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#### Abstract

In this note, we announce a systematic analysis of "continuous dependence on the data" in classical spaces for the initial-boundary-value problem of the diffusion equation on the half-line, with data that are not necessarily compatible at the quadrant corner. This is based on a recent approach [1-9] to rigorously analyzing integral representations derived via the unified transform method [10-18] of Fokas. No exotic phenomena were discovered in this case, yet our findings appear to be new in the pertinent literature. These results supplement our previous investigations [2,6] on existence and (non)uniqueness within the framework of "well-posedness". The present detailed exposition elucidates the subtleties involved while also demonstrating a generic technique. Applications of the latter to several other IBVPs and PDEs will be reported elsewhere.


Convergence in the spaces $\mathcal{S}([0, \infty)), \mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\}), C^{\infty}([0, \infty)), \mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q})$.

1. Let $\mathcal{S}([0, \infty))$ be the space of $S c h w a r t z$ functions in the half-line. More precisely,

$$
\mathcal{S}([0, \infty)):=\left\{u \in C^{\infty}([0, \infty)): \rho_{N}(u)<\infty \text { for every nonnegative integer } N\right\}
$$

where

$$
\rho_{N}(u):=\sup \left\{(1+x)^{N}\left|\frac{\partial^{k} u(x)}{\partial x^{k}}\right|: k \leq N, x \geq 0\right\} .
$$

Endowed with the topology defined by the norms $\left\{\rho_{N}: N \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}\right\}, \mathcal{S}([0, \infty))$ becomes a Fréchet space. We recall that this means that the topology of $\mathcal{S}([0, \infty))$ is defined by the metric

$$
\rho(u, v):=\sum_{N} \frac{1}{2^{N}} \frac{\rho_{N}(u-v)}{1+\rho_{N}(u-v)}, u, v \in \mathcal{S}([0, \infty)) .
$$

Thus, a sequence $u_{s} \rightarrow u$, in $\mathcal{S}([0, \infty))$ with respect to the metric $\rho$, as $s \rightarrow \infty$, if and only if $\rho_{N}\left(u_{s}-u\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $s \rightarrow \infty$, for every $N$. Equivalently, $u_{s} \rightarrow u$ if and only if

$$
x^{L} \frac{\partial^{k} u_{s}(x)}{\partial x^{k}} \rightarrow x^{L} \frac{\partial^{k} u(x)}{\partial x^{k}} \text {, uniformly for } x \geq 0 \text { and for all nonnegative integers } k \text { and } L .
$$

2. Let $C^{\infty}(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\})$ be the set of the functions $h=h(x, t)$ which are $C^{\infty}$ for $(x, t) \in Q-\{(0,0)\}$, such that the limits

$$
\left.\frac{\partial^{k+l} h(x, t)}{\partial x^{k} \partial t^{l}}\right|_{(x, t)=(0, \tau)}:=\lim _{Q \ni(x, t) \rightarrow(0, \tau)} \frac{\partial^{k+l} h(x, t)}{\partial x^{k} \partial t^{l}} \text {, for } \tau>0
$$

and

$$
\left.\frac{\partial^{k+l} h(x, t)}{\partial x^{k} \partial t^{l}}\right|_{(x, t)=(\chi, 0)}:=\lim _{Q \ni(x, t) \rightarrow(\chi, 0)} \frac{\partial^{k+l} h(x, t)}{\partial x^{k} \partial t^{l}} \text {, for } \chi>0,
$$

exist for all nonnegative integers $k, l$, and the functions

$$
\left.\frac{\partial^{k+l} h(x, t)}{\partial x^{k} \partial t^{l}}\right|_{(x, t)=(0, \tau)}(\tau>0),\left.\frac{\partial^{k+l} h(x, t)}{\partial x^{k} \partial t^{l}}\right|_{(x, t)=(\chi, 0)}(\chi>0)
$$

are $C^{\infty}$ with respect to $\tau>0$ and $\chi>0$, respectively.
For example, if $h \in C^{\infty}(O)$, where $O \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is an open set with $O \supset \bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\}$, then $h \in C^{\infty}(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\})$.

