

INITIAL DATA SETS WITH VANISHING MASS ARE CONTAINED IN PP-WAVE SPACETIMES

SVEN HIRSCH AND YIYUE ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In 1981, Schoen-Yau and Witten showed that in General Relativity both the total energy E and the total mass m of an initial data set modeling an isolated gravitational system are non-negative. Moreover, if $E = 0$, the initial data set must be contained in Minkowski space. In this paper, we show that if $m = 0$, i.e. if E equals the total momentum $|P|$, the initial data set must be contained in a pp-wave spacetime. Our proof combines spinorial methods with spacetime harmonic functions and works in all dimensions. Additionally, we find the decay rate threshold where the embedding has to be within Minkowski space and construct non-vacuum initial data sets with $m = 0$ in the borderline case. As a consequence, this completely settles the rigidity of the spacetime positive mass theorem for spin manifolds.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that manifolds with non-negative scalar curvature and vanishing mass are isometric to Euclidean space [24]. However, this becomes much more subtle in the spacetime setting. One might hope that initial data sets with vanishing mass satisfying the dominant energy condition must isometrically embed into Minkowski space. However, this is false. Indeed, it has been established that there are such initial data sets which do not embed into Minkowski space [4, 19].

In this work we classify all such initial data sets:

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M^n, g, k) be a $C^{2,a}$ -asymptotically flat initial data set with decay rate $q \in (\frac{n-2}{2}, n-2]$ satisfying the dominant energy condition. Suppose that M^n is spin and that $E = |P|$. Then (M^n, g) isometrically embeds into a pp-wave spacetime $(\overline{M}^{n+1}, \overline{g})$ with second fundamental form k .*

Definition 1.2 (pp-wave spacetime). *We say a Lorentzian manifold $(\overline{M}^{n+1}, \overline{g})$ is a pp-wave spacetime if $\overline{M}^{n+1} = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and*

$$(1.1) \quad \overline{g} = -2dudt + Fdu^2 + g_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}},$$

where F is a function on $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$, independent of t , which is superharmonic¹ on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{u\}$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$.

Plane-fronted waves with parallel propagation, or pp-waves for short, play an important role in Physics. There they model radiation moving at the speed of light such as electromagnetic and gravitational radiation. Moreover, a certain kind of pp-waves, called *plane wave spacetimes*, appear as “tangent spaces” of null geodesics in any Lorentzian manifolds; see [6, 7, 23] for detailed discussions of such *Penrose limits*. Unless F is constant, asymptotically flat initial data sets contained in pp-wave spacetimes are non-vacuum although their mass vanishes. In particular, Theorem 1.1 implies that such initial data sets cannot admit MITS or MOTS boundaries.

¹The superharmonicity of F ensures that the dominant energy condition is satisfied.

The function F in Theorem 1.1 is implicitly defined as follows. Consider the spacetime harmonic function u , i.e. the solution of the PDE $\Delta u = -\text{tr}_g k |\nabla u|$, where $E\nabla u$ asymptotes to $-P$. Then F solves $\Delta_\Sigma F = -2|\nabla u|^{-2}\mu$ and asymptotes to 1 at infinity. Here Δ_Σ denotes the Laplace operator with respect to the level-sets Σ of u , and μ is the energy density of (M^n, g, k) .

Since F is superharmonic, there are no non-trivial pp-wave spacetimes containing asymptotically flat initial data sets with decay rates $q > n - 3$. Our next result demonstrates that this can be improved to the optimal value for q .

Theorem 1.3. *Given $a \in (0, 1)$ and $s \geq 2$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, let (M^n, g, k) be a $C^{s,a}$ -asymptotically flat spin initial data set with decay rate q . Assume that either*

$$(1.2) \quad E = |P| \quad \text{and} \quad q > n - 1 - s - a,$$

or

$$(1.3) \quad E = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad q > \frac{n-2}{2}.$$

Then (M^n, g, k) isometrically embeds into Minkowski spacetime with second fundamental form k .

This has been previously established under various additional assumptions by P.F. Yip [27], R. Beig and P. Chrusciel [4], P. Chrusciel and D. Maerten [11], L.-H. Huang and D. Lee [17, 18], D. Kazaras, M. Khuri and the first named author [15], as well as the authors [16], cf. Remark 6.1.

We emphasize that in our result no additional decay or regularity assumptions on μ, J, g, k are made, and that it holds in all dimensions. Moreover, the condition on q in Theorem 1.3 is optimal:

Theorem 1.4. *Given $a \in (0, 1)$ with $n - 3 - a > \frac{n-2}{2}$, there exist initial data sets contained in non-trivial pp-wave spacetimes which are $C^{2,a}$ -asymptotically flat with decay rate $q = n - 3 - a$, which satisfy $E = |P|$ and the dominant energy condition.*

See Example 7.1 for the explicit construction. Intriguingly, the asymptotic flatness condition $q > \frac{n-2}{2}$ can only be fulfilled for $n \geq 5$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 combines spinorial and level-set methods. First, we construct a spacetime harmonic function u where $E\nabla u$ asymptotes to $-P$. Our goal is to show that the level-sets Σ of u are flat which will become the ‘‘planes’’ of the pp-wave spacetime. Next, we solve the spacetime Dirac equation $\not{D}\psi = \frac{1}{2}\text{tr} ke_0\psi$ to find a spinor $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)$ which asymptotes to a constant spinor at infinity. With the help of u and ψ , we define in odd dimensions the vector fields

$$(1.4) \quad X = \langle e_i e_0 \psi, \psi \rangle e_i, \quad \text{and} \quad Z = |\nabla u|^{-2} \text{Im} \langle e_i \nabla u \psi_1, \psi_1 \rangle e_i$$

where Z is tangential to the level-sets Σ , and where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal frame of M^n . Using Witten’s mass formula, we obtain $X = \nabla u$ and $\nabla^\Sigma Z = 0$ which allows us to demonstrate that Σ is flat. A similar argument also works in even dimensions. Finally, with a delicate analysis involving u and ψ , we are able to harness this information to construct the corresponding pp-wave spacetime which will be carried out in Section 4 and Section 5.

In Section 6 we prove Theorem 1.3, and in Section 7 we show Theorem 1.4. Both proofs are very technical and depend on the precise asymptotics and the underlying PDE for F in a subtle way.

Acknowledgements: SH was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1926686, and by the IAS School of Mathematics. The authors thank Hubert Bray, Simon Brendle, Piotr Chruściel, Lan-Hsun Huang, Hyun Chul Jang, Marcus Khuri, Dan Lee, and Rick Schoen for helpful discussions and their interest in this work.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND DEFINITIONS

For the sake of completeness, we recall several definitions regarding asymptotically flat manifolds which will be used in the remainder of the paper. Moreover, we review Witten's proof of the positive mass theorem.

2.1. Asymptotically flat manifolds.

Definition 2.1 (Weighted function spaces). *For $a \in (0, 1)$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}$, the corresponding weighted Hölder norm for functions, tensors and spinors is defined by*

$$(2.1) \quad \|f\|_{C_{-q}^{s,a}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B)} := \sum_{|I| \leq s} \left| |x|^{|I|+q} \nabla_I f \right| + \sum_{|I|=s} \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B \\ |x-y| \leq \frac{|x|}{2}}} |x|^{a+k+q} \frac{|\nabla_I f(x) - \nabla_I f(y)|}{|x-y|^a}.$$

Similarly, the weighted Sobolev norm is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \|f\|_{W_{-q}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B} \sum_{|I| \leq s} \left| |x|^{|I|+q} |\nabla_I f| \right|^p |x|^{-n} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Definition 2.2 (Asymptotically flat initial data sets). *Let (M^n, g) be a connected complete Riemannian manifold without boundary, and let k be a symmetric 2-tensor on M^n . We say (M^n, g, k) is a $C^{s,a}$ -asymptotically flat initial data set of decay rate $q \in (\frac{n-2}{2}, n-2]$ if it satisfies the following conditions:*

There is a compact set $\mathcal{C} \subset M$ such that we can write $M \setminus \mathcal{C} = \cup_{\ell=1}^{\ell_0} M_{end}^\ell$ where the ends M_{end}^ℓ are pairwise disjoint and admit diffeomorphisms ϕ^ℓ to the complement of a ball $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B$. Moreover, on each end, we have

$$(2.3) \quad (\phi_*^\ell g - g_{\mathbb{R}^n}, \phi_*^\ell k) \in C_{-q}^{s,a} \times C_{-q-1}^{s-1,a},$$

where $g_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is the Euclidean metric. The energy density μ and the momentum density J satisfy

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu &:= \frac{1}{2} (R + |\operatorname{tr}_g(k)|^2 - |k|_g^2) \in L^1(M^n), \\ J &:= \operatorname{div}[k - (\operatorname{tr}_g k)g] \in L^1(M^n). \end{aligned}$$

We sometimes also say that (M^n, g, k) is $C_{-q}^{s,a}$ -asymptotically flat. The definition of weighted function spaces from Definition 2.1 extends to asymptotically flat initial data sets with the help of the diffeomorphisms ϕ^ℓ .

Remark 2.3. *For notational convenience, we do not allow (M^n, g, k) to have an interior boundary ∂M^n . However, it is easy to see that all our results also hold true for asymptotically flat manifolds with boundaries as long as the spinorial positive mass theorem is still valid. In particular, we can allow interior MOTS and MITS boundaries with $g|_{\partial M^n} \in C^{1,\alpha}$ and $k|_{\partial M^n} \in C^{0,\alpha}$. We describe the minor adjustments necessary in Section 3.4.*

Definition 2.4 (Dominant energy condition). *We say (M, g, k) satisfies the dominant energy condition if $\mu \geq |J|$.*

Definition 2.5 (ADM energy, momentum and mass). *The ADM energy E and the ADM momentum P are defined as by*

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} E &= \frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x|=r} (g_{ij,j} - g_{ii,j}) \nu^j dA, \\ P_i &= \frac{1}{(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x|=r} (k_{ij} - (\text{tr}_g k) g_{ij}) \nu^j dA, \end{aligned}$$

where ω_{n-1} is the volume of a unit $n-1$ dimensional sphere, and ν is the outer unit normal to the sphere $\{|x|=r\}$. Moreover, in case $E \geq |P|$, the ADM mass is defined by $m = \sqrt{E^2 - |P|^2}$.

2.2. Witten's proof of the positive mass theorem. Let (M^n, g, k) be an asymptotically flat initial data set which is spin. We denote with \mathcal{S} the spinor bundle of M^n , with ∇ the induced connection, and with $\not{D} = e_i \nabla_i$ the Dirac operator.

