

A note on Noetherian (∞, ∞) -categories

Zach Goldthorpe

March 2024

Abstract

The purpose of this note is to resolve [Gol23, Conjecture 3.4.3], regarding the initial algebra for the enrichment endofunctor $(-)\mathbf{Cat}$ over general symmetric monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. We prove that Adámek's construction of an initial algebra for $(-)\mathbf{Cat}$ does not terminate; more precisely, we show that Adámek's construction of an initial algebra for the endofunctor $(-)\mathbf{Cat}^{<\lambda}$ that sends a symmetric monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -category \mathcal{V} to the $(\infty, 1)$ -category of \mathcal{V} -enriched categories with at most λ equivalence classes of objects terminates in precisely λ steps. We also prove that an initial algebra for the endofunctor $(-)\mathbf{Cat}$ exists nonetheless, and characterise it as the $(\infty, 1)$ -category consisting of those (∞, ∞) -categories that satisfy a weak finiteness property we call Noetherian.

Contents

1	Preliminaries	1
2	Measuring finiteness	3
3	Large convergence	4
	References	5

1 Preliminaries

Throughout this note, an “ ∞ -category” refers to an $(\infty, 1)$ -category.

The theory of enrichment in a general monoidal ∞ -category was developed extensively in [GH15]. If \mathbf{SymMon}_∞ denotes the ∞ -category of large symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories, then enrichment induces an endofunctor $(-)\mathbf{Cat} : \mathbf{SymMon}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{SymMon}_\infty$. If $\mathbf{SymMon}_\infty^{\mathbf{Pres}}$ denotes the ∞ -category of presentably symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories (and symmetric monoidal left adjoints between them), then enrichment restricts further to an endofunctor on $\mathbf{SymMon}_\infty^{\mathbf{Pres}}$ as well.

We can then use this endofunctor to construct an ∞ -category of (∞, r) -categories for all finite $r \geq 0$ by induction:

$$\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, 0)} := \mathbf{Grpd}_\infty := \mathcal{S}, \quad \mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, r+1)} := (\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, r)})\mathbf{Cat}$$

In the resulting tower of inclusions

$$\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, 0)} \subseteq \mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, 1)} \subseteq \mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, 2)} \subseteq \cdots$$

every inclusion $\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, r)} \subseteq \mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, r+1)}$ admits a left adjoint $\pi_{\leq r}$ and a right adjoint $\kappa_{\leq r}$. These tower of adjoints define two natural constructions of the ∞ -category of (∞, ∞) -categories:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, \infty)} &:= \varprojlim \left(\cdots \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, 2)} \xrightarrow{\kappa_{\leq 1}} \mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, 1)} \xrightarrow{\kappa_{\leq 0}} \mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, 0)} \right) \\ \mathbf{Cat}_\omega &:= \varprojlim \left(\cdots \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, 2)} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\leq 1}} \mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, 1)} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\leq 0}} \mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, 0)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, \infty)}$ consists of higher categories wherein equivalences are generated inductively, and \mathbf{Cat}_ω is the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, \infty)}$ spanned by those (∞, ∞) -categories wherein the equivalences are weakly coinductive; see [Gol23, Remark 3.0.5].

The main result of [Gol23] is that both \mathbf{Cat}_ω and $\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, \infty)}$ define universal fixed points with respect to enrichment. More precisely, in the ∞ -category of pairs (\mathcal{V}, τ) , where \mathcal{V} is a presentably symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, and $\tau : \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{V}\mathbf{Cat}$ is a symmetric monoidal equivalence, and the morphisms between them being symmetric monoidal left adjoints that preserve τ , then \mathbf{Cat}_ω defines a terminal object, and $\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, \infty)}$ defines an initial object.

If we consider the larger category of pairs (\mathcal{V}, τ) where \mathcal{V} is only symmetric monoidal and not necessarily presentably so, and the morphisms between them are not necessarily left adjoints, then \mathbf{Cat}_ω continues to define a terminal object. However, the presentability assumption is crucial for $\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, \infty)}$.

