CROSSING LEMMAS FOR k-SYSTEMS OF ARCS

ALFREDO HUBARD AND HUGO PARLIER

ABSTRACT. We show a generalization of the crossing lemma for multi-graphs drawn on orientable surfaces in which pairs of edges are assumed to be drawn by non-homotopic simple arcs which pairwise cross at most k times.

1. Introduction

The celebrated crossing lemma was discovered in the early 1980s by Leighton, motivated by VLSI design and independently by Ajtai, Chvťal, Newborn, and Szemerédi who were answering questions raised by Turan, Erdős and Guy. It provides a lower bound on the order of m^3/n^2 on the number of crossings of any planar drawing of a graph with m edges and n vertics. In the late 1990s, Szekely [14] discovered a number of applications to incidence geometry problems for which a variant of the crossing lemma for multi-graphs is required. Szekely observed that the inequality for graphs implies an inequality for multi-graphs with a correcting factor of $\frac{1}{k}$, where k is the largest number of edges between the same pair of vertices. In the last decade, crossing lemmas for multi-graphs in which the correcting factor is not needed have been given much attention. Starting with a question of Kaufmann and with the paper [10] of Pach and Tőth, a series of papers have relaxed the necessary conditions for such a crossing lemma to hold valid. In this note, we observe that results from geometric topology, mainly due to Przytycki, can be used to obtain quantitatively improved, and more general, crossing lemmas for multi-graphs.

The link we use between graph drawings and topology is through systems of arcs. In this paper every arc is assumed to be simple. Given a surface with prescribed marked points (or punctures), we say that a family of arcs with endpoints on the marked points is a system of arcs, if no two arcs are homotopic to each other and homotopies are not allowed to pass through the marked points. In graph theoretical terms, the edges of a graph drawing are non-homotopic and simple if and only if they form an arc system on a sphere with marked points. If an arc system has the additional property that any two arcs pairwise intersect at most k times, it will be called a k-system of arcs. The case of k = 1 was studied in graph drawing under the name of single crossing non-homotopic multi-graph drawing (see [8]).

Our first main result can be phrased in terms of the minimal number of intersection points of a k-system of arcs which, by analogy with the graph setting, we call its crossing number.

Theorem 1. If A is a k-system of m arcs on an n-punctured sphere with m > 4n, then

$$\operatorname{Cr}(\mathcal{A}) \ge c_k \frac{m^{2+1/k}}{n^{1+1/k}},$$

where $c_k \geq \frac{1}{10^6 k}$.

We have made no effort to optimize c_k . In the case k=1, up to the value of the constant c_1 , this Theorem is the best possible, as can be seen already in the case of graphs. Indeed, for every possible growth of $m \sim n^a$, with $a \in (1,2]$, it suffices to consider a disjoint union of cliques of the same size. In that case we obtain, $m = k \binom{\frac{n}{k}}{2} \sim \frac{n^2}{k}$, while the number of crossings

The first named author was partially supported by the ANR grant ANR-19-CE40-0014 (project Min-Max).

The second named author was supported by the Luxembourg National Research Fund OPEN grant O21/16309996.

The first named author thanks Niloufar Fuladi and Arnaud de Mesmay for interesting discussions.

is $\sim k \frac{(\frac{n^2}{k})^3}{(\frac{n}{k})^2} = \frac{n^4}{k^3}$, for any drawing in which edges are drawn as straight line segments. This coincides with the above estimate. We will see below that Theorem 1 is best possible upto the value of c_k .

A slightly weaker version of Theorem 1 was conjectured in [8], and it was observed that it would follow from upper bounds on the size of arc systems.

For (orientable) surfaces of higher genus, we have the following more general result:

Theorem 2. If A is a k-system of m arcs on a surface of genus g with n punctures, denoted $S_{q,n}$, and m > 16n and $n > 2^{17}g$, then:

$$\operatorname{Cr}(\mathcal{A}) \ge \frac{1}{10^7 k} \frac{m^{2+1/k}}{n^{1+1/k}} \text{ if } m \le \frac{5^{7k} k^k}{2^{5k}} \frac{n^{k+1}}{g^k}.$$

$$\operatorname{Cr}(\mathcal{A}) \ge \frac{1}{2^{12}} \frac{m^2}{g} \text{ otherwise.}$$

Again, for k = 1, up to the values of the constants which we didn't optimize, this result has optimal order of growth, even in the case of simple graphs. Indeed, we can observe the same dichotomy in terms of the number of edges with respect to the genus (see Theorem 4.1 in [13]).

