

# ON THE MATCHING COMPLEXES OF CATEGORICAL PRODUCT OF PATH GRAPHS

RAJU KUMAR GUPTA, SOURAV SARKAR, SAGAR S. SAWANT, AND SAMIR SHUKLA

**ABSTRACT.** The matching complex  $M(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is a simplicial complex whose simplices are matchings in  $G$ . These complexes appears in various places and found applications in many areas of mathematics including; discrete geometry, representation theory, combinatorics, etc. In this article, we consider the matching complexes of categorical product  $P_n \times P_m$  of path graphs  $P_n$  and  $P_m$ . For  $m = 1$ ,  $P_n \times P_m$  is a discrete graph and therefore its matching complex is the void complex. For  $m = 2$ ,  $M(P_n \times P_m)$  has been proved to be homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres by Kozlov. We show that for  $n \geq 2$  and  $3 \leq m \leq 5$ , the matching complex of  $P_n \times P_m$  is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres. For  $m = 3$ , we give a closed form formula for the number and dimension of spheres appearing in the wedge. Further, for  $m \in \{4, 5\}$ , we give minimum and maximum dimension of spheres appearing in the wedge in the homotopy type of  $M(P_n \times P_m)$ .

## 1 INTRODUCTION

A *matching* in a simple graph  $G$  is a collection of pairwise disjoint edges. The *matching complex*  $M(G)$ , of a graph  $G$  is the simplicial complex whose vertices are the edges, and simplices are the matchings in  $G$ . In topological combinatorics, it is an important problem to study the topology of matching complexes of graphs. Matching complexes appeared first time in the thesis of Garst [Gar79], where they obtained the matching complexes of complete bipartite graphs  $K_{m,n}$  as special coset complexes of symmetric groups  $S_n$ . Thereafter, matching complexes appeared and found applications in various places. The matching complexes of complete graphs appeared in the paper of Bouc [Bou92] in connection with Brown complexes and Quillen complexes. In [BLVv94], Björner et al., described the matching complexes of complete bipartite graphs as chessboard complexes to study the geometry of admissible rook configurations on a general  $m \times n$  chessboard. In [vV92],  $M(K_{m,n})$  appeared as the complex of all partial, injective functions between  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  to  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  in connection to the problem of estimating the number of halving hyperplanes of a finite set of points in Euclidean space. Other than the complete graphs and complete bipartite graphs, the classes of graphs for which matching complexes have been studied include; paths and cycles [Koz99], trees [MT08, MJMV22], polygonal tilings [Mat22, BJMV23], honeycomb graphs [MT08], etc. For more details on these complexes, we refer to a survey article by Wachs [Wac03] and Chapter 11 of the book [Jon08] by Jonsson.

**Definition 1.1.** The *cartesian product*  $G \square H$  of graphs  $G$  and  $H$  is the graph with vertex set  $V(G) \times V(H)$  and any two vertices  $(u, v)$  and  $(u', v')$  form an edge if and only if either  $u = u'$  and  $vv' \in E(H)$ , or  $v = v'$  and  $uu' \in E(G)$ .

**Definition 1.2.** The *categorical product*  $G \times H$  of graphs  $G$  and  $H$  is the graph with vertex set  $V(G) \times V(H)$  and any two vertices  $(u, v)$  and  $(u', v')$  form an edge if and only if  $uu' \in E(G)$  and  $vv' \in E(H)$ .

For  $n \geq 1$ , let  $P_n$  denote the path graph on  $n$  vertices. The matching complexes of the cartesian product of path graphs have been studied by many authors. In [Koz99], Kozlov

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55P10, 05E45, 55U10.

*Key words and phrases.* matching complex, independence complex, categorical product of graphs, path graph, line graph.

studied  $M(P_n \square P_1)$ . In [Jon05], Jonsson studied the homotopical depth and topological connectivity of matching complexes of the cartesian product of path graphs for certain cases. Further, the homology of  $M(P_n \square P_2)$  has been studied by Braun and Hough in [BH17], and their homotopy type by Matsushita in [Mat19]. In [GSS21], Goyal et al. showed that  $M(P_n \square P_3)$  is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres. For  $m \geq 4$ , homotopy type of  $M(P_n \square P_m)$  is not known.

Motivated from the cartesian product of path graphs, in this article, we consider the matching complexes of the categorical product  $P_n \times P_m$  of path graphs (see Figure 1). Since  $P_n \times P_1$  does not contain any edge,  $M(P_n \times P_1)$  is a void complex. Further,  $P_n \times P_2$  is a disjoint union of two path graphs, and therefore  $M(P_n \times P_2)$  is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres from [Koz99]. For  $3 \leq m \leq 5$ , we compute the homotopy type of the matching complexes of  $P_n \times P_m$ .




FIGURE 1. Cartesian and categorical product of  $P_7$  and  $P_5$

**Theorem 1.3.** *For  $n \geq 2$  and  $3 \leq m \leq 5$ ,  $M(P_n \times P_m)$  is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres.*

We explicitly compute the dimension and number of spheres in the homotopy type of  $M(P_n \times P_3)$  (see Theorem 5.5). For  $m \in \{4, 5\}$ , we give the minimum and maximum dimension of spheres appearing in the wedge in homotopy type of  $M(P_n \times P_m)$ .

**Proposition 1.4.** *For  $n \geq 3$ , let  $d_{\max}M(P_n \times P_5)$  and  $d_{\min}M(P_n \times P_5)$ , denote the maximum and minimum dimension of spheres appearing in the wedge in the homotopy type of  $M(P_n \times P_5)$ , respectively. Then  $d_{\max}M(P_n \times P_5)$  and  $d_{\min}M(P_n \times P_5)$  are given in Table 1.*

| $d_{\max}M(P_n \times P_5)$ |                        |                        | $d_{\min}M(P_n \times P_5)$ |                         |                         |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| $k \equiv$                  | $n = 2k + 2$           | $n = 2k + 3$           | $k \equiv$                  | $n = 2k + 2$            | $n = 2k + 3$            |
| 0 (mod 4)                   | $7\binom{k}{2} + 1$    | $7\binom{k}{2} + 3$    | 0 (mod 5)                   | $16\binom{k}{5} + 1$    | $16\binom{k}{5} + 4$    |
| 1 (mod 4)                   | $7\binom{k-1}{2} + 5$  | $7\binom{k-1}{2} + 7$  | 1 (mod 5)                   | $16\binom{k-1}{5} + 5$  | $16\binom{k-1}{5} + 7$  |
| 2 (mod 4)                   | $7\binom{k-2}{2} + 9$  | $7\binom{k-2}{2} + 11$ | 2 (mod 5)                   | $16\binom{k-2}{5} + 9$  | $16\binom{k-2}{5} + 10$ |
| 3 (mod 4)                   | $7\binom{k-3}{2} + 13$ | $7\binom{k+1}{2}$      | 3 (mod 5)                   | $16\binom{k-3}{5} + 11$ | $16\binom{k-3}{5} + 13$ |
|                             |                        |                        | 4 (mod 5)                   | $16\binom{k-4}{5} + 15$ | $16\binom{k+1}{5}$      |

TABLE 1. Maximum and minimum dimension of spheres in  $M(P_n \times P_5)$

**Proposition 1.5.** *For  $n \geq 3$ , the minimum dimension of sphere in homotopy type of  $M(P_n \times P_4)$  is  $2k + 1$  if  $n = 2k + 2$ , and  $2(k + 1) + 1$  if  $n = 2k + 3$ . The maximum dimension of sphere in homotopy type of  $M(P_n \times P_4)$  is given in Table 2.*

| $k = 7q + r, 0 \leq r \leq 6$ | $n = 2k + 2$    | $n = 2k + 3$    |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| $r = 0$                       | $2(k + 3q) + 1$ | $2(k + 3q) + 1$ |
| $r = 1$                       | $2(k + 3q) + 1$ | $2(k + 3q) + 3$ |
| $r = 2$                       | $2(k + 3q) + 3$ | $2(k + 3q) + 3$ |
| $r = 3, 4$                    | $2(k + 3q) + 3$ | $2(k + 3q) + 5$ |
| $r = 5, 6$                    | $2(k + 3q) + 5$ | $2(k + 3q) + 7$ |

TABLE 2. Maximum dimension of spheres in  $M(P_n \times P_4)$ 

The *line graph*  $L(G)$  of a graph  $G$ , is the graph whose vertices are the edges of  $G$  and any two distinct vertices  $uv$  and  $u'v'$  joined by an edge if and only if they have a common endpoint, *i.e.*, if  $\{u, v\} \cap \{u', v'\} \neq \emptyset$ . For a graph  $G$ , a subset  $A \subseteq V(G)$  is said to be *independent* if the induced subgraph on  $A$  does not contain any edge. The *independence complex*  $\text{Ind}(G)$  of  $G$  is a simplicial complex on  $V(G)$  whose simplices are the independent sets of  $G$ . Observe that  $M(G)$  is the same as the  $\text{Ind}(L(G))$  for any graph  $G$ .

To prove [Theorem 1.3](#), we show that  $\text{Ind}(L(P_n \times P_m))$  is a wedge of spheres up to homotopy equivalence. The main idea used in this proof is to reduce the graph  $L(P_n \times P_m)$  into smaller graphs by the operations; deletions of vertices, deletions of edges, and additions of edges. During this process of reduction, we come across 13 new classes of graphs in the case  $m = 5$ , and 4 new classes of graphs in the case  $m = 4$ . We establish recursive relations among the independence complex of  $L(P_n \times P_m)$  and independence complexes of these new classes of graphs.

This paper is organized as follows: In [Section 2](#), we give necessary preliminaries related to graph theory and simplicial complexes, and results used in this article. [Section 3](#) is majorly dedicated to the matching complexes of  $P_n \times P_5$  and it is divided into three subsections. The graph  $L(P_n \times P_5)$  is disconnected and has exactly two components. If  $n = 2k + 2$  is even, then  $L(P_n \times P_5)$  consists of two copies of the graph  $\Gamma_{k,5}$  (see [Figure 2](#)) and if  $n = 2k + 3$  is odd, then  $L(P_n \times P_5) = \Lambda_{n,5} \sqcup \tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}$  (see [Figure 3](#) and [Figure 4](#)). We start [Section 3](#) with the definitions of the graphs  $\Gamma_{k,5}$ ,  $\Lambda_{k,5}$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{k,5}$ . In [Section 3.1](#), we compute the independence complexes of  $\Gamma_{k,5}$  and  $\Lambda_{k,5}$ . We introduce 6 new classes of graphs (which we need in the computation of  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{k,5})$  and  $\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{k,5})$ ), and establish recursive relations among independence complexes of graphs  $\Gamma_{k,5}$ ,  $\Lambda_{k,5}$  and these 6 classes of graphs. In [Section 3.2](#), we compute independence complex of the graph  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{k,5}$ . Here again, we define another 7 class of graphs (which we need in the computation of  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{k,5})$ ), and establish recursive relations among independence complexes of these 7 new classes of graphs and  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{k,5})$ . In [Section 3.3](#), we conclude the homotopy type of  $M(P_n \times P_5)$  and determine the minimum and maximum dimensions of spheres appearing in the wedge in  $M(P_n \times P_5)$ . In [Section 4](#) and [Section 5](#), we compute the homotopy type of the matching complexes of  $P_n \times P_4$  and  $P_n \times P_3$ , respectively. Finally, in [Section 6](#), we pose a few questions and a conjecture, which naturally arise from the work done in this paper.

## 2 PRELIMINARIES

A *graph*  $G$  is an ordered pair  $(V(G), E(G))$ , where  $V(G)$  is a finite set, called the set of vertices and  $E(G) \subseteq \binom{V(G)}{2}$ , the 2-element subsets of  $V(G)$ , called the set of edges of  $G$ . For the sake of brevity, we denote an edge  $\{u, v\}$  by  $uv$ . Given a graph  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  and a vertex  $u$  in  $V(G)$ , the *open neighborhood* of  $u$  is defined as the collection  $\{v \in V(G) : uv \in E(G)\}$  and it is denoted  $N(u)$ . The *closed neighborhood* of a vertex  $u$  in the graph  $G$  is defined as  $\{u\} \cup N(u)$  and it is denoted by  $N[u]$ . A map  $f : V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$  is said to be a *graph homomorphism* if  $f(v)f(w) \in E(H)$  for

all  $vw \in E(G)$ . An *isomorphism* is a graph homomorphism that is bijective, with its inverse also being a graph homomorphism. If such an isomorphism exists between two graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , they are called isomorphic, denoted as  $G \cong H$ . Let  $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$  be a collection of vertices in  $V(G)$ . Then, by  $G \setminus S$  we mean the induced subgraph of  $G$  on the vertex set  $V(G) \setminus S$ . In particular, if  $S$  is the singleton set  $\{v_1\}$ , then we denote the graph  $G \setminus S$  by  $G \setminus v_1$ . Similarly, for a collection of edges  $T = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_s\}$ , by  $G + T$  and  $G - T$  we mean the graphs on the vertex set  $V(G)$  with edge set  $E(G) \cup T$  and  $E(G) \setminus T$ , respectively. For more details about the graphs, one may refer to [Wes01].

An (*abstract*) *simplicial complex*  $\Delta$  on a vertex set  $V$  is a collection of subsets of  $V$  such that if  $\sigma \in \Delta$  and  $\tau$  is a subset of  $\sigma$ , then  $\tau \in \Delta$ . If  $\sigma \in \Delta$  and  $|\sigma| = k + 1$ , then we say  $\sigma$  is a simplex of dimension  $k$  or a  $k$ -simplex. We further assume that the empty set is present in every simplicial complex as the only simplex of dimension  $-1$ . The 0-dimensional simplices are called the vertices of  $\Delta$ , and the set of all vertices of a simplicial complex  $\Delta$  is denoted by  $V(\Delta)$ .

A *subcomplex* of a simplicial complex is a sub-collection of simplices that itself forms a simplicial complex. If  $\Delta$  is a simplicial complex and  $\sigma$  is a simplex in  $\Delta$ , then the *link* and the *deletion* of  $\sigma$  is defined as the subcomplexes  $\{\tau \in \Delta : \sigma \cup \tau \in \Delta \text{ and } \sigma \cap \tau = \emptyset\}$  and  $\{\tau \in \Delta : \tau \not\subseteq \sigma\}$ , respectively. The *join* of two simplicial complexes  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$ , where  $V(\Delta_1) \cap V(\Delta_2) = \emptyset$ , is the simplicial complex  $\Delta_1 * \Delta_2 := \{\sigma \sqcup \tau : \sigma \in \Delta_1 \text{ and } \tau \in \Delta_2\}$ . In particular, if  $a, b$  are vertices that are not part of a simplicial complex  $\Delta$ , then the simplicial complexes  $\{a\} * \Delta$  and  $\{a\} * \Delta \cup \{b\} * \Delta$  are called the *cone* and the *suspension* of  $\Delta$  with the apexes  $a$  and  $\{a, b\}$ , respectively. We denote the suspension of  $\Delta$  with apex  $\{a, b\}$  by  $\Sigma_{a,b}\Delta$  (or  $\Sigma\Delta$ ).

In this article, we consider any simplicial complex as a topological space, namely its geometric realization. For the definition of geometric realization, we refer to [Koz08]. For details about homotopy and topological background, one may refer to [Hat02].

The following observation follows from the definition of the join of two simplicial complexes:

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $G_1 \sqcup G_2$  denote the disjoint union of two graphs,  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . Then*

$$\text{Ind}(G_1 \sqcup G_2) \simeq \text{Ind}(G_1) * \text{Ind}(G_2).$$

Clearly, for each vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , the link and the deletion of the simplex  $\{v\}$  in  $\text{Ind}(G)$  are the same as  $\text{Ind}(G \setminus N[v])$  and  $\text{Ind}(G \setminus v)$ , respectively. Observe that  $\text{Ind}(G)$  is the same as the simplicial complex  $\{v\} * \text{Ind}(G \setminus N[v]) \cup \text{Ind}(G \setminus v)$ . Further, from [Ada12], we have the following:

**Proposition 2.2** (Proposition 3.1, [Ada12]). *Let  $v$  be a vertex of a graph  $G$ . If the inclusion  $\text{Ind}(G \setminus N[v]) \hookrightarrow \text{Ind}(G \setminus v)$  is null-homotopic, then we have*

$$\text{Ind}(G) \simeq \text{Ind}(G \setminus v) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(G \setminus N[v]).$$

The following propositions exhibit homotopy equivalence between the independence complex of a graph  $G$  and the independence complexes of graphs derived from  $G$  through certain graph operations involving the deletion of vertices, edges, or vertex-neighborhoods.

**Proposition 2.3** (Lemma 2.4, [Eng08]). *Let  $v$  and  $w$  be a pair of distinct vertices of  $G$  with  $N(v) \subseteq N(w)$ . Then*

$$\text{Ind}(G) \simeq \text{Ind}(G \setminus w).$$

**Proposition 2.4** (Lemma 2.5, [Eng08]). *Let  $G$  be a graph and  $v$  be a simplicial vertex of  $G$ , that is, all neighbours of  $v$  are pairwise adjacent. Let  $N(v) = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$ . Then*

$$\text{Ind}(G) \simeq \bigvee_{i=1}^k \Sigma(\text{Ind}(G \setminus N[w_i])).$$

**Proposition 2.5** (Proposition 3.4, [Ada12]). *Let  $G$  be a graph and let  $uv$  be any edge in  $G$ . If  $\text{Ind}(G \setminus N[\{u, v\}])$  is contractible, then*

$$\text{Ind}(G) \simeq \text{Ind}(G \setminus uv)$$

Based on the [Proposition 2.5](#), we have the following. Suppose  $G$  is a graph that consists vertices  $\{u, v\}$  and some vertex  $x$  (depending on  $u$  and  $v$ ) such that  $x \notin N(u) \cup N(v)$ , and  $N(x) \subseteq N(u) \cup N(v)$ . Then  $x$  is an isolated vertex in  $G \setminus N[u, v]$ , which implies  $\text{Ind}(G \setminus N[\{u, v\}])$  is contractible. Thus, we have

$$\text{Ind}(G) \simeq \text{Ind}(G \Delta uv),$$

where  $V(G \Delta uv) = V(G)$ , and  $E(G \Delta uv)$  is given by the symmetric difference  $E(G) \Delta \{uv\}$ .

**Definition 2.6.** A triplet of distinct vertices  $u, v$  and  $x$  in a graph  $G$  satisfying  $x \notin N(u) \cup N(v)$  and  $N(x) \subseteq N(u) \cup N(v)$  is called an *edge-invariant triplet* in  $G$ , and denoted by  $[u, v; x]$ .

For  $n \geq 1$ , the cycle graph  $\mathcal{C}_n$  is a graph with  $V(\mathcal{C}_n) = \{v_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  and  $E(\mathcal{C}_n) = \{v_i v_{i+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\} \cup \{v_1 v_n\}$ .

**Proposition 2.7** (Proposition 5.2, [Koz99]). *For  $n \geq 3$ ,*

$$\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}_n) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}^{k-1} \vee \mathbb{S}^{k-1} & \text{if } n = 3k, \\ \mathbb{S}^{k-1} & \text{if } n = 3k \pm 1. \end{cases}$$

For  $n \geq 1$ , the path graph  $P_n$  is a graph with  $V(P_n) = \{v_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  and  $E(P_n) = \{v_i v_{i+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ .

**Proposition 2.8** (Proposition 4.6, [Koz99]). *For  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$\text{Ind}(P_n) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}^{k-1} & \text{if } n = 3k, \\ a \text{ point} & \text{if } n = 3k + 1, \\ \mathbb{S}^k & \text{if } n = 3k + 2. \end{cases}$$

### 3 MATCHING COMPLEXES OF $P_n \times P_5$

In this section, we compute the homotopy type of the matching complex of the categorical product  $P_n \times P_5$ . Since  $P_n$  is bipartite,  $P_n \times P_5$  (and its line graph) is disconnected and contains exactly two connected components. When  $n$  is even, these components are isomorphic, whereas, for odd  $n$ , they are non-isomorphic.

