

Stable multivariate Narayana polynomials and labeled plane trees

Harold R.L. Yang^a and Philip B. Zhang^b

^aCollege of Science
Tianjin University of Technology and Education
Tianjin 300222, R. R. China

^bCollege of Mathematical Science
Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin 300387, P. R. China

Email: ^ayangruilong@tute.edu.cn, ^bzhang@tjnu.edu.cn

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce stable multivariate generalizations of Narayana polynomials of type A and type B. We give an insertion algorithm for labeled plane trees and introduce the notion of improper edges. Our polynomials are multivariate generating polynomials of labeled plane trees and can be generated by a grammatical labeling based on a context-free grammar. Our proof of real stability uses a characterization of stable-preserving linear operators due to Borcea and Brändén. In particular, we get an alternative multivariate stable refinement of the second-order Eulerian polynomials, which is different from the one given by Haglund and Visontai.

AMS Classification 2020: 05A15, 26C10

Keywords: context-free grammar; Narayana polynomial; labeled plane tree

1 Introduction

Univariate polynomials with only real roots often occur in combinatorics and can lead to interesting conclusions. There are several real-rooted univariate polynomials in combinatorics, including Eulerian polynomials, Narayana polynomials, matching polynomials of graphs, and independence polynomials of claw-free polynomials. We refer to the following surveys [6, 10, 11, 33].

Due to the work of Borcea and Brändén [2, 3, 4], a successful multivariate generalization of real-rooted polynomials, called *stable polynomials*, have been developed. Now let us recall the notion of real stability, which generalizes the notion of real-rootedness from univariate real polynomials to multivariate real polynomials. Given a positive integer n , let \mathbf{x} be an n -tuple (x_1, \dots, x_n) . Let $\mathbb{H}_+ = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) > 0\}$ denote the open upper complex half-plane. A polynomial $f \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]$ is said to be (real) *stable* if $f(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{H}_+^n$ or f is identically zero. Note that a univariate polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ is stable if and only if it has only real roots. Multivariate stable polynomials have been studied in many different areas, including control theory, statistical mechanics, partial differential equations, and functional analysis. See the surveys [6, 29, 35] for further applications of stable polynomials. It is remarkable that an extension of stable polynomials, namely *Lorentzian polynomials*, was studied by Brändén and Huh [8].

A natural question to ask is to find a stable multivariate generalization of real-rooted univariate polynomials in combinatorics. Several multivariate polynomials have been found in

combinatorics. The multivariate Eulerian polynomials and more general Eulerian-like polynomials were introduced by Brändén, Haglund, Visontai, and Wagner in [7] and used to prove a conjecture of Haglund, Ono, and Wagner [7]. Subsequently, multivariate Eulerian polynomials over Stirling permutations [16, 22], colored permutations [9, 34], segmented permutations [37], and related combinatorial models have been studied.

In this paper, we focus on finding stable multivariate generalizations of two kinds of Narayana polynomials. The Narayana polynomial of type A, defined as $N_n^A(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n}{k-1} x^k$, is a q -analogue of the famous Catalan number, and the coefficient of x^k in $N_n^A(x)$, usually called *Narayana number*, counts Dyck paths of semilength n with k peaks, or unlabeled plane trees with n edges and k leaves, see [32, A001263]. Remarkably, Narayana polynomial of type A is also the h -polynomial of the simplicial complex dual to an associahedron of type A_n , see [21]. The Narayana polynomial of type B, defined as $N_n^B(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 x^k$, is the h -polynomial of the simplicial complex dual to an associahedron of type B_n (a cyclohedron), see [32, A008459]. It is known that both $N_n^A(x)$ and $N_n^B(x)$ are real-rooted for any integer $n \geq 1$, see Brändén [5], and Liu and Wang [26].

Our multivariate polynomials are based on labeled plane trees. A labeled plane tree with n nodes refers to a rooted plane tree in which each node is assigned a unique label from the set $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Denote by \mathcal{T}_n the set of labeled plane trees with n nodes and by \mathcal{T}_n^* the set of labeled plane trees with $n + 2$ nodes in which the node **1** is the leftmost leaf of the node **2**. Now we define

$$F_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, s, t) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}} \mathbf{wt}(T)$$

and

$$F_n^*(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, s, t) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n^*} \mathbf{wt}(T).$$

Here the details of the definition of $\mathbf{wt}(T)$ will be given in Section 4. Note that labeled plane trees are in bijections with quasi-Stirling permutations, which have been extensively studied by Elizalde [20], and Yan and Zhu [36].

A Cayley tree refers to a tree where the children of each node are unordered. It is well-known there are n^{n-2} Cayley trees on $[n]$. To give a combinatorial proof of this enumerative result, Shor [31] introduced the notion of improper edges, and provided a method to construct Cayley trees on $[n + 1]$ from Cayley trees on $[n]$. Inspired by Shor's work, we give an insertion algorithm for labeled plane trees and introduce the notion of improper edges.

Our construction of $F_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, s, t)$ and $F_n^*(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, s, t)$ is via a context-free grammar as well as a grammatical labeling of labeled plane trees. Chen [12] initiated the study of applications of context-free grammars to combinatorics. Chen and Fu [14] introduced the notations of grammatical labelings to generate combinatorial structures, such as permutations and increasing trees, via a recursive process. Further study on grammatical labelings related to trees are given in [15, 16, 17].

The main result of this paper is as follows.

Theorem 1. *For any positive integer n , we have*

(i) $F_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, s, t)$ and $F_n^*(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, s, t)$ are multivariate generalizations of $N_n^A(x)$ and $N_n^B(x)$, respectively. Namely,

$$F_n(x, x, \dots, x, 1, 1, \dots, 1, t, t) = (n+1)!t^n N_n^A(x), \quad (1)$$

and

$$F_n^*(x, x, \dots, x, 1, 1, \dots, 1, t, t) = n!t^{n+1} N_n^B(x). \quad (2)$$

(ii) $F_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, s, t)$ and $F_n^*(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, s, t)$ are real stable over variables \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} for any positive real numbers s and t .