Definition 2.6. *We say that $\overline{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}$ is the spacetime spinor bundle. Given $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2) \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}$, $\mathbb{R}^{n,1} = \text{span}\{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ acts on $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ via Clifford multiplication*

$$(2.6) \quad e_0(\phi_1, \phi_2) = (\phi_2, \phi_1) \quad \text{and} \quad e_i(\phi_1, \phi_2) = (e_i \phi_1, -e_i \phi_2).$$

The corresponding connection and Dirac operator will still be denoted with ∇, \not{D} .

Theorem 2.7. *Let M^n be spin and let (M^n, g, k) be a $C^{s,a}$ -asymptotically flat initial data set of order $q \in (\frac{n-2}{2}, n-2]$ satisfying the dominant energy condition. Then for every constant spinor ψ^∞ in the designated end, there exists a spinor field $\psi \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}(M^n)$ satisfying $\not{D}\psi = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_g(k) e_0 \psi$ such that $(\psi - \psi^\infty) \in C_{-q}^{s,a}$ for any $a \in (0, 1)$ in the designated end, and $\psi \in C_{-q}^{s,a}$ in all other ends. Moreover,*

$$(2.7) \quad E|\psi^\infty|^2 + \langle \psi^\infty, P e_0 \psi^\infty \rangle = \frac{2}{(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \int_{M^n} \left(\left| \nabla \psi + \frac{1}{2} k_{.j} e_j e_0 \psi \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu |\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi, J e_0 \psi \rangle \right).$$

Proof. The proof of the integral formula and existence of $\psi \in W_{-q}^{1,2}$ is well-established, see for instance [21, Corollary 8.26] and [21, Proposition 8.21]. Since the regularity $(\psi - \psi^\infty) \in C_{-q}^{2,a}$ is often not stated precisely in the literature, we give a brief sketch of an argument here. The spacetime Dirac operator $\not{D} - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_g(k) e_0$ is a linear elliptic system of first order. Hence, we may write the equation in local coordinates and apply the Calderon-Zygmund estimates for elliptic systems, see for instance [22, Theorem 6.2.5]. This yields $W_{-q}^{1,p}$ regularity for any $p > 1$ which can be bootstrapped to $W_{-q}^{2,p}$. Using Sobolev embedding and Schauder estimates for elliptic systems, finishes the proof. \square

3. CONSTRUCTION OF A SPACETIME HARMONIC FUNCTION

Theorem 3.1. *Let $s \geq 2$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $a \in (0, 1)$. Suppose (M^n, g, k) is a $C^{s,a}$ -asymptotically flat spin initial data set with decay rate $q \in (\frac{n-2}{2}, n-2]$ satisfying the dominant energy condition. Moreover, assume that $E = |P|$. Then the following holds true:*

- (1) *There exists a spacetime harmonic function $u - u_\infty \in C_{1-q}^{s+1,a}$ satisfying the Hessian equation $\nabla^2 u = -k|\nabla u|$ where $u_\infty = -|P|^{-1} P \cdot x$ if $|P| \neq 0$, and $u_\infty = x_n$ if $|P| = 0$.*
- (2) *Topologically, $M = \mathbb{R}^n$.*
- (3) *There exists a constant c such that $|\nabla u| \geq c$ everywhere.*
- (4) *The level-sets of u are flat, i.e. the Riemann curvature tensor of the induced metric on the level-sets is vanishing.*
- (5) *The second fundamental form h of the level sets Σ satisfies $h = -k|_{T\Sigma \otimes T\Sigma}$.*
- (6) *We have $J = -\mu\nu$, where $\nu = |\nabla u|^{-1} \nabla u$ is the normal to the level sets.*

3.1. Existence of a spacetime harmonic function. In case $P \neq 0$, we define $\mathbf{p} = P|P|^{-1}$, and without loss of generality $\mathbf{p} = -x_n$. In case $P = 0$, we also set $\mathbf{p} = -x_n$.

Let ψ_1^∞ be any constant spinor in \mathcal{S} with the norm $\|\psi_1^\infty\| = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$, and let $\psi^\infty = (\psi_1^\infty, \mathbf{p}\psi_1^\infty)$ be a unit constant spinor in $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$. According to Theorem 2.7, there exists a spinor $\psi \in C^{s,a}(M^n)$ solving $\not{D}\psi = \frac{1}{2}(\text{tr}_g k)e_0\psi$ which asymptotes to ψ^∞ . Since

$$(3.1) \quad \langle Pe_0\psi^\infty, \psi^\infty \rangle = \langle P\mathbf{p}\psi_1^\infty, \psi_1^\infty \rangle - \langle P\psi_1^\infty, \mathbf{p}\psi_1^\infty \rangle = -2|P|\|\psi_1^\infty\|^2 = -|E|\|\psi^\infty\|^2,$$

the spinor ψ must also satisfy

$$(3.2) \quad \nabla_i\psi = -\frac{1}{2}k_{ij}e_je_0\psi,$$

according to Witten's mass formula.

Lemma 3.2. *Following [4, Appendix B], we define*

$$(3.3) \quad X_i = \langle e_ie_0\psi, \psi \rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad N = |\psi|^2.$$

Then X and N are differentiable, and we have

$$(3.4) \quad \nabla_iX_j = -k_{ij}N, \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_iN = -k_{ij}X_j.$$

Proof. We compute

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_iX_j &= \langle e_je_0\nabla_i\psi, \psi \rangle + \langle e_je_0\psi, \nabla_i\psi \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\langle e_je_0k_{il}e_l\psi, \psi \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle e_je_0\psi, k_{il}e_l\psi \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2}k_{il}\langle e_je_l\psi, \psi \rangle - \frac{1}{2}k_{il}\langle e_j\psi, e_l\psi \rangle \\ &= -k_{ij}|\psi|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_iN &= \langle \nabla_i\psi, \psi \rangle + \langle \psi, \nabla_i\psi \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\langle k_{ij}e_je_0\psi, \psi \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle \psi, k_{ij}e_je_0\psi \rangle \\ &= -k_{ij}\langle e_je_0\psi, \psi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This establishes identity (3.4). □

Corollary 3.3. *On each simply connected set $\Omega^n \subset M^n$, there exists a function u satisfying $du = X$.*

Proof. Since $\nabla_iX_j = -k_{ij}N = \nabla_jX_i$, this follows from the Poincaré lemma. □

Lemma 3.4. *We have*

$$(3.7) \quad X + \mathbf{p} \in C_{-q}^{s,a}, \quad N - 1 \in C_{-q}^{s,a}$$

in the designated asymptotically flat end, and

$$(3.8) \quad X \in C_{-q}^{s,a}, \quad N \in C_{-q}^{s,a}$$

in all other ends.

Proof. We compute

$$(3.9) \quad N - 1 = |\psi|^2 - |\psi^\infty|^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\langle \psi - \psi^\infty, \psi + \psi^\infty \rangle + \langle \psi + \psi^\infty, \psi - \psi^\infty \rangle).$$

Thus, the result follows from Theorem 2.7. Moreover, for $\psi := (\psi_1, \psi_2)$ which asymptotes to $(\psi_1^\infty, \mathbf{p}\psi_1^\infty)$ at infinity, we have

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} X_i &= \langle e_i(\psi_2, \psi_1), (\psi_1, \psi_2) \rangle \\ &= -2 \operatorname{Re} \langle e_i \psi_1, \psi_2 \rangle \\ &= -2 \operatorname{Re}(\langle e_i \psi_1^\infty, \mathbf{p}\psi_1^\infty \rangle + \langle e_i(\psi_1 - \psi_1^\infty), \mathbf{p}\psi_1^\infty \rangle + \langle e_i \psi_1, \psi_2 - \mathbf{p}\psi_1^\infty \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Since $2 \operatorname{Re} \langle e_i \psi_1^\infty, \mathbf{p}\psi_1^\infty \rangle = -\mathbf{p}_i$ in the designated asymptotically flat end, and $2 \operatorname{Re} \langle e_i \psi_1^\infty, \mathbf{p}\psi_1^\infty \rangle = 0$ in all other ends, the result follows again from Theorem 2.7. \square

Lemma 3.5. *We have $N = |X|$.*

Proof. A short computation yields $\nabla_i(|X|^2 - N^2) = 0$. Since both N and $|X|$ asymptote to 1 at ∞ , the result follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1 (1). The above lemma immediately implies on each simply connected domain $\Omega^n \subset M^n$ the existence of a spacetime harmonic function $u \in C^s$, satisfying the PDE $\nabla^2 u = -k|\nabla u|$. The decay estimates follow analogously to [15, Section 4] where they have been established for spacetime harmonic functions in Dimension 3. Finally, the improved regularity, $u \in C_{1-q}^{s+1, a}$, will follow from the fact that $|\nabla u| \geq c$ for some constant c which will be shown in Lemma 3.7 below. Thus, the proof of item (1) will be finished once we have established that $M = \mathbb{R}^n$. This will be done in the following section. \square

3.2. M is topologically trivial. In Dimension 3, cf. [15, Proposition 7.2], the resolution of the Poincaré conjecture and the geometrization conjecture is used to show that $M = \mathbb{R}^3$. Hence, a new argument is needed for the higher dimensional case.

