\frac{1}{2\tau_1\rho + 1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

It is interesting to remark that, while Ψ_{\min} depends on all the material parameters, i.e., in the end, on the whole \mathbb{Q} , its position on Ω as well as the formula for its calculation depend only on τ_1 and ρ . The zones of the different Ψ_i , $i = 1, \dots, 4$, in the plane (τ_1, ρ) are depicted in Fig. 5. On the same diagram, the positions of the materials in Tab. 1 are indicated by a small circle. It is apparent that all of them, of the type $K = 0$, apart material 1, pine wood, belong to the zone where $\Psi_{\min} = \Psi_2$. Hence, for all of them, the minimum is get at the point q_3 , on the boundary of Ω . Such a lamination point can always be obtained by an angle-ply sequence, but not exclusively.




Figure 5: The zones of the minimum of $\Psi(\xi_3, \xi_1)$ for the case $K = 0$, in black, and $K = 1$, in grey. Each circle corresponds to a material of Tab. 1.




Figure 6: The function $\eta(\xi_3, \xi_1)$ on Ω for materials 2 and 4 of Tab. 1.

3.2 The conditions for obtaining a PAAL

An anisotropic laminate is partially auxetic if Eq. (18) has at least one solution in Ω . Hence, a PAAL can be fabricated with a UD material if and only if $\min \lambda^A(\theta) \leq 0$ which gives the condition

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\xi_3, \xi_1) := & 2(\tau_0\tau_1 - \xi_3^2) - \tau_0^2 + \rho^2\xi_1^2 - \\ & - 2|\xi_3^2 - (-1)^K \tau_1 \rho \xi_1| < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

This case is rather similar to that of TAALs and can be treated in the same way. The function $\eta(\xi_3, \xi_1)$, defined on Ω , is shown in Fig. 6 for the same materials 2 and 4 in Tab. 1. It is evident that:

- an UD ply can fabricate a PAAL $\forall (\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Omega \iff \max \eta(\xi_3, \xi_1) < 0$;
- an UD ply can produce a PAAL for some lamination points $(\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Omega \iff \min \eta(\xi_3, \xi_1) < 0$.




Figure 7: The zones of the maximum of $\eta(\xi_3, \xi_1)$. Each circle corresponds to a material of Tab. 1.

Like for function $\Psi(\xi_3, \xi_1)$, the maximum and minimum of $\eta(\xi_3, \xi_1)$ depend on the material parameters τ_0, τ_1 and ρ and can be found by standard, though slightly articulated, differential calculus. Like for Ψ_{\max} , also η_{\max} is the same for $K = 0$ and $K = 1$ orthotropy:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{\max} &= (\tau_0 - \rho)(2\tau_1 - \tau_0 - \rho) := \eta_1 \text{ if } \rho \geq 2\tau_1, \\ \eta_{\max} &= \tau_0(2\tau_1 - \tau_0) := \eta_2 \text{ if } \rho < 2\tau_1. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

To remark that $\eta_1 = \Psi_3$; η_1 is get at $(0, -1)$ if $K = 0$, at $(0, 1)$ if $K = 1$ i.e., in the two cases, for cross-ply balanced laminates, while η_2 is get at $(0, 0)$ in both the cases, i.e. for isotropic laminates. The zones of η_1, η_2 in the plane (τ_1, ρ) are shown in Fig. 7, where the positions of the materials in Tab. 1 are again denoted by a small circle. The condition for obtaining a PAAL $\forall(\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Omega$ is hence

$$\eta_{\max} \in \{\eta_1, \eta_2\} < 0. \quad (37)$$

Also η_{\min} can take different values and depends on the type of orthotropy. For the case $K = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{\min} &= \eta_1 \text{ if } \rho^2 - \tau_1\rho + 1 < 0, \\ \eta_{\min} &= (\tau_0 + \rho)(2\tau_1 - \tau_0 + \rho) - 4 := \eta_3 \\ &\quad \text{if } \rho^2 + \tau_1\rho - 1 < 0, \\ \eta_{\min} &= -\left(\frac{\tau_1\rho - 1}{\rho}\right)^2 - \tau_0^2 - 2 + 2\tau_0\tau_1 := \eta_4 \\ &\quad \text{if } \rho^2 - \tau_1\rho + 1 > 0 \text{ and } \rho^2 + \tau_1\rho - 1 > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

The condition for obtaining a PAAL for some points (ξ_3, ξ_1) is hence, for $K = 0$ orthotropic materials,

$$\eta_{\min} \in \{\eta_1, \eta_3, \eta_4\} < 0. \quad (39)$$

The minimum η_1 is get in Ω at the point $(0, -1)$ and on the line $([-1, 1], 1)$, i.e. for any cross-ply combination and also for a laminate with all the layer orientations $\delta_j = 0$ or $\delta_j = \frac{\pi}{2}$; η_3 is given by points $(\pm 1, 1)$, which is the case of a laminate with the orientations