For  $n \geq 0$ , we denote the connected component of the line graph of  $P_{2n+2} \times P_5$  by  $\Gamma_{n,5}$  (see [Figure 2](#)). Since the line graph  $L(P_{2n+2} \times P_5) = \Gamma_{n,5} \sqcup \Gamma_{n,5}$ , the matching complex

$$\mathcal{M}(P_{2n+2} \times P_5) \simeq \text{Ind}(L(P_{2n+2} \times P_5)) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}) * \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}).$$

Similarly, for  $n \geq 0$ , let  $\Lambda_{n,5}$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}$  (see [Figures 3](#) and [4](#)) denote the two non-isomorphic connected components of the line graph of  $P_{2n+3} \times P_5$ . Therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{M}(P_{2n+3} \times P_5) = \text{Ind}(L(P_{2n+3} \times P_5)) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,5}) * \text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}).$$


We now define the graphs  $\Gamma_{n,5}$ ,  $\Lambda_{n,5}$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}$ .

**Definition 3.1.** For  $n \geq 0$ , the graph  $\Gamma_{n,5}$  comprises of the vertex set

$$V(\Gamma_{n,5}) = \{g_{ij} \mid i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n+1\},$$

where the vertices are arranged in 4 rows and  $2n+1$  columns. The edge set of  $\Gamma_{n,5}$  is given by


$$\begin{aligned} E(\Gamma_{n,5}) = & \{g_{ij} g_{i+1j} \mid i = 1, 2, 3, \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n+1\} \\ & \cup \{g_{ij} g_{i,j+1} \mid i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n\} \\ & \cup \{g_{ij} g_{i+1,j+1}, g_{ij+1} g_{i+1j} \mid i = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n, \text{ and } i+j \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}. \end{aligned}$$


 FIGURE 2.  $\Gamma_{n,5}$ 

**Definition 3.2.** For  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\Lambda_{n,5}$  is a graph whose vertex set and edge set is given by

$$V(\Lambda_{n,5}) = \{l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4\} \cup V(\Gamma_{n,5}),$$

$$E(\Lambda_{n,5}) = \{l_1 l_2, l_2 l_3, l_3 l_4, g_{11} l_1, g_{11} l_2, g_{21} l_1, g_{21} l_2, g_{31} l_3, g_{31} l_4, g_{41} l_3, g_{41} l_4\} \cup E(\Gamma_{n,5}).$$


 FIGURE 3.  $\Lambda_{n,5}$ 


**Definition 3.3.** For  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}$  is a graph whose vertex set and edge set is given by

$$V(\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}) = V(\Gamma_{n,5}) \cup \{g_{1\ 2n+2}, g_{2\ 2n+2}, g_{3\ 2n+2}, g_{4\ 2n+2}\}$$

$$E(\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}) = E(\Gamma_{n,5}) \cup \{g_{i\ 2n+1} g_{i\ 2n+2} \mid i = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$$\cup \{g_{i\ 2n+2} g_{i+1\ 2n+2} \mid i = 1, 2, 3\}$$

$$\cup \{g_{2\ 2n+1} g_{3\ 2n+2}, g_{3\ 2n+1} g_{2\ 2n+2}\}.$$


 FIGURE 4.  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}$ 

In the following section, we compute the homotopy type of  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5})$  and  $\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,5})$ , and the homotopy type of  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5})$  is computed in [Section 3.2](#). Finally, we give the minimum and maximum dimension of spheres in the homotopy type of  $\text{M}(P_n \times P_5)$  in [Section 3.3](#).

### 3.1 Independence complexes of $\Gamma_{n,5}$ and $\Lambda_{n,5}$

In this section, we introduce 6 new classes of graphs, namely;  $A_{n,5}, B_{n,5}, C_{n,5}, D_{n,5}, E_{n,5}$ , and  $F_{n,5}$  for  $n \geq 0$ . We show that the independence complexes of  $\Gamma_{n,5}$  and  $\Lambda_{n,5}$  can be expressed in terms of the wedge of suspensions of independence complexes of these intermediary graphs. Through induction on  $n$ , we establish that the independence complexes of  $\Gamma_{n,5}$ ,  $\Lambda_{n,5}$ , and these intermediary graphs are homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres.

We begin with the description of the intermediary graphs and compute the homotopy type of their independence complexes for  $n = 0$ .

#### 3.1.1 Definitions of intermediary graphs and their independence complexes for $n = 0$

(i) **Graph  $A_{n,5}$ .** For  $n \geq 0$ , we define the graph  $A_{n,5}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V(A_{n,5}) &= V(\Gamma_{n,5}) \cup \{a_1, a_2\}, \\ E(A_{n,5}) &= E(\Gamma_{n,5}) \cup \{a_1g_{11}, a_1g_{21}, a_2g_{31}, a_2g_{41}\}. \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 5.  $A_{n,5}$

**Claim 3.4.**  $\text{Ind}(A_{0,5}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $a_1$  is a simplicial vertex in the graph  $A_{0,5}$  with  $N(a_1) = \{g_{11}, g_{21}\}$ , using [Proposition 2.4](#) we get

$$\text{Ind}(A_{0,5}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{0,5} \setminus N[g_{11}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{0,5} \setminus N[g_{21}]).$$

However,  $A_{0,5} \setminus N[g_{11}]$  is isomorphic to  $K_3$ , and  $A_{0,5} \setminus N[g_{21}]$  is isomorphic to  $K_2$ . Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(A_{0,5} \setminus N[g_{11}]) \simeq \mathbb{S}^0 \vee \mathbb{S}^0$  and  $\text{Ind}(A_{0,5} \setminus N[g_{21}]) \simeq \mathbb{S}^0$ . Hence, we have the stated conclusion.  $\square$

(ii) **Graph  $B_{n,5}$ .** For  $n \geq 0$ , we define the graph  $B_{n,5}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V(B_{n,5}) &= V(\Gamma_{n,5}) \cup \{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6\}, \\ E(B_{n,5}) &= E(\Gamma_{n,5}) \cup \{b_1b_2, b_1b_4, b_1b_5, b_2b_6, b_3b_4, b_4b_5, b_5b_6\} \\ &\quad \cup \{b_3g_{11}, b_3g_{21}, b_4g_{11}, b_4g_{21}, b_5g_{31}, b_5g_{41}, b_6g_{31}, b_6g_{41}\}. \end{aligned}$$


Furthermore, we define  $B_{-1}$  as a graph on vertex set  $\{b_1, b_2\}$ , and edge set  $\{b_1b_2\}$ . Thus,  $B_{-1} \cong K_2$ .

**Claim 3.5.**  $\text{Ind}(B_{0,5}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^2$ .

*Proof.* Since  $g_{41}$  is a simplicial vertex in graph  $B_{0,5}$  with  $N(g_{41}) = \{g_{31}, b_5, b_6\}$ , using [Proposition 2.4](#) we get

$$\text{Ind}(B_{0,5}) \simeq \Sigma(\text{Ind}(B_{0,5} \setminus N[g_{31}])) \vee \Sigma(\text{Ind}(B_{0,5} \setminus N[b_6])) \vee \Sigma(\text{Ind}(B_{0,5} \setminus N[b_5])).$$


Now,  $b_2$  is an isolated vertex in the graph  $B_{0,5} \setminus N[b_5]$ . Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(B_{0,5} \setminus N[b_5])$  is contractible. Since  $N(b_2) \subseteq N(b_4)$  in the graph  $B_{0,5} \setminus N[g_{31}]$ , using [Proposition 2.5](#) we get  $\text{Ind}(B_{0,5} \setminus N[g_{31}]) \simeq \text{Ind}(B_{0,5} \setminus \{N[g_{31}] \cup \{b_4\}\})$ . Since the graph  $B_{0,5} \setminus \{N[g_{31}] \cup \{b_4\}\}$


 FIGURE 6.  $B_{n,5}$ 

consists of two disjoint edges, we have  $\text{Ind}(B_{0,5} \setminus N[g_{31}]) \simeq \text{Ind}(K_2) * \text{Ind}(K_2)$ , i.e.,  $\text{Ind}(B_{0,5} \setminus N[g_{31}]) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1$ . Similarly,  $N(b_1) \subseteq N(b_3) \cap N(g_{11}) \cap N(g_{21})$  in the graph  $B_{0,5} \setminus N[b_6]$  implies that  $\text{Ind}(B_{0,5} \setminus N[b_6]) \simeq \text{Ind}(B_{0,5} \setminus \{N[b_6] \cup \{b_3, g_{11}, g_{21}\}\})$ . Since  $B_{0,5} \setminus \{N[b_6] \cup \{b_3, g_{11}, g_{21}\}\}$  is isomorphic to the graph  $K_2$ , we have  $\text{Ind}(B_{0,5} \setminus N[b_6]) \simeq \mathbb{S}^0$ . Therefore, using the fact that  $\text{Ind}(B_{0,5} \setminus N[g_{31}]) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1$  and  $\text{Ind}(B_{0,5} \setminus N[b_6]) \simeq \mathbb{S}^0$ , we get  $\text{Ind}(B_{0,5}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^2$ .  $\square$

(iii) **Graph  $C_{n,5}$ .** For  $n \geq 0$ , we define the graph  $C_{n,5}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V(C_{n,5}) &= V(\Gamma_{n,5}) \cup \{c_1\}, \\ E(C_{n,5}) &= E(\Gamma_{n,5}) \cup \{c_1g_{31}, c_1g_{41}\}. \end{aligned}$$


 FIGURE 7.  $C_{n,5}$ 

**Claim 3.6.**  $\text{Ind}(C_{0,5}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $N(g_{11}) \subseteq N(g_{31})$  in the graph  $C_{0,5}$ , using [Proposition 2.3](#), we conclude that  $\text{Ind}(C_{0,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(C_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{31}\})$ . However, the graph  $C_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{31}\}$  consists of two disjoint edges. Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(C_{0,5}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1$ .  $\square$


(iv) **Graph  $D_{n,5}$ .** For  $n \geq 0$ , we define the graph  $D_{n,5}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V(D_{n,5}) &= V(\Gamma_{n,5}) \cup \{d_1, d_2\}, \\ E(D_{n,5}) &= E(\Gamma_{n,5}) \cup \{d_1d_2, d_1g_{11}, d_1g_{21}, d_2g_{31}, d_2g_{41}\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Claim 3.7.**  $\text{Ind}(D_{0,5}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $g_{11}$  is a simplicial vertex in the graph  $D_{0,5}$  with  $N(g_{11}) = \{g_{21}, d_1\}$ , using [Proposition 2.4](#), we get  $\text{Ind}(D_{0,5}) \simeq \Sigma(\text{Ind}(D_{0,5} \setminus N[g_{21}])) \vee \Sigma(\text{Ind}(D_{0,5} \setminus N[d_1]))$ . However, both the graphs  $D_{0,5} \setminus N[g_{21}]$ , and  $D_{0,5} \setminus N[d_1]$  are isomorphic to the complete graph  $K_2$ . Therefore, both  $\text{Ind}(D_{0,5} \setminus N[g_{21}])$  and  $\text{Ind}(D_{0,5} \setminus N[d_1])$  are homotopy equivalent to  $\mathbb{S}^0$ . Hence the result.  $\square$





 FIGURE 8.  $D_{n,5}$ 

(v) **Graph  $E_{n,5}$ .** For  $n \geq 0$ , we define the graph  $E_{n,5}$  as follows:

$$V(E_{n,5}) = V(\Gamma_{n,5}) \cup \{e_1\},$$

$$E(E_{n,5}) = E(\Gamma_{n,5}) \cup \{e_1g_{11}, e_1g_{21}, e_1g_{31}, e_1g_{41}\}.$$


 FIGURE 9.  $E_{n,5}$ 


**Claim 3.8.**  $\text{Ind}(E_{0,5}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $N(g_{11}) \subseteq N(g_{31})$  in the graph  $E_{0,5}$ , by [Proposition 2.3](#), we get  $\text{Ind}(E_{0,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(E_{0,5} \setminus g_{31})$ . Furthermore,  $N(g_{41}) \subseteq N(g_{11})$  in  $E_{n,5} \setminus g_{31}$ , and an application of [Proposition 2.3](#) provides us with  $\text{Ind}(E_{0,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(E_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{11}, g_{31}\})$ . Note that the graph  $E_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{11}, g_{31}\}$  is a path on three vertices. Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(E_{0,5}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^0$ .  $\square$

(vi) **Graph  $F_{n,5}$ .** For  $n \geq 0$ , we define the graph  $F_{n,5}$  as follows:

$$V(F_{n,5}) = V(\Gamma_{n,5}) \cup \{f_1, f_2, f_3\},$$

$$E(F_{n,5}) = E(\Gamma_{n,5}) \cup \{f_1f_2, f_2f_3, f_1g_{11}, f_1g_{21}, f_2g_{31}, f_2g_{41}, f_3g_{31}, f_3g_{41}\}.$$


 FIGURE 10.  $F_{n,5}$ 

**Claim 3.9.**  $\text{Ind}(F_{0,5}) \simeq \vee_4 \mathbb{S}^1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $g_{11}$  is a simplicial vertex in  $E_{0,5}$  with  $N(g_{11}) = \{g_{21}, f_1\}$ , using [Proposition 2.4](#) we get  $\text{Ind}(F_{0,5}) \simeq \Sigma(\text{Ind}(F_{0,5} \setminus N[g_{21}])) \vee \Sigma(\text{Ind}(F_{0,5} \setminus N[f_1]))$ . Since both the graphs  $F_{0,5} \setminus N[g_{21}]$  and  $F_{0,5} \setminus N[f_1]$  are isomorphic to the complete graph  $K_3$ , the result follows.  $\square$

### 3.1.2 General case computation

In this section, we establish recursive relations among the independence complexes of the graphs in  $\{\Gamma_{n,5}, \Lambda_{n,5}, A_{n,5}, B_{n,5}, C_{n,5}, D_{n,5}, E_{n,5}, F_{n,5}\}$ . We proceed by induction on  $n$  to show that the homotopy type of independence complexes of  $\Gamma_{n,5}, \Lambda_{n,5}$  and other intermediary graphs is a wedge of spheres. We have proved in [Section 3.1.1](#) that independence complexes of graphs in  $\{\Gamma_{0,5}, \Lambda_{0,5}, A_{0,5}, B_{0,5}, C_{0,5}, D_{0,5}, E_{0,5}, F_{0,5}\}$  are homotopy equivalent to wedge of spheres. By induction hypothesis, assume that for all  $k < n$ , the independence complexes of graphs in  $\{\Gamma_{k,5}, \Lambda_{k,5}, A_{k,5}, B_{k,5}, C_{k,5}, D_{k,5}, E_{k,5}, F_{k,5}\}$  are wedge of spheres. We conclude the proof for  $n$  by induction and the recurrence relations among independence complexes of these graphs.

**Claim 3.10.** For  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}) \simeq \begin{cases} \bigvee_3 \mathbb{S}^2 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \bigvee_7 \mathbb{S}^4 & \text{if } n = 2, \\ \Sigma^3 \text{Ind}(A_{n-2,5}) \vee \Sigma^4 \text{Ind}(B_{n-3,5}) \vee \Sigma^3 \text{Ind}(C_{n-2,5}) \vee \Sigma^3 \text{Ind}(D_{n-2,5}) & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$




FIGURE 11

*Proof.* Since  $N(g_{11}) \subseteq N(g_{22})$  and  $N(g_{41}) \subseteq N(g_{32})$  in  $\Gamma_{n,5}$  and  $\Gamma_{n,5} \setminus g_{22}$ , respectively (see [Figure 2](#)), using [Proposition 2.3](#), we get that  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5} \setminus \{g_{22}, g_{32}\})$ . Let  $\Gamma_{n,5}^1 := \Gamma_{n,5} \setminus \{g_{22}, g_{32}\}$ . Since  $[g_{41}, g_{12}; g_{21}]$  forms an edge-invariant triplet in  $\Gamma_{n,5}^1$  (see [Figure 11\(a\)](#)), from [Proposition 2.5](#), we have  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^1) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^1 + \{g_{41}g_{12}\})$ . Let  $\Gamma_{n,5}^2 := \Gamma_{n,5}^1 + \{g_{41}g_{12}\}$ . Similarly,  $[g_{31}, g_{41}; g_{11}]$  forms an edge-invariant triplet in  $\Gamma_{n,5}^2$  (see [Figure 11\(b\)](#)), which allows us to delete edge  $g_{31}g_{41}$  from  $\Gamma_{n,5}^2$  without altering the homotopy type of  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^2)$ . Now, since  $N(g_{31}) \subseteq N(g_{11})$  in  $\Gamma_{n,5}^2 - \{g_{31}g_{41}\}$ , using [Proposition 2.3](#), we get that  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^2 - \{g_{31}g_{41}\}) \simeq \text{Ind}((\Gamma_{n,5}^2 - \{g_{31}g_{41}\}) \setminus g_{11})$ . Let  $\Gamma_{n,5}^3 := (\Gamma_{n,5}^2 - \{g_{31}g_{41}\}) \setminus g_{11}$  (see [Figure 11\(c\)](#)). Observe that  $[g_{13}, g_{33}; g_{41}]$  is an edge-invariant triplet in  $\Gamma_{n,5}^3$ ,  $[g_{13}, g_{43}; g_{41}]$  is an edge-invariant triplet in  $\Gamma_{n,5}^3 + \{g_{13}g_{33}\}$ , and  $[g_{23}, g_{43}; g_{41}]$  is an edge-invariant triplet in  $\Gamma_{n,5}^3 + \{g_{13}g_{33}, g_{13}g_{43}\}$ . Hence  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^3) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^3 + \{g_{13}g_{33}, g_{13}g_{43}, g_{23}g_{43}\})$ . Furthermore,  $[g_{42}, g_{33}; g_{12}]$  is an edge-invariant triplet in  $\Gamma_{n,5}^3 + \{g_{13}g_{33}, g_{13}g_{43}, g_{23}g_{43}\}$ , and  $[g_{42}, g_{43}; g_{12}]$  is an edge-invariant triplet in  $\Gamma_{n,5}^3 +$

$\{g_{13}g_{33}, g_{13}g_{43}, g_{23}g_{43}\} - \{g_{33}g_{42}\}$ . Let  $\Gamma_{n,5}^4 := \Gamma_{n,5}^3 + \{g_{13}g_{33}, g_{13}g_{43}, g_{23}g_{43}\} - \{g_{33}g_{42}, g_{42}g_{43}\}$  (see Figure 11(d)). Then, we have  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^3) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^4)$ . In  $\Gamma_{n,5}^4$ , since  $N(g_{42}) \subseteq N(g_{12})$ , we have  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^4) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^4 \setminus g_{12})$ . The graph,  $\Gamma_{n,5}^4 \setminus g_{12}$ , consists of three connected components, namely  $g_{21}g_{31}, g_{41}g_{42}$  and the third component denoted by  $\Gamma_{n,5}^5$  depicted in Figure 11(e). From Proposition 2.1, it follows that

$$\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}) \simeq \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^5).$$

In particular, for  $\Gamma_{1,5}$ , the graph  $\Gamma_{1,5}^5$  is isomorphic to the complete graph  $K_4$ . Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{1,5}) \simeq \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(K_4) \simeq \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2$ .

Now, let  $n \geq 2$ . Note that,  $\Gamma_{n,5}^5 \setminus N[g_{23}]$  is isomorphic to  $A_{n-2,5}$  (see Figure 5) and  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^5 \setminus N[g_{23}]) * \{g_{33}\}$  is a subcomplex of  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^5 \setminus g_{23})$ , which implies that  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^5 \setminus N[g_{23}])$  is contractible in  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^5 \setminus g_{23})$ , that is,  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^5 \setminus N[g_{23}]) \hookrightarrow \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^5 \setminus g_{23})$  is null homotopic. Hence from Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}) \simeq \Sigma^2 (\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^5 \setminus g_{23}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n-2,5})). \quad (3.1)$$

We now compute the homotopy type of  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^5 \setminus g_{23})$ . Let  $\Gamma_{n,5}^6 := \Gamma_{n,5}^5 \setminus g_{23}$  and  $\Gamma_{n,5}^7 := \Gamma_{n,5}^6 \setminus N[g_{14}]$  depicted in Figures 11(f) and 11(g), respectively. We first show that  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^7)$  is contractible in  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^6 \setminus g_{14})$ .