[^0]3. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the sets
$$
\Lambda_{n}:=\left\{(x, t) \in \bar{Q}: \frac{1}{n} \leq t \leq n\right\} \cup\left\{(x, t) \in \bar{Q}: x \geq \frac{1}{n}, t \leq \frac{1}{n}\right\},
$$
which exhaust $\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\}$, i.e., $\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\}=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_{n}$. (See Fig 1)


Fig 1 The infinite closed "strips" $\Lambda_{n}=\left\{x \geq 0, \frac{1}{n} \leq t \leq n\right\} \cup\left\{x \geq \frac{1}{n}, 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{n}\right\}$.
Then we define

$$
\lambda_{n}(h):=\sup \left\{(1+x)^{n}\left|\frac{\partial^{k+l} h(x, t)}{\partial x^{k} \partial t^{l}}\right|:(x, t) \in \Lambda_{n}, k+l \leq n\right\} \text {, for } h \in C^{\infty}(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\}) \text { and } n \in \mathbb{N} \text {, }
$$

and we let

$$
\mathcal{S}_{1}\left(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0))=\left\{h \in C^{\infty}\left(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\}: \lambda_{n}(h)<\infty \text { for every } n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} .\right.\right.
$$

We endow $\mathcal{S}_{1}\left(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0))\right.$ with the topology induced by the seminorms $\left\{\lambda_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. Thus $\mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\})$ becomes a metric space with the metric

$$
\lambda\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right):=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \frac{\lambda_{n}\left(h_{1}-h_{2}\right)}{1+\lambda_{n}\left(h_{1}-h_{2}\right)}, h_{1}, h_{2} \in \mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\}) .
$$

4. Similarly, exhausting $\bar{Q}$ with the sets (Fig 2)

$$
\Lambda_{n}^{*}:=\{(x, t) \in \bar{Q}: t \leq n\}, n \in \mathbb{N},
$$

we define

$$
\mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q})=\left\{f \in C^{\infty}(\bar{Q}): \lambda_{n}^{*}(f)<\infty \text { for every } n \in \mathbb{N}\right\},
$$

where

$$
\lambda_{n}^{*}(f):=\sup \left\{(1+x)^{n}\left|\frac{\partial^{k+l} f(x, t)}{\partial x^{k} \partial t^{l}}\right|:(x, t) \in \Lambda_{n}^{*}, k+l \leq n\right\},
$$

and we endow $\mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q})$ with the topology induced by the seminorms $\left\{\lambda_{n}^{*}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$.


Fig. 2 The strips $\Lambda_{n}^{*}$
5. The topology in $C^{\infty}([0, \infty))$ is defined by the seminorms

$$
\sup \left\{\left|\frac{\partial^{l} g(t)}{\partial x^{l}}\right|: 0 \leq t \leq n\right\}, g \in C^{\infty}([0, \infty)), l \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}, n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

A sequence $g_{s} \rightarrow g$, in $C^{\infty}([0, \infty))$, as $s \rightarrow \infty$, if and only if

$$
\frac{\partial^{l} g_{s}(t)}{\partial t^{l}} \rightarrow \frac{\partial^{l} g(t)}{\partial t^{l}} \text {, uniformly for } 0 \leq t \leq n \text {, for all nonnegative integers } l \text { and for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text {. }
$$

Lemma 1 Let $\mathbb{I}_{0}^{+}: \mathcal{S}([0, \infty)) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\})$ be defined as follows: For $u \in \mathcal{S}([0, \infty))$,
$\left(\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{O}}^{+} u\right)(x, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t} \hat{u}(\lambda) d \lambda$, for $(x, t) \in Q$,
$\left(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{O}}^{+} u\right)(0, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda^{2} t} \hat{u}(\lambda) d \lambda$, for $t>0$,
$\left(\mathbb{I}_{0}^{+} u\right)(x, 0)=\int_{-1}^{1} e^{i \lambda x} \hat{u}(\lambda) d \lambda+u(0) \int e_{\gamma^{*}}^{i \lambda x} \frac{d \lambda}{i \lambda}+\left(\int_{-\infty}^{-1}+\int_{1}^{\infty}\right) e^{i \lambda x} \frac{\left(u^{\prime}\right)(\lambda)}{i \lambda} d \lambda$, for $x>0$,
where $\gamma^{*}:=(\gamma \cap\{|\lambda| \geq \sqrt{2}\})+[-1+i,-1]+[1,1+i]$ (Fig 3 ).
Then $\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{o}}^{+}$is well-defined and maps $\mathcal{S}([0, \infty))$ continuously to $\mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\})$.


Fig. 3 The contour $\gamma^{*}$
Proof First, the integrals, which define $\left(\mathbb{I}_{0}^{+} u\right)(x, t)$ for the various $(x, t) \in \bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\}$, are absolutely convergent. Let $u_{s} \in \mathcal{S}([0, \infty)), s=1,2,3, \ldots$, be a sequence so that $u_{s} \rightarrow 0$, in $\mathcal{S}([0, \infty))$, as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Let us also fix $k, l$.

