

Looking Together \neq Seeing the Same Thing: Understanding Surgeons' Visual Needs During Intra-operative Coordination and Instruction

Xinyue Chen*
xinyuech@umich.edu
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

Vitaliy Popov*
vipopov@umich.edu
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

Jingying Wang
wangchy@umich.edu
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

Michael Kemp
mikemp@med.umich.edu
Michigan Medicine
Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

Gurjit Sandhu
gurjit@med.umich.edu
Michigan Medicine
Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

Taylor Kantor
tkantor@med.umich.edu
Michigan Medicine
Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

Natalie Mateju
nmateju@umich.edu
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

Xu Wang
xwanghci@umich.edu
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

ABSTRACT

Shared gaze visualizations have been found to enhance collaboration and communication outcomes in diverse HCI scenarios including computer supported collaborative work and learning contexts. Given the importance of gaze in surgery operations, especially when a surgeon trainer and trainee need to coordinate their actions, research on the use of gaze to facilitate intra-operative coordination and instruction has been limited and shows mixed implications. We performed a field observation of 8 surgeries and an interview study with 14 surgeons to understand their visual needs during operations, informing ways to leverage and augment gaze to enhance intra-operative coordination and instruction. We found that trainees have varying needs in receiving visual guidance which are often unfulfilled by the trainers' instructions. It is critical for surgeons to control the timing of the gaze-based visualizations and effectively interpret gaze data. We suggest overlay technologies, e.g., gaze-based summaries and depth sensing, to augment raw gaze in support of surgical coordination and instruction.

CCS CONCEPTS

• **Human-centered computing** \rightarrow **Empirical studies in collaborative and social computing**; • **Applied computing** \rightarrow **Health informatics**.

*Both authors contributed equally to this work.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from [permissions@acm.org](https://www.acm.org).

CHI '24, May 11–16, 2024, Honolulu, HI, USA

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.

ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-0330-0/24/05...\$15.00

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3613904.3641929>

KEYWORDS

Intraoperative Coordination; Joint Visual Attention; Surgical Education; Shared Gaze Visualizations

ACM Reference Format:

Xinyue Chen, Vitaliy Popov, Jingying Wang, Michael Kemp, Gurjit Sandhu, Taylor Kantor, Natalie Mateju, and Xu Wang. 2024. *Looking Together \neq Seeing the Same Thing: Understanding Surgeons' Visual Needs During Intra-operative Coordination and Instruction*. In *Proceedings of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '24)*, May 11–16, 2024, Honolulu, HI, USA. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 12 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3613904.3641929>

1 INTRODUCTION

One's gaze is a critical indicator of their visual attention [74], and plays an important role in collaboration. Gaze awareness is critical for the formation of joint visual attention (JVA), in which social partners focus on a common reference and monitor each other's gaze on external objects, people, or events [61]. It has been demonstrated that sharing gaze information facilitates, mediates, or regulates interaction between people involved in cooperative work and other forms of social activities. For example, sharing the collaborating partners' gaze enhances audio and text-based remote collaboration [31, 34, 35, 62] as well as in-person collaboration [30, 53], visualizing experts' gaze on videos increases novices' learning outcomes [38], sharing teachers' and students' gaze with each other benefits remote teaching and learning of physical tasks [57].

Visual attention and gaze are critical for surgeons to successfully perform surgeries, especially in procedures where residents (trainees) collaborate with attending surgeons (trainers) in the operation room¹ [24]. Such intraoperative procedures (the intraoperative phase begins when the patient enters the operating room and ends when the operation is complete) pose a unique context to study the relationship between gaze and collaboration since the surgeons have dual purposes. On the one hand, the attending-resident dyad

¹<https://www.aha.org/advocacy/teaching-hospitals>

needs to coordinate to ensure patient safety; on the other hand, every procedure they perform together is a critical and precious learning opportunity for residents to gain surgical skills [8]. Prior work has demonstrated the importance of visual alignment for intra-operative coordination. For example, 97% of surgical errors in the most common laparoscopic operation (laparoscopic cholecystectomy, which is a minimally invasive surgical procedure to remove a diseased gallbladder) resulted from visual misperceptions [65]. Frequently used methods aimed at guiding visual attention to a target, including physical hand gestures and verbal explanations can easily be misinterpreted leading to increased risks to the patient's safety [40, 68]. 92% of the most senior residents report deficits in preparation for independent practice [2]. New practices and understanding are therefore needed to help attending-resident dyads reach higher levels of visual alignment, and further help surgeons achieve both their operation, and teaching and learning goals.

Studies around the use and sharing of gaze in authentic operation room contexts are limited in contrast with other collaborative contexts. A notable example of providing visual guidance to enhance intraoperative coordination and instruction was performed in a simulated telementoring (i.e., when an expert guides a novice medical provider remotely) setting, where attending surgeons used telepointers (i.e., a cursor that marks a point on a screen display) to guide residents remotely [55]. The study found that surgical trainees have challenges taking up and acting upon the information conveyed through telepointers, for reasons including trainees' tendency of relying on the telepointer instruction while ignoring other sources of information and insufficiency of the information provided by the telepointer. Other studies have shown that when only the trainers control the sharing of visual information, it impedes the learning of trainees since they can only passively accept the information instead of actively acquiring the knowledge [20, 70]. Such studies pointed out the challenges around sharing gaze and other visual cues during intraoperative procedures to support both operation, and teaching and learning at the same time.

In this work, we aim to address this important problem in health, how might we help the attending-resident surgeon dyads to visually align at critical moments when coordination and joint focus are needed to achieve their operation goals and optimize teaching and learning opportunities during surgery? Inspired by the use of gaze and visual guidance in CSCW and HCI literature, we aim to understand how residents and attending surgeons leverage visual information during an operation, and what visual information may be desired by residents and attending surgeons to enhance communication and coordination. This study aims to offer insights into how to provide visual and gaze-based support for surgeons to effectively coordinate, teach and learn in the operation room. To this end, we perform an interview and observation study. Specifically, we explore the following research questions:

- RQ1: What are the resident and attending surgeons' visual needs and challenges around teaching and learning during laparoscopic surgery?
- RQ2: What challenges do resident and attending surgeons face in coordinating and achieving visual alignment during laparoscopic surgery?

- RQ3: How can we design practices to enhance the visual alignment between resident and attending surgeons, thus improving learning outcomes and ensuring patient safety?

The interview and observation study involving 14 surgeons and eight authentic laparoscopic surgery cases show that resident surgeons' learning needs during operations are often unfulfilled. Specifically, junior residents need support identifying anatomical targets, and surgical planes of dissection and locations. Middle-level and senior residents want to operate as much as possible in a procedure. Attending surgeons at the same time also experience challenges in providing visual guidance to the residents. Residents see high utility in viewing the attending surgeons' gaze during critical portions of the surgery, while do not want attending surgeons to assess their competency based on gaze. Attending surgeons consider gaze to be a useful tool for teaching, and consider surgical competency to contain a lot more elements than visual alignment. Both residents and attending surgeons strongly support the use of gaze data for post-operative reflection and learning.

2 CONTEXT

This study focuses on one type of surgery, laparoscopic cholecystectomy, which is commonly known as gallbladder removal surgery. We will refer to the surgery as *Lap Chole* in the rest of the paper. There are two reasons for situating our study in Lap Chole. First, it is the most basic surgery that every surgical resident needs to learn and master. Second, as a minimally invasive surgery, surgeons are guided by a video feed typically shown on two screens, as shown in Figure 1. On the one hand, the use of a screen makes the capturing and sharing of gaze information more tractable. On the other hand, visual alignment is in particular critical and challenging because surgeons are using a 2D screen to guide their 3D actions.

3 RELATED WORK

We first review prior literature on the use of gaze to support collaboration, the challenges on coordination and instruction in the operation room, and techniques to offer visual guidance in laparoscopic surgeries.