Figure 8: The zones of the minimum of $\eta(\xi_3, \xi_1)$ for the case $K = 0$, in black, and $K = 1$, in grey. Each circle corresponds to a material of Tab. 1.

of all the plies at the angle $\delta_j = 0$ or $\delta_j = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and η_4 by the two symmetric points, on the boundary of Ω , $\left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2 - \tau_1 \rho + 1}{2\rho^2}}, \frac{1 - \tau_1 \rho}{\rho^2} \right)$, that can be obtained by angle-ply sequences, but not only.

For $K = 1$ orthotropic materials, it is

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{\min} &= (\tau_0 - \rho)(2\tau_1 - \tau_0 - \rho) - 4 := \eta_5 \\ &\quad \text{if } \rho^2 - \tau_1 \rho - 1 < 0, \\ \eta_{\min} &= - \left(\frac{\tau_1 \rho + 1}{\rho} \right)^2 - \tau_0^2 - 2 + 2\tau_0 \tau_1 := \eta_6 \\ &\quad \text{if } \rho^2 - \tau_1 \rho - 1 > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

The condition for obtaining a PAAL for some points (ξ_3, ξ_1) is hence, for $K = 1$ orthotropic materials,

$$\eta_{\min} \in \{\eta_5, \eta_6\} < 0. \quad (41)$$

The minimum η_5 is get at the points $(\pm 1, 1)$ i.e. by laminates with all the layer orientations $\delta_j = 0$ or $\delta_j = \frac{\pi}{2}$; η_6 is given by the two symmetric points, on the boundary of Ω ,

$$\left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2 + \tau_1 \rho + 1}{2\rho^2}}, \frac{1 + \tau_1 \rho}{\rho^2} \right),$$

also these lamination points can be obtained, but not only, by angle-ply sequences. Just like Ψ_{\min} , also η_{\min} depends on all the material parameters, but its position on Ω as well as the formula for its calculation depend only on τ_1 and ρ . The zones of the different η_i , $i = 1, \dots, 4$, in the plane (τ_1, ρ) are shown in Fig. 8, where, once more, the positions of the materials in Tab. 1 are also indicated by a small circle. Apart materials 7, a glass-epoxy ply, and 14, a composite boron-aluminium, all the layers belong to the zone where $\eta_{\min} = \eta_4$. For all of them, the minimum is get at a lamination point on the boundary of Ω , that can always be obtained by an angle-ply sequence, but not exclusively.




Figure 9: Subset $\Xi \subset \Omega$ where $\eta_{\min} < 0$, material 2 in Tab. 1.

4 Materials suitable for the fabrication of auxetic laminates

Looking at Figs. 3 and 6, we can see that it is not guaranteed that functions $\Psi(\xi_3, \xi_1)$ and $\eta(\xi_3, \xi_1)$ be negative on Ω or just on a subset of it. Physically, this means that it is not guaranteed that a TAAL or also a PAAL can always be realized, for any given anisotropic ply. For the examples in Figs. 3 and 6, the only condition that is satisfied, on a subset $\Xi \subset \Omega$, is that on η_{\min} for material 2. So, for the cases at hand, only a PAAL can be realized, by laminates whose lamination point $(\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Xi$ and made of material 2. The subset Ξ for material 2 is shown in Fig. 9. Its existence, form and dimensions depend on the material properties τ_0, τ_1 and ρ .

The possibility of realizing a TAAL or a PAAL with a given layer is determined, in the space of the dimensionless polar moduli of the ply, by the conditions on the maximum or on the minimum of $\Psi(\xi_3, \xi_1)$ for the TAALs or of $\eta(\xi_3, \xi_1)$ for the PAALs, jointly to eq. (19). In the following, we rearrange such conditions for each one of the four cases treated above. So, for a given UD material with parameters τ_0, τ_1, ρ :

- it is possible to obtain a TAAL $\forall (\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Omega \iff$

$$\begin{cases} \tau_0 > \rho + 2\tau_1, \\ \tau_1 [\tau_0 + (-1)^K \rho] - 2 > 0. \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

The corresponding domain in the space $\{\tau_0, \tau_1, \rho\}$ is shown in Fig. 10.