Step 1 Setting $\Lambda_{n}^{(1)}=\left\{x \geq 0, \frac{1}{n} \leq t \leq n\right\}$ (fig. 4) and $\Lambda_{n}^{(2)}=\left\{x \geq \frac{1}{n}, 0 \leq t \leq n\right\}$ (fig. 5), we have

$$
\left(\mathbb{I}_{0}^{+} u\right)(x, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t} \hat{u}(\lambda) d \lambda, \text { for }(x, t) \in \Lambda_{n}^{(1)},
$$

and

$$
\left(\mathbb{I}_{0}^{+} u\right)(x, t)=\int_{-1}^{1} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t} \hat{u}(\lambda) d \lambda+\sum_{j=1}^{M} u^{(j-1)}(0) \int_{\gamma^{*}} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t} \frac{d \lambda}{(i \lambda)^{j}}+\left(\int_{-\infty}^{-1}+\int_{1}^{\infty}\right)\left\{e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t}\left[u^{(M)}\right] \hat{]}(\lambda) \frac{d \lambda}{(i \lambda)^{M}}\right\} \text {, for }(x, t) \in \Lambda_{n}^{(2)} \text {. }
$$

Differentiating we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{k+l}\left(\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{0}}^{+} u\right)(x, t)}{\partial x^{k} \partial t^{l}}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t}(i \lambda)^{k}\left(-\lambda^{2}\right)^{l} \hat{u}(\lambda) d \lambda, \text { for }(x, t) \in \Lambda_{n}^{(1)}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for $M>k+2 l+1$,

$$
\frac{\partial^{k+l}\left(\mathbb{I}_{0}^{+} u\right)(x, t)}{\partial x^{k} \partial t^{l}}=\int_{-1}^{1} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t}(i \lambda)^{k}\left(-\lambda^{2}\right)^{l} \hat{u}(\lambda) d \lambda+\sum_{j=1}^{M} u^{(j-1)}(0) \int_{\gamma^{*}} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t}(i \lambda)^{k}\left(-\lambda^{2}\right)^{l} \frac{d \lambda}{(i \lambda)^{j}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+\left(\int_{-\infty}^{-1}+\int_{1}^{\infty}\right)\left\{e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t}(i \lambda)^{k}\left(-\lambda^{2}\right)^{l}\left[u^{(M)}\right] \hat{( }\right) \frac{d \lambda}{(i \lambda)^{M}}\right\} \text {, for }(x, t) \in \Lambda_{n}^{(2)}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig 4 The strips $\Lambda_{n}^{(1)}=\left\{x \geq 0, \frac{1}{n} \leq t \leq n\right\}$.


Fig 5 The strips $\Lambda_{n}^{(2)}=\left\{x \geq \frac{1}{n}, 0 \leq t \leq n\right\}$.
It follows that $\mathbb{I}_{0}^{+} u \in C^{\infty}(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\})$, and, in view of Theorem 3, we obtain that, furthermore, $\mathbb{I}_{\mathrm{o}}^{+} u \in \mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\})$, i.e., $\mathbb{I}_{0}^{+}: \mathcal{S}([0, \infty)) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\})$ is well-defined.

Step 2 Let us fix $M$, with $M>k+2 l+1$. Then for every $\varepsilon>0$, there is $s_{0}=s_{0}(\varepsilon)$ so that

$$
s \geq s_{0} \Rightarrow(1+y)^{2}\left|\frac{d^{M} u_{s}(y)}{d y^{M}}\right| \leq \varepsilon, \text { for every } y \geq 0
$$

