

# BCZ MAP IS WEAKLY MIXING

YITWAH CHEUNG, ANTHONY QUAS

ABSTRACT. The BCZ map was introduced in [2] by Boca, Cobeli and Zaharescu as a tool to study the statistical properties of Farey sequences, whose relation to Riemann Hypothesis dates back to Franel and Landau (see [3]).

Later, J. Athreya and the first author observed that the BCZ map arises as a Poincare section of horocycle flow, establishing in [1] both ergodicity as well as zero measure-theoretic entropy.

In this article, we prove that the BCZ map is weakly mixing, answering the last remaining question about the BCZ map raised in [3]. The proof uses a self-similarity property of the BCZ map that derives from a well-known fact that horocycle flow is renormalized by the geodesic flow, a property already observed in [1].

We note that the questions of mixing and rigidity remain open.

The BCZ map is a description of the induced map of the horocycle flow on the collection of unimodular two-dimensional lattices with a horizontal vector of length at most 1. Let  $\mathcal{L}_0$  denote this collection, and more generally, let  $\mathcal{L}_b$  denote the collection of lattices with a horizontal vector of length at most  $1 - b$ .

Given a lattice in  $\mathcal{L}_0$ , we parameterize it by a pair  $(s, t)$  as follows. First,  $s$  is the length of the shortest horizontal vector (so that  $(s, 0)$  is a point of the lattice). Since it is a unimodular lattice, the first horizontal row above the  $x$ -axis containing lattice points has  $y$ -coordinate  $1/s$ . The lattice points in this (and all) rows are spaced  $s$  apart. We let  $t$  be the lattice coordinate of the rightmost lattice point in the first horizontal row intersected with  $\{(x, y) : x \leq 1\}$ . The coordinates  $s$  and  $t$  satisfy the inequalities  $0 < s, t \leq 1, s + t > 1$ .

Define

$$\Omega = \{(s, t) : 0 < s, t \leq 1; s + t > 1\}.$$

Thus  $(s, t) \in \Omega$  corresponds to the lattice

$$\Lambda_{(s,t)} = \{m(s, 0) + n(t, 1/s) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \in \mathcal{L}_0.$$

The BCZ map, mentioned above, is the description of the induced map of the horocycle flow on  $\mathcal{L}_0$  expressed in these coordinates:

---

*Date:* March 25, 2024.

$$\Phi(s, t) = (t, u) \text{ where } u = -s + \lfloor (1+s)/t \rfloor t.$$

It is straightforward to check that  $\Phi$  preserves the (normalized) restriction of Lebesgue measure to  $\Omega$ . We denote the normalized area measure by  $m$ .

**Theorem 1.** *The BCZ map is weak-mixing.*

We use the following abstract lemma to show that the BCZ map does not have an eigenfunction. The principal fact that we exploit is that the return map of the horocycle flow to the collection of lattices with a horizontal vector of length at most 1 is conjugate (by a near-identity conjugacy) to the return map of the horocycle flow to the collection of lattices with a horizontal vector of length at most  $1 - b$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $X$  be a compact metric space equipped with a Borel probability measure  $m$ . Let  $T$  be an ergodic measure-preserving transformation of  $(X, m)$ .*

*Suppose that there exist  $\tau > 0$ , a sequence of subsets  $(X_k)$  of  $X$ , positive integers  $(N_k)$ , and a sequence of maps  $(\phi_k)$  with  $\phi_k: X \rightarrow X_k$  satisfying the following properties:*

- (1)  $m(X_k) \rightarrow 1$ ;
- (2) *The return map,  $T_k$  of  $X_k$  is conjugate to  $T$  by  $\phi_k$ ;*
- (3) *For all  $\delta > 0$ ,  $m(\{x: d(\phi_k(x), x) > \delta\}) \rightarrow 0$ ;*
- (4)  $m(\{x \in X_k: R_k^{(N_k)}(x) = N_k + 1\}) > \tau$  for each  $k$ , where for  $x \in X_k$ ,  $R_k^{(n)}(x)$  denotes the  $n$ th return time to  $X_k$ .

*Then  $T$  is weak mixing.*

*Proof.* Suppose for a contradiction that  $f$  has an eigenfunction with eigenvalue  $\alpha \neq 1$ . By ergodicity, we may assume that  $f$  has absolute value 1 almost everywhere. Let  $T_k$  denote the return map of  $T$  to  $X_k$ .