The proof of Theorem 2 combines Theorem 1 with the following planarizing lemma of independent interest. Our proof of this lemma is similar to the proof of Theorem 6 in [11], but, even in the case of graphs, we improve the dependence in g. Define the set $\mathcal{A}(\alpha)$ to be the subset of arcs of \mathcal{A} that intersect a family of disjoint simple closed curves α .

Lemma 3. For any k-system of arcs A in $S_{g,n}$, there exists a there exists a family of disjoint simple closed curves $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots \alpha_g\}$ such that, cutting along α yields a genus 0 surface with 2g boundaries and

$$|\mathcal{A}(\alpha)| \le 4\sqrt{24g(\operatorname{Cr}(\mathcal{A}) + \sum_{v} d(v)^2 + n + 2g)}.$$

We end the introduction with a question. Przytycki's work was motivated by problems about systems of closed curves in surfaces. Using Przytycki's theorem, Greene [6] showed that a 1-system of simple closed curves in a closed surface of genus g has at most $O(g^2 \log g)$ curves (see [7] for k > 1). The central conjecture in this topic is that the logarithmic factor is not necessary.

Question 4. Do there exist positive constants c, c' > 0 such that for any 1-system of m simple closed curves on a surface of genus g with $m \ge cg$ satisfies $\operatorname{Cr}(\Gamma) \ge c' \frac{m^3}{g^2}$?

We will revisit a variant of this question in a different paper. Notice that $Cr(\Gamma) \leq {m \choose 2}$, so a positive answer to the previous would solve the aforementioned conjecture, providing a quadratic upper bound on 1-systems of curves in terms of the genus.

This note is organized as follows. In a preliminary section, we state the known results we will use, namely those of Przytycki, and provide statements of previous crossing lemma estimates for context. We also observe some immediate corollaries of these known estimates. In the next section, we then prove the genus 0 case and in the final case, we prove the planarizing lemma and the genus g result.

2. Setup and preliminaries

We consider a closed orientable finite type surface S of genus $g \ge 0$ and with n punctures (which we think of as marked points) such that $\chi(S) = 2 - 2g - n < 0$. A simple arc is map of the unit interval into X such that the map is an embedding from the open interval and such that the endpoints of the interval are mapped to the marked points. We consider arcs up to homotopy where homotopies are required to fix the marked points pointwise.

Intersection between homotopically distinct arcs a, b is the integer i(a, b) equal to the minimum number of transversal intersections between representatives of the homotopy classes of a and b. If we restrict to simple representatives, this corresponds to

$$i(a,b) = \min\{|a' \cap b'| \mid a \sim a', b \sim b'\}$$

where \sim means homotopic and $|a' \cap b'|$ is the cardinality of the set outside the set of punctures. In particular, two arcs can share an endpoint (or both) and still have 0 intersection. A family \mathcal{A} of simple arcs on S is called a k-system of arcs if for every pair of arcs $a, a' \in A$, $i(a, a') \leq k$.

Remark 5. Notice that there is no loss of generality by putting the arcs in minimal position in this definition and the hypothesis on homotopy classes of arcs is equivalent to the statement about arcs used in the introduction.

Systems of simple arcs on a surface are equivalent to drawings of multi-graphs (graphs in which we allow loops and multiple edges between vertices). The vertices of the graph are exactly the marked points of the surface. For instance, in our context, a simple graph drawn on the sphere corresponds to a system of arcs A on a sphere with n punctures, for which any two punctures co-bound at most one arc, and there are no loops. More generally, a planar drawing is equivalent to a simple arc system on a sphere, but with a puncture without any arcs leaving from it corresponding to the point at infinity of the plane.

We will switch freely between the two terminologies. The set of punctures/vertices will often be denoted by V, and for a puncture $v \in V$, its degree d(v) is the number of half arcs incident to v. The crossing number of a k-system of arcs A, or of the corresponding multi-graph drawing G (which we denote both by Cr(A) and by Cr(G)) is the number of intersection points between the interior of the arcs, again under the assumption that the interior of three or more arcs don't cross at a single point and that the intersection of two arcs is transversal. The following theorem summarizes some results on crossing numbers under intersection conditions of multigraphs before the results of the current paper [10] (the second part is from [4]):

Theorem 6 (Pach-Toth, Fox-Pach-Suk). Let A be a 1-system of m arcs without loops on a (n+1)-punctured sphere.

