

Spin-orbit interaction with large spin in the semi-classical regime

Didier Robert*

Abstract

We consider the time dependent Schrödinger equation with a coupling spin-orbit in the semi-classical regime $\hbar \searrow 0$ and large spin number $s \rightarrow +\infty$ such that $\hbar^\delta s = c$ where $c > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ are constant. The initial state $\Psi(0)$ is a product of an orbital coherent state in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and a spin coherent state in a spin irreducible representation space \mathcal{H}_{2s+1} . For $\delta < 1$, at the leading order in \hbar , the time evolution $\Psi(t)$ of $\Psi(0)$ is well approximated by the product of an orbital and a spin coherent state. Nevertheless for $1/2 < \delta < 1$ the quantum orbital leaves the classical orbital. For $\delta = 1$ we prove that this last claim is no more true when the interaction depends on the orbital variables. For the Dicke model, we prove that the orbital partial trace of the projector on $\Psi(t)$ is a mixed state in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for small $t > 0$.

1 Introduction

We consider here the Schrödinger equation for a system of particles with large spin number when the spin and the position variables are coupled:

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar\partial_t\Psi(t) &= \hat{H}(t)\Psi(t), \\ \Psi(0) &= \varphi_{z_0} \otimes \psi_{\mathbf{n}_0}, \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{2s+1} \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\varphi_{z_0}, \psi_{\mathbf{n}_0}$ are respectively Schrödinger, spin coherent states and

$$\hat{H}(t) = \hat{H}_0(t) + \hbar\hat{\mathcal{C}}(t) \cdot \mathbf{S}. \quad (1.2)$$

$\hat{H}_0(t)$ has a scalar \hbar -Weyl symbol as well as $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_j(t)$ and $\mathbf{S} = (S_1, S_2, S_3)$ are spin matrices representation in an irreducible space \mathcal{H}_{2s+1} of dimension $2s + 1$, where s is the total spin number.

A particular case is the Pauli equation for the electron ($s = 1/2$).

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2}(\hbar D - A)^2 + \hat{V} + \hbar B \cdot \sigma,$$

*Laboratoire de Mathématiques Jean Leray, Université de Nantes, 2 rue de la Houssinière, BP 92208, 44322 Nantes Cedex 3, France
Email: didier.robert@univ-nantes.fr

where $D = i^{-1}\nabla_x$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $A = (A_1, A_2, A_3)$ is a magnetic potential, V an electric potential, $B = (B_1, B_2, B_3)$ the magnetic field in \mathbb{R}^3 ; $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ are the Pauli matrices:

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $\mathfrak{s} = 1/2$ we have $S_k = \frac{\sigma_k}{2}$.

More generally the Weyl symbol of the interaction is $\hbar H_1(t, X)$ where

$$H_1(t, X) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 3} \mathfrak{C}_k(t, X) S_k = \mathfrak{C}(t, X) \cdot \mathbf{S}.$$

If the spin \mathfrak{s} is fixed, in the semi-classical regime, $\hbar \searrow 0$, $H_1(t, X)$ is the sub-principal symbol of $\hat{H}(t)$. Hence we can get a semiclassical approximation at any order for $\Psi(t)$ using generalized coherent states as it will be recalled later. For details see [3], Chapter 14 and [4] for matrix principal symbol $H_0(t)$.

The spin matrices S_k are realized as hermitian matrices in the Hermitian space $\mathcal{H}_{2\mathfrak{s}+1}$ such that $S_k = d\mathcal{D}^{(\mathfrak{s})}(\sigma_k/2)$ are defined by the derivative at \mathbb{I} of the irreducible representation $\mathcal{D}^{(\mathfrak{s})}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{2\mathfrak{s}+1}$. In particular from the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ we have the commutation relations

$$[S_k, S_\ell] = i\epsilon_{k,\ell,m} S_m. \quad (1.3)$$

$\mathfrak{C}_k(t, X)$ are real scalar symbols in $X \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$. So the full Weyl symbol of $\hat{H}(t)$ is the matrix $H(t, X) = H_0(t, X)\mathbb{I} + \hbar\mathfrak{C}(t, X) \cdot \mathbf{S}$, with the spin operator $\mathbf{S} = (S_1, S_2, S_3)$.

For simplicity we shall assume in all this paper that the Weyl symbols $H_0(t)$ and $\mathfrak{C}(t, X)$ are subquadratic [3](p.409). It follows that the quantum Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(t)$ generates a propagator $\mathcal{U}(t, t_0)$ in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{H}_{2\mathfrak{s}+1})$. (see Proposition 123 of [3] easily extended to systems).

It is known (see [3] (Ch.1 and Chap.7) that the Schrödinger coherent states are labelled by the phase space $T^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the spin (or atomic) coherent states are labelled by the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 . So it is natural to study the semi-classical limit of the propagator with the phase space $T^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{S}^2$. In particular we can reformulate the propagation for coherent states $\varphi_z \otimes \psi_{\mathbf{n}}$ labelled by $(z, \mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} \times \mathbb{S}^2$ where φ_z is a Schrödinger coherent state and $\psi_{\mathbf{n}}^{(\mathfrak{s})} = \psi_{\mathbf{n}}$ is a spin coherent state.

Let us recall our notations.

- Heisenberg translations in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$\hat{T}(z) = \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}p \cdot \hat{x} - q \cdot \hat{p}\right)$$

where $z = (q, p) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$, \hat{x} is multiplication by x and $\hat{p} = \frac{\hbar}{i}\nabla_x$.

- Schrödinger coherent states:

$$\varphi_z = \hat{T}(z)\varphi_0, \quad \varphi_0(x) = (\pi\hbar)^{-d/4} \exp\left(\frac{|x|^2}{2\hbar}\right)$$

- $SU(2)$ and the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 : let

$$\mathbf{n} = (\sin\theta \cos\varphi, \sin\theta \sin\varphi, \cos\theta), \quad 0 \leq \theta < \pi, \quad 0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi;$$

To any $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{S}^2$ we associate the transformation in $SU(2)$,

$$g = g_{\mathbf{n}} = \exp\left(i\frac{\theta}{2}((\sin\varphi)\sigma_1 - (\cos\varphi)\sigma_2)\right) \quad (1.4)$$

- irreducible representations and spin coherent states: let \mathfrak{s} be an half integer and $\mathcal{D}^{\mathfrak{s}}$ the irreducible representation in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{2\mathfrak{s}+1}$ of dimension $2\mathfrak{s} + 1$. To $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{S}^2$ is associated the spin coherent states ¹

$$\psi_{\mathbf{n}} = \mathcal{D}^{\mathfrak{s}}(g_{\mathbf{n}})\psi_0,$$

where ψ_0 is a unit eigenvector of S_3 in $\mathcal{H}_{2\mathfrak{s}+1}$ with minimal eigenvalue $-\mathfrak{s}$.

Let us consider uncorrelated initial states $\Psi_{z_0, \mathbf{n}_0} = \varphi_{z_0} \otimes \psi_{\mathbf{n}_0}^{(\mathfrak{s})}$, where φ_{z_0} is a Schrödinger (orbital) coherent state and $\psi_{\mathbf{n}_0}^{(\mathfrak{s})}$ a spin coherent state. When the spin number \mathfrak{s} is fixed we have the following result proved in [2] and revisited in [3].

Theorem 1.1. [2] For the initial state $\Psi_{z_0, \mathbf{n}_0} = \varphi_{z_0}^{\Gamma_0} \otimes \psi_{\mathbf{n}_0}^{(\mathfrak{s})}$ we have

$$\mathcal{U}(t, t_0)\Psi_{z_0, \mathbf{n}_0} = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S(t, t_0) + i\mathfrak{s}\alpha(t)} \varphi_{z(t)}^{\Gamma(t)} \otimes \psi_{\mathbf{n}(t)}^{(\mathfrak{s})} + O(\sqrt{\hbar}) \quad (1.5)$$

where $z_0 \mapsto z(t)$ is the classical flow for the Hamiltonian for $H_0(t)$, $S(t, t_0)$ is the classical action, the covariance matrix $\Gamma(t)$ is computed from the dynamics generated by the linearized flow of $H_0(t)$ and $\alpha(t)$ is a real phase computed from the spin motion $\mathbf{n}(t)$ which satisfies the Landau-Lifshitz [7] equation

$$\partial_t \mathbf{n}(t) = \mathfrak{C}(t, z(t)) \wedge \mathbf{n}(t). \quad (1.6)$$

Remark 1.2. In Theorem 1.1 the motion of the spin depends on the motion along the orbit but the orbit motion is independent of the spin.

Remark 1.3. Theorem 1.1 is a particular case of propagation of coherent states for systems with a subprincipal term of order \hbar and a principal term $H_0(t)$ (here scalar) without crossing eigenvalues. Moreover one can get a complete asymptotic expansion in power of $\sqrt{\hbar}$ mod $O(\hbar^\infty)$.

For smooth crossings some non-adiabatic results are proved in [4].

¹this construction follows from the computation of the isotropy subgroups of the $SU(2)$ action: we get roughly $SU(2)/ISO \approx \mathbb{S}^2$ (see [3] for details).

