

# On a conjecture of Moreno-Frías and Rosales for numerical semigroups

Masahiro WATARI

## Abstract

The present paper addresses a semimodule counting conjecture of Moreno-Frías and Rosales for numerical semigroups. Applying Pfister and Steenbrink's Theory for punctual Hilbert schemes of curve singularities, we show that this conjecture is true for any numerical semigroup.

**Keywords:** numerical semigroups, curve singularities, punctual Hilbert schemes  
**Mathematics Subject Classification (2020):**20M14, 14C05, 14H20

*Dedicated to Professor Fumio Sakai on the occasion of his 75th birthday*

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of natural numbers. We put  $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . A nonempty subset  $S$  of  $\mathbb{N}_0$  is called a *numerical semigroup*, if it satisfies the following three conditions: (1)  $S$  is closed under addition, (2)  $0 \in S$ , (3)  $\#(\mathbb{N}_0 \setminus S) < \infty$ . For a numerical semigroup  $S$ , we define its *Frobenius number*  $F(S)$  to be the biggest gap of  $S$  (i.e.  $F(S) := \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \notin S\}$ ). We also define its *conductor* and *genus* to be  $c := c(S) := F(S) + 1$  and  $g(S) := \#(\mathbb{N}_0 \setminus S)$  respectively.

For a nonempty subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{N}_0$ , we set  $\langle A \rangle := \{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i a_i \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, a_i \in A, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ . This is the submonoid of  $(\mathbb{N}_0, +)$  generated by  $A$ . In particular, we write  $\langle A \rangle = \langle a_1, \dots, a_p \rangle$  for  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_p\}$ . It is known that  $\langle A \rangle$  is a numerical semigroup if and only if  $\gcd(A) = 1$  (see [6], Lemma 2.1). We call  $A$  a *system of generators* of a numerical semigroup  $S$ , if  $S = \langle A \rangle$ . In the present paper, we always assume that the system of generators of  $S$  is *minimal* (i.e.  $S \neq \langle B \rangle$  holds for any proper subset  $B \subsetneq A$ ).

For a numerical semigroup  $S$ , a subset  $\Delta \subseteq S$  is called a  *$S$ -semimodule*, if the relation  $\Delta + S \subseteq \Delta$  holds. In the context of semigroup theory,  $S$ -semimodules are called ideals of  $S$  and have been studied extensively (cf. [1, 5, 7]). We denote by  $\text{Mod}(S)$  the set of all  $S$ -semimodules. For  $\Delta \in \text{Mod}(S)$ , its *codimension* is defined by  $\text{codim}(\Delta) := \#(S \setminus \Delta)$ . For  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we set  $\text{Mod}_r(S) := \{\Delta \in \text{Mod}(S) \mid \text{codim}(\Delta) = r\}$ . We write  $\Delta = \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q \rangle_S$  for a  $S$ -semimodule  $\Delta$  which is generated by  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q\}$  (i.e.  $\Delta = \cup_{i=1}^q \{\alpha_i + S\}$ ). Similar to numerical semigroups, we call the set  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q\}$  a *system of generators* of  $\Delta$ . A system of generators of  $\Delta$  is said to be *minimal*, if the relations  $\Delta \supseteq \cup_{i=1, i \neq 1}^q \{\alpha_i + S\}$

hold for all  $l \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ . Note that if  $\Delta$  is a  $S$ -semimodule, then  $\tilde{\Delta} := \Delta \cup \{0\}$  is a numerical semigroup. We call  $\tilde{\Delta}$  the *numerical semigroup associated with  $\Delta$* . For  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we put  $\mathcal{J}(S, r) := \{\tilde{\Delta} \mid \Delta \in \text{Mod}(S) \text{ and } g(\tilde{\Delta}) - g(S) = r\}$ . The following conjecture was considered by Moreno-Frías and Rosales in [4].

