

The Shadowing Properties Of Nonautonomous Dynamical System^{*}

Min An^{✉a}

^aCollege of Mathematics and Statistics, Chongqing University, Chongqing 401331, China

Abstract

Let (X_n, d_n) be a sequence of metric spaces and let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of continuous and onto maps $f_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. In this paper, we prove that if the compression ratio meets $\prod \lambda_i = 0$, then there exists $\delta_n > 0$ such that any δ_n - pseudo-orbit $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is ε - shadowed by a unique point $x \in X_0$. For the asymptotic average shadowing property, we prove that if $\mathcal{F}|_A$ has asymptotically average shadowing property, then \mathcal{F} also has a asymptotically average shadowing property when a density-related condition is satisfied. Additionally, the conclusion that the shadowing performance of strong equicontinuity and pseudo-shadowing property implies limit shadowing is also obtained. Furthermore, the shadowing property of non-autonomous product space is also discussed.

Keywords: Non-autonomous dynamic system, Shadowing property, Expanding map, Limit shadowing

1. Introduction

Pseudo-orbit shadowing is not only a very important concept in dynamical system theory, but also the most important dynamic property and technical tool in dynamical system theory. The pseudo-shadowing property studies whether the pseudo-orbit under a mapping can be shadowed by the real orbit. Based on the needs of theory and application, different concepts of pseudo shadowing and shadowing property have been proposed from different angles and standards, such as average pseudo shadowing and asymptotic average pseudo shadowing, asymptotic average shadowing, Lipschitz shadowing, limit shadowing.

In 2019, Castro A.[1] obtained some conclusions about expanding maps in non-autonomous dynamic systems; In 2004, Junling Zhao[4] proved the limit shadowing property of expanding maps with equicontinuity in autonomous dynamic systems. In 2011, Kulczycki M.[5] proved the conclusion that the asymptotic

^{*}Data: March 21, 2024

average property of f can be obtained from the asymptotic average property of $f|_A$ in autonomous dynamical systems. Additionally, many conclusions about product space in non-autonomous dynamical systems are obtained in [2, 6, 7].

The structure of this paper is as follows: In section 2, preparatory knowledge is introduced; In Section 3, we prove first that if the compression ratio meets $\prod \lambda_i = 0$, then there exists $\delta_n > 0$ such that any δ_n -pseudo-orbit $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is ε -shadowed by a unique point $x \in X_0$. Secondly, we prove some conclusions about limit shadowing and asymptotic average shadowing in non-autonomous dynamical systems.; In Section 4, we discuss the shadowing property of product spaces in non-autonomous dynamical systems.

2. Preliminary

Throughout this article, we have agreed that \mathbb{Z} is all integers, \mathbb{Z}_+ is the set of positive integers, and \mathbb{N} is the set of natural numbers. The following definitions and theorems can be found in reference [1, 3, 6].

Definition 2.1. Let (X_n, d_n) be a sequence of metric spaces (here d_n denotes the metric in X_n) and let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a sequence of continuous and onto maps $f_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $F_n := f_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_2 \circ f_1 \circ f_0$, $n \geq 0$. \mathcal{F} is called a family of time-varying mappings, (X, d, \mathcal{F}) is non-autonomous dynamical systems.

Definition 2.2. Let (X_n, d_n) be a sequence of metric spaces and let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a sequence of continuous and onto maps $f_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We say \mathcal{F} is a sequence of expanding maps if there are $\delta_0 > 0$ and a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ of constants in $(0, 1)$ so that the following holds: for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in X_{n+1}$ and $x_i \in f_n^{-1}(x)$, there exists a well-defined inverse branch $f_{n,x_i}^{-1} : B(x, \delta_0) \rightarrow V_{x_i}$ (open neighborhood of x_i) so that for every $y, z \in B(x, \delta_0)$,

$$d_n(f_{n,x_i}^{-1}(y), f_{n,x_i}^{-1}(z)) \leq \lambda_n d_{n+1}(y, z).$$

We say that \mathcal{F} is a expansive sequence if there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ (called an expansiveness constant) such that if $x, y \in X_0$ and $d_{n+1}(F_n(x), F_n(y)) \leq \varepsilon_0$ for every $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then $x = y$.

