

HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF THE PARAMETERS FOR (α, β) -TRANSFORMATIONS WITH THE SPECIFICATION PROPERTY

MAI OGUCHI AND MAO SHINODA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider the specification property for (α, β) -shifts. When $\alpha = 0$, Schmeling shows that the set of $\beta > 1$ for which the β -shift has the specification property has the Lebesgue measure zero but has the full Hausdorff dimension [Sch97]. So it is natural to ask what happens when $\alpha > 0$. Buzzi shows that for fixed α the set of $\beta > 1$ for which the (α, β) -shift has the specification property has Lebesgue measure zero. Hence we consider the Hausdorff dimension of the parameter space of (α, β) -shifts.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider the specification property for (α, β) -shifts, which is the coding spaces of (α, β) -transformations. More precisely, for $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $\beta > 1$ the (α, β) -transformation $T_{\alpha, \beta} : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is defined by

$$(1) \quad T_{\alpha, \beta}(x) = \beta x + \alpha - \lfloor \beta x + \alpha \rfloor$$

for all $x \in (0, 1]$ where $\lfloor \xi \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer no more than ξ and the (α, β) -shift $\Sigma_{\alpha, \beta}$ is a subshift consisting of all (α, β) -expansions induced by the (α, β) -transformation. After the pioneering work of Renyi [Rén57] and Parry [Par60, Par64] dynamical properties of $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ and $\Sigma_{\alpha, \beta}$ have been investigated from view points of ergodic theory and number theory for over half a century [CLR21, FP09, RS11, Hof80, CY23].

The specification property, which is introduced by Bowen [Bow71], is very important since it allows the study of generic properties of invariant measures. For example, it implies the uniqueness of equilibrium measure for any smooth potential with the additional hypothesis of expansiveness.

In the case of $\alpha = 0$, the shift is known as the β -shift $\Sigma_\beta := \Sigma_{0, \beta}$ and the parameter $\beta > 1$ for which Σ_β has the specification property is studied: the set of such parameters has the Lebesgue measure 0 but has the full Hausdorff dimension [Sch97]. For a fixed $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ it is also known that $\beta > 1$ for which $\Sigma_{\alpha, \beta}$ has the specification property has the Lebesgue measure 0 [Buz97]. Hence it is natural to ask the Hausdorff dimension in the case of $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

It is well known that the (α, β) -shift consists of the (α, β) -expansions in the interval with regard to the lexicographical order \preceq whose end points are (α, β) -expansions of 0 and 1 (See for more details §2). Let \mathbb{N}_0 be the set of non negative integers. Fixing the expansion of 0, we show that the set of the parameters for which the (α, β) -shift has the specification property has the full Hausdorff dimension:

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37E45, 37E05, 11K55.

Theorem 1. Let $\underline{u} \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy $u_0 = 0$, $\underline{u} \preceq \sigma^n \underline{u}$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $\max_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} u_i =: K < \infty$. Then we have

$$\dim_H \{\beta > K + 2 : \Sigma_{\alpha(\beta), \beta} \text{ has the specification property}\} = 1$$

where $\alpha(\beta) = (\beta - 1) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{u_j}{\beta^{j+1}}$ and $\dim_H E$ is the Hausdorff dimension of E .

Our proof is based on the work by Hu et.al [HLY17], which shows the set of $\beta > 1$ for which the $(-\beta)$ -shift has the specification property has the full Hausdorff dimension. The main tool is the well know theorem on the Hausdorff dimension of the attractor for an interacted function system.

The rest of this paper organized as follows. In §2, we establish our definitions and prepare several facts. In §3 we prove our main theorem.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Symbolic dynamics. Let A be a finite set and $A^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ the set of all one-sided infinite sequences on the alphabet A with the standard metric $d(x, y) = 2^{-t(x, y)}$, where $t(x, y) = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : x_k \neq y_k\}$. Then the shift map $\sigma : A^{\mathbb{N}_0} \rightarrow A^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ defined by $\sigma(x)_i = x_{i+1}$ is a continuous map. If a compact subset $X \subset A^{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies $\sigma(X) \subset X$, then X is called a *subshift*. For a finite sequence $w \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} A^n$, denote by $w^\infty := ww \cdots \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$ the periodic infinite sequence and by $[w] := \{x \in X : x_1 \cdots x_{|w|} = w\}$ the *cylinder set* for w , where $|w|$ denotes the length of w . The *language* $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(X) := \left\{ w \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} A^n : [w] \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

The topological entropy of X is defined by

$$h_{\text{top}}(X) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \#\mathcal{L}(X).$$

Definition 2.1 (Specification). Let $\mathcal{L}(X)$ be the language of a subshift X . We say that X has *specification* with gap size $t \in \mathbb{N}$ if

- for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w^1, \dots, w^m \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, there exist $v^1, \dots, v^{m-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that $x := w^1 v^1 w^2 v^2 \cdots v^{m-1} w^m \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and $|v^i| = t$ for all i and
- the cylinder $[x]$ contains a periodic point of period exactly $|x| + t$.