**Conjecture 1.** ([4]) *Let  $S$  be a numerical semigroup. There exists a positive integer  $n_S$  such that if relations  $0 \leq a < b \leq n_S$  hold, then  $\#\mathcal{J}(S, a) \leq \#\mathcal{J}(S, b)$  and  $\#\mathcal{J}(S, n) = \#\mathcal{J}(S, n_S)$  hold for any positive integer  $n$  with  $n \geq n_S$ .*

Moreno-Frías and Rosales proved this conjecture for the numerical semigroup generated by 2 and  $2k + 1$  in [4]. Chavan also argued this conjecture in [3]. In the present paper, we prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.** *For any numerical semigroup  $S$ , Conjecture 1 is true with  $n_S = F(S)$ .*

This paper is laid out as follows: In Section 2, we briefly recall Pfister and Steenbrink's theory for punctual Hilbert schemes of curve singularities. Applying the properties of punctual Hilbert schemes, we prove Theorem 2 in Section 3.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we briefly summarize basic notions and known facts about punctual Hilbert schemes for irreducible curve singularities. Our main reference is [9]. Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the field of complex numbers. For a reduced and irreducible singular curve  $X$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  and its singular point  $o$ , we refer to the pair  $(X, o)$  as an *irreducible curve singularity*. Let  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{X,o}$  be the complete local ring of the irreducible curve singularity  $(X, o)$ . For an irreducible curve singularity  $(X, o)$ , we define the *punctual Hilbert scheme*  $\text{Hilb}^r(X, o)$  of degree  $r$  to be the set of length  $r$  subschemes of  $X$  supported on  $o$ . Note that the structure of  $\text{Hilb}^r(X, o)$  depends only on the completed local ring  $\mathcal{O}$ . There is a natural identification

$$\text{Hilb}^r(X, o) = \{I \mid I \text{ is an ideal of } \mathcal{O} \text{ with } \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}/I = r\}.$$

Below, we always consider an irreducible curve singularity  $(X, o)$  with  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}[[t^{a_1}, \dots, t^{a_p}]]$  for some positive integers  $a_1, \dots, a_p$ . Such a curve singularity is called a *monomial curve singularity*. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_p) = 1$ . Note that the normalization  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$  of  $\mathcal{O}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ . The set  $\{\text{ord}_t(f) \mid f \in \mathcal{O}\}$  is a numerical semigroup. It is called the *semigroup* of  $\mathcal{O}$ . The positive integer  $\delta := \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}/\mathcal{O})$  is called the  $\delta$ -*invariant* of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

For a positive integer  $a$ , we denote by  $(t^a)$  the ideal of  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$  generated by  $t^a$ . Let  $\text{Gr}(\delta, \overline{\mathcal{O}}/(t^{2\delta}))$  be the Grassmannian which consists of  $\delta$ -dimensional linear subspaces of  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}/(t^{2\delta})$ . For  $M \in \text{Gr}(\delta, \overline{\mathcal{O}}/(t^{2\delta}))$ , we define a multiplication by  $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \times M \ni (f, m + (t^{2\delta})) \mapsto fm + (t^{2\delta}) \in M$ . Set

$$J := \{M \in \text{Gr}(\delta, \overline{\mathcal{O}}/(t^{2\delta})) \mid M \text{ is an } \mathcal{O}\text{-submodule w.r.t. the above multiplication}\}.$$

This set  $J$  is called the *Jacobian factor* of the singularity  $(X, o)$ . It was introduced by Rego in [10]. Piontkowski [8] described the homology of the Jacobian factors for the irreducible plane curve singularities whose Puiseux characteristics are  $(p, q)$ ,  $(4, 2q, s)$ ,  $(6, 8, s)$  and  $(6, 10, s)$ .

In [9], Pfister and Steenbrink defined a map

$$\varphi_r : \text{Hilb}^r(X, o) \rightarrow J \subset \text{Gr}(\delta, \overline{\mathcal{O}}/(t^{2\delta})) \quad (1)$$

by  $\varphi_r(I) = t^{-r}I/(t^{2\delta})$ . We call  $\varphi_r$  the  $\delta$ -normalized embedding. It has the following properties:

**Proposition 3** ([9], Theorem 3). *Let  $S$  be the semigroup of  $\mathcal{O}$ . The  $\delta$ -normalized embedding  $\varphi_r$  is injective for  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Furthermore, it is bijective for  $r \geq c$ . The image  $\varphi_r(\text{Hilb}^r(X, o))$  is Zariski closed in  $J$ .*

**Corollary 4.** *If  $r \geq c$ , then we have  $\varphi_r(\text{Hilb}^r(X, o)) \cong \varphi_c(\text{Hilb}^c(X, o)) \cong J$ .*

**Remark 5.** *The stability in Corollary 4 was generalized to the case of multi-branch curve singularities in [2].*

Let  $S$  be the semigroup of  $\mathcal{O}$ . For any ideal  $I$  of  $\mathcal{O}$ , the order set  $S(I) := \{\text{ord}_t(f) \mid f \in I\}$  is a  $S$ -semimodule. It is known that the punctual Hilbert scheme  $\text{Hilb}^r(X, o)$  is decomposed in terms of  $S$ -semimodules  $\Delta$ .