We represent all metrics d_n simply by d for notational simplicity.

Definition 2.3. Let (X_n, d_n) be a sequence of metric spaces and let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a sequence of continuous and onto maps $f_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Given $\delta > 0$, we say $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a δ -pseudo-orbit for $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ if $x_i \in X_i$ and $d(f_i(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \delta$ for every $i \geq 0$.

Definition 2.4. Let (X_n, d_n) be a sequence of metric spaces and let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a sequence of continuous and onto maps $f_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We say the sequence of maps \mathcal{F} has the shadowing property if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any δ -pseudo-orbit $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ there exists $x \in X_0$ so that its \mathcal{F} -orbit ε -shadows the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, that is, if $d(f_n(x_n), x_{n+1}) < \delta$ for $n \geq 0$, there exists $x \in X_0$ so that $d(F_n(x), x_n) < \varepsilon$ for $n \geq 0$.

Definition 2.5. Let (X_n, d_n) be a sequence of metric spaces and let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a sequence of continuous and onto maps $f_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We say the sequence of maps \mathcal{F} has the periodic shadowing property if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any δ -pseudo-orbit $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ satisfying $x_0 = x_k$ is ε -shadowed by a fixed point $x \in X_0$.

Definition 2.6. Let (X_n, d_n) be a sequence of metric spaces and let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a sequence of continuous and onto maps $f_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We say the sequence of maps \mathcal{F} has the Lipschitz shadowing property if there exists a uniform constant $L > 0$ and $\delta_0 > 0, \forall 0 < \delta < \delta_0$ so that any δ -pseudo-orbit $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of \mathcal{F} is $L\varepsilon$ -shadowed by a point, $x \in X_0$.

Theorem 2.1. (1) Let (X_n, d_n) be a sequence of metric spaces and let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a sequence of expanding maps and onto maps $f_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. If the contraction rates $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ satisfy $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \lambda_n < 1$ then the following hold:

(i) \mathcal{F} satisfies the Lipschitz shadowing property.

(ii) For any for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ so that any δ -pseudo-orbit $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is ε -shadowed by a unique point $x \in X_0$.

(iii) If, in addition, $X_n = X_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then \mathcal{F} satisfies the periodic shadowing property.

Definition 2.7. Let (X_n, d_n) be a sequence of metric spaces and let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a sequence of continuous and onto maps $f_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let $f_i^n = f_n \circ f_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ f_i$. We say the sequence of maps \mathcal{F} is strong-equicontinuous if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ so that $d(x, y) < \delta$, then

$$d(f_m^n(x), f_m^n(y)) < \varepsilon, n \geq m \geq 1.$$

Definition 2.8. Let (X_n, d_n) be a sequence of metric spaces and let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a sequence of continuous and onto maps $f_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We say the sequence of maps \mathcal{F} has the limit shadowing property

if for any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ satisfy

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} d(f_i(x_i), x_{i+1}) = 0.$$

there exists $y \in X_0$ so that $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} d(F_i(y), x_i) = 0$.

Theorem 2.2. (4) *Let (X, d) be metric space and f is asurjection on X . If equicontinuous map f has shadowing property, then f has the limit shadowing property.*

Definition 2.9. Let (X_n, d_n) be a sequence of metric spaces and let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a sequence of continuous and onto maps $f_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is called an asymptotic-average pseudo orbit of \mathcal{F} if

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(f_i(x_i), x_{i+1}) = 0.$$

A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is said to be asymptotically shadowed in average by the point z in X_0 if

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(F_i(z), x_i) = 0.$$

We say \mathcal{F} has asymptotic average shadowing property if any asymptotic-average pseudo orbit of \mathcal{F} , asymptotically shadowed in average by some point z in X_0 .

