

# A Kakutani-Rokhlin theorem for conditionally ergodic process in Riesz spaces\*

Youssef Azouzi <sup>†</sup>  
Marwa Masmoudi <sup>†</sup>  
Bruce A. Watson <sup>#</sup>

<sup>#</sup> School of Mathematics  
University of the Witwatersrand  
Private Bag 3, P O WITS 2050, South Africa  
and  
National Institute for Theoretical and Computational Sciences (NITheCS),  
Johannesburg, South Africa  
and  
DST-NRF Centre of Excellence in Mathematical Sciences and Statistics, South Africa.

<sup>†</sup> LATAO Laboratory,  
Faculty of Mathematical, Physical and Natural Sciences of Tunis  
Tunis-El Manar University, 2092 El Manar, Tunisia

March 26, 2024

## Abstract

In [Y. Azouzi, M.A. Ben Amor, J.M. Homann, M. Masmoudi, B.A. Watson, *The Kac formula and Poincaré recurrence formula in Riesz spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 10(2023), 182-194.] we established the Kac formula and Poincaré recurrence theorem for

---

\*This research was funded in part by the joint South Africa - Tunisia Grant (South African National Research Foundation Grant Number SATN180717350298, grant number 120112.

**Keywords:** Ergodicity, Kakutani-Rokhlin decomposition, Riesz spaces, Conditional expectation operators.  
**MSC(2020):** 47B60, 37A30, 47A35, 60A10.

conditional expectation preserving systems. Based on the structures built there to study recurrence times, we now give a formulation of the Kakutani-Rokhlin decomposition in terms of components of weak order units of Riesz spaces. In addition, we prove that every aperiodic conditional expectation preserving system can be approximated by a periodic one.

## 1 Introduction

Ergodic theory focuses on the time evolution of dynamical systems, in particularly those generated by iterates of a transformation with particular invariants. In the measure space setting one considers iterates of a measure preserving map. In the present work, we consider iterates of a conditional expectation preserving Riesz homomorphism acting on a vector lattice with weak order unit. Underlying much of ergodic theory is the Birkhoff ergodic theorem which, along with the ergodic theorems of Hopf and Wiener, was generalized to Riesz spaces by Kuo, Labuschagne and Watson in 2007, see [19]. In addition to the above theorems it was also shown that the time mean (interpreted as the Cesàro time mean) yields a conditional expectation. This, when applied to a measure-preserving dynamical system, yields a conditional expectation which is invariant under the generating measure-preserving transformation. Other fundamental result in ergodic theory are the Poincaré recurrence theorem, which states that in a measure-preserving dynamical system, almost every point will eventually return arbitrarily close to its initial position, and the Kac formula which calculates the expected time of first return. Analogues of the Kac formula and Poincaré recurrence theorem in Riesz spaces can be found in [1]. The above cited papers along with [3, 9, 10] provide the Riesz space background for the current work.

It should be noted that many other stochastic processes have been studied in the Riesz space (vector lattice) framework, for example discrete [15] and continuous [5, 7, 25] time martingale processes, Markov processes [6, 26] as well as mixing processes [3]. Fundamental concepts such as  $L^p$ -type spaces [2], completeness [20] and duality [13] have also been studied in this setting.

The extension of the Kakutani-Rokhlin lemma to the context of Riesz spaces is a crucial step in furthering the study of recurrence in ergodic theory. For measure preserving systems the Kakutani-Rokhlin lemma gives that, under certain assumptions, the space can be decomposed into an arbitrarily high tower of measurable sets which are recurrent within a given number of iterations and a remainder of arbitrarily small measure. The existence of a tower of given height and adequately small remainder is closely related to the concept of recurrence. The lemma has numerous applications and has many generalizations to other settings [27, 14]. Some of its applications include ergodic theory, entropy theory, topological dynamics... Its ability to construct partitions of a space that are compatible with the underlying structure

of the problem makes it a versatile tool for solving a wide range of problems [27]. In the present paper we intend to make some contributions in the area by investigating under what conditions this result can be formulated in the setting of Riesz spaces.

In Section 2, we recall the basics of condition expectation preserving systems, ergodic processes, Poincaré’s recurrence theorem and Kac’s formula in Riesz spaces. In Section 4, we prove Kakutani-Rokhlin type Theorems in Riesz spaces, the first of which is  $\epsilon$ -free and is for conditionally ergodic processes, the second of which is for aperiodic conditionally ergodic processes in Riesz spaces. We conclude the work with an application of the Kakutani-Rokhlin Theorem for aperiodic processes to show that every conditionally ergodic process which can be decomposed into aperiodic processes can be approximated by a periodic processes.

## 2 Preliminaries

For Riesz space theory and associated terminology, we refer readers to [28]. The background material on ergodic theory can be found in [4, 23]. As our current work builds on [1], in which many of the foundational results can be found. The concept of a conditional expectation operator on a Riesz space is fundamental to the material presented here and hence we quote its definition from [16].

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $E$  be a Riesz space with weak order unit. A positive order continuous projection  $T: E \rightarrow E$ , with range,  $R(T)$ , a Dedekind complete Riesz subspace of  $E$ , is called a conditional expectation if  $Te$  is a weak order unit of  $E$  for each weak order unit  $e$  of  $E$ .*

Throughout this work we will assume that the conditional expectation operator  $T$  is strictly positive, that is, if  $f \in E_+$  and  $Tf = 0$  then  $f = 0$ .

The Riesz space analogue of a measure preserving system was introduced in [10] as a conditional expectation preserving system, see below. The concept was first used and studied in [19], but not given a name there.