The first new result in this paper is a generalization of Theorem 1.1 for $s \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\hbar \searrow 0$ such that $s\hbar^\delta = c$ where $0 < \delta < 1$ and $c > 0$ are constants. Let us denote $\kappa := \hbar s$ which is here a small positive parameter for $\delta < 1$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let us assume that $0 < \delta < 1$. For the initial state $\Psi_{z_0, \mathbf{n}_0} = \varphi_{z_0} \otimes \psi_{\mathbf{n}_0}^{(s)}$, the solution of (1.1) for the Hamiltonian (1.2) satisfies:*

$$\mathcal{U}(t, t_0)\Psi_{z_0, \mathbf{n}_0} = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S(t) + i s \alpha(t)} \varphi_{z(t)}^{\Gamma(t)} \otimes \psi_{\mathbf{n}(t)}^{(s)} + O(\sqrt{\hbar} + \kappa), \quad (1.7)$$

where the dynamics of the coherent states satisfies the following system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{q} &= \partial_p H_0(t, q, p) + \kappa \partial_p \mathfrak{C}(t, q, p) \cdot \mathbf{n}(t) \\ \dot{p} &= -\partial_q H_0(t, q, p) - \kappa \partial_q \mathfrak{C}(t, q, p) \cdot \mathbf{n}(t) \\ \dot{\mathbf{n}}(t) &= \mathfrak{C}(t, q, p) \wedge \mathbf{n}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

Moreover $S(t)$ is the action along the trajectory $z(t)$ and $\alpha(t)$ is the action along the trajectory $\mathbf{n}(t)$ of the classical spin, in the time interval $[t_0, t]$.

Let be $(z_t^\kappa, \mathbf{n}_t^\kappa)$ the flow satisfying (1.8) with $z_0^\kappa = z_0$, $\mathbf{n}_0^\kappa = \mathbf{n}_0$.

Corollary 1.5. *Let be $1/2 > \varepsilon > 0$ small. Then we have*

- if $s \leq c\hbar^{-1/2+\varepsilon}$ then the Theorem 1.4 is valid by taking $\kappa = 0$ in (1.8), with the error term $O(\hbar^\varepsilon)$. So in this case the quantum orbital follows the classical trajectory for $H_0(t)$ at the leading order in \hbar .
- If $s \approx c\hbar^{-1/2-\varepsilon}$ then the quantum orbital motion depends on the spin motion: in general we cannot take $\kappa = 0$ in (1.8). If $\nabla_X \mathfrak{C}(t_0, z_0) \neq 0$ the orbital Gaussian $\varphi_{z(t)}^{\Gamma(t)}$ follows the new trajectory z_t^κ and not z_t^0 . Moreover there exist $\tau > 0$, $c > 0$, such that

$$|z_t^\kappa - z_t^0| \geq c|t - t_0|\hbar^{1/2-\varepsilon}, \quad \text{for } |t - t_0| \leq \tau. \quad (1.9)$$

(see Lemma A.1)

Remark 1.6. *Notice that the coherent state $\varphi_z^\Gamma(x)$ is localized in any disc $\{|X - z| \leq c\hbar^{1/2-\varepsilon}\}$, $c > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, in the phase space \mathbb{R}^{2d} . More precisely its Wigner function $\mathcal{W}_{\varphi_z^\Gamma}(X)$ satisfies for some $\mu > 0$, $C > 0$,*

$$|\mathcal{W}_{\varphi_z^\Gamma}(X)| \leq (\pi\hbar)^{-d} e^{-\frac{\mu}{\hbar}|X-z|^2}.$$

And its Husimi function $\mathcal{H}_{\varphi_z^\Gamma}(X)$ satisfies, for some $C' > 0$ and $\mu' > 0$,

$$0 \leq \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_z^\Gamma}(X) \leq C'\hbar^{-d} e^{-\frac{\mu'}{\hbar}|X-z|^2},$$

(for more properties of the Husimi functions see [3], section 2.5).

Hence from (1.9) we get that $\mathcal{H}_{\varphi_{z(t)}^{\Gamma(t)}}(X)$ is $O(\hbar^\infty)$ in a neighborhood of size $\hbar^{1/2-\varepsilon/2}$ of z_t^0 for $0 < t_1 < t < t + \tau$.

Let us consider now the case $\kappa > 0$ fixed and $\hbar \searrow 0$. We shall see that Theorem 1.4 cannot be satisfied in general. In particular for the Dicke model considered by Hepp-Lieb (for $\hbar = 1$) [5] (See also [11] for a recent review).

$$\hat{H}_{\text{Dic}} = \hbar\omega_c \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} + \omega_3 \hat{S}_3 + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{N}} (\mathbf{a}^\dagger + \mathbf{a}) \hat{S}_1,$$

In quantum optics this model describes the interaction between light and matter where the light (photons) is a field operator. Here we consider a toy model with an quantum harmonic oscillator for photons and N atoms of matter being in two spin levels.

$\omega_c > 0$, $\omega_3 \geq 0$, $N = 2s + 1$ is the dimension of the spin states space, $\lambda > 0$ a coupling constant, $\mathbf{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar}}(x + \hbar\partial_x)$, $\mathbf{a}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar}}(x - \hbar\partial_x)$ are the usual creation and annihilation operators (satisfying $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}^\dagger] = 1$) and $\hat{S}_j = \hbar S_j$. Here the Fock space is simply $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

A more explicite expression for \hat{H}_{Dic} considered here is the following

$$\hat{H}_{\text{Dic}} = \frac{\omega_c}{2}(-\hbar^2\partial_x^2 + x^2) + \omega_3 \hat{S}_3 + \frac{2\lambda}{\sqrt{N\hbar}}x\hat{S}_1. \quad (1.10)$$

With this elementary model we get a contradiction with Theorem 4.7 of [2]. In the regime $\hbar \approx s^{-1}$, $s \nearrow \infty$ the orbital trajectory blows up into a mixed state for $t > 0$ ("decoherence of the orbital state").

Remark 1.7. Why to consider large spin quantum systems?

Notice that for N atoms of spin $1/2$ the spin Hilbert space should be of dimension 2^N corresponding to the tensor product $\otimes^N \mathcal{D}^{1/2}$ where $\mathcal{D}^{1/2}$ is the representation of degree 2 of $SU(2)$ for the spin $1/2$. Using the Clebsch-Gordon formula we see that the representation $\otimes^N \mathcal{D}^{1/2}$ contains the irreducible representation $\mathcal{D}^{N/2}$ of $SU(2)$ of maximal degree $N + 1$ corresponding to an effective spin $s = \frac{N}{2}$. So that in this setting the large spin limit is also the large number of atoms limit (thermodynamic limit).

Theorem 1.8. Let be $0 < \kappa = \hbar s$, $\Psi(0) = \varphi_{z_0}(x)\psi_{\mathbf{n}_0}$ and $\Psi(t) = e^{-\frac{it}{\hbar}\hat{H}_{\text{Dic}}}\Psi(0)$ with $\Psi(0) = \varphi_{z_0} \otimes \psi_{\mathbf{n}_0}$.

Then there exists $c_0 > 0$ such that for any $0 < \mu < 1/2$ and $\hbar \searrow 0$, we have

$$\text{tr}[\text{tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{2s+1}} \Pi_{\Psi(t)}]^2 \leq (1 + c_0 \kappa t^2)^{-1/2} + O(\hbar^\mu), \quad (1.11)$$

where Π_Ψ is the projection on the pure state $\Psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{2s+1}$, $\text{tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{2s+1}} \Pi_\Psi$ is the partial trace of Π_Ψ in \mathcal{H}_{2s+1} and the last trace in (6.7) is computed for operators in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$.

Remark 1.9. The previous result shows that for a spin s of order \hbar^{-1} the orbital evolution is transformed into a mixed state as the spin interaction is

switched on and \hbar is small enough.

For a density matrix $\hat{\rho}$, $\mathcal{P}[\hat{\rho}] := \text{tr}[\hat{\rho}^2]$ is called the purity of the density matrix $\hat{\rho}$. Here it is applied for $\hat{\rho}_s(t) := \text{tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{2s+1}} \Pi_{\Psi(t)}$. This is related with the von-Neumann entropy which is defined as $S_{vN}[\hat{\rho}] = -\text{tr}[\hat{\rho} \log \hat{\rho}]$. So we have easily $S_{vN}[\hat{\rho}] \geq 1 - \mathcal{P}[\hat{\rho}]$. For a pure state $S_{vN}[\hat{\rho}] = 0$ and $\mathcal{P}[\hat{\rho}] = 1$.

Then from (6.7) we get that the density matrix $\text{tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{2s+1}} \Pi_{\Psi(t)}$ has a positive von Neumann entropy for $t > 0$ and $\hbar > 0$ small enough.

In the analysis of the Dicke model one consider that the orbital part is an open sub-system of the closed total system (orbital+spin). For other open systems and time evolution of coherent states (like the "Schrödinger cat") we refer to [3], Chapter 13 for more details.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Reduction to the interaction propagator

It is convenient to annihilate the scalar part $H_0(X)$ by considering the interaction representation for the propagator $\mathcal{U}(t, t_0)$ of the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(t)$.