3.1 Challenges on Coordination and Instruction in the Operation Room

Current surgical training methods in the Operation Room (OR) pose challenges for both attending and resident surgeons in coordination, communication, instruction and learning [5, 8, 18, 39]. For example, attending surgeons reported challenges in clearly articulating complex tacit skills and knowledge during a surgery, with one study finding they often utilize vague directives like "do some of this and some of that" when instructing residents [39]. Meanwhile, resident surgeons, conscious of the power dynamic and high-stakes environment in the OR, frequently struggle to voice concerns or disagreements regarding surgical decisions, even when they may have critical safety implications [5, 8, 15]. For laparoscopic surgeries, limited depth perception [9], the loss of spatial orientation [33, 58, 69], and the need to interpret a 2D view of a 3D operative field [45, 56] make these type of surgeries more difficult and can increase the learning curve of inexperienced trainees [16]. As examples, surgical tools may rotate in different directions in reality

versus on screen (camera view) [52, 69]. Surgeons' vision is scaled and bounded which poses challenges for trainees to relate what they see to the anatomy [40]. New practices and understanding are therefore needed to help attending-resident dyads reach higher levels of visual alignment.

3.2 Sharing Gaze in CSCW and CSCL Contexts

Sharing gaze information has been found to facilitate collaboration, communication, and instruction across diverse Computer Supported Collaborative Work (CSCW) and Computer Supported Collaborative Learning (CSCL) contexts. Numerous studies have shown that visualizing gaze behaviors to collaborators helps them quickly understand each other's shared interests and leads to enhanced communication and collaboration outcomes. For example, Jing et al. [31] showed that in a remote collaboration involving a physical task, gaze visualizations amplified meaningful joint attention, and lowered collaborators' cognitive load while improving mutual understanding. Kütt et al. showed that sharing the collaborators' gaze helped people talk more about the shared content in both text-based and audio-based remote collaboration [34]. Lankes and Gomez [35] explored methods to visualize gaze cues in collaborative gaming environments, providing gaze cues through different shapes, e.g., spheres, lights, etc.

Studies have shown the benefit of using gaze in teaching and learning scenarios as well. For example, visualizing experts' gaze to novices increases novices' learning outcomes across various domains including programming [63], academic paper reading [73], and video-based learning [38]. Sharing teachers' and students' gaze with each other benefits remote teaching and learning of physical tasks [57]. Schneider and Pea showed that real-time mutual gaze perception enhances collaborative learning outcomes and collaboration quality [53].

What makes intraoperative procedures unique is that surgeons have dual purposes. On the one hand, the attending-resident dyad needs to coordinate to ensure patient safety during complex procedures that require high levels of visuospatial cognition and technical skill. On the other hand, every procedure they perform together is a critical and precious learning opportunity for residents to gain the advanced surgical skills and tacit knowledge that come from direct experience in the OR under expert supervision [8]. Balancing these dual goals of safe operative outcomes and effective on-the-job training places unique demands on the attending-resident team [23]. In this work, we study surgeons' needs and challenges during surgery procedures with the goal of providing gaze-based support to enhance intraoperative coordination and instruction at the same time.

3.3 Visual Guidance in Laparoscopic Surgeries

Given the importance of visual attention in laparoscopic surgeries, there has been significant interest in both the HCI and surgical education communities to explore gaze-based guidance and training techniques. Prior work can be summarized into three threads. First, enabling attending surgeons to use telepointers to provide real-time instruction; second, analyzing novice and expert surgeons' gaze behaviors posthoc and giving people feedback; third, simulation and

virtual reality-based training systems that show trainees annotated content.

First, researchers have had success using experts' gaze-overlay to improve trainees' performance in simulated settings [4, 13, 22, 48]. However, recent studies also revealed drawbacks of offering single-user gaze information in simulated telementoring settings. Semsar et al. [55] found that surgical trainees have challenges taking up and acting upon the information conveyed through telepointers, for reasons including trainees' tendency of relying on the telepointer instruction while ignoring other sources of information and insufficiency of the information provided by the telepointer. Other studies have shown that in surgical contexts when only the trainers control the sharing of visual information, it impedes the learning of trainees since they can only passively accept the information instead of actively acquiring the knowledge [20, 70].

Second, prior work has shown success in differentiating the gaze patterns between surgical experts and novices [3, 4, 13]. For example, expert surgeons demonstrated substantially greater fixation of relevant anatomic targets in laparoscopic procedures [67], which is associated with higher technical proficiency [19]. Richstone et al. [49] were able to reliably differentiate inexperienced and expert surgeons with classifiers built on eye-tracking data.

Third, surgical training systems are developed through mostly simulated environments, which enable surgeons to provide visual overlays beyond gaze. For example, experts can provide instruction through 3D annotations [66] and display 3D model overlays in-game and Virtual Reality (VR) environments [14, 32, 36, 43, 72].

As a summary, attending and resident surgeons face significant challenges in intraoperative coordination and instruction. Many such challenges result from visual misperception and miscommunication. Gaze-based visualizations have been found across diverse CSCW and CSCL contexts to enhance communication and collaboration outcomes. However, prior studies on providing visual guidance in surgeries pointed out that trainees found the information conveyed through telepointers to be insufficient and that existing telepointers and gaze-based techniques caused trainees to passively accept the information provided to them instead of actively acquiring the knowledge and skills. They want to understand the instructor's decision-making process and the rationale behind each step. Visual cues alone fail to provide this. To support intraoperative coordination and instruction, it is imperative to develop a better understanding of surgeons' visual challenges and needs during the procedure.

4 METHOD

To address our research questions, we performed an interview study, followed by an observation study with authentic Lap Chole surgeries in the OR. The study aims to serve two goals, 1) validating and probing into surgeons' needs on receiving visual support, 2) understanding how to provide visual support to surgeons, e.g., through offering shared gaze visualizations, which has been found to be effective in other collaboration contexts.

4.1 Participant Recruitment

We carried out this IRB-approved research at a university teaching hospital with a large surgical volume in the United States. Since

surgeons are often on shifts and have busy schedules, especially during COVID, it was very challenging to recruit surgeon participants. We sent recruitment advertisements to resident surgeons' mailing lists and recruited through our network. Fourteen surgeons participated in our study, with seven attending surgeons (Table 2) and seven resident surgeons (Table 1). PGY stands for postgraduate year. Surgical residencies can last from three to seven years in the US.

| ID | Gender | Age | Training Level |
|----|--------|-----------------|----------------|
| R1 | Male | 21-30 years old | PGY2 |
| R2 | Male | 21-30 years old | PGY4 |
| R3 | Female | 21-30 years old | PGY4 |
| R4 | Male | 31-40 years old | PGY7 |
| R5 | Male | 21-30 years old | PGY2 |
| R6 | Female | 21-30 years old | PGY3 |
| R7 | Male | 21-30 years old | PGY3 |

Table 1: Demographic Information of the residents that participated in the interview study.

| ID | Gender | Age | Years of Attending |
|----|--------|-----------------|--------------------|
| A1 | Female | 41-50 years old | 9 |
| A2 | Male | 41-50 years old | 10 |
| A3 | Male | 41-50 years old | 6 |
| A4 | Female | 41-50 years old | 15 |
| A5 | Male | 41-50 years old | 10 |
| A6 | Female | 31-40 years old | 5 |
| A7 | Male | 51-60 years old | 15 |

Table 2: Demographic Information of the attending surgeons that participated in the interview study. The attending surgeons have a minimum of 5 years of experience with mentoring surgical trainees and extensive experience performing Lap Chole procedures.

4.2 Interview Procedure

The 1:1 semi-structured interviews, conducted either with the resident or attending surgeon individually, lasted about one hour on Zoom and consisted of two main portions focusing on: 1) understanding surgeons' challenges around perceiving visual information during the surgeries in order to meet their instruction and operation goals. 2) probing into participants' attitudes towards capturing, sharing and interpreting eye gaze during surgeries. For the first portion, participants were asked to recall their most recent experience of a Lap Chole surgery, and share the visual cues they relied on for teaching/learning, problem-solving, and communication. The interview questions were the same for attending and resident surgeons with the particular questions tailored to the individual participant based on their role. For example, we asked attending surgeons to share how they gave residents instructions, "What kind of visual information (landmarks), if any, do you use to give residents instructions?" We also asked residents how they received instructions, "What kind of visual information (landmarks), if any, does

the attending surgeon use to give you instruction?" The full list of interview questions are included in the supplementary materials.

In the second part, participants were shown a demo video where attending and resident surgeons were both wearing eye-tracking glasses and their eye gaze was captured throughout the surgery. We used this as a design probe to investigate participants' thoughts around capturing, sharing and interpreting eye gaze during surgeries, in particular how they may envision using this information to support operation goals and learning goals, and what are the perceived risks with this approach.