The universal properties are derived using an ∞ -categorical extension of the theory of endofunctor algebras developed in [Gol23, §2]; see [Adá05] for the classical theory. For an ∞ -category \mathcal{K} with an endofunctor $F : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$, an F -algebra is a pair (A, μ) where $A \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\mu : FA \rightarrow A$ is an arbitrary morphism. Dually, an F -coalgebra is a pair (C, ν) where $C \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\nu : C \rightarrow FC$. Lambek's lemma states that an initial F -algebra is also an initial object in the category of fixed points of F , so the main result of [Gol23] is proved by showing that $\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, \infty)}$ is an initial algebra for $(-)\mathbf{Cat}$ over presentably symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories, and dually that \mathbf{Cat}_ω is a terminal coalgebra for $(-)\mathbf{Cat}$ over (presentably) symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories.

Adánek's construction describes a transfinite algorithm for building an initial F -algebra. Specifically, if \emptyset is an initial object of \mathcal{K} , then construct the transfinite sequence

$$\emptyset \xrightarrow{!} F\emptyset \xrightarrow{F(!)} F^2\emptyset \xrightarrow{F^2(!)} F^3\emptyset \rightarrow \dots$$

If, for some limit ordinal λ , the colimit $F^\lambda\emptyset = \varinjlim_{\theta < \lambda} F^\theta\emptyset$ exists, and the canonical map $j : F^\lambda\emptyset \rightarrow F(F^\lambda\emptyset)$ is an equivalence, then $(F^\lambda\emptyset, j^{-1})$ defines an initial F -algebra; see [Gol23, Corollary 2.2.9]. We say that Adánek's construction *terminates in λ steps* in this case.

Let $\mathbf{Cat}_{(n, r)}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, \infty)}$ spanned by those \mathcal{C} such that

- parallel k -morphisms are equivalent for $k > n$, and
- all k -morphisms are invertible for $k > r$.

See [Gol23, Definition 3.0.1] or [GH15, Proposition 6.1.7, Theorem 6.1.8] for a more precise characterisation. We also take $\mathbf{Cat}_{(-1, 0)} \simeq \{\emptyset \rightarrow *\}$ and $\mathbf{Cat}_{(-2, 0)} \simeq \{*\}$.

The terminal object in \mathbf{SymMon}_∞ and $\mathbf{SymMon}_\infty^{\text{Pres}}$ is given by the singleton $\mathbf{Cat}_{(-2, 0)} := \{*\}$, and \mathbf{Cat}_ω can be realised as the limit

$$\mathbf{Cat}_\omega \simeq \varprojlim \left(\dots \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{1,3} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{Cat}_{(0,2)} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{Cat}_{(-1,1)} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{Cat}_{(-2,0)} \right)$$

which can be computed in both \mathbf{SymMon}_∞ and $\mathbf{SymMon}_\infty^{\text{Pres}}$. This is precisely Adánek's construction of a terminal coalgebra, proving the universal property of \mathbf{Cat}_ω as a terminal fixed point of enrichment in both \mathbf{SymMon}_∞ and $\mathbf{SymMon}_\infty^{\text{Pres}}$.

On the other hand, the initial object in $\mathbf{SymMon}_\infty^{\text{Pres}}$ is $\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, 0)}$, and $\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, \infty)}$ can be realised as the colimit

$$\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, \infty)} \simeq \varinjlim^L (\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, 0)} \subseteq \mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, 1)} \subseteq \mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, 2)} \subseteq \dots)$$

in $\mathbf{SymMon}_\infty^{\text{Pres}}$. As this is an instance of Adánek's construction of an initial algebra, this proves the universal property of $\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, \infty)}$. However, the argument does not extend to \mathbf{SymMon}_∞ . As shown in [Gol23, Proposition 3.4.1], the first ω steps of Adánek's construction for an initial algebra in \mathbf{SymMon}_∞ yields

$$\mathbf{Cat}_{<\omega} := \varinjlim \left(\mathbf{Cat}_{(-2, 0)} \subseteq \mathbf{Cat}_{(-1, 1)} \subseteq \mathbf{Cat}_{(0, 2)} \subseteq \mathbf{Cat}_{(1, 3)} \subseteq \dots \right) = \bigcup_{\substack{0 \leq n < \infty \\ r \geq 0}} \mathbf{Cat}_{(n, r)}$$

which is the ∞ -category of finite-dimensional higher categories, which is not a fixed point of enrichment.