Theorem 2.3. (5) *Let (X, d) be metric space and f is homeomorphism on X . A is invariant closed subset in X . If $f|_A$ has asymptotic average shadowing property and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $x \in X$,*

$$\frac{1}{n} \# \{0 \leq i < n : d(f^i(x), A) < \varepsilon\} > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Then f has asymptotic average shadowing property.

3. The Shadowing Properties of Nonautonomous Dynamical System

Based on several theorems in Chapter 2, we prove conclusions about shadowing in non-autonomous dynamical systems in sections 3.1 and 3.2.

3.1. Description of Theorem

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X_n, d_n) be a sequence of metric spaces and let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a sequence of expanding maps and onto maps $f_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. If the contraction rates $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ satisfy $\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i = 0$, then the following hold:*

(i) *For any for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta_n > 0$ so that any δ_n -pseudo-orbit $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is ε -shadowed by a unique point $x \in X_0$.*

(ii) *If $X_n = X_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then \mathcal{F} satisfies the periodic shadowing property.*

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X_n, d_n) be a sequence of compact metric spaces and let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a sequence of continuous and onto maps $f_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. \mathcal{F} satisfy: there are $\delta_0 > 0$ so that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}, w \in X_{n+1}$ and $z \in f_n^{-1}(w)$, there exists a well-defined inverse branch $f_{n, x_i}^{-1} : B(w, \delta_0) \rightarrow V_z$ (open neighborhood of x_i). If equicontinuous \mathcal{F} has shadowing property, then \mathcal{F} has limit shadowing property.*

Proof of Theorem 3.1.

(i) Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a sequence of expanding maps as above and let $\delta_0 > 0$ be so that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, w \in X_{n+1}$ and $z \in f_n^{-1}(w)$, there exists a well defined inverse branch $f_{n, x_i}^{-1} : B(w, \delta_0) \rightarrow V_z$ (open neighborhood of x_i). By the definition of λ_n , for any $y_1, y_2 \in B(w, \delta_0)$, the following is established:

$$d_n(f_{n, z}^{-1}(y_1), f_{n, z}^{-1}(y_2)) \leq \lambda_n d(y_1, y_2).$$

Take $0 < \varepsilon < \delta_0/2$ arbitrary and δ_n satisfy

$$0 < \delta_n < (1 - \lambda_n) \varepsilon.$$

Given $k \geq 1$ and an arbitrary finite δ_n -pseudo $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^k$, we proceed to prove that there exists $x \in X_0$ that ε -shadows $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^k$.

Since $0 < \varepsilon < \delta_0/2$, inverse branches are well defined in balls of radius ε . $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is expanding, so for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, w \in X_{n+1}$ and $z \in f_n^{-1}(w)$, we have

$$d(z, f_{n, z}^{-1}(y)) \leq \lambda_n d(w, y) \leq \lambda_n \varepsilon,$$

so $f_{n, z}^{-1}(B(w, \varepsilon)) \subset B(z, \lambda_n \varepsilon)$. Next, take $\delta_n > 0$ such that

$$\delta_n + \lambda_n \varepsilon < \varepsilon < \delta_0/2, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

By $d(f_n(x_n), x_{n+1}) < \delta_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the triangular, we get

$$(i) \ d(f_{n,x_n}^{-1}(B(f_n(x_n), \varepsilon))) \leq \lambda_n d(B(f_n(x_n), \varepsilon)), \quad 0 \leq n \leq k-1.$$

$$(ii) \ f_{n,x_n}^{-1}(B(f_n(x_n), \varepsilon)) \subset B(f_{n-1}(x_{n-1}), \delta + \lambda_n \varepsilon) \subset B(f_{n-1}(x_{n-1}), \varepsilon), \quad 0 \leq n \leq k-1.$$

Let

$$Y_{k-1}^{(k)} := f_{k-1,x_{k-1}}^{-1}(\overline{B(x_k, \varepsilon)}) \cap \overline{B(f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}), \varepsilon)},$$

and

$$Y_{k-j-1}^{(k)} := f_{k-j-1,x_{k-j-1}}^{-1}(\overline{B(f(x_{k-j-1}), \varepsilon)}) \cap Y_{k-j}^{(k)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k-1.$$

By definition, $Y_0^{(k)}$ is a non-empty closed set of diameter at most $2\varepsilon \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i$ and

$$d(F_n(x), x_n) < \varepsilon, \quad 0 \leq n \leq k, \forall x \in Y_0^{(k)}.$$

This proves arbitrary finite δ_n -pseudo $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^k$ is shadowed by a point $x \in Y_0^{(k)} \subset X_0$.