4.3 Observation Procedure

Following the interview study, we performed an IRB-approved follow-up observation of eight Lap Chole surgeries in the operation room. The goal of this observation study was to further understand the ways surgeons used visual information and the challenges they faced in communicating visual information during surgeries. In order to observe procedures in the operation room, we first obtained consent from the responsible attending surgeon on the days of the procedure. Three attending surgeons, two of whom participated in the interview study, allowed us into their operation room. We observed eight procedures in total involving three attending surgeons and five residents. Two of the residents were also participants of the interview study. On the day of the operation, both attending and resident surgeons wore eye-tracking glasses, i.e. Tobii Pro Eye Glasses 2 [59] with audio recording capabilities, to record their eye movements and the conversations between them. Using eye-tracking glasses in the observations serves two goals. First, it allows us to record multi-modal data, including camera views from the eye-trackers, and the conversations between the surgeons. This is critical for interpreting the observation data since with audio data alone, it is insufficient for the researchers to fully understand the context. Second, it serves as an internal feasibility check of collecting eye gaze data while surgeons are performing the operation. One of the lead authors was present in the operation through all eight surgeries. In the operation room, the attending and resident surgeons usually stand on opposite sides of the patient and use two different monitors as shown in Figure 1, the content displayed on the two screens is the same. Figure 1 is a photo taken by the research team in one of the eight surgeries we observed.

4.4 Data Analysis

The interview recordings were first transcribed. We then conducted a thematic analysis [10, 11] using ATLAS.ti². We took an inductive approach to construct themes in the data and focused on providing a rich thematic description of the dataset. Two authors first familiarized themselves with the data by reading through all transcripts and noting down initial ideas. They then generated initial codes inductively on two transcripts independently. The two authors met and went over each of their comments to discuss their interpretation. This process helped the lead author to familiarize with the data and triangulate their understanding of the data with another researcher. The lead author further continued generating initial codes for all of the transcripts through an interactive process, collating data relevant to each code. Themes were then constructed

²<https://atlasti.com>



Figure 1: A photo taken by the research team in one of the 8 surgeries we observed, which showed the data collection setup in the observations. Both attending and resident surgeons wore eye-tracking glasses, Tobii Pro Eye Glasses 2 with audio recording capabilities. Using eye-tracking glasses in the observations serves two goals. First, it allows us to record multi-modal data, including camera views from the eye-trackers, and the conversations between the surgeons. This is critical for interpreting the observation data since with audio data alone, it is insufficient for the researchers to fully understand the context. Second, it serves as an internal feasibility check of collecting eye gaze data while surgeons are performing the operation. One of the lead authors was present in the operation through all 8 surgeries.

through an iterative process of reviewing and refining the codes to reflect broader patterns of meaning and relevant data were gathered under each theme. This involved revisiting the data multiple times, refining the themes to ensure they were coherent, and checking that they were well-supported by the data. The whole research team held weekly meetings to go over the codes and themes, ensuring that the finalized themes capture the key ideas in the dataset.

The two authors who did the initial coding performed the interviews and had contextual knowledge about the interviews. The two authors were also skilled in qualitative analysis. One of them had expert knowledge whereas the other had passing knowledge in surgery operations. Both authors had access to expert surgeons on the research team. We had monthly meetings with the surgeons to share progress and address questions.

We analyzed the observation data to triangulate the findings from the interview [60]. The video and audio recordings of the surgeries were collected through the Tobii eye trackers the surgeons wore during the operations. We used an AI transcription tool Otter.ai³ to generate an initial draft of the transcript based on the audio recordings, and the AI mistakes were further corrected by the authors. We coded the transcripts while replaying the recorded video. We used a similar thematic analysis approach [10, 11] to analyze the observation data. One author first familiarized herself with the observation data by reading through all the transcripts. She then did initial coding of all the transcripts through an iterative process. Since the observations are quite long, she particularly looked for moments when the residents demonstrated difficulties in

³<https://otter.ai/>

understanding the instructions or finishing the procedure, and the moments involving any visual information. Then, the lead author constructed initial themes by examining the initial codes and collating relevant data. The themes were further developed through iterative analysis of the coded data and the observation dataset. The themes from the observation data were then merged with the themes generated from the interview data. Finally, we grouped all the themes into three higher-level themes: “residents’ learning challenges that are not fulfilled by attending surgeons’ instruction”, “visual cues used in surgery and the perceived visual challenges”, “potential utility and barriers of using Joint Visual Attention in surgical training”.

5 FINDINGS

Overall, compared to general CSCW, our findings show that surgical gaze sharing must consider residents’ skill level, power imbalances, dual goals of efficiency and training, and patient safety risks [23]. The surgical education context requires tailored approaches to realize the benefits.

5.1 Residents’ challenges on receiving and presenting visual information during operation and their needs for support

An important theme emerging from the data is around residents’ learning experiences during the procedure. Residents shared frustrated moments where their learning needs were not fulfilled by the attending surgeons’ instructions. Notably, residents cited different learning needs at different stages in their training, implying that a “one-size-fits-all” instructional approach may not apply.

5.1.1 Junior residents need support identifying anatomical targets, planes and locations in a 3D space. Most of the interviewed junior residents shared that they encountered challenges mapping anatomic planes i.e., an imaginary flat surface that is used to define a particular area of anatomy, or structures from textbooks, including blood vessels and organs, to the structures in a real human body in the operation room. R3 said, “For a first-year resident, their learning goal might be that they are able to successfully identify the planes because it is different from what you were looking at the textbook before, there’s like several different layers when you see inside the body.” Residents commented that the attending surgeons’ verbal instructions could be vague and insufficient. R7 noted: “I definitely remember times when an attending will be explaining something, and the way they were explaining it just was not helpful. And I think the area where I struggled the most was achieving the appropriate plane between the gallbladder and liver. And I think that’s more of an error of like visual familiarity and visually identifying something that hasn’t been verbally taught well by the attending.” Residents further explained that the attending surgeons’ explanations were in particular insufficient when they needed to identify angles and directions. R2 said, “The surgeon might just say he is taking stuff over there. And I don’t think that’s very helpful for me because I did not know what they were talking about.” Residents mentioned that the visual misconceptions could be subtle while leading to serious consequences. R3 said, “Sometimes I thought I saw that but what I actually saw was to go more to the left instead of there. So it’s often a

more minor miscommunication, just a couple of centimeters. But it may lead to a huge error."

Echoing residents' experiences, in the observations, we found it common for attending surgeons to verbally describe spatial positions or refer to anatomical targets verbally, lacking precision and clarity. For example in O1, the attending surgeon was explaining "So I would say to clear this off, I'm gonna zip it off the hepatic class a little bit and then clean it up." Another example in O6 with frequent use of words to describe orientation, "Okay, now you're going to just open up some of that on the left side of the duct. Don't touch the deck to the left, all the way over here. It's higher now. A little bit down. Right there.."

5.1.2 Junior residents can't perceive the same visual information on the screen as attending surgeons in order to make procedural decisions. One of the most important skills residents are developing in the operation room is decision making. Surgeons need to review the patient's anatomy and the current progress in order to make plans for the next steps. Junior residents shared that it was challenging for them to perceive the same visual information as attending surgeons, making it difficult for them to know what was the basis of the decisions made by the attending surgeons. This happens more frequently with junior residents when they observe attending surgeons operate. R5 said, "If you've only watched someone do the operation, he saw the struggle view [i.e., a challenging moment in the surgery] but he may forget to show it verbally and describe why what they're doing is very challenging. Then you may still don't know how to do it in the next week." R4 also described a similar situation where it was hard for them to effectively acquire knowledge since they missed the bigger picture, "Sometimes you'll see something that just looks challenging, sounds challenging, and you've never done quite like that before. So it is challenging to define a proper learning goal."

5.1.3 Middle-level and Senior Residents want to operate as much as possible and do not want the attending surgeons to take over. Middle-level and senior residents shared that they wished to perform critical portions of the operation independently and safely. From the attending surgeon's perspective, they will continually monitor the resident's ability to perform the procedure and will take over the procedure when they think it is unsafe. R2 said "To try and get more autonomy from the attending requires you to really know the step that you're on and multiple steps moving forward. Otherwise, they're going to take over from you. And there's a worry that they'll just keep going and you won't get to practice your part of the surgery."