Hence we have $\mathcal{U}(t, t_0) = \mathcal{U}_0(t - t_0)\mathcal{V}(t, t_0)$, where $\mathcal{U}_0(t - t_0) = e^{-i\frac{t-t_0}{\hbar}\hat{H}_0}$ and the propagator $\mathcal{V}(t, t_0)$ must satisfy

$$i\hbar\partial_t\mathcal{V}(t, t_0) = (\hat{\mathfrak{C}}_I(t) \cdot \mathbf{S})\mathcal{V}(t, t_0).$$

For $1 \leq k \leq 3$ the Weyl symbols $\mathfrak{C}_{I,k}(t, X)$ is computed by the Egorov Theorem. In particular its principal term is given by

$$\mathfrak{C}_{I,k}(t, X) = \mathfrak{C}_k(t, \Phi_0^{t-t_0}(X)).$$

So for simplicity, in what follows we shall assume that $H_0 \equiv 0$ and consider the simpler interaction spin-orbit Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\text{int}}(t) = \hbar\hat{\mathfrak{C}}(t) \cdot \mathbf{S}$.

For the Dicke model H_0 is the harmonic oscillator so we have:

$$\Phi_0^t(x, \xi) = (\cos(\omega_c t)x(0) + \sin \omega_c t\xi(0), -\sin(\omega_c t)x(0) + \cos(\omega_c t)\xi(0),$$

hence $H_{\text{int}}(t, x, \xi) = (\cos(\omega_c t)x + \sin(\omega_c t)\xi)S_1 + \hbar\omega_3 S_3$.

2.2 A realization of spin-s representation

Because our aim is to perform explicit computations for the spin side we choose to work with a concrete representation (see for example [3], chap.7). We assume here that $\mathcal{V}^{(s)} = \mathcal{H}_{2s+1}$, the complex linear space of homogenous polynomials of degree $2s$ in two variables $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$. \mathcal{H}_{2s+1} is an Hermitian space for the scalar product defined such that the monomials (named Dicke states):

$$\mathcal{D}_m^{(s)} = \frac{z_1^{s+m} z_2^{s-m}}{\sqrt{(s+m)!(s-m)!}},$$

where $-\mathfrak{s} \leq m \leq \mathfrak{s}$ and m is an integer or half an integer according \mathfrak{s} is. In $\mathcal{H}_{2\mathfrak{s}}$ the spin operators are realized as

$$\begin{aligned} S_3 &= \frac{1}{2}(z_1\partial_{z_1} - z_2\partial_{z_2}), \quad S_+ = z_1\partial_{z_2}, \quad S_- = z_2\partial_{z_1} \\ S_1 &= \frac{S_+ + S_-}{2}, \quad S_2 = \frac{S_+ - S_-}{2i}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

with the commutation relations of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ of the group $SU(2)$:

$$[S_3, S_{\pm}] = \pm S_{\pm}.$$

Recall that $g \in SU(2)$ if $g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\bar{\beta} \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$.

Spin coherent states are defined by the action of $SU(2)$ on the Dicke state of minimal weight: $\mathcal{D}_{-\mathfrak{s}}^{(\mathfrak{s})}$. As known, to get a good parametrization of coherent states we choose a family of transformations in $SU(2)$ indices by the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 . This is obtained by computing the isotropy subgroup ISO of the $SU(2)$ action: $g \in \text{ISO}$ if and only if $g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$, $\alpha = e^{i\psi}$, $\psi \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we get that the space of orbits $SU(2)\backslash\text{ISO}$ can be identified with the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 (for details see for example [3]).

Let us denote

$$\mathbf{n} = (\sin \theta \cos \varphi, \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \cos \theta), \quad 0 \leq \theta < \pi, \quad 0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi;$$

To any $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{S}^2$ we associate the transformation in $SU(2)$,

$$g = g_{\mathbf{n}} = \exp \left(i \frac{\theta}{2} (\sin \varphi \sigma_1 - \cos \varphi \sigma_2) \right) \quad (2.2)$$

where σ_1, σ_2 are the Pauli matrices which satisfy the commutation relations

$$[\sigma_k, \sigma_l] = 2i\epsilon_{k,\ell,m}\sigma_m \quad (2.3)$$

For $\mathfrak{s} = \frac{1}{2}$ we have $S_k = \frac{\sigma_k}{2}$. So if $g \mapsto \mathcal{D}^{(\mathfrak{s})}g$ is the representation of $SU(2)$ in $\mathcal{H}_{2\mathfrak{s}+1}$ we have

$$\mathcal{D}^{(\mathfrak{s})}g_{\mathbf{n}} = \exp \left(\frac{\theta}{2} (e^{i\varphi} S_- - e^{-i\varphi} S_+) \right) \quad (2.4)$$

Definition 2.1. *The coherent states of $SU(2)$ are defined in the representation space $\mathcal{H}_{2\mathfrak{s}+1}$ as follows:*

$$|\mathbf{n}\rangle = \mathcal{D}^{(\mathfrak{s})}(g_{\mathbf{n}})\mathcal{D}_{-\mathfrak{s}}^{(\mathfrak{s})} := \psi_{\mathbf{n}}^{(\mathfrak{s})}. \quad (2.5)$$

It is some time convenient to consider a complex parametrization of the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 using the stereographic projection $\mathbf{n} \mapsto \eta$, on the complex plane and an identification of the coherent states $|\mathbf{n}\rangle$ with the state $|\eta\rangle := \psi_\eta$ defined as follows:

$$|\eta\rangle = (1 + |\eta|^2)^{-j} \exp(\eta S_+) |\mathbf{s}, -\mathbf{s}\rangle,$$

More precisely we denote $|\eta\rangle = |\mathbf{n}\rangle$ with the following correspondence:

$$\mathbf{n} = (\sin \theta \cos \varphi, \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \cos \theta), \quad \eta = -\tan \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\varphi}$$

The geometrical interpretation is that $-\bar{\eta}$ is the stereographic projection of \mathbf{n} .

Recall the following expression of $g_{\mathbf{n}}$

$$g_{\mathbf{n}} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} & -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\varphi} \\ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\varphi} & \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.6)$$

For further investigations we shall need two results:

- 1) compute the derivative of $t \mapsto T(g_{\mathbf{n}_t})$ for a C^1 path on \mathbb{S}^2 .
- 2) compute the adjoint action of $T(g_{\mathbf{n}})$ on S_k , $1 \leq k \leq 3$.

From (2.4) we get

$$\partial_\varphi T(g_{\mathbf{n}}) = \frac{i}{2} T(g_{\mathbf{n}}) (\sin \theta (e^{-i\varphi} S_+ + e^{i\varphi} S_-) + (1 - \cos \theta) S_3) \quad (2.7)$$

$$i\partial_\theta T(g_{\mathbf{n}}) = \frac{i}{2} T(g_{\mathbf{n}}) (e^{i\varphi} S_- - e^{-i\varphi} S_+) \quad (2.8)$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let be a C^1 path on \mathbb{S}^2 : $t \mapsto (\theta_t, \varphi_t)$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t T(g_{\mathbf{n}}) &= \frac{i}{2} T(g_{\mathbf{n}}) (\sin \theta (e^{-i\varphi} S_+ + e^{i\varphi} S_-) + (1 - \cos \theta) S_3) \dot{\varphi}_t \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} T(g_{\mathbf{n}}) (e^{i\varphi} S_- - e^{-i\varphi} S_+) \dot{\theta}_t. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

To compute $\dot{\theta}$, $\dot{\varphi}$ we use the Riemann model for \mathbb{S}^2 . Let be $-\bar{\eta} \in \mathbb{C}$ the stereographic projection of \mathbf{n} on the equatorial plane, from the south pole \mathbf{n}_{so} . The coordinates of \mathbf{n} are given by

$$n_1 = -\frac{\eta + \bar{\eta}}{1 + |\eta|^2}, \quad n_2 = \frac{\eta - \bar{\eta}}{i(1 + |\eta|^2)}, \quad n_3 = \frac{1 - |\eta|^2}{1 + |\eta|^2}.$$

So we have

$$\eta = -\tan \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) e^{-i\varphi}, \quad \cos \theta = \frac{1 - |\eta|^2}{1 + |\eta|^2}, \quad \sin \theta e^{i\varphi} = -\frac{2\bar{\eta}}{1 + |\eta|^2}. \quad (2.10)$$

Then we get easily

$$\dot{\theta} = \frac{\eta \dot{\eta} + \bar{\eta} \dot{\bar{\eta}}}{|\eta|(1 + |\eta|^2)}, \quad \dot{\varphi} = \frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{\dot{\eta}}{\eta} - \frac{\dot{\bar{\eta}}}{\bar{\eta}} \right). \quad (2.11)$$

Lemma 2.3.

$$-i\partial_t T(g_{\mathbf{n}_t}) = iT(g_{\mathbf{n}_t})(A\dot{\theta} + B\dot{\varphi})$$

with

$$(A\dot{\theta} + B\dot{\varphi}) = -\frac{1}{1+|\eta|^2}(\dot{\eta}S_+ - \dot{\bar{\eta}}S_-) + \frac{|\eta|^2}{1+|\eta|^2} \left(\frac{\dot{\eta}}{\eta} - \frac{\dot{\bar{\eta}}}{\bar{\eta}} \right) S_3$$

Proof. Standard computations. \square

Let us denote $S_k(\mathbf{n}) = \mathcal{D}^s(g_{\mathbf{n}})^* S_k \mathcal{D}^s(g_{\mathbf{n}})$, $k = +, -, 3$ or $k = 1, 2, 3$.