$k \geq 1$ in the above discussion is arbitrarily given, so for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can get a sequence $\{\delta_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ such that for any infinite δ_n -pseudo $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{+\infty}$, there exists a sequence closed set $\{Y_0^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ in X_0 satisfy $Y_0^{(k+1)} \subset Y_0^{(k)}, \forall k \geq 1$. On the other hand, we observe that $\text{diam}(Y_0^{(k)})$ satisfies.

$$\text{diam}(Y_0^{(k)}) \leq 2\varepsilon \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

By compactness of $X_0, \bigcap_{k \geq 1} Y_0^{(k)}$ is singleton set. Let $\{x\} = \bigcap_{k \geq 1} Y_0^{(k)}$. Obviously, x is the unique point of shadowing.

(ii) Let $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{+\infty}$ is n -periodic δ_i -pseudo of \mathcal{F} , that is

$$d(f_{i-1}(x_{i-1}), x_i) \leq \delta_i, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

and

$$x_{kn+j} = x_j, \quad \forall k > 0, 0 \leq j < n.$$

$\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{+\infty}$ is δ_i -pseudo of \mathcal{F} , on the basis of (i), there exists unique point $x \in X_0$ ε -shadowing $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{+\infty}$. That is

$$d(F_{i-1}(x), x_i) \leq \varepsilon, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Since $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{+\infty}$ is n -periodic, there are

$$x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, \dots$$

and

$$x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, \dots$$

They are the same sequence, so

$$d(F_{n+i-1}(x), x_i) \leq \varepsilon, i \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

This proves that $F_n(x)$ is also the ε -shadowing pseudo $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{+\infty}$. By uniqueness of shadowing property, we get $F_n(x) = x$. Hence, \mathcal{F} satisfies the periodic shadowing property.

Proof of Theorem 3.2.

By shadowing property of \mathcal{F} , we get for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists $\delta_n > 0$ such that for any δ_n -pseudo $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ of \mathcal{F} , there is $y \in X_0$ satisfying

$$d(F_i(y), x_i) < \frac{1}{n}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Let $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ satisfy

$$d(f_i(x_i), x_{i+1}) \rightarrow 0, (i \rightarrow +\infty).$$

We next prove there exists $y \in X_0$ such that

$$d(F_i(y), x_i) \rightarrow 0, (i \rightarrow +\infty).$$

In fact, according $d(f_i(x_i), x_{i+1}) \rightarrow 0 (i \rightarrow +\infty)$, we get that for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists $k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$d(f_i(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \delta_n, \forall i \geq k_n.$$

For every $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k_n - 1$, we can find $x_{ni} \in X_i$ such that

$$f_i(x_{ni}) = \begin{cases} x_{n(i+1)}, & i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k_n - 2, \\ x_i, & i = k_n - 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$x_{n0}, x_{n1}, \dots, x_{n(k_n-1)}, x_{k_n}, x_{k_n+1}, \dots$$

is δ_n -pseudo of \mathcal{F} . Obviously, for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k_n - 1$, the following is established:

$$d(F_i(y_n), x_i) = d(f_{i-1} \circ \dots \circ f_0(y_n), x_i) = d(x_i, x_i) = 0.$$