Lemma 3.6. *The second fundamental form of the level-sets of u satisfies $h = -k|_{T\Sigma \otimes T\Sigma}$, i.e. item (5) of Theorem 3.1 holds.*

Proof. On the one hand, we have $\nabla_{ij}u = -k_{ij}|\nabla u|$. On the other hand, $\nabla_{ij}u = \nabla_{ij}^\Sigma u + h_{ij}|\nabla u|$ for tangential e_i, e_j . Thus, the result follows. \square

Lemma 3.7. *The vector field X is non-vanishing and there exists a constant $c > 0$ depending only on (M^n, g, k) such that $c \leq |X| \leq c^{-1}$ everywhere on M^n . In particular, item (3) of Theorem 3.1 holds in case X is globally integrable.*

Proof. The argument of [15, Proposition 7.1] still goes through even if X is a priori not globally integrable. More precisely, for any point $p \in M^n$, take a point q in the designated asymptotically flat end with $|X(q)| \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Connect p with q via a geodesic γ and observe that $|\nabla_\gamma |X|| = |k(X, \dot{\gamma})| \leq |k||X|$. Integrating this ODE and using the decay assumption $k \in C_{-1-q}^{s-1, a}$, the result follows. \square

Corollary 3.8. *The initial data set (M^n, g, k) has just a single asymptotically flat end.*

Proof. Recall that by construction $|X|$ asymptotes to 1 in the designated asymptotically flat end, and asymptotes to 0 in all other ends. However, the latter would contradict the previous lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1 (2). Since the asymptotically flat end is simply connected, u is well-defined and can be chosen to asymptote to x_n at ∞ . In particular, due to the asymptotics for u , we may find an $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the level-sets $\Sigma_t = \{u = t\}$, $t \geq \tau$, in the asymptotically flat end are topologically \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . The boundary of the set $M_\tau = \bigcup_{t \geq \tau} \Sigma_t$ has bounded second fundamental form $h = -k$. Hence, there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ depending on (\bar{M}^n, g, k) such that the ε -neighborhood $M_{s,\varepsilon}$ of M_τ is still simply connected. Using that $|\nabla u|$ is uniformly bounded away from 0 and ∞ , and using again that second fundamental form is bounded, we obtain that Σ_t , $t \leq s - \delta$, are contained in $M_{s,\varepsilon}$ and are topologically trivial for a constant δ depending only on (M^n, g, k) . Repeating this argument finitely many times, the result follows. \square

3.3. The flatness of the level-sets. In this section, we show that the Riemann curvature tensor of the level-sets $\Sigma_t = \{u = t\}$ vanishes. In dimension 3, this follows from combining the spacetime Hessian equation $\nabla^2 u = -k|\nabla u|$, Bochner's formula and the identity $\mu|\nabla u| = -\langle J, \nabla u \rangle$, cf. [16, Corollary 2.2]. However, in higher dimensions this chain of thoughts only yields that the scalar curvature of the level-sets vanishes. Hence, a better argument is needed.

Proof of Theorem 3.1 (4). Recall that for any constant spinor ψ_1^∞ , we defined $\psi^\infty = (\psi_1^\infty, \mathbf{p}\psi_1^\infty)$ where $\mathbf{p} = |P|^{-1}P$. Moreover, there exists a spinor $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)$ which is asymptotic to ψ^∞ and satisfies

$$(3.11) \quad \nabla_i \psi = -\frac{1}{2}k_{ij}e_j e_0 \psi.$$

This implies

$$(3.12) \quad \nabla_i \psi_1 = -\frac{1}{2}k_{ij}e_j \psi_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_i \psi_2 = \frac{1}{2}k_{ij}e_j \psi_1.$$

Denote with $\nu = \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}$ the unit normal to the level-sets Σ which is well-defined since $|\nabla u| \neq 0$. We have

$$(3.13) \quad |\nabla u| = \langle X, \nu \rangle = \langle \nu e_0 \psi, \psi \rangle = \langle \nu \psi_2, \psi_1 \rangle - \langle \nu \psi_1, \psi_2 \rangle \leq 2|\psi_1||\psi_2| \leq |\psi|^2 = |\nabla u|$$

Therefore,

$$(3.14) \quad \psi_1 = \nu \psi_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_2 = -\nu \psi_1,$$

as well as

$$(3.15) \quad \nabla_i \psi_1 = \frac{1}{2}k_{ij}e_j \nu \psi_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_i \psi_2 = \frac{1}{2}k_{ij}e_j \nu \psi_2.$$

If the dimension n is odd, then the spinor bundle on M^n can be identified as the spinor bundle on the level sets Σ . Recall that $h_{\alpha\beta} = \langle \nabla_\alpha \nu, e_\beta \rangle = -k_{\alpha\beta}$, then

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_\alpha^\Sigma \psi_1 &= \nabla_\alpha \psi_1 + \frac{1}{2}h_{\alpha\beta}e_\beta \nu \psi_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}k_{\alpha j}e_j \nu \psi_1 - \frac{1}{2}k_{\alpha\beta}e_\beta \nu \psi_1 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}k_{\alpha\nu} \psi_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(|\nabla u|^{-1} \nabla_\alpha |\nabla u|) \psi_1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\nabla^\Sigma(|\nabla u|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_1) = 0$, i.e., $|\nabla u|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_1$ is a parallel spinor on Σ .

If n is even, then the spinor bundle on the level sets Σ can be identified as an eigenspace of the linear transformation $\sigma : \mathcal{S}(M^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(M^n)$, where $\sigma = i^{\frac{n}{2}} e_1 \cdots e_n$, also see the discussion in [1,

Page 903]. Note that $\sigma^2 = 1$ and σ commutes with the even part of $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, denote as $\text{Cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is generated by $e_j e_l$. Therefore, $\mathcal{S}(M^n) = \mathcal{S}^+(M^n) \oplus \mathcal{S}^-(M^n)$, where $\mathcal{S}^+(M^n)$ and $\mathcal{S}^-(M^n)$ are ± 1 eigenspaces of σ . The isomorphism $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \rightarrow \text{Cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ induced by $e_\alpha \rightarrow e_\alpha e_n$ gives a $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ module structure on $\mathcal{S}^+(M^n)$. Thus, $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \cong \mathcal{S}^+(M^n)|_\Sigma \cong \mathcal{S}^-(M^n)|_\Sigma$, and the odd part of $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, denote as $\text{Cl}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, maps $\mathcal{S}^+(M^n)$ to $\mathcal{S}^-(M^n)$. Without loss of generality, we choose the $+1$ eigenspace of σ . Therefore, for any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(M^n)$, $(1+\sigma)\phi \in \mathcal{S}^+(M^n)$ because $\sigma(1+\sigma)\phi = (1+\sigma)\phi$. Then using $\nabla\sigma = \sigma\nabla$, Equation (3.14) implies

$$(3.17) \quad \nabla_i(1+\sigma)\psi_1 = \frac{1}{2}k_{ij}e_j\nu(1+\sigma)\psi_1.$$

Thus, $|\nabla u|^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+\sigma)\psi_1$ is a parallel spinor on Σ .

Since the asymptotic of ψ_1 was chosen arbitrary, we have an abundance of parallel spinors. These spinors give rise to parallel vector fields Z^β : we define $(Z^\beta)_\alpha = |\nabla u|^{-1} \text{Im}\langle e_\alpha\nu\psi_1, \psi_1 \rangle$ in odd dimensions. Similarly, we define $(Z^\beta)_\alpha = |\nabla u|^{-1} \text{Im}\langle e_\alpha\nu(1+\sigma)\psi_1, (1+\sigma)\psi_1 \rangle$ in even dimensions. In both cases, we can choose ψ_1 such that Z^β are asymptotic to the coordinate vector fields e_β on Σ . At ∞ , $\{Z^\beta\}$ forms an orthonormal basis on $T\Sigma$. Since

$$(3.18) \quad \nabla_\alpha^\Sigma \langle Z^\beta, Z^\gamma \rangle = 0,$$

$\{Z^\beta\}$ are linearly independent everywhere on Σ . Therefore, Σ is flat. Alternatively, the flatness also follows from the fact that the spinors $|\nabla u|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\psi_1$ or $|\nabla u|^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+\sigma)\psi_1$ are parallel for any choice of spinor ψ_1^∞ . \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1 (6). Witten's formula 2.7 implies

$$(3.19) \quad \mu|\psi|^2 + \langle J e_0 \psi, \psi \rangle = 0.$$

Inserting the definitions of $X = \nabla u$, $N = |\nabla u|$, the result follows. \square

3.4. Adjustments for non-empty interior boundary. In case we have an interior MOTS or MITS boundary, we solve the PDE $\not{D}\psi = 0$, $\nu e_0\psi = -\psi$ on MOTS, $\nu e_0\psi = \psi$ on MITS, and imposing the same boundary conditions at infinity. Then Witten's mass formula with boundary, cf. [14] and [3, Theorem 11.4], yields again that ψ satisfies $\nabla_i\psi = \frac{1}{2}k_{ij}e_j e_0\psi$. Now all parts of the proof of Theorem 3.1 go through verbatim with the exception of item (ii). Using the language of the proof of Theorem 3.1(ii), let τ be the first instance where M_τ touches ∂M . Extending M_τ slightly to $M_{\tau+\delta}$, $\delta \ll 1$, we obtain from the argument presented in the proof of Theorem 3.1(ii) a spacetime harmonic function u which is non-constant on $\partial M \cap M_{\tau+\delta}$. However, the boundary condition for ψ implies that the vector field X only has a normal component. More precisely,

$$(3.20) \quad X_\nu = \langle \nu e_0 \psi, \psi \rangle = \pm |\psi|^2 = \pm |X|$$

This shows that u must be constant on ∂M which is a contradiction.

4. VERIFICATION OF THE GAUSS AND CODAZZI EQUATIONS

In the following, let Greek letters denote (to the level-sets) tangential indices, with Roman letters arbitrary indices, and with ν the normal vector. Following [16], we use the notation

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{R}_{ijkl} &= R_{ijkl} + k_{il}k_{jk} - k_{ik}k_{jl}, \\ A_{ijk} &= \nabla_i k_{jk} - \nabla_j k_{ik}. \end{aligned}$$

If \bar{R} and A are vanishing, (M^n, g, k) isometrically embeds into Minkowski space with second fundamental form k by the fundamental theorem of hypersurfaces.

Lemma 4.1. *We have*

$$(4.2) \quad \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = 0.$$

Proof. This follows immediately from the Gauss equations $R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^\Sigma = 0$ and $h_{\alpha\beta} = -k_{\alpha\beta}$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *We have*

$$(4.3) \quad (i) \bar{R}_{ij\alpha\nu} = 0, \quad (ii) A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad (iii) A_{i\beta\nu} = 0.$$

Proof. We first show that

$$(4.4) \quad \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\nu} = -A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}.$$

For this purpose, we compute

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} &= \nabla_\alpha k_{\beta\gamma} - \nabla_\beta k_{\alpha\gamma} \\ &= \nabla_\alpha^\Sigma k_{\beta\gamma} - h_{\alpha\beta} k_{\gamma\nu} - h_{\alpha\gamma} k_{\beta\nu} - \nabla_\beta^\Sigma k_{\alpha\gamma} + h_{\beta\alpha} k_{\gamma\nu} + h_{\beta\gamma} k_{\alpha\nu} \end{aligned}$$

Now, using the fact $h = -k$, and the Codazzi equations $\nabla_\alpha^\Sigma h_{\beta\gamma} - \nabla_\beta^\Sigma h_{\alpha\gamma} = R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\nu}$, claim (4.4) follows. Hence, it suffices to show item (i) and (iii) of (4.3).

