Counting induced subgraphs with the Kromatic symmetric function

Laura Pierson University of Waterloo lcpierson73@gmail.com

August 6, 2024

Abstract

The chromatic symmetric function X_G is a sum of monomials corresponding to proper vertex colorings of a graph G. Crew, Pechenik, and Spirkl (2023) recently introduced a K-theoretic analogue \overline{X}_G called the Kromatic symmetric function, where each vertex is instead assigned a nonempty set of colors such that adjacent vertices have nonoverlapping color sets. X_G does not distinguish all graphs, but a longstanding open question is whether it distinguishes all trees. We conjecture that \overline{X}_G does distinguish all graphs. As evidence towards this conjecture, we show that \overline{X}_G determines the number of copies in G of certain induced subgraphs on 4 and 5 vertices as well as the number of induced subgraphs isomorphic to each graph consisting of a star plus some number of isolated vertices.

1 Introduction

The chromatic symmetric function was introduced by Stanley (1995) in [Sta95] as a symmetric function generalization of the chromatic polynomial. He remarked that he did not know of any two nonisomorphic trees with the same chromatic symmetric function, and much work has since been dedicated to studying which pairs of graphs are distinguished by X_G . The chromatic symmetric function is known to distinguish all trees on up to 29 vertices ([SST15; HJ19]), various infinite families of trees including caterpillars and spiders ([Mor05; MMW08; AZ14; Ger17; Ali+23]), and several other infinite families of graphs including squids ([MMW08]) and trivially perfect graphs ([Tsu18]). Various generalizations of X_G have also been shown to distinguish many or all trees, including a rooted version of X_G ([LW24]), a group algebra version ([Fol+21]), a noncommutative version ([GS01]), and a quasisymmetric version ([ADM23]). Various properties of a tree are known to be computable from X_G , including the subtree polynomial ([MMW08]), the path and degree sequence ([MMW08]), the number of vertices of degree at least 3 ([Cre22]), and counts of certain subtrees ([Lyd16; Sal23]). For general graphs (not necessarily trees), X_G determines the girth and the number of vertices, edges, connected components, matchings, and triangles ([OS14]). However, it does not distinguish all graphs: [OS14] and [Ali+21] construct infinite families of pairs of nonisomorphic graphs with the same X_G , and [CS] lists 1000 pairs of small graphs with equal chromatic symmetric functions.

In [CPS23], Crew, Pechenik, and Spirkl (2023) introduced the following K-theoretic analogue of X_G (see [Buc05] for background on combinatorial K-theory):

Definition 1 (Crew, Pechenik, and Spirkl (2023), [CPS23]). A *proper set coloring* of G is a function $\kappa : V(G) \to 2^{\mathbb{N}} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ such that $\kappa(v) \cap \kappa(w) = \emptyset$ whenever $vw \in E(G)$, so each vertex receives a nonempty set of colors such that adjacent vertices have nonoverlapping color sets. For a vertex-weighted graph G with weight function $\omega : V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$, the *Kromatic symmetric function* is

$$\overline{X}_{(G,\omega)} := \sum_{\kappa} \prod_{v \in V(G)} \left(\prod_{i \in \kappa(v)} x_i \right)^{\omega(v)}.$$

If no weight function is specified, we will assume all vertices have weight 1.

A related function Y_G was studied by Stanley (1998) in [Sta98], with the difference that it includes terms where some vertices are not assigned any colors. Gasharov (1996, [Gas96]) also introduced a similar function \widetilde{X}_G^m that tracks colorings where vertex *i* is assigned m_i colors for a fixed sequence of nonnegative integers $\boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, m_2, \ldots,)$. In [Mar23], Marberg (2023) gives a construction of \overline{X}_G using Hopf algebras and introduces several quasisymmetric analogues of \overline{X}_G .

Since X_G can be obtained by taking the lowest degree terms of \overline{X}_G , \overline{X}_G contains more information about G than X_G , and in fact, we conjecture that it contains enough information to distinguish all graphs:

Conjecture 2. There do not exist nonisomorphic graphs G and H with $\overline{X}_G = \overline{X}_H$.