We have that  $f_k = f \circ \phi_k^{-1}$  is an eigenfunction for  $T_k$  with the same eigenvalue. Let  $m_k$  be the normalized restriction of  $m$  to  $X_k$  and  $\|\cdot\|_k$  be the  $L^1$  norm on  $X_k$  with respect to  $m_k$ .

We make the following claim:

- (1)  $\|f_k - f|_{X_k}\|_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

Assuming this, we complete the proof as follows:

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f|_{X_k} \circ T_k^{N_k} - \alpha^{N_k} f|_{X_k}\|_k \\ \leq & \|f|_{X_k} \circ T_k^{N_k} - f_k \circ T_k^{N_k}\|_k + \|f_k \circ T_k^{N_k} - \alpha^{N_k} f_k\|_k + \|\alpha^{N_k} f_k - \alpha^{N_k} f|_{X_k}\|_k \\ \leq & 2\|f - f_k\|_k \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have that  $T_k^{N_k}(x) = T^{N_k+1}x$  for a subset of  $X_k$  of measure at least  $\tau$ . On this set,  $\left|f|_{X_k} \circ T_k^{N_k}(x) - \alpha^{N_k}f|_{X_k}(x)\right|$  takes the value  $|1 - \alpha|$ . This is the required contradiction subject to a proof of (1).

To show (1), let  $\epsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. Let  $g$  be a continuous function such that  $\|f - g\| < \epsilon$ . Let  $\delta$  be such that if  $d(x, y) < \delta$ , then  $|g(x) - g(y)| < \epsilon$ . Let  $k$  be sufficiently large that  $m(S_k) > 1 - \epsilon$ , where  $S_k = \{x: d(\phi_k(x), x) \leq \delta\}$ . Clearly  $m_k(\phi_k(S_k)) > 1 - \epsilon$  also.

We now have

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(\phi_k^{-1}x) - f(x)| \\ & \leq |f(\phi_k^{-1}x) - g(\phi_k^{-1}x)| + |g(\phi_k^{-1}x) - g(x)| + |g(x) - f(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the first and last terms over  $m_k$  gives at most  $2\|f - g\|$  and the middle term integrates to at most  $3\epsilon$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We now show that the BCZ map satisfies the conditions of the lemma. Let  $b_k$  be an arbitrary sequence of positive real numbers monotonically decreasing to 0. We let  $\Omega_k$  be  $\{(s, t) \in \Omega: s \leq 1 - b_k\}$ . We see that  $m(\Omega_k) = (1 - b_k)^2$ , so that condition (1) is satisfied.

Since the BCZ map is the return map of the horocycle flow to the set of lattices with a horizontal vector of length at most 1, we see that  $\Omega_k$  corresponds to the set of lattices with a horizontal vector of length at most  $1 - b_k$ . At the level of lattices, left multiplication by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 - b_k & 0 \\ 0 & (1 - b_k)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$  gives a conjugacy between the return map to lattices with a horizontal vector of length at most 1 and the return map to lattices with a horizontal vector of length at most  $1 - b_k$ .

Expressing this conjugacy in the  $\Omega$  coordinates, one has

$$\phi_k(s, t) = \begin{cases} ((1 - b_k)s, (1 - b_k)t) & \text{if } s + t > (1 - b_k)^{-1}; \\ ((1 - b_k)s, (1 - b_k)(js + t)) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $j = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N}: (1 - b_k)((j + 1)s + t) > 1\}$ . Notice that  $d(\phi_k(s, t), (s, t)) \leq \sqrt{2}b_k$  for  $(s, t)$  belonging to a set of measure converging to 1, so that conditions (2) and (3) are satisfied.

The bulk of the work is in showing that the remaining condition, (4), is satisfied. To understand the return condition, it is helpful to see its geometric significance at the level of lattices. We have already described the link between  $\Omega$  and  $\Lambda_0$ . There is a similar link between  $\Omega_k$  and  $\Lambda_{b_k}$ .