The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce an insertion algorithm for labeled plane trees. Section 3 is devoted to a context-free grammar as well as a grammatical labeling which can be used to generate labeled plane trees. Our grammar can be transformed to a bivariate grammar of Ma, Ma, and Yeh [27] during their study of Narayana polynomials. As applications of our grammar, we give grammatical proofs of convolution identities and generating functions of $N_n^A(x)$ and $N_n^B(x)$. In Section 4, we define a refined grammatical labeling for labeled plane trees to prove Theorem 1 by Borcea and Brändén's characterization of stability-preserving linear operators. We also present two applications of Theorem 1. In particular, we give an alternative multivariate stable refinement of second-order Eulerian polynomials, distinct from that provided by Haglund and Visontai [22].

2 An insertion algorithm for labeled plane trees


For each edge of the tree, we call the node closer to the root as the *parent* node of the edge, and the node farther from the root as the *child* node of the edge. Nodes with the same parent are called *siblings* and the siblings to the left of a node v are called *elder* siblings of v . A node is called a *leaf* if it has no children and an *interior node* otherwise. Following Chen [13], a node is called *old* if it is the leftmost child of its parent; otherwise, it is *young*. For our convenience, we call the node labeled i as node \mathbf{i} .

In this section, we provide an insertion algorithm for labeled plane trees by adding a new node $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$ from a tree in \mathcal{T}_n via one of the following manners:


N1: Choose a node \mathbf{i} , which can be a leaf or an interior node, and add $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$ to be the old leaf of \mathbf{i} . In the new tree, the node $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$ is an old leaf.




N2: Choose a node \mathbf{i} , relabel the node \mathbf{i} by $\mathbf{n} + 1$, and add a new node \mathbf{i} as the old leaf of $\mathbf{n} + 1$. In the new tree, the node $\mathbf{n} + 1$ is an interior node whose old child is a leaf.




E1: Choose an edge (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) , and add $\mathbf{n} + 1$ to be a leaf as the younger brother of \mathbf{j} . In the new tree, the node $\mathbf{n} + 1$ is a young leaf.



E2: Choose an edge (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) . We relabel \mathbf{i} by $\mathbf{n} + 1$ and make \mathbf{i} be the old child of $\mathbf{n} + 1$. Meanwhile, assign the elder siblings of \mathbf{j} as well as itself to be the children of \mathbf{i} , and assign the remaining children of \mathbf{i} to be the younger siblings of \mathbf{i} . In the new tree, the node $\mathbf{n} + 1$ is an interior node whose first child is interior. Note that a similar operation appeared in Shor's paper [31].



For instance, the following sequence shows the progress of generating a labeled plane tree with seven nodes.



The trees obtained in the four manners are distinct. We claim that the insertion algorithm can generate all trees in \mathcal{T}_{n+1} from trees in \mathcal{T}_n . To do this, we just need to construct the corresponding deletion algorithm for a tree $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}$:

- If the node $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$ is a leaf, we delete the node directly;
- If the node $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$ is an interior node with the oldest child \mathbf{k} , we contract the edge $(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{k})$ to a node \mathbf{k} , and the children of \mathbf{k} and $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$ keep the previous order respectively and the children of \mathbf{k} is elder than the children of $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$.

It is not difficult to verify the deletion algorithm described above is well-defined.

As a direct application of this insertion algorithm, we can give the following combinatorial interpretation of the recurrence relation of Narayana numbers.

Proposition 2 ([18, 27]). *The Narayana numbers satisfy the following identity.*

$$(n + 2)N(n + 1, k) = (n + 2k)N(n, k) + (3n + 4 - 2k)N(n, k - 1). \quad (3)$$

Therefore, the Narayana polynomials satisfy

$$(n + 2)N_{n+1}^A(x) = ((3n + 2)x + n)N_n^A(x) + 2(x - x^2)(N_n^A(x))'. \quad (4)$$

Proof. It is known that the number of labeled plane trees on $n + 1$ nodes with k leaves are given by $(n + 1)!N(n, k)$. We shall show that labeled plane trees on $n + 2$ nodes with k leaves can be obtained from labeled plane trees on $n + 1$ nodes via the following two cases:

- Given a labeled plane tree on $n + 1$ nodes with k leaves, we have the option to perform insertion either in Case E2 for every edge in the tree, or in Case N1 and Case N2 for every leaf. Thus the number in this case is $n + 2k$.
- Given a labeled plane tree on $n + 1$ nodes with $k - 1$ leaves, we have the option to perform insertion either in Case E1 for every edge in the tree, or in Case N1 and Case N2 for every non-leaf node. Thus the number in this case is $n + 2(n + 1 - k + 1) = 3n + 4 - 2k$.

This completes the proof of (3). □

Note that the recurrence relation (3) was found by Ma, Ma, and Yeh [27, Lemma 9], and (4) was found by Chen, Yang, and Zhao [18].

3 A context-free Grammar for Narayana Polynomials

In this section, we first introduce the notion of *improper edges* on labeled plane trees and then define a context-free grammar that can be used to generate labeled plane trees. We use the grammar to generalize $N_n^A(x)$ and $N_n^B(x)$ to $\tilde{N}_n^A(x, y, s, t)$ and $\tilde{N}_n^B(x, y, s, t)$ as shown in (5) and (9), respectively. Besides, as an application of our grammar, we give a grammatical proof of

a convolution identity and a formula of the generating function of $N_n^A(x)$ and $N_n^B(x)$. For the sake of convenience, we consider a homogeneous version of $N_n^A(x)$ and $N_n^B(x)$ as

$$N_n^A(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n}{k-1} x^k y^{n-k+1},$$

and

$$N_n^B(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 x^k y^{n-k}.$$

Here we set $N_0^A(x, y) = y$.