Additionally, by the shadowing property of \mathcal{F} , there exists $y_n \in X_0$, for $i \geq k_n$, we have

$$d(F_i(y_n), x_i) < \frac{1}{n}.$$

That is

$$d(F_i(y_n), x_i) < \frac{1}{n}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Since X is compact, let $y_n \rightarrow y(n \rightarrow +\infty)$ (otherwise, take a convergent subsequence of. Similar is discussed below). For any $\varepsilon > 0$, \mathcal{F} is strong-equicontinuous, so there is $\delta > 0$ such that $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \forall u, v \in X_0$, if $d(u, v) < \delta$, then

$$d(f_{i-1} \circ \dots \circ f_0(u), f_{i-1} \circ \dots \circ f_0(v)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

that is

$$d(F_i(u), F_i(v)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Because $y_n \rightarrow y(n \rightarrow +\infty)$, take $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ make $\frac{1}{n} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $d(y_n, y) < \delta$. By the strong-equicontinuity of \mathcal{F} , we get

$$d(F_i(y_n), F_i(y)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \forall i \geq 1.$$

On the other hand, when $i \geq k_n$,

$$d(F_i(y_n), x_i) < \frac{1}{n} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

So when $i \geq k_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(F_i(y), x_i) &\leq d(F_i(y), F_i(y_n)) + d(F_i(y_n), x_i) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &= \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This means

$$d(F_i(y), x_i) \rightarrow 0, (i \rightarrow +\infty).$$

Hence, \mathcal{F} has limit shadowing property.

3.2. Asymptotic Average Shadowing

Theorem 3.3. *Let (X, d) be compact metric space and $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a sequence of continuous and onto maps $f_n : X \rightarrow X, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. A is invariant closed subset in X . If $\mathcal{F}|_A$ has asymptotic average shadowing property and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $x \in X$,*

$$\frac{1}{n} \# \{0 \leq i < n : d(F_i(x), A) < \varepsilon\} > 1 - \varepsilon,$$

then \mathcal{F} has asymptotic average shadowing property.

Lemma 3.1. (5) *Let $J_i \subset \mathbb{N}$ be a sequence such that*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{k} \#(J_i \cap [0, k]) = 0.$$

Then there is a set J of density zero and increasing sequences $\{m_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ and $\{l_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ such that $m_0 = 0$ and for every $i = 1, 2, \dots$ we have

$$J \cap [m_{i-1}, m_i] = J_{l_i} \cap [m_{i-1}, m_i].$$

Additionally, the following conditions hold:

- (i) when each set J_i is of density zero we can take $l_i = i$ for all i ;
- (ii) for any given sequence of infinite sets $R_i \subset \mathbb{N}$ we can choose m_i in such a way that $m_i \in R_i$.

Lemma 3.2. (5) *If $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ is an asymptotic average pseudo-orbit of f then there exists a set $J \subset \mathbb{N}$ of density zero such that*

$$\lim_{i \notin J} d(x_i, A) = 0.$$

Lemma 3.3. (5) *Let $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ be a bounded sequence of non-negative real numbers. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i = 0$;
- (2) There exists a set $J \subset \mathbb{N}$ of density zero such that $\lim_{n \notin J} a_n = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 3.3.

For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, by the compactness of X and continuity of \mathcal{F} , let $\{z_0, \dots, z_{2^{i+1}-1}\}$ be δ_1 -pseudo of \mathcal{F} ($\delta_1 > 0$). Take $u \in X$ and $d(z_0, u) < \delta_1$, then for $0 \leq j < 2^{i+1}$, we get

$$d(F_j(u), z_j) < \frac{1}{2^i}.$$

Let $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^\infty \subset X$ be asymptotic average pseudo-orbit of \mathcal{F} and $Q^{(1)}$ be a density of 0 which satisfies lemma 3.2. Then for sequence $\{d(f_i(x_i), x_{i+1})\}_{i=0}^\infty$, by lemma 3.3, we can find a set $Q^{(2)}$ whose density is 0 such that

$$\lim_{i \notin Q^{(2)}} d(f_i(x_i), x_{i+1}) = 0.$$

Let $J = Q^{(1)} \cup Q^{(2)}$, obviously, the density of J is also 0. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$J_n = \bigcup_{l \in \Omega_n} \{l2^n, \dots, (l+1)2^n - 1\}$$

and J_n contain at least one element of J , that is

$$\{l2^n, \dots, (l+1)2^n - 1\} \cap J \neq \emptyset.$$

According the way of J_n , we get

$$\lim_{k \notin J_n} d(x_k, A) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{k \notin J_n} d(f_k(x_k), x_{k+1}) = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sup \frac{1}{k+1} \#(J_n \cap [0, k]) \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sup \frac{2^n}{k+1} \#(J_n \cap [0, k]) = 0.$$

So, density of every J_n is 0.