Since  $[g_{34}, g_{45}; g_{43}]$  and  $[g_{35}, g_{44}; g_{33}]$  are edge-invariant triplets in  $\Gamma_{n,5}^7$  and  $\Gamma_{n,5}^7 - \{g_{34}g_{45}\}$ , respectively, using Proposition 2.5, we have  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^7) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^7 - \{g_{35}g_{44}, g_{34}g_{45}\})$ . Similarly,  $[g_{34}, g_{35}; g_{43}]$  and  $[g_{44}, g_{45}; g_{33}]$  form edge-invariant triplets in  $\Gamma_{n,5}^7 - \{g_{34}g_{45}, g_{35}g_{44}\}$  and  $(\Gamma_{n,5}^7 - \{g_{34}g_{45}, g_{35}g_{44}\}) - \{g_{34}g_{35}\}$ , respectively. Let  $\Gamma_{n,5}^8 := (\Gamma_{n,5}^7 - \{g_{34}g_{45}, g_{35}g_{44}\}) - \{g_{34}g_{35}, g_{44}g_{45}\}$  (see Figure 11(h)). Consequently, we have  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^7) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^8)$ . Observe that the graph  $\Gamma_{n,5}^8$  contains a connected component that is isomorphic to a 4-cycle induced by vertices  $\{g_{33}, g_{34}, g_{43}, g_{44}\}$ , and another connected component isomorphic to  $B_{n-3,5}$  depicted in Figure 6. Since  $N(g_{44}) \subseteq N(g_{33})$  and  $N(g_{34}) \subseteq N(g_{43})$ , we delete vertices  $g_{33}$  and  $g_{43}$  in  $\Gamma_{n,5}^8$  using Proposition 2.3, and have  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^8) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(B_{n-3,5})$ . Furthermore, we have the following sequence

$$\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^7) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^8 \setminus \{g_{33}, g_{43}\}) \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} \text{Ind}((\Gamma_{n,5}^8 \setminus \{g_{33}, g_{43}\}) \sqcup \{g_{13}\}) \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^6 \setminus g_{14}),$$

where  $\text{Ind}((\Gamma_{n,5}^8 \setminus \{g_{33}, g_{43}\}) \sqcup \{g_{13}\}) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^8 \setminus \{g_{33}, g_{43}\}) * g_{13}$ , and hence contractible. This implies that  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^7) \hookrightarrow \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^6 \setminus g_{14})$  is null homotopic. Therefore, by Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^6) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^7) \vee \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^6 \setminus g_{14}) \simeq \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(B_{n-3,5}) \vee \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^6 \setminus g_{14}), \quad (3.2)$$

where the second equivalence follows from  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^7) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^8) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(B_{n-3,5})$ . Finally, observe that  $g_{13}$  is a simplicial vertex in  $\Gamma_{n,5}^6 \setminus g_{14}$ , with  $N(g_{13}) = \{g_{33}, g_{43}\}$ . Therefore, applying Proposition 2.4, we get

$$\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^6 \setminus g_{14}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}((\Gamma_{n,5}^6 \setminus g_{14}) \setminus N[g_{33}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}((\Gamma_{n,5}^6 \setminus g_{14}) \setminus N[g_{43}]).$$

From Figure 7 and Figure 8, we observe that  $(\Gamma_{n,5}^6 \setminus g_{14}) \setminus N[g_{33}] \simeq C_{n-2,5}$  and  $(\Gamma_{n,5}^6 \setminus g_{14}) \setminus N[g_{43}] \simeq D_{n-2,5}$ . Therefore,

$$\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}^6 \setminus g_{14}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(C_{n-2,5}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(D_{n-2,5}). \quad (3.3)$$

Combining (3.1), (3.2), and (3.3), we get the required recurrence for  $n \geq 3$ . However, for  $n = 2$ , we have  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{2,5}) \simeq \Sigma^3 \text{Ind}(A_{0,5}) \vee \Sigma^4 \text{Ind}(B_{-1}) \vee \Sigma^3 \text{Ind}(C_{0,5}) \vee \Sigma^3 \text{Ind}(D_{0,5}) \simeq \vee_7 \mathbb{S}^4$  using Claims 3.4, 3.6 and 3.7 and the fact that  $B_{-1} \cong K_2$ .  $\square$

**Claim 3.11.** For  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\text{Ind}(A_{n,5}) \simeq \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(C_{n-1,5}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(C_{n-1,5}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(D_{n-1,5})$ .




FIGURE 12

*Proof.* Since  $a_1$  is a simplicial vertex in  $A_{n,5}$  (see Figure 5), with  $N(a_1) = \{g_{11}, g_{21}\}$ , using Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\text{Ind}(A_{n,5}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{11}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{21}]).$$

Furthermore,  $a_2$  is a simplicial vertex in  $A_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{11}]$  with  $N(a_2) = \{g_{31}, g_{41}\}$ , and a simplicial vertex in  $A_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{21}]$  with  $N(a_2) = \{g_{41}\}$ . Therefore, Proposition 2.4 provides us

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}(A_{n,5}) &\simeq \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(A_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{11}, g_{31}]) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(A_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{11}, g_{41}]) \\ &\quad \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(A_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{21}, g_{41}]). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the graphs  $A_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{11}, g_{31}]$  and  $A_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{21}, g_{41}]$  are isomorphic to  $C_{n-1,5}$ , whereas the graph  $A_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{11}, g_{41}]$  is isomorphic to  $D_{n-1,5}$  (refer to Figures 5, 7 and 8). Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(A_{n,5}) \simeq \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(C_{n-1,5}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(D_{n-1,5}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(C_{n-1,5})$ .  $\square$

**Claim 3.12.** For  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\text{Ind}(B_{n,5}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(C_{n,5}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n-1,5})$ .




FIGURE 13

*Proof.* Since  $N(b_2) \subseteq N(b_5)$  in the graph  $B_{n,5}$  (see Figure 6), using Proposition 2.3, we get  $\text{Ind}(B_{n,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(B_{n,5} \setminus b_5)$ . Observe that  $[b_4, g_{41}; b_2]$  is an edge-invariant triplet in  $B_{n,5} \setminus b_5$ . Therefore, by Proposition 2.5, we have  $\text{Ind}(B_{n,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(B_{n,5}^1)$ , where  $B_{n,5}^1 = (B_{n,5} \setminus b_5) + \{b_4 g_{41}\}$  (see Figure 13(a)). Furthermore,  $[b_4, g_{31}; b_2]$  is an edge-invariant triplet in  $B_{n,5}^1$ , and  $[b_1, b_4; b_6]$  is an edge-invariant triplet in  $B_{n,5}^1 + \{b_4 g_{31}\}$ . Let  $B_{n,5}^2 := (B_{n,5}^1 + \{b_4 g_{31}\}) - \{b_1 b_4\}$  (see Figure 13(b)). Then,  $\text{Ind}(B_{n,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(B_{n,5}^2)$ . Since  $N(b_1) \subseteq N(b_6)$  in  $B_{n,5}^2$ , using Proposition 2.3, we have  $\text{Ind}(B_{n,5}^2) \simeq \text{Ind}(B_{n,5}^2 \setminus b_6)$ . Note that the graph  $B_{n,5}^2 \setminus b_6$  comprises two connected components: an edge  $b_1 b_2$  and another component that we call  $B_{n,5}^3$  (see Figure 13(c)). This implies that  $\text{Ind}(B_{n,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(B_{n,5}^2) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(B_{n,5}^3)$ . Observe that  $\text{Ind}(B_{n,5}^3 \setminus N[b_4]) * \{b_3\}$  is a subcomplex of  $\text{Ind}(B_{n,5}^3 \setminus b_4)$ , which implies that the inclusion map  $\text{Ind}(B_{n,5}^3 \setminus N[b_4]) \hookrightarrow \text{Ind}(B_{n,5}^3 \setminus b_4)$  is null homotopic. Therefore, by Proposition 2.2, we get

$$\text{Ind}(B_{n,5}^3) \simeq \text{Ind}(B_{n,5}^3 \setminus b_4) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(B_{n,5}^3 \setminus N[b_4]).$$

Note that, the graph  $B_{n,5}^3 \setminus N[b_4]$  is isomorphic to the graph  $\Lambda_{n-1,5}$  and graph  $B_{n,5}^3 \setminus b_4$  is isomorphic to  $C_{n,5}$  (refer to [Figure 3](#) and [Figure 7](#)). Further, since  $\text{Ind}(B_{n,5}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(B_{n,5}^3)$ , we have  $\text{Ind}(B_{n,5}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(C_{n,5}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n-1,5})$ .  $\square$

**Claim 3.13.** For  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\text{Ind}(C_{n,5}) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \Sigma^3 \text{Ind}(A_{n-2,5}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(E_{n-1,5}) \vee \Sigma^3 \text{Ind}(F_{n-2,5}) & \text{if } n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$




FIGURE 14

*Proof.* Since  $N(g_{11}) \subseteq N(g_{22})$  in the graph  $C_{n,5}$  (see [Figure 7](#)), by [Proposition 2.3](#), we have  $\text{Ind}(C_{n,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(C_{n,5} \setminus g_{22})$ . We denote the graph  $C_{n,5} \setminus g_{22}$  by  $C_{n,5}^1$ . Since  $c_1$  is a simplicial vertex and  $N(c_1) = \{g_{31}, g_{41}\}$  in  $C_{n,5}^1$ , using [Proposition 2.4](#), we get that

$$\text{Ind}(C_{n,5}^1) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(C_{n,5}^1 \setminus N[g_{31}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(C_{n,5}^1 \setminus N[g_{41}]). \quad (3.4)$$

Let  $C_{n,5}^2 := C_{n,5}^1 \setminus N[g_{41}]$  (see [Figure 14\(a\)](#)). Since  $[g_{13}, g_{32}; g_{11}]$  and  $[g_{23}, g_{32}; g_{11}]$  are edge-invariant triplets in  $C_{n,5}^2$  and  $C_{n,5}^2 + \{g_{13}g_{32}\}$ , respectively,  $\text{Ind}(C_{n,5}^2) \simeq \text{Ind}(C_{n,5}^2 + \{g_{13}g_{32}, g_{23}g_{32}\})$  using [Proposition 2.5](#). Analogously,  $[g_{12}, g_{13}; g_{21}]$  and  $[g_{12}, g_{23}; g_{21}]$  form edge invariant triplets in  $C_{n,5}^2 + \{g_{13}g_{32}, g_{32}g_{23}\}$  and  $C_{n,5}^2 + \{g_{13}g_{32}, g_{32}g_{23}\} - \{g_{12}g_{13}\}$ , respectively. Thus, we have  $\text{Ind}(C_{n,5}^2 + \{g_{13}g_{32}, g_{32}g_{23}\}) \simeq \text{Ind}(C_{n,5}^2 + \{g_{13}g_{32}, g_{32}g_{23}\} - \{g_{12}g_{13}, g_{12}g_{23}\})$ . We denote the graph  $C_{n,5}^2 + \{g_{13}g_{32}, g_{32}g_{23}\} - \{g_{12}g_{13}, g_{12}g_{23}\}$  by  $C_{n,5}^3$ , depicted in [Figure 14\(b\)](#). Then,  $\text{Ind}(C_{n,5}^2) \simeq \text{Ind}(C_{n,5}^3)$ . Furthermore,  $N(g_{12}) \subseteq N(g_{21})$  in  $C_{n,5}^3$ . Therefore, by [Proposition 2.3](#), we have  $\text{Ind}(C_{n,5}^3) \simeq \text{Ind}(C_{n,5}^3 \setminus g_{21})$ . Observe that the graph  $C_{n,5}^3 \setminus g_{21}$  consists of two connected components, one of which is an edge  $g_{11}g_{12}$  and the other connected component is isomorphic to  $E_{n-1,5}$  (see [Figure 9](#)). Thus, we have

$$\text{Ind}(C_{n,5}^2) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(E_{n-1,5}). \quad (3.5)$$

On the other hand, let  $C_{n,5}^4 := C_{n,5}^1 \setminus N[g_{31}]$  (see [Figure 14\(c\)](#)). Since  $g_{11}$  is a pendant vertex in  $C_{n,5}^4$  with a unique neighbour  $g_{12}$ , by [Proposition 2.4](#), we have  $\text{Ind}(C_{n,5}^4) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(C_{n,5}^4 \setminus N[g_{12}])$ . Let  $C_{n,5}^5 := C_{n,5}^4 \setminus N[g_{12}]$  (see [Figure 14\(d\)](#)). Now, for  $n = 1$ , we have  $C_{1,5}^5 \simeq K_3$ . Therefore, from [Equation \(3.4\)](#) and [Equation \(3.5\)](#), we get that

$$\text{Ind}(C_{1,5}) \simeq \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(E_{0,5}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(K_3).$$

Since  $\text{Ind}(E_{0,5}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^0$  (using [Claim 3.8](#)) and  $\text{Ind}(K_3) \simeq \mathbb{S}^0 \vee \mathbb{S}^0$ , we have  $\text{Ind}(C_{1,5}) \simeq \vee_3 \mathbb{S}^2$ .

Let  $n \geq 2$ . Since  $g_{42}$  is a simplicial vertex and  $N(g_{42}) = \{g_{33}, g_{43}\}$  in  $C_{n,5}^5$ , [Proposition 2.4](#) provides us

$$\text{Ind}(C_{n,5}^5) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(C_{n,5}^5 \setminus N[g_{33}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(C_{n,5}^5 \setminus N[g_{43}]).$$

However, the graph  $C_{n,5}^5 \setminus N[g_{33}]$  is isomorphic to the graph  $A_{n-2,5}$  and the graph  $C_{n,5}^5 \setminus N[g_{43}]$  is isomorphic to  $F_{n-2,5}$  (refer to [Figure 5](#) and [Figure 10](#)). Therefore, we have

$$\text{Ind}(C_{n,5}^4) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(C_{n,5}^5) \simeq \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(A_{n-2,5}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(F_{n-2,5}), \quad (3.6)$$

which, along with [Equation \(3.4\)](#) and [Equation \(3.5\)](#) gives the required homotopy equivalence.  $\square$

**Claim 3.14.** For  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\text{Ind}(D_{n,5}) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2 & \text{for } n = 1, \\ \Sigma^3 \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n-2,5}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(E_{n-1,5}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(E_{n-1,5}) & \text{for } n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Observe that  $[g_{31}, g_{12}; d_1]$  and  $[g_{21}, g_{42}; d_2]$  are edge-invariant triplets in  $D_{n,5}$  (see Figure 8) and  $D_{n,5} + \{g_{31}g_{12}\}$ , respectively. Hence by using Proposition 2.5, we have  $\text{Ind}(D_{n,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(D_{n,5} + \{g_{31}g_{12}, g_{21}g_{42}\})$ . Furthermore,  $[d_1, g_{21}; g_{41}]$  and  $[d_2, g_{31}; g_{11}]$  are edge-invariant triplets in  $D_{n,5} + \{g_{31}g_{12}, g_{21}g_{42}\}$  and  $(D_{n,5} + \{g_{31}g_{12}, g_{21}g_{42}\}) - \{d_1g_{21}\}$ , respectively. Let  $D_{n,5}^1$  be the graph that we obtain after removing the edges  $d_1g_{21}$  and  $d_2g_{31}$  from  $D_{n,5} + \{g_{31}g_{12}, g_{21}g_{42}\}$  (see Figure 15(a)). Then, it follows from Proposition 2.5 that  $\text{Ind}(D_{n,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^1)$ .

Now, we proceed with  $D_{n,5}^1$ . Observe that  $[g_{21}, g_{41}; d_1]$ ,  $[g_{12}, g_{41}; d_1]$ , and  $[d_2, g_{41}; g_{11}]$  are edge-invariant triplets in the graphs  $D_{n,5}^1$ ,  $D_{n,5}^1 + \{g_{21}g_{41}\}$ , and  $D_{n,5}^1 + \{g_{21}g_{41}, g_{12}g_{41}\}$ , respectively. Let  $D_{n,5}^2 := (D_{n,5}^1 + \{g_{21}g_{41}, g_{41}g_{12}\}) - \{d_2g_{41}\}$  (see Figure 15(b)). Then Proposition 2.5 implies that  $\text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^1) \simeq \text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^2)$ . Note that  $N(d_2) \subseteq N(g_{11})$  in the resultant graph  $D_{n,5}^2$ . Therefore, from Proposition 2.3, we have  $\text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^2) \simeq \text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^2 \setminus g_{11})$ . Since  $[g_{12}, g_{33}; g_{21}]$ , and  $[g_{12}, g_{43}; g_{21}]$  are edge-invariant triplets in the graphs  $D_{n,5}^2 \setminus g_{11}$  and  $(D_{n,5}^2 \setminus g_{11}) + \{g_{12}g_{33}\}$ , respectively, we get  $\text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^2 \setminus g_{11}) \simeq \text{Ind}((D_{n,5}^2 \setminus g_{11}) + \{g_{12}g_{33}, g_{12}g_{43}\})$ . Let us take  $D_{n,5}^3 := (D_{n,5}^2 \setminus g_{11}) + \{g_{12}g_{33}, g_{12}g_{43}\}$  (see Figure 15(c)). Then,  $\text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^2) \simeq \text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^3)$ . Furthermore,  $[g_{31}, g_{12}; g_{42}]$ , and  $[g_{12}, g_{41}; g_{32}]$  are edge-invariant triplets in the graphs  $D_{n,5}^3$ , and  $D_{n,5}^3 - g_{31}g_{12}$ , respectively. Hence  $\text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^3) \simeq \text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^3 - \{g_{31}g_{12}, g_{12}g_{41}\})$ . Let us denote the graph  $D_{n,5}^3 - \{g_{31}g_{12}, g_{12}g_{41}\}$  by  $D_{n,5}^4$  (see Figure 15(d)). Then,  $\text{Ind}(D_{n,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^4)$ .




FIGURE 15

Observe that  $(\text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^4 \setminus N[g_{21}]) * \{g_{41}\}) \subseteq \text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^4 \setminus g_{21})$ , i.e.,  $\text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^4 \setminus N[g_{21}])$  is contractible in  $\text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^4 \setminus g_{21})$ . Therefore, Proposition 2.2 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^4) &\simeq \text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^4 \setminus g_{21}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^4 \setminus N[g_{21}]) \\ &\simeq \text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^4 \setminus g_{21}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(E_{n-1,5}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where the second homotopy type is coming from the fact that the graph  $D_{n,5}^4 \setminus N[g_{21}]$  has two connected components, one component is an edge  $d_1d_2$ , and the other component is isomorphic to the graph  $E_{n-1,5}$  (see Figure 9).

Now, consider the graph  $D_{n,5}^4 \setminus g_{21}$ . Since  $N(g_{41}) \subseteq N(g_{32})$  in  $D_{n,5}^4 \setminus g_{21}$ , we have  $\text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^4 \setminus g_{21}) \simeq \text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^4 \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{32}\})$ . Furthermore,  $[g_{22}, g_{33}; g_{41}]$ , and  $[g_{22}, g_{43}; g_{41}]$  are

edge-invariant triplets in the graphs  $D_{n,5}^4 \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{32}\}$  and  $(D_{n,5}^4 \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{32}\}) + \{g_{22}g_{33}\}$ , respectively. Therefore, we have  $\text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^4 \setminus g_{21}) \simeq \text{Ind}((D_{n,5}^4 \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{32}\}) + \{g_{22}g_{33}, g_{22}g_{43}\})$ . Let  $D_{n,5}^5$  (see Figure 15(e)) denote the graph  $(D_{n,5}^4 \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{32}\}) + \{g_{22}g_{33}, g_{22}g_{43}\}$ . Then,  $\text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^4 \setminus g_{21}) \simeq \text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^5)$ .

