

Investigation of Genomic Effect of Zirconium Oxide Nanoparticles in *Escherichia coli* Bacteria

Simin Rashidi^{a,b}, and Bahram Golestani Eimani^a

^aDepartment of Biology, Faculty of Science, Islamic Azad University, Urmia, Iran.

^b(Current Affiliation) School of Medicine and Dentistry, Gold Coast Campus, Griffith University, Gold Coast, QLD 2222, Australia.

Abstract

Due to the concerns of the society about the increase of antibiotic resistant infections, many studies and research have been done on nanoparticles and applications of nano-biotechnology. Zirconium Oxide (ZrO_2) in which called zirconia, is a white oxide of zirconium metal that its diameter is 20 nm. The colloidal size of these particles is often smaller than bacterial and eukaryotic cells. The main intention of this paper is to investigate the effect of different doses of ZrO_2 NPs on the sequence's changes for the *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) genome. At the first step, *E. coli* was cultured in eosin methylene blue agar and brain heart broth (BHB) mediums, respectively. Then, bacteria were treated with ZrO_2 NPs at concentrations of 100, 250, and 350 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. After treatment, the growth of bacteria was evaluated by utilizing spectrophotometry at 600 nm after incubation times including 2, 4, 6, 8, and 24 hours at 37 °C. At the second step, the extraction of DNA was performed by using control and treated samples. Then, the changes in the sequence of bacterial genome were investigated using RAPD markers. Finally, NTSYS-PC platform was employed in order to analyze of the results extracted by electrophoresis of products on agarose gel. In this paper, it was observed that ZrO_2 NPs can inhibit the growth of bacteria at concentrations of 250 and 350 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ after 8 hours of treatment. It was also found that the ZrO_2 NPs at different concentrations have not changed the genome sequence of *E. coli*. Furthermore, it was concluded that the ZrO_2 NPs with the concentration of 350 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ had the highest inhibitory properties without significant changing in the genomic sequence of *E. coli*.

Keywords: Zirconium Oxide nanoparticles, *Escherichia coli*, RAPD marker, Genomic changes

1. Introduction

E. coli is a gram-negative bacillus, oxidase and catalase-negative, facultative anaerobic, and non-spore forming. This bacteria decarboxylates the amino acid lysine and uses citrate as the only source of carbon. These bacteria are commonly found in the intestines of warm-blooded organisms and prevent the establishment of pathogenic bacteria in the intestine. *E. coli* is an opportunistic organism that causes eye infections, urinary tract infection, pneumonia, meningitis, and septicemia for the human. Different strains of *E. coli* show diverse and complex pathogenic mechanisms in the occurrence of diarrhea. One of these pathogenic mechanisms is the production of various enterotoxins in which some of these enterotoxins cause pathogenesis in humans as well as some of them cause pathogenesis in animals [1, 2]. It is difficult to control the spread of pathogenic bacteria because antibiotic resistance is a global problem between bacteria, leading to the spread of resistance among other bacteria and the emergence of more resistant strains. The use of NPs is a promising strategy against antibiotic resistance. In general, NPs are mainly categorized in two groups consisting of metallic and non-metallic from where metal NPs have significant antimicrobial properties on gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria [3, 4]. NPs have some special properties that have been considered by scientists in recent years [5]. NPs depict unique properties because of their size and surface to volume ratio in which the smaller size of the nanoparticle leads the greater the antibacterial activity as well as the high surface to volume ratio causes the NPs to interact with the microbial membrane [6, 7, 8, 9]. NPs are widely used in different applications such as food processing and packaging, disinfection of textiles and equipment, and in the biosensors for rapid detecting of contaminants. In addition, NPs are utilized for therapeutic processes in biological and pharmaceutical research activities due to their higher potential as well as many studies have shown that NPs can have negative effects on the growth of microorganisms [10, 11].