Since

$$\lim_{k \notin J} d(f_k(x_k), x_{k+1}) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{k \notin J} d(x_k, A) = 0 (i \in \mathbb{N}),$$

there exists $K_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d(f_k(x_k), x_{k+1}) < \delta_i, d(x_k, A) < \delta_i, \quad \forall k \notin J, k \geq K_i.$$

Now, we define

$$R_i = \{l2^{i+1} - 1 : l \in \Omega_{i+1}, l \geq K\}, k \geq K.$$

Use lemma 3.1, we can find $J' \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. By the way of R_i , we get

- (i) $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$, for some $l \in \Omega_{i+1}$, $m_i = l2^{i+1} - 1$;
- (ii) assume $k \notin J', k \geq m_j$, then $d(f_k(x_k), x_{k+1}) < \delta_i$;
- (iii) assume $k \notin J', k \geq m_j$, then $d(x_k, A) < \delta_i$.

By the above properties the set \mathbb{N}/J' can be written as a union of pairwise disjoint finite sequences of consecutive numbers of non-decreasing length, where the length of each sequence equals 2^i for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote these sequences by $\{a_i, \dots, b_i\}$ where

$$a_0 < b_0 < a_1 < b_1 < \dots$$

and put $B = \{b_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. By the way of J_n , we get that if $m_i \leq a_k \leq m_{i+1}$, then

$$b_k - a_k = 2^{i+1}.$$

Note that for every $b_k > m_{i+1}$, we have $b_{k+1} > b_k \geq 2^{i+1}$. So the density of B is 0.

Take $p \in A$, we define a sequence $\{y_i\}_{i=0}^\infty \subset A$ be the asymptotic average pseudo-orbit of \mathcal{F} . For every $i \in J'$, let $y_i = p$, and for every a_i , take y_{a_i} such that

$$d(x_{a_i}, y_{a_i}) = d(x_{a_i}, A).$$

Let

$$\{y_{a_{i+1}}, \dots, y_{b_i}\} = \{F_1(y_{a_i}), \dots, F_{b_i-a_i}(y_{a_i})\}.$$

Since $\{d(f_i(y_i), y_{i+1})\}_{i=0}^\infty$ satisfy lemma 3.3 (ii) on $J' \cup B$ whose density is 0, it is an asymptotic average pseudo-orbit of $\mathcal{F}|_A$. If $a_i > m_k$, then $\{x_j\}_{j=a_i}^{b_i}$ is δ_k -pseudo. Hence,

$$d(x_{a_{i+j}}, F_j(y_{a_i})) < 2^{-k}, j = 0, \dots, b_i - a_i.$$

Particularly, $\lim_{i \notin J} d(x_i, y_i) = 0$.

Finally, according the asymptotic average shadowing property of $\mathcal{F}|_A$, we can select a point $y \in A$ asymptotically shadows $\{y_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ on average, that is, for some set C of density zero,

$$\lim_{i \notin C} d(F_i(y), y_i) = 0.$$

Observe the density of $J' \cup C$ is 0, and

$$\lim_{i \notin J' \cup C} \sup d(F_i(y), x_i) \leq \lim_{i \notin J} \sup d(F_i(y), y_i) + \lim_{i \notin J' \cup C} \sup d(y_i, x_i) = 0.$$

So by lemma 3.3, y asymptotically shadows $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ on average. Hence, \mathcal{F} has asymptotic average shadowing property.

4. Shadowing For Product Systems

Let (X, d_1) and (Y, d_2) be metric spaces, $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be time-varying maps on X and Y . Define d on $X \times Y$,

$$d\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)\} = \max\{d_1(x_1, y_1), d_2(x_2, y_2)\}.$$

Then d is a metric on $X \times Y$. Let $f : X \rightarrow X, g : Y \rightarrow Y, f$ and g are continuous. Define map,

$$(f \times g)(x, y) = (f(x), g(y)), (x, y) \in (X, Y),$$

then we call $f \times g$ be the product map of f and g .