Since  $[g_{22}, g_{31}; g_{42}]$  is an edge-invariant triplet in the graph  $D_{n,5}^5$ , we obtain that  $\text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^5) \simeq \text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^5 - \{g_{22}g_{31}\})$ . Moreover,  $N(g_{31}) \subseteq N(g_{42})$  in the graph  $D_{n,5}^5 - \{g_{22}g_{31}\}$  and therefore  $\text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^5 - \{g_{22}g_{31}\}) \simeq \text{Ind}((D_{n,5}^5 - \{g_{22}g_{31}\}) \setminus g_{42})$ . Let  $D_{n,5}^6$  denote the graph  $(D_{n,5}^5 - \{g_{22}g_{31}\}) \setminus g_{42}$ . Then,  $\text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^4 \setminus g_{21}) \simeq \text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^5) \simeq \text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^6)$ .

Suppose  $n = 1$ . Since  $N(g_{13}) \subseteq N(g_{33})$  in the graph  $D_{1,5}^6$ , we get  $\text{Ind}(D_{1,5}^6) \simeq \text{Ind}(D_{1,5}^6 \setminus \{g_{33}\})$ . Furthermore,  $N(g_{43}) \subseteq N(g_{13}) \cap N(g_{23})$  in the graph  $D_{1,5}^6 \setminus \{g_{33}\}$ . Therefore, a repeated application of Proposition 2.3 provides us with  $\text{Ind}(D_{1,5}^6) \simeq \text{Ind}(D_{1,5}^6 \setminus \{g_{33}, g_{13}, g_{23}\})$ . Since the graph  $D_{1,5}^6 \setminus \{g_{33}, g_{13}, g_{23}\}$  is isomorphic to the disjoint union of  $K_3$  and two edges, we have,  $\text{Ind}(D_{1,5}^6) \simeq \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2$ . Hence  $\text{Ind}(D_{1,5}^4 \setminus g_{21}) \simeq \text{Ind}(D_{1,5}^6) \simeq \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2$ . Moreover, from Claim 3.8, it follows that  $\Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(E_{0,5}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^2$ . Hence,  $\text{Ind}(D_{1,5}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2$ .

Now, consider  $n \geq 2$ . Since  $\text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^6 \setminus N[g_{12}]) * \{g_{22}\} \subseteq \text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^6 \setminus g_{12})$ , from Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^6) \simeq \text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^6 \setminus g_{12}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^6 \setminus N[g_{12}]). \quad (3.8)$$

However, the graph  $D_{n,5}^6 \setminus g_{12}$  consists of three connected components, two of the components consist of a single edge, and the third component is isomorphic to  $E_{n-1,5}$ . Similarly, the graph  $D_{n,5}^6 \setminus N[g_{12}]$  has three connected components, two are distinct edges, and the third component is isomorphic to  $\Lambda_{n-2,5}$ . Therefore, from Equation (3.8), we get  $\text{Ind}(D_{n,5}^6) \simeq \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(E_{n-1,5}) \vee \Sigma^3 \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n-2,5})$ . Hence, from Equation (3.7), we get that  $\text{Ind}(D_{n,5}) \simeq \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(E_{n-1,5}) \vee \Sigma^3 \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n-2,5}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(E_{n-1,5})$ .  $\square$

**Claim 3.15.** For  $n \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,5}) &\simeq \left( \bigvee_2 \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(A_{n-1,5}) \right) \vee \left( \bigvee_2 \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(C_{n-1,5}) \right) \\ &\vee \left( \bigvee_2 \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(F_{n-1,5}) \right) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(D_{n-1,5}). \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 16

*Proof.* The proof follows primarily from the application of Proposition 2.4. Since  $l_1$  is a simplicial vertex and  $N(l_1) = \{g_{11}, g_{21}, l_2\}$  in  $\Lambda_{n,5}$ , we have

$$\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,5}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{11}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{21}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,5} \setminus N[l_2]). \quad (3.9)$$

Note that  $\Lambda_{n,5} \setminus N[l_2]$  is isomorphic to  $C_{n+1}^5$  (see Figure 14(d) in Claim 3.13). Therefore, it follows from Equation (3.6) that

$$\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,5} \setminus N[l_2]) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n-1,5}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(F_{n-1,5}). \quad (3.10)$$

Let  $\Lambda_{n,5}^1 := \Lambda_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{11}]$  (see Figure 16(b)) and  $\Lambda_{n,5}^2 := \Lambda_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{21}]$  (see Figure 16(c)). Since  $l_4$  is a simplicial vertex in  $\Lambda_{n,5}^1$ , with  $N(l_4) = \{l_3, g_{31}, g_{41}\}$ , using Proposition 2.4, we have,

$$\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,5}^1) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,5}^1 \setminus N[l_3]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,5}^1 \setminus N[g_{31}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,5}^1 \setminus N[g_{41}]).$$

Observe that the graphs  $\Lambda_{n,5}^1 \setminus N[l_3]$ ,  $\Lambda_{n,5}^1 \setminus N[g_{31}]$ , and  $\Lambda_{n,5}^1 \setminus N[g_{41}]$  are isomorphic to the graphs  $F_{n-1,5}$ ,  $C_{n-1,5}$  and  $D_{n-1,5}$ , respectively (see Figure 10, 7 and 8 respectively). Thus, we have

$$\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,5}^1) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(F_{n-1,5}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(C_{n-1,5}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(D_{n-1,5}). \quad (3.11)$$

Further, for the simplicial vertex  $l_3$  with  $N(l_3) = \{l_4, g_{41}\}$  in  $\Lambda_{n,5}^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,5}^2) &\simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,5}^2 \setminus N[l_4]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,5}^2 \setminus N[g_{41}]) \\ &\simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n-1,5}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(C_{n-1,5}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

wherein the graphs  $\Lambda_{n,5}^2 \setminus N[l_4]$  and  $\Lambda_{n,5}^2 \setminus N[g_{41}]$  are isomorphic to graphs  $A_{n-1,5}$  and  $C_{n-1,5}$ , respectively. Combining (3.9), (3.10), (3.11), and (3.12), we get the desired result.  $\square$




FIGURE 17

**Claim 3.16.** For  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\text{Ind}(E_{n,5}) \simeq \begin{cases} \bigvee_{10} \mathbb{S}^2 & \text{for } n = 1, \\ \Sigma^3 ((\bigvee_2 \text{Ind}(A_{n-2,5})) \vee (\bigvee_2 \text{Ind}(F_{n-2,5}))) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n-1,5}) \vee \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}) & \text{for } n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Observe that  $[g_{21}, g_{32}; g_{41}]$  and  $[g_{22}, g_{31}; g_{11}]$  are edge-invariant triplets in the graphs  $E_{n,5}$  and  $E_{n,5} - \{g_{21}g_{32}\}$ , respectively. Thus, we have  $\text{Ind}(E_{n,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(E_{n,5} - \{g_{21}g_{32}, g_{22}g_{31}\})$ . Let  $E_{n,5}^1 := E_{n,5} - \{g_{21}g_{32}, g_{22}g_{31}\}$  (see Figure 17(a)). Since  $[g_{11}, g_{32}; g_{41}]$  and  $[g_{41}, g_{32}; g_{21}]$  are edge-invariant triplets in  $E_{n,5}^1$  and  $E_{n,5}^1 + \{g_{11}g_{32}\}$ , respectively, we have  $\text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^1) \simeq \text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^1 + \{g_{11}g_{32}, g_{41}g_{32}\})$ . Let  $E_{n,5}^2 := E_{n,5}^1 + \{g_{11}g_{32}, g_{41}g_{32}\}$  (see Figure 17(b)). Note that  $\text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^2 \setminus N[g_{32}]) * \{g_{42}\}$  is a subcomplex of  $\text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^2 \setminus g_{32})$ . This implies that  $\text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^2 \setminus N[g_{32}]) \hookrightarrow \text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^2 \setminus g_{32})$  is null homotopic, and by Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\text{Ind}(E_{n,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^2) \simeq \text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^2 \setminus g_{32}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^2 \setminus N[g_{32}]). \quad (3.13)$$

The graph  $E_{n,5}^2 \setminus N[g_{32}]$  has two connected components; one component consists of the edge  $e_1g_{21}$ , and the other component is isomorphic to  $C_{n,5}^5$  (see Figure 14(d)). Therefore,

$$\text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^2 \setminus N[g_{32}]) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(C_{n,5}^5). \quad (3.14)$$

Let  $E_{n,5}^3 := E_{n,5}^2 \setminus g_{32}$  (see Figure 17(c)). Using Proposition 2.5, for the edge-invariant triplets  $[g_{22}, g_{41}; g_{11}]$  and  $[g_{22}, g_{11}; g_{31}]$  in  $E_{n,5}^3$  and  $E_{n,5}^3 + \{g_{22}g_{41}\}$ , respectively, we get  $\text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^3) \simeq \text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^3 + \{g_{22}g_{11}, g_{22}g_{41}\})$ . Let  $E_{n,5}^4 := E_{n,5}^3 + \{g_{22}g_{11}, g_{22}g_{41}\}$  (see Figure 17(d)).



Then  $\text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^3) \simeq \text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^4)$ . Furthermore,  $\text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^4 \setminus N[g_{22}]) * \{g_{12}\}$  is a subcomplex of  $\text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^4 \setminus g_{22})$ . Therefore, using [Proposition 2.2](#), we have

$$\text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^3) \simeq \text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^4) \simeq \text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^4 \setminus g_{22}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^4 \setminus N[g_{22}]).$$

The graph  $E_{n,5}^4 \setminus N[g_{22}]$  has two connected components; one component consists of the edge  $e_1 g_{31}$ , and the other component is a graph isomorphic to  $C_{n,5}^5$  (see [Figure 14\(d\)](#)). Hence

$$\text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^3) \simeq \text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^4 \setminus g_{22}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(C_{n,5}^5). \quad (3.15)$$

Finally, let  $E_{n,5}^5 := E_{n,5}^4 \setminus g_{22}$ . Since  $\text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^5 \setminus N[e_1]) * \{g_{21}\} \subseteq \text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^5 \setminus e_1)$ , the inclusion map  $\text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^5 \setminus N[e_1]) * \{g_{21}\} \hookrightarrow \text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^5 \setminus e_1)$  is null homotopic. Therefore, by [Proposition 2.2](#), we have

$$\text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^5) \simeq \text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^5 \setminus e_1) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^5 \setminus N[e_1]).$$

Further, observe that the graph  $E_{n,5}^5 \setminus e_1$  is isomorphic to  $\Gamma_{n,5} \setminus \{g_{22}, g_{32}\}$  (see [Figure 2](#), and [Figure 17\(e\)](#)), and the graph  $E_{n,5}^5 \setminus N[e_1]$  is isomorphic to  $A_{n-1,5}$  (see [Figure 5](#), and [Figure 17\(e\)](#)). Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^5 \setminus e_1) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5} \setminus \{g_{22}, g_{32}\})$  and  $\text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^5 \setminus N[e_1]) \simeq \text{Ind}(A_{n-1,5})$ . Since  $N(g_{11}) \subseteq N(g_{22})$  and  $N(g_{41}) \subseteq N(g_{32})$  in  $\Gamma_{n,5}$  and  $\Gamma_{n,5} \setminus g_{22}$ , respectively (see [Figure 2](#)), we follow that  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5} \setminus \{g_{22}, g_{33}\})$ . Therefore,

$$\text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^5) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n-1,5}). \quad (3.16)$$

Combining [Equations \(3.15\) and \(3.16\)](#), we get

$$\text{Ind}(E_{n,5}^3) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n-1,5}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(C_{n,5}^5). \quad (3.17)$$

Thus, combining the homotopy equivalences in [Equations \(3.13\), \(3.14\) and \(3.17\)](#), we get

$$\text{Ind}(E_{n,5}) \simeq \Sigma^2 (\vee_2 \text{Ind}(C_{n,5}^5)) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n-1,5}) \vee \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}). \quad (3.18)$$

It follows from [Claims 3.4, 3.10 and 3.13](#) that  $\text{Ind}(A_{0,5}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1$ ,  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{1,5}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2$  and  $\text{Ind}(C_{1,5}^5) \simeq \mathbb{S}^0 \vee \mathbb{S}^0$  (because  $C_{1,5}^5 \cong K_3$ ). Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(E_{1,5}) \simeq \bigvee_{10} \mathbb{S}^2$ .

For  $n \geq 2$ , we have  $\text{Ind}(C_{n,5}^5) \simeq \Sigma(\text{Ind}(A_{n-2,5}) \vee \text{Ind}(F_{n-2,5}))$  from [Equation \(3.6\)](#). Therefore, from the homotopy equivalence in [Equation \(3.18\)](#), we have for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\text{Ind}(E_{n,5}) \simeq \Sigma^3 \left( (\vee_2 \text{Ind}(A_{n-2,5})) \bigvee (\vee_2 \text{Ind}(F_{n-2,5})) \right) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n-1,5}) \vee \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}).$$

□

**Claim 3.17.** For  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\text{Ind}(F_{n,5}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(B_{n-1,5}) \bigvee_2 \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(C_{n-1,5}) \bigvee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(D_{n-1,5})$ .




FIGURE 18

*Proof.* Since  $f_3$  is a simplicial vertex and  $N(f_3) = \{f_2, g_{31}, g_{41}\}$  in the graph  $F_{n,5}$  (see [Figure 10](#)), from [Proposition 2.4](#), we get

$$\text{Ind}(F_{n,5}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(F_{n,5} \setminus N[f_2]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(F_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{31}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(F_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{41}]). \quad (3.19)$$

Observe that the graph  $F_{n,5} \setminus N[f_2]$  is isomorphic to  $B_{n-1,5}$  (see [Figure 6](#) and [Figure 18\(a\)](#)). Hence,  $\text{Ind}(F_{n,5} \setminus N[f_2]) \simeq \text{Ind}(B_{n-1,5})$ .

Since  $N(f_1) = \{g_{11}\}$  in  $F_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{31}]$  (see Figure 18(b)), using Proposition 2.4, we have  $\text{Ind}(F_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{31}]) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(F_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{31}, g_{11}])$ . However, the graph  $F_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{31}, g_{11}]$  is isomorphic to  $C_{n-1,5}$  and therefore,  $\text{Ind}(F_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{31}]) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(C_{n-1,5})$ .

Finally, consider the graph  $F_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{41}]$ . Since  $f_1$  is a simplicial vertex in  $F_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{41}]$ , with  $N(f_1) = \{g_{11}, g_{21}\}$ , from Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\text{Ind}(F_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{41}]) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(F_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{41}, g_{11}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(F_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{41}, g_{21}]). \quad (3.20)$$

Note that, the graphs  $F_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{41}, g_{11}]$  and  $F_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{41}, g_{21}]$  are isomorphic to  $D_{n-1,5}$  and  $C_{n-1,5}$ , respectively (refer to Figures 7 and 8). Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(F_{n,5} \setminus N[g_{41}]) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(D_{n-1,5}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(C_{n-1,5})$ . Now, the result follows from Equation (3.19) by replacing all the associated homotopy equivalences.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.18.** *For any graph  $G_{n,5} \in \{\Gamma_{n,5}, \Lambda_{n,5}, A_{n,5}, B_{n,5}, C_{n,5}, D_{n,5}, E_{n,5}, F_{n,5} : n \geq 0\}$ ,  $\text{Ind}(G_{n,5})$  is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres.*

*Proof.* Since the graph  $\Gamma_{0,5}$  is a path on four vertices,  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{0,5})$  is contractible.

We now compute  $\text{Ind}(\Lambda_0)$ . Since  $g_{11}$  is a simplicial vertex in the graph  $\Lambda_{0,5}$ , with  $N(g_{11}) = \{g_{21}, l_1, l_2\}$ , using Proposition 2.4, we get

$$\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{0,5}) \simeq \Sigma(\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{0,5} \setminus N[g_{21}])) \vee \Sigma(\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{0,5} \setminus N[l_1])) \vee \Sigma(\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{0,5} \setminus N[l_2])).$$

However, both the graphs  $\Lambda_{0,5} \setminus N[g_{21}]$  and  $\Lambda_{0,5} \setminus N[l_2]$  are isomorphic to the complete graph  $K_3$  and the graph  $\Lambda_{0,5} \setminus N[l_1]$  is isomorphic to the complete graph  $K_4$ . Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{0,5}) \simeq \Sigma(\text{Ind}(K_3)) \vee \Sigma(\text{Ind}(K_3)) \vee \Sigma(\text{Ind}(K_4)) \simeq \vee_7 \mathbb{S}^1$ .

For any graph  $G_{n,5} \in \{A_{n,5}, B_{n,5}, C_{n,5}, D_{n,5}, E_{n,5}, F_{n,5} : n \geq 0\}$ ,  $\text{Ind}(G_{0,5})$  is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres from Claims 3.4 to 3.9. Result follows from induction on  $n$  and Claims 3.10 to 3.17.  $\square$

## 3.2 Independence complex of $\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}$

Recall that for  $n \geq 0$ , the line graph of  $P_{2n+3} \times P_5$  comprises of connected components  $\Lambda_{n,5}$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}$  (see Figures 3 and 4). The computation of  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5})$  follows a similar approach as that of  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5})$ . The intermediary graphs occurring during the computation of  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5})$  resemble those defined in Section 3.1.1, with the inclusion of certain additional vertices and edges. For each  $H_{n,5} \in \{\Gamma_{n,5}, \Lambda_{n,5}, A_{n,5}, B_{n,5}, C_{n,5}, D_{n,5}, E_{n,5}, F_{n,5}\}$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} V(\tilde{H}_{n,5}) &= V(H_{n,5}) \cup \{g_{1\ 2n+2}, g_{2\ 2n+2}, g_{3\ 2n+2}, g_{4\ 2n+2}\}, \\ E(\tilde{H}_{n,5}) &= E(H_{n,5}) \cup \{g_i\ 2n+1 g_i\ 2n+2 : i = 1, 2, 3, 4\} \\ &\quad \cup \{g_i\ 2n+2 g_{i+1}\ 2n+2 : i = 1, 2, 3\} \\ &\quad \cup \{g_2\ 2n+1 g_3\ 2n+2, g_3\ 2n+1 g_2\ 2n+2\}. \end{aligned}$$

In this section, we compute the independence complexes of graphs  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}, \tilde{\Lambda}_{n,5}, \tilde{A}_{n,5}, \tilde{B}_{n,5}, \tilde{C}_{n,5}, \tilde{D}_{n,5}, \tilde{E}_{n,5}$  and  $\tilde{F}_{n,5}$ . In computing the independence complexes of these graphs, as discussed in Section 3.1.2, our approach focuses on performing computations primarily on one end (the leftmost part) of the graph while holding the other end (the rightmost part) fixed. Notably, for  $n \geq 1$ , we observe that the left ends of the graphs  $H_{n,5}$  and  $\tilde{H}_{n,5}$  coincide, where  $H_{n,5} \in \{\Gamma_{n,5}, \Lambda_{n,5}, A_{n,5}, B_{n,5}, C_{n,5}, D_{n,5}, E_{n,5}, F_{n,5}\}$ . This observation suggests a method for computing the independence complexes of graphs  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}, \tilde{\Lambda}_{n,5}, \tilde{A}_{n,5}, \tilde{B}_{n,5}, \tilde{C}_{n,5}, \tilde{D}_{n,5}, \tilde{E}_{n,5}$ , and  $\tilde{F}_{n,5}$  using a similar strategy to that outlined in Section 3.1.2. Furthermore, it is notable that for  $n \geq 1$ , these intermediary graphs adhere to a similar recurrence relation as those described in the preceding section. Since the base graphs of these intermediary graphs are completely different from the graphs in Section 3.1.1, we give the complete computations for base cases. Also, we give the explicit recurrence relation for each graph and higher values of  $n$ .