In the following Lemma similar results are stated in [6], section 2.6, and proved by a different method.

Lemma 2.4. *If (θ, φ) are the coordinates of \mathbf{n} on \mathbb{S}^2 , we have,*

$$S_3(\theta, \varphi) = \cos \theta \cdot S_3 - \sin \theta \left(\frac{e^{i\varphi} S_- + e^{-i\varphi} S_+}{2} \right) \quad (2.12)$$

$$S_+(\theta, \varphi) = e^{i\varphi} \sin \theta \cdot S_3 + \frac{\cos \theta + 1}{2} S_+ + \frac{\cos \theta - 1}{2} e^{2i\varphi} S_- \quad (2.13)$$

$$S_-(\theta, \varphi) = e^{-i\varphi} \sin \theta \cdot S_3 + \frac{\cos \theta + 1}{2} S_- + \frac{\cos \theta - 1}{2} e^{-2i\varphi} S_+ \quad (2.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(\theta, \varphi) &= \left(\frac{\cos \theta + 1}{2} + \frac{\cos \theta - 1}{2} \cos 2\varphi \right) S_1 + \frac{\cos \theta - 1}{2} \sin 2\varphi S_2 \\ &\quad + \cos \varphi \sin \theta S_3 \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(\theta, \varphi) &= \frac{\cos \theta - 1}{2} \sin 2\varphi S_1 + \left(\frac{\cos \theta + 1}{2} - \frac{\cos \theta - 1}{2} \cos 2\varphi \right) S_2 \\ &\quad + \sin \theta \sin \varphi S_3. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Proof. We write $\mathcal{D}^s(g_{\mathbf{n}}) = e^{\frac{\theta}{2}L}$ where $L = e^{i\varphi} S_- - e^{-i\varphi} S_+$. Let be S one of the spin operator, we have

$$\partial_\theta S(\theta, \varphi) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}L} [S, L] e^{\frac{\theta}{2}L}$$

and the commutation relations

$$[L, S_3] = e^{i\varphi} S_+ + e^{-i\varphi} S_-, [L, S_-] = -2e^{-i\varphi} S_3, [L, S_+] = -2e^{i\varphi} S_3.$$

So we find

$$\partial_\theta S_3(\theta, \varphi) = -\frac{1}{2} (e^{i\varphi} S_-(\theta, \varphi) + e^{-i\varphi} S_+(\theta, \varphi)) \quad (2.17)$$

$$\partial_\theta S_+(\theta, \varphi) = e^{i\varphi} S_3(\theta, \varphi) \quad (2.18)$$

$$\partial_\theta S_-(\theta, \varphi) = e^{-i\varphi} S_3(\theta, \varphi). \quad (2.19)$$

hence

$$\partial_\theta^2 S_3(\theta, \varphi) = -S_3(\theta, \varphi). \quad (2.20)$$

Solving the differential equation (2.20) we get (2.12). \square

Now we come to the spin-coherent states. Let be \mathbf{n}_0 the north pole on \mathbb{S}^2 corresponding to the Dicke state $\mathcal{D}_{-s}^{(s)} := \psi_{\mathbf{n}_0}$. The following Lemma is basic for our next computations.

Lemma 2.5. *For any $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{S}^2$ we have*

$$\mathbf{S}\psi_{\mathbf{n}} = -s\mathbf{n}\psi_{\mathbf{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{s}{2}} \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{n})\psi_{1,\mathbf{n}}$$

where $\psi_{1,\mathbf{n}} = \mathcal{D}^{(s)}(g_{\mathbf{n}})\mathcal{D}_{1-s}^{(s)}$ and $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{n}) = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ is defined as

$$v_1(\mathbf{n}) = \frac{\cos \theta + 1}{2} + \frac{\cos \theta - 1}{2} e^{-2i\varphi} \quad (2.21)$$

$$v_2(\mathbf{n}) = \frac{\cos \theta + 1}{2i} - \frac{\cos \theta - 1}{2i} e^{-2i\varphi} \quad (2.22)$$

$$v_3(\mathbf{n}) = -\sin \theta e^{-i\varphi} \quad (2.23)$$

In particular we have $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{n}) = 0$.

Proof. The formulas are proved from elementary computations using Lemma 2.4 and that $S_3\psi_{\mathbf{n}_0} = -s\psi_{\mathbf{n}_0}$, $S_+\psi_{\mathbf{n}_0} = \sqrt{2s}\psi_{1,\mathbf{n}_0}$, $S_-\psi_{\mathbf{n}_0} = 0$. In particular we recover here a well known property of the spin coherent states:

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{S}\psi_{\mathbf{n}} = -s\psi_{\mathbf{n}}.$$

\square

Remark 2.6. *In Lemma 2.5 the formulas have only two terms, a leading term of order s and a second term of order \sqrt{s} . In Lemma 4.5 of [2] the authors claims that the expansion is an asymptotic power serie in s^{-1} . This contradicts our computations.*

It is also convenient to write down the evolution of the spin matrices on the Riemann sphere, denoting $S_k(\eta) = S_k(\mathbf{n})$ where $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ is the complex coordinate of $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{S}^2$.

Lemma 2.7.

$$\begin{aligned} S_3(\eta) &= \frac{1 - |\eta|^2}{1 + |\eta|^2} S_3 + \frac{\eta S_+ + \bar{\eta} S_-}{1 + |\eta|^2}, \\ S_+(\eta) &= -2 \frac{\bar{\eta}}{1 + |\eta|^2} S_3 + \frac{1}{1 + |\eta|^2} S_+ - \frac{\eta^2}{1 + |\eta|^2} S_- \\ S_-(\eta) &= -2 \frac{\eta}{1 + |\eta|^2} S_3 + \frac{1}{1 + |\eta|^2} S_- - \frac{\bar{\eta}^2}{1 + |\eta|^2} S_+. \end{aligned}$$

2.3 The classical spin space

Let us recall that the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 has a natural symplectic form:

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}(u, v) = (u \wedge v) \cdot \mathbf{n} = \det(u, v, \mathbf{n}), \text{ where } \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{S}^2, u, v \in T_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbb{S}^2).$$

Let be $H : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a smooth function. Its restriction to \mathbb{S}^2 defined an Hamiltonian vector field X_H on \mathbb{S}^2 satisfying $dH(Y) = \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}(Y, X_H)$, $\forall Y \in T_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbb{S}^2)$. So we get the Hamilton equation (named in this context the Landau equation):

$$\dot{\mathbf{n}} = \nabla H \wedge \mathbf{n}.$$

In complex coordinates (2.10) the covariant symbol $H_c(t, \eta, \bar{\eta}) = \langle \psi_{\eta}, \hat{H}(t)\psi_{\eta} \rangle$ of the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(t) = \hbar \mathfrak{C}(t) \cdot \mathbf{S}$, becomes

$$H_c(t, \eta, \bar{\eta}) = (1 + |\eta|^2)^{-1} (\mathfrak{C}_3(t)(1 - |\eta|^2) - (\mathfrak{C}_-(t) - \bar{\eta} + \mathfrak{C}_-(t) + \eta))$$

where $\mathfrak{C}_{\pm} = \mathfrak{C}_1 \pm i\mathfrak{C}_2$. Recall that the symplectic form on the Riemann sphere $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is $\sigma_c = 2i(1 + |\eta|^2)^{-2} d\eta \wedge d\bar{\eta}$. So the Hamilton equation in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ becomes

$$\dot{\eta} = \frac{(1 + |\eta|^2)^2}{2i} \partial_{\bar{\eta}} H_c(t, \eta, \bar{\eta}). \quad (2.24)$$

This is the Landau-Lifschitz equation (1.6) in complex coordinates.

Following [10] the symplectic two form satisfies $d\theta_c = \sigma_c$ where the one-form is $\theta_c = i^{-1} (\partial_{\bar{\eta}} K d\bar{\eta} - \partial_{\eta} K d\eta)$ and $K(\eta, \bar{\eta}) = 2 \log(1 + \eta\bar{\eta})$ is the Kähler potential for $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$.

In particular the action Γ for H_c is the one form in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}_{\eta} \times \mathbb{R}_t$ satisfying $d\Gamma_c = \theta_c - H_c dt$. So, along an Hamiltonian path in time interval $[0, T]$, the action is given by (see Appendix):

$$\gamma(T) = \int_0^T \left(\frac{\Im(\eta_t \dot{\eta}_t)}{2(1 + |\eta_t|^2)} - H_c(\eta_t, \bar{\eta}_t) \right) dt \quad (2.25)$$

In the same Appendix it is proved that $\alpha(t) = \gamma(t)$ where $\alpha(t)$ is the phase given in Theorem 1.4.

2.4 The Schrödinger coherent states

We shall use some well known formulas concerning the Heisenberg translations operators $\hat{T}(z)$ and coherent states.