**Definition 2.2.** *The 4-tuple,  $(E, T, S, e)$ , is called a conditional expectation preserving system (CEPS) if  $E$  is a Dedekind complete Riesz space,  $e$  is a weak order unit of  $E$ ,  $T$  is a conditional expectation operator on  $E$  with  $Te = e$ ,  $S$  is an order continuous Riesz homomorphism on  $E$  with  $Se = e$  and  $TSf = Tf$ , for all  $f \in E$ .*

**Remark** If  $(E, T, S, e)$  is a conditional expectation preserving system, then

$$TS^j f = Tf$$

for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $f \in E$ .

We also note that if  $S$  is a Riesz homomorphism with  $TS = T$  where  $T$  is a strictly positive conditional expectation operator on a Dedekind complete Riesz space  $E$ , then  $S$  is order continuous, see [12] for a more general study of order continuity Riesz homomorphism. To see this, we let  $f_\alpha$  be a downwards directed net in  $E^+$  with  $f_\alpha \downarrow 0$ , then  $Sf_\alpha$  is downwards directed with  $Sf_\alpha \downarrow h$  for some  $h \in E^+$ . However  $TS = T$  so, as  $T$  is order continuous,  $0 \leftarrow Tf_\alpha = T(Sf_\alpha) \rightarrow Th$ . The strict positivity of  $T$  now gives  $h = 0$ . Hence  $Sf_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ , making it order continuous.

We recall, from [16], the concept of  $T$ -universal completeness, the  $T$ -universal completion and, from [21], the  $R(T)$ -module structure of  $L^1(T)$ , see also [2].

**Definition 2.3.** *If  $T$  is a strictly positive conditional expectation operator on a Dedekind complete Riesz space,  $E$  with weak order unit  $e = Te$ , then the natural domain of  $T$  is*

$$\text{dom}(T) := \{f \in E_+^u \mid \exists \text{ net } f_\alpha \uparrow f \text{ in } E^u, (f_\alpha) \subset E_+, Tf_\alpha \text{ bounded in } E^u\},$$

where  $E^u$  denotes the universal completion of  $E$ . We define

$$L^1(T) := \text{dom}(T) - \text{dom}(T) = \{f - g \mid f, g \in \text{dom}(T)\}$$

and say that  $E$  is  $T$ -universally complete if  $E = L^1(T)$ .

From the above definition,  $E$  is  $T$ -universally complete if, and only if, for each upwards directed net  $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  in  $E_+$  such that  $(Tf_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  is order bounded in  $E^u$ , we have that  $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  is order convergent in  $E$ .

$E^u$  has an  $f$ -algebra structure which can be chosen so that  $e$  is the multiplicative identity. For  $T$  acting on  $E = L^1(T)$ ,  $R(T)$  is a universally complete and thus an  $f$ -algebra, and, further,  $L^1(T)$  is an  $R(T)$ -module. From [16, Theorem 5.3],  $T$  is an averaging operator, which means that if  $f \in R(T)$  and  $g \in E$  then  $T(fg) = fT(g)$ .

From [19], for each  $f \in L^1(T)$ , the Cesàro mean

$$L_S f := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S^k f, \tag{2.1}$$

converges in order, in  $L^1(T)$ , for each Riesz homomorphism  $S$  on  $E = L^1(T)$  with  $TS = T$  and  $Se = e$ . We denote the invariant set of the Riesz homomorphism,  $S$ , by

$$\mathcal{I}_S := \{f \in L^1(T) : Sf = f\}.$$

We say that  $p \in E_+$  is a component of  $q \in E_+$  if  $p \leq q$  and  $(q - p) \wedge p = 0$ . We denote the set of components of  $q$  by  $C_q$ .

The conditional expectation preserving system  $(E = L^1(T), T, S, e)$  is said to be conditionally ergodic if  $L_S = T$  which is equivalent to  $\mathcal{I}_S = R(T)$ , see [9, 10], in which case  $ST = T$  and hence  $S^j T f = T f$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $f \in E$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $(E, T, S, e)$  is a conditional expectation preserving system and  $T$  is strictly positive then  $Sg = g$  for all  $g \in R(T)$ . In the case of  $E$  being an  $R(T)$  module this invariance gives that  $S(gf) = gSf$  for all  $g \in R(T)$  and  $f \in E$ .*

*Proof.* Due to the order continuity of  $S$  and the order density of the linear combinations of components of  $e$  in  $E$ , it suffices to prove the result for  $g \in C_e \cap R(T)$  and  $f \in C_e$ .

For  $g \in C_e \cap R(T)$  we have that

$$g = Tg = TSg.$$

The averaging property of conditional expectations operators in terms of band projections gives that  $P_{TSg} \geq P_{Sg}$  where these are respectively the band projections generated by  $TSg$  and  $Sg$ , see [18, Corollary 2.3] and [17, Lemma 2.3]. Here  $Sg$  is a component of  $e$  so  $P_{Sg}e = Sg$ . Further as  $g = TSg$  which is a component of  $e$  we have  $P_{TSg}e = g$ . Thus  $g \geq Sg$ . As  $T$  is strictly positive and  $S$  is a Riesz homomorphism by [1, Note 2.3] we have  $Sg = g$ .

For the second result, if  $f \in C_e$  then  $fg = f \wedge g$  so

$$S(gf) = S(g) \wedge S(f) = g \wedge S(f) = gSf$$

since  $Sf$  is also a component of  $e$ . □

In [1, Lemma 3.1] an equivalent formulation for the definition of recurrence in [1, Definition 1.4] was proved. For convenience here we will take this equivalent statement as our definition of recurrence below.

**Definition 2.5** (Recurrence). *Let  $(E, T, S, e)$  be a conditional expectation preserving system with  $S$  bijective, then  $p \in C_q$  is recurrent with respect to  $q \in C_e$  if*

$$p \leq \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S^{-n}q.$$

The following Riesz space generalization of the Poincaré recurrence theorem was proved in [1, Theorem 3.2].