- a TAAL can be obtained for some $(\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Omega \iff$

$$\begin{cases} \tau_0 - \rho > 0, \\ \tau_1 [\tau_0 + (-1)^K \rho] - 2 > 0, \\ \Psi_{\min} \in \{\Psi_1, \Psi_2, \Psi_3, \Psi_4\} < 0. \end{cases} \quad (43)$$




Figure 10: Domain of the space $\{\tau_0, \tau_1, \rho\}$ where TAALs are possible $\forall(\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Omega$. The exterior, light and transparent, domain corresponds to materials with $K = 0$ and surrounds the, darker, part of materials with $K = 1$.

The domain in the space $\{\tau_0, \tau_1, \rho\}$ where TAALs are possible for some $(\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Omega$ is shown in Fig. 11.

- a PAAL can be fabricated $\forall(\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Omega \iff$

$$\begin{cases} \tau_0 - \rho > 0, \\ \tau_1 [\tau_0 + (-1)^K \rho] - 2 > 0, \\ \eta_{\max} \in \{\eta_1, \eta_2\} < 0. \end{cases} \quad (44)$$

The domain in the space $\{\tau_0, \tau_1, \rho\}$ where PAALs are possible $\forall(\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Omega$ is shown in Fig. 12. The part of the domain concerning materials with $K = 0$ surrounds completely that for materials with $K = 1$

- a PAAL can be fabricated for some $(\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Xi \subset \Omega \iff$

$$\begin{cases} \tau_0 - \rho > 0, \\ \tau_1 [\tau_0 + (-1)^K \rho] - 2 > 0, \\ \eta_{\min} \in \{\eta_1, \eta_3, \eta_4, \eta_5, \eta_6\} < 0. \end{cases} \quad (45)$$

The domain in the space $\{\tau_0, \tau_1, \rho\}$ where PAALs are possible for some $(\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Xi \subset \Omega$ is shown in Fig. 13.

The above results, represented in Figs. 10 to 13, show that the four different types of auxetic laminates *can theoretically exist*: just like thermodynamics allows the existence of isotropic materials with a negative Poisson's ratio, in the same way it is physically possible to fabricate the four types of auxetic orthotropic laminates introduced above.

5 Auxetic laminates made of non auxetic materials

Although the results of the previous Section show that the four types of auxetic laminates can exist, a question still remains: a layer whose representative point in the space




Figure 11: Domain of the space $\{\tau_0, \tau_1, \rho\}$ where TAALs are possible for some $(\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Omega$. The exterior, light and transparent, domain corresponds to materials with $K = 0$ and surrounds the, darker, part of materials with $K = 1$.




Figure 12: Domain of the space $\{\tau_0, \tau_1, \rho\}$ where PAALs are possible $\forall (\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Omega$. The darker, upper part, $\rho > 2\tau_1$, corresponds to $\eta_{\max} = \eta_1$, the lighter, lower part, $\rho < 2\tau_1$, to $\eta_{\max} = \eta_2$.




Figure 13: Domain of the space $\{\tau_0, \tau_1, \rho\}$ where PAALs are possible for some $(\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Xi \subset \Omega$. The darker part corresponds to materials with $K = 0$, the lighter one to $K = 1$.

$\{\tau_0, \tau_1, \rho\}$ belongs to one of the domains in Figs. 10 to 13 can be fabricated using classical, i.e. non auxetic, isotropic components (fibres and matrix)? In other words, is it possible to obtain an auxetic laminate of one of the four types above using non auxetic materials? To give a response to this question we need to express the polar moduli of \mathbb{Q} , i.e. τ_0, τ_1, ρ and K , by the five parameters E_f, E_m, ν_f, ν_m and v_f , respectively the Young's moduli of the fibres and of the matrix, the Poisson's ratio of the fibres and of the matrix, and the volume fraction of the fibres. Through a homogenization criterion, we first calculate the equivalent parameters of the layer (reference frame of the layer $\{x_1, x_2\}$, with x_1 aligned with the fibres): E_1, E_2, G_{12} and ν_{12} .

In this study, the matrix and the fibres are isotropic non auxetic materials and the classical rule of mixtures [28, 38] for UD layers is used to evaluate E_1, E_2, G_{12} and ν_{12} . In order to reduce the size of the problem, also for the technical moduli we introduce dimensionless parameters:

$$E := \frac{E_f}{E_m}, \quad \nu := \frac{\nu_f}{\nu_m}, \quad G := \frac{G_f}{G_m} \quad (46)$$

with (we suppose that the fibres are stiffer than the matrix)

$$E > 1, \quad -\frac{1}{\nu_m} < \nu < \frac{1}{2\nu_m}. \quad (47)$$

In the above equations, G_f and G_m are, respectively, the shear moduli of the fibres and of the matrix, both assumed to be isotropic:

$$G_f = \frac{E_f}{2(1 + \nu_f)}, \quad G_m = \frac{E_m}{2(1 + \nu_m)}. \quad (48)$$