2.2. (α, β) -shifts. Let $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $\beta > 1$ and $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ be the (α, β) -transformation defined by (1). Let $k = \lceil \alpha + \beta \rceil$ and $A = \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$. Set $I_0 = \left[0, \frac{1-\alpha}{\beta}\right)$, $I_j = \left[\frac{j-\alpha}{\beta}, \frac{j+1-\alpha}{\beta}\right)$ for $1 \leq j \leq k-2$ and $I_{k-1} = \left[\frac{k-1-\alpha}{\beta}\right)$. Define the coding map $i_{\alpha, \beta} : [0, 1) \rightarrow A^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ by $i_{\alpha, \beta}(x)_n = j$ iff $T_{\alpha, \beta}^n(x) \in I_j$ for all $x \in [0, 1)$ and $n \geq 0$. Then we have

$$x = \frac{i_{\alpha, \beta}(x)_0 - \alpha}{\beta} + \frac{i_{\alpha, \beta}(x)_1 - \alpha}{\beta^2} + \cdots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{i_{\alpha, \beta}(x)_n - \alpha}{\beta^n}.$$

We call $i_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ a (α, β) -expansion of x . Now we define

$$\Sigma_{\alpha,\beta} := \text{cl}(\{i_{\alpha,\beta}(x) \in A^{\mathbb{N}_0} : x \in (0, 1]\})$$

where $\text{cl}(X)$ is the closure of X in $A^{\mathbb{N}_0}$. Then it is easy to see that $\Sigma_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a subshift of $A^{\mathbb{N}}$. We call $(\Sigma_{\alpha,\beta}, \sigma)$ the (α, β) -shift. It is well known that the (α, β) -shift are characterized by the lexicographical order and the expansions of the end points:

$$(2) \quad \Sigma_{\alpha,\beta} = \{\underline{x} \in A^{\mathbb{N}_0} : \underline{u}^{\alpha,\beta} \preceq \sigma^n \underline{x} \preceq \underline{v}^{\alpha,\beta} \text{ for all } n \geq 0\}$$

where $\underline{u}^{\alpha,\beta} = i_{\alpha,\beta}(0)$ and $\underline{v}^{\alpha,\beta} = \lim_{x \uparrow 1} i_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$. Moreover we have

$$\underline{u}^{\alpha,\beta} \preceq \sigma^n \underline{u}^{\alpha,\beta} \preceq \underline{v}^{\alpha,\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{u}^{\alpha,\beta} \preceq \sigma^n \underline{v}^{\alpha,\beta} \preceq \underline{v}^{\alpha,\beta}$$

for all $n \geq 0$. See [Fal08] and references therein for more details.

For (α, β) -shifts the specification property is characterized by the expansions $\underline{u}^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\underline{v}^{\alpha,\beta}$. For $\underline{u}^{\alpha,\beta}, \underline{v}^{\alpha,\beta}$ define

$$D(\underline{u}^{\alpha,\beta}) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : (v_0^{\alpha,\beta}, \dots, v_n^{\alpha,\beta}) = (u_j^{\alpha,\beta}, \dots, u_{j+n}^{\alpha,\beta}) \text{ for some } j \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

$$D(\underline{v}^{\alpha,\beta}) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : (u_0^{\alpha,\beta}, \dots, u_n^{\alpha,\beta}) = (v_j^{\alpha,\beta}, \dots, v_{j+n}^{\alpha,\beta}) \text{ for some } j \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Theorem 2.2. [CLR21, Theorem 1.5] *Let $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $\beta > 2$. Then $\Sigma_{\alpha,\beta}$ has the specification property if and only if both $D(\underline{u}^{\alpha,\beta})$ and $D(\underline{v}^{\alpha,\beta})$ are bounded.*

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Our proof of Theorem 1 is based on the idea by Hu et.al [HLY17], which proves that the set of $\beta > 1$ for which the $(-\beta)$ -shift has the specification property has full Hausdorff dimension.