As evidence for Conjecture 2, we show that \overline{X}_G can be used to count certain induced subgraphs of G. As an application of our results, we give an alternative proof that \overline{X}_G distinguishes the graphs in each of three examples from [CPS23] of pairs of graphs with the same X_G but different \overline{X}_G (see Example 5 below). We prove three main results towards Conjecture 2. Our first result counts certain subgraphs of order 4:

Theorem 3. The number of induced copies in G of the following order 4 graphs can be computed from \overline{X}_G :

$$\vdots \cdots \cdot \cdot \cdot \vdots \quad \dot{\checkmark} \quad \dot{\diamondsuit} \quad \bigtriangleup$$

and the counts of the remaining 4 order 4 graphs satisfy a system of 3 linear equations determined by \overline{X}_G .

In particular, Theorem 3 implies that \overline{X}_G can determine whether or not G contains an induced claw \downarrow ,

which is of interest because X_G cannot tell whether G is claw-free and much is known about the structure of claw-free graphs ([CS05]). Claw-free graphs are of particular interest in the study of the chromatic symmetric function because another major open question about X_G is the Stanley-Stembridge conjecture ([Sta95], Conjecture 5.1), which says that X_G is *e*-positive for G a claw-free incomparability graph of a partially ordered set.

Our second result counts certain subgraphs of order 5:

Theorem 4. The number of induced copies of the following order 5 graphs can also be computed from X_G :

and the counts of the remaining 23 order 5 graphs satisfy a system of 14 linear equations determined by \overline{X}_G . Also, for T a tree, the number of copies of all induced subgraphs of order 4 or 5 can be determined from \overline{X}_T .

Example 5. The authors of [CPS23] give examples of three pairs of graphs with the same X_G but different \overline{X}_G . We can use Theorems 3 and 4 to distinguish all three pairs:

- For f and f, the second graph has an induced f while the first does not.
- For _____ and ____, the first graph has an induced _____ while the second does not.
- For \checkmark and \checkmark , the second graph has an induced \checkmark while the first does not.

Our third result concerns an infinitely family of subgraphs that can be counted using \overline{X}_G :

Theorem 6. For each pair $h, k \ge 0$, the number of induced copies in G of the disjoint union of an h-vertex star and k isolated vertices can be recovered from \overline{X}_G .

In [MMW08], Martin, Morin, and Wagner (2008) proved the stronger fact that the degree sequence of a tree can be recovered from the ordinary symmetric function X_G . Our proof of Theorem 6 is shorter and uses different methods, which suggests that proving that \overline{X}_G distinguishes all trees may be easier than proving that X_G does.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we give some background on graph theory, symmetric functions, and the chromatic symmetric function. In Section 3, we prove a key lemma that will be used in several of our proofs. In Sections 4, 5, and 6, we give the proofs of our three main results.

2 Background

Let $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$ denote the set of positive integers, and $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ its power set.

A graph G is a finite set V(G) of vertices together with a set E(G) of edges such that each edge is an unordered pair vw of vertices $v, w \in V(G)$. Two vertices v and w are **adjacent** or **neighbors** if $vw \in E(G)$. An *isolated vertex* is a vertex with no neighbors. The order of G is |V(G)|. A *tree* is a connected graph with no cycles. The complete graph K_n is the graph on n vertices with every two vertices adjacent. A star graph is a graph where one vertex is adjacent to all others but no other pairs of vertices are adjacent. Two graphs G and H are *isomorphic* if there is a bijection $\phi : V(G) \to V(H)$ such that

$$E(H) = \{\phi(v)\phi(w) : vw \in E(G)\}.$$

A *subgraph* of G is a graph H with $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$ and $E(H) \subseteq E(G)$. An *induced subgraph* is a subgraph H with $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$ and

$$E(H) = \{vw : v, w \in V(H), vw \in E(G)\}.$$

An induced subgraph is a *clique* if every two vertices are adjacent and a *stable set* if no two vertices are adjacent. The *complement graph* of G is the graph \overline{G} given by

$$V(\overline{G}) = V(G), \quad E(\overline{G}) = \{vw : v, w \in V(G), vw \notin E(G)\}.$$

A partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)})$ is a nondecreasing sequence $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}$ of positive integers, and the numbers $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}$ are its parts. We write $\lambda = \ell^{i_\ell} (\ell - 1)^{i_{\ell-1}} \ldots 3^{i_3} 2^{i_3} 1^{i_1}$ to denote the partition with i_j parts of size j for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell$. The length $\ell(\lambda)$ is the number of parts in λ .