Lemma 2.8. *Let be $t \mapsto z_t = (q_t, p_t)$ a C^1 path in the phase space \mathbb{R}^{2d} . Then we have*

$$i\hbar \partial_t \hat{T}(z_t) = \hat{T}(z_t) \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma(z_t, \dot{z}_t) + \dot{q}_t \cdot \hbar \nabla_x - \dot{p}_t \cdot x \right). \quad (2.26)$$

Lemma 2.9. *[3, chap.2] Assume that A a sub-polynomial symbol. Then for every $N \geq 1$, we have*

$$\hat{A}\varphi_z = \sum_{|\gamma| \leq N} \hbar^{\frac{|\gamma|}{2}} \frac{\partial^{\gamma} A(z)}{\gamma!} \Psi_{\gamma, z} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{(N+1)/2}), \quad (2.27)$$

the estimate of the remainder is uniform in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for z in every bounded set of the phase space and

$$\Psi_{\gamma,z} = \hat{T}(z)\Lambda_{\hbar}\text{Op}_1^w(z^\gamma)g. \quad (2.28)$$

where $g(x) = \pi^{-n/4}e^{-|x|^2/2}$, $\text{Op}_1^w(z^\gamma)$ is the 1-Weyl quantization of the monomial :

$(x,\xi)^\gamma = x^{\gamma'}\xi^{\gamma''}$, $\gamma = (\gamma',\gamma'') \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}$. In particular $\text{Op}_1^w(z^\gamma)g = P_\gamma g$ where P_γ is a polynomial of the same parity as $|\gamma|$.

3 The Schrödinger equation for the spin-orbit interaction

Recall our reduced Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(t) = \hbar\hat{\mathfrak{C}}(t) \cdot \mathbf{S}$ and the Schrödinger equation

$$(i\hbar\partial_t - \hat{H}(t))\Psi(t) = 0, \quad \Psi(0) = \varphi_{z_0} \otimes \psi_{\mathbf{n}_0}. \quad (3.1)$$

Let us consider the following ansatz

$$\Psi_{\text{app}}(t) = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\gamma(t)}\varphi_{z(t)}^{\Gamma(t)} \otimes \psi_{\mathbf{n}(t)}^{(\mathbf{s})}$$

such that for $\hbar^\delta \mathbf{s} = \kappa > 0$ and some $\mu > 0$ we have:

$$(i\hbar\partial_t - \hat{H}(t))\Psi_{\text{app}}(t) = O(\hbar^{1+\mu}) \quad \text{for } \hbar \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.2)$$

hence from Duhamel formula we should have

$$\|\Psi(t) - \Psi_{\text{app}}(t)\| = O(\hbar^\mu).$$

If $\mathfrak{C}(t)$ depends only on time t then the ansatz (3.2) gives an exact solution for some $\gamma(t)$, \mathbf{n}_t [2].

Proposition 3.1. *Let us assume that $\hat{H}(t) = \hbar\mathfrak{C}(t) \cdot \mathbf{S}$, where $\mathfrak{C}(t)$ depends only on time. Then the ansatz (3.2) is exact for any \mathbf{s} . More explicitly we have*

$$\Psi_{\text{app}}(t) = e^{i\mathbf{s}\alpha(t)}\varphi_{z_0} \otimes \psi_{\mathbf{n}(t)}^{(\mathbf{s})} \quad (3.3)$$

The classical spin motion $\mathbf{n}(t)$ follows the Landau-Lifshitz equation

$$\dot{\mathbf{n}}_t = \mathfrak{C}(t) \wedge \mathbf{n}_t. \quad (3.4)$$

and the phase $\alpha(t)$ is the classical spin action computed in (2.25).

Proof. This is well known (see a proof in Appendix B).

4 The regime $s = c\hbar^{-\delta}$, $0 < \delta < 1$

In this section we give a proof of Theorem 1.4. We have two small parameters \hbar and $\kappa := s\hbar = c\hbar^{1-\delta}$.

In this regime we shall prove that the formula (1.5) is still valid with the error estimate $O(\sqrt{\hbar} + \kappa)$.

Let us consider the ansatz

$$\Psi^\sharp(t) = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S(t,t_0) + is\alpha(t)} \varphi_{z(t)}^{\Gamma(t)} \otimes \psi_{\mathbf{n}(t)}^{(s)}. \quad (4.1)$$

As for the scalar Schrödinger equation (see [3], Chap. 4) we shall compute a path $t \mapsto (z(t), \mathbf{n}(t))$, a covariance matrix $\Gamma(t)$ and phases $S(t, t_0), \alpha(t, t_0)$ such that (1.5) is satisfied.

It is enough to prove the result for the interaction propagator $\mathcal{V}(t, t_0)$ such that the orbital Hamiltonian $H_0(t)$ is absent. The full result is obtained by applying the scalar propagator of the orbital motion.

It is enough to prove, for the norm in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{H}_{2s+1})$, we have

$$i\hbar\partial_t\Psi^\sharp(t) = \hat{H}(t)\Psi^\sharp(t) + O(\hbar(\sqrt{\hbar} + \kappa)).$$

By standard computations we get asymptotic expansions in \hbar for each term $i\hbar\partial_t\Psi^\sharp(t)$ and $\hat{H}(t)\Psi^\sharp(t)$ mod an error $O(\hbar(\sqrt{\hbar} + \kappa))$.

Recall the notations

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_z^\Gamma &= \hat{T}(z)\Lambda_\hbar g_0^\Gamma, \quad g_0(x) = (\pi)^{-d/4} c_\Gamma e^{i\langle x, \Gamma x \rangle}, \quad \Lambda_\hbar f(x) = \hbar^{-d/4} f(x/\sqrt{\hbar}) \quad \text{and} \\ \psi_{\mathbf{n}} &= T(g_{\mathbf{n}})\psi_{\mathbf{n}_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Using (2.26), (2.2), Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.9, and Taylor formula around z_t at the order 3, the Schrödinger equation for the ansatz (3.2) is transformed as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma(z_t, \dot{z}_t) - \partial_t S(t) - s\hbar\dot{\alpha}(t) + \sqrt{\hbar}(\dot{\mathbf{q}}_t \cdot \nabla_x - \dot{\mathbf{p}}_t \cdot \mathbf{x}) \right) g_0 \otimes \psi_{\mathbf{n}_0} + \\ &g_0 \otimes \hbar(A\dot{\theta} + B\dot{\varphi})\psi_{\mathbf{n}_0} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 3} \left(\mathfrak{C}_k(t, z_t) + \sqrt{\hbar}\nabla_X \mathfrak{C}_k(t, z_t) \text{Op}_1^w(X) \right) g_0 \otimes \hbar S_k(\mathbf{n}_t)\psi_{\mathbf{n}_0} + O(\hbar(\sqrt{\hbar} + \kappa)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

But we have

$$(\dot{\mathbf{q}}_t \cdot \nabla_x - \dot{\mathbf{p}}_t \cdot \mathbf{x})g_0 = (i\dot{\mathbf{q}} - \dot{\mathbf{p}}) \cdot \mathbf{x}g_0, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Op}_1^w(a \cdot X)g_0 = (\alpha + i\beta) \cdot \mathbf{x}g_0$$

where $a \cdot X = \alpha \cdot \mathbf{x} + \beta \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}$. From Lemma 2.3 we have

$$A\dot{\theta} + B\dot{\varphi} = -\sqrt{2s} \frac{\dot{\eta}_t}{1 + |\eta_t|^2} \psi_{1, \mathbf{n}_0} + s \frac{|\eta_t|^2}{1 + |\eta_t|^2} \left(\frac{\dot{\eta}_t}{\eta_t} - \frac{\dot{\bar{\eta}}_t}{\bar{\eta}_t} \right) \psi_{\mathbf{n}_0}. \quad (4.4)$$

From Lemma 2.7 we have also

$$\begin{aligned} S_3(\eta)\psi_{\mathbf{n}_0} &= -s\frac{1-|\eta|^2}{1+|\eta|^2}\psi_{\mathbf{n}_0} + \sqrt{2s}\frac{\eta}{1+|\eta|^2}\psi_{1,\mathbf{n}_0}, \\ S_+(\eta)\psi_{\mathbf{n}_0} &= 2s\frac{\bar{\eta}}{1+|\eta|^2}\psi_{\mathbf{n}_0} + \sqrt{2s}\frac{1}{1+|\eta|^2}\psi_{1,\mathbf{n}_0} \\ S_-(\eta)\psi_{\mathbf{n}_0} &= 2s\frac{\eta}{1+|\eta|^2}\psi_{\mathbf{n}_0} - \sqrt{2s}\frac{\bar{\eta}^2}{1+|\eta|^2}\psi_{1,\mathbf{n}_0} \end{aligned}$$

Now we get the equations to compute the ansatz by identifying the coefficients of (\hbar, κ) in (4.3). Easy computations give the following results.

- Projection on $g_0 \otimes \psi_{\mathbf{n}_0}$: the coefficient of \hbar^0 gives the classical action $S(t, t_0)$ and the coefficient of κ gives the spin action $\alpha(t)$. In particular, we get

$$\dot{\alpha}(t) = \frac{\Im(\eta_t \dot{\eta}_t)}{2(1+|\eta_t|^2)} - H_c(\eta_t, \bar{\eta}_t), \quad (4.5)$$

where $H_c(\eta, \bar{\eta}) = \langle \psi_\eta, \mathfrak{C}(t) \cdot \mathbf{S} \psi_\eta \rangle$.