Let $\underline{u} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the following:

$$\mathbf{U1:} \quad u_0 = 0$$

$$\mathbf{U2:} \quad \underline{u} \prec \sigma^n \underline{u} \text{ for every } n \geq 1 \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbf{U3:} \quad \max_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} u_i =: K < \infty$$

Since the case $\underline{u} = 0^\infty$ corresponding to the case $\alpha = 0$ is proved by Schmeling [Sch97], we may assume $\underline{u} \neq 0^\infty$. In the rest of this paper we assume $N \geq K + 3$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\underline{v} \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ such that $v_0 = N-1$ and $1 \leq v_i \leq N-2$ for all $i \geq 1$. Then there exist $(\alpha, \beta) \in (0, 1) \times (1, \infty)$ satisfying*

$$0 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{u_i - \alpha}{\beta^{i+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{v_i - \alpha}{\beta^{i+1}}.$$

Moreover we have $\underline{u}^{\alpha,\beta} = \underline{u}$ and $\underline{v}^{\alpha,\beta} = \underline{v}$.

Proof. Since $u_0 = 0, v_0 = N-1$ and $1 \leq v_i \leq N-2$ for all $i \geq 1$, it is easy to see that

$$(3) \quad \underline{u} \preceq \sigma^n \underline{u} \preceq \underline{v} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{u} \preceq \sigma^n \underline{v} \preceq \underline{v} \quad \forall n \geq 0$$

holds. Set $\hat{\underline{u}} = \max\{\sigma^n \underline{u} : n \geq 0\}$ and

$$\Sigma(\underline{u}, \hat{\underline{u}}) = \{\underline{x} \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0} : \underline{u} \preceq \sigma^n \underline{x} \preceq \hat{\underline{u}} \text{ for all } n \geq 0\}.$$

By U3 we have $\Sigma(\underline{u}, \hat{\underline{u}}) \subset \overline{\{0, 1, \dots, K\}^{\mathbb{N}_0}}$ and $h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma(\underline{u}, \hat{\underline{u}})) \leq \log(K + 1)$. By the choice of N , we have $\log v_0 = \log K + 2 > \log(K + 1) = h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma(\underline{u}, \hat{\underline{u}}))$. Then by Theorem 6.20 in [Fal08] there exist $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\beta > 1$ such that $\underline{u} = \underline{u}^{\alpha, \beta}$ and $\underline{v} = \underline{v}^{\alpha, \beta}$. \square

Let

$$E_N = \{ \beta > N - 2 : v_0^{\alpha(\beta), \beta} = N - 1, 1 \leq v_i^{\alpha(\beta), \beta} \leq N - 2 \text{ for all } i \geq 1 \}$$

where $\alpha(\beta) = (\beta - 1) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{u_j}{\beta^{j+1}}$. For $\beta \in E_N$ we have $D(\underline{u}^{\alpha(\beta), \beta}) = D(\underline{v}^{\alpha(\beta), \beta}) =$

\emptyset since $v_0^{\alpha(\beta), \beta} = N - 1 \neq u_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $u_0^{\alpha(\beta), \beta} = 0 \neq v_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By Theorem 2.2 the shift $\Sigma_{\alpha(\beta), \beta}$ satisfies the specification property.

For $\beta > 2$ we have

$$\frac{d\alpha(\beta)}{d\beta} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(-j + \frac{1}{\beta}(j+1) \right) < 0,$$

which implies $\alpha(\beta)$ is strictly decreasing. By Lemma 3.1 for $\beta \in E_N$ we have $\beta \leq N$ and

$$\alpha(\beta) \leq \alpha(N - 2) \leq \frac{K}{N - 2} =: \alpha_N < 1.$$

Hence we have $E_N \subset (N - 1 - \alpha_N, N]$.

Define a map $\varphi : E_N \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$\varphi(\beta) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{v_k^{\alpha, \beta}}{N^k}.$$

For $i = 1, \dots, N - 2$ set $\psi_i(x) = \frac{x + i}{N}$. Then by Lemma 3.1 it is easy to see $\varphi(E_N)$ satisfies

$$\varphi(E_N) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N-2} \psi_i(\varphi(E_N))$$

and it is the attractor of the interacted function system $\Psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^{N-2}$. By [Fal90, Theorem 9.3] $\dim_H \varphi(E_N) = s$, where s is given by $\sum_{i=1}^{N-2} \left(\frac{1}{N} \right)^s = 1$.