[Gol23, Conjecture 3.4.3] predicts that Adámek’s initial algebra construction never terminates, but that an initial algebra exists nonetheless. We prove in Proposition 2.6 that Adámek’s initial algebra construction does indeed fail to terminate. The conjecture also characterised the initial algebra as the ∞ -category of *Noetherian* (∞, ∞) -categories. However, the definition of Noetherian in the conjecture is too weak. We provide a modified notion of when an (∞, ∞) -category is Noetherian, and prove in Theorem 3.6 that the initial algebra for $(-)\mathbf{Cat}$ is precisely the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, \infty)}$ spanned by the Noetherian (∞, ∞) -categories.

2 Measuring finiteness

To understand Adámek’s initial algebra construction for $(-)\mathbf{Cat}$ over \mathbf{SymMon}_∞ , we introduce the following measure of finiteness:

Definition 2.1. We define the *rank* of an (∞, ∞) -category \mathcal{C} by transfinite induction.

- Say that $\text{rank } \mathcal{C} < 0$ if and only if $\mathcal{C} \simeq *$.
- For an ordinal θ , say that $\text{rank } \mathcal{C} < \theta + 1$ if $\text{rank } \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y) < \theta$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$.
- For a limit ordinal λ , say that $\text{rank } \mathcal{C} < \lambda$ if $\text{rank } \mathcal{C} < \theta$ for some $\theta < \lambda$.

Say $\text{rank } \mathcal{C} = \theta$ if $\text{rank } \mathcal{C} < \theta + 1$ but $\text{rank } \mathcal{C} \not< \theta$. Note that the rank of \mathcal{C} is invariant under equivalence.

For an ordinal θ , let $\mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, \infty)}$ spanned by those \mathcal{C} with $\text{rank } \mathcal{C} < \theta$.

Remark 2.2. By Lemma 2.4 below, if $\text{rank } \mathcal{C} < \theta$ and $\theta < \theta'$, then also $\text{rank } \mathcal{C} < \theta'$.

Example 2.3. For the finite ordinal n , $\mathbf{Cat}_{<n}$ consists of the $(n - 2, n)$ -categories.

The ∞ -category $\mathbf{Cat}_{<\omega}$ consists of the finite-dimensional higher categories, and $\mathbf{Cat}_{<\omega+1}$ consists of the locally finite-dimensional higher categories.

Lemma 2.4. *The ∞ -categories $\mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta}$ can be constructed through transfinite induction via enrichment:*

- $\mathbf{Cat}_{<0} \simeq \mathbf{Grpd}_{-2} \simeq \{*\}$,
- $\mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta+1} \simeq (\mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta})\mathbf{Cat}$; in particular, $\mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta}$ is a full subcategory of $\mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta+1}$,
- For a limit ordinal λ ,

$$\mathbf{Cat}_{<\lambda} \simeq \varinjlim_{\theta < \lambda} \mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta}$$

Proof. That $\mathbf{Cat}_{<0} \simeq \{*\}$ and $\mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta+1} \simeq (\mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta})\mathbf{Cat}$ follow by definition. For the limit case, suppose by transfinite induction that $\mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta} \subseteq \mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta'}$ for all $\theta < \theta' < \lambda$. Then,

$$\varinjlim_{\theta < \lambda} \mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta} \simeq \bigcup_{\theta < \lambda} \mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta} = \mathbf{Cat}_{<\lambda}$$

as desired. □

Lemma 2.5. *For every ordinal θ , there is an (∞, ∞) -category \mathcal{C} such that $\text{rank } \mathcal{C} = \theta$.*

Proof. We prove this by transfinite induction. For $\theta = 0$, we take $\mathcal{C} = \emptyset$. Indeed, $\text{rank } \mathcal{C} < 1$ is vacuous, and $\text{rank } \mathcal{C} \not< 0$ because $\mathcal{C} \not\simeq *$.