Inspired by Shor's work [31] on Cayley trees, we define improper edges on labeled plane trees as follows. Given a labeled plane tree T , we define the β -value of a node \mathbf{j} , denoted $\beta(\mathbf{j})$, as the smallest label on any node in the subtree rooted at \mathbf{i} (it is possible that $\beta(\mathbf{j}) = j$). Meanwhile, we define the α -value of a node \mathbf{j} , denoted $\alpha(\mathbf{j})$, to be the minimum among the label of its father and the β -values of its elder brothers. Precisely, let \mathbf{i} be the father of \mathbf{j} and suppose that $\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \dots, \mathbf{k}_{t-1}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}_{t+1}, \dots, \mathbf{k}_m$ are the children of \mathbf{i} listed from left to right. Then $\alpha(\mathbf{j})$ is defined as

$$\alpha(\mathbf{j}) = \min(i, \beta(\mathbf{k}_1), \beta(\mathbf{k}_2), \dots, \beta(\mathbf{k}_{t-1})).$$

The edge $e = (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})$ is called *proper* if $\alpha(\mathbf{j}) < \beta(\mathbf{j})$; otherwise, it is called *improper*. Take the tree T in Figure 1 for instance. The edge $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2})$ is an improper edge since $\alpha(\mathbf{2}) = 4$ and $\beta(\mathbf{2}) = 2$. Meanwhile, the edge $(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{4})$ is a proper edge since $\alpha(\mathbf{4}) = 1$ and $\beta(\mathbf{4}) = 2$.

Notice that Cayley trees can be considered as labeled plane trees where the children of each node are arranged in increasing order of their β -values. It is worth noting that an edge $e = (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})$ in a Cayley tree T is improper if and only if $i > \beta(j)$. Thus the definition of improper edges can be viewed as a generalization of the definition of Cayley trees given by Shor.

Let $\tilde{N}(n, k, r)$ denote the number of labeled plane trees on $[n+1]$ with k leaves and r improper edges. We define a homogeneous multivariate polynomial:

$$\tilde{N}_n^A(x, y, s, t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{r=0}^n \tilde{N}(n, k, r) s^{n-r} t^r x^k y^{n-k+1}.$$

Especially, we set $\tilde{N}_0^A(x, y, s, t) = y$. It can be seen that

$$\tilde{N}_n^A(x, y, 1, 1) = (n+1)! N_n^A(x, y). \quad (5)$$

Following Chen [12], a context-free grammar G over an alphabet set $A = \{x, y, z, \dots\}$ of variables is a collection of substitution rules that replace a variable in A with a Laurent polynomial of variables in A . The formal derivative D associated with a context-free grammar G over A is a linear operator that acts on Laurent polynomials with variables in A and satisfies the following relations for each substitution rule:

$$\begin{aligned} D(u + v) &= D(u) + D(v), \\ D(uv) &= D(u)v + uD(v). \end{aligned}$$

Dumont and Ramamonjisoa [19] found a grammar $\{A \rightarrow A^3S, S \rightarrow AS^2\}$ to generate Cayley trees.

We next pose the following grammar on the alphabet $A = \{s, t, x, y\}$,

$$G := \{s \rightarrow s(sx + ty), t \rightarrow t(sx + ty), x \rightarrow (s + t)xy, y \rightarrow (s + t)xy\} \quad (6)$$

to generate labeled plane trees, as well as Narayana polynomials. Let D denote the formal derivative concerning the grammar (6). The next theorem shows the relation between the grammar (6) and labeled rooted trees.

Theorem 3. *For any integer $n \geq 0$, we have*

$$D^n(y) = \tilde{N}_n^A(x, y, s, t). \quad (7)$$

Proof. It is evident for $n = 0$. For $n \geq 1$, to complete the proof, we introduce a grammatical labeling of labeled rooted trees. For a tree $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$, we label each leaf by x and label each interior node by y . Meanwhile, we label each proper edge by t and label each improper edge by s . Finally, we define the weight of T to be the product of all the labels on T , namely,

$$wt(T) = s^{prop(T)} t^{imp(T)} x^{\ell(T)} y^{i(T)}.$$

Here we use $prop(T)$, $imp(T)$, $\ell(T)$, and $i(T)$ to denote the number of proper edges, improper edges, leaves, and interior nodes in T , respectively. For instance, the tree T has the grammatical labeling as shown in Figure 1 and $wt(T) = s^3 t^3 x^4 y^3$.




Figure 1: A labeled plane tree with its grammatical labeling

This implies that (7) is equivalent to

$$D^n(y) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}} wt(T). \quad (8)$$

We prove the relation (8) by induction on n . For $n = 1$, (8) holds since the two trees in \mathcal{T}_2 are with labels sxy and txy . Now we assume that (8) holds for $n - 1$, that is,

$$D^{n-1}(y) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} wt(T).$$

To show that (8) holds for n , we turn to establish the relationship between labeled rooted trees and grammar (6).

Let us recall the four cases of insertion steps of the node $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$. Here we view the insertion in Case N1 and Case N2 as an action on a node and view the insertion in Case E1 and Case E2 as an action on an edge. Consider a tree T in \mathcal{T}_n .

If we add a new node $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$ as in Case N1 and Case N2, we first choose a node \mathbf{i} , labeled by x or y .

- When we add $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$ to be the old leaf of \mathbf{i} as Case N1, we assign x to the new leaf $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$, and assign s to the new edge $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1})$. We label the node \mathbf{i} by y , no matter y or x is assigned to \mathbf{i} in T .
- When we add $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$ to be the father node of \mathbf{i} as Case N2, we assign y to the new leaf $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$, and assign t to the new edge $(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{i})$. We label the node \mathbf{i} by x , no matter y or x is assigned to \mathbf{i} in T .

Combining both two cases, whether the target node is labeled by a letter y or x , we get $zwt + zws$, which is equivalent to the action of the substitution rule $y \rightarrow (s + t)xy$ or $x \rightarrow (s + t)xy$.

For instance, if we apply $y \rightarrow (s + t)xy$ to the label y on the node $\mathbf{6}$, we get two new trees T_1 and T_2 in \mathcal{T}_8 . Clearly, the trees T_1 and T_2 are labeled by consistent grammatical labels as shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2: An examples for $y \rightarrow (s + t)xy$

If we apply $x \rightarrow (s + t)xy$ to the label x on the node $\mathbf{5}$, we get two new trees T_3 and T_4 in \mathcal{T}_8 . The trees T_3 and T_4 are labeled by consistent grammatical labels as shown in Figure 3.

If we add a new node $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$ as in Case E1 and Case E2, we first choose an edge (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) , which is labeled by a letter t or s .