Given  $n$ , we can give a precise description at the level of lattices of the collection of those lattices in  $\Omega_k$  such that the  $n$ th return to  $\Omega_k$

is the  $(n + 1)$ st return to  $\Omega$ . Recall that the horocycle flow uniformly decreases the slopes of all lattice points at rate 1, while keeping the  $x$  coordinate fixed. Returns to  $\Lambda_0$  are precisely when there is a primitive lattice point with  $y$  coordinate 0 and  $x$  coordinate in the range  $(0, 1]$ . Returns to  $\Lambda_{b_k}$  are when the  $x$  coordinate is in the range  $(0, 1 - b_k]$ .

If the primitive lattice points with  $x$  coordinate in the range  $(0, 1]$  and positive  $y$  coordinate are ordered by slope, the horocycle flow time until the first return to  $\Lambda_0$  is numerically equal to the slope of the first primitive lattice point and the flow time until the  $n$ th return to  $\Lambda_0$  is the slope of the  $n$ th primitive lattice point. Similarly, the flow time to the  $n$ th return to  $\Lambda_{b_k}$  is the slope of the  $n$ th primitive lattice point with  $x$  coordinate in the range  $(0, 1 - b_k]$ . We summarize the conclusion of this in the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.**  *$R_k^{(n)}(s, t)$  is  $n + 1$  if and only if  $\lambda(s, t)$  contains exactly one primitive lattice point with  $x$  coordinate in  $(1 - b_k, 1]$  and positive  $y$  coordinate whose slope is smaller than the slope of the  $n$ th primitive lattice point with  $x$  coordinate in the range  $(0, 1 - b_k]$ .*

This is illustrated in Figure 1.

We need to ensure that condition (4) is satisfied with a probability that is uniformly bounded away from 0 as  $k$  increases. We use the so-called second moment method to do this. We sketch the argument. We introduce a parameter  $a$  which will later be fixed to satisfy some numerical constraints. We then define  $N_k$  to be  $a/b_k$ . For sufficiently large  $k$ , the slope of the  $N_k$ th primitive point will be (from the ergodic theorem applied to the horocycle flow) close to  $\pi^2 N_k/3$ . We then define two boxes, each with  $x$  coordinates in the range  $(1 - b_k, 1]$ . The first box will have height  $2a/b_k$ , substantially less than  $\pi^2 N_k/3$ , while the second box will have height  $4a/b_k$ , substantially more than  $\pi^2 N_k/3$ . We then use probabilistic methods to show that the expected number of primitive lattice pts in  $B_1$  is  $\Omega(a)$  (that is, it is bounded below, for sufficiently large,  $k$  by  $ca$  for a positive constant  $c$  that is independent of  $k$ ), while the expectation coming from points with two or more lattice points in  $B_2$  is  $O(a^2)$ , again where the implied constant is independent of  $k$ .

By choosing  $a$  sufficiently small (independent of  $k$ ), we obtain that with non-negligible probability there is exactly one primitive lattice point with  $x$  coordinate in  $(1 - b_k, 1]$  below the  $N_k$ th lattice point with  $x$  coordinate in range  $(0, 1 - b_k]$ . This is illustrated in Figure 2.

Let a positive parameter  $a$  be arbitrarily chosen. We later need to pick  $a$  to ensure that the difference of the  $\Omega(a)$  term and the  $O(a^2)$




FIGURE 1. Primitive Lattice points are marked as dots and others as crosses. If  $x = 1 - b_k$  is the left vertical line and  $x = 1$  is the right vertical line, then for the illustrated lattice, the 4th flow return time to  $\Lambda_0$  is the slope of the lower dotted line, while the 4th flow return time to  $\Lambda_{b_k}$  is the slope of the upper dotted line. In particular,  $R_k^{(4)} = 5$  since the 4th return to  $\Omega_k$  is the 5th return to  $\Omega$ .

term remains positive. Given the parameter  $a$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} N_k &= \lfloor a/b_k \rfloor \\ B_{1,k} &= (1 - b_k, 1] \times [0, 2a/b_k] \\ B_{2,k} &= (1 - b_k, 1] \times [0, 4a/b_k]. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $F_{1,k}(s, t)$  denote the number of primitive lattice points in  $\lambda(s, t) \cap B_{1,k}$ . Also, let  $F_{2,k}(s, t)$  denote the number of primitive lattice points in  $\lambda(s, t) \cap B_{2,k}$ . Let  $R_k = \{(s, t) \in \Omega_k : s \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$ .