**Theorem 2.6** (Poincaré). *Let  $(E, T, S, e)$  be a conditional expectation preserving system with  $T$  strictly positive and  $S$  surjective, then each  $p \in C_q$  is recurrent with respect to  $q$  for each  $q \in C_e$ .*

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$q(p, k) := p \wedge (S^{-k}p) \wedge \left( e - \bigvee_{j=1}^{k-1} S^{-j}p \right).$$

Here  $q(p, k)$  is the maximal component of  $p$  recurrent at exactly  $k$  iterates of  $S$  and

$$q(p, k) \wedge q(p, m) = 0, \quad \text{for } k \neq m, \quad k, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Writing Theorem 2.6 in terms of  $q(p, k)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we obtain the below corollary.

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $(E, T, S, e)$  be a conditional expectation preserving system with  $T$  strictly positive and  $S$  surjective, then for each component  $p$  of  $e$  we have*

$$p = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q(p, k).$$

Here this summation is order convergent in  $E$ .

From the definition of  $q(p, k)$  we have that

$$S^k q(p, k) \leq p,$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $(E, T, S, e)$  be a conditional expectation preserving system with  $T$  strictly positive and  $S$  surjective, then for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $0 \leq i \leq m - 1$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$  and  $(i, m) \neq (j, n)$  we have*

$$S^i q(p, m) \wedge S^j q(p, n) = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

*Proof.* Let  $i, j, m, n$  be as above.

Case I: If  $m \leq n$  and  $n - 1 \geq j > i \geq 0$ , then as  $S^j$  is a Riesz homomorphism,

$$S^i q(p, m) \wedge S^j q(p, n) = S^j (S^{i-j} q(p, m) \wedge q(p, n)).$$

Here

$$S^{i-j} q(p, m) \wedge q(p, n) \leq S^{i-j} p \wedge \left( e - \bigvee_{k=1}^{n-1} S^{-k} p \right) = 0$$

since  $i - j \in \{-k | k = 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ .

Case II: If  $m < n$  and  $i = j$ , then

$$S^i q(p, m) \wedge S^j q(p, n) = S^i(q(p, m) \wedge q(p, n)) = 0$$

as  $m \neq n$  and  $S^i$  is a Riesz homomorphism.

Case III: If  $m < n$  and  $m - 1 \geq i > j \geq 0$ , then

$$S^i q(p, m) \wedge S^j q(p, n) = S^i(q(p, m) \wedge S^{j-i} q(p, n)).$$

Here

$$q(p, m) \wedge S^{j-i} q(p, n) \leq \left( e - \bigvee_{k=1}^{m-1} S^{-k} p \right) \wedge S^{j-i} p = 0$$

since  $j - i \in \{-k | k = 1, \dots, m - 1\}$ . □

Rewriting the expression for the first recurrence time for  $p$  a component of  $e$ ,  $n(p)$ , from [1], in terms of  $q(p, k)$ , we get

$$n(p) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k q(p, k).$$

The conditional Kac formula of [1] give the conditional expectation of  $n(p)$ , as follows.

**Theorem 2.9** (Kac). *Let  $(E, T, S, e)$  be a conditionally ergodic conditional expectation preserving system where  $T$  is strictly positive,  $E$  is  $T$ -universally complete and  $S$  is surjective. For each  $p$  a component of  $e$  we have that*

$$Tn(p) = P_{T_p} e$$

where  $P_{T_e}$  is the band projection onto the band in  $E$  generated by  $Tp$ .

### 3 Kakutani-Rokhlin Lemma

In the setting of probability spaces various variations of the Kakutani-Rokhlin decomposition have been given. In [22, Theorem 2] and [4, Theorem 6.24] an  $\epsilon$ -free version of the Kakutani-Rokhlin decomposition is given for ergodic measure-preserving systems. When restricted to nonatomic measure spaces, an  $\epsilon$ -bounded version of the Kakutani-Rokhlin decomposition is

obtained, see [4, Corollary 6.25] and [23, Lemma 4.7]. The original  $\epsilon$ -bounded version of the decomposition, as developed by Rokhlin, see [24, page 10], was posed for ergodic measure preserving systems which are aperiodic. See also [11]. Here, we extended these decompositions to the measure free context of Riesz spaces.

We recall from [22] and [4, Theorem 6.24], the  $\epsilon$ -free version of the Kakutani-Rokhlin Lemma which requires only ergodicity.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \tau)$  be an ergodic measure preserving system, let  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there is a set  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $B, \tau^{-1}B, \dots, \tau^{1-n}B$  are pairwise disjoint and*

$$\mu \left( \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^{-i} B \right) \geq 1 - n\mu(A).$$

We now give a conditional Riesz space version of the previous result. If, in the following result,  $p$  is taken as the characteristic function,  $\chi_A$ , with  $A$  of the above result, and  $T$  is the expectation with respect a probability measure  $\mu$ , then the below result yields immediately the above result. However, if  $T$  is a conditional expectation, then the below result yields the above but with  $\mu$  being the conditional probability induced by  $T$ .

**Theorem 3.2** (Kakutani-Rokhlin lemma). *Let  $(E, T, S, e)$  be a conditionally ergodic conditional expectation preserving system. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p$  be a component of  $e$ , then there exists a component  $q$  of  $P_{Tp}e$  such that  $q, Sq, \dots, S^{n-1}q$  are pairwise disjoint and*

$$T \left( \bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} S^j q \right) \geq (P_{Tp}e - (n-1)Tp)^+. \quad (3.1)$$

*Proof.* By Corollary 2.7,  $p$  can be decomposed into a sum of disjoint components as follows

$$p = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q(p, i).$$

Let

$$R_k = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} q(p, i) = \bigvee_{i=k}^{\infty} q(p, i),$$

then  $R_k$  is the maximal component of  $p$  with no component recurrent in under  $k$  steps.

For fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $k \neq j$ , from (2.2), we have

$$S^{nj} R_{n(j+1)} \wedge S^{nk} R_{n(k+1)} = \bigvee_{i \geq n(j+1)} \bigvee_{r \geq n(k+1)} (S^{nj} q(p, i) \wedge S^{nk} q(p, r)) = 0, \quad (3.2)$$



since  $nj < i$ ,  $nk < r$  and  $nj \neq nk$ . Let

$$q := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} S^{nj} R_{n(j+1)} = \bigvee_{j=0}^{\infty} S^{nj} R_{n(j+1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i \geq n(j+1)} S^{nj} q(p, i). \quad (3.3)$$

Here  $q$  is a component of  $e$ .

We now show that  $S^i q \wedge S^j q = 0$ , for all  $0 \leq i < j \leq n-1$ . For this it suffices to prove that  $q \wedge S^k q = 0$ , for all  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ . If  $j, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , with  $i \geq n(j+1)$  and  $r \geq n(m+1)$  then  $nj \neq nm+k$ ,  $i > nj$  and  $r > nm+k$ , so, by (2.2),

$$q \wedge S^k q = \sum_{j,m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i \geq n(j+1)} \sum_{r \geq n(m+1)} S^{nj} q(p, i) \wedge S^{nm+k} q(p, r) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Thus  $q, Sq, \dots, S^{n-1}q$  are disjoint.

We now proceed to the proof of (3.1). From the definition of  $q$  in (3.3) we have

$$\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} S^i q = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} S^i q = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \geq n(j+1)} S^{nj+i} q(p, k).$$

Applying  $T$  to the above equation and using  $TS^i = T$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , along with the order continuity of  $T$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T \left( \bigvee_{k=0}^{n-1} S^k q \right) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n(j+1)}^{\infty} Tq(p, i) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n(j+1)}^{\infty} nTq(p, i) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n \left[ \frac{i}{n} \right] Tq(p, i). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the Riesz space version of the Kac Theorem, i.e. Theorem 2.9, we have

$$P_{Tp}e = Tn(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} iTq(p, i).$$

Therefore,

$$P_{Tp}e - T \left( \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} S^i q \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} n \left( \frac{i}{n} - \left[ \frac{i}{n} \right] \right) Tq(p, i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (n-1) Tq(p, i) = (n-1)Tp,$$

concluding the proof of (3.1).  $\square$

In the measure theoretic setting the expression

$$\mu \left( \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^{-i} B \right) \geq 1 - n\mu(A)$$

is only noteworthy if the measure of  $A$  is small relative to 1. Likewise (3.1) is only of interest if  $Tp$  is small in relation to  $P_{T^p}e$ , in particular (3.1) yields nothing of interest if  $p \in R(T)$ .

A variant of the Kakutani-Rokhlin Lemma that gives an  $\epsilon$  measure bound approximation, is presented in [23, Lemma 4.7, page 48], see below.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\tau : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  be an ergodic measure preserving transformation on a nonatomic measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , then there is a measurable set  $B \subset \Omega$  such that  $B, \tau^{-1}B, \dots, \tau^{1-n}B$  are pairwise disjoint and cover  $\Omega$  up to a set of measure less than  $\epsilon$ .*

This variant, as seen above, requires not only that the dynamical system be ergodic, but that in addition the underlying probability space is nonatomic. A probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ,  $\mu$  is nonatomic if for any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$  there exists  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $B \subset A$  and  $0 < \mu(B) < \mu(A)$ .

Aperiodicity is another assumption that has been used to obtain an  $\epsilon$  measure bound version of the Kakutani-Rokhlin Lemma. On a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ , an aperiodic transformation is a transformation whose periodic points form a set of measure 0 (see [24]), that is  $\mu(\{x \in \Omega \mid \tau^p x = x \text{ for some } p \in \mathbb{N}\}) = 0$ . We recall Rokhlin's 1943 version of the Kakutani-Rokhlin Lemma requiring aperiodicity, quoted from [27].

**Proposition 3.4.** *If  $\tau$  is an aperiodic automorphism, then for any natural number  $n$  and any positive  $\epsilon$ , there exists a measurable set  $A \subset \Omega$  such that the sets  $A, \tau^{-1}A, \dots, \tau^{1-n}A$  are pairwise disjoint and the complement of their union has measure less than  $\epsilon$ .*

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a probability space. The measure  $\mu$  is said to be continuous if for any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$  and any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $0 < \alpha < \mu(A)$  there exists  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $B \subset A$  and  $\mu(B) = \alpha$ . Note that every continuous measure is nonatomic. If  $\mu$  is a continuous measure and  $\tau$  is an ergodic measure preserving transformation, then  $\tau$  is aperiodic. Indeed, as  $\tau$  is ergodic, there exists  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mu(A_p) > 0$ , where  $A_p = \{x \in \Omega \mid \tau^p x = x\}$ . Choose  $B \subset A_p$  with  $0 < \mu(B) < \frac{1}{p}$ , which is possible as  $\mu$  is a continuous measure. The set  $C = B \cup \tau^{-1}B \cup \dots \cup \tau^{1-p}B$  is  $\tau$ -invariant and satisfies  $0 < \mu(C) < 1$  contradicting the assumption of ergodicity.

An aperiodic transformation on a continuous measure space need not be ergodic. For example, consider the unit square  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  with Lebesgue measure. The transformation

$$\tau(x, y) = ((x + \alpha) \bmod 1, y) \quad \forall (x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1],$$

with  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  irrational, is aperiodic but not ergodic.

We now give (a non-pointwise) definition of periodicity in the setting of Riesz spaces.