- When we add $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$ to be the younger brother of \mathbf{j} as Case E1, we keep all old labels on T , assign x to the new leaf $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$, and assign s to the new proper edge $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1})$.
- When we add $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$ to be the father of \mathbf{i} as Case E2, we keep all old labels on T , assign y to the new interior node $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$, and assign t to the new edge $(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{i})$.




Figure 3: An examples for $x \rightarrow (s + t)xy$

Combining both two cases, whether the target edge is labeled by a letter t or s , we obtain new labels $sx + ty$, which is equivalent to the action of the substitution rule $s \rightarrow s(sx + ty)$ or $t \rightarrow t(sx + ty)$.

For instance, if we apply $s \rightarrow s(sx + ty)$ to the label s on the edge **(3, 7)**, we get two new trees T_5 and T_6 in \mathcal{T}_8 . Clearly, the trees T_5 and T_6 are labeled by consistent grammatical labels as shown in Figure 4.




Figure 4: An examples for $s \rightarrow s(sx + ty)$

If we apply $t \rightarrow t(sx + ty)$ to the label t on the edge **(6, 3)**, we get two new trees T_7 and T_8 in \mathcal{T}_8 . Clearly, the trees T_7 and T_8 are labeled by consistent grammatical labels as shown in Figure 5.

Above all, the action of the operator D on $wt(T)$ is equivalent to the insertion of $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$ into T . Thus we have

$$D^n(y) = D \left(\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} wt(T) \right) = \sum_{T^* \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}} wt(T^*).$$




Figure 5: An examples for $t \rightarrow t(sx + ty)$

This completes the proof. \square

Next, we give a combinatorial description of Narayana polynomials of Type B in the notion of labeled rooted trees. Let \mathcal{T}_n^* denote the set of labeled plane trees on $[n + 2]$ in which **1** is the old child of **2**. Let $\tilde{N}_B(n, k, r)$ denote the number of labeled rooted trees in \mathcal{T}_n^* with k leaves and r improper edges. Define $\tilde{N}_n^B(x, y, s, t)$ as follows:

$$\tilde{N}_n^B(x, y, s, t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{r=0}^{n+1} \tilde{N}_B(n, k, r) s^{n+1-r} t^r x^{k-1} y^{n-k+1}.$$

Theorem 4. For $n \geq 0$, it holds that

$$\tilde{N}_n^B(x, y, t, t) = n! t^{n+1} N_n^B(x, y). \quad (9)$$

To prove (9), we need the following conclusion given by Chen, Deutsch, and Elizalde [13].

Lemma 5 ([13]). The number of unlabeled plane trees with $n + 1$ nodes, k leaves, and i old leaves is

$$r_{n,k,i} = \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n-i}{k-i} \binom{n-k}{i-1}.$$

Proof of Theorem 4. It can be seen that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{N}_n^B(x, y, t, t) &= t^{n+1} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n^*} x^{\ell(T)-1} y^{i(T)-1} \\ &= n! t^{n+1} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{U}_{n+2}} ol(T) \cdot x^{\ell(T)-1} y^{i(T)-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{U}_n denotes the set of unlabeled rooted trees with n nodes, and $ol(T)$ denotes the number of old leaves of T . The last equation holds since a tree in \mathcal{T}_n^* can be obtained from an unlabeled tree T with $n + 2$ nodes by labeling freely $\{3, 4, \dots, n + 2\}$ on all nodes of T except for an old leaf and its father.

It follows from Lemma 5 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{T \in \mathcal{U}_{n+2}} ol(T) x^{\ell(T)-1} y^{i(T)-1} &= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^k i r_{n+1,k,i} x^{k-1} y^{n-k+1} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{i}{n+1} \binom{n+1}{i} \binom{n+1-i}{k-i} \binom{n+1-k}{i-1} x^{k-1} y^{n-k+1} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{n}{i-1} \binom{n+1-i}{k-i} \binom{n+1-k}{i-1} x^{k-1} y^{n-k+1} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{n}{k-1} \binom{k-1}{k-i} \binom{n+1-k}{i-1} x^{k-1} y^{n-k+1} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \binom{n}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{k-1}{k-i} \binom{n+1-k}{i-1} x^{k-1} y^{n-k+1} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 x^k y^{n-k}.
\end{aligned}$$

The second last equation holds from the famous Vandermonde's identity. \square

Theorem 6. *Let D denote the formal derivative associated with grammar (6). For any integer $n \geq 0$, we have*

$$D^n(t) = \tilde{N}_n^B(x, y, s, t). \quad (10)$$

Proof. For a tree T in \mathcal{T}_n^* , we define the weight of T as a normal labeled rooted tree, except for the labels on the node $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$. Then a tree T in \mathcal{T}_n^* with k leaves and r improper edges has the weight $wt(T) = s^{n+1-r} t^r x^{k-1} y^{n-k+1}$, which implies (10) is equivalent to

$$D^n(t) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n^*} wt(T). \quad (11)$$

For $n = 0$, the only tree in \mathcal{T}_0^* is the tree on [2] where $\mathbf{2}$ is root, whose weight is t . Now consider a tree in \mathcal{T}_{n-1}^* . Notice that there is no label in $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$. Thus we forbid the insertion of $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{2}$ on $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$. Since we do not insert $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{2}$ on $\mathbf{1}$, the node $\mathbf{1}$ is always a leaf. Since we do not insert $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{2}$ on $\mathbf{2}$, the node $\mathbf{1}$ is always the first child of $\mathbf{2}$. This completes the proof. \square

Setting $s = t$ in grammar (6), we get a grammatical description of $N_n^A(x, y)$ and $N_n^B(x, y)$.