We make the following claims

**Claim 1.** *There exists a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that for all sufficiently large  $k$ , one has*

$$\int_{R_k} F_{1,k}(s, t) dm(s, t) \geq c_1 a.$$




FIGURE 2. The  $N_k$ th slope lies in the shaded band with overwhelming probability; The box  $B_1$  has expected number of primitive lattice points  $\Omega(a)$ , while the contribution to the expected number of lattice points in  $B_2$  coming from lattices that contain at least two lattice points is  $O(a^2)$ .

**Claim 2.** *There exists a constant  $c_2 > 0$  such that for all sufficiently large  $k$ , one has*

$$\int_{R_k} F_{2,k}(s, t) \mathbf{1}_{\{F_{2,k} > 1\}} dm(s, t) \leq c_2 a^2.$$

We show how to finish the proof of the theorem assuming these claims.

Let  $G_{0,k}$  be the set of  $(s, t)$  in  $\Omega_k$  such that the  $N_k$ th horocycle flow return time of  $\lambda(s, t)$  (to  $\Lambda_{b_k}$ ) is between  $3N_k$  and  $4N_k$ . It's well known that horocycle flow on the space of lattices is ergodic. The expected return time to  $\Lambda_{b_k}$  is  $\pi^2/(3(1-b_k)^2)$ , which lies between  $3\frac{1}{5}$  and  $3\frac{2}{5}$  for large  $k$ . As  $k$  increases, so does  $N_k$  and so for large  $k$ , the slope of the  $N_k$ th primitive lattice point with  $x$  coordinate in  $(0, 1-b_k]$  lies between  $3N_k$  and  $4N_k$  with probability converging to 1. That is,  $m(G_{0,k}) \rightarrow 1$ .

We define further 'good' sets as follows:

$$G_{1,k} = \{(s, t) \in R_k : F_{1,k}(s, t) = 1\}$$

$$G_{2,k} = \{(s, t) \in R_k : F_{2,k}(s, t) = 1\}.$$

We then show that their intersection has measure bounded away from 0 and has the properties required in (4).

First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(G_{1,k}) &= \int_{R_k} F_{1,k} \mathbf{1}_{\{F_{1,k}=1\}} dm \\ &= \int_{R_k} F_{1,k} - \int_{R_k} F_{1,k} \mathbf{1}_{\{F_{1,k}>1\}} dm \\ &\geq c_1 a - \int_{R_k} F_{2,k} \mathbf{1}_{\{F_{2,k}>1\}} dm \\ &\geq c_1 a - c_2 a^2. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $G_{1,k} \cap G_{2,k} = G_{1,k} \setminus \{(s, t) : F_{2,k}(s, t) > 1\}$ . By Claim 2, we see that the measure of the second set is at most  $c_2 a^2$ . In particular  $G_{0,k} \cap G_{1,k} \cap G_{2,k}$  has measure bounded below by  $c_1 a - 3c_2 a^2$  for all sufficiently large  $k$ . Choosing  $a = c_1/(4c_2)$ , we see that  $m(G_{0,k} \cap G_{1,k} \cap G_{2,k}) \geq c_1^2/(16c_2)$  for all sufficiently large  $k$ . By weakening the bound in the second claim if necessary, we may enlarge  $c_2$  to ensure that  $a < \frac{1}{32}$ . Finally by Lemma 3, we see that if  $(s, t) \in G_{0,k} \cap G_{1,k} \cap G_{2,k}$ , one has  $R_k^{(N_k)}(s, t) = N_k + 1$ , establishing condition (4) as required.

It therefore remains to verify Claims 1 and 2.

*Proof of Claim 1.* For a lattice  $\lambda(s, t)$ , we want to count the number of primitive lattice points in  $B_{1,k}$ . The primitive lattice points of  $\lambda(s, t)$  are exactly those points of the form  $-m(s, 0) + n(t, 1/s)$  with  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ . We consider the subset of  $R_k = \{(s, t) \in \Omega_k : s \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$  consisting of those lattices such that the  $(m, n)$  lattice point lies in  $B_{1,k}$ .