Through the rule of mixtures we get the following dimensionless constants for the layer:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{E}_1 &:= \frac{E_1}{E_m} = 1 + v_f(E - 1), \\
\bar{E}_2 &:= \frac{E_2}{E_m} = \frac{E}{v_f + (1 - v_f)E}, \\
\bar{\nu}_{12} &:= \frac{\nu_{12}}{\nu_m} = 1 + v_f(\nu - 1), \\
\bar{G}_{12} &:= \frac{G_{12}}{G_m} = \frac{G}{v_f + (1 - v_f)G} = \\
&= \frac{(1 + \nu_m)E}{v_f(1 + \nu \nu_m) + (1 - v_f)(1 + \nu_m)E}.
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

We can now calculate the components of \mathbb{S} and, by inversion, those of \mathbb{Q} , then used to calculate $T_0, T_1, (-1)^K R_0$ and R_1 [25] and finally τ_0, τ_1, ρ and K . The mathematical details are given in the Appendix, where it is also shown that an UD material cannot have $K = 1$; actually, all the UD plies in Tab. 1 have $K = 0$. The final expressions of τ_0, τ_1, ρ as functions of E, ν, v_f for a given ν_m are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_0 &= \frac{\left(\frac{2E\{(v_f - 1)v_f + E^2(v_f - 1)v_f + E[2v_f - 2v_f^2 - 1 + (\nu_m + v_f(\nu - 1)\nu_m]^2\}}{(E - 1)^2(v_f - 1)v_f[1 + (E - 1)v_f] \times [v_f + v_f\nu\nu_m - E(v_f - 1)(1 + \nu_m)]} \right) + \\
&+ \frac{2E[1 - \nu_m + v_f(v_f - 1 + \nu_m - \nu\nu_m)]}{v_f(1 - v_f)(E - 1)^2} + \frac{1 + E^2}{(E - 1)^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

$$\tau_1 = \frac{\left(\frac{(v_f - 1)v_f + E^2(v_f - 1)v_f - 2E\{1 + \nu_m + v_f[v_f - 1 + (\nu - 1)\nu_m]\}}{v_f(v_f - 1)(E - 1)^2} \right), \tag{51}$$

$$\rho = \frac{\left(\frac{(E - 1)[E(v_f - 1) - v_f][1 + (E - 1)v_f] + (1 + (E - 1)v_f)[E^2(v_f - 1) + v_f\nu - E(1 + v_f + (v_f - 2)\nu)]\nu_m + 2E[1 + (\nu - 1)v_f](E - \nu)\nu_m^2}{(E - 1)[1 + (E - 1)v_f] \times [E(v_f - 1)(1 + \nu_m) - v_f(1 + \nu\nu_m)]} \right). \tag{52}$$

If the above expressions of τ_0, τ_1, ρ are used in eqs. (42) to (45), the domain of existence of the four cases of auxetic orthotropic laminates can be traced in the space of the variables E, ν, v_f , i.e. the corresponding of Figs. 10 to 13 in the space $\{E, \nu, v_f\}$ can be found. They are shown in Figs. 14 to 17 for a matrix having $\nu_m = 0.3$ (the influence of this parameter is rather low and in any case does not vary much from one matrix to another).

In all these figures, the plane $\nu = 0$ is shown: the parts of the domain belonging to the half-space $\nu < 0$ corresponds to solutions that can be obtained only if one of the two




Figure 14: Domain of the space $\{E, \nu, v_f\}$ where TAALs are possible $\forall (\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Omega$.




Figure 15: Domain of the space $\{E, \nu, v_f\}$ where TAALs are possible for some $(\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Omega$.




Figure 16: Domain of the space $\{E, \nu, v_f\}$ where PAALs are possible $\forall (\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Omega$.




Figure 17: Domain of the space $\{E, \nu, v_f\}$ where PAALs are possible for some $(\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Xi \subset \Omega$.

constituent materials of the layer, the fibres or the matrix, is itself auxetic, that is, when one of the two constituents is not a classical, non auxetic elastic material. Looking at Figs. 14-16, we see that the admissible domains are completely in the half-space $\nu < 0$. This means that *it is impossible to fabricate auxetic orthotropic laminates that are completely auxetic or also partially auxetic for any possible orthotropic stacking sequence*. Only in Fig. 17 the feasible domain is for a part of it in the subspace $\nu > 0$. Physically, this means that *only PAALs for some $(\xi_3, \xi_1) \in \Xi \subset \Omega$ can be fabricated using non auxetic layers*. It is worth to notice that this check could be done, theoretically, directly, adding the condition $\nu > 0$ to the inequalities defining the domains for the four cases; unfortunately, the expressions are so complicate that this is impossible, in practice. Also, when tracing the domains in the space $\{E, \nu, v_f\}$ bounded by eqs. (47), conditions (19) are automatically satisfied and can be discarded.