Theorem 7. *Let D_H denote the formal derivative associated with the grammar*

$$H := \{t \rightarrow t^2(x+y), x \rightarrow 2txy, y \rightarrow 2txy\}. \quad (12)$$

For $n \geq 0$, it holds that

$$D_H^n(y) = (n+1)! t^n N_n^A(x, y), \quad (13)$$

$$D_H^n(t) = n! t^{n+1} N_n^B(x, y). \quad (14)$$

Especially,

$$D_H^n(y)|_{y=1} = (n+1)!t^n N_n^A(x), \quad (15)$$

$$D_H^n(t)|_{y=1} = n!t^{n+1} N_n^B(x). \quad (16)$$

This implies Equation (14). \square

Remark 8. Ma, Ma, and Yeh [27] provided a context-free grammar

$$\{u \rightarrow u^2v^3, v \rightarrow u^3v^2\}, \quad (17)$$

and showed that

$$D^n(u^2) = (n+1)! \sum_{k=0}^n N(n, k) u^{3n-2k+2} v^{n+2k}$$

and

$$D^n(uv) = n! \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 u^{3n-2k+1} v^{n+2k+1}.$$

By setting $t = uv, x = u^2, y = v^2$, the grammar (12) can be changed into the grammar (17).

As an application of the grammar H given in (12), we next show a grammatical proof of the following convolution identities of $N_n^A(x, y)$ and $N_n^B(x, y)$. Note that our definition of $N_n^A(x)$ here is different from that in Petersen [30] due to a shift of x .

Theorem 9. For $n \geq 2$, we have

$$N_n^A(x, y) = (x+y)N_{n-1}^A(x, y) + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} N_{k-1}^A(x, y)N_{n-k}^A(x, y) \quad ([30, Theorem 2.2]), \quad (18)$$

$$N_n^B(x, y) = (x+y)N_{n-1}^B(x, y) + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} N_k^B(x, y)N_{n-k-1}^A(x, y). \quad (19)$$

Proof. Consider the following Leibnitz-type convolution

$$D_H^n(t^{-2}) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} D_H^k(t^{-1}) D_H^{n-k}(t^{-1}). \quad (20)$$

One can verify that

$$D_H(t^{-2}) = -2t^{-1}(x+y), \quad D_H^2(t^{-2}) = 2(y-x)^2, \quad D_H^n(t^{-2}) = 0, \quad \text{for } n \geq 3,$$

and

$$D_H(t^{-1}) = -(x+y), \quad D_H^n(t^{-1}) = -2n!t^{n-1}N_{n-1}^A(x, y), \quad \text{for } n \geq 2.$$

Thus for $n \geq 3$, the left side of (20) vanishes, while the right side of (20) can be calculated as follows,

$$R.H.S. = 2t^{-1}D_H^n(t^{-1}) + 2nD_H(t^{-1})D_H^{n-1}(t^{-1}) + \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \binom{n}{k} D_H^k(t^{-1})D_H^{n-k}(t^{-1}),$$

which can be reduced to

$$-4n!t^{n-2}N_{n-1}^A(x, y) + 4n!(x+y)t^{n-2}N_{n-2}^A(x, y) + 4n!t^{n-2}\sum_{k=2}^{n-2}N_{k-1}^A(x, y)N_{n-k-1}^A(x, y).$$

Now we get

$$N_{n-1}^A(x, y) = (x+y)N_{n-2}^A(x, y) + \sum_{k=2}^{n-2}N_{k-1}^A(x, y)N_{n-k-1}^A(x, y),$$

which is equivalent to (18).

Similarly, (19) can be obtained in a similar calculation from the following Leibnitz-type convolution

$$0 = D_H^n(1) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} D_H^k(t) D_H^{n-k}(t^{-1}).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Let $C^A(x, y, z)$ and $C^B(x, y, z)$ denote the generating function of Narayana polynomials of type A and type B, respectively. Namely,

$$C^A(x, y, z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} N_n^A(x, y) z^n, \quad (21)$$

$$C^B(x, y, z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} N_n^B(x, y) z^n. \quad (22)$$

Let $\mathbf{Gen}(f, t)$ be the generating function of a Laurent polynomial f associated with the operator D , as defined by

$$\mathbf{Gen}(f, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D^n(f) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

The following relations of $\mathbf{Gen}(f, t)$ are first given by Chen [12]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Gen}(f + g, t) &= \mathbf{Gen}(f, t) + \mathbf{Gen}(g, t), \\ \mathbf{Gen}(f \cdot g, t) &= \mathbf{Gen}(f, t) \cdot \mathbf{Gen}(g, t), \\ \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{Gen}(f, t) &= \mathbf{Gen}(D(f), t). \end{aligned}$$

Based on the relation (18), Petersen [30] provided a formula for $C^A(x, y, z)$. Here we give a grammatical proof in the following equivalent form. Our approach also leads to a formula for $C^B(x, y, z)$.

Theorem 10. *We have*

$$C^A(x, y, z) = \frac{1 + (y-x)z - \sqrt{1 - 2(x+y)z + (y-x)^2 z^2}}{2z} \quad ([30, Equation (2.6)]), \quad (23)$$

$$C^B(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2(x+y)z + (y-x)^2 z^2}}. \quad (24)$$

Proof. Notice that

$$D_H(t^{-2}) = -2t^{-1}(y+x), \quad D_H^2(t^{-2}) = 2(y-x)^2, \quad D_H^n(t^{-2}) = 0, \text{ for } n \geq 3,$$

We obtain

$$\mathbf{Gen}(t^{-2}, u) = t^{-2} - 2t^{-1}(y+x)u + (y-x)^2u^2.$$

Equivalently,

$$\mathbf{Gen}(t^{-1}, u) = \sqrt{t^{-2} - 2t^{-1}(y+x)u + (y-x)^2u^2}.$$

Thus,

$$\mathbf{Gen}(t, u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^{-2} - 2t^{-1}(y+x)u + (y-x)^2u^2}}.$$

It follows from (14) and (22) that

$$\mathbf{Gen}(t, u) = tC^B(x, y, tu),$$

which leads to Equation (24).

According to $D_H(-t^{-1}) = y+x$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Gen}(-t^{-1}, u) &= -t^{-1} + (x+y)u + 2 \sum_{n \geq 2} D_H^{n-1}(x) \frac{u^n}{n!} \\ &= -t^{-1} + (x+y)u + 2 \sum_{n \geq 2} N_{n-1}^A(x, y) t^{n-1} u^n \\ &= -t^{-1} + (x+y)u + 2u (C^A(x, y, tu) - y). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$C^A(x, y, tu) = \frac{1 + (y-x)tu - \sqrt{1 - 2(x+y)tu + (y-x)^2t^2u^2}}{2tu},$$

which is equivalent to Equation (23). This completes the proof. \square

4 Stable multivariate Narayana polynomials

The main objective of this section is to prove Theorem 1. By introducing a multivariate refinement of the Narayana grammar, we give a further generalization of $\tilde{N}_n^A(x, y, s, t)$ and $\tilde{N}_n^B(x, y, s, t)$, and then confirm their real stability via Borcea and Brändén's characterization of stability-preserving linear operators. Besides, two results derived from Theorem 1 are given as applications of the theory of stable polynomials.