Let $(F \times G)_K = (f_k \times g_k)(f_{k-1} \times g_{k-1}) \dots (f_0 \times g_0)$, we get

$$(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G})_k(x_1, x_2) = (F_k(x_1), G_k(x_2)).$$

Then we call $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G} = \{f_k \times g_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the family of time-varying mappings of. Hence, $(X \times Y, \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G})$ is non-autonomous dynamical systems.

Definition 4.1. (2) The sequence $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ has h -shadowing property if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every finite δ -pseudo-orbit $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq X$ there is $y \in X$ with $d(y, x_0) < \varepsilon$ and for all $1 \leq i < n$,

$$d(F_i(y), x_i) < \varepsilon, F_n(y) = x_n.$$

In general, non-autonomous dynamical systems with h -shadowing property in compact metric Spaces do not have tracking properties. For example, any double infinite displacement of a finite type with at least two elements.

Definition 4.2. (2) Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a time varying map on a metric space (X, d) and Y be a subset of X .

We say that \mathcal{F} has s -limit shadowing property on Y , if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

- (i) for every δ -pseudo orbit $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ in Y , there exists $y \in X$ \mathcal{E} -shadows $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$;
- (ii) $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a limit pseudo orbit in Y , there exists $y \in X$ limit shadows $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$.

In general, systems with limit shadowing properties do not necessarily have s -limit shadowing properties.

Theorem 4.1. Let (X, \mathcal{F}) and (Y, \mathcal{G}) be non-autonomous dynamical systems, d_1 and d_2 be metric respectively. Then \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} has h -shadowing property if and only if $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$ has h shadowing property.

Proof of Theorem 4.1.

We first prove the necessity. If \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} has h -shadowing property, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ and $\delta_2 > 0$, such that for finite δ_1 -pseudo $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq X$ and δ_2 -pseudo $\{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subseteq Y$, there are $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, satisfying $d(x, x_0) < \varepsilon$ and $d(y, y_0) < \varepsilon$.

For all $1 \leq i < n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(F_i(x), x_i) &< \varepsilon, F_n(x) = x_n, \\ d(G_i(y), y_i) &< \varepsilon, G_n(y) = y_n. \end{aligned}$$

Take $\delta_0 = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$, $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=0}^n$ be the finite δ_0 -pseudo orbit of $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$. Then for $\forall 1 \leq i < n$,

$$\begin{aligned} d((F \times G)_i(x, y), (x_i, y_i)) \\ \leq d_1(F_i(x), x_i) + d_2(G_i(y), y_i) \\ < 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

and $(F \times G)_n(x, y) = (x_n, y_n)$. By definition, $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$ has h -shadowing property.

Now we prove the sufficiency. Let $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=0}^n \subseteq X \times Y$ be a finite δ -pseudo orbit of $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$. According the h -shadowing property of $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$, $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ satisfying

$$d((x, y), (x, x_0)) < \varepsilon, d(y, y_0) < \varepsilon,$$

And for all $1 \leq i < n$, we have

$$d((F \times G)_i(x, y), (x_i, y_i)) < \varepsilon, (F \times G)_n(x, y) = (x_n, y_n).$$

By the definition of product metric space,

$$\max \{d_1 (F_i(x), x_i), d_2 (G_i(y), y_i)\} = d((F \times G)_i(x, y), (x_i, y_i)) < \varepsilon.$$

So

$$d_1 (F_i(x), x_i) < \varepsilon, d_2 (G_i(y), y_i) < \varepsilon, F_n(x) = x_n, G_n(y) = y_n.$$

Hence, \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} has h -shadowing property.

Theorem 4.2. *Let (X, \mathcal{F}) and (Y, \mathcal{G}) be non-autonomous dynamical systems, d_1 and d_2 be metric respectively. Then \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} has s -limit shadowing property if and only if $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$ has s -limit shadowing property.*

Proof of Theorem 4.2.