Next, we use the identities $\nabla_i \psi_1 = \frac{1}{2} k_{ij} e_j \nu \psi_1$, cf. Equation (3.15). and $\nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \psi - \nabla_\beta \nabla_\alpha \psi = \frac{1}{4} R_{\alpha\beta ij} e_i e_j \psi$, to obtain

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \nabla_\alpha (2\nabla_\beta \psi_1 - k_{\beta k} e_k \nu \psi_1) - \nabla_\beta (2\nabla_\alpha \psi_1 - k_{\alpha k} e_k \nu \psi_1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} R_{\alpha\beta ij} e_i e_j \psi_1 - \frac{1}{2} k_{\beta k} e_k \nu k_{\alpha l} e_l \nu \psi_1 + \frac{1}{2} k_{\alpha k} e_k \nu k_{\beta l} e_l \nu \psi_1 \\ &\quad - k_{\beta k} e_k h_{\alpha\gamma} e_\gamma \psi_1 + k_{\alpha k} e_k h_{\beta\gamma} e_\gamma \psi_1 - A_{\alpha\beta i} e_i \nu \psi_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta ij} e_i e_j \psi_1 - A_{\alpha\beta i} e_i \nu \psi_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = 0$, we obtain in combination with (4.4)

$$(4.7) \quad 0 = \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\nu} e_\gamma \nu \psi_1 - A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} e_\gamma \nu \psi_1 + A_{\alpha\beta\nu} \psi_1 = 2\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\nu} e_\gamma \nu \psi_1 + A_{\alpha\beta\nu} \psi_1.$$

Recall the notation $(Z^\delta)_\gamma = |\nabla u|^{-1} \text{Im} \langle e_\gamma \nu \psi_1, \psi_1 \rangle$ where ψ_1 is chosen such that Z^δ asymptotes to e_δ . Multiplying (4.7) by ψ_1 and taking the imaginary part, yields

$$(4.8) \quad 0 = 2|\nabla u| \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\nu} (Z^\delta)_\gamma.$$

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 (4), this implies that $\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\nu} = 0$. Moreover, equation (4.7) also gives $A_{\alpha\beta\nu} = 0$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *We have*

$$(4.9) \quad \bar{R}_{\alpha\nu\nu\beta} = A_{\nu\alpha\beta}$$

Proof. We use the Hessian equation $\nabla^2 u = -k|\nabla u|$

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{\alpha\nu\nu\beta} &= (\nabla_\alpha \nabla_\nu - \nabla_\nu \nabla_\alpha) \frac{\nabla_\beta u}{|\nabla u|} \\ &= -\nabla_\alpha k_{\nu\beta} - \nabla_\alpha \left(\nabla_\beta u \frac{k_{\nu\nu}}{|\nabla u|} \right) + \nabla_\nu k_{\alpha\beta} + \nabla_\nu \left(\nabla_\beta u \frac{k_{\alpha\nu}}{|\nabla u|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Hessian equation once more, the result follows. \square

Hence, we may conclude that all Gauss and Codazzi terms are vanishing apart from $A_{\nu\alpha\beta}$. In particular, if $A_{\nu\alpha\beta} = 0$, (M^n, g, k) arises as spacelike slice of Minkowski space with second fundamental form k by the fundamental theorem of hypersurfaces. In the next section, we construct the Killing development and we will find out that

$$(4.11) \quad \nabla_{\alpha\beta}^{\Sigma} F = -2|\nabla u|^{-2} A_{\nu\alpha\beta}.$$

This function F will describe the pp-wave spacetime.

5. THE KILLING DEVELOPMENT

5.1. Finding good coordinates. On the one hand, we have

$$(5.1) \quad g = du^2 + g_{\Sigma},$$

where g_{Σ} is the flat metric, and on the other hand, we have the asymptotically flat coordinate system (x_1, \dots, x_n) , cf. Definition 2.2. We will demonstrate that g also has the following expression:

Proposition 5.1. *There exists a vector field Y tangential to Σ such that*

$$(5.2) \quad g = (|\nabla u|^{-2} + |Y|^2)du^2 + 2 \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} Y_{\alpha} du dy_{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} dy_{\alpha}^2.$$

Here $(\nabla_{\nu})^m Y \in C_{-m-q}^{s-m,a}(\Sigma)$ for $0 \leq m \leq s-2$, $Y_{\alpha} \in C_{-q}^{s-2,a}(M^n)$, and $f-1 \in C_{-q}^{s,a}(M^n)$.

Note that Y_{α} has a priori worse regularity than $(|\nabla u|^{-1} - 1) \in C_{-q}^{s,a}(M^n)$ which requires more precise analysis to obtain the optimal result. In particular, we will show in Lemma 5.4 that Y only loses a single order of regularity in normal direction.

Recall that we assumed that the momentum vector P is non-vanishing and $P = (0, \dots, 0, |P|)$. Moreover, we have shown in Theorem 3.1(1) that the spacetime harmonic function u satisfies near infinity

$$(5.3) \quad u = x_n + \mathcal{O}_{s+1}(r^{1-q}).$$

Therefore, (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, u) also forms an asymptotically flat coordinate with decay rate q . On each level set Σ , using the coordinates $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$, we have $(g_{\Sigma})_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{O}_s(r^{-q})$, and $\det g_{\Sigma} = 1 + \mathcal{O}_s(r^{-q})$. Moreover,

$$(5.4) \quad \Delta_{\Sigma} x_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g_{\Sigma}}} \partial_{\beta} (g_{\Sigma}^{\beta\gamma} \sqrt{\det g_{\Sigma}} \partial_{\gamma} x_{\alpha}) = \mathcal{O}_{s-1}(r^{-q-1}).$$

Next, we solve on each level set Σ the equation

$$(5.5) \quad \Delta_{\Sigma} y_{\alpha} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad y_{\alpha} = x_{\alpha} + \mathcal{O}(r^{1-q}),$$

where we remark that the distance function on Σ has the same order growth as the distance function r on M^n .

Lemma 5.2. *Let (M^n, g, k) be as in Theorem 1.3 and let y_{α} be as above. Then*

$$(5.6) \quad (\nabla_{\nu})^m (y_{\alpha} - x_{\alpha}) \in C_{1-m-q}^{s+1-m,a}(\Sigma)$$

for $0 \leq m \leq s-1$. Moreover, $(y_{\alpha} - x_{\alpha}) \in C_{1-q}^{s-1,a}(M^n)$.

Proof. Since g is $C_{-q}^{s,a}$ -asymptotically flat, we know that also g_Σ is $C_{-q}^{s,a}$ -asymptotically flat. By elliptic regularity, this implies that $y_\alpha \in C_{-q}^{s+1,a}(\Sigma)$. Similarly, we obtain $(\nabla_\nu)^m g_\Sigma \in C_{-m-q}^{s-m,a}(\Sigma)$ and $(\nabla_\nu)^m h = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_\nu)^{m+1}(g_\Sigma) \in C_{-m-1-q}^{s-1-m,a}$. Applying the evolution equation of Δ_Σ , cf. [10, Page 108], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \nabla_\nu(\Delta_\Sigma y_\alpha) \\ (5.7) \quad &= \Delta_\Sigma(\nabla_\nu y_\alpha) + (\nabla_\nu(\Delta_\Sigma))y_\alpha \\ &= \Delta_\Sigma(\nabla_\nu y_\alpha) - 2h_{\beta\gamma}\nabla_{\beta\gamma}^\Sigma y_\alpha - (2\nabla_\gamma^\Sigma h_{\gamma\beta} - \nabla_\beta^\Sigma h_{\gamma\gamma})\nabla_\beta y_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Hence, elliptic estimates yield $\nabla_\nu y_\alpha \in C_{-q}^{s,a}(\Sigma)$. The higher order derivatives $(\nabla_\nu)^m y_\alpha$ are computed in the same fashion.

Next, we apply elliptic estimates to the Hölder coefficients of $(\nabla_\nu)^m y_\alpha$. More precisely, for some small $t > 0$ we define

$$(5.8) \quad \zeta(\mathbf{x}, u) = (|u| + 1)^{q+m} t^{-a} ((\nabla_\nu)^m y_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, u+t) - (\nabla_\nu)^m y_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, u)).$$

Then ζ still satisfies an elliptic equation with uniformly bounded coefficients in t and u . Taking the limit $t \rightarrow 0$ shows the desired decay and regularity in u -direction. Finally, the decay and regularity estimates in mixed u, \mathbf{x} directions directly follow from the ones in pure u or \mathbf{x} directions. Hence, $(y_\alpha - x_\alpha) \in C_{-q}^{s-1,a}(M^n)$. \square

The above lemma implies that (y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, u) form an $C_{-q}^{s-2,a}$ asymptotically flat coordinate system, i.e.,

$$(5.9) \quad g = du^2 + dy_1^2 + \dots + dy_{n-1}^2 + \mathcal{O}_{s-2}(r^{-q}).$$

In these coordinates, the metric g takes a particularly nice form:

Proof of Proposition 5.1. We know that on Σ , the metric g can be written as $g|_{T\Sigma \otimes T\Sigma} = g_\Sigma = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} dy_\alpha^2$. Moreover, we set $Y_\alpha = g(\partial u, \partial y_\alpha)$, and $f^2 = g(\partial u, \partial u) - |Y|^2$. Hence,

$$(5.10) \quad g = (f^2 + |Y|^2)du^2 + 2 \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} Y_\alpha du dy_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} dy_\alpha^2$$

Since $g^{-1}(du, du) = |\nabla u|^2$, and using the formula

$$(5.11) \quad g^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} f^{-2} & -f^{-2}Y^T \\ -f^{-2}Y & I_{n-1} + YY^T \end{bmatrix},$$

we deduce that in fact $f = |\nabla u|^{-1}$.

Since $Y_\alpha = -f^2 g^{-1}(du, dy_\alpha)$, the regularity of Y follows from Lemma 5.2 and we have

$$(5.12) \quad (\nabla_\nu)^m Y \in C_{-m-q}^{s-m,a}(\Sigma)$$

for $0 \leq m \leq s-2$. Moreover, $Y_\alpha \in C_{-q}^{s-2,a}(M^n)$, and $(f-1) \in C_{-q}^{s,a}(M^n)$. \square

In the next section we will further analyze Y and we will see that Y is integrable on each level-set Σ .

5.2. Applications of the Codazzi equations. We begin this section with a technical lemma.

Lemma 5.3. *Let (M^n, g, k) be an initial data set where g has the form from (5.2), and $k = -|\nabla u|^{-1}\nabla^2 u$. Then we have*

$$(5.13) \quad k_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}f^{-1}(Y_{\alpha,\beta} + Y_{\beta,\alpha}), \quad k_{\nu\alpha} = -f\nabla_\alpha f^{-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad k_{\nu\nu} = -f\nabla_\nu f^{-1}$$

where $f = |\nabla u|^{-1}$.