6 Orthotropic PAALs

Finally, two facts are crucial for obtaining auxetic orthotropic laminates: the material of the layers and the stacking sequence. An UD layer is suitable for the realization of a PAAL only if condition (39) is satisfied. In fact, for a physical, existing, layer, the thermodynamic conditions (19) are necessarily satisfied, and because UD layers cannot have $K = 1$, only condition (39) must be satisfied in order to obtain a PAAL for some (ξ_3, ξ_1) in a subset $\Xi \subset \Omega$, i.e. for some stacking sequences. It is rather easy to check whether or not condition (39) is satisfied by a layer, and hence whether or not it is possible to realize with it auxetic laminates: it is sufficient to calculate its dimensionless polar parameters τ_0, τ_1 and ρ and then determine η_{\min} and check if it is negative. In particular, for the materials in Tab. 1 it is always $\eta_{\min} = \eta_4$, apart materials 7 and 14, that have $\eta_{\min} = \eta_1$. The value of η_{\min} for all these materials is given in Tab. 2. Looking at the values of η_{\min} , we can see that it is not possible to obtain a PAAL with all the layers; namely, $\eta_{\min} > 0$ for materials 4, 6, 7, 11 (glass-epoxy layers) and 14 (boron-aluminium). All of them are characterized by a low ratio E_1/E_2 , whose value varies between 1.57 to 4.67. For all the other materials, having $\eta_{\min} < 0$, this ratio varies from 9.85, materials

Table 2: Value of η_{\min} for the materials of Tab. 1.

Mat.	1	2	3	4	5
η_{\min}	-0.86	-0.68	-0.52	0.49	-0.63
Mat.	6	7	8	9	10
η_{\min}	0.91	1.96	-0.87	-0.57	-0.42
Mat.	11	12	13	14	15
η_{\min}	0.92	-0.72	-0.62	2.52	-0.89
Mat.	16	17	18	19	20
η_{\min}	-0.54	-0.62	-0.59	-0.59	-0.38




Figure 18: Domain Ξ for material 1 of Tab. 1: pine wood.

10 and 20, to 46.45, material 15. All these materials, apart material 1, pine wood, are composites obtained reinforcing a matrix with stiff fibres (carbon, kevlar, boron). It seems hence that auxeticity by anisotropy can be get only when anisotropy is sufficiently strong, practically, reinforcing a matrix with fibres that are much stiffer than it and with an adequate volume fraction. This is confirmed by Fig. 17, where the part of the feasible domain with $\nu > 0$ has high values of the ratio E .

7 Naturally auxetic anisotropic plies

The case of material 1, pine wood, is rather peculiar. Unlike all the other materials in Tab. 1, it is a *naturally auxetic anisotropic ply*, in the sense that, as already observed in the Introduction, it is, by itself, already auxetic along some directions, see Fig. 1. This happens whenever the point $(1, 1) \in \Xi$, see Fig. 18. The condition for an anisotropic ply to be naturally auxetic can be get from equation (10): once divided by R_1^2 to obtain its




Figure 19: The feasible domains of naturally anisotropic auxetic plies.

dimensionless form, the condition is

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &:= \min \lambda(\theta) < 0 \Rightarrow \\ \mu &= 2(\tau_0\tau_1) - \tau_0^2 + \rho^2 - 2|1 - (-1)^K\tau_1\rho| < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\min} &= (\tau_0 + \rho)(2\tau_1 - \tau_0 + \rho) - 4 = \eta_3 \\ \text{if } K &= 0, \rho < \frac{1}{\tau_1}, \\ \mu_{\min} &= (\tau_0 - \rho)(2\tau_1 - \tau_0 - \rho) = \eta_1 \\ \text{if } K &= 0, \rho > \frac{1}{\tau_1}, \\ \mu_{\min} &= (\tau_0 - \rho)(2\tau_1 - \tau_0 - \rho) - 4 = \eta_5 \\ \text{if } K &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

In the case of material 1, $\rho = 0.758 < \frac{1}{\tau_1} = 0.896 \rightarrow \mu_{\min} = \eta_3 = -0.559 < 0$. In Fig. 19 the admissible domains in the space $\{\tau_0, \tau_1, \rho\}$ and in the space $\{E, \nu, v_f\}$ are represented for the case $K = 0$. It can be noticed that also in this case the part of the domain in the half space $\nu > 0$ is null. This means that a UD cannot be naturally auxetic. The fact that material 1, pine wood, is naturally auxetic just means that its behavior cannot be reduced to that of a UD ply whose characteristics are evaluated through the rule of mixtures.