**Proposition 6** ([11], Proposition 6). *For the semigroup  $S$  of  $\mathcal{O}$ , we have*

$$\text{Hilb}^r(X, o) = \bigsqcup_{\Delta \in \text{Mod}_r(S)} \mathcal{I}(\Delta) \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathcal{I}(\Delta) := \{I \mid I \text{ is an ideal of } \mathcal{O} \text{ with } S(I) = \Delta\}$ .

We call  $\mathcal{I}(\Delta)$  the  $\Delta$ -subset for  $\Delta$ .

**Lemma 7.** *If  $(X, o)$  is a monomial curve singularity, then any  $\Delta$ -subset  $\mathcal{I}(\Delta)$  is non-empty.*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, o)$  be a monomial curve singularity with its local ring  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}[[t^{a_1}, \dots, t^{a_p}]]$ . The numerical semigroup  $S$  of  $(X, o)$  is given by  $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_p \rangle$ . Let  $\Delta = \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q \rangle_S$  be an element of  $\text{Mod}(S)$ . For a generator  $\alpha_i$  of  $\Delta$ , there exist  $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{N}_0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, q$ ) such that  $\alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_{ij} a_j$ . Since the ideal  $I$  of  $\mathcal{O}$  generated by  $t^{\sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_{ij} a_j}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, q$ ) satisfies  $S(I) = \Delta$ . Hence we have  $\mathcal{I}(\Delta) \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Remark 8.** *In general, Lemma 7 is not true. For the plane curve singularity with the Puiseux characteristic  $(4, 2q, s)$ , there exist  $\Delta$ -subsets that are empty (see Theorem 13 in [8]).*

### 3 Proof of the main theorem

In this section, we first prove some properties of numerical semigroups and their semimodules. Applying them, we finally prove Theorem ??.

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $\Delta = \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q \rangle_S$  be a  $S$ -semimodule. If  $\Delta$  is an element of  $\text{Mod}_r(S)$  ( $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ), then  $\Delta \setminus \{\alpha_i\}$  is an element of  $\text{Mod}_{r+1}(S)$ . Conversely, if  $\Delta$  is an element of  $\text{Mod}_{r+1}(S)$ , then there exist at least one element  $s$  of  $S \setminus \Delta$  such that  $\Delta \cup \{s\}$  is an element of  $\text{Mod}_r(S)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\Delta = \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q \rangle_S$  is an element of  $\text{Mod}_r(S)$ , then it is easy to show that  $\Delta \setminus \{\alpha_i\}$  is a  $S$ -semimodule for any  $\alpha_i$ . Furthermore, since  $\text{codim}(\Delta \setminus \{\alpha_i\}) = \#\{S \setminus (\Delta \setminus \{\alpha_i\})\} = r + 1$ . we have  $\Delta \setminus \{\alpha_i\} \in \text{Mod}_{r+1}(S)$ . For  $\Delta \in \text{Mod}_{r+1}(S)$ , let  $\alpha := \max\{s \in S \mid s \notin \Delta\}$ . It is obvious that  $\Delta \cup \{\alpha\}$  belongs to  $\text{Mod}_r(S)$ . Note that  $\alpha$  is one of the generators of the new  $S$ -semimodule  $\Delta \cup \{\alpha\}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 10.** *For  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the relation  $\#\text{Mod}_r(S) \leq \#\text{Mod}_{r+1}(S)$  holds.*

*Proof.* Note that an element of  $\text{Mod}_r(S)$  yields some elements of  $\text{Mod}_{r+1}(S)$  and an element of  $\text{Mod}_{r+1}(S)$  is yielded from at least one element of  $\text{Mod}_r(S)$  by Lemma 9. Write  $\text{Mod}_r(S) = \{\Delta_{r1}, \dots, \Delta_{rN_r}\}$  where  $\Delta_{ri} = \langle \alpha_{i1} \dots \alpha_{iq_i} \rangle_S$ . We assume that any system of generators  $\{\alpha_{i1} \dots \alpha_{iq_i}\}$  is minimal. We infer from Lemma 9 that

$$\text{Mod}_{r+1}(S) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_r} \{\Delta_{ri} \setminus \{\alpha_{ij}\} \mid j = 1, \dots, q_i\} \quad (3)$$

where  $\{\Delta_{ri} \setminus \{\alpha_{ij}\} \mid j = 1, \dots, q_i\} \neq \emptyset$  for any  $i$ .