There are many antibacterial mechanisms for metal NPs that are disruption of energy transduction, generating reactive oxygen species (ROS), ion release, biomolecular damage, and electrostatic reaction between NPs and bacterial membranes. The interaction of NPs with macromolecules are based on the difference between the negative charge of microorganisms and the positive charge of NPs and this difference leads to junction of NPs with cell surface and consequently cause cell death. Another antimicrobial mechanisms of metal NPs is that they perforate the bacterial cell wall and accordingly penetrate into the cell [12, 13]. ZrO₂ NPs have been considered due to their

better electrical and mechanical characteristics, high dielectric constant and wide band gap as well as they are extensively used in dental implants and building bone and hair tissue [14]. Moreover, ZrO₂ is used to remove phosphate from contaminated water [15]. Additionally, the powders of ZrO₂ are employed in chromatography because ZrO₂ is used as a support surface for the separation of proteins and enzymes [16]. These NPs affect the bacterium *Streptococcus mutans* by using their hydrophobic surface and attach to the bacterium instead of forming a biofilm. It is noticeable that increasing the hydrophobic surface of ZrO₂ NPs increases the adhesion forces of these NPs to attach bacteria [17]. ZrO₂ NPs are also used in biological and medicinal applications and have high biomedical, antimicrobial, and anti-fungal activities as well as significantly inhibit the growth of *Rhizoctonia solani* [18]. Furthermore, ZrO₂ NPs are utilized in agriculture such as germination of plants [19] as well as they have anti-fungal and antibacterial properties against *Candida albicans* and *E. coli*, respectively [20].

Metal NPs may cause mutations in bacteria and antimicrobial activity by altering the base pair [21]. By considering the mentioned challenges, in order to use of ZrO₂ as an antibacterial agent on microorganisms, it is needed to be examined effective antimicrobial concentrations and their genotoxic effect as a suitable agent instead of antibiotics. The contributions of this paper are listed in the following:

- The effect of ZrO₂ NPs on the bacterial genome has not been investigated by now. This paper investigates the effect of different doses of ZrO₂ NPs on the genome of *E. coli* bacteria.
- The genotoxic changes of DNA sequences are also explored using RAPD-PCR method. It is important to note that the RAPD-PCR primers are randomly attached to different sequences on DNA and randomly amplify fragments. This method is used to determine the genome differences between two groups of organisms in terms of genomic DNA sequence.

The outlines of this paper are as follows: Section 2 explains the material and methods. The results of the experiments are provided in Section 3. In Section 4, appropriate comparisons between the presented work with other literature are explained through discussion. Finally, Section 5 remarks the conclusion including future directions.

2. Material and Methods

This section presents the details of employed materials and methods. The Bacterial culture and the required conditions, treatment of bacteria with ZrO₂ NPs, and DNA extraction and RAPD-PCR along with proper evaluation of RAPD-PCR results as well as analyzes of electrophoresis data are investigated in this section.

2.1. *The Bacterial culture and the required conditions*

In this stage, *E. coli* O157:H7 was characterized on eosin methylene blue agar. The bacteria were cultured in 7 ml of BHB medium and placed in a shaking incubator at 37 °C with 200 rpm for 24 hours before treatment. The bacterial growth rate was measured by measuring the absorbance density (OD) of the culture medium at 600 nm wavelength [15].

2.2. *Treatment of bacteria with ZrO₂ NPs*

ZrO₂ NPs with 20 nm diameter were prepared and the characteristics of these NPs were analyzed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Then, to investigate the effect of ZrO₂ NPs on bacteria, phosphate-buffered saline with pH:7.4 were used as a solvent on the concentrations of 100, 250, and 350 µg/ml in order to make a solution. In the next step, after treatment with the mentioned three concentrations (i.e. 100, 250, and 350 µg/ml) and incubating at 37 °C with 200 rpm, the OD of each test tubes were read at 600 nm wavelength.

2.3. *DNA extraction and RAPD-PCR*

DNA of the control and treated bacteria was extracted using DNA extraction kits (Genet Bio Cat: No.K-3000) according to the manufactures' instructions. The quantity and quality of the extracted DNA were analyzed by spectrophotometry and electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel, respectively. Furthermore, RAPD-PCR was used to investigate of ZrO₂ NPs' effect on the bacterial genome. To this end, 10 random primers were used. It is worthy to note that the sequence and characteristics of these 10 primers are given in Table 1. The 25 µl PCR reaction including 10.5 µl of deionized water, 1 µl of primer, 1 µl of extracted DNA, and 12.5 µl of the master mix. In addition, the temperature program of PCR is listed in Table 2.