Residents shared their strong desires to do as much of the operation as possible and that take-overs by attending surgeons were viewed by them as "failures". R4 said, "My goal is to do all of it. So do the entire procedure with guidance from the attending." R5 provided an example, "I can remember one time very distinctly where attending became quite frustrated with me because he handed me a sort of instrument that I never used before. I began to use it in a certain way. I remember the first thing he said was 'no, you're missing'. And then I kept trying. And he said, 'did you really have the ability to move things in space?'. And he eventually took it from me." Such take-overs place heavy pressure on the residents and may lead to emotional breakdowns. R6 said, "Sometimes when you get a surgery taken away

from you, as a resident, it feels like you've messed up or you felt like something went wrong, you're not good enough."

Residents also explained surgical cases where they did not want to ask questions that they thought would undermine the trust between themselves and the attending surgeon. This is not ideal and may lead to errors. R2 said, "I think a lot of the time, if I'm not a hundred percent sure what somebody is asking me to look at, I just grabbed the thing that I think they're talking about or, or point to it and then ask if it is what they're talking about. And I think that slows down the case. And you are not building trust because you're always asking questions."

5.1.4 Senior residents want ways to express themselves. Junior and middle-level residents are mainly receiving instructions during the procedure. However, senior residents are often given the autonomy to decide on the specific strategy to be used. Senior residents shared cases where they disagreed with the attending surgeon on how to perform a step. As shown in O5, the attending surgeon asked the resident to explain a step they were doing, "You're gonna put in your lateral port? So I don't know why you want to do that. Is it because he has pancreatic tissue?"

In addition, senior residents have a desire to develop mentoring skills. In one of the cases we observed, the senior resident was operating, the attending surgeon was monitoring the progress, and a junior resident was in the room observing. In this case, the senior resident was learning how the attending surgeon explained things to the junior resident, e.g., A5 "The reason why it's important is you can even see there's a bile duct. So this is a risk." Senior residents mentioned that they wanted to have opportunities to practice mentoring skills in the operation room.

5.1.5 Residents desire more feedback on their performance. Residents of all stages shared the desire to receive continuous feedback on their performance during critical portions of the operation, but this need is often not met. It can be difficult for attending surgeons to narrate each step and appraise the residents for every correct manipulation. Some residents shared that it could be helpful for the attending surgeons to give them summarized feedback after each step in the procedure. As R7 said, "I think it could be potentially helpful to have like just a sort of subjective grade by the attending for different certain types of steps, like are we taking too much tissue or too little tissue? Or is the needle oriented? How many times do you accidentally drop them?"

5.2 Attending surgeons' challenges on providing visual guidance and their needs for support

5.2.1 Attending surgeons find it difficult to know whether the resident is looking at the right place. Most interviewed attending surgeons shared that guiding trainees' visual attention to a specific target was a major focus and challenge in laparoscopic training. Many attending surgeons shared similar sentiment that, "It's hard to know if the resident is looking at the right place." In the operation room, as shown in Figure 1, the two surgeons stand on different sides of the patient's bed and view two separate screens. This setup further contributed to the difficulty in achieving visual alignment. For example, A3 said: "Sometimes instead of focusing on my screen, I turn around and focus on their screen to see whether what they're

seeing and what I'm seeing is the same." Surgeons further described scenarios that led to visual misperceptions due to this setup. As A3 said, "Let's say there are three bleeders. But the first one is more prominent on their screen. It does happen just because of the way the intensity angles are, and there could be visual disagreement on the information that's being conveyed." A6 said, "If you just say left, they're moving to the other side. So we need to make it very clear. I will say it's screen left, not patient left."

5.2.2 Attending surgeons find it hard to verbally communicate where the residents should be looking at. Attending surgeons are limited in their hand movements and other visual references to help their verbal explanations in surgery, especially when they work with junior residents during which the attending surgeons operate a majority of the procedure. A1 mentioned: "I don't have a way or an extra hand to point on the screen to show them." They feel that communicating the visual information verbally itself is really difficult due to the different mental models and different experiences between the attending and residents, as A2 said, "Some things are really challenging to communicate because they are just experiential. And you either see it or you don't. No matter what has been explained, there is like, if you haven't experienced it, or seen it, it becomes very hard to do it."

5.3 Attending and resident surgeons' attitudes towards capturing, sharing and interpreting gaze during operations.

5.3.1 Residents see the high utility in viewing the attending surgeons' gaze during critical portions of the surgery. Residents reported that watching attending surgeons' in-the-moment gaze behavior could help them better understand why, when, how, and where to focus their attention. They thought real-time gaze could be especially helpful when they needed to locate a position. R3 said, "Sometimes there is very subtle differences in the position that may be desired. So I would imagine perhaps seeing exactly where they're looking and where they want you to make the nice incision. So maybe there could be some assistance, like, okay, you're looking here, and pressure at here." Residents also commented that seeing the attending surgeon's gaze may give them confidence and reduce stress.

5.3.2 Attending surgeons consider gaze a useful tool to teach. The attending surgeons liked to be able to use gaze to instruct, similar to using telepointers. A2 said, "I describe things like, something has to be on stretch, but it can't be stretched to the point where it's going to break and it can't be too loose. And this is super hard to describe. Using attention as a visual cue can help." The attending surgeons also commented that visualizing the residents' gaze could help them assess residents' performance and avoid safety issues. As A5 said, "I want to know his attention during the moment when he has to be absolutely in tandem with what I'm suggesting. It's in clipping and dividing those two structures, the cystic artery and the cystic duct. If he goes lateral, or if he is in the wrong plane, he can injure the duct. And then we'll be in trouble. I want to know their vision exactly." Knowing the residents' visual attention may also free up the attending surgeons' cognitive capacity so that they can focus more on driving the operation safely and providing instruction to the residents. As A6 said, "If I know someone's seeing something

the way that I'm seeing it, then I'm more focused on what they're doing. I can focus more technically, then, competency-wise, you know. Without the gaze data, I always in the back of my mind, I'm like, are we definitely looking at them? But with this, I can think more about whether is this the right thing."

5.3.3 Both residents and attending surgeons expressed concerns about using gaze to assess resident's competency. Both residents and attending surgeons shared concerns about using the gaze alignment between themselves as an indicator of the residents' competency. First, the procedure can be long and there are many non-operational actions such as role-switching and interpersonal communication, attending and resident surgeons are not required to visually converge at all times. R4 said, "I think you can focus the analysis on the critical portions of the surgery, it's in general where the attending pays the most attention to during a procedure. And then looking at the same thing at that time is a fair analysis." A5 said "So in essence, how do visual connection and contact with a particular object suggest entrustment? If both of us are looking at the same object, that means there is a higher score for that entrustment? But sometimes he may be doing something, but I'm actually not looking at that part to judge a particular system with entrustment."

Second, surgical competency contains a lot more elements than visual alignment. Most attending surgeons agreed that visual alignment can be limited in predicting technical skills, efficiency and safety. A2 noted "if you're both looking at the same thing, but I don't know that it's a proxy of how well their hands are, just because they're looking at the right thing...e.g., whether their tissue handling is appropriate, whether the utilization of the instrument is appropriate, whether they know the actual steps of the operation. So there's like a fair number of other variables that can impact the treatment." Attending surgeons instead proposed to use gaze alignment as an alert for the surgeons to reflect on their cognitive alignment beyond gaze. A6 said, "Now as a human mentor, if you notice somebody does it three or four times, you kind of view that's the signal to you. And then gaze alignment becomes an extra element in the operation. For example, maybe when the resident is struggling, the mismatch of gaze could be an extra indicator to tell you that."

Third, residents are concerned about false alerts that may lead to their operation opportunity being taken away unnecessarily. Alternatively, residents recommended using gaze alignment to indicate the attending surgeons' communication efficacy. For example, R5 said, "That's why it can be helpful to the communicator as a tool to say, Hey look, your communication, you got as a minus because you did it pretty well. But miss this, or you got a D minus, because you told them to go look this way and they kept looking over here."

5.4 Both attending and resident surgeons expressed strong support to use gaze information post an operation for reflection and learning

There was a clear sense among the attending surgeons that the gaze behaviors of the residents and the contrast of gaze between the dyad, could help identify teachable moments after the operation is over. Attending surgeons considered this could increase the efficiency on offering feedback during the debrief of a procedure. A5 noted

“there’s a way to provide that data back and say hey, at minute 10 it was off, at minute 14 and it was off at minute 22 it was off and then focus on those moments where it was different and then start the conversation with coaching and provide feedback on those moments.”