Proof. According to Equation (5.2), we have $\nu = f^{-1}(\partial_u - Y_\alpha \partial y_\alpha)$, and

$$(5.14) \quad \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^u = \frac{1}{2}g^{uu}(g_{\alpha u, \beta} + g_{\beta u, \alpha}) = \frac{1}{2}f^{-2}(Y_{\alpha,\beta} + Y_{\beta,\alpha}).$$

Here “ α ” denotes taking the derivative with respect to ∂y_α . Hence, we may compute

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{\alpha\beta} u &= -\langle \nabla_\alpha \partial_\beta, \nu \rangle \nu(u) \\ &= -f^{-1} \langle \nu, \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^u \partial_u \rangle = -\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^u \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}f^{-2}(Y_{\alpha,\beta} + Y_{\beta,\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the result follows from the identities $\nabla^2 u = -|\nabla u|k$ and $f^{-1} = |\nabla u|$. \square

Lemma 5.4. *Let (M^n, g, k) be an initial data set where g has the form from (5.2), and $k = -|\nabla u|^{-1}\nabla^2 u$. Moreover, suppose that the Codazzi equations $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0$ hold, cf. 4.2 item (ii). Then we have*

$$(5.16) \quad d^\Sigma Y = 0.$$

In particular, on each level set Σ there exists a function ℓ such that

$$(5.17) \quad Y = \nabla^\Sigma \ell.$$

Furthermore, $\ell \in C_{1-q}^{s-1,a}(M^n)$ and $(\nabla_\nu)^m \ell \in C_{1-m-q}^{s+1-m,a}(\Sigma)$, where $0 \leq m \leq s-1$.

The function ℓ will be the graph function inside the pp-wave spacetime. We remark that this regularity for ℓ also implies stronger regularity for Y than the one initially obtained in Proposition 5.1.

Proof. First, note that $\nu = f^{-1}(\partial_u - Y_\alpha \partial_\alpha)$ where $f = |\nabla u|^{-1}$. Moreover, Equation (5.14) implies

$$(5.18) \quad \langle \nabla_\alpha \partial_\beta, \nu \rangle = \frac{1}{2}f^{-1}(Y_{\alpha,\beta} + Y_{\beta,\alpha}),$$

as well as

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \nabla_\alpha \partial_\beta, \partial_\gamma \rangle &= g_{\gamma\gamma} \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma + g_{\gamma u} \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^u \\ &= \frac{1}{2}g^{\gamma u}(g_{\alpha u, \beta} + g_{\beta u, \alpha}) + \frac{1}{2}Y_\gamma f^{-2}(g_{\alpha u, \beta} + g_{\beta u, \alpha}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, combining with Lemma 5.3, we have by the Codazzi equations $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.20) \quad 0 &= \nabla_\beta k_{\alpha\gamma} - \nabla_\alpha k_{\beta\gamma} \\
&= \partial_\beta k_{\alpha\gamma} - \langle \nabla_\beta \partial_\alpha, \nu \rangle k_{\nu\gamma} - \langle \nabla_\beta \partial_\gamma, \nu \rangle k_{\alpha\nu} - \partial_\alpha k_{\beta\gamma} + \langle \nabla_\alpha \partial_\beta, \nu \rangle k_{\nu\gamma} + \langle \nabla_\alpha \partial_\gamma, \nu \rangle k_{\beta\nu} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \partial_\beta (f^{-1} Y_{\alpha,\gamma} + f^{-1} Y_{\gamma,\alpha}) + \frac{1}{2} f^{-1} (Y_{\beta,\gamma} + Y_{\gamma,\beta}) \cdot f \nabla_\alpha f^{-1} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\alpha (f^{-1} Y_{\beta,\gamma} + f^{-1} Y_{\gamma,\beta}) - \frac{1}{2} f^{-1} (Y_{\alpha,\gamma} + Y_{\gamma,\alpha}) \cdot f \nabla_\beta f^{-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} f^{-1} (Y_{\alpha,\beta\gamma} - Y_{\beta,\alpha\gamma}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the term $(d^\Sigma Y)_{\alpha\beta} = Y_{\alpha,\beta} - Y_{\beta,\alpha}$ only depends on u and is constant on each level set Σ . Using Proposition 5.1, the term $(d^\Sigma Y)_{\alpha\beta}$ must decay to zero on each level set Σ . Therefore, we can integrate Y on Σ to construct ℓ . Moreover, applying the decay rates of Y_α in Proposition 5.1, we can choose $\ell \rightarrow 0$ at ∞ on Σ . Finally, the decay rate and regularity of ℓ can be obtained from the first equation of (5.13). More precisely, we have

$$(5.21) \quad k_{\alpha\beta} = f^{-1} \nabla_{\alpha\beta}^\Sigma \ell \in C_{-1-q}^{s-1,a}(M^n)$$

which finishes the proof. \square

5.3. Defining the spacetime metric. Recall that in the previous section we established

$$(5.22) \quad g = (f^2 + |\nabla^\Sigma \ell|^2) du^2 + 2 \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \nabla_\alpha^\Sigma \ell dy_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} dy_\alpha^2.$$

We now construct the Killing development, i.e. we define on $M \times \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ the Lorentzian metric

$$(5.23) \quad \bar{g} = 2d\tau du + g$$

Next, we rewrite \bar{g} in the typical pp-wave spacetime form. A short computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.24) \quad \bar{g} &= 2d(\tau + \ell)du + (f^2 + |Y|^2 - 2\ell_u) du^2 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} dy_\alpha^2 \\
&= -2dtdu + F(u, \mathbf{x}) du^2 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} dy_\alpha^2
\end{aligned}$$

where $t = -\tau - \ell$ and $F(u, \mathbf{x}) = f^2 + |Y|^2 - 2\ell_u$. Hence, (M^n, g) is the graph $t = -\ell$ over the $(t = 0)$ -slice in $(\overline{M}^{n+1}, \bar{g})$. In the case (M^n, g, k) is contained in Minkowski space, we obtain $F \equiv 1$ and t is just the usual time coordinate.

Lemma 5.5. *Consider the initial data set (M^n, g, k) with g given by (5.22) and $k = -|\nabla u|^{-1} \nabla^2 u$. Then (M^n, g) isometrically embeds into $(M^n \times \mathbb{R}, \bar{g} = 2d\tau du + g)$ with second fundamental form k . Moreover,*

$$(5.25) \quad \mu = -\frac{1}{2} f^{-2} \Delta_\Sigma F.$$

Proof. Clearly, (M^n, g) embeds isometrically into $(M^n \times \mathbb{R}, \bar{g})$ as the constant τ slice. Next, using the coordinate system $(\tau, u, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})$, we denote

$$(5.26) \quad N = |\nabla u|^{-1} (\partial_\tau - \nabla u).$$

It is easy to see that N is a timelike unit normal of $M^n \subset (\overline{M}^{n+1}, \bar{g})$. Moreover, exploiting that ∂_τ is a covariantly constant vector field, we have

$$(5.27) \quad \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_i N, e_j) = |\nabla u|^{-1} \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_i (\partial_\tau - \nabla u), e_j) = k_{ij}.$$

Hence, the second fundamental form of $M^n \subset (\overline{M}^{n+1}, \bar{g})$ equals k . Next, we compute

$$(5.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu &= \frac{1}{2}(R - |k|^2 + |\operatorname{tr}_g k|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(R^\Sigma + 2\operatorname{Ric}(\nu, \nu) + |h|^2 - H^2 - |k|^2 + |\operatorname{tr}_g k|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Recall the notation $|\nabla u| = f^{-1}$ and the fact that $\nabla u \neq 0$. Combining the identities $h = -k|_\Sigma$, $R^\Sigma = 0$, and $\nabla^2 u = -|\nabla u|k$ with Bochner's formula

$$(5.29) \quad |\nabla u|^2 \operatorname{Ric}(\nu, \nu) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\nabla u|^2 - \langle \nabla \Delta u, \nabla u \rangle - |\nabla^2 u|^2,$$

we obtain

$$(5.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu &= f^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta f^{-2} + \langle \nabla(f^{-1} \operatorname{tr}_g(k)), \nabla u \rangle \right) - |k|^2 - Hk_{\nu\nu} - \sum_\alpha |k_{\nu\alpha}|^2 \\ &= f^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\nu\nu} f^{-2} - Hf^{-3} \nabla_\nu f + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_\Sigma f^{-2} + \operatorname{tr}_g(k) f^{-1} \nabla_\nu f^{-1} + f^{-2} \nabla_\nu \operatorname{tr}_g(k) \right) \\ &\quad - |k|^2 - Hk_{\nu\nu} - \sum_\alpha |k_{\nu\alpha}|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where in the second equation we split up the Laplacian into its normal and tangential components. Using identity (5.13), we see that the above term equals

$$(5.31) \quad \begin{aligned} &= f^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\nu\nu} f^{-2} - f^{-4} |\nabla_\nu f|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_\Sigma f^{-2} + f^{-2} \nabla_\nu (f^{-1} \nabla_\nu f + f^{-1} Y_{\alpha,\alpha}) \right) \\ &\quad - f^{-2} |\nabla_\nu f|^2 - 3f^{-2} \sum_\alpha |\nabla_\alpha f|^2 - f^{-2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} |Y_{\alpha,\beta}|^2 + f^{-2} Y_{\alpha,\alpha} \nabla_\nu f \\ &= f^2 \left(f^{-3} \nabla_{\nu\nu} f - \frac{1}{2} f^{-4} \Delta_\Sigma f^2 + f^{-3} \nabla_\nu Y_{\alpha,\alpha} \right) - f^{-2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} |Y_{\alpha,\beta}|^2 + f^{-2} \sum_\alpha |\nabla_\alpha f|^2 \\ &= f^{-2} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \Delta_\Sigma f^2 + f \nabla_\nu Y_{\alpha,\alpha} - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} |Y_{\alpha,\beta}|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we exploited $\nabla_\nu \nu = -(f^{-1} \nabla_\alpha f) \partial_\alpha$. Since $\nu = f^{-1} (\partial_u - Y_\alpha \partial_\alpha)$ and $Y = (\partial_\alpha \ell) \partial_\alpha$, we have

$$(5.32) \quad \begin{aligned} f \nabla_\nu Y_{\alpha,\alpha} - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} |Y_{\alpha,\beta}|^2 &= (\partial_u - Y_\alpha \partial_\alpha) \Delta_\Sigma \ell - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} |Y_{\alpha,\beta}|^2 \\ &= \Delta_\Sigma \ell_u - \frac{1}{2} \Delta_\Sigma |Y|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(5.33) \quad \mu = -\frac{1}{2} f^{-2} \Delta_\Sigma (f^2 + |Y|^2 - 2\ell_u)$$

which finishes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It only remains to show that on each level set F is superharmonic (with respect to g_Σ). However, this follows immediately from the above lemma together with the assumption $\mu \geq 0$. \square

6. ANALYZING THE PDE

Having established Theorem 1.1, we proceed with the proof of Theorem 1.3. By the maximum principle applied to the level-sets Σ , Theorem 1.3 clearly holds for $q > n - 3$. In this section, we extend this to $q > n - 1 - s - a$ by a more careful analysis. As demonstrated by Example 7.1 below, this is the optimal result when $s = 2$. Though we expect that it is possible to construct similar examples for other values of s .