**Definition 3.5.** *Let  $(E, T, S, e)$  be a conditional expectation preserving system and  $v$  be a component of  $e$ . We say that  $(S, v)$  is periodic if there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  so that for all components  $c$  of  $v$  with  $0 \neq c \neq v$  we have that  $q(c, k) = 0$  for all  $k \geq N$ .*

The logic of this definition is that for all such  $c$  we have

$$c = \bigvee_{k=1}^{N-1} q(c, k)$$

and  $S^k q(c, k) \leq c$ , for  $k = 1, \dots, N - 1$ .

We note that, as in the measure theoretic setting, aperiodicity is defined as a stronger constraint than the negation of periodicity.

**Definition 3.6.** *Let  $(E, T, S, e)$  be a conditional expectation preserving system and  $v \neq 0$  be a component of  $e$ . We say that  $(S, v)$  is aperiodic, if for each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and each component  $c \neq 0$  of  $v$ , there exists  $k \geq N$  and a component  $u$  of  $c$  with  $q(u, k) \neq 0$ .*

**Theorem 3.7.** *Consider  $E = L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  a probability space with  $Tf := \mathbb{E}[f]\mathbf{1}$ , where  $e := \mathbf{1}$  is the constant function with value 1 a.e., and  $Sf := f \circ \tau$  is the von Neumann map generated by  $\tau$ , a measure preserving transformation with  $\tau$  a.e. surjective. Further assume that there is  $G \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $0 < \mu(G) < 1$ . In this case the measure theoretic definition of aperiodicity of  $\tau$  is equivalent to the Riesz spaces definition of  $(S, e)$  being aperiodic.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(S, e)$  is aperiodic, i.e. for each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and each component  $c$  of  $e$  there exists  $k \geq N$  and a component  $u$  of  $c$  with  $q(u, k) \neq 0$ . Let  $A$  denote the set of periodic points of  $\tau$ . By the way of contradiction, suppose that  $\mu(A) > 0$ . Hence there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mu(A_N) > 0$ , where  $A_N$  is the set of points of period  $N$ . Let  $c := \chi_{A_N}$  then from the aperiodicity of  $(S, v)$  there is a component  $u$  of  $c$  and  $k > N$  so that  $q(u, k) \neq 0$ . Here there is a measurable subset  $B$  of  $A_N$  so that  $u = \chi_B$ . Here all points of  $B$  are of period  $N$ , giving  $q(u, j) = 0$  for all  $j \geq N$ , contradicting  $q(u, k) \neq 0$ .

Conversely, if the set of periodic points of  $\tau$  has measure zero, we show that  $(S, e)$  is aperiodic.

We begin by giving a meaning to the set of periodic points of  $\tau$  having measure zero in a point-less setting. Let  $p_k := \chi_{A_k}$ , where  $A_k$  is the a.e. maximal measurable set which has every measurable subset invariant under  $\tau^{-k}$ . In the Riesz space terminology  $p_k$  is the maximal component of  $e$  with  $S^k v = v$  for each  $v$  a component of  $p_k$ . Now  $\tau$  being aperiodic gives that  $p_k = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Suppose that  $(S, e)$  is not aperiodic, then there exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and a component  $c \neq 0$  of  $e$ , so that, for all  $k \geq N$  and components  $u$  of  $c$  we have  $q(u, k) = 0$ . Hence

$$u = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} q(u, k)$$

for all  $k \geq N$  and  $u$  a component of  $c$ . Thus

$$S^{N!}u = u$$

for all components  $u$  of  $c$ , making  $c$  a component of  $p_{N!} = 0$ . Thus  $0 < c \leq p_{N!} = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $(S, e)$  is aperiodic.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $(E, T, S, e)$  be a conditionally ergodic conditional expectation preserving system with  $E$   $T$ -universally complete and  $(S, e)$  aperiodic, then, for each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a component  $c_N$  of  $e$  with  $P_{Tc_N}e = e$  and  $S^i c_N \wedge S^j c_N = 0$  for all  $i, j = 0, \dots, N$  with  $i \neq j$ .*

*Proof.* For each component  $p \neq 0$  of  $e$  let

$$K_N(p) = \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} q(p, k).$$

Here  $K_N(p)$  is a component of  $p$  and, by Lemma 2.8,

$$0 = S^i K_N(p) \wedge S^j K_N(p)$$

for all  $0 \leq i < j \leq N$ .

Let

$$\mathfrak{G} := \{(p, TK_N(p)) \mid p \text{ a component of } e\}.$$

Here  $(e, 0) \in \mathfrak{G}$  so  $\mathfrak{G}$  is non-empty. We partially order  $\mathfrak{G}$  by  $(p, TK_N(p)) \leq (\tilde{p}, TK_N(\tilde{p}))$  if and only if  $p \leq \tilde{p}$  and  $TK_N(p) \leq TK_N(\tilde{p})$ .

If  $(p, TK_N(p))_{p \in \Lambda}$  is a chain (totally ordered subset) in  $\mathfrak{G}$ , let

$$\hat{p} = \bigvee_{p \in \Lambda} p.$$

Here

$$\hat{p} = \lim_{p \in \Lambda} p$$

where  $(p)_{p \in \Lambda}$  is an upwards directed net, directed by the partial ordering in the Riesz space. By Lemma 2.7,

$$K_N(p) = p - \sum_{k=1}^N q(p, k)$$

making  $K_N(p)$  order continuous in  $p$ , see the definition of  $q(p, k)$ . Thus

$$TK_N(\hat{p}) = \lim_{p \in \Lambda} TK_N(p).$$

Further, by the ordering on  $\mathfrak{G}$ , the net  $(TK_N(p))_{p \in \Lambda}$  is increasing and bounded, thus having

$$\lim_{p \in \Lambda} TK_N(p) = \bigvee_{p \in \Lambda} TK_N(p).$$

Hence we have

$$TK_N(\hat{p}) = \bigvee_{p \in \Lambda} TK_N(p),$$

making  $(\hat{p}, Tk_N(\hat{p}))$  an upper bound (in fact the supremum) for  $(p, Tk_N(p))_{p \in \Lambda}$ .