Attending surgeons expressed interest to review and interpret gaze data if such data can be structured and visualized for them. A4 said they would look for data anomalies, e.g., *“If here’s a snippet of 30 seconds where you were the most discordant. And we can look at it. That’s useful, like, Okay, why were we discordant there?”* Residents shared similar desire to review gaze alignment with the attending surgeon afterwards. For example, R5 said *“And then in the debrief afterwards, when you see this scenario, you should think about this, you know, the anatomy can look this particular way and here’s the way you want to approach it.”* Similarly, R4 said *“But I could see there’s some value in it being like debrief tool after the fact where you can say, Oh, I was looking over at this particular structure while you were looking here.”*

Attending surgeons shared that they could review the videos and gaze distance between the dyad to understand when they presented information effectively. They can thus work on improving their communication and teaching strategies. As A6 noted *“What kind of cues make the resident’s eyes go from right field to where I [attending] want them to look...There are clear implications in relation to communication and cognitive load, specifically in the operating room. This is in face using technology to evaluate how we communicate in the operating room.”*

6 DISCUSSION AND DESIGN IMPLICATIONS

This research explores the challenges and potential of gaze sharing in the unique context of surgical training, contributing new insights to the broader HCI and CSCW discourse on the use of gaze in high-stakes collaborative settings. Our study highlights the evolving learning needs of surgical residents at different stages of training and the limitations of current instructional approaches in fulfilling these needs. Surgeons recognized the value of gaze data in enhancing learning and communication during surgical training. However, surgeons also expressed concerns about gaze data misinterpretation and the need for control over its visualization to avoid distraction. Our findings underscore the complexity and distinctive aspects of integrating CSCW and CSCL strategies into surgical contexts. Among these are facilitating resident development of their professional vision, navigating power differentials, balancing efficiency and training, and ensuring patient safety. This study also provides design implications associated with sharing gaze data in a technically advanced and constrained setting. While this study made valuable contributions, there are limitations to acknowledge. First, we performed observations of only eight surgeries, a relatively small sample. Second, the observations were situated within a specific cultural context of a large US teaching hospital, constraining potential generalizability of the findings to other (international and/or low resource) training environments which may involve different OR team dynamics, norms, and educational approaches.

6.1 Contextual visual guidance and feedback is needed in intraoperative coordination and instruction

Our study suggested that both attending and resident surgeons experienced substantial visual challenges during operations. The challenges are exacerbated by the fact that surgeons have both operational and educational goals. As examples, junior residents cannot perceive the same visual information on the screen as attending surgeons, which suggests that simply guiding residents’ attention to a particular scene is not sufficient for learning. More contextual information needs to be provided for residents to fully understand what visual cues the attending surgeon is leveraging to make operational decisions. Moreover, it is evident from the interviews and observations that the attending surgeon’s instruction and feedback provision could be enhanced by richer visual information. Residents cite a lack of feedback mechanisms for them to effectively learn and gain independence. For example, junior residents may want more feedback on their performance, whereas senior residents may want more feedback and channels to elicit feedback from attending surgeons in order to independently operate. From the attending surgeons’ perspective, they also experience difficulty verbally communicating where the residents should be looking at and “assessing” the residents’ state of mind in order to give them feedback. Our study provides rich data on the scenarios where attending and resident surgeons cannot achieve their operation, teaching and learning goals when visual information, including surgeons’ attention information is lacking. Our study provides strong motivation for designs where residents can receive more visual feedback from the attending surgeons and have channels to elicit feedback from the attending surgeons, and where the attending surgeons have effective methods to guide residents’ attention, and provide instruction and feedback. The scenarios we present in this paper align with prior work [20, 55, 70] in suggesting that telepointers are insufficient. On the one hand they are attending surgeon focused and do not represent residents’ state of mind. On the other hand, they do not contain sufficient information for residents to learn. Our study further explores the design space of providing shared gaze visualizations between the surgical dyad. We will discuss in the next section the design requirements derived from this study.

6.2 Gaze data is viewed as an anchor for attending and resident surgeons to analyze the situations and identify opportunities for instruction and remediation.

A unique property of gaze-sharing in surgery context is that there is pronounced power difference between attending surgeons and trainees [28, 29]. Junior residents in our study reported being reluctant to ask clarification questions when instructions were unclear, yet they needed precise spatial guidance from attending surgeons. Senior residents wanted channels to suggest alternative surgical approaches and techniques. These tensions align with literature emphasizing the need to open bi-directional communication channels and increase transparency in high-power distance collaborations [27]. Surgeons view the use of gaze as an anchor for them to provide

further explanation and analysis of the situations and opportunities for remediation. Surgeons do not consider gaze alignment to necessarily indicate competency but consider mismatches to be a signal of risks. Gaze sharing could provide pathways for residents to express needs and give attending surgeons insights into the residents' visual understanding. For example, detected gaze discrepancies might cue attending surgeons that additional explanation is required without requiring residents to explicitly request help. This is consistent with prior work showing that giving instructions on moving the cameras during surgery requires everyone to constantly coordinate based on what is happening [42]. Additionally, our study aligns with prior work in revealing that residents have a wide range of expertise [12, 40], where attending surgeons' instructions need to adapt to residents' prior knowledge in order to achieve optimal teaching and learning outcomes. Residents' gaze may provide useful information for attending surgeons' to assess their state of mind and background knowledge, before offering more adaptive guidance [12]. Our study presents unique attributes of gaze-sharing in intraoperative contexts where there is power imbalance, the task is highly visual and complex, and the participating partners have dual purposes of achieving operation and educational goals.

6.3 Implications for designing gaze-based technologies to enhance intraoperative coordination and instruction

6.3.1 Intraoperative gaze-sharing should be on-demand. Prior work on attention and distraction suggests user control can mitigate effects on cognitive load [46]. Attending surgeons in our study echoed this need to control gaze sharing to avoid excessive distraction from their primary surgical tasks. Participants provided suggestions aligned with prior literature, including on-demand gaze sharing triggered by foot pedals or voice commands rather than continuous display. A3 noted "... I think having it on all the time will be too distracting honestly. Stressful to know that your resident is not looking where you're asking them. You could have it selectively on, but interacting with technology while you're in a sterile field is difficult." A3 further illustrated what he meant by on-demand: "A foot pedal would be nice. Like if I had a little foot pedal that I could like, tap it whenever I want to see where they're looking, might be useful." Allowing attending and resident surgeons to initiate gaze sharing when situations warrant mitigates the risks brought up in prior literature that exclusive trainer-driven visual guidance can limit trainee agency and autonomy [21]. Resident surgeons preferred opportunities to interpret the meaning behind surgical moves and strategies, not just passive observation. Enabling trainees to request gaze sharing gives them more autonomy in leveraging this information source when needed. Overall, these findings imply gaze sharing systems should provide flexible user control over when visualizations appear rather than constant display.

6.3.2 Gaze-based visualizations and AR overlays to enhance teaching and feedback during operations. Besides simply overlaying the gaze patterns, surgeons want to get more support on looking beyond the "gaze alignment" data. Based on the scenarios we presented in this

paper, we make design recommendations of gaze-based visualizations and AR overlays that may facilitate intraoperative coordination and instruction. First, there are critical anatomical components to the lap chole procedures, e.g., gallbladder, arteries, etc. Visualizing and tracking the region of the surgeons' gaze might be more productive than tracking the raw coordinates of the surgeons' gaze. Recent work on surgery scene segmentation techniques makes this direction more tractable [64]. Second, our study revealed that novice surgeons encountered difficulty in perceiving depth in 2D images. Providing depth sensing scaffolds on surgical scenes could be helpful, e.g., visualizing the size of the gaze point to help people perceive spatial relationships. Third, we found that when attending surgeons explained their decision making process, it often involved the using of hand drawing in the air. Enabling surgeons to easily make visual annotations could support the instruction process. Recent work on surgery scene segmentation techniques [64] may enable surgeons' to highlight anatomical structures in real time during intraoperative instruction. Fourth, we found it common for the attending surgeon to refer to a previous scene or a previous step when explaining the current step. AR-techniques that explore playbacks maybe desirable. Lastly, we consider it beneficial to study the use of gaze-based analytics in surgical contexts. For example, prior work has shown that the number of fixations per time interval, the mean fixation duration, and the index of pupillary activity are the three indicators correlated with the expertise level of the surgeons [4, 26]. In addition, recent work by Abdou et al. [1] and O'Dwyer et al. [44] summarized the commonly used gaze features that are successful in predicting emotion, particularly gaze location, gaze angle, pupil diameter, eye blink intensity, etc. Exploring visualization techniques to summarize gaze information and visualize them in real-time to facilitate instruction, reflection and feedback may be a fruitful direction. Moreover, such gaze-based analytics can be leveraged to generate safety alerts to surgeons when the alignment between the attending and the resident surgeon is below a threshold.