Proof of Theorem 1.3. For $E = 0$ and $q > \frac{n-2}{2}$, we can use Theorem 3.1 to obtain spacetime harmonic functions which asymptote to any coordinate function at ∞ . Using the computations in the previous section, we obtain that all Gauss and Codazzi terms \bar{R}_{ijkl} and A_{ijk} vanish. Hence (M^n, g, k) isometrically embeds into Minkowski space by the fundamental theorem of hypersurfaces. Moreover, as mentioned above Theorem 1.3 holds for $q > n - 3$ which in particular covers $n = 3$ and $n = 4$. It remains to study the case where $E = |P|$, $n > 4$, and $q > n - 1 - s - a$.

Recall that from Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 5.4, we obtain a function F on $M^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_\Sigma F &\leq 0, \quad \Delta_\Sigma F \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ (\nabla_\nu)^m F &\in C_{-m-q}^{s-m,a}(\Sigma) \text{ for } 0 \leq m \leq s-2 \quad \text{and} \quad F-1 \in C_{-q}^{s-2,a}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, recall that $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})$ denote the coordinates in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , and we introduce the notation $\rho = |\mathbf{y}|$.

Without loss of generality, we can assume F is radially symmetric on Σ , since $\rho^{2-n} \int_{S^{n-2}(\rho)} F$ is also a superharmonic function on Σ . Moreover, the decay rates cannot deteriorate under this symmetrization. Next, we obtain

$$(6.2) \quad 0 \geq \Delta_\Sigma F = F_{\rho\rho} + \frac{n-2}{\rho} F_\rho = \rho^{2-n} \partial_\rho (\rho^{n-2} F_\rho).$$

Hence, $\rho^{n-2} F_\rho$ is monotone decreasing in ρ . Since μ and hence $\Delta_\Sigma F \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we know that $\Delta_{\Sigma_u} F$ is integrable on Σ_u for almost all u . Thus, for almost all u

$$(6.3) \quad \infty > - \int_{\Sigma_u} \Delta_{\Sigma_u} F d\mathbf{y} = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} - \int_{S^{n-2}(\rho)} F_\rho d\rho = -\omega_{n-2} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{n-2} F_\rho \geq 0.$$

Therefore, $\rho^{n-2} F_\rho$ converges for almost all u . Therefore, we can write $F_\rho = \tilde{c}(u) \rho^{2-n} + o(\rho^{2-n})$, where $\tilde{c}(u) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\tilde{c}(u) \leq 0$. Note that since $\mu \in C^{s-2,a}$ with $s \geq 2$, $\tilde{c}(u)$ is well-defined pointwise though might be infinite on a set of measure zero. Integrating F_ρ on Σ with respect to ρ and using the fact that $F \rightarrow 1$ at ∞ , we find

$$(6.4) \quad F - 1 = c(u) \rho^{3-n} + o(\rho^{3-n}), \quad \text{where} \quad c(u) = \frac{\tilde{c}(u)}{3-n}.$$

In case $c(u)$ inherits the same regularity as $(F - 1)$, i.e. $c(u) \in C^{s-2,a}(\mathbb{R})$, and there are no lower-order terms present, we can just take $(s-2, a)$ -derivatives of equation (6.4) in u direction to obtain the result. In case $c(u)$ is less regular, we proceed by approximation.

If (M^n, g, k) is not vacuum (i.e. not contained Minkowski space), the function $c(u)$ is not everywhere vanishing and we can choose $u_0 < u_1 < \dots < u_s$ such that for $0 \leq j \leq s$

$$(6.5) \quad c(u_0) \geq 2^{sj}c(u_j) \geq 0, \quad |u_j - u_{j+1}| \leq 2^{-j}|u_{j+1} - u_{j+2}| \quad \text{and} \quad c(u_0) > 0.$$

When $s \geq 3$, we define for $i = 1, 2, \dots, s-1$

$$(6.6) \quad \mathcal{L}_i(u) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq s-1 \\ j \neq i}} \frac{u - u_j}{u_i - u_j}.$$

Using Lagrange interpolation polynomials approximation, we have for $u \in [u_0, u_s]$

$$(6.7) \quad F(u, \rho) - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \mathcal{L}_i(u)[F(u_i, \rho) - 1] + \mathcal{R}(u, \rho)$$

where $\mathcal{R}(u, \rho)$ is the reminder term given by

$$(6.8) \quad \mathcal{R}(u, \rho) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{s-1}(u - u_i)}{(s-2)!} \partial_u^{s-2} F(\xi(u, \rho), \rho)$$

where $\xi(u, \rho) \in [u_0, u_s]$. Moreover, when $s = 2$, we define $\mathcal{L}_1(u) = 1$ and $\mathcal{R}(u, \rho) = F(u, \rho) - F(u_1, \rho)$.

Note that $|u_0 - u_j| \leq 2|u_{j-1} - u_j| \leq |u_j - u_{j+1}|$ which implies that

$$(6.9) \quad |\mathcal{L}_i(u_0)| = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq s-1 \\ j \neq i}} \frac{|u_0 - u_j|}{|u_i - u_j|} \leq \prod_{i < j \leq s-1} \frac{u_j - u_0}{u_j - u_i} \leq 2^{s-1-i}.$$

Using Equation (6.3) and the first inequality in (6.5), we obtain for ρ sufficiently large

$$(6.10) \quad \begin{aligned} |F(u_j, \rho) - 1| &\leq 2^{1-sj}c(u_0)\rho^{3-n} \quad \text{for } j \geq 1, \\ F(u_0, \rho) - 1 &\geq \frac{9}{10}c(u_0)\rho^{3-n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, when $s = 2$,

$$(6.11) \quad F(u_0, \rho) - F(u_1, \rho) \geq \frac{2}{5}c(u_0)\rho^{3-n}.$$

This contradicts the fact $(F - 1) \in C_{-q}^{0,a}$ where $q > n - 1 - s - a = n - 3 - a$.

It remains to study the case $s \geq 3$. Using the inequalities in (6.10), we obtain

$$(6.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(u_0, \rho) &= F(u_0, \rho) - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \mathcal{L}_i(u_0)[F(u_i, \rho) - 1] \\ &\geq \frac{9}{10}c(u_0)\rho^{3-n} - \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} 2^{s-1-i} \cdot 2^{1-si}c(u_0)\rho^{3-n} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{9}{10} - \frac{1}{2-2^{-s}} \right) c(u_0)\rho^{3-n} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{9}{10} - \frac{4}{7} \right) c(u_0)\rho^{3-n} > \frac{1}{4}c(u_0)\rho^{3-n}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for $s \geq 3$

$$(6.13) \quad |\partial_u^{s-2} F(\xi(u_0, \rho), \rho)| \geq \frac{1}{4}c(u_0)\rho^{3-n} \cdot \frac{(s-2)!}{\prod_{i=1}^{s-1} |u_0 - u_i|}.$$

To take a difference quotient of $\partial_u^{s-2}F$, we plug u_s into Equation (6.7) to find

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_u^{s-2}F(\xi(u_s, \rho), \rho)| &= \frac{(s-2)!}{\prod_{i=1}^{s-1} |u_s - u_i|} \cdot |\mathcal{R}(u, \rho)| \\
(6.14) \quad &\leq \frac{(s-2)!}{\prod_{i=1}^{s-1} |u_s - u_i|} |F(u_s, \rho) - 1| + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \frac{(s-2)! |F(u_i, \rho) - 1|}{\prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq s \\ j \neq i}} |u_i - u_j|} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} c(u_0) \rho^{3-n} \cdot \frac{(s-2)!}{\prod_{i=1}^{s-1} |u_0 - u_i|}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality can be achieved by choosing u_s sufficiently large. After taking the difference quotient, Equation (6.13) and (6.14) contradict the fact that $(F - 1) \in C_{-q}^{s-2, a}(M^n)$ where $q > n - 1 - s - a$. Therefore, we must have $c(u) = 0$. Thus, the metric is vacuum and $F \equiv 1$, i.e. (M^n, g) can be embedded in the Minkowski spacetime. \square

Remark 6.1. *Theorem 1.3 has already been established by P. Chrusciel and D. Maerten [11] under the additional assumptions $q > n - 3$, $\mu, J = \mathcal{O}(r^{-n-\varepsilon})$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, and $C_{-q}^{3, \alpha}$ asymptotical flatness. Moreover, it has been shown L.H. Huang and D. Lee [17, 18] under the additional assumptions $q > n - 2 - \alpha$, $\mu, J = \mathcal{O}(r^{-n-\varepsilon})$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, and $g \in C^5$ as well as $k \in C^4$. We note that instead of a spin assumption, L.H. Huang and D. Lee assume that the spacetime positive mass theorem holds in an admissible neighborhood of (M^n, g, k) (which it automatically does in the spin case). Finally, there is a proof by the authors [16] using spacetime harmonic functions which additionally assumes that $n = 3$.*

The spacetime positive mass theorem itself has been established in [12, 13, 15, 25, 26] using various techniques and we refer to [15] for a more detailed overview.

7. NON-TRIVIAL PP-WAVE SPACETIMES

In this section we construct an example of an initial data set embedded into a pp-wave spacetime. This proves Theorem 1.4 and demonstrates that Theorem 1.3 is sharp. Previously, L.-H. Huang and D. Lee constructed such examples with $q = n - 5 - a$ [19, Section 2] in dimension $n \geq 9$. Our construction for the optimal value $q = n - 3 - a$ is quite subtle and requires a delicate asymptotic analysis.