We first define a refined grammatical labeling for a labeled plane tree $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$. We shall assign variables to each node and edge in T . Following the previous section, we assign the variable s to an edge (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) if it is proper, and assign the variable t otherwise. Besides, for any node \mathbf{i} , the label function $f(\mathbf{i})$ and the variable associated with it are defined as follows:

- If \mathbf{i} is a leaf node in T , then we let $f(\mathbf{i}) = \max\{i, \alpha(\mathbf{i})\}$ and assign the variable $x_{f(\mathbf{i})}$ to the leaf \mathbf{i} .
- If \mathbf{i} is an interior node, we let $f(\mathbf{i}) = \max\{i, \beta(\mathbf{j})\}$, where \mathbf{j} is the old child of \mathbf{i} , and assign the variable $y_{f(\mathbf{i})}$ to the interior node \mathbf{i} .

Then, we define the refined weight of the tree T on $[n]$, denoted $\mathbf{wt}(T)$, as the product of the variables corresponding to all nodes and edges of T . Namely,

$$\mathbf{wt}(T) = s^{\text{imp}(T)} t^{n-1-\text{imp}(T)} \prod_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{L}(T)} x_{f(\mathbf{i})} \prod_{\mathbf{j} \in [n] \setminus \mathcal{L}(T)} y_{f(\mathbf{j})},$$

where $\mathcal{L}(T)$ is denoted the leaf set of T .

Take the tree T in Figure 1 as an example. For the leaf $\mathbf{2}$, we have $f(\mathbf{2}) = 4$ due to $\alpha(\mathbf{2}) = 4$ and thus assign the variable x_4 to the leaf $\mathbf{2}$. Similarly, for the interior node $\mathbf{6}$, we have $f(\mathbf{6}) = 6$ due to $\beta(\mathbf{3}) = 1$ and thus assign the variable y_6 to the interior node $\mathbf{6}$. Consequently, the tree T has the refined grammatical labeling as follows and $\mathbf{wt}(T) = s^3 t^3 x_3 x_4 x_5 x_7 y_3 y_4 y_6$.




Figure 6: A labeled plane tree with its refined grammatical labeling

Recall that

$$F_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, s, t) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}} \mathbf{wt}(T) \quad (25)$$

and

$$F_n^*(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, s, t) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n^*} \mathbf{wt}(T), \quad (26)$$

where \mathcal{T}_n is the set of labeled plane trees on $[n]$ and \mathcal{T}_n^* is the set of labeled plane trees on $[n+2]$ in which $\mathbf{1}$ is the old leaf of $\mathbf{2}$.

We next consider the following refined context-free grammar:

$$G_n = \{x_k \rightarrow (s+t)x_{n+1}y_{n+1}, y_k \rightarrow (s+t)x_{n+1}y_{n+1}, s \rightarrow s(sx_{n+1}+ty_{n+1}), t \rightarrow t(sx_{n+1}+ty_{n+1})\}.$$

From the insertion rule of the new node $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$, one can easily derive the following relation between the grammar G_n and the polynomials $F_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, s, t)$ and $F_n^*(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, s, t)$.

Theorem 11. Let D_n be the linear operator associated with G_n . For $n \geq 1$, it holds that

$$F_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, s, t) = D_n D_{n-1} \cdots D_1(y_1) \quad (27)$$

and

$$F_n^*(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, s, t) = D_{n+1} D_n \cdots D_2(t). \quad (28)$$

Now we prove the first part of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1 (i). The grammar G_n can be reduced to G , as given in (6), by setting $x_k = x$ and $y_k = y$. According to Theorem 7, we know that $F_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, s, t)$ (respectively $F_n^*(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, s, t)$) is a generalization of $N_n^A(x)$ (respectively $N_n^B(x)$). \square

To prove the stability of multivariate polynomials, we need a multi-affine version of Borcea and Brändén's characterization. A polynomial $f(\mathbf{x})$ is said to be *multi-affine* if the power of each indeterminate x_i is at most one. For a set \mathcal{P} of polynomials, let \mathcal{P}^{MA} be the set of multi-affine polynomials in \mathcal{P} . Borcea and Brändén [2] gave a complete characterization of the linear operators which preserve stable multivariate polynomials.

Lemma 12 ([35, Theorem 3.5]). Let $T : \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]^{MA} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]$ be a linear operator acting on the variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. If the polynomial

$$G_T := T \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (x_i + \hat{x}_i) \right)$$

is a stable polynomial of variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = (\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_n)$, then T preserves real stability.

We next prove the second part of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1 (ii). Let $F_n = F_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, s, t)$ and $F_n^* = F_n^*(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, s, t)$. Notice that each monomial in F_{n-1} is in form $s^k t^{n-1-k} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$. Thus, $F_0 = y_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} F_n &= D_n(F_{n-1}) \\ &= s(sx_{n+1} + ty_{n+1}) \frac{\partial F_{n-1}}{\partial s} + t(sx_{n+1} + ty_{n+1}) \frac{\partial F_{n-1}}{\partial t} \\ &\quad + (s+t)x_{n+1}y_{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} \right) F_{n-1} \\ &= (n-1)(sx_{n+1} + ty_{n+1})F_{n-1} + (s+t)x_{n+1}y_{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} \right) F_{n-1} \\ &= T_n(F_{n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where T_n denote the linear operator

$$T_n = (n-1)(sx_{n+1} + ty_{n+1}) + (s+t)x_{n+1}y_{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} \right)$$

in variables \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} with parameters s and t . Similarly, $F_1^* = t(sx_3 + ty_3)$ and $F_n^* = T_{n+1}(F_{n-1}^*)$. It follows that the power of each indeterminate x_i and y_i is at most one, and thus F_n and F_n^* are multi-affine polynomials in variables \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} .