6.3.3 Tradeoffs emerge between uni- and bi-directional gaze sharing. Aligning with prior work [20, 55, 70], our study suggests that merely allowing attending surgeons to use telepointers is insufficient for intraoperative instruction and coordination. On the other hand, our work also suggests tradeoffs between uni- and bi-directional gaze sharing between the attending and resident surgeons. Both attending and resident surgeons responded positively to bi-directional gaze sharing in enhancing transparency and supporting teaching and learning. However, both attending and resident surgeons mentioned scenarios where bi-directional gaze sharing is undesirable. For example, attending surgeons mentioned that for uncritical portions of the surgery, bi-directional gaze sharing may be unproductive and distracting. Residents want bi-directional gaze access to provide helpful grounding and context. Junior residents in particular reported this continuous awareness would aid their visuospatial understanding and "professional vision" development [40, 41]. However, residents also do not want their gaze data to be used as the only basis for assessment.

6.4 Implications for designing technologies to enhance postoperative learning and reflection

Providing joint visual attention data post-operatively, especially extracting data points where surgeons experience difficulty in aligning their visual attention, can provide significant insights during post-operative debriefing for attending surgeons to give residents feedback [39, 71]. As reported by our attending surgeon participants, evidence-based guidance to trainees is surprisingly limited, despite the importance of timely feedback for trainees to learn. Both resident and attending surgeons mentioned the need and desire to combine eye-tracking data and OR video recordings for trainees to reflect on their operational performance. To this end, future research could combine OR video recordings and eye-gaze data to help surgeons navigate a surgery recording [6] based on the attending and resident surgeons' misalignment. Moreover, recent work has explored using surgery scene segmentation techniques [64] to help surgeons semantically search critical scenes of surgery recordings. Such techniques make it possible to embrace the idea of "semantic gaze", where systems can infer the specific anatomical structures surgeons are looking at based on their raw gaze position on the screen. Future work may also explore the possibility of creating video-based tutorials with gaze overlays as training materials for residents [25, 37].

6.5 Ethical considerations on using gaze data in surgical training and collaboration

As with any technology, we must also consider the ethical implications of eye-tracking technology, especially in a high-stakes environment such as the operating room [47]. There are many potential risks associated with the use of eye-tracking data and gaze-based analytics, including the potential for biased decision-making, the loss of privacy, Hawthorne effects [54] (which refers to the phenomenon that individuals modify an aspect of their behavior in response to their awareness of being observed), and the potential for misuse [7, 50]. It is important to consider these risks carefully and to put in place measures to mitigate them. As mentioned by the attending surgeons, gaze data could only indicate a small portion of the resident's surgical competency. It requires careful work to examine what gaze alignment data can tell us and what could be missing in such data. For example, one may correlate residents' gaze performance with validated surgery skill assessment scales (e.g., the OpTrust, SIMPL [17, 51]) to investigate whether gaze-based metrics is a reliable assessment metric for some elements in one's surgical competency.

7 CONCLUSION

This study makes two valuable contributions to the body of research on leveraging eye-tracking and gaze data to enhance surgical training. First, through interviews with 14 surgeons and observations of 8 authentic surgeries, this paper reveals key tensions around spotlighting visual attention in an environment characterized by varying trainee needs, power differentials, and dual priorities of patient safety and instruction. Second, this study demonstrates the potential benefits of capturing real-time gaze data from surgeons

in operation rooms and provides design implications guiding the development of mixed-reality surgical environments to foster intra- and postoperative teaching and learning. There is tremendous potential for gaze-enhanced systems to facilitate resident "professional vision" development, open bi-directional communication channels, provide personalized support matched to skill level, and enable rich team reflexivity opportunities. With careful design informed by our study findings, sharing gaze data has promise for improving coordination, enhancing safety, fostering learning gains, and revealing invisible facets of collaborative cognition across surgical teams. Our findings help lay the groundwork for innovative technical systems that ultimately aim to improve surgical training using multi-modal, including gaze-based technologies.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahmed Abdou, Ekta Sood, Philipp Müller, and Andreas Bulling. 2022. Gaze-enhanced Crossmodal Embeddings for Emotion Recognition. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 6, ETRA (2022), 1–18.
- [2] Tiffany N Anderson, Davis H Payne, Daniel L Dent, LaDonna E Kearse, Ingrid S Schmiederer, and James R Korndorffer. 2021. Defining the deficit in US surgical training: the trainee's perspective. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons* 232, 4 (2021), 623–627.
- [3] Michele Aresta, Angela Dibenedetto, and Eugenio Quaranta. 2016. State of the art and perspectives in catalytic processes for CO₂ conversion into chemicals and fuels: The distinctive contribution of chemical catalysis and biotechnology. *Journal of Catalysis* 343 (2016), 2–45.
- [4] Hajra Ashraf, Mikael H Sodergren, Nabeel Merali, George Mylonas, Harsimrat Singh, and Ara Darzi. 2018. Eye-tracking technology in medical education: A systematic review. *Medical teacher* 40, 1 (2018), 62–69.
- [5] Emma-Louise Aveling, Juliana Stone, Thoralf Sundt, Cameron Wright, Francesca Gino, and Sara Singer. 2018. Factors Influencing Team Behaviors in Surgery: A Qualitative Study to Inform Teamwork Interventions. *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery* 106, 1 (2018), 115–120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.athoracsur.2017.12.045>
- [6] Ignacio Avellino, Sheida Nozari, Geoffroy Canlorbe, and Yvonne Jansen. 2021. Surgical Video Summarization: Multifarious Uses, Summarization Process and Ad-Hoc Coordination. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 5, CSCW1 (2021), 1–23.
- [7] Myroslav Bachynskyi, Antti Oulasvirta, Gregorio Palmas, and Tino Weinkauff. 2014. Is motion capture-based biomechanical simulation valid for hci studies? study and implications. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 3215–3224.
- [8] Igor Belyansky, Terri R Martin, Ajita S Prabhu, Victor B Tsirlina, Lisa D Howley, Ryan Phillips, David Sindram, B Todd Heniford, and Dimitrios Stefanidis. 2011. Poor resident-attending intraoperative communication may compromise patient safety. *Journal of Surgical Research* 171, 2 (2011), 386–394.
- [9] Rositsa Bogdanova, Pierre Boulanger, and Bin Zheng. 2016. Depth perception of surgeons in minimally invasive surgery. *Surgical innovation* 23, 5 (2016), 515–524.
- [10] Virginia Braun and Victoria Clarke. 2006. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology* 3, 2 (2006), 77–101.
- [11] Virginia Braun and Victoria Clarke. 2012. Thematic analysis. (2012).
- [12] Amy Cheatle, Hannah Pelikan, Malte Jung, and Steven Jackson. 2019. Sensing (co) operations: Articulation and compensation in the robotic operating room. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 3, CSCW (2019), 1–26.
- [13] Andrew SA Chetwood, Ka-Wai Kwok, Loi-Wah Sun, George P Mylonas, James Clark, Ara Darzi, and Guang-Zhong Yang. 2012. Collaborative eye tracking: a potential training tool in laparoscopic surgery. *Surgical endoscopy* 26, 7 (2012), 2003–2009.
- [14] Nicklas H. Christensen, Oliver G. Hjermitslev, Niclas H. Stjernholm, Frederik Falk, Atanas Nikolov, Martin Kraus, Johan Poulsen, and Jane Petersson. 2018. Feasibility of Team Training in Virtual Reality for Robot-Assisted Minimally Invasive Surgery. In *Proceedings of the Virtual Reality International Conference - Laval Virtual (Laval, France) (VRIC '18)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 8, 4 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3234253.3234295>
- [15] Richard D. Coats and Randall S. Burd. 2002. Intraoperative Communication of Residents with Faculty: Perception versus Reality. *Journal of Surgical Research* 104, 1 (2002), 40–45. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jsre.2002.6402>
- [16] Alexandra C Cope, Stella Mavroveli, Jeff Bezemer, George B Hanna, and Roger Kneebone. 2015. Making meaning from sensory cues: a qualitative investigation of postgraduate learning in the operating room. *Academic Medicine* 90, 8 (2015), 1125–1131.
- [17] Debra A DaRosa, Joseph B Zwischenberger, Shari L Meyerson, Brian C George, Ezra N Teitelbaum, Nathaniel J Soper, and Jonathan P Fryer. 2013. A theory-based