Thus Zorn's Lemma can be applied to  $\mathfrak{G}$  to give that it has a maximal element, say  $(p, Tk_N(p))$ .

If  $P_{TK_N(p)}e \neq e$ , let  $u = e - P_{TK_N(p)}e$ , then  $u$  is a non-zero component of  $e$ , so by the aperiodicity of  $(S, e)$ , there exists  $k > N$  and  $c_k(u)$  a component of  $u$  with  $q(c_k(u), k) \neq 0$ . As  $u \in R(T)$  we have  $S^j u = u$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $p \leq e - u \in R(T)$  which give

$$K_N(c_k(u) + p) = K_N(c_k(u)) + K_N(p) > K_N(p).$$

Thus

$$(p, TK_N(p)) < (p + c_k(u), TK_N(p + c_k(u))) \in \mathfrak{G},$$

contradicting the maximality of  $(p, TK_N(p))$ . Hence  $P_{TK_N(p)}e = e$  and setting  $c_N = K_N(p)$  concludes the proof.  $\square$

The Kakutani-Rokhlin Lemma with  $\epsilon$ -bound can be formulated in a Riesz space as follows.

**Theorem 3.9** (Riesz space Kakutani-Rokhlin). *Let  $(E, T, S, e)$  be a conditionally ergodic conditional expectation preserving system with  $E$   $T$ -universally complete. If  $(S, e)$  is aperiodic,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  then there exists a component  $q$  of  $e$  in  $E$  with  $(S^i q)_{i=0, \dots, n-1}$  disjoint and*

$$T \left( e - \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} S^i q \right) \leq \epsilon e.$$

*Proof.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Take  $N > \frac{n-1}{\epsilon}$ . By Lemma 3.8, there exists a component  $c_N$  of  $e$  with  $P_{Tc_N}e = e$  and  $S^i c_N \wedge S^j c_N = 0$  for all  $i, j = 0, \dots, N$  with  $i \neq j$ . Let  $p := c_N$ . Then  $q(p, k) = 0$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, N$  giving that

$$Np \leq n(p). \tag{3.5}$$

By Theorem 2.9 we have

$$e = P_{Tp}e = Tn(p). \quad (3.6)$$

Combining (3.5) and (3.6), we get

$$NTp \leq Tn(p) = e. \quad (3.7)$$

Since  $N > \frac{n-1}{\epsilon}$ , (3.7) yields

$$Tp \leq \frac{\epsilon}{n-1}e. \quad (3.8)$$

By Theorem 3.2, there exists a component  $q$  of  $P_{Tp}e = e$  such that  $q, Sq, \dots, S^{n-1}q$  are pairwise disjoint and

$$T \left( \bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} S^j q \right) \geq P_{Tp}e - (n-1)Tp \geq e - \epsilon e \quad (3.9)$$

which gives the inequality of the theorem.  $\square$

On reading the works of Rokhlin, it appears that the requirement of conditional ergodicity is redundant and only aperiodicity is needed. As we know, that every CEPS is conditionally ergodic with respect to  $L_S$ . So in our case conditional ergodicity can be dispensed with, but we need to be careful to use the conditional expectation operator  $L_S$  and work in the  $L_S$ -universal completion of  $E$ , which we will denote by  $\hat{E}$ .

**Corollary 3.10.** *Let  $(E, T, S, e)$  be a conditionally ergodic conditional expectation preserving system with  $E$   $T$ -universally complete, then  $(\hat{E}, L_S, S, e)$  is a conditional expectation preserving system. If  $v$  is a component of  $e$  in  $R(L_S)$  with  $(S, v)$  aperiodic,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  then there exists a component  $q$  of  $v$  in  $E$  with  $(S^i q)_{i=0, \dots, n-1}$  disjoint and*

$$L_S \left( v - \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} S^i q \right) \leq \epsilon v.$$

Theorem 3.9 is the specific case of Corollary 3.10 where  $(E, T, S, e)$  is a conditionally ergodic and  $E$  is  $T$ -universally complete, as then  $L_S = T$ .

## 4 Approximation of aperiodic maps

Rokhlin proved an interesting consequence of his lemma stating that any aperiodic transformation  $\tau$  can be approximated by periodic ones, see [8, page 75] and [27]. That is, for any

positive integer  $n$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a periodic transformation  $\tau'$  of period  $n$  such that  $d(\tau, \tau') \leq \frac{1}{n} + \epsilon$  where  $d(\tau, \tau') = \mu\{x : \tau x \neq \tau' x\}$ .

In this section we apply Theorem 3.9 to obtain an approximation of aperiodic conditional expectation preserving transformations by periodic ones in the conditional Riesz space setting.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $(E, T, S, e)$  be a  $T$ -universally complete conditionally ergodic preserving system where  $S$  is a surjective Riesz homomorphism and  $(S, e)$  aperiodic. For each  $1 > \epsilon > 0$ , there exists a surjective Riesz homomorphism  $S'$  such that  $(E, T, S', e)$  is a conditional expectation preserving system with  $(S', e)$  periodic and*

$$\sup_{u \in C_e} T|(S - S')u| \leq \epsilon e. \quad (4.1)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $n > 4/\epsilon$ . By Theorem 3.9 there is a component  $p$  of  $e$  such that  $(S^i p)_{i=0, \dots, n-1}$  are disjoint and

$$T(e - h) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} e \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$h = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} S^i p = \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} S^i p \in C_e. \quad (4.3)$$