- Projection on $xg_0 \otimes \psi_{\mathbf{n}_0}$ determines the Hamilton equation for the orbit z_t .
- projection on $xg_0 \otimes \psi_{1,\mathbf{n}_0}$ determines the Landau-Lifshitz equation for $\mathbf{n}(t)$.

Notice that for the interaction dynamics the covariant matrix Γ is constant, it depends only on the orbital dynamics for $H_0(t)$ not in the interaction with the spin, contrary to the orbital motion when $\kappa \gg \sqrt{\hbar}$.

Using the same method as in the scalar case considered in [3], Chapter 4 we can complete the proof of Theorem 1.4.

5 The regime $\hbar s = \kappa = \text{constant}$

The ansatz 3.2 is very natural when considering the classical analogue of (3.1). We assume $\hbar s = \kappa > 0$ and we keep \hbar as our semi-classical parameter. For simplicity assume that $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$.

The symbol of $\hat{H}(t) = \hat{\mathfrak{C}}(t) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}$, is $H(t, q, p; \mathbf{n}) = -\kappa \mathfrak{C}(t, q, p) \cdot \mathbf{n}$, defined on $T^*(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{S}^2$, (recall that the covariant symbol of \mathbf{S} is $-s\mathbf{n}$, see [3], prop. 90) we get the classical system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{q} &= -1/2 \partial_p \mathfrak{C}(t, q, p) \cdot \mathbf{n}, \\ \dot{p} &= 1/2 \partial_q \mathfrak{C}(t, q, p) \cdot \mathbf{n}, \\ \dot{\mathbf{n}} &= \mathfrak{C}(t, q, p) \wedge \mathbf{n}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

When $\mathfrak{C}(t)$ depends only on time there is no orbit interaction with the spin and using section 2.2 we can compute the phase $\alpha(t)$ such that (3.2) is the

exact solution of (3.1). \square

But in the following computations we shall see that (3.2) is not possible if $\nabla_X \mathfrak{C}(t, X) \neq 0$.

For simplicity we assume here that for $1 \leq k \leq 3$, $\mathfrak{C}_k(t)$ is a linear form on \mathbb{R}^{2d} , $\mathfrak{C}_k(t, X) = a_k(t) \cdot X = \alpha_k(t) \cdot x + \beta_k(t) \cdot \xi$, $X = (x, \xi)$.

Let us revisit the computations of Section 4 in the particular case. Denote $\mathfrak{C}_\pm = \mathfrak{C}_1 \pm i\mathfrak{C}_2$.

Let us denote $(0)_L$ and $(0)_R$ the coefficient of \hbar^0 on left and right side of (4.3). In the same way we introduce $(1/2)_{L,R}$ and $(1)_{L,R}$ for the coefficients of $\hbar^{1/2}$ and \hbar^1 . Just compute to get

$$\begin{aligned} (0)_L &= \frac{1}{2}\sigma(z_t, \dot{z}_t) - \dot{\gamma}(t) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\eta_t|^2}{1 + |\eta_t|^2} \left(\frac{\dot{\eta}_t}{\eta_t} - \frac{\dot{\bar{\eta}}_t}{\bar{\eta}_t} \right) \quad (5.2) \\ (0)_R &= -\frac{1 - |\eta_t|^2}{1 + |\eta_t|^2} \mathfrak{C}_3(t, z_t) - \frac{\bar{\eta}_t^2}{1 + |\eta_t|^2} \mathfrak{C}_-(t, z_t) - \frac{\bar{\eta}_t^2}{1 + |\eta_t|^2} \mathfrak{C}_+(t, z_t) \end{aligned}$$

The equation $(0)_L = (0)_R$ determine $\gamma(t)$ when z_t, η_t are known.

In the linear case consider here $\nabla_X \mathfrak{C}(t)$ is independent on X . So we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (1/2)_L &= (-i\dot{q} - \dot{p})xg_0 \otimes \psi_{\mathbf{n}_0} - \frac{\dot{\eta}_t}{1 + |\eta_t|^2} g_0 \otimes \psi_{1, \mathbf{n}_0} \\ (1/2)_R &= -\left(\frac{1 - |\eta_t|^2}{1 + |\eta_t|^2} (\alpha_3(t) + i\beta_3(t)) + \frac{\eta_t}{1 + |\eta_t|^2} (\alpha_+(t) + i\beta_+(t)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\bar{\eta}_t}{1 + |\eta_t|^2} (\alpha_-(t) + i\beta_-(t)) \right) \cdot xg_0 \otimes \psi_0 \\ &\quad + \left(\mathfrak{C}_3(t) \frac{\eta_t}{1 + |\eta_t|^2} + \mathfrak{C}_-(t) \frac{1}{1 + |\eta_t|^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \mathfrak{C}_+(t) \frac{\bar{\eta}_t^2}{1 + |\eta_t|^2} \right) g_0 \otimes \psi_{1, \mathbf{n}_0} \quad (5.3) \end{aligned}$$

We denote $\mathfrak{C}(t) := \mathfrak{C}(t, z_t)$. From the equation $(1/2)_L = (1/2)_R$, using that the states $xg_0 \otimes \psi_0$ and $g_0 \otimes \psi_{1, \mathbf{n}_0}$ are orthogonal in \mathcal{H}_{2s} , we obtain a system of coupled equations which determines a trajectory (z_t, η_t) in $\mathbb{R}^{2d} \times \mathbb{S}^2$. In particular we get again for \mathbf{n}_t the Landau equation (3.4) for $\mathfrak{C}(t, z_t)$ but here the time dependent equation for z_t depends on \mathbf{n}_t .

Now let us consider $(1)_{L,R}$. First we have $(1)_L = 0$. Let us compute $(1)_R$ which is a term supported by the the mod $xg_0 \otimes \psi_{1, \mathbf{n}_0}$.

$$\begin{aligned} (1)_R &= -\left(\frac{\eta_t}{1 + |\eta_t|^2} (\alpha_3(t) + i\beta_3(t)) + \frac{1}{1 + |\eta_t|^2} (\alpha_+(t) + i\beta_+(t)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{1 + |\eta_t|^2} (\alpha_-(t) + i\beta_-(t)) \right) \cdot xg_0 \otimes \psi_{1, \mathbf{n}_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we get that $(1)_R \neq 0$ if $\nabla_X \mathfrak{C}(t) \neq 0$.

Remark 5.1. The section 4.2 of [2] use in a fundamental way their Lemma 4.5 concerning the action of the spin operators on spin coherent states. But the conclusion of this Lemma is false as we have shown in (4.5). In particular the $\sqrt{5}$ term in our Lemma 2.5 is at the origin of the wrong ansatz (3.2) in the regime $\hbar s = \kappa$.

6 More on the Dicke model

6.1 Preliminary computations and reductions

A simpler form of the interaction Hamiltonian for the Dicke model is

$$\hat{H}_{\text{Dint}}(t) = ((\cos t)x + (\sin t)\hbar D_x)\hbar S_1. \quad (6.1)$$

More generally consider the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(t) = (\alpha(t)x + \beta(t)D_x)A$, where A is a bounded Hermitian operator in the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let be $\mathcal{U}(t)$ the propagator for the Schrödinger equation with initial data at $t = 0$.

$$i\partial_t \Psi(t) = \hat{H}(t)\Psi(t)$$

Then we have the following lemma related with Campbell-Hausdorff formula.

Lemma 6.1. *There exist two scalar functions $c(t)$ and $\tilde{c}(t)$ such that*

$$\mathcal{U}(t) = e^{-\frac{i}{2}c(t)A^2} e^{-ib(t)D_x A} e^{-ia(t)x A} \quad (6.2)$$

where $c(t) = \int_0^t \alpha(\tau)b(\tau)d\tau$, $a(t) = \int_0^t \alpha(\tau)d\tau$, $b(t) = \int_0^t \beta(\tau)d\tau$, and

$$\mathcal{U}(t) = e^{-\frac{i}{2}\tilde{c}(t)A^2} e^{-i(b(t)D_x + a(t)x)A} \quad (6.3)$$

where $\tilde{c}(t) = c(t) - a(t)b(t)$.

Proof. Let us first remark that (6.3) is a direct consequence of the Campbell-Hausdorff formula. So it is enough to prove (6.2). Let $V(t) = e^{-ib(t)D_x A} e^{-ia(t)x A}$. By a direct computation and a commutation we get that that

$$i\partial_t V(t) = (\dot{a}(t)x A + \dot{b}(t)D_x)V(t) + a(t)b(t)A^2.$$

So we get (6.2) with $\dot{c}(t) = \alpha(t)b(t)$. Now from the Campbell-Hausdorff formula, we get (6.3) with $\tilde{c}(t) = c(t) - a(t)b(t)$. \square

\square .