We proceed to show the linear operator T_n preserves real stability. By Lemma 12, we shall prove

$$G_{T_n} = T_n \left(\prod_{k=1}^n (x_k + \hat{x}_k)(y_k + \hat{y}_k) \right)$$

is stable. The linear operator T_n actions on the \mathbf{x} - and \mathbf{y} -variables and treats the variables s, t as positive real constants. A straightforward computation leads to

$$\frac{G_{T_n}}{x_{n+1}y_{n+1} \prod_{k=1}^n (x_k + \hat{x}_k)(y_k + \hat{y}_k)} = (n-1) \left(\frac{s}{y_{n+1}} + \frac{t}{x_{n+1}} \right) + (s+t) \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{x_k + \hat{x}_k} + \frac{1}{y_k + \hat{y}_k} \right).$$

Each term on the right side has a negative imaginary part whenever all variables have positive imaginary parts. Hence we prove the real stability of G_{T_n} . Therefore, we complete the proof of the second part of Theorem 1. \square

Once multivariate polynomials are shown to be real stable, we can then reduce them to real-rooted polynomials by using the following operations.

Lemma 13 ([35, Lemma 2.4]). *Given $i, j \in [n]$, the following operations preserve real stability of $f \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]$:*

- Differentiation: $f \mapsto \partial f / \partial x_i$.
- Diagonalization: $f \mapsto f|_{x_i=x_j}$.
- Specialization: for $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $f \mapsto f|_{x_i=a}$.

As an application of Theorem 1, we derive the following result from Lemma 13, which extends the real-rootedness of $N_n^A(x)$ and $N_n^B(x)$.

Theorem 14. *For any positive real numbers s and t , the polynomials*

$$\tilde{N}_n^A(x, 1, s, t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{r=0}^n \tilde{N}(n, k, r) s^{n-r} t^r \right) x^k$$

and

$$\tilde{N}_n^B(x, 1, s, t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\sum_{r=0}^{n+1} \tilde{N}_B(n, k, r) s^{n+1-r} t^r \right) x^k$$

have only real roots.

Another application of Theorem 1 is a new version of multivariate stable refinement of the second-order Eulerian polynomial. By setting $t = 0$, we restrict labeled rooted trees to those with all edges proper. Such trees are called *increasing plane trees*, the usual definition of which

is requiring that the labels along any path from the root to a leaf are increasing. Due to the famous glove bijection [24], we adopt the notion of Stirling permutations to present our result. A permutation $\pi = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_{2n}$ on the multiset $[n]_2 = \{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ is called a *Stirling permutation* if $\pi_i = \pi_j$ implies $\pi_k < \pi_i$ for any $1 \leq i < k < j \leq n$. We let $\pi_0 = \pi_{2n+1} = 0$ for notional convenience. Let \mathcal{Q}_n denote the set of Stirling permutations on $[n]_2$. The second Eulerian polynomial can be interpreted as the generating polynomial of Stirling permutations over one among ascent, plateau, and descent statistics, whose triple equidistribution was discovered by Bóna [1]. For more properties about Stirling permutations, we refer the reader to [22, 24, 25, 28].

For a Stirling permutation $\pi \in \mathcal{Q}_n$, let $P(\pi)$ denote the set of plateaux in π , namely, $P(\pi) = \{i : \pi_i = \pi_{i+1}\}$. We also let $FA(\pi)$ denote the set of ascents whose corresponding letter is the first appearance of the letter in π . More precisely,

$$FA(\pi) = \{i : 0 \leq i < 2n, \pi_i < \pi_{i+1} \text{ and } \pi_j \neq \pi_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq j < i\},$$

where $\pi_0 = 0$.

Theorem 15. *For any positive integer n , the multivariate polynomial of Stirling permutations*

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{Q}_n} \prod_{i \in P(\pi)} x_{\pi_i} \prod_{j \in FA(\pi)} y_{\pi_{j+1}} \quad (29)$$

is real stable over the variables \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} .

Proof. Let \mathcal{I}_n be the set of increasing plane trees on $[n]$. For an increasing tree $T \in \mathcal{I}_n$, it can be seen

$$f(\mathbf{i}) = \begin{cases} i, & \mathbf{i} \text{ is a leaf in } T, \\ j, & \mathbf{i} \text{ is an interior node in } T \text{ with its old child } \mathbf{j}. \end{cases}$$

Thus we have

$$F_{n-1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, s, 0) = t^{n-1} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{I}_n} \prod_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{L}(T)} x_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{\mathbf{j} \in [n] \setminus \mathcal{L}(T)} y_{f(\mathbf{j})}$$

is real stable over the variables \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} .

Given an increasing tree $T \in \mathcal{I}_n$, let π be the corresponding permutation under the glove bijection (also known as the “depth-first walk”) [24, 25]. It is easy to verify that \mathbf{i} is a leaf in T if and only if the two i ’s appearing consecutively contribute a plateau to π . Meanwhile, \mathbf{i} is an interior node with its old child \mathbf{j} in T if and only if the first occurrence of i appears as an ascent bottom in π , whose next letter is j . Removing the first and last letter 1 in π and decreasing each remaining letter by one, we obtain a Stirling permutation on $[n-1]_2$. This indicates that

$$F_{n-1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, s, 0) = t^{n-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}} \prod_{k \in P(\sigma)} x_{\sigma_{k+1}} \prod_{\ell \in FA(\sigma)} y_{\sigma_{\ell+1+1}}.$$

Finally, we complete the proof of the stability of the multivariate polynomial (29) from that of $F_{n-1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, s, 0)$. \square

By letting $x_i = x$ and $y_i = 1$ in (29), our multivariate polynomial can be reduced to the second Eulerian polynomial in terms of plateau statistic. It is remarkable to mention that our

polynomial (29) is a distinct generalization of the second Eulerian polynomial from the one given by Haglund and Visontai [22].

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to thank William Y.C. Chen for his courses on context-free grammars, especially the Dumont-Ramamonjisoa grammar on Cayley trees during our graduate study at Nankai University. The authors thank Per Alexandersson for his helpful discussion after the first arXiv version. Yang was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12001404), and Zhang was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12171362).