To prove our assertion, it is enough to show the following fact: for any two distinct elements of  $\text{Mod}_r(S)$ ,  $\Delta_{rk} = \langle \alpha_{k1}, \dots, \alpha_{kq_k} \rangle_S$  and  $\Delta_{rl} = \langle \alpha_{l1}, \dots, \alpha_{lq_l} \rangle_S$  where  $1 \leq k < l \leq N_r$ , we have

$$\{\Delta_{rk} \setminus \{\alpha_{kj}\} \mid j = 1, \dots, q_k\} \neq \{\Delta_{rl} \setminus \{\alpha_{lj}\} \mid j = 1, \dots, q_l\}. \quad (4)$$

If the relation (4) holds, then, by mathematical induction, we can show that

$$\#\text{Mod}_{r+1}(S) = \#\bigcup_{i=1}^{N_r} \{\Delta_{ri} \setminus \{\alpha_{ij}\} \mid j = 1, \dots, q_i\} \geq N_r = \#\text{Mod}_r(S).$$

To prove (4), we consider the following two cases:

(Case 1):  $\{\alpha_{k1}, \dots, \alpha_{kq_k}\} \cap \{\alpha_{l1}, \dots, \alpha_{lq_l}\} \neq \emptyset$ . In this case, it is obvious that  $\Delta_{rk} \setminus \{\alpha\} \neq \Delta_{rl} \setminus \{\alpha\}$  for any  $\alpha \in \{\alpha_{k1}, \dots, \alpha_{kq_k}\} \cap \{\alpha_{l1}, \dots, \alpha_{lq_l}\}$ . Furthermore,  $\Delta_{rk} \setminus \{\alpha\}$  and  $\Delta_{rl} \setminus \{\alpha\}$  are elements of  $\text{Mod}_{r+1}(S)$  by Lemma 9. So the relation (4) holds.

(Case 2):  $\{\alpha_{k1}, \dots, \alpha_{kq_k}\} \cap \{\alpha_{l1}, \dots, \alpha_{lq_l}\} = \emptyset$ . We see that  $\Delta_{rk} \setminus \{\alpha_{km}\} \neq \Delta_{rl} \setminus \{\alpha_{ln}\}$  for any  $m, n$ . Indeed, if  $\Delta_{rk} \setminus \{\alpha_{km}\} = \Delta_{rl} \setminus \{\alpha_{ln}\}$  holds for some  $m$  and  $n$ , then we have  $\Delta_{rk} = (\Delta_{rl} \setminus \{\alpha_{ln}\}) \cup \{\alpha_{km}\}$ . For  $\alpha_{ku}$  with

$u \neq m$  and  $1 \leq u \leq q_k$ , there exist  $\alpha_{lv}$  with  $v \neq n$  and  $1 \leq v \leq q_l$  and  $s_v \in S$  such that  $\alpha_{ku} = \alpha_{lv} + s_v$ . Similarly, for this  $\alpha_{lv}$ , there exist  $\alpha_{kw}$  with  $w \neq m$  and  $1 \leq w \leq q_k$  and  $s_w \in S$  such that  $\alpha_{lv} = \alpha_{kw} + s_w$ . So we obtain  $\alpha_{ku} = \alpha_{kw} + s_w + s_v$ . However, it contradicts the minimality of the system of generators for  $\Delta_{rk}$ . Again the relation (4) holds.

Our assertion is proved.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Note that if  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $g(\tilde{\Delta}) - g(S) = \text{codim}(\Delta) - 1$  holds for any  $\Delta \in \text{Mod}_r(S)$ . So  $\mathcal{J}(S, r)$  can be expressed as  $\mathcal{J}(S, r) = \{\tilde{\Delta} \mid \Delta \in \text{Mod}_{r+1}(S)\}$ . This fact implies that  $\#\mathcal{J}(S, r) = \#\text{Mod}_{r+1}(S)$  for  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . It follows from Proposition 10 that  $\#\mathcal{J}(S, r) = \#\text{Mod}_{r+1}(S) \leq \#\text{Mod}_{r+2}(S) = \#\mathcal{J}(S, r+1)$  for  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Namely, the sequence  $\{\#\mathcal{J}(S, r)\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  weakly increases.