Hence

$$\left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{4}\right) e \leq Th. \quad (4.4)$$

Further, applying  $T$  to (4.3) gives

$$nTp = Th \leq Te = e, \quad (4.5)$$

so

$$Tp \leq \frac{1}{n} e \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} e. \quad (4.6)$$

We now give a decomposition of  $e$  which will be the basis for the decomposition of  $E$  into bands. Let

$$q = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} S^i p = \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-2} S^i p \in C_e. \quad (4.7)$$

Here

$$h = S^{n-1} p + q = (S^{n-1} p) \vee q. \quad (4.8)$$

Hence we have the following disjoint decomposition of  $e$  by its components  $q, S^{n-1} p, e - h$ ,

$$q + (S^{n-1} p) + (e - h) = e. \quad (4.9)$$

We define the approximation Riesz to  $S$  as

$$S' = SP_q + S^{1-n}P_{S^{n-1}p} + P_{e-h}. \quad (4.10)$$

$S'$  is a finite sum of order continuous maps and is thus order continuous.

We begin by verifying that  $(E, T, S', e)$  is a conditional expectation preserving system with  $S'$  surjective.  $S'$  is a sum of compositions of Riesz homomorphisms and is thus a Riesz homomorphism. From (4.9) and (4.10) we get

$$S'e = Sq + p + (e - h) = e.$$

From (4.10) and (4.9), as  $TS = T$ ,

$$TS' = TSP_q + TS^{1-n}P_{S^{n-1}p} + TP_{e-h} = T(P_{e-h+q+S^{n-1}p}) = T.$$

As  $T$  is strictly positive, the condition  $TS' = T$  ensures that  $S'$  is injective.

We now prove that  $S'$  is surjective. In particular, for  $f \in E$  set

$$\hat{f} = P_{e-h}f + P_qS^{-1}f + P_{S^{n-1}p}S^{n-1}f.$$

We show that  $S'\hat{f} = f$ . To see this

$$\begin{aligned} S'\hat{f} &= (SP_q + S^{1-n}P_{S^{n-1}p} + P_{e-h})(P_{e-h}f + P_qS^{-1}f + P_{S^{n-1}p}S^{n-1}f) \\ &= P_{e-h}f + SP_qS^{-1}f + S^{1-n}P_{S^{n-1}p}S^{n-1}f. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$SP_qS^{-1}f = SP_{S^{-1}S_q}S^{-1}f = SS^{-1}P_{S_q}f = P_{S_q}f$$

and

$$S^{1-n}P_{S^{n-1}p}S^{n-1}f = S^{1-n}S^{n-1}P_p f = P_p f.$$

Thus, by (4.10),

$$S'\hat{f} = P_{e-h}f + P_{S_q}f + P_p f = P_{(e-h)+S_q+p}f$$

giving  $S'\hat{f} = f$ , showing that  $S'$  is surjective.

We now show that  $(S', e)$  is periodic. It suffices to show that, for each  $u \in C_e$  with  $u \neq 0$ , we have that

$$\bigvee_{k=1}^n (S')^k u \geq u.$$

Let  $u \in C_e$  with  $u \neq 0$ . Here

$$S'u \geq S'(u \wedge (e - h)) = u \wedge (e - h). \quad (4.11)$$



Let  $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$  and  $0 \leq i \leq n - 1 - j$ . We show inductively with respect to  $i$  that

$$(S')^i(u \wedge S^j p) = S^i(u \wedge S^j p) \leq S^{i+j} p \leq q. \quad (4.12)$$

For  $i = 0$ ,

$$(S')^0(u \wedge S^j p) = u \wedge S^j p = S^0(u \wedge S^j p) \leq S^j p \leq q. \quad (4.13)$$

Now suppose  $0 \leq i \leq n - 1 - j - 1$  and that

$$(S')^i(u \wedge S^j p) = S^i(u \wedge S^j p) \leq S^{i+j} p \leq q. \quad (4.14)$$

then applying  $S'$  to (4.14), from the definition of  $S'$ , we get

$$S'(S')^i(u \wedge S^j p) = S(S')^i(u \wedge S^j p) = S^{i+1}(u \wedge S^j p) \leq S^{i+j+1} p \leq q,$$

from which (4.12) holds by induction.

In particular, for  $i = n - j - 1$ ,

$$(S')^{n-1-j}(u \wedge S^j p) = S^{n-1-j}(u \wedge S^j p) \leq S^{n-1} p. \quad (4.15)$$

Now applying  $S'$  to the above gives

$$(S')^{n-j}(u \wedge S^j p) = S^{1-n} S^{n-1-j}(u \wedge S^j p) = S^{-j}(u \wedge S^j p) \leq p = S^0 p. \quad (4.16)$$

Hence (4.16) can be written as

$$(S')^{n-j}(u \wedge S^j p) = (S^{-j} u) \wedge S^0 p. \quad (4.17)$$

So by (4.17) we have

$$(S')^n(u \wedge S^j p) = (S')^j(S')^{n-j}(u \wedge S^j p) = (S')^j((S^{-j} u) \wedge S^0 p),$$

and now applying (4.12) (with replacing  $j$  by 0,  $i$  by  $j$  and  $u$  by  $S^{-j} u$ ), we have

$$(S')^n(u \wedge S^j p) = (S')^j((S^{-j} u) \wedge S^0 p) = S^j((S^{-j} u) \wedge S^0 p) = u \wedge S^j p. \quad (4.18)$$

Taking the supremum of (4.18) over  $j = 0, \dots, n - 1$  gives

$$(S')^n u \geq (S')^n(u \wedge h) = \bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} (S')^n(u \wedge S^j p) = u \wedge \left( \bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} S^j p \right) = u \wedge h. \quad (4.19)$$

Combining (4.11) and (4.19) gives

$$(S')^n u \vee S' u \geq (u \wedge h) \vee (u \wedge (e - h)) = u \wedge e = u,$$

showing that  $(S', e)$  is periodic.