A symmetric function is a power series $f(x_1, x_2, ...) \in \mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_2, x_3, ...]]$ such

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = f(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, x_{\sigma(3)}, \dots)$$

for any permutation σ of N. For a partition λ , the *monomial symmetric function* m_{λ} is

$$m_{\lambda}(x_1, x_2, \dots) := \sum_{\substack{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{\ell(\lambda)} \in \mathbb{N} \\ \text{pairwise distinct}}} x_{i_1}^{\lambda_1} x_{i_2}^{\lambda_2} \dots x_{i_{\ell(\lambda)}}^{\lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}}.$$

For each d, the monomial symmetric functions of degree d form a basis for the vector space of homogeneous symmetric functions of degree d.

A proper coloring κ of a graph G is a function $\kappa : V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$ such that if $vw \in E(G)$, then $\kappa(v) \neq \kappa(w)$. The chromatic symmetric function (introduced by Stanley (1995) [Sta95]) is

$$X_G := \sum_{\kappa} \prod_{v \in V(G)} x_{\kappa(v)},$$

where κ ranges over all proper colorings of G.

3 Key lemma

Our main tool will be the expansion formula given in [CPS23] for \overline{X}_G in the $\overline{\widetilde{m}}$ -basis, which they define as the following generalization of the *m*-basis above:

Definition 7 (Crew, Pechenik, and Spirkl (2023), [CPS23]). The *K*-theoretic augmented monomial function associated to a partition λ is

$$\widetilde{m}_{\lambda} := \overline{X}_{K_{\lambda}},$$

where $K_{\lambda} := (K_{\ell(\lambda)}, \omega)$ is the vertex-weighted complete graph on $\ell(\lambda)$ vertices with weights $\omega(i) := \lambda_i$.

They show that \overline{X}_G can be written as a linear combination of the $\overline{\widetilde{m}}_{\lambda}$'s with positive integer coefficients:

Theorem 8 (Crew, Pechenik, and Spirkl (2023), [CPS23]). For each λ , the coefficient $[\overline{\tilde{m}}_{\lambda}]$ of $\overline{\tilde{m}}_{\lambda}$ in the $\overline{\tilde{m}}$ -expansion of \overline{X}_G counts the number of ways to cover V(G) with $\ell(\lambda)$ distinct (but possibly overlapping) stable sets whose sizes are the parts of λ .

Our proofs will make use of the following lemma, which follows from Theorem 8:

Lemma 9. For any i_1, \ldots, i_ℓ , the number $\#(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_\ell)$ of ways to cover exactly i_1 of the vertices of \overline{G} using i_2 edges of \overline{G} , $i_3 K_3$'s in \overline{G} , ..., and $i_\ell K_\ell$'s in \overline{G} can be determined from \overline{X}_G . In particular, the number #(i,j) of (not necessarily induced) subgraphs of the complement graph \overline{G} with i vertices, j edges, and no isolated vertices can be determined from \overline{X}_G .

Proof. By Theorem 8, $[\overline{\tilde{m}}_{\lambda}]$ counts the number of ways to cover V(G) with stable sets whose sizes are the parts of λ . Note first that the number of vertices n := |V(G)| can be determined from \overline{X}_G because $[\overline{\tilde{m}}_{1^k}] = 0$ for all $k \neq n$ while $[\overline{\tilde{m}}_{1^n}] = 1$.

To compute $\#(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_\ell)$, note that by Theorem 8, $[\overline{\tilde{m}}_{\ell^{i_\ell}(\ell-1)^{i_{\ell-1}}\dots 3^{i_3}2^{i_2}1^{n-i_1}]}$ counts the number of ways to cover V(G) using $n-i_1$ singletons and i_j stable sets of size j for each $j=i_2,\ldots,i_\ell$. Translating this to \overline{G} , $[\overline{\tilde{m}}_{\ell^{i_\ell}(\ell-1)^{i_{\ell-1}}\dots 3^{i_3}2^{i_2}1^{n-i_1}]}$ counts the number of ways to cover \overline{G} with $n-i_1$ singletons together with i_j cliques K_j for each j. Now we split this count into cases based on how many of the vertices covered by one of the $n-i_1$ singletons are also covered by one of the cliques K_j . The number of ways to choose one of the covers counted by $[\overline{\tilde{m}}_{\ell^{i_\ell}(\ell-1)^{i_{\ell-1}}\dots 3^{i_3}2^{i_2}1^{n-i_1}]$ that includes at least k vertices that are each covered by at least one stable set besides a singleton is $\#(k, i_2 \dots, i_\ell)\binom{k}{i_1}$. To see this, note first that by the definition of our notation, there are $\#(k, i_2, \dots, i_\ell)$ ways to cover k vertices using ℓ_j cliques K_j for each j. Then, n-k of the singletons are needed to cover the remaining n-k uncovered vertices, so $(n-i_1) - (n-k) = k-i_1$ singletons will cover one of the k already covered vertices. So, there are $\binom{k}{k-i_1} = \binom{k}{i_1}$ ways to choose which $k-i_1$ of those k vertices are covered by a singleton in addition to another stable set. Putting this together and then rearranging to isolate the value $\#(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\ell)$ that we want to solve for, we get