- model for teaching and assessing residents in the operating room. *Journal of surgical education* 70, 1 (2013), 24–30.
- [18] Luis Dominguez Torres, Diana Dolmans, Willem Grave, Jeroen Donkers, Alvaro Sanabria, and Laurents Stassen. 2022. Supervisors' transformational leadership style and residents' job crafting in surgical training: the residents' views. *International Journal of Medical Education* 13 (03 2022), 74–83. <https://doi.org/10.5116/ijme.622d.e2f6>
- [19] Keane Evans-Harvey, Simon Erridge, Urvi Karamchandani, Sala Abdalla, Jasmine Winter Beatty, Ara Darzi, Sanjay Purkayastha, and Mikael H Sodergren. 2020. Comparison of surgeon gaze behaviour against objective skill assessment in laparoscopic cholecystectomy—a prospective cohort study. *International Journal of Surgery* 82 (2020), 149–155.
- [20] Yuanyuan Feng, Katie Li, Azin Semsar, Hannah McGowan, Jacqueline Mun, H Reza Zahiri, Ivan George, Adrian Park, Andrea Kleinsmith, and Helena M Mentis. 2019. Communication cost of single-user gesturing tool in laparoscopic surgical training. In *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–12.
- [21] Yuanyuan Feng, Katie Li, Azin Semsar, Hannah McGowan, Jacqueline Mun, H. Reza Zahiri, Ivan George, Adrian Park, Andrea Kleinsmith, and Helena M. Mentis. 2019. Communication Cost of Single-User Gesturing Tool in Laparoscopic Surgical Training. In *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (Glasgow, Scotland Uk) (CHI '19). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300841>
- [22] Yuanyuan Feng, Hannah McGowan, Azin Semsar, Hamid R Zahiri, Ivan M George, Adrian Park, Andrea Kleinsmith, and Helena Mentis. 2020. Virtual pointer for gaze guidance in laparoscopic surgery. *Surgical endoscopy* 34, 8 (2020), 3533–3539.
- [23] Eléonore Ferrier-Barbut, Ignacio Avellino, Geoffroy Canlorbe, Marie-Aude Vitrani, and Vanda Luengo. 2023. Learning With Pedagogical Models: Videos As Adjuncts to Apprenticeship for Surgical Training. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 7, CSCW1 (2023), 1–40.
- [24] Carly E Glarner, Katherine E Law, Amy B Zelenski, Robert J McDonald, Jacob A Greenberg, Eugene F Foley, Douglas A Wiegmann, and Caprice C Greenberg. 2017. Resident training in a teaching hospital: how do attendings teach in the real operative environment? *The American Journal of Surgery* 214, 1 (2017), 141–146.
- [25] Tovi Grossman, Justin Matejka, and George Fitzmaurice. 2010. Chronicle: capture, exploration, and playback of document workflow histories. In *Proceedings of the 23rd annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology*. 143–152.
- [26] Nishan Gunawardena, Michael Matscheko, Bernhard Anzenberger, Alois Ferscha, Martin Schobesberger, Andreas Shamiyeh, Bettina Klugsberger, and Peter Solleder. 2019. Assessing Surgeons' Skill Level in Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy Using Eye Metrics (ETRA '19). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 30, 8 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3314111.3319832>
- [27] Pamela Hinds, Daniela Retelny, and Catherine Cramton. 2015. In the flow, being heard, and having opportunities: Sources of power and power dynamics in global teams. In *Proceedings of the 18th ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing*. 864–875.
- [28] Yue-Yung Hu, Alexander F Arriaga, Emilie M Roth, Sarah E Peyre, Katherine A Corso, Richard S Swanson, Robert T Osteen, Pamela Schmitt, Angela M Bader, Michael J Zinner, et al. 2012. Protecting patients from an unsafe system: the etiology and recovery of intraoperative deviations in care. *Annals of surgery* 256, 2 (2012), 203–210.
- [29] Yue-Yung Hu, Sarah Henrickson Parker, Stuart R Lipsitz, Alexander F Arriaga, Sarah E Peyre, Katherine A Corso, Emilie M Roth, Steven J Yule, and Caprice C Greenberg. 2016. Surgeons' leadership styles and team behavior in the operating room. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons* 222, 1 (2016), 41–51.
- [30] Karina Huang, Tonya Bryant, and Bertrand Schneider. 2019. Identifying Collaborative Learning States Using Unsupervised Machine Learning on Eye-Tracking, Physiological and Motion Sensor Data. *International Educational Data Mining Society* (2019).
- [31] Allison Jing, Kieran May, Brandon Matthews, Gun Lee, and Mark Billinghurst. 2022. The Impact of Sharing Gaze Behaviours in Collaborative Mixed Reality. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 6, CSCW2 (2022), 1–27.
- [32] Niveditha Kalavakonda, Sourav Chandra, and Asokan Thondiyath. 2015. Development of Virtual Reality Based Robotic Surgical Trainer for Patient-Specific Deformable Anatomy. In *Proceedings of the 2015 Conference on Advances In Robotics* (Goa, India) (AIR '15). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 16, 5 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2783449.2783465>
- [33] Madeleine M Keehner, Frank Tendick, Maxwell V Meng, Haroon P Anwar, Mary Hegarty, Marshall L Stoller, and Quan-Yang Duh. 2004. Spatial ability, experience, and skill in laparoscopic surgery. *The American Journal of Surgery* 188, 1 (2004), 71–75.
- [34] Grete Helena Kütt, Teerapaun Tanprasert, Jay Rodolitz, Bernardo Moyza, Samuel So, Georgia Kenderova, and Alexandra Papoutsaki. 2020. Effects of shared gaze on audio-versus text-based remote collaborations. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 4, CSCW2 (2020), 1–25.
- [35] Michael Lankes and Argenis Ramirez Gomez. 2022. GazeCues: Exploring the Effects of Gaze-based Visual Cues in Virtual Reality Exploration Games. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 6, CHI PLAY (2022), 1–25.
- [36] Stefan Marks, John Windsor, and Burkhard Wünsche. 2007. Evaluation of Game Engines for Simulated Surgical Training. In *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques in Australia and Southeast Asia* (Perth, Australia) (GRAPHITE '07). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 273–280. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1321261.1321311>
- [37] Justin Matejka, Tovi Grossman, and George Fitzmaurice. 2013. Swifter: improved online video scrubbing. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1159–1168.
- [38] Akira Matsuda, Toru Okuzono, Hiromi Nakamura, Hideaki Kuzuoka, and Jun Rekimoto. 2021. A surgical scene replay system for learning gastroenterological endoscopic surgery skill by multiple synchronized-video and gaze representation. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 5, EICS (2021), 1–22.
- [39] Akira Matsuda, Toru Okuzono, Hiromi Nakamura, Hideaki Kuzuoka, and Jun Rekimoto. 2021. A Surgical Scene Replay System for Learning Gastroenterological Endoscopic Surgery Skill by Multiple Synchronized-Video and Gaze Representation. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 5, EICS, Article 204 (may 2021), 22 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3461726>
- [40] Helena M Mentis, Amine Chellali, and Steven Schweitzberg. 2014. Learning to see the body: supporting instructional practices in laparoscopic surgical procedures. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on human factors in computing systems*. 2113–2122.
- [41] Helena M. Mentis, Yuanyuan Feng, Azin Semsar, and Todd A. Ponsky. 2020. Remotely Shaping the View in Surgical Telementoring. In *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (Honolulu, HI, USA) (CHI '20). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376622>
- [42] Lorenza Mondada. 2016. Operating together: The collective achievement of surgical action. (2016).
- [43] Jan Nikodem and Ryszard Klempous. 2015. Novel Force Feedback Interface for Improving Human Perception in Laparoscopic Surgical Trainer (MSM '15). Society for Computer Simulation International, San Diego, CA, USA, 41–46.
- [44] Jonny O'Dwyer, Niall Murray, and Ronan Flynn. 2019. Eye-based Continuous Affect Prediction. In *2019 8th International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII)*. IEEE, 137–143.
- [45] Phillip M. Pierorazio and Mohamad E. Allaf. 2009. Minimally invasive surgical training: Challenges and solutions. *Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations* 27, 2 (2009), 208–213. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urolonc.2008.09.017>
- [46] Alexander Plopski, Teresa Hirzle, Nahal Norouzi, Long Qian, Gerd Bruder, and Tobias Langlotz. 2022. The eye in extended reality: A survey on gaze interaction and eye tracking in head-worn extended reality. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)* 55, 3 (2022), 1–39.
- [47] Jake G Prigoff, Marc Sherwin, and Celia M Divino. 2016. Ethical recommendations for video recording in the operating room. *Annals of Surgery* 264, 1 (2016), 34–35.
- [48] Juliette Rambourg, Stéphane Conversy, Hélène Gaspard-Boulinç, and Marc Garbey. 2016. Collaboration within the Surgical Suite: BoardProbe Design for and with the Surgical Team. In *Actes de La 28ième Conférence Francophone Sur l'Interaction Homme-Machine* (Fribourg, Switzerland) (IHM '16). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 271–277. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3004107.3004138>
- [49] Lee Richtigstone, Michael J Schwartz, Casey Seideman, Jeffrey Cadeddu, Sandra Marshall, and Louis R Kavoussi. 2010. Eye metrics as an objective assessment of surgical skill. *Annals of surgery* 252, 1 (2010), 177–182.
- [50] Alireza Sadeghi Milani, Aaron Cecil-Xavier, Avinash Gupta, J Cecil, and Shelia Kennison. 2022. A Systematic Review of Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) Research in Medical and Other Engineering Fields. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction* (2022), 1–22.
- [51] Gurjit Sandhu, Vahagn C Nikolian, Christopher P Magas, Robert B Stansfield, Danielle C Sutzko, Kaustubh Prabhu, Niki Matusko, and Rebecca M Minter. 2018. OpTrust: validity of a tool assessing intraoperative entrustment behaviors. *Annals of surgery* 267, 4 (2018), 670–676.
- [52] J Sándor, B Lengyel, T Haidegger, Gy Saftics, G Papp, A Nagy, and Gy Wéber. 2010. Minimally invasive surgical technologies: Challenges in education and training. *Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery* 3, 3 (2010), 101–108.
- [53] Bertrand Schneider and Roy Pea. 2017. Real-time mutual gaze perception enhances collaborative learning and collaboration quality. In *Educational media and technology yearbook*. Springer, 99–125.
- [54] Philip Sedgwick and Nan Greenwood. 2015. Understanding the Hawthorne effect. *Bmj* 351 (2015).
- [55] Azin Semsar, Hannah McGowan, Yuanyuan Feng, H. Reza Zahiri, Adrian Park, Andrea Kleinsmith, and Helena Mentis. 2019. How Trainees Use the Information from Telepointers in Remote Instruction. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 3, CSCW, Article 93 (nov 2019), 20 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3359195>
- [56] RS Sidhu, D Tompa, R Jang, ED Grober, KW Johnston, RK Reznick, and SJ Hamstra. 2004. Interpretation of three-dimensional structure from two-dimensional endovascular images: implications for educators in vascular surgery. *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 39, 6 (2004), 1305–1311.