It follows that

$$
s \geq s_{0} \Rightarrow \left\lvert\,\left[u _ { s } ^ { ( M ) } \hat { \jmath } ( \lambda ) \left|=\left|\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-i \lambda y} \frac{d^{M} u_{s}(y)}{d y^{M}} d y\right| \leq \varepsilon \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d y}{(1+y)^{2}}=\varepsilon \text {, for every } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\right.\right. \text {. }\right.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{(x, t) \in \bar{Q}} \left\lvert\,\left(\int_{-\infty}^{-1}+\int_{1}^{\infty}\right)\left\{\left.e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t}(i \lambda)^{k}\left(-\lambda^{2}\right)^{l}\left[u_{s}^{(M)} \hat{]}(\lambda) \frac{d \lambda}{(i \lambda)^{M}}\right\} \right\rvert\, \leq \varepsilon\left(\int_{-\infty}^{-1}+\int_{1}^{\infty}\right) \frac{d \lambda}{|\lambda|^{M-k-2 l}} \leq 2 \varepsilon \text {, for } s \geq s_{0} .\right.\right. \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the LHS of (3) converges to 0 , as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{(x, t)=\bar{Q}}\left|\int_{-1}^{1} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t}(i \lambda)^{k}\left(-\lambda^{2}\right)^{l} \hat{u}_{s}(\lambda) d \lambda\right| \rightarrow 0 \text {, as } s \rightarrow \infty \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for fixed $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{(x, t) \in \Lambda_{n}^{(1)}}\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t}(i \lambda)^{k}\left(-\lambda^{2}\right)^{l} \hat{u}_{s}(\lambda) d \lambda\right| \rightarrow 0 \text {, as } s \rightarrow \infty \text {. } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3 With $u_{s}$ as in Step $2, u_{s}^{(j-1)}(0) \rightarrow 0$, for $1 \leq j \leq M$, and therefore, for fixed $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{(x, t) \in \Lambda_{n}^{(2)}}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{M} u_{s}^{(j-1)}(0) \int_{\gamma^{*}} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t}(i \lambda)^{k}\left(-\lambda^{2}\right)^{l} \frac{d \lambda}{(i \lambda)^{j}}\right| \rightarrow 0 \text {, as } s \rightarrow \infty . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 4 In view of (2), (3), (4) and (6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{(x, t) \in \Lambda_{n}^{(2)}}\left|\frac{\partial^{k+l}\left(\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{o}}^{+} u\right)(x, t)}{\partial x^{k} \partial t^{l}}\right| \rightarrow 0 \text {, as } s \rightarrow \infty \text {. } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 5 Multipling (1) by $(i x)^{L}$ and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(i x)^{L} \frac{\partial^{k+l}\left(\mathbb{I}_{D^{+}} u\right)(x, t)}{\partial x^{k} \partial t^{l}}=(-1)^{L} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i \lambda x} \frac{d^{L}}{d \lambda^{L}}\left[e^{-\lambda^{2} t}(i \lambda)^{k}\left(-\lambda^{2}\right)^{l} \hat{u}(\lambda)\right] d \lambda, \text { for }(x, t) \in \Lambda_{n}^{(1)} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that, for fixed $L, k, l$ and $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{(x, t) \in \Lambda_{n}^{(I)}}\left|x^{L} \frac{\partial^{k+l}\left(\mathbb{I}_{0}^{+} u_{s}\right)(x, t)}{\partial x^{k} \partial t^{l}}\right| \rightarrow 0, \text { as } s \rightarrow \infty \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, writing (2) with sufficiently large $M$, multiplying it by (ix) ${ }^{L}$, substituting (ix) ${ }^{L} e^{i \lambda x}$ by $d^{L}\left(e^{i \lambda x}\right) / d \lambda^{L}$ in the integrals of the RHS of the resulting (2), and integrating by parts, we see that, for fixed $L, k, l$ and $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{(x, t) \in \Lambda_{n}^{(2)}}\left|L^{L^{2}} \frac{b^{k+l}\left(\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{o}}^{+} u_{s}\right)(x, t)}{\partial x^{k} \partial t^{l}}\right| \rightarrow 0 \text {, as } s \rightarrow \infty . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, the proof of (9) is analogous to the proof (7).
Completion of the proof The conclusion of the lemma follows from the conclusions of Steps 1-5.

Lemma 2 Let $\mathbb{I}_{1}: C^{\infty}([0, \infty)) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\})$ be defined as follows: For $g \in C^{\infty}([0, \infty))$,

$$
\left(\mathbb{I}_{1} g\right)(x, t)=\int_{\gamma} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t} \widetilde{g}(\omega(\lambda), t) \lambda d \lambda, \text { for }(x, t) \in \bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\} .\left(\text { In this section, } \omega(\lambda)=\lambda^{2} .\right)
$$

Then $\mathbb{I}_{1}$ is well-defined and maps $C^{\infty}([0, \infty))$ continuously to $\mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\})$.
Proof First, the integral which defines $\left(\mathbb{I}_{1} g\right)(x, t)$ converges absolutely, when $x>0$, and it is equal to

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t} \widetilde{g}(\omega(\lambda), t) \lambda d \lambda
$$

when $(x, t) \in Q$.
Step 1 We have

$$
\left(\mathbb{I}_{1} g\right)(x, t)=\int_{\gamma_{0}} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t} \widetilde{g}(\omega(\lambda), t) \lambda d \lambda .
$$