$$\#(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\ell) = [\overline{\widetilde{m}}_{\ell^{i_\ell}(\ell-1)^{i_{\ell-1}} \dots 3^{i_3} 2^{i_2} 1^{n-i_1}}] - \sum_{k=i_1+1}^n \#(k, i_2 \dots, i_\ell) \binom{k}{i_1}.$$

Thus, we can recursively compute $\#(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_\ell)$ by fixing i_2, \ldots, i_ℓ and letting i_1 range from n down to 1, so the values $\#(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_\ell)$ are all computable from \overline{X}_G by induction.

4 Proof of Theorem 3

Finding induced subgraphs of \overline{G} isomorphic to H is equivalent to finding induced subgraphs of \overline{G} isomorphic to \overline{H} , so we will instead focus on induced subgraphs of \overline{G} , as Lemma 9 makes them easier to think about. We will use a picture of H to represent the number of induced subgraphs of \overline{G} isomorphic to H, and unless stated otherwise, all references to subgraphs and edges will be for \overline{G} rather than for G. The pictures of the graphs are taken from [Rid23].

From [MMW08], the number of vertices, edges, triangles, and induced copies of $\bullet \to \bullet \bullet$ in G can be computed from X_G , and thus also from \overline{X}_G since the lowest degree terms of \overline{X}_G determine X_G . For |V(H)| = 4, there are 11 total choices of H. We can directly compute 5 of the values:

$$= [\widetilde{\widetilde{m}}_{41^{n-4}}]$$
 (1)

$$\underbrace{}_{\bullet} = (\bullet - 3) \cdot \underbrace{}_{\bullet} - [\widetilde{\widetilde{m}}_{321^{n-5}}] - 12 \cdot \underbrace{}_{\bullet} - 4 \cdot \underbrace{}_{\bullet}$$
(3)

$$= \#(4,4) - 15 \cdot \checkmark - 5 \cdot \checkmark - \checkmark$$

$$(4)$$

- (1) is immediate, and the reasoning for the other equations is as follows:
 - (2): We count subgraphs of \overline{G} with 5 edges and 4 vertices, of which there are #(4,5) total. For each \swarrow , there are 6 such subgraphs since we can choose any of the 6 edges to omit, and for each \checkmark , there is one.
 - (3): We count ways to choose a triangle plus an edge not contained in the triangle, of which there are $(\bullet 3) \cdot \bigtriangleup$ total. Then $[\widetilde{m}_{321^{n-5}}]$ counts the ways where the triangle and the edge do not overlap, for each \checkmark there are 12 ways (4 options for the triangle, then 3 for the edge), for each \checkmark there are 4 ways (2 options for the triangle, then 2 for the edge), and for each \checkmark there is one way.
 - (4): We count ways to cover 4 vertices with 4 edges. There are #(4,4) ways total. For each (4), there are $\binom{6}{4} = 15$ ways since we choose which 4 edges to include, for each (4), there are $\binom{5}{4} = 5$ ways, and for each (4), there is just one way since we need all 4 edges.
 - (5): We count ways to choose a triangle plus a vertex not contained in the triangle. There are (n-3). ways total. For each \checkmark , there is one way since \checkmark has 4 triangles, for each \checkmark , there are 2 ways since it has 2 triangles, and for each \checkmark or \checkmark •, there is one way.