Hence we have

$$\dim_H \varphi(E_N) = \frac{\log(N - 3)}{\log N}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *There exists $C_N > 0$ such that for every $\beta, \beta' \in E_N$ we have*

$$|\varphi(\beta) - \varphi(\beta')| \leq C_N |\beta - \beta'|.$$

We will prove this lemma later. By using this lemma and [Fal90][Proposition 3.3] we have

$$\dim_H E_N \geq \dim_H \varphi(E_N) = \frac{\log(N - 3)}{\log N}.$$

Since this holds for ever $N \geq K + 3$, Theorem 1 is proved.

In the rest of this paper, we prove Lemma 3.2. Let $\beta, \beta' \in E_N$ and $n \geq 1$ satisfy

$$v_i^{\alpha(\beta),\beta} = v_i^{\alpha(\beta'),\beta'} \quad (0 \leq i \leq n), \quad v_{n+1}^{\alpha(\beta),\beta} \neq v_{n+1}^{\alpha(\beta'),\beta'}.$$

By the definition of φ it is easy to see that

$$(4) \quad |\varphi(\beta) - \varphi(\beta')| \leq N^{-n}.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume $\beta < \beta'$. For $0 \leq i \leq n$ set $v_i := v_i^{\alpha(\beta),\beta} = v_i^{\alpha(\beta'),\beta'}$. Define polynomials by $P_0(x) = x + \alpha(x) - v_0$ and

$$P_k(x) = xP_{k-1}(x) - \alpha(x) - v_k$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then for $0 \leq k \leq n$ we have $T_{\alpha(\beta),\beta}^k(1) = P_k(\beta)$ and $T_{\alpha(\beta'),\beta'}^k(1) = P_k(\beta')$. Since

$$P'_0(x) = 1 + \alpha'(x), \quad P'_k(x) = P_{k-1}(x) + xP'_{k-1}(x) + \alpha'(x) \quad (1 \leq k \leq n),$$

we have

$$(5) \quad P'_n(x) = x^{n-1} \left((1 + \alpha'(x))x + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{P_j(x) + \alpha'(x)}{x^j} \right).$$

By the mean value theorem there exists $\xi \in (\beta, \beta') \subset (N - 1 - \alpha_N, N]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| T_{\alpha(\beta),\beta}^n(\beta) - T_{\alpha(\beta'),\beta'}^n(1) \right| &= |P_n(\beta) - P_n(\beta')| \\ &= |\beta - \beta'| P'_n(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

By (5) and $P_j \in [0, 1]$ for $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| T_{\alpha(\beta),\beta}^n(\beta) - T_{\alpha(\beta'),\beta'}^n(1) \right| &= |\beta - \beta'| \left((1 + \alpha'(\xi))\xi^n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{P_j(\xi) + \alpha'(\xi)}{\xi^j} \right) \\ &\leq |\beta - \beta'| \left((1 + \alpha'(\xi))\xi^n + (1 + \alpha'(\zeta)) \frac{1 - \frac{1}{\xi^n}}{1 - \frac{1}{\zeta}} \right) \\ &\leq |\beta - \beta'| \frac{\zeta^{2n} + \zeta^n - |\alpha'(\zeta)|(-\zeta^{2n-1} - 1)}{\zeta^n - \zeta^{n-1}} \\ &\leq |\beta - \beta'| \frac{2\zeta^{2n} + 2|\alpha'(\zeta)|\zeta^{2n}}{\zeta^n - \zeta^{n-1}} \\ &\leq |\beta - \beta'| \zeta^{n+1} (2 + 2|\alpha'(\zeta)|) \\ &< |\beta - \beta'| N^{n+1} (2 + 2|\alpha'(\zeta)|). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$(6) \quad |\beta - \beta'| > \left| T_{\alpha(\beta),\beta}^n(\beta) - T_{\alpha(\beta'),\beta'}^n(1) \right| \frac{1}{N^{n+1} (2 + 2|\alpha'(\zeta)|)}.$$

For $x, y \in (N - 1 - \alpha_N, N]$ define

$$\Delta_N(x, y) = \min \left\{ \left(\frac{2 - \alpha(x)}{x} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \alpha(x)}{x^k} \right) - \left(\frac{1 - \alpha(y)}{y} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{N - 2 - \alpha(y)}{y^k} \right), \right. \\ \left. \left(\frac{N - 2 - \alpha(y)}{y} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \alpha(y)}{y^k} \right) - \left(\frac{N - 3 - \alpha(x)}{x} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{N - 2 - \alpha(x)}{x^k} \right) \right\}.$$