FIGURE 19




FIGURE 20

- $\text{Ind}(\tilde{A}_{n,5})$ :

Since  $N(g_{12}) \subseteq N(g_{21})$  and  $N(g_{42}) \subseteq N(g_{31})$  in the graphs  $\tilde{A}_{0,5}$  and  $\tilde{A}_{0,5} \setminus g_{21}$ , respectively, using [Proposition 2.3](#), we get  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{A}_{0,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(\tilde{A}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}\})$ . Furthermore, the graph  $\tilde{A}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}\}$  is a path with 8 vertices, and hence  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{A}_{0,5}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^2$  by [Proposition 2.8](#). For  $n \geq 1$ , one can use the same procedures as in [Claim 3.11](#) to obtain the independence complex of  $\tilde{A}_{n,5}$ . Therefore, we have the following:

$$\text{Ind}(\tilde{A}_{n,5}) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}^2 & \text{for } n = 0, \\ \bigvee_2 \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_{n-1,5}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_{n-1,5}) & \text{for } n \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.21)$$

- $\text{Ind}(\tilde{B}_{n,5})$ :

Since  $N(g_{12}) \subseteq N(g_{21})$ ,  $N(g_{42}) \subseteq N(g_{31})$  and  $N(b_2) \subseteq N(b_5)$  in  $\tilde{B}_{0,5}$ ,  $\tilde{B}_{0,5} \setminus g_{21}$  and  $\tilde{B}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}\}$ , respectively, we get that  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{B}_{0,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(\tilde{B}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}, b_5\})$ . Note that  $b_3$  is a simplicial vertex and  $N(b_3) = \{g_{11}, b_4\}$  in the graph  $\tilde{B}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}, b_5\}$ . Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{B}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}, b_5\}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}((\tilde{B}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}, b_5\}) \setminus N[g_{11}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}((\tilde{B}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}, b_5\}) \setminus N[b_4])$ . However, both the graphs  $(\tilde{B}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}, b_5\}) \setminus N[g_{11}]$  and  $(\tilde{B}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}, b_5\}) \setminus N[b_4]$  are isomorphic to  $P_7$ . Therefore, using [Proposition 2.8](#), we find that  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{B}_{0,5})$  is contractible. For  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{B}_{n,5})$ , as mentioned below, can be computed using the same argument as in [Claim 3.12](#).

$$\text{Ind}(\tilde{B}_{n,5}) \simeq \begin{cases} * & \text{for } n = 0, \\ \Sigma \text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_{n,5}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-1,5}) & \text{for } n \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.22)$$

- $\text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_{n,5})$ :

Using [Proposition 2.3](#), we get  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_{0,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}\})$ . The graph  $\tilde{C}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}\}$  is a path on 7 vertices and hence  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_{0,5})$  is contractible.

Since  $N(g_{11}) \subseteq N(g_{22})$ ,  $N(g_{14}) \subseteq N(g_{23})$  and  $N(g_{44}) \subseteq N(g_{33})$  in the graphs  $\tilde{C}_{1,5}$  (see [Figure 19\(e\)](#)),  $\tilde{C}_{1,5} \setminus g_{22}$  and  $\tilde{C}_{1,5} \setminus \{g_{22}, g_{23}\}$ , respectively, we get  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_{1,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_{1,5} \setminus \{g_{22}, g_{23}, g_{33}\})$ . Let  $\tilde{C}_{1,5}^1 = \tilde{C}_{1,5} \setminus \{g_{22}, g_{23}, g_{33}\}$ . Observe that  $c_1$  is a simplicial vertex in  $\tilde{C}_{1,5}^1$  with  $N(c_1) = \{g_{31}, g_{41}\}$ . Thus, using [Proposition 2.4](#), we get  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_{1,5}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_{1,5}^1 \setminus N[g_{31}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_{1,5}^1 \setminus N[g_{41}])$ . Since the graph  $\tilde{C}_{1,5}^1 \setminus N[g_{31}]$  is isomorphic to  $P_9$  and  $\tilde{C}_{1,5}^1 \setminus N[g_{41}]$  is isomorphic to cycle graph  $\mathcal{C}_{10}$ , using [Proposition 2.7](#), we get  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_{1,5}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^3 \vee \mathbb{S}^3$ . For  $n \geq 2$ , we use the same argument as in [Claim 3.13](#) to get recurrence relations for  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_{n,5})$ . The homotopy type of  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_{n,5})$  is given by the following:

$$\text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_{n,5}) \simeq \begin{cases} * & \text{for } n = 0, \\ \mathbb{S}^3 \vee \mathbb{S}^3 & \text{for } n = 1, \\ \mathbb{S}^3 \text{Ind}(\tilde{A}_{n-2,5}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(\tilde{E}_{n-1,5}) \vee \Sigma^3 \text{Ind}(\tilde{F}_{n-2,5}) & \text{for } n \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (3.23)$$

•  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_{n,5})$ :

Consider the graph  $\tilde{D}_{0,5}$ . Using [Proposition 2.3](#), we obtain  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_{0,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}\})$ . The graph  $\tilde{D}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}\}$  is a cycle with 8 vertices. Therefore, using [Proposition 2.7](#), we get that  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_{0,5}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^2$ .

For  $n \geq 1$ , we proceed in the same way as in [Claim 3.14](#). We obtain the following relations :

$$\begin{aligned} - \text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_{n,5}) &\simeq \text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_{n,5}^4) \simeq \text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_{n,5}^4 \setminus g_{21}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(\tilde{E}_{n-1,5}), \\ - \text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_{n,5}^6) &\simeq \text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_{n,5}^6 \setminus g_{12}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_{n,5}^6 \setminus N[g_{12}]), \\ - \text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_{n,5}^4 \setminus g_{21}) &\simeq \text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_{n,5}^6). \end{aligned}$$

By combining all the above relations, we get  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_{n,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_{n,5}^6 \setminus g_{12}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_{n,5}^6 \setminus N[g_{12}]) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(\tilde{E}_{n-1,5})$ . The graph  $\tilde{D}_{n,5}^6$  (see [Figure 20\(b\)](#)) is obtained from the graph  $\tilde{D}_{n,5}^6$  (see [Figure 15\(f\)](#)). In the case of  $n = 1$ , we find that the graph  $\tilde{D}_1^6 \setminus N[g_{12}]$  consists of three components: two edges and one with a path on 4 vertices. Thus, using [Proposition 2.8](#), we get  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_1^6 \setminus N[g_{12}])$  is contractible. On the other hand, the graph  $\tilde{D}_1^6 \setminus g_{12}$  is isomorphic to a three-component graph, where two are edges and the remaining one is a graph isomorphic to  $\tilde{E}_{0,5}$ . Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_{n,5}^6 \setminus g_{12}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^3$ . Hence, we get  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_1) \simeq \mathbb{S}^3 \vee \mathbb{S}^3$ . For  $n \geq 2$ , the independence complexes of  $\tilde{D}_{n,5}$  follow the same pattern as those in [Claim 3.14](#). Thus, we have the following:

$$\text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_{n,5}) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}^2 & \text{for } n = 0, \\ \mathbb{S}^3 \vee \mathbb{S}^3 & \text{for } n = 1, \\ \mathbb{S}^3 \text{Ind}(\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2,5}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(\tilde{E}_{n-1,5}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(\tilde{E}_{n-1,5}) & \text{for } n \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (3.24)$$

•  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5})$ :

Since  $N(g_{11}) \subseteq N(g_{22})$  and  $N(g_{41}) \subseteq N(g_{32})$  in the graphs  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{0,5}$ , and  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{0,5} \setminus g_{22}$  using [Proposition 2.3](#), we get  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{0,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{22}, g_{32}\})$ . The graph  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{22}, g_{32}\}$  is a path with 6 vertices, and hence, using [Proposition 2.8](#), we get  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{0,5}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1$ .

Now, consider  $n \geq 1$ . Application of the same procedure as that used in [Claim 3.10](#) provides us with a graph  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}^5$  such that  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}) \simeq \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}^5)$ , where the graph  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}^5$  (see [Figure 21\(a\)](#)) is obtained from  $\Gamma_{n,5}^5$  (see [Figure 11\(e\)](#), in the proof of [Claim 3.10](#)).

If  $n = 1$ , then  $N(g_{14}) \subseteq N(g_{23})$  and  $N(g_{44}) \subseteq N(g_{33})$  in the graphs  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{1,5}^5$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{1,5}^5 \setminus g_{23}$ , respectively. Thus,  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{1,5}^5) \simeq \text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{1,5}^5 \setminus \{g_{23}, g_{33}\})$ . We can observe that the resultant graph is isomorphic to a cycle on 6 vertices. Now [Proposition 2.7](#) implies that  $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}_6) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1$  and therefore  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{1,5}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^3 \vee \mathbb{S}^3$ .

Now, let  $n \geq 2$  and proceed with the similar methods as in [Claim 3.10](#). We obtain the following relations:




FIGURE 21

- $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}) \simeq \Sigma^2 \left( \text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}^5 \setminus g_{23}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(\tilde{A}_{n-2,5}) \right)$ ,
- $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}^6) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}^7) \vee \text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}^6 \setminus g_{14})$ , where  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}^6 := \tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}^5 \setminus g_{23}$ ,
- $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}^6 \setminus g_{14}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}((\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}^6 \setminus g_{14}) \setminus N[g_{33}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}((\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}^6 \setminus g_{14}) \setminus N[g_{43}])$ ,
- $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}^7) \simeq \text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}^8)$ .

By combining all these relations, we find that

$$\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}) \simeq \Sigma^3(\text{Ind}(\tilde{A}_{n-2,5})) \bigvee \Sigma^3 \text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}^8) \bigvee \Sigma^2(\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}^6 \setminus g_{14})).$$

For  $n = 2$ , we can observe that the graph  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{2,5}^8$  consists of two connected components, one is a cycle on 4 vertices and the other one is  $\tilde{B}_{-1}$  (see Figure 21(d)). Since  $N(b_3) \subseteq N(b_1) \cap N(b_5)$  in graph  $\tilde{B}_{-1}$ , using Proposition 2.3,  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{B}_{-1}) \simeq \text{Ind}(\tilde{B}_{-1} \setminus \{b_1, b_5\}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1$ . This implies that  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{2,5}^8) \simeq \mathbb{S}^2$ . On the other hand the graphs  $(\tilde{\Gamma}_{2,5}^6 \setminus g_{14}) \setminus N[g_{33}]$  and  $(\tilde{\Gamma}_{2,5}^6 \setminus g_{14}) \setminus N[g_{43}]$  are isomorphic to the graphs  $\tilde{C}_{0,5}$  and  $\tilde{D}_{0,5}$ . Thus, we have  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{2,5}) \simeq \Sigma^3(\text{Ind}(\tilde{A}_{0,5})) \bigvee \Sigma^3(\mathbb{S}^2) \bigvee \Sigma^3(\text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_{0,5}) \vee \text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_{0,5}))$ . Hence, using the above computations of  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{A}_{0,5})$ ,  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_{0,5})$ , and  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_{0,5})$ , we get that  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{2,5}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^5 \vee \mathbb{S}^5 \vee \mathbb{S}^5$ . For  $n \geq 3$ , one can use the same procedures as in Claim 3.10 to obtain the independence complex of  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}$ . Therefore, we have the following:

$$\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}^1 & \text{for } n = 0, \\ \mathbb{S}^3 \vee \mathbb{S}^3 & \text{for } n = 1, \\ \mathbb{S}^5 \vee \mathbb{S}^5 \vee \mathbb{S}^5 & \text{for } n = 2, \\ \Sigma^3 \text{Ind}(\tilde{A}_{n-2,5}) \vee \Sigma^4 \text{Ind}(\tilde{B}_{n-3,5}) \vee \Sigma^3 \text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_{n-2,5}) \vee \Sigma^3 \text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_{n-2,5}) & \text{for } n \geq 3. \end{cases} \quad (3.25)$$

•  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Lambda}_{n,5})$ :

Using Proposition 2.3, we get  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Lambda}_{0,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(\tilde{\Lambda}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}\})$ . Observe that  $l_1$  is a simplicial vertex, and  $N(l_1) = \{g_{11}, l_2\}$  in the graph  $\tilde{\Lambda}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}\}$ . Therefore, Proposition 2.4 implies that  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Lambda}_{0,5}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}((\tilde{\Lambda}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}\}) \setminus N[g_{11}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}((\tilde{\Lambda}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}\}) \setminus N[l_2])$ . The graph  $(\tilde{\Lambda}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}\}) \setminus N[g_{11}]$  consists of two connected components; one component is an edge, and the other component is isomorphic to  $K_3$ . On the other hand,  $(\tilde{\Lambda}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}\}) \setminus N[l_2]$  is a path with 6 vertices. Therefore, by Proposition 2.7 and Proposition 2.8,  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Lambda}_{0,5}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2$ . For  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Lambda}_{n,5})$  follow the same pattern as those in Claim 3.15. Thus, we have the following:

$$\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Lambda}_{n,5}) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2 & \text{for } n = 0, \\ \Sigma^2 \left( \bigvee_2(\text{Ind}(\tilde{A}_{n-1,5})) \bigvee_2(\text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_{n-1,5})) \bigvee \text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_{n-1,5}) \bigvee \text{Ind}(\tilde{F}_{n-1,5}) \right) & \text{for } n \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.26)$$

•  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{E}_{n,5})$ :

Using Proposition 2.3, we get  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{E}_{0,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(\tilde{E}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}\})$ . Observe that the graph  $\tilde{E}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}\}$  is a cycle on 7 vertices. Thus,  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{E}_{0,5}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1$ .

For  $n \geq 1$ , we proceed in the same way as in Claim 3.16. Using Equation (3.18), we get  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{E}_{n,5}) \simeq \Sigma^2 \left( \bigvee_2 \text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_{n,5}^5) \right) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(\tilde{A}_{n-1,5}) \vee \text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5})$ , where the graph

$\tilde{C}_{n,5}^5$  (see Figure 20(a)) is obtained from the graph  $C_{n,5}^5$  (see Figure 14(d)). In case of  $n = 1$ ,  $N(g_{44}) \subseteq N(g_{33})$  in  $\tilde{C}_1^5$  and therefore  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_1^5) \simeq \text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_1^5 \setminus g_{33})$ . Since the graph  $\tilde{C}_{n,5}^5 \setminus g_{33}$  is a graph on 6 vertices,  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_1^5) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1$ . Now  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{A}_0) \simeq \mathbb{S}^2$  and  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{1,5}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^3 \vee \mathbb{S}^3$  imply that  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{E}_1) \simeq \bigvee_5 \mathbb{S}^3$ . For  $n \geq 2$ , one can use the same arguments as those in Claim 3.13 to get  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_{n,5}^5) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(\tilde{A}_{n-2,5}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(\tilde{F}_{n-2,5})$ . Thus, combining all of these, we have the following:

$$\text{Ind}(\tilde{E}_{n,5}) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}^1 & \text{for } n = 0, \\ \bigvee_5 \mathbb{S}^3 & \text{for } n = 1, \\ \Sigma^3 \left( \bigvee_2 \text{Ind}(\tilde{A}_{n-2,5}) \bigvee_2 \text{Ind}(\tilde{F}_{n-2,5}) \right) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(\tilde{A}_{n-1,5}) \vee \text{Ind}(\Gamma'_{n,5}) & \text{for } n \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (3.27)$$

•  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{F}_{n,5})$ :

From Proposition 2.3,  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{F}_{0,5}) \simeq \text{Ind}(\tilde{F}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}\})$ . Since  $f_3$  is a simplicial vertex in  $\tilde{F}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}\}$  with  $N(f_3) = \{g_{41}, f_2\}$ ,  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{F}_{0,5}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}((\tilde{F}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}\}) \setminus N[g_{41}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}((\tilde{F}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}\}) \setminus N[f_2])$ . Both the graphs  $(\tilde{F}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}\}) \setminus N[g_{41}]$  and  $(\tilde{F}_{0,5} \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}\}) \setminus N[f_2]$  are isomorphic to  $P_5$ . Hence,  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{F}_{0,5}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2$ . For  $n \geq 1$ , the relations for  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{F}_{n,5})$  follows from a similar argument as those in Claim 3.17. Thus,

$$\text{Ind}(\tilde{F}_{n,5}) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2 & \text{for } n = 0, \\ \Sigma \text{Ind}(\tilde{B}_{n-1,5}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_{n-1,5}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(\tilde{C}_{n-1,5}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_{n-1,5}) & \text{for } n \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.28)$$

**Theorem 3.19.** *For any graph  $\tilde{G}_{n,5} \in \{\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}, \tilde{\Lambda}_{n,5}, \tilde{A}_{n,5}, \tilde{B}_{n,5}, \tilde{C}_{n,5}, \tilde{D}_{n,5}, \tilde{E}_{n,5}, \tilde{F}_{n,5} : n \geq 0\}$ ,  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{G}_{n,5})$  is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres.*

*Proof.* The result follows from Equations (3.21) to (3.28), and the induction on  $n$ .  $\square$

### 3.3 Homotopy type of $M(P_n \times P_5)$

In this section, we conclude the homotopy type of  $M(P_n \times P_5)$ . We also determine the maximum and minimum dimensions of the spheres occurring in the homotopy type of the independence complexes of the graphs in  $\{\Gamma_{n,5}, \Lambda_{n,5}, A_{n,5}, B_{n,5}, C_{n,5}, D_{n,5}, E_{n,5}, F_{n,5}\}$ .

**Theorem 3.20.** *For  $n \geq 2$ ,  $M(P_n \times P_5)$  is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres.*

*Proof. Case 1:* If  $n$  is even, i.e.  $n = 2k$  for some positive integer  $k$ , then  $P_n \times P_5$  comprises of two isomorphic connected components, whose line graph is isomorphic to  $\Gamma_{k-1,5}$ . Thus,

$$M(P_n \times P_5) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{k-1,5}) * \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{k-1,5}).$$

Now, the result follows from Theorem 3.18.

*Case 2:* If  $n$  is odd, i.e.  $n = 2k + 1$  for some positive integer  $k$ , then  $P_n \times P_5$  comprises of two non-isomorphic connected components with corresponding line graphs isomorphic to  $\Lambda_{k-1,5}$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{k-1,5}$ . Thus,

$$M(P_n \times P_5) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{k-1,5}) * \text{Ind}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{k-1,5}).$$

Result follows from Theorem 3.18 and Theorem 3.19.  $\square$

For a graph  $G$  whose independence complex is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres, let  $d_{\max}(\text{Ind}(G))$  and  $d_{\min}(\text{Ind}(G))$  denote the maximum and minimum dimension of spheres appearing in the homotopy type of  $\text{Ind}(G)$ .