Table 1: Nucleotide sequence of RAPD-PCR primers

Primer name	Primer nucleotide sequence
OPR12	ACAGGTGCGT
OPS11	AGTCGGTGG
OPA11	CAATCGCCGT
OPS13	GTCGTTCTG
OPQ14	GGACGCTTCA
OPB08	GGTGACGCAG
OPS03	CAGAGGTCCC
OPT17	TCTGGTGAGG
OPR11	GTAGCCGTCT
OPS14	AAAGGGGTCC

Table 2: The temperature program of PCR

Categories				
Cycle	Stage name	Temperature (°C)	Time (sec)	Cycles' number
First	Initial denaturation	95	300	1
	Denaturation	95	35	40
Second	Annealing	30	45	40
	Extension	72	45	40
Third	Final extension	72	420	1

2.4. Evaluation of RAPD-PCR results

After PCR reaction, for detecting the bands, 10 μ l of PCR products were electrophoresed on 2% agarose gel (26×14 cm) containing red safe in TBE buffer (1x) for 4 hours at 120 V. Furthermore, the DNA ladder marker was used at 100 to 1500 bp in order to determine the size of the product.

2.5. Analyzes of electrophoresis data

The bands on the gel were based on the existence and absence with 1 and 0, respectively. Then the data were molecularly analyzed in NTSYS-PC Dic platform and the dendrogram was plotted by (Un weighted Pair Group Mean Average) UPGMA method.




Figure 1: TEM image of ZrO₂ NPs

3. Results

This section explains the results of transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and X-ray diffraction (XRD) of ZrO₂ NPs as well as the antimicrobial properties of these NPs are evaluated. Furthermore, RAPD-PCR product analyzes and the data analysis based on RAPD-PCR are investigated.

3.1. Results for the outputs of TEM and XRD

To verify the accuracy of ZrO₂ NPs, TEM is used. The extracted results by TEM from ZrO₂ NPs and XRD analysis are depicted in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. In these figures, ZrO₂ NPs are seen in black spots and are stabilized in silica pores. In addition, zirconium NPs are less than 20 nm in size as shown in Fig. 1. In Fig. 2, XRD analysis confirms the presence of ZrO₂ NPs as well as 2-Theta consisting of 36 and 38 are related to ZrO₂ NPs.

3.2. Evaluation of antimicrobial properties of ZrO₂ NPs

Table 3 summarizes the results of the antimicrobial activity of ZrO₂ NPs on *E. coli* bacteria. As indicated in Table 3, ZrO₂ NPs at the concentrations of 250 and 350 µg/ml inhibit the growth of *E. coli* after 8 hours. Also, Fig. 3 shows the rate of change of OD in proportion to the changes




Figure 2: XRD analysis results for ZrO₂ NPs

in the concentration of ZrO₂ NPs at different times after treatment. Fig. 3 plots the changes in OD at different time intervals in proportion to changes in the concentrations of ZrO₂ NPs.

3.3. RAPD-PCR product analyzes

The results of electrophoresis on RAPD-PCR products with 10 primers on 2% (26×14 cm) agarose gel are illustrated in Figs. 4 and 5. The results for the bands were respectively scored based on their existence or absence in the samples with 1 or 0 in which are shown in Tables 4 and 5. Table 4 indicates the results for the bands extracted from Fig. 4 as well as Table 5 shows the results for the bands extracted from Fig. 5. By considering Tables 4 and 5, the conclusion is based on the differences in the bands that each primer forms with the control and treated samples. In addition, the results for the primers are expressing in detail as the following terms:

- Primer OPQ14: There are 4 bands on the gel. It is worthy to note that all these 4 bands have difference between the control and treated groups.
- Primer OPS13: There are 3 bands on the gel. For this primer, there is no difference between the control and treated groups.
- Primers OPS11, OPS03, OPT17, and OPS14: For these primers, there is only 1 band on the gel. Furthermore, there are no difference between the control and treated groups.