For the remaining 6 cases where |V(H)| = 4, we set up a system of 5 equations in 6 variables:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & &$$

where

The explanations for these equations are as follows:

- Row 1: We count all ways to choose four vertices, which on the one hand is $\binom{n}{4}$, and on the other is the sum over all H with |V(H)| = 4 of the number of induced subgraphs isomorphic to H.
- Row 2: We count ways to choose an edge plus two other vertices, which on the one hand is $\binom{n-2}{2}$ times the number of edges, and on the other is the sum over all *H* of the number of induced copies of *H* times the number of edges in *H*.
- Row 3: We count ways to cover 4 vertices with 3 edges. The total number of ways is #(4,3), and for each H, the number of ways is $\binom{|E(H)|}{3}$ minus the number of triangles in H, since to cover all 4 vertices of V(H) with 3 edges from E(H), we can choose any 3 edges that do not form a triangle.
- Row 4: We count ways to choose two nonoverlapping edges. The total number of ways is $[\overline{\tilde{m}}_{221^{n-4}}]$, and for each H, the number of ways equals the number of pairs of nonoverlapping edges in H.
- Row 5: We count ways to choose an induced • plus an extra vertex. The total number of ways is $(n-3) \cdot \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$, and the number of ways for each *H* is the number of induced copies of • • in *H*.

The reduced row echelon form of the above matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the last two rows each have a single 1 and the rest 0's, the number of copies in \overline{G} of the corresponding graphs can be computed from \overline{X}_G , so the number of copies in \overline{G} of the following 7 graphs can be determined:

Taking complements, the number of copies in G of the following 7 graphs can be determined:

$$\vdots$$
 \cdots $\overleftarrow{}$ \Box $\overleftarrow{}$ $\overleftarrow{}$ $\overleftarrow{}$

Finally, note that for T a tree, this lets us determine the induced number of copies in T of all order 4 forests except $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$. But since T a tree, the number of copies of $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$ can then also be computed since it is the only remaining possible 4 vertex subgraph of T.

5 Proof of Theorem 4

There are 34 graphs with |V(H)| = 5. We first directly compute 6 of the values:

$$= \#(5,9) - 10 \cdot \tag{7}$$

$$= \#(5,0,1,1) - 30 \cdot - 6 \cdot (8)$$

$$= \#(5,8) - 45 \cdot \checkmark - 9 \cdot \checkmark - \checkmark$$
 (9)

$$= (\bullet - 6) \cdot (\widetilde{m}_{421^{n-6}}) - 20 \cdot (\widetilde{m}_{-6}) - 2 \cdot (10)$$

(6) is immediate, and the other equations are obtained as follows:

- (7): We count ways to cover 5 vertices with 9 edges. The total is #(5,9), for each (5,9), for each (5,9), there are 10 ways since any edge can be removed, and for each (5,9), there is one way.
- (8): We count ways to cover 5 vertices with a triangle and a K_4 . For each 4_2 , there are 5 ways to choose the K_4 and then $\binom{4}{2} = 6$ ways to choose the triangle, for $5 \cdot 6 = 30$ total, for each 4_2 , there are 2 ways to choose the K_4 and then 3 ways to choose the triangle, for $2 \cdot 3 = 6$ total, and for each 4_2 , there is one way.
- (9): We count ways to cover 5 vertices with 8 edges. The total is #(5,8). For each $(\frac{10}{2}) = 45$ ways since we can choose any 2 edges to remove, for each $(\frac{10}{2})$, there are 9 ways since we can choose any one edge to remove, and for each (10) or (10), there is one way.
- (10): We count ways to choose a K_4 plus an extra edge. There are $(-6) \cdot (-6) \cdot$
- (11): We count ways to choose a K_4 and another vertex. There are (n-4). total, and for each 5 vertex graph H, the number of ways is the number of K_4 subgraphs of H.

For the remaining 28 values, we set up the system of 19 equations shown in Figure 1, with the aid of Sage ([Ste+24]), where **b** is computable from \overline{X}_G . The rows of the matrix are obtained as follows:

- Rows 1-9: We count induced copies of the order 4 subgraphs in the order 5 subgraphs.
- Rows 10-13: We count connected subgraphs with each number of edges from 4 to 7.
- Rows 14-17: We count ways to cover 5 vertices with a triangle plus 1, 2, 3, or 4 edges.
- Rows 18-19: We count ways to cover 5 vertices with 2 triangles, or 2 triangles plus an edge.