- If any two arcs share at most 1 endpoint, and when they do, then they do not intersect
- elsewhere then $\operatorname{Cr}(\mathcal{A}) \geq \frac{1}{10^7} \frac{m^3}{n^2} 4n$.

 If any two arcs that share two endpoints bound a simple closed curve, then $\operatorname{Cr}(\mathcal{A}) \geq \frac{1}{10^{25}} \frac{m^3}{n^2 \log \frac{m}{n}} 8n$.

The key new ingredient we use is the following theorem by Przytycki [12].

Theorem 7 (Przytycki). If A is a k-system of arcs in a surface of Euler characteristic χ , then

- $|\mathcal{A}| \le 2|\chi||\chi + 1|$ for k = 1, and this is best possible. $|\mathcal{A}| \le (k+2)!|\chi|^{k+1}$ for k > 1, and the exponent (k+1) is best possible.

As shown in Lemma 1 from [8], the fact that the exponent of the second item is best possible implies that, upto the value of c_k Theorem, 1 is also best possible for every $k \geq 1$. Combining Theorem 7 with Turan's theorem one obtains some simple corollaries about numbers of pairs that cross at most k times.

Corollary 8. If A is a family of m pairwise non-homotopic simple arcs on a surface of Euler characteristic χ , then

- At least \$\frac{m^2}{2} \frac{1}{3|\chi|} \frac{m}{2}\$ pairs of arcs intersect at least once.
 At least \$\frac{m^2}{2} \frac{1}{2(|\chi|+1)|\chi|} \frac{m}{2}\$ intersect at least twice.

¹Very recently, related results have been shown under the extra hypothesis that each edge is drawn by an x-monotone curve [5].

• At least $\frac{m^2}{2} \frac{1}{(k+2)!|\chi|^k} - \frac{m}{2}$ pairs of arcs intersect at least k times.

Proof. Consider a graph $G_{k-1}(\mathcal{A})$ that contains a vertex for each arc and such that two vertices are adjacent if the corresponding curves intersect in at most (k-1) points. If this graph has more than $\frac{m^2}{2}(1-\frac{1}{(k+2)!|\chi|^k+1})$ edges, then by Turan's theorem, it contains a clique of size $\frac{1}{(k+2)!|\chi|^k}$, which contradicts Theorem 7. Passing to the complement, we obtain that the number of pairs of arcs intersecting at least (k-1) times is greater than $\binom{m}{2}-\frac{m^2}{2}(\frac{1}{(k+2)!|\chi|^k+1})$.

Since $|\chi| = 2g + n - 2$, the implication of this corollary to number of crossings is weaker than the previous theorems for most four-tuples of positive integers m, n, k, q.

3. The planar case

This section is essentially taken from [4] and the idea goes back to [11] and [10]. The main tool is the notion of branching bisection width from [10] which we now restate in our language of arcs on punctured spheres. Let \mathcal{A} be a k-system of arcs on $S_{0,n}$. We denote the set of punctures by V (and so |V| = n). For a subset $V' \subset V$, we denote by $S_{0,V'}$ the surface $S_{0,V}$ after we close fill the punctures in $V \setminus V'$. In graph theoretical terms, we forget about the vertices that are not in V'.

Define $bb(\mathcal{A})$ to be the least number of arcs that need to be removed so that we obtain two sets of punctures V_1, V_2 , each with at least $\frac{n}{5}$ of them, and such that no remaining arc is incident to a puncture in V_1 and a puncture in V_2 . The remaining arcs are partitioned into two k-systems \mathcal{A}_1 in S_{0,V_1} and \mathcal{A}_2 in S_{0,V_2} . In graph theoretical terms, after erasing a set of edges, we have two disjoint multi-graphs with at least n/5 vertices each. We now need the following estimate on the size of $bb(\mathcal{A})$ [10]:

Theorem 9. For any k-system A in $S_{0,V}$

$$bb(\mathcal{A}) \le 22\sqrt{(\operatorname{Cr}(\mathcal{A}) + \sum_{v \in V} d(v)^2 + |V|)}$$

The idea of the proof is to replace each vertex v by a grid of side length d(v), then add a vertex at each crossing obtaining a planar graph, and finally apply a version [2] of the famous separator theorem to this graph.