Table 3: Antimicrobial activity of ZrO₂ NPs

Sample	Tube number	Categories					
		Before treatment	2 hours after treatment	4 hours after treatment	6 hours after treatment	8 hours after treatment	24 hours after treatment
Control	1	0.3	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.6	1.7
100 $\mu\text{g/ml}$	2	0.3	1.2	1.5	1.8	1.7	1.6
	2	0.3	1.1	1.5	1.7	1.6	1.6
250 $\mu\text{g/ml}$	3	0.3	1.2	1.5	1.6	1.2	1.1
	3	0.3	1.1	1.4	1.7	1.3	1.0
350 $\mu\text{g/ml}$	4	0.3	1.0	1.3	1.1	0.8	0.7
	4	0.3	1.0	1.2	1.1	0.9	0.7




Figure 3: Evaluation of OD changes in proportion to changes in ZrO₂ nanoparticle concentrations at different times after treatment

- Primer OPA11: There are 4 bands on the gel. It is important to note that 2 of these 4 bands have difference between the control and treated groups.
- Primer OPB08: There are 2 bands on the gel. Also, all these bands have difference between the control and treated groups.
- Primer OPR12: There are 5 bands on the gel. Moreover, there is no difference between the control and treated groups.
- Primer OPS13: There are 3 bands on the gel. Again, there is no difference between the control and treated groups.




Figure 4: The electrophoresis results of RAPD-PCR product on agarose gel; Columns 1 to 4: Primer OPQ14, Column 5: Marker, Columns 6 to 9: Primer OPS13, Columns 10 to 13: Primer OPS14, Column 14: Marker, Columns 15 to 18: Primer OPS11

3.4. Analysis based on RAPD data

To analyze the results based on RAPD data, the NTSYS-PC platform is employed. For this aim, the similarity matrix is calculated for the control and treated samples based on Dice method. The results of similarity matrix are shown in Table 6 and indicate the genetic distance between the samples are vary from 0.82 to 1.000. It is noticeable that the closer numbers to 1 means the greater genetic similarity among the samples. Referring to the results from Table 6, the genome of the treated bacteria is very similar to the control bacteria.




Figure 5: The electrophoresis results of RAPD-PCR product on agarose gel;
 Columns 1 to 4: Primer OPS03, Columns 5 to 8: Primer OPA11, Column 9: Marker, Columns 10 to 13: Primer OPB08, Columns 14 to 17: Primer OPA11, Column 18: Marker, Columns 19 to 22: Primer OPR12, Columns 23 to 26: Primer OPT17, Column 27: Marker

Fig. 6 indicates the extracted dendrogram from NTSYS-PC platform based on RAPD test analyzes using UPGMA method. The dendrogram shown in Fig. 6 has drawn to evaluate the genetic diversity of treated samples with ZrO_2 NPs. According to the Fig. 6, it is observed that ZrO_2 NPs in treatment 3 with the concentration of $350 \mu\text{g/ml}$ has the highest inhibitory effect without significant changes in the bacterial genome.

4. Discussion

Excessive use of antibiotics has made bacteria resistant to antibiotics. To resolve this problem, nanotechnology has been considered to combat microbial resistance. Most research has suggested that the use of NPs is a way to remove antibiotic-resistant bacteria [22, 23]. The effect of NPs on the genomes of bacteria and other environmental organisms has great importance. In this study, specific concentrations of ZrO_2 NPs have been used to treat bacteria in order to evaluate their antimicrobial and genomic effect.

The results of the present study show that ZrO_2 NPs have good antimicrobial effect at doses of 250 and $350 \mu\text{g/ml}$ and can almost inhibit the growth of *E. coli*. Jangra et al. Showed in their study that zirconia only inhibits *E. coli*, while Zr(IV) crystals have inhibitory activity against

Table 4: Banding results related to the primers of Fig. 4

Categories					
Primer	Band (bp)	C	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃
OPQ14	1500	1	1	0	1
	1400	1	1	0	1
	1300	1	1	0	1
	500	0	0	1	0
OPS13	1700	1	1	1	1
	1400	1	1	1	1
	300	1	1	0	1
OPS14	850	1	1	1	1
OPS11	550	1	1	1	1

gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria and fungal strains. These researchers also showed that the antimicrobial activity of zirconia and Zr(IV) crystals occurs through surface atoms [24]. Gowri et al. found that ZrO₂ NPs had antimicrobial activity against *E. coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. In addition, these NPs have anti-fungal properties against *Candida albicans* and *Aspergillus niger* [20]. However, the studies in [20, 24] have not investigated the genotoxic effect of ZrO₂ NPs on the bacterial genome. Atalay et al. in [25] examined the effect of ZrO₂ NPs on eukaryotic cells such as mouse fibroblasts and they have stated that ZrO₂ NPs cause damage and induce apoptosis in mouse fibroblast cells [25]. In 2020, Khan et al. studied surface changes in ZrO₂ NPs and bonded glutamic acid to ZrO₂ NPs during their experiments [26]. In [26], it is stated that when glutamic acid is bonded to ZrO₂ NPs, antimicrobial property is effectively enhanced compared to pristine ZrO₂ NPs. Aziz et al. in [27] tested the antibacterial property of ZrO₂ NPs combined with ceftazidime on *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. In [27], it is concluded that the antimicrobial property of ceftazidime combined with ZrO₂ NPs is higher than ceftazidime and ZrO₂ NPs. Furthermore, the combination of ZrO₂ NPs with ceftazidime is a useful method for the treatment of infections caused by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* [27]. Derbalah et al. examined and tested the role of ZrO₂ NPs on plants [18]. In [18], it is concluded that ZrO₂ NPs have higher antifungal activity and significantly inhibit the growth of *Rhizoctonia solani*. In addition, these ZrO₂ NPs can control cucumber root rot disease, effectively [18]. Jalil et al. have also investigated the antifungal property of ZrO₂ NPs in [28] and stated that ZrO₂ NPs inhibit the growth of *Fusarium graminearum*

Table 5: Banding results related to the primers of Fig. 5

Primer	Band (bp)	Categories			
		C	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃
OPS03	1300	1	1	1	1
	1500	1	1	1	1
OPA11	1300	1	1	1	1
	950	1	0	1	1
	600	1	0	1	1
OPB08	1000	1	1	1	0
	700	1	0	1	0
OPR11	1600	0	0	1	0
	1300	1	1	1	1
OPR12	1400	1	1	1	1
	1100	1	1	1	1
	1000	1	1	1	1
	900	1	1	1	1
	800	1	1	1	0
	500	1	1	1	1

at a concentration of 200 mg/ml. In [29], Zhang et al. stated that the concentration of NPs plays a pivotal role compared to their size in view point of antimicrobial effect. It is concluded in [29] that with increasing the concentration of NPs, the antimicrobial activity increases which is consistent with the results of the this paper. In 2015, Gawri et al. studied the antimicrobial properties of ZrO₂ NPs versus *E. coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* [30]. The results extracted from [30] show that ZrO₂ NPs are effectively inhibit *E. coli* than *Staphylococcus aureus* with the same concentration of ZrO₂

Table 6: Similarity matrix for the control and treated samples

Dice (Czekanowski or Sorenson) Measure					
		1:ctr	2:t100	3:t250	4:t350
Case	1:ctr	1.0000000			
	2:t100	0.9900000	1.0000000		
	3:t250	0.9268293	0.9368930	1.0000000	
	4:t350	0.9090909	0.9091337	0.8292683	1.0000000
This is a similarity matrix					




Figure 6: The extracted dendrogram from analyzes based on RAPD test using the UPGMA method

NPs. It is also important to note that the antimicrobial activity of metal oxide NPs such as silver, copper and zinc has been proven in many studies [31]. Regarding to the mentioned issues, this paper proves that ZrO_2 NPs have antimicrobial activity at higher concentrations and after about 8 hours. Furthermore, it is concluded that ZrO_2 NPs require more time and concentration for their operation. It is worthy to note that these NPs cannot be a good choice for the cases in which time and concentration are important.