The reduced row echelon form of the matrix is:

(1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	-1	-0 \	
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	-3	0	-3	0	0	0	0	0	-3	0	0	0	-6	0	0	0	-6	0	0	3	0	
0	0	1	0	0	0	-1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	-2	0	
0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	-2	0	0	0	-2	0	0	1	0	
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	-1	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	-1	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	1	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1/	



Figure 1: System of linear equations to count induced subgraphs of order 5

There are 5 rows with a single 1 and the rest 0's, so combining those with our initial 6 graphs, we find that \overline{X}_G determines the number of induced copies in \overline{G} of the following graphs:

$$\textcircled{\begin{aligned}[c]{\begin{al$$

Taking complements, \overline{X}_G determines the counts in G of the following 11 graphs:

6 Proof of Theorem 6

An induced copy of a *j*-vertex star in *G* is equivalent to an induced copy in \overline{G} of the order *j* graph consisting of a K_{j-1} together with an isolated vertex, which we will denote as $K_{j-1} \sqcup v$. For $1 \le i \le j-1$, let $K_{j-1} \sqcup i \cdot e$ denote the order *j* graph consisting of a K_{j-1} plus an extra vertex *v* connected to exactly *i* of the vertices in the clique. Thus, the complement $\overline{K_{j-1}} \sqcup i \cdot e$ is an (j - i)-vertex star together with *i* isolated vertices, since when we take the complement, the vertex *v* not in the K_{j-1} becomes the center of the star and the vertices of the K_{j-1} adjacent to *v* become the isolated vertices.

For a graph H, write #(H) for the number of induced copies of H in \overline{G} . By Lemma 9, the number

$$\#(\underbrace{j,i,0\ldots,0,1}_{j-1})$$

of ways to cover j vertices in \overline{G} with i edges and one K_{j-1} can be computed from \overline{X}_G for each i. Thus, our strategy will be to set up a system of linear equations by expressing these known values in terms of the numbers $\#(K_{j-1} \sqcup v)$ and $\#(K_{j-1} \sqcup i \cdot e)$ that we are interested in computing.

Every set of j vertices in H that can be covered by i edges and a K_{j-1} is contained $K_{j-1} \sqcup k \cdot e$ for some k, since those are the only induced subgraphs on j vertices that contain a K_{j-1} . Given such an induced subgraph of H isomorphic to $K_{j-1} \sqcup k \cdot e$, if we want to cover it with a K_{j-1} and i edges, we must first choose the K_{j-1} . Thus, let a_k denote the number of induced copies of K_{j-1} in $K_{j-1} \sqcup k \cdot e$. If k = j - 1, then all vertices of the K_{j-1} are connected to the extra vertex v, so $K_{j-1} \sqcup (j-1) \cdot e = K_j$. There are j induced copies of K_{j-1} in K_j is j, so $a_{j-1} = j$. If k = j - 2, we get $a_{j-2} = 2$ induced copies of K_{j-1} in $K_{j-1} \sqcup (j-2) \cdot e$, since the vertex not in the K_{j-1} can be either of the two nonadjacent vertices. For k < j-2, there is just one copy of K_{j-1} in $K_{j-1} \sqcup k \cdot e$, since the vertex with only j-2 neighbors must be the one left out. Thus,

$$a_k = \begin{cases} j, & \text{if } k = j - 1; \\ 2, & \text{if } k = j - 2; \\ 1, & \text{if } k < j - 2. \end{cases}$$

Now that we have the K_{j-1} , let v be the remaining vertex not in the K_{j-1} . We need to choose i of the $\binom{j-1}{2} + k$ total edges in the $K_{j-1} \sqcup k \cdot e$ such that at least one of them has v as an endpoint (in order two cover v. The number of ways to do this is

$$\binom{\binom{j-1}{2}+k}{i} - \binom{\binom{j-1}{2}}{k},$$

since the first term represents the number of ways to choose any *i* edges of $K_{j-1} \sqcup k \cdot e$ and the second represents the number of ways to choose all *k* edges from the K_{j-1} (which we do not want since then *v* is not covered).

Since i can take any value from 1 to j-1, we have a system of j-1 equations

$$\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} a_k \left(\binom{\binom{j-1}{2} + k}{i} - \binom{\binom{j-1}{2}}{i} \right) \cdot \#(K_{j-1} \sqcup k \cdot e) = \#(\underbrace{j, i, 0 \dots, 0, 1}_{j-1})$$

for i = 1, 2, ..., j - 1. This gives j - 1 linearly independent equations in the j - 1 variables $\#(K_{j-1} \sqcup e), \#(K_{j-1} \sqcup 2 \cdot e), ..., \#(K_{j-1} \sqcup (j-1) \cdot e)$, so we can solve for all the variables to compute the values $\#(K_{j-1} \sqcup j \cdot e)$ for k = 1, ..., j - 1 given \overline{X}_G .