We now address the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Similar proofs can be found in [10, 11]. A general framework that axiomatizes this proof can be found in [8], for concreteness we adapt the presentation of [4] to the case of general k.

Vertex-splitting. Define $\Delta = \lceil \frac{2m}{n} \rceil$, and split each vertex that has degree larger than Δ into vertices of degree Δ and possibly one vertex of smaller degree. Let G' be the new topological multi-graph with identical crossings and n' vertices, where $n \leq n' \leq 2n$.

Now we set up an inductive procedure. At each step, \mathcal{F}_i is a family of subgraphs of G', and we apply Theorem 9 to some of them. Set

$$t = \frac{10^{-4}}{k+2} \frac{m^{1+\frac{1}{k}}}{m^{1+\frac{1}{k}}}$$
 and $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{G\}$.

For each i, \mathcal{F}_i is a vertex disjoint family of induced multi-graphs, each $H \in \mathcal{F}_i$ is drawn on $S_{0,V(H)}$ so that its edges are a k-system of arcs, and each satisfies at least one of the following:

- $Cr(H) \ge t e(H)$ (many crossings),
- $v(H) \leq (\frac{4}{5})^i n'$ (the graph is small).

For i = 0, the graph has n' vertices. At step i, for a graph $H \in \mathcal{F}_i$, if $Cr(H) \ge t e(H)$ or has less than $(\frac{4}{5})^{i+1}n'$ vertices, then we move it to \mathcal{F}_{i+1} . Otherwise, the number of vertices of H is between $(\frac{4}{5})^{i}n'$, and $(\frac{4}{5})^{i+1}n'$ and we apply Theorem 9 to H, erasing at most

$$22\sqrt{te(H) + \Delta e(H) + v(H)} \le 40(\sqrt{te(H)} + \sqrt{v(H)})$$

edges to obtain topological multi-graphs $H_1 \to S_{0,V(H_1)}, H_2 \to S_{0,V(H_2)}$, with $E(H_1, H_2) = \emptyset$ and such that the edge set of each is a k-system.

Each $H \in \mathcal{F}_i$ that is not moved to \mathcal{F}_{i+1} has at least $\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^{i+1} n'$ vertices, so there are at most $\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^{i+1}$ such graphs. Since $\sum_{H \in \mathcal{F}_i} e(H) \leq m$ and $\sum_{H \in \mathcal{F}_i} v(H) \leq n'$, we have

$$\sum_{H \in \mathcal{F}_i} \sqrt{e(H)} \leq \sqrt{m} \left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^{\frac{i+1}{2}} \ \text{and} \ \sum_{H \in \mathcal{F}_i} \sqrt{v(H)} \leq \sqrt{n'} \left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^{\frac{i+1}{2}}.$$

In step i, we erased a total of at most

$$40\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^{\frac{i+1}{2}}\left(\sqrt{tm} + \sqrt{n'}\right)$$

edges. If we stop at the last j such that $\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^{j/2} \leq 10^{-3} \sqrt{\frac{m}{t}}$, then at most

$$\sum_{i=0}^{j} 40 \left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^{\frac{i+1}{2}} \left[\sqrt{tm} + \sqrt{n'}\right] \le 500 \left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^{j/2} \sqrt{tm} \le \frac{m}{2}$$

are erased in the inductive process. Each graph $H \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{j} \mathcal{F}_{j}$ satisfies $\operatorname{Cr}(H) \geq t \, e(H)$, or has at most $\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^{j} n' \leq 10^{7} t_{m}^{n'}$ vertices which, by Theorem 7, translates to at most

$$10^{7}(k+2)!\left[t\frac{n'}{m}\right]^{k+1} \le m\left(\frac{(k+2)^{2}}{10e^{k+1}}\right) \frac{m^{\frac{1}{k}}}{n'^{1+\frac{1}{k}}} \le m\left(\frac{1}{10} \frac{(k+2)^{2}}{e^{k+1}} \frac{(k+2)^{1+\frac{2}{k}}}{e^{1+\frac{2}{k}}}\right) \le m\left(\frac{1}{10} \frac{(k+2)^{3+\frac{2}{k}}}{e^{k+2+\frac{1}{k}}}\right) \le \frac{m}{4}$$

of them are in the very small graphs.