Rewriting the constraints  $-m(s, 0) + n(t, \frac{1}{s}) \in B_{1,k}$ , we see this is the case if  $(s, t)$  satisfies

$$(2) \quad 1 - b_k < -ms + nt \leq 1$$

$$(3) \quad n/s \leq 2N_k.$$

We consider only pairs  $(m, n)$  with  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ ,  $N_k/2 \leq n \leq N_k$  and  $N_k/6 < m \leq N_k/3$ . We are also only looking at points where  $s \geq 1/2$ . In this range, (3) holds automatically, so we only need to look at the  $(s, t)$  pairs satisfying (2).




FIGURE 3. A typical set  $A_{m,n}$ . The height of the strip is  $b_k/n$  and the  $y$  axis intercept of the top line is  $1/n$ .

For integers  $m$  and  $n$ , we let  $A_{m,n} = \{(s, t) \in \Omega_k : s \geq \frac{1}{2}\} \cap S_{m,n}$ , where

$$S_{m,n} = \left\{ (s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \frac{1}{n} - \frac{b_k}{n} + \frac{m}{n}s < t \leq \frac{1}{n} + \frac{m}{n}s \right\}.$$

That is,  $A_{m,n}$  is the set of  $(s, t)$  such that the  $(m, n)$  lattice point of  $\lambda(s, t)$  has  $x$  coordinate in the range  $(1 - b_k, 1]$ :

We use a lower bound for  $F_{1,k}$  consisting of a sum over  $A_{m,n}$ 's over the range described above.

$$F_{1,k}(s, t) \geq \sum_{(m,n) \in Q} \mathbf{1}_{A_{m,n}}(s, t),$$

where  $Q = \{(m, n) \in (N_k/6, N_k/3] \times [N_k/2, N_k] : \gcd(m, n) = 1\}$ .

A typical set  $A_{m,n}$  is illustrated in Figure 3. Notice that by the constraints on  $m$  and  $n$ , the slope of the region is at least  $\frac{1}{6}$  and at most  $\frac{2}{3}$ . Since the  $y$ -axis intercept of the bottom line is above 0, a quick calculation shows that the width of the region is at least  $\frac{1}{7}$ , so since the height is  $\frac{b_k}{n}$ , we see that  $m(A_{m,n}) \geq 2b_k/(7n) \leq b_k/(4N_k)$ . Since  $(\frac{N_k}{6}, \frac{N_k}{3}] \times [\frac{N_k}{2}, N_k]$  contains approximately  $N_k^2/12$  lattice points, and the density of primitive points is  $\frac{6}{\pi^2}$ ,  $Q$  contains approximately  $N_k^2/(2\pi^2)$  lattice points, so at least  $N_k^2/20$  for large  $k$ . Hence we obtain  $\int_{R_k} F_{1,k} dm \geq N_k b_k / 80 \geq a/100$  for all large  $k$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Claim 2.* We first observe that  $\int F_{2,k} \mathbf{1}_{\{F_{2,k} > 1\}} dm \leq \int (F_{2,k}^2 - F_{2,k}) dm$  since if  $k > 1$  is an integer, then  $k^2 - k \geq k$ . Since  $F_{2,k}$  is a sum of indicator functions,  $F_{2,k}^2 - F_{2,k}$  can then be written as a sum of products of pairs of indicator functions (with the diagonal terms removed).



We notice that  $A_{m,n} \cap A_{m',n} = \emptyset$  for  $m \neq m'$ . To see this, notice that the intersections of  $A_{m,n}$  and  $A_{m',n}$  with the vertical line  $x = s$  (for  $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$ ) are intervals of height  $b_k/n$  with lowest points separated by at least  $1/(2n)$ .

We can check that for the  $(m, n)$  point of a lattice  $\lambda(s, t)$  to lie in  $B_2$ , it is necessary that  $n \leq 4N_k$ . We also require  $m \leq 2n$  as otherwise,  $A_{m,n}$  does not intersect the right half of the unit square. We make use of the simple bound  $F_{2,k}(s, t) \leq \sum_{n \leq 4N_k, m \leq 2n} \mathbf{1}_{A_{m,n}}(s, t)$ .