We first prove the necessity. By the s -limit shadowing property of \mathcal{F} , for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta_1 > 0$, such that for every δ_1 -pseudo orbit $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ in X , there is $x \in X$ such that for all $n \geq 0$,

$$d(F_n(x), x_n) < \varepsilon.$$

And if $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is the limit pseudo orbit, then $d(F_n(x), x_n) \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty)$. Similarly, by the s -limit shadowing property of \mathcal{G} , there exists $\delta_2 > 0$ such that for every δ_2 -pseudo orbit $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in Y , there is $y \in Y$ such that for all $n \geq 0$,

$$d(G_n(y), y_n) < \varepsilon.$$

If $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is the limit pseudo orbit, then $d(G_n(y), y_n) \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty)$.

Take $\delta_0 = \min \{\delta, \delta'\}$, $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ be δ_0 -pseudo orbit of $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$, then there is $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ such that for all $n \geq 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & d((F \times G)_n(x, y), (x_n, y_n)) \\ & \leq d_1 (F_n(x), x_n) + d_2 (G_n(y), y_n) \\ & < 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

And if $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is the limit pseudo orbit in $X \times Y$. Obviously, we have

$$d((F \times G)_n(x, y), (x_n, y_n)) \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

So $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$ has s -limit shadowing property.

Now we prove the sufficiency. Let $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n \geq 0} \subseteq X \times Y$ be a δ -pseudo orbit of $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$. By the s -limit shadowing property of $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$, there exists $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ such that for all $n \geq 0$,

$$d((F \times G)_n(x, y), (x_n, y_n)) < \varepsilon.$$

Hence,

$$\max \{d_1(F_n(x), x_n), d_2(G_n(y), y_n)\} = d((F \times G)_n(x, y), (x_n, y_n)) < \varepsilon.$$

If $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \geq 0}$ is limit pseudo orbit, that is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d((f \times g)_{n+1}(x_n, y_n), (x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) = 0,$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d((F \times G)_n(x, y), (x_n, y_n)) = 0.$$

So for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N > 0$, if $n \geq 0$,

$$d((f \times g)_{n+1}(x_n, y_n), (x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) < \varepsilon.$$

Hence,

$$d_1(f_{n+1}(x_n), x_{n+1}) < \varepsilon, d_2(g_{n+1}(y_n), y_{n+1}) < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d_1(f_{n+1}(x_n), x_{n+1}) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d_2(g_{n+1}(y_n), y_{n+1}) = 0.$$

$\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is limit pseudo orbit of \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} respectively. Similarly, we also get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d_1(F_n(x), x_n) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d_2(G_n(y), y_n) = 0.$$

By definition, \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} has s -limit shadowing property.

The above theorems also hold for asymptotic average shadowing, limit shadowing, average shadowing, period shadowing and Lipschitz shadowing.

References

- [1] Varandas P. Castro A, Rodrigues F. Stability and limit theorems for sequences of uniformly hyperbolic dynamics. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 480(2):123426, 2019.
- [2] Rasuoli H. On the shadowing property of non-autonomous discrete systems. *International Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, 7(1):271–277, 2016.
- [3] Sarkooh J. Various shadowing properties for time varying maps. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 59(2):481–506, 2022.
- [4] Mumin D. Junling Z. System with limit shadowing. *Journal of Guangxi University (Natural Science Edition)*, 29(02):117–120, 2004.
- [5] Oprocha P. Kulczycki M. Properties of dynamical systems with the asymptotic average shadowing property. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 1(212):35–52, 2011.
- [6] Guitang Q. Zhanjiang J. Study on lipschitz shadowing and point-by-point periodic shadowing in non-autonomous dynamical systems. *Journal of Central China Normal University*, 53(04):482–486, 2019.
- [7] Jiashan Y. Zhanjiang J. Research on period shadowing and limit shadowing in non-autonomous dynamic systems. *Journal of Zhejiang University (Science Edition)*, 46(03):323–327, 2019.