Suppose we have an (∞, ∞) -category \mathcal{D} such that $\text{rank } \mathcal{D} = \theta$. Then, $\text{rank } \mathcal{C} = \theta + 1$, where $\mathcal{C} := \Sigma\mathcal{D}$ is the (∞, ∞) -category with two objects \perp, \top and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\perp, \top) = \mathcal{D}$; see [GH15, Definition 4.3.21].

Finally, suppose λ is a limit ordinal such that for every $\theta < \lambda$, there exists an (∞, ∞) -category \mathcal{D}^θ such that $\text{rank } \mathcal{D}^\theta = \theta$. Then, take $\mathcal{C} := \coprod_{\theta < \lambda} \mathcal{D}^\theta$.

Let $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$. If $x \in \mathcal{D}^\theta$ and $y \in \mathcal{D}^{\theta'}$ with $\theta \neq \theta'$, then $\text{rank Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y) = \text{rank } \emptyset = 0 < \lambda$. Otherwise, $\text{rank Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y) = \text{rank Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^\theta}(x, y) < \lambda$. In particular, $\text{rank } \mathcal{C} < \lambda + 1$. On the other hand, $\text{rank } \mathcal{C} \not< \theta$ for all $\theta < \lambda$ since \mathcal{D}^θ is a (full) subcategory of \mathcal{C} , and $\text{rank } \mathcal{D}^\theta \not< \theta$. Therefore, $\text{rank } \mathcal{C} \not< \lambda$, proving that $\text{rank } \mathcal{C} = \lambda$, as desired. \square

With the above groundwork, we already have a proof of [Gol23, Conjecture 3.4.3(2)].

Proposition 2.6. *Adámek's construction of an initial algebra for $(-)\mathbf{Cat}$ over the category \mathbf{SymMon}_∞ does not terminate.*

Proof. The θ th stage of Adámek's construction yields $\mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta}$ by Lemma 2.4. Therefore, the proposition follows from Lemma 2.5. \square

3 Large convergence

The failure of Adámek's construction to terminate in Proposition 2.6 is purely a size issue. For instance, let $(-)\mathbf{Cat}^{<\omega}$ denote the subfunctor of $(-)\mathbf{Cat}$ that sends \mathcal{V} to the full subcategory $\mathcal{V}\mathbf{Cat}^{<\omega}$ of $\mathcal{V}\mathbf{Cat}$ spanned by those \mathcal{V} -enriched categories with finitely many equivalence classes of objects (that is, the underlying space of objects has finitely many path-connected components). Then, Adámek's construction for $(-)\mathbf{Cat}^{<\omega}$ terminates after ω steps, and the initial algebra consists of those finite-dimensional higher categories with finitely many equivalence classes of k -morphisms for each $k \geq 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *Fix a regular cardinal λ . Let \mathcal{C} be an (∞, ∞) -category such that the set of equivalence classes of objects of \mathcal{C} is λ -small, and $\text{rank Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y) < \lambda$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$. Then, $\text{rank } \mathcal{C} < \lambda$.*

Proof. For $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$, let $\theta_{x,y} < \lambda$ such that $\text{rank Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y) < \theta_{x,y}$; such an ordinal exists because a regular cardinal is necessarily a limit ordinal. Then, let $\theta := \sup_{x,y \in \mathcal{C}} \theta_{x,y}$. Note that if $x \simeq x'$ and $y \simeq y'$, then $\theta_{x,y} = \theta_{x',y'}$. Since \mathcal{C} has fewer than λ objects up to equivalence, it follows from the fact that λ is a regular cardinal that $\theta < \lambda$, and therefore also that $\theta + 1 < \lambda$. Therefore, $\text{rank } \mathcal{C} < \theta + 1 < \lambda$, as desired. \square

The above lemma enables us to prove [Gol23, Conjecture 3.4.3(1)].