- [57] Gahyun Sung, Tianyi Feng, and Bertrand Schneider. 2021. Learners learn more and instructors track better with real-time gaze sharing. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 5, CSCW1 (2021), 1–23.
- [58] J Sándor, B Lengyel, T Haidegger, G Saftics, G Papp, Á Nagy, and G Wéber. 2010. Minimally invasive surgical technologies: Challenges in education and training. *Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery* 3, 3 (2010), 101–108. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-5910.2010.00050.x> arXiv:<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/j.1758-5910.2010.00050.x>
- [59] AB Tobii. 2016. Tobii pro glasses 2.
- [60] Data Source Triangulation. 2014. The use of triangulation in qualitative research. In *Oncol Nurs Forum*, Vol. 41. 545–7.
- [61] Hidde van der Meulen, Petra Varsanyi, Lauren Westendorf, Andrew L Kun, and Orit Shaer. 2016. Towards understanding collaboration around interactive surfaces: Exploring joint visual attention. In *Proceedings of the 29th Annual Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 219–220.
- [62] Roel Vertegaal. 1999. The GAZE groupware system: mediating joint attention in multiparty communication and collaboration. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 294–301.
- [63] Maureen Villamor and Ma Mercedes Rodrigo. 2018. Predicting successful collaboration in a pair programming eye tracking experiment. In *Adjunct Publication of the 26th Conference on User Modeling, Adaptation and Personalization*. 263–268.
- [64] Jingying Wang, Haoran Tang, Taylor Kantor, Tandis Soltani, Vitaliy Popov, and Xu Wang. 2024. Surgment: Segmentation-enabled Semantic Search and Creation of Visual Question and Feedback to Support Video-Based Surgery Learning. In *Proceedings of the 2024 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (Honolulu, Hawaii, USA) (CHI '24). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3613904.3642587>
- [65] Lawrence W Way, Lygia Stewart, Walter Gantert, Kingsway Liu, Crystine M Lee, Karen Whang, and John G Hunter. 2003. Causes and prevention of laparoscopic bile duct injuries: analysis of 252 cases from a human factors and cognitive psychology perspective. *Annals of surgery* 237, 4 (2003), 460.
- [66] Nadir Weibel, Danilo Gasques, Janet Johnson, Thomas Sharkey, Zhuoqun Robin Xu, Xinming Zhang, Enrique Zavala, Michael Yip, and Konrad Davis. 2020. ARTEMIS: Mixed-Reality Environment for Immersive Surgical Telementoring. In *Extended Abstracts of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (Honolulu, HI, USA) (CHI EA '20). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3334480.3383169>
- [67] Mark Wilson, McGrath John, Vine Samuel, Brewer James, Defriend David, and Masters Richard. 2010. Psychomotor control in a virtual laparoscopic surgery training environment: gaze control parameters differentiate novices from experts. *Surgical endoscopy* 24, 10 (2010), 2458–2464.
- [68] Mark R Wilson, Samuel J Vine, Elizabeth Bright, Rich SW Masters, David Defriend, and John S McGrath. 2011. Gaze training enhances laparoscopic technical skill acquisition and multi-tasking performance: a randomized, controlled study. *Surgical endoscopy* 25, 12 (2011), 3731–3739.
- [69] A van Wynsberghe and C Gastmans. 2008. Telesurgery: an ethical appraisal. *Journal of Medical Ethics* 34, 10 (2008), e22–e22. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.2007.023952> arXiv:<https://jme.bmj.com/content/34/10/e22.full.pdf>
- [70] Nirit Yuviler-Gavish, Eldad Yechiam, and Arava Kallai. 2011. Learning in multimodal training: Visual guidance can be both appealing and disadvantageous in spatial tasks. *International journal of human-computer studies* 69, 3 (2011), 113–122.
- [71] Bin Zheng, Nasim Hajari, and M. Stella Atkins. 2016. Revealing Team Cognition from Dual Eye-Tracking in the Surgical Setting. In *Proceedings of the Ninth Biennial ACM Symposium on Eye Tracking Research & Applications* (Charleston, South Carolina) (ETRA '16). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 321–322. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2857491.2884062>
- [72] Guoyang Zhou, Amy Nagle, George Takahashi, Tera Hornbeck, Ann Loomis, Beth Smith, Bradley Duerstock, and Denny Yu. 2022. Bringing Patient Mannequins to Life: 3D Projection Enhances Nursing Simulation. In *Proceedings of the 2022 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (New Orleans, LA, USA) (CHI '22). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 565, 15 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3491102.3517562>
- [73] Qi Zhou, Wannapon Suraworachet, Oya Celiktutan, and Mutlu Cukurova. 2022. What Does Shared Understanding in Students' Face-to-Face Collaborative Learning Gaze Behaviours "Look Like"? In *International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Education*. Springer, 588–593.
- [74] Ehud Zohary, Daniel Harari, Shimon Ullman, Itay Ben-Zion, Ravid Doron, Sara Attias, Yuval Porat, Asael Y Sklar, and Ayelet Mckyton. 2022. Gaze following requires early visual experience. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 119, 20 (2022), e2117184119.