Next, we show that  $\{\#\mathcal{J}(S, r)\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  eventually stabilizes. For a numerical semigroup  $S$ , we set  $\mathbb{C}[[S]] := \mathbb{C}[[t^i \mid i \in S]]$  and consider the monomial curve singularity  $(X, o)$  whose local ring  $\mathcal{O}$  is  $\mathbb{C}[[S]]$ . It is obvious that the semigroup of  $\mathcal{O}$  is  $S$ .

If  $r \geq c$ , then the relation

$$\varphi_r(\text{Hilb}^r(X, o)) = J = \varphi_c(\text{Hilb}^c(X, o)) \quad (5)$$

holds by Corollary 4. Furthermore, writing  $\text{Mod}_i(S) = \{\Delta_{i1}, \dots, \Delta_{iN_i}\}$  where  $i = r, c$ , the both sides of the relation (5) can be rewritten as

$$\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{N_r} \varphi_r(\mathcal{I}(\Delta_{r,j})) = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{N_c} \varphi_c(\mathcal{I}(\Delta_{c,j})) \quad (6)$$

by Proposition 6. Here any  $\mathcal{I}(\Delta_{r,j})$  and  $\mathcal{I}(\Delta_{c,j})$  are non-empty by Lemma 7. Note that, for an element  $\Delta_{r,k}$  of  $\text{Mod}_r(S)$ , there exists a unique element  $\Delta_{c,l}$  of  $\text{Mod}_c(S)$  such that the relation  $\varphi_r(\mathcal{I}(\Delta_{r,k})) = \varphi_c(\mathcal{I}(\Delta_{c,l}))$  holds in (6). Indeed, since  $\varphi_r$  and  $\varphi_c$  are bijective by Proposition 3, for an ideal  $I \in \mathcal{I}(\Delta_{r,k})$ , there exists a unique  $\Delta_{c,l} \in \text{Mod}_c(S)$  and a unique element  $I' \in \mathcal{I}(\Delta_{c,l})$  such that  $\varphi_r(I) = \varphi_c(I') \in \varphi_c(\mathcal{I}(\Delta_{c,l}))$ . This fact implies that  $S$ -modules of  $\text{Mod}_r(S)$  and  $\text{Mod}_c(S)$  are in one to one correspondence. That is,  $\#\text{Mod}_r(S) = \#\text{Mod}_c(S)$  holds for  $r \geq c$ . It follows that  $\#\mathcal{J}(S, r-1) = \#\text{Mod}_r(S) = \#\text{Mod}_c(S) = \#\mathcal{J}(S, c-1) = \#\mathcal{J}(S, F(S))$  for  $r \geq c$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] D.D. Anderson and E.R. Johnson, Ideal Theory in Commutative Semigroups. *Semigroup Forum*, **30**, 125–158 (1984)
- [2] D. Bejleri, D. Rangnathan and R. Vakil, Motivic Hilbert zeta functions of curves are rational, *Duke Math. J.* **97**, 99–108, (1999)
- [3] P. Chavan, Counting ideals in numerical semigroups, preprint, arXiv:2304.13690v4 [math.NT].
- [4] M. A. Moreno-Frías and J. C. Rosales, Counting the Ideals with a Given Genus of a Numerical Semigroup with Multiplicity Two, *Symmetry* **13**, 794, (2021)
- [5] J.C. Rosales, Principal ideals of numerical semigroups. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc.*, **10**, 329–343 (2003)
- [6] J. C. Rosales and P. A. García-Sánchez, Numerical Semigroups, in *Developments in Mathematics*; Springer: New York, NY, USA ; Volume 20 (2009)
- [7] J.C. Rosales, P. A. García-Sánchez and J.I. García-García, Irreducible ideals of finitely generated commutative monoids. *J. Algebra*, **238**, 328–344 (2001)
- [8] J. Piontowski, Topology of the compactified Jacobians of singular curves. *Math. Z.* **255**, 195–226 (2007)
- [9] G. Pfister, J.H.M. Steenbrink, Reduced Hilbert schemes for irreducible curve singularities. *J. Pure and Applied Algebra.* **77**, 103–116 (1992)
- [10] C. Rego, The compactified Jacobian. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér., IV. Sér.* **13**, 211–223 (1980)
- [11] Y. Sōma, M. Watari, Punctual Hilbert schemes for irreducible curve singularities of types  $E_6$  and  $E_8$ . *J. Sing.* **8**, 135–145 (2014)

Masahiro Watari  
University of Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia France Institute  
Japanese Collaboration Program  
Section 14, Jalan Damai, Seksyen 14, 43650  
Bandar Baru Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia.  
E-mail:masahiro@unikl.edu.my