Another important issue which has been considered in this paper is the effect of NPs on the bacterial genome. There are different methods for the interaction between NPs and bacteria [32]. One of these methods is that the NPs attach to the bacterial membrane and penetrate into the bacterial cell. In this method, bacterial membranes consist of sulfur-containing proteins in which NPs react not only with these proteins, but also with phosphorus-containing compounds including DNA. Another method is that the NPs attack to the respiratory chain which lead to cell death [33, 34]. As another mechanism for the effect of NPs on the bacteria is that the metal oxide NPs make disturbance in transcription and translation [35]. Moreover, these metal oxide NPs cause fragmentation of single-stranded DNA and affect gene expression as well as can also affect the ability of RNA polymerase to open the helix and make transcription. In general, any factor that damages DNA can cause that cell to die [36]. In this paper, in order to study the genotoxic effect of ZrO_2 NPs, *E. coli* O157:H7 has been used as a model for gram-negative bacteria. By considering this issue, 10 primers have been used in the RAPD-PCR reaction. By this reaction, the existence or absence

of the bands happens which means changing in the DNA sequence by ZrO₂ NPs. It is noticeable that some of these primers do not identify the target sequences and subsequently the relevant fragment is not amplified. The difference between the bands observed in the treated and control samples expresses that the primer target sequences have undergone changes in the treated bacteria that indicating a direct or indirect mutation by NPs [37, 38]. Lee et al. have reported that by disrupting the mechanism of DNA polymerase, the replication will also be disrupted [39]. Disrupting of replication causes changes in DNA sequences that lead to differences in target sequences by RAPD primers [40]. It can be concluded from [39, 40] that NPs can disrupt genes which control the mechanisms of transcription and replication and also can affect RNA polymerase. However, this paper demonstrates that ZrO₂ NPs do not cause significant mutations in the genome sequences of *E. coli* bacteria.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, the effect of ZrO₂ NPs studied on *E. coli*. The results show that the growth of *E. coli* bacteria is inhibited after treatment with ZrO₂ NPs at concentrations of 250 and 350 µg/ml after 8 hours. Therefore, ZrO₂ NPs are able to enter the bacterial cell and induce inhibiting effect. Furthermore, since ZrO₂ NPs have not altered the genome of *E. coli* at different concentrations, it might be possible to utilize these NPs for therapeutic goals in order to deliver drugs to human cells because these NPs do not cause significant mutations in healthy cells. In conclusion, ZrO₂ NPs with a concentration of 350 µg/ml have the highest inhibitory property without significant altering in the genomic DNA sequence of *E. coli* bacteria. The synergistic effect of ZrO₂ NPs and nisin on *E. coli* genome will be focused and investigated as a future work.

References

- [1] Nataro, J. P.; Kaper, J. B. Diarrheagenic escherichia coli. *Clinical microbiology reviews* **1998**, *11*, 142–201.
- [2] Law, D. Virulence factors of Escherichia coli O157 and other Shiga toxin-producing E. coli. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **2000**, *88*, 729–745.

- [3] Hosseini, S. S.; Ghaemi, E.; Koohsar, F. Influence of ZnO nanoparticles on *Candida albicans* isolates biofilm formed on the urinary catheter. *Iranian journal of microbiology* **2018**, *10*, 424.
- [4] Annu, A.; Sivasankari, C.; Krupasankar, U. Synthesis and characterization of ZrO₂ nanoparticle by leaf extract bioreduction process for its biological studies. *Materials Today: Proceedings* **2020**,
- [5] Hasan, S. A review on nanoparticles: their synthesis and types. *Res. J. Recent Sci* **2015**, 2277, 2502.
- [6] Murthy, S.; Effiong, P.; Fei, C. C. *Metal Oxide Powder Technologies*; Elsevier, 2020; pp 233–251.
- [7] Jones, N.; Ray, B.; Ranjit, K. T.; Manna, A. C. Antibacterial activity of ZnO nanoparticle suspensions on a broad spectrum of microorganisms. *FEMS microbiology letters* **2008**, *279*, 71–76.
- [8] Vahedi, M.; Hosseini-Jazani, N.; Yousefi, S.; Ghahremani, M. Evaluation of anti-bacterial effects of nickel nanoparticles on biofilm production by *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. *Iranian Journal of Microbiology* **2017**, *9*, 160.
- [9] Amelia, M.; Lincheneau, C.; Silvi, S.; Credi, A. Electrochemical properties of CdSe and CdTe quantum dots. *Chemical Society Reviews* **2012**, *41*, 5728–5743.
- [10] Christian, P.; Von der Kammer, F.; Baalousha, M.; Hofmann, T. Nanoparticles: structure, properties, preparation and behaviour in environmental media. *Ecotoxicology* **2008**, *17*, 326–343.
- [11] King, T.; Osmond-McLeod, M. J.; Duffy, L. L. Nanotechnology in the food sector and potential applications for the poultry industry. *Trends in Food Science & Technology* **2018**, *72*, 62–73.
- [12] Bayroodi, E.; Jalal, R. Modulation of antibiotic resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by ZnO nanoparticles. *Iranian journal of microbiology* **2016**, *8*, 85.