**Proposition 3.21.** *For  $n \geq 0$ , the maximum and minimum dimension of the spheres in the homotopy type of the independence complexes of graphs in  $\{\Gamma_{n,5}, \Lambda_{n,5}, A_{n,5}, B_{n,5}, C_{n,5}, D_{n,5}, E_{n,5}, F_{n,5}\}$  are given in Table 3 and Table 4 respectively.*

| $n \equiv$   | $d_{max}$ of independence complexes of graphs |                       |                       |                       |                       |
|--------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|              | $\Gamma_{n,5}, E_{n,5}$                       | $\Lambda_{n,5}$       | $A_{n,5}, F_{n,5}$    | $B_{n,5}$             | $C_{n,5}, D_{n,5}$    |
| $0 \pmod{4}$ | $7\binom{n}{4}(n \neq 0)$                     | $7\binom{n}{4} + 1$   | $7\binom{n}{4} + 1$   | $7\binom{n}{4} + 2$   | $7\binom{n}{4} + 1$   |
| $1 \pmod{4}$ | $7\binom{n-1}{4} + 2$                         | $7\binom{n-1}{4} + 3$ | $7\binom{n-1}{4} + 3$ | $7\binom{n-1}{4} + 3$ | $7\binom{n-1}{4} + 2$ |
| $2 \pmod{4}$ | $7\binom{n-2}{4} + 4$                         | $7\binom{n-2}{4} + 5$ | $7\binom{n-2}{4} + 4$ | $7\binom{n-2}{4} + 5$ | $7\binom{n-2}{4} + 4$ |
| $3 \pmod{4}$ | $7\binom{n-3}{4} + 6$                         | $7\binom{n-3}{4} + 6$ | $7\binom{n-3}{4} + 6$ | $7\binom{n+1}{4}$     | $7\binom{n-3}{4} + 6$ |

TABLE 3

| $n \equiv$   | $d_{min}$ of independence complexes of graphs |                          |                       |                       |                       |
|--------------|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|              | $\Gamma_{n,5}, E_{n,5}$                       | $\Lambda_{n,5}, A_{n,5}$ | $F_{n,5}, D_{n,5}$    | $B_{n,5}$             | $C_{n,5}$             |
| $0 \pmod{5}$ | $8\binom{n}{5}(n \neq 0)$                     | $8\binom{n}{5} + 1$      | $8\binom{n}{5} + 1$   | $8\binom{n}{5} + 1$   | $8\binom{n}{5} + 1$   |
| $1 \pmod{5}$ | $8\binom{n-1}{5} + 2$                         | $8\binom{n-1}{5} + 3$    | $8\binom{n-1}{5} + 2$ | $8\binom{n-1}{5} + 3$ | $8\binom{n-1}{5} + 2$ |
| $2 \pmod{5}$ | $8\binom{n-2}{5} + 4$                         | $8\binom{n-2}{5} + 4$    | $8\binom{n-2}{5} + 4$ | $8\binom{n-2}{5} + 5$ | $8\binom{n-2}{5} + 4$ |
| $3 \pmod{5}$ | $8\binom{n-3}{5} + 5$                         | $8\binom{n-3}{5} + 6$    | $8\binom{n-3}{5} + 6$ | $8\binom{n-3}{5} + 6$ | $8\binom{n-3}{5} + 5$ |
| $4 \pmod{5}$ | $8\binom{n-4}{5} + 7$                         | $8\binom{n-4}{5} + 7$    | $8\binom{n-4}{5} + 7$ | $8\binom{n-4}{5} + 8$ | $8\binom{n-4}{5} + 7$ |

TABLE 4

*Proof.* We prove the statement by using induction on the graphs in  $\{\Gamma_{n,5}, \Lambda_{n,5}, A_{n,5}, B_{n,5}, C_{n,5}, D_{n,5}, E_{n,5}, F_{n,5}\}$  for  $n \geq 0$ . The statement holds for  $n = 0$  from [Claims 3.4](#) to [3.9](#) and  $\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{0,5})$ . Assume that the statement holds for all integers less than  $n$ . For  $\Gamma_{n,5}$ , [Claim 3.10](#) implies that the statement holds for  $n = 1, 2$  as well. Further, for  $n \geq 3$ , we have

$$\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}) \simeq \Sigma^3 \text{Ind}(A_{n-2,5}) \vee \Sigma^4 \text{Ind}(B_{n-3,5}) \vee \Sigma^3 \text{Ind}(C_{n-2,5}) \vee \Sigma^3 \text{Ind}(D_{n-2,5}). \quad (3.29)$$

Therefore,  $d_{max}(\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}))$  is given by

$$\max\{3 + d_{max}(\text{Ind}(A_{n-2,5})), 4 + d_{max}(\text{Ind}(B_{n-3,5})), 3 + d_{max}(\text{Ind}(C_{n-2,5})), 3 + d_{max}(\text{Ind}(D_{n-2,5}))\}.$$

Let  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Then  $n - 2$  and  $n - 3$  are congruent to 2 and 1 modulo 4, respectively. By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{max}(\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5})) &= \max\{3 + (7(n-4)/4 + 4), 4 + (7(n-4)/4 + 3), \\ &\quad 3 + (7(n-4)/4 + 4), 3 + (7(n-4)/4 + 4)\} \\ &= 7\binom{n}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $n - 2$  and  $n - 3$  are congruent to 3 and 2 modulo 4, respectively. Thus by induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{max}(\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5})) &= \max\{3 + (7(n-5)/4 + 6), 4 + (7(n-5)/4 + 5), \\ &\quad 3 + (7(n-5)/4 + 6), 3 + (7(n-4)/4 + 6)\} \\ &= 7\binom{n-5}{4} + 9 = 7\binom{n-1}{4} + 2. \end{aligned}$$

For  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ,  $n - 2$  and  $n - 3$  are congruent to 0 and 3 modulo 4, respectively. By induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{max}(\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5})) &= \max\{3 + (7(n-2)/4 + 1), 4 + (7(n-2)/4), \\ &\quad 3 + (7(n-2)/4 + 1), 3 + (7(n-2)/4 + 1)\} \\ &= 7 \binom{n-2}{4} + 4. \end{aligned}$$

For  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,  $n - 2$  and  $n - 3$  are congruent to 1 and 0 modulo 4, respectively. By induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{max}(\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5})) &= \max\{3 + (7(n-3)/4 + 3), 4 + (7(n-3)/4) + 2, \\ &\quad 3 + (7(n-3)/4 + 2), 3 + (7(n-3)/4 + 2)\} \\ &= 7 \binom{n-3}{4} + 6. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,  $d_{min}(\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}))$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\min\{3 + d_{min}(\text{Ind}(A_{n-2,5})), 4 + d_{min}(\text{Ind}(B_{n-3,5})), \\ &\quad 3 + d_{min}(\text{Ind}(C_{n-2,5})), 3 + d_{min}(\text{Ind}(D_{n-2,5}))\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ ,  $n - 2$  and  $n - 3$  are congruent to 3 and 2 modulo 5, respectively. Therefore, using induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{min}(\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5})) &= \min\{3 + (8(n-5)/5 + 6), 4 + (8(n-5)/5 + 5), \\ &\quad 3 + (8(n-5)/5 + 5), 3 + (8(n-5)/5 + 6)\} \\ &= 8 \binom{n-5}{5} + 8 = 8 \binom{n}{5}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ ,  $n - 2$  and  $n - 3$  are congruent to 4 and 3 modulo 5, respectively. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{min}(\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5})) &= \min\{3 + (8(n-6)/5 + 7), 4 + (8(n-6)/5 + 6), \\ &\quad 3 + (8(n-6)/5 + 7), 3 + (8(n-6)/5 + 7)\} \\ &= 8 \binom{n-6}{5} + 10 = 8 \binom{n-1}{5} + 2. \end{aligned}$$

For  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ ,  $n - 2$  and  $n - 3$  are congruent to 0 and 4 modulo 5, respectively. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{min}(\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5})) &= \min\{3 + (8(n-2)/5 + 1), 4 + (8(n-7)/5 + 8), \\ &\quad 3 + (8(n-2)/5 + 1), 3 + (8(n-2)/5 + 1)\} \\ &= 8 \binom{n-2}{5} + 4. \end{aligned}$$

For  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ ,  $n - 2$  and  $n - 3$  are congruent to 1 and 0 modulo 5, respectively. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{min}(\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5})) &= \min\{3 + (8(n-3)/5 + 3), 4 + (8(n-3)/5 + 1), \\ &\quad 3 + (8(n-3)/5 + 2), 3 + (8(n-3)/5 + 2)\} \\ &= 8 \binom{n-3}{5} + 5. \end{aligned}$$

For  $n \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ ,  $n - 2$  and  $n - 3$  are congruent to 2 and 1 modulo 5, respectively. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{min}(\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5})) &= \min\{3 + (8(n-4)/5 + 4), 4 + (8(n-4)/5 + 3), \\ &\quad 3 + (8(n-4)/5 + 4), 3 + (8(n-4)/5 + 4)\} \\ &= 8 \binom{n-4}{5} + 7. \end{aligned}$$



The proof follows similarly for the maximum and minimum dimension of the wedge in independence complexes of  $\Lambda_{n,5}, A_{n,5}, B_{n,5}, C_{n,5}, D_{n,5}, E_{n,5}$  and  $F_{n,5}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.22.** *For  $n \geq 0$ , the maximum and minimum dimension of the spheres in the homotopy type of the independence complexes of graphs in  $\{\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}, \tilde{\Lambda}_{n,5}, \tilde{A}_{n,5}, \tilde{B}_{n,5}, \tilde{C}_{n,5}, \tilde{D}_{n,5}, \tilde{E}_{n,5}, \tilde{F}_{n,5}\}$  are given in Table 5 and Table 6 respectively.*

| $n \equiv$ | $d_{max}$ of independence complexes of graphs |                         |                                    |                       |                                    |
|------------|---|-------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
|            | $\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}, \tilde{E}_{n,5}$       | $\tilde{\Lambda}_{n,5}$ | $\tilde{A}_{n,5}, \tilde{F}_{n,5}$ | $\tilde{B}_{n,5}$     | $\tilde{C}_{n,5}, \tilde{D}_{n,5}$ |
| 0 (mod 4)  | $7\binom{n}{4} + 1$ ( $n \neq 0$ )            | $7\binom{n}{4} + 2$     | $7\binom{n}{4} + 2$                | $7\binom{n}{4} + 3$   | $7\binom{n}{4} + 2$                |
| 1 (mod 4)  | $7\binom{n-1}{4} + 3$                         | $7\binom{n-1}{4} + 4$   | $7\binom{n-1}{4} + 4$              | $7\binom{n-1}{4} + 4$ | $7\binom{n-1}{4} + 3$              |
| 2 (mod 4)  | $7\binom{n-2}{4} + 5$                         | $7\binom{n-2}{4} + 6$   | $7\binom{n-2}{4} + 5$              | $7\binom{n-2}{4} + 6$ | $7\binom{n-2}{4} + 5$              |
| 3 (mod 4)  | $7\binom{n+1}{4}$                             | $7\binom{n+1}{4}$       | $7\binom{n+1}{4}$                  | $7\binom{n+1}{4} + 1$ | $7\binom{n+1}{4}$                  |

TABLE 5

| $n \equiv$ | $d_{min}$ of independence complexes of graphs |  |                       |                       |                                    |
|------------|---|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
|            | $\tilde{\Gamma}_{n,5}, \tilde{E}_{n,5}$       | $\tilde{\Lambda}_{n,5}, \tilde{A}_{n,5}$ | $\tilde{F}_{n,5}$     | $\tilde{B}_{n,5}$     | $\tilde{C}_{n,5}, \tilde{D}_{n,5}$ |
| 0 (mod 5)  | $8\binom{n}{5} + 2$ ( $n \neq 0$ )            | $8\binom{n}{5} + 2$                      | $8\binom{n}{5} + 2$   | $8\binom{n}{5} + 3$   | $8\binom{n}{5} + 2$                |
| 1 (mod 5)  | $8\binom{n-1}{5} + 3$                         | $8\binom{n-1}{5} + 4$                    | $8\binom{n-1}{5} + 4$ | $8\binom{n-1}{5} + 4$ | $8\binom{n-1}{5} + 3$              |
| 2 (mod 5)  | $8\binom{n-2}{5} + 5$                         | $8\binom{n-2}{5} + 5$                    | $8\binom{n-2}{5} + 5$ | $8\binom{n-2}{5} + 6$ | $8\binom{n-2}{5} + 5$              |
| 3 (mod 5)  | $8\binom{n-3}{5} + 6$                         | $8\binom{n-3}{5} + 7$                    | $8\binom{n-3}{5} + 7$ | $8\binom{n-3}{5} + 7$ | $8\binom{n-3}{5} + 7$              |
| 4 (mod 5)  | $8\binom{n+1}{5}$                             | $8\binom{n+1}{5} + 1$                    | $8\binom{n+1}{5}$     | $8\binom{n+1}{5} + 1$ | $8\binom{n+1}{5}$                  |

TABLE 6

*Proof.* The proof is verbatim to the proof of Proposition 3.21.  $\square$

**Remark 3.23.** *The maximum and minimum dimension of spheres in the homotopy type of  $M(P_n \times P_5)$  as given in Proposition 1.4 can be concluded from Tables 3 to 6.*

#### 4 MATCHING COMPLEXES OF $P_n \times P_4$

In this section, we aim to determine the homotopy type of the matching complex of the categorical product  $P_n \times P_4$ . The categorical product  $P_n \times P_4$  is characterized by being disconnected, comprising precisely two connected components. Notably, regardless of whether  $n$  is odd or even, these components are isomorphic. For  $n \geq 0$ , we denote the connected components of the line graphs of  $P_{2n+2} \times P_4$  and  $P_{2n+3} \times P_4$  by  $\Gamma_{n,4}$  (see Figure 22) and  $\Lambda_{n,4}$  (see Figure 23), respectively. Therefore, we have the following:

$$M(P_{2n+2} \times P_4) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,4}) * \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,5}),$$

$$M(P_{2n+3} \times P_4) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,5}) * \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,5}).$$

During the computation of the homotopy type of the independence complexes of graphs  $\Gamma_{n,4}$  and  $\Lambda_{n,4}$  for  $n \geq 0$ , we encounter several intermediary graphs denoted as  $A_{n,4}, B_{n,4}, C_{n,4}$ , and  $D_{n,4}$ . We illustrate that the independence complexes of  $\Gamma_{n,4}$  and  $\Lambda_{n,4}$  can be represented as the wedge of suspensions of these intermediary graphs. By employing induction on  $n$ , we establish that the independence complexes of  $\Gamma_{n,4}$ ,  $\Lambda_{n,4}$ , and the intermediary graphs are homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres. The graphs  $A_{n,4}, B_{n,4}, C_{n,4}$ , and  $D_{n,4}$  are shown in Figures 24, 26, 27 and 29, respectively. In the subsequent subsections, we proceed to determine the homotopy type of the independence complexes of  $\Gamma_{n,4}$ ,  $\Lambda_{n,4}$  and the aforementioned intermediary graphs.

### 4.1 Graph $\Gamma_{n,4}$

For  $n \geq 0$ , we define the graph  $\Gamma_{n,4}$  as follows:

$$V(\Gamma_{n,4}) = \{g_{ij} : i = 1, 2, 3 \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n+1\},$$

$$E(\Gamma_{n,4}) = \{g_{ij}g_{i+1j} : i = 1, 2 \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n+1\}$$

$$\cup \{g_{ij}g_{i+1j+1} : i = 1, 2, 3 \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$$

$$\cup \{g_{ij}g_{i+1j+1}, g_{ij+1}g_{i+1j} : i = 1, 2, j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n \text{ and } i + j \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}.$$




FIGURE 22.  $\Gamma_{n,4}$

**Claim 4.1.** For  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,4}) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}^0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n-1,4}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n-2,4}) & \text{if } n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Since  $\Gamma_{0,4}$  is a path with three vertices (see Figure 22),  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{0,4}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^0$ . Now, consider  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $N(g_{11}) \subseteq N(g_{22})$  in the graph  $\Gamma_{n,4}$ , we have  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,4}) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,4} \setminus g_{22})$ . Let  $\Gamma_{n,4}^1 := \Gamma_{n,4} \setminus g_{22}$ . Since  $g_{31}$  is a simplicial vertex in  $\Gamma_{n,4}^1$  with  $N(g_{31}) = \{g_{21}, g_{32}\}$ , Proposition 2.4 implies that  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,4}^1) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{21}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{32}])$ . Observe that the graph  $\Gamma_{n,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{21}]$  is isomorphic to  $A_{n-1,4}$  (see Figure 24). Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,4}^1) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n-1,4}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{32}])$ . In case of  $n = 1$ , the graph  $\Gamma_{1,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{32}]$  is isomorphic to the graph  $A_{0,4}$  (see Figure 24). Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{1,4}^1) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{0,4}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{0,4})$ . This implies that  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{1,4}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1$ .

Now, consider  $n \geq 2$ . Since  $g_{11}$  is a simplicial vertex and  $g_{12}$  is the only neighbor of  $g_{11}$  in the graph  $\Gamma_{n,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{32}]$ , we get  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{32}]) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{32}, g_{12}])$ . Observe that the graph  $\Gamma_{n,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{32}, g_{12}]$  is isomorphic to  $\Lambda_{n-2,4}$  (Figure 23) and therefore  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{32}]) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n-2,4})$ . Thus,  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,4}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n-1,4}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n-2,4})$ .  $\square$

### 4.2 Graph $\Lambda_{n,4}$

For  $n \geq 0$ , we define the graph  $\Lambda_{n,4}$  as follows:

$$V(\Lambda_{n,4}) = V(\Gamma_{n,4}) \cup \{g'_1, g'_2, g'_3\},$$

$$E(\Lambda_{n,4}) = E(\Gamma_{n,4}) \cup \{g'_1g'_2, g'_1g_{11}, g'_1g_{21}, g'_2g'_3, g'_2g_{21}, g'_2g_{11}, g'_3g_{31}\}.$$




FIGURE 23.  $\Lambda_{n,4}$

**Claim 4.2.** For  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,4}) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}^1 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \Sigma \text{Ind}(B_{n-1,4}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n-1,4}) & \text{if } n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Since  $N(g'_3) \subseteq N(g_{21})$  in the graph  $\Lambda_{n,4}$  (see Figure 23),  $\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,4}) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,4} \setminus g_{21})$ . If  $n = 0$ , then  $N(g_{31}) \subseteq N(g'_2)$  in  $\Lambda_{0,4} \setminus g_{21}$ . Hence  $\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{0,4}) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{0,4} \setminus \{g_{21}, g'_2\})$ . Now, the graph  $\Lambda_{0,4} \setminus \{g_{21}, g'_2\}$  is the disjoint union of two edges  $g'_1 g_{11}$  and  $g'_3 g_{31}$ . Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{0,4}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1$ .

Now, let  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $g'_1$  is a simplicial vertex in  $\Lambda_{n,4} \setminus g_{21}$ , with  $N(g'_1) = \{g'_2, g_{11}\}$ , using Proposition 2.4, we get

$$\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,4} \setminus g_{21}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}((\Lambda_{n,4} \setminus g_{21}) \setminus N[g'_2]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}((\Lambda_{n,4} \setminus g_{21}) \setminus N[g_{11}]).$$

However, the graph  $(\Lambda_{n,4} \setminus g_{21}) \setminus N[g'_2]$  is isomorphic to  $B_{n-1,4}$  (see Figure 26). Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,4} \setminus g_{21}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(B_{n-1,4}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}((\Lambda_{n,4} \setminus g_{21}) \setminus N[g_{11}])$ . Let  $\Lambda_{n,4}^1 := (\Lambda_{n,4} \setminus g_{21}) \setminus N[g_{11}]$ . Further, since  $g'_3$  is a simplicial vertex in the graph  $\Lambda_{n,4}^1$  with  $N(g'_3) = \{g_{31}\}$ ,  $\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,4}^1) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{31}])$ . Observe that the graph  $\Lambda_{n,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{31}]$  is isomorphic to  $\Gamma_{n-1,4}$ . Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,4}^1) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n-1,4})$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 4.3 Graph $A_{n,4}$

For  $n \geq 0$ , we define the graph  $A_{n,4}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V(A_{n,4}) &= V(\Gamma_{n,4}) \cup \{a_1\}, \\ E(A_{n,4}) &= E(\Gamma_{n,4}) \cup \{a_1 g_{11}, a_1 g_{21}\}. \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 24.  $A_{n,4}$

**Claim 4.3.** For  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$\text{Ind}(A_{n,4}) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}^0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^2 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n-1,4}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(B_{n-2,4}) \vee \Sigma^3 \text{Ind}(C_{n-2,4}) & \text{if } n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$




FIGURE 25

*Proof.* Since  $N(g_{31}) \subseteq N(a_1) \cap N(g_{11})$  in the graph  $A_{0,4}$  (see Figure 24), using Proposition 2.3, we get  $\text{Ind}(A_{0,4}) \simeq \text{Ind}(A_{0,4} \setminus \{a_1, g_{11}\}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^0$ . So, we assume that  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $a_1$  is a simplicial vertex in  $A_{n,4}$  with  $N(a_1) = \{g_{11}, g_{21}\}$ , from Proposition 2.4, we get

$$\text{Ind}(A_{n,4}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n,4} \setminus N[g_{11}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n,4} \setminus N[g_{21}])$$

$$\simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n,4} \setminus N[g_{11}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n-1,4}), \quad (4.1)$$

where the second homotopy equivalence from the observation that  $A_{n,4} \setminus N[g_{21}]$  is isomorphic to  $A_{n-1,4}$ . Let  $A_{n,4}^1 := A_{n,4} \setminus N[g_{11}]$  (see Figure 25(a)). Since  $g_{31}$  is a simplicial vertex in the graph  $A_{n,4}^1$  with  $N(g_{31}) = \{g_{22}, g_{32}\}$ , we have

$$\text{Ind}(A_{n,4}^1) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{22}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{32}]). \quad (4.2)$$

In particular, for  $n = 1$ , the graph  $A_{1,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{22}]$  consists of a single vertex  $g_{33}$ , and  $A_{1,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{32}]$  is a graph consisting of exactly one edge  $g_{13}g_{23}$ . Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(A_{1,4}^1) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1$ , and it follows that  $\text{Ind}(A_{1,4}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^2$ .