Then to compute $\#(K_{j-1} \sqcup v)$, we count the total number of ways to choose a K_{j-1} in \overline{G} plus an extra vertex. The total number of K_{j-1} 's in \overline{G} is just $[\overline{\widetilde{m}}_{(j-1)1^{n-j+1}}]$, and the remaining vertex can be any of the other n-j+1 vertices in \overline{G} , so the total number of ways is $(n-j+1) \cdot [\overline{\widetilde{m}}_{(j-1)1^{n-j+1}}]$. The *j* chosen vertices will then form either an induced $K_{j-1} \sqcup v$ or an induced $K_{j-1} \sqcup k \cdot e$ in \overline{G} for some *i*, since those are the only induced subgraphs of order *j* that contain a K_{j-1} . For each induced $K_{j-1} \sqcup k \cdot e$ in \overline{G} , there are a_k ways to choose the K_{j-1} , and the the extra vertex would have to be the remaining vertex. For each induced $K_{j-1} + v$, there is one choice for the clique and the vertex. Thus, we get

$$#(K_{j-1} \sqcup v) = (n-j+1) \cdot [\overline{\widetilde{m}}_{(j-1)1^{n-j+1}}] - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} a_k \cdot #(K_{j-1} \sqcup k \cdot e).$$

Since all values on the right side can be computed from \overline{X}_G , so can $\#(K_{j-1} \sqcup v)$.

Since we were counting induced subgraphs of \overline{G} , taking complements tells us that for each j and k, we can compute of copies in G of $\overline{K_{j-1} \sqcup k \cdot e}$, which is an a star on h = j - k vertices together with k isolated vertices, as well as the number of copies in G of $K_{j-1} \sqcup v$, which is a star on h = j vertices. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Oliver Pechenik for suggesting the problem and for helpful discussions and comments. She was partially supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) grant RGPIN-2022-03093.

References

- [ADM23] Jean-Christophe Aval, Karimatou Djenabou, and Peter RW McNamara. "Quasisymmetric functions distinguishing trees". In: Algebraic Combinatorics 6.3 (2023), pp. 595-614. URL: https: //alco.centre-mersenne.org/articles/10.5802/alco.273/.
- [Ali+21] José Aliste-Prieto, Logan Crew, Sophie Spirkl, and José Zamora. "A vertex-weighted Tutte symmetric function, and constructing graphs with equal chromatic symmetric function". In: *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* 28 (2 2021). URL: https://www.combinatorics.org/ ojs/index.php/eljc/article/view/v28i2p1/pdf.
- [Ali+23] José Aliste-Prieto, Anna De Mier, Rosa Orellana, and José Zamora. "Marked graphs and the chromatic symmetric function". In: SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics 37.3 (2023), pp. 1881–1919. URL: https://epubs.siam.org/doi/10.1137/22M148046X.
- [AZ14] José Aliste-Prieto and José Zamora. "Proper caterpillars are distinguished by their chromatic symmetric function". In: *Discrete Mathematics* 315 (2014), pp. 158–164. URL: https://www. sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0012365X13004433.
- [Buc05] Anders Skovsted Buch. "Combinatorial K-theory". In: Topics in Cohomological Studies of Algebraic Varieties: Impanga Lecture Notes (2005), pp. 87–103. URL: https://link.springer. com/chapter/10.1007/3-7643-7342-3_3.
- [CPS23] Logan Crew, Oliver Pechenik, and Sophie Spirkl. "The Kromatic Symmetric Function: A K-theoretic Analogue of X_G ". 2023. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.02177.