(Recall $\gamma_{0}=(\gamma \cap\{|\lambda| \geq \sqrt{2}\})+[-1+i, 1+i]$, see also Fig 2 in [6])
First, let us keep in mind that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e^{i \lambda x}\right|=e^{-[x \sin (\pi / 4)]|\lambda|} \text { and } x^{L}\left|\lambda^{N} e^{i \lambda x}\right| \leq x^{L}|\lambda|^{N} e^{-[x \sin (\pi / 4)]|\lambda|} \text {, for } \lambda \in \gamma \text {. } \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to deal with the integral over $\gamma \cap\{|\lambda| \geq \sqrt{2}\}$, we use the inequality

$$
\left|e^{-\omega(\lambda) t} \widetilde{g}(\omega(\lambda), t)\right| \leq \int_{0}^{t}|g(\tau)| d \tau, \text { for } \lambda \in \gamma,
$$

in combination with (11), to obtain that, for $x \geq 1 / n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{L}\left|\int_{\gamma \cap\{|\lambda| \lambda 1\}} \lambda^{N} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t} \lambda \widetilde{g}(\omega(\lambda), t) d \lambda\right| \leq\left(\int_{0}^{t}|g(\tau)| d \tau\right) x^{L} e^{-[x \sin (\pi / 4)] / 2} \int_{\gamma \cap\{|\lambda| \geq 1\}}^{|\lambda|}{ }^{N+1} e^{-[\sin (\pi / 4)]|\lambda| /(2 n)} d|\lambda| . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for $\lambda \in[-1+i, 1+i]$ we have $|\lambda| \leq \sqrt{2}, \operatorname{Im} \lambda=1$ and $\left|e^{i \lambda x}\right|=e^{-x \operatorname{Im} \lambda}=e^{-x}$, and, therefore,

$$
x^{L}\left|\int_{[-1+i, 1+i]} \lambda^{L} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t} \lambda \widetilde{g}(\omega(\lambda), t) d \lambda\right| \leq\left(\int_{0}^{t}|g(\tau)| d \tau\right) x^{L} e^{-x} \int_{[-1+i, 1+i]} d|\lambda| .
$$

Now,

$$
x^{L} \frac{\partial^{k}\left(\mathbb{I}_{1} g\right)(x, t)}{\partial x^{k}}=x^{L} \int_{\gamma^{*}} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t}(i \lambda)^{k} \widetilde{g}(\omega(\lambda), t) \lambda d \lambda
$$

in combination with (12), implies that, for fixed $L, k$ and $n$,

$$
\sup _{(x, t) \in \Lambda_{n}^{(2)}}\left|x^{L} \frac{\partial^{k}\left(\mathbb{I}_{1} g\right)(x, t)}{\partial x^{k}}\right| \preceq \sup _{|t| \leq n}|g(t)| .
$$

Similar computations show that, more generally, for fixed $L, k, l$ and $n$,

$$
\sup _{(x, t) \in \Lambda_{n}^{(2)}}\left|x^{L} \frac{\partial^{k+l}\left(\mathbb{I}_{1} g\right)(x, t)}{\partial x^{k} \partial t^{l}}\right| \preceq \sup \left\{\left|g^{(\ell)}(t)\right|:|t| \leq n, \ell \leq l\right\}(\forall g) .
$$