8 Some examples of PAALs

We consider now some examples of PAALs made using the materials in Tab. 1. In particular, as criterion for the choice, we have taken for each material the angle-ply

Table 3: Characteristics of some PAALs; the material numbers refer to Tab. 1.

Mat.	η_3	η_{\min}	ξ_3^{\min}	ξ_1^{\min}	δ ($^\circ$)	ν_{12}^{\max}	ν_{12}^{\min}
1	-0.56	-0.86	± 0.796	0.266	18.6	1.03	-0.40
2	0.29	-0.68	± 0.681	-0.073	21.5	1.42	-0.32
5	0.69	-0.63	± 0.645	-0.168	24.9	1.64	-0.34
15	0.08	-0.89	± 0.700	-0.020	22.8	2.62	-0.93

corresponding to the point of the boundary of Ξ where η_{\min} is get. The angle, $\pm\delta$, of the plies is hence

$$\delta = \frac{1}{2} \arccos \xi_3 = \frac{1}{4} \arccos \xi_1. \quad (55)$$

In Tab. 3 we list the cases considered, indicating for each of them η_3, η_{\min} , the coordinates $\xi_3^{\min}, \xi_1^{\min}$ of η_{\min} , the orientation angle δ and the maximum, ν_{12}^{\max} , and minimum, ν_{12}^{\min} , of the Poisson's ratio.

The cases of materials 2, 5 and 15 show clearly that (partially) auxetic laminates can be obtained stacking UD layers that are not naturally auxetic, as a simple result of anisotropy.

9 Conclusion

The question of the possible realization of auxetic orthotropic laminates using layers made of non auxetic materials has been considered in this paper. The use of dimensionless polar parameters to define the material properties of the layer and of the lamination parameters for the description of the stack has allowed to define the admissible sets for auxetic laminates. Using the rule of mixture for the homogenization of the layer's properties allows us to show that actually only partially auxetic laminates can be fabricated, for some admissible stacking sequences, using classical materials. This proves also that auxeticity can actually be produced simply by anisotropy.

10 Appendix

In the Kelvin's notation [30, 31], the relations between the polar parameters and the Cartesian components of \mathbb{Q} for a UD ply are [25]

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 &= \frac{1}{8}(\mathbb{Q}_{11} - 2\mathbb{Q}_{12} + 2\mathbb{Q}_{66} + \mathbb{Q}_{22}), \\ T_1 &= \frac{1}{8}(\mathbb{Q}_{11} + 2\mathbb{Q}_{12} + \mathbb{Q}_{22}), \\ (-1)^K R_0 &= \frac{1}{8}(\mathbb{Q}_{11} - 2\mathbb{Q}_{12} - 2\mathbb{Q}_{66} + \mathbb{Q}_{22}), \\ R_1 &= \frac{1}{8}(\mathbb{Q}_{11} - \mathbb{Q}_{22}), \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Because R_0 is intrinsically non negative, if $(-1)^K R_0 < 0$, then $K = 1$, otherwise $K = 0$. The formulae for the polar parameters as functions of the engineering moduli $E_1, E_2, G_{12}, \nu_{12}$




Figure 20: Directional diagrams of ν_{12} for the four materials in Tab. 3, respectively material 1, 2, 5 and 15 from top to bottom. The dashed line is ν_{12} of the ply. The thin circle marks the zero value: inside it, ν_{12} is negative.

of the layer can be obtained expressing the Q_{ij} s in terms of these last; for an UD layer

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{11} &= \frac{E_1}{1 - \nu_{12}\nu_{21}}, \\ Q_{12} &= \frac{\nu_{12}E_2}{1 - \nu_{12}\nu_{21}}, \\ Q_{22} &= \frac{E_2}{1 - \nu_{12}\nu_{21}}, \\ Q_{66} &= 2G_{12}, \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

with ν_{21} given by the reciprocity relation $\nu_{21} = \nu_{12}\frac{E_2}{E_1}$. Replacing these quantities into equation (56) gives

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 &= \frac{1}{8} \left[\frac{E_1(E_1 + E_2 - 2E_2\nu_{12})}{E_1 - E_2\nu_{12}^2} + 4G_{12} \right], \\ T_1 &= \frac{1}{8} \frac{E_1(E_1 + E_2 + 2E_2\nu_{12})}{E_1 - E_2\nu_{12}^2}, \\ (-1)^K R_0 &= \frac{1}{8} \left[\frac{E_1(E_1 + E_2 - 2E_2\nu_{12})}{E_1 - E_2\nu_{12}^2} - 4G_{12} \right], \\ R_1 &= \frac{1}{8} \frac{E_1(E_1 - E_2)}{E_1 - E_2\nu_{12}^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