The erased edges in the inductive process are at most $\frac{m}{2}$ by Theorem 9 and the edges in very small graphs at most $\frac{m}{4}$ by Theorem 7. The remaining $\frac{m}{4}$ edges are in multi-graphs such that $\operatorname{Cr}(H) \geq t \, e(H)$, hence we can conclude that $\operatorname{Cr}(G) \geq \frac{t}{4} m \geq \frac{1}{10^5 (k+2)} \frac{m^{2+1/k}}{n^{1+1/k}}$.

This concludes the proof in the planar case. We now proceed to handling surfaces of genus g>0.

4. The general case

We need one extra step to go from genus g to genus 0. For this we use the following result [3].

Theorem 10 (Djidjev-Venkatesan). For any (simple) connected graph G with m edges of degree at most d, embedded on an orientable surface of genus g, there exists a set of at most $4\sqrt{2dgm}$ edges whose removal makes G planar.

Let \mathcal{A} be a systems of arcs, and α a multi-curve (a disjoint family of simple curves), we denote by $A(\alpha)$ the subsystem of arcs that intersects α . Notice that in the previous theorem, if we dualize, and consider the dual of the edges that we remove, we obtain a multi-curve. We now recall the lemma that planarizes drawings mentioned in the introduction.

Lemma 3. For any k-system of arcs A in $S_{g,n}$, there exists a there exists a family of disjoint simple closed curves $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots \alpha_g\}$ such that, cutting along α yields a genus 0 surface with 2g boundaries and

$$|\mathcal{A}(\alpha)| \le 4\sqrt{24g(\operatorname{Cr}(\mathcal{A}) + \sum_{v} d(v)^2 + n + 2g)}.$$

Notice that after pasting disks along the boundaries of $S_{g,n} \setminus \alpha$, we obtain a surface homeomorphic to $S_{0,n}$ in which $A \setminus A(\alpha)$ is a k-system.

Proof. For each vertex let d(v) is the number of arcs incident to it. Let $N = \sum_{v} \bar{d}(v)^2 + n_0$, where n_0 is the number of vertices-punctures that are not incident to any arc. Blow up each non-isolated vertex v_i of G with $d(v_i) > 1$ to a square grid of side length $d(v_i)$. We denote the vertices of this grid by V_i . There exists a continuous map $\varphi \colon S_{g,N} \to S_{g,n}$ such that the inverse image of each edge is an edge which is not contained in a grid, and for each i, the grid vertices V_i are mapped to v_i . The blown graph G' has no two edges joining the same pair of vertices (combinatorially it is a graph and not a multi-graph). For this blow up, choose a side on each grid, all the edges incident to this grid are incident to this side. Let us denote the resulting graph by G'. Then introduce a vertex at each crossing to obtain an embedded graph H with max degree 4. This graph has less than $N = \operatorname{Cr}(A) + \sum_{v} \bar{d}(v)^2$ vertices and its maximal degree is 4. Notice that if the simple graph of Theorem 10 has N vertices, then it has at most 3(N+2g)edges. Let us denote its edge set by E. By Theorem 10, there exists a multi-curve α intersecting a set $E(\alpha)$ of at most $4\sqrt{24g(\operatorname{Cr}(\mathcal{A}) + \sum_{v} \bar{d}(v)^2 + 2g}$ edges of H, so that after erasing these edges we obtain a planar graph. For each i, let s_i be a simply connected open region of the surface that contains the grid V_i . The restriction of α to s_i is a union of arcs. Indeed any closed curve completely contained in s_i is contractible, and we might erase it. For each sub arc of α that enters s_i , consider another arc that starts and ends near the same points and stays in the boundary of s_i . This procedure does not increase the number of edges crossed by that sub-arc and hence by α . After doing it repeatedly, we can assume that α intersects only non-grid edges of H. Each edge of H is a subarc of an edge in G', and each non-grid edge in H is contained in a unique edge in G. Since we made α avoid the interior of every grid, $|\mathcal{A}(\alpha)| = |E(\alpha)|$.

With this in hand, we can pass to the proof of the general case.