Step 2 Let $g(t) \in C^{\infty}([0, \infty))$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda \neq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{-\lambda^{2} t} \int_{\tau=0}^{t} e^{\lambda^{2} \tau} g(\tau) d \tau & =\frac{g(t)}{\lambda^{2}}-\frac{g(0)}{\lambda^{2}} e^{-\lambda^{2} t}-\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} e^{-\lambda^{2} t} \int_{\tau=0}^{t} e^{\lambda^{2} \tau} g^{\prime}(\tau) d \tau \\
& =\frac{g(t)}{\lambda^{2}}-\frac{g(0)}{\lambda^{2}} e^{-\lambda^{2} t}-\frac{g^{\prime}(t)}{\lambda^{4}}+\frac{g^{\prime}(0)}{\lambda^{4}} e^{-\lambda^{2} t}+\frac{1}{\lambda^{4}} e^{-\lambda^{2} t} \int_{\tau=0}^{t} e^{\lambda^{2} \tau} g^{\prime \prime}(\tau) d \tau \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{M}(-1)^{n}\left[\frac{g^{(j)}(t)}{\lambda^{2 j+2}}-\frac{g^{(j)}(0)}{\lambda^{2 j+2}} e^{-\lambda^{2} t}\right]+(-1)^{M+1} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2 M+2}} e^{-\lambda^{2} t} \int_{\tau=0}^{t} e^{\lambda^{2} \tau} g^{(M+1)}(\tau) d \tau . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $\gamma_{1}:=\gamma \cap\left(\{|\lambda| \leq \sqrt{2}\}\right.$ ) and $\gamma_{2}:=\gamma \cap(\{|\lambda| \geq \sqrt{2}\}$ ) (Figs $6 \& 7$ ) we have $\left(\mathbb{I}_{1} g\right)(x, t)=\int_{\gamma_{1}} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t} \widetilde{g}(\omega(\lambda), t) \lambda d \lambda+\int_{\gamma_{2}} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t} \widetilde{g}(\omega(\lambda), t) \lambda d \lambda$ $=\int_{\gamma_{1}} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t} \widetilde{g}(\omega(\lambda), t) \lambda d \lambda+\sum_{j=0}^{M}(-1)^{j}\left[g^{(j)}(t) \int e_{\gamma_{2}}^{i \lambda x} \frac{d \lambda}{\lambda^{2 j+1}}-g^{(j)}(0) \int_{\gamma_{2}}^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t} \frac{d \lambda}{\lambda^{2 j+1}}\right]$ $+(-1)^{M+1} \int_{\gamma_{2}} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t}\left[\int_{\tau=0}^{t} e^{\lambda^{2} \tau} g^{(M+1)}(\tau) d \tau\right] \frac{d \lambda}{\lambda^{2 M+1}}$
$=\int_{y_{1}} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t} \widetilde{g}(\omega(\lambda), t) \lambda d \lambda$
$+\sum_{j=0}^{M}(-1)^{j}\left[-g^{(j)}(t) \int_{[-1+i, 1+i]} e^{i \lambda x} \frac{d \lambda}{\lambda^{2 j+1}}-g^{(j)}(0)\left(\int_{-\infty}^{-1}+\int_{1}^{\infty}\right) e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t} \frac{d \lambda}{\lambda^{2 j+1}}-g^{(j)}(0)\left(\int_{[-1,-1+i]}+\int_{[1+i, 1]}\right) e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t} \frac{d \lambda}{\lambda^{2 j+1}}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+(-1)^{M+1} \int_{\gamma_{2}}^{i \lambda \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t}\left[\int_{\tau=0}^{t} e^{\lambda^{2} \tau} g^{(M+1)}(\tau) d \tau\right] \frac{d \lambda}{\lambda^{2 M+1}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3 Differentiating (14) and multipling by (ix) ${ }^{\ell}$, we obtain:


Fig. 6 The contour $\gamma_{1}$


Fig. 7 The contour $\gamma_{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
(i x)^{L} \frac{\partial^{k+l}\left(\mathbb{I}_{1} g\right)(x, t)}{\partial x^{k} \partial t^{l}}= & \int_{\gamma_{1}} \frac{d^{L}\left(e^{i \lambda x}\right)}{d \lambda^{L}}\left\{(i \lambda)^{k} \frac{\partial^{l}}{\partial t^{l}}\left[e^{-\lambda^{2} t} \int_{\tau=0}^{t} e^{\lambda^{2} \tau} g(\tau) d \tau\right] \lambda\right\} d \lambda \\
& \quad-\sum_{j=0}^{M}(-1)^{j} g^{(j+l)}(t) \int_{[-1+i, 1+i]} \frac{d^{L}\left(e^{i \lambda x}\right)}{d \lambda^{L}}\left[(i \lambda)^{k} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2 j+1}}\right] d \lambda \\
& \quad-\sum_{j=0}^{M}(-1)^{j} g^{(j)}(0)\left(\int_{-\infty}^{-1}+\int_{1}^{\infty}\right) \frac{d^{L}\left(e^{i \lambda x}\right)}{d \lambda^{L}}\left[e^{-\lambda^{2} t}(i \lambda)^{k}\left(-\lambda^{2}\right)^{l} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2 j+1}}\right] d \lambda \\
& \quad-\sum_{j=0}^{M}(-1)^{j} g^{(j)}(0)\left(\int_{[-1,-1+i]}+\int_{[1+i, 1]}\right) \frac{d^{L}\left(e^{i \lambda x}\right)}{d \lambda^{L}}\left[e^{-\lambda^{2} t}(i \lambda)^{k}\left(-\lambda^{2}\right)^{l} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2 j+1}}\right] d \lambda \\
& \quad+(-1)^{M+1} \int_{\gamma_{2}} \frac{d^{L}\left(e^{i \lambda x}\right)}{d \lambda^{L}}\left\{(i \lambda)^{k} \frac{\partial^{l}}{\partial t^{l}}\left[e^{-\lambda^{2} t} \int_{\tau=0}^{t} e^{\lambda^{2} \tau} g^{(M+1)}(\tau) d \tau\right] \frac{1}{\lambda^{2 M+1}}\right\} d \lambda . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Step 4 Integrating by parts in (15), we obtain that, for fixed $L, k, l$ and $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{(x, t) \in \Lambda_{n}^{(1)}}\left|x^{L} \frac{\partial^{k+l}\left(\mathbb{I}_{1} g\right)(x, t)}{\partial x^{k} \partial t^{l}}\right| \preceq \sup \left\{\left|g^{(\ell)}(t)\right|:|t| \leq n, \ell \leq L+l\right\} \quad(\forall g) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Completion of the proof The conclusion of the lemma follows from the conclusions of Steps 1-4.
Lemma 3 Let $\mathbb{I}_{0}^{-}: \mathcal{S}([0, \infty)) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\})$ be defined as follows: For $u \in \mathcal{S}([0, \infty))$,
$\left(\mathbb{I}_{0}^{-} u\right)(x, t)=\int_{\gamma} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t} \hat{u}(-\lambda) d \lambda$, for $x>0$ and $t \geq 0$,
$\left(\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{0}}^{-} u\right)(x, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t} \hat{u}(-\lambda) d \lambda$, for $x \geq 0$ and $t>0$.
Then $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{O}}^{-}$is well-defined and maps $\mathcal{S}([0, \infty))$ continuously to $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{1}}(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\})$.