Example 7.1. *Let $n \geq 5$ and let $\eta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth, even, non-negative function compactly supported on $[-1, 1]$ with a sufficiently small C^3 norm. Let $\kappa : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a radially symmetric smooth superharmonic function which equals ρ^{3-n} outside the compact set $\{\rho \geq 1\}$. Define on $M^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ the functions*

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.1) \quad G(u, \rho) &= 1 + 2\kappa^{1+c}(\rho)\eta(\kappa^c(\rho)u), \\
\ell(u, \rho) &= \int_{-\infty}^u (\kappa^{1+c}(\rho)\eta(\kappa^c(\rho)t) - \kappa(\rho)\eta(t)) dt
\end{aligned}$$

where $c = \frac{1}{n-3}$, and Then (M^n, g, k) given by

$$(7.2) \quad g = Gdu^2 + 2\ell_\rho d\rho du + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} dy_\alpha^2,$$

and

$$(7.3) \quad k = -|\nabla u|^{-1} \nabla^2 u.$$

is a $C^{2,\alpha}$ -initial data set (M^n, g, k) with decay rate $q = n - 3 - \alpha$. Moreover, (M^n, g, k) satisfies the dominant energy condition, and $E = |P| > 0$. In particular, (M^n, g, k) does not embed into the Minkowski spacetime.

For (M^n, g, k) to be asymptotically flat, one needs $n \geq 5$ such that one can find an $a \in (0, 1)$ with $n - 3 - a > \frac{n-2}{2}$. In particular, there are no such examples for $n \leq 4$. This adds to a list of other results which satisfy similar dimensional restrictions. For instance, minimal hypersurfaces are smooth up to dimension 6, and spinors are pure up to dimension 6.

Proof. By choosing $\|\eta\|_{C^3}$ sufficiently small, we have $G > |\ell_\rho|^2$ which implies that g is positive definite. The asymptotic decay rate estimates of g and k are quite sophisticated and are deferred to the appendix.

Next, we show that $\mu \geq 0$ and $\mu \in L^1$. Since $G(u, \rho) - |\ell_\rho|^2 \rightarrow 1$ at ∞ , we have $|\nabla u| \rightarrow 1$ at ∞ in view of equation (5.11). Using Lemma 5.5, we therefore need to verify $\Delta_\Sigma F \leq 0$ and $\Delta_\Sigma F \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where

$$(7.4) \quad F = G - 2\ell_u = 1 + 2\kappa\eta(u).$$

This implies

$$(7.5) \quad \Delta_\Sigma F = \eta(u)\Delta_\Sigma \kappa,$$

and thus, F satisfies both $\Delta_\Sigma F \leq 0$ and $\Delta_\Sigma F \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This also motivates the definition of g . Moreover, $\mu \neq 0$, and hence (M^n, g, k) does not embed into Minkowski space.

Furthermore, we claim that $J_\alpha = 0$, and $\mu = -J_\nu$. The identity $J_\alpha = 0$ follows from Equation (5.13) and the third equation of (4.3). To see that $\mu = -J_\nu$ holds, we use the Bochner formula to obtain

$$(7.6) \quad \Delta|\nabla u| = \frac{1}{2|\nabla u|} (|\nabla^2 u + k|\nabla u|^2 + (2\mu - R_\Sigma)|\nabla u|^2 - 2\langle k, \nabla^2 u \rangle |\nabla u| - 2\langle \nabla u, \nabla \operatorname{tr}_g k \rangle |\nabla u|),$$

cf. [15, Formula (3.21)]. Inserting $R^\Sigma = 0$ and $\nabla^2 u = -|\nabla u|k$, the claim follows.

To verify $E = |P|$, we would like to use Witten's integral formula, Theorem 2.7. Therefore, we explicitly construct the corresponding spinors. Let ϕ be a parallel spinor on \mathbb{R}^{n-1} which we note is constant with respect to the frame $\{e_\alpha\}$. Next, we extend ϕ to a spinor on $M^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ by prescribing ϕ to be constant along the frame $\{e_\alpha, \nu\}$. This uses that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since ϕ is constant with respect to the frame $\{e_\alpha, \nu\}$, we may use [20, Theorem 4.14] to compute

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_\nu \phi &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} \langle \nabla_\nu e_i, e_j \rangle e_i e_j \phi \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta < n} \langle \nabla_\nu e_\alpha, e_\beta \rangle e_\alpha e_\beta \phi + \sum_\alpha \langle \nabla_\nu e_\alpha, \nu \rangle e_\alpha \nu \phi \right) = \frac{1}{2} k_{\nu\alpha} e_\alpha \nu \phi. \end{aligned}$$

For the last equality we used that $\langle \nabla_\nu e_\alpha, \nu \rangle = k_{\nu\alpha}$ and

$$(7.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \nabla_\nu e_\alpha, e_\beta \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} (\langle [e_\beta, e_\alpha], \nu \rangle + \langle [e_\beta, \nu], e_\alpha \rangle - \langle [e_\alpha, \nu], e_\beta \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (-f^{-1} Y_{\alpha,\beta} + f^{-1} Y_{\beta,\alpha}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where we exploited that $[\nu, e_\beta] = -f(e_\beta f^{-1})\nu + f^{-1} Y_{\alpha,\beta} e_\alpha$. Hence, the spinor $\psi_1 = |\nabla u|^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi$ satisfies

$$(7.9) \quad \nabla_\nu \psi_1 = \frac{1}{2} k_{\nu i} e_i \nu \psi_1.$$

Moreover, according to (3.16), we have $\nabla_\alpha \psi_1 = \frac{1}{2} k_{\alpha i} e_i \nu \psi_1$.

We now define the spinor $\psi = (\psi_1, -\nu \psi_1)$ on the spacetime spinor bundle $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}$, also see (3.14). Using the identities $J_\alpha = 0$ and $\mu = -J_\nu$, we obtain

$$(7.10) \quad \mu |\psi|^2 + \langle J e_0 \psi, \psi \rangle = 0.$$

Hence, Witten's mass formula, cf. Theorem 2.7, implies that $E = -P_\nu = |P|$. Moreover, $E > 0$, since $E = 0$ would imply that (M^n, g, k) is contained in the Minkowski spacetime according to Theorem 1.3. \square

Remark 7.2. *We remark that the argument above to show that $E = |P|$ also works for an arbitrary pp-wave spacetime. Thus, the reverse direction of Theorem 1.1 also holds, i.e. any asymptotically flat spin initial data set (M^n, g, k) satisfying the dominant energy condition has vanishing mass if and only if (M^n, g, k) isometrically embeds into a pp-wave spacetime.*

APPENDIX A. DECAY ESTIMATES FOR PP-WAVES

In this appendix, we will establish the decay rates of g and k from Example 7.1. First, we show that g is $C_{4-a-n}^{2,a}$ with respect to the (u, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) coordinate system where (y_1, \dots, y_n) are the standard coordinates of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . Next, we will reparameterize the metric to improve the decay rate to the optimal value.

Lemma A.1. *Using the notation of Example 7.1, we have*

$$(A.1) \quad (i) G - 1 \in C_{2-n}^{2,a}, \quad (ii) \ell_\rho \in C_{4+a-n}^{2,a}, \quad (iii) k \in C_{2+a-n}^{1,a}$$

with respect to the coordinate system (u, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) .

Proof. We begin with showing estimate (i). Since η is compactly supported on $[-1, 1]$, and since $\kappa^c = \rho^{-1}$ for $\rho \geq 1$, we obtain that $G(u, \rho) \equiv 1$ on the set $\{|u| \geq \rho \geq 1\}$. Therefore, we only need to verify the asymptotics in the region $\{|u| \leq \rho\}$. Note that in this region we have $G(u, \rho) = 1 + 2\rho^{2-n}\eta(\rho^{-1}u)$. Let I be an index set consisting by the coordinates $\{u, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$. Observe that $|\partial_I[\eta(\rho^{-1}u)]| = O(r^{-|I|})$. This implies $G - 1 \in C_{2-n}^{i,a}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Next, we demonstrate that estimate (ii) holds. Since $\eta(t)$ is compactly supported on $[-1, 1]$ and

$$(A.2) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \kappa^{1+c} \eta(\kappa^c t) - \kappa \eta(t) dt = 0,$$

the function $\ell(u, \rho)$ is supported on $\{|u| \leq \max\{1, \kappa^{-c}\}\}$. Therefore, it suffices to perform the computations in the asymptotic region of $\{|u| \leq \rho\}$. Note that in this region we have $\ell_u = \rho^{2-n}\eta(\rho^{-1}u) - \rho^{3-n}\eta(u)$. Hence, $\ell_u = O_i(r^{2-n}) - \rho^{3-n}\eta(u)$, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, for any fixed u , $(\partial_u)^m \ell \in C_{3-n}^{i,a}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $\ell_\rho \in C_{4+a-n}^{2,a}$.

Finally, we verify that $k \in C_{2+a-n}^{1,a}$. Note that $f^2 = G - |\ell_\rho|^2 = 1 + O_{2,a}(r^{2-n})$. Applying Equation (5.13) and the decay estimates of ℓ , we have

$$(A.3) \quad k_{\nu i} = -f \nabla_i f^{-1} = O_{1,a}(r^{1-n}), \quad \text{and} \quad k_{\alpha\beta} = f^{-1} \ell_{\alpha\beta} = O_{1,a}(r^{2+a-n})$$

which finishes the proof. \square

From the estimates above, g is only a $C_{4+a-n}^{2,a}$ -asymptotically flat metric because of the cross term $2\ell_\rho dud\rho$ in the metric. Therefore, a reparameterization that absorbs this cross term will improve the decay rates. Let us first study a function L defining in the following lemma which will be used in the reparametrization.