Proposition 3.2. *For a regular cardinal λ , let $(-)\mathbf{Cat}^{<\lambda} : \mathbf{SymMon}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{SymMon}_\infty$ denote the subfunctor of $(-)\mathbf{Cat}$ that associates to a symmetric monoidal category \mathcal{V} the full subcategory $\mathcal{V}\mathbf{Cat}^{<\lambda}$ of $\mathcal{V}\mathbf{Cat}$ spanned by those \mathcal{V} -enriched categories such that the set of path-connected components of its underlying space of objects is λ -small. Then, Adámek's construction of an initial algebra for $(-)\mathbf{Cat}^{<\lambda}$ over \mathbf{SymMon}_∞ terminates after no fewer than λ steps.*

Proof. For an ordinal θ , let $\mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta}^{<\lambda}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta}$ on those (∞, ∞) -categories \mathcal{C} such that the set of equivalence classes of k -morphisms is λ -small for every $k \geq 0$. Then, $\mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta}^{<\lambda}$ can be constructed by transfinite induction, analogous to Lemma 2.4:

- $\mathbf{Cat}_{<0}^{<\lambda} \simeq \mathbf{Grpd}_{-2} \simeq \{*\}$, which is the initial object in \mathbf{SymMon}_∞ ,
- $\mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta+1}^{<\lambda} \simeq (\mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta}^{<\lambda})\mathbf{Cat}^{<\lambda}$,
- For a limit ordinal μ ,

$$\mathbf{Cat}_{<\mu}^{<\lambda} \simeq \varinjlim_{\theta < \mu} \mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta}^{<\lambda}$$

Following the proof of Lemma 2.5, there still exists $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta+1}^{<\lambda}$ such that $\mathcal{C} \notin \mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta}^{<\lambda}$, so long as $\theta < \lambda$. However, Lemma 3.1 shows that $\mathbf{Cat}_{<\lambda}^{<\lambda} \subseteq \mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta}^{<\lambda}$ is an equivalence for all $\theta > \lambda$.

Therefore, Adámek's construction terminates in exactly λ steps, as desired, and $\mathbf{Cat}_{<\lambda}^{<\lambda}$ carries the structure of an initial algebra for $(-)\mathbf{Cat}^{<\lambda}$ over \mathbf{SymMon}_∞ . \square

It remains to prove [Gol23, Conjecture 3.4.3(3)], which is concerned with the initial algebra for $(-)\mathbf{Cat}$ in \mathbf{SymMon}_∞ .

Definition 3.3. A *parallel morphism tower* $(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta})$ in an (∞, ∞) -category \mathcal{C} is a (countable) sequence of pairs

$$(\alpha_0, \beta_0), (\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2), \dots$$

where α_0, β_0 are objects of \mathcal{C} , and α_{n+1} and β_{n+1} are parallel $(n+1)$ -morphisms $\alpha_n \rightarrow \beta_n$ in \mathcal{C} for all $n \geq 0$.

Say that an (∞, ∞) -category \mathcal{C} is *Noetherian* if for any parallel morphism tower $(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta})$, there exists $N \gg 0$ such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha_N, \beta_N) \simeq *$.

Denote by $\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, \infty)}^{\mathrm{Noeth}}$ the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, \infty)}$ spanned by the Noetherian (∞, ∞) -categories.

Remark 3.4. The above definition of Noetherian is stronger than that proposed in [Gol23, Definition 3.4.2], which only requires that any parallel morphism tower $(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta})$ admits $N \gg 0$ such that α_n and β_n are equivalences for all $n \geq N$. Indeed, any ∞ -groupoid satisfies this weaker property, but not every ∞ -groupoid is Noetherian in the sense of Definition 3.3.