Now, consider  $n \geq 2$ . Observe that the graph  $A_{n,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{22}]$  is isomorphic to  $B_{n-2,4}$  (see Figure 26). Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(A_{n,4}^1) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(B_{n-2,4}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{32}])$ .

We now compute  $\text{Ind}(A_{n,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{32}])$ . Since  $N(g_{13}) \subseteq N(g_{24})$  in the graph  $A_{n,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{32}]$  (see Figure 25(a)),  $\text{Ind}(A_{n,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{32}]) \simeq \text{Ind}((A_{n,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{32}]) \setminus g_{24})$ . Let  $A_{n,4}^2 = (A_{n,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{32}]) \setminus g_{24}$ . Then  $\text{Ind}(A_{n,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{32}]) \simeq \text{Ind}(A_{n,4}^2)$ . Observe that  $[g_{34}, g_{25}; g_{13}]$  and  $[g_{34}, g_{15}; g_{13}]$  are edge-invariant triplets in the graphs  $A_{n,4}^2$  (see Figure 25(b)) and  $A_{n,4}^2 + \{g_{34}g_{25}\}$ , respectively. Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(A_{n,4}^2) \simeq \text{Ind}(A_{n,4}^2 + \{g_{34}g_{25}, g_{34}g_{15}\})$ . Let the resultant graph that we obtain be  $A_{n,4}^3$  (see Figure 25(c)). Then  $\text{Ind}(A_{n,4}^2) \simeq \text{Ind}(A_{n,4}^3)$ . Since  $[g_{23}, g_{34}; g_{14}]$  is an edge-invariant triplet in the graph  $A_{n,4}^3$  (see Figure 25(c)), we find that  $\text{Ind}(A_{n,4}^3) \simeq \text{Ind}(A_{n,4}^3 - \{g_{23}g_{34}\})$ . Furthermore,  $N(g_{23}) \subseteq N(g_{14})$  in the graph  $A_{n,4}^3 - \{g_{23}g_{34}\}$  implies that  $\text{Ind}(A_{n,4}^3) \simeq \text{Ind}((A_{n,4}^3 - \{g_{23}g_{34}\}) \setminus g_{14})$ . Since the graph  $(A_{n,4}^3 - \{g_{23}g_{34}\}) \setminus g_{14}$  consists of two connected components: one isomorphic to  $K_2$  and the other component is isomorphic to the graph  $C_{n-2,4}$  (see Figure 27), we obtain the following

$$\text{Ind}(A_{n,4}^1 \setminus N[g_{32}]) \simeq \text{Ind}(A_{n,4}^2) \simeq \text{Ind}(A_{n,4}^3) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(C_{n-2,4}). \quad (4.3)$$

Now, the result follows from (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3).  $\square$

#### 4.4 Graph $B_{n,4}$

For  $n \geq 0$ , we define the graph  $B_{n,4}$  as follows:

$$V(B_{n,4}) = V(\Gamma_{n,4}) \cup \{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4\},$$

$$E(B_{n,4}) = E(\Gamma_{n,4}) \cup \{b_1b_3, b_1b_4, b_2b_3, b_3b_4, b_2g_{11}, b_2g_{21}, b_3g_{11}, b_3g_{21}, b_4g_{31}\}.$$




FIGURE 26.  $B_{n,4}$

**Claim 4.4.** For  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$\text{Ind}(B_{n,4}) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \vee_3 \mathbb{S}^2 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \Sigma \text{Ind}(B_{n-1,4}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(A_{n-1,4}) \vee \Sigma^3 \text{Ind}(D_{n-2,4}) & \text{if } n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Since  $b_1$  is a simplicial vertex in  $B_{n,4}$  with  $N(b_1) = \{b_3, b_4\}$ , we get  $\text{Ind}(B_{n,4}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(B_{n,4} \setminus N[b_3]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(B_{n,4} \setminus N[b_4])$ . In the case of  $n = 0$ , the graph  $B_{0,4} \setminus N[b_3]$  consists of an isolated vertex,  $g_{31}$ , and  $B_{0,4} \setminus N[b_4]$  is isomorphic to the complete graph  $K_3$ . Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(B_{0,4}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1$ .

Let  $n = 1$ . In the case of  $B_{1,4}$ , the graph  $B_{1,4} \setminus N[b_3]$  is isomorphic to  $B_{0,4}$ . Hence,  $\text{Ind}(B_{1,4} \setminus N[b_3]) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1$ . Let  $B'_{1,4} = B_{1,4} \setminus N[b_4]$ . Then,  $b_2$  is a simplicial vertex in the graph  $B'_{1,4}$  with  $N(b_2) = \{g_{11}, g_{21}\}$ , implying that  $\text{Ind}(B'_{1,4}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(B'_{1,4} \setminus N[g_{11}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(B'_{1,4} \setminus N[g_{21}])$ . Since  $N(g_{32}) \subseteq N(g_{23})$  in the graph  $B'_{1,4} \setminus N[g_{11}]$ , we get  $\text{Ind}(B'_{1,4} \setminus N[g_{11}]) \simeq \text{Ind}((B'_{1,4} \setminus N[g_{11}]) \setminus g_{23})$ . However, the graph  $(B'_{1,4} \setminus N[g_{11}]) \setminus g_{23}$  is a path on 4 vertices, implying that  $\text{Ind}(B'_{1,4} \setminus N[g_{11}])$  is contractible. On the other hand, the graph  $B'_{1,4} \setminus N[g_{21}]$  is isomorphic to  $A_{0,4}$ . Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(B'_{1,4}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1$ , and it follows that  $\text{Ind}(B_{1,4}) \simeq \vee_3 \mathbb{S}^2$ . It is evident that the graphs  $B_{n,4}$  and  $A_{n,4}$  exhibit similarities. Additionally, the graph  $B_{n,4}$  can be derived from  $A_{n,4}$  by introducing four vertices, namely  $b_1, b_2, b_3$ , and  $b_4$ , with  $b_2, b_3$ , and  $b_4$  forming a vertical line. Consequently, for  $n \geq 2$ , the proof proceeds analogously to the approach outlined in [Claim 4.3](#).  $\square$

#### 4.5 Graph $C_{n,4}$

For  $n \geq 0$ , we define the graph  $C_{n,4}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V(C_{n,4}) &= V(\Gamma_{n,4}) \cup \{c_1\}, \\ E(C_{n,4}) &= E(\Gamma_{n,4}) \cup \{c_1g_{11}, c_1g_{21}, c_1g_{31}\}. \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 27.  $C_{n,4}$

**Claim 4.5.** For  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$\text{Ind}(C_{n,4}) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}^0 \vee \mathbb{S}^0 & \text{for } n = 0, \\ \mathbb{S}^1 & \text{for } n = 1, \\ \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n-1,4}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(B_{n-2,4}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(D_{n-2,4}) & \text{for } n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Since  $N(g_{11}) \subseteq N(g_{31})$  in the graph  $C_{0,4}$ , we get  $\text{Ind}(C_{0,4}) \simeq \text{Ind}(C_{0,4} \setminus g_{31})$ . However, the graph  $C_{0,4} \setminus g_{31}$  is isomorphic to a cycle with three vertices, and therefore  $\text{Ind}(C_{0,4}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^0 \vee \mathbb{S}^0$ .

For  $n \geq 1$ , the inclusion map  $\text{Ind}(C_{n,4} \setminus N[g_{21}]) \hookrightarrow \text{Ind}(C_{n,4} \setminus g_{21})$  is null homotopic, because  $\text{Ind}(C_{n,4} \setminus N[g_{21}]) * \{c_1\} \subseteq \text{Ind}(C_{n,4} \setminus g_{21})$ . Since the graph  $C_{n,4} \setminus N[g_{21}]$  is isomorphic to  $A_{n-1,4}$ , from [Proposition 2.2](#), we get

$$\text{Ind}(C_{n,4}) \simeq \text{Ind}(C_{n,4} \setminus g_{21}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(C_{n,4} \setminus N[g_{21}]) \simeq \text{Ind}(C_{n,4} \setminus g_{21}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n-1,4}).$$




FIGURE 28

Let  $C_{n,4}^1 := C_{n,4} \setminus g_{21}$ . Since  $[g_{31}, g_{22}; g_{11}]$  is an edge invariant triplet in the graph  $C_{n,4}^1$ ,  $\text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^1) \simeq \text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^1 - \{g_{31}g_{22}\})$ . Let  $C_{n,4}^2 := C_{n,4}^1 - \{g_{31}g_{22}\}$ . Then  $\text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^1) \simeq \text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^2)$ . Since  $[g_{12}, g_{32}; c_1]$  is an edge-invariant triplet in the graph  $C_{n,4}^2$ ,  $\text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^2) \simeq$

$\text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^2 + \{g_{12}g_{32}\})$ . Furthermore,  $[g_{31}, g_{32}; g_{11}]$  is an edge-invariant triplet in the graph  $C_{n,4}^2 + \{g_{12}g_{32}\}$ . Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^2) \simeq \text{Ind}((C_{n,4}^2 + \{g_{12}g_{32}\}) - \{g_{31}g_{32}\})$ . Observe that  $N(g_{31}) \subseteq N(g_{11})$  in the graph  $(C_{n,4}^2 + \{g_{12}g_{32}\}) - \{g_{31}g_{32}\}$ . Then, using [Proposition 2.3](#), we get that  $\text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^2) \simeq \text{Ind}(((C_{n,4}^2 + \{g_{12}g_{32}\}) - \{g_{31}g_{32}\}) \setminus g_{11})$ . The resultant graph we obtain has two connected components: one consists of the edge  $g_{31}c_1$ , and we denote the other component by  $C_{n,4}^3$  (see [Figure 28\(b\)](#)). This implies that  $\text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^2) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^3)$ .

Since  $\text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^3 \setminus N[g_{12}]) * \{g_{22}\} \subseteq \text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^3 \setminus g_{12})$ , the inclusion map  $\text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^3 \setminus N[g_{12}]) \hookrightarrow \text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^3 \setminus g_{12})$  is null-homotopic. Therefore, by [Proposition 2.2](#) we get

$$\text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^3) \simeq \text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^3 \setminus g_{12}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^3 \setminus N[g_{12}]). \quad (4.4)$$

Since  $g_{33}$  is an isolated vertex in  $C_{1,4}^3 \setminus N[g_{12}]$ ,  $\text{Ind}(C_{1,4}^3 \setminus N[g_{12}])$  is contractible. Observe that  $N(g_{32}) \subseteq N(g_{23})$  in the graph  $C_{1,4}^3 \setminus g_{12}$ . Thus, using [Proposition 2.3](#), we find that  $\text{Ind}(C_{1,4}^3 \setminus g_{12}) \simeq \text{Ind}(C_{1,4}^3 \setminus \{g_{12}, g_{23}\})$ . Since the graph  $C_{1,4}^3 \setminus \{g_{12}, g_{23}\}$  is a path on 4-vertices,  $\text{Ind}(C_{1,4}^3 \setminus g_{12})$  is contractible. Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(C_{1,4}^3)$  is contractible. This implies that  $\text{Ind}(C_{1,4}^1)$  is contractible. Hence,  $\text{Ind}(C_{1,4}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{0,4}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1$ .

Now, consider  $n \geq 2$ . We observe that the graph  $C_{n,4}^3 \setminus N[g_{12}]$  is isomorphic to the graph  $B_{n-2,4}$ . Thus,

$$\text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^3) \simeq \text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^3 \setminus g_{12}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(B_{n-2,4}).$$

Since  $N(g_{32}) \subseteq N(g_{23})$  in  $C_{n,4}^3 \setminus g_{12}$ , using [Proposition 2.3](#) we get  $\text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^3 \setminus g_{12}) \simeq \text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^3 \setminus \{g_{12}, g_{23}\})$ . Let  $C_{n,4}^4 := C_{n,4}^3 \setminus \{g_{12}, g_{23}\}$ . Then  $\text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^3 \setminus g_{12}) \simeq \text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^4)$ . Since,  $[g_{33}, g_{14}; g_{22}]$  and  $[g_{13}, g_{14}; g_{32}]$  are edge-invariant triplets in graphs  $C_{n,4}^4$  and  $C_{n,4}^4 + \{g_{33}g_{14}\}$ , respectively, [Proposition 2.5](#), provides us with  $C_{n,4}^4 \simeq \text{Ind}((C_{n,4}^4 + \{g_{33}g_{14}\}) - \{g_{13}g_{14}\})$ . Let  $C_{n,4}^5 := (C_{n,4}^4 + \{g_{33}g_{14}\}) - \{g_{13}g_{14}\}$ . Then,  $\text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^4) \simeq C_{n,4}^5$ . Since  $N(g_{13}) \subseteq N(g_{32})$  in the graph  $C_{n,4}^5$ , [Proposition 2.3](#) implies that  $\text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^5) \simeq \text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^5 \setminus g_{32})$ . The graph  $C_{n,4}^5 \setminus g_{32}$  consists of two connected components: one component consists of the edge  $g_{22}g_{32}$ , and the other component is isomorphic to  $D_{n-2,4}$ . Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^4) \simeq \text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^5) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(D_{n-2,4})$ . Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(C_{n,4}^3 \setminus g_{12}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(D_{n-2,4})$ . Hence, the result follows.  $\square$

#### 4.6 Graph $D_{n,4}$

For  $n \geq 0$ , we define the graph  $B_{n,4}$  as follows:

$$V(D_{n,4}) = V(\Gamma_{n,4}) \cup \{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4\},$$

$$E(D_{n,4}) = E(\Gamma_{n,4}) \cup \{d_1d_2, d_1d_3, d_1d_4, d_2d_3, d_3d_4, d_2g_{11}, d_2g_{21}, d_3g_{11}, d_3g_{21}, d_4g_{31}\}.$$




FIGURE 29.  $D_{n,4}$

**Claim 4.6.** For  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$\text{Ind}(D_{n,4}) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \bigvee_5 \mathbb{S}^2 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \Sigma \text{Ind}(B_{n-1,4}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(A_{n-1,4}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(C_{n-1,4}) & \text{if } n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Since  $g_{11}$  is a simplicial vertex in  $D_{0,4}$  with  $N(g_{11}) = \{d_2, d_3, g_{21}\}$ ,  $\text{Ind}(D_{0,4}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(D_{0,4} \setminus N[d_2]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(D_{0,4} \setminus N[d_3]) \vee \Sigma(\text{Ind}(D_{0,4} \setminus N[g_{21}]))$ .

Observe that both the graphs  $D_{0,4} \setminus N[d_2]$  and  $D_{0,4} \setminus N[g_{21}]$  are paths with two vertices and the graph  $D_{0,4} \setminus N[d_3]$  consists of an isolated vertex  $g_{31}$ . Hence  $\text{Ind}(D_{0,4}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1$ .

Now, we compute  $\text{Ind}(D_{1,4})$ . The inclusion map  $\text{Ind}(D_{1,4} \setminus N[d_3]) \hookrightarrow \text{Ind}(D_{1,4} \setminus d_3)$  is null homotopic because  $\text{Ind}(D_{1,4} \setminus N[d_3]) * \{d_1\}$  is a subcomplex of  $\text{Ind}(D_{1,4} \setminus d_3)$ . Thus, from [Proposition 2.2](#), we get  $\text{Ind}(D_{1,4}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(D_{1,4} \setminus N[d_3]) \vee \text{Ind}(D_{1,4} \setminus d_3)$ . Observe that the graph  $D_{1,4} \setminus N[d_3]$  is isomorphic to  $B_{0,4}$ . Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(B_{0,4}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1$  implies that

$$\text{Ind}(D_{1,4}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \text{Ind}(D_{1,4} \setminus d_3).$$

Let  $D'_{1,4} = (D_{1,4} \setminus d_3)$ . Then  $g_{13}$  is a simplicial vertex in the graph  $D'_{1,4}$  with  $N(g_{13}) = \{g_{12}, g_{22}, g_{23}\}$ . Using [Proposition 2.4](#), we find that  $\text{Ind}(D'_{1,4}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(D'_{1,4} \setminus N[g_{12}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(D'_{1,4} \setminus N[g_{22}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(D'_{1,4} \setminus N[g_{23}])$ . Since  $N(g_{33}) \subseteq N(g_{21}) \cap N(g_{31})$  in the graph  $D'_{1,4} \setminus N[g_{12}]$ ,  $\text{Ind}(D'_{1,4} \setminus N[g_{12}]) \simeq \text{Ind}((D'_{1,4} \setminus N[g_{12}]) \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}\})$ . Observe that the graph  $(D'_{1,4} \setminus N[g_{12}]) \setminus \{g_{21}, g_{31}\}$  is a disjoint union of two paths with 2 and 3 vertices. Hence  $\text{Ind}(D'_{1,4} \setminus N[g_{12}]) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1$ .

Since the graph  $D'_{1,4} \setminus N[g_{22}]$  contains an isolated vertex  $g_{33}$ ,  $\text{Ind}(D'_{1,4} \setminus N[g_{22}])$  is contractible.

Let  $D''_{1,4} := D'_{1,4} \setminus N[g_{23}]$ . Since  $g_{32}$  is a simplicial vertex in  $D''_{1,4}$  with  $N(g_{32}) = \{g_{21}, g_{31}\}$ , we have  $\text{Ind}(D''_{1,4}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(D''_{1,4} \setminus N[g_{21}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(D''_{1,4} \setminus N[g_{31}])$ . However, the graph  $D''_{1,4} \setminus N[g_{21}]$  is an edge  $d_1 d_4$  and the graph  $D''_{1,4} \setminus N[g_{31}]$  is a path on three vertices  $d_1, d_2$  and  $g_{11}$  and hence, using [Proposition 2.8](#), we get that  $\text{Ind}(D''_{1,4}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1$ . Consequently,  $\text{Ind}(D_{1,4}) \simeq \vee_5 \mathbb{S}^2$ . It is evident that the graphs  $C_{n,4}$  and  $D_{n,4}$  exhibit similarities. Additionally, the graph  $D_{n,4}$  can be derived from  $C_{n,4}$  by introducing four vertices, namely  $d_1, d_2, d_3$ , and  $d_4$ , with  $d_2, d_3$ , and  $d_4$  forming an extra vertical line. Consequently, for  $n \geq 2$ , the proof proceeds analogously to the approach outlined in [Claim 4.5](#).  $\square$

**Theorem 4.7.** *For any graph  $G_{n,4} \in \{\Gamma_{n,4}, \Lambda_{n,4}, A_{n,4}, B_{n,4}, C_{n,4}, D_{n,4}\}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , the complex  $\text{Ind}(G_{n,4})$  is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres.*

*Proof.* The proof follows from [Claims 4.1](#) to [4.6](#), and the induction hypothesis.  $\square$

#### 4.7 Homotopy type of $M(P_n \times P_4)$

In this section, we conclude the homotopy type of  $M(P_n \times P_4)$  along with the minimum and maximum dimensions of the independence complexes of the graphs in  $\{\Gamma_{n,4}, \Lambda_{n,4}, A_{n,4}, B_{n,4}, C_{n,4}, D_{n,4}\}$ .