Then we have

$$\Delta_N(x, x) = \frac{1}{x} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{N - 3}{x^k} = \frac{1}{x} \left(1 - \frac{N - 3}{x - 1} \right) > \frac{1}{N} \left(1 - \frac{N - 3}{N - 2 - \alpha_N} \right) =: C_N > 0.$$

Since Δ_N is continuous, there exists $\delta(N) > 0$ such that for $x, y > 2$ with $0 \leq |x - y| \leq \delta(N)$ implies $\Delta(x, y) \geq C(N) > 0$.

By the definition of the (α, β) -transformation we have

$$T_{\alpha(\beta), \beta}^n(1) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{v_{n+i}^{\alpha(\beta), \beta} - \alpha(\beta)}{\beta^{i+1}}, \quad T_{\alpha(\beta'), \beta'}^n(1) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{v_{n+i}^{\alpha(\beta'), \beta'} - \alpha(\beta')}{\beta'^{i+1}}$$

and by the definition of Δ_N we have

$$(7) \quad |T_{\alpha(\beta), \beta}^n(1) - T_{\alpha(\beta'), \beta'}^n(1)| \geq \Delta_N(\beta, \beta').$$

By (4), (6) and (7) we have

$$|\beta - \beta'| \geq C_1(N) N^{-n} \geq C_1(N) |\varphi(\beta) - \varphi(\beta')|$$

where $C_1(N) = C_N(N(2 + 2|\alpha'(\zeta)|))^{-1}$, which completes the proof.

Acknowledgement. We thank Kanji Inui for communicating us results before publication. The second author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 21K13816.

Data Availability. Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

REFERENCES

- [Bow71] R. Bowen, *Entropy for group endomorphisms and homogeneous spaces*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **153** (1971), 401–414 (English).
- [Buz97] Jérôme Buzzi, *Specification on the interval*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **349** (1997), no. 7, 2737–2754 (English).
- [CLR21] Leonard Carapezza, Marco López, and Donald Robertson, *Unique equilibrium states for some intermediate beta transformations*, Stoch. Dyn. **21** (2021), no. 6, 25 (English). Id/No 2150035.
- [CY23] Yong Moo Chung and Kenichiro Yamamoto, *Large deviation principle for piecewise monotonic maps with density of periodic measures*, Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst. **43** (2023), no. 3, 861–872 (English).
- [Fal08] Bastien Faller, *Contribution to the ergodic theory of piecewise monotone continuous maps*, PhD thesis, EPFL, 2008.
- [Fal90] Kenneth Falconer, *Fractal geometry: mathematical foundations and applications*, Chichester etc.: John Wiley & Sons, 1990 (English).

- [FP09] B. Faller and C.-E. Pfister, *A point is normal for almost all maps $\beta x + \alpha \bmod 1$ or generalized β -transformations*, Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst. **29** (2009), no. 5, 1529–1547 (English).
- [HLY17] Hui Hu, Zihui Li, and Yueli Yu, *A note on $(-\beta)$ -shifts with the specification property*, Publ. Math. Debr. **91** (2017), no. 1-2, 123–131 (English).
- [Hof80] Franz Hofbauer, *Maximal measures for simple piecewise monotonic transformations*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. Verw. Geb. **52** (1980), 289–300 (English).
- [Par60] William Parry, *On the β -expansions of real numbers*, Acta Mathematica Hungarica **11** (1960), no. 3-4, 401–416.
- [Par64] ———, *Representations for real numbers*, Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungarica **15** (1964), 95–105.
- [Rén57] Alfréd Rényi, *Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. **8** (1957), 477–493 (English).
- [RS11] Peter Raith and Angela Stachelberger, *Topological transitivity for a class of monotonic mod one transformations*, Aequationes Math. **82** (2011), no. 1-2, 91–109 (English).
- [Sch97] Jörg Schmeling, *Symbolic dynamics for β -shifts and self-normal numbers*, Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst. **17** (1997), no. 3, 675–694 (English).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OCHANOMIZU UNIVERSITY, 2-1-1 OTSUKA, BUNKYO-KU, TOKYO, 112-8610, JAPAN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OCHANOMIZU UNIVERSITY, 2-1-1 OTSUKA, BUNKYO-KU, TOKYO, 112-8610, JAPAN

Email address: shinoda.mao@ocha.ac.jp