Hence we see

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int (F_{2,k}^2 - F_{2,k}) dm \\
(4) \quad &= 2 \sum_{n < n' \leq 4N_k} \int_{R_k} \sum_{m < 2n; m' < 2n'} \mathbf{1}_{A_{m,n}}(s, t) \mathbf{1}_{A_{m',n'}}(s, t) dm(s, t) \\
&= 2 \sum_{n < n' \leq 4N_k} \sum_{m < 2n; m' < 2n'} m(A_{m,n} \cap A_{m',n'}).
\end{aligned}$$

A calculation shows that intersecting a strip with slope  $s$  and height  $h$  with a second strip of height  $s'$  and height  $h'$  gives a parallelogram with area  $hh'/|s - s'|$  and width  $(h + h')/|s - s'|$ . In particular, if  $n < n' \leq 4N_k$  then  $S_{m,n}$  and  $S_{m',n'}$  intersect in a parallelogram of area  $b_k^2/(mn' - m'n)$  and width at most  $b_k(1/n + 1/n')/(1/nn') = b_k(n + n') \leq 8N_k b_k < 8a < \frac{1}{4}$ .

From this, we derive a necessary condition for  $A_{m,n}$  and  $A_{m',n'}$  to have a non-trivial common intersection with  $R_k$ . Namely, that the lines  $t = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{m}{n}s$  and  $t = \frac{1}{n'} + \frac{m'}{n'}s$  have an intersection with  $s$  coordinate in the range  $[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{5}{4}]$ . In particular, taking  $n < n'$ , we require  $\frac{1}{4}(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n'}) \leq \frac{m'}{n'} - \frac{m}{n} \leq \frac{5}{4}(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n'})$ , or

$$(5) \quad \frac{4(n' - n)}{5} \leq m'n - mn' \leq 4(n' - n).$$

From the first of these inequalities, we see that if there is an intersection in  $R_k$ , then the difference in the slopes is equal, up to a bounded multiplicative factor, to  $\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n'}$ . Substituting this into the formula for the area of the parallelogram  $S_{m,n} \cap S_{m',n'}$ , we see that if  $A_{m,n}$  and  $A_{m',n'}$  intersect, then the area of intersection is bounded by a constant multiple of  $b_k^2/(n' - n)$ .

For fixed  $n < n'$  we now count the number of  $m \leq 2n$  and  $m' \leq 2n'$  such that (5) is satisfied. Let  $d = \gcd(n, n')$ . Clearly for each value of  $m$  and  $m'$ ,  $m'n - mn'$  is a multiple of  $d$ . By the Chinese remainder theorem, the expression  $m'n - mn'$  hits each of the  $nn'/d^2$  residue

classes modulo  $\text{lcm}(n, n')$  that are multiples of  $d$  exactly once as the pair  $(m, m')$  runs over  $[0, n/d) \times [0, n'/d)$ . In particular, there are  $(n' - n)/d$  pairs of equivalence classes of  $(m, m')$  modulo  $(n/d, n'/d)$  that might satisfy (5). Each such class lands in the band at most  $2d$  times, as from one solution you can get to another in the same class by adding  $n/d$  to  $m$  and  $n'/d$  to  $m'$ . This can be done at most  $2d$  times before the constraints  $m \leq 2n$  and  $m' \leq 2n'$  are violated.

Hence for fixed  $n < n' \leq 4N_k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \leq 2n, m' \leq 2n'} m(A_{m,n} \cap A_{m',n'}) &\leq \frac{cb_k^2}{n' - n} \cdot \frac{n' - n}{\text{gcd}(n, n')} \cdot 2 \text{gcd}(n, n') \\ &\leq 2b_k^2 \end{aligned}$$

Summing over  $n$  and  $n'$  in range and using (4), we obtain the bound

$$\int (F_{2,k}^2 - F_{2,k}) dm \leq 32cN_k^2b_k^2 =: c_2a^2.$$

□

□

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Athreya, Y. Cheung, A Poincare Section for the Horocycle Flow on the Space of Lattices, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2014** (10) 2643 - 2690.
- [2] Boca, Florin P.; Cobeli, Cristian; Zaharescu, Alexandru A conjecture of R. R. Hall on Farey points *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **535** (2001), 207–236.
- [3] Boca, Florin P.; Zaharescu, Alexandru Farey fractions and two-dimensional tori *Aspects Math.*, E37 Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Wiesbaden, 2006, 57–77.