- [13] Fariba, A.; Bahram, G. E.; Farrokh, K.; Tabrizy, S.; Pooneh, S. S. An investigation of the effect of copper oxide and silver nanoparticles on E. coli genome by RAPD molecular markers. *J Adv Biotechnol Microbiol* **2016**, *1*, 1–6.
- [14] Paun, D.; Petris, R.; Carsote, M.; Ferechide, D.; Poiana, C. Particular aspects in autoimmune thyroid diseases. *Practica Medicala* **2013**, *3*, 173–177.
- [15] Zhang, C.; Li, Y.; Wang, F.; Yu, Z.; Wei, J.; Yang, Z.; Ma, C.; Li, Z.; Xu, Z.; Zeng, G. Performance of magnetic zirconium-iron oxide nanoparticle in the removal of phosphate from aqueous solution. *Applied Surface Science* **2017**, *396*, 1783–1792.
- [16] Banerjee, K.; Prithviraj, M.; Augustine, N.; Pradeep, S. P.; Thiagarajan, P. Analytical characterization and antimicrobial activity of nano zirconia particles. *J. Chem. Pharm. Sci* **2016**, *9*.
- [17] Yu, P.; Wang, C.; Zhou, J.; Jiang, L.; Xue, J.; Li, W. Influence of surface properties on adhesion forces and attachment of Streptococcus mutans to zirconia in vitro. *BioMed research international* **2016**, *2016*.
- [18] Derbalah, A.; Elsharkawy, M. M.; Hamza, A.; El-Shaer, A. Resistance induction in cucumber and direct antifungal activity of zirconium oxide nanoparticles against Rhizoctonia solani. *Pesticide biochemistry and physiology* **2019**, *157*, 230–236.
- [19] Yousif, A. M.; Ahmed, S. H.; Nuaman, R. S.; Al-Karkhi, I. H. T. Effect of Zirconium Oxide and Yttrium oxide Nanoparticles on Plant Germination of Cucumis Sativus. *Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development* **2019**, *10*.
- [20] Gowri, S.; Gandhi, R. R.; Sundrarajan, M. Structural, optical, antibacterial and antifungal properties of zirconia nanoparticles by biobased protocol. *Journal of Materials Science & Technology* **2014**, *30*, 782–790.
- [21] Brown, T. A. *Gene cloning and DNA analysis: an introduction*; John Wiley & Sons, 2016.
- [22] Imran, M.; Revol-Junelles, A.-M.; Paris, C.; Guedon, E.; Linder, M.; Desobry, S. Liposomal nanodelivery systems using soy and marine lecithin to encapsulate food biopreservative nisin. *LWT-Food Science and Technology* **2015**, *62*, 341–349.