Proof of Theorem 2. We can assume q > 0 as otherwise the result is Theorem 1. We begin like in the proof of Theorem 1 splitting vertices. Let G' be a new topological multi-graph with identical number of edges and crossings, with n' vertices with $n \leq n' \leq 2n$ each of which has degree at most $\Delta := \lceil \frac{2m}{n} \rceil$. We abuse notation and still call \mathcal{A} to the system of arcs. By Lemma 3, we obtain a subsystem of arcs $\mathcal{A}(\alpha)$ such that, if we put $e := |\mathcal{A}(\alpha)|$ then

$$e \le 4\sqrt{24g(\operatorname{Cr}(\mathcal{A}) + \sum_{v} d(v)^2 + n' + 2g - 2)} \le 4\sqrt{24g(\operatorname{Cr}(\mathcal{A}) + 2n'(\Delta^2 + 1) + 2g)},$$

which implies

$$Cr(A) \ge \frac{e^2}{2^9 q} - 4\frac{m^2}{n} - 2g.$$

The system of arcs $\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}(\alpha)$ can be thought of as a k-system of arcs in a 2n punctured sphere. Provided that m-e > 8n we can apply Theorem 1, in particular

$$\operatorname{Cr}(\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}(\alpha)) \ge \frac{1}{10^6 k} \frac{(m-e)^{2+1/k}}{n^{1+1/k}}$$

If e > m/2 we rely on the first inequality and if $e \le m/2$ we rely on the second one, and since m > 16n and $n > 2^{17}g$, we have

$$\operatorname{Cr}(\mathcal{A}) \ge \min\left(\frac{m^2}{2^{12}g}, \frac{1}{10^7 k} \frac{m^{2+1/k}}{n^{1+1/k}}\right).$$

Now if $m \ge \frac{5^{7k}}{2^{5k}} \frac{k^k}{g^k} n^{k+1}$ then the first term is the smallest one, and otherwise we can use the second term as a bound.

References

- [1] M. Ajtai, V. Chvátal, M.M. Newborn, and E. Szemerédi. Crossing-free subgraphs. *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, 60(C): 9-12, (1982).
- [2] N. Alon, P. Seymour, and R. Thomas: Planar separators, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 7, no.2, 184-193. (1994).
- [3] H. Djidjev and S.M. Venkatesan. Planarization of graphs embedded on surfaces. *Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science: 21st International Workshop*, WG'95 Aachen, Germany, June 20?22, 1995 Proceedings 21. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, (1995).
- [4] J. Fox, J. Pach, and A. Suk. On the number of edges of separated multigraphs. Graph Drawing 2021.
- [5] A. Girao and F. Illingworth and A. Scott and D. R. Wood. Non-Homotopic Drawings of Multigraphs. *Arxiv* 2401.10615 (2024).
- [6] J. Greene. On loops intersecting at most once. Geometric and Functional Analysis. volume 29, pages 1828? 1843 (2019)
- [7] J. Greene. On curves intersecting at most once II. https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.01413, (2018).
- [8] M. Kaufmann, J. Pach, G. Toth, T. Ueckerdt. The number of crossings in multi-graphs with no empty lens. In T. Biedl and A.Kerren, editors, *Graph Drawing and Network Visualization*, pages 242-254. Springer International Publishing, (2018).
- [9] T. Leighton. Complexity issues in VLSI, Foundations of computing series, (1983).
- [10] J. Pach and G. Tóth. A crossing lemma for multigraphs. https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.00721. (2018)
- [11] J. Pach, J. Spencer, and G. Tóth. New bounds on crossing numbers. Discrete Comput Geom, 24 (4): 623-644, (2000)
- [12] P. Przytycki. Arcs intersecting at most once, Geometric and Functional Analysis volume 25, pages 658-670. (2015).
- [13] Shahrokhi, F. and Székely, L. A. and Sýkora, O. and Vrt'o, I., Drawings of graphs on surfaces with few crossings. *Algorithmica* Volume 16, Number 1, Pages 118–131. (1996)
- [14] Szekely, Crossing numbers and hard Erdős-problems in Discrete Geometry. Combinatorics, Probability and Computing. 1997;6(3):353-358.

Université Gustave Eiffel, LIGM, Marne-la-Vallée, France

Email address: alfredo.hubard - at -univ-eiffel.fr

University of Luxembourg, Department of Mathematics, Luxembourg

Email address: hugo.parlier - at - uni.lu