**Theorem 4.8.** *For  $n \geq 1$ ,  $M(P_n \times P_4)$  is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres.*

*Proof.* For  $n \geq 0$ , we have relations  $M(P_{2n+2} \times P_4) = \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,4}) * \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,4})$  and  $M(P_{2n+3} \times P_4) = \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,4}) * \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,4})$ . Now, the result follows from [Theorem 4.7](#).  $\square$

**Proposition 4.9.** *For  $n = 7q + r$  with  $q \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq r \leq 6$ , we have*

- $d_{\min}(\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,4})) = n$  and  $d_{\max}(\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,4})) = \begin{cases} n + 3q & \text{if } r = 0, 1, \\ n + 3q + 1 & \text{if } r = 2, 3, 4, \\ n + 3q + 2 & \text{if } r = 5, 6. \end{cases}$
- $d_{\min}(\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,4})) = n + 1$ ,  $d_{\max}(\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{0,4})) = 1$ , and for  $n \geq 1$ ,  
 $d_{\max}(\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,4})) = \begin{cases} n + 3q & \text{if } r = 0, \\ n + 3q + 1 & \text{if } r = 1, 2, \\ n + 3q + 2 & \text{if } r = 3, 4, \\ n + 3q + 3 & \text{if } r = 5, 6. \end{cases}$

- $d_{\min}(\text{Ind}(A_{n,4})) = n$  and  $d_{\max}(\text{Ind}(A_{n,4})) = \begin{cases} n + 3q & \text{if } r = 0, \\ n + 3q + 1 & \text{if } r = 1, 2, 3, \\ n + 3q + 2 & \text{if } r = 4, 5, \\ n + 3q + 3 & \text{if } r = 6. \end{cases}$
- $d_{\min}(\text{Ind}(B_{n,4})) = n + 1$  and  $d_{\max}(\text{Ind}(B_{n,4})) = \begin{cases} n + 3q + 1 & \text{if } r = 0, 1, \\ n + 3q + 2 & \text{if } r = 2, 3, \\ n + 3q + 3 & \text{if } r = 4, 5, 6. \end{cases}$
- $d_{\min}(\text{Ind}(C_{n,4})) = n$  and  $d_{\max}(\text{Ind}(C_{n,4})) = \begin{cases} n + 3q & \text{if } r = 0, 1, \\ n + 3q + 1 & \text{if } r = 2, 3, \\ n + 3q + 2 & \text{if } r = 4, 5, \\ n + 3q + 3 & \text{if } r = 6. \end{cases}$
- $d_{\min}(\text{Ind}(D_{n,4})) = n + 1$  and  $d_{\max}(\text{Ind}(D_{n,4})) = \begin{cases} n + 3q + 1 & \text{if } r = 0, 1, \\ n + 3q + 2 & \text{if } r = 2, 3, 4, \\ n + 3q + 3 & \text{if } r = 5, 6. \end{cases}$

*Proof.* We prove the statement using induction on  $n$ . The base case for  $n = 0$  follows from [Claims 4.1](#) to [4.6](#). Assume the statement is true for  $k < n$ . For  $\Gamma_{n,4}$ , we have

$$\Gamma_{n,4} = \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n-1,4}) \vee \Sigma^2 \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n-2,4}), \quad (4.5)$$

which implies that

$$d_{\min}(\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,4})) = \min\{1 + d_{\min}(\text{Ind}(A_{n-1,4})), 2 + d_{\min}(\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n-2,4}))\},$$

and

$$d_{\max}(\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,4})) = \max\{1 + d_{\max}(\text{Ind}(A_{n-1,4})), 2 + d_{\max}(\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n-2,4}))\}. \quad (4.6)$$

In particular, using induction hypothesis, we have  $d_{\min}(\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,4})) = \min\{1 + (n-1), 2 + (n-1)\} = n$ . Further, for  $n = 7q + r$  with  $0 \leq r \leq 6$ , [\(4.5\)](#) and [\(4.6\)](#) yields the following.

| $n$      | $1 + d_{\max}(\text{Ind}(A_{n-1,4}))$ | $2 + d_{\max}(\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n-2,4}))$ | $d_{\max}(\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,4}))$ |
|----------|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| $7q$     | $1 + (n - 1 + 3(q - 1) + 3)$          | $2 + (n - 2 + 3(q - 1) + 3)$                | $n + 3q$                             |
| $7q + 1$ | $1 + (n - 1 + 3q)$                    | $2 + (n - 2 + 3(q - 1) + 3)$                | $n + 3q$                             |
| $7q + 2$ | $1 + (n - 1 + 3q + 1)$                | $2 + (n - 2 + 3q)$                          | $n + 3q + 1$                         |
| $7q + 3$ | $1 + (n - 1 + 3q + 1)$                | $2 + (n - 2 + 3q + 1)$                      | $n + 3q + 1$                         |
| $7q + 4$ | $1 + (n - 1 + 3q + 1)$                | $2 + (n - 2 + 3q + 1)$                      | $n + 3q + 1$                         |
| $7q + 5$ | $1 + (n - 1 + 3q + 2)$                | $2 + (n - 2 + 3q + 2)$                      | $n + 3q + 2$                         |
| $7q + 6$ | $1 + (n - 1 + 3q + 2)$                | $2 + (n - 2 + 3q + 2)$                      | $n + 3q + 2$                         |

By similar argument, we can conclude the maximum dimensions of  $\Lambda_{n,4}$ ,  $A_{n,4}$ ,  $B_{n,4}$ ,  $C_{n,4}$  and  $D_{n,4}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.10.** *The maximum and minimum dimension of spheres in the homotopy type of  $M(P_n \times P_4)$  as given in [Proposition 1.5](#) can be concluded from [Proposition 4.9](#).*

## 5 MATCHING COMPLEXES OF $P_n \times P_3$

In this section, we determine the homotopy type of the matching complex of  $P_n \times P_3$ . The graph  $P_n \times P_3$  is disconnected and has two connected components. Notably, if  $n$  is even, then these components are isomorphic. However, for  $n$  odd, the components are not isomorphic. For  $n \geq 0$ , we denote the (isomorphic) connected components of the line graphs of  $P_{2n+2} \times P_3$  by  $\Gamma_{n,3}$  (see [Figure 30](#)). Furthermore, for  $n \geq 0$ , we denote the



(non-isomorphic) connected components of the line graphs of  $P_{2n+3} \times P_3$  by  $\Lambda_{n,3}$  and  $\tilde{\Lambda}_{n,3}$  (see Figures 32 and 33), respectively. Therefore, we have the following:

$$M(P_{2n+2} \times P_3) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,3}) * \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,3}),$$

$$M(P_{2n+3} \times P_3) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,3}) * \text{Ind}(\tilde{\Lambda}_{n,3}).$$

During the computation of the homotopy type of the independence complexes of graphs  $\Gamma_{n,3}$ ,  $\Lambda_{n,3}$ , and  $\tilde{\Lambda}_{n,3}$  for  $n \geq 0$ , we encounter an intermediary graph denoted as  $A_{n,3}$  (see Figure 31). Using the independence complex of  $A_{n,3}$ , we illustrate that the independence complexes of  $\Gamma_{n,3}$ ,  $\Lambda_{n,3}$ , and  $\tilde{\Lambda}_{n,3}$  are the wedges of spheres.

### 5.1 Graph $\Gamma_{n,3}$

For  $n \geq 0$ , we define the graph  $\Gamma_{n,3}$  as follows:

$$V(\Gamma_{n,3}) = \{g_{ij} : i = 1, 2 \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n+1\},$$

$$E(\Gamma_{n,3}) = \{g_{1j}g_{2j} : j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n+1\} \cup \{g_{ij}g_{i+1j} : i = 1, 2 \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n\} \\ \cup \{g_{ij}g_{i+1j+1}, g_{i+1j+1}g_{i+1j} : i = 1, 2, j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n \text{ and } i+j \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}.$$




FIGURE 30.  $\Gamma_{n,3}$

**Claim 5.1.** For  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,3}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^n$ .

*Proof.* Since  $N(g_{11}) \subseteq N(g_{22})$  and  $N(g_{21}) \subseteq N(g_{12})$ ,  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,3}) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,3} \setminus \{g_{12}, g_{22}\})$ . It is straightforward to see that  $\Gamma_{n,3} \setminus \{g_{12}, g_{22}\}$  has two connected components; one component is an edge  $g_{11}g_{21}$ , and the other is isomorphic to  $\Gamma_{n-1,3}$ . Therefore,  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,3}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n-1,3})$ . Consequently, we get  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,3}) \simeq \Sigma^n \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{0,3})$ . Since  $\Gamma_{0,3}$  is isomorphic to  $K_2$ , we have  $\text{Ind}(\Gamma_{0,3}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^0$ , and result follows.  $\square$

### 5.2 Graph $A_{n,3}$

For  $n \geq 0$ , we define the graph  $A_{n,3}$  as follows:

$$V(A_{n,3}) = V(\Gamma_{n,3}) \cup \{a_1\},$$

$$E(A_{n,3}) = E(\Gamma_{n,3}) \cup \{a_1g_{11}, a_1g_{21}\}.$$




FIGURE 31.  $A_{n,3}$

**Claim 5.2.** For  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\text{Ind}(A_{n,3}) \simeq \bigvee_2 \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n-1,3}) \simeq \bigvee_{2n+1} \mathbb{S}^n$ .

*Proof.* Since  $a_1$  is a simplicial vertex in the graph  $A_{n,3}$  (see Figure 31) with  $N(a_1) = \{g_{11}, g_{21}\}$ ,  $\text{Ind}(A_{n,3}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n,3} \setminus N[g_{11}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n,3} \setminus N[g_{21}])$ . Since both the graphs  $A_{n,3} \setminus N[g_{11}]$  and  $A_{n,3} \setminus N[g_{21}]$  are isomorphic to  $A_{n-1,3}$ ,  $\text{Ind}(A_{n,3}) \simeq \bigvee_2 \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n-1,3})$ . Observe that the graph  $A_{0,3}$  is isomorphic to  $K_3$ , and hence  $\text{Ind}(A_{0,3}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^0 \vee \mathbb{S}^0$ . Therefore, by induction, we get  $\text{Ind}(A_{n,3}) \simeq \bigvee_{2^n} (\mathbb{S}^n) \bigvee_{2^n} (\mathbb{S}^n)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 5.3 Graphs $\Lambda_{n,3}$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_{n,3}$

For  $n \geq 0$ , we define the graphs  $\Lambda_{n,3}$  (see Figure 32) and  $\tilde{\Lambda}_{n,3}$  (see Figure 33) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\Lambda_{n,3}) &= V(\Gamma_{n,3}) \cup \{g'_1, g'_2\}, \\ E(\Lambda_{n,3}) &= E(\Gamma_{n,3}) \cup \{g'_1 g_{11}, g'_2 g_{21}, g'_1 g'_2, g'_1 g_{21}, g'_2 g_{11}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V(\tilde{\Lambda}_{n,3}) &= V(\Gamma_{n,3}) \cup \{g_{12n+2}, g_{22n+2}\}, \\ E(\tilde{\Lambda}_{n,3}) &= E(\Gamma_{n,3}) \cup \{g_{12n+1} g_{12n+2}, g_{22n+1} g_{22n+2}, g_{12n+2} g_{22n+2}\}. \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 32.  $\Lambda_{n,3}$




FIGURE 33.  $\tilde{\Lambda}_{n,3}$

**Claim 5.3.** For  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,3}) \simeq \bigvee_{2^{n+2}-1} \mathbb{S}^n$ .

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on  $n$ . Clearly,  $\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{0,3}) \simeq \bigvee_3 \mathbb{S}^0$ . Assume that  $n \geq 1$  and the Claim 5.3 holds for all  $0 \leq k < n$ . Since  $g'_1$  is a simplicial vertex and  $N(g'_1) = \{g'_2, g_{11}, g_{21}\}$  in the graph  $\Lambda_{n,3}$  (see Figure 32), we have

$$\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,3}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,3} \setminus N[g'_2]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,3} \setminus N[g_{11}]) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,3} \setminus N[g_{21}]).$$

Observe that the graph  $\Lambda_{n,3} \setminus N[g'_2]$  is isomorphic to  $\Lambda_{n-1,3}$ , whereas both the graphs  $\Lambda_{n,3} \setminus N[g_{11}]$  and  $\Lambda_{n,3} \setminus N[g_{21}]$  are isomorphic to  $A_{n-1,3}$ . Therefore, we get

$$\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,3}) \simeq \Sigma \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n-1,3}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n-1,3}) \vee \Sigma \text{Ind}(A_{n-1,3}).$$

Now, from the induction hypothesis and Claim 5.2, we conclude the following:

$$\text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,3}) \simeq \bigvee_{2^{n+1}-1} (\Sigma \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \bigvee_{2^n} (\Sigma \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \bigvee_{2^n} (\Sigma \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \simeq \bigvee_{2^{n+2}-1} \mathbb{S}^n.$$

$\square$

**Claim 5.4.** For  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Lambda}_{n,3}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^n$ .

*Proof.* It is evident that the graphs  $\Gamma_{n,3}$  and  $\tilde{\Lambda}_{n,3}$  exhibit similarities (see [Figure 30](#) and [Figure 33](#)). Moreover, it is clear from the edge set of  $\tilde{\Lambda}_{n,3}$  that the graph  $\tilde{\Lambda}_{n,3}$  can be obtained by the addition of three edges at rightmost end to the graph  $\Gamma_{n,3}$ . Therefore, the proof for  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{\Lambda}_{n,3})$  is verbatim to the proof of [Claim 5.1](#).  $\square$

**Theorem 5.5.** *For  $n \geq 0$ ,  $M(P_{2n+2} \times P_3) \simeq \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$  and  $M(P_{2n+3} \times P_3) \simeq \bigvee_{2^{n+2}-1} \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ .*

*Proof.* Since the line graph of the categorical product  $P_{2n+2} \times P_3$  is the disjoint union of two copies of  $\Gamma_{n,3}$ , using [Proposition 2.1](#) and [Claim 5.1](#), we get

$$M(P_{2n+2} \times P_3) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,3}) * \text{Ind}(\Gamma_{n,3}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}.$$

Similarly, the line graph of the categorical product  $P_{2n+3} \times P_3$  is the disjoint union of  $\Lambda_{n,3}$  and  $\tilde{\Lambda}_{n,3}$ . Therefore, using [Proposition 2.1](#), [Claim 5.3](#), and [Claim 5.4](#) we get

$$M(P_{2n+3} \times P_3) \simeq \text{Ind}(\Lambda_{n,3}) * \text{Ind}(\tilde{\Lambda}_{n,3}) \simeq \bigvee_{2^{n+2}-1} \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}.$$

$\square$

## 6 FUTURE DIRECTIONS

We proved that for  $n \geq 3$  and  $3 \leq m \leq 5$ , the matching complex of  $P_n \times P_m$  is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres. For  $m = 3$ , we have given a closed-form formula for the number and dimension of spheres appearing in the wedge. Further, for  $m \in \{4, 5\}$ , we have given the minimum and maximum dimension of spheres appearing in the wedge in  $M(P_n \times P_m)$ . This exploration naturally leads to the following question:

**Question 6.1.** *Can we determine the dimensions of the spheres appearing in the wedge representing the homotopy types of the matching complexes of categorical products  $P_n \times P_4$  and  $P_n \times P_5$ ?*

Based on the main result of this article and our computer-based computations, we propose the following:

**Conjecture 6.2.** *For  $n \geq 3$  and  $m \geq 6$ , the matching complex of categorical product  $P_n \times P_m$  is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres.*

For odd  $m, n$ , one component of  $P_n \times P_m$  is an induced subgraph of  $P_n \square P_m$  (see [Figure 1](#)). Since the homotopy type of the matching complexes of  $P_n \square P_m$  is not known for  $m \geq 4$ , it will be interesting to see if there is any relation between the topology of  $M(P_n \times P_n)$  and  $M(P_n \square P_m)$ .

**Question 6.3.** *Is there any correlation between the matching complexes of the cartesian product of path graphs and those of the categorical product of path graphs?*

## 7 ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors express gratitude to NCM and CMI for organizing the workshop titled ‘‘Cohen Macaulay simplicial complexes in graph theory’’, which provided an opportunity for the authors to convene and initiate the current work. The first author is financially supported by CSIR(India). The second author is supported by the Prime Minister’s Research Fellowship (PMRF/1401215). The third author is supported by HTRA-fellowship by IIT-Madras. The fourth author is supported by the seed grant project IITM/SG/SMS/95 by IIT Mandi, India.

## REFERENCES

- [Ada12] Michal Adamaszek. Splittings of independence complexes and the powers of cycles. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 119(5):1031–1047, 2012.
- [BH17] Benjamin Braun and Wesley K. Hough. Matching and independence complexes related to small grids. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 24(4):Paper No. 4.18, 20, 2017.
- [BJMV23] Margaret Bayer, Marija Jelić Milutinović, and Julianne Vega. General polygonal line tilings and their matching complexes. *Discrete Math.*, 346(7):Paper No. 113428, 12, 2023.
- [BLVv94] A. Björner, L. Lovász, S. T. Vrećica, and R. T. Živaljević. Chessboard complexes and matching complexes. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 49(1):25–39, 1994.
- [Bou92] S. Bouc. Homologie de certains ensembles de 2-sous-groupes des groupes symétriques. *J. Algebra*, 150(1):158–186, 1992.
- [Eng08] Alexander Engström. Independence complexes of claw-free graphs. *European J. Combin.*, 29(1):234–241, 2008.
- [Gar79] Peter Freedman Garst. *Cohen-Macaulay complexes and group actions*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1979. Thesis (Ph.D.)—The University of Wisconsin - Madison.
- [GSS21] Shuchita Goyal, Samir Shukla, and Anurag Singh. Matching complexes of  $3 \times n$  grid graphs. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 28(4):Paper No. 4.16, 26, 2021.
- [Hat02] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [Jon05] Jakob Jonsson. Matching complexes on grids. *unpublished manuscript available at <https://people.kth.se/~jakobj/doc/thesis/grid.pdf>*, 2005.
- [Jon08] Jakob Jonsson. *Simplicial complexes of graphs*, volume 1928 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [Koz99] Dmitry N. Kozlov. Complexes of directed trees. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 88(1):112–122, 1999.
- [Koz08] Dmitry Kozlov. *Combinatorial algebraic topology*, volume 21 of *Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [Mat19] Takahiro Matsushita. Matching complexes of small grids. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 26(3):Paper No. 3.1, 8, 2019.
- [Mat22] Takahiro Matsushita. Matching complexes of polygonal line tilings. *Hokkaido Math. J.*, 51(3):339–359, 2022.
- [MJMV22] Marija Jelić Milutinović, Helen Jenne, Alex McDonough, and Julianne Vega. Matching complexes of trees and applications of the matching tree algorithm. *Ann. Comb.*, 26(4):1041–1075, 2022.
- [MT08] Mario Marietti and Damiano Testa. A uniform approach to complexes arising from forests. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 15(1):Research Paper 101, 18, 2008.
- [vV92] Rade T. Živaljević and Siniša T. Vrećica. The colored Tverberg’s problem and complexes of injective functions. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 61(2):309–318, 1992.
- [Wac03] Michelle L Wachs. Topology of matching, chessboard, and general bounded degree graph complexes. *Algebra Universalis*, 49(4):345–385, 2003.
- [Wes01] Douglas B. West. *Introduction to Graph Theory*. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, N.J., second edition, 2001.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (IIT) DELHI, INDIA  
*Email address:* [Raju.Kumar.Gupta@maths.iitd.ac.in](mailto:Raju.Kumar.Gupta@maths.iitd.ac.in)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (IIT) DELHI, INDIA  
*Email address:* [Sourav.Sarkar@maths.iitd.ac.in](mailto:Sourav.Sarkar@maths.iitd.ac.in)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (IIT) MADRAS, INDIA  
*Email address:* [sagar@smail.iitm.ac.in](mailto:sagar@smail.iitm.ac.in)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (IIT) MANDI, INDIA  
*Email address:* [samir@iitmandi.ac.in](mailto:samir@iitmandi.ac.in)