Lemma 3.5. *For an (∞, ∞) -category \mathcal{C} , the following are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{C} is Noetherian,
- (ii) \mathcal{C} is locally Noetherian, in the sense that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$ is Noetherian for all $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$,
- (iii) \mathcal{C} has small rank, in that $\mathrm{rank} \mathcal{C} < \theta$ for some ordinal θ ,
- (iv) \mathcal{C} locally has small rank, in that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$ has small rank for all $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. The equivalence between (i) and (ii) follows by definition.

Note that (iii) certainly implies (iv): if $\mathrm{rank} \mathcal{C} < \theta$, then $\mathrm{rank} \mathcal{C} < \theta + 1$, and therefore $\mathrm{rank} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y) < \theta$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$. Conversely, if for all $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$ there exists an ordinal $\theta_{x,y} \gg 0$ such that $\mathrm{rank} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y) < \theta_{x,y}$, choose $\lambda \gg 0$ such that the set of equivalence classes of objects in \mathcal{C} is λ -small, and such that $\lambda \geq \theta_{x,y}$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$. Then, $\mathrm{rank} \mathcal{C} < \lambda$ by Lemma 3.1. This proves that (iii) is equivalent to (iv).

Since the singleton $*$ is certainly Noetherian, and locally Noetherian (∞, ∞) -categories are Noetherian, it follows by transfinite induction on the rank that every (∞, ∞) -category \mathcal{C} with small rank is Noetherian. This shows that (iii) implies (i).

To prove the converse, suppose \mathcal{C} does not have small rank. Then, \mathcal{C} does not locally have small rank, so there must exist $\alpha_0, \beta_0 \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ does not have small rank. Proceeding recursively, we obtain a parallel morphism tower $(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta})$ where each $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha_n, \beta_n)$ does not have small rank. In particular, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha_n, \beta_n) \not\simeq *$ for every $n \geq 0$. Therefore, if \mathcal{C} does not have small rank, then \mathcal{C} is not Noetherian, completing the proof. \square

Theorem 3.6. $\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, \infty)}^{\mathrm{Noeth}}$ carries the structure of an initial algebra for $(-)\mathbf{Cat}$ over \mathbf{SymMon}_{∞} .

Proof. By Lemma 3.5, the canonical inclusion $\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, \infty)}^{\mathrm{Noeth}} \subseteq (\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, \infty)}^{\mathrm{Noeth}})\mathbf{Cat}$ is an equivalence.

By expanding universes, let Λ denote the large ordinal of all (small) ordinals. Then, Lemma 3.5 implies that $\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, \infty)}^{\mathrm{Noeth}}$ is the Λ -filtered colimit

$$\mathbf{Cat}_{(\infty, \infty)}^{\mathrm{Noeth}} = \bigcup_{\theta} \mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta} \simeq \varinjlim_{\theta < \Lambda} \mathbf{Cat}_{<\theta}$$

in \mathbf{SymMon}_{∞} , which by Lemma 2.4 is precisely Λ stages of Adámek's initial algebra construction. Since the construction terminates after Λ steps by the previous discussion, the theorem follows by [Gol23, Corollary 2.2.9]. \square

Remark 3.7. Although Adámek's construction in this case requires a large colimit, this colimit is small relative to an expanded universe, and Adámek's construction applies to ∞ -categories that are small relative to the universe of discourse.

References

- [Adá05] Jiří Adámek. Introduction to coalgebra. *Theory and Applications of Categories*, 14(8):157–199, 2005. TAC:volumes/14/08.
- [GH15] David Gepner and Rune Haugseng. Enriched ∞ -categories via non-symmetric ∞ -operads. *Advances in Mathematics*, 279:575–716, 2015. arXiv:1312.3178v4. doi:10.1016/j.aim.2015.02.007.
- [Gol23] Z. Goldthorpe. Homotopy theories of (∞, ∞) -categories as universal fixed points with respect to weak enrichment. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2023. arXiv:2307.00442. doi:10.1093/imrn/rnad196.