The dimensionless parameters τ_0, τ_1 and $(-1)^K \rho$ can now be obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_0 &= \frac{E_1(E_1 + E_2 + 4G_{12}) - 2E_1E_2\nu_{12} - 4E_2G_{12}\nu_{12}^2}{E_1(E_1 - E_2)}, \\ \tau_1 &= \frac{E_1 + E_2 + 2E_2\nu_{12}}{E_1 - E_2}, \\ (-1)^K \rho &= \frac{E_1(E_1 + E_2 - 4G_{12}) - 2E_1E_2\nu_{12} + 4E_2G_{12}\nu_{12}^2}{E_1(E_1 - E_2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

If in these expressions we set

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \bar{E}_1 E_m, & E_2 &= \bar{E}_2 E_m, \\ \nu_{12} &= \bar{\nu}_{12} \nu_m, & G_{12} &= \bar{G}_{12} G_m, \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

with $\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2, \bar{\nu}_{12}, \bar{G}_{12}$ and G_m given by equations (48) and (49), then equations (50) to (52) are get, giving the polar dimensionless parameters τ_0, τ_1 and ρ as functions of the dimensionless constants E, ν, ν_f and of the Poisson's ratio of the matrix, ν_m .

In Fig. 21 the parameter $(-1)^K \rho$ is shown for three different ratios ν and for a matrix with $\nu_m = 0.3$. It is apparent that in all the cases $(-1)^K \rho > 0 \quad \forall (E, \nu_f)$. Because ρ is intrinsically a non negative quantity, $\rho > 0 \Rightarrow K = 0$. Physically, this means that a UD ply cannot have $K = 1$.




Figure 21: The parameter $(-1)^K \rho$ for three different ratios ν and for $\nu_m = 0.3$.

References

- [1] Cho H, Seo D and Kim DN. Mechanics of auxetic materials. In Schmauder S and Chen CS (eds.) *Handbook of mechanics of materials*. Singapore: Springer, 2019. pp. 733–757.
- [2] Almgren RF. An isotropic three-dimensional structure with Poisson's ratio = -1. *Journal of Elasticity* 1985; 15: 427–430.
- [3] Evans KE. Auxetic polymers: a new range of materials. *Endeavour - New Series* 1991; 15(4): 170–174.
- [4] Evans KE, Nkansah MA, Hutchinson IJ et al. Molecular network design. *Nature* 1991; 353: 124.
- [5] Lakes R. Deformation mechanisms in negative Poisson's ratio materials: structural aspects. *Journal of Materials Sciences* 1991; 26: 2287–2292.
- [6] Lakes R. Advances in negative Poisson's ratio materials. *Advanced Materials* 1993; 5(4): 293–296.
- [7] Lakes R and Witt R. Making and characterizing negative Poisson's ratio materials. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering Education* 2002; 30(1): 50–58.
- [8] Lakes R. Negative Poisson's-ratio materials: Auxetic solids. *Annual Review of Materials Research* 2017; 47: 63–81.
- [9] Milton GW. Composite materials with Poisson's ratios close to -1. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids* 1992; 40(5): 1105–1137.
- [10] Prawoto Y. Seeing auxetic materials from the mechanics point of view: A structural review on the negative Poisson's ratio. *Computational Materials Science* 2012; 58: 140–153.
- [11] Shukla S and Behera BK. Auxetic fibrous structures and their composites: A review. *Composite Structures* 2022; 290(115530).
- [12] Lekhnitskii SG. *Theory of elasticity of an anisotropic elastic body*. San Francisco, CA: English translation (1963) by P. Fern, Holden-Day, 1950.
- [13] Veloso C, Mota C, Cunha F et al. A comprehensive review on in-plane and through-the-thickness auxeticity in composite laminates for structural applications. *Journal of Composite Materials* 2023; 57(26): 4215–4223.
- [14] Herakovich CT. Composite laminates with negative through-the-thickness Poisson's ratios. *Journal of Composite Materials* 1984; 18(5): 447–455.
- [15] Miki M and Murotsu Y. The peculiar behavior of the Poisson's ratio of laminated fibrous composites. *JSME International Journal* 1989; 32(1): 67–72.
- [16] Clarke JJ, Duckett RA, Hine PJ et al. Negative Poisson's ratios in angle-ply laminates: theory and experiment. *Composites* 1994; 25(9): 863–868.
- [17] Hine PJ, Duckett RA and Ward IM. Negative Poisson's ratios in angle-ply laminates. *Journal of Materials Science Letters* 1997; 16: 541–544.