Let us assume that $\Psi(0, x) = \varphi_{z_0}(x)\psi_{n_0}$, the product of a Schrödinger coherent state and a spin coherent state. Let us assume first that $\beta = 0$. Then the time evolution is given by $\Psi(t, x) = \varphi_{z_0}(x)e^{-ia(t)x S_1}\psi_{\mathbf{n}_0}$. We have seen (Proposition 3.1) that

$$e^{-ia(t)x S_1}\psi_{\mathbf{n}_0} = e^{is\gamma(t,x)}\psi_{\mathbf{n}(t,x)}.$$

The classical spin $\mathbf{n}(t, x)$ is given here by

$$\begin{aligned} n_1(t, x) &= n_{0,1} = \cos \theta_0, \\ n_2(t, x) &= \sin \theta_0 \cos(\theta(t, x)), \\ n_3(t, x) &= \sin \theta_0 \sin(\theta(t, x)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta(t, x) = \theta_0 - a(t)x$. The phase $\alpha(t, x)$ is given by

$$\dot{\alpha}(t, x) = \frac{\alpha(t)x}{1 + |\eta|^2} \left(\frac{n_1(t, x)}{1 + n_3(t, x)} + |\eta|^2 \frac{n_2(t, x)}{1 + n_3(t, x)} \right) \quad (6.4)$$

with $\gamma(t_0, x) = 0$ and $|\eta|^2 = \frac{1-n_3^2}{(1+n_3)^2}$. So we have the formula

$$\Psi(t, x) = \varphi_{z_0}(x) e^{is\gamma(t, x)} \psi_{\mathbf{n}(t, x)}.$$

If s is frozen (\hbar -independent) we can use the Taylor formula and we get

$$\|\Psi(t) - \varphi_{z_0} e^{is\gamma(t, q_0)} \psi_{\mathbf{n}(t, q_0)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_s)} = O(\sqrt{\hbar})$$

which is compatible with the ansatz (3.2) for $\mu = 1/2$.

For $s\hbar = \kappa > 0$ we also consider Taylor expansion.

$$\gamma(t, x) = \gamma(t, q_0) + \partial_x \gamma(t, q_0)(x - q_0) + \partial_x^2 \gamma(t, q_0) \frac{(x - q_0)^2}{2} + O(|x - q_0|^3)$$

Hence

$$\varphi_{z_0}(x) e^{is\gamma(t, x)} = \varphi_{z_0}(x) e^{is(\gamma(t, q_0) + \partial_x \gamma(t, q_0)(x - q_0) + \partial_x^2 \gamma(t, q_0) \frac{(x - q_0)^2}{2})} + O(\kappa\sqrt{\hbar})$$

in this formula we see that the spin motion add a momentum to the orbit motion (the linear term in $(x - q_0)$ and a quadratic contribution to the Gaussian.

But the Taylor argument to eliminate the x -dependence does not work for the spin part $\psi_{\mathbf{n}(t, x)}$.

The reason is the following. From explicit formulas [3], we know that

$$|\langle \psi_{\mathbf{n}}, \psi_{\mathbf{m}} \rangle| = e^{s \log(1 - \frac{|\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{m}|^2}{4})}, \quad (6.5)$$

hence we have

$$\|\psi_{\mathbf{n}} - \psi_{\mathbf{m}}\|^2 \approx 2(1 - e^{-s \frac{|\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{m}|^2}{4}})$$

With the localization by φ_{z_0} for x in a neighborhood of q_0 we have $|\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{q}_0)|$ of order \hbar . Assume that $0 < \theta_0 < \pi/2$ and $f = 1$. Then there exist $c_1 > 0, c_2 > 0$ such that for $t > 0$ we have

$$\|\psi_{\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x})} - \psi_{\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{q}_0)}\|^2 \geq c_1(1 - e^{-c_2 s t^2 |x - q_0|^2}).$$

Using that $\mathfrak{s} = \frac{\kappa}{\hbar}$, we get with $c_3 > 0$, for $t_0 > 0$ small enough,

$$\|\varphi_{z_0}(x)(\psi_{\mathbf{n}(t, \mathbf{q}_0)} - \psi_{\mathbf{n}(t, x)})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x, \mathcal{H}_{2\mathfrak{s}+1})}^2 \geq c_3 \kappa t^2, \quad \forall t \in]0, t_0].$$

This shows that the classical spin $\mathbf{n}(t, x)$ has to depend not only on the orbit but also on the position x on the orbit which is not compatible with the ansatz (3.2).

Another and more accurate way to understand the last computations is related with an entanglement-decoherence phenomenon for the time evolution of the initial state $\Psi(0) = \varphi_{z_0} \otimes \psi_{\mathbf{n}_0}$, when the interaction with a large spin system is switched on.

We shall see that for $t \in [0, t_0]$, $\kappa > 0$ and $\mathfrak{s} = \frac{\kappa}{\hbar}$, then $\Psi(t, x)$ is an *entangled state* which means that it is not possible to have a decomposition like $\Psi(t) = \varphi(t) \otimes \psi(t)$ with $\varphi(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\psi(t) \in \mathcal{H}_{2\mathfrak{s}+1}$.

We use the partial traces in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{2\mathfrak{s}+1} = L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_{2\mathfrak{s}+1})$. Recall that in a tensor product of Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$, for a trace class operator A in \mathcal{H} , the partial trace of A on \mathcal{H}_2 is the unique trace class operator in \mathcal{H}_1 , denoted $\text{tr}_{\mathcal{H}_2}(A)$, such that the following Fubini identity is satisfied for any bounded operator B on \mathcal{H}_1 ,

$$\text{tr}_{\mathcal{H}_1}(\text{tr}_{\mathcal{H}_2}(A)B) = \text{tr}_{\mathcal{H}}(A(B \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}_2})).$$

We shall use the following invariance property: if U_k are invertible operators in \mathcal{H}_k , and $U = U_1 \otimes U_2$, then we have

$$\text{tr}_{\mathcal{H}_2}(U^{-1}AU) = U_1^{-1}\text{tr}_{\mathcal{H}_2}(A)U_1.$$

Notice that if $\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathbb{C}$ then we have $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}}(A) = A$.

Let $\Psi = \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$. and denote by Π_{Ψ} the orthogonal projector on Ψ . Then we have $\text{tr}_{\mathcal{H}_2}\Pi_{\Psi} = \Pi_{\psi_1}$.

Physical interpretation: if A is a density matrix in \mathcal{H} (non negative operator with trace 1) then $\text{tr}_{\mathcal{H}_2}(A)$ is also a density matrix in \mathcal{H}_1 which represents the state of the sub-system \mathcal{H}_1 .

Suppose that the total system satisfies a Schrödinger equation with an initial state $\Psi_0 = \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2$ (pure state in \mathcal{H}). For time $t > 0$ the density matrix $\Pi_{\Psi(t)}$ of the total system is pure but the density matrix $\text{tr}_{\mathcal{H}_2}(\Pi_{\Psi(t)})$ of the subsystem in \mathcal{H}_1 is not necessary a pure state because it is not isolated (decoherence). When the rank of $\text{tr}_{\mathcal{H}_2}(\Pi_{\Psi(t)})$ is ≥ 2 the sub-system (1) can occupy at least two orthogonal pure states with probabilities in $]0, 1[$, like for the Schrödinger cat.

Let be $\Psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{2\mathfrak{s}+1} \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_{2\mathfrak{s}+1})$. A simple computation gives

$$\text{tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{2\mathfrak{s}+1}}(\Pi_{\Psi})f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) \langle \Psi(y), \Psi(x) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{2\mathfrak{s}+1}} dy, \quad \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

In the same way we have also

$$\mathrm{tr}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} u = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \Psi(x), u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{2s+1}} \Psi(x) dx, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{H}_{2s+1}.$$

The orbital density matrix $\rho_O(t) := \mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{2s+1}}(\Pi_{\Psi(t)})$ has the integral kernel $K(t, x, y) = e^{is(\gamma(t,x) - \gamma(t,y))} \tilde{K}(t, x, y)$ where

$$\tilde{K}(t, x, y) = \overline{\varphi_{z_t}(x)} \varphi_{z_t}(y) \langle \psi_{\mathbf{n}(t,x)}, \psi_{\mathbf{n}(t,y)} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{2s+1}}. \quad (6.6)$$

So we have

$$\mathrm{tr}(\rho_O(t)^2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tilde{K}(t, x, y)|^2 dx dy.$$

Recall that $\hat{H}(t) = \alpha(t)xS_1$.

Lemma 6.2. *There exists $c_0 > 0$ such that for any $0 < \mu < 1/2$ we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tilde{K}(t, x, y)|^2 dx dy \leq (1 + c_0 \kappa t^2)^{-1/2} + O(\hbar^\mu) \quad (6.7)$$

Notice that $\hat{K}(t)$ is a non negative operator of trace 1. So let be $\lambda_j(t)$ the eigenvalues of $\rho_O(t)$ (in decreasing order with multiplicities). So if $t > 0$, $\kappa > 0$ and \hbar small enough, we get from the Lemma that

$$\sum_j \lambda_j^2(t) < \sum_j \lambda_j(t) = 1$$

hence $\hat{K}(t)$ has at least two eigenvalues < 1 when the spin interaction is switched on (recall that $\hat{K}(0)$ is the projector on a pure state).

Proof of Lemma 6.2.