- [23] Allahverdiyev, A. M.; Abamor, E. S.; Bagirova, M.; Rafailovich, M. Antimicrobial effects of TiO₂ and Ag₂O nanoparticles against drug-resistant bacteria and leishmania parasites. *Future microbiology* **2011**, *6*, 933–940.
- [24] Jangra, S. L.; Stalin, K.; Dilbaghi, N.; Kumar, S.; Tawale, J.; Singh, S. P.; Pasricha, R. Antimicrobial activity of zirconia (ZrO₂) nanoparticles and zirconium complexes. *Journal of nanoscience and nanotechnology* **2012**, *12*, 7105–7112.
- [25] Atalay, H.; Celik, A.; Ayaz, F. Investigation of genotoxic and apoptotic effects of zirconium oxide nanoparticles (20 nm) on L929 mouse fibroblast cell line. *Chemico-biological interactions* **2018**, *296*, 98–104.
- [26] Khan, M.; Shaik, M. R.; Khan, S. T.; Adil, S. F.; Kuniyil, M.; Khan, M.; Al-Warthan, A. A.; Siddiqui, M. R. H.; Nawaz Tahir, M. Enhanced Antimicrobial Activity of Biofunctionalized Zirconia Nanoparticles. *ACS omega* **2020**, *5*, 1987–1996.
- [27] Aziz, S. N.; Al-Sallami, K. J.; Abd, S. Y.; Al-Musawi, A. M. A.; Mohammed, M. A.; Abed, A.-M. H.; Mohammed, S. Q. IMPROVING THE ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY BY THE COMBINATION OF ZIRCONIUM OXIDE NANOPARTICLES (ZrO₂) AND CEF-TAZIDIME AGAINST KLEBSIELLA PNEUMONIAE. *Global Journal of Public Health Medicine* **2019**, *1*, 16–20.
- [28] Jalill, R. D. A.; Numan, R. S. Silver nitrate and zirconium oxide nanoparticles as management of wheat damping-off caused by *Fusarium graminearum*. *Journal of Genetic and Environmental Resources Conservation* **2016**, *4*, 85–93.
- [29] Zhang, Z.; Yang, M.; Huang, M.; Hu, Y.; Xie, J.; others Study on germicidal efficacy and toxicity of compound disinfectant gel of nanometer silver and chlorhexidine acetate. *Chinese Journal of Health Laboratory Technology* **2007**, *17*, 1403–1406.
- [30] Gowri, S.; Gandhi, R. R.; Senthil, S.; Sundrarajan, M. Effect of Calcination Temperature on *Nyctanthes* Plant Mediated Zirconia Nanoparticles; Optical and Antibacterial Activity for Optimized Zirconia. *Journal of Bionanoscience* **2015**, *9*, 181–189.

- [31] Hsueh, Y.-H.; Tsai, P.-H.; Lin, K.-S. Ph-dependent antimicrobial properties of copper oxide nanoparticles in staphylococcus aureus. *International journal of molecular sciences* **2017**, *18*, 793.
- [32] Selvarani, M.; Prema, P. Evaluation of antibacterial efficacy of chemically synthesized copper and zerovalent iron nanoparticles. *Asian J. Pharm. Clin. Res* **2013**, *6*, 222–227.
- [33] Damm, C.; Münstedt, H.; Rösch, A. The antimicrobial efficacy of polyamide 6/silver-nano- and microcomposites. *Materials Chemistry and Physics* **2008**, *108*, 61–66.
- [34] Panacek, A.; Kvitek, L.; Prucek, R.; Kolar, M.; Vecerova, R.; Pizurova, N.; Sharma, V. K.; Neverna, T. j.; Zboril, R. Silver colloid nanoparticles: synthesis, characterization, and their antibacterial activity. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **2006**, *110*, 16248–16253.
- [35] Martel, S. Method and system for controlling micro-objects or micro-particles. 2006; US Patent App. 11/145,007.
- [36] Huh, A. J.; Kwon, Y. J. “Nanoantibiotics”: a new paradigm for treating infectious diseases using nanomaterials in the antibiotics resistant era. *Journal of controlled release* **2011**, *156*, 128–145.
- [37] Chang, Y.-N.; Zhang, M.; Xia, L.; Zhang, J.; Xing, G. The toxic effects and mechanisms of CuO and ZnO nanoparticles. *Materials* **2012**, *5*, 2850–2871.
- [38] King, M. D.; Humphrey, B. J.; Wang, Y. F.; Kourbatova, E. V.; Ray, S. M.; Blumberg, H. M. Emergence of community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* USA 300 clone as the predominant cause of skin and soft-tissue infections. *Annals of internal medicine* **2006**, *144*, 309–317.
- [39] Li, Y.; Leung, P.; Yao, L.; Song, Q.; Newton, E. Antimicrobial effect of surgical masks coated with nanoparticles. *Journal of Hospital Infection* **2006**, *62*, 58–63.
- [40] Pal, S.; Tak, Y. K.; Song, J. M. Does the antibacterial activity of silver nanoparticles depend on the shape of the nanoparticle? A study of the gram-negative bacterium *Escherichia coli*. *Applied and environmental microbiology* **2007**, *73*, 1712–1720.