References

- [1] M. Bóna, Real zeros and normal distribution for statistics on Stirling permutations defined by Gessel and Stanley, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, **23** (2009), 401–406.
- [2] J. Borcea and P. Brändén, The Lee-Yang and Pólya-Schur programs. I. Linear operators preserving stability, *Invent. Math.*, **177** (2009), 541–569.
- [3] J. Borcea and P. Brändén, The Lee-Yang and Pólya-Schur programs. II. Theory of stable polynomials and applications, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **62** (2009), 1595–1631.
- [4] J. Borcea and P. Brändén, Pólya-Schur master theorems for circular domains and their boundaries, *Ann. of Math.*, **170** (2009), 465–492.
- [5] P. Brändén, On linear transformations preserving the Pólya frequency property, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **358** (2006), 3697–3716.
- [6] P. Brändén, Unimodality, log-concavity, real-rootedness and beyond, in *Handbook of Enumerative Combinatorics*, Edited by Miklós Bóna, 437–484, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2015.
- [7] P. Brändén, J. Haglund, M. Visontai, and D. G. Wagner, Proof of the monotone column permanent conjecture, in *Notions of positivity and the geometry of polynomials*, Trends Math., 63–78, Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011.
- [8] P. Brändén and J. Huh, Lorentzian polynomials, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **192** (2020), 821–891.
- [9] P. Brändén, M. Leander, and M. Visontai, Multivariate Eulerian polynomials and exclusion processes, *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, **25** (2016), 486–499.
- [10] F. Brenti, Unimodal, log-concave and Pólya frequency sequences in combinatorics, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **81** (1989), viii+106.
- [11] F. Brenti, Log-concave and unimodal sequences in algebra, combinatorics, and geometry: an update, in *Jerusalem combinatorics '93*, vol. 178 of *Contemp. Math.*, 71–89, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

- [12] W. Y. C. Chen, Context-free grammars, differential operators and formal power series, vol. 117, 113–129, 1993. Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (Bordeaux, 1991).
- [13] W. Y. C. Chen, E. Deutsch, and S. Elizalde, Old and young leaves on plane trees, *European J. Combin.*, **27** (2006), 414–427.
- [14] W. Y. C. Chen and A. M. Fu, Context-free grammars for permutations and increasing trees, *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, **82** (2017), 58–82.
- [15] W. Y. C. Chen, A. M. Fu, and S. H. F. Yan, The Gessel correspondence and the partial γ -positivity of the Eulerian polynomials on multiset Stirling permutations, *European J. Combin.*, **109** (2023), 103655.
- [16] W. Y. C. Chen, R. X. J. Hao, and H. R. L. Yang, Context-free grammars and stable multivariate polynomials over Stirling permutations, in *Algorithmic combinatorics: enumerative combinatorics, special functions and computer algebra—in honour of Peter Paule on his 60th birthday*, Texts Monogr. Symbol. Comput., 109–135, Springer, Cham, 2020.
- [17] W. Y. C. Chen and H. R. L. Yang, A context-free grammar for the Ramanujan-Shor polynomials, *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, **126** (2021), 101908.
- [18] X. Chen, A. L. B. Yang, and J. J. Y. Zhao, Recurrences for Callan’s generalization of Narayana polynomials, *J. Syst. Sci. Complex.*, **35** (2022), 1573–1585.
- [19] D. Dumont and A. Ramamonjisoa, Grammaire de Ramanujan et arbres de Cayley, vol. 3, Research Paper 17, approx. 18, 1996. The Foata Festschrift.
- [20] S. Elizalde, Descents on quasi-Stirling permutations, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **180** (2021), 105429.
- [21] S. Fomin and N. Reading, Root systems and generalized associahedra, in *Geometric combinatorics*, vol. 13 of *IAS/Park City Math. Ser.*, 63–131, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
- [22] J. Haglund and M. Visontai, Stable multivariate Eulerian polynomials and generalized Stirling permutations, *European J. Combin.*, **33** (2012), 477–487.
- [23] I. Gessel and R.P. Stanley, Stirling polynomials, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **24** (1978), 25–33.
- [24] S. Janson, Plane recursive trees, Stirling permutations and an urn model, in *Fifth Colloquium on Mathematics and Computer Science*, 541–547, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. Proc., AI, 2008.
- [25] S. Janson, M. Kuba, A. Panholzer, Generalized Stirling permutations, families of increasing trees and urn models, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **118** (2011), 94–114.
- [26] L. L. Liu and Y. Wang, A unified approach to polynomial sequences with only real zeros, *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, **38** (2007), 542–560.

- [27] S.-M. Ma, J. Ma, and Y.-N. Yeh, γ -positivity and partial γ -positivity of descent-type polynomials, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **167** (2019), 257–293.
- [28] S.-M. Ma, H. Qi, J. Yeh, and Y.-N. Yeh, Stirling permutation codes, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **199**(2023), 105777.
- [29] R. Pemantle, Hyperbolicity and stable polynomials in combinatorics and probability, in *Current developments in mathematics, 2011*, 57–123, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2012.
- [30] T. K. Petersen, *Eulerian numbers*, Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher. [Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basel Textbooks], Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2015.
- [31] P. W. Shor, A new proof of Cayley’s formula for counting labeled trees, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **71** (1995), 154–158.
- [32] N. J. A. Sloane, The Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, <http://oeis.org>.
- [33] R. P. Stanley, Log-concave and unimodal sequences in algebra, combinatorics, and geometry, in *Graph theory and its applications: East and West (Jinan, 1986)*, vol. 576 of *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.*, 500–535, New York Acad. Sci., New York, 1989.
- [34] M. Visontai and N. Williams, Stable multivariate W -Eulerian polynomials, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **120** (2013), 1929–1945.
- [35] D. G. Wagner, Multivariate stable polynomials: theory and applications, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, **48** (2011), 53–84.
- [36] S. H. F. Yan and X. Zhu, Quasi-Stirling polynomials on multisets, *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, **141** (2022), 102415.
- [37] P. B. Zhang and X. Zhang, Multivariate stable Eulerian polynomials on segmented permutations, *European J. Combin.*, **78** (2019), 155–162.