Finally we show that  $S'$  obeys the bound (4.1). For  $u \in \mathcal{C}_e$  we have

$$(S - S')u = (S - S^{1-n})P_{S^{n-1}p}u + (S - I)P_{e-h}u.$$

Here, as  $TS^j = T, j \geq 0$ , by (4.6),

$$T|(S - S^{1-n})P_{S^{n-1}p}u| \leq TS^n p + Tp = 2Tp \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}e$$

and, by (4.2),

$$T|(S - I)P_{e-h}u| \leq TS(e - h) + T(e - h) = 2T(e - h) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}e,$$

giving

$$T|(S - S')u| \leq T|(S - S^{1-n})P_{S^{n-1}p}u| + T|(S - I)P_{e-h}u| \leq \epsilon e.$$

Thus (4.1) holds. □

## References

- [1] Y. AZOUZI, M.A. BEN AMOR, J.M. HOMANN, M. MASMOUDI, B.A. WATSON. The Kac formula and Poincaré recurrence theorem in Riesz spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B*, 10(2023), 182-194.
- [2] Y. AZOUZI, M. TRABELSI,  $L^p$ -spaces with respect to conditional expectation on Riesz spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **447** (2017), 798-816.
- [3] M.A. BEN AMOR, J.M. HOMANN, W. KUO, B.A. WATSON. Characterisation of conditional weak mixing via ergodicity of the tensor product in Riesz spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 524 (2023) no. 127074, 1–12.
- [4] T. EISNER, B. FARKAS, M. HAASE, R. NAGEL. *Operator theoretic aspects of ergodic theory*, Springer, Berlin, 2015.
- [5] J.J. GROBLER, The Kolmogorov-Čentsov theorem and Brownian motion in vector lattices. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **410**, (2014), 891–901.
- [6] J.J. GROBLER. Markov Processes, Strong Markov Processes and Brownian Motion in Riesz Spaces. pp.205-222 *In: Buskes, G., et al. Positivity and Noncommutative Analysis. Trends in Mathematics. Birkhäuser, Cham.* 2019.
- [7] J.J. GROBLER, Stopped processes and Doob's optional sampling theorem. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **497**, (2021), 124875.

- [8] P.R. HALMOS. *Lectures on ergodic theory*. Dover Publications, New York, 2017.
- [9] J.M. HOMANN, W. KUO, B.A. WATSON. Ergodicity in Riesz spaces. pp. 193-201. *In: Positivity and its applications, Trends Math. , Birkhauser/Springer, Cham, 2021.*
- [10] J.M. HOMANN, W. KUO, B.A. WATSON. A Koopman-von Neumann type theorem on the convergence of Cesàro means in Riesz spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B*, 8(2021), 75–85.
- [11] S. KAKUTANI. Induced measure preserving transformation. *Proc. Jap. Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, 19(1943). 635–641.
- [12] A. KALAUCH, J. STENNDER, O. VAN GAANS. Operators in pre-Riesz spaces: moduli and homomorphisms. *Positivity*, 25(2021), 2099–2136.
- [13] A. KALAUCH, W.KUO, B.A. WATSON. A Hahn-Jordan decomposition and Riesz-Frechet representation theorem in Riesz spaces. *Quaestiones Mathematicae*, 47(S1) (2023), S233–S246.
- [14] B. KRA. Commentary on “ergodic theory of amenable group actions”: Old and new. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. N.S.*, 55(2018). 343–345.
- [15] W.-C. KUO, C.C.A. LABUSCHAGNE, B.A. WATSON, Discrete time stochastic processes on Riesz spaces, *Indag. Mathem.*, **15** (2004), 435-451.
- [16] W. KUO, C.C.A. LABUSCHAGNE, B.A. WATSON. Conditional expectations on Riesz spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* , 303(2005), 509–521.
- [17] W. KUO, C.C.A. LABUSCHAGNE, B.A. WATSON. Convergence of Riesz spaces martingales. *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, 17(2006), 271–283.
- [18] W. KUO, C.C.A. LABUSCHAGNE, B.A. WATSON. Zero-one law law for Riesz spaces and fuzzy processes. pp. 393–397. In: Y.Liu, G.Chen, M.Ying (eds.), *International Fuzzy System Association. Tsinghua University Press, Springer, cop., Beijing, 2005.*
- [19] W. KUO, C.C.A. LABUSCHAGNE, B.A. WATSON. Ergodic theory and the strong law of large numbers on Riesz spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 325 (2007), 422–437.
- [20] W. KUO, D. RODDA, B.A. WATSON, Sequential strong completeness of the natural domain of Riesz space conditional expectation operators, *Proc. AMS*, **147** (2019), 1597–1603.
- [21] W. KUO, M.J. ROGANS, B.A. WATSON. Mixing inequalities in Riesz spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 456(2017), 992–1004.
- [22] E. LEHRER, B. WEISS. An  $\epsilon$ -free Rohlin lemma. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 2(1982), 45–48.

- [23] K.E. PETERSEN. *Ergodic theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [24] V.A. ROKHLIN. Lectures on the entropy theory of measure-preserving transformations. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 22(1967), 1–52.
- [25] G. STOICA, Limit Laws for Martingales in Vector Lattices. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **476**, 2019, 715–719.
- [26] J.J. VARDY, B.A. WATSON, Markov Processes on Riesz Spaces. *Positivity*, **16**, (2012), 373–391.
- [27] B. WEISS. On the work of V.A. Rokhlin in ergodic theory. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 9(1989), 619–627.
- [28] A.C. ZAAANEN. *Introduction to operator theory in Riesz spaces*. Springer, Bertin, 2012.