Proof Let $u_{s} \rightarrow 0$, in $\mathcal{S}([0, \infty))$, as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Since for $(x, t) \in \Lambda_{n}^{(2)}, x \geq 1 / n$, using the integral over $\gamma$, we prove, as in Step 1 of Lemma 2 and Step 2 of Lemma 1, that

$$
\sup _{(x, t) \in \Lambda_{n}^{(2)}}\left|x^{L} \frac{\partial^{k+l}\left(\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{o}}^{-} u_{s}\right)(x, t)}{\partial x^{k} \partial t^{l}}\right| \rightarrow 0
$$

Also, since for $(x, t) \in \Lambda_{n}^{(1)}, t \geq 1 / n$, using the integral over $(-\infty, \infty)$, we obtain

$$
\sup _{(x, t) \in \Lambda_{n}^{(1)}}\left|x^{L} \frac{\partial^{k+l}\left(\mathbb{I}_{0}^{-} u_{s}\right)(x, t)}{\partial x^{k} \partial t^{l}}\right| \rightarrow 0
$$

The proofs of Lemmas 4 and 5, below, are similar to the above. To carry out these proofs we need also formulas (2.6), (2.8) and the analogue of (2.7) in [6].

Lemma 4 Let $\mathbb{I}_{2}^{+}: \mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\})$ be defined as follows: For $f \in \mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q})$,

$$
\left(\mathbb{I}_{2}^{+} f\right)(x, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t} \tilde{\hat{f}}(\lambda, \omega(\lambda), t) d \lambda .
$$

Then $\mathbb{I}_{2}^{+}$is well-defined and maps $\mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q})$ continuously to $\mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\})$.
Lemma 5 Let $\mathbb{I}_{2}^{-}: \mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\})$ be defined as follows: For $f \in \mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q})$,

$$
\left(\mathbb{I}_{2}^{-} f\right)(x, t)=\int_{\gamma} e^{i \lambda x-\lambda^{2} t} \tilde{\hat{f}}(-\lambda, \omega(\lambda), t) d \lambda .
$$

Then $\mathbb{I}_{2}^{-}$is well-defined and maps $\mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q})$ continuously to $\mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\})$.

Finally, Lemmas $1-5$ give the following theorem on continuous dependence on the data for Problem 2 of [6].

Theorem Let $\mathcal{D}:=\mathcal{S}([0, \infty)) \times C^{\infty}([0, \infty)) \times \mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q})$ be the space of the data $(u, g, f)$. Then the UTM solution operator $\Phi: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\})$, of Problem 2, defined by

$$
2 \pi \Phi(u, g, f)=\mathbb{I}_{0}^{+} u-\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{o}}^{-} u-2 i \mathbb{I}_{1} g+\mathbb{I}_{2}^{+} f-\mathbb{I}_{2}^{-} f, \text { for }(u, g, f) \in \mathcal{D},
$$

is well-defined and continuous.