- [18] Zhang R, Yeh HL and Yeh HY. A preliminary study of negative Poisson's ratio of laminated fiber reinforced composites. *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites* 1998; 17(18): 1651–1664.
- [19] Zhang R, Yeh HL and Yeh HY. A discussion of negative Poisson's ratio design for composites. *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites* 1999; 18(17): 1546–1556.
- [20] Alderson KL, Simkins VR, Coenen VL et al. How to make auxetic fibre reinforced composites. *Physica Status Solidi* 2005; 242(3): 509–518.
- [21] Peel LD. Exploration of high and negative Poisson's ratio elastomer-matrix laminates. *Physica Status Solidi* 2007; 244(3): 988–1003.
- [22] Shokrieh MM and Assadi A. Determination of maximum negative Poisson's ratio for laminated fiber composites. *Physica Status Solidi* 2011; 248(5): 1237–1241.
- [23] Verchery G. Les invariants des tenseurs d'ordre 4 du type de l'élasticité. In *Proc. of Colloque Euromech 115 (Villard-de-Lans, 1979): Comportement mécanique des matériaux anisotropes*. Paris: Editions du CNRS, 1982. pp. 93–104.
- [24] Vannucci P. Plane anisotropy by the polar method. *Meccanica* 2005; 40: 437–454.
- [25] Vannucci P. *Anisotropic elasticity*. Berlin, Germany: Springer, 2018.
- [26] Tsai SW and Pagano NJ. Invariant properties of composite materials. In Tsai SW, Halpin JC and Pagano NJ (eds.) *Composite Materials Workshop*. Stamford, CT: Technomic, 1968.
- [27] Tsai SW and Hahn T. *Introduction to composite materials*. Stamford, CT: Technomic, 1980.
- [28] Jones RM. *Mechanics of composite materials. Second Edition*. Philadelphia, PA: Taylor & Francis, 1999.
- [29] Ting TCT. *Anisotropic elasticity*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 1996.
- [30] Thomson - Lord Kelvin W. Elements of a mathematical theory of elasticity. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society* 1856; 146: 481–498.
- [31] Thomson - Lord Kelvin W. Mathematical theory of elasticity. *Encyclopedia Britannica* 1878; 7: 819–825.
- [32] Vannucci P. On bending-tension coupling of laminates. *Journal of Elasticity* 2001; 64: 13–28.
- [33] Vannucci P. On the mechanical and mathematical properties of the stiffness and compliance coupling tensors of composite anisotropic laminates. *Journal of Composite Materials* 2023; 57(26): 4197–4214.
- [34] Vannucci P. On the thermoelastic coupling of anisotropic laminates. *Archive of Applied Mechanics* 2024; to appear.
- [35] Vannucci P and Verchery G. Stiffness design of laminates using the polar method. *International Journal of Solids and Structures* 2001; 38: 9281–9294.

- [36] Vannucci P and Verchery G. A special class of uncoupled and quasi-homogeneous laminates. *Composites Science and Technology* 2001; 61: 1465–1473.
- [37] Vannucci P. A note on the elastic and geometric bounds for composite laminates. *Journal of Elasticity* 2013; 112: 199–215.
- [38] Gay D. *Composite Materials Design and Applications - Third Edition*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2014.
- [39] Daniel IM and Ishai O. *Engineering mechanics of composite materials*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 1994.
- [40] AAVV. MIL-HDBK - Composite Materials Handbook - Volume 2. Technical report, US Department of Defense, 2002.
- [41] Gürdal Z, Haftka RT and Hajela P. *Design and optimization of laminated composite materials*. New York, NY: J. Wiley & Sons, 1999.
- [42] Love AEH. *A treatise on the mathematical theory of elasticity*. New York, NY: Dover, 1944.
- [43] Vannucci P. Complete set of bounds for the technical moduli in 3D anisotropic elasticity. *Journal of Elasticity* 2024; to appear.
- [44] Miki M. Material design of composite laminates with required in-plane elastic properties. In *Proc. of ICCM 4 - Fourth International Conference on Composite Materials*. Tokio, Japan, 1982. pp. 1725–1731.
- [45] Miki M. A graphical method for designing fibrous laminated composites with required in-plane stiffness. *Transactions of the Japanese Society of Composite Materials* 1983; 9: 51–55.
- [46] Miki M. Design of laminated fibrous composite plates with required flexural stiffness. In Vinson JR and Taya M (eds.) *Recent advances in composites in the USA and Japan - ASTM STP 864*. Philadelphia, PA: American Society for Testing and Materials, pp. 387–400.