- [Cre22] Logan Crew. "A note on distinguishing trees with the chromatic symmetric function". In: *Discrete Mathematics* 345.2 (2022), p. 112682. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0012365X21003952.
- [CS]Logan Crew and Sophie Spirkl. "Pairs of small graphs with equal chromatic symmetric function".URL: https://drive.google.com/file/d/1zrA1hlmer_NEco95CiznCe9QjhfXhroY/view.
- [CS05] Maria Chudnovsky and Paul D Seymour. "The structure of claw-free graphs." In: *BCC*. 2005, pp. 153–171. URL: https://web.math.princeton.edu/~mchudnov/claws_survey.pdf.
- [Fol+21] Angele M Foley, Joshua Kazdan, Larissa Kröll, Sofía Martínez Alberga, Oleksii Melnyk, and Alexander Tenenbaum. "Transplanting Trees: Chromatic Symmetric Function Results through the Group Algebra of S_n". 2021. URL: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2112.09937.
- [Gas96] Vesselin Gasharov. "Incomparability graphs of (3+1)-free posets are s-positive". In: Discrete Mathematics 157.1-3 (1996), pp. 193–197. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-365X(96) 83014-7.
- [Ger17] Melanie Gerling. "On distinguishing special trees by their chromatic symmetric functions". 2017. URL: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/313879095_On_distinguishing_ special_trees_by_their_chromatic_symmetric_functions.
- [GS01] David D Gebhard and Bruce E Sagan. "A chromatic symmetric function in noncommuting variables". In: Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics 13.3 (2001), pp. 227–255. URL: https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1011258714032.
- [HJ19] Sam Heil and Caleb Ji. "On an algorithm for comparing the chromatic symmetric functions of trees". In: Australian Journal of Combinatorics 72 (5 2019), pp. 210-222. URL: https:// www.researchgate.net/publication/322755095_On_an_Algorithm_for_Comparing_the_ Chromatic_Symmetric_Functions_of_Trees.
- [LW24] Nicholas A Loehr and Gregory S Warrington. "A rooted variant of Stanley's chromatic symmetric function". In: Discrete Mathematics 347.3 (2024), p. 113805. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0012365X23004910.
- [Lyd16] Mark Thomas Lydon. On the chromatic symmetric function of graphs. University of California, Davis, 2016. URL: https://www.proquest.com/openview/a5119f3c1fcc69f6115ba0373b65c712/ 1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y.
- [Mar23] Eric Marberg. "Kromatic quasisymmetric functions". 2023. URL: https://doi.org/10.48550/ arXiv.2312.16474.
- [MMW08] Jeremy L Martin, Matthew Morin, and Jennifer D Wagner. "On distinguishing trees by their chromatic symmetric functions". In: Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A 115.2 (2008), pp. 237-253. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0097316507000775.
- [Mor05] Matthew Morin. "Caterpillars, ribbons, and the chromatic symmetric function". PhD thesis. University of British Columbia, 2005. URL: https://open.library.ubc.ca/soa/cIRcle/ collections/ubctheses/831/items/1.0079438.
- [OS14] Rosa Orellana and Geoffrey Scott. "Graphs with equal chromatic symmetric functions". In: Discrete Mathematics 320 (2014), pp. 1–14. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ article/pii/S0012365X13004974.
- [Rid23] H.N. de Ridder et al. "List of small graphs". In: Information System on Graph Classes and their Inclusions (ISGCI) (updated 24 December 2023). URL: https://www.graphclasses.org/ smallgraphs.html.
- [Sal23] Enrique Salcido. "Counting Subtrees Using the Chromatic Symmetric Function". PhD thesis. University of Kansas, 2023. URL: https://www.proquest.com/openview/2197cb6075e2b7e8ba027d88be14af58 1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y.
- [SST15] Isaac Smith, Zane Smith, and Peter Tian. "Symmetric chromatic polynomial of trees". 2015. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/1505.01889.

- [Sta95] Richard P Stanley. "A symmetric function generalization of the chromatic polynomial of a graph". In: Advances in Mathematics 111.1 (1995), pp. 166–194. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001870885710201.
- [Sta98] Richard P Stanley. "Graph colorings and related symmetric functions: ideas and applications a description of results, interesting applications, & notable open problems". In: Discrete Mathematics 193.1-3 (1998), pp. 267–286. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-365X(98)00146-0.
- [Ste+24] W.A. Stein et al. Sage Mathematics Software (Version 10.3). The Sage Development Team. 2024. URL: http://www.sagemath.org.
- [Tsu18] Shuhei Tsujie. "The chromatic symmetric functions of trivially perfect graphs and cographs". In: Graphs and Combinatorics 34 (2018), pp. 1037–1048. URL: https://link.springer.com/ article/10.1007/s00373-018-1928-2.