We use (6.5) to compute the Schwartz kernel (6.6) and Lemma 6.3

So we get for any $\mu > 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tilde{K}(t, x, y)|^2 dx dy \leq (\pi \hbar)^{-1} \int_{\{u^2 + v^2 \leq r_0\}} e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}(u^2 + v^2 + c_1 \kappa t^2 (u-v)^2)} du dv$$

Then a direct computation gives the estimate:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tilde{K}(t, x, y)|^2 dx dy \leq (1 + 2c_1 \kappa t^2)^{-1/2} + O(\hbar^\mu)$$

□

Let us now consider the full interaction Hamiltonian for the Dicke model: $\hat{H}_{\mathrm{Dint}}(t) = ((\cos t)x + (\sin t)\hbar D_x)\hbar S_1$. By a symplectic transform this Hamiltonian is conjugate to the previous one. So Lemma 6.2 is also true in this case.

6.2 Proof of Theorem 1.8

Strategy:

1) Reduce to the interaction picture with the propagator $\mathcal{V}(t, t_0)$:

Denote $\Psi_I(t) = \mathcal{V}(t, t_0)\Psi_0$. Then we have $\Pi_{\Psi(t)} = \mathcal{U}_0(t - t_0)\Pi_{\Psi_I(t)}\mathcal{U}_0(t_0 - t)$.

Hence we have

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{2s+1}} \Pi_{\Psi(t)} = \mathcal{U}_0(t - t_0)\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{2s+1}} \Pi_{\Psi_I(t)}\mathcal{U}_0(t_0 - t)$$

But $\mathcal{U}_0(t - t_0)$ is a unitary operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ so it is enough to prove the result for $\Psi_I(t)$.

2) Proof of the result if $\omega_3 = 0$

Let us consider the time dependent Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(t) = (\alpha(t)x + \beta(t)D_x)S_1$. Using (6.3), to compute partial trace on \mathcal{H}_{2s+1} it is enough to consider the propagator:

$$W(t) := e^{-i(b(t)\hbar D_x + a(t)x)S_1}$$

Finally by a symplectic rotation $R(t)$ we get a metaplectic transformation $\hat{R}(t)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\hat{R}(t)W(t)\hat{R}^*(t) = e^{\tilde{\alpha}(t)xS_1} := \tilde{W}(t).$$

We have already proved above the decoherence for the evolution of the initial state $\Psi(0, x) = \varphi_{z_0}(x)\psi_{n_0}$ by $\tilde{W}(t)$. So the proof for $\omega_3 = 0$ is achieved.

3) The case $\omega_3 \neq 0$.

Now the interaction is computed from the decoupled Hamiltonian

$\hat{K}_0 = \hat{H}_0 + \hbar\omega_3 S_3$. So we have $\mathcal{U}(t) = \mathcal{U}_0(t)\mathcal{V}(t)$, where $\mathcal{U}_0(t) = e^{-i\frac{t}{\hbar}\hat{K}_0}$ and the propagator $\mathcal{V}(t)$ must satisfy

$$i\hbar\partial_t\mathcal{V}(t) = \hat{K}_I(t)\mathcal{V}(t), \quad \mathcal{V}(0) = \mathbb{I},$$

where

$$\hat{K}_I(t) = (\alpha(t)x + \beta(t)\hbar D_x) \left(\cos(\omega_3 t)\hat{S}_1 + \sin(\omega_3 t)\hat{S}_2 \right).$$

Like in the case $\omega_3 = 0$, to compute the partial trace it is enough to consider the case $\beta(t) = 0$. Hence we can conclude, like for $\omega_3 = 0$, using Proposition 3.1, (6.5) and the following Lemma

Lemma 6.3. *Let us consider the Landau equation depending on the parameter $x \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\partial_t \mathbf{n}(t) = \mathfrak{C}(t, x) \wedge \mathbf{n}(t).$$

Assume that $\mathfrak{C}_3 \equiv 0$, $\nabla_x \mathfrak{C}_1(t, x_0) \neq 0$ and $n_3(0) \neq 0$. Then there exists $c_0 > 0$, $t_0 > 0$, $r_0 > 0$ such that

$$|\mathbf{n}(t, x) - \mathbf{n}(t, y)| \geq c_0 t |x - y|, \quad \text{if } |x_0 - y| + |x_0 - x| \leq r_0, 0 \leq t \leq t_0. \quad (6.8)$$

Proof. From the Landau-Lifshitz equation we get $|\partial_s \partial_x n_2(s, u)| \geq c_1 > 0$ from s and $u - x_0$ small enough. Then (6.8) follows. \square

Remark 6.4. *It seems possible that these results could be extended to more general spin-orbit interaction like $\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_1 S_1$ such that $\nabla_X \mathfrak{C}_1(X) \neq 0$ (principal type condition) by constructing a unitary Fourier-integral operator U such that $U \widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_1 U^* \approx \hat{x}$.*

A Classical perturbations of Hamiltonians

Our aim here is to analyze the consequence on the trajectories of the perturbation of order κ in (1.8) for the critical regime $\mathfrak{s} \approx \hbar^{-1/2}$. More generally let us consider times dependent smooth vector fields in \mathbb{R}^m , $F(t, X), G(t, X)$ and the differential equations

$$\dot{Y}(t) = F(t, Y(t)), \quad \dot{X}(t) = F(t, X(t)) + \kappa G(t, X(t)), \quad X(0) = Y(0) = X_0.$$

Lemma A.1. *There exists $t_0 > 0, \kappa_0 > 0$ such that for we have*

$$\|X(t) - Y(t)\| = \kappa t \|G(0, X_0)\| + O(\kappa t^2), \quad \text{for } 0 < t < t_0, 0 < \kappa < \kappa_0.$$

In particular if $G(0, X_0) \neq 0$ we have, for $c_2 > 0$,

$$\|X(t) - Y(t)\| \geq c_2 \kappa t, \quad \text{for } 0 < t < t_0.$$

Proof. The following argument is standard to compare solutions of differential equations.

In a first step, we get for some $c_1 > 0, t_0 > 0$, that we have

$$\|X(t) - Y(t)\| \leq c_1 \kappa, \quad \text{for } 0 < t < t_0.$$

Then we use the variation equation with the linearized equation around $Y(t)$ to get

$$X(t) - Y(t) = \kappa \int_0^t R(s, t) G(s, Y(s)) ds + O(\kappa^2 t^2).$$

So we get the Lemma with $t_0 > 0$ small enough. \square

B Proof of Proposition 3.1

Here we can assume $\hbar = 1$.

We are using complex coordinates on the sphere for the Hamiltonian (Section 2.3):

$$H_c(\eta, \bar{\eta}) = (1 + |\eta|^2)^{-1} (\mathfrak{C}_3(1 - |\eta|^2) - (\mathfrak{C}_- \bar{\eta} + \mathfrak{C}_+ \eta))$$

Recall that $\eta = \frac{i\mathbf{n}_2 - \mathbf{n}_1}{1 + \mathbf{n}_3}$ for $\mathbf{n} = (\mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_2, \mathbf{n}_3)$ ($\eta(0, 0, -1) = \infty$)

Let us compute the time derivative of the ansatz (3.3), like in Section 5.

The classical dynamics of the spin is given by (2.24).
 By a straightforward computation we get the spin phase α :

$$\alpha(t) = \int_0^t \left(\frac{\Im(\dot{\eta}\bar{\eta})}{2(1+|\eta|^2)} - H_c(\eta, \bar{\eta}) \right) d\tau = \gamma(t).$$

References

- [1] F.T. Arecchi and E. Courtens and R. Gilmore and H. Thomas. *Atomic Coherent States in Quantum Optics* Physical Review A, Vol.6, No.6, p.2211-2237, December 1972.
- [2] J. Bolte and R. Glaser. *Semi-classical propagation of coherent states with spin-orbit interaction* Annales Henri Poincaré 6, p. 625-656, 2004.
- [3] M. Combes and D. Robert. *Coherent states and applications in mathematical physics. Theoretical and Mathematical Physics.* Springer, 2nd edition 2021.
- [4] C. Fermanian-Kammerer, C. Lasser and D. Robert. *Propagation of wave packets for systems presenting codimension one crossings.* Comm. Math. Phys., 385(3), p. 1685-1739 (2021).
- [5] K. Hepp and E. Lieb. *On the superradiant phase transition for molecules in a quantized radiation field: the Dicke maser model.* Annals of Physics. **76** (2):p.360-404 (1973).
- [6] J. Fröhlich, A. Knowles and E. Lenzmann. *Semi-Classical Dynamics in Quantum Spin Systems.* Letters in Mathematical Physics, 82(2), p. 275-296 (2007).
- [7] L. Landau and E. Lifshitz. *On the theory of dispersion of magnetic permeability in ferromagnetic bodies .* Phys. Zeitsch. der Sow., 8:153–169, (1935).
- [8] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach. *Groupes et symétries* Éditions de l'École Polytechnique (2006)
- [9] E. Lieb. *A proof of an entropy conjecture of Wehrl.* Communications in Mathematical Physics, **62** p. 1-13, (1978).
- [10] E. Onofri *A note on coherent state representations of Lie groups* Journal of Mathematical Physics. Vol. 16, No. 5 p. 1087-1089. (1975)
- [11] M. Roses and G. Dalla Torre *Dicke model* PLOS, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0235197> Published: September 4, '2020).