Comments 1. Let $\mathcal{D}_{0}:=\{(u, g, f) \in \mathcal{D}: u(0)=g(0)\}$. Then $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{D}$ and the restriction of the operator $\Phi$ to $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ maps $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ to $\mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\}) \cap C^{(1,0)}([0,1] \times[0,1])$ and if a sequence $\left(u_{s}, g_{s}, f_{s}\right) \rightarrow(0,0,0)$ in $\mathcal{D}_{0}$, then $\Phi\left(u_{s}, g_{s}, f_{s}\right) \rightarrow 0$ both in $\mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\})$ and $C^{(1,0)}([0,1] \times[0,1])$. (The space $C^{(1,0)}([0,1] \times[0,1])$ is the space of the continuous functions $h(x, t),(x, t) \in[0,1] \times[0,1]$, which are also $C^{1}$ with respect to $x$, i.e., $\partial h(x, t) / \partial x$ is continuous for $(x, t) \in[0,1] \times[0,1]$. A sequence $h_{s} \rightarrow 0$ in $C^{(1,0)}([0,1] \times[0,1])$, if, both, $h_{s}(x, t) \rightarrow 0$ and $\partial h_{s}(x, t) / \partial x \rightarrow 0$, uniformly for $\left.(x, t) \in[0,1] \times[0,1].\right)$
2. Let $\mathcal{D}_{1}:=\left\{(u, g, f) \in \mathcal{D}: u(0)=g(0)\right.$ and $\left.g^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)+f(0,0)\right\}$. Then $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{D}$ and the restriction of the operator $\Phi$ to $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ maps $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ to $\mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\}) \cap C^{(2,1)}([0,1] \times[0,1])$ and if the sequence $\left(u_{s}, g_{s}, f_{s}\right) \rightarrow(0,0,0)$ in $\mathcal{D}_{1}$, then $\Phi\left(u_{s}, g_{s}, f_{s}\right) \rightarrow 0$ both in $\mathcal{S}_{1}(\bar{Q}-\{(0,0)\})$ and $C^{(3,1)}([0,1] \times[0,1])$. (The space $C^{(3,1)}([0,1] \times[0,1])$ is the space of the $C^{1}$ functions $h(x, t),(x, t) \in[0,1] \times[0,1]$, which are also $C^{3}$ with respect to $x$, i.e., $\partial^{2} h(x, t) / \partial x^{2}$ and $\partial^{3} h(x, t) / \partial x^{3}$ are continous for $(x, t) \in[0,1] \times[0,1]$. A sequence $h_{s} \rightarrow 0$ in $C^{(3,1)}([0,1] \times[0,1])$, if $h_{s}(x, t) \rightarrow 0, \partial h_{s}(x, t) / \partial x \rightarrow 0, \partial h_{s}(x, t) / \partial t \rightarrow 0, \partial^{2} h_{s}(x, t) / \partial x^{2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \partial^{3} h_{s}(x, t) / \partial x^{3} \rightarrow 0$, and uniformly for $(x, t) \in[0,1] \times[0,1]$.
3. Considering subspaces of $\mathcal{D}$ consisting of ( $u, g, f$ ) which satisfy higher order combatibility conditions at the origin, we obtain further continuity properties of the corresponding restrictions of the operator $\Phi$.
4. Results analogous to the above Theorem and remarks can be proved also for the UTM solution operator

$$
(u, g, f) \rightarrow \mathbb{J}_{0}^{+} u+\mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{0}}^{-} u-\mathbb{J}_{1} g+\mathbb{J}_{2}^{+} f+\mathbb{J}_{2}^{-} f,
$$

of Problem 1 of [6]; see (1.5) therein.
5. More careful one should be with the corresponding problems for other equations such as the Airy equation on the negative half-line $U_{t}=U_{x x x}+f$ and the Schrödinger equation $i U_{t}=-U_{x x}+f$. For one thing, the solutions of the corresponding problems of these equations do not satisfy the analogues of Theorems 1 and 3 of [6], in general. The
asymptotic behavior of these solutions, as $x \rightarrow \infty$, depends on certain compatibility conditions for the data at the origin. In addition, the limits of the solutions and their derivatives, as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$, depend on similar compatibility conditions. (See [5,9].)
6. From the integral formula in the statement of the above Theorem, it is evident that the solution at ( $x, t$ ) depends only on past times $\tau \in[0, t)$ so one could readily state a Theorem with data ( $g, f$ ) as above but restricted to [0, $t$ ).
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