Lemma A.2. *Define*

$$(A.4) \quad L(u, \rho) = \int_{-\infty}^u \ell(t, \rho) dt.$$

Then $L(u, \rho)$ vanishes on $\{|u| \geq \kappa^{-c}(\rho)\}$. Moreover, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(A.5) \quad L \in C_{4-n}^{i,a}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad (\partial_u)^m L \in C_{3-n}^{i,a}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \quad \text{when} \quad m \geq 1.$$

Proof. As η is an even function defined in Example 7.1, we have

$$(A.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ell(u, \rho) du &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^u (\kappa^{1+c}(\rho)\eta(\kappa^c(\rho)t) - \kappa(\rho)\eta(t)) dt du \\ &= \kappa(\rho) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\kappa^c(\rho)u} \eta(t) dt - \int_{-\infty}^u \eta(t) dt \right] du \\ &= \kappa(\rho) \int_0^{\infty} \left[\int_u^{\kappa^c(\rho)u} \eta(t) dt + \int_{-u}^{-\kappa^c(\rho)u} \eta(t) dt \right] du = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\ell(u, \rho)$ vanishes on $\{|u| \geq \kappa^{-c}(\rho)\}$, the function $L(u, \rho)$ also vanishes on $\{|u| \geq \kappa^{-c}(\rho)\}$. Therefore, we only need to consider the asymptotic region in $\{|u| \leq \kappa^{-c}(\rho)\}$. In this case, $\ell_u = \rho^{2-n}\eta(\rho^{-1}u) - \rho^{3-n}\eta(u)$. Integrating ℓ_u twice, we obtain with the help of Equation (A.4)

$$(A.7) \quad \begin{aligned} L(u, \rho) &= \int_{-\infty}^u \int_{-\infty}^w (\rho^{2-n}\eta(\rho^{-1}t) - \rho^{3-n}\eta(t)) dt dw \\ &= \rho^{4-n} \int_{-\infty}^{\rho^{-1}u} \int_{-\infty}^w \eta(t) dt d\mathbf{w} - \rho^{3-n} \int_{-\infty}^u \int_{-\infty}^w \eta(t) dt dw \\ &= \rho^{4-n} \vartheta(\rho^{-1}u) - \rho^{3-n} \vartheta(u) \end{aligned}$$

where $\vartheta(u) = \int_{-\infty}^u \int_{-\infty}^w \eta(t) dt dw$. Since $L(u, \rho)$ vanishes on $\{|u| \geq \kappa^{-c}(\rho) = \rho\}$, we obtain

$$(A.8) \quad \vartheta(\rho^{-1}u) = \rho^{-1} \vartheta(u) \quad \text{when} \quad |u| \geq \rho.$$

This means $\vartheta(u)$ is a linear function when $u \geq 1$. On the other hand, we have by definition $\vartheta = 0$ when $u \leq -1$. Therefore, Equation (A.7) implies

$$(A.9) \quad L \in C_{4-n}^{i,a}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad (\partial_u)^m L \in C_{3-n}^{i,a}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \quad \text{when} \quad m \geq 1$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Lemma A.3. *Let $\varrho = \rho + L_\rho$ and denote with $d\zeta^2$ the round metric on S^{n-2} . With respect to the coordinates (u, ϱ, ς) , the metric g defined in equation (7.2) is $C_{3+a-n}^{2,a}$ -asymptotically flat.*

Proof. We have

$$(A.10) \quad \begin{aligned} d\varrho^2 &= [d(\rho + L_\rho)]^2 \\ &= [d\rho + L_{\rho\rho}d\rho + \ell_\rho du]^2 \\ &= d\rho^2 + 2\ell_\rho d\rho du + 2L_{\rho\rho}d\rho^2 + (dL_\rho)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the metric g defined in equation (7.2) takes the form

$$(A.11) \quad \begin{aligned} g &= Gdu^2 + d\varrho^2 + \rho^2 d\zeta^2 - 2L_{\rho\rho}d\rho^2 - (dL_\rho)^2 \\ &= g_{\mathbb{R}^n} + (G-1)du^2 + (\rho^2 - \varrho^2)d\zeta^2 - 2L_{\rho\rho}d\rho^2 - (dL_\rho)^2. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the $C_{3+a-n}^{2,a}$ -asymptotic for g , it suffices to show

$$(A.12) \quad G - 1, \quad (\rho^2 - \varrho^2)\varrho^{-2} \in C_{3+a-n}^{2,a}, \quad \text{and} \quad L_{\rho\rho}d\rho^2, \quad (dL_{\rho})^2 \in C_{3+a-n}^{2,a}$$

with respect to the coordinate system (u, ϱ, ς) . To distinguish these two coordinate systems, we write $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ for $\{u, \rho, \varsigma\}$ and $\{\tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_n\}$ for $\{u, \varrho, \varsigma\}$. For any C^2 function \mathcal{F} we have

$$(A.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial \tilde{z}_i \partial \tilde{z}_j} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}_i} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial z_p} \cdot \frac{\partial z_p}{\partial \tilde{z}_j} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial z_p} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 z_p}{\partial \tilde{z}_i \partial \tilde{z}_j} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial z_q \partial z_p} \cdot \frac{\partial z_q}{\partial \tilde{z}_i} \cdot \frac{\partial z_p}{\partial \tilde{z}_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(A.14) \quad \frac{\partial(u, \varrho, \varsigma)}{\partial(u, \rho, \varsigma)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ L_{\rho u} & 1 + L_{\rho\rho} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-2} \end{bmatrix},$$

we can use equation (A.5) to obtain

$$(A.15) \quad \frac{\partial z_q}{\partial \tilde{z}_i} = \delta_{iq} + O(r^{2-n}), \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 z_p}{\partial \tilde{z}_i \partial \tilde{z}_j} = O(r^{2-n}).$$

Moreover, the $C^{0,a}$ Hölder norm of $\frac{\partial^2 z_p}{\partial \tilde{z}_i \partial \tilde{z}_j}$ is still $O(r^{2-n})$. Therefore, it remains to demonstrate that

$$(A.16) \quad G - 1, \quad (\rho^2 - \varrho^2)\varrho^{-2}, \quad L_{\rho\rho}, \quad L_{\rho u}^2, \quad L_{\rho\rho}^2, \quad L_{\rho u}L_{\rho\rho} \in C_{3+a-n}^{2,a}$$

with respect to the coordinate system (u, ρ, ς) . The decay rates of the last four terms follow from Lemma A.2. Moreover, we have $G - 1 \in C_{2-n}^{2,a}$ according to Equation (A.1). Finally, we compute

$$(A.17) \quad (\rho^2 - \varrho^2)\varrho^{-2} = L_{\rho}^2\varrho^{-2} - 2L_{\rho}\varrho^{-1} \in C_{3+a-n}^{2,a},$$

which finishes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bär, *Metrics with harmonic spinors*, Geom. Funct. Anal, volume 6, 1996, 899–942
- [2] C. Bär, P. Gauduchon and A. Moroianu, *Generalized cylinders in semi-Riemannian and spin geometry*, Mathematische Zeitschrift 249.3 (2005): 545–580.
- [3] R. Bartnik, and P. Chruściel, *Boundary value problems for Dirac-type equations*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, vol. 2005, no. 579, 2005, pp. 13–73.
- [4] R. Beig, and P. Chruściel, *Killing vectors in asymptotically flat space-times. I. Asymptotically translational Killing vectors and the rigid positive energy theorem*, J. Math. Phys., **37** (1996), no. 4, 1939–1961.
- [5] M. Blau, *Lecture notes on general relativity* Bern: Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, 2011.
- [6] M. Blau, D. Frank, and S. Weiss. *Fermi coordinates and Penrose limits*, Classical and Quantum Gravity 23.11 (2006): 3993.
- [7] M. Blau, *Plane Waves and Penrose Limits*, lecture notes, 2011
- [8] H. Bray, S. Hirsch, D. Kazaras, M. Khuri, and Y. Zhang, *Spacetime harmonic functions and application to mass*, SIGMA, 2023.
- [9] S. Brendle, and T.-K. A. Chow, *Rigidity of convex polytopes under the dominant energy condition*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.04145 (2023).
- [10] B. Chow, L. Peng, and N. Lei. *Hamilton’s Ricci flow*. Vol. 77. American Mathematical Society, Science Press, 2023.
- [11] P. Chruściel, and D. Maerten, *Killing vectors in asymptotically flat space-times. II. Asymptotically translational Killing vectors and the rigid positive energy theorem in higher dimensions*, J. Math. Phys., **47** (2006), no. 2, 022502, 10.
- [12] M. Eichmair, *The Jang equation reduction of the spacetime positive energy theorem in dimensions less than eight*, Comm. Math. Phys., **319** (2013), no. 3, 575–593.

- [13] M. Eichmair, L.-H. Huang, D. Lee, and R. Schoen, *The spacetime positive mass theorem in dimensions less than eight*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), **18** (2016), no. 1, 83-121.
- [14] G. Gibbons, S. Hawking, G. Horowitz, and M. Perry, *Positive Mass Theorems for Black Holes*, Comm. Math. Phys., 1983.
- [15] S. Hirsch, D. Kazaras, M. Khuri, *Spacetime Harmonic Functions and the Mass of 3-Dimensional Asymptotically Flat Initial Data for the Einstein Equations*, J. Differential Geom., to appear, arXiv:2002.01534.
- [16] S. Hirsch and Y. Zhang, *The case of equality for the spacetime positive mass theorem*, J. Geom. Anal., 2023.
- [17] L.-H. Huang, and D. Lee, *Equality in the spacetime positive mass theorem*, Comm. Math. Phys., 2020, 1-29.
- [18] L.-H. Huang, and D. Lee, *Equality in the spacetime positive mass theorem II*, arXiv:2302.06040, 2023.
- [19] L.-H. Huang, and D. Lee, *Bartnik mass minimizing initial data sets and improvability of the dominant energy scalar*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.00593, 2020.
- [20] H.B. Lawson, and M.-L. Michelsohn, *Spin Geometry*, (PMS-38), Volume 38. Vol. 20. Princeton university press, 2016.
- [21] D. Lee, *Geometric Relativity*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Volume **201**, 2019.
- [22] C. B. Morrey, *Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations*, Grundlehren Series, Vol. 130, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1966.
- [23] R. Penrose, *Any space-time has a plane wave as a limit*, Differential Geometry and Relativity: A Volume in Honour of André Lichnerowicz on His 60th Birthday. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands, 1976. 271-275.
- [24] R. Schoen, and S.-T. Yau, *On the proof of the positive mass conjecture in general relativity*, Comm. Math. Phys., **65** (1979), no. 1, 45-76.
- [25] R. Schoen, and S.-T. Yau, *Proof of the positive mass theorem II*, Comm. Math. Phys., **79** (1981), 231-260.
- [26] E. Witten, *A simple proof of the positive energy theorem*, Comm. Math. Phys., **80** (1981), no. 3, 381-402.
- [27] P.F. Yip, *A strictly-positive mass theorem*, Communications in mathematical physics 108.4 (1987): 653-665.

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, 1 EINSTEIN DRIVE, PRINCETON, NJ 08540, USA

Email address: `sven.hirsch@ias.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE, CA92697, USA

Email address: `yiyuez4@uci.edu`